Stabilization of p53 by microRNAs in HPV-positive cervical cancer cells

Gustavo Martínez-Noël[1,3], Patricia Szajner[1,3], Jennifer A. Smith[1,2], Kathleen Boyland[1], Rebecca E. Kramer[1], and Peter M. Howley[1]*

[1]Department of Immunology, Harvard Medical School, 77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston MA 02115

[2]ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility, Harvard Medical School, 250 Longwood Avenue, Boston MA 02115

[3]These authors contributed equally: Gustavo Martínez-Noël, Patricia Szajner

Abstract word count: 226

Text word count: 10,143

*Corresponding author, Phone: 617-432-2889; Fax 617-432-2882

Email: Peter_howley@hms.harvard.edu

Running Title: HPV p53 miRNA Stabilization Screen

Abstract

Etiologically 5% of cancers worldwide are caused by the high-risk human papillomaviruses (hrHPVs). These viruses encode two oncoproteins (E6 and E7) whose expression is required for cancer initiation and maintenance. Among the cellular targets of these viral oncoproteins are the p53 and the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor proteins. Inhibition of E6-mediated ubiquitylation of p53 through the E6AP ubiquitin ligase results in the stabilization of p53, leading to cellular apoptosis. We utilized a live cell high-throughput screen to assess the ability of 885 microRNAs (miRNAs) to stabilize p53 in human papillomavirus (HPV)-positive cervical cancer cells expressing a p53-fluorescent protein as an *in vivo* reporter of p53 stability. The 32 miRNAs whose expression resulted in the greatest p53 stabilization were further assessed in validation experiments using a second cell-based p53 stability reporter system as well as in HeLa cells to examine their effects on endogenous p53 protein levels. The positive miRNAs identified included 375-3p that has previously been reported as stabilizing p53 in HeLa cells, providing validation of the screen. Additional miRNAs that stabilized p53 led to decreases in E6AP protein levels, while others, including members of the 302/519 family of miRNAs, targeted HPV oncoprotein expression. We further examined a subset of these miRNAs for their abilities to induce apoptosis and determined whether the apoptosis was p53-mediated. The miRNAs described here have potential as therapeutics for treating HPV-positive cancers.

Author summary

Human papillomaviruses cause approximately 5% of cancers worldwide and encode genes that contribute to both the initiation and maintenance of these cancers. The viral gene E6 is expressed in all HPV-positive cancers and functions by targeting the degradation of the p53 protein through its engagement of the cellular ubiquitin ligase E6AP. Inhibiting the degradation of p53 results in apoptosis in HPV-positive cancer cells. We have developed a high-throughput live cell assay to identify molecules that stabilize p53 in HPV-positive cells and we present the results of a screen we have carried out examining miRNAs for their abilities to stabilize p53 and induce apoptosis in HPV-positive cervical cancer cells. These miRNAs have the potential to be used in the treatment of HPV-positive cancers.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer deaths among women worldwide, with approximately 500,000 new cases diagnosed and 275,000 deaths each year. Virtually all cases of cervical cancer are attributable to infection by HPVs. There are over 200 different HPV types and a subset of these are linked to anogenital tract infections, 14 of which are referred to as hrHPV because they are associated with lesions that can progress to cancer [1]. These same hrHPVs are also associated with other genital tract cancers (penile, vaginal, vulvar, and anal), as well as an increasing number of oropharyngeal cancers. Indeed, approximately 5% of cancers worldwide are caused by HPV [2]. Despite the success of the virus like particle (VLP)-based vaccine that will prevent millions of new HPV-associated cancers, there is the need for effective therapies to treat cancers that will develop in individuals who have not been vaccinated and those already infected

with hrHPVs. In this regard, hrHPVs provide a number of potential viral targets for specific

HPV antiviral therapies, including the viral encoded oncoproteins E6 and E7, the viral E1

helicase, and the viral E2 regulatory protein.

The hrHPVs associated with cervical cancer encode two oncoproteins, E6 and E7, that are

invariably expressed in HPV-positive cancers and target the important cellular growth

regulatory proteins p53 and pRb, respectively [1]. These cancers are dependent upon the

continued expression of E6 and E7 and silencing of E6 and E7 expression results in

apoptosis and senescence [3, 4]. The E6 proteins of the hrHPV types promote the ubiquitin-

dependent degradation of the p53 protein [5] through its binding interaction with the E3

ubiquitin ligase E6AP (also known as UBE3A), which ubiquitylates p53 [6-8]. In promoting

the ubiquitin dependent proteolysis of p53, E6 counters E7-mediated replication stress

signals that activate and stabilize p53 [9]. Thus, inhibition of the E6/E6AP-mediated

ubiquitylation of p53 is proapoptotic and provides a potential validated target for HPV-

positive cancers. Direct targeting of E6 induces apoptosis in HPV-positive cancer cells [10].

Although the hrHPV E6 proteins have other cellular activities and protein targets that have

been described in the literature, we have focused on the E6/E6AP pathway as a potential

therapeutic target for HPV-positive cancers, as well as precancerous lesions.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are small non-coding RNAs (approximately 22 nucleotides in

length) that significantly impact and regulate many essential biological pathways. miRNAs

negatively regulate gene expression either by translational repression of the target mRNA

or cleavage of target mRNAs. Many miRNAs have been shown to play roles in cancer,

sometimes as oncogenes and other times as tumor suppressors, making them suitable as

therapeutic targets. miRNAs themselves can be used as cancer therapeutics, as their ectopic

expression may affect one or more pathways essential for the replication and survival of

specific cancer cells. There have been a number of studies focused on HPV and miRNAs in

recent years. These studies have examined whether HPVs, like many other viruses, encode

viral miRNAs [11] and whether the HPV oncoproteins regulate the expression of cellular

miRNAs [12]. Other studies have proposed miRNAs as potential biomarkers of hrHPV

infections [13]. There have also been some studies that have examined the effect of miRNA

expression in various cancers including cervical cancers (For review see [14]). It was with

this mindset, that we conducted a screen to identify miRNAs whose expression in HPV-

positive cancer cells would stabilize p53.

Here we describe a cell-based platform to assay p53 stability in hrHPV E6-expressing HeLa

cells and present our results from a high-throughput screen that identified microRNAs

whose expression increased p53 protein levels and caused apoptosis. To our knowledge

this is the first study to systematically exam miRNAs for their abilities to stabilize p53 in

hrHPV-positive cancer cells. As result of this screen, we have identified a number of

miRNAs that when ectopically expressed in these cells lead to increased levels of p53. We

also provide insights into the mechanisms by which some of these miRNAs function in

stabilizing p53 and apoptosis induction.

Results

A high-throughput screen identified miRNAs whose

overexpression stabilizes p53 in HeLa cells.

To identify miRNAs whose overexpression stabilizes p53 and induces apoptosis in hrHPV-

positive cancer cells, we performed a high-throughput screen in HPV18-positive HeLa cells

harboring a reporter for p53 protein stability. The reporter expresses a bicistronic mRNA

that encodes an EGFP-p53 fusion protein and the red fluorescent protein DsRed as

reference (Fig 1A). In this screen, we used the p53 gene encoding the R273C mutated

protein, which is targeted by E6/E6AP for ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis [15]. This

mutant acts as a dominant negative interfering with the transcriptional activities of the

endogenous wild-type (wt) p53, thereby preventing p53 transcriptionally-driven apoptosis

triggered by the eventual stabilization of the endogenous p53 during the course of the

assay. The stability of the EGFP-p53(R273C) fusion protein is controlled by the less stable

protein, in this case p53, with a half-life less than 30 minutes in HeLa cells due to E6-

mediated proteolysis [5, 16]. To detect changes in p53 stability, we monitored the

EGFP/DsRed fluorescence ratio by laser scanning cytometry. The results of this screen,

which assayed 885 miRNAs, are shown in S1 Table. The top hit (375-3p) was previously

reported to stabilize p53 in HPV-positive cells [17] and thus provided validation for the

screen. Of note, since all the miRNAs used in this study are human, we omitted the use of

the prefix hsa-miR in the miRNAs names. We selected for further testing the miRNAs that

produced the next 32 highest EGFP/DsRed fluorescence ratios (Table 1). Sequence analysis

of the selected miRNAs showed a significant enrichment of miRNAs sharing a common seed

sequence (5'-AAGUGC-3') that defines the 302-3p/372-3p/373-3p/519-3p/520-3p family

of miRNAs (302/519 miRNA family) (S1 Fig). Three additional miRNAs from this family

(302d-3p, 520a-3p, and 520e-3p) that had EGFP/DsRed fluorescence ratios close to the

selected 32 miRNAs were also included for further evaluation.

     Table 1. miRNAs selected from primary screen

Rank	miRNA	Mature_Sequence	EGFP / DsRed (Mean, n = 3)	EGFP / DsRed (SD, n =3)
1	hsa-miR-375-3p	UUUGUUCGUUCGGCUCGCGUGA	0.12298	0.01761
2	hsa-miR-219a-5p	UGAUUGUCCAAACGCAAUUCU	0.07703	0.00559
3	hsa-miR-511-5p	GUGUCUUUUGCUCUGCAGUCA	0.07371	0.00962
4	hsa-miR-519c-3p	AAAGUGCAUCUUUUUAGAGGAU	0.0718	0.0169
5	hsa-miR-943	CUGACUGUUGCCGUCCUCCAG	0.06086	0.02073
6	hsa-miR-200c-5p	CGUCUUACCCAGCAGUGUUUGG	0.05781	0.0079
7	hsa-miR-944	AAAUUAUUGUACAUCGGAUGAG	0.05766	0.03304
8	hsa-miR-106a-3p	CUGCAAUGUAAGCACUUCUUAC	0.0521	0.00779
9	hsa-miR-374b-5p	AUAUAAUACAACCUGCUAAGUG	0.05065	0.00389
10	hsa-miR-148b-5p	AAGUUCUGUUAUACACUCAGGC	0.05013	0.00438
11	hsa-miR-519d-3p	CAAAGUGCCUCCCUUUAGAGUG	0.04929	0.01507
12	hsa-miR-631	AGACCUGGCCCAGACCUCAGC	0.04839	0.01369
13	hsa-miR-592	UUGUGUCAAUAUGCGAUGAUGU	0.0469	0.0122
14	hsa-miR-519b-3p	AAAGUGCAUCCUUUUAGAGGUU	0.04442	0.01903
15	hsa-miR-93-3p	ACUGCUGAGCUAGCACUUCCCG	0.04441	0.00884
16	hsa-miR-340-5p	UUAUAAAGCAAUGAGACUGAUU	0.04336	0.00383
17	hsa-miR-508-3p	UGAUUGUAGCCUUUUGGAGUAGA	0.04083	0.00853
18	hsa-miR-374a-3p	UUAUAAUACAACCUGAUAAGUG	0.03978	0.00887
19	hsa-miR-520d-3p	AAAGUGCUUCUCUUUGGUGGGU	0.0379	0.01428
20	hsa-miR-520c-3p	AAAGUGCUUCCUUUUAGAGGGU	0.0329	0.01176
21	hsa-miR-520b-3p	AAAGUGCUUCCUUUUAGAGGG	0.03226	0.01171
22	hsa-miR-153-3p	UUGCAUAGUCACAAAAGUGAUC	0.03096	0.00799
23	hsa-miR-17-5p	CAAAGUGCUUACAGUGCAGGUAG	0.02945	0.01485
24	hsa-miR-519a-3p	AAAGUGCAUCCUUUUAGAGUGU	0.02878	0.00725
25	hsa-miR-1287-5p	UGCUGGAUCAGUGGUUCGAGUC	0.02854	0.00375
26	hsa-miR-373-3p	GAAGUGCUUCGAUUUUGGGGUGU	0.028	0.00787
27	hsa-miR-302a-3p	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUUGGUGA	0.02791	0.00701
28	hsa-miR-302b-3p	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUUAGUAG	0.02749	0.01175
29	hsa-miR-509-3p	UGAUUGGUACGUCUGUGGGUAG	0.02568	0.00559
30	hsa-miR-210-3p	CUGUGCGUGUGACAGCGGCUGA	0.0256	0.00996
31	hsa-miR-302c-3p	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUCAGUGG	0.02376	0.00492
32	hsa-let-7a-2-3p	CUGUACAGCCUCCUAGCUUUCC	0.02275	0.01039
33	hsa-miR-224-5p	CAAGUCACUAGUGGUUCCGUU	0.0223	0.00997
35	hsa-miR-302d-3p	UAAGUGCUUCCAUGUUUGAGUGU	0.02153	0.00361
42	hsa-miR-520e-3p	AAAGUGCUUCCUUUUUGAGGG	0.01761	0.00714
44	hsa-miR-520a-3p	AAAGUGCUUCCCUUUGGACUGU	0.01673	0.0059

To confirm the results obtained in the screen, we tested the selected miRNAs in HeLa cells

containing a different bicistronic reporter vector (Fig 1B) in which p53(R273C) is fused to

mRuby, a bright monomeric red fluorescent protein, and the reference monomeric green

fluorescent protein SGFP2 is fused to H2B [18]. In this experiment, we determined the

percentage of H2B-SGFP2 expressing cells that also expressed mRuby-p53 (R273C) using

flow cytometry. We used two randomly selected miRNAs (498-5p and 665), which were

negative in the initial screen, and the miRNA Ctrl. 1 as negative controls, along with 375-3p

as a positive control. As shown in S2 Fig, 27 of the 32 originally selected miRNAs were

confirmed to also stabilize mRuby-p53 (R273C) in this reporter HeLa cell line.

Validation of candidate miRNAs in HeLa cells

The 27 confirmed miRNAs were next tested for their ability to stabilize endogenous p53 in

HeLa cells as determined by western blotting (Figs 2 and S3). While transfection of most of

the miRNAs resulted in some degree of p53 stabilization compared to the mock and

negative controls, four miRNAs (219-5p, 106a-3p, 592, and 508-3p) had little or no effect

on endogenous p53 levels. The other miRNAs ranged from those that produced a mild to

moderate increase in p53 levels (511-5p, 519d-3p, 631, 374a-3p, 153-3p, 17-5p, 373-3p,

210-3p, and 302c-3p) to those that caused stronger p53 stabilization (519c-3p, 943, 374b-

5p, 148b-5p, 519b-3p, 93-3p, 520d-3p, 520c-3p, 520b-3p, 519a-3p, 302a-3p, 302b-3p, 509-

3p, and 1287-5p). We also examined the effects of the miRNAs on E6AP and HPV18 E6

protein levels. Although most miRNAs had little or no effect on E6AP levels, miRNAs 148b-

5p, 374a-3p, and 374b-5p decreased the levels of E6AP to values similar to those observed

in cells transfected with the positive control 375-3p, which has been previously shown to

target the E6AP mRNA [17]. Transfection of a few miRNAs, most notably 93-3p and 1287-

5p, resulted in elevated levels of E6AP (Figs 2 and S3). In contrast, transfection of most of

the tested miRNAs led to a reduction in E6 protein levels (Figs 2 and S3). While there was

no correlation between the protein levels of E6 and E6AP or between E6AP and p53, there

was a significant negative correlation between the levels of E6 and p53, suggesting that a

decrease in E6 expression plays a significant role in the stabilization of p53 by several of

these miRNAs in HeLa cells (S4 Fig). A ~50% reduction in E6 protein levels appears

necessary for p53 stabilization in these cells. Based on these results, we selected miRNAs

148b, 374a, 374b, 1287, and five members of the 302/519 family for further analysis.

166   Expression of selected miRNAs increase levels of p53 in

167   different cell lines.

To study the effect of this set of miRNAs on the stability of p53 in other hrHPV-positive

cancer cell lines, we transfected SiHa (HPV16), ME-180 (HPV68), and MS751 (HPV45) cells

with the selected miRNAs and examined p53, E6AP, and actin protein levels by western

blot. As shown in Fig 3A-C, the expression of these miRNAs increased the protein levels of

p53 in all three cell lines, although with varying efficiencies, indicating that the p53

stabilization effect was independent of the hrHPV type.

To evaluate the involvement of the E6/E6AP pathway in the stabilization of p53 by these

miRNAs, we examined their effects on the levels of p53 and E6AP in HPV-negative human

U2OS cells (Fig 3E), an osteosarcoma-derived cell line that harbors wt p53 under the

control of Mdm2. Transfection of miRNAs 375-3p and 148b-5p increased the levels of p53

in U2OS cells (Fig 3E), indicating that these two miRNAs affect p53 protein levels

independent of HPV oncoprotein expression. In contrast, ectopic expression of the miRNAs

from the 302/519 family and 1287-5p had no effect on the levels of p53 in U2OS cells,

suggesting that the effect of these miRNAs on p53 stability depends on the expression of

hrHPV E6. Of note, transfection of miRNAs 375-3p, 148b-5p and to a lesser degree 374a-3p

and 374b-5p, decreased the levels of E6AP in each of the cell lines analyzed. As expected,

no miRNA produced a significant change in p53 levels in the HPV-negative C33A cervical

cancer cell line that already expresses high levels of an inactive mutant form of p53 (Fig

3D) [19].

Since transfection of the 302/519 family members and 1287-5p miRNAs only increased

p53 levels in HPV-positive cells and led to decreased E6 protein levels in HeLa cells, which

negatively correlated to the levels of p53 (Figs 2 and 4S), we hypothesized that the ability

of these miRNAs to stabilize p53 in HPV-positive cancer cells was a direct consequence of

their effect on E6 expression. This could be a consequence of destabilizing the E6 protein,

targeting the E6/E7 mRNAs for degradation or sequestration, or decreasing viral

transcription. To explore these possibilities, we examined the effect of the miRNAs on the

levels of p53 in 1321 cells, a human keratinocyte cell line that expresses HPV16 E6/E7

under the control of the human β-actin promoter and from a mRNA with the 3'

untranslated region (3'UTR) of the human β-actin mRNA [20] (Fig 3D). While transfection

of miRNAs 375-3p, 148b-5p, 374a-3p, and 374b-5p stabilized p53, ectopic expression of

miRNAs of the 302/519 family and 1287-5p produced little to no change on p53 levels in

1321 cells. This indicates that stabilization of p53 by the miRNAs of the 302/519 family

and 1287-5p in HPV-positive cervical cancer cell lines is likely mediated by reduced E6

expression from the viral long control region (LCR) promoter.

Several miRNAs repress the promoter activity of the HPV16 and 18 LCRs.

The hrHPV E6 and E7 genes are expressed from a single promoter located in the viral LCR. Consistent with the hypothesis that these miRNAs affect the promoter activity of the viral LCRs, we observed that their transfection into HeLa cells, particularly the members of the 302/519 family and 1287-5p, decreased both E6 and E7 protein levels similarly (Fig 4A). To examine whether these selected miRNAs repressed promoter activity of the HPV LCRs, we used reporter plasmids that express firefly luciferase under the control of either the HPV16 or HPV18 LCR (Fig 4B). Remarkably, with the exception of 148b-5p, all of the miRNAs tested repressed the LCR-dependent expression of firefly luciferase in C33A cells. Most miRNAs showed a comparable effect on both LCRs, except 374b-5p, which did not significantly repress the HPV16 LCR. Expression of the 302/519 family members and 1287-5p led to the greatest repression of both HPV16 and HPV18 LCRs.

We next used qRT-PCR to directly assess the impact of these miRNAs on the levels of HPV E6/E7 mRNAs in HeLa and SiHa cells (Fig 4C). Using primers that detect all HPV E6/E7 transcripts, we observed a significant reduction of the E6/E7 mRNAs upon transfection with each of the miRNAs except 148b-5p in HeLa cells, indicating regulation of E6 and E7 expression at a pre-translational level. Although 498-5p reduced the level of the E6/E7 mRNAs in HeLa cells, consistent with the reduced level of E6 protein shown in Fig 2, we did not observe an increase in p53 protein levels in these cells (see discussion). While 375-3p was equally efficient in repressing the expression of the E6/E7 mRNAs in both HPV-positive cell lines, most of the tested miRNAs were less efficient in SiHa than in HeLa cells

even though SiHa cells were efficiently transfected as determined by siGlo RISC-free

transfection control (Horizon). Surprisingly, transfection of 148b-5p increased the level of

the E6/E7 mRNAs in both cell lines suggesting that neither downregulation of the

promoter activity of the LCR nor destabilization of the E6/E7 mRNAs contribute to the

stabilization of p53 by this miRNA in these cells. We also observed that transfection of 375-

3p, which efficiently reduced the E6/E7 mRNAs levels in both HeLa and SiHa cells, has a

milder effect on both viral promoters compared to the miRNAs of the 302/519 family or

1287-5p, indicating that, as published by others, 375-3p also affects the levels of the E6/E7

mRNAs post-transcriptionally [17]. A similar observation can be made for 374a-3p and

374b-5p in HeLa cells. In general, in HeLa cells, there is a correlation between the levels of

E6 protein and E6/E7 mRNAs with the degree of repression of the viral promoter,

suggesting that downregulation of the LCR promoter is an important factor in the

regulation of E6 expression by the tested miRNAs in these cells. In contrast, in SiHa cells,

with the exception of 148b-5p, there was little or no correlation between the levels of the

E6/E7 mRNAs and the degree of repression of the viral promoter. This indicates that, in

addition of repressing the LCR promoter activity, other factors likely contribute to the E6

downregulation and p53 stabilization by these miRNAs in SiHa cells.

miRNAs of the 302/519 family and 1287-5p induce p53-

dependent apoptosis in HeLa cells.

Stabilization of p53 is expected to be pro-apoptotic in hrHPV-positive cells. We therefore

tested the miRNAs that stabilized p53 in HeLa cells for their abilities to induce apoptosis.

Flow cytometry analysis of HeLa cells transfected with different miRNAs and stained with

the apoptosis marker Annexin V revealed an increase in the fraction of apoptotic cells when

compared to untreated or mock transfected cells (Fig 5). Surprisingly, miRNAs Ctrl.1 and

498-5p, which did not increase p53 levels in hrHPV positive cells (Figs 2 and 3) also led to

an increase in Annexin V staining in HeLa cells. In addition, we noticed a strong correlation

between induction of apoptosis, stabilization of p53 (Fig 2), and repression HPV18 LCR (Fig

4B) in HeLa cells transfected with the 302/519 family members. In this family, 519d-3p,

which produced the lowest increase in p53 level in HeLa cells, was also the least efficient in

inducing apoptosis.

We next examined the ability of a subset of these miRNAs to induce apoptosis in SiHa cells

and two HPV-negative cancer cell lines, C33A and U2OS, using Annexin V staining (Fig 6).

Because the negative controls Ctrl. 1 and 498-5p induced apoptosis in HeLa cells (Fig 5), we

included the miRNA 20a-3p as a negative control since it has been previously reported not

to induce apoptosis in cervical cancer cells [21]. The 1287-5p miRNA was also included in

these experiments as it was found to stabilize p53 (Figs 2 and 3) and efficiently repressed

the E6/E7 mRNAs expression in HeLa and SiHa cells as well as the promoter activity of the

HPV16 and HPV18 LCR reporters (Fig 4B and 4C). Similar to our results in HeLa cells,

148b-5p and 1287-5p induced apoptosis in SiHa cells whereas 375-3p and 519b-3p had

very little or no effect (Fig 7). All of these miRNAs increased the levels of p53 when

transfected into SiHa cells (Fig 3A); however, 148b-5p and 1287-5p led to the highest p53

levels. Both 148b-5p and 1287-5p stimulated apoptosis in C33A cells indicating that these

miRNAs can induce apoptosis independently of p53.

We next examined the requirement for p53 stabilization in the induction of apoptosis in

HeLa cells for several representative miRNAs. We performed Annexin V staining of HeLa

cells co-transfected with the miRNAs and an siRNA targeting p53 or its C911 variant [22] to

address possible off-target effects of the siRNA (Fig 7A). As expected, the positive control

375-3p increased the percentage of Annexin V-stained cells while 20a-3p had no significant

effect when compared to untreated cells. Surprisingly, the apoptotic effect of 375-3p was

independent of p53 since knock-down of p53 had little effect on the percentage of

apoptotic cells in HeLa cells co-transfected with this miRNA. The effect of 498-5p on

apoptosis is also p53-independent and consistent with the inability of this miRNA to

stabilize p53 when transfected into hrHPV-positive cell lines (Figs 2 and 3). Similarly, the

apoptotic effects of miRNAs 148b-5p and 374a-3p are p53-independent, as co-transfection

of these miRNAs with either the siRNA against p53 or its C911 version have similar effects

on the percentage of apoptotic cells. This indicates that, in these cases, the effect observed

when p53 is knocked down can be attributed to off-target effects of the siRNA against p53.

In contrast, the numbers of apoptotic cells observed with 519b-3p (as a representative of

the 302/519 family of miRNAs) and 1287-5p were reduced when co-transfected with the

p53 siRNA but not with its C911 version, indicating that the apoptotic effect of these

miRNAs is largely p53-dependent in HeLa cells. Similar results were obtained when using

PARP cleavage as a marker for apoptosis in western blot analysis (Fig 7B). Altogether,

these results showed that expression of the selected miRNAs induces apoptosis in HeLa

cells via mechanisms that are either independent of p53 protein levels, as in the case of

148b-5p and 374a-3p, or p53-dependent, as found with 519b-3p and 1287-5p.

Discussion

In this manuscript, we describe a platform that can be used in a high-throughput manner (e.g. siRNA, CRISPR-Cas9, and small molecule screens) to monitor p53 stability *in vivo* in HPV-positive cancer cells. Here we present our results using this platform to screen a library of miRNA mimics in HeLa cells to find candidates whose overexpression stabilized p53 in hrHPV-positive cancer cells. We identified several miRNAs that increased the protein levels of p53 when transfected in HeLa cells. Some of these miRNAs (148b-5p, 302a-3p, 373-3p, and 374b-5p) have been reported to be expressed at lower levels in cervical cancer cells and tissues compared to the controls used in each of the studies [21, 23-25]. In contrast, 1287-5p has no change in its expression level in cervical cancer cells, but is sequestered from its targets by the circular RNA circSLC26A4, which is overexpressed in hrHPV-positive cancer cells [26]. In addition, some of the miRNAs identified in our screen, namely 148b-5p, 302a-3p, 374b-5p, or 519b-3p, have already been shown to have effects in hrHPV-positive cervical cancer cells affecting cellular behavior, slowing cell growth and inducing apoptosis [23-25, 27, 28]. Although several targets and mechanisms have been shown to contribute to these effects, there is little data about how the ectopic expression of these miRNAs affects E6, E6AP and p53 expression, nor the possible consequences of changes in the expression E6, E6AP, and p53 in the ability of these miRNAs to induce apoptosis in hrHPV-positive cervical cancer cells.

Since the ubiquitin ligase activity of E6AP/E6 is responsible for the efficient ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis of p53 in hrHPV-positive cervical cancer cells, we checked the levels of E6AP in cells transfected with the different miRNAs. Interestingly, only four miRNAs, 148b-5p, 374a-3p, 374b-5p, and 375-3p, were identified that led to a decrease in E6AP

protein levels. Remarkably, the three miRNAs of this group that were further analyzed

(148b-5p, 374a-3p, and 375-3p) increased apoptosis in HeLa cells in a p53-independent

manner. It is possible that this induction of apoptosis may still be HPV-related, as these

miRNAs also led to decreased E6 protein expression. However, 148b-5p induced apoptosis

in the absence of the HPV oncoproteins as observed in C33A cells and both 375-3p and

148b-5p stabilized p53 in HPV-negative U2OS cells. These results indicate that these

miRNAs can affect p53 protein levels independent of their effect on E6. Although we did not

determine whether they directly target the E6AP mRNA, it seems probable for both 148b-

5p and 374b-5p because target prediction analysis using the miRDB database

(www.mirdb.org) [29, 30] revealed that each has three sites complementary to their seed

sequences within the 3'UTR of the E6AP mRNA. They start at positions 395, 664, and 1595

of the 3'UTR for 148b-5p, and at positions 236, 908, and 1334 for 374b-5p.

In contrast, our results indicate that 519b-3p (member of the 302/519 family) and 1287-

5p induce apoptosis in HeLa cells in a p53-dependent manner. Our observations do not

fully align with previous reports that indicated transfection of 519b-3p increases p21 levels

in a partly p53-independent manner, resulting in growth inhibition and cell survival, while

failing to induce cell death [28]. There are a number of technical differences between our

study and that of Abdelmohsen et al. which could account for the discordant results.

Different concentrations of miRNAs were used in each study (50 nM in the Abdelmohsen

study and 10 nM in our study) and their analyses were done at 48 hours post-transfection

whereas our studies were conducted at 72 hours post transfection.

Although there are sequences complementary to the seed sequence of miRNAs of the

305/519 family in the 3'UTR of the E6AP mRNA (starting at positions 934 and 935 of the

3'UTR) we did not observe any significant effect on E6AP expression levels in the various

cell lines transfected with these miRNAs. However, it has recently been reported that 302c-

3p, which belongs to this family, regulates the expression of E6AP by targeting the 3'UTR of

the E6AP mRNA in hepatic stellate cells [31]. Again, methodological differences between

the two studies, most notably the cell type used, could explain the different findings. It is

feasible that the cells differ in availabilities of the target sequence in the 3'UTR of the E6AP

mRNA for the miRNAs of the 302/519 family, for example by differential expression of an

RNA-binding protein that could compete with the miRNAs for binding to the target

sequence [32]. In addition, the concentration of miRNA used by Kim et al. was 100 nM, ten

times higher than that used in our study. Overexpression of miRNAs can produce a series of

confounding effects (reviewed in [33]), such as binding to lower-affinity targets that are

not affected by lower miRNA concentrations. At a concentration of 10 nM we found that the

miRNAs of the 302/519 family stabilize p53 but do not impact E6AP expression in cervical

cancer cells. The fact that they can stabilize p53 at relatively low concentrations makes

them more attractive as potential therapeutics for cervical cancer by reducing potential

side effects due to targeting of low affinity sites.

Different viral processes affected by host miRNAs have been described (reviewed in [34]);

however, miRNAs affecting the activity of viral promoters are not commonly found in the

literature. Transfection of 302/519 family members or 1287-5p led to increased protein

levels of p53 only in cells in which E6 and E7 expression is driven by viral LCRs (HeLa,

SiHa, ME-180, and MS751). This suggests that the effect of these miRNAs on E6 and E7

expression may involve a reduction in the activity of the viral promoters. Here we showed

that ectopic expression of these miRNAs efficiently repressed the activity of the HPV16 and

HPV18 LCRs in C33A cells expressing LCR/luciferase reporters and resulted in decreased

E6/E7 mRNAs levels in both HeLa and SiHa cells. It is possible that these miRNAs target

transcription factors that regulate HPV LCR promoter activities. We note that although

375-3p has been reported to target E6/E7 mRNAs in HeLa and SiHa cells [17], our data

show that 375-3p also decreases the expression levels of E6 and E7 by repressing the

promoter activity of the viral LCRs. This might involve the downregulation of the

transcription factor SP1 by 375-3p [35], which is key for the activity of the promoter

driving E6 and E7 expression in hrHPVs [36, 37]. In terms of the 302/519 miRNA family

members, 519a-3p and 519d-3p have been shown to target signal transducer and activator

of transcription 3 (STAT3) mRNA [38, 39], which is another driver of HPV gene expression

[40]. Noteworthy, knocking down or inhibiting STAT3 in hrHPV-positive cervical cancer

cell lines led to loss of E6 and E7 expression, accumulation of p53, and reduced cell viability

[41]. The similarities between the effects of ectopic expression of the 302/519 family of

miRNAs and STAT3 depletion in hrHPV-positive cancer cells make STAT3 an interesting

candidate to mediate the effects of these miRNAs in hrHPV-positive cells. Another

possibility is that these miRNAs regulate the LCR promoter activity through direct binding

to viral or host DNA as it has been described for other miRNAs [42]. Though we could not

find any evident sequence similarities to these miRNAs in the HPV16 and HPV18 genomes,

we cannot fully exclude this possibility. These are all interesting questions that will be

addressed in future studies.

Both the 302/519 family members and 1287-5p induced apoptosis in HeLa cells. In the

case of 519b-3p (as representative of the 302/519 family of miRNAs) and 1287-5p this

induction was p53-dependent. Surprisingly, 519b-3p failed to induce apoptosis in SiHa

cells despite increasing the levels of p53. It has been previously reported that p53 must

accumulate to a threshold level to induce apoptosis [43]. Thus, it is feasible that for 519b-

3p the concentration of miRNA and/or incubation times used in this study did not increase

p53 levels enough to reach the critical threshold necessary to trigger apoptosis in SiHa

cells. A similar reasoning could be applied to 148b-5p, which failed to induce apoptosis in

U2OS cells despite the stabilization of wt p53 (Fig 3E). In contrast, 1287-5p induced

apoptosis in U2OS cells without a noticeable increase in p53 levels, indicating that in these

cells this miRNA promotes cell death independent of p53 stabilization. This suggests that

1287-5p can induce apoptosis via different pathways depending on cell type, highlighting

the risk of extrapolating miRNA effects observed in one cell line to different cell types. Of

note, transfection of 498-5p, which was initially chosen as a negative control because it did

not stabilize p53 in HeLa cells, resulted in decreased levels of E6 in these cells. This

suggests that either the remaining E6 protein was sufficient to target p53 for degradation

or that 498-5p has the additional property of inhibiting p53 accumulation. However, in this

study, the miRNAs that failed to reduce E6 protein levels to approximately half of its

original amount or beyond also failed to increase p53 levels in HeLa cells, suggesting that

there is a considerable excess of E6 in these cells that must be overcome in order to

stabilize p53. Interestingly, ectopic expression of Ctrl. 1 stabilized p53 only in U2OS cells,

further demonstrating how an individual miRNA affects different cell types in distinct

ways.

A match of the seed sequence of the miRNAs is very important for target recognition [44,

45]. However, it has been reported that additional pairing to 3' sequences of miRNAs

frequently participate in target site recognition and avidity, resulting in different target

specificities for miRNAs of a given miRNA family [46]. In this study we found that different

members of the 302/519 family of miRNAs, all with the same seed sequence, differed in the

extent to which p53 protein levels increased, indicating that 3' miRNA sequences may also

play a role in their stabilization of p53 in hrHPV-associated cancer cells. Regardless, the

common seed sequence of the miRNAs within the 302/519 family that we found to repress

the HPV18 and HPV16 LCR promoters is a characteristic that can be used to identify their

potential targets involved in viral LCR promoters regulation.

Since their discovery in 1993 [47, 48], there has been a major and growing interest in

miRNAs. A PubMed search for articles with "microRNA" or "miRNA" in the title reveals

more than 41,000 publications. Research in miRNAs is being translated into diagnostics as

miRNAs are considered sensitive and specific biomarkers whereas therapeutic

opportunities with miRNAs have lagged with only a few clinical trials currently in progress

(reviewed in [49, 50]). This may in part be due to the lack of single target specificity

characteristic of miRNAs. This multiplicity of targets makes miRNAs potentially challenging

as therapeutics because of the possibility of unexpected and/or undesirable side effects.

However, this property makes them an attractive tool for cancer treatment since miRNAs

can simultaneously regulate multiple cellular pathways by modulating the expression of

several genes in a coordinated fashion. The utility of miRNAs as therapeutic tools will be

improved by a more complete understanding of how they function in the cellular networks

in which they are embedded. In this study, we identified several miRNAs that stabilize p53

and can induce apoptosis, both in a p53-dependent or p53-independent manner, when

ectopically expressed in hrHPV-positive cancer cells. Most of these miRNAs function

through transcriptional repression of the HPV LCR promoter, especially 519b-3p and 1287-

5p. Further research on the mechanisms used by these miRNAs to repress the hrHPV LCRs

and induce apoptosis in HPV-positive cervical cancer cells will improve our understanding

of the hrHPV-host interactions and possibly contribute to the identification of new

therapeutic targets for hrHPV infections and cancers.

Materials and methods

Plasmids

To create a lentiviral reporter vector to monitor the protein levels of p53 *in vivo* in hrHPV

cancer cells, the p53 open reading frame (ORF) carrying the mutation R273C was first

amplified by PCR using the primers hp53-1 (5'-CACCATGGAGGAGCCGCAGTCAGATCC-3')

and hp53-8 (5'-GGATCCTCAGTCTGAGTCAGGCCCTTCTGTCTTG-3') and cloned into the

pENTR/D-TOPO vector (ThermoFisher) generating the entry vector pENTR p53(R273C)

(p6140). The p53(R273C) ORF was then recombined from the entry vector into a lentiviral

vector containing a GPS (<u>G</u>lobal <u>P</u>rotein <u>S</u>tability) cassette (gift from S. Elledge) using the

GATEWAY cloning system (ThermoFisher), resulting in the vector pHAGE-P CMVt N-RIG2

p53(R273C) (p7987). The GPS reporter cassette expresses a bicistronic mRNA encoding

EGFP fusion proteins and the red fluorescent protein DsRed as reference (Fig 1A) [51-54].

The reporter vector pHAGE-N CMVt N-RIG3 p53(R273C) (p7709), which contains a variant

of the GPS reporter cassette, expresses p53(R273C) fused to the monomeric red

fluorescent protein mRuby and a monomeric green fluorescent protein (SGFP2) fused to a

histone 2B (H2BC11) as reference (Fig 1A) [18]. The vectors pGL4.20-HPV16-LCR-

luciferase (p6239) and pGL4.20-HPV18-LCR-luciferase (p5194), expressing the firefly

luciferase under the control of the HPV16 and HPV18 LCRs respectively, have been

previously described [55]. Renilla luciferase was amplified from pRL-TK-Rluc (Promega #

E2241 - GenBank® Accession Number AF025846) using the primers 5'-

CAAGTCGACATGACTTCGAAAGTTTAT-3' and 5'-CTTAAGCTTATTGTTCATTTTTGAGAA-3',

and cloned into the p1318 human β-actin-based mammalian expression plasmid, which

contains a 4.3-kilobase EcoRI-AluI human β-actin promoter fragment, the human β-actin 3'

untranslated region, poly(A) site, and 3' flanking region [20] to generate (p7988).

Cell lines

HeLa, HeLa GPS p53(R273C), HeLa RIG3 p53(R273C), Siha (ATCC® HTB-35™), C33A

(ATCC® HTB-31™), U2OS (ATCC® HTB-96™), ME-180 (ATCC® HTB-33™), MS-751

(ATCC® HTB-34™), and human keratinocytes immortalized by HPV16 E6 and E7 expressed

from the β-actin promoter (p1321) [20] refered to here as 1321 cells were grown in

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine

serum at 37°C 5% CO2.

miRNA screen

For the primary miRNA screen, HeLa GPS p53(R273C) cells were reverse transfected with

the Ambion Human Pre-miR miRNA Mimic Library (2009) based on miRBase release 13.0.

in a one-target, one-well format using 384-well microtiter plates in a high-throughput

format. The Z'-factor [56] was calculated for each assay plate and was consistently > 0.6,

indicating assay robustness. siRNA buffer (1×) (Horizon #B-002000-UB-100) was

aliquoted into wells, miRNA mimics were added so that the final concentration was 40

nM/well, and DharmaFECT1/OptiMEM was dispensed into wells. While the miRNA/lipid

mix was allowed to complex, cells were trypsinized, counted, and resuspended to reach a

plating density of 600 cells/well. Cells were seeded on top of the miRNA/lipid mixture,

briefly centrifuged, and incubated for 72 hours, a time that we determined to be optimal for

p53 stabilization for small miRNAs. The cells were then equilibrated to room temperature

(~15 min) before analysis. Using TTP LabTech's Acumen eX3 laser scanning cytometer, the

total EGFP and DsRed intensities in each well were quantitated. Internal controls were

present in Columns 13 and 14 of each library plate (Pre-miR miRNA Precursor Molecules

Negative Control #1 - Ambion AM17110; Pre-miR miRNA Precursor Molecules Negative

Control #2 – Ambion AM17111; PLK1 SMARTpool Dharmacon M-003290-01). In addition,

siRNAs against E6AP (UBE3A, Dharmacon D-005137-04) and non-targeting siRNAs

(Dharmacon D-001210-01 and D-001210-02) were added to each plate. The miRNA

mimics were screened in triplicate. High-throughput libraries and screening capability was

provided by the ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility at Harvard Medical School.

miRNA transfections

All cells were reverse transfected with miRNAs (S2 Table) using RNAiMax according to

manufacturer's instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). More specifically, 750 µl of

suspended cells were added to 250 µl of a transfection mix containing 2 µl of Lipofectamine

RNAiMax and different miRNAs for a final concentration of 10nM and plated in 12-well

plates. miRNA transfection efficiency in different cell lines was confirmed using siGlo Red

Transfection Indicator (Horizon, D-001630-02-05).

Confirmation assay

491   HeLa cells were reverse transfected with 10 nM of the indicated miRNAs using

492   Lipofectamine RNAiMAX according to manufacturer's instructions. 72h after transfection

493   the cells were trypsinized, harvested in 1X flow cytometry buffer (1X PBS, 1mM EDTA, 2%

494   FBS) and analyzed for green and red fluorescence by flow cytometry using a BD

495   FACSymphony instrument (BD-Biosciences).

Western blots and antibodies

After incubation for 72h, transfected cells were washed once with 1X PBS, lysed directly in

wells with 100-150µl of SDS Lysis buffer (62.5mM Tris-Cl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS) and sonicated

(amplitude of 35%; 10 pulses with 2 seconds ON and 0.5 seconds OFF). The lysates were

centrifuged for 2 minutes and protein concentrations quantitated by BCA Protein Assay

(Pierce). Lysates (10 µg of protein/well) were run on 20-well 10-20% NuPage BisTris midi

gels in 1X MES buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and transferred to polyvinylidene

difluoride (PVDF) membranes using 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 192 mM glycine, and 10%

methanol. Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat dried milk in Tris-buffered saline [pH

7.4], 0.05% Tween 20 (TBST) and then incubated with primary antibodies as follows:

mouse monoclonal anti-E6AP (clone E4) at 1:1000 dilution (sc-166689 from Santa Cruz

Biotechnology); rabbit monoclonal anti-cleaved PARP (Asp214) (D64E10 XP® #5625 from

Cell Signaling Technologies); mouse monoclonal anti-HPV18-E6 (clone G-7) at a 1:250

dilution (sc-365089 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology); and mouse monoclonal anti-HPV18-

E7 (clone F-7) at a 1:250 dilution (sc-365035 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Membranes

were washed in TBST and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-

mouse antibody at a 1:1,000 dilution (R1005 from Kindle Biosciences). The primary

antibodies against β-Actin and P53 were directly conjugated to HRP and were used as

follows: mouse monoclonal anti-β-Actin-HRP at a 1:50,000 dilution (A3854 from Millipore

Sigma); and goat polyclonal anti-p53-HRP at a 1:3,000 dilution (HAF1355 from R&D

systems). All blots were developed using KwikQuant Ultra Digital-ECL™ Substrate Solution

and processed using KwikQuant™ Imager (Kindle Bioscience LLC). Western blots were

quantified using the Image Studio Lite program (LI-COR Biotechnologies).

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR.

At 72h post-transfection, total RNA was isolated using the Nucleospin RNA kit (Takara Bio)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were quantified using NanoDrop™

Lite Spectrophotometer and equal amounts of RNA (500ng) were reverse transcribed using

the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative real-

time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed in an Applied Biosystems ABI 7500 fast sequence

detection system using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific), and

the following TaqMan custom gene expression assays for HPV18-E6E7: HPV18-E6E7 FWD

5'-CAACCGAGCACGACAGGAA-3'; HPV18-E6E7 PROBE 5'-

AATATTAAGTATGCATGGACCTAAGGCAACATTGCAA-3'; and HPV18-E6E7 REV 5'-

CTCGTCGGGCTGGTAAATGTT-3' and for HPV16-E6E7: HPV16-E6-FWD 5'-

AGGAGCGACCCGGAAAGT-3'; HPV16-E6-PROBE 5'-

ACCACAGTTATGCACAGAGCTGCAAACAA-3'; and HPV16-E6-REV 5'-

CACGTCGCAGTAACTGTTGCTT-3'. All reactions were normalized using a TaqMan gene

expression assay for PPIA (Hs99999904_m1 ThermoFisher Scientific).

Luciferase assays

C33A cells were transfected in 12-well plates with 10 nM of miRNAs as described above.

After 24h, the miRNA transfection mix was removed and cells were transfected with 0.5 μg

of either plasmid pGL4-LCRHPV16 (p6239, HPV16 nt 7000-85) [55] or pGL4-LCRHPV18

(p5194, HPV18 nt 6943-105) [57], containing the firefly luciferase ORF under the control of

the HPV16 and HPV18 LCRs respectively, together with 0.5µg of a plasmid expressing the

renilla luciferase under the control of the human β-actin promoter using Lipofectamine

3000 (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to manufacturer's protocol. At 48h post-

transfection of plasmids (72 hours after miRNA transfection), cells were lysed in the plate

with 250 µl of 1x Passive Lysis Buffer and luciferase activity was determined using the

Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Luciferase intensities were measured using a SpectraMax L luminescence

microplate reader (Molecular Devices). Firefly luciferase readings were normalized to the

respective renilla luciferase readings.

548 **Apoptosis assays**

HeLa cells were reverse transfected with 10 nM of the different miRNAs either alone or

combined with 20 nM of siRNAs directed to p53 (Dharmacon, D-003329-26: 5'-

GCUUCGAGAUGUUCCGAGA-3') or its C911 version (sense, 5'-GCUUCGAGUACUUCCGAGA-

UU-3') using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX according to manufacturer's instructions. After

incubation for 72h, attached cells were trypsinized and combined with all non-adherent

cells in the supernatant and PBS washes. The cells were stained with Annexin V using the

Dead Cell Apoptosis Kit with Annexin V Alexa Fluor™ 488 & Propidium Iodide (PI)

(ThermoFisher Scientific #V13241 and #V13245). Stained cells were analyzed by flow

cytometry using a BD FACSCanto flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

558 **Figures and statistics**

559 All graphics and statistical analyses were conducted using Prism 8 (GraphPad). When the

560 values corresponding to mock transfections were used to normalize other values obtained

561 in an experiment, the mock values were not included in the corresponding graphic since

562 invariably their mean is equal to one and their standard deviation is zero. Figures were

563 assembled using Affinity Photo (Affinity).

Acknowledgements

565 We thank other members of the Howley laboratory for helpful discussions and suggestions.

566 All plasmids generated in the Howley laboratory that are described in this manuscript are

567 available through Addgene. This work has been supported by NIH grant R35CA197262 to

568 P.M.H.

Bibliography

1. Howley PM, Schiller JT, Lowy DR. Papillomaviruses. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM,

editors. Fields Virology. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins; 2013. p.

1662-703.

2. de Martel C, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S. Worldwide burden of cancer

attributable to HPV by site, country and HPV type. Int J Cancer. 2017;141(4):664-70. Epub

2017/04/04. doi: 10.1002/ijc.30716. PubMed PMID: 28369882; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5520228.

3. Goodwin EC, DiMaio D. Repression of human papillomavirus oncogenes in HeLa

cervical carcinoma cells causes the orderly reactivation of dormant tumor suppressor

pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(23):12513-8. Epub 2000/11/09. doi:

10.1073/pnas.97.23.12513. PubMed PMID: 11070078; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC18795.

4.   Wells SI, Francis DA, Karpova AY, Dowhanick JJ, Benson JD, Howley PM.

Papillomavirus E2 induces senescence in HPV positive cells via pRB- and p21^{CIP}-dependent

pathways. EMBO J. 2000;19:5762-71.

5.   Scheffner M, Werness BA, Huibregtse JM, Levine AJ, Howley PM. The E6 oncoprotein

encoded by human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 promotes the degradation of p53. Cell.

1990;63(6):1129-36. Epub 1990/12/21. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90409-8. PubMed

PMID: 2175676.

6.   Huibregtse JM, Scheffner M, Howley PM. Cloning and expression of the cDNA for E6-

AP: A protein that mediates the interaction of the human papillomavirus E6 oncoprotein

with p53. Mol Cell Biol. 1993;13:775-84.

7.   Huibregtse JM, Scheffner M, Howley PM. Localization of the E6-AP regions that

direct HPV E6 binding, association with p53, and ubiquination of associated proteins. Mol

Cell Biol. 1993;13:4918-27.

8.   Scheffner M, Huibregtse JM, Vierstra RD, Howley PM. The HPV-16 E6 and E6-AP

complex functions as a ubiquitin-protein ligase in the ubiquination of p53. Cell.

1993;75:495-505.

9.   Park RB, Androphy EJ. Genetic analysis of high-risk e6 in episomal maintenance of

human papillomavirus genomes in primary human keratinocytes. J Virol.

2002;76(22):11359-64. PubMed PMID: 12388696.

10.   Butz K, Ristriani T, Hengstermann A, Denk C, Scheffner M, Hoppe-Seyler F. siRNA

targeting of the viral E6 oncogene efficiently kills human papillomavirus-positive cancer

cells. Oncogene. 2003;22:5938-3945. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1206894

1206894 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 12955072.

11.   Chirayil R, Kincaid RP, Dahlke C, Kuny CV, Dalken N, Spohn M, et al. Identification of

virus-encoded microRNAs in divergent Papillomaviruses. PLoS Pathog.

2018;14(7):e1007156. Epub 2018/07/27. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007156. PubMed

PMID: 30048533; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6062147.

12.   Wald AI, Hoskins EE, Wells SI, Ferris RL, Khan SA. Alteration of microRNA profiles in

squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck cell lines by human papillomavirus. Head

Neck. 2011;33(4):504-12. Epub 2010/07/24. doi: 10.1002/hed.21475. PubMed PMID:

20652977; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3080748.

13.   Wang X, Wang HK, Li Y, Hafner M, Banerjee NS, Tang S, et al. microRNAs are

biomarkers of oncogenic human papillomavirus infections. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2014;111(11):4262-7. Epub 2014/03/05. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1401430111. PubMed PMID:

24591631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3964092.

14.   Tornesello ML, Faraonio R, Buonaguro L, Annunziata C, Starita N, Cerasuolo A, et al.

The Role of microRNAs, Long Non-coding RNAs, and Circular RNAs in Cervical Cancer.

Front Oncol. 2020;10:150. Epub 2020/03/11. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00150. PubMed

PMID: 32154165; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7044410.

15.   Scheffner M, Takahashi T, Huibregtse JM, Minna JD, Howley PM. Interaction of the

human papillomavirus type 16 E6 oncoprotein with wild-type and mutant human p53

proteins. J Virol. 1992;66(8):5100-5. Epub 1992/08/01. PubMed PMID: 1321290; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC241378.

16.   Hubbert NL, Sedman SA, Schiller JT. Human papillomavirus type 16 E6 increases the

degradation rate of p53 in human keratinocytes. Journal of virology. 1992;66(10):6237-41.

PubMed PMID: 1326671.

17.   Jung HM, Phillips BL, Chan EK. miR-375 activates p21 and suppresses telomerase

activity by coordinately regulating HPV E6/E7, E6AP, CIP2A, and 14-3-3zeta. Mol Cancer.

2014;13:80. Epub 2014/04/09. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-13-80. PubMed PMID: 24708873;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4021670.

18.   Kuhnle S, Martinez-Noel G, Leclere F, Hayes SD, Harper JW, Howley PM. Angelman

syndrome-associated point mutations in the Zn(2+)-binding N-terminal (AZUL) domain of

UBE3A ubiquitin ligase inhibit binding to the proteasome. J Biol Chem.

2018;293(47):18387-99. Epub 2018/09/28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.004653. PubMed

PMID: 30257870; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6254356.

19.   Scheffner M, Munger K, Byrne JC, Howley PM. The state of the p53 and

retinoblastoma genes in human cervical carcinoma cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

1991;88(13):5523-7. Epub 1991/07/01. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.13.5523. PubMed PMID:

1648218; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC51909.

20.   Munger K, Phelps WC, Bubb V, Howley PM, Schlegel R. The E6 and E7 genes of the

human papillomavirus type 16 together are necessary and sufficient for transformation of

primary human keratinocytes. J Virol. 1989;63(10):4417-21. Epub 1989/10/01. PubMed

PMID: 2476573; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC251060.

21.   Liu X. Up-regulation of miR-20a by HPV16 E6 exerts growth-promoting effects by

targeting PDCD6 in cervical carcinoma cells. Biomed Pharmacother. 2018;102:996-1002.

Epub 2018/05/02. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2018.03.154. PubMed PMID: 29710555.

22.   Buehler E, Chen YC, Martin S. C911: A bench-level control for sequence specific

siRNA off-target effects. PLoS One. 2012;7(12):e51942. Epub 2012/12/20. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0051942. PubMed PMID: 23251657; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3522603.

23.   Jiang Y, Hou R, Li S, Li S, Dang G. MicroRNA-302 inhibits cell migration and invasion

in cervical cancer by targeting DCUN1D1. Exp Ther Med. 2018;16(2):1000-8. Epub

2018/08/18. doi: 10.3892/etm.2018.6223. PubMed PMID: 30116351; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC6090382.

24.   Li GC, Cao XY, Li YN, Qiu YY, Li YN, Liu XJ, et al. MicroRNA-374b inhibits cervical

cancer cell proliferation and induces apoptosis through the p38/ERK signaling pathway by

binding to JAM-2. J Cell Physiol. 2018;233(9):7379-90. Epub 2018/03/27. doi:

10.1002/jcp.26574. PubMed PMID: 29575013.

25.   Mou Z, Xu X, Dong M, Xu J. MicroRNA-148b Acts as a Tumor Suppressor in Cervical

Cancer by Inducing G1/S-Phase Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis in a Caspase-3-Dependent

Manner. Med Sci Monit. 2016;22:2809-15. Epub 2016/08/10. doi: 10.12659/msm.896862.

PubMed PMID: 27505047; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4982527.

26.   Ji F, Du R, Chen T, Zhang M, Zhu Y, Luo X, et al. Circular RNA circSLC26A4

Accelerates Cervical Cancer Progression via miR-1287-5p/HOXA7 Axis. Mol Ther Nucleic

Acids. 2020;19:413-20. Epub 2020/01/03. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2019.11.032. PubMed

PMID: 31896069; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6940609.

27.   Abdelmohsen K, Kim MM, Srikantan S, Mercken EM, Brennan SE, Wilson GM, et al.

miR-519 suppresses tumor growth by reducing HuR levels. Cell Cycle. 2010;9(7):1354-9.

Epub 2010/03/23. doi: 10.4161/cc.9.7.11164. PubMed PMID: 20305372; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC3057889.

28.   Abdelmohsen K, Srikantan S, Tominaga K, Kang MJ, Yaniv Y, Martindale JL, et al.

Growth inhibition by miR-519 via multiple p21-inducing pathways. Mol Cell Biol.

2012;32(13):2530-48. Epub 2012/05/02. doi: 10.1128/mcb.00510-12. PubMed PMID:

22547681; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3434494.

29.   Chen Y, Wang X. miRDB: an online database for prediction of functional microRNA

targets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D127-D31. Epub 2019/09/11. doi:

10.1093/nar/gkz757. PubMed PMID: 31504780; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6943051.

30.   Liu W, Wang X. Prediction of functional microRNA targets by integrative modeling of

microRNA binding and target expression data. Genome Biol. 2019;20(1):18. Epub

2019/01/24. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1629-z. PubMed PMID: 30670076; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6341724.

31.   Kim JY, Kim KM, Yang JH, Cho SS, Kim SJ, Park SJ, et al. Induction of E6AP by

microRNA-302c dysregulation inhibits TGF-beta-dependent fibrogenesis in hepatic stellate

cells. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):444. Epub 2020/01/18. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-57322-w.

PubMed PMID: 31949242; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6965100.

32.   Kedde M, Strasser MJ, Boldajipour B, Oude Vrielink JA, Slanchev K, le Sage C, et al.

RNA-binding protein Dnd1 inhibits microRNA access to target mRNA. Cell.

2007;131(7):1273-86. Epub 2007/12/25. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.11.034. PubMed PMID:

18155131.

33.   Mockly S, Seitz H. Inconsistencies and Limitations of Current MicroRNA Target

Identification Methods. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1970:291-314. Epub 2019/04/10. doi:

10.1007/978-1-4939-9207-2_16. PubMed PMID: 30963499.

34.   Girardi E, Lopez P, Pfeffer S. On the Importance of Host MicroRNAs During Viral

Infection. Front Genet. 2018;9:439. Epub 2018/10/20. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00439.

PubMed PMID: 30333857; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6176045.

35.   Wang F, Li Y, Zhou J, Xu J, Peng C, Ye F, et al. miR-375 is down-regulated in

squamous cervical cancer and inhibits cell migration and invasion via targeting

transcription factor SP1. Am J Pathol. 2011;179(5):2580-8. Epub 2011/09/29. doi:

10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.07.037. PubMed PMID: 21945323; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3204087.

36.   Hoppe-Seyler F, Butz K. Activation of human papillomavirus type 18 E6-E7

oncogene expression by transcription factor Sp1. Nucleic Acids Res. 1992;20(24):6701-6.

Epub 1992/12/25. doi: 10.1093/nar/20.24.6701. PubMed PMID: 1336181; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC334589.

37.   Tan SH, Leong LE, Walker PA, Bernard HU. The human papillomavirus type 16 E2

transcription factor binds with low cooperativity to two flanking sites and represses the E6

promoter through displacement of Sp1 and TFIID. J Virol. 1994;68(10):6411-20. Epub

1994/10/01. PubMed PMID: 8083979; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC237061.

38.   Hong L, Ya-Wei L, Hai W, Qiang Z, Jun-Jie L, Huang A, et al. MiR-519a functions as a

tumor suppressor in glioma by targeting the oncogenic STAT3 pathway. J Neurooncol.

2016;128(1):35-45. Epub 2016/03/14. doi: 10.1007/s11060-016-2095-z. PubMed PMID:

26970980.

39. Deng X, Zhao Y, Wang B. miR-519d-mediated downregulation of STAT3 suppresses

breast cancer progression. Oncol Rep. 2015;34(4):2188-94. Epub 2015/08/05. doi:

10.3892/or.2015.4160. PubMed PMID: 26238950.

40. Morgan EL, Wasson CW, Hanson L, Kealy D, Pentland I, McGuire V, et al. STAT3

activation by E6 is essential for the differentiation-dependent HPV18 life cycle. PLoS

Pathog. 2018;14(4):e1006975. Epub 2018/04/10. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006975.

PubMed PMID: 29630659; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5908086.

41. Shukla S, Mahata S, Shishodia G, Pandey A, Tyagi A, Vishnoi K, et al. Functional

regulatory role of STAT3 in HPV16-mediated cervical carcinogenesis. PLoS One.

2013;8(7):e67849. Epub 2013/07/23. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067849. PubMed PMID:

23874455; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3715508.

42. Xun Y, Tang Y, Hu L, Xiao H, Long S, Gong M, et al. Purification and Identification of

miRNA Target Sites in Genome Using DNA Affinity Precipitation. Front Genet. 2019;10:778.

Epub 2019/10/02. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00778. PubMed PMID: 31572429; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6751328.

43. Kracikova M, Akiri G, George A, Sachidanandam R, Aaronson SA. A threshold

mechanism mediates p53 cell fate decision between growth arrest and apoptosis. Cell

Death Differ. 2013;20(4):576-88. Epub 2013/01/12. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2012.155. PubMed

PMID: 23306555; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3595483.

44.   Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell.

2009;136(2):215-33. Epub 2009/01/27. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002. PubMed PMID:

19167326; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3794896.

45.   Shukla GC, Singh J, Barik S. MicroRNAs: Processing, Maturation, Target Recognition

and Regulatory Functions. Mol Cell Pharmacol. 2011;3(3):83-92. Epub 2011/01/01.

PubMed PMID: 22468167; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3315687.

46.   Broughton JP, Lovci MT, Huang JL, Yeo GW, Pasquinelli AE. Pairing beyond the Seed

Supports MicroRNA Targeting Specificity. Mol Cell. 2016;64(2):320-33. Epub 2016/10/22.

doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.09.004. PubMed PMID: 27720646; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5074850.

47.   Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros V. The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes

small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. Cell. 1993;75(5):843-54. Epub

1993/12/03. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)90529-y. PubMed PMID: 8252621.

48.   Wightman B, Ha I, Ruvkun G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic

gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell.

1993;75(5):855-62. Epub 1993/12/03. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)90530-4. PubMed

PMID: 8252622.

49.   Bonneau E, Neveu B, Kostantin E, Tsongalis GJ, De Guire V. How close are miRNAs

from clinical practice? A perspective on the diagnostic and therapeutic market. Ejifcc.

2019;30(2):114-27. Epub 2019/07/03. PubMed PMID: 31263388; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6599191.

50.   Hanna J, Hossain GS, Kocerha J. The Potential for microRNA Therapeutics and

Clinical Research. Front Genet. 2019;10:478. Epub 2019/06/04. doi:

10.3389/fgene.2019.00478. PubMed PMID: 31156715; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6532434.

51.   Emanuele MJ, Elia AE, Xu Q, Thoma CR, Izhar L, Leng Y, et al. Global identification of

modular cullin-RING ligase substrates. Cell. 2011;147(2):459-74. Epub 2011/10/04. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.019. PubMed PMID: 21963094; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3226719.

52.   Lin HC, Yeh CW, Chen YF, Lee TT, Hsieh PY, Rusnac DV, et al. C-Terminal End-

Directed Protein Elimination by CRL2 Ubiquitin Ligases. Mol Cell. 2018;70(4):602-13 e3.

Epub 2018/05/19. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.04.006. PubMed PMID: 29775578; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6145449.

53.   Yen HC, Elledge SJ. Identification of SCF ubiquitin ligase substrates by global protein

stability profiling. Science. 2008;322(5903):923-9. Epub 2008/11/08. doi:

10.1126/science.1160462. PubMed PMID: 18988848.

54.   Yen HC, Xu Q, Chou DM, Zhao Z, Elledge SJ. Global protein stability profiling in

mammalian cells. Science. 2008;322(5903):918-23. Epub 2008/11/08. doi:

10.1126/science.1160489. PubMed PMID: 18988847.

55.   Ottinger M, Smith JA, Schweiger MR, Robbins D, Powell ML, You J, et al. Cell-type

specific transcriptional activities among different papillomavirus long control regions and

their regulation by E2. Virology. 2009;395(2):161-71. Epub 2009/10/20. doi:

10.1016/j.virol.2009.09.027. PubMed PMID: 19836046; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC2787971.

56.   Zhang JH, Chung TD, Oldenburg KR. A Simple Statistical Parameter for Use in

Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening Assays. J Biomol Screen.

1999;4(2):67-73. Epub 2000/06/06. doi: 10.1177/108705719900400206. PubMed PMID:

10838414.

57.   Schweiger MR, Ottinger M, You J, Howley PM. Brd4-independent transcriptional

repression function of the papillomavirus e2 proteins. J Virol. 2007;81(18):9612-22. Epub

2007/07/13. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00447-07. PubMed PMID: 17626100; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC2045424.

Figure captions

Fig 1. Schematic representation of reporter cassettes.

A. Reporter cassette of pHAGE-P CMVt RIG p53(R273C)**. B.** Reporter cassette in pHAGE-P

CMVt RIG3 p53(R273C). CMVp: CMV promoter, IRES: Encephalomyocarditis virus internal

ribosomal entry site, H2B: histone 2B (H2BC11), SG linker: serine-glycine linker.

Fig 2. Effect of miRNAs transfection on p53, E6AP, and E6 protein levels in HeLa cells.

Cells were lysed 72 h post-transfection and the lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE. Protein

levels of p53, E6AP, E6, and actin were visualized by western blotting. **A, C, and E.** Western

blot results from a single experiment. **B, D, and F.** Quantification of p53 from western blot

experiments. Values obtained for p53 were normalized to the amount of actin in the same

sample and then all values were divided by the corresponding value obtained with the

mock transfection in the same blot. Circles represent values from independent experiments

and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation. To determine significant differences

between the samples in an experiment we used the "one-way ANOVA" test (A. $F_{(16,}$

$_{34)}$=8.271 p<0.0001, n=3; B. $F_{(14, 30)}$=17.76 p<0.0001, n=3; C. $F_{(3, 12)}$=52.63 p<0.0001,

n=4). We then compared each sample with the cells transfected with the Ctrl.1 miRNA

using the "Dunnett's multiple comparisons test" (* = p<0.01).

Fig 3. Effect of transfection of selected miRNAs on p53 and E6AP protein levels in

different cell lines.

72 h after transfection cells were lysed and the protein extracts were resolved by SDS-

PAGE, and the p53, E6AP, and actin proteins levels were determined by western blotting.

Fig 4. Effect of selected miRNAs on HPV E6 and E7 expression.

Cells were harvested 72 h post-transfection and then used for the following experiments. **A.**

Western blot showing the effect of the selected miRNAs on E6, E7, and actin protein levels

in HeLa cells. **B.** Effect of ectopic expression of selected miRNAs on the promoter activity of

the HPV16 and HPV18 LCRs using a luciferase reporter assay in C33A cells. Values from

each experiment were normalized to the value obtained for the corresponding mock

transfection. Differences between samples were analyzed by the "one-way ANOVA" test

(HPV 16 $F_{(12, 38)}$ = 34.91, n=4; HPV18 $F_{(12, 39)}$ = 23.47 p<0.0001, n=4), then each sample

was compared to the non-treated cells using the "Dunnett's multiple comparisons test" (* =

p<0.01). **C.** Expression of the E6/E7 mRNAs after transfection of the selected miRNAs was

quantitated by QRT-PCR. Measures from each experiment were normalized to the value of

the corresponding mock transfection. The same statistical analysis described in B. was

applied to these samples (SiHa F(12, 26) = 41.38 p<0.0001, n=3; HeLa F(12, 39) = 42.32

p<0.0001, n=4). In B. and C. Circles represent values from independent experiments and

the bars show the mean ± standard deviation.

Fig 5. Ectopic miRNA expression induces apoptosis in HeLa cells.

Cells were harvested 72 h post-transfection and stained with Annexin V as an indicator of

apoptosis. The percentage of cells stained with Annexin V was determined by flow

cytometry. Variability between samples was first analyzed with the one-way ANOVA test

(F(12,26)=48.04 p<0.0001, n=3). Then each sample was compared to the non-treated cells

with the "Dunnett's multiple comparisons test" (* = p<0.01). Circles represent values from

independent experiments and the bars show the mean ± standard deviation.

Fig 6. Apoptotic effect of the selected miRNAs when transfected into different cell

lines.

Cells were transfected with the indicated miRNAs and 72 h later the cells were harvested

and stained with Annexin V as a marker for apoptosis. The percentage of Annexin V

positive cells was quantitated by flow cytometry. Variability between samples for each cell

line was analyzed with the one-way ANOVA test (SiHa F(6,21)=45.17 p<0.0001, n=4; C33A

F(6,21)=85.96 p<0.0001, n=3; U2OS F(6,21)=104.07 p<0.0001, n=4). Each sample was then

compared to the non-treated cells with the "Dunnett's multiple comparisons test" (* =

p<0.01). Circles represent values from independent experiments and the bars show the

mean ± standard deviation.

Fig 7. p53 dependence of apoptotic effect of selected miRNAs in HeLa cells. A.

Cells were transfected with the indicated miRNAs either alone or together with a siRNA

against p53 or its C911 variant. After incubation for 72 h the cells were harvested and

stained with Annexin V as a marker for apoptosis. The percentage of Annexin V stained

cells was determined by flow cytometry. The values obtained for the three transfections

with each miRNA were first analyzed with the one-way ANOVA test (375-3p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 3.075

p=0.1204; 498-5p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 1.914 p=0.2276; 20a-3p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 0.6553 p=0.5528; 148b-5p

$F_{(2, 6)}$ = 23.57 p=0.0014; 374a-3p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 2.681 p=0.1472; 519b-3p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 17.71

p=0.0030; 1287-5p $F_{(2, 6)}$ = 48.00 p=0.0002). For all samples n=3. Then, the values from

samples transfected with the same miRNA were compared with each other using the

"Tukey's multiple comparisons test" (* = p<0.01). **B.** 72 h post-transfection cells were lysed

and the p53, cleaved PARP, and GAPDH proteins levels were determined by SDS-PAGE and

western blotting.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Screen data.

S1 Fig. Alignment of members of the 302/519 family of miRNAs.

Several of the miRNAs identified in our screen have the same seed sequence indicated with

bold letters and underlined. [1] miRNAs with EGFP/DsRed ratios between top 50 positions in

the primary screen.

S2 Fig. p53 stabilization in HeLa cells expressing the reporter pHAGE-P CMVt RIG3

p53(R273C).

72 h after transfection cells were harvested and the percentage of cells expressing mRuby-

p53(R273C) was determined by flow cytometry. Columns indicate the means of three

independent experiments and the error bars show one standard deviation. Variability

between the means was tested using the one-way ANOVA test ($F_{(40, 82)} = 84.19$

$p<0.0001$). Then, each sample was compared to non-treated cells using the "Dunnett's

multiple comparisons test" (* = $p<0.01$).

S3 Fig. Quantification of the effect of miRNAs transfection on E6AP, and E6 protein

levels in HeLa cells.

Quantification of E6AP and E6 protein levels from western blot experiments. The values for

E6AP and E6 were normalized to the amount of actin in the same sample, then all values

were divided by the corresponding value of the mock transfection in the same blot. Circles

show the values of independent experiments and the bars indicate the mean ± standard

deviation. The significance of differences between the samples means was determined

using the one-way ANOVA test (**A.** E6AP protein levels $F_{(16, 34)}=9.540$ $p<0.0001$, n=3; **B.**

E6AP protein levels $F_{(14, 30)}=1.218$ $p<0.3135$, n=3; **C.** E6AP protein levels $F_{(3, 12)}=11.16$

$p<0.0009$, n=4 **D.** E6 protein levels $F_{(16, 34)}=8.672$ $p<0.0001$, n=3; **E.** E6 protein levels

$F_{(14, 30)}=18.15$ $p<0.0001$, n=3; **F.** E6 protein levels $F_{(3, 12)}=55.35$ $p<0.0001$, n=4). Each

sample was then compared to the cells transfected with the Ctrl.1 miRNA using the

"Dunnett's multiple comparisons test" (* = $p<0.01$).

S4 Fig. The protein levels of p53 negatively correlate with those of E6.

The protein levels of p53, E6AP, and E6 calculated from the western blot experiments

displayed in Figs 2 and S3 were used to analyze whether there is a significant correlation

between the levels of these proteins after transfection of HeLa cells with the different

miRNAs. This was done by calculating the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) and a two

tailed p value. **A.** Correlation between E6AP and p53. **B.** Correlation between E6 and p53. **C.**

Correlation between E6AP and E6.

S2 Table. List of miRNAs used in this study.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7