Running head: Rainfall control on detritivore activity

Title: Synergistic interactions between detritivores disappear under reduced rainfall

Author names and affiliations: François-Xavier Joly[*,a], Euan McAvoy[a], Jens-Arne Subke[a]

[a] Biological and Environmental Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling,

Stirling, Scotland, UK

Corresponding author: François-Xavier Joly; francois-xavier.joly [at] stir.ac.uk

Abstract: Understanding the consequences of altered rainfall patterns on litter decomposition

is critical to predicting the feedback effect of climate change on atmospheric CO_2

concentrations. While their effect on microbial decomposition received considerable

attention, their effect on litter fragmentation by detritivores, the other dominant

decomposition pathway, remains largely unexplored. Particularly, it remains unclear how

different detritivore species and their interactions respond to changes in rainfall quantity and

frequency. To fill this knowledge gap, we determined the contribution to litter decomposition

of two detritivore species (millipede and isopod), separately and in combination, under

contrasting rainfall quantity and frequency in a temperate forest. Although halving rainfall

quantity and frequency decreased top-soil moisture by 7.8 and 13.1%, respectively, neither

millipede- nor isopod-driven decomposition were affected by these changes. In contrast,

decomposition driven by both detritivore species in combination was 65.5% higher than

expected based on monospecific treatments under high rainfall quantity, but unchanged or

even lower under low rainfall quantity. This indicates that while detritivore activity is

relatively insensitive to changes in rainfall patterns, large synergistic interactions between

detritivore species may disappear under future rainfall patterns. Incorporating interspecific

interactions between decomposers thus seems critical to evaluate the sensitivity of

decomposition to altered rainfall patterns.

Keywords: Carbon cycling – Climate change – Isopod – Litter decomposition – Millipede –

Precipitation regime – Rainfall pattern – Soil moisture

Introduction

Understanding the consequences of climate change for processes underpinning the

global carbon cycle is essential to predicting its feedback effect on atmospheric CO_2

concentrations. This critical challenge prompted major research efforts evaluating the

response of plant litter decomposition – the largest flux of CO_2 to the atmosphere

(Schlesinger 2005) – to expected changes in climate change. In particular, predictions of

altered rainfall patterns with larger but less frequent rainfall events leading to enhanced

probabilities of drought and heavy rains (IPCC 2013) led numerous studies to evaluate how

decomposition responds to various rainfall manipulation. To date, the vast majority of these

studies have focused on the response of microbial decomposition (Sanaullah et al. 2012,

Walter et al. 2013, Joly et al. 2017), and reported strong negative responses of microbial

decomposition to reduced rainfall quantity and frequency. In contrast, the response of

detritivorous soil animals to altered rainfall patterns remains poorly documented.

Litter-feeding soil invertebrates (detritivores hereafter) play a major role in organic

matter turnover by consuming large amounts of decomposing litter (García-Palacios et al.

2013) and returning most of it to the soil as feces (David 2014). This conversion of litter into

feces consisting of a myriad of fecal particles facilitates further leaching and microbial

activity, thereby accelerating decomposition (Joly et al. 2020). Despite the importance of this

pathway, its response to expected changes in rainfall patterns is difficult to predict.

Particularly, it remains poorly understood how detritivores respond to changes in rainfall

quantity (cumulative rainfall) and frequency (infrequent large rainfall event vs frequent

smaller events). Among the few studies that evaluated this response, Coulis et al. (2013)

reported a limited decrease in the feeding activity of one millipede species (*Ommatoiulus*

sabulosus) in response to reduced rainfall quantity and frequency. In turn, Joly et al. (2019)

found that the feeding activity of one isopod species (*Armadillidium vulgare*) was not

affected by large changes in rainfall quantity, but controlled by rainfall frequency with higher

activity at low rainfall frequency. The relative insensitivity of detritivore activity to reduced

rainfall quantity suggests a desiccation resistance, either morphological (e.g., exoskeleton;

low surface/volume ratio) or behavioral (e.g., mobility allowing to shelter or find water in

moist soil areas). In turn, the higher activity at lower rainfall frequency reported by Joly et al.

(2019) suggests that, for a given rainfall quantity, large and infrequent rainfall events trigger

detritivore activity more efficiently than more frequent but smaller events, as hypothesized by

Nielsen and Ball (2015), or that alternation between dry and moist conditions induces

compensatory feeding, with detritivores consuming higher quantities of moist litter following

drought periods to satisfy their water requirements. The contrasting responses to rainfall

frequency between the two studies indicate that the influence of rainfall pattern on

detritivore-driven decomposition may depend on detritivore species, yet species-specific

sensitivities to rainfall patterns are unclear. Also, both studies were performed under

laboratory conditions with detritivores collected from populations commonly exposed to

drought (Mediterranean shrubland and dryland, respectively) and there are so far no data on

the importance of this rainfall pattern control under field conditions and in mesic ecosystems.

In addition to interspecific differences in the response of feeding activity to rainfall

pattern, the contribution of detritivores to decomposition also depends on the nature of

interspecific interactions between cooccurring detritivores (Gessner et al. 2010). Several

studies reported that when different soil animal species co-occur, their contribution to

decomposition can be larger than predicted based on monospecific treatments (Heemsbergen

et al. 2004, Hedde et al. 2010, DeOliveira et al. 2010). These synergistic effects of detritivore

diversity may contribute substantially to decomposition, but the response of these interactions

to climatic change is largely unknown. Theory predicts that as environmental stress increases,

interspecific interactions switch from competition to complementarity and facilitation

(Bertness and Callaway 1994). This stress-gradient hypothesis implies that an increase in

environmental stress could drive a switch from antagonistic or non-additive diversity effects

on ecosystem functioning to synergistic effects. The hypothesis is relatively well supported

for plant-plant interactions and associated primary productivity (He et al. 2013), but remains

poorly considered for interactions between soil organisms and associated decomposition

processes, with the rare studies focusing on interactions between detritivore diversity and

rainfall pattern reporting contrasted results (Collison et al. 2013, Coulis et al. 2015).

Examining the nature of interactions between detritivores and their response to altered

rainfall patterns thus appears critical to predict decomposition under future climate scenarios.

We evaluated the consequences of halving rainfall quantity and frequency on the

feeding activity of two common detritivore species from distant phylogenetic groups

(millipedes and isopods), and on their interactions. We hypothesized that (H1) both

detritivore species are more strongly affected by reductions in rainfall frequency than

quantity, and that (H2) synergistic interactions between detritivore species increase with

reduced rainfall quantity and frequency. We tested these hypotheses by measuring the

contribution of millipedes (*Glomeris marginata*) and isopods (*Armadillidium vulgare*),

separately and in combination, to leaf litter decomposition during a six-week field experiment

in a Scottish temperate forest during which we fully manipulated rainfall patterns.

Methods

We conducted this experiment in a mixed woodland of the University of Stirling (56°08'34"

N, 3°55'12" W; 40 m a.s.l), located within the Scottish Lowlands, UK. The climate is

characterized by mean annual precipitation of 1019 mm and a mean annual temperature of

8.5 °C (MetOffice, 1981-2010). The months of August and September, during which we ran

this experiment, have mean monthly precipitation of 72.4 and 90.6 mm, respectively and

have 11.4 and 11.9 days with rainfall > 1 mm, respectively. The vegetation at the site consists

in a mixture of broadleaf and coniferous tree species, including *Acer pseudoplatanus* L.,

Fagus sylvatica L., *Aesculus hippocastanum* L., *Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr, and *Larix*

decidua Mill. In May 2018, we collected two detritivore species from nearby woodlands,

including the millipede *Glomeris marginata* (Villers, 1789) in a woodland near Peebles,

Scotland, UK (55°38'46" N, 3°07'55" W), and the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare* (Latreille,

1804) in a coastal woodland near Dunfermline, Scotland, UK (56°01'35"N, 3°23'14"W). We

chose these species as they are widespread across Europe, occurring in diverse ecosystems

from Mediterranean to temperate. Detritivores were kept in plastic boxes and fed with moist

litter until use. In July 2018, we collected *Aesculus hippocastanum* leaf litter from the study

site. This litter consisted in 0.465 g C g^{-1} litter and 0.0089 g N g^{-1} litter, for a C:N ratio of

52.0. Litter of this tree species is characterized as relatively recalcitrant, with high C:N ratio

and tannin concentrations, and low concentration of water-soluble compounds and capacity

to retain water (Joly et al. 2020). We used decomposing leaf litter rather than freshly

senesced litter because detritivores prefer feeding on partially decomposed litter (David and

Gillon, 2002). We air-dried the litter, cleaned it of debris (twigs, non-targeted litter species),

and stored it in paper bags until the start of the experiment.

　　　　We manipulated rainfall quantity (18 vs. 36 mm/month), rainfall frequency (one vs.

two pulses a week) and detritivore community composition (control without detritivore;

millipedes only; isopods only; millipedes and isopods together) in a full-factorial design of

field mesocosms during a six-week period in August and September 2018. Each treatment

combination was replicated five times for a total of 80 mesocosms (2 rainfall quantities $\times$ 2

rainfall frequencies $\times$ 4 detritivore treatments $\times$ 5 replicates). To do so, we set up five

replicate full-rainout shelters (6.25 m^2 surface area), consisting of 2.5 $\times$ 2.5 m wooden frames

covered by a transparent polythene sheet (0.127 mm thick, 508 g/m^2), elevated at 0.75 m

above the soil surface with wooden legs in corners to allow air passage. To prevent rainwater

from accumulating in the middle of the polythene sheet, we placed a 1 m stake in the center

of each shelter to elevate the sheet and allow the rainwater to runoff. Under each shelter, we

set up four subplots (0.5 m^2 surface area); one per rainfall treatment. These subplots consisted

in 0.7 $\times$ 0.7 m wooden frames placed on the soil surface and inserted 5 cm into the soil. We

placed these frames such that they were 40 cm away from the shelter edges to avoid moisture

from shelter run-off, and 20 cm from one another. Within subplots, we removed the existing

litter layer and inserted four mesocosms (one per detritivore treatment) made of PVC pipe (9

cm long; 16 cm diameter) 7 cm into the soil. Because detritivores shelter during dry

conditions to avoid desiccation, we added small tunnels made of PVC pipe (35 mm long; 35

mm diameter) buried halfway, lengthwise, in the middle of each mesocosm. We then added

6.0 $\pm$ 0.01 g of air-dried leaf litter to each mesocosm. We converted air-dried litter mass into

60°C dry mass by weighing air-dried litter subsamples, drying them at 60 °C for 48 h, and

reweighing them to obtain dry mass. In each subplot, we randomly attributed a detritivore

treatment to mesocosms and added either (1) no detritivore for the control treatment without

detritivore, or 0.6 $\pm$ 0.01 g of (2) millipedes, (3) woodlice, or (4) millipedes and woodlice.

Because of variation in body size, the number of detritivores per microcosm varied between 5

and 6. To prevent detritivores from escaping while allowing evaporation, we covered

mesocosms with a 2 $\times$ 2 mm nylon mesh secured with rubber bands. Within each subplot, we

also added leaf litter between mesocosms, in a similar density as within mesocosms to ensure

homogeneous surface conditions (including desiccation) throughout the subplot. We

randomly attributed a rainfall treatment to each subplot for each rainout shelter. Rainfall

quantity and frequency treatments consisted in 18 and 36 mm/month, with the equivalent

weekly amounts added as large pulses once a week or smaller pulses twice a week. These

quantities approximately reflected the actual throughfall (ca. 42 mm/month) during the

incubation period and a 50% reduction (ca. 21 mm/month), respectively. This throughfall was

estimated by correcting the mean monthly rainfall by an estimated 50% rainfall losses due to

canopy interception and stemflow (Johnson 1990). Similarly, the frequencies approximately

reflected the actual number of days with > 1 mm of throughfall and a 50% reduction,

respectively. We added the water pulses for both frequency treatments on Monday mornings

and those for the high frequency treatment additionally on Thursday afternoons. These pulses

were applied to mesocosms by watering subplots with a Knapsack pressure sprayer (PS16,

Kingfisher). We monitored the moisture of the topsoil (top 1 cm) throughout the incubation

by collecting soil samples from all subplots on Mondays and Thursdays, before and after

each watering events. Soil samples were weighed, dried at 60°C for 48 h and weighed again

to determine their water content. After six weeks of incubation, detritivores were collected,

counted and weighed, and remaining leaf litter was collected carefully, dried at 60°C for 48

h, and weighed. All decomposed litter samples and five samples of initial litter were ground

with a disc mill and analyzed for C concentration with a flash CHN Elemental Analyser

(Flash Smart, ThermoScientific). The percentage of C lost after the incubation was calculated

as $[(M_i \times C_i - M_f \times C_f) / (M_i \times C_i)] \times 100$ where M_i and M_f are the initial and final 60°C dry

masses, respectively, and C_i and C_f are the initial and final C concentrations, respectively. We

used litter C loss rather than total litter mass loss to correct for inorganic contamination of

leaf litter retrieved from mesocosms where they were in direct contact with soil. To isolate

detritivore from microorganism effects on total C loss, we subtracted the C loss without

detritivore from the C loss with detritivores. This detritivore-driven litter C loss was then

corrected for slight differences in detritivore mass among mesocosms by dividing it by the

detritivore mass for each mesocosm (average throughout the incubation) and multiplying it

by the mean detritivore mass across all mesocosms with detritivores (0.596 g). For

mesocosms including both millipedes and isopods, the relative mixing effect was calculated

as [(observed value - expected value)/expected value] $\times$ 100 for each rainfall treatment

separately, where the expected value is the mean of litter C loss driven by millipede and

isopods separately, in monospecific treatments. Fourteen mesocosms for which we noted

anomalies upon harvest (e.g., presence of snails or lower numbers of detritivores than

initially added) were not used for response variable calculations and further data analyses.

These removed mesocosms were relatively equally distributed among rainfall treatments (5

for the low quantity and frequency treatment; 3 for all other treatments).

We used two-way ANOVAs to evaluate the effect of rainfall quantity and frequency

and their interaction on (1) soil moisture, on the contribution of (2) millipedes, (3) isopods,

(4) millipedes and isopods to litter C loss, and on (5) the relative effect of mixing millipedes

and isopods. To determine differences in detritivore-driven decomposition among detritivore

treatments across all rainfall treatments, we evaluated the effect of detritivore community

composition on detritivore-driven decomposition with a one-way ANOVA. For all tests,

Tukey HSD post hoc mean comparisons identified difference among treatments. Student's *t*

tests were used to determine if the relative mixing effect for each rainfall treatment was

significantly different from zero. All data were checked for normal distribution and

homoscedasticity of residuals. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.6.1.

Results

The exclusion of natural rainfall and application of simulated rainfall according to

four treatments (current rainfall vs. 50% reduction, each delivered at current frequency vs.

50% reduction) led to variable mean soil moistures throughout the six-week incubation,

ranging from 0.25 g H_2O g soil^{-1} for the low rainfall quantity treatment delivered at low

frequency, to 0.31 g H_2O g soil^{-1} for the high rainfall quantity treatment delivered at high

frequency (Fig. 1). Halving rainfall quantity and frequency led to significant relative

decreases in mean soil moisture by 7.8% ($P < 0.05$) and 13.1% ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 1).

After six weeks of incubation in the field, millipedes contributed significantly more to

decomposition than isopods ($P < 0.001$), with an average detritivore-driven litter C loss of

26.2% for millipedes compared to 10.0% for isopods (Fig. 2), across rainfall treatments. In

monospecific treatments, detritivore-driven litter C loss was not significantly affected by

rainfall frequency ($P = 0.79$ and $P = 0.76$ for millipede- and isopod-driven litter C loss,

respectively). They were also not affected by rainfall quantity, although we observed a

marginally significant reduction in millipede-driven litter C loss under low rainfall quantity

treatments ($P = 0.08$ and $P = 0.62$ for millipede- and isopod-driven litter C loss, respectively).

When millipedes and isopods cooccurred, their combined contribution to litter C loss reached

22.1%, i.e., a level similar to the contribution of millipedes to litter C loss, but significantly

higher than that of isopods ($P < 0.001$). In contrast to monospecific treatments, detritivore-

driven litter C loss was negatively affected by halving rainfall quantity ($P < 0.05$) but not

frequency ($P = 0.57$) and ranged from 15.3% for the low rainfall quantity treatment delivered

at low frequency to 27.4% for the high rainfall quantity treatment delivered at low frequency.

The relative effect of mixing isopods and millipedes, i.e., the relative difference

between observed values of detritivore-driven litter C loss when millipedes and isopods

cooccurred and predicted values based on the respective monospecific detritivore treatments,

ranged from -15.4% for the low rainfall quantity treatment delivered at low frequency to

+70.1% for the high rainfall quantity treatment delivered at high frequency (Fig. 3). The

mixing effect was negatively affected by halving rainfall quantity ($P < 0.001$) but not

significantly affected by halving rainfall frequency ($P = 0.16$). Except for the low quantity

and high frequency treatment, relative mixing effects significantly differed from zero (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The lack of response of either millipede- or isopod-driven decomposition to halving

rainfall quantity supports the view that detritivore feeding activities are largely insensitive to

changes in rainfall quantity. This concords with previous studies that reported no response of

detritivore-driven decomposition for the isopod species studied therein (Joly et al. 2019) and

for another millipede species (Coulis et al. 2013) to altered rainfall patterns and suggests the

existence of morphological and/or behavioral desiccation resistance in these detritivore

species. Our results indicate that the pattern observed in these studies performed under

controlled laboratory conditions, also applies under field conditions. Furthermore, while these

previous studies used detritivores collected from drought-prone ecosystems (dryland and

Mediterranean shrubland, respectively), the use of detritivores from temperate ecosystems

which are not commonly exposed to drought (Scottish Lowlands) in our study suggests that

this lack of sensitivity to altered rainfall patterns reflects species rather than population

adaptations. It is important to note, though, that despite halving rainfall quantity, we only

reported a limited yet significant reduction of topsoil moisture (-7.8%), which may explain

the lack of detritivore response to reduced rainfall. Such limited changes in soil moisture

suggests that mechanisms other than rainfall quantity/frequency control soil moisture, with

water run-off, infiltration and evaporation potentially limiting topsoil moisture under high

rainfall quantity, and with air humidity, dew and capillarity potentially limiting topsoil

desiccation under low rainfall quantity. On the other hand, halving rainfall frequency induced

a larger reduction in topsoil moisture (-13.1%) but also had no effect on either millipede- or

isopod-driven decomposition, unlike the expectation in our first hypothesis. This absence of

response to even lower topsoil moisture echoes the general insensitivity of these detritivores

to drought, but contrasts with the previous findings that isopod-driven decomposition

increases with decreasing rainfall frequency (Joly et al. 2019). This finding from a dryland

study reflected higher detritivore activities with increasing alternation of dry and moist

conditions, suggesting a compensatory feeding in moist periods to satisfy water requirement.

In our present study in mesic conditions, the range of litter and soil moisture may not have

been sufficient to induce such a response. In turn, strong differences in detritivore-driven

decomposition between millipede and isopod treatments suggest that detritivore community

composition rather than rainfall patterns controls detritivore-driven decomposition. Such

differences may be due to distinct consumption rates between detritivore species, and the

higher detritivore-driven decomposition for the millipede compared to the isopod species is

in line with previously reported differences in consumption rate for these two species (Coulis

et al. 2015). While altered rainfall patterns may have limited effects on detritivore-driven

decomposition, effects on detritivore community composition (Zimmer 2004) may have

important consequences for decomposition. Furthermore, there is a strong need to consider

multiple global change factors such as combined changes in temperature and rainfall that may

have interactive effects on the detritivore-driven decomposition (Thakur et al. 2018).

A key result of our study is that interactions between detritivore species markedly

varied depending on rainfall pattern with the effect of mixing millipedes and isopods on

decomposition ranging from antagonistic (-15.4%) at low levels of rainfall quantity and

frequency to synergistic (+70.1%) at high levels of rainfall quantity and frequency (Fig. 3),

contrary to our second hypothesis. Such detritivore diversity effects were previously reported

(Heemsbergen et al. 2004, DeOliveira et al. 2010), but this aspect of diversity on

decomposition remains poorly investigated compared with litter diversity (Gessner et al.

2010, Handa et al. 2014). Although the contribution of isopods and millipedes together to

decomposition did not exceed that of millipedes only, our results still suggest that important

interactions between detritivores may be at play. Antagonistic effects could be explained by

interspecific competition while synergistic effects could result from resource partitioning (use

of different litter parts by different detritivore species) or facilitation if litter consumption by

one species facilitates litter consumption for the other (Gessner et al. 2010). Importantly, our

study suggests that the nature and magnitude of such interactions are strongly dependent on

environmental conditions, as evidenced by the change from large positive interactions to non-

additive or even negative interactions associated with the reduction in rainfall quantity and

frequency. This could be driven by soil or litter moisture (not monitored in this study), with

negative interactions decreasing and positive interactions increasing with greater moisture

availability. This finding from our field experiment contrasts with laboratory studies that

reported no response of detritivore interactions to changes in moisture treatments (Collison et

al. 2013, Coulis et al. 2015). Such discrepancies may be due to the consideration of a

reduction in rainfall frequency only in Coulis et al. (2015), or due to a lower study duration

(two weeks) in Collison et al. (2013). More generally, our results do not support the stress-

gradient hypothesis (Bertness and Callaway 1994, He et al. 2013) and suggest that rather than

mitigating predicted changes in climate change ("insurance hypothesis", Yachi and Loreau

1999) positive interspecific interactions on ecosystem functioning may be vulnerable to

predicted changes in climate change. Understanding the mechanisms underpinning these

detritivore interactions and their sensitivity to rainfall, at larger temporal, spatial and

phylogenetic scales thus represents an urgent research avenue.

Collectively, our results indicate that the feeding activities of the detritivore species

Armadillidium vulgare and *Glomeris marginata* are relatively insensitive to changes in

rainfall patterns, but that their interspecific interactions may switch from synergistic to

antagonist under reduced rainfall quantity and frequency. Such a reduction in the contribution

of diverse detritivore communities to decomposition under future rainfall patterns could slow

carbon release from litter. This could have important consequences for soil carbon storage,

but an improved understanding of detritivore contribution to soil organic matter formation

has yet to emerge (Joly et al. 2020) to predict the consequences on global carbon cycling.

However, our focus on a single and rather recalcitrant litter prevents generalizing our

findings to litter of different quality. Furthermore, although these species are common across

Europe and cooccur in a wide range of ecosystems, our findings may not extend to other

detritivore species and their interactions. Evaluating the response of diverse detritivore

species with different phylogenetic origins and distribution, and their interactions will be

necessary to identify general patterns in the climatic sensitivity of detritivores, thereby better

predicting the consequences of climate change on decomposition. To this end, research

efforts aiming to identify morphological traits underpinning such sensitivities appear

particularly promising (e.g. Dias et al. (2013) for isopods).

Acknowledgments

We thank Jean-François David and one reviewer for helpful comments and Ian Washbourne

for laboratory assistance. This work was funded by a British Ecological Society grant

(SR18/1215) and by a UK Natural Environment Research Council grant (NE/P011098/1).

References

Bertness, M. D., and R. Callaway. 1994. Positive interactions in communities. Trends in

Ecology and Evolution 9:187–191.

Collison, E. J., T. Riutta, and E. M. Slade. 2013. Macrofauna assemblage composition and

soil moisture interact to affect soil ecosystem functions. Acta Oecologica 47:30–36.

Coulis, M., N. Fromin, J.-F. David, J. Gavinet, A. Clet, S. Devidal, J. Roy, and S.

Hättenschwiler. 2015. Functional dissimilarity across trophic levels as a driver of soil

processes in a Mediterranean decomposer system exposed to two moisture levels. Oikos

124:1304–1316.

Coulis, M., S. Hättenschwiler, N. Fromin, and J.-F. David. 2013. Macroarthropod-

microorganism interactions during the decomposition of Mediterranean shrub litter at

different moisture levels. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 64:114–121.

David, J.-F. 2014. The role of litter-feeding macroarthropods in decomposition processes: A

reappraisal of common views. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 76:109–118.

DeOliveira, T., S. Hättenschwiler, and I. T. Handa. 2010. Snail and millipede

complementarity in decomposing Mediterranean forest leaf litter mixtures. Functional

Ecology 24:937–946.

Dias, A. T. C., E. J. Krab, J. Mariën, M. Zimmer, J. H. C. Cornelissen, J. Ellers, D. A.

Wardle, and M. P. Berg. 2013. Traits underpinning desiccation resistance explain

distribution patterns of terrestrial isopods. Oecologia 172:667–677.

García-Palacios, P., F. T. Maestre, J. Kattge, and D. H. Wall. 2013. Climate and litter quality

differently modulate the effects of soil fauna on litter decomposition across biomes.

Ecology Letters 16:1045–1053.

Gessner, M. O., C. M. Swan, C. K. Dang, B. G. McKie, R. D. Bardgett, D. H. Wall, and S.

Hättenschwiler. 2010. Diversity meets decomposition. Trends in Ecology and Evolution

25:372–380.

Handa, I. T., R. Aerts, F. Berendse, M. P. Berg, A. Bruder, O. Butenschoen, E. Chauvet, M.

O. Gessner, J. Jabiol, M. Makkonen, B. G. McKie, B. Malmqvist, E. T. H. M. Peeters, S.

Scheu, B. Schmid, J. Van Ruijven, V. C. A. Vos, and S. Hättenschwiler. 2014.

Consequences of biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. Nature

509:218–221.

He, Q., M. D. Bertness, and A. H. Altieri. 2013. Global shifts towards positive species

interactions with increasing environmental stress. Ecology Letters 16:695–706.

Hedde, M., F. Bureau, M. Chauvat, and T. Decaëns. 2010. Patterns and mechanisms

responsible for the relationship between the diversity of litter macro-invertebrates and

leaf degradation. Basic and Applied Ecology 11:35–44.

Heemsbergen, D. A., M. P. Berg, M. Loreau, J. R. Van Hal, J. H. Faber, and H. A. Verhoef.

2004. Biodiversity effects on soil processes explained by interspecific functional

dissimilarity. Science 306:1019–1020.

IPCC. 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working

Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

Change. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, p. 1535.

Johnson, R. C. 1990. The interception, throughfall and stemflow in a forest in Highland

Scotland and the comparison with other upland forests in the U.K. Journal of Hydrology

118:281–287.

Joly, F.-X., S. Coq, M. Coulis, J.-F. David, S. Hättenschwiler, C. W. Mueller, I. Prater, and

J.-A. Subke. 2020. Detritivore conversion of litter into faeces accelerates organic matter

turnover. Communications Biology 3.

Joly, F.-X., K. L. Kurupas, and H. L. Throop. 2017. Pulse frequency and soil-litter mixing

alter the control of cumulative precipitation over litter decomposition. Ecology 98:2255–

2260.

Joly, F.-X., A. K. Weibel, M. Coulis, and H. L. Throop. 2019. Rainfall frequency, not

quantity, controls isopod effect on litter decomposition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry

135:154–162.

Nielsen, U. N., and B. A. Ball. 2015. Impacts of altered precipitation regimes on soil

communities and biogeochemistry in arid and semi-arid ecosystems. Global Change

Biology 21:1407–1421.

Sanaullah, M., C. Rumpel, X. Charrier, and A. Chabbi. 2012. How does drought stress

influence the decomposition of plant litter with contrasting quality in a grassland

ecosystem? Plant and Soil 352:277–288.

Schlesinger, W. H. 2005. Biogeochemistry. Treatise on Geochemistry. Elsevier, New York.

Thakur, M. P., P. B. Reich, S. E. Hobbie, A. Stefanski, R. Rich, K. E. Rice, W. C. Eddy, and

N. Eisenhauer. 2018. Reduced feeding activity of soil detritivores under warmer and

drier conditions. Nature Climate Change 8:75–78.

Walter, J., R. Hein, C. Beierkuhnlein, V. Hammerl, A. Jentsch, M. Schädler, J. Schuerings,

and J. Kreyling. 2013. Combined effects of multifactor climate change and land-use on

decomposition in temperate grassland. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 60:10–18.

Yachi, S., and M. Loreau. 1999. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating

environment: The insurance hypothesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences of the United States of America 96:1463–1468.

Zimmer, M. 2004. Effects of temperature and precipitation on a flood plain isopod

community: A field study. European Journal of Soil Biology 40:139–146.

 Figure legends

Figure 1: Mean moisture of the topsoil (top 1 cm) throughout the six-week incubation, under

two different rainfall quantities (18 and 36 mm/month) and two different rainfall frequencies

(one or two pulses per week). Lowercase letters indicate significant differences among

rainfall treatments (Tukey HSD tests).

Figure 2: Percentage of litter carbon (C) loss driven by isopods, millipedes, as well as isopods

and millipedes, after a six-week incubation under two different rainfall quantities (18 and 36

mm/month) and two different rainfall frequencies (one or two pulses per week). Uppercase

letters indicate significant differences among detritivore treatments, while lowercase letters

indicate, for each detritivore treatment, significant differences among rainfall treatments

(Tukey HSD tests).

Figure 3: Relative difference between the observed detritivore-driven litter C loss for the

millipede-isopod mixture and the expected value based on monospecific treatments after a

six-week incubation under two different rainfall quantities (18 and 36 mm/month) and two

different rainfall frequencies (one or two pulses per week). Letters indicate differences

among rainfall treatments (Tukey HSD tests). Asterisks indicate a relative mixing effect

significantly different from 0 (*: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01).