Evaluating spiny mice (*Acomys*) as a model for cardiac research

Kazuhiro Shindo[1*], Hsuan Peng[1*], Renée R. Donahue[1], Brooke M. Ahern[2], Bryana M. Levitan[2], Jonathan Satin[2], Ashley W. Seifert[3], Ahmed Abdel-Latif[1,4]

[1] Saha Cardiovascular Research Center, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky
[2] Department of Physiology, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky
[3] Department of Biology, University of Kentucky
[4] Gill Heart and Vascular Institute and Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Kentucky and the Lexington VA Medical Center

*Both authors contributed equally to the study.

Abstract

Complex tissue regeneration is extremely rare among adult mammals. An exception, however,

is the superior tissue healing of multiple organs in spiny mice (*Acomys*). While *Acomys* species

exhibit the remarkable ability to heal complex tissue with minimal scarring, little is known

about their cardiac structure and function. In this study, we characterized cardiac structure,

anatomy, and *in vivo* function, as well as cardiomyocyte characteristics in *Acomys* compared

to the most commonly used cardiac mouse model, the C57BL6 mouse strain (*Mus*). Our results

demonstrate comparable cardiac anatomy, structure and function between the two rodent

species, but reveal significant differences in their cardiomyocyte characteristics. These findings

establish *Acomys* as a new mammalian model for cardiac research.

Introduction

Significant clinical advances in revascularization and medical therapies have improved the mortality rate after myocardial infarction (MI).[1] Unfortunately, there are no therapies to limit myocardial damage during MI and millions of patients progress to develop heart failure (HF). This is because the normal healing response to tissue injury in adult mammals is fibrotic repair; an effective short-term strategy, but one that leads to loss of tissue function. Following injury to the heart, a limited ability to replace functional cardiomyocytes results in irreversible damage, scar formation, and compromised heart function. These events will ultimately lead to HF.[2] Although robust cardiac regeneration occurs in some adult vertebrates (e.g., zebrafish, salamanders, newts, etc.) and neonate mammals,[3-6] adult mammalian hearts exhibit poor regenerative capacity.[7-9] The lack of adult mammalian models demonstrating cardiac regeneration has contributed to the current knowledge gap in the field.

The ability to regenerate injured organs is widespread in the animal kingdom. Fishes, newts, and salamanders have extensive regenerative ability, and can functionally replace most tissues and organs after amputation. Specifically, zebrafish have become a well-characterized model for adult cardiac regeneration with the documented ability to recover from a plethora of heart injuries including apical resection,[6] cryo-injury,[10] and coronary artery ligation. In contrast, most adult mammals generally exhibit poor regenerative capacity, especially as it pertains to recovering from heart damage. Spiny mice (*Acomys spp.*) are murid rodents found throughout Africa, the Middle East and Western Asia. These rodents exhibit a number of special traits,[11] tantamount among them is the ability to regenerate skin and complex tissue.[12-16] Moreover, a recent study examining acute and chronic kidney injury documented enhanced cytoprotection in *Acomys cahirinus* compared to two laboratory mouse strains.[17] At present, the extent to which spiny mice respond to heart injury remains unknown. In order to characterize the heart injury response in a comparative framework, it is imperative to accurately determine *Acomys*

heart structure and function. Here, we report detailed cardiac characterization of *A. cahirinus*

in comparison to the commonly used C57BL6 mouse strain. The results of this study

demonstrate comparable cardiac anatomy, structure and function between *Acomys* and *Mus*

hearts but differences in cardiomyocyte phenotype between species.

Methods

Animal care

Male *Acomys cahirinus* (6-8 months old, in-house breeding colony) and *Mus musculus*

(C57Bl6, 8-12 weeks old, Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were housed at the University

of Kentucky, Lexington, KY. *Acomys* were housed individually in metal wire cages (Quality

Cage Company, Portland, OR) and fed a 3:1 mixture by volume of 14% protein mouse chow

(Teklad Global 2014, Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN) and black-oil sunflower seeds

(Pennington Seed Inc., Madison, GA).[11] All animal procedures were approved by the

University of Kentucky Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC #: 2019-3254

and 2011-0889).

Echocardiography

Echocardiography was acquired with a Vevo 3100 (VisualSonics, Toronto, Canada)

equipped with a MX550D 25-55 MHz linear array transducer. Baseline cardiac function was

assessed as previously described.[18] A heating pad and rectal temperature probe were utilized to

keep body temperature at 37°C during the experiment. Modified parasternal long-axis and

short-axis was utilized to determine left ventricular function and volume in M-mode, two-

dimensional and Doppler echocardiography modes. We also used M-mode tracings at the mid-

papillary level to estimate the systolic and diastolic parameters, and Teichholz formula at end-

systole and end-diastole to measure the left ventricle (LV) volumes. All mice were

anaesthetized using 1%–3% isoflurane during Echocardiography to maintain a heart rate of

450–500 BPM for all echocardiographic acquisitions. Echocardiographic imaging and analysis

were performed by a blinded investigator.

Coronary anatomy

Mice received an i.p. injection of heparin (100 units in 300ul sterile PBS) to prevent

coagulation. Ten minutes later, mice were injected with TRITC-Dextran according to the

method of Hoffmann et al (2011). Briefly, mice were anesthetized and 0.5ml of TRITC-Dextran

(Sigma # 42874, 40 kDa, 100mg/ml) was injected via retro-orbital route and the animal

returned to anesthesia. After two minutes, mice were euthanized via cervical dislocation and

the heart excised for imaging using the Maestro EX *in vivo* Imaging System (Cambridge

Research & Instrumentation Inc., USA) using a blue filter (λex 500 nm; λem 750 nm long in

10-nm steps). Images were imported into Nikon AR (Version 4.51.01) for cropping and scale

bar representation.

In a separate cohort, mice received an i.p. injection of heparin (100 units in 300ul

sterile PBS) to prevent coagulation. Ten minutes later, under isoflurane anesthesia, hearts were

excised and cannulated with a blunt tip 23g needle and clamp. 10 ml of 1x PBS was perfused

intra-aortically, followed by 1.5ml Batson's 17 polymer mixture (2.5ml base monomer + 600ul

Catalyst + 3 drops Promoter; Polysciences). Polymer was mixed and ten minutes later was

injected over five minutes and then left to cure on ice for 3 hours. Once cured, hearts were

briefly washed with ddH2O and then placed in potassium hydroxide (maceration solution) for

one hour at room temp. After maceration incubation, hearts were laid with the anterior side up

and the left anterior descending artery was imaged using a Nikon Camera (DS-12) after

application of several drops of ddH2O to clear overlying tissue.

Tissue collection and Histology

Tissue from all species were collected and weighed immediately at sacrifice. The dry lung weight was collected after 3 days of 65° C incubation. Hearts were perfused with PBS (VWR International) followed by 4% buffered formalin (VWR International) fixation via cannulation of the ascending aorta. Hearts were post-fixed overnight at 4^0 C. Hearts were then sectioned into 3-mm cross-sectional slices followed by paraffin embedding. Tissue was transferred to 70% ethanol until sectioning into 4-μm sections starting at the level of papillary muscle.

Immunofluorescence

Immunohistochemical assessments were carried out on deparaffinized and rehydrated sections as previously described.[18] After deparaffinization, washing, TRIS heat induced epitope retrieval, and protein and streptavidin/biotin blocking, slides were incubated with primary antibody: Isolectin B4 (L-2140, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) overnight at 4°C. After washing, sections were incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 568 (S11226, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 30 minutes, and cover slipped with mounting medium containing DAPI counterstain (Vector #H-1200). 10–20 adjacent areas per section were examined at 40x magnification using Nikon A1 Confocal Microscope in the University of Kentucky Confocal Microscopy facility. Data were presented as total capillary density per mm^2.

Cardiomyocyte isolation

Ventricular cardiomyocytes were isolated as previously described.[19] Briefly, mice received an i.p. injection of heparin (0.3ml of 1000 units/ml) prior to sacrifice. Mice were then anesthetized with 1 -3 % Isoflurane. Hearts were excised and immediately perfused on a Langendorff apparatus with a high-potassium Tyrode buffer and then digested with 5 to 7 mg

of liberase (Roche Applied Science). After digestion, atria were removed, and ventricular

myocytes were mechanically dispersed. Some isolated ventricular cardiomyocytes were used

for measuring cell surface area and nuclei, and the others were used for electrophysiological

recordings and calcium transients. Calcium concentrations were gradually restored to

physiological levels in a stepwise fashion for electrophysiological studies, and only healthy

quiescent ventricular myocytes were used for electrophysiological analysis within 12 h.

Cell surface area, t-tubule quantification, and nuclear number

Isolated ventricular cardiomyocytes were incubated with primary antibodies:

Troponin T (MA512960, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). After washing,

cardiomyocytes were incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594

(ab175700, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and Hoechst (H3570, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA) nuclear counterstain. 100 cardiomyocytes per animal were examined using Nikon

ECLIPSE E600 Microscope. For t-tubule quantification, live ventricular cardiomyocytes were

stained with Di-8-ANNEPES for 30 min to stain the cell membrane. AutoTT was used to

measure t-tubule density[20].

Ploidy measurement

Cardiomyocyte ploidy analyses were done with modification.[21] Isolated ventricular

cardiomyocytes were enriched by centrifugation at 3000 RPM for 1 minute and resuspended

in PBS supplemented with 1% goat serum. DAPI was added to the cell suspension (1:10,000

dilution) 3 mins prior to FACS. Cardiomyocyte sorting was performed on a cell sorter system

(iCyt-Sony) at room temperature. Nucleation was gated on height and width of signal from a

380 nm laser. Spleen cells from each species were also collected and sorted as diploid control.

Electrophysiological recordings and calcium transients

$I_{Ca,L}$ was recorded in the whole-cell configuration of the patch clamp technique as previously described.[19] All recordings were performed at room temperature (20 to 22 °C). The pipette solution consisted of (in mmol/liter) 125 Cs-methanosulfonate, 15 TEA-Cl, 1 MgCl2, 10 EGTA, and 5 Hepes, 5 MgATP, 5 phosphocreatine, pH 7.2. Bath solution contained (in mmol/liter) 140 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 5 Hepes, 5.55 glucose, 1 MgCl2, 1.8 CaCl2, pH 7.4. Once a cell was successfully patched, zero sodium bath solution was introduced into the chamber (mmol/liter) 150 N-methyl-D-glucamine, 2.5 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 glucose, 10 Hepes, 4-amino-pyridine, pH 7.2. Recordings of isoproterenol response were recorded in zero sodium bath solution containing 300 nM isoproterenol. $I_{Ca,L}$ was recorded from a holding potential (V_{hold}) of -50mV. $I_{Ca,T}$ and $I_{Ca,L}$ was recorded from V_{hold} -80mV with 300ms depolarization steps to levels as shown in Figure 4.

Calcium transients were recorded from ventricular cardiomyocytes loaded with cell permeable Fura-2-AM (Invitrogen). Cardiomyocytes were field stimulated at 1.0 Hz to determine transient amplitude, upstroke velocity, and rate of decay. All measurements were made following >2 minutes of conditioning of 1 Hz-field stimuli to induce steady state. Transients were recorded at 1 Hz. All Ca^{2+} transient / sarcomere dynamic data were analyzed using IonOptix IonWizard 6.3 (IonOptics Inc., Westwood, MA). Background fluorescence (Fbackground) for F380 and F340 were determined from cell-free regions. Data are expressed as F340/380 and were corrected for Fbackground.

Statistics

Values are expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). We used unpaired Student t test to estimate differences, as appropriate. Throughout the analyses, a P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using the Prism

181   8 software package (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).

Results

Heart structure and coronary tree anatomy are similar between *Acomys* and *Mus*

To establish a basis for accurate comparison across species, we first set out to determine whether baseline differences in cardiac gravimetrics exist between *Acomys* and *Mus*. First, we assessed heart weight (HW) and normalized it to body size (body weight [BW] and tibia length [TL]) to account for overall size differences between species. Although *Acomys* demonstrated a higher mean HW than *Mus* (Figure 1B), normalized to BW, *Acomys* exhibited a slightly lower HW than *Mus* (Figure 1C). There were no differences between species when HW was normalized to TL (Figure 1D). *Acomys* demonstrated heavier wet and dry lung weight than *Mus* (Figure 1E and F), but lower wet and dry lung weight after normalization by BW (Figure 1G and H). However, when normalized by TL, dry and wet lung weight were similar across species (Fig 1I and J). The descriptive analyses are summarized in supplemental table 1.

Next, we compared the gross cardiac structure, coronary tree and vasculature between *Acomys* and *Mus*. Overall, cardiac structure was not visibly different between the species both on gross inspection of the whole heart, short or long axis (Figure 2A) and both species demonstrated similar coronary course with the left anterior descending artery (LAD) supplying the anterior wall and the left circumflex artery supplying the lateral aspect of the left ventricle (Figure 2B-D). Vascular density plays an important role in tissue healing and integrity. Therefore, we assessed vascular density using isolectin staining and observed no significant difference in capillary density between *Mus* and *Acomys* (Figure 2E, F). The descriptive analyses are summarized in supplemental table 1.

***Mus* and *Acomys* have comparable baseline heart function**

Building on our anatomical analyses of *Acomys* and *Mus* hearts, we next performed

cardiac echocardiography to compare *in vivo* cardiac function between species. The ejection

fraction (EF), fractional shortening (FS), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), left

ventricular end-systolic diameter (LVESD), left ventricular posterior wall thickness (LVPW),

and stroke volume were comparable between *Acomys* and *Mus* (Figure 3A-D, F). Left

ventricular anterior wall thickness (LVAW) was higher in *Acomys* when compared to *Mus*

(Figure 3E). However, when normalized to body weight, LV mass and stroke volume were

smaller in *Acomys* (Figure 3G, H). These data support our anatomical data and demonstrate

that *in vivo* cardiac function and structure are comparable between species. Furthermore, our

functional data provide a baseline for future studies utilizing *Acomys* as a model for cardiac

research in comparison to *Mus*. The descriptive analyses are summarized in supplemental table

1.

***Acomys* cardiomyocytes resemble less mature adult mouse cardiomyocytes**

Ventricular cardiomyocyte (CM) phenotype varies across ages and species and plays

an important role in cardiac response to injury.[3, 22] During the first week of post-natal life,

cardiomyocytes undergo a final burst of DNA replication with and without karyokinesis and

therefore most CMs after the first post-natal week are either mononuclear polyploid or

multinucleated.[23] In mammals, the presence of mononuclear diploid CMs are indicative of a

'young' heart phenotype that is rarely seen in adult mice.[24, 25] To examine CM phenotype

between species, we isolated ventricular CMs and stained them with cardiac troponin T (cTnT)

and Hoechst. *Acomys* CMs were smaller than *Mus* and were more likely to be mononucleated

(Figure 4A-C). *Acomys* CMs also showed reduced t-tubule density and organization compared

to *Mus* (Figure 4D-G). We then assessed the ploidy of ventricular CMs across species using

flow cytometry as previously described. Our studies demonstrate a significantly higher

percentage of diploid CMs in *Acomys* with corresponding lower percentage of tetraploid and

>4N CMs (Figure 4H).

The relatively small cell size and higher mononucleated percentage in *Acomys* suggest

a 'young' cardiomyocyte phenotype. The expression of low-voltage activated (T-type calcium

channels) is a hallmark index of relatively immature ventricle; therefore, we recorded voltage-

dependent I_{Ca} from *Acomys* ventricular myocytes. From a V_{hold} -80mV, a V_{test} step to -25mV

elicits little if any discernable current in *Mus* (Figure 5A, left); by contrast, for V_{test} -25mV

Acomys cardiomyocytes exhibit a transient 'T-type' calcium current ($I_{Ca,T}$). Longer lasting

calcium current ($I_{Ca,L}$) is prominent with larger depolarizations in both *Mus* and *Acomys* (Figure

5A, lower panels). The current-voltage relationship shows a prominent $I_{Ca,T}$ component with a

peak current between V_{test} -20 and -25mV in *Spiny*, not in *Mus* (Figure 5B).

To dissect $I_{Ca,T}$ from $I_{Ca,L}$, we performed current-voltage curve protocols from V_{hold} -

50mV to voltage-inactivate the $I_{Ca,T}$ component. Current-voltage relationships for $I_{Ca,T}$-

expressing Spiny cells show the prominent $I_{Ca,T}$ appearing as a low-voltage activated current

for V_{hold} -80mV but not from V_{hold} – 50 mV (Figure 6A). 33% of *Acomys* ventricular

cardiomyocytes show no $I_{Ca,T}$ (Figure 6B) but there was no observed correlation of cell size to

presence of ICa,T (Figure 6C). $I_{Ca,T}$ is present in 67% of *Acomys*, compared to <5% of Mus

ventricular cardiomyocytes (Figure 6D).   Cell capacitance was significantly lower in *Acomys*

compared to *Mus* (Figure 6E), consistent with morphometric analysis (above, Figure 4B),.

Additionally, maximal conductance density trended greater in *Mus* than *Acomys* (p=0.06,

Figure 6F). Voltage-dependent activation and inactivation of $I_{Ca,L}$ was significantly shifted

positive for Acomys compared to *Mus* (Figure 6G and H). Taken together these data are

consistent with a 'young' adult heart physiological phenotype in the mature *Acomys* heart.

We next explored cytosolic calcium handling. Cytosolic Ca^{++} transients (CaT) have a

larger amplitude (Figure 7A, 7B), faster upstroke (Figure 7C), and more rapid decay (Figure

7D) in *Mus* compared to that in *Acomys*. As with CM morphometrics (Figure 4) and the

prevalent expression of T-type calcium current (Figures 5, 6) the smaller, slower CaT segregate

with a less mature CM phenotype. Immature ventricular CM also tend to show reduced β-

adrenergic receptor (β-AR) acute responsiveness.[26] Therefore, we tested the effect of

isoproterenol challenge (ISO). *Acomys* CaT amplitude remained smaller (Figure 7E, F) and

slower (Figure 7G, H). To assess acute responsiveness, we compared within cell before – after

ISO. *Mus* shows increased amplitude and more rapid kinetics (Figures 7I-K). By contrast,

Acomys CaT amplitude and upstroke velocity were not significantly different. Ca^{++} re-uptake

was accelerated consistent with only partial β-AR coupling to downstream effectors. Taken

together, the *Acomys* ventricular myocardium exhibits a less mature phenotype than *Mus*.

270
271

Discussion

The lack of adult mammalian models of cardiac recovery following MI has hampered the field's ability to identify new therapies for heart failure. Based on their enhanced regenerative ability for number of tissue and organs, spiny mice represent a potential model to explore endogenous cardiac repair. In this study, we sought to establish the anatomical and cellular characteristics of *A. cahirinus*, with a specific comparison to the commonly used cardiac murine model, the C57BL6 mouse (*Mus*). Our results demonstrated comparable cardiac structure, anatomy and functional parameters between the two species. Interestingly, we found a significant difference in CM phenotype between species - including smaller cardiomyocyte surface area with less t-tubule density, and more mononucleated, predominantly diploid ventricular cardiomyocytes in mature *Acomys*. Physiological properties reflective of younger cardiomyocytes include expression of $I_{Ca,T}$, lower $I_{Ca,L}$ density and smaller, slower cytosolic CaT. Overall, the outcome of our investigation suggest that *Acomys* may prove to be a useful model for future cardiac regeneration studies.

In vertebrate regeneration models, (e.g., axolotl limb, zebrafish heart, *Acomys* musculoskeletal tissue, etc.), tissue is removed by surgical excision and the lost tissue is structurally and functionally replaced.[6, 16, 27] *Acomys* has emerged as a bonafide adult mammalian regeneration model for their ability to regrow complex tissues following full-thickness skin excision[12, 14, 16] and removal of musculoskeletal tissue from the ear pinna.[13, 15, 28] In each type of injury, adult *Acomys* were capable of restoring functional, and in some cases, complete scar-free tissues compared to other adult rodents. To establish *Acomys* as an experimental cardiac model, we characterized key anatomical, structural and functional parameters between *Acomys* and the most commonly used experimental *Mus* species (C57Bl/6 mice). Our anatomical studies established gross similarity in coronary anatomy between species. *Acomys* and *Mus* have a LAD artery that courses anteriorly below the left atrial

appendage, and traverses the anterior interventricular groove supplying the majority of the

anterior wall.[29] Similarly, the left coronary artery supplies the lateral and distal anterior wall

(Figure 2). Interestingly, *Acomys* hearts were similar structurally and anatomically to *Mus*.

Additionally, there were no significant differences for *in vivo* cardiac functional parameters

between the two groups (Figure 3). These findings are crucial in planning future myocardial

ischemia studies as they indicate that similar techniques can be used to induce comparable

injury across species.

The adult mammalian heart loses its regenerative capability after the first week of life

and heart damage results in permanent loss of myocardium combined with fibrotic scarring and

adverse remodeling.[3] The loss of regenerative capability corresponds with loss of CM

proliferation as CMs enter cell cycle arrest and become mononuclear polyploid or

multinucleated.[3, 30] Therefore, in animal models and humans, cardiac ischemic injury typically

leads to the progression of heart failure when the damage is widespread.[9] However, in other

vertebrate species where CMs maintain the lifelong ability to proliferate (e.g., zebrafish and

newts), cardiac regeneration is still possible.[30, 31] One of the hallmarks of regenerative hearts is

the relatively high frequency of mononucleated diploid CMs. Indeed, both neonatal mice and

adult zebrafish with high percentage of mononuclear diploid CMs can regenerate cardiac tissue

after injury via the proliferation of pre-existing CMs.[32] Taken together, this data suggests that

higher proportions of mononuclear diploid CMs are associated with higher regenerative

capacity. A recent study further reported that the proportion of mononuclear cardiomyocytes

varied more than 7-fold in 120 inbred adult mouse strains, and a higher proportion of

mononuclear cardiomyocytes was associated with improved EF after myocardial infarction.[22]

Our study examined the nucleation and ploidy of *Acomys* and *Mus* and established that *Acomys*

have a higher proportion of mononucleated and diploid cardiomyocytes that are smaller in size

resembling those of neonatal mice (Figure 4A-C, H). Future studies are planned to examine the

proliferative potential of CMs in *Acomys* and *Mus* and their potential to adequately respond to

myocardial injury.

There are two types of Ca^{++} channels in cardiomyocytes, L-type and T-type. L-type

Ca^{++} channels are highly expressed in the adult heart and are important therapeutic targets for

the management of various cardiovascular diseases. In contrast to L-type channels, T-type Ca^{++}

channels are rarely found in adults and are present in the hearts of fetal and early postnatal mice

that have the ability to regenerate cardiomyocytes.[33] In our cell electrophysiology studies,

Acomys exhibit T-type Ca^{++} channels (Figure 6A-D). This feature is consistent with *Acomys*

cardiomyocytes exhibiting a 'younger' phenotype compared to *Mus*. Furthermore, immature

ventricular cardiomyocytes show reduced Ca^{++} transient amplitude, slower kinetics,[34] and

attenuated β-adrenergic receptor responsiveness.[35] In concert with the reduced t-tubule density

the overall picture emerges that the adult *Acomys* ventricular cardiomyocytes retain a more

'youthful' phenotype.

In conclusion, spiny mice represent a novel model for cardiac research. *Acomys*

demonstrate comparable cardiac structure, function and anatomy when compared to *Mus*,

aiding in ease of interpretation of future experimental results. However, ventricular CM

phenotype in *Acomys* represent a significant departure from those seen in adult *Mus* with

distinct features such as smaller surface area, abundance of T-type calcium currents and a

higher percentage of mononucleated and diploid cells; phenotypic differences that are typical

of species capable of cardiac regeneration. Future studies will focus on the potential of these

phenotypic differences to foster regeneration and repair instead of scarring and heart failure in

models of cardiac disease.

References.

1. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, de Ferranti SD, Floyd J,
Fornage M, Gillespie C, Isasi CR, Jimenez MC, Jordan LC, Judd SE, Lackland D, Lichtman JH,
Lisabeth L, Liu S, Longenecker CT, Mackey RH, Matsushita K, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino ME,
Nasir K, Neumar RW, Palaniappan L, Pandey DK, Thiagarajan RR, Reeves MJ, Ritchey M,
Rodriguez CJ, Roth GA, Rosamond WD, Sasson C, Towfighi A, Tsao CW, Turner MB, Virani
SS, Voeks JH, Willey JZ, Wilkins JT, Wu JH, Alger HM, Wong SS, Muntner P, American Heart
Association Statistics C, Stroke Statistics S. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: A
report from the american heart association. *Circulation*. 2017;135:e146-e603

2. McAloon CJ, Boylan LM, Hamborg T, Stallard N, Osman F, Lim PB, Hayat SA. The changing
face of cardiovascular disease 2000-2012: An analysis of the world health organisation global
health estimates data. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016;224:256-264

3. Porrello ER, Mahmoud AI, Simpson E, Hill JA, Richardson JA, Olson EN, Sadek HA. Transient
regenerative potential of the neonatal mouse heart. *Science*. 2011;331:1078-1080

4. Bader D, Oberpriller JO. Repair and reorganization of minced cardiac muscle in the adult newt
(notophthalmus viridescens). *J Morphol*. 1978;155:349-357

5. Flink IL. Cell cycle reentry of ventricular and atrial cardiomyocytes and cells within the
epicardium following amputation of the ventricular apex in the axolotl, amblystoma mexicanum:
Confocal microscopic immunofluorescent image analysis of bromodeoxyuridine-labeled nuclei.
Anat Embryol (Berl). 2002;205:235-244

6. Poss KD, Wilson LG, Keating MT. Heart regeneration in zebrafish. *Science*. 2002;298:2188-
2190

7. Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E. Ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction. Experimental
observations and clinical implications. *Circulation*. 1990;81:1161-1172

8. Jugdutt BI. Ventricular remodeling after infarction and the extracellular collagen matrix: When
is enough enough? *Circulation*. 2003;108:1395-1403

9. Struthers AD. Pathophysiology of heart failure following myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2005;91
Suppl 2:ii14-16; discussion ii31, ii43-18

10. Honkoop H, de Bakker DE, Aharonov A, Kruse F, Shakked A, Nguyen PD, de Heus C, Garric
L, Muraro MJ, Shoffner A, Tessadori F, Peterson JC, Noort W, Bertozzi A, Weidinger G,
Posthuma G, Grun D, van der Laarse WJ, Klumperman J, Jaspers RT, Poss KD, van
Oudenaarden A, Tzahor E, Bakkers J. Single-cell analysis uncovers that metabolic
reprogramming by erbb2 signaling is essential for cardiomyocyte proliferation in the
regenerating heart. *Elife*. 2019;8

11. Haughton CL, Gawriluk TR, Seifert AW. The biology and husbandry of the african spiny mouse
(acomys cahirinus) and the research uses of a laboratory colony. *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci*.
2016;55:9-17

12. Brant JO, Yoon JH, Polvadore T, Barbazuk WB, Maden M. Cellular events during scar-free skin

384   regeneration in the spiny mouse, acomys. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2016;24:75-88

13.   Gawriluk TR, Simkin J, Thompson KL, Biswas SK, Clare-Salzler Z, Kimani JM, Kiama SG, Smith
JJ, Ezenwa VO, Seifert AW. Comparative analysis of ear-hole closure identifies epimorphic
regeneration as a discrete trait in mammals. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7:11164

14.   Jiang TX, Harn HI, Ou KL, Lei M, Chuong CM. Comparative regenerative biology of spiny
(acomys cahirinus) and laboratory (mus musculus) mouse skin. *Exp Dermatol.* 2019;28:442-
449

15.   Matias Santos D, Rita AM, Casanellas I, Brito Ova A, Araujo IM, Power D, Tiscornia G. Ear
wound regeneration in the african spiny mouse acomys cahirinus. *Regeneration (Oxf).*
2016;3:52-61

16.   Seifert AW, Kiama SG, Seifert MG, Goheen JR, Palmer TM, Maden M. Skin shedding and tissue
regeneration in african spiny mice (acomys). *Nature.* 2012;489:561-565

17.   Okamura DM, Brewer CM, Wakenight P, Bahrami N, Bernardi K, Tran A, Olson J, Shi X,
Piliponsky AM, Nelson BR, Beier DR, Millen KJ, Majesky MW. Scarless repair of acute and
chronic kidney injury in african spiny mice (acomys cahirinus). *bioRxiv.* 2018;315069.

18.   Al-Darraji A, Haydar D, Chelvarajan L, Tripathi H, Levitan B, Gao E, Venditto VJ, Gensel JC,
Feola DJ, Abdel-Latif A. Azithromycin therapy reduces cardiac inflammation and mitigates
adverse cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction: Potential therapeutic targets in ischemic
heart disease. *PLoS One.* 2018;13:e0200474

19.   Ahern BM, Levitan BM, Veeranki S, Shah M, Ali N, Sebastian A, Su W, Gong MC, Li J, Stelzer
JE, Andres DA, Satin J. Myocardial-restricted ablation of the gtpase rad results in a pro-adaptive
heart response in mice. *J Biol Chem.* 2019;294:10913-10927

20.   Guo A, Song LS. Autott: Automated detection and analysis of t-tubule architecture in
cardiomyocytes. *Biophys J.* 2014;106:2729-2736

21.   Windmueller R, Leach JP, Babu A, Zhou S, Morley MP, Wakabayashi A, Petrenko NB, Viatour
P, Morrisey EE. Direct comparison of mononucleated and binucleated cardiomyocytes reveals
molecular mechanisms underlying distinct proliferative competencies. *Cell Rep.* 2020;30:3105-
3116 e3104

22.   Patterson M, Barske L, Van Handel B, Rau CD, Gan P, Sharma A, Parikh S, Denholtz M, Huang
Y, Yamaguchi Y, Shen H, Allayee H, Crump JG, Force TI, Lien CL, Makita T, Lusis AJ, Kumar
SR, Sucov HM. Frequency of mononuclear diploid cardiomyocytes underlies natural variation
in heart regeneration. *Nat Genet.* 2017;49:1346-1353

23.   Shen H, Gan P, Wang K, Darehzereshki A, Wang K, Kumar SR, Lien CL, Patterson M, Tao G,
Sucov HM. Mononuclear diploid cardiomyocytes support neonatal mouse heart regeneration in
response to paracrine igf2 signaling. *Elife.* 2020;9

24.   Alkass K, Panula J, Westman M, Wu TD, Guerquin-Kern JL, Bergmann O. No evidence for
cardiomyocyte number expansion in preadolescent mice. *Cell.* 2015;163:1026-1036

25.   Soonpaa MH, Kim KK, Pajak L, Franklin M, Field LJ. Cardiomyocyte DNA synthesis and

binucleation during murine development. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;271:H2183-2189

26.   Schroder E, Byse M, Satin J. L-type calcium channel c terminus autoregulates transcription. *Circ*

Res. 2009;104:1373-1381

27.   Haas BJ, Whited JL. Advances in decoding axolotl limb regeneration. *Trends Genet*.

2017;33:553-565

28.   Simkin J, Gawriluk TR, Gensel JC, Seifert AW. Macrophages are necessary for epimorphic

regeneration in african spiny mice. *Elife*. 2017;6

29.   Ostadal B, Schiebler TH, Rychter Z. Relations between development of the capillary wall and

myoarchitecture of the rat heart. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 1975;53:375-388

30.   Kikuchi K, Holdway JE, Werdich AA, Anderson RM, Fang Y, Egnaczyk GF, Evans T, Macrae CA,

Stainier DY, Poss KD. Primary contribution to zebrafish heart regeneration by gata4(+)

cardiomyocytes. *Nature*. 2010;464:601-605

31.   Oberpriller JO, Oberpriller JC. Response of the adult newt ventricle to injury. *J Exp Zool*.

1974;187:249-253

32.   Jopling C, Sleep E, Raya M, Marti M, Raya A, Izpisua Belmonte JC. Zebrafish heart regeneration

occurs by cardiomyocyte dedifferentiation and proliferation. *Nature*. 2010;464:606-609

33.   Cribbs LL, Martin BL, Schroder EA, Keller BB, Delisle BP, Satin J. Identification of the t-type

calcium channel (ca(v)3.1d) in developing mouse heart. *Circ Res*. 2001;88:403-407

34.   Balaguru D, Haddock PS, Puglisi JL, Bers DM, Coetzee WA, Artman M. Role of the

sarcoplasmic reticulum in contraction and relaxation of immature rabbit ventricular myocytes. *J*

Mol Cell Cardiol. 1997;29:2747-2757

35.   Kuznetsov V, Pak E, Robinson RB, Steinberg SF. Beta 2-adrenergic receptor actions in neonatal

and adult rat ventricular myocytes. *Circ Res*. 1995;76:40-52

Figure legend.

Figure 1. *Acomys* heart is heavier than *Mus*, but heart size is no significant different.

(A) The quantitation of body weight (BW) of *Mus* (n = 10) and *Acomys* (n = 22). (B, C, D) The

quantitation of heart weight (HW) of *Mus* (n = 10) and *Acomys* (n = 23), HW normalized by

BW of *Mus* (n = 10) and *Acomys* (n = 23), and HW normalized by tibial length (TL) of *Mus* (n

= 6) and *Acomys* (n = 17). Values are means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 compared to *Mus*. (E-J) The

quantitation of wet and dry lung weight (LW) of *Mus* (n = 10) and *Acomys* (n = 20) , wet and

dry LW normalized by BW of *Mus* (n = 10) and *Acomys* (n = 20), and wet and dry LW

normalized by TL of *Mus* (n = 6) and *Acomys* (n = 17) (Values are means ± S.E.M, *P < 0.05

compared to *Mus*).

Figure 2. Coronary anatomy in *Mus* and *Acomys*.

(A) Representative whole hearts of *Mus* (left) and *Acomys* (right) at baseline. (B) A schematic

of the coronary anatomy in mice. **Panel C** shows representative images of the blood supply of

the anterior and posterior wall across species using TRITC-Dextran IV infusion prior to

sacrifice. Hearts were imaged using the Maestro EX system (red arrows are left anterior

descending artery). The lower panel shows the coronary anatomy of the posterior wall. **Panel**

D demonstrates the left anterior descending artery across species using intra-aortic infusion of

Batson's 17 polymer mixture (Scale bar represents 1 mm). (E) Representative isolectin staining

(Red) for capillary density at baseline. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (F) Quantitative analysis of

capillary density for *Mus* and *Acomys*. n = 4 mice for each group (Values are means ± S.E.M).

Figure 3. Cardiac function by echocardiograph at baseline.

M-mode echocardiography of *Mus* (left) and *Acomys* (right) at baseline (top images). Ejection

fraction (A), fractional shortening (B), left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) (C),

left ventricular end-systolic diameter (LVESD) (D), left ventricular anterior wall (LVAW) (E),

left ventricular posterior wall (LVPW) (F), LV mass normalized by body weight (BW) (G), and

stroke volume normalized by BW (H) were comparable when measured by echocardiography

in *Mus* and *Acomys* at baseline (n = 30 *Mus* and 10 *Acomys*) (Values are means ± S.E.M, *$P <$

0.05 compared to *Mus*).

Figure 4. Characteristics of cardiomyocyte in *Acomys* resemble neonatal mouse

cardiomyocytes.

(A) Single-cell ventricular suspension stained with cardiac troponin T (cTnT) (red) and with

Hoechst (blue), identifying a small mononuclear cardiomyocyte on *Acomys*, and to a larger

binucleated cardiomyocyte on *Mus*. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (B) The quantitation of cell

surface area of cardiomyocytes. This graph shows the cell surface area of *Mus* and *Acomys*. All

cells (n = 100, each animal) randomly selected (n = 6 mice for *Mus* and 4 mice for *Acomys*, *P

< 0.05 compared to *Mus*. Values are means ± S.E.M). (C) Calculation of percentage of

mononuclear cardiomyocytes for *Mus* and *Acomys* (n = 4 mice for each group, values are means

± S.E.M, *$P < 0.05$ compared to *Mus*). (D, E, F, G) Single cell pooled t-tubule analysis shows

significantly greater t-tubule density in transverse (E) and axial directions (F) along with wider

t-tubule spacing (G) in *Acomys* compared to *Mus* (****$p<0.001$, scale bar = 50 μm). (H)

Calculation of percentage of ploidy in cardiomyocytes for *Mus* and *Acomys* by Flow cytometry

(n = 2 mice/group, values are means ± S.E.M).

Figure 5. Calcium current in *Acomys* and *Mus*.

(A) Current traces elicited by V_{test} -25 mV (upper) & V_{test} +15mV (lower) from V_{hold} -80 mV.

(B) Current-voltage relationships shows evidence for $I_{Ca,T}$ in *Acomys*, but not in *Mus*. For

Acomys, n=9 cells; N=5 animals; for *Mus*, n=44, N=13 animals.

Figure 6. $I_{Ca,T}$ measurements indicating less mature ventricular cardiomyocytes in

Acomys.

(A, B) Current-voltage curves from V_{hold} -80mV and -50 mV superimposed for *Acomys* CM

exhibiting $I_{Ca,T}$ (A) or no $I_{Ca,T}$ (B). (C) Cell capacitance was not different for *Acomys* CM with

or without $I_{Ca,T}$. (D) $I_{Ca,T}$ expression was heterogeneous but more *Acomys* ventricular CMs

showed $I_{Ca,T}$ compared to rare occurrences in *Mus*. (E) Cell capacitance was greater in *Mus*

than *Acomys*. **$P<0.01$. (F) Maximal conductance density trended greater in *Mus* than *Acomys*.

$P=0.06$. G) Voltage-dependent activation and inactivation (H) of $I_{Ca,L}$ was significantly shifted

positive for *Acomys* compared to *Mus* (****$P<0.001$).

Figure 7. Calcium transients in *Mus* and *Acomys*.

(A) Representative calcium transients from isolated ventricular cardiomyocytes loaded with

fura2-AM, *Mus* (top, red) and *Acomys* (bottom, blue) paced at 1 Hz. Scale bar: 2 seconds. (B)

Amplitude of the transients (***$P = 0.0004$). (C) Velocity at which calcium enters the cytosol

(upstroke of the transient: *$P=0.04$). (D) Calcium transient decay (***$P=0.0005$). (E)

Representative calcium transients treated with 100 nM isoproterenol (ISO), *Mus* (top, red) and

Acomys (bottom, blue), paced at 1 Hz. Scale bar: 2 seconds. (F) Amplitude of the transients

with ISO (*$P= 0.04$). (G) Velocity at which calcium enters the cytosol with ISO (*$P= 0.05$).

(H) Calcium transient decay with ISO (****$P<0.0001$). (I) Before and after ISO Amplitude of

the transients (**$P= 0.007$). (J) Before and after ISO Velocity at which calcium enters the

cytosol ($P = 0.02$). (K) Calcium transient decay (***$P = 0.002$). N = 7 animals and 37 cells for

Mus; and 4 animals and 31 cells for *Acomys*.

525 **Supplemental table 1.**

		Mus			*Acomys*		
	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	*P-value*
Structural parameters							
BW (mg)	10	26.92	1.12	22	50.26	2.18	<0.0001*
HW (mg)	10	167.58	10.41	23	231.07	12.92	0.0034*
HW / BW	10	6.22	0.29	23	4.59	0.34	0.0058*
HW / TL	6	96.60	8.31	17	95.81	5.59	0.8648
Wet LW (mg)	10	161.40	12.55	20	204.03	9.92	0.0222*
Dry LW (mg)	10	34.91	2.42	20	41.83	2.71	0.0479*
Wet LW / BW	10	5.98	0.36	20	4.00	0.20	<0.0001*
Dry LW / BW	10	1.30	0.07	20	0.81	0.05	<0.0001*
Wet LW / TL	6	80.62	5.99	17	92.72	5.45	0.2273
Dry LW / TL	6	18.19	1.15	17	18.38	1.39	0.6088
Cardiac function							
EF (%)	30	62.94	0.94	10	65.93	1.89	0.1314
% FS	30	33.63	0.67	10	35.01	1.35	0.3626
LVEDD (mm)	30	3.72	0.04	10	3.79	0.11	0.3964
LVESD (mm)	30	2.46	0.04	10	2.47	0.10	0.7179
LVAW (mm)	30	0.78	0.02	10	0.88	0.02	0.0014*
LVPW (mm)	30	0.8210	0.0164	10	0.8951	0.0351	0.0707
LV mass / BW	30	3.31	0.07	10	2.42	0.10	<0.0001*

	n	Mean	SEM	n	Mean	SEM	P
Stroke volume / BW	27	1.59	0.03	7	0.95	0.06	<0.0001*
Cardiomyocyte characteristic							
Cell surface area (μm^2)	6	2719.18	193.29	4	699.69	48.01	0.0095*
% mononuclear	4	6.32	1.89	4	16.90	0.82	0.0061*
Vessel density (/mm^2)	4	2736.29	209.44	4	2431.86	249.80	0.6857

526   BW; body weight, HW; heart weight, TL; tibial length, LW; lung wight, EF; ejection fraction,

527   LVEDD; left ventricular end-diastolic diameter, LVESD; left ventricular end-systolic diameter,

528   LVAW; left ventricular anterior wall, LV mass; left ventricular mass (*P < 0.05).

Figure 1

Figure 2

A.

	Mus	*Acomys*
Anterior		
Short axis		
Long axis		

B.

Right coronary artery

Left Circumflex artery

Left Anterior Descending artery

C.

Anterior View

Mus

Acomys

Posterior View

Mus

Acomys

Mus   *Acomys*

D.

Mus

Acomys

E.

Isolectin
DAPI

50 μm   50 μm

F.

Vessel density / mm²

Mus   *Acomys*

Figure 3

Figure 4

A.

cTnT
DAPI
50 µm   50 µm
Mus   *Acomys*

B.

C.

D.

Mus
50 µm

Acomys
50 µm

E.

F.

G.

H.

Cardiac cells   C57Bl/6 Spleen cells

C57-*Mus*
Acomys

2N 4N >4N

Count

DAPI

2N
4N
>4N

Percent

Mus   Acomys

Figure 5

Figure 6

A. Cells With T-Current

B. Cells Without T-Current

C.

D.

Prevalence of $I_{Ca,T}$

	Mus	*Acomys*
Total cells	44	9
$I_{Ca,T}$ Positive	2	6
Fraction of cells with $I_{Ca,T}$	<5%	67%***

***$p < 10^{-3}$; Fisher's exact test

E.

F.

G.

H.

Figure 7