The complex evolution of the HSP70 gene family

Er-meng Yu[1,2], Tatsuki Yoshinaga[3], Frank L. Jalufka[1], Hashimul Ehsan[1], David B. Mark Welch[4*],

Gen Kaneko[1*]

[1]School of Arts & Sciences, University of Houston-Victoria, Victoria, TX.

[2]Key Laboratory of Tropical & Subtropical Fishery Resource Application & Cultivation, Key

Laboratory of Aquatic Animal Immune Technology of Guangdong Province, Pearl River Fisheries

Research Institute of CAFS, Guangzhou, China.

[3]School of Marine Biosciences, Kitasato University, Kanagawa, Japan.

[4]Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine Biological

Laboratory, MA.

*Corresponding author:

Gen Kaneko, kanekog@uhv.edu

David Mark Welch, dmarkwelch@mbl.edu

Abstract

The 70-kDa heat shock protein (HSP70) family contains several isoforms localized in different subcellular compartments. The cytosolic isoforms have been classified into stress-inducible HSP70s and constitutive heat shock cognates (HSC70s), but occasional reports of "constitutive HSP70s" and the lack of cross-phylum comparisons have been a source of confusion in the evolution of the metazoan HSP70 family. Here we provide novel insights into the evolutionary history of this important molecular chaperone. We first cloned two HSP70 genes from the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis,* an emerging model in evolutionary genetics, and confirmed their stress inducibility. Subsequent phylogenetic analyses of 100 full-length HSP70 family member genes revealed an ancient duplication that gave rise to two lineages from which all metazoan cytosolic HSP70s descend. One lineage contains a relatively small number of Lophotrochozoan and Ecdysozoan genes, none of which have been shown to be constitutively expressed. The second included both inducible and constitutive genes from diverse phyla. Species-specific duplications are present in both lineages, and in the second there are well-supported phylum-specific clades for Rotifera, Nematoda, and Chordata. Some genes in this lineage have likely independently acquired stress inducibility, which may explain the sporadic distribution of genes designated as "HSP70" or "HSC70" in previous analyses. Consistent with the history of diversification within each group, stress-inducible members of the second lineage show lower purifying selection pressure compared to constitutive members. These results illustrate the evolutionary history of the HSP70 family independent from their expression patterns, encouraging the development of new nomenclature based on evolutionary history.

Key words: heat shock cognates, molecular evolution, rotifer, stress inducibility, synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution.

Introduction

The 70-kDa heat shock protein (HSP70) family members play important roles in various cellular processes including heat shock response, folding of newly synthesized proteins, protein transport, and protein degradation. These apparently diverse functions are attributed to their chaperone activity (Daugaard et al. 2007; Hartl et al. 2011), by which they prevent the aggregation and misfolding of target proteins. Typically, HSP70 family members bind to denaturing or newly synthesized proteins by recognizing up to ten hydrophobic amino acid residues on the protein surface. The subsequent release of the HSP70 family members, which is triggered by ATP hydrolysis, facilitates the proper folding of the target proteins (Zuiderweg et al. 2017). The HSP70 family contains organelle-specific members localized in cytosol, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), mitochondria, and chloroplasts (Miernyk 1997), and these organelle-specific types are also known to contribute to protein transport across organelle membranes.

HSP70 family members are often upregulated upon various stresses that disrupt protein folding, such as heat treatment, exposure to toxic materials, ultraviolet irradiation, and pathogen attack (Sørensen et al. 2003; Baird et al. 2006). The upregulation is primarily regulated at the transcription level by transcription factors called heat shock factors (HSFs), particularly HSF1. Under unstressed conditions, HSF1 remains in a monomeric state. Various stresses lead to the formation of HSF1 trimers, which in turn bind to heat shock elements (HSEs) in the promoter region of HSP70 family member genes and promote their transcription. The HSF1-dependent transactivation system is generally conserved among eukaryotes, although species-specific differences in HSF1 function have been reported in many organisms such as the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* (Jedlicka et al. 1997; Marchler and Wu 2001) and yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Sorger and Pelham 1988). HSP70 family member genes have therefore been used as biomarkers of environmental stresses both in laboratory and field experiments (Sanders 1993; Ceyhun et al. 2010; Judge et al. 2011).

On the other hand, several cytosolic HSP70 family members show constitutive expression

patterns. These proteins are involved in the folding of newly synthesized proteins and are

traditionally called 70-kDa heat shock cognates (HSC70). A previous phylogenetic analysis

indicated that metazoan HSP70 family members can be classified into invertebrate HSP70s,

vertebrate HSP70s, and HSC70s from both vertebrates and invertebrates (Kourtidis et al. 2006).

However, occasional reports of "constitutive HSP70s," especially those from invertebrates

(Jayasena et al. 1999; Piano et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2017), have been a source of continued confusion

in the classification of HSP70 family members. Stress-induced upregulation of HSC70 has also

been reported in several animals including shrimps (Luan et al. 2010), snails (Zheng et al. 2012),

and fish (Yabu et al. 2011). In-depth phylogenetic analysis on HSP70 family members with a broad

sampling would offer further insight into the classification of this important group of molecular

chaperones.

In this study, we cloned two stress-inducible HSP70 family member genes from a monogonont

rotifer, *Brachionus plicatilis*, and performed phylogenetic analyses of these sequences and more

than 100 other HSP70 family members. The phylum Rotifera, composed of mostly microscopic

aquatic animals with about 1,000 cells and a characteristic ciliates head structure, is part of the

Gnathifera, a group of basal-branching phyla related to Lophotrochozoa, which contains Mollusca

and Annelida. Together these groups form a sister-clade to Ecdysozoa, which contains the

established invertebrate models *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *D. melanogaster* (Struck et al. 2014;

Fröbius and Funch 2017). Genetic information from Gnathifera remains limited, making rotifers

useful models in evolutionary studies. Our results added novel groups of stress-inducible HSP70,

providing important insight into the evolutionary history of the metazoan HSP70 family.

Results

Primary Structures of Rotifer HSP70s

A combination of 3' and 5' RACE identified two *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes consisting of 2,121

and 2,248 bp, designated as HSP70-1 and HSP70-2, respectively. The nucleotide sequence of the

open reading frames, as well as the absence of introns, were ascertained by single PCR experiments

using cDNA or genomic DNA, respectively, followed by DNA sequencing. The deduced amino acid

sequences of HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 genes were more than 99% identical to each other

(supplementary fig. S1). Both contain the HSP70 protein family signatures, IDLGTTYS,

IFDLGGGTFDVSIL, and IVLVGGSTRIPKVQK (Prosite motifs PS00297, PS00329, and

PS01036, respectively), as well as the non-organelle stress protein motif RARFEEL found in

several cytosolic HSP70s (Cottin et al. 2008; Simoncelli et al. 2010; Zheng et al. 2012). The major

difference between *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes was the number of GGMP repeats, which are involved

in binding to the HSP70-HSP90-organizing protein (Hop), a cofactor of HSP70 (Demand et al.

1998). The nucleotide sequences of *B. plicatilis* HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 genes were registered into

the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases with accession numbers AB775784 and AB775785,

respectively.

Effects of Heat Stress on *B. plicatilis* HSP70 mRNA Levels

We next examined whether the mRNA levels of *B. plicatilis* HSP70s are increased by heat

stress. Due to the high sequence identity between *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes, we employed semi-

quantitative RT-PCR to measure their respective mRNA levels. Primers were designed to amplify

DNA fragments of 112 and 124 bp from HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 cDNAs, respectively (fig. 1A), and

the PCR products were separated using a polyacrylamide gel. The mRNA levels of HSP70-1 and

HSP70-2 in heat-treated rotifers were 2.9 and 7.5 times higher, respectively, than those in control

rotifers (fig. 1B and 1C). These results indicate that both genes encode heat-inducible HSP70.

Since the two *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes showed a similar expression pattern in response to

heat stress, we used quantitative real-time PCR primers that amplify both HSP70-1 and HSP70-2

genes in the subsequent time-course expression analyses (fig. 2A). The relative mRNA levels were

increased by heat stress at 40°C for 10 min and reached at the maximum level 2 h after the heat

stress. The difference in mRNA levels between the control and 2 h groups was statistically

significant. The mRNA levels of *B. plicatilis* HSP70s remained twofold 8 h after the heat stress. We

then performed *in situ* hybridization using DIG-labeled RNA probes (fig. 2B). The probe region

contained only five base pair differences between the two HSP70 genes, and thus the RNA probes

were likely to hybridize with both HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 mRNAs. The hybridization signals

increased in all tissues of heat-treated rotifers. Altogether, these results indicate that the *B. plicatilis*

HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 genes are heat-inducible and have similar expression profiles.

Phylogenetic Analysis of HSP70 Family Members

To assess the relationship of the *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes with those of other rotifers and

other metazoans, we constructed gene trees of more than 100 HSP70 family members, including

members associated with the mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum and many sequences known

only from automated genome annotation as "HSP70." To assess the robustness of the results we

used alignments made by three different methods (Clustal-Omega, M-Coffee, and Expresso) to

construct consensus trees with maximum-likelihood (RAxML) and Bayesian (MrBayes)

approaches. The RAxML PROTGAMMAAUTO function found that the LG model of protein

evolution (Le and Gascuel 2008) was optimal for all three alignments and this model was used to

construct ML and Bayesian consensus trees. The six trees were in general agreement for major

nodes; fig. 3 shows the Bayesian consensus tree of the Expresso alignment and support for major

nodes from each approach is shown in table 1. In all analyses HSP70 family members known to be

mitochondria- and ER-specific formed two monophyletic groups (nodes 11 and 12 in fig. 3) in

agreement with previous reports (Boorstein et al. 1994; Miernyk 1997; Nikolaidis and Nei 2004).

All family members known to be cytosolic formed a third group (node 1; clades 1–6 in fig. 3), with

yeast cytosolic HSP70s (clade 6) basal to all metazoan cytosolic HSP70s. Within the metazoan

cytosolic HSP70s (node 2; clades 1–5) there were two lineages: Lineage I was well supported and

contained of genes from arthropods, molluscs, and rotifers (node 3; clade 1); Lineage II was more

poorly resolved and represented by many diverse vertebrate and invertebrate phyla (node 4; clades

2–5). There are two groups of vertebrate cytosolic HSP70s (nodes 8 and 9), which form a

monophyletic group (node 7; clade 5) in Bayesian and RAxML analyses of Expresso and Clustal

alignments. Unexpectedly, we found two additional clusters of cytosolic HSP70 family members in

all analyses: one composed of HSP70 genes from the phylum Rotifera (node 5; clade 2) and the

other from the phylum Nematoda (node 6; clade 3). This suggests the evolution of two unique

subgroups of HSP70, distinct from conventional vertebrate and invertebrate HSP70s.

The phylogenetic breadth of our analyses provided the opportunity to search for sequence

motifs characteristic of different groups within the HSP70 family. We identified a single region near

the N terminus (but after signal peptide motifs) that discriminates between metazoan mitochondrial,

ER, and cytosolic HSP70s (fig. 4). We also found the RARFEEL motif, previously identified as

possibly cytosolic-specific (Cottin et al. 2008; Simoncelli et al. 2010; Zheng et al. 2012), in several

invertebrate genes that are found with high confidence in the ER-associated node 12, suggesting

that this is not a reliable predictor of cytosol association.

Stress-inducible invertebrate HSP70s are reported to have an extra serine residue in their

ATPase domain (Kourtidis et al. 2006; Garbuz et al. 2011). In our analysis this insertion occurs only

in Lineage I irrespective of the stress-inducibility, where it is present in all sequences except *B.*

ibericus HSP70 and one of four *Lottia* HSP70s; in *Priapulus* HSP70-1 the serine has been replaced

by alanine (fig. 5). These results suggest that the extra serine residue is a characteristic of this

lineage and may be associated with the stress-inducibility of the ancestral HSP70. The alignment

result supports the idea that HSP70s from Nematoda and Rotifera evolved separately from the those

in Annelida, Mollusca and Arthropoda, which have been generally recognized as "invertebrate

HSP70s."

Synonymous and Nonsynonymous Substitution Rates

Lastly, we calculated the synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates of representative

cytosolic HSP70 member genes. We primarily selected genes with known expression patterns

(stress-inducible or constitutive) from each clade, and only well-conserved regions were used for

the calculation (supplementary fig. S2). We noted that *B. ibericus* HSP70 (GU574486) has an

insertion at nucleotide position 403 and a nucleotide deletion at position 563, causing a frame shift.

Deduced amino acid sequences encoded by nucleotides 403–563 were markedly different from

those of other HSP70 family members because of the frame shift, which resulted in the long genetic

distance in phylogenetic trees (fig. 3). Because the frame shift greatly affects the synonymous and

nonsynonymous substitution rates, this sequence was excluded from our analysis.

We calculated synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates (Ks and Ka) for all

combinations of representative cytosolic members of the HSP70 family from metazoans (fig. 6A).

Synonymous substitution rates (Ks) were saturated in many combinations and only a limited

number of combinations produced meaningful results (229 out of 465 combinations; supplementary

material 1). The Ka/Ks values calculated for the 229 combinations were averaged for each clade

(fig. 6B). Overall, Ka/Ks values were markedly lower than 1, indicating that cytosolic HSP70

family member genes have been under purifying selection. The purifying selection pressure appears

to have been less in Lineage I (clade 1) than in Lineage II (clades 2-5) and within Lineage II the

selection pressure was strongest in Nematode HSP70s (clade 3), invertebrate HSC70/HSP70s (clade

4), and vertebrate HSC70s (clade 5, node 8). In particular, vertebrate HSC70s (clade 5, node 8) and

HSP70s (clade 5, node 9) showed significant difference in the Ka/Ks despite their close

phylogenetic relationship.

Discussion

A previous phylogenetic analysis by Kourtidis et al. (2006) classified metazoan cytosolic

HSP70s into three groups, invertebrate HSP70, vertebrate HSP70, and HSC70 including both

vertebrate and invertebrate orthologues (Kourtidis et al. 2006). The monophyletic origin of HSC70s

was also proposed by Nikolaidis and Nei (2004) (Nikolaidis and Nei 2004). Surprisingly, to our

knowledge, there has been no significant update on the evolution of metazoan HSP70 family after

these reports. Here we show that there are at least two types of ancestral cytosolic HSP70 family

member genes in metazoans, one (node 3; clade 1) giving birth to a lineage of invertebrate HSP70s,

and a second (node 4; clade 5) to a lineage of both vertebrate and invertebrate HSP70s and all

HSC70 genes. This second lineage has further diversified within diverse phyla: Rotifera (node 5;

clade 2), Nematoda (node 6; clade 3), and Chordata (node 7; clade 5) (fig. 3).

Inter-clade comparison of the synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates shows that

while all HSP70 family members are under strong purifying selection, the pressure to conserve

amino acid sequence varies across clades. Most dramatically, the canonical HSC70 genes of

vertebrates (node 8; clade 5) are more conserved than their HSP70 cognates (node 9; clade 5). This

suggests that the role of HSC70 protein, the folding of newly synthesized proteins, is more

conserved and potentially ancestral. In addition, vertebrate HSC70, and not vertebrate HSP70, is

involved in chaperon-mediated autophagy (Kaushik and Cuervo 2012). Indeed, cells deficient in the

HSC70 gene are non-viable (Florin et al. 2004), whereas HSP70 knockout mice are mostly viable

and fertile (Daugaard et al. 2007).

Given the presence of inducible and constitutively expressed genes in many clades of

cytosolic HSP70, it is difficult to predict the ancestral state of this phenotype, and whether a gene is

inducible or constitutive expression does not predict its relationship to other family members.

Phylogenetic analyses, the novel signature sequences near the N terminus (fig. 4), and the extra

serine residue (fig. 5) would provide better clues for the classification of HSP70 family members

than their expression patterns under stress.

In conclusion, the present study identified two novel HSP70 family members from a

monogonont rotifer, an emerging model in evolutionary biology. The subsequent phylogenetic

analyses illustrated the evolutionary history of the metazoan HSP70 family, in which stress

inducibility does not reflect evolutionary history. The development of new nomenclature will help

us understand the true nature of the HSP70 family.

Materials and Methods

Culture

    We used the *B. plicatilis* Ishikawa strain (also called *Brachionus* sp. ISKW), originally isolated from a Japanese eel culture pond (Yoshinaga et al. 2004), for cDNA cloning, semi-quantitative RT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR, and *in situ* hybridization. The cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene sequence has been registered for detailed identification of this strain (GenBank accession number LC422762). Rotifers were cultured at 25 ˚C by a standard protocol (Kaneko et al. 2016) using half-diluted Brujewicz artificial seawater and fed the algae *Chlorella regularis* (Nikkai Center, Tokyo, Japan) at a final concentration of approximately 7×10^6 cells/mL.

Heat Treatment

    For semi-quantitative RT-PCR, rotifers were batch-cultured in 400 mL at 25˚C. In the exponential growth phase, approximately 6×10^4 individuals were randomly divided into two groups and concentrated to about 10 mL by filtration through 20 µm mesh filters. One group (heat-treated group) was transferred to culture medium at 30˚C for 10 min, collected with a 20 µm mesh filter, and stored in ISOGEN (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) at -80˚C. The control group was prepared by the same procedure at 25˚C.

    For quantitative real-time PCR, 8 rotifers bearing 2 or 3 eggs were selected from a batch culture population and inoculated into a well of a 12-well plate (Nunc, Rochester, NY) containing 1 mL of culture medium at 25˚C. The 12-well plate was put on a water bath at 40˚C for 10 min, and then transferred to a water bath at 25˚C. Rotifers were sampled before heat treatment (control), just after heat treatment (time 0), and 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 h after heat treatment (n = 3, each group contained 8 individuals). Rotifer samples were stored in 500 µL ISOGEN (Nippon Gene) and stored at -80˚C until use. Rotifers used for *in situ* hybridization were prepared by the same method except the heat shock temperature was 30˚C.

cDNA Cloning of HSP70

5' RACE for the partial sequence of *B. plicatilis* HSP70 gene [AB076052; (Kaneko et al.

2002)] was carried out using a GeneRacer kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with gene-specific primers

rHSP70_5RACE1, rHSP70_5RACE2, and rHSP70_5RACE3 (supplementary table S1). Total RNA

was extracted from a rotifer population in an exponential growth phase and used for 1st strand

cDNA synthesis using the rHSP70_5RACE1 primer and the GeneRacer kit (Invitrogen). First round

PCR was performed at 94˚C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 55˚C for 30 s and 72

˚C for 1 min. The final extension step was performed at 72˚C for 5 min. The 20 µL-reaction mixture

contained about 1 µg of the 1st strand cDNA, 2 µL of Ex *Taq* buffer (Takara, Shiga, Japan), 1 U of

Ex *Taq* DNA polymerase, 4 nmol of dNTP mixture, 10 pmol of rHSP70_5RACE2 primer and

GeneRacer 5' primer (Table 1). The amplified products were diluted 10-fold with sterile distilled

water and used as the template for the nested PCR with rHSP70_5RACE3 and GeneRacer 5' nested

primers (supplementary table S1). The PCR conditions were same as the first round PCR except for

the template and primers. The amplified products were subcloned into pGEM-T vecter (Promega,

Madison, WI) and sequenced as reported previously (Yoon et al. 2008).

3' RACE for rotifer HSP70 gene was carried out as follows. An aliquot of first strand cDNA

was synthesized from rotifers in an exponential phase using an oligo-dT adapter primer

(supplementary table S1). PCR was performed with the first strand cDNA as a template and with

rHSP70_3RACE1 and AUAP primers. PCR was carried out with 30 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 60˚C

for 30 s, and 72˚C for 2 min. The final extension step was performed at 72˚C for 5 min. The 20 µl of

reaction mixture contained 5 pmol of forward and reverse primers, approximately 1 µg of first

strand cDNA, 4 nmol of dNTP mixture, 2 µL of 10 × PCR buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl, pH8.3, 500

mM KCl, 15 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.01% (w/v) gelatin] and 0.2 U of Ex *Taq* DNA polymerase (Takara).

Nested PCR was performed under the same conditions using the PCR product diluted 100-fold and

the rHSP70_3RACE2 primer. The amplified products were subcloned into pGEM-T vecter

(Promega) and sequenced as described above. The nucleotide sequence of the open reading frame

was confirmed by a single PCR using rHSP70_full_f1 and rHSP70_full_r1 primers (supplementary

table S1). Conditions of the single PCR were same as described in 5' RACE.

Semi-Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA extraction and 1st strand cDNA synthesis were performed as described above. Primers

rHSP70_gapF and rHSP70_gapR were designed to amplify the region encoding the GGMP repeat

of *B. plicatilis* HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 genes (Table 1). The β-actin gene was used as the internal

control with specific primers rActinF and rActinR reported previously (Kaneko et al. 2005). PCR

was carried out at 94˚C for 3 min followed by 20 – 40 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 58˚C for 30 s and

72˚C for 30 s. A 20-µL reaction mixture contained approximately 1 µg of 1st strand cDNA, 10 pmol

of rHSP70_gapF and rHSP70_gapR, 2 µL of Ampli *Taq* buffer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City,

CA), 4 nmol of dNTP mixture, and 0.2 U of Ampli *Taq* DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems).

The PCR products were separated with an 8% acrylamide gel and visualized by ethidium bromide

staining.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Rotifers were collected 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 h after the heat treatment, and stored in ISOGEN

(Takara) at -80˚C until use (three replicates for each time point, one replicate contained eight

individuals). Rotifers collected before the heat treatment were used as the control. Quantitative real-

time PCR was performed as reported previously (Kaneko et al. 2011). Primers for the β-actin gene

were described previously (Kaneko et al. 2005); primers for HSP70 genes were designed using the

Primer Express software ver. 2 (Applied Biosystems) (supplementary table S1). R version 3.5.1. and

the multcomp package (Hothorn et al. 2008) was used for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

followed by the Dunnett's test on the Macintosh platform.

In situ Hybridization

Rotifers were fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde 4 h after the heat treatment. *In*

situ hybridization was performed as reported previously (Kaneko et al. 2011), using DIG-labeled

RNA probes synthesized as follows. Primers HSP70_insituF and HSP70_insituR were designed to

amplify the DNA fragment of 2026 - 2103 nt of *B. plicatilis* HSP70-1 gene (supplementary table

S1). PCR was performed in a 20 µL reaction mixture containing approximately 1 µg of the genomic

DNA of *B. plicatilis,* 10 pmol each of the HSP70_insituF and HSP70_insituR primers, 2 µL of 10 ×

PCR buffer, 4 nmol dNTP mixture, and 0.2 U of Ex *Taq* DNA polymerase (Takara). The

amplification was carried out with the initial denaturation at 94˚C for 3 min and 40 cycles of 94˚C

for 30 s, 60˚C for 30 s, and 72˚C for 1.5 min, followed by the final extension step at 72˚C for 5 min.

The amplified products were subcloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega). DIG-labeled RNA

probes were synthesized with a DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer's

instructions.

Phylogenetic Analysis

A multiple sequence alignment of HSP70 and HSC70 amino acid sequences was produced

using Clustal Omega, Expresso, and M-Coffee with default parameters Bayesian phylogenetic

analysis was performed using MrBayes (v3.2.6) on the CIPRES scientific gateway v3.3 (Miller et

al. 2010) with four chains each for 10^7 generations. Every 1000th trees were sampled, and the first

25% of samples were discarded as a burn-in. Bayesian consensus trees were visualized with the

TreeView software v1.4.3. The ML tree was constructed on the MEGA7 software (Kumar et al.

2016) with the LG + G model, which was selected as the best-fit model according to Bayesian

information criterion (BIC) by Prottest v3.4.2 (Darriba et al. 2011). Bootstrap resampling analysis

from 1000 replicates was used to evaluate internal branches.

Synonymous and Nonsynonymous Substitution Rates

R version 3.6.2. was used for the calculation of the synonymous and nonsynonymous

substitution rates (the kaks function), Kruskal-Wallis test (kruskal.test function), and post-hoc test

(pairwise.wilcox.test function) on the Macintosh platform. Heat maps were created in Microsoft

Excel 2016 (Redmond, MA).

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TY, GK. Performed experiments and data analyses: EMY,

FLJ, DMW, GK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: EH, DMW, GK. Wrote the paper:

DMW, GK. Read and approved the final manuscript: EMY, TY, FLJ, EH, DMW, GK.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere thanks to Dr. Kristin Gribble, Marine Biological Laboratory, for helpful

discussions and comments on the manuscript. The authors are grateful to Cynthia Rodriguez and

Jamie Wilson, University of Houston-Victoria, for their help in gene expression analyses. GK was

supported by funding from M.G. and Lillie A. Johnson Foundation, Victoria, Texas.

Figure Legends

FIG. 1. Semi-quantitative reverse transcription-PCR *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes. A representative

result is shown out of three independent experiments. (A) Location of primers rHSP70_gapF and

rHSP70_gapR that amplify cDNA fragment of 112 and 124 bp from HSP70-1 and HSP70-2

cDNAs, respectively. (B) Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis patterns of the RT-PCR product. Cycle

numbers within a linear range of PCR amplification were determined to be 24 to 28 cycles for both

cDNAs by preliminary experiments on the basis of signal intensities of amplified products by RT-

PCR. (C) Signal intensities of HSP70-1 and HSP70-2 genes standardized to those of β-actin.

FIG. 2. Expression analyses of *B. plicatilis* HSP70 genes. (A) Heat stress-induced expression of

HSP70 quantified by real-time PCR. Beta-actin gene was used as the internal control. Bars

represent standard errors from three replications. One-way ANOVA detected significant effects of

heat treatment ($F = 3.281$, $df = 5$, $P = 0.0426$). Dunnett's multiple comparison was used to detect

significant differences between the control and other groups. Difference between control and two

hours group was statistically significant ($t = 3.246$, $P = 0.028$). (B) *In situ* hybridization for *B.*

plicatilis HSP70 genes. Rotifers were fixed 4 h after the heat treatment.

F<small>IG</small>. **3.** Bayesian tree of HSP70 family members. Nodes discussed in the text indicated. Numbers on

the branches indicate the posterior probability support for each node. Stress-inducible and non-

inducible genes are shown in red and blue, respectively. No data on the stress inducibility is

available for genes shown in black. Approved HGNC names of human HSP70 family members are

shown in yellow boxes for reference. The DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank accession numbers and other

information are summarized in supplementary table S2. Clades 1 to 6 include only cytosolic

members of the HSP70 family.

F<small>IG</small>. **4.** Logo visualization of mitochondrial-, endoplasmic reticulum-, and cytosolic-specific

sequence near the N terminus of the HSP70 family members.

F<small>IG</small>. **5.** Comparison of the amino acid sequences of the HSP70 family members from invertebrates.

Nodes in the phylogenetic tree (fig. 3) are indicated on the right margin, and serine residues specific

to node 3 are highlighted. Stress-inducible and non-inducible genes are shown in red and blue,

respectively. No data on the stress inducibility is available for genes shown in black. The accession

numbers and other information are summarized in supplementary table S2.

F<small>IG</small>. **6.** Selection pressure on cytosolic HSP70 family member genes. (A) Nonsynonymous

substitution rates (Ka values) between 34 HSP70 family member genes. Gene number 1 is the fruit

fly HSP70 Bb (AF295957) gene. Accession numbers for other genes are indicated in the figure.

Clades and nodes correspond to those in fig. 3. (B) Boxplots for the Ka/Ks values averaged for each

clade. Only Ka/Ks values calculated from inter-cluster pairs were averaged. Statistical differences

were calculated by Kruskal-Wallis test (chi-squared = 88.704, df = 5, p < 2.2e-16) followed by the

non-parametric post-hoc tests (pairwise Wilcox test with P value adjustment by the Holm method).

Clades sharing same letters are not significantly different at the 5% level of significance. One

outlier in clade 3 is not included in the box plot (see Supplementary Material 1).

References

Baird NA, Turnbull DW, Johnson EA. 2006. Induction of the heat shock pathway during hypoxia
    requires regulation of heat shock factor by hypoxia-inducible factor-1. *J Biol Chem*
    281:38675-38681.

Boorstein WR, Ziegelhoffer T, Craig EA. 1994. Molecular evolution of the HSP70 multigene
    family. *J Mol Evol* 38:1-17.

Cellura C, Toubiana M, Parrinello N, Roch P. 2006. HSP70 gene expression in *Mytilus
    galloprovincialis* hemocytes is triggered by moderate heat shock and *Vibrio anguillarum*, but
    not by *V. splendidus* or *Micrococcus lysodeikticus*. *Dev Comp Immunol* 30:984-997.

Ceyhun SB, Sentürk M, Ekinci D, Erdoğan O, Ciltaş A, Kocaman EM. 2010. Deltamethrin
    attenuates antioxidant defense system and induces the expression of heat shock protein 70 in
    rainbow trout. *Comp Biochem Physiol, C Toxicol Pharmacol* 152:215-223.

Cottin D, Ravaux J, Leger N, Halary S, Toullec JY, Sarradin PM, Gaill F, Shillito B. 2008. Thermal
    biology of the deep-sea vent annelied *Paralvinella grasslei*: in vivo studies. *J Exp Biol*
    211:2196-2204.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2011. ProtTest 3: fast selection of best-fit models of
    protein evolution. *Bioinformatics* 27:1164-1165.

Daugaard M, Rohde M, Jäättelä M. 2007. The heat shock protein 70 family: Highly homologous
    proteins with overlapping and distinct functions. *FEBS Lett* 581:3702-3710.

Deane EE, Woo NYS. 2009. Modulation of fish growth hormone levels by salinity, temperature,
    pollutants and aquaculture related stress: a review. *Rev Fish Biol Fish* 19:97-120.

Demand J, Lüders J, Höhfeld J. 1998. The carboxy-terminal domain of Hsc70 provides binding

sites for a distinct set of chaperone cofactors. *Mol Cell Biol* 18:2023-2028.

Florin L, Becker KA, Sapp C, Lambert C, Sirma H, Müller M, Streeck RE, Sapp M. 2004. Nuclear

translocation of papillomavirus minor capsid protein L2 requires Hsc70. *J Virol* 78:5546-5553.

Fröbius AC, Funch P. 2017. Rotiferan Hox genes give new insights into the evolution of metazoan

bodyplans. *Nat Commun* 8:9.

Ganguly A, Han X, Das U, Wang L, Loi J, Sun J, Gitler D, Caillol G, Leterrier C, Yates JR. 2017.

Hsc70 chaperone activity is required for the cytosolic slow axonal transport of synapsin. *J Cell*

Biol 216:2059-2074.

Garbuz DG, Yushenova IA, Zatsepina OG, Przhiboro AA, Bettencourt BR, Evgen'ev MB.

2011. Organization and evolution of hsp70 clusters strikingly differ in two species of

Stratiomyidae (Diptera) inhabiting thermally contrasting environments. *BMC Evol Biol* 11:74.

Gutierrez JA, Guerriero V. 1995. Chemical modifications of a recombinant bovine stress-inducible

70 kDa heat-shock protein (Hsp70) mimics Hsp70 isoforms from tissues. *Biochem J* 305:197-

203.

Hartl FU, Bracher A, Hayer-Hartl M. 2011. Molecular chaperones in protein folding and

proteostasis. *Nature* 475:324-332.

Heschl MFP, Baillie DL. 1989. Characterization of the hsp70 multigene family of *Caenorhabditis*

elegans. *DNA* 8:233-243.

Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. 2008. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biom J*

50:346-363.

Jayasena S, Chandrasekharan N, Karunanayake EH. 1999. Molecular characterisation of a hsp70

gene from the filarial parasite *Setaria digitata*. *Int J Parasitol* 29:581-591.

Jedlicka P, Mortin MA, Wu C. 1997. Multiple functions of *Drosophila* heat shock transcription

factor *in vivo*. *EMBO J* 16:2452-2462.

Judge ML, Botton ML, Hamilton MG. 2011. Physiological consequences of the supralittoral fringe:

microhabitat temperature profiles and stress protein levels in the tropical periwinkle *Cenchritis*

muricatus (Linneaus, 1758). *Hydrobiologia* 675:143-156.

Kaneko G, Kinoshita S, Yoshinaga T, Tsukamoto K, Watabe S. 2002. Changes in expression

patterns of stress protein genes during population growth of the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*.

Fish Sci 68:1317-1323.

Kaneko G, Yoshinaga T, Gribble KE, Mark Welch D, Ushio H. 2016. Measurement of survival time
in *Brachionus* rotifers: synchronization of maternal conditions. *J Vis Exp* 113:e54126.
Kaneko G, Yoshinaga T, Yanagawa Y, Kinoshita S, Tsukamoto K, Watabe S. 2005. Molecular
characterization of Mn-superoxide dismutase and gene expression studies in dietary restricted
Brachionus plicatilis rotifers. *Hydrobiologia* 546:117-123.
Kaneko G, Yoshinaga T, Yanagawa Y, Ozaki Y, Tsukamoto K, Watabe S. 2011. Calorie restriction-
induced maternal longevity is transmitted to their daughters in a rotifer. *Funct Ecol* 25:209-
216.
Kaushik S, Cuervo AM. 2012. Chaperone-mediated autophagy: a unique way to enter the lysosome
world. *Trends Cell Biol* 22:407-417.
Kim R-O, Rhee J-S, Won E-J, Lee K-W, Kang C-M, Lee Y-M, Lee J-S. 2011. Ultraviolet B retards
growth, induces oxidative stress, and modulates DNA repair-related gene and heat shock
protein gene expression in the monogonont rotifer, *Brachionus* sp. *Aquat Toxicol* 101:529-539.
Kourtidis A, Drosopoulou E, Nikolaidis N, Hatzi VI, Chintiroglou CC, Scouras ZG. 2006.
Identification of several cytoplasmic HSP70 genes from the Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus*
galloprovincialis) and their long-term evolution in Mollusca and Metazoa. *J Mol Evol* 62:446-
459.
Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version
7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol Biol Evol* 33:1870-1874.
Le SQ, Gascuel O. 2008. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Mol Biol Evol*
25:1307-1320.
Lee JM, Lee KG, Choi HS, Kim ES, Keum B, Seo YS, Jeen YT, Chun HJ, Lee HS, Um SH. 2018.
Increased heat shock protein 70 expression attenuates pancreatic fibrosis induced by dibutyltin
dichloride. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 53:1404-1410.
Liu L, Cheng T-y, Yang Y. 2017. Cloning and expression pattern of a heat shock cognate protein 70
gene in ticks (*Haemaphysalis flava*). *Parasitol Res* 116:1695-1703.
Luan W, Li F, Zhang J, Wen R, Li Y, Xiang J. 2010. Identification of a novel inducible cytosolic
Hsp70 gene in Chinese shrimp *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* and comparison of its expression
with the cognate Hsc70 under different stresses. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 15:83-93.
Ma K-X, Chen G-W, Lou H, Fei L-N. 2009. Cloning and expression analysis of hsp70 gene from

freshwater planarian *Dugesia japonica*. *Biologia* 64:1018-1024.

Marchler G, Wu C. 2001. Modulation of *Drosophila* heat shock transcription factor activity by the molecular chaperone DROJ1. *EMBO J* 20:499-509.

Miernyk JA. 1997. The 70 kDa stress-related proteins as molecular chaperones. *Trends Plant Sci* 2:180-187.

Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE); New Orleans, LA. p. 1-8.

Milner CM, Campbell RD. 1990. Structure and expression of the three MHC-linked HSP70 genes. *Immunogenetics* 32:242-251.

Moribe Y, Oka K, Niimi T, Yamashita O, Yaginuma T. 2010. Expression of heat shock protein 70a mRNA in *Bombyx mori* diapause eggs. *J Insect Physiol* 56:1246-1252.

Neumann S, Ziv E, Lantner F, Schechter I. 1993. Regulation of HSP70 gene expression during the life cycle of the parasitic helminth *Schistosoma mansoni*. *Eur J Biochem* 212:589-596.

Nikolaidis N, Nei M. 2004. Concerted and nonconcerted evolution of the Hsp70 gene superfamily in two sibling species of nematodes. *Mol Biol Evol* 21:498-505.

Piano A, Asirelli C, Caselli F, Fabbri E. 2002. Hsp70 expression in thermally stressed *Ostrea edulis*, a commercially important oyster in Europe. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 7:250.

Ravi V, Kubofcik J, Bandopathyaya S, Geetha M, Narayanan R, Nutman T, Kaliraj P. 2004. *Wuchereria bancrofti*: cloning and characterization of heat shock protein 70 from the human lymphatic filarial parasite. *Exp Parasitol* 106:1-10.

Sanders BM. 1993. Stress proteins in aquatic organisms - an environmental perspective. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 23:49-75.

Ścieglińska D, Pigłowski W, Mazurek A, Małusecka E, Żebracka J, Filipczak P, Krawczyk Z. 2008. The HspA2 protein localizes in nucleoli and centrosomes of heat shocked cancer cells. *J Cell Biochem* 104:2193-2206.

Simoncelli F, Morosi L, Di Rosa I, Pascolini R, Fagotti A. 2010. Molecular characterization and expression of a heat-shock cognate 70 (Hsc70) and a heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) cDNAs in Rana (Pelophylax) lessonae embryos. *Comp Biochem Physiol, A Mol Integr Physiol* 156:552-560.

Snutch TP, Heschl MFP, Baillie DL. 1988. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* hsp70 gene family - a
molecular genetic-characterization. *Gene* 64:241-255.

Sørensen JG, Kristensen TN, Loeschcke V. 2003. The evolutionary and ecological role of heat
shock proteins. *Ecol Lett* 6:1025-1037.

Sorger PK, Pelham HR. 1988. Yeast heat shock factor is an essential DNA-binding protein that
exhibits temperature-dependent phosphorylation. *Cell* 54:855-864.

Struck TH, Wey-Fabrizius AR, Golombek A, Hering L, Weigert A, Bleidorn C, Klebow S,
Iakovenko N, Hausdorf B, Petersen M. 2014. Platyzoan paraphyly based on phylogenomic
data supports a noncoelomate ancestry of Spiralia. *Mol Biol Evol* 31:1833-1849.

Xiu Y, Feng J, Lu W, Liu D, Wu T, Zhu H, Liu P, Li W, Ren Q, Gu W. 2014. Identification of a
novel cognate cytosolic Hsp70 gene (MnHsc70-2) from oriental river prawn *Macrobrachium*
nipponense and comparison of its expressions with the first cognate Hsc70 (MnHsc70-1)
under different stresses. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 19:949-961.

Xu H-H, Chen X-L, Yang Y-H, Zhou X, Yang J-X. 2017. Heat shock protein 70 from the rotifer
Asplanchna brightwelli: sequencing, phylogenetic analysis and expression in response to
oxidation stressors. *Isr J Ecol Evol*:1-7.

Xu M, Jin T, Chen L, Zhang X, Zhu G, Wang Q, Lin Z. 2019. Mortalin is a distinct bio-marker and
prognostic factor in serous ovarian carcinoma. *Gene* 696:63-71.

Xu Q, Zou Q, Zheng H, Zhang F, Tang B, Wang S. 2011. Three heat shock proteins from
Spodoptera exigua: gene cloning, characterization and comparative stress response during heat
and cold shocks. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 159:92-102.

Yabu T, Imamura S, Mohammed MS, Touhata K, Minami T, Terayama M, Yamashita M. 2011.
Differential gene expression of HSC70/HSP70 in yellowtail cells in response to chaperone-
mediated autophagy. *FEBS J* 278:673-685.

Yamashita M, Hirayoshi K, Nagata K. 2004. Characterization of multiple members of the HSP70
family in platyfish culture cells: molecular evolution of stress protein HSP70 in vertebrates.
Gene 336:207-218.

Yokoyama Y, Hashimoto H, Kubota S, Kuriyama A, Ogura Y, Mizuta S, Yoshinaka R, Toyohara H.
2006. cDNA cloning of Japanese oyster stress protein homologous to the mammalian 78-kDa
glucose regulated protein and its induction by heatshock. *Fish Sci* 72:402-409.

Yoon SH, Itoh Y, Kaneko G, Nakaniwa M, Ohta M, Watabe S. 2008. Molecular characterization of

Japanese sillago vitellogenin and changes in its expression levels on exposure to 17 beta-

estradiol and 4-tert-octylphenol. *Mar Biotechnol* 10:19-30.

Yoshinaga T, Minegishi Y, Rumengan IFM, Kaneko G, Furukawa S, Yanagawa Y, Tsukamoto K,

Watabe S. 2004. Molecular phylogeny of the rotifers with two Indonesian *Brachionus*

lineages. *Coast Mar Sci* 29:45-56.

Zafarullah M, Wisniewski J, Shworak NW, Schieman S, Misra S, Gedamu L. 1992. Molecular

cloning and characterization of a constitutively expressed heat-shock-cognate hsc71 gene from

rainbow trout. *Eur J Biochem* 204:893-900.

Zhang Q, Jiang L, Mu W, Li S, Wei S. 2016. Gene cloning and expression analysis of an inducible

heat shock protein 70 gene from the polychaete *Perinereis aibuhitensis* under Cu^{2+} stress. *J*

Appl Ecol 27:1628-1638.

Zheng G, Dong S, Hou Y, Yang K, Yu X. 2012. Molecular characteristics of HSC70 gene and its

expression in the golden apple snails, *Pomacea canaliculata* (Mollusca: Gastropoda).

Aquaculture 358-359:41-49.

Zuiderweg ER, Hightower LE, Gestwicki JE. 2017. The remarkable multivalency of the Hsp70

chaperones. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 22:173-189.

A

GTGGCATGCCTGGTGGTATGCCTGG------------CGGTATGCCTGGTGGAATGCCTG 1968
GTGGTATGCCTGGTGGTATGCCTGGTGGTATGCCGGGCGGTATGCCTGGTGGAATGCCTG 1979

B

C

A

B

P = 0.028

P = 0.104

Relative mRNA levels

4
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0

No treatment

0   2   4   6   8

Time after heat treatment (h)

Control   Heat-treated

Mitochondrial

Endoplasmic reticulum

Cytosol

```
D. melanogaster HSP70A    KGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVRSTAGDT 224
D. melanogaster HSP70B    KGERNVLILDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVRSTAGDT 224
Bombyx HSP70              KGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVKSTAGDT 224
Spodoptera HSP70          KGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVRATAGDT 224
Paralvinella HSP70-1      SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILSIAD----GSLFEVKSTAGDT 229
Lingula HSP71             QGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILSIAD----GSLFEVRSTAGDT 228
A. vaga HSP70-1B          HRDQNILIYDLGGGTFDVSVLTISGGDVSGSVFEVKATAGDT 238
A. vaga HSP70-1A          QRDQNILIYDLGGGTFDVSILTISGGDAGGSVFEVRSTAGDT 238
B. ibericus HSP70         KRRKNVLIYDLGGGTFDVSVLSIND----G-LFEVLSTNGNT 224
Priapulus HSP70-1         AGERNVLVYDLGGGTFDVSVLTIDE----GAMFEVRSTAGDT 226
Aplysia HSP70-1           KGEKHVLIFDLGGGTFDVSVLAIDE----GSIFEVKATAGDT 227
Aplysia HSP70-2           SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSVLTIDE----GSMFEVKATAGDT 230
Crassostrea HSP70         SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSIFEVRSTAGDT 228
Lottia HSP70-4            DTEQHILIFDLGGGTFDVSILAIED----G-VFEVLATAGDT 227
Lottia HSP70-1            SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVKSTAGDT 225
Lottia HSP70-3            SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDE----GSLFEVKSTAGDT 226
Lottia HSP70-2            SGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILAIDE----GSLFEVKSTAGDT 226
Haliotis HSP70            KGEKNVLIFDLGGGTFDVPVLTIDE----GSMFEVRSTAGDT 231
B. plicatilis HSP70-1     GGEKNILIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIEE----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
B. manjavacas HSP70-1     AGEKNILIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKATAGDT 226
A. vaga HSP70-2A          SGERNILIFDLGGGTFDVSVLKIEE----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 184
C. elegans HSP70A         HGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 227
Wuchereria HSP70          HGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Setaria HSP70             HGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Strongyloides HSP70       ATERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSVLTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 227
Schistosoma HSP70-2       GGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 224
M. nipponense HSP70-1     GGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----D-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Mytilus HSP70             GGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIKD----G-IFEVKSTSGDT 227
Tevnia1 HSP70-1           GGEQNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Perinereis1 HSP70-1       GGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 225
Lottia HSP70c             GGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Dugesia HSP70             GIERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Oncorhynchus HSC71        GAERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
H. sapiens HSC71          GAERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
Pelodiscus HSC70          GAERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 226
H. sapiens HSP70-1B       KGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDD----G-IFEVKATAGDT 226
H. sapiens HSP70-like1    QGERHVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIDD----G-IFEVKATAGDT 228
X. maculatus HSP70-1      RGERNVLIFDLGGGTFDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 228
Alligator HSP70A          AGEKNVLIFDLGGGTLDVSILTIED----G-IFEVKSTAGDT 229
```

Node 3

Node 5

Node 6

Node 8

Node 9

Ka

A

	Gene No.		Clade 1					Clade 2			Clade 3				Clade 4						Clade 5 (node 8)					Clade 5 (node 9)						
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Clade 1	2	*D. melanogaster* HSP70Aa (AF295933)	0.00																													
	3	*Spodoptera* HSP70 (FJ754276)	0.09	0.09																												
	4	*Bombyx* HSP70 (AB035326)	0.10	0.10	0.03																											
Clade 2	5	*Paralvinella* HSP70-1 (EF580992)	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.14																										
	6	*B. plicatilis* _HSP70-1 (AB775784)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15																									
	7	*B. plicatilis* _HSP70-2 (AB775785)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.00																								
	8	*A. brightwelli* _HSP70 (KX119429)	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.06	0.06																							
Clade 3	9	*Wuchereria* (AF167352)	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.08	0.09	0.09																						
	10	*Setaria* (AF079360)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.01																					
	11	*C. elegans* HSP70A (M18540)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.05																				
	12	*C. elegans* HSP-1 (NM_070667)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.01																			
Clade 4	13	*M. nipponense* HSP70-1 (KC460343)	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08																		
	14	*M. nipponense* HSP70-2 (DQ660140)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.01																	
	15	*Mytilus* HSP70 (AY861684)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.07																
	16	*Perinereis* HSP70-1 (KU255783)	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06															
	17	*Paralvinella* HSP70-2 (EF580993)	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06														
	18	*Haliotis* HSP70c (FJ812176)	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07													
Clade 5 (node 8)	19	*Oncorhynchus* HSC71 (AAB21658)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08												
	20	*X. maculatus* HSC70 (AB062115)	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.04												
	21	Human_HSPA8 (NP_006588)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.05										
	22	*Rattus* HSC71 (NM_024351)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.00									
	23	*Pelodiscus* HSC70 (HQ219723)	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.00								
Clade 5 (node 9)	24	*Bos* HSP70 (U09861)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07							
	25	Human_HSPA1A (NM_005345)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.00						
	26	*Rattus* HSP70_1A (NM_031971)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.01					
	27	*X. maculatus* HSP70-1 (AB062113)	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07				
	28	*X. maculatus* HSP70-2 (AB062114)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06			
	29	Human_HSPA1L (NM_005527)	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07		
	30	Human_HSPA6 (NP_002146)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.09		
	31	Alligator_HSP70 (AB306279)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11

Ka   0 — 0.18

B

1: Invertebrate HSP70s
2: Rotifera HSP70s
3: Nematode HSP70s
4: Invertebrate HSP/HSC70s
5 (8): Vertebrate HSC70s
5 (9): Vertebrate HSP70s

Table 1. Support for major nodes from each approach

		ClustalOmega		Expresso		M-Coffee	
		MrBayes	RAxML	MrBayes	RAxML	MrBayes	RAxML
1	Cytosolic	100	99	100	99	100	100
2	Metazoan	100	95	100	99	100	94
3	Lineage 1	(a)	99	100	99	100	100
4	Lineage 2	(b)	41	85	67	(b)	(c)
5	Rotifer	100	48	74	48	100	65
6	Nematode	94	93 (d,e)	100 (e)	96 (e)	100 (e)	86 (e)
7	Chordate		42	85	35		
8	HSC	100	91	100	86	100	31
9	HSP	100	88	100	83	100	87
10	Outgroup	100	99	100	99	100	100
11	Mitochondrial	100	100	100	97	100	100
12	ER	100	99	100	100	100	100

(a) Rotifer genes (node 5) is included in node 3 with 83% support
(b) Rotifer genes (node 5) sister group to node 3 with 80% support, other paraphyly
(c) Nematode genes (node 6) basal; rotifer genes sister group to node 3 with 46% support, other paraphyly
(d) 36% with Trichuris (immediate outgroup to node 6)
(e) Trichuris not in node 6