Spatial population genetics in heavily managed species: Separating patterns of historical translocation from contemporary gene flow in white-tailed deer

Tyler K. Chafin[1] | Zachery D. Zbinden[1] | Marlis R. Douglas[1] | Bradley T. Martin[1] | Christopher R. Middaugh[2] | M. Cory Gray[2] | Jennifer R. Ballard[2] | Michael E. Douglas[1]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA; Email: (TKC) tkchafin@uark.edu; (BTM) btm002@uark.edu; (ZDZ) zdzbinde@uark.edu; (MED) med1@uark.edu; (MRD) mrd1@uark.edu

[2]Arkansas Game and Fish Commission, Research, Evaluation, and Compliance Division, Little Rock, Arkansas 72205, USA; Email: (CRM) christopher.middaugh@agfc.ar.gov; (JRB) jennifer.ballard@agfc.ar.gov; (MCG) cory.gray@agfc.ar.gov

<u>Running Title</u>: Genetics of translocation in white-tailed deer

<u>Keywords</u>: Gene flow; Management; Population connectivity; ddRADseq; SNPs; Population structure; Historical population dynamics; Genetic drift; Wildlife

24 **ABSTRACT**

Approximately 100 years ago, unregulated harvest nearly eliminated white-tailed deer

26 (*Odocoileus virginianus*) from eastern North America, which subsequently served to catalyze

wildlife management as a national priority. An extensive stock-replenishment effort soon

28 followed, with deer broadly translocated among states as a means of re-establishment. However,

an unintended consequence was that natural patterns of gene flow became obscured and pre-

30 translocation signatures of population structure were replaced. We applied cutting-edge

molecular and biogeographic tools to disentangle genetic signatures of historical management

32 from those reflecting spatially heterogeneous dispersal by evaluating 35,099 single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) derived via reduced-representation genomic sequencing from 1,143 deer

34 sampled state-wide in Arkansas. We then employed Simpson's diversity index to summarize

ancestry assignments and visualize spatial genetic transitions. Using sub-sampled transects

36 across these transitions, we tested clinal patterns across loci against theoretical expectations of

their response under scenarios of recolonization and restricted dispersal. Two salient results

38 emerged: (A) Genetic signatures from historic translocations are demonstrably apparent; and (B)

Geographic filters (major rivers; urban centers; highways) now act as inflection points for the

40 distribution of this contemporary ancestry. These results yielded a state-wide assessment of

contemporary population structure in deer as driven by historic translocations as well as ongoing

42 processes. In addition, the analytical framework employed herein to effectively decipher

extant/historic drivers of deer distribution in Arkansas are also applicable for other biodiversity

44 elements with similarly complex demographic histories.

1 | INTRODUCTION

48 Understanding movement behaviors and patterns of dispersal across the landscape are important

components of a wildlife management strategy. Quantifying dispersal and connectivity among

50 populations has traditionally been done using direct methods such as radio- and satellite-tracking

(Kays, Crofoot, Jetz, & Wikelski, 2015), yet these methods are labor-intensive, generally only

52 allow for small sample-sizes with results difficult to extrapolate at the population level (Katzner

& Arlettaz, 2020). An ability to do so indirectly via patterns measured from genetic data such as

54 gene flow (i.e., corresponding to migration, demographics) and genetic drift (i.e., effective

population sizes, inbreeding) has made it possible to more accurately assess movement and

56 landscape ecology patterns at a fraction of the per-individual cost (Bossart & Pashley Prowell,

1998; Comte & Olden, 2018; Lowe & Allendorf, 2010). Continual decline of sequencing costs

58 concomitant with computational and analytical advancements now offer an unprecedented

density of sampling and geographic resolution that can link individual movement patterns with

60 landscape genetic associations (Richardson, Brady, Wang, & Spear, 2016), as well as functional

genomic correlations (Schoville et al., 2012). This has spurred a proliferation of approaches,

62 such as deducing natal assignment (Battey, Ralph, & Kern, 2020a), inferring the directionality

and pathways of range expansions (Rengefors et al., 2020), and predicting disease spread from

64 spatial host-pathogen associations (Fountain-Jones et al., 2021; Kozakiewicz et al., 2018).

However, contemporary evaluations examine but a single 'snapshot' from an

66 evolutionary continuum of events that have cumulatively shaped contemporary diversity, a

consequence of which is that genetic patterns may not be interpretable when juxtaposed against

68 modern landscapes (Douglas, Minckley, & DeMarais, 1999). Thus, models that invoke landscape

genetic associations may incorporate implicit assumptions that lack biological reality (Cushman

70   & Landguth, 2010). For example, one common assumption involves dispersal among a series of

discontinuous sub-populations (Lundgren & Ralph, 2019; Petkova, Novembre, & Stephens,

72   2015) that may incorrectly infer discrete causes (such as barrier effects or reproductive

isolation)(Bradburd, Coop, & Ralph, 2018). Likewise, gene flow and genetic drift are often

74   conflated, in that one may generate spurious signals of the other (Battey, Ralph, & Kern, 2020b;

Mazet, Rodríguez, Grusea, Boitard, & Chikhi, 2016). For example, demographies with a history

76   of turbulence may inflate genetic divergences such that population structure appears artificially

discrete and thus suggests restricted gene flow (Austerlitz, Jung-Muller, Godelle, & Gouyon,

78   1997; Excoffier & Ray, 2008; Nei, Maruyama, & Chakraborty, 2014). A classic example of this

phenomenon is the re-expansion of populations following an historical ebb. Upon secondary

80   contact, unique genetic changes that have accumulated during periods of isolation may

seemingly initiate an apparent rapid shift in ancestries when viewed from a landscape genetic

82   context (Zellmer & Knowles, 2009).

        More recent events such as anthropogenic habitat fragmentation may also act to obscure

84   genetic patterns across the landscape (Epps, Wasser, Keim, Mutayoba, & Brashares, 2013;

Landguth et al., 2010; Pavlacky, Goldizen, Prentis, Nicholls, & Lowe, 2009). In particular,

86   human-mediated dispersal introduces both diversifying and homogenizing effects that prove

difficult to disentangle (Epps & Keyghobadi, 2015; Seebens et al., 2017). This erodes adaptive

88   and/ or spatial associations in that it disturbs the expected relationships between genetic

differentiation and geographical or environmental proximity (Capinha, Essl, Seebens, Moser, &

90   Pereira, 2015; Einfeldt, Jesson, & Addison, 2020). Well-intended actions, such as translocations

and stockings, may also inadvertently obscure pre-existing landscape genetic patterns (Brown,

92   Hull, Updike, Fain, & Ernest, 2009; Laikre, Schwartz, Waples, & Ryman, 2010; Shephard,

Ogden, Tryjanowski, Olsson, & Galbusera, 2013). This is especially so for heavily-managed

94   species that incur translocations as one aspect of their management (Jahner et al., 2019; Seddon,

Griffiths, Soorae, & Armstrong, 2014)

96   White-tailed deer, one of the most recreationally important species in North America, is a

case in point (Knoche & Lupi, 2012), with populations intensely impacted by both hunter harvest

98   and game management (Waller & Alverson, 1997; Wolverton, Kennedy, & Cornelius, 2007).

Early in the 20th century, deer and other species extensively hunted (e.g., wild turkey) saw

100   widespread extirpations. In a census of the north-central United States, Leopold (1931) noted

that extant deer populations were of low abundance in southern Missouri, an observation that

102   dovetails with estimated numbers of deer in Arkansas during that period (~500 scattered across

isolated refugia at the low ebb; Holder, 1951). Subsequent efforts to bolster resident numbers and

104   re-populate depleted areas in Arkansas involved translocations from within- and out-of-state.

Although successful, these efforts promoted genetic patterns within the state that were based on

106   artificial, rather than natural, movements.

Distributional patterns in white-tailed deer clearly reflect multiple factors. Those extrinsic

108   may include: Rivers, interstate highways, suitable habitat (Robinson, Samuel, Lopez, & Shelton,

2012); agricultural land use and urbanization (Kelly et al., 2014); as well as regional eco-

110   physiographic boundaries (Miller, Miller-Butterworth, Diefenbach, & Walter, 2020). Intrinsic

factors, on the other hand, may point to: Population density (Lutz, Diefenbach, & Rosenberry,

112   2015); age structure/ sex ratio (Long, Diefenbach, Rosenberry, & Wallingford, 2008); and social

hierarchy (Nixon & Mankin, 2016).

114   A first step in designating factors that have shaped deer population structure and dispersal

is to estimate the genetic component within Arkansas deer genomes that still persists as a

116 residual of historic translocations and subsequent genetic drift. Perhaps our most pressing need

with regard to dispersal is the impact these data may have in relation to a widespread and fatal

118 neurodegenerative disease of cervids (chronic wasting disease; CWD), which now represents a

panzootic (Williams and Young 1980; Escobar et al. 2019; Mawdsley 2020). Thus, efforts to

120 contain and mitigate its spread are paramount for wildlife management, not only in North

America, but also globally (Leiss et al., 2017).

1.1 | Separating contemporary dispersal from historical translocation

124 The driving factors for deer dispersal primarily relate to habitat quality and climate, and these in

turn elicit obvious management interest. Region-specific patterns are needed so as to develop

126 broader generalizations regarding species-specific movement ecology (Brinkman, Deperno,

Jenks, Haroldson, & Osborn, 2005), and to evoke management strategies that can optimize

128 hunter-harvest while maintaining population densities.

The goal of our study was to ascertain if signatures of historic translocations are apparent

130 in, and have contributed to, the genetic diversity and structure of deer in Arkansas, and

furthermore, if they can indeed be parsed from a confusing matrix of ongoing gene flow and

132 genetic drift. To do so, we surveyed a broad array of nuclear genomic markers using ddRAD

sequencing (Peterson et al., 2012). Our first objective was to characterize respective patterns of

134 anthropogenic translocation and natural re-colonization via refugial populations, and secondarily

to seek evidence for geographic barriers throughout the state that seemingly prevent or actively

136 filter deer dispersal. However, we hypothesized that the former situation would obscure the

latter, in that long-range anthropogenically-mediated displacement of individuals violates

138 methodological assumptions that stipulate gene flow as occurring in a spatially consistent

manner (Bradburd & Ralph, 2019).

Here, we used ancestry assignment probabilities (e.g., for *K*-number of populations) and reduced this to a single index (Simpson, 1949) that encapsulated the diversity of ancestries

within a given spatial grain. This served to reduce those genetic ancestry components that are influenced by widespread translocation, to a single interpolated 'surface' representing only

changes in ancestry over space (i.e., boundaries between contemporary populations). We also wished to define the respective roles played by stochastic and deterministic processes in

generating these zones, particularly given historic population fluctuations and the internal/ external translocations that conflated the demography of Arkansas deer. To do so, we borrowed

components of cline theory to hypothesize how individual loci should transition across these spaces, relative to a genome-wide average (Barton, 1983; Barton & Hewitt, 1985; Endler, 1973;

Hewitt, 2001; Polechová & Barton, 2011; Slatkin, 1973).

        Although clinal variation is often taken as evidence for selection (i.e., migration-selection

balance; Haldane, 1948), genetic drift in concert with spatially variable gene flow can generate patterns in individual loci that mimic those seen in adaptive clines (Vasemägi, 2006). With drift

operating alone, allele frequencies at each locus may wander 'upward' or 'downward' across space as a function of the initial allele frequency. Range expansion or dissemination from refugia

can also yield a type of 'rolling' founder effect that can mirror a clinal pattern (Excoffier, Foll, & Petit, 2009; Hallatschek & Nelson, 2008; Hewitt, 2000; Keller et al., 2013). Accordingly, we

might predict that multi-locus patterns at population boundaries generated solely due to genetic drift in expanding populations will be dominated by stochastic directional change, with each

fluctuating either above or below the genome-wide average (Santangelo, Johnson, & Ness, 2018).

On the other hand, a strong barrier to movement would yield a discontinuity in the rate of

directional change across space (Barton, 2008; Nagylaki, 1976; Slatkin, 1973). The existence of

164   a barrier (either impacting dispersal or as a strong fitness differential) thus implies the presence

of an inflection point that would induce a rapid shift in locus-specific ancestries (the 'width' of

166   this cline is the inverse of the slope at the inflection point; Slatkin 1973; Endler 1977; Fitzpatrick

2013).

168   Given these predictions, we summarized locus-wise patterns using two clinal parameters:

α, which describes the direction of genetic change, and β, which indicates 'width' of a cline. We

170   examined how these two parameters varied, then developed hypotheses regarding the manner by

which it impacted our observed population structure. We then considered the evolutionary

172   implications, and limitations, of these results in the context of management, and in so doing

demonstrated how the methodologies employed herein can be used to make inferences in other

174   heavily managed species regarding demographic factors (i.e., sex-biased and natal dispersal) and

those more extrinsic (i.e., landscape resistance) that drive population structure.

2 | METHODS

178   **2.1 | Sampling and data collection**

During 2016-2019, 1,720 tissues were collected by the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission

180   (AGFC), representing all 75 Arkansas counties. We employed a combination of targeted

sampling, road-kill surveys, and a voluntary state-wide CWD testing program (Chafin et al.,

182   2020). Age and sex were collected where possible, with the former estimated by tooth

development and wear (Severinghaus, 1949). Data for an additional 30 samples were also

184   attained from Wisconsin to test for signals of historically recorded translocation efforts involving

this stock (Holder, 1951). From these, a subset of 1,208 samples were chosen for sequencing.

186   We homogenized tongue or ear tissue (stored at -20°C) and extracted genomic DNA

using QIAamp Fast Tissue kits (Qiagen, Inc), with verification via gel electrophoresis (2%

188   agarose). Samples with sufficient yields of high molecular weight DNA (>200ng) were then

enzymatically fragmented via incubation at 37°C, using high-fidelity *NsiI* and *MspI* restriction

190   enzymes (New England Biolabs, Inc.), following enzyme and size-selection optimization using

in silico digests (Chafin, Martin, Mussmann, Douglas, & Douglas, 2018) of several available

192   reference genomes hosted by NCBI: *Odocoileus virginianus* (GCA_002102435.1), *Capreolus*

capreolus (GCA_000751575.1), and *Capra hircus* (GCF_001704415.1).

194   Digests were purified using Ampure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter, Inc.) and standardized

to 100ng per sample. Unique inline barcodes (Peterson et al., 2012) were then ligated using T4

196   DNA Ligase (following manufacturer protocols; New England Biolabs, Inc.). Samples were then

multiplexed (N=48) prior to automated size selection at 300-450bp using a Pippin Prep (Sage

198   Sciences). Adapter extension was performed over 12 PCR cycles using TruSeq-compatible

indexed primers (Illumina, Inc.) and Phusion high-fidelity *taq* polymerase (New England

200   Biolabs, Inc.) Additional quality controls (e.g., qPCR and fragment analysis) were performed on

final libraries prior to 1x100 single-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Genomics and

202   Cell Characterization Facility, University of Oregon/Eugene), with a total of N=96 samples

pooled per lane.

204   Raw reads were demultiplexed using the pyRAD pipeline (Eaton, 2014), and those with

barcode mismatches were discarded. Demultiplexed reads were further filtered by removing

206   those having >4 nucleotides below a quality threshold of 99% accuracy. Reads were then

clustered into putative loci within-individuals, allowing for a maximum distance threshold of

208   15%. This was done using the VSEARCH algorithm (Rognes, Flouri, Nichols, Quince, & Mahé,

        2016) as implemented in pyRAD, so as to remove read clusters with 3+ indels, >5 ambiguous

210   consensus nucleotides, or a coverage <20X or >500X. Putative homologs were identified using

        among-individual clustering with the same parameters, and additional removal of loci having >2

212   alleles per individual, >70% heterozygosity for any polymorphic site, >10 heterozygous sites, or

        <50% individual recovery (see *github.com/tkchafin/scripts* for post-processing and file

214   formatting scripts). To mitigate issues of independence among sites, we additionally sub-

        sampled the dataset to one SNP per locus.

        2.2 | Derivation of population structure

218   Given known issues with respect to assignment accuracy in datasets dominated by low-frequency

        variants (Linck & Battey, 2019), we excluded SNPs exhibiting a minor allele count <2 which

220   corresponds to a global minor-allele frequency of ~1%. This minor-allele count threshold per-

        locus (i.e., accounting for total number of sampled alleles), equates to a mean minor-allele

222   frequency threshold of 11% (sd=2%). We then inferred population structure (ADMIXTURE:

        Alexander et al., 2009) with parallel processing (ADMIXPIPE: Mussmann et al., 2020). Model

224   selection (i.e. for *K*, the number of populations) followed a cross-validation approach with results

        aggregated from 20 independent replicates (CLUMPAK: Kopelman et al., 2015).

226   Individual-level ADMIXTURE results were summarized as a 'surface' with spatial

        discontinuities represented as interpolated assignment probabilities. Here, we constructed state-

228   wide rasters, as representing per-pixel probabilities or 'ancestry proportions,' using Empirical

        Bayesian Kriging (ARCMAP 10.7.1, Esri, Inc.). Probability surfaces were then summarized as

230   evenness and diversity of ancestries in each cell using Simpson's index (Simpson, 1949) (where

K=number of statewide sub-populations). Our use of the diversity index was based on a

232 straightforward prediction: Areas representing spatial transitions between populations will have a

corresponding low certainty of assignment to a given sub-population ancestry (i.e., high

234 diversity). Likewise, those with low inter-population exchange will comprise genetically similar

individuals assigned with high probability to the endemic ancestry (i.e., low diversity). Thus,

236 genetic edges represent a marked transition from one population to another, as identified by site-

wise diversity in assignment probabilities (i.e. 'ancestry diversity'). By lowering the resolution of

238 the genetic diversity surface, we effectively decreased the noise introduced by translocation.

We expect spatial variation in ancestry diversity to be inversely proportional to true rates

240 of gene flow, in that this quantity (as well as the ancestry proportions from which it is computed)

are a product of gene flow averaged over many generations. Of note is the fact that the method

242 only examines local ancestry probabilities and consequently will not be dominated by

translocation-related artefacts (as are methods based on interpolated pairwise genetic distances or

244 F_{ST}). Patterns based on Simpson's diversity were contrasted with those inferred using a form of

2D-stepping stone model (EEMS; Petkova et al. 2015), as run with 2 million MCMC iterations

246 (1 million as burn-in), and sampled every 1,000 iterations [following parameter sweeps that

tuned MCMC acceptance rates to fall between 20% and 50% (Roberts, Gelman, & Gilks, 1997)].

2.3 | Estimating cline parameters

250 We examined the nature of population boundaries by examining how individual loci transitioned

across these regions, relative to the genome-wide average. To do so, we defined eight transects

252 across putative genetic edges, sampling 32-73 individuals per transect ($\bar{x} =55.38$). Individuals

were chosen to represent each sub-population using a probability threshold applied to

254   ADMIXTURE results. Loci were filtered to remove all SNPs with missing data in >50% of

individuals, and computational time was further restricted by retaining only those loci with a

256   sufficient allele frequency differential among clinal extremes [computed as $\delta > 0.50$; (Gregorius

& Roberds, 1986)]. Locus-wise clinal patterns were then inferred using a Bayesian method

258   developed originally for hybrid zones (BGC: Gompert & Buerkle, 2011, 2012). Open-source

Python code for filtering SNP matrices and generating necessary input are available at:

260   (*github.com/tkchafin/scripts/phylip2introgress.pl* and *phylip2bgc.pl*).

Analyses were performed for each transect across four replicates, each using one million

262   MCMC iterations, discarding the first 500,000 as burn-in, with output thinned to every 500

iterations. Results were summarized by visualizing a 2-D density of cline shape parameters.

264   These are: α (=cline directionality) that describes an increase ($\alpha > 0$) or decrease ($\alpha < 0$) in the

probability of locus-specific ancestry from a parental population; and β (=cline width/ steepness)

266   that defines the rate of transition in probabilities of locus-specific ancestries having either steep

($\beta > 0$) or wide ($\beta < 0$) shapes (Gompert, Parchman, & Buerkle, 2012). In this context, a locus

268   which does not deviate from the genome-wide pattern would have $\alpha=\beta=0$. Deviation in a

directional manner (i.e., an increase or decrease of one ancestry over another) is described by α,

270   whereas deviation in the rate of ancestry change around an inflection point (i.e., sigmoidal) is

described by β. Statistical outliers were designated using the method of Gompert and Buerkle

272   (2011). BGC results were parsed and visualized using the ClinePlotR R package (Martin, Chafin,

Douglas, & Douglas, 2020).

2.4 | Relative dispersal by age and sex

276   Of particular interest in wildlife management is the backwards inference of geographic

positioning from genotypes—that is, the geolocation of 'origination' points for sampled animals.

278   This could be used, for example, to ascertain the geographic origin of poached individuals, or to

estimate post-natal dispersal. To this end, we used the novel, deep-learning method LOCATOR

280   (Battey et al., 2020a) to predict the geographic origin of samples without relying upon explicit

assumptions about population genetic processes underlying spatial genetic differentiation

282   (Bradburd & Ralph, 2019). The analysis was performed iteratively across each individual, using

the remaining samples to train the LOCATOR classifier, with 100 bootstrap pseudo-replicates to

284   assess variance in geolocation. Given computational constraints, we performed the analysis using

a subset of 5000 SNPs having a minor-allele frequency >10%.

286   We estimated relative dispersal distances as the Euclidean distance between sampled

localities and the centroid of predicted coordinates, under the assumption that the distance

288   between predicted and collected locations is the result of lifetime dispersal, at least for samples

for which geolocation variance is low among pseudo-replicates. The results were then partitioned

290   by age, sex, and CWD-status.

        Our second approach examined the decay in genetic relatedness as a function of distance

292   from each individual, measured as a Prevosti distance (R-package poppr: Kamvar et al., 2014).

Here, the assumption is that recently dispersed individuals will be, on average, more genetically

294   dissimilar from resident individuals, whereas resident individuals having an appreciable

reproductive output will be less so. These calculations were limited to individuals that had

296   neighboring samples within a 5km radius, thus removing individuals from sparsely sampled

regions where the relationship between relatedness and distance would be unreliable. We also

298   note that the traditional aging method employed herein (Severinghaus 1949) has an accuracy

seemingly reduced in older deer, potentially suggesting caution in the interpretation of results

300   (Cook & Hart, 1979; Gee, Holman, Causey, Rossi, & Armstrong, 2002; Mitchell & Smith,

       1991).

3 | RESULTS

304   **3.1 | Data processing**

       Our raw data represented N=1,143 samples, including N=29 from Wisconsin (Table S1). We

306   removed N=83 that had missing metadata, discrepancies with coordinates, or <50% of loci

       present. Assembly in pyRAD yielded an average of 25,584 loci per sample (σ=8,639). After

308   removing loci present in <50% of samples and excluding those containing potential paralogs

       (e.g., excessive heterozygosity or >2 alleles per locus), our final dataset contained 35,420 loci,

310   from which 2,655,584 SNPs were catalogued. Of these, 54,102 were excluded as singletons. To

       limit signal redundancy, we then condensed the data to one SNP per locus, yielded a final matrix

312   of 35,099 SNPs for analyses of population structure.

314   **3.2 | Population structure and 'ancestry surfaces'**

       Cross-validation, performed on N=20 replicates each for subpopulation model (K=1-20),

316   revealed the optimal number of clusters as K=8. Spatial orientation of these samples (Fig. 1)

       provided a geographic definition, with some subpopulations qualitatively defined by apparent

318   landscape features, such as the Arkansas River Valley as the southern extent of subpopulations

       k=3 and k=6.

320   Two ancestries (k1 and k8) largely dominated south of the Arkansas River, bounded by

       Interstate 30 to the north and the Ouachita River to the south (Figs. 1-2), each of which supports

322   the argument that genetic structure is defined by large geographic barriers. The southwestern

portion of the state has two ancestral assignments ($k1$ and $k3$), with the latter having mixed

324   representation in the north-central section (potentially an artefact of weak differentiation rather

than true shared ancestry). The southeastern section is dominated by a single gene pool ($k8$),

326   which coincidentally subsumed all Wisconsin samples, a strong signature of genetic variability

as a residual of historic translocations.

328   A greater amount of locally endemic structure occurred north of the Arkansas River in

the Ozark Mountains, where six sub-populations were evident. The most broadly distributed ($k5$)

330   was to the east in the Mississippi alluvial plains, extending westward across the mainstem of the

White River then northward towards the confluence of the Black and White rivers, where it

332   grades into several distinct yet loosely defined sub-populations (Figs. 1-2). The northwestern

corner of the state was the most heterogeneous, with four primarily endemic gene pools ($k=2,3,4$

334   and 7; Fig. 2). The northern-most of these was approximately bounded by the White River (Fig.

2) and graded westward into an area with high levels of mixed assignment (Fig. 1). The

336   remaining northwestern region was defined by several gene pools showing spatially weak

transitions, suggesting reduced gene flow but with geographic and/ or environmental boundaries

338   reasonably porous.

        Effective migration surfaces (EEMS) failed to capture any discernable pattern relating to

340   spatially defined population structure (Fig. S1). Geographic breaks separating sub-populations

(=genetic edges) were captured instead by reducing interpolated assignment probabilities ($n = k$)

342   as a continuous Simpson's diversity index ($n=1$) (Fig. 3). This, in turn, reflects a dependence on

homogeneity of local assignments, rather than global patterns compounded by long-distance

344   transplants.

346 **3.3 | Intraspecific genomic clines**

Genomic clines varied substantially among transects (Figs. 4, S2). Most inter-population

348 comparisons within northwest Arkansas, to include $k2$ x $k3$ (both eastern and southern transition

zones), $k2$ x $k6$, and $k4$ x $k7$, indicated variation primarily restricted to cline directionality (α),

350 with cline steepness (β) at a minimum. The variation in locus-wise pattern for these cases

(hereafter termed 'α-dominant'), indicated a directional change in the representation of reference

352 populations across the transect, but without a noticeable 'inflection' point, as implied by non-

zero cline width (β).

354 Remaining comparisons, including one additional transect from northwest Arkansas ($k3$ x

$k4$), primarily reflected variation in cline width (β), in that loci varied most prominently

356 regarding steepness of transition around an inflection point respective to genome-wide ancestry.

Although two transects showed minor exception (i.e., $k3$ x $k6$ varied along both parameters; $k4$ x

358 $k7$ each showed minimal variation), the contrasting variation between α-dominant versus β-

dominant transects suggests that different processes underly ancestry transitions.

3.4 | Estimating dispersal using geolocation analysis

362 Individuals from densely sampled regions could be assigned to geographic origin using the

'deep-learning' approach, with CWD-positive individuals assigned with a mean bootstrap

364 distance from centroid prediction generally <15km (Fig. S3). However, we did find assignment

error (e.g., among bootstraps) was elevated in low-density sampling regions (Figs. 5, S4), which

366 resulted in higher estimated individual dispersal distances (Fig. S4A, B). Given that variance in

dispersal estimates dropped considerably below ~25km (Fig. S4C), a conservative threshold of

368 10km was chosen and all individuals having a mean bootstrap-centroid distance above that were

removed for the purposes of dispersal estimates. After filtering, N=110 samples remained (Fig.

370   5A). A higher error threshold (i.e., 20km) allowed a greater number of total samples to be

incorporated (N=264; Fig. 5B), with several 'roadkills' appearing as long-distance transfers.

372   Although these results are low-precision assignments, they do underscore the capacity of the

method regarding the identification of transported individuals (e.g., poached or illegally dumped

374   deer, or carcasses transported across state lines or regional management zones).

Geo-located results for individuals passing a strict error filter demonstrated a dispersal

376   distance for males approximately double that of females across all age classes (statistically

significant only for the Y2-2.5 class due to low sample sizes; Fig. 6). This pattern was

378   established as early as the Y1-1.5 group, indicating apparent male dispersal by that age. Smaller

dispersal distances were found for fawns across both sexes (Fig. 6), again corroborating *a priori*

380   biological expectations.

Patterns of genetic dissimilarity also showed an age x sex effect, with greater genetic

382   dissimilarity for neighboring Y0-1 males than females, and with a shift towards reduced

dissimilarity in males >5 (Fig. S5). This again supports the argument that male deer in Arkansas

384   have dispersed by the Y1-1.5 age class, and potentially reflects age-biased reproduction. Males

contributed disproportionately to their local gene pools by age 5 (i.e., producing offspring with

386   resident females), thereby creating a pattern of lower genetic dissimilarity among neighboring

individuals, regardless of distance.

4 | DISCUSSION

390   ### 4.1 | Genetic footprints of historic management

The prolonged history of hunter-harvest, and subsequent long-range translocations into Arkansas

392 and the surrounding region (Ellsworth et al., 1994; Holder, 1951), provide a sideboard to our

study in that artificial long-distance movements such as these violate the assumptions inherent

394 with many spatially-explicit methods. However, we found estimates of ancestry diversity and

probability surfaces to be qualitatively robust in that they firmly recapitulated the record of

396 historic translocations (Holder, 1951). This, in turn, necessitates that our results be placed within

an historic context.

398   In the early 20th century, following many decades of over-hunting, the Arkansas deer

population declined to <500 individuals (Holder, 1951). In response, Arkansas Game and Fish

400 Commission (AGFC) implemented an extensive restocking program (1941-1951) involving as its

basis three primary sources. The first was Howard County deer farm (southwestern Arkansas;

402 Fig. 7), established from locally transplanted central Arkansas individuals (Wynn, 1943), and

now located within the epicenter of population k1 (Figs. 2, 7). Its ancestry is shared elsewhere in

404 the state, thus establishing it as an epicenter for local translocations.

A second major source was the Sylamore District in the Ozark Mountains (Wood, 1944;

406 Holder, 1951), where individuals were naturally abundant (Fig. S7). Individuals from this region

have a consistently higher probability of assignment to k3 (Figs. 2, 7), as records indicate ~81%

408 of repopulated individuals in the Gulf Coastal Plain originated from there (Holder, 1951; Karlin,

Heidt, & Sugg, 1989; Wood, 1944). Our analyses agree in that many individuals from

410 southwestern Arkansas (Figs. 1, 6) reflect a mixed assignment to the 'Sylamore' cluster. They

also comprised ~36% of stocking efforts in the Mississippi Delta region (Karlin et al., 1989).

412   Our results also indicate some mixed assignment of individuals to k3, although a more

widespread representation is found in k5. AGFC surveys (~1942-1945) indicated deer as being

414 relatively abundant in the southeastern Delta region (Figs. 7, S7), seemingly linked with re-

population efforts following the disastrous 1927 Mississippi flood. This event, coupled with

416   over-hunting, nearly extirpated deer in the region, save small numbers sustained by local

sportsmen (Holder, 1951; Fig. S7). We hypothesize deer in the Delta region largely result from

418   those efforts, given the contemporary homogeneity of ancestry assignments in this region.

A third (extraneous) source was the Sandhill Game Farm (Babcock, WI; Wood 1944).

420   Records indicate that ~64% of deer released into the Mississippi Delta region originated out-of-

state, the majority from Wisconsin (Holder, 1951; Karlin et al., 1989). Our Wisconsin samples

422   were unanimously assigned to a gene pool prominently represented in the southern Delta region

($k8$; Fig. 2), firmly establishing its genetic legacy as extending from imported deer.

424   Mitochondrial haplotypes putatively originating from Wisconsin were also uncovered in

Missouri, Kentucky, and Mississippi (Budd, Berkman, Anderson, Koppelman, & Eggert, 2018;

426   DeYoung et al., 2003; Doerner et al., 2005).

All genetic 'clusters' that lacked spatial cohesion in our analyses can be connected to the

428   three major stocking sources involved in earlier restoration efforts (per historic records). The

remaining sub-populations, primarily in the Ozarks ($k2$, 4, 6, and 7) may represent natural re-

430   colonization from refugial populations (Figs. 2, 7), an hypothesis supported by early census data

(Figs. S7, S8). If this was not recognized, then any analysis of deer genetic structure across

432   Arkansas would instead infer unusually high rates of gene flow among these geographically

distant regions. This, in turn, would preclude any analysis aimed at linking variation in genetic

434   structure to environmental factors.

436   **4.2 | Contemporary genetic structure**

A primary focus in our study was the contemporary genetic structure of Arkansas deer,

438   especially regarding how these data promote an understanding of landscape resistance to

dispersal (Hemming-Schroeder, Lo, Salazar, Puente, & Yan, 2018; Kelly et al., 2014). Yet

440   several prerequisites are apparent in this regard. For example, one apparent question previously

constrained by technological limitations is the degree to which potential patterns of genetic

442   variability in deer have been conflated by anthropogenically-mediated translocations.

We addressed this issue by utilizing next-generation molecular techniques to derive

444   highly variable markers across the genome. We also implemented advanced geospatial

procedures that visualized the spatial transitions inherent within deer ancestry in such a way that

446   translocation histories were not a limiting factor. We did so by interpolating our assignment

probabilities from ADMIXTURE, then applying Simpson's diversity index as a means of

448   reducing the probabilities to a single vector. We also polarized stochastic *versus* deterministic

processes by examining locus-specific patterns within our transitions.

450   Previous studies concluded that inferences from landscape genetic analyses were limited

at best, due to complex interactions between historic translocations and subsequent population

452   growth (Leberg et al. 1994; Leberg and Ellsworth 1999; Budd et al. 2018). However, many of

these studies relied upon legacy molecular markers (e.g., mtDNA or reduced panels of

454   microsatellite DNA markers) that capture substantially less polymorphism than do next-

generation methods (Hodel et al., 2017; Jeffries et al., 2016; Lemopoulos et al., 2019). Recent

456   studies at more refined spatial scales have supported large-scale geographic barriers (e.g. rivers,

highways) as being semipermeable to gene flow (Kelly et al., 2014; Locher et al., 2015; Miller et

458   al., 2020; Robinson et al., 2012). This, despite the potential complication caused by re-stocking

efforts, and in accordance with radio-telemetry data (Peterson et al., 2017).

460   Several scale-dependent issues may modulate the degree to which translocations

obfuscate landscape genetic patterns. First, as spatial scale increases, so does the probability of

462   sampling individuals whose genetic dissimilarity reflects translocation rather than natural gene

flow via dispersal. Second, the most obvious environmental effects that drive deer dispersal have

464   the greatest probability of being recovered in an analysis, even if they represent spurious signals

driven by translocation. However, landscape-level analyses of dispersal can still be informative,

466   despite those rapid transitions between historic and contemporary conditions (Epps &

Keyghobadi, 2015) that may obfuscate relationships. For example, large-scale environmental

468   features also drive spatial genetic patterns in invasive species, despite reduced levels of genetic

diversity (Lopez, Hurwood, Dryden, & Fuller, 2014; Sacks, Brazeal, & Lewis, 2016; Zalewski,

470   Piertney, Zalewska, & Lambin, 2009). Such patterns are also rapidly manifested within

populations occupying habitats recently modified by anthropogenic developments, such as large

472   urban centers (Beninde et al., 2016; Combs, Puckett, Richardson, Mims, & Munshi-South, 2018;

Kimmig et al., 2020).

474   The analytical artefacts derived from translocation are expected to be not only scale-

dependent but also a function of specific analytical assumptions. For example, classic tests of

476   'isolation-by-distance'(IBD) implicitly assume a negative relationship between geographic

proximity and pairwise patterns of genetic distance (Meirmans, 2012; Rousset, 1997). Yet,

478   translocations are demonstrably inconsistent with this expectation. Introduced individuals reflect

levels of genetic similarity that are demonstrably inconsistent with spatial and/ or environmental

480   distance (i.e., 'resistance') that now separates them. This, in turn, incorporates spurious signals

within the landscape inferences subsequently derived.

482   The assignment method used in our study yielded patterns of genetic similarity that

reflected translocations as depicted in historic records (Fig. 7). We sought to more appropriately

484   expose landscape features that could potentially modulate deer dispersal by effectively

'removing' this artificial signal. Our approach (i.e., reducing the dimensionality of assignment

486   probabilities) produced relatively straightforward predictions about the ensuing metric: Areas

with uninterrupted movement of individuals should have increased homogeneity regarding

488   interpolated ancestry assignments. By the same logic, regions representing barriers to movement

among regions should likewise demarcate transitions in interpolated ancestries. We acknowledge

490   that spatial assignments can also be vulnerable to artefacts, such as an over-fitting of discrete

clusters within otherwise continuous populations (Bradburd et al., 2018). Yet despite this, they

492   are demonstrably effective at identifying linear barriers to gene flow (Blair et al., 2012).

Coincidentally, our approach using summarized ancestries revealed numerous linear subdivisions

494   that aligned with major landscape barriers, such as rivers in Arkansas (Fig. 3). Other recognized

transitions approximately corresponded with large urban centers. Our argument is that

496   approaches involving an assumption of stepwise gene flow (implicit with pairwise genetic

distances) may generate conclusions that either lack the necessary nuances or are altogether

498   incorrect. This is apparent when the 'surfaces' inferred using Simpson's diversity of ancestry

assignments (Fig. 3) are contrasted with EEMS results (Fig. S1).

4.3 | Separating stochastic *versus* deterministic processes in clinal patterns

502   When deriving clinal patterns, it becomes difficult to disentangle contemporary demographic

processes from analytical idiosyncrasies. As a means of clarification, we generated multi-locus

504   genomic patterns under the expectation that the relative importance of stochastic *versus*

deterministic processes could be derived from the manner by which genome-wide averages

506   deviated from locus-wise clines (Barton, 1983; Barton & Hewitt, 1985; Slatkin, 1973; Vasemägi,

2006). We found eight transects that varied either in the steepness of genetic transitions (β), or

508 the directionality of allelic frequency change (α). Interestingly, 'α-dominant' transects were

found within the Ozark region, previously hypothesized as being naturally re-colonized from

510 local refugia (i.e., $k2$ x $k3$E/S, $k2$ x $k6$, and $k4$ x $k7$; Figs. 4, S2).

Under this hypothesis, genetic drift along the leading edge of population expansions

512 would generate two genetic reverberations: The random, directional fixation of alleles, and an

apparent inflation of population structure. Both were observed in our data (Fig. 1, 4). The

514 hypothesis is also supported by state-wide surveys (1940's) that found deer in habitat associated

with each Ozark sub-population during, and immediately following, the low ebb of deer in

516 Arkansas (Figs. 7, S8). The transects likewise displayed near-zero β values, suggesting the

absence of a 'true' barrier to dispersal. Here, the expectation would be that a hard barrier

518 between discrete populations would reflect changes in allele frequencies around an inflection

point.

520 One interpretation is that genetic drift at the edge of an expanding refugium creates a

'rolling' founder effect, with alleles either over- ($\alpha>0$) or under-represented ($\alpha<0$) across the re-

522 colonized territory (Excoffier & Ray, 2008; Hallatschek & Nelson, 2008). This phenomenon—

termed 'gene surfing'—can generate wholly neutral patterns that appear to be adaptive (Peischl,

524 Dupanloup, Bosshard, & Excoffier, 2016; Travis et al., 2007), and may explain the presence of

numerous α-outlier loci in those transects (Fig. 4).

526 Interestingly, all our β-dominant transects crossed major rivers: Transect $k3$ x $k6$ spanned

the Arkansas River; $k3$ x $k5$ the White River; and $k1$ x $k8$ the Ouachita River. Transect $k3$ x $k4$

528 crosses the smaller and shallower Buffalo River, which may explain the depressed variability in

cline steepness (Fig. 4). The coincidence of these patterns with major rivers suggests barriers to

530   individual movement, in that non-zero β values implicate variability in the 'steepness' of clinal

        transitions around an inflection point [i.e., with rates of change in allele frequencies either steep

532   ($\beta>0$) or wide ($\beta<0$)].

            Classically, clinal patterns are established either by selection or hard boundaries to gene

534   flow (Endler, 1973; Slatkin, 1973), but our analyses (Fig. 4) revealed little evidence of selection-

        driven outliers. However, spatially variable gene flow, when coupled with drift, can yield clinal

536   patterns across a considerable proportion of the genome without necessitating selection

        (Vasemägi, 2006). Selection could feasibly be involved, at least for regions where different deer

538   subspecies were translocated, in that subspecific crosses have elicited fitness impacts such as

        dystocia (abnormal maternal labor due to shape, size, or position of the fetus; Galindo-Leal and

540   Weber 1994). The genetic costs of inter-subspecific stocking are recognized (Hopken, Lum,

        Meyers, & Piaggio, 2015), and manifested as an anomalous variation in breeding time among

542   other southern-recovered deer populations (Sumners et al., 2015). Some limited evidence also for

        reproductive isolation among mule deer subspecies (*Odocoileus hemionus*) as driven by

544   pheromonal differences (Müller-Schwarze & Müller-Schwarze, 1975).

546   **4.4 | Management implications**

        Our results identified a diagnosable genetic signature of historic translocations within the

548   genomes of extant Arkansas deer populations. This simultaneously underscores the success of

        early restocking efforts, while also reiterating long-standing concerns about the genetic and/ or

550   phenotypic impacts of anthropogenic translocations (Meffe & Vrijenhoek, 1988). It also

        reinforces the need to formalize as an explicit management consideration the intraspecific

552   taxonomy of deer, both to better understand the (yet to be seen) evolutionary consequences of

past translocations, and to provide a more proactive baseline going forward (Cronin, 2003;

554   Gippoliti, Cotterill, Groves, & Zinner, 2018).

Resource agencies, in particular, should prioritize those populations that retain endemic

556   genetic diversity, and by so doing, tacitly acknowledge the importance of preserving

evolutionary legacy (Crandall, Bininda-Emonds, Mace, & Wayne, 2000). Such recognition

558   would capitalize on the many years of natural selection that have operated on those populations

prior to anthropogenic interference, which may have generated adaptive genetic variation

560   necessary to withstand changing conditions, or mediated an evolutionary response to disease

(e.g., in the *PRNP* gene; Chafin et al., 2020). This further emphasizes the importance of

562   integrating genomic methods for heavily managed species (Flanagan et al., 2017), given their

recognized lack of intraspecific adaptive variation [i.e., a 'Darwinian shortfall' in biodiversity

564   conservation (Diniz-Filho, Loyola, Raia, Mooers, & Bini, 2013)].

A valuable application of our results would be towards disease containment, particularly

566   given that CWD is globally an impediment for the management of deer (Rivera, Brandt,

Novakofski, & Mateus-Pinilla, 2019; Uehlinger, Johnston, Bollinger, & Waldner, 2016). The

568   spread of CWD will be more rapid within rather than among populations, and given this,

monitoring efforts should focus on detecting 'breaches' between genetically distinct regions

570   (those bordered by major rivers and urban centers, as herein; Fig. 3). It is noteworthy that the

current boundaries for the AGFC 'Deer Management Units' (DMUs) (Meeker et al., 2019) are

572   remarkably consistent with many of the population boundaries as identified in our study. Thus,

potential mitigation efforts should work to constrain the transportation of potentially infectious

574   material (such as carcasses) from CWD-detected DMUs, as well as limiting the outward

dispersal of individuals. One approach is to reduce the density of yearling males, which often

576   comprise >50% of emigrating individuals (McCoy, Hewitt, & Bryant, 2005; Nelson, 1993;

Nixon, Hansen, Brewer, & Chelsvig, 1991). A similar action would be to reduce local population

578   densities that serve to drive dispersal, in that robust population numbers elevate social pressure

as well as resource competition (Long et al., 2008; Lutz et al., 2015; Shaw, Lancia, Conner, &

580   Rosenberry, 2006).

        One harvest strategy ['Quality Deer Management,' QDM (Brothers and Ray, 1975;

582   Hamilton et al., 1995)] has several management goals operating in parallel to improve deer

demography. These include: Actively decreasing overall population density; elevating the

584   proportion of mature males in the population; and increasing the female component of the sex

ratio. The success of this approach was assayed by evaluating deer emigration rates pre- and

586   post-application (Shaw et al., 2006). Two important aspects were noted: Emigration probabilities

decreased, as did mating behavior of yearling males. Our patterns of age- and sex-biased

588   dispersal distances (Fig. 5, 6) largely recapitulate expectations based on deer life-history, as well

as underscore the importance of QDM harvest strategies. They also reinforce the parameters of

590   CWD containment, such as: Male dispersal established by the yearling cohort (Long et al., 2008;

McCoy et al., 2005; Shaw et al., 2006); Older males that display a disproportionate contribution

592   to local gene pools, and; Females that lack age-dependent dispersal (Lutz et al., 2015). Thus,

harvest strategies reducing the population share and reproductive contribution of young males

594   would reduce the potential for disease exchange among herds. The implications of a management

strategy focusing on these explicit goals would thus be a potential reduction in CWD

596   dissemination, though it is unclear how this paradigm could impact long-term disease trajectories

within CWD-prevalent DMUs, although lower emigration probabilities and decreased densities

598   would concomitantly depress the probability of disease contraction for a given individual.

4.5 | Utility of genomic approaches for white-tailed deer management

Beyond providing a nuanced view of historical management processes, the genomic methods used herein offer several improvements beyond 'legacy' approaches. For example, they provide vastly increased statistical power for individual-level genetic analyses, such as estimating relatedness (Lemopoulos et al., 2019), or parentage assignment (Flanagan & Jones, 2018). This is also accomplished at a fraction of the cost-per-marker (Puckett, 2017). Evidence for this is found in our geolocation results (Fig. 5, S3-S4), where a lower dispersion of predicted natal locations were achieved when compared with previous attempts using microsatellites (Green et al., 2014). The genome is also more densely sampled and these data can be used in conjunction with the analytical methods used herein to infer both environmental associations and adaptive genetic variation (Martin et al., 2020), or detect anthropogenically-mediated change through time (Chafin et al., 2019).

SNP-based methods have a particular advantage with regards to scalability (i.e., such as in a multi-state consortium of wildlife management agencies). Large datasets (as herein) may allow a subset of highly consistent, maximally informative markers to be extracted that are broadly applicable across states and regions. In ddRAD (and other RADseq methods), much sequencing effort may be wasted on low-occupancy or systematically-missing loci, a result of variability introduced during: Library preparation (Escudero et al., 2014); Bioinformatic processing (Eaton, 2014); Mutation-disruption (Rubin et al., 2012), or; Insufficient sequencing coverage (Huang & Knowles, 2016). Additionally, many loci may be monomorphic, contain low-frequency mutations, or be uninformative at the scale examined. Methods to optimize cost-efficiency can be applied to consistently assay target loci (e.g., Chafin et al., 2018) by using

622   targeted-enrichment approaches [e.g., Rapture (Hoffberg et al., 2016)] or those amplicon-based

       [GT-Seq (Campbell, Harmon, & Narum, 2014)], thus reducing the total costs to <$10 per

624   sample.

       Selecting an appropriate approach depends upon desired throughput capacity, yet all

626   share the need for an initial database of genetic variation from which to assays can be generated.

       For white-tailed deer, our approach would first be applied to generate a broad geographic

628   reference database (i.e., at a national or multi-regional scale), followed by assay design for a *post*

       hoc method (such as that of Campbell, Harmon, & Narum, 2014). This would allow our

630   methodology to be deployed so as to generate a sampling sufficiently dense for management-

       oriented population genetic analyses such as natal geolocation. This, in turn, would facilitate the

632   detection of illegal carcasses, deer trafficking, migrant detection, or characterization of historic

       translocation (as herein). Moreover, such an approach would be fully replicable across

634   laboratories and agencies, thereby facilitating inter-agency and multi-state collaboration.

       Although dense spatial and genomic sampling may offer a composite resolution (e.g.,

636   Chafin et al., 2020; Linck et al., 2019), it does not necessarily supplant other approaches. For

       example, sampling density was shown herein to be a particular limiting factor in geolocation

638   analyses, with high prediction error in regions of the state sparsely sampled (Fig. 5). Although

       we did not directly compare our methodology with that based on a microsatellite dataset, we

640   suspect greater error in the latter given decreased information content associated with the much

       reduced dataset. Genetic input from unsampled populations (e.g., long-distance migrants from

642   out-of-state) found at edges of our sampling areas could also inflate assignment uncertainty (see

       Fig. 3), as could extremely uneven sampling (Lawson, van Dorp, & Falush, 2018). We are also

644   unsure of the degree to which long-distance migrants could influence our clinal analyses (e.g.,

via a 'smoothing' effect; Smith and Weissman 2020), nor fluctuation that may occur over brief

646   time scales (as observed in other study systems; Chafin et al., 2019). Thus, we caution that the

deployment of these methods at multi-state or regional scales should focus on dense, spatially

648   expansive sampling that employs both spatial and temporal replicates, where possible (Short Bull

et al., 2011). Both priorities are facilitated via the aforementioned enrichment methods that

650   drastically reduce per-sample cost-efficiency.

REFERENCES

654   Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research*, *19*(9), 1655–1664.

656   Austerlitz, F., Jung-Muller, B., Godelle, B., & Gouyon, P.-H. (1997). Evolution of coalescence times, genetic diversity and structure during colonization. *Theoretical Population Biology*,
658   *51*, 148–164.

Barton, N. H. (1983). Multilocus clines. *Evolution*, *37*(3), 454–471.

Barton, N. H. (2008). The effect of a barrier to gene flow on patterns of geographic variation. *Genetics Research*, *90*(1), 139–149.

Barton, N. H., & Hewitt, G. M. (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *16*(1), 113–148.

Battey, C. J., Ralph, P. L., & Kern, A. D. (2020a). Predicting geographic location from genetic variation with deep neural networks. *ELife*, *9*, e54507.

Battey, C. J., Ralph, P. L., & Kern, A. D. (2020b). Space is the place: Effects of continuous spatial structure on analysis of population genetic data. *Genetics*, *215*(1), 193–214.

Beninde, J., Feldmeier, S., Werner, M., Peroverde, D., Schulte, U., Hochkirch, A., & Veith, M. (2016). Cityscape genetics: structural vs. functional connectivity of an urban lizard
population. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(20), 4984–5000.

Blair, C., Weigel, D. E., Balazik, M., Keeley, A. T. H., Walker, F. M., Landguth, E., …
672   Balkenhol, N. (2012). A simulation-based evaluation of methods for inferring linear barriers to gene flow. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*(5), 822–833.

674   Bossart, J. L., & Pashley Prowell, D. (1998). Genetic estimates of population structure and gene flow: Limitations, lessons and new directions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *13*(5),
676   202–206.

Bradburd, G. S., Coop, G. M., & Ralph, P. L. (2018). Inferring continuous and discrete
678   population genetic structure across space. *Genetics*, *210*, 33–52.

Bradburd, G. S., & Ralph, P. L. (2019). Spatial population genetics: It's about time. *Annual*
680   *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *50*(1), 427–449.

Brinkman, T. J., Deperno, C. S., Jenks, J. A., Haroldson, B. S., & Osborn, R. G. (2005).
682   Moement of female white-tailed deer: Effects of climate and intensive row-crop agriculture. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *69*(3), 1099–1111.

684   Brothers, A., & Ray, M. E. (1975). *Producing quality whitetails*. Laredo, TX: Wildlife Services.

Brown, S. K., Hull, J. M., Updike, D. R., Fain, S. R., & Ernest, H. B. (2009). Black bear

686   population genetics in California: Signatures of population structure, competitive release, and historical translocation. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *90*(5), 1066–1074.

688   Budd, K., Berkman, L. K., Anderson, M., Koppelman, J., & Eggert, L. S. (2018). Genetic structure and recovery of white-tailed deer in Missouri. *Journal of Wildlife Management*,
690   *82*(8), 1598–1607.

Capinha, C., Essl, F., Seebens, H., Moser, D., & Pereira, H. M. (2015). The dispersal of alien
692   species redefines biogeography in the Anthropocene. *Science*, *348*(6240), 1248–1251.

Chafin, T. K., Douglas, M. R., Martin, B. T., Zbinden, Z. D., Middaugh, C. M., Ballard, J., …
694   Douglas, M. E. (2020). Age structuring and spatial heterogeneity in prion protein gene (PRNP) polymorphism in Arkansas white-tailed deer. *Prion*, *14*(1), 238–248.

696   Chafin, T. K., Martin, B. T., Mussmann, S. M., Douglas, M. R., & Douglas, M. E. (2018). FRAGMATIC: in silico locus prediction and its utility in optimizing ddRADseq projects.
698   *Conservation Genetics Resources*, *10*(3), 325–328.

Combs, M., Puckett, E. E., Richardson, J., Mims, D., & Munshi-South, J. (2018). Spatial
700   population genomics of the brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) in New York City. *Molecular Ecology*, *27*(1), 83–98.

702   Comte, L., & Olden, J. D. (2018). Fish dispersal in flowing waters: A synthesis of movement- and genetic-based studies. *Fish and Fisheries*, *19*(6), 1063–1077.

704   Cook, R. L., & Hart, R. V. (1979). Ages assigned known-age Texas white-tailed deer: Tooth wear versus cementum analysis. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern*
706   *Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies*.

Crandall, K. A., Bininda-Emonds, O. R. R., Mace, G. M., & Wayne, R. K. (2000). Considering
708   evolutionary processes in conservation biology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *15*(7), 290–295.

710   Cronin, M. A. (2003). Research on deer taxonomy and its relevance to management. *Ecoscience*, *10*(4), 432–442.

712   Cushman, S. A., & Landguth, E. L. (2010). Spurious correlations and inference in landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(17), 3592–3602.

714   DeYoung, R. W., Demarais, S., Honeycutt, R. L., Rooney, A. P., Gonzales, R. A., & Gee, K. L. (2003). Genetic consequences of white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) restoration in
716   Mississippi. *Molecular Ecology*, *12*(12), 3237–3252.

Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Loyola, R. D., Raia, P., Mooers, A. O., & Bini, L. M. (2013). Darwinian
718   shortfalls in biodiversity conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *28*(12), 689–695.

Doerner, K. C., Braden, W., Cork, J., Cunningham, T., Rice, A., Furman, B. J., & McElray, D.
720   (2005). Population Genetics of Resurgence□: White-Tailed Deer in Kentucky. *Journal of*

Wildlife Management, *69*(1), 345–355.

722 Douglas, M. E., Minckley, W. L., & DeMarais, B. D. (1999). Did vicariance mold phenotypes of western North American fishes? Evidence from Gila River cyprinids. *Evolution*, *53*(1),
724 238–246.

Eaton, D. A. R. (2014). PyRAD: assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses.
726 *Bioinformatics*, *30*(13), 1844–1849.

Einfeldt, A. L., Jesson, L. K., & Addison, J. A. (2020). Historical human activities reshape
728 evolutionary trajectories across both native and introduced ranges. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(13), 6579–6592.

730 Ellsworth, D. L., Honeycutt, R. L., Silvy, N. J., Smith, M. H., Bickham, J. W., & Klimstra, W. D. (1994). White-tailed deer restoration to the southeastern United States: Evaluating
732 genetic variation. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *58*(4), 686.

Endler, J. A. (1973). Gene flow and population differentiation. *Science*, *179*(4070), 243–250.

734 Endler, J. A. (1977). *Geographic variation, speciation, and clines*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

736 Epps, C. W., & Keyghobadi, N. (2015). Landscape genetics in a changing world: Disentangling historical and contemporary influences and inferring change. *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(24),
738 6021–6040.

Epps, C. W., Wasser, S. K., Keim, J. L., Mutayoba, B. M., & Brashares, J. S. (2013).
Quantifying past and present connectivity illuminates a rapidly changing landscape for the African elephant. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(6), 1574–1588.

Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic consequences of range expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *40*(1), 481–501.

Excoffier, L., & Ray, N. (2008). Surfing during population expansions promotes genetic revolutions and structuration. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *23*(7), 347–351.

Fitzpatrick, B. M. (2013). Alternative forms for genomic clines. *Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(7), 1951–1966.

Fountain-Jones, N. M., Kraberger, S., Gagne, R., Trumbo, D. R., Salerno, P., Chris Funk, W., … Craft, M. E. (2021). Host relatedness and landscape connectivity shape pathogen spread in a
large secretive carnivore. *Communications Biology*, *4*, 12.

Galindo-Leal, C., & Weber, M. (1994). Translocation of deer subspecies: Reproductive
752 implications. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *22*(1), 117–120.

Gee, K. L., Holman, J. H., Causey, M. K., Rossi, A. N., & Armstrong, J. B. (2002). Aging white-
754 tailed deer by tooth replacement and wear: A critical evaluation of a time-honored

technique. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *30*(2), 387–393.

756 Gippoliti, S., Cotterill, F. P. D., Groves, C. P., & Zinner, D. (2018). Poor taxonomy and genetic rescue are possible co-agents of silent extinction and biogeographic homogenization among 758 ungulate mammals. *Biogeographia*, *33*(1), 41–54.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, A. C. (2011). Bayesian estimation of genomic clines. *Molecular* 760 *Ecology*, *20*, 2111–2127.

Gompert, Z., & Buerkle, C. A. (2012). bgc: Software for Bayesian estimation of genomic clines. 762 *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*(6), 1168–1176.

Gompert, Z., Parchman, T. L., & Buerkle, C. a. (2012). Genomics of isolation in hybrids. 764 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *367*(1587), 439– 450. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0196

766 Gregorius, H. R., & Roberds, J. H. (1986). Measurement of genetical differentiation among subpopulations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *71*, 826–834.

768 Haldane, J. B. S. (1948). The theory of a cline. *Journal of Genetics*, *48*(3), 277–284. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02986626

770 Hallatschek, O., & Nelson, D. R. (2008). Gene surfing in expanding populations. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *73*(1), 158–170.

772 Hamilton, R. J., Know, W. M., & Guynn, D. C. (1995). How quality deer management works. In K. V. Miller & R. L. Marchinton (Eds.), *Quality whitetails: the why and how of Quality* 774 *Deer Management* (pp. 7–18). Mechanicsburg, PA: Stackpole.

Hemming-Schroeder, E., Lo, E., Salazar, C., Puente, S., & Yan, G. (2018). Landscape genetics: 776 A toolbox for studying vector-borne diseases. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 1–11.

Hewitt, G. M. (2000). The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, *405*(6789), 907– 778 913.

Hewitt, G. M. (2001). Speciation, hybrid zones and phylogeography—or seeing genes in space 780 and time. *Molecular Ecology*, *10*(3), 537–549.

Hodel, R. G. J., Chen, S., Payton, A. C., McDaniel, S. F., Soltis, P., & Soltis, D. E. (2017). 782 Adding loci improves phylogeographic resolution in red mangroves despite increased missing data: Comparing microsatellites and RAD-Seq and investigating loci filtering. 784 *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 1–14.

Holder, T. H. (1951). A survey of Arkansas game. *Arkansas Game and Fish Commission* 786 *Federal Aid Publication Project II-R.*, 57–79.

Hopken, M. W., Lum, T. M., Meyers, P. M., & Piaggio, A. J. (2015). Molecular assessment of 788 translocation and management of an endangered subspecies of white-tailed deer

(*Odocoileus virginianus*). *Conservation Genetics*, *16*(3), 635–647.

790   Jahner, J., Matocq, M. D., Malaney, J. L., Cox, M., Wolff, P., Gritts, M. A., & Parchman, T. L.
(2019). The genetic legacy of 50 years of desert bighorn sheep translocations. *Evolutionary*
792   *Applications*, *12*(2), 198–213.

Jeffries, D. L., Copp, G. H., Handley, L. L., Håkan Olsén, K., Sayer, C. D., Hänfling, B., …
794   Hanfling, B. (2016). Comparing RADseq and microsatellites to infer complex
phylogeographic patterns, an empirical perspective in the Crucian carp, *Carassius*
796   *carassius*, L. *Molecular Ecology*, *25*(13), 2997–3018.

Kamvar, Z. N., Tabima, J. F., & Grünwald, N. J. (2014). Poppr: an R package for genetic
798   analysis of populations with clonal, partially clonal, and/or sexual reproduction. *PeerJ*, *2*,
e281.

800   Karlin, A. A., Heidt, G. A., & Sugg, D. W. (1989). Genetic variation and heterozygosity in
white-tailed deer in southern Arkansas. *The American Midland Naturalist*, *121*(2), 273–284.

802   Katzner, T. E., & Arlettaz, R. (2020). Evaluating contributions of recent tracking-based animal
movement ecology to conservation management. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, Vol.
804   7, p. 519. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00519

Kays, R., Crofoot, M. C., Jetz, W., & Wikelski, M. (2015). Terrestrial animal tracking as an eye
806   on life and planet. *Science*, *348*(6240), aaa2478.

Keller, I., Wagner, C. E., Greuter, L., Mwaiko, S., Selz, O. M., Sivasundar, A., … Seehausen, O.
808   (2013). Population genomic signatures of divergent adaptation, gene flow and hybrid
speciation in the rapid radiation of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(11),
810   2848–2863.

Kelly, A. C., Mateus-Pinilla, N. E., Brown, W., Ruiz, M. O., Douglas, M. R., Douglas, M. E., …
812   Novakofski, J. (2014). Genetic assessment of environmental features that influence deer
dispersal: Implications for prion-infected populations. *Population Ecology*, *56*(2), 327–340.

814   Kimmig, S. E., Beninde, J., Brandt, M., Schleimer, A., Kramer-Schadt, S., Hofer, H., … Frantz,
A. C. (2020). Beyond the landscape: Resistance modelling infers physical and behavioural
816   gene flow barriers to a mobile carnivore across a metropolitan area. *Molecular Ecology*,
29(3), 466–484.

818   Knoche, S., & Lupi, F. (2012). The economic value of publicly accessible deer hunting land.
Journal of Wildlife Management, *76*(3), 462–470.

820   Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak:
a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences
822   across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *15*(5), 1179–1191.

Kozakiewicz, C. P., Burridge, C. P., Funk, W. C., VandeWoude, S., Craft, M. E., Crooks, K. R.,
824   … Carver, S. (2018). Pathogens in space: Advancing understanding of pathogen dynamics

826 and disease ecology through landscape genetics. *Evolutionary Applications*, *11*(10), 1763–1778.

828 Laikre, L., Schwartz, M. K., Waples, R. S., & Ryman, N. (2010). Compromising genetic diversity in the wild: Unmonitored large-scale release of plants and animals. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *25*(9), 520–529.

830 Landguth, E. L., Cushman, S. A., Schwartz, M. K., McKelvey, K. S., Murphy, M., & Luikart, G. (2010). Quantifying the lag time to detect barriers in landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(19), 4179–4191.
832

Leberg, P. L., & Ellsworth, D. L. (1999). Further evaluation of the genetic consequences of translocations on southeastern white-tailed deer populations. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *63*(1), 327–334.
834

836 Leiss, W., Westphal, M., Tyshenko, M. G., Croteau, M. C., Oraby, T., Adamowicz, W., … Krewski, D. (2017). Challenges in managing the risks of chronic wasting disease. *International Journal of Global Environmental Issues*, *16*(4), 277–302.
838

Lemopoulos, A., Prokkola, J. M., Uusi-Heikkilä, S., Vasemägi, A., Huusko, A., Hyvärinen, P., 840 … Vainikka, A. (2019). Comparing RADseq and microsatellites for estimating genetic diversity and relatedness — Implications for brown trout conservation. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(4), 2106–2120.
842

Linck, E., & Battey, C. J. (2019). Minor allele frequency thresholds strongly affect population 844 structure inference with genomic data sets. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *19*(3), 639–647.

Locher, A., Scribner, K. T., Moore, J. A., Murphy, B., & Kanefsky, J. (2015). Influence of 846 landscape features on spatial genetic structure of white-tailed deer in human-altered landscapes. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *79*(2), 180–194.

848 Long, E. S., Diefenbach, D. R., Rosenberry, C. S., & Wallingford, B. D. (2008). Multiple proximate and ultimate causes of natal dispersal in white-tailed deer. *Behavioral Ecology*, 850 *19*(6), 1235–1242.

Lopez, J., Hurwood, D., Dryden, B., & Fuller, S. (2014). Feral pig populations are structured at 852 fine spatial scales in tropical Queensland, Australia. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(3).

Lowe, W. H., & Allendorf, F. W. (2010). What can genetics tell us about population 854 connectivity? *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(15), 3038–3051. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04688.x

856 Lundgren, E., & Ralph, P. L. (2019). Are populations like a circuit? Comparing isolation by resistance to a new coalescent-based method. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *19*(6), 1388–858 1406.

Lutz, C. L., Diefenbach, D. R., & Rosenberry, C. S. (2015). Population density influences 860 dispersal in female white-tailed deer. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *96*(3), 494–501.

862 Martin, B., Chafin, T., Douglas, M., & Douglas, M. (2020). ClinePlotR: Visualizing genomic clines and detecting outliers in R. *BioRxiv*, 284109.

864 Mawdsley, J. R. (2020). Phylogenetic patterns suggest broad susceptibility to chronic wasting disease across Cervidae. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *44*(1), 152–155.

866 Mazet, O., Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Boitard, S., & Chikhi, L. (2016). On the importance of being structured: Instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution-lessons for ancestral population size inference? *Heredity*, *116*(4), 362–371.

868 McCoy, J. E., Hewitt, D. G., & Bryant, F. C. (2005). Dispersal by yearling male white-tailed deer and implications for management. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *69*(1), 366–376.

870 Meeker, R., Brown, J., Carner, B., Stephens, K., Dugger, G., Groves, B., … White, D. J. (2019). Arkansas Game and Fish Strategic Deer Management Plan. *Arkansas Game and Fish* 872 *Commission. Little Rock, AR.*

Meffe, G. K., & Vrijenhoek, R. C. (1988). Conservation genetics in the management of desert 874 fishes. *Conservation Biology*, *2*(2), 157–169.

Meirmans, P. G. (2012). The trouble with isolation by distance. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(12), 876 2839–2846.

Miller, W. L., Miller-Butterworth, C. M., Diefenbach, D. R., & Walter, W. D. (2020). 878 Assessment of spatial genetic structure to identify populations at risk for infection of an emerging epizootic disease. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(9), 3977–3990.

880 Mitchell, C. J., & Smith, W. P. (1991). Reliability of techniques for determining age in southern white-tailed deer. *Journal of the Tennessee Adacemy of Science*, *66*(3), 117–120.

882 Müller-Schwarze, D., & Müller-Schwarze, C. (1975). Subspecies specificity of response to a mammalian social odor. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *1*(1), 125–131.

884 Mussmann, S. M., Douglas, M. R., Chafin, T. K., & Douglas, M. E. (2020). AdmixPipe: Population analyses in ADMIXTURE for non-model organisms. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *21*, 886 337.

Nagylaki, T. (1976). Clines with variable migration. *Genetics*, *83*(4), 867–886.

Nei, M., Maruyama, T., & Chakraborty, R. (2014). The bottleneck effect and genetic variability in populations. *Evolution*, *29*(1), 1–10.

Nelson, M. E. (1993). Natal dispersal and gene flow in white-tailed deer in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *74*(2), 316–322.

Nixon, C. M., Hansen, L. P., Brewer, P. A., & Chelsvig, J. E. (1991). Ecology of white-tailed deer in an intensively farmed region of Illinois. *Wildlife Monographs*, *118*, 3–77.

Nixon, C. M., & Mankin, P. C. (2016). Social and environmental influences on female white-tailed deer dispersal behavior. *Great Plains Research*, *26*(2), 85–92.

Pavlacky, D. C., Goldizen, A. W., Prentis, P. J., Nicholls, J. A., & Lowe, A. J. (2009). A landscape genetics approach for quantifying the relative influence of historic and
contemporary habitat heterogeneity on the genetic connectivity of a rainforest bird. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(14), 2945–2960.

Peischl, S., Dupanloup, I., Bosshard, L., & Excoffier, L. (2016). Genetic surfing in human populations: from genes to genomes. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, *41*,
53–61.

Peterson, B. E., Storm, D. J., Norton, A. S., & Van Deelen, T. R. (2017). Landscape influence on
904 dispersal of yearling male white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *81*(8), 1449–1456. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21318

906 Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012). Double digest RADseq: An inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in model and
908 non-model species. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(5), e37135.

Petkova, D., Novembre, J., & Stephens, M. (2015). Visualizing spatial population structure with
910 estimated effective migration surfaces. *Nature Genetics*, *48*(1), 94–100.

Polechová, J., & Barton, N. (2011). Genetic drift widens the expected cline but narrows the
912 expected cline width. *Genetics*, *189*(1), 227–235.

Rengefors, K., Gollnisch, R., Sassenhagen, I., Aloisi, K., Svensson, M., Lebret, K., … Ahren, A.
914 (2020). Genome-wide SNP markers reveal population structure and dispersal direction of an expanding nuisance algal bloom species. *Molecular Ecology*, In Press.

916 Richardson, J. L., Brady, S. P., Wang, I. J., & Spear, S. F. (2016). Navigating the pitfalls and promise of landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology*, Vol. 25, pp. 849–863.

918 Rivera, N. A., Brandt, A. L., Novakofski, J. E., & Mateus-Pinilla, N. E. (2019). Chronic wasting disease in cervids: Prevalence, impact and management strategies. *Veterinary Medicine:*
920 *Research and Reports*, *10*, 123–139.

Roberts, G. O., Gelman, A., & Gilks, W. R. (1997). Weak convergence and optimal scaling of
922 random walk Metropolis algorithms. *Annals of Applied Probability*, *7*(1), 110–120.

Robinson, S. J., Samuel, M. D., Lopez, D. L., & Shelton, P. (2012). The walk is never random:
924 Subtle landscape effects shape gene flow in a continuous white-tailed deer population in the Midwestern United States. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(17), 4190–4205.

926 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., & Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2409v1.

928 Rousset, F. (1997). Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under

isolation by distance. *Genetics*, *145*, 1219–1228.

930 Sacks, B. N., Brazeal, J. L., & Lewis, J. C. (2016). Landscape genetics of the nonnative red fox of California. *Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(14), 4775–4791.

932 Santangelo, J. S., Johnson, M. T. J., & Ness, R. W. (2018). Modern spandrels: The roles of genetic drift, gene flow and natural selection in the evolution of parallel clines. *Proceedings*
934 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *285*(1878).

Schoville, S. D., Bonin, A., François, O., Lobreaux, S., Melodelima, C., & Manel, S. (2012).
936 Adaptive genetic variation on the landscape: Methods and cases. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *43*(1), 23–43.

938 Seddon, P. J., Griffiths, C. J., Soorae, P. S., & Armstrong, D. P. (2014). Reversing defaunation: Restoring species in a changing world. *Science*, *345*(6195), 406–412.

940 Seebens, H., Blackburn, T. M., Dyer, E. E., Genovesi, P., Hulme, P. E., Jeschke, J. M., … Essl, F. (2017). No saturation in the accumulation of alien species worldwide. *Nature*
942 *Communications*, *8*, 1–9.

Severinghaus, C. W. (1949). Tooth development and wear as criteria of age in white-tailed deer.
944 *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *13*, 195–216.

Shaw, J. C., Lancia, R. A., Conner, M. S., & Rosenberry, C. S. (2006). Effect of population
946 demographics and social pressures on white-tailed deer dispersal ecology. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *70*(5), 1293–1301.

948 Shephard, J. M., Ogden, R., Tryjanowski, P., Olsson, O., & Galbusera, P. (2013). Is population structure in the European white stork determined by flyway permeability rather than
950 translocation history? *Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(15), 4881–4895.

Short Bull, R. A., Cushman, S. A., MacE, R., Chilton, T., Kendall, K. C., Landguth, E. L., …
952 Luikart, G. (2011). Why replication is important in landscape genetics: American black bear in the Rocky Mountains. *Molecular Ecology*, *20*(6), 1092–1107.

954 Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature*, *163*(1943), 688.

Slatkin, M. (1973). Gene flow and selection in a cline. *Genetics*, *75*(4), 733–756.

Sumners, J. A., Demarais, S., DeYoung, R. W., Honeycutt, R. L., Rooney, A. P., Gonzales, R. A., & Gee, K. L. (2015). Variable breeding dates among populations of white-tailed deer in
the southern United States: The legacy of restocking? *Journal of Wildlife Management*, *79*(8), 1213–1225.

Travis, J. M. J., Münkemüller, T., Burton, O. J., Best, A., Dytham, C., & Johst, K. (2007). Deleterious mutations can surf to high densities on the wave front of an expanding
population. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *24*(10), 2334–2343.

Uehlinger, F. D., Johnston, A. C., Bollinger, T. K., & Waldner, C. L. (2016). Systematic review of management strategies to control chronic wasting disease in wild deer populations in North America. *BMC Veterinary Research*, *12*(1), 173.

Vasemägi, A. (2006). The adaptive hypothesis of clinal variation revisited: Single-locus clines as a result of spatially restricted gene flow. *Genetics*, *173*(4), 2411–2414.

Waller, D. M., & Alverson, W. S. (1997). The white-tailed deer: A keystone herbivore. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *25*(2), 217–226.

Williams, E. S., & Young, S. (1980). Chronic wasting disease of captive mule deer: a spongiform encephalopathy. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, *16*(1), 89–98.

Wolverton, S., Kennedy, J. H., & Cornelius, J. D. (2007). A paleozoological perspective on white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus texana) population density and body size in 974 central Texas. *Environmental Management*, *39*(4), 545–552.

Wood, R. (1944). Arkansas' deer transplanting program. *Transactions of the North American* 976 *Wildlife Conference*, *9*, 162–167.

Wynn, S. (1943). Howard County Game Refuge and Deer Farm. *The Arkansas Historical* 978 *Quarterly*, *2*(4), 340–345.

Zalewski, A., Piertney, S. B., Zalewska, H., & Lambin, X. (2009). Landscape barriers reduce 980 gene flow in an invasive carnivore: Geographical and local genetic structure of American mink in Scotland. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(8), 1601–1615.

982 Zellmer, A. J., & Knowles, L. L. (2009). Disentangling the effects of historic vs. contemporary landscape structure on population genetic divergence. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*(17), 3593– 984 3602.

 Figures and Tables

 Figure 1: **Ancestry proportions for N=1,143 Arkansas white-tailed deer**, as inferred using the

program ADMIXTURE applied to 35,099 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) generated

 via ddRAD-seq. Samples are represented as pie charts plotted at absolute collection coordinates,

with colors of assignment probabilities proportional to a particular subpopulation.

Figure 2: **Assignment probabilities for eight populations of Arkansas white-tailed deer (k=1**

 through k=8), as inferred from ADMIXTURE applied to 35,099 SNPs, interpolated using

Empirical Bayesian Kriging of assignments for N=1,143 individuals. P(k)=1.0 corresponds to

 100% probability of ancestry per raster cell, and P(k)=0.0 corresponds to 0%. Individual samples

are represented as black dots.

Figure 3: Simpson's diversity of interpolated ancestry proportions for N=1,143 Arkansas

 white-tailed deer. Each raster cell was assigned eight values equal to the expected proportion of

ancestry corresponding to the eight genetic clusters (Fig. 1), then summarized using Simpson's

 diversity index.

 Figure 4: Relationships between genomic cline parameters contrasted among eight sub-

sampled transects of individuals spanning population boundaries in Arkansas white-tailed deer

 (Fig. 3). Contour plots show relative densities of SNPs varying in cline steepness (β),

representing the gradient of the clines, and cline directionality (α), representing bias in SNP

 ancestry. Outlier loci are highlighted in blue.

Figure 5: Summary of geo-location predictions in LOCATOR as a random subset of *N*=490

Arkansas white-tailed deer at two error thresholds: 10km (=A) and 20km (=B), thus constraining

results to N=110 (=A) and N=264 (=B). Error calculated as mean distance of bootstrap

predictions from the centroid predicted for each individual (see Fig. S4). A black dot denotes the

predicted location of an individual and a colored dot indicates the 'true' location (colors

proportional to measurement error in km).

Figure 6: Inferred dispersal distances, partitioned by sex and age class for *N*=1,143

Arkansas white-tailed deer. Dispersal distances were calculated as the difference (in km)

between the predicted and true locations, excluding all individuals with a mean prediction error

of 10km (see Figs. 14-15). Sample sizes for each group are given in black, with the mean in red

below each box plot. Within-age, p-values are reported for two-sample t-tests comparing means

from males and females.

Figure 7: Proposed translocation pathways and refugial populations for Arkansas white-

tailed deer, showing (left) translocation events supported by genetics along (dashed line), as

well as those with anecdotal support given limited historical records (solid), from three primary

stocking sources: Sandhill Game Farm in Wisconsin (W); 'Sylamore District' state refuge sites

(S); and the Howard County Game Farm (H). Also shown are major inhabited regions of white-

tailed deer (right) estimated from 1942-47 game surveys, excluding small regions (see full

version in Online Supplementary Material). Putative inhabited regions are also annotated

according to their hypothesized associations with contemporary genetic clusters (Figs. 1-2).

1032

Assignment probability

| | 0.00 - 0.20 | 0.21 - 0.40 | 0.41 - 0.60 | 0.61 - 0.80 | 0.81 - 1.00 |

1036

1038

1040

1042

1044

 Supplementary Figures and Tables

 Table S1: Numbers (=N) of Arkansas white-tailed deer genotyped across ~35,000 SNP loci.
1047 Samples were collected from 75 counties (=County) from 2016-2019. Code indicates standard 2-
1048 letter county abbreviation. Samples removed due to missing data are not included.
1049

County	Code	N	County	Code	N
Arkansas	AR	11	Lee	LE	9
Ashley	AS	1	Lincoln	LI	8
Baxter	BA	19	Little River	LR	10
Benton	BE	19	Logan	LO	32
Boone	BO	34	Lonoke	LN	11
Bradley	BR	2	Madison	MA	39
Calhoun	CA	14	Marion	MR	39
Carroll	CR	50	Miller	MI	8
Chicot	CH	3	Mississippi	MS	2
Clark	CL	7	Monroe	MO	10
Clay	CY	15	Montgomery	MN	2
Cleburne	CE	8	Nevada	NE	13
Cleveland	CV	5	Newton	NW	140
Columbia	CO	4	Ouachita	OU	12
Conway	CN	11	Perry	PE	8
Craighead	CG	7	Phillips	PH	9
Crawford	CW	6	Pike	PI	8
Crittenden	CT	8	Poinsett	PO	10
Cross	CS	10	Polk	PL	3
Dallas	DA	7	Pope	PP	62
Desha	DE	11	Prairie	PR	10
Drew	DR	14	Pulaski	PU	11
Faulkner	FA	14	Randolph	RA	8
Franklin	FR	20	Saline	SA	11
Fulton	FU	9	Scott	SC	2
Garland	GA	14	Searcy	SE	32
Grant	GR	5	Sebastian	SB	17
Greene	GE	16	Sevier	SV	12
Hempstead	HE	9	Sharp	SH	16
Hot Spring	HS	3	St. Francis	SF	7
Howard	HO	11	Stone	ST	15
Independence	IN	7	Union	UN	6
Izard	IZ	6	Van Buren	VB	23
Jackson	JA	2	Washington	WA	17
Jefferson	JE	13	White	WH	10
Johnson	JO	45	Woodruff	WO	9
Lafayette	LA	14	Yell	YE	31
Lawrence	LW	17	**Total**		**1,143**

1050

Figure S1: **Effective migration rates and intra-population diversity** ($\log_{10}$ scale) for Arkansas
white-tailed deer, calculated from effective migration surfaces (EEMS). Rates are plotted
according to colored bin, with divisions calculated as natural breaks using the Jenks algorithm in
ArcMAP.

Figure S2: Sampled transects employed for genomic cline analysis of Arkansas white-tailed deer, with selected groups within each coalesced within colored hulls: Reference population 1 (p1; red); Reference population 2 (p2; green); and putative admixed

1060   individuals (popA; blue).

1062

Figure S3: LOCATOR Geo-located results for CWD-positive Arkansas white-tailed deer derived via LOCATOR. Individuals are represented by dots, pairs of which are connected by a single line. A black dot denotes predicted location, while a colored dot indicates 'true' (observed = sampled) location (color proportional to distances separating each). Inserted figures offer full prediction results for three selected samples, with bootstrap estimates demarked by a 95% contour.

1070

Figure S4: Effects of error thresholds on inferred dispersal distances for Arkansas white-tailed deer, as predicted by LOCATOR analysis. Inferred dispersal kernels were computed at several error thresholds: (A) Error computed as mean distance of predicted coordinates for each individual at 100 bootstrap estimates from predicted centroid (=interpretation of localized vs. dispersed predictions). Dispersal distances calculated as difference (in km) between predicted centroid versus 'true' (observed) location. Inferred dispersal distances generally increase for individuals with larger prediction error; (B) Larger stochastic variation in centroid location for individuals with lower predicted precision among bootstraps; (C) Standard deviation of dispersal distances for the latter computed in a sliding window of 10km along the x-axis (prediction error).

Figure S5: Spatial patterns of genetic dissimilarity among Arkansas white-tailed deer partitioned by age and sex. Genetic distances between individuals and neighbors derived from 5,000 randomly sampled SNPs depicted across physical distance (x-axis). Results depict different cohorts of males (A) and females (B) by age.

1086   **Figure S6**: **Census estimates for Arkansas white-tailed deer from surveys in 1942-1946.**

1088

1090

1092

1094

1096

1098

1100

1102 **Figure S7: Subpopulation probabilities overlain with 'deer occupied territories' in Arkansa circa 1942-1947** (in green). Polygons representing deer occupation were manually compiled
1104 from the Wildlife and Cover Map of Arkansas (1942-47), prepared by Arkansas Game and Fish Commission in cooperation with U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service as one aspect of a Federal Aid
1106 Project (drawn by Flaun M. Tolar). Map accessed from the University of Arkansas Library Arkansas Collection (Special Collections). A higher resolution geo-referenced version available
1108 at a later date (reproduction/ copy permissions granted by University of Arkansas Libraries).

1110