Bacteriophage Resistance Affects *Flavobacterium columnare* Virulence Partly via

Mutations in Genes Related to Gliding Motility and Type IX Secretion System

Heidi M. T. Kunttu[1]#, Anniina Runtuvuori-Salmela[1], Krister Sundell[2], Tom Wiklund[2],

Mathias Middelboe[3], Lotta Landor[2]*, Roghaieh Ashrafi[1], Ville Hoikkala[1], Lotta-Riina

Sundberg[1]

[1]Department of Biological and Environmental Science and Nanoscience Center, University of

Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland

[2]Laboratory of Aquatic Pathobiology, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland

[3]Department of Biology, Marine Biological Section, University of Copenhagen, Helsingør,

Denmark

Running Head: Phage Resistance in *Flavobacterium columnare*

Keywords: bacteriophage, colony morphology, *Flavobacterium columnare*, gliding motility,

mutation, phage resistance, type IX secretion system, virulence

#Address correspondence to Heidi M. T. Kunttu, heidi.kunttu@jyu.fi

*Present address: Lotta Landor, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Bergen,

Bergen, Norway

Abstract

Increasing problems with antibiotic resistance has directed interest towards phages as tools to treat bacterial infections in the aquaculture industry. However, phage resistance evolves rapidly in bacteria posing a challenge for successful phage therapy. To investigate phage resistance in the fish pathogenic bacterium *Flavobacterium columnare*, two phage-sensitive, virulent wild-type isolates, FCO-F2 and FCO-F9, were exposed to phages and subsequently analyzed for bacterial viability and colony morphology. Twenty-four phage-exposed isolates were further characterized for phage resistance, antibiotic susceptibility, motility, adhesion and biofilm formation on polystyrene surface, protease activity, whole genome sequencing and virulence against rainbow trout fry. Bacterial viability first decreased in the exposure cultures, subsequently increasing after 1-2 days. Simultaneously, the colony morphology of the phage-exposed isolates changed from original rhizoid to rough. The rough isolates arising in phage exposure were phage-resistant with low virulence, whereas rhizoid isolates maintained phage sensitivity, though reduced, and high virulence. Gliding motility and protease activity were also related to the phage sensitivity. Observed genetic mutations in phage-resistant isolates were mostly located in genes coding for type IX secretion system, a component of the flavobacterial gliding motility machinery. However, there were mutational differences between individual isolates, and not all phage-resistant isolates had genetic mutations. This indicates that development of phage resistance in *F. columnare* probably is a multifactorial process including both genetic mutations and changes in gene expression. Phage resistance may not, however, be a challenge for development of phage therapy against *F. columnare* infections, since phage resistance is associated with decrease in bacterial virulence.

Importance

Phage resistance of infectious bacteria is a common phenomenon posing challenges for development of phage therapy. Along with growing World population and need for increased food production, constantly intensifying animal farming has to face increasing problems of infectious diseases. Columnaris disease, caused by *F. columnare*, is a worldwide threat for salmonid fry and juvenile farming. Without antibiotic treatments, infections can lead to 100% mortality in a fish stock. Phage therapy of columnaris disease would reduce a development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic loads by the aquaculture industry, but phage-resistant bacterial isolates may become a risk. However, phenotypic and genetic characterization of phage-resistant *F. columnare* isolates in this study revealed that they are less virulent than phage-sensitive isolates and thus not a challenge for phage therapy against columnaris disease. This is a valuable information for the fish farming industry globally when considering phage-based prevention and curing methods for *F. columnare* infections.

Introduction

Aquaculture has a central role in supporting the increasing demand for high quality protein and healthy food. However, the use of chemotherapy in disease treatment in the industry has led to increased resistance of disease-causing agents to commonly used antibiotics (1, 2). Further, in the face of climate warming, production of protein with smaller carbon footprint is of increasing importance. This has put a pressure on aquaculture industry to increase efficiency in food production, which also means developing more effective ways to fight infectious diseases in intensive farming including reduction the use of antibiotics. Although vaccines against many microbial diseases are in use globally in aquaculture, there are still

many diseases with no potent immunization method available (3). This applies especially to

infections of fish fry, where efficiency of vaccination is poor due to lack of development of

fish secondary immunity at the early life stage.

One of these diseases affecting fry is caused by the fish pathogenic bacterium

Flavobacterium columnare, the infectious agent of columnaris disease. Columnaris infections

cause extensive losses in farmed salmonid fry and juveniles, populations of different catfish

species and ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) around the world in water temperatures above 18 °C.

The only effective curing method is antibiotic treatment. However, infections often occur

repeatedly and may cause up to 100% mortality in rainbow trout fry populations if not

treated, thus causing major economic losses to the industry (4, 5). In addition, elevated water

temperatures due to warmer summers in the recent years are suggested to enhance virulence

development in *F. columnar*e (5). Although antibiotic resistance in this bacterium is not yet

as severe problem as in related pathogens, e.g. *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* (6, 7) or

Vibrio species (8, 9), strains that have acquired resistance towards commonly used antibiotics

already exist (10).

Bacteriophages (phages) are viruses that specifically infect their host bacteria, without

harming the surrounding microbial community (reviewed in 11). Among the alternatives to

traditional antibiotics, phage therapy, i.e. the use of phages against bacterial infections, has

demonstrated a strong potential for controlling disease outbreaks in aquaculture (e.g. 12-14).

Promising results have been gained also in phage therapy trials of Flavobacterial infections.

In a study by Castillo et al. (15), phage treatment reduced the mortality of *F. psychrophilum*-

infected Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) by 60 % and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by

67 %. In studies with columnaris infections, mortality of zebra fish (*Danio rerio*) and

rainbow trout were reduced by 100 % and nearly 42 %, respectively, in the presence of

phages (16). In addition, pre-colonization of fish with phage significantly slowed down the

infection and reduced the mortality of rainbow trout (17).

One of the biggest challenges for phage therapy is the imposed selection for phage resistance

among phage-exposed bacteria. Bacteria have developed a variety of phage defence

strategies, including surface modification and cell aggregation, inactivation of intruding

phage DNA by Restriction-Modification and CRISPR-Cas systems, proteolytic digestion of

phage particles, and quorum sensing regulation of phage receptor expression (e.g. 18- 20).

The prevalence and control of these resistance mechanisms depend specifically on the phage-

bacterium interaction, on the type and function of the receptor, and the costs of engaging the

different mechanisms under various environmental conditions. In many pathogenic bacteria

the cell surface molecules are functioning as virulence factors, and phage-driven changes in

these structures leading to phage resistance often lead to simultaneous reduction in virulence

(21). This trade-off has been detected also among several bacterial fish pathogens, e.g. in

Pseudomonas plecoglossicida (22), *F. psychrophilum* (23) and *Vibrio anguillarum* (24).

Exposing *F. columnare* to phages has been observed to cause a change in colony

morpohotype from the ancestral rhizoid form to rough, which is associated with loss of

gliding motility and virulence (25-27). Since a change in colony morphology and loss of

virulence have been observed previously also by deletion of genes in the Type IX secretion

system involved in gliding motility of *F. columnare* (28), it is likely that mutations in this

secretion system are also linked with phage resistance in *F. columnare* (29). Yet, the exact

mechanisms by which phages cause the colony morphology change in *F. columnare*, and the

functional implications for the bacteria have not been previously explored.

Understanding the mechanisms and consequences of phage resistance in the target bacteria is

central for development of successful phage therapy. Thus, in this study, we exposed two *F.*

columnare isolates (FCO-F2 and FCO-F9) separately to three different phages, and studied

infection dynamics, bacterial viability and colony morphology, and isolated phage-resistant

bacteria. Twenty-four phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates were further

characterized for their phage resistance, antibiotic susceptibility, motility, adhesion and

biofilm formation on polystyrene surface, protease (elastinase, gelatinase and caseinase)

activity, virulence on rainbow trout fry, and whole genome sequence. Our results show, that

if phage resistance in *F. columnare* is gained via surface modification leading to morphotype

change, virulence decreases. However, if the colony morphology remains rhizoid, the isolates

remain highly virulent with reduced sensitivity to phage compared to the ancestral wild-type

strain.

Results

Isolates from phage-exposures: growth, colony morphology and phage resistance

In all phage-exposure cultures of FCO-F2, there was a strong initial phage control of the host

population during the first day in all the phage-exposed cultures compared with control

culture without phages (Figure 1a). After this, the bacterial density started to recover. The

phage-free cultures grew exponentially during the first day, after which they reached a

plateau phase. Along with the population decline on day 1, bacterial colony morphotype

changed from ancestral rhizoid to rough (Figure 2). From day 1 onwards, more than 88% of

the colonies formed by phage-exposed bacterial isolates were rough, the amount reaching at

least 97% at the end of the experiment (Figure 1c). In addition, in FCOV-F25 exposure, few

soft colonies were observed on day 2 (Figure 2), and in no-phage control cultures, some

rough colonies appeared among the prevailing rhizoid ones.

FCO-F9 showed slightly different growth dynamics. The bacterial population size increased

exponentially during the first day in all cultures (Figure 1b), but decreased drastically on day

2 in response to phage exposure, and then reached exponential growth again. The phage-free

cultures reached a plateau phase on day 2, after which the amount of culturable bacteria

decreased. From the day 2 population crash and onwards, more than 85% of the colonies

formed by phage-exposed bacteria had rough morphology (Figure 1d). At the end of the

experiment, more than 98% of the colonies where rough. In FCOV-F13 exposure, a few

rough colonies were observed already on day1 and some soft colonies on days 2 and 3. In no-

phage control cultures, some (4 %) rough colonies appeared among the rhizoid ones on day 3.

Out of 189 colonies collected from phage exposures, 20 phage-exposed and 4 no-phage

control isolates were characterized further (Table 1). Of these isolates, the no-phage control

isolates all formed rhizoid colonies similar to their wild-type parent, phage-sensitive isolates

FCO-F2 and FCO-F9. Most of the phage-exposed isolates were of rough colony morphology,

but F2R58, F2R66 and F9R56 had rhizoid, and F9R69 soft colony morphology.

All the phage-exposed rough isolates were resistant to all the phages used to infect the

ancestor wild-type bacteria (Table 1). In addition, in some cases, phage caused inhibition of

bacterial growth, considered as phage resistance because no clear plaques due to phage

infection were detected. The rhizoid phage-exposed isolates turned out to be partly phage-

resistant with a 5.5×10^5 to 11×10^5-fold reduction in phage susceptibility compared to the

wild type isolates, depending on the specific phage (results not shown). Throughout this

paper, these isolates with decreased phage sensitivity are grouped together with the phage-

sensitive isolates.

Antibiotic susceptibility

All isolates showed antibiotic susceptibility patterns similar to the parent wild-type isolates,

and no notable differences were observed (Figure S1 and Table S1).

Motility, adhesion and biofilm formation

Phage-sensitive bacteria forming rhizoid colonies were significantly more motile (determined

as colony spreading) than phage-resistant rough or soft morphotypes, irrespective of isolation

history (F2-isolates: $P < 0.001$, Oneway ANOVA, LG10 transformation; F9-isolates: $P \leq$

0.004, Mann-Whitney test) (Figure 3).

Compared to the parent wild-type FCO-F2 isolate, there was a large variability on the

adhesion capacity of individual phage-resistant F2-isolates (Figure 4a). Phage susceptibility

(rhizoid vs. rough colony type) or phage used in the co-culture experiment did not influence

bacterial adhesion capacity ($P = 0.3$: Mann-Whitney test and $P = 0.564$: Kruskal-Wallis test,

respectively).

Most of the individual phage-exposed and no-phage control F2-isolates had significantly

lower biofilm forming capacity than in parent wild-type FCO-F2 ($P \leq 0.017$: Oneway

ANOVA, LDS multiple comparisons, square root transformation) (Figure 4c). Still, there was

no statistical difference in biofilm formation between phage-sensitive rhizoid and resistant

rough morphology F2-isolates ($P = 0.062$: Oneway ANOVA).

Again, the bacterial strain F9 behaved differently compared to F2. In contrast to the phage-

resistant F2-isolates, the phage-resistant rough and soft morphology F9-isolates had

significantly lower adherence than sensitive rhizoid isolates ($P < 0.001$: Oneway ANOVA,

LDS multiple comparisons, square root transformation) (Figure 4b). In addition, isolates

exposed to phages isolated in 2017, FCOV-F13 and FCOV-F45, had significantly lower

adhesion capacity than in isolates exposed to FCL-2 isolated in 2009 ($P < 0.001$: Mann-

Whitney test). This may indicate phage FCL-2 uses different phage receptor (see later).

In contrast to adhesion ability, biofilm forming capacity of the most of the individual phage-

exposed and no-phage control F9-isolates was significantly higher compared to wild-type

parent isolate ($P \leq 0.004$: Oneway ANOVA, LDS multiple comparisons) (Figure 4d). F9R69

with soft colony morphology did not form any biofilm and thus excluded from the multiple

comparisons. Phage-resistant rough F9-isolates had significantly higher biofilm forming

capacity than sensitive rhizoid morphotypes ($P < 0.001$: Oneway ANOVA, square root

transformation).

Protease activity: elastinase, gelatinase and caseinase

Elastinase activity was detected in the wild-type, and all the phage-sensitive rhizoid FCO-F2

isolates and one resistant rough F2-isolate (clear zone ratio > 1), whereas all remaining

resistant, rough morphology isolates, had completely lost the ability to degrade elastin

(Figure 5a). There were no differences in elastinase activity between the elastinase positive

isolates ($P = 0.843$: Oneway ANOVA). Elastinase activity was not detected in any of the F9-

isolates (clear zone ratio = 1) (Figure 5b).

There were variations in gelatinase activity between individual F2- and F9-isolates (Oneway

ANOVA, LDS multiple comparisons) (Figure 5c and d). However, among both F2- and F9-

isolates, gelatinase activity of phage-resistant rough morphotypes was lower than that of

sensitive rhizoid morphotypes (F2-isolates: $P = 0.018$, Oneway ANOVA, exponential

transformation; F9-isolates: $P < 0.001$, Oneway ANOVA). Two of the phage-exposed F9-

isolates (F9R69 and F9R78) did not have any gelatinase activity and were thus excluded from

the multiple comparisons

Less variation in caseinase activity between individual isolates was observed (Oneway

ANOVA, LDS multiple comparisons) (Figure 5e and f), and phage-sensitive rhizoid and

resistant rough F2-isolates did not differ from each other ($P = 0.058$: Oneway ANOVA. On

the other hand, caseinase activity of phage-resistant rough and soft F9-isolates was lower

than that of sensitive rhizoid isolates ($P = 0.007$: Oneway ANOVA).

Virulence

Rainbow trout fry were exposed to wild-type, phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates,

and all of them caused mortality during 24 h (Figure 6). The phage-sensitive rhizoid

morphotypes were most virulent, causing 100 % mortality, whereas resistant rough and soft

morphotypes were less virulent, causing 46.7 % mortality at highest (except for phage-

resistant rough morphotype F2R70, which caused 100 % mortality). Mortality of control fish

was 15 %, but no bacterial growth was observed from these fish. However, *F. columnare*

growth was observed from all the fish exposed to bacteria. Colony morphotype of the

bacterial isolates did not change during the infection.

When comparing the data according to the phage susceptibility and thus colony morphology,

cumulative mortality of fish infected with phage-sensitive rhizoid morphotypes, irrespective

of if they were wild-type, phage-exposed or no-phage control isolates, was significantly

higher than mortality caused by phage-resistant rough or soft morphotypes among both F2

and F9 isolates ($P < 0.001$, Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis). Also, the estimated survival

time (Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis) was shortest in fish infected with sensitive rhizoid

isolates (Figure 6). In case of F2-isolates, mortality caused by phage-resistant rough isolates

was also significantly higher than mortality of control fish, but mortality caused by resistant

rough and soft F9-isolates did not differ from each other or from the control fish mortality.

Mortality caused by rhizoid phage-sensitive F2 isolates started to peak at 12 hours post

infection (p.i.) and in F9 at 16 hours p.i. ($P < 0.001$, Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis), but

between rough phage-resistant F2 and F9 isolates the mortality patterns were more similar

starting to increase slowly at 2-3 hours p.i. ($P = 0.217$, Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis).

However, there were differences in cumulative mortalities caused by individual isolates in

each morphology group (Data set S1).

Whole genome sequencing

Genome data of wild-type *F. columnare* isolates FCO-F2 and FCO-F9 is presented in Table

2.

Genomic comparisons between F2 wild type and phage-exposed isolates revealed a limited

number of genomic changes. In seven out of 11 isolates, single mutation leading to formation

of wrong or truncated proteins was observed in the phage-resistant mutants (Table 3).

Notably, the majority of the mutations were located in genes coding for gliding motility

proteins *gldB* (F2R67), *gldN* (F2R72) and *sprA* (F2R60, F2R64, F2R65, F2R74). Isolate

F2R70 had one nucleotide insertion in OmpH family outer membrane protein coding gene.

Three isolates (F2R62, F2R66, F2R68) did not show any genomic changes relative to the

wild type. In isolate F2R58 with decreased phage sensitivity, one nucleotide change in *rlmF*

gene (coding for rRNA large subunit methyltransferase F) did not lead to amino acid change.

No mutations were observed in the no-phage control isolates. At certain points of ribosomal

RNA operons in all phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates, and also in a 736 221 bp

sequence (hypothetical protein coding sequence in wild-type FCO-F2 genome used as a

reference) in phage-exposed isolates F2R66 and F2R68, there was a poor coverage of reads

leading to unclear sequences, which prevented detection of possible mutations in this region.

In F9 phage-exposed isolates, one or two mutations per isolate in all the other isolates, except

for F9R58, were observed (Table 4). Mutations in isolates exposed to FCOV-F45 had

insertions whereas FCOV-F13 exposed isolates had deletions or single nucleotide chances in

genes coding for gliding motility proteins *gldG* (F9R72), *gldM* (F9R64, F9R69, F9R78) and

gldN (F9R69, F9R75), leading to formation of wrong or truncated proteins. Interestingly, in

the isolate F9R69 (exposed to FCOV-F13) with a soft colony type, a deletion of genomic

region of 4 701 bp was observed, spanning over gliding motility genes *gldM* and *gldN,* and

sequences coding for FAD-binding oxidoreductase, DUF3492 domain-containing protein and

a hypothetical protein (Figure 7).

On the contrary, no mutations in gliding motility genes were observed in F9 isolates exposed

to FCL-2, but instead, two of these isolates had one nucleotide change in

DegT/DnrJ/EryC1/StrS family aminotransferase and DUF255-domain containing protein

(F9R56), and cystathionine gamma-synthase (F9R61) coding genes, leading to either one

amino acid change or truncated protein. No mutations were observed in no-phage control

isolates. Around 2 000 620 bp (hypothetical protein coding sequence in B185 genome used as

a reference), there was a poor coverage of reads leading to unclear sequence in both wild type

FCO-F9, phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates, which prevented detection of possible

mutations in this region.

Discussion

Phage therapy is seen as an attractive option to treat and prevent bacterial diseases, but the

development of phage resistance in target bacteria is considered as one of the main problems

related to the use of phages. Our results describe the selection for phage resistance in two

different *F. columnare* isolates upon exposure to six specific phages. We show that phage

resistance is associated with reduction in virulence and virulence-related phenotypic changes

in the bacterium. Our genetic data indicate that in most cases phage resistance is caused by

surface modifications, often related to the type IX secretion system connected to

flavobacterial gliding motility machinery. Mutations in the genes coding for an outer

membrane protein or genes related to gliding motility seem to be phage specific and likely

prevent phage attachment, possibly in a phage specific manner, and lead to morphology

change and loss of virulence.

In the present study, phage-exposure caused significant changes in bacterial phenotypic

characteristics (motility, adhesion, protein secretion and virulence - details below) leading to

phage resistance. In most isolates, these changes could be linked to changes in gliding

motility-related genes. Flavobacteria show gliding motility on surfaces (29), and mutations in

any of the genes coding for gliding motility machinery proteins have been shown to lead to

loss of motility (e.g. 30, 31). Gliding is also connected to virulence, since part of the gliding

motility machinery (GldK, GldL, GldM, GldN, PorV, SprA, Spr E, SprF and SprT) is used as

a type IX secretion system found in Bacteroidetes (28, 32). Indeed, phage resistance due to

loss of motility has been linked with decreased virulence in *F. columnare* also previously

(27), and *F. columnare gldN* mutants have been shown to exhibit both decreased proteolytic

and chondroitinase activity, and virulence on rainbow trout (28). Similarly, phage resistance

was associated with loss of motility and mutations in genes related to cell surface properties

and gliding motility in *F. psychrophilum* (23) and in *F. johnsoniae* (31, 33). Together, the

results suggest that the type IX secretion system is a key target for infection by a wide range

of phages and across the Flavobacterium genus, and that mutations leading to morphology

changes and loss of motility is a general response to phage exposure in this bacterial group.

Exposure to a specific phage led to different mutations in gliding motility genes in different

F. columnare isolates, as also seen in phage-resistant *F. psychrophilum* (23), indicating that

several genes are involved in phage attachment and infection of *F. columnare* phages.

Furthermore, genomic analysis of one soft colony isolate revealed a large deletion (4 701 bp),

spanning over two gliding motility genes. However, although all rough colony forming

isolates were phage-resistant, not all these isolates (F2R62, F2R66, F2R68 and F9R58) had

mutations in genes coding for proteins related to gliding motility, or elsewhere in their

genome. This may indicate that development of phage resistance and colony morphology

change are also influenced by gene expression or epigenetic modifications, leading to

variation in colony morphology, as suggested previously (34). For example, in *Bordetella*

spp, phage resistance is regulated via phase variation in virulence related factors, such as

some adhesins, toxins and type III secretion system (reviewed in 35). Interestingly, isolates

exposed to FCL-2 did not have mutations in gliding motility related genes, suggesting that

FCL-2 uses other receptors for infection of *F. columnare* than the other phages. FCL-2

differs genetically from other phages infecting genetic group G bacteria (This article was

submitted to an online preprint archive [36]), supporting this suggestion.

Generally, point mutations and changes in receptor expression enable a rapid and efficient

response of bacterial populations to phage exposure. However, the large phenotypic costs of

mutational derived phage resistance observed in *F. columnare* in this study suggest that these

mutations may be dynamic and most probably also rapidly reverting back to the sensitive

form in *F. columnare*. Indeed, reversion of both phage-driven and spontaneously formed

rough colony types back to rhizoid has been observed to happen in *F. columnare* subcultures

(27). Various mechanisms to regain phage resistance have been found also in fish pathogenic

F. psychrophilum (23) and *V. anguillarum* (24), in which a rapid reversion back to phage-

sensitive phenotype has been shown to occur. This sort of dynamics in phage resistance has

also been observed in a human symbiont *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (37), suggesting that

the phenomenon may be common among wide variety of bacteria.

Phage-exposed *F. columnare* isolates F2R56, F2R66 and F9R58 did not respond to phage

infection with surface modifications, but maintained their original rhizoid colony morphotype

and high virulence. These rhizoid isolates were not completely resistant to phage, although

phage infection efficiency dropped markedly (up to a million-fold decrease), suggesting some

other mechanism for reducing infection efficiency. *F. columnare* has two functional CRISPR

systems, which have been shown to adapt under phage exposure at fish farms (38). However,

we did not observe additional CRISPR spacers in whole genome sequencing. The same was

observed in phage exposed *F. psychrophilum* isolates in which no differences to the wild-

type strain's CRISPR composition were found (23). In our experience, CRISPR adaptation in

F. columnare requires different experimental set-up with longer co-culture time in low

nutrient medium, followed by enrichment in high-nutrient medium (This article was

submitted to an online preprint archive [39]). Thus, the decreased phage sensitivity of rhizoid

phage exposed isolates most probably is a consequence of yet unknown functions which need

to be studied in the future.

In addition to type IX secretion system, also type I and VI secretion systems are known to

function in *F. columnare* (40). Possible secretion of virulence related factors through type I

and VI secretion systems in *F. columnare* could be one of the reasons why also rough phage-

resistant isolates caused some mortality in fish, and explain their gelatinase and caseinase

activity despite morphology change. It has also been shown recently, that virulence of *F.

columnare* increases in the mucus and with increasing mucin concentration (17). As the

mucus-covered fish surface is the main infection route of *F. columnare*, it is probable that

some *F. columnare* virulence factors, such as proteinase activity, are expressed differently in

growth media compared to the *in vivo* infection situation. This possible differential

expression could also explain the mortality caused by phage-resistant rough isolates.

The ability to adhere and form biofilm has a major role in bacterial infections and in

colonizing niches (41). In *F. columnare*, adhesion and biofilm forming capacity may have a

central role in their persistence in the farming environment (e.g. tanks and water systems)

(42), but also in establishing the first steps of infection on the fish surfaces (43). Our results

indicate that *F. columnare* strains differ in their adherence and biofilm forming

characteristics. Whereas phage exposure had no clear effect on the adhesion capacity of the

F2-isolates, phage resistance led to decrease in biofilm forming capacity in most of the

individual phage-resistant F2-isolates. This is in agreement with the systematic reduction in

biofilm forming properties of phage-resistant *F. psychrophilum* relative to the wild type (23).

Adhesion capacity of F9 phage-resistant isolates, on the other hand, was significantly lower

compared to the wild-type parent isolate, but rough phage-resistant F9-isolates had

significantly higher biofilm forming capacity compared to rhizoid sensitive isolates. These

results partly differ from what we have found earlier (25, 26), most likely because in the

previous studies the rough colonies were formed spontaneously, without phage exposure.

Indeed, morphology of spontaneously formed rough colonies and these morphotypes' ability

to move when cultured in low-nutrient media differ from rough morphotypes formed under

phage exposure (27). However, together our results indicate, that since *F. columnare* phages

are genetically group-specific, they might be using different receptors, which, in turn, causes

differences in bacterial resistance mechanisms between genetic groups.

F. columnare infections are routinely treated by antibiotics at fish farms. In this study, phage

resistance did not affect the antibiotic susceptibility of any of the isolates studied. Lack of

association between development of antibiotic resistance and bacteriophage resistance has

also been shown e.g. in *Escherichia coli* (44). Based on our results, phage resistance does not

increase a risk of antibiotic resistance development, and thus, phage-therapy given as a cure

or prophylactic treatment at fish farms most probably does not rule out the possible

concomitant use of antibiotics as therapeutic agents against columnaris infections. Indeed, it

was shown by using *P. fluorescence* as a model bacterium, that applying phages together

with antibiotic treatments may inhibit the evolution of antibiotic resistance in pathogenic

bacteria (45).

To summarize, our results show, that even though *F. columnare* rapidly develops phage

resistance under phage exposure, the arise of phage resistance does not pose a high risk for a

development of phage therapy against columnaris infections in rainbow trout. This is because

phage resistance leads to decrease in bacterial virulence, adherence to surfaces and protease

secretion. Based on our results with experiments with two genetically different wild-type

bacterial isolates, development and regulation of phage resistance in *F. columnare* is a

multifactorial process, partly affected by formation of mutations mainly in gliding motility

and type IX secretion system related genes, and partly by other defence mechanisms against

phages, the function of which needs to be studied in the future.

Materials and methods

Bacterial and phage isolates

Bacteria and phages used in this study were isolated from water samples collected from fish

farms during columnaris outbreaks (This article was submitted to an online preprint archive

[36]) (Table 5). Bacteria were confirmed as *F. columnare* by restriction fragment length

polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of 16S rRNA gene and classified into genetic groups by

RFLP of 16S-23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (This article was submitted to an

online preprint archive [36]). All the six phages belong to the *Myoviridae* family and have

been characterised with respect to host range and genomic composition (This article was

submitted to an online preprint archive [36]).

Bacterial cultures and phage lysates

For phage exposure and virulence test, *F. columnare* isolates were inoculated from

cryopreserved (–80°C) stocks in modified Shieh-medium (46) and grown for 48 h at 25°C

with 120 rpm agitation. After this, subcultures were made in modified Shieh-medium and

grown for 24 h at 25°C with 120 rpm agitation. The optical density (OD) of the bacterial

broth suspensions was measured spectrophotometrically at 595 nm and adjusted to 5×10^5

colony forming units (CFU) mL^{-1} for phage exposures and 5×10^6 CFU mL^{-1} for virulence

experiment (based on previously determined OD/CFU relationship). For other test, *F.*

columnare isolates were cultured in TYES broth (47), washed in TYES broth by

centrifugation at 5310 X *g* for 15 min at 4°C, and cultures spectrophotometrically adjusted to

OD 0.6 at 520 nm (approximately 10^8 CFU mL^{-1}).

Phage lysates were produced using "double layer agar" -method (48) as follows: Three mL of

melted (47°C) top agar (0.5%) including 300 μL of 24-hour subculture of the host bacterium

and 100 μL of phage (tenfold dilutions in Shieh medium) was poured on Shieh agar and

grown for 48 h at 25 °C. Five mL of Shieh-medium was added on top of Shieh agar plates

with confluent lysis and incubated at 7°C for 12-18 h in constant agitation (90 rpm). The

lysates were collected, filtered (PES membrane, pore size 0.45 μm, Nalgene®), and stored at

+7°C or at –80°C with 20 % glycerol. For phage exposure, phage lysates were diluted with

Shieh medium to 5×10^5 plaque forming units (PFU) mL^{-1}.

Phage exposure experiments and isolation of colonies

Two phage-sensitive wild-type *F. columnare* isolates, the high-virulence FCO-F2 isolate

(genetic group C) and the medium-virulence FCO-F9 isolate (genetic group G) (This article

was submitted to an online preprint archive [36]), were each exposed to three phages in

separate experiments with individual phages. Isolate FCO-F2 was exposed to phages FCOV-

F2, FCOV-F5 and FCOV-F25, and isolate FCO-F9 to phages FCL-2, FCOV-F13 and FCOV-

F45, in accordance with the host range of the phages. Cultures with only bacteria served as

no-phage controls. The exposures were carried out in 20 mL of autoclaved fresh water (Lake

Jyväsjärvi) in triplicate cultures under constant agitation (120 rpm) at 25ºC for three days at a

multiplicity of infection (MOI) at inoculation of 1 (1 X 10^4 CFU and PFU mL^{-1}). The cultures

were sampled every 24h for three days, by making a serial tenfold dilution of samples, and

spreading on Shieh-agar plates. After up to 4 days of incubation at room temperature, CFUs

and colony morphologies were determined from the plate cultures. Two to three colonies

from each triplicate culture at each sampling point were picked, and pure-cultured directly on

Shieh agar plates three times to get rid of any phage contamination. Colonies were then

checked for phage resistance by spot assay on agar plates: bacterial laws on top agar were

prepared as above and 10 μL of ten-fold diluted original phage lysates (used in initial

exposures) were spotted on agar. After 48-h incubation at 25 °C, bacterial plates with no

observed plaques or confluent lysis were considered as phage-resistant. Altogether 189

colonies from phage-exposed and no-phage control exposures were isolated from plate

cultures. From this collection, 20 phage-exposed and 4 no-phage control isolates were

selected for further analysis (Table 1).

The phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates were named according to the latter part of

the wild-type bacterial host, a letter R for phage-exposed and S for no-phage control isolate,

plus a running number for the isolated colony. For example, F2R2 is the second selected

phage-exposed colony of the *F. columnare* wild-type isolate FCO-F2. Correspondingly, the

second *F. columnare* isolate from no-phage control cultures was marked as F2S2. For

simplicity, wild-type FCO-F2 and all its subsequent isolates from the phage and control

exposures are commonly called F2-isolates in this paper. Correspondingly, wild-type FCO-

F9 and its subsequent isolates are called F9-isolates.

Antibiotic sensitivity

Changes in susceptibility of phage-exposed *F. columnare* isolates towards antibiotics was

tested using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method (49) on diluted Mueller-Hinton (50) agar

medium supplemented with 5 % w/v fetal calf serum. A 40 µL volume of each isolate

suspension (10^9 CFU mL^{-1}) was added to 5 mL phosphate-buffered saline and poured onto

the Mueller-Hinton agar plates. After removing excess bacterial suspension by pipetting, the

antibiotic discs [oxolinic acid (2 µg), florfenicol (30 µg), sulfamethoxasol/trimethoprim (25

µg) and tetracycline (30 µg)] were placed on the plates. The plates were then incubated for 3

days at 25°C. After incubation, the inhibition zone around the antibiotic discs was measured.

The susceptibility patterns of the selected phage-exposed and no-phage control *F. columnare*

isolates to the antibiotics were compared to that of the parent wild-type isolates.

Motility/Colony spreading

The effect of phage-exposure on bacterial motility was tested by comparing the colony

spreading ability of phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates with that of their parent

wild-type isolates. After spotting of 5 µL of bacterial suspension (10^9 CFU mL^{-1}) on TYES

agar (0.5% agar) plates supplemented with 0.1% baker's yeast and incubation for 3 days at

25ºC, the colony diameter of each isolate was measured. Each isolate was tested in three

replicates.

Adhesion and biofilm formation

Changes in adherence or biofilm formation capacities between wild-type, phage-exposed and

no-phage control *F. columnare* isolates were studied in flat-bottomed 96-well microtiter

plates (Nunclon Δ Surface, Nunc) (51). *F. columnare* cells grown on TYES agar were

suspended in autoclaved fresh water (lake Littoistenjärvi) to a concentration of 10^8 CFU mL^{-1}

(OD$_{520nm}$=0.6). For testing of bacterial adherence, a 100 μL volume of the prepared bacterial

suspensions were added in triplicate into wells of replicate microtiter plates and incubated

statically for 1 h at 25ºC. For testing of biofilm formation, a 100 μL volume of TYES broth

was added to wells containing 100 μL of the prepared bacterial suspensions and allowed to

incubate for 3 days. Autoclaved fresh water was used as negative control. After incubation,

the contents were discarded and the wells were washed three times with sterile 0.5% NaCl to

remove non-adherent cells and air dried. The wells were then stained with 0.1% crystal violet

solution for 45 min and washed three times by submersion in a container of tap water and air

dried. The crystal violet was solubilized with 96% ethanol for 15 min before measuring the

absorbance (1 s) at 595 nm (Victor2, Wallac).

Protease activity

Changes in protease activity was examined by spotting 1 μL of bacterial TYES broth

suspension (10^8 CFU mL^{-1}) of the wild-type isolates and each phage-exposed and no-phage

control isolate on TYES agar (1.5% agar) supplemented with (w/v) elastin (0.1%), gelatin

(3%) and skim milk (5%) (caseinase production). The proteolytic activity of each isolate was

observed by the presence of a clear zone surrounding the colony after incubation, and

assessed by measuring the clear zone ratio (diameter of clear zone/diameter of the colony) of

three replicate samples. In the absence of a clearing zone outside the colony, the clear zone

ratio was defined as 1. The measurements were made after 5 (caseinase and gelatinase) or 10

days (elastinase) of incubation at 25ºC.

Virulence

Virulence of phage-exposed and no-phage control *F. columnare* isolates was tested on 1.94 g

(average weight) rainbow trout fry and compared to the virulence of wild-type isolates.

Fifteen fish per treatment, 20 in control treatment with no bacteria, were exposed individually

in 500 mL of bore hole water (25ºC) to cells of single bacterial isolates by constant

immersion (5.0 X 10^3 CFU mL^{-1}). Survival of the fish was monitored hourly during 24 h.

Morbid fish that did not respond to stimuli were considered dead, removed from the

experiment and put down by decapitation. At the end of the experiment, the fish having

survived from the infection were put down using 0.008 % Benzocaine. Bacterial cultivations

from gills of all the dead fish were made on Shieh agar supplemented with tobramycin (52) to

confirm the presence/absence of the bacterium. Cumulative percent mortality and estimated

survival time (Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis), based on observed average survival time of

fish after exposure to each isolate, were used as measures of virulence with more virulent

isolates having a shorter estimated survival time.

Fish experiment was conducted according to the Finnish Act of Use of Animals for

Experimental purposes, under permission ESAVI/8187/2018 granted for Lotta-Riina

Sundberg by the National Animal Experiment Board at the Regional State Administrative

Agency for Southern Finland.

Whole genome sequencing

Genomes of the wild-type FCO-F2 and FCO-F9 *F. columnare* and selected (Table 1) 20

phage-exposed and four no-phage control isolates were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq

platform (Institute of Molecular Medicine Finland). The Illumina data reads of FCO-F9 and

its phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates were mapped to a reference genome of *F.*

columnare isolate B185 (53) using Geneious software version 11.1.5 (Biomatters Ltd.).

Genome of the wild-type FCO-F2 isolate was sequenced also using PacBio (BGI, China).

PacBio data of FCO-F2 was assembled using > 8kbp reads with Flye (v. 2.7, four iterations)

and > 6 kbp with Canu (v. 1.9). These multi-contig assemblies were then combined using

Quickmerge (v. 0.3) to produce one 3 221 312 bp contig. This contig was polished with

Illumina HiSeq reads using Pilon (v. 1.23), with pre-processing done using Trimmomatic (v.

0.39), bowtie2 (2.3.5.1) and Samtools (v. 1.9). The quality of the polished contig was

quantified using Busco (v. 4.0.2), which reported 100% completeness of genome against the

bacteria_odb10 reference set. The genome was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic

Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (54, 55), and used as reference genome for mapping of

F2 phage-exposed and no-phage control isolates.

Statistical analyses

IBM SPSS Statistics version 24 was used for statistical analysis of the data. A one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare means from phenotypic analyses

between experimental groups (phage-exposed isolates and no-phage control isolates) and

parent wild-type isolates. If needed, lg10, exponential or square root transformations were

made for the data to fulfil the homogeneity of variances assumption. If the homogeneity of

variances could not be met by transformations, the data were analysed using non-parametric

Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests. In case of elastinase and casienase activity, and

biofilm formation, the isolates with no activity/biofilm forming capacity were excluded from

the ANOVA LSD multiple comparison analyses. Kaplan-Meier Survival Analysis was used

for analysis of virulence data.

Data availability

The whole genome sequences of all the isolates were submitted to GenBank under accession

numbers presented in Table 6.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from Academy of Finland (grant #314939) and Jane and Aatos

Erkko Foundation. This work resulted from the BONUS FLAVOPHAGE project supported

by BONUS (Art 185), funded jointly by the EU and Academy of Finland.

References

1. Cabello FC, Godfrey HP, Tomova A, Ivanova L, Dölz H, Millanao A, Buschmann

AH. 2013. Antimicrobial use in aquaculture re-examined: its relevance to

antimicrobial resistance and to animal and human health. Environ Microbiol 15:1917–

1942.

2. Watts JEM, Schreier HJ, Lanska L, Hale MS. 2017. The rising tide of antimicrobial

resistance in aquaculture: sources, sinks and solutions. Mar Drugs 15:158.

3. Gudding R, Lillehaug A, Evensen Ø (ed). 2014. Fish vaccination. Wiley Blackwell,

UK.

4. Suomalainen LR, Tiirola MA, Valtonen ET. 2005. Effect of *Pseudomonas* sp. MT5

baths on *Flavobacterium columnare* infection of rainbow trout and on microbial

diversity on fish skin and gills. Dis Aquat Org 63:61–68.

5. Pulkkinen K, Suomalainen LR, Rintamäki-Kinnunen P, Read A, Ebert D, Valtonen

ET. 2010. Intensive fish farming and the evolution of pathogen virulence: the case of

columnaris disease in Finland. Proc Royal Soc B 277:593-600.

6. Schmidt AS, Bruun MS, Dalsgaard I, Pedersen K, Larsen JL. 2000. Occurrence of

antimicrobial resistance in fish-pathogenic and environmental bacteria associated with

four danish rainbow trout farms. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:4908-4915.

7. Hesami S, Parkman J, MacInnes JI, Gray JT, Gyles CL, Lumsden JS. 2010.

Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* Isolates from Ontario.

J Aquat Anim Health 22:39–49.

8. Molina-Aja A, García-Gasca A, Abreu-Grobois A, Bolán-Mejía C, Roque A, Gomez-

Gil B. 2002. Plasmid profiling and antibiotic resistance of *Vibrio* strains isolated from

cultured penaeid shrimp. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2013:7-12.

9. Mohamad N, Amal MNA, Saad MZ, Yasin ISM, Zulkiply NA, Mustafa M,

Nasruddin NS. 2019. Virulence-associated genes and antibiotic resistance patterns of

Vibrio spp. isolated from cultured marine fishes in Malaysia. BMC Vet Res 15:176.

10. Declercq AM, Boyen E, Van den Broeck W, Bossier P, Karsi A, Haeseborouck F,

Decostere A (2013). Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Flavobacterium columnare*

isolates collected worldwide from 17 fish species. J Fish Dis 3:45-55.

11. Loc-Carrillo C, Abedon ST. 2011. Pros and cons of phage therapy. Bacteriophage

1:111-114.

12. Nakai T, Sugimoto R, Park KH, Matsuoka S, Mori K, Nishioka T, Maruyama K.

1999. Protective effects of bacteriophage on experimental *Lactococcus garviae*

infection in yellowtail. Dis Aquat Org 37:33-41.

13. Park SC, Nakai T. 2003. Bacteriophage control of *Pseudomonas plecoglossicida*

infection in ayu *Plecoglossus altivelis*. Dis Aquat Org 53:33-39.

14. Higuera G, Bastías R, Tsersvadze G, Romero J, Espejo RT. 2013. Recently

discovered *Vibrio anguillarum* phages can protect against experimentally induced

vibriosis in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Aquaculture 392-395:128-130.

15. Castillo D, Higuera G, Villa M, Middelboe M, Dalsgaard I, Madsen L, Espejo RT.

2012. Diversity of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* and the potential use of its phages

for protection against bacterial cold-water disease in salmonids. J Fish Dis 35:193-

201.

16. Laanto E, Bamford JKH, Ravantti J, Sundberg L-R. 2015. The use of phage FCL-2 as

an alternative to chemotherapy against columanris disease in aquaculture. Front

Microbiol 6:829.

17. Almeida GMF, Laanto E, Ashrafi R, Sundberg LR. 2019. Bacteriophage adherence to

mucus mediates preventive protection against pathogenic bacteria. mBio 10:e01984-

19.

18. Tan D, Svenningsen SL, Middelboe M. 2015. Quorum sensing determines the choice

of anti-phage defense strategy in *Vibrio anguillarum*. mBIO 6:00627-15

19. Azam AH, Tanji Y. 2019. Bacteriophage-host arm race: an update on the mechanism

of phage resistance in bacteria and revenge of the phage with the perspective for

phage therapy. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 103:2121-2131.

20. Cohen D, Melamed S, Millman A, Shulman G, Oppenheimer-Shaanan Y, Kacen A,

Doron S, Amitai G, Sorek R. 2019. Cyclic GMP–AMP signalling protects bacteria

against viral infection. Nature 574:691-695.

21. Léon M, Bastias R. 2015. Virulence reduction in bacteriophage resistant bacteria.

Front Microbiol 6:343.

22. Park SC, Shimamura I, Fukunaga M, Mori KI, Nakai T. 2000. Isolation of

bacteriophages specific for a fish pathogen, *Pseudomonas plecoglossicida*, as a

candidate for disease control. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:1416-1422.

23. Castillo D, Christiansen RH, Dalsgaard I, Madsen L, Middelboe M. 2015.

Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms in the fish pathogen *Flavobacterium*

psychrophilum: Linking genomic mutations to changes in bacterial virulence factors.

Appl Environ Microbiol 18:1157-1167.

24. Castillo D, Rørbo N, Jørgensen J, Lange J, Tan D, Kalatzis PG, Lo Svenningsen S,

Middelboe M. 2019. Phage defence mechanisms and their genomic and phenotypic

implications in the fish pathogen *Vibrio Anguillarum*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol

95:fiz004

25. Kunttu HMT, Suomalainen LR, Jokinen EI, Valtonen ET. 2009. *Flavobacterium*

columnare colony types: Connection to adhesion and virulence? Microb Pathog 46:

21-27.

26. Kunttu HMT, Jokinen EI, Sundberg L-R, Valtonen ET. 2011. Virulent and

nonvirulent *Flavobacterium columnare* colony morphologies: Characterization of

chondroitin AC lyase activity and adhesion to polystyrene. J Appl Microbiol

111:1319-1326.

27. Laanto E, Bamford JKH, Laakso J, Sundberg LR. 2012. Phage driven loss of

virulence in a fish pathogenic bacterium. PLOS ONE 7:e53157.

28. Li N, Zhu Y, LaFrentz BR, Evenhuis JP, Hunnicut DW, Conrad RA, Barbier P,

Gullstrand GW, Roets JE, Powers JL, Kulkarni SS, Erbes DH, Garcia JC, Nie P,

McBride MJ. 2017. The Type IX secretion system is required for virulence of the fish

pathogen *Flavobacterium columnare*. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e017769-17.

29. McBride MJ, Nakane D. 2015. Flavobacterium gliding motility and the type IX

secretion system. Curr Opin Microbiol 28:72-77.

30. Braun TF, Khubbar MK, Saffarini DA, McBride MJ. 2005. *Flavobacterium*

johnsoniae gliding motility genes identified by mariner mutagenesis. J Bacteriol

187:6943-6952.

31. Shrivastava A, Johnston JJ, van Baaren JM, McBride MJ. 2013. *Flavobacterium*

johnsoniae GldK, GldL, GldM, and SprA are required for secretion of the cell surface

gliding motility adhesins SprB and RemA. J Bacteriol 195:3201-3212.

32. Johnston JJ, Shrivastava A, McBride MJ. 2018. Untangling *Flavobacterium*

johnsoniae gliding motility and protein secretion. J Bacteriol 200:e00362-17.

33. Hunnicutt DW, Kempf MJ, McBride MJ. 2002. Mutations in *Flavobacterium*

johnsoniae gldF and *gldG* disrupt gliding motility and interfere with membrane

localization of GldA. J Bacteriol 184:2370-2378.

34. Penttinen R, Hoikkala V, Sundberg LR. 2018. Gliding motility and expression of

motility related genes in spreading and non-spreading colonies of *Flavobacterium*

columnare. Front Microbiol 9:525.

35. Labrie S, Samson JE, Moineau S. 2010. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. Nat

Rev Microbiol 8:317-327.

36. Runtuvuori-Salmela A, Kunttu HMT, Laanto E, Almeida GMF, Mäkelä K,

Middelboe M, Sundberg LR. (2020). Prevalence of genetically similar

Flavobacterium columnare phages across aquaculture environments reveals a strong

potential for pathogen control. bioRxiv

https://biorxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2020.09.23.309583v1

37. Porter NT, Hryckowian AJ, Merrill BD, Fuentes JJ, Gardner JO, Glowacki RWP,

Singh S, Crawford RD, Snitkin ES, Sonnenburg JL, Martens EC. 2020. Phase-

variable capsular polysaccharides modify bacteriophage susceptibility in *Bacteroides*

thetaiotaomicron. Nat Microbiol 5:1170-1181.

38. Laanto E, Hoikkala V, Ravantti J, Sundberg LR. 2017. Long-term coevolution of

host-parasite interaction in the natural environment. Nat Commun 8:111.

39. Hoikkala V, Ravantti J, Díez-Villaseñor C, Tiirola M, Conrad RA, McBride MJ,

Sundberg LR. 2020. Cooperation between CRISPR-Cas types enables adaptation in

an RNA-targeting system. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.20.957498

40. Kumru S, Tekedar HC, Gulsoy N, Waldbieser GC, Lawrence ML, Karsi A. 2017.

Comparative analysis of the *Flavobacterium columnare* Genomovar I and II genomes.

Front Microbiol 8:1375.

41. Flemming HC, Wingender J, Szewzyk U, Steinberg P, Rice SA, Kjelleberg S. 2016.

Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. Nat Rev 14:563.

42. Cai W, De La Fuente L, Arias CR. (2013). Biofilm formation by the fish pathogen

Flavobacterium columnare: Development and parameters affecting surface

attachment. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5633-5642.

43. Decostere A, Haesebrouck F, Turnbull JF, Charlier G. (1999). Influence of water

quality and temperature on adhesion of high and low virulence *Flavobacterium*

columnare strains to isolated gill arches. J Fish Dis 22:1-11.

44. Allen RC, Pfrunder-Cardozo KR, Meinel D, Egli A, Hall AR. 2017. Associations

among antibiotic and phage resistance phenotypes in natural and clinical *Escherichia*

coli isolates. mBio 8:e01341-17.

45. Zhang QG, Buckling A. 2012. Phages limit the evolution of bacterial antibiotic

resistance in experimental microcosms. Evol Appl 5:575-582.

46. Song YL, Fryer JL, Rohovec JS. 1988. Comparison of six media for the cultivation of

Flexibacter columnaris. Fish Pathol 23:91-94.

47. Holt RA, Rohovec JS, Fryer JL. 1993. Bacterial cold-water disease, p 3-23. *In* Inglis

V, Roberts RJ, Bromage NR (ed), Bacterial Diseases of Fish. Blackwell Scientific

Publication Oxford, UK.

48. Adams MH. 1959. Bacteriophages. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York.

49. Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M. 1966. Antibiotic Susceptibility testing

by a standardized single disk method. Am J Clin Pathol 45:493–496

50. CLSI. 2006. Methods for antimicrobial disk susceptibility testing of bacteria isolated

from aquatic animals; Approved guideline. CLSI document M42-A. Wayne, PA:

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

51. Högfors-Rönnholm E, Norrgård J, Wiklund T. 2015. Adhesion of smooth and rough

phenotypes of *Flavobacterium psychrophilum* to polystyrene surfaces. J Fish Dis

38:429–437.

52. Decostere A, Haeseborouck F, Devriese LA. 1997. Shieh medium supplemented with

tobramycin for selective isolation of *Flavobacterium columnare* (*Flexibacter*

columnaris) from diseased fish. J Clin Microbiol 35:322-324.

53. Ravantti JJ, Laanto E, Papponen P, Sundberg LR. 2019. Complete genome sequence

of fish pathogen *Flavobacterium columnare* strain B185, originating from Finland.

Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e01285-19.

54. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L,

Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome

annotation pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614-24.

55. Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W,

Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS,

Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A,

Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation.

Nucleic Acids Res 46(D1):D851-D860.

Figures

Figure 1. Bacterial growth (a and b), determined as colony forming units mL^{-1}, and
proportion (%) of different colony types (c and d) of *Flavobacterium columnare* isolates
FCO-F2 (a and c) and FCO-F9 (b and d) during the 3-day exposure to phages FCOV-F2,
FCOV-F5, FCOV-F25, FCL-2, FCOV-F13 and FCOV-F45. Dark grey bar: proportion of
isolates forming rhizoid colony morphology, black bar: proportion of isolates forming rough
colony morphology, light grey bar: proportion of isolates forming soft colony morphology.

Figure 2. Different colony morphologies formed by *Flavobacterium columnare* on Shieh-agar plates after phage exposure: a) rhizoid, b) rough and c) soft.

Figure 3. Motility of *Flavobacterium columnare* wild-type a) FCO-F2 and b) FCO-F9 isolates, and their phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R-) and no-phage control (F2S- and F9S-) isolates expressed as colony diameter (mm, ±SE) on TYES agar. All the phage-sensitive rhizoid colonies forming isolates (dark grey bar) were significantly more motile than phage-resistant rough (black bar) or soft (light grey bar) morphology isolates (F2-isolates: $P < 0.001$, Oneway ANOVA, LG10 transformation; F9-isolates: $P \leq 0.004$, Mann-Whitney test).

Figure 4. Adherence (a and b) and biofilm forming (c and d) capacity of *Flavobacterium columnare* wild-type FCO-F2 (a and c) and FCO-F9 (b and d) isolates, and their phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R-) and no-phage control (F2S- and F9S-) isolates on a polystyrene surface measured as optical density ($OD_{595\ nm}$, ±SE). Asterisks indicate the statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) compared to the parent wild-type isolate. F9S69 did not form any biofilm and was thus excluded from the statistical analyses. Dark grey bars: phage-sensitive isolates forming rhizoid colony morphology, black bars: phage-resistant isolates forming rough morphology, light grey bar: phage-resistant isolate forming soft morphology.

Figure 5. Protease (elastinase: a and b, gelatinase: c and d, and caseinase e and f) activity of the *Flavobacterium columnare* FCO-F2 (a, c and e) and FCO-F9 (b, d and f) isolates, and their phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R-) and no-phage control (F2S- and F9S-) isolates. The activity was measured as the clear zone ratio (clear zone diameter/colony diameter, ±SE) on TYES agar supplemented with elastin, gelatin and skim milk (caseinase). The asterisk indicates significant reduction in protease activity ($P < 0.05$) compared to the parent wild-type isolate. A clear zone ratio 1 indicates no protease activity. Isolates with no activity were excluded from the statistical analyses. Dark grey bars: phage-sensitive isolates forming rhizoid colony morphology, black bars: phage-resistant isolates forming rough morphology, light grey bar: phage-resistant isolate forming soft morphology.

924 **Figure 6.** Mortality percent and estimated survival time (± SE) of rainbow trout
925 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) during 24-h experimental infection with wild-type *Flavobacterium*
926 *columnare* FCO-F2 (a) and FCO-F9 (b), and their phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R-) and no-
927 phage control (F2S- and F9S-) isolates. Phage-sensitive rhizoid colonies forming isolates are
928 written bold. Cntrl = control with no bacterial infection.
929

930
931
932
933
934 **Figure 7.** Deletion of genomic region covering 4 701 bp in FCOV-F13 exposed, soft colonies
935 forming, phage-resistant *Flavobacterium columnare* isolate F9R69.
936

937 **Tables**
938

Table 1. Experimental setup of phage exposure of two phage-sensitive wild-type *Flavobacterium columnare* isolates FCO-F2 (high-virulence, genotype C; exposed for phages FCOV-F2, FCOV-F5 and FCOV-F25) and FCO-F9 (medium-virulence, genotype G; exposed for phages FCL-2, FCOV-F13 and FCOV-F45), and colony morphologies and phage susceptibilities of the 20 phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R-) and 4 no-phage control isolates (F2S- and F9S-) obtained from the exposure cultures. The isolates are shown according to the phage they were exposed to. The susceptibility of the isolates to phages used in exposures: + = sensitive, – = resistant, ± = sensitivity decreased compared to the parent wild-type isolate, i = inhibition of bacterial growth, considered as phage resistance, ND = not determined.

Wild-type isolate	Phage	Phage-exposed isolate	No-phage control isolate	Colony morphology of the isolate	Phage susceptibility of the isolate					
					FCOV-F2	FCOV-F5	FCOV-F25	FCL-2	FCOV-F13	FCO-F45
FCO-F2				rhizoid	+	+	+	ND	ND	ND
	FCOV-F2	F2R58		rhizoid	±	±	±	ND	ND	ND
		F2R60		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
		F2R62		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
	FCOV-F5	F2R64		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
		F2R65		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
		F2R66		rhizoid	±	±	±	ND	ND	ND
		F2R67		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
		F2R68		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
	FCOV-F25	F2R70		rough	i	i	i	ND	ND	ND
		F2R72		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
		F2R74		rough	−	−	−	ND	ND	ND
	No phage		F2S4	rhizoid	+	+	+	ND	ND	ND
			F2S17	rhizoid	+	+	+	ND	ND	ND
FCO-F9				rhizoid	ND	ND	ND	+	+	+
	FCL-2	F9R56		rhizoid	ND	ND	ND	±	±	±
		F9R58		rough	ND	ND	ND	i	i	i
		F9R61		rough	ND	ND	ND	i	i	i
	FCOV-F13	F9R64		rough	ND	ND	ND	−	−	−
		F9R66		rough	ND	ND	ND	i	i	i
		F9R69		soft	ND	ND	ND	i	i	i
	FCOV-F45	F9R72		rough	ND	ND	ND	i	i	i
		F9R75		rough	ND	ND	ND	i	i	−
		F9R78		rough	ND	ND	ND	−	−	−
	No phage		F9S15	rhizoid	ND	ND	ND	+	+	+
			F9S17	rhizoid	ND	ND	ND	+	+	+

975 **Table 2.** Data on genomes of wild-type *Flavobacterium columnare* strains FCO-F2 and
976 FCO-F9.
977

Wild-type isolate	Genetic group	Genome size (bases)	N:o of ORFs	GC %
FCO-F2	C	3 221 312	3 280	31.7
FCO-F9	G	3 261 403	3 374	31.7

Table 3. Mutations revealed by whole genome sequencing (Illumina) in F2 phage-exposed *Flavobacterium columnare* isolates compared to their wild type (wt) isolate FCO-F2. The isolates are shown according to the phage they were exposed to. CDS = coding sequence, → = change to, Del = deletion, Ins = insertion, aa = amino acid.

(Phage) Phage-exposed isolate	Colony morphology	Gene/CDS	Mutation	Location (base n:o) in wt genome	Outcome
(FCOV-F2)					
F2R58	rhizoid	rlmF	T → A	21 350	No aa change
F2R60	rough	sprA	Ins GT	1 314 323 – 1 314 324	Change in reading frame → stop codon → two truncated proteins
F2R62	rough				
(FCOV-F5)					
F2R64	rough	sprA	Ins G	1 317 523	Change in reading frame → stop codon → two truncated proteins
F2R65	rough	sprA	Ins G	1 317 524	Change in reading frame → stop codon → two truncated proteins
F2R66	rhizoid				
F2R67	rough	gldB	Del T	1 122 801	Truncated/wrong protein
F2R68	rough				
(FCOV-F25)					
F2R70	rough	OmpH family outer membrane protein	Ins G	1 275 242	Change in reading frame → wrong protein
F2R72	rough	gldN	Ins TCTAC	1 013 274 – 1 013 278	Change in reading frame → stop codon → two truncated proteins
F2R74	rough	sprA	Del A	1 313 911	Change in reading frame → stop codon → two truncated proteins

Table 4. Mutations revealed by whole genome sequencing (Illumina) in F9 phage-exposed *Flavobacterium columnare* isolates compared to their wild type (wt) isolate FCO-F9. The isolates are shown according to the phage they were exposed to. CDS = coding sequence, → = change to, Del = deletion, nt = nucleotide, Ins = insertion, aa = amino acid

(Phage) Phage-exposed isolate	Colony morphology	Gene/CDS	Mutation	Location (base n:o) in wt genome	Outcome
(FCL-2)					
F9R56	rhizoid	DegT/DnrJ/EryC1/StrS family aminotransferase	C → T	657 725	Cys → Tyr
		DUF255 domain -containing protein	C → T	2 542 435	Stop codon → truncated protein
F9R58	rough				
F9R61	rough	Cystathionine gamma-synthase	G → A	1 720 857	His → Tyr
(FCOV-F13)					
F9R64	rough	gldM	Del CAA	2 732 551	Del Thr
F9R66	rough	Gliding motility	G → A	1 849 668	Stop codon → truncated protein
F9R69	soft	gldM	Del 255 3' nt	2 732 457 −	No/truncated protein
		gldN	Del CDS		No protein
		FAD-binding oxidoreductase	Del CDS		No protein
		DUF3492 domain containing protein	Del CDS		No protein
		Hypothetical protein	Del 454 5' nt	− 2 737 157	No/truncated protein
(FCOV-F45)					
F9R72	rough	gldG	Ins T	3 023 647	Change in reading frame → wrong protein
F9R75	rough	gldN	Ins G	2 733 099	Start and stop codon → two truncated proteins
F9R78	rough	gldM	Ins A	2 731 567	Change in reading frame → stop codon → truncated protein

Table 5. *Flavobacterium columnare* isolates and phages used in this study. Bacteria and phages were isolated from Finnish fish farms. *F. columnare* isolates have previously been categorized into genetic groups by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of internal transcribed spacer region between 16S and 23S rRNA genes (This article was submitted to an online preprint archive [36]).

Bacterium isolate	Genetic group of the bacterium	Phage isolate	Genetic group of the phage isolation host	Farm number	Isolation year
FCO-F2	C			1	2017
FCO-F9	G			2	2017
		FCOV-F2	C	1	2017
		FCOV-F5	C	3	2017
		FCOV-F25	C	1	2017
		FCL-2	G	2	2009
		FCOV-F13	G	1	2017
		FCOV-F45	G	2	2017

1057 **Table 6.** Accession numbers of whole genome sequences of wild-type *Flavobacterium*
1058 *columnare* isolates FCO-F2 and FCO-F9 and their phage-exposed (F2R- and F9R) and no-
1059 phage control (F2S- and F9S-) isolates submitted to GenBank.
1060

Isolate	Accession number
1062 FCO-F2	CPO51861
1063 F2R58	CP054506
1064 F2R60	CP054505
1065 F2R62	CP054504
1066 F2R64	CP054503
1067 F2R65	CP054502
1068 F2R66	CP054501
1069 F2R67	CP054500
1070 F2R68	CP054499
1071 F2R70	CP054498
1072 F2R72	CP054497
1073 F2R74	CP054496
1074 F2S4	CP054495
1075 F2S17	CP054494
1076 FCO-F9	CP054518
1077 F9R56	CP054517
1078 F9R58	CP054516
1079 F9R61	CP054515
1080 F9R64	CP054514
1081 F9R66	CP054513
1082 F9R69	CP054512
1083 F9R72	CP054511
1084 F9R75	CP054510
1085 F9R78	CP054509
1086 F9S15	CP054508
1087 F9S17	CP054507