A global timing mechanism regulates cell-type specific wiring programs

Saumya Jain[1,2,4], Ying Lin[1,3,4], Yerbol Z. Kurmangaliyev[2], Javier Valdes-Aleman[1,2], Samuel A. LoCascio[1], Parmis Mirshahidi[1], Brianna Parrington[1], and S. Lawrence Zipursky[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Biological Chemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, USA. [2]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA. [3]Molecular Biology Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, USA. [4]These authors contributed equally to this work. [*]e-mail: lzipursky@mednet.ucla.edu

The assembly of neural circuits is dependent upon precise spatiotemporal expression

of cell recognition molecules[1–6]. Factors controlling cell-type specificity have been identified[7–

9], but how timing is determined remains unknown. Here we describe the induction of a

cascade of transcription factors by a steroid hormone (Ecdysone) in all fly visual system

neurons spanning target recognition and synaptogenesis. We demonstrate through single cell

sequencing that the Ecdysone pathway regulates the expression of a common set of targets

required for synaptic maturation and cell-type specific targets enriched for cell surface

proteins regulating wiring specificity. Transcription factors in the cascade regulate the

expression of the same wiring genes in complex ways, including activation in one cell-type

and repression in another. We show that disruption of the Ecdysone-pathway generates

specific defects in dendritic and axonal processes and synaptic connectivity, with the order

of transcription factor expression correlating with sequential steps in wiring. We also

identify shared targets of a cell-type specific transcription factor and the Ecdysone pathway

which regulate specificity. We propose neurons integrate a global temporal transcriptional

module with cell-type specific transcription factors to generate different cell-type specific

patterns of cell recognition molecules regulating wiring.

MAIN

Animal behavior is dependent upon the formation of neural circuits with high fidelity.

Many cell-surface proteins, particularly of the Immunoglobulin (Ig), Leucine-Rich Repeat and

Cadherin families, promote interactions between neurons during circuit assembly[1,2]. How does a

limited set of cell-surface protein coding genes ($\sim 10^3$ genes in fruit fly[10]) specify a much larger

number of synapses ($\sim 10^7$ synapses amongst $\sim 10^5$ neurons in fly brain[11])? This is made possible

by the use of several developmental strategies including the reuse of the same molecules to

determine multiple, spatially and temporally separated neuron-neuron interactions[3,4,6,12]. Wiring

specificity, thus, relies on genetic programs which regulate the cell-type and temporal specificity

of genes encoding recognition molecules. Many programs that define cell-type specificity have

been described[7–9]. Here we set out to discover how temporal specificity is determined.

Dynamic expression of wiring genes

We defined metrics for cell-type specificity and temporal dynamics for each gene using

our previously reported transcriptomic dataset of 97 neuron-types in the fly visual system across

seven developmental time points (24 – 96 hours after pupal formation (hAPF))[12] (Fig. 1a). This

period encompasses dendritic and axon terminal morphogenesis within target regions, selection of

appropriate synaptic partners and synaptogenesis. We assigned two scores to each gene: 1) Cell-

type variability score, which provides a measure of cell-type specificity; and 2) Temporal

dynamicity score, which provides a measure of changes in expression across developmental time

(see Methods, Fig. 1a, Extended data Table 2).

"Housekeeping" genes, such as ribosomal protein coding genes (e.g. RpL36), generally

have low scores on both scales, suggesting low variation in expression across cell-types and time.

Many transcription factors (TFs) display high cell-type variability but low dynamicity scores (e.g.

erm), consistent with their role in establishing and maintaining neuron types[6,12,13]. A previously

identified set of genes representing a pan-neuronal program demonstrate low variability scores and

a range of dynamicity scores (e.g. RNA-binding protein, Rbp9)[12]. Finally, we compiled a list of

cell surface molecules (CSMs) with previously described roles in wiring (and paralogs thereof),

into a group we hereafter refer to as 'wiring genes' (see Methods, Extended data Table 3), and

found that they have high cell-type variability and temporal dynamicity scores (e.g. beat-IIIc).

Dynamic, cell-type specific expression is consistent with previous reports for expression patterns

for these genes[5,6,12]. While cell-type specific TFs have been identified which control wiring genes,

what controls their temporal specificity remains unknown.

A cascade of nuclear receptors is expressed in visual system neurons

To identify candidates for temporal regulators, we focused on TFs with high dynamicity

scores (Fig. 1a, Extended data Table 2). These were enriched for nuclear receptors (GO term:

"nuclear receptor activity", p-value 9.3×10^{-5}; Reactome pathway analysis: "Nuclear Receptor

transcription pathway" p-value 8.3×10^{-10}; also see Extended data Table 4). Nuclear receptor TFs

(such as Hr3, Hr4 and ftz-f1) which exhibit high temporal dynamicity (Fig. 1a), are components

of a cascade of TFs activated by the steroid hormone Ecdysone (Fig. 1b). Indeed, the Ecdysone

Receptor (EcR) itself, as well as other TFs in this cascade are expressed in a synchronous way in

all neurons in the developing visual system (at the mRNA and protein level, Fig. 1b, c, Extended

data Fig. 1). This includes a synchronous change in isoform from EcR-B1 to EcR-A[14]. The timing

and order of expression of these TFs correlates with a mid-pupal pulse of Ecdysone[15–19] (Fig. 1b).

Consistent with control of dynamic gene expression, ATAC-Seq[20] at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and

72hAPF in L1 neurons (see Methods) identified an enrichment for predicted binding sites for EcR,

Hr3 and Ftz-f1 in regions with dynamic patterns of chromatin accessibility[21] (Extended data Fig.

2, Extended data Table 5). Although these TFs are expressed in all neurons (Fig. 1b , c), they are

known in other contexts to regulate a cell-type specific set of genes[22]. These observations raised

the possibility that the Ecdysone-pathway has been co-opted as a temporal regulator to control the

dynamic and cell-type specific expression of wiring genes.

EcR controls neurite terminal morphology and synapse distribution

To test the role of the Ecdysone-pathway in wiring, we sought tools available for disrupting

the pathway. Given the proximity of the EcR gene to the centromere and the lethality of whole

animal mutants, phenotypic analysis using null-mutants is difficult to perform across cell-types

(see mosaic analysis with *EcR* mutants in L5 below). We thus, began by expressing a widely used

dominant-negative allele of EcR, EcR^{DN} (mutant with ligand-binding defect[23], EcR-B1^{W650A}), in a

range of neuron-types arborizing in different neuropils and layers using the GAL4-UAS system

(Fig. 2a, Extended data Fig. 3). Targeted expression of EcR^{DN} led to profound defects in axonal

and/ or dendritic branching across all neuron-types tested, namely lamina neurons (L1-L5), T4/T5,

Mi4 and Dm4 (Fig. 2, Extended data Fig. 3). EcR^{DN}-induced defects in L1-L5 arborization were

also seen with EcR-RNAi and could be largely rescued by overexpression of EcR-B1 from a cDNA

transgene (Extended data Fig. 3a).

We also observed that expression of EcR^{DN} in lamina neurons led to a redistribution of

their presynaptic sites in the lamina neuropil from strictly proximal to more distal regions of the

lamina (Fig. 2e). *DIP-β* mutants have been shown to produce a similar phenotype[24], and via

scRNA-Seq experiments described below, we identified DIP-β as a target of EcR in L4 neurons

(Extended data Fig. 4a, b). That DIP-β is directly regulated by EcR, is consistent with the presence

of a strong EcR binding site within the first intron of DIP-β (see Methods, Extended data Fig. 4c).

Thus, EcR controls dendritic and axonal terminal morphology and presynaptic site distribution

across cell-types in the lamina, medulla and lobula plate.

Different EcR pathway TFs regulate specific steps in L5 wiring

We next sought to investigate roles for the EcR-pathway at different steps in wiring of a

single neuron-type. L5 axon terminals target to medulla layer M5 during early pupal

development[25,26], then arborize in M1 (between 48hAPF and 60hAPF), followed by extension of

these arbors from M1 to M2 (between 75hAPF and 90hAPF) (Fig. 2f).

Targeted expression of EcR^{DN} in developing L5 neurons did not disrupt targeting to the

M5 layer, which is completed prior to the mid-pupal Ecdysone pulse. However, it led to a complete

lack of branches in M1/M2 (Fig. 2g, Extended data Fig. 5a). To verify the role of EcR in

establishing M1/M2 arbors, we generated a conditional null-allele for EcR using the FlpStop

technology[27] (EcR^{FlpStop}, see Methods, Extended data Fig. 5b). Similar to EcR^{DN}, we saw loss of

M1/M2 arbors in: 1) EcR^{FlpStop} over a frameshift mutation in the ligand binding domain

(EcR$^{FlpStop/M554fs}$); 2) Heterozygous L5 neurons (EcR$^{FlpStop/+}$ or EcR$^{M554fs/+}$); and 3) knock-down of

EcR via RNAi. EcR mutants were phenocopied by a homozygous hypomorphic allele of *usp*

(usp^{R160H}). This gene encodes a cofactor which functions with EcR in different developmental

contexts[28,29] (Fig. 2g). By contrast to its role in promoting branching in M1/M2 medulla layers,

EcR suppresses L5 branching in the lamina (Fig. 2h). Wildtype L5 neurons (unlike L1-L4 neurons)

do not elaborate branches in the lamina[30]. However, in response to either EcR RNAi or EcR^{DN},

robust ectopic branching (similar in appearance to normal L1-L3 dendrites) was observed in the

lamina.

While disruption of EcR activity blocks step 1 and step 2, knock-down of TFs downstream

of EcR, Hr3 or Ftz-f1, selectively disrupts step 2 (Fig. 2g, Extended data Fig. 5a). These findings

are consistent with EcR regulating the expression of genes that promote extension of arbors into

M1 and that Hr3 and Ftz-f1 execute a distinct developmental step ~ 24 hours later (Fig. 2g),

promoting extension of arbors from M1 into M2. As Hr3 directly regulates *ftz-f1*[16] (Fig. 1b, see

Extended data Fig. 10), Ftz-f1 may act alone or in combination with Hr3, to regulate the expression

of genes required for branching in M2. Loss of Hr4, another TF directly downstream of EcR,

results in ectopic branches terminating in M5 (Fig. 2g, Extended data Fig. 5a). By contrast to the

medulla, suppression of branching in the lamina relies on Hr3, but not Ftz-f1 (Fig. 2h, i).

To see if the Ecdysone-pathway also affects communication between L5 and its

postsynaptic partners, we used an L5-specific Gal4 to express Chrimson with or without EcR^{DN}

and recorded Ca^{2+} responses in different postsynaptic cells (using GCaMP6s) upon activation of

Chrimson by light. Given the near complete loss of M1 arbors with EcR^{DN}, we focused on L5

postsynaptic partners in the M5 layer, Dm13 and Tm3[31]. Optogenetic stimulation of

EcR^{DN}-expressing L5 neurons led to a stronger response in Dm13 (Fig. 2j), while responses from

Tm3 remained unchanged (Extended data Fig. 6a). A postsynaptic neuron-type specific change in

GCaMP6s response is consistent with perturbation of L5 synaptic connectivity upon disruption of

the Ecdysone-pathway.

In summary, the Ecdysone-pathway sculpts L5 connectivity in multiple ways during

development, including affecting branches at different times, in different ways and within distinct

subcellular domains (see summary in Figure 2i).

The EcR cascade regulates pan-neuronal and cell-type specific genes

To identify genes regulated by the EcR cascade, we focused on lamina neurons (L1-L5).

These were enriched by Fluorescence-activated cell sorting[32] using a pan-lamina marker and

profiled using a single-cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) based approach similar to the one used in

Kurmangaliyev *et al*[12]. Transcriptional profiles of wildtype lamina neurons determined this way

were similar to the ones determined from dissociated whole optic lobes[12] (Extended data Fig. 7).

We then profiled the transcriptomes of EcR[DN], EcR RNAi or Hr3 RNAi-expressing lamina neurons

and controls by scRNA-Seq at four timepoints (24hAPF, 48hAPF, 72hAPF and Adult, see

Extended data Fig. 7a)[12].

By comparing the transcriptomes of wildtype and EcR[DN]-expressing lamina neurons, we

identified 872 downregulated and 517 upregulated genes in EcR[DN]-expressing cells (fold change

> 2, p-value < 0.05) (Fig. 3a; Extended data Fig. 8). Genes affected by EcR[DN] are similar to the set

of genes affected by EcR RNAi, though the latter is significantly less effective at blocking the

Ecdysone pathway in this context (Extended data Fig. 9, 11a, c, e). Hr3 RNAi also has a similar

effect on gene expression as EcR[DN], especially at later time points (Extended data Fig. 10, 11b, d,

e) consistent with the genetic relationship between EcR and Hr3 (Fig. 1b). In contrast to L1 and

L3-L5, EcR[DN] had modest effects on gene expression in L2, consistent with lower EcR[DN]

expression in L2 neurons at 48hAPF using the pan-lamina GAL4 driver (Extended data Fig. 8b –

d, f). Thus, the EcR pathway regulates hundreds of genes in developing lamina neurons.

Analyses of the targets of the Ecdysone-pathway revealed that this pathway: 1) can both

activate and repress gene expression (Fig. 3a, c, d, Extended data Fig.12); 2) does not affect cell-

fate (Extended data Fig. 8e, 9e, 10e); and, 3) controls a larger number of genes with cell-type

specific, dynamic expression than expected by chance (one-sided Fisher's exact test, p-value =

0.005, Fig. 3b). Together, these observations establish that the Ecdysone-pathway controls

different sets of genes expressed in specific cell-types in a dynamic fashion. Importantly, we found

many dynamic genes to not be affected by EcR mutants, suggesting roles for other temporal

regulators as well (see Discussion, Fig. 3a, Extended data Fig. 11c, d, 12d).

Gene ontology analysis revealed that targets of the EcR pathway were enriched for genes

required for mitochondrial ATP biogenesis and neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicles

(see 'proton transport', Fig. 3b, c, Extended data Fig. 13b, Extended data Table 2), processes

required for synapse development, function or both[33–36]. Importantly, they have low cell-type

variability scores, and are thus likely regulated by the Ecdysone-pathway across neuron-types (Fig.

3b, also see common targets, Fig. 3a).

Control of cell-type specific wiring genes

The targets of EcR are also enriched for genes encoding CSMs, including wiring genes

(Fig. 3b, c). As opposed to genes required for ATP-synthesis and vacuolar ATPases, CSMs and

wiring genes regulated by the Ecdysone-pathway are largely composed of targets with cell-type

specific expression (Specific targets, Fig. 3a, b). These genes can be repressed in one cell-type and

activated in another (see dpr17 in L1 and L3, Fig. 3d), and can be regulated at different times in

different cell types (e.g. dpr10 in L1 and L5). In some cases, bidirectional changes in gene

expression resulted from activation by EcR, followed by repression by Hr3, indicative of a form

of feedback inhibition (e.g. see dpr10 and dpr15 in L1 and dpr17 in L3, Fig. 3d). Thus, activation

and repression by different Ecdysone-pathway TFs control cell-type and temporally specific

patterns of gene expression of wiring genes.

To assess if genes encoding CSMs affected by disruption of EcR activity are responsive to

hormone, we looked at the expression of some of these genes by controlling availability of

Ecdysone in *ex vivo* preparations. We modified a protocol developed by Özel *et al*, wherein brains

are removed from pupae (at 22hAPF) prior to the mid-pupal Ecdysone pulse, and then incubated

in media with or without the active form of Ecdysone, 20 Hydroxyecdysone[37] (20E, Extended data

Fig. 14a, see Methods). Consistent with scRNA-Seq data, the expression of several targets of EcR

depends upon inclusion of ligand in the media (Fig. 3e, Extended data Fig. 14b).

Together, these findings indicate that the Ecdysone-pathway, expressed in a common

temporal fashion in all neurons, regulates genes required for proper circuit formation in different

cell types in temporally specific ways.

Erm and EcR co-regulate wiring genes in L3

We next sought evidence that cell-type specific wiring programs are co-regulated by cell-

type specific and EcR pathway TFs. Here, we focused on a conserved TF, Erm (Fezf1/2 in

mammals) which is expressed in post-mitotic L3 neurons throughout development, but not other

lamina neurons, and controls several L3-specific wiring programs[32,38]. Though Erm is expressed

in a largely stable fashion throughout development, many of its known targets[38,39] (including

wiring genes) show dynamic expression (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, genes co-regulated by Erm and

EcR are enriched for dynamic genes (one-sided Fisher's exact t-test, p-value = 0.0331, see

Methods). Additionally, EcR pathway TFs are expressed sequentially in developing L3 neurons.

Together, these observations are consistent with Erm and the Ecdysone-pathway acting together

to determine the spatiotemporal expression patterns of wiring genes in L3.

L3 neurons non-cell autonomously control the targeting of R8 neurons to the M3 layer.

Netrin, which requires both Erm[38] and EcR for proper dynamic expression in L3 (Fig. 3e, Extended

data Fig. 16), is required for adhesion to the M3 layer, but not for recognition of the layer as its

target. Indeed, in *netrin* mutants R8s target to the appropriate later but then retract to distal layers

of the medulla[40]. While some R8s retract to distal medulla in *erm* and *EcR* mutants, most terminate

beyond M3[38] (Fig. 4b, d, Extended data Fig. 15b). This is consistent with Erm and EcR acting

together to control M3 target layer recognition and subsequent netrin-dependent stabilization. R8

terminals extending into deeper layers also contain ectopic presynaptic sites and show a modest

overall decrease in synapse number (Fig. 4c, Extended data Fig. 15a), consistent with defects in

R8 synaptic connectivity. We thus speculated that in addition to netrin, other genes co-regulated

by EcR and Erm (see Extended data Fig. 16) control R8 targeting.

To identify regulators of R8 targeting, we performed an RNAi screen for 12 genes co-

regulated by EcR and Erm (Fig. 4a, d, Extended data Fig. 15b, c, Extended data table 7, 8). Of

these, RNAi for beat-IIa, CG4822, CG6044 and Tpr2, led to R8 targeting past the M3 layer (Fig.

4d). Beat-IIa and CG6044 are members of the Ig superfamily, a class of genes known to mediate

cell recognition[1,2]. Tpr2 regulates the activity of steroid hormone receptors in mammals, and may

play an analogous role in regulating EcR-pathway TFs. Indeed, as in mammals where either

overexpression or knock-down of Tpr2 results in reduced hormone receptor activity[41,42], Tpr2

overexpression in L3 neurons phenocopies Tpr2 RNAi (Fig. 4d). And finally, CG4822, which

belongs to a subfamily of ABC transporters involved in cholesterol and steroid efflux, is also

implicated in steroid regulation[43,44].

Together these data indicate that the EcR-pathway and Erm co-regulate the spatiotemporal

specificity of several genes in L3 required for proper R8 wiring, including genes regulating steroid

abundance or steroid receptor function (CG4822 and Tpr2), genes encoding Ig superfamily

proteins (Beat IIa and CG6044) and secreted proteins (NetA and B) required for targeting to and

stabilization of R8 growth cones in M3.

Discussion

Wiring an animal brain requires precise cell-type and temporally specific expression of cell

recognition proteins[3–5,32,45]. The Ecdysone-pathway functions as a temporal regulator of major

developmental transitions, including larval molts and metamorphosis, as well as controlling

sequential divisions of postembryonic neuroblasts and neuronal re-modelling[15,22,46–48]. Here we

demonstrate that the EcR cascade has been co-opted for the temporal regulation of neuron-type

specific wiring programs within the developing neuropil. Neuron-type specificity in this case is,

at least in part, determined by the activity of cell-type specific TFs. The ability of the EcR cascade

to function as a flexible temporal regulatory module may be similar to modules regulating spatial

patterning (e.g. Hox genes and BMP/Wnt/Hh pathways[49–51]) which act in context-dependent ways

to generate different morphological outcomes in many different developmental contexts.

Global pathways, including other steroid and peptide hormones, waves of stimulus

independent neural activity, sensory experience as well as local intercellular interactions contribute

to wiring more broadly in controlling temporal aspects of development in the vertebrate and

invertebrate CNS (Fig. 4e)[52–57]. As in the EcR pathway in the fly visual system, these temporal

signals may also alter the activity of broadly expressed TFs (e.g. via phosphorylation) which

combine with cell-type specific TFs to control the expression of cell surface molecules regulating

synaptic connectivity.

Fig.1

a

Optic lobe RNA-seq data:
97 cell types &
7 developmental time points

⬇

Temporal dynamicity score
High score =
more dynamic expression

⬇

Cell-type variability score
High score =
more cell-type specific
patterns of expression

erm

EcR
Eip74EF
Hr3
Hr4
ftz−f1
Blimp-1
Eip75B

beat-IIIc

RpL36

Rbp9

Cell-type variability score

Temporal dynamicity score

erm

beat-IIIc

RpL36

log(expression)

Rbp9

Time(hAPF)
24 → 96

● Transcription factors
● Ribosomal protein genes
● Wiring genes
● Pan-neuronal genes

Cell type
— L3 — Mi4
— Other — L5 — T4a

b

Other regulators

Ecdysone → EcR-B1 → Eip74EF → Blimp-1 → Hr4 → Eip75B → Hr3 → Ftz-f1

Relative Ecdysone
concentration

Time (hAPF)
24 → 96

EcR
EcR-B1 EcR-A

Eip74EF

Blimp−1

Hr4

Eip75B

Hr3

ftz−f1

log(expression)

Time(hAPF)
24 → 96

c

	24hAPF	36hAPF	48hAPF	72hAPF	Adult
EcR-B1					
Hr3					
Ftz-f1					

Fig. 1. | Dynamic expression of wiring genes and Ecdysone pathway TFs. a, Plots showing Cell-type variability and Temporal dynamicity scores calculated using data from Kurmangaliyev *et al.,* 2020[12]. Each plot highlights genes belonging to the indicated gene group. Right, expression of one gene from each group, highlighting expression in L3, L5, Mi4, T4a neuron-types. Transcription factors of the Ecdysone-pathway are highlighted (pink) in top-left plot. **b,** Ecdysone-pathway showing a subset of known genetic interactions. Relative concentration of Ecdysone over development is shown (adapted from Pak and Gilbert, 1987[19]). Line plots: each grey line is expression in one neuron-type. Black line is mean across all neuron-types. Isoform change from EcR-B1 to EcR-A is highlighted (also see Extended data Fig. 1). All expression plots: time in hours after pupa formation (hAPF). All expression is log expression values from Kurmangaliyev *et al.* **c,** Images showing immunostaining for EcR-B1, Hr3 and Ftz-f1 at the indicated time points. Scale bar, 50μm.

Fig.2

Fig. 2. | Ecdysone-pathway TFs control multiple aspects of wiring. a, Schematic of Lamina

(L1-L5), Mi4, Dm4, Dm13 and T4. **b,** Morphology of Mi4 ± EcR^{DN}. Yellow arrowhead: M8 layer.

Scale bar, 10µm. Total branch length in M8 is quantified. (WT, 20 neurons, 3 animals; EcR^{DN}, 20

neurons, 4 animals). **c,** Morphology of Dm4 ± EcR^{DN}. Total number of columns covered/ neuron

is quantified. (WT, 39 neurons, 5 animals; EcR^{DN}, 61 neurons, 5 animals). **d,** Schematic of

dendrites of T4 a-d subtypes. Dorsoventral (D, V) and anterior-posterior (A, P) axes are shown.

Below, dendrite morphology ± EcR^{DN}. Orange dot, dendritic stalk. Scale bar, 10μm. Dendritic

volume for T4a, b and T4c, d subtypes is quantified. (WT, 18 T4a/b, 9 T4c/d neurons, 4 animals;

EcR^{DN}, 7 T4a/b, 15 T4c/d neurons, 5 animals). **e,** Lamina neuron (magenta) presynaptic sites (Brp,

green) in the lamina neuropil ± EcR^{DN}. Scale bar, 50μm. Distal Brp puncta/ cartridge is quantified.

(WT, 409 cartridges, 7 animals; EcR^{DN}, 153 cartridges, 6 animals.) **f,** Schematic of stepwise

morphogenesis of L5 arbors. **g,** L5 arborization defects and their distributions under the given

genotypes (also see Extended data Fig. 5a). **h,** L5 ectopic dendrite phenotype with quantification

under given genotypes. **g, i,** n = number of neurons (all conditions, animals ≥ 4). **g, h,** Scale bar,

5μm. **i,** Schematic showing roles for EcR, Hr3 and Ftz-f1 in L5 branching. **j,** GCaMP6s response

in Dm13 upon optogenetic stimulation of WT and EcR^{DN}-expressing L5 neurons. Amplitude of

peak response for each condition is given. (WT, 17 animals; EcR^{DN}, 17 animals.) **b - e, j,** p-values

(two-sided Student's t-test) are given. **g, h,** *, p-value (one-sided Fisher's exact test) < 0.05, ** p-

value < 0.001.

Fig.3

Fig. 3. | Common and cell-type targets of the Ecdysone-pathway. a, Cell-type variability vs

Temporal dynamicity plot for EcR^{DN}-affected genes (fold change > 2, p-value < 0.05). Cell-type

Specific (blue) and Common (red) targets are shown. Darker colors, genes reduced in EcR^{DN};

lighter colors, genes increased in EcR^{DN} (also see Extended data Fig. 11c, d). **b,** Gene groups

enriched amongst genes reduced in EcR^{DN}. Fraction of targets common to all neurons (red) and

neuron-specific (blue) targets in each category are shown as ratio of red to blue in small pie charts.

c, Relative WT expression at 72 hAPF (left), change in expression with EcR^{DN} (center), and change

in expression with Hr3 RNAi (right) shown as heat maps for all genes expressed in L3-L5 neurons

belonging to the specified gene categories at 72hAPF (also see Extended data Fig. 13a). Examples

of wiring genes (e.g. Dpr family, Side-Beat family) and cell-type specific transcription factors (e.g.

erm) are shown separately. **d,** dpr10, dpr15, dpr17 expression ± EcR^{DN} (above) and ± Hr3 RNAi

(below). * p-value < 0.05, fold change > 2. Expression is in log values. **e,** Staining for dpr15 and

dpr17 reporters (MiMIC lines, see Methods), and NetB (using anti-NetB antibody) in lamina

neuron cell-bodies ± 20 Hydroxyecdysone (20E – active form of Ecdysone) in the medium (also

see Extended data Fig. 14) in *ex vivo* preparations of pupal brains (see Methods). Note most NetB

protein accumulates in L3 growth cones in the M3 layer (not shown).

Fig.4

Fig. 4 | Screening EcR and Erm co-regulated genes for wiring regulators. a, Heatmap showing relative expression of erm, EcR-pathway TFs and Erm targets in L3 neurons[38,39]. Green box indicates genes affected by EcR^{DN} in L3 neurons. Genes that regulate R8 targeting are shown below as indicated. NetA and NetB (blue) are known regulators. Genes in red are identified in RNAi screen in this study. **b,** R8 axons ± EcR^{DN} expression in L3. Magenta, R8 neurons; green,

M6 marker (m24B10); yellow arrowheads, R8 axons terminating short of M3 and; white arrows,

R8 terminals extending beyond M3. Scale bar, 10μm. **c,** R8 presynaptic sites (Brp, green) $\pm$ EcR^{DN}

expression in L3. Right, quantification of Brp puncta number under the conditions shown. Scale

bar, 5μm. (WT, 58 neurons, 3 animals; EcR^{DN}, 47 neurons, 3 animals). **d,** Distributions of R8 axon

terminal depth (as in **b**) in control (red) or with expression of RNAi or cDNA (as shown, blue) in

L3 neurons. Numbers of neurons/ condition are given. All conditions, number of animals $\geq$ 3. p-

values (two-sided Student's t-test in **c,** Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in **d**) are given. **e,** Model for

cell-type specific temporal control of wiring gene expression (see text).

REFERENCES

1. Südhof, T. C. Towards an Understanding of Synapse Formation. *Neuron* **100**, 276--293 (2018).

2. Zipursky, S. L. & Sanes, J. R. Chemoaffinity Revisited: Dscams, Protocadherins, and Neural

Circuit Assembly. *Cell* **143**, 343--353 (2010).

3. Hassan, B. A. & Hiesinger, P. R. Beyond Molecular Codes: Simple Rules to Wire Complex

Brains. *Cell* **163**, 285--291 (2015).

4. Yogev, S. & Shen, K. Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Synaptic Specificity. *Annu Rev*

Cell Dev Bi **30**, 417--437 (2014).

5. Li, H. *et al.* Classifying Drosophila Olfactory Projection Neuron Subtypes by Single-Cell RNA

Sequencing. *Cell* **171**, 1206--1220.e22 (2017).

6. Özel, M. N. *et al.* Neuronal diversity and convergence in a visual system developmental atlas.

Nature 1–8 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2879-3.

7. Hobert, O. Chapter Twenty-Five - Terminal Selectors of Neuronal Identity. in *Current Topics*

in Developmental Biology (ed. Wassarman, P. M.) vol. 116 455--475 (Academic Press, 2016).

8. Hong, W. & Luo, L. Genetic Control of Wiring Specificity in the Fly Olfactory System. *Genetics*

196, 17--29 (2014).

9. Dasen, J. S. & Jessell, T. M. Chapter Six Hox Networks and the Origins of Motor Neuron

Diversity. in *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* vol. 88 169--200 (Academic Press, 2009).

10. Larkin, A. *et al.* FlyBase: updates to the Drosophila melanogaster knowledge base. *Nucleic*

Acids Res **49**, D899–D907 (2020).

11. Scheffer, L. K. *et al.* A connectome and analysis of the adult Drosophila central brain. *Elife* **9**,

e57443 (2020).

12. Kurmangaliyev, Y. Z., Yoo, J., Valdes-Aleman, J., Sanfilippo, P. & Zipursky, S. L.

Transcriptional Programs of Circuit Assembly in the Drosophila Visual System. *Neuron* (2020)

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.10.006.

13. Reilly, M. B., Cros, C., Varol, E., Yemini, E. & Hobert, O. Unique homeobox codes delineate

all the neuron classes of C. elegans. *Nature* **584**, 595–601 (2020).

14. Truman, J. W., Talbot, W. S., Fahrbach, S. E. & Hogness, D. S. Ecdysone receptor expression

in the CNS correlates with stage-specific responses to ecdysteroids during Drosophila and

Manduca development. *Dev Camb Engl* **120**, 219--234 (1994).

15. Riddiford, L. M., Cherbas, P. & Truman, J. W. Ecdysone receptors and their biological actions.

Vitamins Hormones **60**, 1--73 (2000).

16. White, K. P., Hurban, P., Watanabe, T. & Hogness, D. S. Coordination of Drosophila

metamorphosis by two ecdysone-induced nuclear receptors. *Sci New York N Y* **276**, 114--117

(1997).

17. Agawa, Y. *et al.* Drosophila Blimp-1 Is a Transient Transcriptional Repressor That Controls

Timing of the Ecdysone-Induced Developmental Pathway. *Mol Cell Biol* **27**, 8739--8747 (2007).

18. Rabinovich, D., Yaniv, S. P., Alyagor, I. & Schuldiner, O. Nitric Oxide as a Switching

Mechanism between Axon Degeneration and Regrowth during Developmental Remodeling. *Cell*

164, 170--182 (2016).

19. Pak, M. D. & Gilbert, L. I. A Developmental Analysis of Ecdysteroids During the

Metamorphosis of extitDrosophila Melanogaster. *J Liq Chromatogr* **10**, 2591--2611 (1987).

20. Buenrostro, J. D., Wu, B., Chang, H. Y. & Greenleaf, W. J. ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying

Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. *Curr Protoc Mol Biology Ed Frederick M Ausubel Et Al*

109, 21.29.1--9 (2015).

21. Imrichová, H., Hulselmans, G., Atak, Z. K., Potier, D. & Aerts, S. i-cisTarget 2015 update:

generalized cis-regulatory enrichment analysis in human, mouse and fly. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**,

W57--W64 (2015).

22. Shlyueva, D. *et al.* Hormone-responsive enhancer-activity maps reveal predictive motifs,

indirect repression, and targeting of closed chromatin. *Mol Cell* **54**, 180--192 (2014).

23. Cherbas, L., Hu, X., Zhimulev, I., Belyaeva, E. & Cherbas, P. EcR isoforms in Drosophila:

testing tissue-specific requirements by targeted blockade and rescue. *Development* **130**, 271--284

(2003).

24. Xu, C. *et al.* Control of Synaptic Specificity by Establishing a Relative Preference for Synaptic

Partners. *Neuron* **103**, 865--877.e7 (2019).

25. Nern, A., Zhu, Y. & Zipursky, S. L. Local N-Cadherin Interactions Mediate Distinct Steps in

the Targeting of Lamina Neurons. *Neuron* **58**, 34--41 (2008).

26. Pecot, M. Y. *et al.* Sequential Axon-Derived Signals Couple Target Survival and Layer

Specificity in the Drosophila Visual System. *Neuron* **82**, 320--333 (2014).

27. Fisher, Y. E. *et al.* FlpStop, a tool for conditional gene control in Drosophila. *Elife* **6**, (2017).

28. Yao, T.-P., Segraves, W. A., Oro, A. E., McKeown, M. & Evans, R. M. Drosophila

ultraspiracle modulates ecdysone receptor function via heterodimer formation. *Cell* **71**, 63–72

(1992).

29. Yao, T. P. *et al.* Functional ecdysone receptor is the product of EcR and Ultraspiracle genes.

Nature **366**, 476--479 (1993).

30. Schwabe, T., Borycz, J. A., Meinertzhagen, I. A. & Clandinin, T. R. Differential Adhesion

Determines the Organization of Synaptic Fascicles in the Drosophila Visual System. *Curr Biol* **24**,

1304--1313 (2014).

31. Takemura, S. *et al.* Synaptic circuits and their variations within different columns in the visual

system of Drosophila. *Proc National Acad Sci* **112**, 13711--13716 (2015).

32. Tan, L. *et al.* Ig Superfamily Ligand and Receptor Pairs Expressed in Synaptic Partners in

Drosophila. *Cell* **163**, 1756--1769 (2015).

33. Lee, C. W. & Peng, H. B. The Function of Mitochondria in Presynaptic Development at the

Neuromuscular Junction. *Mol Biol Cell* **19**, 150–158 (2008).

34. Zhou, H. & Liu, G. Regulation of density of functional presynaptic terminals by local energy

supply. *Mol Brain* **8**, 42 (2015).

35. Rangaraju, V., Lauterbach, M. & Schuman, E. M. Spatially Stable Mitochondrial

Compartments Fuel Local Translation during Plasticity. *Cell* **176**, 73--84.e15 (2019).

36. Gowrisankaran, S. & Milosevic, I. Regulation of synaptic vesicle acidification at the neuronal

synapse. *Iubmb Life* **72**, 568–576 (2020).

37. Özel, M. N., Langen, M., Hassan, B. A. & Hiesinger, P. R. Filopodial dynamics and growth

cone stabilization in Drosophila visual circuit development. *Elife* **4**, e10721 (2015).

38. Peng, J. *et al.* Drosophila Fezf coordinates laminar-specific connectivity through cell-intrinsic

and cell-extrinsic mechanisms. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

39. Santiago, I. J. *et al.* Drosophila Fezf functions as a transcriptional repressor to direct layer-

specific synaptic connectivity in the fly visual system. *Proc National Acad Sci* **118**, e2025530118

(2021).

40. Akin, O. & Zipursky, S. L. Frazzled promotes growth cone attachment at the source of a Netrin

gradient in the Drosophila visual system. *Elife* **5**, e20762 (2016).

41. Moffatt, N. S. C., Bruinsma, E., Uhl, C., Obermann, W. M. J. & Toft, D. Role of the

Cochaperone Tpr2 in Hsp90 Chaperoning. *Biochemistry-us* **47**, 8203–8213 (2008).

42. Brychzy, A. *et al.* Cofactor Tpr2 combines two TPR domains and a J domain to regulate the

Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone system. *Embo J* **22**, 3613–3623 (2003).

43. Klucken, J. *et al.* ABCG1 (ABC8), the human homolog of the Drosophila white gene, is a

regulator of macrophage cholesterol and phospholipid transport. *Proc National Acad Sci* **97**, 817–

822 (2000).

44. Suzuki, M., Suzuki, H., Sugimoto, Y. & Sugiyama, Y. ABCG2 Transports Sulfated Conjugates

of Steroids and Xenobiotics*. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 22644–22649 (2003).

45. Kurmangaliyev, Y. Z., Yoo, J., LoCascio, S. A. & Zipursky, S. L. Modular transcriptional

programs separately define axon and dendrite connectivity. *Elife* **8**, e50822 (2019).

46. Alyagor, I. *et al.* Combining Developmental and Perturbation-Seq Uncovers Transcriptional

Modules Orchestrating Neuronal Remodeling. *Dev Cell* **47**, 38--52.e6 (2018).

47. Uyehara, C. M. & McKay, D. J. Direct and widespread role for the nuclear receptor EcR in

mediating the response to ecdysone in Drosophila. *Proc National Acad Sci* **116**, 9893--9902

(2019).

48. Syed, M. H., Mark, B. & Doe, C. Q. Steroid hormone induction of temporal gene expression

in Drosophila brain neuroblasts generates neuronal and glial diversity. *Elife* **6**, e26287 (2017).

49. Altmann, C. R. & Brivanlou, A. H. Neural patterning in the vertebrate embryo. *Int Rev Cytol*

203, 447–482 (2001).

50. Briscoe, J. & Small, S. Morphogen rules: design principles of gradient-mediated embryo

patterning. *Development* **142**, 3996–4009 (2015).

51. Gaunt, S. J. Hox cluster genes and collinearities throughout the tree of animal life. *Int J Dev*

Biol **62**, 673–683 (2018).

52. Prezioso, G., Giannini, C. & Chiarelli, F. Effect of Thyroid Hormones on Neurons and

Neurodevelopment. *Horm Res Paediat* **90**, 73–81 (2018).

53. Miranda, A. & Sousa, N. Maternal hormonal milieu influence on fetal brain development.

Brain Behav **8**, e00920 (2018).

54. Akin, O. & Zipursky, S. L. Activity regulates brain development in the fly. *Curr Opin Genet*

Dev **65**, 8–13 (2020).

55. Ting, C.-Y. *et al.* Photoreceptor-Derived Activin Promotes Dendritic Termination and

Restricts the Receptive Fields of First-Order Interneurons in Drosophila. *Neuron* **81**, 830--846

(2014).

56. Mizumoto, K. & Shen, K. Two Wnts Instruct Topographic Synaptic Innervation in C. elegans.

Cell Reports **5**, 389–396 (2013).

57. Umemori, H., Linhoff, M. W., Ornitz, D. M. & Sanes, J. R. FGF22 and Its Close Relatives Are

Presynaptic Organizing Molecules in the Mammalian Brain. *Cell* **118**, 257–270 (2004).

METHODS

Fly husbandry and stocks

Maintenance and rearing of fly lines, as well as staging of pupae was done as described in Tan *et al.*[32] Fly lines used in this work are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Data analyses of fly optic lobe RNA-seq

Measure of cell-type variability and temporal dynamicity for each gene

RNA-seq data of developing fly optic lobe were generated by Kurmangaliyev *et al.*[12], which contains 97 cell types covering 7 time points. For each gene, we assigned a cell-type variability score by their cell-type specific expression and a temporal dynamicity score by their expression changes over development. Cell-type variability score was calculated by the variance of gene expression at each time point when the gene is expressed, and then the maximum variance was normalized by the average expression across cell types that time point. Temporal dynamicity score was calculated by the sum of the absolute changes between consecutive time points for each cell type where the gene is expressed, and then the averaged value across cell types is normalized by the average of their peak expression. Both scores were centered their mean to 0 and transformed to natural log for visualization.

Wiring genes

Genes were designated to the category of 'wiring genes' if they satisfied the following criteria: 1) they were amongst the top 50% of the highest expressed cell-surface or secreted molecules in the developing optic lobe (from Kurmangaliyev *et al.*), 2) either themselves, or their paralogs (DIOPT v8.0 score $\geq$ 4) had published roles in establishing neuronal morphology or connectivity in *Drosophila*. Extended data Table 3 contains a list of wiring genes along with references to published studies describing their roles in wiring.

Gene Ontology and REACTOME analysis of dynamic TFs

Dynamic TFs were defined as ones with temporal dynamicity score > 0 (see Fig. 1a,

Extended data Table 2). Gene ontology analysis was performed using GOrilla (http://cbl-

gorilla.cs.technion.ac.il) using dynamic TFs ranked on the basis of temporal dynamicity scores.

All enriched categories are given in Extended data Table 4. For REACTOME pathway analysis,

dynamic TFs were entered into the 'Analyze Data' tool on reactome.org

(https://reactome.org/PathwayBrowser/#TOOL=AT). Reactome pathway analysis for *Drosophila*

melanogaster was then run with default parameters.

Bulk RNA-seq

Bulk RNA-Seq analysis of L1 neurons at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF was performed

as in Tan *et al.*[32] At least 2 replicates were generated for each timepoint. A minimum of 2000 cells

were sorted for each experiment. cDNA libraries were created using the SMART-Seq2 protocol[58],

which were then sequenced together using the HiSeq4000 platform (paired-end 50bp). Raw fastq

reads files were mapped to FlyBase reference genome (release 6.29) using STaR (2.6.0) and only

uniquely mapped reads were collected. Genes with counts per million (CPM) ≥ 4 in more than 2

samples were used for normalization with R package edgeR (3.26.8). Gene expression was

quantified using RPKM units (Reads Per Kilobase of exon per Million reads mapped), calculated

based on reads in the sum of exons using customized scripts. The correlation between biological

replicates were calculated using Spearman correlation for top 500 genes with the highest variation

across all samples. Coverage tracks were generated by bamCoverage(3.5.1).

Bulk ATAC-seq

At least 8000 L1 neurons were FACS purified at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF as

described in Tan *et al.*[32] Biological duplicates were generated for each timepoint. ATAC-Seq

libraries were generated as per the following protocol, which was modified from the one described

in Buenrostro *et al.*[59]: 1) FACS purified cells were collected in 300ul 1X PBS and spun at 800xg

for 8' at 4°C. 2) Cell pellet was then directly resuspended in 50μl of a tagmentation enzyme mix

(25μl Nextera DNA library prep kit – Tagment DNA Buffer, 19μl Nuclease-free water, 5μl 1%

IGEPAL CA630, 1μl Nextera DNA library prep kit - Tagment DNA Enzyme) and incubated at

37°C for 30' with constant agitation at 400rpm. 3) DNA was purified from the tagmentation mixes

using the Qiagen minElute Reaction Cleanup Kit as per the manufacturer's protocol. 4) A 10μl

qPCR reaction was setup to determine the optimum number of amplification 455 cycles (similar

to Buenrostro *et al.*). 5) A larger PCR reaction (40μl) was then setup and PCR products in the 200-

500bp range were gel purified. 6) Steps 4 and 5 were repeated, this time with barcoded primers

(see Buenrostro *et al.*) to allow multiplexing of all libraries onto a single lane of HiSeq4000

(paired-end 50bp).

Raw fastq reads files were mapped to FlyBase reference genome (release 6.29) using

Bowtie2 (2.2.9) and uniquely mapped genes were kept. All samples were pooled together prior to

peak calling. Read start positions were shifted +4 or -5bp and used for peak calling using MACS2

(2.1.1) with parameters "-q 0.01 --nomodel --shift -100 --extsize 200". There were 26,122 peaks

identified. Then bedtools multicov (2.27.1) was used to sum the total reads within each peak

separately for each sample. Peaks with CPM $\geq$ 4 were used for counts normalization with edgeR.

Correlations between biological replicates were calculated using Spearman correlation for the top

500 peak regions with the highest variation of peak levels across all samples. Distribution of the

top 5000 peaks across different genomic features at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF was

determined using the R package ChIPseeker (1.20.0). Each ATAC peak was associated to the

nearest gene based on proximity to the transcription start site using ChIPseeker. To compare

change of ATAC-seq peak accessibility over time and change in expression of their nearest gene,

$\log_2$(fold change ATAC-seq peak read coverage) was plotted against $\log_2$(fold change expression

of nearest gene) for dynamic ATAC-Seq peak (Extended data Fig. 2b). Bulk RNA-Seq data was

used for this, as this transcriptome dataset had been generated for the same time points as for

ATAC-Seq. This was done separately for peaks differentially accessible between 40hAPF and

60hAPF (Extended data Fig. 2b (left)) and between 60hAPF and 72hAPF (Extended data Fig. 2b

(right)). Differential peak analysis was performed using edgeR. Fold-change> 2 and adjusted p-

value < 0.05 were chosen as the cutoffs to define peaks with differential accessibility between time

points (dynamic peaks).

Binding sites for the EcR-usp complex (motif: Jaspar MA0534.1), Hr3 (motif: Fly Factor

Survey, Hr46_SANGER_5) and Nr5a1, mammalian homolog of Ftz-f1 (motif: Jaspar 1540.1)

across the genome were identified using the PWMscan (https://ccg.epfl.ch/pwmscan/). Cutoff of

p-value < 10^{-5} were used to identify binding sites[60]. Enrichment of binding sites within different

sets of ATAC-Seq peaks (Extended data Fig. 2d) was done using Homer (v4.7) mergePeaks utility.

p-value ≤ 0.01 was considered as significantly more overlap than expected by chance.

Calcium imaging

Calcium imaging experiments were performed using a 3i VIVO Multiphoton upright

microscope (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) with a water immersion objective (W Plan-

Apochromat 20x/1.0 DIC, Zeiss). L5 neurons expressing CsChrimson (using 64B07 Gal4) were

photo-stimulated with a 1040 nm laser (SpOne-8, Spectra-Physics) coupled to a 2-photon Phasor

(Intelligent Imaging Innovations) to generate a holographic pattern to restrict the stimulation area.

GCaMP6s responses were recorded using an imaging laser tuned to 940 nm (Chameleon

Discovery, Coherent).

Experimental crosses were set in vials with fly food supplemented with all-trans retinal

(0.5 mM) and kept in the dark at 25 ºC. The brains of ≤ 5-day old adult flies were dissected in a

saline solution (135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2.2H_2O$, 4 mM $MgCl_2.6H_2O$, 5 mM TES,

36 mM Sucrose, pH 7.15) and mounted dorsal side on a poly-L-lysine-coated coverslip. Typically,

the dissected brains were held for about 5 minutes before the start of the experiment to ensure a

stable basal calcium signal. The photo-stimulation hologram was restricted to a rectangular area

of 18 μm x 65 μm to roughly cover the middle third of the axon layer of L5 neurons in the medulla

layers 1-2. The experimental protocol consisted of three consecutive iterations of a 30 s resting

period and a 500 ms stimulation event. The stimulation laser power at the objective end was 335

mW, measured with a power meter (PM100D Thorlabs). GCaMP responses were recorded from a

single Z plane at 3.05 frames/s. This protocol was executed on both optic lobes when possible.

Image processing

The GCaMP image data were processed using custom macros in Fiji and analyzed using

custom code written in R. Briefly, a region of interest (ROI) was manually defined as the medulla

layers that include the sub-arbors of the neurons expressing GCaMP. The average pixel value

inside such ROI was measured across all time points for each sample. All fluorescence values were

reported relative to a fluorescence baseline (F_0) defined as the median pixel value of the

corresponding ROI during the entire imaging experiment. $\Delta F/F_0$ was calculated as $\Delta F/F_0 = (Ft -$

$F_0)/F_0$, where Ft is the mean fluorescence value of the ROI at a given time point. The relative

maximum $\Delta F/F0$ was defined in a 10 s time window immediately after stimulation offset from

which the recent baseline (mean $\Delta F/F_0$ of the 6.5 s preceding stimulation onset) was subtracted.

Those failed individual trials in which there were no detectable responses were discarded. The

three individual stimulation events from left and right hemispheres trials were averaged to generate

a single response trace per animal.

Multiplexed single cell transcriptomic analysis

For transcriptomic analysis of developing wildtype lamina neurons, w; UAS-H2A-GFP;

9B08-Gal4/Tm6B, tb females were crossed with males from different DGRP backgrounds

(wildtype, see Supplementary Table 1 for list of DGRPs used). F1 prepupae were staged as in

Kurmangaliyev et al.[12] Males were only included in the analysis if no significant differences in

gene expression were found with female pupae of the same genotype and developmental time

point. Pupae corresponding to different developmental stages (see Extended data Fig. 7a) were all

dissected, dissociated and processed at the same time. Each developmental time point was

represented by ≥ 2 DGRP heterozygotes, and only one animal/ DGRP heterozygote was dissected.

Tissue dissociation, FACS and preparation of single-cell libraries using 10X Genomics Chromium

(v3) were carried out similar to Kurmangaliyev et al., except that H2A-GFP expression was used

to enrich for lamina neurons. All libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq500 platform (single-end

75bp).

For transcriptomic analysis involving UAS EcR^{DN} (BDSC #6872), males carrying a single

DGRP autonomous chromosome – w; (UAS EcR^{DN} or UAS tdTom); DGRP/ Tm6b, tb were

crossed with w; UAS-H2A-GFP; 9B08-Gal4/Tm6B females. For experiments involving UAS EcR

RNAi (BDSC #9326) or UAS Hr3 RNAi (BDSC #27253), w; DGRP; (UAS wRNAi or UAS EcR

RNAi or UAS Hr3 RNAi)/ Tm6B, tb males were crossed with UAS Dcr2; UAS H2A-GFP; 9B08

Gal4/ Tm6B, tb females (see Extended data Fig. 7a and Supplementary Table 1). UAS tdTom

and UAS wRNAi were used as controls.

scRNA-seq data pre-processing

Raw fastq read files were processed using Cell Ranger (3.1.0) with default parameters.

Seurat V3 was used for all preliminary analyses. FlyBase reference genome (release 6.29) was

used for alignment and annotation. Profiled single cells were identified as coming from a particular

developmental time-point and genetic background based on the natural genomic variance within

the different parent DGRP lines. This was done using the pipeline described in Kurmangalyev *et*

al.[12], with the following modifications: the count of minor allele that equals to 2 among the

analyzed DGRP strains were used as genomic variants to assign the profiled single cells to different

DGRP parent lines. Only single cells with: 1) number of genes between 200 and 3000, 2) number

of mitochondrial transcripts < 20% of all transcripts, and 3) assignment to a unique DGRP parent

line; were used for all downstream analyses.

To identify different lamina neuron subtypes, all cells from a particular were integrated as

described in Seurat v3 workflow and then subjected to unsupervised clustering, thus disregarding

global temporal gene expression changes (similar to Kurmangaliyev *et al.*). Previously identified

lamina neuron subtype specific genes[32] were used to assign each cluster to a cell-type. All cell

cluster separations are visualized in tSNE plots. Cells not assigned to a lamina neuron-type cluster

were removed from subsequent analyses. Average expression for each gene for each cell-type at a

particular timepoint and genetic background was calculated using normalized expression values

prior to integration.

A global-scaling normalization used in Butler *et al.*[61] is used in all scRNA-seq analysis in

this paper. We normalize the gene expression measurement for each cell by the total expression

and multiply by scale factor 10000. All expression shown in figures are natural log transformed.

Comparison of scRNA-Seq with published dataset

For comparison of lamina neuron transcriptomes generated here with lamina neuron

transcriptomes generated in Kurmangaliyev *et al.*, 500 genes with the highest variance across all

cell types and all timepoints were used to calculate Spearman correlation.

Differential gene expression analysis

Wilcoxon rank-sum test (fold change > 2, adjusted p-value < 0.05) was used to identify

genes differentially expressed between timepoints, cell-types or as a consequence of EcR/ Hr3

perturbations. All fold change calculation used pseudo number 0.01.

Enrichment analysis amongst EcR and Hr3 targets

For each of the four categories shown in Fig. 4b, enrichment was calculated using Fisher's

exact test. Briefly, number of genes expressed in lamina neurons overlapping with genes in the

stated category vs other genes expressed in lamina neurons, was used to define a null hypothesis.

Then genes affected by EcR^{DN}, EcR RNAi or Hr3 RNAi were also divided into ones overlapping

with genes in the stated category, and ones that don't overlap. Number of genes in these two

categories, along with similar numbers from the null-hypothesis, were then used to create a 2X2

matrix, which was then subjected to Fisher's exact test.

Gene categories, Proton transport (proton transmembrane transport, GO:1902600) and

ATP synthesis (ATP metabolic process, GO:0046034) were initially identified from GO analysis

of targets of EcR^{DN} and EcR RNAi.

Gene group analysis for EcR and Hr3 perturbation effect

Prior to clustering, all average gene expression from scRNA-seq transcriptomic analysis

were normalized to maximum expression in each cell type across development. For each cell types,

the relative expression of each gene was used to perform k-means clustering to assign expressed

genes in different groups. The number of clusters for each cell type were determined by calculating

Within-Clsuter of Squared Errors (WSS) and finding where the difference of WSS in consecutive

cluster number first become less than 5. For Extended data Fig. 12, we presented the gene groups

with the most up-regulated or the most down-regulated or the unchanged gene expression after

expressing EcR^{DN} in each lamina neuron.

***Ex vivo* culture of dissected brains**

We used a protocol similar to the one described in Özel *et al.*[37] Briefly, brains were

dissected from 22hAPF pupae in pre-warmed Schneider's medium (ThermoFisher #2172004) with

either 1:1000 dilution of 1mg/ml 20-HydroxyEcdysone (dissolved in 100% Ethanol, Sigma

H5142) or equivalent volume of 100% ethanol. Brains were then incubated in ~ 200ul of medium

± 20-HydroxyEcdysone in 96 well plates for 26h at 25ºC in a humidified chamber. Thereafter,

brains were fixed, stained and imaged using the aforementioned protocols for

immunohistochemistry and microscopy (see Extended data Fig. 14a).

In the presence of 20-HydroxyEcdysone in the media, strong expression of EcR-B1 and

Hr3 is observed throughout the optic lobe, while no expression of Ftz-f1 is seen (Extended data

Fig. 14a). When 20-HydroxyEcdysone is omitted from the culture medium, no expression of Hr3

is seen (as expected), however weak induction of Ftz-f1 is observed. The transcriptional regulation

of ftz-f1 is not completely understood, and previous work has shown that ftz-f1 can be induced

even without Hr3 expression. Apart from Hr3, another target of EcR – Blimp-1 has also been

shown to regulate ftz-f1 expression by functioning as a repressor. Indeed, loss of Blimp-1 leads to

ftz-f1 expression[17]. In brains incubated without Ecdysone, the weak induction of ftz-f1 may be (in

part) due to lack of Blimp-1 expression.

Immunohistochemistry and microscopy

Immunohistochemistry and microscopy were performed as described in Xu *et al.*[62] with

the following modifications: 1) For experiments involving staining of presynaptic sites and L5

morphology, brains were fixed using glyoxal (3.12% glyoxal, 0.75% acetic acid and 20% ethanol,

pH adjusted to 5.0) for 30' at RT and then washed 3X with PBST. 2) All images were acquired

using an LSM880 confocal microscope.

Primary antibodies used in this study were: mAb24B10 (1:20, DSHB), rabbit anti-NetB

(1:500, gift from Akin Lab), rabbit anti-dsRed (1:400, Clontech 632496), chicken anti-GFP

(1:1000, Abcam ab13970), rat anti-Flag (1:200, Novus Biologicals, NBP1-06712), mouse anti-

EcR-B1 (1:20, DSHB AD4.4), mouse anti-EcR-A (1:10, DSHB 15G1a), rabbit anti-Hr3 (1:50, gift

from Thummel Lab), mouse anti-Svp (1:20, DSHB 5B11), rabbit anti-Erm (1:100, gift from Wang

Lab), rat anti-Bab2 (1:500, gift from Laski Lab), mouse anti-V5 (1:200, BioRad MCA1360),

guinea pig anti-Bsh (1:400, see Tan *et al.* 2015), guinea-pig anti-DIP-β (1:300, gift from Pecot

Lab). Secondary antibodies used in this study were: goat anti-mouse 488 (1:500, ThermoFisher A-

32723), goat anti-mouse 568 (1:500, ThermoFisher A-11031), goat anti-mouse 647 (1:500,

ThermoFisher A-21235), goat anti-chicken 488 (1:1000, ThermoFisher A-11039), goat anti-rabbit

568 (1:500, ThermoFisher A-11011), goat anti-guinea pig 647 (1:500, ThermoFisher A-21450),

goat anti-rabbit 647 (1:200, ThermoFisher A-32733), goat anti-rat 568 (1:500, ThermoFisher A-

11077), goat anti-guinea pig 568 (1:500, A-11075).

Image analysis

All images for figures were created using ImageJ (v2.1.0) or Imaris (v9.1.2). Details for

quantification of phenotypes are given below.

R8 targeting

Imaris (v9.1.2) was used to measure the distance of R8 terminals from the top of the

medulla (M0), as well as the distance of 6th medulla layer (M6) from the top of the medulla. The

latter was estimated using mAb24B10 staining, which labels R7 neurons that terminate in M6.

Values reported on the X-axis of Fig. 4d and Extended data Fig. 15b are (R8-M0)/ (M6-M0).

L5 morphological defects

Imaris was used to visualize individual neurons. Each neuron was then manually assigned

to one of the stated categories.

Quantification of BRP puncta

For lamina neuron presynaptic sites in the lamina: the distance between the proximal end

of the lamina and the distal end of the lamina was measured using Imaris individually for all lamina

cartridges. A line was drawn at the measured distance divided by 2. All BRP puncta more distal

of this line were counted manually. For R8 presynaptic sites: All Brp puncta were counted

manually.

Other statistics

One-sided Fisher's exact test was used to determine the enrichment of dynamic genes

(temporal dynamicity score > 0, calculated as in Fig. 1a, but specifically for L3) within common

targets of EcR and Erm as compared to targets of Erm alone. Targets of Erm were obtained from

Peng *et al.* and Santiago *et al.*[38,39].

For all box-plots, solid line depicts median, while the upper and lower bounds of the box

depict the third and first quantile of the data spread respectively.

Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test (Fig. 4d, Extended data Fig. 15b, c), two-tailed Student's

t-test (Fig. 2b-e, 2j, 4c, Extended data Fig. 6, 8c, 15a), two-tailed Fisher's exact test (Fig. 2g, h,

Extended data Fig. 5a), one-tailed Fisher's exact test (Fig. 3b, Extended data Fig. 2d) were

performed using the following basic R functions (R 3.6.1) respectively: ks.test, t.test, fisher.test.

Raw data for all analyses is available upon request.

Data and code availability

All raw sequencing data and codes will be provided upon request. They will be made

publicly available prior to publication.

Extended data Fig.1

Extended data Fig. 1. | Development change from EcR-B1 to EcR-A isoform. a, Staining using anti-EcR-B1 or anti-EcR-A antibodies at the indicated times in development. Scale bar, 50μm. Note: EcR-A positive cells at 36hAPF are glia. **b,** Comparison of replicates of bulk RNA-Seq of L1 neurons at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF. Values given are Spearman correlation values (see Methods). **c,** Coverage tracks from L1 bulk RNA-Seq in the EcR locus at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF. EcR-A, EcR-B1 and EcR-B2 transcripts are shown, and EcR-B1 specific exon is highlighted.

Extended data Fig.2

Extended data Fig. 2. | ATAC-Seq analysis of developing L1. a, Comparison of replicates of

bulk ATAC-Seq data of L1 neurons at 40hAPF, 60hAPF and 72hAPF. Values shown are

Spearman correlation values (see Methods). **b,** Comparison of change of ATAC-seq peak coverage

(for regions with dynamic coverage over time) and change in expression of nearest gene. $\log_2$(fold

change RPKM of nearest gene) vs $\log_2$(fold change ATAC-seq peak coverage) between 40hAPF

and 60hAPF, and 60hAPF and 72hAPF. r, Pearson's correlation coefficient. **c,** Distribution of the

top 5000 peaks at each time point between various genomic landmarks. **d,** p-values

(Hypergeometric test) for enrichment of binding motifs of EcR-usp complex, Hr3 and Nr5a1

(mammalian homolog for Ftz-f1) amongst the following sets of ATAC-Seq peaks: peaks going

down from 40hAPF to 60hAPF, peaks going up from 40hAPF to 60hAPF, peaks going down from

692   60hAPF to 72hAPF, peaks going up from 60hAPF to 72hAPF, and peaks invariant over time. Red:

693   occurrence of motif is higher than expected by chance, blue: occurrence of motif is lower than

694   expected by chance (see Methods).

Extended data Fig.3

Extended data Fig. 3. | Analysis of morphology with or without EcR^{DN} expression. a, Morphology of lamina neurons (L1-L5) in wildtype (WT) brains and upon pan-lamina expression (using 9B08 Gal4) of EcR^{DN}, EcR^{DN} + EcR-B1 cDNA or EcR RNAi. Arrowhead in inset points to M3 medulla layer. Note: loss of arborization in M3 with EcR^{DN} is likely due to loss of driver expression in L3 neurons (see Extended data Fig. 3b). **b,** Morphology of L3 neurons with or without EcR^{DN} expression using an L3-specific driver (9D03 Gal4). Note, in adults 9D03 Gal4 also labels some L2 neurons. This is not the case during development (see Extended data Fig. 16b, c) **a, b,** Arrowhead points to M3 layer in the medulla. Scale bar, 50μm. **c,** Effect of EcR^{DN} expression on morphology of T4/T5 neurons. Four layers in the lobula plate, a, b, c and d, are marked with red, yellow, blue and pink arrowheads, respectively. Cartoons of one T4 (purple

705 neuron, top) and one T5 neuron (purple neuron, bottom) are shown to highlight wildtype

706 morphology. Scale bar, 20μm.

Extended data Fig.4

Extended data Fig. 4. | DIP-β expression with or without EcR^{DN}. a, DIP-β mRNA expression

in L1-L5 neurons across development with or without pan-lamina expression of EcR^{DN} (from

scRNA-Seq based transcriptomic analyses, see Fig. 3, Extended data Fig. 7, 8). * significant

difference between control and EcR^{DN} (change in expression > 2fold, p-value < 0.05). **b,** Staining

using anti-DIP-β antibody at the indicated time points in development with or without pan lamina

expression of EcR^{DN}. Inset shows the lamina neuropil. Arrowhead points to proximal lamina

neuropil, positive for DIP-β staining at 72hAPF. Note that staining is largely absent from the

lamina neuropil (yellow arrowheads). The level of DIP-β RNA is reduced in EcR^{DN} at 48 but rises

to near normal levels again at 72 hrs. We assume that the decrease in RNA leads to a lag in the

accumulation of DIP-β protein. **c,** EcR-usp complex binding motif within the first intron of DIP-

β (FDR $< 10^{-7}$, see Methods).

Extended data Fig.5

Extended data Fig. 5. | Cell and cell-type autonomous analysis of EcR function. a, Different

L5 arborization defects and their distributions under the given genotypes (see Fig. 2g). All

transgenes are expressed using an L5-specific driver (pan-lamina driver is used for data in Fig.

2g). n = number of neurons (all conditions, animals ≥ 4). **, p-value < 0.001. **b,** schematic showing

the EcR^{FlpStop} allele. FlpStop cassette is inserted in the first common intron of EcR (grey bar shows

insertion site, Mi{MIC}EcRMI05320). Cells expressing Gal4, within which a stochastic, heat-

shock FLP recombinase-mediated flipping of the cassette occurs, express tdTom.

Extended data Fig.6

Extended data Fig. 6. | Optogenetics-based assay for communication between L5 and its

postsynaptic partners. a, Ca^{2+} response from Tm3 (measured using GCaMP6s) upon optogenetic

stimulation of L5 with (blue line) or without (red line) EcR^{DN} expression in L5. GCaMP6s

responses were measured in 3 regions of interest (ROI). ROI 1, ROI 2 and ROI 3 span medulla

layers M1, M5 and M10 respectively. (WT, 19 animals; EcR^{DN}, 17 animals.) **b,** Ca^{2+} response

from Dm13 and Dm18 (common LexA driver used yields expression in both Dm13 and Dm18,

see Extended data Table 1) upon optogenetic stimulation of L5 with (blue line) or without (red

line) EcR^{DN} expression in L5. ROI 1 spans M1 and measures response from Dm18. ROI 2 spans

M5 and measures response from Dm13. (WT, 19 animals; EcR^{DN}, 17 animals.) Note: weak

response from Dm18 upon stimulation of L5 irrespective of condition. **a, b,** Amplitude of relative

peak response for each condition is quantified. There is no significant difference between WT and

EcR^{DN} for any comparison shown here. For Dm13 response, see Fig. 2j.

Extended data Fig.7

Extended data Fig. 7. | Approach for identification of EcR and Hr3 targets using scRNA-

Seq. a, Scheme for scRNA-Seq based transcriptomic analysis of WT and mutant lamina neurons

(see Kurmangaliyev *et al.*[12] and methods). GFP vs forward scatter 2-D plot showing criteria used

to enrich for lamina neurons by FACS is shown on the right. 'Cells' highlighted in purple were

excluded despite being GFP+ due to their small size. **b,** tSNE plots showing WT L1-L5 clusters at

24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84 and 96 hAPF (Adult). **c,** Log(expression) of previously identified lamina

neuron type-specific genes in L1-L5 clusters identified at each time point over development (see

Tan *et al.*[32]). **d,** Comparison of lamina neuron transcriptomes generated by scRNA-Seq in this

study and by scRNA-Seq in Kurmangaliyev *et al.* Values given are Spearman correlation values.

Extended data Fig.8

Extended data Fig. 8. | scRNA-Seq-based analysis of WT and EcR^{DN} expressing lamina neurons. a, tSNE plots showing WT and EcR^{DN}-expressing L1-L5 clusters at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hAPF (Adult). **b**, Number of genes up or downregulated in EcR^{DN} in L1-L5 neurons. **c,** Expression of EcR in EcR^{DN}-expressing lamina neurons at 48hAPF normalized to mean expression of EcR in wildtype cells at 48hAPF (done separately for each lamina neuron-type). Red dots, mean of data spread. Increase in EcR expression in EcR^{DN}-expressing cells over wildtype is expected to be due to the expression of the EcR^{DN} transgene. Note the poor induction of EcR^{DN} in L2 neurons. p-value from student's t-test are stated in the figure for comparison between L2 and other lamina neuron-types. The difference between EcR^{DN} expression in L2 and L3 neurons is not significant likely due to the low cell numbers of EcR^{DN}-expressing L3 neurons. **d,** Volcano plots showing significant gene expression changes in L1-L5 neurons throughout development. Red dots: fold change > 2 and p-value < 0.05. **e,** Log(expression) of lamina neuron-type specific TFs with (blue) or without (red) EcR^{DN}. Note no change in expression of TFs $\pm$ EcR^{DN}. **f,** Log(expression) of TFs in the Ecdysone-pathway in WT (red lines) and EcR^{DN}-expressing (blue lines) L1-L5 neurons. *, p-value < 0.05, fold change > 2.

Extended data Fig.9

Extended data Fig. 9. | scRNA-Seq-based analysis of w RNAi and EcR RNAi expressing

lamina neurons. a, tSNE plots showing w RNAi and EcR RNAi-expressing L1-L5 clusters at 24,

48, 72 and 96 hAPF (Adult). **b**, Number of genes up or downregulated in EcR RNAi in L1-L5

neurons. **c,** Image showing optic lobe (top) stained using an antibody targeting all EcR isoforms

(grey) at 24hAPF. Box with green dotted outline marks the region containing lamina neuron cell-

bodies. This region is magnified in bottom two panels. Lamina neurons are labeled in magenta.

Scale bar, 50μm. **d,** Volcano plots showing significant gene expression changes in L1-L5 neurons

throughout development. Red dots: fold change > 2 and p-value < 0.05. **e,** Log(expression) of

lamina neuron-type specific TFs with (blue) or without (red) EcR RNAi. Note no change in

expression of TFs ± EcR RNAi. **f,** Log(expression) of TFs in the Ecdysone-pathway in WT (red

lines) and EcR RNAi-expressing (blue lines) L1-L5 neurons. *, p-value < 0.05, fold change > 2.

Extended data Fig.10

Extended data Fig. 10. | scRNA-Seq-based analysis of w RNAi and Hr3 RNAi expressing

lamina neurons. a, tSNE plots showing w RNAi and Hr3 RNAi-expressing L1-L5 clusters at 24,

48, 72 and 96 hAPF (Adult). **b**, Number of genes up or downregulated in Hr3 RNAi in L1-L5

neurons. **c,** Image showing optic lobe (top) stained using an antibody targeting Hr3 (grey) at

24hAPF. Box with green dotted outline marks the region containing lamina neuron cell-bodies.

This region is magnified in bottom two panels. Lamina neurons are labeled in magenta. Scale bar,

50μm. **d,** Volcano plots showing significant gene expression changes in L1-L5 neurons throughout

development. Red dots: fold change > 2 and p-value < 0.05. **e,** Log(expression) of lamina neuron-

type specific TFs with (blue) or without (red) Hr3 RNAi. Note no change in expression of TFs ±

Hr3 RNAi. **f,** Log(expression) of TFs in the Ecdysone-pathway in WT (red lines) and Hr3 RNAi-

expressing (blue lines) L1-L5 neurons. *, p-value < 0.05, fold change > 2.

Extended data Fig.11

Extended data Fig. 11. | Comparison of genes affected by EcR^{DN}, EcR RNAi and Hr3 RNAi.

a, Top, Venn diagram showing overlap between genes downregulated by EcR^{DN} and EcR RNAi across all time points and lamina neuron-types. Below, log$_2$(normalized expression in w RNAi/EcR RNAi) vs log$_2$(normalized expression in WT/EcR^{DN}) for L1-L5 neurons throughout development. Correlation coefficient, R, is given for comparisons where p < 0.05. **b,** Top, Venn diagram showing overlap between genes downregulated by EcR^{DN} and Hr3 RNAi across all time points and lamina neuron-types. Below, log$_2$(normalized expression in w RNAi/Hr3 RNAi) vs log$_2$(normalized expression in WT/EcR^{DN}) for L1-L5 neurons throughout development. Correlation coefficient, R, is given for comparisons where p < 0.05. **c,** Cell-type variability vs Temporal dynamicity plot for EcR RNAi-affected genes (fold change > 2, p-value < 0.05). Cell-type Specific (blue) and Common (red) targets are shown. Darker colors: genes reduced in EcR RNAi, lighter colors: genes increased in EcR RNAi. **d,** Cell-type variability vs Temporal dynamicity plot for Hr3 RNAi-affected genes (fold change > 2, p-value < 0.05). Cell-type Specific (blue) and Common (red) targets are shown. Darker colors: genes reduced in Hr3 RNAi, lighter colors: genes increased in Hr3 RNAi. **e,** Maximum change in expression of Ecdysone-pathway TFs in L1-L5 neurons with EcR^{DN}, EcR RNAi and Hr3 RNAi. Note that EcR RNAi often has weaker effect on TF expression as compared to EcR^{DN}.

Extended data Fig.12

 Extended data Fig. 12. | Clusters of genes most affected by EcR^{DN}, EcR RNAi and Hr3 RNAi.

All genes expressed in L1-L5 neurons (done separately for each cell-type) were clustered into

groups (using k-means clustering) based on their expression dynamics (see Methods). Clusters that

show maximum upregulation or downregulation with EcR^{DN} are shown in **a − c** (clusters are

indicated in numbers above each graph). Also shown are clusters unchanged by EcR^{DN}. For each

panel: left, light grey lines, relative expression of all genes in the cluster; black line, mean of

relative expression of all genes in the cluster. Right, red line, mean relative expression in control;

blue line, mean relative expression with perturbation. Shades are SEM. d, examples of dynamic

wiring genes that are not affected by EcR^{DN}. **d,** Plots showing maximum change in expression

[log$_2$(WT/EcR^{DN})] caused by EcR^{DN} vs the temporal dynamicity of the gene (calculated separately

for each cell-type). Shown in red are wiring genes. Colored region on the plot represents genes not

affected by EcR^{DN}. Note many genes including wiring genes (especially in L1, L3 and L4) with

high temporal dynamicity scores that are not affected by EcR^{DN}.

Extended data Fig.13

a

Gene families regulated by EcR pathway (48hAPF)

Extended data Fig. 13. | Families of genes affected by EcR^{DN} and Hr3 RNAi. a, Relative WT

expression (left), change in expression with EcR^{DN} (center), and change in expression with Hr3

RNAi (right) shown as heat maps for all genes expressed in L3-L5 neurons belonging to the

specified gene categories at 48hAPF (also see Extended data Fig. 13). Examples of genes

belonging to the Dpr family, Side-Beat family and cell-type specific transcription factors are

shown separately. **b,** Expression of some genes involved in ATP synthesis and vacuolar ATPase

biology are shown with and without EcR^{DN} in L1-L5 neurons across development. *, fold change

between WT and EcR^{DN} > 2, p-value < 0.05. Note: expression in adults is not significantly affected

by EcR^{DN}.

Extended data Fig.14

Extended data Fig. 14. | *ex vivo* **culture of pupal brain with or without Ecdysone. a,** Top,

schematic of experimental setup (see Methods). Briefly, brains are dissected at 22hAPF then

incubated for 26h in media ± 20E (20 HydroxyEcdysone, active form of Ecdysone). Bottom, optic

lobes stained for EcR-B1, Hr3 and Ftz-f1 cultured *ex vivo* ± 20E. Scale bar, 50µm. **b,** Left, Staining

for CARPB and dpr6 reporters (MiMIC lines, see Methods) in lamina neuron cell-bodies ± 20E.

Right, CARPB and dpr6 expression with (blue) or without (red) EcR^{DN}. *, fold change between

WT and EcR^{DN} > 2, p-value < 0.05. Note: dpr6 expression is unchanged in L2 with pan-lamina

expression of EcR^{DN}, however staining in brains cultured *ex vivo* without 20E in the medium shows

reduced protein expression in L2 (bab2 positive cell-bodies). This is consistent with weak activity

of the pan-lamina driver (9B08 Gal4) in L2 at 48hAPF leading to ineffective inhibition of the

Ecdysone-pathway (see Extended data Fig. 8c).

Extended data Fig.15

Extended data Fig. 15. | Genes expressed in L3 required for R8 wiring. a, Total number of presynaptic sites (Brp puncta) in R8 neurons with WT, NetB overexpressing, or EcR^DN and NetB-expressing L3 neurons. NetB overexpression is unable to rescue the reduction in R8 presynaptic sites seen with expression of EcR^DN in L3 neurons (see Fig. 4c). p-value (Student's t-test) is given. **b, c,** Distributions of R8 axon terminal depth in w RNAi (red) or with expression of other RNAi

838 (as shown, blue) in L3 neurons. All conditions, number of animals ≥ 3. p-values (Kolmogorov-

839 Smirnov test) are given. ns = Not significant. **b,** RNAi against TFs in the Ecdysone-pathway. **c,**

840 Results from RNAi screen showing genes that did not significantly affect R8 axon depth. Numbers

841 of neurons/ condition are given.

Extended data Fig.16

Extended data Fig. 16. | Netrin expression in L3 requires EcR activity. a, Expression of NetA

and NetB in L3 with (blue) or without (red) EcR^{DN} expression. *, fold change between WT and

EcR^{DN} > 2, p-value < 0.05. **b,** Staining using anti-NetB antibody (magenta) at the indicated times

in development. Marker for M6 medulla layer (24B10, grey) and L3 neurons labeled with GFP

(green) are shown. **c,** Staining using anti-NetB antibody (magenta) ± EcR^{DN} expression only in

L3. L3 neurons labeled with GFP (green) are shown. **b, c,** Scale bar, 50μm. Yellow arrowhead,

M3 medulla layer.

Additional References

58. Picelli, S. *et al.* Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. *Nat Protoc* **9**, 171–

181 (2014).

59. Buenrostro, J. D., Giresi, P. G., Zaba, L. C., Chang, H. Y. & Greenleaf, W. J. Transposition

of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding

proteins and nucleosome position. *Nat Methods* **10**, 1213--1218 (2013).

60. Ambrosini, G., Groux, R. & Bucher, P. PWMScan: a fast tool for scanning entire genomes

with a position-specific weight matrix. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 2483–2484 (2018).

61. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell

transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**,

411–420 (2018).

62. Xu, S. *et al.* Interactions between the Ig-Superfamily Proteins DIP-α and Dpr6/10 Regulate

Assembly of Neural Circuits. *Neuron* **100**, 1369--1384.e6 (2018).

Extended data Tables

Extended data Table 1. Fly strains used in this study

Extended data Table 2. Temporal dynamicity and cell-type variability scores

Extended data Table 3. Wiring genes

Extended data Table 4. Gene ontology and Reactome analyses

Extended data Table 5. L1 bulk ATAC-Seq

Extended data Table 6. scRNA-Seq data for wildtype Lamina neurons

Extended data Table 7. scRNA-Seq data for wildtype vs EcR^{DN}-expressing Lamina neurons

Extended data Table 8. scRNA-Seq data for wildtype vs EcR RNAi-expressing Lamina neurons

Extended data Table 9. scRNA-Seq data for wildtype vs Hr3 RNAi-expressing Lamina neurons

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Pecot (Harvard), Dr. Yamanaka (UC Riverside), Dr. Black (UCLA), Dr. De

Robertis (UCLA), Dr. Riddiford (Univ. of Washington) and Dr. Truman (Janelia Research

Campus) for helpful discussions. We thank Dr. Schuldiner (Weizmann Institute of Science) and

members of the Zipursky lab for feedback on the manuscript, and Dr. Diaz de la Loza for help

with figure illustrations. We would like to specifically acknowledge Juyoun Yoo's (Zipursky Lab)

and Rachel Hodge's (Jones Lab, UCLA) help with ATAC-Seq library prep and immunostaining.

We also thank the BSCRC Sequencing Core (UCLA) and the TCGB core (UCLA) for help with

library preparation and sequencing; the BSCRC FACS core (UCLA) and the Witte lab (UCLA)

for assistance with FACS purification of lamina neurons; and the IDRE Statistics Consulting

(UCLA) and Dr. Balliu (UCLA) for assistance with the statistical analysis of data. Reagents

provided by Dr. Akin (UCLA), Dr. Laski (UCLA), Dr. Wang (Duke-NUS), Dr. Pecot (Harvard),

Dr. Thummel (Univ. of Utah) and Dr. Bashaw (Univ. of Penn.), and fly lines from the Bloomington

Drosophila Stock Center were critical for this work. This work was supported by NIH T32-

NS048004 Neurobehavioral Genetics Training Grant (S.J.), Helen Hay Whitney Foundation (S.J.)

and Whitcome Fellowship (Y.L.). S.L.Z is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Author contributions

S.J., Y.L., Y.Z.K., J.V-A and S.L.Z. designed experiments. S.J., Y.L., P.M., S.A.L, J.V-A and B.P.

acquired data. S.J., Y.L., J.V-A and Y.K. analyzed the data. S.J., Y.L. and S.L.Z. wrote the

manuscript with input from all co-authors.

Competing interest declaration

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information is available for this paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to: Dr. S. Lawrence Zipursky - lzipursky@mednet.ucla.edu.