Population Genomic Analyses Separate Historic Translocations from Contemporary Gene Flow in Arkansas White-tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*)

Tyler K. Chafin[1*]| Zachery D. Zbinden[1*] | Marlis R. Douglas[1] | Bradley T. Martin[1] | Christopher R. Middaugh[2] | M. Cory Gray[2] | Jennifer R. Ballard[2] | Michael E. Douglas[1]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA; Email: (TKC) tkchafin@uark.edu; (BTM) btm002@uark.edu; (ZDZ) zdzbinde@uark.edu; (MED) med1@uark.edu; (MRD) mrd1@uark.edu

[2]Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, Research, Evaluation, and Compliance Division, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205, USA; Email: (CRM) christopher.middaugh@agfc.ar.gov; (JRB) jennifer.ballard@agfc.ar.gov; (MCG) cory.gray@agfc.ar.gov

Keywords: Adaptive management; connectivity; ddRAD; dispersal; genomic cline; geographic barriers; single nucleotide polymorphism;

Disclosure statement: Authors have nothing to disclose.

Abstract(251)

2   Approximately 100 years ago, hunter-harvest eliminated white-tailed deer (WTD; *Odocoileus*

virginianus) in eastern North America, which subsequently served as a catalyst for wildlife

4   management as a national priority. An extensive stock-replenishment effort soon followed, with

WTD broadly translocated among states as a means of reestablishment. Now, contemporary

6   issues focus on reverberations from a global (and fatal) epizootic disease in Cervidae (chronic

wasting disease, CWD). These cumulative impacts have effectively obscured the traditional

8   signals of post-translocation gene flow and dispersal in North American WTD. To develop

baseline data for its adjudication, we applied cutting-edge molecular and biogeographic tools to

10   process 1,143 WTD sampled state-wide in AR, with 54,102 single nucleotide polymorphisms

(SNPs) derived via reduced-representation genomic sequencing. We then employed Simpson's

12   diversity index to visualize landscape genetic patterns previously obscured by extensive

translocations, and this allowed us to summarize multidimensional ancestry assignments and

14   identify spatio-genetic transitions. We then sub-sampled transects and tested clinal patterns

across loci for concordance and/or coincidence. Two salient results emerged: (A) Genetic echoes

16   from historic translocations are widely apparent; and (B) Geographic filters (major rivers; urban

centers; highways) now act as inflection points for the distribution of more contemporary

18   ancestry. These results, in synergy, yielded a state-wide assessment of how historic

translocations, as well as ongoing processes, have acted to dictate contemporary population

20   structure of Arkansas WTD. In addition, the analytical framework employed herein effectively

deciphered the extant/historic drivers of WTD distribution in AR. It is also applicable for other

22   biodiversity elements with demographic histories equally as complex.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Distribution and abundance are key natural history attributes that provide a foundation for
organismal ecology (Andrewartha & Birch, 1956; Kissling et al., 2018). Each is geographically
and hierarchically structured, often across several spatial scales, and in response to a non-random
distribution of resources and refugia (Turner, 1989). Species distributions and abundances are
increasingly impacted by habitat fragmentation, over-exploitation, and translocation in the
Anthropocene (Baker et al., 2017; Corlett, 2015), with the functional integrity of these attributes
being severely challenged. Hunter-harvest, an additional anthropogenic impact, also exerts a
relatively consistent global impact on biodiversity (Darimont et al., 2009). Given the manner by
which abundances and distributions have been so impacted, other attributes such as dispersal and
population connectivity have subsequently emerged as focal points for contemporary wildlife
management, (Crooks & Sanjayan, 2006; Cushman, Elliot, Macdonald, & Loveridge, 2016).
Here we focus on these latter attributes as we evaluate historic and contemporary population
structure in a wild Arkansas (AR) ungulate (white-tailed deer, WTD; *Odocoileus virginianus*).

1.1 | Dispersal, connectivity, and population structure

Dispersal and connectivity have been traditionally assayed for management purposes using direct
methods such as radio- and satellite-tracking (Kays, Crofoot, Jetz, & Wikelski, 2015), yet both
have deficiencies such as low sample-sizes and a perspective that must extrapolate from
individuals to populations (Katzner & Arlettaz, 2020). An indirect approach, on the other hand,
relies instead upon gene flow (i.e., movements, demographics) and genetic drift (i.e.,
heterozygosity, effective population size) to quantify population-level movement ecology
captured over many generations (Bossart & Prowell, 1998; Comte & Olden, 2018). Landscape

genetics, for example (Richardson, Brady, Wang, & Spear, 2016), quantifies movement patterns

48   by evaluating the spatial structure inherent to genetic diversity (as mediated by dispersal and

gene flow), and does so for greater numbers at a fraction of the per-individual cost (Picard et al.,

50   2017; van Rees, Reed, Wilson, Underwood, & Sonsthagen, 2018; Wang & Shaffer, 2017).

We now recognize that genotypes within a species (i.e. gene pool) vary across

52   landscapes, often coalescing as discretely recognizable sub-populations (i.e., intra-specific

population structure driven by mutation, selection, gene flow, genetic drift), and visualized/

54   interpreted at varying degrees both temporally and spatially (Barton & Clark, 1990; Slatkin,

1989). They span from the afore-mentioned sub-populations through continuously decreasing

56   gradients of genetic similarity that extend over geographic or environmental distance (i.e.,

isolation by distance: Avise 1992; Bohonak 1999; Bradburd et al. 2018). Structure can be

58   ascertained by sampling individuals across appropriate spatial scales, relative to the dispersal

kernel of the organism (Nathan, Klein, Robledo-Arnuncio, & Revilla, 2012). It can then be

60   employed to test hypotheses about the likely causes of observed genetic patterns (Bradburd,

Ralph, & Coop, 2016; Miles, Rivkin, Johnson, Munshi-South, & Verrelli, 2019). However, gene

62   flow and genetic drift are often conflated, and their deconstruction is an important aspect when

attempting to clarify how population genetic structure is driven.

64   Patterns of genetic diversity are also impacted by multiple extrinsic and intrinsic factors.

For WTD, those extrinsic may include: Rivers, interstate highways, large tracks of forested

66   habitat (Robinson, Samuel, Lopez, & Shelton, 2012); agricultural land use, climate-related

factors (Brinkman, Deperno, Jenks, Haroldson, & Osborn, 2005); and landscape connectivity

68   (Koen, Tosa, Nielsen, & Schauber, 2017). Intrinsic factors, on the other hand, may reflect:

Population density (Lutz, Diefenbach, & Rosenberry, 2015); age structure/ sex ratio (Long,

70   Diefenbach, Rosenberry, & Wallingford, 2008); and social hierarchy (Nixon & Mankin, 2016).

Factors that traditionally shape dispersal and genetic diversity within/ across regions can

72   difficult to quantify in that anthropogenic actions such as translocation or trafficking can obscure

existing patterns (Brown, Hull, Updike, Fain, & Ernest, 2009; Shephard, Ogden, Tryjanowski,

74   Olsson, & Galbusera, 2013). This is particularly true for WTD, as it is one of the most

recreationally important animal species in North America (Knoche & Lupi, 2012), with

76   populations intensely impacted by both hunter harvest and game management (Waller &

Alverson, 1997; Wolverton, Kennedy, & Cornelius, 2007). For example, at the beginning of the

78   20th century, WTD and other heavily hunted species (e.g., wild turkey) saw heavy declines and

widespread extirpations . In a census of the north-central United States, Leopold (1931) noted

80   "… some deer" were still extant in southern Missouri at this time, and this dovetails with WTD

numbers estimated in Arkansas (~500 scattered across isolated refugia; Holder, 1951).

82   Subsequent AR efforts to bolster resident numbers and re-populate depleted areas involved

translocations within-state, as well as importations from out-of-state. Although successful, a

84   result of these efforts is that apparent genetic patterns are likely to be strongly reflective of

artificial, rather than natural, movements.

86   A first step in designating factors that have shaped WTD population structure and

dispersal is to estimate that genetic component that remains within AR WTD genomes as a

88   residual of historic translocations and subsequent genetic drift. Perhaps the most pressing need to

understand WTD dispersal is the impact these data have with regard to the potential containment

90   and mitigation of a widespread and fatal neurodegenerative disease of cervids (chronic wasting

disease; CWD), that has now become a panzootic (Williams and Young 1980; Escobar et al.

92   2019; Mawdsley 2020). Thus, efforts to contain and mitigate its spread are paramount for

wildlife management, not only in North America but also globally (Leiss et al., 2017).

1.2 | Dispersal, connectivity, and management

96 An understanding of the manner by which WTD disperse across the landscape has clear

management implications. Its landscape movements are many and varied, as driven by habitat

98 quality, climate, and anthropogenic benefits. Region-specific patterns are needed so as to

develop broader generalizations regarding species-specific movement ecology (Brinkman et al.,

100 2005).

The goal of our study was to ascertain if signatures of historic translocations are apparent

102 in, and have contributed to, the genetic diversity and structure of Arkansas WTD, and

furthermore, if they can indeed be parsed from ongoing gene flow and genetic drift. To do so, we

104 surveyed a broad array of nuclear genomic markers using ddRAD sequencing (Peterson et al.,

2012). Our first objective was to characterize respective patterns of anthropogenic translocation

106 and natural re-colonization via refugial populations, and secondarily to seek evidence for

geographic barriers throughout the state that seemingly prevent or actively filter WTD dispersal.

108 However, we hypothesized that the former situation would obscure the latter, in that long-range

anthropogenically-mediated displacement of individuals violates methodological assumptions

110 that stipulate gene flow as occurring in a spatially consistent manner (Bradburd & Ralph, 2019).

To address this, we repurposed existing formulas that summarized ancestry assignments

112 by reducing their dimensionality, and mitigated methodological artefacts due to translocation

(Simpson, 1949). Our result was an interpolated 'surface' representing putative intraspecific

114 suture zones. We also wished to define the respective roles played by stochastic and

deterministic processes in generating these zones, particularly given historic population

116   fluctuations and the internal/ external translocations that conflated the demography of AR WTD.

To do so, we borrowed components of clinal variation theory so as to hypothesize the manner by

118   which individual loci should transition across these spaces, relative to a genome-wide average

(Barton, 1983; Barton & Hewitt, 1985; Endler, 1973; Hewitt, 2001; Polechová & Barton, 2011;

120   Slatkin, 1973).

        Although clinal variation is often taken as evidence for selection, genetic drift in concert

122   with spatially variable gene flow can generate patterns in individual loci that mimic those seen in

adaptive clines (Vasemägi, 2006). With drift operating alone, allele frequencies at each locus

124   may wander 'upward' or 'downward' across space as a function of the initial allele frequency.

Range expansion or dissemination from refugia can also yield a type of 'rolling' founder effect

126   that can mirror a clinal pattern (Excoffier, Foll, & Petit, 2009; Hallatschek & Nelson, 2008;

Hewitt, 2000; Keller et al., 2013). Given this, we might predict that multi-locus patterns at

128   intraspecific suture zones generated solely as a result of genetic drift in expanding populations

will be dominated by stochastic directional change, with each drifting either up or down with

130   respect to the genome-wide average (Santangelo, Johnson, & Ness, 2018). On the other hand, a

strong barrier to movement would yield a discontinuity in the rate of this change across space (

132   Barton, 2008; Nagylaki, 1976; Slatkin, 1973). The existence of a barrier (either impacting

dispersal or as a strong fitness differential) thus implies the presence of an inflection point that

134   would induce a sigmoidal change in locus-specific ancestries (the "width" of this cline is the

inverse of the slope at the inflection point; Slatkin 1973; Endler 1977; Fitzpatrick 2013).

136   Given these predictions, we summarized locus-wise patterns using two clinal parameters:

α, which describes the direction of genetic change (i.e. directionally), and β, which indicates the

138   rate of change, or 'width' of a cline. We examined the manner by which these two parameters

varied, then developed hypotheses regarding how those processes impacted our observed

140   population structure. We then considered the evolutionary implications, and limitations, of these

results in the context of WTD management.

2 | METHODS

144   **2.1 | Sampling and data collection**

During 2016-2019, 1,720 tissues were collected by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

146   (AGFC), representing all 75 Arkansas counties. A combination of targeted sampling, road-kill

surveys, and a voluntary state-wide CWD testing program were deployed (see Douglas et al.,

148   2020). Age and sex were collected where possible, with the former estimated by tooth

development and wear (Severinghaus, 1949). Data for an additional 30 samples were also

150   attained from Wisconsin, as a means to test for signals of historically recorded translocation

efforts involving Wisconsin stock (Holder, 1951). From these, a subset of 1,208 samples were

152   chosen for sequencing.

We homogenized tongue or ear tissue (stored at -20°C) and extracted genomic DNA

154   using QIAamp Fast Tissue kits (Qiagen, Inc), with verification via gel electrophoresis (2%

agarose). Samples with sufficient yields of high molecular weight DNA (>200ng minimum)

156   were then enzymatically fragmented via incubation at 37°C, using high-fidelity *NsiI* and *MspI*

restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs, Inc.), following enzyme and size selection

158   optimization using *in silico* digests (Chafin, Martin, Mussmann, Douglas, & Douglas, 2018) of

several available reference genomes hosted by NCBI: *Odocoileus virginianus*

160   (GCA_002102435.1), *Capreolus capreolus* (GCA_000751575.1), and *Capra hircus*

(GCF_001704415.1).

162   Digests were purified using Ampure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter, Inc.) and standardized

to 100ng per sample. Unique inline barcodes (Peterson et al., 2012) were then ligated using T4

164   DNA Ligase (following manufacturer protocols; New England Biolabs, Inc.). Samples were then

multiplexed (N=48) prior to automated size selection at 300-450bp using a Pippin Prep (Sage

166   Sciences). Adapter extension was performed over 12 PCR cycles using TruSeq-compatible

indexed primers (Illumina, Inc.) and Phusion high-fidelity *taq* polymerase (New England

168   Biolabs, Inc.) Additional quality controls (e.g., qPCR and fragment analysis) were performed on

final libraries prior to 1x100 single-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Genomics and

170   Cell Characterization Facility, University of Oregon/Eugene), with a total of N=96 samples

pooled per lane.

172   Raw reads were demultiplexed using the pyRAD pipeline (Eaton, 2014), and those with

barcode mismatches were discarded. Demultiplexed reads were further filtered by removing

174   those having >4 nucleotides below a quality threshold of 99% accuracy. Reads were then

clustered into putative loci within-individuals, allowing for a maximum distance threshold of

176   15%. This was done using the VSEARCH algorithm (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, & Mahé,

2016) as implemented in pyRAD, so as to remove read clusters with 3+ indels, >5 ambiguous

178   consensus nucleotides, or a coverage <20X or >500X. Putative homologs were identified using

among-individual clustering with the same parameters, and additionally removing any locus

180   having >2 alleles per individual, >70% heterozygosity for any polymorphic site, >10

heterozygous sites, or <50% individual recovery (see *github.com/tkchafin/scripts* for post-

182   processing and file formatting scripts).

184   **2.2 | Derivation of population structure**

We first sub-sampled the dataset to one SNP per locus and excluded those with a minor allele

186   count <2. We then inferred population structure program (ADMIXTURE: Alexander et al.,

2009) with parallel processing (ADMIXPIPE: Mussmann et al., 2020). Model selection (i.e. for K,

188   the number of populations) followed a cross-validation approach with results aggregated from 20

independent replicates (CLUMPAK: Kopelman et al., 2015).

190   Individual-level ADMIXTURE results were summarized as a 'surface' with spatial

discontinuities represented as interpolated assignment probabilities. Here, we constructed state-

192   wide rasters, as representing per-pixel probabilities or 'ancestry proportions,' using Empirical

Bayesian Kriging (EBK: ARCMAP 10.7.1, Esri, Inc.). Probability surfaces were then summarized

194   as evenness and diversity of ancestries in a given cell using Simpson's index (Simpson, 1949)

(where K=number of statewide sub-populations). Our use of the diversity index was based on a

196   straightforward prediction: Areas representing spatial transitions between populations will have a

correspondingly low certainty of assignment to a given sub-population ancestry. Likewise, those

198   with low inter-population exchange will be comprised of genetically similar individuals assigned

with high probability to the endemic ancestry. Thus, intraspecific suture zones represent a

200   marked transition from one population to another, as identified by site-wise diversity in

assignment probabilities (i.e., "ancestry diversity").

202   We expect spatial variation in ancestry diversity to be inversely proportional to true rates

of gene flow, in that this quantity (as well as the ancestry proportions from which it is computed)

204   are a product of gene flow averaged over many generations. Of note is the fact that the method

only examines local ancestry probabilities and will not be dominated by translocation-related

206   artefacts (as are methods based on interpolated pairwise genetic distances or F_{ST}). Patterns based

on Simpson's diversity were contrasted with those inferred using a form of 2D-stepping stone

208   model (EEMS; Petkova et al. 2015), which was run with 2 million MCMC iterations (1 million

as burn-in), and sampled every 1,000 iterations [following parameter sweeps that tuned MCMC

210   acceptance rates to fall between 20% and 50% (Roberts, Gelman, & Gilks, 1997)].

212   **2.3 | Estimating clinal parameters**

We examined the nature of intraspecific suture zones by examining how individual loci

214   transitioned across these regions, relative to the genome-wide average. To do so, we defined

eight transects across putative suture zones, sampling 32-73 individuals per transect ($\bar{x}$ =55.38).

216   Individuals were chosen to represent each sub-population using a probability threshold applied to

ADMIXTURE results. Loci were filtered to remove all SNPs with missing data in >50% of

218   individuals, and further restricted with regard to computational time by retaining only those loci

with a sufficient allele frequency differential [computed as $\delta > 0.50$; (Gregorius & Roberds,

220   1986)]. Locus-wise clinal patterns were then inferred using a Bayesian method developed

originally for hybrid zones (Gompert & Buerkle, 2011, 2012). Open source Python code for

222   filtering SNP matrices and generating necessary input are available at:

(*github.com/tkchafin/scripts/phylip2introgress.pl* and *phylip2bgc.pl*).

224   Analyses were performed for each transect across 4 replicates, each using 1 million

MCMC iterations, discarding the first 500,000 as burn-in, with output thinned to every 500

226   iterations. Results were summarized by visualizing a 2-D density of cline shape parameters.

These are: α (=cline center) that describes an increase ($\alpha > 0$) or decrease ($\alpha < 0$) in the

228   probability of locus-specific ancestry from a parental population; and β (=cline rate) that defines

the rate of transition in probabilities of locus-specific ancestries having either steep ($\beta > 0$) or

230   wide ($\beta < 0$) shapes (Gompert, Parchman, & Buerkle, 2012). In this context, a locus which does

not deviate from the genome-wide pattern would have $\alpha=\beta=0$. Deviation in a directional manner

232 (i.e., an increase or decrease of one ancestry over another) is described by α, whereas deviation

in the rate of ancestry change around an inflection point (i.e., sigmoidal) is described by β.

234 Statistical outliers were designated using the method of Gompert and Buerkle (2011).

2.4 | Relative dispersal by age and sex

Of particular interest in wildlife management is the backwards inference of geographic

238 positioning from genotypes—that is, the geolocation of 'origination' points for sampled animals.

This could be used, for example, to ascertain the geographic origin of poached individuals, or to

240 estimate post-natal dispersal. To this end, we used the novel, deep-learning method LOCATOR

(Battey, Ralph, & Kern, 2020) to predict the geographic origin of samples without relying upon

242 explicit assumptions about population genetic processes underlying spatial genetic differentiation

(Bradburd & Ralph, 2019). This analysis was performed iteratively across each individual, using

244 the remaining samples to train the LOCATOR classifier, with100 bootstrap pseudo-replicates to

assess variance in geolocation. Given computational constraints, we performed the analysis using

246 a subset of 5000 SNPs having a minor allele frequency >10%.

We estimated relative dispersal distances as the Euclidean distance between sampled

248 localities and the centroid of predicted coordinates, under the assumption that the distance

between predicted and collection locations is the result of lifetime dispersal, at least for samples

250 for which geolocation variance is low among pseudo-replicates. These results were then

partitioned by age, sex and CWD-status.

252 Our second approach examined the decay in genetic relatedness as a function of distance

from each individual, measured as a Prevosti distance (R-package poppr: Kamvar et al., 2014).

254 Here, the assumption is that recently dispersed individuals will be, on average, more genetically

dissimilar from resident individuals, whereas resident individuals having an appreciable

256 reproductive output will be less so. These calculations were limited to individuals that had

neighboring samples within a 5km radius, thus implicit restricting the analysis to high-density

258 sampling regions. We note, however, that the traditional aging method employed for AR WTD

(Severinghaus 1949) seemingly has reduced accuracy in older deer, potentially suggesting

260 caution in the interpretation of results (Cook & Hart, 1979; Gee, Holman, Causey, Rossi, &

Armstrong, 2002; Mitchell & Smith, 1991).

3 | RESULTS

264 ### 3.1 | Data processing

Our raw data represented N=1,143 samples, including N=29 from Wisconsin (Table S1). We

266 removed N=83 that had missing metadata, discrepancies with coordinates, or <50% of loci

present. Assembly in pyRAD yielded an average of 25,584 loci per sample (σ=8,639). After

268 removing loci present in <50% of samples and excluding those containing potential paralogs

(e.g. excessive heterozygosity or >2 alleles per locus), our final dataset contained 35,420 loci,

270 from which 2,655,584 SNPs were catalogued. Of these, 54,102 were excluded as singletons. To

reduce signal redundancy, we then condensed the data to one SNP per locus, yielded a final

272 matrix of 35,099 SNPs for analyses of population structure.

274 ### 3.2 | Population structure and 'ancestry surfaces'

Cross-validation, performed on N=20 replicates each for subpopulation models (K)=1-20,

276 revealed the optimal number of clusters as K=8. Spatial orientation of these samples (Fig. 1)

provided a geographic definition, with some subpopulations qualitatively defined by apparent

278   landscape features, such as the Arkansas River Valley as the southern extent of subpopulations

$k=3$ and $k=6$.

280   Two ancestries ($k1$ and $k8$) largely dominated south of the Arkansas River, bounded by

Interstate 30 to the north and the Ouachita River to the south (Figs. 1-2), each of which supports

282   the argument that genetic structure is defined by large geographic barriers. The southwestern

portion of the state has two ancestral assignments ($k1$ and $k3$), with the latter having mixed

284   representation in the north-central section (potentially an artefact of weak differentiation rather

than true shared ancestry). The southeastern section is dominated by a single gene pool ($k8$),

286   which coincidentally subsumed all Wisconsin samples, a strong signature of genetic variability

as a residual of historic translocations.

288   A greater amount of endemic structure occurred in the Ozark Mountains, north of the

Arkansas River, where six sub-populations were evident. The most broadly distributed ($k5$) was

290   to the east in the Mississippi alluvial plains, extending westward across the mainstem of the

White River then northward towards the confluence of the Black and White rivers, where it

292   grades into several distinct yet loosely defined sub-populations (Figs. 1-2). The northwestern

corner of the state was the most heterogeneous, with four primarily endemic gene pools ($k=2,3,4$

294   and 7; Fig. 2). The northern-most of these was approximately bounded by the White River (Fig.

2), and graded westward into an area of high apparent admixture (Fig. 1). The remaining

296   northwestern region was defined by several gene pools showing considerable admixture and

spatially weak transitions, suggesting reduced gene flow but with geographic and/ or

298   environmental boundaries reasonably porous.

Effective migration surfaces (EEMS) failed to capture any ascertainable pattern relating

300   to spatially defined population structure (Fig. S1). Geographic breaks separating sub-populations

(=suture zones) were captured instead by reducing the dimensionality of interpolated assignment

302   probabilities as a continuous Simpson's diversity index (Fig. 3). This, in turn, reflects a

dependence on homogeneity of local assignments, rather than global patterns compounded by

304   long-distance transplants.

306   **3.3 | Intraspecific genomic clines**

Genomic clines varied substantially among transects (Figs. 4, S2). Most inter-population

308   comparisons within northwest Arkansas, to include $k2$ x $k3$ (both eastern and southern transition

zones), $k2$ x $k6$, and $k4$ x $k7$, indicated variation primarily restricted to cline centers (α), with

310   cline steepness (β) at a minimum. The variation in locus-wise pattern for these cases (hereafter

termed "α-dominant"), indicated a directional change in the representation of reference

312   populations across the transect, but without a noticeable 'inflection' point, as implied by non-

zero cline rates (β).

314   Remaining comparisons, including one additional transect from northwest Arkansas ($k3$ x

$k4$), primarily reflected variation in cline rate (β), in that loci varied most prominently with

316   regard to steepness/width of transition around an inflection point respective to genome-wide

ancestry. Although two transects showed minor exception to this pattern (i.e., $k3$ x $k6$ varied

318   along both parameters; and $k4$ x $k7$ showed minimal variation in either), the contrasting variation

between α-dominant versus β-dominant transects suggests that different processes underly

320   ancestry transitions.

322   **3.4 | Estimating dispersal using geolocation analysis**

Individuals from densely sampled regions could be assigned to geographic origin using the

324   'deep-learning' approach, with CWD-positive individuals assigned with a mean bootstrap

distance from centroid prediction generally <15km (Fig. S3). However, we did find assignment

326   error (e.g., among bootstraps) was elevated in low-density sampling regions (Figs. 5, S4), which

resulted in higher estimated individual dispersal distances (Fig. S4A, B). Given that variance in

328   dispersal estimates dropped considerably below ~25km (Fig. S4C), a conservative threshold of

10km was chosen and all individuals having a mean bootstrap-centroid distance above that were

330   removed for the purposes of dispersal estimates. After filtering, N=110 samples remained (Fig.

5A). A higher error threshold (i.e., 20km) allowed a greater number of total samples to be

332   incorporated (N=264; Fig. 5B), with several collected as 'roadkill' appearing as long-distance

transfers. Although these results represent low-precision assignments, they do underscore the

334   capacity of the method with regard to the identification of transported individuals (e.g., as

poached or illegally dumped deer, or carcasses transported across state lines or regional

336   management zones).

Geo-located results for those individuals passing a strict error filter demonstrated a

338   dispersal distance for males approximately doubled when compared with females across all age

classes (statistically significant only for the Y2-2.5 class due to low sample sizes; Fig. 6). This

340   pattern was established as early as the Y1-1.5 group, indicating apparent male dispersal by that

age. Smaller dispersal distances were found for fawns across both sexes (Fig. 6), again

342   corroborating *a priori* biological expectations.

Patterns of genetic dissimilarity also showed an age x sex effect, with greater genetic

344   dissimilarity for neighboring Y0-1 males than females, and with a shift towards reduced

dissimilarity in males >5 (Fig. S5). This again supports that male deer in Arkansas have

346 dispersed by the Y1-1.5 age class. These results potentially reflect age-biased reproduction as

well. Males contributed disproportionately to their local gene pools by age 5 (i.e., producing

348 offspring with resident females), thereby creating a pattern of lower genetic dissimilarity among

neighboring individuals, regardless of distance.

4 | DISCUSSION

352 **4.1 | Genetic footprints of historic management**

The prolonged history of WTD hunter-harvest, and subsequent long-range translocations into

354 Arkansas and the surrounding region (Ellsworth et al., 1994; Holder, 1951), provide a sideboard

to our study in that artificial long-distance movements such as these violate the assumptions

356 inherent with many spatially-explicit methods. However, we found estimates of ancestry

ancestry diversity and probability surfaces to be qualitatively robust in that they firmly

358 recapitulated the record of historic translocations (Holder, 1951). This, in turn, necessitates an

explanation of historic context.

360   In the early 20th century, following many decades of over-hunting, AR WTD declined to

<500 individuals (Holder, 1951). In response, Arkansas Game and Fish Commission (AGFC)

362 implemented an extensive restocking program (1941-1951) by establishing three primary sources

as its basis. The first was Howard County WTD farm (southwestern Arkansas; Fig. 7),

364 established from locally transplanted central Arkansas individuals (Wynn, 1943). It is now

located within the epicenter of population k1 (Figs. 2, 7), with ancestry shared elsewhere in the

366 state, thus supporting it as a local translocation epicenter.

A second major source was the Sylamore District (Ozark Mountains; Wood, 1944;

368   Holder, 1951), where individuals were naturally abundant (Fig. S7). Individuals from this region

       have a consistently higher probability of assignment to $k3$ (Figs. 2, 7), where records indicate

370   ~81% of repopulated individuals in the Gulf Coastal Plain originated from the Sylamore cluster

       (Holder, 1951; Karlin, Heidt, & Sugg, 1989; Wood, 1944). Our analyses are in agreement, in that

372   many individuals in southwestern Arkansas (Figs. 1, 6) reflect a mixed assignment to the

       "Sylamore" cluster. They also comprised ~36% of stocking efforts in the Mississippi Delta

374   region (Karlin et al., 1989).

              Our results also indicate some mixed assignment of individuals to $k3$, although a more

376   widespread representation is found in $k5$. AGFC surveys (~1942-1945) indicate WTD as

       relatively abundant in the southeastern Delta region (Figs. 7, S7), allegedly linked with re-

378   population efforts following the disastrous 1927 Mississippi flood. This event, coupled with

       over-hunting, nearly extirpated WTD in the region, save small numbers sustained by local

380   sportsmen (Holder, 1951; Fig. S7). We hypothesize WTD in the Delta region largely descended

       from those efforts, given the contemporary homogeneity of ancestry assignment for this region.

382   A third (extraneous) WTD source was the Sandhill Game Farm (Babcock, WI; Wood

       1944). Records indicate that ~64% of releases into the Mississippi Delta region originated out-

384   of-state, the majority from Wisconsin (Holder, 1951; Karlin et al., 1989). Our Wisconsin samples

       were unanimously assigned to a gene pool prominently represented in the southern Delta region

386   ($k8$; Fig. 2), firmly establishing its genetic legacy extends from imported deer. Mitochondrial

       haplotypes putatively originating from Wisconsin were also uncovered in Missouri, Kentucky,

388   and Mississippi (Budd, Berkman, Anderson, Koppelman, & Eggert, 2018; DeYoung et al., 2003;

       Doerner et al., 2005).

390   All genetic 'clusters' that lacked spatial cohesion in our analyses can be connected to the

three major stocking sources involved in earlier restoration efforts (per reference to historic

392   records). The remaining sub-populations, primarily in the Ozarks ($k2$, 4, 6, and 7) may represent

natural re-colonization from refugial populations (Figs. 2, 7), an hypothesis supported by early

394   census data (Figs. S7, S8).

396   **4.2 | Landscape-drivers of contemporary population structure**

A primary focus in our study was the contemporary genetic structure of AR WTD, especially

398   with regard to the manner by which these data promote landscape resistance and potentially

constrain CWD infection (Hemming-Schroeder, Lo, Salazar, Puente, & Yan, 2018; Kelly et al.,

400   2014). Yet several prerequisites are apparent in this regard. For example, one question which is

apparent but previously constrained by technological limitations is the degree to which potential

402   patterns of genetic variability in WTD have been conflated by anthropogenically-mediated

translocations.

404   We addressed this issue by utilizing next-generation molecular techniques to derive

highly variable SNP markers. We also implemented advanced geospatial procedures that

406   visualized the spatial transitions inherent within WTD ancestry in such a way that translocation

histories were not a limiting factor. We did so by interpolating our assignment probabilities from

408   ADMIXTURE, then applying Simpson's diversity index as a means of reducing the

dimensionality of these probabilities. We also examined locus-specific patterns within our

410   transitions so as to polarize stochastic versus deterministic processes.

        Previous studies concluded that landscape genetic inferences were limited at best, due to

412   complex interactions between historic translocations and subsequent population growth (Leberg

et al. 1994; Leberg and Ellsworth 1999; Budd et al. 2018). However, many of these studies relied

414 upon (now) obsolescent molecular markers (e.g., mtDNA or reduced panels of microsatellite

DNA markers) that captured substantially less polymorphism than do next-generation methods

416 (Hodel et al., 2017; Jeffries et al., 2016; Lemopoulos et al., 2019). Subsequent studies at finer

spatial scales have supported the role of large-scale geomorphic configurations (e.g., rivers,

418 highways) as semipermeable barriers to WTD gene flow (Kelly et al., 2014; Locher et al., 2015;

Miller et al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2012)), despite the potential complication caused by re-

420 stocking efforts, and in accordance with radio-telemetry data (Peterson et al., 2017).

Spatio-genetic patterns can still be informative with regards to landscape-level dispersal,

422 despite rapid transitions between historical and contemporary conditions (Epps & Keyghobadi,

2015) which might be expected to obfuscate relationships. For example, spatial genetic patterns

424 in invasive species are also attributable to large-scale environmental features, despite oft-reduced

levels of genetic diversity (Lopez, Hurwood, Dryden, & Fuller, 2014; Sacks, Brazeal, & Lewis,

426 2016; Zalewski, Piertney, Zalewska, & Lambin, 2009). The same can be said for populations

occupying landscapes defined by recent anthropogenic developments, such as large urban

428 centers, suggesting the potential for such patterns to rapidly emerge (Beninde et al., 2016;

Combs, Puckett, Richardson, Mims, & Munshi-South, 2018; Kimmig et al., 2020). In the case of

430 translocation, the magnitude of analytical artefacts are expected to be both scale-dependent, and

a function of the specific analytical assumptions. For example, classic tests of 'isolation-by-

432 distance' implicitly assume a negative relationship between geographic proximity and pairwise

patterns of genetic distance (Meirmans, 2012; Rousset, 1997). Here, translocations are

434 demonstrably inconsistent with this expectation.

The assignment method used in our study yielded patterns of genetic similarity that

436 reflected translocations as depicted in historic records (Fig. 7). We sought to effectively

'remove' this artificial signal so as to more appropriately expose landscape features that could

438 potentially modulate deer dispersal. Our approach (i.e., reducing dimensionality of assignment

probabilities) produces relatively straightforward predictions about the ensuing metric: Areas

440 with an elevated flux of individuals should have elevated homogeneity with regard to

interpolated ancestry assignment (i.e., ADMIXTURE ancestry proportions). By the same logic,

442 regions that reflect transitions in ancestry proportions would also demonstrate reduced

homogeneity. Although spatial assignments can also be vulnerable to artefacts, such as the over-

444 fitting of discrete clusters within otherwise continuous populations (Bradburd et al., 2017), they

effectively identify linear barriers to gene flow (Blair et al., 2012). Coincidentally, our approach

446 to dimensionality-reduction also revealed numerous linear subdivisions that aligned with major

landscape barriers, such as Arkansas rivers (Fig. 3). Other putative transitions approximately

448 corresponded with large urban centers.

450 **4.3 | Separating stochastic versus deterministic processes in clinal patterns**

It is difficult to disentangle contemporary demographic processes (versus analytical

452 idiosyncrasies) in the formation of these patterns. As a means of clarification, we generated

multi-locus genomic patterns (Epps & Keyghobadi, 2015) under the expectation that observed

454 deviations of locus-wise clines from the genome-wide average would dictate the relative

importance of stochastic versus deterministic processes (Barton, 1983; Barton & Hewitt, 1985;

456 Slatkin, 1973; Vasemägi, 2006). We found eight transects that varied in either the width of locus-

wise transitions (β), or the direction of change in allele representation (α). Interestingly, 'α-

458 dominant' transects were found within the Ozark region, previously hypothesized as being

naturally re-colonized from local refugia (i.e., $k2$ x $k3$E/S, $k2$ x $k6$, and $k4$ x $k7$; Figs. 4, S2). This

460   hypothesis is also supported by state-wide surveys (1940's) that found occupied WTD habitat

roughly corresponding with each Ozark sub-population (Figs. 7, S8).

462   All transects displayed near-zero β values across loci as well, suggesting either stochastic

processes (genetic drift, bottlenecks) or sampling artefacts as drivers of ancestry transitions,

464   given our expectation that a 'true' hard barrier between discrete populations would yield an

under-representation of inter-population heterozygotes (as described by β).

466   One interpretation of this result is that genetic drift at the edge of an expanding refugium

creates a 'rolling' founder's effect, with alleles being over-represented ($\alpha > 0$) or under-

468   represented ($\alpha < 0$) across the re-colonized territory (Excoffier & Ray, 2008; Hallatschek &

Nelson, 2008). This phenomenon—termed "gene surfing"—can generate wholly neutral patterns

470   that appear to be adaptive (Peischl, Dupanloup, Bosshard, & Excoffier, 2016; Travis et al.,

2007), and may explain the presence of numerous α-outlier loci in those transects (Fig. 4).

472   Interestingly, all of our β-dominant transects crossed major rivers: Transect $k3$ x $k6$

spanned the Arkansas River; $k3$ x $k5$ the White River; and $k1$ x $k8$ the Ouachita River. Transect

474    $k3$ x $k4$ crosses the smaller Buffalo River, which may explain the depressed variability in cline

steepness (Fig. 4). Non-zero β values implicate variations on a sigmoidal cline shape, with values

476   describing rates of change in allele frequencies that are either steep ($\beta > 0$) or wide ($\beta < 0$).

Classically, clinal patterns are established by selection, or the existence of hard

478   boundaries to gene flow (Endler, 1973; Slatkin, 1973). However, spatially variable gene flow

coupled with drift can generate clinal patterns without necessitating selection across a

480   considerable proportion of the genome (Vasemägi, 2006). Selection could feasibly be involved,

at least for regions involving translocation of WTD subspecies, in that crosses between

482   subspecies have elicited fitness impacts such as dystocia (abnomal maternal labor due to shape,

size, or position of the fetus; Galindo-Leal and Weber 1994). Previous studies have also

484   underscored the genetic cost of inter-subspecific stocking (Hopken, Lum, Meyers, & Piaggio, 2015), such as anomalous variability in breeding time among other southern-recovered WTD

486   populations (Sumners et al., 2015). Some limited evidence also exists for a pheromonal basis for reproductive isolation among mule deer subspecies [*Odocoileus hemionus*; (Müller-Schwarze &

488   Müller-Schwarze, 1975)].

490   **4.3 | Hunter-harvest and its management implications**

Our results clearly identify within AR WTD a clearly diagnosable genetic signature of historic

492   translocations within the genomes of extant populations. This simultaneously underscores the success of early restocking efforts, while also reiterating long-standing concerns about the

494   genetic and/ or phenotypic impacts of anthropogenic translocations (Meffe & Vrijenhoek, 1988). It also reinforces the need to formalize as an explicit management consideration the intraspecific

496   taxonomy of WTD, both to better understand the (yet to be seen) evolutionary consequences of past translocations, and to provide a more proactive baseline going forward (Cronin, 2003;

498   Gippoliti, Cotterill, Groves, & Zinner, 2018).

Resource agencies, in particular, should identify as a priority those populations that retain

500   endemic genetic diversity, and by so doing, tacitly acknowledge the importance of preserving this evolutionary legacy. Such recognition would capitalize on the many years of natural

502   selection that have operated on those populations prior to anthropogenic interference. It would also open the door for potential variation in hunter-harvest, such as by having a restricted season

504   strictly for 'legacy' AR deer, for example.

Our patterns of age- and sex-biased dispersal distances (Fig. 5, 6) largely recapitulate

506   expectations based on WTD life-history: Male dispersal established by the yearling cohort (Long

et al., 2008; McCoy, Hewitt, & Bryant, 2005; Shaw, Lancia, Conner, & Rosenberry, 2006);

508   females lack age-dependent dispersal (Lutz et al., 2015). The potential utility of the approach lies

within the context of a multi-state genetic monitoring program for WTD. While this would

510   necessitate inter-state investment and coordination, potential benefits of a 'next-generation'

approach would be in preserving the evolutionary heritage of WTD, supporting the adaptive

512   management of a biodiversity resource of national importance, as well as promoting the strong

tradition of hunting in North America (Geist, Mahoney, & Organ, 2000).

4.4 | CWD expansion and its management implications

516   Our results also suggest the existence of several discontinuities in gene flow across the state.

Given that CWD is a paramount issue in the global management of WTD (Rivera, Brandt,

518   Novakofski, & Mateus-Pinilla, 2019; Uehlinger, Johnston, Bollinger, & Waldner, 2016), a

valuable application of our results would be towards disease containment. Given that the spread

520   of CWD will be more rapid within rather than among populations, monitoring efforts should

focus on detecting 'breaches' between genetically distinct regions (which are most often divided

522   by major rivers and urban centers, as herein; Fig. 3). Interestingly, the current boundaries for the

AGFC 'Deer Management Units' (DMUs) (Meeker et al., 2019), are remarkably consistent with

524   many of the intraspecific suture zones identified in our study. Thus, potential mitigation efforts

should work to constrain the transportation of potentially infectious material (such as carcasses)

526   from CWD-detected DMUs. Management actions should reduce the outward dispersal of

individuals from DMUs, and one approach is to reduce the density of yearling males, which

528   often comprise >50% of emigrating individuals (McCoy et al., 2005; Nelson, 1993; Nixon,

Hansen, Brewer, & Chelsvig, 1991). A similar action could be management intended to reduce

530   local population densities. The latter, in turn, acts to drive dispersal in that robust population

numbers are a catalyst for increasing social pressure as well as resource competition (Long et al.,

532   2008; Lutz et al., 2015; Shaw et al., 2006).

One harvest strategy ['Quality Deer Management,' QDM; (Brothers and Ray, 1975;

534   Hamilton et al., 1995)] has several management goals operating in parallel to improve WTD

demography. These are: Actively decreasing overall population density; elevating the proportion

536   of mature males in the population; and increasing the female component of the sex ratio. The

success of this approach was assayed by evaluating WTD emigration rates pre- and post-

538   application (Shaw et al., 2006). Two important aspects were noted: Emigration probabilities

decreased, as did mating behavior of yearling males. The implications of a management strategy

540   focusing on these explicit goals would be a serious reduction in CWD dissemination. It is unclear

how this paradigm could impact long-term disease trajectories within CWD-prevalent DMUs,

542   although lower emigration probabilities and decreased densities would concomitantly depress the

probability of disease contraction for a given individual.

4.5 | Selection for CWD genetic variants and its management implications

546   Previous CWD research in WTD populations has focused on the prevalence of a polymorphism

in the *PRNP* gene [responsible for the malformed protein product that constitutes the prion agent

548   of CWD (Johnson et al., 2011; Race, Meade-White, Miller, Fox, & Chesebro, 2011; Rivera et al.,

2019)]. Those WTD exhibiting a non-synonymous transition at amino acid residue 96 (from G to

550   S) had decreased susceptibility to CWD. This variant had a significantly greater presence among

older individuals in CWD-detected areas, suggesting increased survivability for those individuals

552   manifesting that variant (Chafin et al., 2020; Douglas et al., 2020). Results herein support this

result, in that older males (>4 years) are genetically more similar to neighboring individuals than

554   to younger males (Fig. S6). This in turn suggests a non-linear increase in reproductive success

with age [or perhaps with other collinear factors as well, such as body weight or antler size

556   (Newbolt et al., 2017)].

Directing management efforts towards an older age-structure could therefore have an

558   ancillary benefit in that it would promote the relative reproduction of individuals having the 96S

variant, given its presence at a significantly higher probability among more mature individuals

560   (Douglas et al. 2020b). Our results could also be used to explore those environmental variables

potentially responsible for resistance to movement at the landscape-level. This aspect, in

562   conjunction with ongoing work on spatially variable genetic susceptibility, could promote the

development of risk assessment models that assay disease spread.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

566   The authors thank hunters and wildlife managers statewide who collected WTD tissue samples.

We give particular thanks to A.J. Riggs for helping to coordinate sample collection. We also

568   thank L. Graham for contributions to study design, logistics, and DNA extraction, S.M.

Mussmann for assistance with geospatial analyses, and J.F. Pummill for facilitating access to

570   computational resources. These were provided by the Arkansas High Performance Computing

Center via multiple National Science Foundation grants, the Arkansas Economic Development

572   Commission, as well as an NSF-XSEDE Research Allocation (TG-BIO160065) that provided

access to the JetStream cloud service. This project was funded in part by the Pittman-Robertson

574   Wildlife Restoration Grant Program (Grant #AR-W-F18AF00020) of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service through an agreement with the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. Additional

576 funding was also provided by several generous endowments from the University of Arkansas:

Distinguished Doctoral Fellowships (TKC, ZDZ), the Bruker Professorship in Life Sciences

578 (MRD), and the Twenty-First Century Chair in Global Change Biology (MED). The opinions

expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Arkansas Game and Fish

580 Commission or the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. In addition, product references do not imply

endorsements.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

584 None declared.

586 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

All raw sequence files will be accessioned in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under

588 BioProject XXXXXX following acceptance. Relevant curated (i.e. assembled and filtered) data

will be archived on Dryad (doi: XXXXXX). Codes and custom scripts developed in support of

590 this work are also available as open-source via GitHub under the GNU Public License:

github.com/tkchafin (and as cited in-text).

592 **REFERENCES**

Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
594   unrelated individuals. *Genome Research*, *19*(9), 1655–1664.

Andrewartha, H., & Birch, L. (1956). *The distribution and abundance of animals*. Chicago:
596   University of Chicago Press.

Avise, J. C. (1992). Molecular population structure and the biogeographic history of a regional
598   fauna: A case history with lessons for conservation biology. *Oikos*, *63*(1), 62.

Baker, K. H., Gray, H. W. I., Ramovs, V., Mertzanidou, D., Akin Pekşen, Bilgin, C. C., …
600   Hoelzel, A. R. (2017). Strong population structure in a species manipulated by humans
        since the Neolithic: The European fallow deer (*Dama dama dama*). *Heredity*, *119*(1), 16–
602   26.

Barton, N., & Clark, A. (1990). Population structure and processes in evolution. In *Population
604   Biology* (pp. 115–173). Springer Berlin.

Barton, N. H. (2008). The effect of a barrier to gene flow on patterns of geographic variation.
606   *Genetics Research*, *90*(1), 139–149.

Barton, Nicholas H. (1983). Multilocus Clines. *Evolution*, *37*(3), 454–471.

608 Barton, Nicholas H., & Hewitt, G. M. (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of
        Ecology and Systematics*, *16*(1), 113–148.

610 Battey, C. J., Ralph, P. L., & Kern, A. D. (2020). Predicting geographic location from genetic
        variation with deep neural networks. *ELife*, *9*, e54507.

612 Beninde, J., Feldmeier, S., Werner, M., Peroverde, D., Schulte, U., Hochkirch, A., & Veith, M.
        (2016). Cityscape genetics: structural vs. functional connectivity of an urban lizard
614   population. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(20), 4984–5000.

Blair, C., Weigel, D. E., Balazik, M., Keeley, A. T. H., Walker, F. M., Landguth, E., …
616   Balkenhol, N. (2012). A simulation-based evaluation of methods for inferring linear barriers
        to gene flow. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*(5), 822–833.

618 Bohonak, A. J. (1999). Dispersal, gene flow, and population structure. *The Quarterly Review of
        Biology*, *74*(1), 21–45.

620 Bossart, J. L., & Prowell, D. P. (1998). Genetic estimates of population structure and gene flow:
        Limitations, lessons and new directions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *13*(5), 202–206.

622 Bradburd, G. S., Coop, G. M., & Ralph, P. L. (2018). Inferring continuous and discrete
        population genetic structure across space. *Genetics*, 210, 33–52.

624 Bradburd, G. S., & Ralph, P. L. (2019). Spatial population genetics: It's about time. *Annual

Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, *50*(1), 427–449.

626   Bradburd, G. S., Ralph, P. L., & Coop, G. M. (2016). A spatial framework for understanding population structure and admixture. *PLoS Genetics*, *12*(1), 1–38.

628   Brinkman, T. J., Deperno, C. S., Jenks, J. A., Haroldson, B. S., & Osborn, R. G. (2005). Movement of femal white-tailed deer: effects of climate and intensive row-crop agriculture.
630   *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *69*(3), 1099–1111.

Brothers, A., & Ray, M. E. (1975). *Producing quality whitetails*. Laredo, TX: Wildlife Services.

632   Brown, S. K., Hull, J. M., Updike, D. R., Fain, S. R., & Ernest, H. B. (2009). Black bear population genetics in California: Signatures of population structure, competitive release,
634   and historical translocation. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *90*(5), 1066–1074. https://doi.org/10.1644/08-mamm-a-193.1

636   Budd, K., Berkman, L. K., Anderson, M., Koppelman, J., & Eggert, L. S. (2018). Genetic structure and recovery of white-tailed deer in Missouri. *Journal of Wildlife Management*,
638   *82*(8), 1598–1607.

Chafin, T. K., Douglas, M. R., Martin, B. T., Zbinden, Z. D., Middaugh, C. M., Ballard, J., …
640   Douglas, M. E. (2020). Age structuring and spatial heterogeneity in prion protein gene (PRNP) polymorphism in Arkansas white-tailed deer. *BioRxiv*, doi:
642   10.1101/2020.07.15.205039.

Chafin, T. K., Martin, B. T., Mussmann, S. M., Douglas, M. R. M. E., & Douglas, M. R. M. E.
644   (2018). FRAGMATIC: in silico locus prediction and its utility in optimizing ddRADseq projects. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, *10*(3), 325–328.

646   Combs, M., Puckett, E. E., Richardson, J., Mims, D., & Munshi-South, J. (2018). Spatial population genomics of the brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) in New York City. *Molecular*
648   *Ecology*, *27*(1), 83–98.

Comte, L., & Olden, J. D. (2018). Fish dispersal in flowing waters: A synthesis of movement-
650   and genetic-based studies. *Fish and Fisheries*, 19(6), 1063-1077.

Cook, R. L., & Hart, R. V. (1979). Ages assigned known-age Texas white-tailed deer: Tooth
wear versus cementum analysis. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies*.

Corlett, R. T. (2015). The Anthropocene concept in ecology and conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.007

Cronin, M. A. (2003). Research on deer taxonomy and its relevance to management. *Ecoscience*, *10*(4), 432–442. https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.2003.11682791

Crooks, K. R., & Sanjayan, M. (2006). *Connectivity Conservation*. (K. R. Crooks & M. Sanjayan, Eds.), *Connectivity Conservation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cushman, S. A., Elliot, N. B., Macdonald, D. W., & Loveridge, A. J. (2016). A multi-scale assessment of population connectivity in African lions (Panthera leo) in response to
landscape change. *Landscape Ecology*, *31*(6), 1337–1353.

     Darimont, C. T., Carlson, S. M., Kinnison, M. T., Paquet, P. C., Reimchen, T. E., & Wilmers, C.
664   C. (2009). Human predators outpace other agents of trait change in the wild. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *106*(3), 952–954.

666   DeYoung, R. W., Demarais, S., Honeycutt, R. L., Rooney, A. P., Gonzales, R. A., & Gee, K. L. (2003). Genetic consequences of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) restoration in
668   Mississippi. *Molecular Ecology*, *12*(12), 3237–3252.

     Doerner, K. C., Braden, W., Cork, J., Cunningham, T., Rice, A., Furman, B. J., & McElray, D.
670   (2005). Population Genetics of Resurgence : White-Tailed Deer in Kentucky. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *69*(1), 345–355.

672   Douglas, M. R., Chafin, T. K., Zbinden, Z. D., Martin, B. T., & Douglas, M. E. (2020). White-tailed deer in Arkansas: Genetic connectivity and chronic wasting disease susceptibility.
674   *Final Report to the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission*.

     Eaton, D. A. R. (2014). PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses.
676   *Bioinformatics*, *30*(13), 1844–1849.

     Ellsworth, D. L., Honeycutt, R. L., Silvy, N. J., Smith, M. H., Bickham, J. W., & Klimstra, W.
678   D. (1994). White-Tailed Deer Restoration to the Southeastern United States: Evaluating Genetic Variation. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *58*(4), 686.

680   Endler, J. A. (1973). Gene flow and population differentiation. *Science*, *179*(4070), 243–250.

     Endler, J. A. (1977). *Geographic variation, speciation, and clines*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
682   University Press.

     Epps, C. W., & Keyghobadi, N. (2015). Landscape genetics in a changing world: Disentangling
684   historical and contemporary influences and inferring change. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(24), 6021–6040.

686   Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic Consequences of Range Expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *40*(1), 481–501.

688   Excoffier, L., & Ray, N. (2008). Surfing during population expansions promotes genetic revolutions and structuration. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *23*(7), 347–351.

690   Fitzpatrick, B. M. (2013). Alternative forms for genomic clines. *Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(7), 1951–1966.

692   Galindo-Leal, C., & Weber, M. (1994). Translocation of deer subspecies: Reproductive implications. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *22*(1), 117–120.

694 Gee, K. L., Holman, J. H., Causey, M. K., Rossi, A. N., & Armstrong, J. B. (2002). Aging white-tailed deer by tooth replacement and wear: A critical evaluation of a time-honored
696 technique. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *30*(2), 387–393.

Geist, V., Mahoney, S. P., & Organ, J. F. (2000). Why hunting has defined the North American
698 model of wildlife conservation. *Transactions of the 66th North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference*, *10*, 3977–3990.

700 Gippoliti, S., Cotterill, F. P. D., Groves, C. P., & Zinner, D. (2018). Poor taxonomy and genetic rescue are possible co-agents of silent extinction and biogeographic homogenization among
702 ungulate mammals. *Biogeographia*, *33*(1), 41–54.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, A. C. (2011). Bayesian estimation of genomic clines. *Molecular*
704 *Ecology*, *20*, 2111–2127.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, C. A. (2012). bgc□: Software for Bayesian estimation of genomic
706 clines. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*(6), 1168–1176.

Gompert, Z., Parchman, T. L., & Buerkle, C. a. (2012). Genomics of isolation in hybrids.
708 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1587), 439–450.

710 Gregorius, H. R., & Roberds, J. H. (1986). Measurement of genetical differentiation among subpopulations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *71*, 826–834.

712 Hallatschek, O., & Nelson, D. R. (2008). Gene surfing in expanding populations. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *73*(1), 158–170.

714 Hamilton, R. J., Know, W. M., & Guynn, D. C. (1995). How quality deer management works. In K. V. Miller & R. L. Marchinton (Eds.), *Quality whitetails: the why and how of Quality*
716 *Deer Management* (pp. 7–18). Mechanicsburg, PA: Stackpole.

Hemming-Schroeder, E., Lo, E., Salazar, C., Puente, S., & Yan, G. (2018). Landscape genetics:
718 A toolbox for studying vector-borne diseases. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(March), 1–11.

720 Hewitt, G. M. (2000). The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, *405*(6789), 907–913.

722 Hewitt, G. M. (2001). Speciation, hybrid zones and phylogeography—or seeing genes in space and time. *Molecular Ecology*, *10*(3), 537–549.

724 Hodel, R. G. J., Chen, S., Payton, A. C., McDaniel, S. F., Soltis, P., & Soltis, D. E. (2017). Adding loci improves phylogeographic resolution in red mangroves despite increased
726 missing data: Comparing microsatellites and RAD-Seq and investigating loci filtering. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 1–14.

728 Holder, T. H. (1951). A survey of Arkansas game. *Arkansas Game and Fish Commission*

Federal Aid Publication Project II-R., 57–79.

730   Hopken, M. W., Lum, T. M., Meyers, P. M., & Piaggio, A. J. (2015). Molecular assessment of translocation and management of an endangered subspecies of white-tailed deer
732   (*Odocoileus virginianus*). *Conservation Genetics*, *16*(3), 635–647.

Jeffries, D. L., Copp, G. H., Handley, L. L., Håkan Olsén, K., Sayer, C. D., Hänfling, B., …
734   Hanfling, B. (2016). Comparing RADseq and microsatellites to infer complex phylogeographic patterns, an empirical perspective in the Crucian carp, *Carassius*
736   *carassius*, L. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(13), 2997–3018.

Johnson, C. J., Herbst, A., Duque-Velasquez, C., Vanderloo, J. P., Bochsler, P., Chappell, R., &
738   McKenzie, D. (2011). Prion protein polymorphisms affect chronic wasting disease progression. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(3).

740   Kamvar, Z. N., Tabima, J. F., & Grünwald, N. J. (2014). Poppr: an R package for genetic analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ*, *2*,
742   e281.

Karlin, A. A., Heidt, G. A., & Sugg, D. W. (1989). Genetic variation and heterozygosity in
744   white-tailed deer in Southern Arkansas. *The American Midland Naturalist*, *121*(2), 273–284.

746   Katzner, T. E., & Arlettaz, R. (2020). Evaluating contributions of recent tracking-based animal movement ecology to conservation management. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*,
748   *7*(519), 1-10.

Kays, R., Crofoot, M. C., Jetz, W., & Wikelski, M. (2015). Terrestrial animal tracking as an eye
750   on life and planet. *Science*, *348*(6240), aaa2478.

Keller, I., Wagner, C. E., Greuter, L., Mwaiko, S., Selz, O. M., Sivasundar, a., … Seehausen, O.
752   (2013). Population genomic signatures of divergent adaptation, gene flow and hybrid speciation in the rapid radiation of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(11),
754   2848–2863.

Kelly, A. C., Mateus-Pinilla, N. E., Brown, W., Ruiz, M. O., Douglas, M. R., Douglas, M. E., …
Novakofski, J. (2014). Genetic assessment of environmental features that influence deer dispersal: Implications for prion-infected populations. *Population Ecology*, *56*(2), 327–340.

Kimmig, S. E., Beninde, J., Brandt, M., Schleimer, A., Kramer-Schadt, S., Hofer, H., … Frantz, A. C. (2020). Beyond the landscape: Resistance modelling infers physical and behavioural
gene flow barriers to a mobile carnivore across a metropolitan area. *Molecular Ecology*, *29*(3), 466–484.

Kissling, W. D., Ahumada, J. A., Bowser, A., Fernandez, M., Fernández, N., García, E. A., … Hardisty, A. R. (2018). Building essential biodiversity variables (EBVs) of species
distribution and abundance at a global scale. *Biological Reviews*, *93*(1), 600–625.

Knoche, S., & Lupi, F. (2012). The economic value of publicly accessible deer hunting land. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *76*(3), 462–470.

Koen, E. L., Tosa, M. I., Nielsen, C. K., & Schauber, E. M. (2017). Does landscape connectivity shape local and global social network structure in white-tailed deer? *PLOS ONE*, *12*(3), e0173570.

Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences
across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *15*(5), 1179–1191.

Leberg, P. L., & Ellsworth, D. L. (1999). Further evaluation of the genetic consequences of
translocations on southeastern white-tailed deer populations. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *63*(1), 327–334.

Leiss, W., Westphal, M., Tyshenko, M. G., Croteau, M. C., Oraby, T., Adamowicz, W., … Krewski, D. (2017). Challenges in managing the risks of chronic wasting disease.
International Journal of Global Environmental Issues, *16*(4), 277–302. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJGENVI.2017.086716

Lemopoulos, A., Prokkola, J. M., Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Vasemägi, A., Huusko, A., Hyvärinen, P., … Vainikka, A. (2019). Comparing RADseq and microsatellites for estimating genetic
diversity and relatedness — Implications for brown trout conservation. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(4), 2106–2120.

Locher, A., Scribner, K. T., Moore, J. A., Murphy, B., & Kanefsky, J. (2015). Influence of landscape features on spatial genetic structure of white-tailed deer in human-altered
landscapes. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *79*(2), 180–194. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.826

Long, E. S., Diefenbach, D. R., Rosenberry, C. S., & Wallingford, B. D. (2008). Multiple proximate and ultimate causes of natal dispersal in white-tailed deer. *Behavioral Ecology*,
19(6), 1235–1242.

Lopez, J., Hurwood, D., Dryden, B., & Fuller, S. (2014). Feral pig populations are structured at
792 fine spatial scales in tropical Queensland, Australia. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(3).

Lutz, C. L., Diefenbach, D. R., & Rosenberry, C. S. (2015). Population density influences
794 dispersal in female white-tailed deer. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *96*(3), 494–501.

Mawdsley, J. R. (2020). Phylogenetic patterns suggest broad susceptibility to chronic wasting
796 disease across Cervidae. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *44*(1), 152–155.

McCoy, J. E., Hewitt, D. G., & Bryant, F. C. (2005). Dispersal by yearling male white-tailed
798 deer and implications for management. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *69*(1), 366–376.

Meeker, R., Brown, J., Carner, B., Stephens, K., Dugger, G., Groves, B., … White, D. J. (2019).
800 Arkansas Game and Fish Strategic Deer Management Plan. *Arkansas Game and Fish*

Commission. Little Rock, AR.

802   Meffe, G. K., & Vrijenhoek, R. C. (1988). Conservation genetics in the management of desert
           fishes. *Conservation Biology*, *2*(2), 157–169.

804   Meirmans, P. G. (2012). The trouble with isolation by distance. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(12),
           2839–2846.

806   Miles, L. S., Rivkin, L. R., Johnson, M. T. J., Munshi-South, J., & Verrelli, B. C. (2019,
           September). Gene flow and genetic drift in urban environments. *Molecular Ecology*.
808   Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

       Miller, W. L., Miller-Butterworth, C. M., Diefenbach, D. R., & Walter, W. D. (2020).
810   Assessment of spatial genetic structure to identify populations at risk for infection of an
           emerging epizootic disease. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(9), 3977–3990.

812   Mitchell, C. J., & Smith, W. P. (1991). Reliability of techniques for determining age in southern
           white-tailed deer. *Journal of the Tennessee Adacemy of Science*, *66*(3), 117–120.

814   Müller-Schwarze, D., & Müller-Schwarze, C. (1975). Subspecies specificity of response to a
           mammalian social odor. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *1*(1), 125–131.

816   Mussmann, S. M., Douglas, M. R., Chafin, T. K., & Douglas, M. E. (2020). ADMIXPIPE:
           Population analyses in ADMIXTURE for non-model organisms. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 21,
818   337.

       Nagylaki, T. (1976). Clines with variable migration. *Genetics*, *83*(4), 867–886.

820   Nathan, R., Klein, E., Robledo-Arnuncio, J. J., & Revilla, E. (2012). Dispersal kernels: review.
           In J. Clobert, M. Baguette, T. G. Benton, & J. M. Bullock (Eds.), *Dispersal ecology and*
822   *evolution* (pp. 187–210). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

       Nelson, M. E. (1993). Natal dispersal and gene flow in white-tailed deer in northeastern
824   Minnesota. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *74*(2), 316–322.

       Newbolt, C. H., Acker, P. K., Neuman, T. J., Hoffman, S. I., Ditchkoff, S. S., & Steury, T. D.
826   (2017). Factors influencing reproductive success in male white-tailed deer. *Journal of*
           Wildlife Management, *81*(2), 206–217.

828   Nixon, C. M., Hansen, L. P., Brewer, P. A., & Chelsvig, J. E. (1991). Ecology of white-tailed
           deer in an intensively farmed region of Illinois. *Wildlife Monographs*, *118*, 3–77.

830   Nixon, C. M., & Mankin, P. C. (2016). Social and Environmental Influences on Female White-
           Tailed Deer Dispersal Behavior. *Great Plains Research*, *26*(2), 85–92.
832   https://doi.org/10.1353/gpr.2016.0017

       Peischl, S., Dupanloup, I., Bosshard, L., & Excoffier, L. (2016). Genetic surfing in human
834   populations: from genes to genomes. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, *41*,

53–61.

836   Peterson, B. E., Storm, D. J., Norton, A. S., & Van Deelen, T. R. (2017). Landscape influence on dispersal of yearling male white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *81*(8), 1449–
838   1456.

Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012). Double digest
840   RADseq: An inexpensive method for *de novo* SNP discovery and genotyping in model and non-model species. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(5), e37135.

842   Petkova, D., Novembre, J., & Stephens, M. (2015). Visualizing spatial population structure with estimated effective migration surfaces. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(1), 94–100.

844   Picard, C., Dallot, S., Brunker, K., Berthier, K., Roumagnac, P., Soubeyrand, S., … Thébaud, G. (2017). Exploiting Genetic Information to Trace Plant Virus Dispersal in Landscapes.
846   *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, *55*(1), 139–160.

Polechová, J., & Barton, N. (2011). Genetic drift widens the expected cline but narrows the
848   expected cline width. *Genetics*, *189*(1), 227–235.

Race, B., Meade-White, K., Miller, M. W., Fox, K. A., & Chesebro, B. (2011). *In vivo*
850   comparison of chronic wasting disease infectivity from deer with variation at prion protein residue 96. *Journal of Virology*, *85*(17), 9235–9238.

852   Richardson, J. L., Brady, S. P., Wang, I. J., & Spear, S. F. (2016). Navigating the pitfalls and promise of landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(4), 849–863.

854   Rivera, N. A., Brandt, A. L., Novakofski, J. E., & Mateus-Pinilla, N. E. (2019). Chronic wasting disease in cervids: Prevalence, impact and management strategies. *Veterinary Medicine:*
856   *Research and Reports*, *10*, 123–139. https://doi.org/10.2147/vmrr.s197404

Roberts, G. O., Gelman, A., & Gilks, W. R. (1997). Weak convergence and optimal scaling of
858   random walk Metropolis algorithms. *Annals of Applied Probability*, *7*(1), 110–120.

Robinson, S. J., Samuel, M. D., Lopez, D. L., & Shelton, P. (2012). The walk is never random:
Subtle landscape effects shape gene flow in a continuous white-tailed deer population in the Midwestern United States. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(17), 4190–4205.

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2409v1.

Rousset, F. (1997). Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance. *Genetics*, *145*, 1219–1228.

Sacks, B. N., Brazeal, J. L., & Lewis, J. C. (2016). Landscape genetics of the nonnative red fox of California. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(14), 4775–4791.

Santangelo, J. S., Johnson, M. T. J., & Ness, R. W. (2018). Modern spandrels: The roles of

genetic drift, gene flow and natural selection in the evolution of parallel clines. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *285*(1878).

Severinghaus, C. W. (1949). Tooth development and wear as criteria of age in white-tailed deer.
872 *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *13*, 195–216. https://doi.org/10.2307/3796089

Shaw, J. C., Lancia, R. A., Conner, M. S., & Rosenberry, C. S. (2006). Effect of population
874 demographics and social pressures on white-tailed deer dispersal ecology. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *70*(5), 1293–1301.

876 Shephard, J. M., Ogden, R., Tryjanowski, P., Olsson, O., & Galbusera, P. (2013). Is population structure in the European white stork determined by flyway permeability rather than
878 translocation history? *Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(15), 4881–4895.

Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, *163*(1943), 688.

880 Slatkin, M. (1973). Gene flow and selection in a cline. *Genetics*, *75*(4), 733–756.

Slatkin, Montgomery. (1989). Population structure and evolutionary progress. *Genome*, *31*(1),
882 196–202. https://doi.org/10.1139/g89-034

Sumners, J. A., Demarais, S., DeYoung, R. W., Honeycutt, R. L., Rooney, A. P., Gonzales, R.
884 A., & Gee, K. L. (2015). Variable breeding dates among populations of white-tailed deer in the southern United States: The legacy of restocking? *Journal of Wildlife Management*,
886 *79*(8), 1213–1225.

Travis, J. M. J., Münkemüller, T., Burton, O. J., Best, A., Dytham, C., & Johst, K. (2007).
888 Deleterious mutations can surf to high densities on the wave front of an expanding population. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *24*(10), 2334–2343.

890 Turner, M. G. (1989). Landscape ecology: the effect of pattern on process. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. Vol. 20*. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.20.110189.001131

892 Uehlinger, F. D., Johnston, A. C., Bollinger, T. K., & Waldner, C. L. (2016). Systematic review of management strategies to control chronic wasting disease in wild deer populations in
894 North America. *BMC Veterinary Research*, *12*(1).

van Rees, C. B., Reed, J. M., Wilson, R. E., Underwood, J. G., & Sonsthagen, S. A. (2018).
896 Landscape genetics identifies streams and drainage infrastructure as dispersal corridors for an endangered wetland bird. *Ecology and Evolution*, *8*(16), 8328–8343.

898 Vasemägi, A. (2006). The adaptive hypothesis of clinal variation revisited: Single-locus clines as a result of spatially restricted gene flow. *Genetics*, *173*(4), 2411–2414.

900 Waller, D. M., & Alverson, W. S. (1997). The white-tailed deer: A keystone herbivore. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *25*(2), 217–226.

902 Wang, I. J., & Shaffer, H. B. (2017). Population genetic and field-ecological analyses return

904 similar estimates of dispersal over space and time in an endangered amphibian. *Evolutionary Applications*, *10*(6), 630–639.

Williams, E. S., & Young, S. (1980). Chronic wasting disease of captive mule deer: a
906 spongiform encephalopathy. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, *16*(1), 89–98.

Wolverton, S., Kennedy, J. H., & Cornelius, J. D. (2007). A paleozoological perspective on
white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus texana) population density and body size in central Texas. *Environmental Management*, *39*(4), 545–552.

Wood, R. (1944). Arkansas' Deer Transplanting Program. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife Conference*, *9*, 162–167.

Wynn, S. (1943). Howard County Game Refuge and Deer Farm. *The Arkansas Historical Quarterly*, *2*(4), 340–345.

Zalewski, A., Piertney, S. B., Zalewska, H., & Lambin, X. (2009). Landscape barriers reduce gene flow in an invasive carnivore: Geographical and local genetic structure of American
mink in Scotland. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(8), 1601–1615.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1: **Ancestry proportions for 1,143 Arkansas white-tailed deer**, as inferred using the program ADMIXTURE. Samples are represented as pie charts plotted at absolute collection
coordinates, with colors of assignment probabilities proportional to a particular subpopulation.

Figure 2: **Assignment probabilities for eight populations (k=1 through k=8)**, interpolated using Empirical Bayesian Kriging. P(k)=1.0 corresponds to 100% probability of ancestry per
raster cell, and P(k)=0.0 corresponds to 0%. Individual samples are represented as black dots.

Figure 3: Simpson's diversity of interpolated ancestry proportions. Each raster cell was assigned 8 values equal to the expected proportion of ancestry corresponding to the 8 genetic
clusters (Fig. 1), then summarized by calculating Simpson's diversity index.

Figure 4: Relationships between genomic cline parameters contrasted among eight sub-sampled transects =of intraspecific suture zones in white-tailed deer (Fig. 3). Contour plots show relative densities of SNPs varying in cline rate (β), representing the steepness of clines, and cline center (α), representing bias in SNP ancestry. Outlier loci are highlighted in blue.

Figure 5: Summary of geo-location predictions in LOCATOR as a random subset of N=490 individuals, at two error thresholds: 10km (=A) and 20km (=B), thus constraining results to N=110 (=A) and N=264 (=B). Error calculated as mean distance of bootstrap predictions from the centroid predicted for each individual (see Fig. S4). A black dot denotes the predicted location of an individual and a colored dot indicates the 'true' location (colors proportional to measurement error in km).

Figure 6: Inferred dispersal distances, partitioned by sex and age class. Dispersal distances were calculated as the difference (in km) between the predicted and true locations, excluding all individuals with a mean prediction error of 10km (see Figs. 14-15). Sample sizes for each group are given in black, with the mean in red below each box plot. P-values are reported for within-age two-sample t-tests comparing means from males and females.

Figure 7: Proposed translocation pathways and refugial populations in Arkansas, showing (left) translocation events supported by genetics along (dashed line), as well as those with anecdotal support given limited historical records (solid), from three primary stocking sources: Sandhill Game Farm in Wisconsin (W); 'Sylamore District' state refuge sites (S); and the

954   Howard County Game Farm (H). Also shown are major inhabited regions of white-tailed deer

(right) estimated from 1942-47 game surveys, excluding small regions (see full version in Online

956   Supplementary Material). Putative inhabited regions are also annotated according to their

hypothesized associations with contemporary genetic clusters (Fig. 1-2).

Assignment probability

| | 0.00 - 0.20 | | 0.21 - 0.40 | | 0.41 - 0.60 | | 0.61 - 0.80 | | 0.81 - 1.00 |

971 **Supplementary Figures and Tables**

972 **Table S1:** Numbers (=N) of white-tailed deer genotyped across ~35,000 SNP loci. Samples were
973 collected from 75 counties (=County) in Arkansas (2016-2019). Code indicates standard 2-letter
974 county abbreviation. Samples removed due to missing data are not included.
975

County	Code	N	County	Code	N
Arkansas	AR	11	Lee	LE	9
Ashley	AS	1	Lincoln	LI	8
Baxter	BA	19	Little River	LR	10
Benton	BE	19	Logan	LO	32
Boone	BO	34	Lonoke	LN	11
Bradley	BR	2	Madison	MA	39
Calhoun	CA	14	Marion	MR	39
Carroll	CR	50	Miller	MI	8
Chicot	CH	3	Mississippi	MS	2
Clark	CL	7	Monroe	MO	10
Clay	CY	15	Montgomery	MN	2
Cleburne	CE	8	Nevada	NE	13
Cleveland	CV	5	Newton	NW	140
Columbia	CO	4	Ouachita	OU	12
Conway	CN	11	Perry	PE	8
Craighead	CG	7	Phillips	PH	9
Crawford	CW	6	Pike	PI	8
Crittenden	CT	8	Poinsett	PO	10
Cross	CS	10	Polk	PL	3
Dallas	DA	7	Pope	PP	62
Desha	DE	11	Prairie	PR	10
Drew	DR	14	Pulaski	PU	11
Faulkner	FA	14	Randolph	RA	8
Franklin	FR	20	Saline	SA	11
Fulton	FU	9	Scott	SC	2
Garland	GA	14	Searcy	SE	32
Grant	GR	5	Sebastian	SB	17
Greene	GE	16	Sevier	SV	12
Hempstead	HE	9	Sharp	SH	16
Hot Spring	HS	3	St. Francis	SF	7
Howard	HO	11	Stone	ST	15
Independence	IN	7	Union	UN	6
Izard	IZ	6	Van Buren	VB	23
Jackson	JA	2	Washington	WA	17
Jefferson	JE	13	White	WH	10
Johnson	JO	45	Woodruff	WO	9
Lafayette	LA	14	Yell	YE	31
Lawrence	LW	17	**Total**		**1,143**

Figure S1: **Effective migration rates and intra-population diversity** ($\log_{10}$ scale), calculated from effective migration surfaces (EEMS). Rates are plotted according to colored bin, with divisions calculated as natural breaks using the Jenks algorithm in ArcMAP.

Figure S2: Sampled transects employed for genomic cline analysis with selected groups within each coalesced within colored hulls: Reference population 1 (p1; red); Reference population 2 (p2; green); and putative admixed individuals (popA; blue).

Figure S3: LOCATOR Geo-located results for CWD-positive individuals derived via
988   **LOCATOR.** Individuals are represented by dots, pairs of which are connected by a single line.
A black dot denotes predicted location, while a colored dot indicates 'true' (observed = sampled)
990   location (color proportional to distances separating each). Inserted figures offer full prediction
results for three selected samples, with bootstrap estimates demarked by a 95% contour.

994　**Figure S4: Effects of error thresholds on inferred dispersal distances as predicted by LOCATOR analysis.** Inferred dispersal
　　kernels were computed at several error thresholds: (A) Error computed as mean distance of predicted coordinates for each individual
996　at 100 bootstrap estimates from predicted centroid (=interpretation of localized vs. dispersed predictions). Dispersal distances
　　calculated as difference (in km) between predicted centroid versus 'true' (observed) location. Inferred dispersal distances generally
998　increase for individuals with larger prediction error; (B) Larger stochastic variation in centroid location for individuals with lower
　　predicted precision among bootstraps; (C) Standard deviation of dispersal distances for the latter computed in a sliding window of
1000　10km along the x-axis (prediction error).

1002 **Figure S5: Spatial patterns of genetic dissimilarity among white-tailed deer partitioned by age and sex.** Genetic distances between individuals and neighbors derived from 5,000 randomly
1004 sampled SNPs depicted across physical distance (x-axis). Results depict different cohorts of males (A) and females (B) by age.

1006

1008

Figure S6: **Census estimates for white-tailed deer from surveys in 1942-1946**

1010

1012

1014

1016

1018

1020

1022

1024

**Figure S7: Subpopulation probabilities overlain with 'deer occupied territories' circa 1942-
1947** (in green). Polygons representing deer occupation were manually compiled from the
Wildlife and Cover Map of Arkansas (1942-47), prepared by Arkansas Game and Fish
Commission in cooperation with U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service as one aspect of a Federal Aid
Project (drawn by Flaun M. Tolar). Map accessed from the University of Arkansas Library
Arkansas Collection (Special Collections). A higher resolution geo-referenced version available
at a later date (reproduction/ copy permissions granted by University of Arkansas Libraries).

1034