Sequence-dependent mechanics of collagen reflect its structural and functional organization

Alaa Al-Shaer,[1] Aaron Lyons,[2] Yoshihiro Ishikawa,[5] Billy G. Hudson,[6-12,] Sergei P. Boudko[6-8] and Nancy R. Forde[1-4]

[1]Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, [2]Department of Physics, [3]Department of Chemistry and [4]Centre for Cell Biology, Development and Disease, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby BC, V5A 1S6 Canada

[5]Department of Ophthalmology, University of California San Francisco, School of Medicine, California, 94143 USA

[6]Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, [7]Vanderbilt Center for Matrix Biology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, 37232 USA

[8]Department of Biochemistry, [9]Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, [10]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, [11]Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, [12]Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37232 USA

Keywords:

collagen IV, collagen III, single-molecule, atomic force microscopy (AFM), persistence length, MMP

Abstract

Extracellular matrix mechanics influence diverse cellular functions, yet surprisingly little is known about the mechanical properties of their constituent collagens. In particular, network-forming collagen IV, an integral component of basement membranes, has been far less studied than fibril-forming collagens. A key feature differentiating collagen IV is the presence of interruptions in the triple-helix-defining (Gly-X-Y) sequence along its collagenous domain. Here, we used atomic force microscopy (AFM) to determine the impact of these interruptions on the flexibility of collagen. Our extracted flexibility profile reveals that collagen IV possesses highly heterogeneous mechanics, ranging from semi-flexible regions as found for fibril-forming collagens to a lengthy region of high flexibility towards its N terminus. A simple model in which flexibility is dictated only by the presence of interruptions fit the extracted profile reasonably well, providing insight into the alignment of chains and supporting the role of interruptions in instilling flexibility. However, limitations of this model were illuminated by our determination of variable flexibility along continuously triple-helical collagen III, which we found to possess a high-flexibility region around its matrix-metalloprotease (MMP) binding site. Surprisingly, proline content did not correlate with local flexibility in either collagen type. We also found that physiologically relevant changes in pH and chloride concentration did not alter the flexibility of collagen IV, indicating such environmental changes are not used to control its compaction during secretion. Although extracellular chloride ions play a role in triggering collagen IV network formation, they do not appear to modulate the structure of its collagenous domain.

Introduction

Collagen is the most abundant protein in the animal kingdom and represents one third of the total protein in the human body (1, 2). It is a major structural component of the extracellular matrix, contributing to the mechanical stability, organization, and shape of a wide variety of tissues. Twenty-eight distinct collagen types have been reported in humans, with different higher-order organizational structures (1–3). The most prevalent are fibril-forming collagens: these have a unique hierarchical structure whereby collagen molecules assemble in a parallel, staggered fashion into long fibrillar nanostructures which form fibers (Fig. 1). These fibers are the predominant load- and tension-bearing structures in connective tissues. Network-forming collagens, such as collagen IV, associate end-on to form sheet-like networks rather than fibrils (Fig. 1) (4, 5). These collagen IV networks played an integral role in the evolution of multicellular life (3, 6). Collagen IV networks are predominantly found in basement membranes (BMs) where they provide mechanical support and anchorage for cells and tissues, and serve as a filtration barrier to macromolecules in organs such as the kidney (5, 7). The mechanics of fibril-forming collagens have been the subject of numerous studies at the fibrillar and – to a less extent – molecular levels (8, 9). By contrast, the mechanics of network-forming collagens remain obscure (10). Similarly, knowledge of the structure of collagen IV lags significantly behind fibril-forming collagens, at both the supramolecular and molecular scales.

All collagens share a characteristic triple helical structure, formed by a $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ repeating sequence in each of the three composite α-chains. However, two key molecular features distinguish collagen IV and fibril-forming collagens, which have been shown to impact higher-order assembly. One is the globular C-terminal domain, whose presence inhibits fibril formation and is proteolytically removed from fibril-forming collagens prior to assembly (11). By contrast, the C-terminal non-collagenous domain of collagen IV (NC1) is not removed, and plays a central, chloride-directed role in forming networks (12, 13). The second distinguishing feature of collagen IV is the presence of natural discontinuities (interruptions) in the $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ repeating unit within its collagenous domain. The absence or replacement of glycine every third residue prevents continuously triple-helical collagenous domains and promotes local destabilization (14, 15). Enhanced flexibility attributed to the presence of interruptions in collagens has been seen using rotary shadowing electron microscopy (16–19), however a detailed study on characterizing the functionality of distinct interruptions is lacking. Because triple-helix interruptions can result from disease-associated mutations in fibril-forming collagens, and because for collagen IV they may play a biological role in providing binding sites to other macromolecular components in basement membranes (20, 21), the ability to interrogate the physical properties of collagen in a sequence-specific manner is much needed.

The size of collagen proteins (>300 kDa; > 300 nm length) has imposed practical limitations on the structural insight available from conventional approaches. Crystallization of the

full-length proteins has not been feasible, limiting diffraction studies of molecular structure to studies of periodic structure within collagen fibrils (22, 23) and to investigations of short triple-helical peptides (~30 amino acids / 10 nm in length) that are amenable to crystallization (21, 24). These and other approaches have provided insight into the molecular determinants of triple helix structure and stability, suggesting for example that imino acids (proline and hydroxyproline) are important for structural stability, and that local interruptions in the $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ repeating sequence associated with disease in fibril-forming collagens can disrupt the local structure (15). Again, much less is known about the molecular structure of collagen IV, and because collagen IV exists as heterotrimers, an additional structural question that arises – beyond whether or not a local sequence possesses a triple-helical structure – is the relative arrangement or stagger among its composite chains (25). New methods for investigating the sequence-dependence of collagen structure in the context of the full-length protein are needed.

Here, we report an approach using atomic-force microscopy (AFM) imaging of individual full-length collagen proteins, which provides insight into the local determinants of structure. Image analysis provides a map of local flexibility as a function of position along the collagen chain. By applying this technique to collagen IV and to collagen III, we are able to contrast the mechanics of network-forming and fibril-forming collagens at the molecular scale. By mapping the position-dependent flexibility, we are able to relate the local sequence within full-length collagen proteins to the local bending mechanics. This flexibility in turn can be used to deduce the local structural organization of the three α-chains, where we find a strong dependence of flexibility (and hence, structure) on the number of collagen IV α-chains that possess interruptions in the $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ sequence of at a given location. Our flexibility map of collagen III provides a strong mechanical signature of the key regulatory MMP site, a site long suspected to have unique characteristics recognizable by collagen-remodelling matrix-metalloproteases (26). By comparing our maps with local proline content, we find that local imino acid content does not correlate with triple helix rigidity. Because of the role of chemical environment in regulating collagen supramolecular assembly, we apply our mapping tool to investigate the effects of distinct chemical environments on flexibility of collagens, globally and in a sequence-specific manner. We interpret these results in light of outstanding physiological questions regarding control of collagen conformations during secretion and its supramolecular assembly in the extracellular space.

Results and Discussion

Most studies of collagen mechanics have focused on fibril-forming collagens such as collagen I, which associate laterally to form higher-order structures as shown in Fig. 1 (8). Considerably less is known about the mechanics of collagen IV, which forms the scaffold of basement membranes. We first quantified flexibility differences between these types of collagens by analyzing images of many individual collagen molecules.

Atomic Force Microscopy Images of Collagen

We imaged mouse heterotrimeric [α1(IV)]$_2$–α2(IV)] collagen IV molecules (also referred to as protomers), derived from the matrix produced from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarn (EHS) tumor, using atomic force microscopy (AFM). Because it does not require a metal replicate but instead directly images molecules deposited on a surface, AFM is free from potential artefacts of rotary shadowing electron microscopy (EM), which has previously been used for imaging conformations and binding interactions of collagen IV (4, 16, 17, 27–33). AFM also offers superior spatial resolution than the platinum nanocrystallite size of 1-2 nm in EM (16), and our studies avoid the use of glycerol, which has been shown to affect collagen IV assembly (27). Although imaging in liquid conditions is possible with AFM, here, we deposited the collagen from the specified solution conditions and dried prior to imaging. This follows previous work that used AFM to image and quantify the flexibility of collagen types I, II and III (34, 35), to observe interactions between the NC1 domains of collagen IV (36), and to observe binding sites of laminin on collagen IV (37).

An example AFM image of collagen IV deposited from acidic solution (100 mM KCl, 1 mM HCl) is shown in Fig. 2A, along with an image of collagen I for comparison. The acidic conditions preclude lateral assembly of both fibril-forming and collagen IV molecules (27, 34), while the presence of chloride ions induces oligomerization of collagen IV protomers, forming networks (13, 36). Both proteins possess long chains, representing the collagenous domains. The contour length for EHS-derived collagen IV protomers is 360 ± 20 nm ($N = 262$), in agreement with previous reports (27) and, as expected, longer than the ~300 nm contour length of fibril-forming collagens (16). Similar to previous images recorded using rotary shadowing electron microscopy (EM) (16, 27), we observe by AFM that the collagen IV protomer is capped by a globular domain, known as the C-terminal NC1 domain. Evident in the AFM image are two kinds of molecules: single protomers and dimers of protomers linked end-on by NC1 hexamers.

Visual comparison of collagen I and collagen IV images suggests that the triple helix of collagen IV is more flexible: collagen I bends on a long length scale, while collagen IV exhibits more frequent and shorter-range bending fluctuations.

Conformational analysis of collagen IV as a homogenous polymer

To quantify the flexibility of the collagenous domain of collagen IV, we applied our previously developed chain tracing and analysis algorithm, SmarTrace (34). SmarTrace traces and provides conformational analysis of imaged chains, allowing for the implementation of polymer physics tools to determine their mechanical properties. To analyze collagen as a homogeneous polymer, we traced chains collected from AFM images and randomly segmented the contours into

non-overlapping pieces of different segment lengths. We calculated the mean squared end-to-end distance $\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle$ and the mean correlation of the beginning and ending unit tangent vectors (given by their dot product) $\langle \hat{t}(s) \cdot \hat{t}(s + \Delta s)\rangle$ for all segments of length Δs within the pool of collected chains. These were fit with the predictions of the inextensible worm-like chain (WLC) model to estimate persistence length (34):

$$\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle = 4p\Delta s\left[1 - \frac{2p}{\Delta s}\left(1 - e^{-\frac{\Delta s}{2p}}\right)\right], \qquad [1]$$

$$\langle \hat{t}(s) \cdot \hat{t}(s + \Delta s)\rangle = \langle \cos\theta(\Delta s)\rangle = e^{-\frac{\Delta s}{2p}}. \qquad [2]$$

These equations assume the polymers to be equilibrated in two dimensions, which is the case for collagens deposited under the solution conditions used here (34). The collagen IV results for both $\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle$ and $\langle \cos\theta(\Delta s)\rangle$ appear to be well described by the WLC model (Fig. 2C), from which we find a persistence length of $p = 39 \pm 2$ nm. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a net persistence length of collagen IV.

The persistence length of collagen IV is significantly less than that of fibril-forming collagens deposited under the same solution conditions (Fig. 2D). Our earlier work revealed that the persistence lengths of different fibril-forming collagens (I, II and III) are very similar, all falling within ~10% of $p = 90$ nm under these solution conditions and being well described as semi-flexible polymers (34). By contrast, the persistence length of collagen IV is less than half of this value, reflecting a more flexible protein. This stark difference in bending flexibility between collagen IV and fibril-forming collagens contrasts with their very similar thermal stabilities (38).

This striking difference in flexibility likely relates to the interruptions that characterize collagen IV molecules. The triple helix of collagens is defined by a repetitive (Gly-X-Y)$_n$ sequence, in which the Gly is obligatory to form a stable triple-helical structure. The collagenous domain of collagen I is 96% triple helical (with non-helical regions confined to its telopeptide ends (39)) while in collagen IV it is approximately 80% triple helical, with interruptions to the (Gly-X-Y)$_n$ sequence occurring throughout the chain (Fig. S6). This distinction is shown schematically above the AFM images in Fig. 2. Because unstructured polypeptide chains are extremely flexible with $p < 1$ nm (40), we expect interruptions in the triple-helix-defining sequence to significantly enhance flexibility. This expectation is consistent with our finding of a lower persistence length of collagen IV and with the visual comparison of images of collagen IV and collagen I chains (Fig. 2A, B).

From the example chain images in Fig. 2A, it appears that the flexibility of the collagen IV molecule varies along its contour and is more flexible away from the NC1 domain, *i.e.*, towards the N terminus. In a study using rotary shadowing electron microscopy to examine collagen IV, Hofmann *et al*. found that it exhibits regions of variable flexibility along its length (16). Thus,

although the homogeneous worm-like chain model provides a good fit to our experimental data (Fig. 2C), we sought to assess the heterogeneity of flexibility along the collagen IV triple helix.

Position-Dependent Flexibility Analysis

To address structural variability, and to evaluate the contributions of interrupted regions to the overall flexibility of collagen IV, we extended the SmarTrace analysis to include determination of the sequence-dependent chain flexibility. To do so, we use the variance of tangent angles around each position s along the chain backbone to quantify the bending stiffness at that location. This approach was developed by Hofmann *et al.* to analyse the position-dependent flexibility of collagens (16), and is expected to be more appropriate for flexible molecules than alternative position-dependent stiffness analyses developed for more rigid biological filaments (41).

The flexibility of a filament at a position s along its contour is described by its local bending rigidity $\alpha(s)$. The bending rigidity is related to the persistence length via the thermal energy, k_BT:

$$p(s) = \frac{\alpha(s)}{k_BT}. \hspace{2cm} \text{[3]}$$

The persistence length thus describes the flexibility of a chain with a given bending stiffness, at a given temperature. Practically, we are limited to examining the flexibility of a chain over a segment of finite length Δs, which we define centered at position s; we use the term "effective persistence length" to denote this averaged nature of the response, $p^*(s;\Delta s)$. The effective persistence length of a segment of length Δs is determined from the variance of the tangent angles θ_s between the ends of the segment centered at s:

$$p^*(s; \Delta s) = \frac{\Delta s}{\text{Var}(\theta_s;\Delta s)}. \hspace{2cm} \text{[4]}$$

The analysis methodology was validated through tests on simulated chains. We generated AFM images of chains with inhomogeneous flexibility profiles, traced the chains using SmarTrace, and analysed the resulting contours using Eq. 4. The chains were simulated as previously described (34), here, incorporating a position-dependent bending stiffness and a "knob" at one end (which served as a directional reference point, analogous to the NC1 domain of collagen IV). Fig. 3A shows an example of a simulated image, along with a schematic of the inhomogeneous flexibility profile imposed in the simulations (long regions of relatively stiff chains with p=85 nm, interspersed with very short regions with p=5 nm). The short regions of substantially increased flexibility are not visually apparent in the simulated images, but are clearly seen in the effective persistence length profile determined from the traced chains (Fig. 3B). A notable difference between the input and extracted persistence length profiles is the apparent broadening of the flexible regions: $p^*(s;\Delta s)$ exhibits much broader wells than the input $p(s)$ profile. This is the expected result of the analysis: the Δs=30 nm segment length is substantially longer than the

regions of flexibility in our simulated chains and acts to some degree as a low-pass filter of flexibility along the chain (though we stress that $p^*(s)$ is *not* simply the mean of $p(s)$ over a 30-nm window; see Supporting Text 1 and Fig. S1). Fig. 3B includes the expected effective persistence length profile, which takes into account this convolution between the ideal chain and the 30-nm filter. This expected profile agrees well with $p^*(s; \Delta s)$ obtained from analyzing the simulated images. While a shorter segment length should provide more localized information on sequence-dependent flexibility, our validation tests found $\Delta s = 30$ nm to be the minimum length that returned reliable estimates of $p^*(s)$ from these simulated chain images. Thus, $\Delta s = 30$ nm was used for the analysis presented herein.

Having validated the persistence-length mapping algorithm, we applied it to experimental images of collagen IV. The effective persistence length profile of collagen IV is shown in Fig. 4. From this result, it is obvious that the flexibility of collagen IV varies markedly along its length, with the N-terminal half of the molecule being significantly more flexible than the region closer to the NC1 domain. Similar flexibility trends are observed whether collagen chains are traced starting at the NC1 domain (Fig. 4) or in the reverse direction from the 7S domain (Fig. S4). Our flexibility profile agrees well with that of Hofmann *et al.*, who also observed increased flexibility towards the N-terminus of collagen IV (16).

To gain sequence-dependent insight into the flexibility profile requires aligning this map with the collagen IV sequence, which was unavailable to Hofmann *et al*. (16). An amino acid representation of the full sequence of mouse $[\alpha 1(IV)]_2 \alpha 2(IV)$ collagen IV is shown in Fig. 4A. The α-chain polypeptide sequences have been aligned from the beginning of the collagenous domain beside the NC1 domain (from the first Gly-X-Y). The blue and red boxes correspond to segments of α1(IV) and α2(IV), respectively, which have the $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ sequence required to form a triple helix. In this representation, we have assumed a minimum length of $(Gly-X-Y)_4 G$ to be sufficient to form a triple helix. This assumption of $n=4$ does not strongly affect the representation (Fig. S5). The thinner lines in Fig. 4A represent interruptions in the triple-helix-competent $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ repetitive sequence.

The position-dependent flexibility profile of collagen IV aligned with the amino acid sequences is shown in Fig. 4A-B. An examination of the sequences of the α-chains reveals that most of the interruptions lie in the N-terminal half of the protein, where we also see an increase in flexibility (lower persistence length). Maxima in local persistence length align well with extended triple-helical stretches in all three chains, found approximately 30, 100, and 160 nm from the NC1 domain. At these positions, collagen IV's effective persistence length reaches $p^* \approx 90$ nm, the persistence length found for continuously triple-helical collagens (see Fig. 2) (34). Between these maxima, minima in persistence length are found approximately 60 and 130 nm from the NC1 domain. These two locations align well with regions of the sequence in which both α1 and α2 chains possess interruptions in the $(Gly-X-Y)_n$ sequence. This suggests that interruptions of the

triple-helix-forming sequence in all three α-chains of α1(IV)$_2$–α2(IV) strongly impact the flexibility of the molecule, apparently more so than having interruptions in only one or two of the constituent chains. We speculate that one or more chains possessing a (Gly-X-Y)$_n$ sequence may be able to induce a triple-helix-compatible structure in the third chain.

Model for interpreting position-dependent flexibility

To gain a deeper structural interpretation of the persistence length profile, we implemented a simple physical model to describe the sequence-based flexibility of a collagen containing interruptions (Supporting Text 2). This model assumes that the local flexibility of collagen can be completely described by how many of the three component chains contain a triple-helix-compatible sequence at that location. To implement the model, each amino acid in each of the α1 and α2 chains was assigned a value of either "0" (contained within triple-helix-compatible sequence (Gly-X-Y)$_n$) or "1" (within an interruption). The three α-chain sequences were aligned starting from the edge of the NC1 domain, and different chain alignments were tested. These include a linear, sequence-based alignment (Fig. 4A and Fig. S6); nonlinear alignments that include previously proposed intrachain loops within α2 (42–44) and improve the registration of triple-helix-forming sequences; and alignments arising from a Clustal-based analysis of sequence similarity between α1 and α2 chains (45), which require incorporation of copious loops and bulges to confer backbone continuity.

For each chain alignment, a flexibility class was assigned at each amino-acid step along the backbone based on whether it was a 0-, 1-, 2- or 3-chain interruption in the triple helix (Table 1). Each of these classes was assigned a local bending stiffness (via a local persistence length) and thus the model assigned a local flexibility to each position along the contour. This model was then fit to the determined persistence length profile $p^*(s;\Delta s)$, in order to determine the persistence length p_0, p_1, p_2 and p_3 that best describes each flexibility class. The approach was validated by application to the simulated chains: here, a two-class model (rigid or flexible) recovered the distinct persistence lengths of the rigid and flexible regions and described the data well ($p_r = 92$ nm; $p_f = 6$ nm; $\chi^2_r = 1.06$). A slight overestimate of persistence lengths is expected for finite samples (Supporting Text 1). Agreement between the four-class flexibility model and the measured persistence length profile $p^*(s;\Delta s)$ of collagen IV would indicate that this physical classification is a valid approach to describing the variable flexibility of collagen IV. By contrast, disagreement would indicate that other factors (such as the influence of X and Y identity on flexibility, length of interruption, and location with respect to interruptions (extended triple-helix tracts) may be of key importance for quantifying the structural properties of this protein.

Table 1: Physical classes of interruption

Flexibility class	α1 interruption?	α2 interruption?

Triple helix (p_0)	0	0
One-chain interruption (p_1)	0	1
Two-chain interruption (p_2)	1	0
Three-chain interruption (p_3)	1	1

This model of a physically heterogeneous collagen IV captured positional variations in flexibility along the chain, comparing favorably to the measured $p(s)$ profile (Figure 4C). The model returned a value for triple helix local persistence length of $p_0 \sim 100\text{-}160$ nm for all distinct α-chain alignments tested (Table S1). This estimate of p_0 is somewhat larger than the net persistence length of continuously triple-helical collagens, found by treating the chains as homogeneous (Fig. 2D), but is consistent with local values of p^* determined along their backbones (see below). Also consistent among chain alignments was the finding that $p_2 < p_1$. In other words, having an interruption in two of the chains leads to a more flexible structure than having a one-chain interruption.

Some of the chain alignments resulted in fits of the variable flexibility model that were not minimized within the specified parameter range: for example, a linear chain alignment (aligned based only on primary sequence) produced for an overlapping interaction $p_3 = 200$ nm, the maximum value allowed for fitting, a value that represents an unphysically rigid structure of three polypeptide chains that lack any triple-helix-forming sequence. Alignments that returned this high value, however, were the only ones that captured the rigidity in the collagenous region adjacent to the NC1 domain (e.g. Fig. S7).

Alignments that optimized the fit within their parameter bounds returned an optimal length scaling of 0.29 nm / amino acid, commensurate with triple helix lengths from collagen diffraction analysis (21, 46). These alignments also returned the smallest value for $p_3 \approx 2$ nm, *i.e.*, that an overlapping interruption is the most locally flexible structure within the collagenous domain of collagen IV. These optimal alignments require at least some outward loops in the longer α2 chain (e.g. "Two loops" alignments) and perhaps extensive minor loops and bulges along the length of each of the three α-chains ("Clustal" alignments).

In some regions, the variable flexibility model underestimates the rigidity, all located in the C-terminal half of the protein (Fig. 4C). The region adjacent to the NC1 domain exhibits a rigidity similar to the other extended triple-helical regions, yet the model significantly underestimates the persistence length, both of the plateau at $s \approx 30$ nm and of the adjacent minimum at $s \approx 50$ nm. These model results imply that the overlapping interruption closest to the NC1 domain possesses a distinctly enhanced stability not captured by our simple physical model. Enhanced stability in this region could be conferred by posttranslational glycosylation of hydroxylysines within this overlapping interruption (47–49). Unfortunately, a glycosylation map

of collagen IV lacked sequence coverage in this region (50), so this remains a speculative, yet testable, prediction.

The alignment of the three chains of the α1α1α2 mouse collagen IV collagenous domain is unknown. A disulfide-bridged loop within α2 has been proposed, which helps better to align triple-helix-competent sequences in the chains (42, 44); this is included as the larger, N-terminal loop in our "two-loops" alignment (Fig. 4 and Supporting Information). Most of our chain alignments also agree with the determined alignment of α chains at an integrin-binding site in human collagen IV (Table S1), the only location for which chain alignment in collagen IV has been determined (25). To validate or further constrain chain alignments will require improvements in spatial resolution from this AFM imaging and chain tracing approach and/or complementary approaches such as proteolysis to identify unstably structured regions within the collagenous domain (51).

In our alignments, we ignored the register of the three chains, since the register has been identified at only one location and only in human collagen IV (25). We tested a staggered alignment of the three chains that included this identified local alignment, but it failed to produce an optimized fit (Table S1). It is highly likely that some of the interruptions alter the local register of the three chains (such that a leading chain may become the middle or trailing chain) (15), and that one "universal" registration does not apply along the entire collagenous domain.

It is also possible that the variable flexibility model is insufficient to completely describe the measured flexibility profile because of its many simplifying assumptions. Beyond stabilization by posttranslational modifications and local variations in chain stagger, there are other attributes that may be of direct relevance to local stability and flexibility. For example, we have ignored the length-dependence of interruptions when defining flexibility class. Short one-chain interruptions may act as "stammers", serving to alter the local register among chains instead of breaking the triple helix structure (15). Longer interruptions may destabilize flanking triple-helical regions, leading to increased breathing of the adjoining triple helix and a lower persistence length (52). The local sequence within and flanking the interruption also may alter its stability (21). And finally, this physical model ignores all sequence-dependent attributes of not only the interruptions but also of the triple helix itself, by classifying each amino acid purely by whether or not it is found within a (Gly-X-Y) sequence. We sought to test the assumption of mechanical homogeneity within the triple helix by mapping the flexibility profile of a fibril-forming collagen.

Triple helix mechanical inhomogeneity: collagen III

To probe the variability of bending stiffness along the triple helix, we imaged the pN-III molecular variant of bovine collagen III using AFM (Fig 5A). Collagen III is a homotrimeric [α1(III)]₃ fibril-forming collagen devoid of interruptions in its ~300-nm-long triple helical

domain. The pN-III variant retains its N-terminal propeptide (53), providing us with a directional reference point for position-dependent flexibility analysis.

We found that the flexibility of a triple helix varies significantly along its contour (Fig. 5B). This provides direct evidence for distinct mechanical properties of different sequences within the collagen triple helix. Our results show considerably more variation in local flexibility along the backbone of collagen III than previous rotary-shadowing EM measurements on this molecule (16), likely due to the aforementioned higher resolution provided by AFM. Our flexibility map shows that the effective persistence length ranges from approximately 60-180 nm in different regions of collagen III. Notably, the larger values are similar to values returned for p_0 of the triple helix in our model fitting, above, which ranged from 100-160 nm, and are longer than the net persistence length of continuously triple-helical collagens (Fig. 2D). In the context of our physical model, this suggests that the assumption of a single persistence length p_0 to describe all triple-helical regions of collagen IV is an oversimplification.

Regions of greatest flexibility along pN-III collagen are found near the N-terminus (which contains conformationally distinct regions (54, 55)) and, intriguingly, at the matrix-metalloprotease (MMP) site (Fig. 5B). This site is the target of proteolysis by MMPs and is of key importance for extracellular matrix remodelling, e.g. during embryonic development and cancer metastasis. To our knowledge, ours is the first demonstration of enhanced *mechanical* flexibility at the MMP site. Our ability to detect this feature, while previous studies using EM did not (16), may arise from the higher-resolution imaging of AFM, and from our directional tracing from the C-terminus towards the N-terminus. When we traced the chains starting at the N-terminus, the contrast in flexibility around the MMP site was diminished (Fig. S8), perhaps resulting from challenges in identifying the start of the triple helical domain in the presence of the N-propeptide (54).

The MMP site has previously been identified as possessing distinct characteristics within the collagenous domain. For example, it exhibits enhanced sensitivity to proteolysis by trypsin and has been suggested to have an enhanced propensity to unwind (56–62). We propose that this structural instability is what gives rise to the enhanced bending flexibility in this region: three weakly interacting chains are predicted to bend more easily than a tightly wrapped triple helix. This would produce a lower local persistence length.

The decreased triple-helix stability in the MMP region of fibril-forming collagens has been attributed to a low imino-acid content (26, 59, 63). Thus, we examined how local imino-acid content correlates with flexibility (Fig. S9). We saw no obvious correlations between imino acid content and flexibility along the collagen III contour (Fig. S9A), which was confirmed by a linear correlation coefficient of $R^2 = 0.017$. Although an anticorrelation between imino acid content and flexibility in the C-terminal half of collagen IV might be inferred by visual comparison of these profiles (Fig. S9B), quantitative analysis revealed no statistical correlation between these

quantities, neither for the full length of the chain ($R^2 = 0.084$) nor for the first 200 nm from the NC1 domain ($R^2 = 0.15$). Thus, a simple picture of imino acids enhancing rigidity (16, 64) does not apply here. Incidentally, recent work has suggested that imino acids may, instead, enhance flexibility of triple helical regions (65). While the anticorrelation we observe in some regions supports this conclusion, we do not find this to be the case globally along the collagen backbone. Thus, while imino acids may contribute to local flexibility, at present our data suggests that they are not the main driver of bending flexibility of the triple helix. It is important to note that our imino-acid content analysis does not distinguish between contributions of proline and hydroxyproline, nor to their location within the (Gly-X-Y) triplet, features that could be included in future analysis (21).

The physical model we have developed to assess the structural relationships between interruptions and flexibility could be adapted to study sequence-dependent influences on flexibility in collagens lacking interruptions, such as collagen III. At present, our flexibility analysis has a ~100-amino-acid resolution (30 nm filter window), but improvements in imaging and tracing algorithms should be able to push this to finer length scales. Even with the current resolution, this approach should allow initial comparisons of bending flexibility with measured and predicted variations in local helical symmetry, thermal stability, sequence content and post-translational modifications. Such comparisons would provide an important link between composition, structure, and mechanics of collagen, and offer a further means to connect high-resolution studies on triple-helical peptide constructs with their *in-situ* properties in the full-length protein (21). From such knowledge of the breadth of properties exhibited by continuously triple-helical structures, the roles of sequence interruptions could be more deeply elucidated.

Physiological implications of collagen IV flexibility

Thus far, our analysis has determined collagen IV properties only when deposited from acidic solution conditions. These conditions (pH ~ 3) are more acidic than the varying physiological conditions during biosynthesis and secretion. Previous studies of collagen IV flexibility also deposited the protein from very non-physiological conditions (25 mM acetic acid, 50% glycerol) (16). Thus, we sought to investigate its flexibility in more physiologically relevant conditions.

Intracellularly, folded proteins are transported in secretory vesicles with low pH and chloride concentrations relative to the cellular exterior (66, 67). Upon secretion, collagen's chemical environment changes to one with a higher chloride concentration and neutral pH. This environmental switch is important for triggering assembly into higher-order structures: NC1 interactions strengthen at neutral pH and higher chloride concentrations (33, 68, 69), supporting collagen IV assembly into networks, and neutral pH and chloride favor lateral assembly of fibril-

forming collagens (70, 71). Environmental conditions such as pH and ionic strength have also been found to influence the conformations of fibril-forming collagen molecules (34, 35). These observations raise the question of how much the conformations of the collagenous domain of collagen IV are controlled by chloride and pH. We posited that the pH and chloride gradient experienced during secretion might affect the flexibility of collagen IV, conferring a shorter persistence length / enhanced flexibility early in the secretory pathway, which would impart a lower free energy cost for compact configurations.

To test this hypothesis, we analyzed collagen IV under two additional solution conditions of pH and Cl concentrations. To represent vesicular solution conditions, we took an extreme limit by eliminating the chloride ions and used a sodium acetate buffer at a slightly acidic pH [150 mM NaOAc, 25 mM Tris-OAc, pH 5.5]. TBS [150 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4] served as an extracellular proxy because of its relatively high chloride content and neutral pH.

AFM images of collagen IV molecules deposited from each of these two solution conditions are shown in Figs. 6A-B. Visually, the collagen conformations look similar in both images, and appear similar to those found in the previous condition of 100 mM KCl, 1 mM HCl (pH ~3) (Fig. 2A). It is important to note that, although collagen was deposited from a chloride-free environment in the AFM image in Fig. 6B, there are still some head-to-head assemblies. This is most likely due to the presence of sulfilimine cross-links in the NC1 hexamer, post-translational modifications that endow mechanical strength and stability to the collagen IV network (72). The presence of such cross-links protects the dimers from dissociation in a chloride-free environment. We do not observe any evidence of higher-order association or network formation in our images in these conditions, likely due to the low solution concentration of collagen IV used for deposition (0.2 µg/mL) (27).

Surprisingly, we find similar overall flexibility among both of these buffered solution conditions (net persistence lengths $p = 43 \pm 3$ nm for TBS and $p = 41 \pm 3$ nm for NaOAc; Fig. S10) and the previous acidic solution ($p = 39 \pm 2$ nm for 100 mM KCl + 1 mM HCl). Collagen IV exhibited no global curvature in any of these conditions (data not shown). Therefore, it appears that collagen IV flexibility is not tuned by the chemical environment experienced during secretion.

The net persistence length of a collagen molecule can be used to estimate its average size in solution, which we can compare with the size of vesicles that have been proposed to transport collagens from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to the Golgi. To do so, we assume that collagen molecules adopt a random coil conformation in solution whose extent is approximated as a sphere with a radius given by the radius of gyration, R_g. We find that collagen IV is predicted to be considerably more compact ($R_g = \sqrt{\frac{pL}{3}} = 74$ nm, assuming a contour length L=410 nm and p=40 nm) than the interstitial, fibril-forming collagens ($R_g = 95$ nm, with L=300 nm and p=90 nm). Thus, in spite of its longer length, collagen IV would pose less of a size burden to the secretory

machinery of the cell if it were to be transported in small vesicles. The 150-190 nm diameter of such random coils is significantly less than the 300-nm length dimension commonly stated as required for transport, determined by (incorrectly) assuming fibril-forming collagens to act as rigid rods. Nonetheless, both collagen IV and fibril-forming collagens would require additional compaction to fit in the standard COPII vesicles involved in ER-to-Golgi transport (60-90 nm diameter) (66, 73).

While it is generally accepted that collagens are *not* trafficked in such small vesicles, there remains considerable uncertainty about the mechanism used for procollagen trafficking during secretion. To our knowledge, there is no evidence of any collagen-associated proteins such as Hsp47 acting to compact collagen to facilitate loading into ER exit vesicles. In fact, some studies have found collagens IV and VII to be transported from the ER to Golgi in larger vesicles with 400 nm diameter (74, 75), into which fibril-forming and collagen IV could easily be accommodated. However, recent work has found that direct trafficking of procollagen I from the ER to Golgi occurs and that these large vesicular carriers are not required (76). In this case, collagen (in)flexibility would not pose a strong energetic cost on its transport to the Golgi, consistent with our finding that collagen flexibility is not tuned by chemical environmental changes experienced during secretion.

As shown above, collagen IV should not be viewed as a uniformly flexible structure, so again, we performed a position-dependent analysis. We sought to determine how both triple-helical and interrupted regions of the collagenous domain of collagen IV are affected by chloride and pH. These regions have been implicated in assembly into higher-order networks (31), and we posited that their stability and flexibility would vary between intracellular (lower Cl$^-$ and pH; assembly-disfavouring) and extracellular (higher Cl$^-$ and pH; assembly-favouring) conditions. Specifically, because the triple helix is thought to loosen and destabilize at lower pH and Cl$^-$ (albeit at significantly lower ionic strengths than used here) (34, 77), we anticipated that a similar destabilization would enhance microunfolding of the triple-helical regions of collagen IV. This would be seen by a shortening of the high persistence length plateaus in sodium acetate relative to TBS, and a concomitant broadening of the minima.

Surprisingly, we find that the flexibility profile of collagen IV is affected very little by these changes in pH and chloride. Fig. 6C shows the superposition of the flexibility profiles of collagen IV in sodium acetate and TBS buffers, including the respective 95% confidence intervals of the effective persistence lengths. Over the vast majority of the collagenous domain, the effective persistence length is statistically unaffected by this change in solution conditions upon deposition. This contrasts with the strong mechanical response of collagens to decreases in ionic strength (34). Over the range of pH from ~3 to 7.4, the net charge on collagen IV is expected to change significantly, from positively charged to approximately neutral (Fig. S11). Despite this change in charge, the net persistence length of collagen IV remains the same (p = 40 nm), and its position-

dependent flexibility is essentially invariant (Fig. S12). This finding implies that electrostatic interactions such as salt bridges (78) do not contribute substantially to the net bending stiffness of collagen at physiologically relevant ionic strengths.

There are only a few regions in the effective persistence length profile where the flexibility differs between these two buffered solution conditions. The region ~170 nm from the NC1 domain is of interest as a potential site for NC1 binding that may lead to higher-order assembly (27). Sensitivity of the structure and mechanics in this region of the collagenous domain to solution pH and Cl$^-$ could provide a responsive element that prevents premature assembly inside the cell. AFM-based mapping of ligand binding sites along collagen IV could help to reveal how these interactions are modulated by pH and chemical environment, and to relate the binding to local collagen structure. Sequence-based predictions of binding sites on collagen IV have been made for various binding partners (45, 79), which could be compared with AFM-based mapping experiments. Of particular interest would be proteins implicated in chaperoning collagen folding (e.g. Hsp47), secretion (e.g. TANGO1), and assembly (e.g. SPARC), as well as other proteins involved in basement membrane assembly (80, 81). For example, EM-based mapping has found laminin, a major BM component, to bind 140 nm from the NC1 domain along the collagen IV molecule (28). Analysis of our persistence length profile shows that this binding site is at a flexible region, which coincides with a large overlapping interruption. On the other hand, the glycoprotein nidogen was found to bind 80 nm from the NC1 domain (32), within a triple-helical region. These results suggest that there may be a broad diversity of binding modes to collagen IV, which can be modulated by its local structure and perhaps further tuned by the chemical environment. Studies of the temperature-dependent flexibility profile, binding interactions, and *in vitro* assembly morphologies could elucidate the impact of sequence-dependent mechanical and thermal stability on guiding BM assembly.

Conclusions and Outlook

Our results reveal a heterogeneous mechanical response along the triple-helical domains of collagens. While we found that coarse features of this variable flexibility can be understood with a simple physical model that classifies sequences based on the presence of interruptions in the (Gly-X-Y) pattern, we showed that collagen IV cannot be interpreted simply as a uniform triple helix with interruptions. Indeed, our complementary imaging and analysis of collagen III molecules revealed significant mechanical heterogeneity along their lengths. The bending stiffness along this continuously triple-helical collagen varies with sequence, most notably demonstrating a unique mechanical signature at the MMP site, a key sequence for collagen's extracellular remodelling. Surprisingly – in light of past suggestions that proline content influences local triple helix rigidity (16, 54–57) – we find no net correlation between local proline abundance and flexibility of collagenous domains, though some tracts in both collagens III and IV exhibit an

anticorrelation between flexibility and imino acid content. AFM-based mapping offers the ability to measure local structural properties such as bending stiffness within the context of full-length collagen proteins, and correlate these with sequence-dependent properties elucidated from studies on much shorter collagen-mimicking peptides. For example, NMR studies on peptides have revealed increased chain dynamics associated with interruptions (21), results that compare well with the enhanced bending flexibility ascribed to interruptions in this current study. We believe that this mapping approach may prove especially helpful in identifying structural consequences of disease-associated mutations in collagens: because it is a label-free approach, it can be applied to examinations of patient-derived protein, for which very little is required for this type of single-molecule investigation.

Our findings on the bending flexibility of collagens can be used to quantify energetic costs of various candidate compartments and constraints along its secretory and assembly pathways. For example, the enhanced flexibility of collagen IV imparted by its interruptions means that it is expected to adopt more compact conformations in solution compared with its shorter fibril-forming collagen counterparts. Thus, its secretory burden on the cell machinery may be less. However, this flexibility of collagen IV endows a greater entropic cost for lateral ordering into higher-order structures, compared with the stiffer collagen III. The greater rigidity of continuously triple-helical collagens facilitates their lateral assembly into highly ordered thick fibrils. We speculate that these mechanical properties contributed to the development of collagen in evolution, in which collagen IV arose first, but now is a minority component – at least by mass portion – compared with fibril-forming collagens that form the basis of most connective tissues in higher-level organisms (6).

Materials and Methods

Collagen Sources

Engelbreth-Holm-Swarn (EHS) tumor mouse heterotrimeric [α1(IV)]$_2$–α2(IV)] collagen IV (113 µg/mL in 0.5 M acetic acid) was a gift of Albert Ries (82, 83). Rat tail tendon-derived collagen I was purchased from Cultrex (3440-100-01) and is pepsin-treated collagen with a stock concentration of 5 mg/ml in 20 mM acetic acid. Bovine pro-N collagen III (pN-III) was extracted from fetal bovine skin following a previously published protocol (84).

Sample Preparation for AFM

Collagen was diluted into the desired solution conditions at a final concentration of 0.2 µg/mL, where 50 µL of the diluted sample was deposited and allowed to incubate for 20 seconds on freshly cleaved mica (Highest Grade V1 AFM Mica Discs, 10 mm, Ted Pella). The excess unbound proteins were removed by rinsing with ultra-pure water, and the mica was then dried using filtered air. All proteins were imaged under dry conditions, and the solution conditions of the samples refer

to the conditions in which they were deposited onto mica. Imaging was done with an Asylum Research MFP-3D atomic force microscope using AC tapping mode in air. AFM tips with a 160 kHz resonance frequency and 5 N/m force constant (MikroMasch, HQ: NSC14/AL BS) were used.

Chain Tracing and Analysis

SmarTrace, a custom-built MATLAB code, was used to determine the bending flexibility of collagen chains (34). Chains were traced to subpixel resolution starting from the NC1-chain boundary (C-terminus of each chain). Homogenous chain analysis assumes chains to have uniform flexibility (persistence length). The persistence length was found from the dependence of mean squared end-to-end distance, $\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle$ (Eq. 1), and tangent vector correlation, $\langle \cos\theta\,(\Delta s)\rangle$ (Eq. 2), on the segment length Δs. This model treats the chains as worm-like chains equilibrated in two dimensions, and has previously been shown to describe fibril-forming collagens well in 100 mM KCl, 1 mM HCl (34).

To investigate the sequence-dependence of collagen's flexibility, the local effective persistence length was determined using Eq. 3 and 4 (described in more detail in Supporting Text 1, and Fig. S1). Analysis of simulated chain images showed that a window of length $\Delta s = 30$ nm was the minimum Δs that reliably produced the expected angular distributions for a wide range of persistence lengths tested. Thus, for a chain of contour length L, persistence lengths could be extracted for segments centered from $s = 15$ nm through $s = L - 15$ nm. 95% confidence intervals for the estimates of $p(s)$ were determined using the cumulative probability function of the scaled inverse χ^2 distribution (Fig. S2), as described in Supporting Text 1. The dependence of this estimate on the number of chains is provided in Fig. S3.

Simulated images of chains with inhomogeneous flexibility were generated in MATLAB (85) and analysed as described for the experimental images. Chains were generated as described in (34), but with a bending stiffness that varies along the chain and incorporating a "knob" at one end as a directional reference point. Specifically, the chains we simulated here had a total contour length of $L = 400$ nm, interspersing long, stiff regions with short flexible regions (Fig. 3B): $p = 85$ nm ($\Delta s = 79$ nm); $p = 5$ nm ($\Delta s = 1$ nm); $p = 85$ nm ($\Delta s = 78$ nm); $p = 5$ nm ($\Delta s = 2$ nm); $p = 85$ nm ($\Delta s = 77$ nm); $p = 5$ nm ($\Delta s = 3$ nm); $p = 85$ nm ($\Delta s = 76$ nm); $p = 5$ nm ($\Delta s = 4$ nm); $p = 85$ nm ($\Delta s = 80$ nm). The knob was introduced as a uniform-intensity disc of radius 7 nm, centered at the starting point of the simulated chain. Experimentally realistic background noise was included in the images, as described previously (34).

Sequence alignment

The α1 (P02463) and α2 (P08122) amino acid sequences were obtained from UniProt (86). All chain alignments were initiated at the edge of NC1 domain, starting at the first Gly-X-Y unit of collagenous domain of both α chains. Specifics of the alignments are provided in a supporting

document. Graphical alignment of the amino acid representation and persistence length profile assumes a length of 0.29 nm / aa in the collagenous domain.

Variable flexibility model

Each amino acid of an α-chain was assigned a value of "0" if it was within a (Gly-X-Y) sequence or "1" otherwise (within an interruption). Distinct alignments of the three α-chain sequences were tested (supplied as a supporting document), including linear alignment, loop(s) within α_2 to better align its (Gly-X-Y) sequence blocks with α_1 (including a disulfide-bridged loop (44)), and sequence-similarity alignments (based on a Clustal alignment of the sequences (45), adapted to ensure chain continuity). A flexibility class was assigned at each amino-acid step along the aligned chains, based on whether it was a 0-, 1-, 2- or 3-chain interruption in the triple helix (Table 1). Each of these classes was assigned a local bending stiffness (via a local persistence length) and thus the model assigned a local flexibility to each position along the contour. This model was then fit to the determined persistence length profile $p(s)$, in order to determine the persistence lengths p_0, p_1, p_2 and p_3 that best describe each flexibility class. Conversion factors were included in the fitting routine, to convert between primary (aligned) sequence, measured in amino acids, and position along the contour from image analysis, measured in nanometres. Because of variability in identifying the starting position of the chains (found also when tracing simulated chains), a chain-stagger parameter was included, which represented the standard deviation of model chain starting positions. Finally, because we do not know where the collagenous domains start (for example, their starting position may be obscured by the NC1 domain), an offset parameter was included to linearly shift the modeled chains with respect to the traced chains. The fitting procedure is described in detail in the Supporting Text 2.

Modelling the simulated chains (Fig. 3) required a simpler physical model containing only two classes: rigid p_r and flexible p_f, located along the chains as defined by the inputs to the simulations. No length conversion factors were necessary because the simulated chain profile positions were defined in nanometres. Chain-stagger and offset parameters were necessary to align the model results with the traced and analysed simulated-chain $p(s)$ profile.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) through a Discovery Grant to NRF. We gratefully acknowledge Albert Ries for the gift of collagen IV from the Klaus Kühn lab, and Takako Sasaki for help procuring the sample. We thank Hans Peter Bächinger for providing the pN-III collagen. We thank Mike Kirkness, Mathew Schneider and other members of the Forde lab for useful suggestions on this work.

Author contributions

AAS performed all experiments and analysed all experimental data. AL devised and validated the position-dependent analysis protocols. AAS and NRF developed the variable flexibility model. All authors contributed to the project design and to writing the manuscript.

Supporting Information available online

Supporting Information document: Includes Supporting Texts 1, 2; Table S1; Figures S1-S12.

Sequence alignments: Document providing different sequence alignments tested in this work

References

1. Shoulders,M.D. and Raines,R.T. (2009) Collagen Structure and Stability. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, **78**, 929–958.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.77.032207.120833
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19344236

2. Sorushanova,A., Delgado,L.M., Wu,Z., Shologu,N., Kshirsagar,A., Raghunath,R., Mullen,A.M., Bayon,Y., Pandit,A., Raghunath,M., *et al.* (2019) The Collagen Suprafamily : From Biosynthesis to Advanced Biomaterial Development. *Adv. Mater.*, **31**, 1–39.
https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201801651

3. Fidler,A.L., Boudko,S.P., Rokas,A. and Hudson,B.G. (2018) The triple helix of collagens – an ancient protein structure that enabled animal multicellularity and tissue evolution. *J. Cell Sci.*, **131**.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.203950

4. Timpl,R., Wiedemann,H., Van Delden,V., Furthmayer,H. and Kühn,K. (1981) A Network Model for the Organization of Type IV Collagen Molecules in Basement Membranes. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **120**, 203–211.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1981.tb05690.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6274634

5. Knupp,C. and Squire,J.M. (2005) Molecular packing in network-forming collagens. *Adv. Protein Chem.*, **70**, 375–403.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3233(05)70011-5
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15837521

6. Fidler,A.L., Darris,C.E., Chetyrkin,S. V, Pedchenko,V.K., Boudko,S.P., Brown,K.L., Gray Jerome,W., Hudson,J.K., Rokas,A. and Hudson,B.G. (2017) Collagen IV and basement membrane at the

evolutionary dawn of metazoan tissues. *Elife*, **6**.
https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.24176

7. Hudson,B.G. (2004) The molecular basis of goodpasture and alport syndromes: Beacons for the discovery of the collagen IV family. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*, **15**, 2514–2527.
https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ASN.0000141462.00630.76
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15466256

8. Kirkness,M.W., Lehmann,K. and Forde,N.R. (2019) Mechanics and structural stability of the collagen triple helix. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, **53**, 98–105.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.08.001
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31606538

9. Fratzl,P. (2008) Collagen: Structure and Mechanics.

10. Miller,R.T. (2017) Mechanical properties of basement membrane in health and disease. *Matrix Biol.*, **57**–**58**, 366–373.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2016.07.001

11. Kadler,K.E., Hojima,Y. and Prockop,D.J. (1987) Assembly of Collagen Fibrils de Novo by cleavage of the Type 1 pC-Collagen with Procollagen C-Proteinase. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **260**, 15696–15701.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1990.tb17930.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3316206

12. Brown,K.L., Cummings,C.F., Vanacore,R.M. and Hudson,B.G. (2017) Building collagen IV smart scaffolds on the outside of cells. *Protein Sci.*, **26**, 2151–2161.
https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3283
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28845540

13. Cummings,C.F., Pedchenko,V., Brown,K.L., Colon,S., Rafi,M., Jones-Paris,C., Pokydeshava,E., Liu,M., Pastor-Pareja,J.C., Stothers,C., *et al.* (2016) Extracellular chloride signals collagen IV network assembly during basement membrane formation. *J. Cell Biol.*, **213**, 479–494.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201510065
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27216258

14. Hwang,E.S. and Brodsky,B. (2012) Folding delay and structural perturbations caused by type IV collagen natural interruptions and nearby Gly missense mutations. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **287**, 4368–4375.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.269084
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22179614

15. Bella,J. (2014) A first census of collagen interruptions: Collagen's own stutters and stammers. *J. Struct. Biol.*, **186**, 438–450.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2014.03.022
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24709580

16. Hofmann,H., Voss,T. and Kühn,K. (1984) Localization of Flexible Sites in Thread-like Molecules from Electron Micrographs - Comparison of Interstitial, Basement Membrane and Intima Collagens. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **172**, 325–343.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(84)80029-7
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6694213

17. Lunstrum,G.P., Bächinger,H.P., Fessler,L.I., Duncan,K.G., Nelson,R.E. and Fessler,J.H. (1988) Drosophila basement membrane procollagen IV. I. Protein characterization and distribution. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **263**, 18318–18327.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3192536

18. Bächinger,H.P., Doege,K.J., Petschek,J.P., Fessler,L.I. and Fessler,J.H. (1982) Structural Implications from an Electronmicroscopic Comparison of Procollagen V with Procollagen I, pC-Collagen I, Procollagen IV, and a Drosophila Procollagen. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **257**, 14590–14592.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7174652

19. Bächinger,H.P., Morris,N.P., Lunstrums,G.P., Keene,D.R., Rosenbaum,L.M., Compton,L.A. and Burgeson,R.E. (1990) The Relationship of the Biophysical and Biochemical Characteristics of Type VII Collagen to the Function of Anchoring Fibrils. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **265**, 10095–10101.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2112541

20. Hwang,E.S., Thiagarajan,G., Parmar,A.S. and Brodsky,B. (2010) Interruptions in the collagen repeating tripeptide pattern can promote supramolecular association. *Protein Sci.*, **19**, 1053–1064.
https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.383
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20340134

21. Bella,J. (2016) Collagen structure: new tricks from a very old dog. *Biochem. J.*, **473**, 1001–1025.
https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20151169
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27060106

22. Orgel,J.P.R.O., Irving,T.C., Miller,A. and Wess,T.J. (2006) Microfibrillar structure of type I collagen in situ. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **103**, 9001–9005.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502718103
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16751282

23. Antipova,O. and Orgel,J.P.R.O. (2010) In situ D-periodic molecular structure of type II collagen. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **285**, 7087–7096.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.060400
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20056598

24. Bella,J., Eaton,M., Brodsky,B. and Berman,H.M. (1994) Crystal and molecular structure, of a collagen-like peptide at 1.9 Å resolution. *Science (80-.).*, **266**, 75–81.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7695699
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7695699

25. Golbik,R., Eble,J.A., Ries,A. and Kühn,K. (2000) The spatial orientation of the essential amino acid residues arginine and aspartate within the α1β1 integrin recognition site of collagen IV has been resolved using fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **297**, 501–509.
https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3575
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10715216

26. Fields,G.B. (1991) A model for interstitial collagen catabolism by mammalian collagenases. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **153**, 585–602.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-5193(05)80157-2
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1666905

27. Yurchenco,P.D. and Furthmayr,H. (1984) Self-Assembly of Basement Membrane Collagen. *Biochemistry*, **23**, 1839–1850.
https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00303a040
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6722126

28. Charonis,A.S., Tsilibary,E.C., Yurchenco,P.D., Furthmayr,H. and Coritz,A. (1985) Binding of laminin to type IV collagen: A morphological study. *J. Cell Biol.*, **100**, 1848–1853.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.100.6.1848
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3997977

29. Laurie,G.W., Bing,J.T., Kleinman,H.K., Hassell,J.R., Aumailley,M., Martin,G.R. and Feldmann,R.J. (1986) Localization of binding sites for laminin, heparan sulfate proteoglycan and fibronectin on basement membrane (type IV) collagen. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **189**, 205–216.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(86)90391-8
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2946868

30. Tsilibary,E.C. and Charonis,A.S. (1986) The role of the main noncollagenous domain (NC1) in type IV collagen self-assembly. *J. Cell Biol.*, **103**, 2467–2473.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.103.6.2467
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3782304

31. Yurchenco,P.D. and Ruben,G.C. (1987) Basement-Membrane Structure Insitu - Evidence for Lateral Associations in the Type-Iv Collagen Network. *J. Cell Biol.*, **105**, 2559–2568.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.105.6.2559
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3693393

32. Aumailley,M., Wiedemann,H., Mann,K. and Timpl,R. (1989) Binding of nidogen and the laminin-nidogen complex to basement membrane collagen type IV. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **184**, 241–248. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1989.tb15013.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2506015

33. Fox,J.W., Mayer,U., Nischt,R., Aumailley,M., Reinhardt,D., Wiedemann,H., Mann,K., Timpl,R., Krieg,T. and Engel,J. (1991) Recombinant nidogen consists of three globular domains and mediates binding of laminin to collagen type IV. *EMBO J.*, **10**, 3137–3146.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1717261

34. Rezaei,N., Lyons,A. and Forde,N.R. (2018) Environmentally controlled curvature of single collagen proteins. *Biophys. J.*, **115**, 1457–1469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2018.09.003
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30269884

35. Lovelady,H.H., Shashidhara,S. and Matthews,W.G. (2014) Solvent specific persistence length of molecular type I collagen. *Biopolymers*, **101**, 329–335. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.22365
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23907817

36. Pedchenko,V., Bauer,R., Pokidysheva,E.N., Al-Shaer,A., Forde,N.R., Fidler,A.L., Hudson,B.G. and Boudko,S.P. (2019) A chloride ring is an ancient evolutionary innovation mediating the assembly of the collagen IV scaffold of basement membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **294**, jbc.RA119.007426. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.007426
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30923125

37. Chen,C.H. and Hansma,H.G. (2000) Basement membrane macromolecules: Insights from atomic force microscopy. *J. Struct. Biol.*, **131**, 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.2000.4252
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10945969

38. Davis,J.M., Boswell,B.A. and Bächinger,H.P. (1989) Thermal stability and folding of type IV procollagen and effect of peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans-isomerase on the folding of the triple helix. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **264**, 8956–8962.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2656691

39. Shayegan,M., Altindal,T., Kiefl,E. and Forde,N.R. (2016) Intact Telopeptides Enhance Interactions between Collagens. *Biophysj*, **111**, 2404–2416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2016.10.039
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27926842

40. Li,H., Linke,W.A., Oberhauser,A.F., Carrion-Vazquez,M., Kerkvliet,J.G., Lu,H., Marszalek,P.E. and Fernandez,J.M. (2002) Reverse engineering of the giant muscle protein titin. *Nature*, **418**, 998–

1002.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00938

41. Valdman,D., Lopez,B.J., Valentine,M.T. and Atzberger,P.J. (2013) Force spectroscopy of complex biopolymers with heterogeneous elasticity. *Soft Matter*, **9**, 772–778.
https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sm27218k
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24049545

42. Brazel,D., Pollner,R., Oberbaumer,I. and Kühn,K. (1988) Human basement membrane collagen (type IV) The amino acid sequence of the a2(IV) chain and its comparison with the al(1V) chain reveals deletions in the al(1V) chain. *Eur J Biochem*, **172**, 35–42.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1988.tb13852.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3345760

43. Engel,J. and Prockop,D.J. (1991) The Zipper-Like Folding of Collagen Triple Helices and the Effects of Mutations that Disrupt the Zipper. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem.*, **20**, 137–152.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.20.060191.001033
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1867713

44. Kühn,K. (1995) Basement membrane (type IV) collagen. *Matrix Biol.*, **14**, 439–445.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0945-053X(95)90001-2

45. Parkin,J. Des, Antonio,J.D.S., Pedchenko,V., Hudson,B., Jensen,S.T. and Savige,J. (2011) Mapping Structural Landmarks , Ligand Binding Sites , and Missense Mutations to the Collagen IV Heterotrimers Predicts Major Functional Domains , Novel Interactions , and Variation in Phenotypes in Inherited Diseases Affecting Basement Membranes. *Hum. Mutat.*, **32**, 127–143.
https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.21401

46. Orgel,J.P.R.O., Persikov,A. V. and Antipova,O. (2014) Variation in the helical structure of native collagen. *PLoS One*, **9**.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089519
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586843

47. Bann,J.G., Peyton,D.H. and Bächinger,H.P. (2000) Sweet is stable: Glycosylation stabilizes collagen. *FEBS Lett.*, **472**, 237–240.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01493-9
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10812082

48. Perdivara,I., Yamauchi,M. and Tomer,K.B. (2013) Molecular characterization of collagen hydroxylysine O-glycosylation by mass spectrometry: Current status. *Aust. J. Chem.*, **66**, 760–769.
https://doi.org/10.1071/CH13174
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25414518

49. Tang,M., Wang,X., Gandhi,N.S., Foley,B.L., Burrage,K., Woods,R.J. and Gu,Y. (2020) Effect of hydroxylysine-O-glycosylation on the structure of type I collagen molecule: A computational study. *Glycobiology*, **00**, 1–14.
https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwaa026

50. Basak,T., Vega-Montoto,L., Zimmerman,L.J., Tabb,D.L., Hudson,B.G. and Vanacore,R.M. (2016) Comprehensive Characterization of Glycosylation and Hydroxylation of Basement Membrane Collagen IV by High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.*, **15**, 245–258.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00767

51. Liotta,L.A., Abe,S., Gehron Robey,P. and Martin,G.R. (1979) Preferential digestion of basement membrane collagen by an enzyme derived from a metastatic murine tumor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **76**, 2268–2272.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.5.2268
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/221920

52. Wu,Y.Y., Bao,L., Zhang,X. and Tan,Z.J. (2015) Flexibility of short DNA helices with finite-length effect: From base pairs to tens of base pairs. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **142**.
https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915539
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25833610

53. Bächinger,H.P., Bruckner,P., Timpl,R., Prockop,D.J. and Engel,J. (1980) Folding Mechanism of the Triple Helix in Type-III Collagen and Type-III pN–Collagen: Role of Disulfide Bridges and Peptide Bond Isomerization. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **106**, 619–632.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1980.tb04610.x

54. Holmes,D.F., Mould,A.P. and Chapman,J.A. (1991) Morphology of sheet-like assemblies of pN-collagen, pC-collagen and procollagen studied by scanning transmission electron microscopy mass measurements. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 10.1016/0022-2836(91)90385-J.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(91)90385-J
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2067010

55. Bruckner,P., Bächinger,H.P., Timpl,R. and Engel,J. (1978) Three Conformationally Distinct Domains in the Amino-Terminal Segment of Type III Procollagen and Its Rapid Triple Helix + Coil Transition. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **603**, 595–603.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1978.tb12640.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/710449

56. Farndale,R.W., Lisman,T., Bihan,D., Hamaia,S., Smerling,C.S., Pugh,N., Konitsiotis,A., Leitinger,B., De Groot,P.G., Jarvis,G.E., *et al.* (2008) Cell-collagen interactions: The use of peptide Toolkits to investigate collagen-receptor interactions. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.*, **36**, 241–250.
https://doi.org/10.1042/BST0360241
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18363567

57. Lisman,T., Raynal,N., Groeneveld,D., Maddox,B., Peachey,A.R., Huizinga,E.G., De Groot,P.G. and Farndale,R.W. (2006) A single high-affinity binding site for von Willebrand factor in collagen III, identified using synthetic triple-helical peptides. *Blood*, **108**, 3753–3756.
https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-03-011965
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16912226

58. Lauer-Fields,J.L., Juska,D. and Fields,G.B. (2002) Matrix metalloproteinases and collagen catabolism. *Biopolym. - Pept. Sci. Sect.*, **66**, 19–32.
https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.10201
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12228918

59. Ravikumar,K.M. and Hwang,W. (2008) Region-specific role of water in collagen unwinding and assembly. *Proteins*, **72**, 1320–1332.
https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.22026
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18384148

60. Miller,E.J., Finch,J.E., Chung,E., Butler,W.T. and Robertson,P.B. (1976) Specific cleavage of the native Type III collagen molecule with trypsin. Similarity of the cleavage products to collagenase-produced fragments and primary structure at the cleavage site. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, **173**, 631–637.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(76)90300-3
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/179470

61. Williams,K.E. and Olsen,D.R. (2009) Matrix metalloproteinase-1 cleavage site recognition and binding in full-length human type III collagen. *Matrix Biol.*, **28**, 373–379.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2009.04.009
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19398000

62. Kirkness,M.W.H. and Forde,N.R. (2018) Single-Molecule Assay for Proteolytic Susceptibility: Force-Induced Collagen Destabilization. *Biophys. J.*, **114**, 570–576.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2017.12.006
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29414702

63. Stultz,C.M. (2002) Localized unfolding of collagen explains collagenase cleavage near imino-poor sites. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **319**, 997–1003.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00421-7
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12079342

64. Glanville,R.W., Voss,T. and Kühn,K. (1982) A Comparison of the Flexibility of Molecules of Basement Membrane and Interstitial Collagens.

65. Chow,W.Y., Forman,C.J., Bihan,D., Puszkarska,A., Rajan,R., Reid,D.G., Colwell,L.J., Wales,D.J.,

Farndale,R.W. and Melinda J. Duer (2018) Proline provides site-specific flexibility for in vivo collagen. *Sci. Rep.*, **8**, 1–13.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31937-x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30218106

66. Malhotra,V. and Erlmann,P. (2015) The Pathway of Collagen Secretion. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, **31**, 109–124.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013002
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26422332

67. Stauber,T. and Jentsch,T.J. (2013) Chloride in Vesicular Trafficking and Function. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.*, **75**, 453–477.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183702
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23092411

68. Dölz,R., Engel,J. and Kühn,K. (1988) Folding of collagen IV. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **178**, 357–366.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1988.tb14458.x
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2850175

69. Weber,S., Engel,J., Wiedemann,H., Glanville,R.W. and Timpl,R. (1984) Subunit structure and assembly of the globular domain of basement-membrane collagen type IV. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **139**, 401–410.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1984.tb08019.x

70. Wood,G.C. and Keech,M.K. (1960) The formation of fibrils from collagen solutions 1. The effect of experimental conditions: kinetic and electron-microscope studies. *Biochem. J.*, **75**, 588–598.
https://doi.org/10.1042/bj0750588
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13845809

71. Harris,J.R., Soliakov,A. and Lewis,R.J. (2013) In vitro fibrillogenesis of collagen type I in varying ionic and pH conditions. *Micron*, **49**, 60–68.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2013.03.004
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23582981

72. Roberto Vanacore, Amy-Joan L. Ham, Markus Voehler, Charles R. Sanders, Thomas P. Conrads, Timothy D. Veenstra, K. Barry Sharpless, Philip E. Dawson,B.G.H. (2009) A Sulfilimine Bond Identified in Collagen IV. *Science,* **325**, 1230–1234.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1330
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19729652

73. McCaughey,J. and Stephens,D.J. (2019) ER-to-Golgi Transport: A Sizeable Problem. *Trends Cell Biol.*, **29**, 940–953.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.08.007

74. Raote,I., Ortega-Bellido,M., Santos,A.J.M., Foresti,O., Zhang,C., Garcia-Parajo,M.F., Campelo,F. and Malhotra,V. (2018) TANGO1 builds a machine for collagen export by recruiting and spatially organizing COPII, tethers and membranes. *Elife*, 10.7554/eLife.32723.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.32723
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29513218

75. Matsui,Y., Hirata,Y., Wada,I. and Hosokawa,N. (2020) Visualization of procollagen IV reveals ER-to-Golgi transport by ERGIC-independent carriers. *Cell Struct. Funct.*, 10.1247/csf.20025.
https://doi.org/10.1247/csf.20025
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32554938

76. McCaughey,J., Stevenson,N.L., Cross,S. and Stephens,D.J. (2019) ER-to-Golgi trafficking of procollagen in the absence of large carriers. *J. Cell Biol.*, **218**, 929–948.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201806035

77. Freudenberg,U., Behrens,S.H., Welzel,P.B., Müller,M., Grimmer,M., Salchert,K., Taeger,T., Schmidt,K., Pompe,W. and Werner,C. (2007) Electrostatic interactions modulate the conformation of collagen I. *Biophys. J.*, **92**, 2108–2119.
https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.094284

78. Keshwani,N., Banerjee,S., Brodsky,B. and Makhatadze,G.I. (2013) The role of cross-chain ionic interactions for the stability of collagen model peptides. *Biophys. J.*, **105**, 1681–1688.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.08.018

79. Hohenester,E., Sasaki,T., Giudici,C., Farndale,R.W. and Bächinger,H.P. (2008) Structural basis of sequence-specific collagen recognition by SPARC. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **105**, 18273–18277.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808452105
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19011090

80. Chioran,A., Duncan,S., Catalano,A., Brown,T.J. and Ringuette,M.J. (2017) Collagen IV trafficking: The inside-out and beyond story. *Dev. Biol.*, **431**, 124–133.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.09.037
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28982537

81. Köhler,A., Mörgelin,M., Gebauer,J.M., Öcal,S., Imhof,T., Koch,M., Nagata,K., Paulsson,M., Aumailley,M., Baumann,U., *et al.* (2020) New specific HSP47 functions in collagen subfamily chaperoning. *FASEB J.*, 10.1096/fj.202000570R.
https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202000570R
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32716577

82. Kleinman,H.K., McGarvey,M.L., Liotta,L.A., Robey,P.G., Tryggvason,K. and Martin,G.R. (1982) Isolation and Characterization of Type IV Procollagen, Laminin, and Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan

from the EHS Sarcoma. *Biochemistry*, **21**, 6188–6193.
https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00267a025
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6217835

83. Vandenberg,P., Kern,A., Ries,A., Luckenbill-edds,L., Mann,K. and Kühn,K. (2000)
Characterization of a type IV collagen major cell binding site with affinity to the α1/β1 and the
α2/β1 integrins. *J. Cell Biol.*, **113**, 1475–83.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.113.6.1475
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1646206

84. Timpl,R., Glanville,R.W., Nowack,H., Wiedemann,H., Fietzek,P.P. and Kühn,K. (1975) Isolation,
Chemical and Electron Microscopical Characterization of Neutral-Salt-Soluble Type III Collagen and
Procollagen from Fetal Bovine Skin. *Hoppe-Seyler´s Zeitschrift für Physiol. Chemie*, **356**, 1783–1792.
https://doi.org/10.1515/bchm2.1975.356.2.1783
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1107200

85. MATLAB and Statistical Toolbox Release 2018b. The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts,
United States.

86. Bateman,A. (2019) UniProt: A worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **47**,
D506–D515.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049

Figures

Fig. 1. **Localization and supramolecular structures of fibril-forming and network-forming collagens.** All collagen chains are synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum. The folded proteins are secreted from the cell, where they can form a variety of supramolecular structures in different tissues. The fibril-forming collagen molecule, procollagen, is post-translationally processed whereby its propeptides are cleaved off to yield tropocollagen. Tropocollagens align laterally with an offset that gives rise to the characteristic D-banding pattern of fibrils. On the other hand, collagen IV, a network-forming collagen, is not post-translationally cleaved. Its end domains serve an important role in network formation. The N-terminal end aligns four molecules and forms a 7S tetramer. On the other end, two molecules form head-to-head assemblies forming an NC1 hexamer. Recent studies show that chloride ions are essential in forming an organized network. Lateral interactions between collagens may also contribute to network assembly (not indicated in this schematic). Schematics are not to scale.

Fig. 2. **Homogeneous worm-like chain analysis comparing flexibility of collagen IV to fibril-forming collagens.** AFM images of (**A**) mouse collagen IV and (**B**) rat collagen I, with schematics of their corresponding molecular structures placed above the AFM images. The black arrowhead and arrow point at an NC1 hexamer and an NC1 trimer of a molecule, respectively. Scale bars = 200 nm. All collagen types were deposited from room temperature onto mica from a solution of 100 mM KCl and 1 mM HCl. (**C**) The persistence lengths were obtained using $\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle$ (dark gray; equation [1]) and $\langle \cos\theta(\Delta s)\rangle$ (light gray; equation [2]) analyses, as shown for the collagen

type IV data here. These data are fit well by the predictions of the worm-like chain model. **(D)** Bar plot comparing the persistence lengths of fibril-forming collages to collagen IV. Values of p were obtained using the $\langle R^2(\Delta s)\rangle$ (dark gray) and $\langle \cos\theta(\Delta s)\rangle$ (light gray) WLC fits. The fibril-forming collagens possess similar persistence lengths of $p \approx 90$ nm (data reproduced from reference (34)), while collagen IV exhibits a substantially lower effective persistence length of $p = 39$ nm. $N = 262$ collagen IV chains.

Figure 3. Extracted persistence length profiles from simulated inhomogeneous chains. **(A)** Images of worm-like chains with inhomogeneous flexibility profiles were simulated to validate position-dependent flexibility analysis. For segments of length $\Delta s = 30$ nm centered at position s along the backbone, the effective persistence length is determined by the variance of angular changes along these segments $\theta_s(\Delta s)$ (equation 4). **(B)** The extracted persistence length profile $p^*(s)$ obtained by tracing and analyzing $N = 297$ simulated chains (thick black line) shows inhomogeneous flexibility along the simulated chains. The shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals on the estimates of persistence length. $p^*(s)$ attains the expected persistence

lengths in plateaus longer than $\Delta s = 30$ nm and exhibits minima at locations of enhanced flexibility. Minima are broadened with respect to the input $p(s)$ profile (thin black line), in agreement with the expected effective persistence length profile obtained by convolving a $\Delta s = 30$ nm filter with the input $p(s)$ (dotted line). The two-class model fit (red) recovers the distinct persistence lengths of the rigid and flexible regions, returning $p_r = 92$ nm and $p_f = 6$ nm, respectively ($\chi^2_r = 1.06$). $N = 297$ simulated chains.

Figure 4. Position-dependent flexibility profile of collagen IV. (A) Schematic representation of the α1 and α2 amino acid sequences from mouse collagen type IV. Rectangles indicate regions of the sequence containing triple-helix-competent sequences with $(Gly\text{-}X\text{-}Y)_nG$, $n \geq 4$. Interruptions of this repetitive sequence are indicated by thinner lines, and occur more frequently towards the N-terminus of the chains (right side of the schematic). **(B)** Position-dependent persistence length map of collagen type IV deposited from 100 mM KCl, 1 mM HCl. The dashed line indicates the persistence length of continuously triple-helical fibril-forming collagen molecules (34). Shaded curves represent 95% confidence intervals on the effective persistence length estimate $p(s; \Delta s)$. The profile was calculated from $N = 262$ chains. **(C)** Four-class flexibility model using two loops (blue) and Clustal (red) alignments. Alignments differ in the amount of amino acids that loop out and do not participate in the main backbone, as shown schematically above and below the plot. The persistence length profile is aligned with the amino acid sequence representations using model outputs for best-fit offset (nm) and nm/aa conversion. The two loops (Clustal) alignment is best fit with persistence lengths of $p_0 = 105$ (109) nm; $p_1 = 83$ (86) nm; $p_2 = 21$ (42) nm; $p_3 = 1.9$ (2.0) nm, with $\chi^2_r = 12.6$ (12.8). Best-fit persistence lengths of these different structural classes – particularly p_1 and p_2 – differ for other chain alignments (Table S1).

Figure 5. Position-dependent flexibility profile of collagen III. (**A**) AFM image of bovine collagen pN-III deposited from room temperature onto mica from a solution of 100 mM KCl and 1 mM HCl. Scale bar = 200 nm. (**B**) Position-dependent persistence length map of collagen III aligned with its amino acid sequence representation. This collagen is continuously triple-helical and therefore is represented as a single bar with the MMP site marked. Shaded curves represent

95% confidence intervals on the effective persistence length estimate $p(s; \Delta s)$. The profile was calculated from $N = 267$ chains.

Figure 6. Effect of chloride and pH on the flexibility of collagen IV. Inspired by the changes in the chemical environment along collagen's secretion pathway, we imaged collagen IV under two solution conditions, **(A)** TBS [150 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4], and **(B)** sodium acetate buffer at a slightly acidic pH [150 mM NaOAc, 25 mM Tris-OAc, pH 5.5]. Scale bars = 200 nm. **(C)** Position-dependent flexibility map of collagen type IV. The shaded curves represent 95% confidence intervals on the effective persistence length estimates $p(s; \Delta s)$. The profiles were calculated from $N = 272$ (TBS) and $N = 273$ (NaOAc) chains.