1 **Phospho-regulated auto-inhibition of Cnn controls microtubule nucleation during cell**
2   **division**
3

4 Corinne A. Tovey[1,2], Chisato Tsuji[1], Alice Egerton[1], Fred Bernard[2], Antoine Guichet[2], Marc de
5 la Roche[3], Paul T. Conduit[1, 2, 4]
6

7 [1]Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EJ
8
9 [2]Université de Paris, CNRS, Institut Jacques Monod, F75006, Paris, France.
10
11 [3]Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, 80 Tennis Court Road, Cambridge,
12 CB2 1GA, United Kingdom
13
14 [4]Corresponding author
15 Paul T. Conduit
16 0033 (1) 57 27 80 95
17 Paul.conduit@ijm.fr

Abstract

γ-tubulin-ring-complexes (γ-TuRCs) nucleate microtubules. They are recruited to centrosomes in dividing cells via binding to N-terminal CM1 domains within γ-TuRC-tethering proteins, including *Drosophila* Cnn. Binding promotes microtubule nucleation and is restricted to centrosomes, but the mechanism regulating binding remains unknown. Here we identify an extreme N-terminal "CM1 auto-inhibition" (CAI) domain within the centrosomal isoform of Cnn (Cnn-C) that inhibits γ-TuRC binding. Cnn-C is phosphorylated at centrosomes and we find that phospho-mimicking sites within the CAI domain helps relieve auto-inhibition. In contrast, the testes-specific mitochondrial Cnn-T isoform lacks the CAI domain and can bind strongly to cytosolic γ-TuRCs. Ubiquitously expressing a version of Cnn-C lacking the CAI domain leads to major cell division defects, which appears to be due to ectopic cytosolic microtubule nucleation. We propose that the CAI domain folds back to sterically inhibit the CM1 domain, and that this auto-inhibition is relieved by phosphorylation that occurs specifically at centrosomes.

Introduction

Microtubules are polarised polymers of tubulin necessary for cell function (Akhmanova and Steinmetz, 2015). They provide structural support, pulling and pushing forces, and tracks for the transport of intracellular cargo. Microtubules are arranged into specialised arrays that are crucial for cell function, such as the mitotic spindle or the polarised arrays within neurons. Correct assembly of these arrays relies in part on the spatiotemporal regulation of microtubule formation, and this is achieved by restricting microtubule formation and organisation to specific microtubule organising centres (MTOCs), such as the centrosome during mitosis or Golgi apparatus in motile fibroblasts (Tillery et al., 2018; Sanchez and Feldman, 2016; Petry and Vale, 2015).

The common link between most MTOCs is the presence of multi-protein γ-tubulin ring complexes (γ-TuRCs) (Teixidó-Travesa et al., 2012; Tovey and Conduit, 2018; Lin et al., 2014a; Farache et al., 2018), which template and catalyse the kinetically unfavourable process of microtubule nucleation (Kollman et al., 2011). γ-TuRCs are recruited to MTOCs by γ-TuRC-tethering proteins, that directly link γ-TuRCs to the MTOC (Tovey and Conduit, 2018). γ-TuRCs contain 14 γ-tubulin molecules held in a single-turn helical shape by laterally associating γ-tubulin complex proteins (GCPs) (Kollman et al., 2011). The γ-tubulin molecules bind directly to α/β-tubulin dimers to promote new microtubule assembly (Thawani et al., 2020). γ-TuRCs have a low activity within the cytosol but are thought to be "activated" after recruitment to MTOCs (Tovey and Conduit, 2018; Farache et al., 2018). In this model, the controlled recruitment and activation of γ-TuRCs enables the spatiotemporal control of microtubule nucleation and array formation. Consistent with this model, recent structural studies have shown that γ-TuRCs purified from the cytosol of HeLa cells and *Xenopus* eggs are in a semi-open conformation, in which the γ-tubulin molecules do not perfectly match the geometry of a 13 protofilament microtubule (Consolati et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019; Wieczorek et al., 2019). A conformational change into a fully closed ring that perfectly matches the geometry of a microtubule is expected to increase the nucleation capacity of the γ-TuRC (Liu et al., 2019; Consolati et al., 2020). This agrees with studies in budding yeast, where a conformational change is observed and artificial closure of the ring increases microtubule nucleation capacity (Kollman et al., 2015).

How activation via an open-to-closed conformation change occurs is currently unclear, but various factors have been reported to increase the capacity for γ-TuRCs to nucleate microtubules. The nucleation capacity of γ-TuRCs purified from *Xenopus* egg extract is

dramatically increased by the addition of the TOG domain protein XMAP215 (Thawani et al.,

2020). TOG domain family members mediate α/β-tubulin addition via their TOG domains

(Nithianantham et al., 2018), bind directly to γ-tubulin, and function in microtubule nucleation

in vivo (Thawani et al., 2018; Flor-Parra et al., 2018; Gunzelmann et al., 2018). Single

molecule experiments combined with modelling suggest that XMAP215 indirectly promotes

the open-to-closed conformation change of purified γ-TuRCs by increasing the chance of

protofilament formation, where the lateral contacts between protofilaments are predicted to

force the closure of the γ-TuRC (Thawani et al., 2020). While this is an attractive model,

evidence suggests that γ-TuRC activation can occur in different ways and may be context

specific. Phosphorylation of γ-TuRCs by Aurora A around mitotic chromatin increases the

activity of γ-TuRCs (Scrofani et al., 2015; Pinyol et al., 2013), as does the addition of NME7

kinase *in vitro* (Liu et al., 2014). γ-TuRC activity is also increased after binding of the Augmin

complex (Tariq et al., 2020), which occurs on the side of pre-existing microtubules such as

within the mitotic spindle (Goshima et al., 2008; Uehara et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2008; Lawo et

al., 2009). Another potential γ-TuRC activator is the Centrosomin Motif 1 (CM1) domain, which

is conserved in γ-TuRC-tethering proteins across Eukaryotes (Sawin et al., 2004; Lin et al.,

2014b). Addition of protein fragments containing part of the CM1 domain, known as γ-TuNA,

dramatically increases the nucleation capacity of γ-TuRCs purified from human cells (Choi et

al., 2010; Muroyama et al., 2016), although the degree of this activity change is much lower

when using γ-TuRCs purified from *Xenopus* eggs (Liu et al., 2019; Thawani et al., 2020). While

there is evidence that CM1-domain binding does not alter the semi-open conformation of

purified γ-TuRCs (Wieczorek et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019), expression of γ-TuNA within human

cells leads to the ectopic nucleation of microtubules throughout the cytosol, and this effect is

abolished by the introduction of mutations that prevent CM1 domain binding to γ-TuRCs or by

the depletion of γ-TuRC components (Choi et al., 2010; Hanafusa et al., 2015; Cota et al.,

2017). Similar effects are seen in fission yeast, where expression of fragments of Mto1

containing the CM1 domain results in cytosolic microtubule nucleation (Lynch et al., 2014),

and in *Xenopus* egg extracts containing activated Ran which, when supplemented with CM1-

domain fragments, show dramatically increased random microtubule aster formation (Liu et

al., 2019). Thus, while CM1-domain binding may not directly alter γ-TuRC conformation *in*

vitro, there is strong evidence that it induces an activity change in various contexts *in vivo*.

Given that CM1-domain binding appears to increase γ-TuRC activity, this binding must be

spatiotemporally controlled under normal conditions. Indeed, results from numerous mass

spectrometry experiments on purified γ-TuRCs have shown that γ-TuRCs do not associate

with CM1-domain proteins within the cytosol (Choi et al., 2010; Oegema et al., 1999; Hutchins et al., 2010; Teixidó-Travesa et al., 2012; Thawani et al., 2018; Consolati et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Wieczorek et al., 2019). Rather, CM1 domain proteins are thought to bind γ-TuRCs only at MTOCs, such as the centrosome during mitosis. This presumably helps to ensure that γ-TuRCs do not become active within the cytosol, but how CM1-domain binding is controlled still remains unknown.

In this paper, we investigate how the binding between the *Drosophila* CM1-domain protein Centrosomin (Cnn) and γ-TuRCs is regulated. Cnn is the only CM1-domain protein in *Drosophila* but is a multi-isoform gene with all isoforms containing the CM1 domain (Eisman et al., 2009). The centrosomal isoform (Cnn-C) has a dual role, both in recruiting γ-TuRCs to centrosomes (Zhang and Megraw, 2007; Conduit et al., 2014b) and in forming a centrosome-scaffold that supports mitotic PCM assembly (Conduit et al., 2014a; Feng et al., 2017). Phosphorylation of a central PReM domain by Polo kinase drives the oligomerisation of Cnn-C molecules via interactions between the PReM and C-terminal CM2 domain to promote formation of the centrosome-like scaffold, and this occurs specifically at centrosomes (Conduit et al., 2014a; Feng et al., 2017). A testes-specific Cnn-T isoform, which has mitochondrial localisation domains instead of the PReM and CM2 domains, recruits γ-TuRCs to mitochondria in sperm cells (Chen et al., 2017). Cnn-T also has a different, shorter, N-terminal region upstream of the CM1 domain. We previously showed that N-terminal fragments of Cnn-T could immunoprecipitate γ-TuRCs more readily than the equivalent fragments of Cnn-C (Tovey et al., 2018). Here we confirm this result using an *in vivo* recruitment assay and show that the failure of Cnn-C to bind γ-TuRCs is due to the N-terminal extension region absent from Cnn-T. Truncating this N-terminal extension allows Cnn-C to bind γ-TuRCs, as does the introduction of phospho-mimetic mutations into a conserved -helix within the N-terminal extension. Mimicking just one of these sites, a conserved Polo kinase site, also increases binding, suggesting that Polo kinase regulates Cnn-C-to-γ-TuRC binding as well as Cnn-C scaffolding. Collectively, our data suggests that centrosome-specific phosphorylation of Cnn-C's N-terminal extension by Polo kinase helps relieve Cnn-C auto-inhibition to ensure γ-TuRC binding specifically at centrosomes. This regulation is important, as expression of a modified form of Cnn-C, where the auto-inhibited N-terminal region of Cnn-C was exchanged for the unregulated γ-TuRC-binding N-terminal region of Cnn-T, results in major cell division defects, apparently caused by ectopic cytosolic microtubule nucleation.

Results

The N-terminal regions of Cnn isoforms differ in their ability to bind γ-TuRCs

We previously published evidence that different isoforms of Cnn bind γ-TuRCs within the cytosolic extracts of *Drosophila* embryos with different affinities (Tovey et al., 2018). We found that bacterially purified MBP-tagged N-terminal fragments of Cnn-T (MBP-Cnn-T-N) could immunoprecipitate cytosolic γ-tubulin with a much higher affinity than the equivalent fragments of Cnn-C (MBP-Cnn-C-N). Both isoforms share a short sequence just proximal to the CM1 domain (residues 78-97 in Cnn-C), but differ in their extreme N-terminal region, which is 77 residues long in Cnn-C but only 19 residues long in Cnn-T (dark blue and red, respectively, in Figure 1A). While it is possible that that the difference in binding between the MBP-Cnn-C-N and MBP-Cnn-T-N fragments could have been an artefact induced by bacterial purification, we had hypothesised that the larger extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-C may fold back and auto-inhibit the CM1 domain, restricting its ability to bind γ-TuRCs. To address this directly, and to confirm the *in vitro* binding results, we developed an *in vivo* γ-TuRC recruitment assay based on mRNA injection into *Drosophila* eggs. Using this assay, we could quickly and easily manipulate the sequence of Cnn (without having to generate transgenic lines) and, importantly, the folding of Cnn proteins would occur in a natural environment. The assay is based on a previous experiment where we had injected mRNA encoding a GFP-tagged phospho-mimetic form of Cnn into unfertilised *Drosophila* eggs to produce centrosome-like scaffolds spontaneously throughout the cytosol. The phospho-mimetic mutations are placed within the central PReM domain of Cnn-C (Cnn-C-PReM^m) and drive the oligomerisation of GFP-Cnn-C molecules into the GFP-labelled scaffold structures (Conduit et al., 2014a) (Figure 1B; Figure S1). We realised that we could use these scaffolds to assess the ability of Cnn-C, and any modified forms of Cnn-C (Figure 1B), to bind and recruit γ-TuRCs by examining the recruitment of fluorescently-tagged γ-TuRC proteins to the scaffolds.

We first compared scaffolds formed from GFP-Cnn-C-PReM^m to scaffolds where the extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-C-PReM^m was either exchanged with the extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-T (GFP-Cnn-T-PReM^m) or was removed (GFP-Cnn-C$^{\Delta1-77}$-PReM^m). For simplicity we refer to these as Cnn-C, Cnn-T, and Cnn-C$^{\Delta1-77}$ scaffolds, respectively, regardless of the fluorescent tag used. In order to assess recruitment of γ-tubulin complexes to the scaffolds, we endogenously tagged the maternal form of γ-tubulin with mCherry (γ-tubulin-37C-mCherry) and injected unfertilised eggs laid by these mothers (we refer to γ-tubulin complexes rather than γ-TuRCs, as it is possible that Cnn can bind and recruit γ-TuSCs as well as γ-TuRCs). Initial visual observations suggested that γ-tubulin-37C-mCherry associated more

readily with Cnn-T and Cnn-C$^{\Delta 1-77}$ scaffolds than with Cnn-C scaffolds (Figure 1C-E). We

measured the GFP (Cnn) and mCherry (γ-tubulin-37C) fluorescence signals at multiple

scaffolds of different sizes and from different eggs and generated linear lines of best-fit (Figure

1F; see Methods for details). The slopes of these lines (S) are an estimation of the relative

binding affinity between the different Cnn constructs and γ-tubulin complexes. S values for the

various Cnn scaffolds differed significantly overall ($p<0.001$, $F_{2,354}=1645$) and also in pairwise

comparisons: the S value for Cnn-T scaffolds (0.55) was ~26-fold higher than the S value for

Cnn-C scaffolds (0.02) ($p<0.001$, $t_{350}=-57$) (Figure 1F), indicating that the N-terminal region of

Cnn-T binds γ-tubulin complexes with ~26-fold higher affinity than the N-terminal region of

Cnn-C, and confirming the results from our previous *in vitro* binding experiments (Tovey et al.,

2018). Importantly, the S value for Cnn-C$^{\Delta 1-77}$ scaffolds (0.17) was ~8-fold higher than the S

value for Cnn-C scaffolds ($p<0.001$, $t_{357}=36$) (Figure 1F), showing that removing the extreme

N-terminal region of Cnn-C increases binding affinity to γ-tubulin complexes by ~8 fold. This

therefore confirms that the extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-C is inhibitory for binding to γ-

tubulin complexes. Consistent with this, we also found that MBP-tagged N-terminal fragments

of Cnn-C$^{\Delta 1-77}$ (MBP-Cnn-C-N$^{\Delta 1-77}$) could immunoprecipitate γ-tubulin from embryo extracts

more efficiently than N-terminal fragments of Cnn-C (Figure 1G). Comparing the band

intensities (normalised to the γ-tubulin band in the MBP-Cnn-T-N IP lane, see Methods) of γ-

tubulin across three experiments showed that in this assay the truncated MBP-Cnn-C$^{\Delta 1-77}$-N

form bound nearly as well as MBP-Cnn-T-N (average normalised value of 0.90, Figure 1H).

Thus, while it is known that the CM1 domain is required for binding γ-tubulin complexes, we

find that the extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-C inhibits binding to γ-tubulin complexes; we

therefore name this region the "CM1 auto-inhibition" (CAI) domain.

Intriguingly, the *in vivo* assay also showed that the S value for Cnn-C$^{\Delta 1-77}$ scaffolds was ~3.3-

fold lower than that of Cnn-T scaffolds ($p<0.001$, $t_{357}=-21$) (Figure 1F), suggesting that the

extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-T (red in Figure 1A) promotes binding to γ-tubulin

complexes.

To test whether Cnn scaffolds recruit other γ-TuRC components, we assessed the ability of

mKATE-tagged Cnn-T scaffolds to recruit the γ-TuRC-specific components Grip75, Grip128,

and Grip163 (homologues of GCP4, 5 and 6, respectively) that we had endogenously tagged

with GFP (Figure 2). We again quantified the fluorescence signals at multiple scaffolds across

embryos and generated S values. We found that all S values for Cnn-T scaffolds were

significantly higher than the respective S values for Cnn-C scaffolds: fold change of 7.4 for

Grip75-sfGFP (p<0.001, t_{365}=-28) (Figure 2C), 6.7 for Grip128-sfGFP (p<0.001, t_{349}=-37)

(Figure 2F), and 2.2 for Grip163-sfGFP eggs (p<0.001, t_{551}=-9) (Figure 2I). The lower fold

changes as compared to γ-tubulin37C-mCherry could be a consequence of the lower signal-

to-noise ratios for Grip75-sfGFP, Grip128-sfGFP and Grip163-sfGFP due to their lower

stoichiometry within γ-TuRCs. Alternatively, the lower fold changes could be caused by a

proportion of γ-tubulin complexes bound by Cnn-T that do not contain Grip75, Grip128, or

Grip163, which would be the case if Cnn could bind and recruit both γ-TuSCs and γ-TuRCs.

Nevertheless, a combination of western blotting and mass spectrometry showed that

bacterially purified MBP-Cnn-T-N fragments could co-immunoprecipitate the γ-TuRC

components γ-tubulin, Grip71, Grip75, Grip84, Grip91, Grip128, Grip163 (Figure S2). We

therefore conclude that the Cnn-T N-terminus can bind γ-tubulin complexes containing γ-

TuRC-specific proteins.

Cnn-T scaffolds organise microtubules more robustly than Cnn-C scaffolds

If Cnn-T scaffolds can recruit functional γ-tubulin complexes, the scaffolds should be able to

nucleate and organise microtubules. We had previously shown that Cnn-C scaffolds could

organise microtubule asters, but only when these scaffolds were large (Conduit et al., 2014a).

To test whether microtubules are organised more robustly by Cnn-T scaffolds (which recruit

more γ-tubulin complexes than Cnn-C scaffolds) we formed scaffolds within eggs expressing

the microtubule binding protein Jupiter-mCherry (Figure 3A,B). We used a blind analysis to

quantify the propensity of these Cnn scaffolds to organise microtubules by categorising eggs

into those where the scaffolds organised "strong", "medium", "weak", or no microtubule asters.

We also included a "tubulin overlay" category, where the Jupiter-mCherry signal did not extend

beyond the GFP scaffold signal. For simplicity, we refer to eggs containing Cnn-C and Cnn-T

scaffolds as Cnn-C and Cnn-T eggs, respectively. Consistent with the increased recruitment

of γ-tubulin complexes to Cnn-T scaffolds, we found that a higher proportion of Cnn-T eggs

(43.8%) than Cnn-C eggs (11.5%) contained scaffolds that organised strong or medium

microtubule asters (Figure 3C). Moreover, while 69.2% of Cnn-C eggs contained scaffolds

that did not organise any visible microtubule asters, none of the Cnn-T eggs fell into this

category (Figure 3C). Based on these differences, we conclude that the γ-tubulin complexes

recruited to Cnn scaffolds are able to nucleate microtubules, at least to some extent. The

ability of some Cnn-C scaffolds to organise microtubules is not unexpected, as these scaffolds

can still recruit low levels of γ-tubulin complexes (Figure 1C,F).

Filming the scaffolds through time revealed that scaffolds could merge and could also be quite

mobile, especially those that had microtubules emanating from just one side (Video 1). In

these instances, the microtubules appeared to push the scaffolds through the cytosol. Most

intriguingly, we could observe events where spindle-like structures formed between adjacent

Cnn-T scaffolds (Figure 3D). This was unexpected, but suggested that the microtubules

organised by the scaffolds are dynamic and can be regulated by motor proteins. We managed

to film the formation of these transient spindle-like structures (Video 2; Video 3), and in one

example multiple spindle-like structures formed simultaneously in close proximity and were

organised by a nearby group of coalescing scaffolds into a flower-like arrangement; the

spindles then quickly disappeared (Video 3). On some occasions, we could observe giant

Cnn-T scaffolds that organised large bundles of microtubules (Video 4). One of these giant

scaffolds rotated, dragging its associated microtubule bundles, indicating that the microtubules

were robustly anchored to the scaffolds, presumably via linkage by γ-tubulin complexes. In

summary, we conclude that Cnn-T scaffolds can recruit γ-tubulin complexes that are capable

of nucleating and anchoring microtubules.

Phosphorylation of a conserved helix within the CAI domain, including a conserved

Polo kinase site, helps relieve auto-inhibition

The data above shows that the extreme N-terminal CAI domain of Cnn-C inhibits binding to

γ-tubulin complexes. Given the similar length of the CAI and CM1 domains, we speculated

that the CAI domain may fold back over and inhibit the CM1 domain (Figure 4A). Given that

Cnn-C recruits γ-TuRCs to centrosomes (Zhang and Megraw, 2007; Conduit et al., 2014b)

and that Cnn-C is phosphorylated specifically at centrosomes and not within the cytosol

(Conduit et al., 2014a), we reasoned that CAI domain inhibition could be relieved by

phosphorylation, with negatively charged phosphate groups driving the separation of the CAI

and CM1 domains (Figure 4A). We therefore used sequence alignments and secondary

structure predictions of amino acids 1 to ~255 of Cnn-C from various *Drosophila* species to

search bioinformatically for potential phosphorylation sites (Figure S3). We identified three

putative phosphorylation "patches" based on a high concentration of often conserved serine

and threonine residues (Figure 4A; Figure S3). Patch 1 (P1) and Patch 2 (P2) lie within the

CAI domain and so are absent from Cnn-T; Patch 3 (P3) lies within the CM1 domain and so

is conserved between all Cnn isoforms. P1 also represented the only predicted structured

region within the CAI domain, corresponding to an α-helix (Figure S3).

We purified MBP-tagged N-terminal fragments of Cnn-C containing phosphomimetic
mutations in all serine and threonine residues within either P1 (MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1}), P2 (MBP-
Cnn-C-N^{P2}), P3 (MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P3}), or in all three patches (MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1-3}). We then
assessed how much γ-tubulin associated with these fragments compared with the original
MBP-Cnn-C-N (low binding) and MBP-Cnn-T-N (high binding) fragments when performing
anti-MBP immunoprecipitation. We found that MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1} bound more γ-tubulin than
MBP-Cnn-C-N, but less than MBP-Cnn-T-N (Figure 4B). MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P2} also bound more γ-
tubulin than MBP-Cnn-C-N, although not as much as MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1} (Figure 4B). In contrast
to P1 and P2, introducing mimetic mutations into P3 appeared to impede γ-TuRC binding.
Firstly, MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P3} bound less γ-tubulin compared to MBP-Cnn-C-N, and secondly MBP-
Cnn-C-N^{P1-3} bound less γ-tubulin compared to MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1} (Figure 4B), suggesting that
the phosphomimetic insertions in P3 counteracted the positive effect of the phosphomimetic
insertions in P1 and P2. Quantifying γ-tubulin band intensities across 5 experiments showed
that while MBP-Cnn-C-N immunoprecipitated ~18% of γ-tubulin compared to MBP-Cnn-T-N,
MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1} immunoprecipitated ~44% of γ-tubulin (Figure 4D). Thus, the data suggest
that phosphorylation of one or more sites within P1, and to a lesser extent P2, helps relieve
CAI domain-mediated auto-inhibition of Cnn-C's CM1 domain to allow the CM1 domain to bind
to γ-tubulin complexes.
291
Given that mimicking sites within P1 gave the strongest effect, we wanted to narrow down
which site or sites within P1 were important for relieving autoinhibition. There are six serine
and threonine residues within P1 (S^{21}, S^{22}, T^{27}, T^{31}, T^{33}, S^{34}) (Figure 4A; Figure S3). T^{27} is a
putative Polo site based on the surrounding residues that match the L/Φ-E/D/N/Q-X-S/T-Φ
consensus motif of Plk1 (the human homologue of Polo) (Santamaria et al., 2011). Moreover,
a previous study that mutated T^{27} to alanine within Cnn-C constructs reported microtubule
defects at centrosomes in *Drosophila* embryos (Eisman et al., 2015). We therefore generated
three different phospho-mimetic fragments, where either the proximal three residues (S^{21}, S^{22},
T^{27}) were mimicked (MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1a}), the distal three residues (T^{31}, T^{33}, S^{34}) were mimicked
(MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1b}), or only the predicted Polo site was mimicked (MBP-Cnn-C-N^{T27}). We
found that MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1a} and MBP-Cnn-C-N^{T27} bound more γ-tubulin than MBP-Cnn-C-N,
but that this was not true of MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1b} (Figure 4C). Quantification of γ-tubulin band
intensities from 5 experimental repeats indicated that MBP-Cnn-C-N^{T27} immunoprecipitated
~34% of γ-tubulin compared to MBP-Cnn-T-N, which is more than for MBP-Cnn-C-N (~18%)
although less than when all sites within P1 are mimicked (~44%). This data suggests that
phosphorylation of multiple residues within P1, including the predicted Polo site at T^{27}, helps

to relieve CAI domain inhibition to allow binding to γ-tubulin complexes. This is consistent with

the microtubule defects previously observed at centrosomes in T^{27}A mutant *Drosophila*

embryos (Eisman et al., 2015).

Ubiquitous expression of Cnn-C containing the high binding-affinity Cnn-T N-terminal
region has a dominant negative effect and leads to fertility defects

We next wanted to test whether Cnn-C auto-inhibition is important for cell and developmental

fidelity in *Drosophila*, as previous experiments in human and fission yeast cells have shown

that expression of CM1 domain fragments leads to ectopic cytosolic microtubule nucleation

(Choi et al., 2010; Lynch et al., 2014; Hanafusa et al., 2015; Cota et al., 2017). We generated

a transgenic fly line by random insertion of a ubiquitously-driven untagged Cnn-C construct in

which its N-terminal region had been replaced with the N-terminal region of Cnn-T (pUbq-Cnn-

C^T) (Figure 5A). We decided to use the N-terminal region of Cnn-T, rather than simply

removing the CAI domain or introducing phospho-mimetic mutations, as our *in vivo* and *in vitro*

assays had shown that this would allow the strongest and most consistent binding. As a control

we generated a line ubiquitously expressing untagged wild-type Cnn-C (pUbq-Cnn-C), whose

binding to cytosolic γ-tubulin complexes should be restricted by the CAI domain.

Consistent with the prediction that pUbq-Cnn-C^T would bind and activate cytosolic γ-tubulin

complexes, we found it difficult to generate a viable pUbq-Cnn-C^T line. We quickly discovered

that the pUbq-Cnn-C^T stock that we had generated was difficult to maintain and combine with

other alleles, presumably due to toxic effects caused by pUbq-Cnn-C^T expression. For

example, we were unable to generate a stock where pUbq-Cnn-C^T was expressed in a *cnn*

mutant background. Thus, all of the following experiments were performed with the pUbq

constructs expressed in the presence of endogenous Cnn.

We first tested the fertility rates of males and females bred at 25°C, comparing them to the

pUbq-Cnn-C control stock. We quantified the hatching rate of embryos that were generated

when pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T males or females were crossed to *w^{1118}* "wild-type" flies.

Young (0-1 week old) pUbq-Cnn-C^T females produced embryos of which only 55% hatched,

compared to an average hatching rate of ~85% for embryos from young pUbq-Cnn-C females

(Figure 5B; p<0.001). Hatching rates for older (1-2 week old) females of both genotypes were

similar to the younger females (52.2% for pUbq-Cnn-C^T, 74.8% for pUbq-Cnn-C) and were

also significantly different from each other (Figure 5B; p<0.001). An even larger reduction in

hatching rate between pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T flies was observed when crossing

males. When young (0-1 week old) pUbq-Cnn-C^T males were crossed to wild-type females only 40.3% of embryos hatched, compared to 84.7% when crossing pUbq-Cnn-C males (Figure 5B; p<0.001). When older (1-2 week old) pUbq-Cnn-C^T males were crossed to wild-type females only 9.9% of embryos hatched, compared to 90.5% for pUbq-Cnn-C males (Figure 5B; p<0.001). Thus, the ability of both males and females to generate progeny is reduced when ubiquitously expressing Cnn-C^T compared to Cnn-C, and males are more strongly affected.

Given this difference between females and males, we tested whether the expression of the two different pUbq constructs varies within female and male germlines. We used two different Cnn antibodies to probe western blots for Cnn protein: one raised against the N-terminal half of Cnn-C (aa1-660), the other against the C-terminal half (aa661-1147). The N-terminal antibody recognises fewer unspecific bands on western blots, but its ability to bind Cnn-C^T could be affected by the differences in the extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-T. Western blotting extracts from wild-type, pUbq-Cnn-C, and pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos or testes with either the N-terminal or C-terminal Cnn-C antibody showed that each extract contained a Cnn-C band at ~150kDa (Figure 5C). This band represents the endogenous form of Cnn-C in both the wild-type and pUbq-Cnn-C^T extracts (black arrowheads, Figure 5C), but is a combination of endogenous Cnn-C and pUbq-driven Cnn-C in the pUbq-Cnn-C extract (blue arrowhead, Figure 5C), explaining why this band is much stronger in the pUbq-Cnn-C extract lanes. The lower band in the pUbq-Cnn-C^T extract lanes is of an appropriate size for the slightly smaller Cnn-C^T protein (red arrowheads, Figure 5C). The C-terminal antibody generated a weaker, non-specific band of similar size to the Cnn-C^T band, which could be observed in the wild-type and pUbq-Cnn-C lanes (asterisks). The unspecific nature of this band was highlighted by its absence on membranes probed with the N-terminal Cnn antibody. Nevertheless, to confirm that the lower bands in the pUbq-Cnn-C^T lanes do indeed represent Cnn-C^T, we generated an isoform-specific antibody raised against a short peptide within the isoform-specific extreme N-terminal exon of Cnn-T (anti-Cnn-T^N). This antibody recognised a single band of the expected size only in the pUbq-Cnn-C^T extract lanes (Figure 5C), thus confirming the identity of the Cnn-C^T band.

Importantly, there was a clear difference in the relative levels of pUbq-Cnn-C^T between embryo and testes extracts. In the embryo extracts, the pUbq-Cnn-C^T band was much weaker than the endogenous Cnn-C band, which is unusual for pUbq-driven Cnn constructs (P. Conduit unpublished observations); presumably the intensity of the pUbq-Cnn-C^T band

observed when using the C-terminal antibody would have been even lower were it not for the overlapping unspecific band recognised by this antibody. Indeed, the relative band intensity of pUbq-Cnn-C^T is much lower when using the N-terminal antibody, although this could also reflect a difference in the ability of this antibody to recognise the modified N-terminal region of pUbq-Cnn-C^T. In contrast to embryo extracts, the pUbq-Cnn-C^T band was of a similar intensity to, if not higher than, the endogenous Cnn-C band in the testes extracts. We therefore conclude that, relative to endogenous Cnn-C, pUbq-Cnn-C^T is weakly expressed within the maternal germline but is expressed to levels similar to endogenous Cnn within the testes. While other factors could be involved, such as cell-specific effects of CM1 domain binding on γ-tubulin complexes, differences in expression levels of pUbq-Cnn-C^T between cells could explain the difference in the ability of male and female flies to generate progeny. Consistent with this, it was easier to maintain the pUbq-Cnn-C^T stock at lower temperatures (where expression is likely reduced).

pUbq-Cnn-C^T binds to cytosolic γ-tubulin complexes with a higher affinity than pUbq-Cnn-C

We performed IP experiments to confirm that pUbq-Cnn-C^T binds γ-TuRCs more efficiently than pUbq-Cnn-C, which would presumably account for the toxic effects. We tried using beads coated with the anti-Cnn-T^N antibody but found that it did not pull down any protein (data not shown), presumably as this antibody was raised against a peptide antigen and recognises only denatured pUbq-Cnn-C^T on western blots. We therefore used beads coupled to Cnn-C C-terminal antibodies, which should recognise both pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T equally well. In order to compare the amount of γ-tubulin bound by each type of Cnn molecule, we adjusted gel loading to reflect the differences in the expression levels of pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T within embryos. We found that more γ-tubulin was immunoprecipitated with pUbq-Cnn-C^T than with pUbq-Cnn-C, as expected (Figure 5D, right panels).

Mis-regulation of binding to γ-tubulin complexes results in ectopic microtubule nucleation and defects during cell division

The failure to generate normal numbers of progeny suggested that ectopic binding of Cnn to γ-tubulin complexes leads to cellular defects during germline or embryo development. We therefore carried out immunostainings to examine directly any potential defects. We first fixed and stained oocytes for markers of polarity, where specific microtubule arrangements are required to establish and maintain polarity (Bastock and Johnston, 2008). In wild-type oocytes, the nucleus is positioned in the dorsal corner from stage 8 to 10 and Staufen protein localises

in the centre of the oocyte at stage 8 and then at the posterior in stage 9 and 10. This was

true of all pUbq-Cnn-C (n=35, stage 8; n=35, stage 9; n=30, stage 10) and all pUbq-Cnn-C^T

(n=40, stage 8; n=50, stage 9; n=40, stage 10) oocytes (Figure S4A,B). Gurken protein is

normally positioned close to the nucleus in the dorsal corner of the oocyte and its mis-

positioning or its absence results in abnormal dorsal appendages that protrude from the

surface of the egg. Once again, we found that Gurken protein was localised normally in all

pUbq-Cnn-C (n=30) and all pUbq-Cnn-C^T (n=35) stage 9 oocytes (Figure S4C), and the dorsal

appendages were normal on all pUbq-Cnn-C (n=724) and all pUbq-Cnn-C^T (n=488) eggs. We

therefore conclude that there are no severe microtubule organisation defects in pUbq-Cnn-C^T

oocytes and that polarity is normally established.

We next stained early embryos laid by either wild-type, pUbq-Cnn-C, or pUbq-Cnn-C^T females

for DNA, microtubules, and γ-tubulin. Prior to cellularisation, embryos go through 13 rounds

of rapid and near-synchronous mitotic divisions within a syncytium. Centrosomes are

constantly in a "mature" state and centrosomal microtubules help coordinate rearrangements

of the actin network that in turn ensures the correct spacing of nuclei and microtubule-based

spindles. In theory, ectopic nucleation of cytosolic microtubules could interfere with this

process, as well as spindle formation. We therefore performed a blind analysis of pUbq-Cnn-

C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos categorising each embryo into those with severe, moderate, mild,

or no defects, depending on both the broadness of defects across the embryo and how severe

individual defects appeared. We found that a higher percentage of both pUbq-Cnn-C and

pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos fell into the severe and moderate categories compared to wild-type

embryos, but that a higher proportion of pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos than pUbq-Cnn-C embryos

fell into the severe category (Figure 5E). Broadly, the categorisation reflects the observed

hatching rates in Figure 5B. Cytosolic non-centrosomal microtubules appeared to be present

within ~10.8% of pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos, but this was very similar in pUbq-Cnn-C embryos

(~9.6%). Nevertheless, this suggests that ectopic binding of γ-tubulin complexes by Cnn

(either due to the presence of unregulated Cnn-C^T or due to overexpression of Cnn-C) can

lead to ectopic microtubule nucleation in at least a fraction of embryos.

To directly test whether Cnn binding to γ-tubulin complexes could initiate ectopic cytosolic

microtubule nucleation within embryos, we injected mRNA encoding the N-terminal region of

Cnn-T tagged with GFP (GFP-Cnn-T-N) into unfertilised eggs expressing the microtubule

marker Jupiter-mCherry. We injected into eggs rather than fertilised embryos to avoid

complications caused by centrosomes and mitotic figures that would have been present in

developing embryos. Strikingly, live imaging revealed the presence of highly dynamic microtubules throughout the cytosol within eggs injected with GFP-Cnn-T-N mRNA that were not observed within eggs injected with water (Video 5). Thus, it appears that binding of Cnn to γ-tubulin complexes can stimulate ectopic microtubule nucleation within these eggs/embryos, similar to how binding of the CM1 domain can stimulate ectopic cytosolic microtubule nucleation within human cells (Choi et al., 2010; Cota et al., 2017; Hanafusa et al., 2015) and fission yeast (Lynch et al., 2014).

We next examined testes from pUbq-Cnn-C^T males, which were less able to generate progeny than pUbq-Cnn-C^T females. Production of sperm involves a series of mitotic and meiotic cell divisions followed by sperm elongation within the testes (Fabian and Brill, 2012). Mutations in *cnn* or γ-TuRC genes lead to defects during the two meiotic divisions (Vogt et al., 2006; Li et al., 1998). These defects can be quickly revealed by using phase contrast microscopy to examine round spermatids, which are the cells produced immediately after the meiotic divisions. Round spermatids exist as interconnected cysts of 64 cells with each cell normally containing a single round phase-light nucleus and a single round phase-dark mitochondrial derivative (nebenkern) of similar size (Figure 5G). Problems in spindle formation can lead to mis-segregation of chromosomes during meiosis (karyokinesis defects), and this can result in nuclei of variable size between different cells within the same cyst and, when major problems occur, to cells containing multiple or no nuclei. Cytokinesis defects can also result in cells containing the wrong number of nuclei. Nebenkerns assemble from mitochondria that are also equally distributed by the spindle during cell division, and so defects in spindle formation and cytokinesis also lead to variations in nebenkern size and number. We therefore quantified and compared the variability in nuclear size within cysts (as a marker of karyokinesis defects) and the nucleus:nebenkern ratio (as a marker of major karyokinesis defects and/or cytokinesis defects). We found that the variability in nuclear size was larger within pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes compared to pUbq-Cnn-C testes (Figure 5H). Moreover, while the nucleus:nebenkern ratio was always close to 1 in pUbq-Cnn-C testes, it varied between 0.5 and 1.75 in pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes (Figure 5H). Thus, major karyokinesis and cytokinesis defects exist within pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes.

To examine this more directly, we fixed and stained the testes for DNA, microtubules, and the centriole marker Asterless (Asl). Consistent with the phase contrast imaging of round spermatids, we observed examples of major karyokinesis and cytokinesis defects during meiosis in pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes (Figure 5I; Figure S5). For example, the middle panel of Figure

5I shows a pUbq-Cnn-C^T cell in telophase of meiosis I where cleavage furrow ingression had

failed or was delayed and where both sets of daughter chromosomes had ended up on the

same side of the cell, rather than being partitioned between the two future daughter cells. The

right panel shows an example of a cell in metaphase of meiosis II that contained two spindles

(instead of one) with one centriole at each pole. Presumably this cell had failed cytokinesis

after meiosis I. This cell also contained ectopic clusters of microtubules within the cytosol away

from the centrosomes, suggesting that microtubules had been nucleation randomly within the

cytosol. These ectopic clusters of microtubules were observed in other pUbq-Cnn-C^T

spermatocytes at different cell cycle stages (Figure S5). We conclude that expressing pUbq-

Cnn-C^T, which can bind γ-tubulin complexes away from centrosomes, leads to major defects

during male meiosis due to ectopic nucleation of microtubules within the cytosol.

Discussion

We propose a molecular model for the spatiotemporal regulation of γ-tubulin complex binding by Cnn-C during cell division in *Drosophila*. In this model, Cnn-C is prevented from binding γ-tubulin complexes within the cytosol by its extreme N-terminal region that we name the CM1-autoinhibition (CAI) domain. We propose that the CAI domain folds back to sterically inhibit the CM1 domain, and that this inhibition is relieved only once Cnn-C is recruited to centrosomes and phosphorylated at sites within the CAI domain, including the predicted Polo site T^{27}. This mechanism explains why Cnn-C does not normally bind γ-Tubulin complexes within the cytosol, which can lead to ectopic microtubule nucleation and major defects during cell division.

In contrast to Cnn-C, we show that the testes-specific isoform of Cnn, Cnn-T, binds cytosolic γ-tubulin complexes with high affinity. We now show that this difference is largely due to the presence of the CAI domain at the extreme N-terminus of Cnn-C, which is absent from Cnn-T due to differential exon splicing. Removal of the CAI domain from Cnn-C increases binding to cytosolic γ-TuRCs. Moreover, phospho-mimicking sites in a conserved α-helix within the CAI domain, including the putative Polo kinase site at T^{27}, also allows increased binding to γ-tubulin complexes, presumably by relieving the auto-inhibition to some degree. We previously showed that Cnn-C is phosphorylated only at centrosomes during cell division with Polo phosphorylating sites within the central PReM domain to drive Cnn oligomerisation and centrosome scaffold assembly (Conduit et al., 2014a; Feng et al., 2017). Our new data presented here suggests that Polo also phosphorylates the CAI domain, presumably specifically at centrosomes, to spatially regulate binding to γ-tubulin complexes. Coupling of centrosome scaffolding and γ-tubulin complex binding via Polo phosphorylation provides an elegant way for the cell to control proper spindle formation during cell division.

While phospho mimicking residues within P1 quantifiably increased binding to γ-tubulin complexes, binding was less efficient than with N-terminal fragments of Cnn-T. There are several possibilities for this. Cnn-C may never bind as strongly to γ-tubulin complexes as Cnn-T, perhaps because other proteins can support recruitment of γ-tubulin complexes to the centrosome. It is possible that a very particular combination of phosphorylation events within P1, or P1 and P2, must occur, and that we have not yet tried those combinations. Perhaps the phospho-mimetic mutations do not fully mimic natural phosphorylation events, which is anecdotally common. Alternatively, other regulatory events, possibly dependent on other proteins, may need to occur. These events could help the unfolding of the CAI domain or they

could mediate the binding of the CAI domain to γ-tubulin complexes after unfolding occurs.
We note that phospho-mimicking either all sites within P1, or T27 alone, did not result in
increased recruitment of γ-tubulin to Cnn scaffolds in the majority of eggs in our *in vivo*
recruitment assay (data not shown). It is therefore possible that in the presence of full length
Cnn-C that is oligomerising into scaffolds, other regulatory events become even more
important. Nevertheless, our data still support a model in which phosphorylation of residues
within P1, including T^{27}, help to relieve CAI-domain mediated auto-inhibition.

While T^{27} has not been identified in phospho-mapping mass spectrometry (Dinkel et al., 2010;
Zhai et al., 2008; Conduit et al., 2014a; Bodenmiller et al., 2008), it has previously been
identified bioinformatically as a potential Polo kinase site (Eisman et al., 2015). In their study,
the authors mutated T^{27} and an adjacent putative Polo docking site (S^{22}) to prevent putative
phosphorylation and found defects in microtubule organisation at centrosomes in *Drosophila*
syncytial embryos (Eisman et al., 2015), consistent with our model. While we have
demonstrated that phospho-mimicking T^{27} is sufficient to increase binding to γ-tubulin
complexes, we have so far been unable to phosphorylate T^{27} *in vitro* using recombinant human
Plk1 kinase. We suspect that this could be because other phosphorylation events must first
occur, such as phosphorylation of S^{22} within the putative Polo docking site, or that human Plk1
cannot replace fly Polo in these *in vitro* assays.

It will be interesting to see whether the CAI domain is functionally conserved through evolution.
While sequence similarity outside the CM1 domain is very low, CM1-domain proteins from
different species tend to contain extended N-terminal regions (Lin et al., 2014b), and the
lengths of these regions can vary between isoforms, similar to the length differences between
Cnn-C and Cnn-T. A study analysing budding yeast Spc110 showed that phosphorylation of
Cdk1 and Mps1 phosphorylation sites in the region proximal to the CM1 domain promoted
binding to γ-TuSCs and subsequent oligomerisation of γ-TuSCs *in vitro* (Lin et al., 2014b),
although a later study questioned the effect of these phosphorylation sites (Lyon et al., 2016).
The binding between human CDK5RAP2 and γ-TuRCs also appears to be regulated by
phosphorylation. It was shown that depletion of LLRK1 kinase, which functions downstream
of Plk1, reduced the ability of N-terminal fragments of CDK5RAP2 (aa51-200) to co-
immunoprecipitate γ-tubulin from HEK293 cells, and also reduced the ability of these
fragments to promote ectopic microtubule nucleation within the cytosol (which is dependent
on γ-TuRC binding) (Hanafusa et al., 2015). In contrast to *Drosophila* Cnn-C, however, the
phosphorylation site identified as being important for γ-TuRC binding is located downstream

of the CM1 domain (S140). Nevertheless, it remains possible that this downstream region, in

place of, or in combination with, the region upstream of the CM1 domain, could fold back over

the CM1 domain and function in an equivalent manner to the CAI domain within *Drosophila*

Cnn. Clearly, CDK5RAP2 must somehow be prevented from binding cytosolic γ-TuRCs, and

this will have to be addressed in future.

While we have focussed on how the binding between Cnn-C and γ-tubulin complexes is

regulated during cell division, our data also highlights differences in how binding is regulated

between cell types and MTOCs. We have shown both here and previously (Tovey et al., 2018)

that the testes specific Cnn-T isoform, which lacks the CAI domain, binds efficiently to γ-tubulin

complexes in the apparent absence of any upstream regulatory events. Cnn-T is expressed

primarily within developing sperm cells and isoform-specific C-terminal exons mediate its

recruitment to mitochondria, where it binds and recruits γ-tubulin complexes (Chen et al.,

2017). The mitochondrial surface is very different from mature centrosomes, which

concentrate a selection of kinases, including Polo. It therefore seems appropriate that Cnn-T

isoforms splice out the exons that comprise the CAI domain to ensure that phosphorylation is

not required for γ-tubulin complex binding. Presumably, binding and potential activation of γ-

tubulin complexes within the shrinking cytosol of developing sperm cells is not detrimental to

sperm development (and may even be important for amplifying cytoplasmic microtubules),

unlike in dividing cells where our data shows that spindle formation and cytokinesis are clearly

perturbed.

Intriguingly, our data suggest that Cnn-T binds γ-tubulin complexes with a higher affinity than

a truncated version of Cnn-C lacking the inhibitory CAI domain. This shows that the Cnn-T

specific extreme N-terminal exon (red in Figure 1A) promotes binding. It also suggests that

binding within sperm cells might be stronger than binding at centrosomes within dividing cells.

Our preferred interpretation, however, is that the CAI domain, once unfolded from the CM1

domain, may promote γ-tubulin complex binding once its inhibitory role has been relieved,

possibly by direct binding or by binding to other proteins that support γ-tubulin complex

binding. This is consistent with observations in budding yeast, where removal of SPC110's N-

terminal region up to the CM1 domain reduces its binding affinity to γ-TuSCs (Lyon et al.,

2016).

There is currently some debate as to how the binding of CM1-domain proteins influences the

activity of γ-tubulin complexes. There is good evidence from different labs that expression of

human N-terminal CDK5RAP2 fragments containing either a part of or the whole CM1 domain
results in random microtubule nucleation throughout the cytosol (Choi et al., 2010; Muroyama
et al., 2016; Hanafusa et al., 2015). This effect is dependent on the ability of these fragments
to bind γ-tubulin complexes within the cytosol (Choi et al., 2010) and on LRKK1 kinase, which
facilitates binding (Hanafusa et al., 2015). Thus, binding of cytosolic γ-tubulin complexes by
CDK5RAP2 appears to promote the ability of the γ-tubulin complexes to nucleate
microtubules. This is also true in fission yeast, where a truncated form of Mto1 lacking MTOC
localisation domains but containing the CM1 domain formed puncta within the cytosol that
nucleated microtubules (Lynch et al., 2014). In *Drosophila*, ectopic expression of Cnn-T in
cultured fly cells converts mitochondria to MTOCs capable of nucleating microtubules (Chen
et al., 2017). Based on these studies, the CM1 domain has been regarded as an activator of
γ-tubulin complexes. Two recent papers, however, reported only a small increase in
microtubule nucleation from purified human or *Xenopus* γ-TuRCs after addition of CM1-
domain fragments (Liu et al., 2019; Thawani et al., 2020). Moreover, recent cryo-EM data
shows no evidence for structural changes when purified human or *Xenopus* γ-TuRCs are
bound by CM1-domain fragments (Wieczorek et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Nevertheless, one
of these studies did show that adding CM1-domain fragments to purified γ-TuRCs within
Xenopus egg extracts supplemented with activated Ran resulted in a dramatic increase in
microtubule aster formation (Liu et al., 2019), suggesting that specific conditions may be
required for the activating ability of the CM1 domain. Taken together, the data from different
reports suggest that binding of the CM1 domain can increase the propensity of γ-tubulin
complexes to nucleate microtubules, but how this occurs and whether it is context specific
remains unclear.
623
The idea that CM1-domain binding may promote γ-tubulin complex activity in a context-
specific manner is consistent with our *in vivo* data showing that ectopic binding of cytosolic γ-
TuRCs by Cnn leads to major defects during male meiosis but apparently to less severe
defects in embryos and no obvious polarity defects in oocytes. These differences, however,
could be due to the observed differences in expression levels of pUbq-Cnn-C^T between cell
types. They may also be due to variation in the ability of different cell types to cope with
increased cytosolic microtubule nucleation. For example, signals that control cleavage plane
positioning and furrow ingression during cytokinesis are mediated by direct contacts between
both astral microtubules and central spindle microtubules and the cell cortex (D'Avino et al.,
2015). It is easy to imagine how ectopically nucleated microtubules, that could interact at
random with the cell cortex, could interfere with cytokinesis of spermatocytes during

Drosophila male meiosis. Oocytes that already contain a large number of microtubules
throughout the cytosol and early embryos where nuclei divide within a syncytium may be less
severely affected.
638
639   In summary, we have revealed molecular details that help explain how microtubule nucleation
640   is spatially controlled to ensure fidelity of cell division and development. Our work encourages
641   future research to investigate how binding of γ-tubulin complexes by CM1-domain proteins is
642   regulated in other species and how this binding leads to microtubule nucleation.
643

Materials and Methods

DNA cloning

5-alpha competent *E. coli* cells (high efficiency, NEB) were used for bacterial transformations. DNA fragments were purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction kits (Qiagen); plasmid purification was performed using QIAprep Spin Miniprep kits (Qiagen). Phusion high-fidelity PCR master mix with HF buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used for PCRs.

Transgenic *Drosophila* lines

All endogenously-tagged lines were made using CRISPR combined with homologous recombination, by combining the presence of a homology-repair vector containing the desired insert with the appropriate guide RNAs and Cas9. The γ-tubulin37C-mCherry and Grip128-sfGFP alleles were generated by inDroso. For γ-tubulin37C-mCherry, eggs from nos-Cas9 expressing females were co-injected with a plasmid encoding the expression of dual guides targeting each side of the 3'UTR, TACACATATCAAGATACATG and CCCAGATCGATTATCCCCAG, and a plasmid containing a SSSS-mCherry-3'UTR-LoxP-3xP3-dsRED-Lox P cassette flanked by homology arms (the multi-serine insert acts as a flexible linker). After screening for dsRED, the selection marker was excised by Cre recombination. For Grip128-sfGFP, eggs from nos-Cas9 expressing females were co-injected with a plasmid encoding the expression of a single guide containing the target sequence ATGGGGCACACTGGAGTTGA and with a pBluescript plasmid containing sfGFP and linker sequence (4X GlyGlySer) flanked on either side by 1.5kb of DNA homologous to the genomic locus surrounding the 3' end of the appropriate coding region. The homology vector was made within the lab (and sent to InDroso) by HiFi assembly (NEB) of PCR fragments generated from genomic DNA prepared from nos-Cas9 flies (using MicroLYSIS, Microzone) and a vector containing the sfGFP tag (DGRC, 1314). Screening for the insert was performed with the following primers: AGGAAGATGCGAACACACGT and GTACAGCTCATCCATGCCCA.

The Grip75-sfGFP and Grip163-sfGFP lines were made within the lab following a similar approach to that used previously (Tovey et al., 2018; Mukherjee et al., 2020). Flies expressing a single guide RNA containing the target sequence CAAAAACATCGTATTCATG or ACCACTATTACAAGGTATCT for Grip75-sfGFP or Grip163-sfGFP, respectively, were crossed to nos-Cas9 expressing females and the resulting embryos were injected with homology vectors by the Department of Genetics Fly Facility, Cambridge, UK. The homology vectors comprised a pBluescript plasmid containing sfGFP and linker sequence (4X

GlyGlySer) flanked on either side by 1.5kb of DNA homologous to the genomic locus surrounding the 3' end of the appropriate coding region. The homology vectors were made as for Grip128-sfGFP. F1 and F2 males were screened by PCR using the following primers: for Grip75-sfGFP: GAGAAGTTTGCGCATATGACCC and AGCAGCACCATGTGATCGCGC; for Grip163-sfGFP: AGTCGCAGTCCTTTATTGTGG and AGCAGCACCATGTGATCGCGC.

pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T were made from a pDONR-Cnn-C vector (gift from Jordan Raff). To generate a Cnn-T-specific N-terminal region of Cnn, an appropriate DNA fragment (made by Genewiz, based on the FlyBase sequence of Cnn-T) was synthesised and amplified by PCR and used to replace the N-terminal region of Cnn in a pDONR-Cnn-C vector cut with XmaI. The pDONR-Cnn-C and newly made pDONR-Cnn-T vectors were then inserted into a pUbq transformation vector (gift from Jordan Raff) by Gateway cloning (ThermoFisher Scientific). All DNA vectors were injected into embryos by the Department of Genetics Fly Facility, Cambridge, UK.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

Fragments of Cnn-C-N and Cnn-T-N were amplified from the pDONR-Cnn-C and pDONR-Cnn-T vectors described above by PCR and inserted into a pDEST-HisMBP (Addgene, #11085) vector by Gateway cloning (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were expressed in *E. coli* (BL21-DE3) and purified using affinity chromatography. MBP-tagged fragments were purified by gravity flow through amylose resin (New England Biolabs) and step elution in maltose. The concentration of each fraction was determined on a Nanodrop and peak fractions were diluted 1:1 with glycerol and stored at -20°C.

Phosphomimetic fragments were created by modifying the pDONR-Cnn-C-N entry clone. The backbone was linearised by PCR or by digestion, omitting the phospho-patch to be replaced, and phosphomimetic patches in which all S/T residues were swapped for D/E residues, respectively, were synthesised by PCR using two overlapping primers and were inserted by NEB HiFi Assembly. The entry clones were checked by restriction enzyme digest and sequencing before being inserted into the pDEST-HisMBP destination vector via a Gateway reaction.

Truncated fragments of Cnn-C were made by modification of the pDONR-Cnn-C-N entry clone. The N-terminal region was removed by a Quikchange reaction (Agilent), and the

714 resulting shortened fragment was inserted into the pDEST-HisMBP destination vector via a
715 Gateway reaction.
716
pRNA vectors were made by modification of the pDONR-Cnn-C-PReM[P] vector containing
phospho-mimetic mutations in the PReM domain (Conduit et al., 2014a). N-terminal variants
were introduced by restriction digests (SspI-HF and AatII) of pDONR-Cnn-C, pDONR-Cnn-T,
and Cnn-C-PReM[P] entry clones. Fragments were combined as necessary by NEB HiFi
assembly to create new pDONR vectors, which were inserted into a pRNA-GFP or pRNA-
mKate destination vector (Conduit et al., 2014a) via a Gateway reaction. The Cnn-T-N
fragment was inserted directly into pRNA-GFP destination vectors via Gateway cloning.
724

725 **Primers**

	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Cnn-C-N fragment	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTAATGGACCAGTCTAAACAGGTTTTGC	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTTCTATAGGCGCTCGGCCAAC
Cnn-T-N fragment	GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTAATGAATAGTAATCGAACGTCGTCTTCG	GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTTCTATAGGCGCTCGGCCAAC
Cnn-C-N[P1] insert	GCGGGACTATTGCGGCGACGGCAATGGTACCTGTGCAGACGACTTGAAGGAAATCGAGTTAATTGAGGAGGTGG	GCAGGACCCTTCTGTCGATTTCGGCGGCGCCATTCTCCTCCAGGAAGTCCTCCACCTCCTCAATTAACTCGATTTCC
Cnn-C-N[P2] insert	CCTGCGCAAACTAGCCGAGGCACTGGACAAAGACATAGACGACGAGGACCCGGGAGCCCTGCAAGATGTCG	CGCCTGGAGGTCGTGGAACGTCAAAGTCGGCATAGTCGTTCTCCATCTCGACATCTTGCAGGGCTCCCGGGTCC
Cnn-C-N[P3] insert	GGGTCAGCCGGGTGCCCGGGCAGACGACGACGAGGAAGACTTAGACAAACAGCTCATCGATGCCAAGATCGAAATCGC	CCTTGAGCAGCTCCATCTTTACATCGACCTCTTTTCTCAACTCCGCGATTTCGATCTTGGCATCGATGAGC
Cnn-C-N[P1a] insert	GCGGGACTATTGCGGCGACGGCAATGGTACCTGTGCAGACGACTTGAAGGAAATCGAGTTAATTGAGACCGTGA	GGACCCTTCTGTCGATTTCGGCGGCGCCATTCTCCTCCAGGAAACTGGTCACGGTCTCAATTAACTCGATTTCCTTC

Cnn-C-N^P1b insert	GCGGGACTATTGCGGCGACGG CAATGGTACCTGTGCATCGTCC TTGAAGGAAATCACCTTAATTG	GGACCCTTCTGTCGATTTCGGCG GCGCCATTCTCCTCCAGGAAGTC CTCCACCTCCTCAATTAAGGTGA TTTCCTTC
Cnn-C-N^T27 insert	GCGGGACTATTGCGGCGACGG CAATGGTACCTGTGCATCGTCC TTGAAGGAAATCGAGTTAATTG AGACCGTGA	GGACCCTTCTGTCGATTTCGGCG GCGCCATTCTCCTCCAGGAAACT GGTCACGGTCTCAATTAACTCGA TTTCCTTC
Cnn-C-N^Δ1-77	GCCAACTTTGTACAAAAAAGCA GGCTTAATGGCCAGTTTTGACG TTCC	GGAACGTCAAAACTGGCCATTAA GCCTGCTTTTTTGTACAAAGTTG GC

Immunoprecipitation

1g/ml of embryos were homogenised with a hand-pestle in homogenisation buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH7.6, 1mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM KCl supplemented with PMSF 1:100, Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (1:100, Sigma Aldrich) and DTT (1M, 1:1000). Extracts were clarified by centrifugation twice for 15 minutes at 16,000 rcf at 4°C.

For the MBP-Cnn fragment IPs, 30 µl magnetic ProteinA dynabeads (Life Technologies) coupled to anti-MBP antibodies (gift from Jordan Raff) were incubated with an excess of purified MBP-Cnn fragments and rotated for 1 hour at 4°C. Unbound fragments were washed off in PBST, and the saturated beads were resuspended in 100 µl embryo extract and rotated at 4°C overnight. Beads were washed 5 times for 1 min each in PBST, boiled in 2x sample buffer, and separated from the sample using a magnet. Samples were analysed by western blotting as described below.

For the Grip-GFP IPs, 20 µl high-capacity ProteinA beads (Abcam) coupled to anti-MBP antibodies (gift from Jordan Raff) were incubated with an excess of purified MBP-Cnn fragments and rotated at 4°C for 1 hour. Unbound fragments were washed off in PBST and the saturated beads were resuspended in 65 µl embryo extract and rotated at 4°C overnight. Beads were washed 5 times for 1 min each in PBST, boiled in 2x sample buffer, and separated from the sample by centrifugation. Samples were analysed by western blotting as described below.

For the IPs from pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryo extract, 50 µl magnetic ProteinA

dynabeads (Life Technologies) coupled to anti-Cnn (C-terminal) antibodies (gift from Jordan

Raff) were rotated at 4°C for 1 hour. Unbound fragments were washed off in PBST and the

saturated beads were resuspended in 100 µl embryo extract and rotated at 4°C overnight.

Beads were washed 5 times for 1 min each in PBST, boiled in 2x sample buffer, and separated

from the sample using a magnet. Samples were analysed by western blotting as described

below.

Electrophoresis and western blotting

Samples were run on 4-20% TGX Precast Gels (BioRad) (except Figure 5C and D, in which

samples were run on 7.5% TGX Precast gels (BioRad)), alongside 5µl Precision Plus

WesternC Standard markers (BioRad). For western blotting, semi-dry blotting was carried out

using TransBlot Turbo 0.2µm nitrocellulose membrane transfer packs (BioRad), and a

TransBlot Turbo transfer system running at 1.3A, up to 25V, for 7 minutes (BioRad mixed

molecular weight pre-set programme). Membranes were stained with Ponceau, washed, first

with distilled water then with milk solution (PSBT + 4% milk powder), and then blocked in milk

solution for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections of blots were incubated with primary

antibodies as indicated in figures (antibodies found in table). Blots were incubated with

horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse, anti-rabbit, or anti-sheep secondary

antibodies (1:2000 in PSBT + 4% milk powder, ImmunoReagents) as appropriate for 45 mins

at room temperature, washed in PSBT for 3 times for 15 mins each, and then incubated with

ECL substrate (BioRad ECL Clarity or ThermoFisher SuperSignal West Femto Max) for 5

minutes. Membranes were imaged using a Kodak Image Station 4000R.

Mass spectrometry

Samples were run 1 cm into the lanes of 4-20% TGX Precast Gels (BioRad). Gels were rinsed

in dH$_2$O and lanes were excised using a clean razor blade and cut into 1mm^2 pieces on a fresh

glass slide, destained if necessary, reduced (DTT) and alkylated (iodoacetamide) and

subjected to enzymatic digestion with sequencing grade trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA)

overnight at 37°C. After digestion, the supernatant was pipetted into a sample vial and loaded

onto an autosampler for automated LC-MS/MS analysis.

LC-MS/MS. All LC-MS/MS experiments were performed using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC

nanoUPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) system and a Q Exactive

Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Separation

785 of peptides was performed by reverse-phase chromatography at a flow rate of 300 nL/min

786 using a Thermo Scientific reverse-phase nano Easy-spray column (Thermo Scientific PepMap

787 C18, 2 µm particle size, 100A pore size, 75 µm i.d. x 50cm length). Peptides were initially

788 loaded onto a pre-column (Thermo Scientific PepMap 100 C18, 5 µm particle size, 100Å pore

789 size, 300 µm i.d. x 5mm length) from the Ultimate 3000 autosampler with 0.1% formic acid for

790 3 minutes at a flow rate of 10 µL/min. After this period, the column valve was switched to allow

791 elution of peptides from the pre-column onto the analytical column. Solvent A was water +

792 0.1% formic acid and solvent B was 80% acetonitrile, 20% water + 0.1% formic acid. The

793 linear gradient employed was 2-40% B in 30 minutes. Further wash and equilibration steps

794 gave a total run time of 60 minutes.

796 The LC eluant was sprayed into the mass spectrometer by means of an Easy-Spray source

797 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). All *m/z* values of eluting ions were measured in an Orbitrap

798 mass analyzer, set at a resolution of 70000 and was scanned between *m/z* 380-1500. Data

799 dependent scans (Top 20) were employed to automatically isolate and generate fragment ions

800 by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD, NCE:25%) in the HCD collision cell and

801 measurement of the resulting fragment ions was performed in the Orbitrap analyser, set at a

802 resolution of 17500. Singly charged ions and ions with unassigned charge states were

803 excluded from being selected for MS/MS and a dynamic exclusion window of 20 seconds was

804 employed.

806 *Database searching.* Post-run, all MS/MS data were converted to mgf files and the files were

807 then submitted to the Mascot search algorithm (Matrix Science, London UK, version 2.6.0)

808 and searched against the Uniprot Drosophila_melanogaster_20180813 database (23297

809 sequences; 16110808 residues) and common contaminant sequences containing non-

810 specific proteins such as keratins and trypsin (123 sequences; 40594 residues). Variable

811 modifications of oxidation (M), deamidation (NQ) and phosphorylation (S,T and Y) were

812 applied as well a fixed modification of carbamidomethyl (C). The peptide and fragment mass

813 tolerances were set to 20ppm and 0.1 Da, respectively. A significance threshold value of

814 $p < 0.05$ and a peptide cut-off score of 20 were also applied.

816 **Antibodies**

817 Primary antibodies used in the study are indicated in the table below. For western blotting,

818 primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in PBST + 4% milk; primary antibodies were

819 diluted at concentrations indicated in the table; secondary antibodies were diluted at 1:2000.

For immunostaining, primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in PBS + 0.1% Triton
(PBT) + 5% BSA; primary antibodies were diluted at concentrations indicated in the table;
secondary antibodies (AlexaFluor 488, 561, or 633 conjugated secondary antibodies
(ThermoFisher)) were diluted at 1:1000 for testes and 1:1500 for embryos. DNA was stained
with Hoechst (Life Technologies, 33342) or DAPI.

Antibody	WB Concentration	IF Concentration	Source
α-Tubulin mouse monoclonal	-	1:1000	Sigma Aldrich, DM1a
Asl (N-terminal) guinea pig polyclonal	1:1000	1:1000	Gift from Jordan Raff
Cnn (N-terminal) rabbit monoclonal	1:1000	1:1000	Gift from Jordan Raff
Cnn (C-terminal) sheep polyclonal	1:1000	-	Gift from Jordan Raff
Cnn-T^N Rabbit polyclonal	1:500	-	This study
γ-Tubulin mouse monoclonal	1:500	1:500	Sigma Aldrich, GTU-88
γ-Tubulin rabbit polyclonal	-	1:500	Sigma Aldrich, T5192
GFP mouse monoclonal	1:250 or 1:500	1:250 or 1:500	Roche, 11814460001
Grip71 rabbit polyclonal	1:100	1:100	CRB (crb2005268)
MBP rabbit polyclonal	1:3000	-	Gift from Jordan Raff
Phospho-histone H3 rabbit polyclonal	-	1:500	Abcam, AB5176
Staufen Mouse monoclonal	-	1:100	Santa Cruz dN-16
Gurken Mouse monoclonal	-	1:200	DSHB 1D12
Lamin Dm0	-	1:30	DSHB 84.12

Immunostaining

Testes were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes, washed 3x 5
minutes in PBS and incubated in 45% and then 60% acetic acid before being squashed onto
slides and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Coverslips were removed and samples were post-
fixed in methanol at -20°C, washed 3x 15 minutes in PBS + 0.1% Triton (PBST), then

incubated overnight in a humid chamber at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in PBST + 5%
BSA + 0.02% azide. Slides were washed 3x 5 minutes in PBST and then incubated for 2 hours
at room temperature with Alexa-Fluor secondary antibodies (ThermoFisher) (all 1:1000 in
PBST + 5% BSA + 0.02% azide). Slides were washed 3x 15 minutes in PBST, 10 minutes in
PBST with Hoechst, and then 5 minutes in PBST. 10 µl of mounting medium (85% glycerol in
H_2O + 2.5% N-propyl-galate) was placed on top of the tissue and a coverslip was gently
lowered and sealed with nail varnish.

Embryos were collected within 2-3 hours of laying and were dechorionated in 60% bleach for
2 minutes. Vitelline membranes were punctured with a combination heptane and methanol +
3% EGTA (0.5M) before three washes in neat methanol. Embryos were fixed in methanol at
4°C for at least 24 hours before rehydrating. Embryos were rehydrated by washing 3x 20 mins
in PBST, then blocked in PBST + 5% BSA for 1 hour, followed by overnight incubation in
primary antibodies in PBST + 5% BSA at 4°C. Embryos were washed 3x 20 mins in PBST at
room temperature, then incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with Alexa-Fluor secondary
antibodies (ThermoFisher) (all 1:1500 in PBST + 5% BSA). Finally, embryos were washed 3x
20 mins in PBST at room temperature before being mounted in Vectashield containing DAPI
(VectorLabs).

Oocytes were dissected from 2-day-old females. For Staufen and Gurken detection, 10 to 15
ovaries were fixed with PBS buffer containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100,
washed three times for 5 mins in PBST (PBS+0.1% Triton X-100) and blocked in PBST
containing 1% BSA. Incubation with the primary antibodies (anti-Staufen, Santa Cruz; anti-
Gurken 1D12, DSHB) was performed overnight at room temperature or 4°c for Staufen and
Gurken labelling, respectively, in PBT (PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Tween 20). The
ovaries were then briefly washed three times and three times for 30 min each in BBT and
incubated for 2 hours at room temperature in Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies. The
ovaries were then washed 3x for 15 min each time in PBST, dissected, and mounted in
Citifluor (Electron Microscopy Science).

Phase contrast imaging of round spermatids
For analysis of round spermatids under phase contrast, testes were dissected in PBS,
transferred to a 50µl droplet of PBS on a slide, cut open midway along the testes and, under
observation, gently squashed under a coverslip using blotting paper.

RNA preparation and injection

pRNA vectors containing the appropriate cDNA were generated using Gateway cloning of PCR amplified cDNA and either a pRNA-GFP or a pRNA-mKATE backbone. pRNA vectors were linearised with AscI, precipitated using EDTA, sodium acetate, and ethanol, then resuspended in RNase-free water. mRNA was generated from these pRNA vectors *in vitro* using a T3 mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (ThermoFisher) and was then purified using an RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit (Qiagen). Freshly-laid early embryos, or unfertilised eggs, were collected from apple juice plates within 15-30 minutes of laying and were dechorionated on double-sided sticky tape. Embryos/eggs were lined up on heptane glue to keep them in place during injections and imaging. Embryos were dried at 25°C for 5 mins and covered with immersion oil (Voltalef). RNA was injected into embryos/eggs using needles made from borosilicate glass capillary tubes, at a final concentration of ~2 μg/μl. Embryos/unfertilised eggs were left for 1-2 hours before imaging to allow for translation of the mRNA.

Microscopy

Confocal imaging of Cnn scaffolds organising microtubules and of fixed embryo and testes samples was carried out on an Olympus FV3000 scanning inverted confocal system run by FV-OSR software using a x60 1.4NA silicone immersion lens (UPLSAPO60xSilicone) or x30 0.95NA silicone immersion lens (UPLSAPO30xSilicone). Confocal imaging of oocytes was carried out on a Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope. Epifluorescence microscopy of Cnn scaffolds recruiting γ-TuRC components and phase contrast microscopy of round spermatids was performed on a Leica DM IL LED inverted microscope controlled by μManager software and coupled to a RetigaR1 monochrome camera (QImaging) and a CoolLED pE-300 Ultra light source using a 63X 1.3NA oil objective or a 40X 0.55NA air objective, respectively.

Fertility tests

Cages that were sealed with apple juice agar plates with a spot of dried yeast paste were set up at 25°C containing ~50 newly-hatched test flies (e.g. pUbq-Cnn-C/ -C^T) and ~50 newly-hatched wild-type males or virgin females. The apple juice agar plates were exchanged with fresh plates 2-4 times a day, and the removed plates were kept at 25°C for at least 25 hours before the proportion of hatched eggs was calculated.

Image analysis

All images were processed using Fiji (ImageJ). Maximum intensity Z-plane projections were used to quantify the intensity of Cnn and γ-TuRC components at Cnn scaffolds, and intensities

of each channel at each scaffold were corrected for cytoplasmic background intensity.
Background intensity subtraction was also performed for quantification of western blot band
intensities. Within each experiment, the intensities of the γ-tubulin IP bands were normalised
to the intensity of the γ-tubulin band in the MBP-Cnn-T-N IP. Images of Cnn scaffolds
organising microtubules within eggs and of stained pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos
were analysed blind to allow unbiased categorisation.

Statistical analysis
Most statistical analysis and all graph production were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 or
8. Analysis of Cnn scaffolds was carried out in the R programming language (https://www.r-
project.org/) using the emmeans package. The fluorescent signals at Cnn scaffolds were
collected from multiple embryos but included measurements from multiple scaffolds from
individual embryos. For linear regression analysis we therefore needed to use a mixed effects
model to take account of the 'repeated' measurements from individual embryos. The raw data
was not Normally distributed and was log transformed prior to performing the linear regression
analysis. An iterative process was used to explore the best model, which revealed that a
straight-line relationship best fitted the raw data and that regression lines should pass through
the origin (0;0). This could have been expected given the biological prediction that an increase
in Cnn intensity should result in a linear increase in the intensity of a γ-TuRC component. For
the final model, we transformed the data by taking the log of the γ-tubulin/Cnn ratio. The
graphs plotted for scaffold data are presented with raw data points with an overlay of the line
of best fit calculated by the linear mixed effects model. In the case where more than two lines
were being compared, Tukey's Test was used to compare the pairwise differences.

Bioinformatics
Protein alignments were produced using JalView. Secondary structure predictions were
performed using JPred 4.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust and Royal Society Sir Henry Dale fellowship
(105653/Z/14/Z) awarded to PTC, a Glover Fund research fellowship (Clare College/Dept. of
Biochemistry, University of Cambridge) awarded to CAT, and an Association pour la
Recherche sur le Cancer grant (PJA 20181208148) awarded to AG. We thank Jordan Raff for
sharing Cnn antibodies and plasmids, Berthold Hedwig and Steve Rogers for help with needle

pulling, and Matt Castle for guidance on statistical analysis of Cnn scaffolds. We thank other
members of the Conduit lab for their invaluable input and critical reading of the manuscript.
The work benefited from use of the Imaging Facility, Department of Zoology, supported by
Matt Wayland and a Sir Isaac Newton Trust Research Grant (18.07ii(c)), and also from use of
the Cambridge Centre for Proteomics Core Facility.

Author Contributions

PTC and CAT designed the study and wrote the manuscript. CAT carried out cloning for all
experiments and performed the *in vitro* recruitment assays, fertility tests, embryo analysis, and
round spermatid analysis. CT performed the majority of mRNA injection experiments and
analysed the scaffold data. AE helped establish the mRNA assay. AG performed the oocyte
analysis and analysed the data. FB and AG generated the γ-tubulin-mCherry fly line. MDR
prepared bacterial cultures and assisted with protein purification.

Declaration of Interests

952   The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Akhmanova, A., and M.O. Steinmetz. 2015. Control of microtubule organization and dynamics: two
ends in the limelight. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio*. 16:711 726. doi:10.1038/nrm4084.

Bastock, R., and D.S. Johnston. 2008. Drosophila oogenesis. *Curr Biol*. 18:R1082 7.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.011.

Bodenmiller, B., D. Campbell, B. Gerrits, H. Lam, M. Jovanovic, P. Picotti, R. Schlapbach, and R.
Aebersold. 2008. PhosphoPep--a database of protein phosphorylation sites in model organisms. *Nat
Biotechnol*. 26:1339 1340. doi:10.1038/nbt1208-1339.

Chen, J.V., R.A. Buchwalter, L.-R. Kao, and T.L. Megraw. 2017. A Splice Variant of Centrosomin
Converts Mitochondria to Microtubule-Organizing Centers. *Curr Biol*. 27:1928 1940.e6.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.090.

Choi, Y.-K., P. Liu, S.K. Sze, C. Dai, and R.Z. Qi. 2010. CDK5RAP2 stimulates microtubule nucleation
by the γ-tubulin ring complex. *J Cell Biology*. 191:1089–1095. doi:10.1083/jcb.201007030.

Conduit, P.T., Z. Feng, J.H. Richens, J. Baumbach, A. Wainman, S.D. Bakshi, J. Dobbelaere, S.
Johnson, S.M. Lea, and J.W. Raff. 2014a. The centrosome-specific phosphorylation of Cnn by
Polo/Plk1 drives Cnn scaffold assembly and centrosome maturation. *Dev Cell*. 28:659 669.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.02.013.

Conduit, P.T., J.H. Richens, A. Wainman, J. Holder, C.C. Vicente, M.B. Pratt, C.I. Dix, Z.A. Novak,
I.M. Dobbie, L. Schermelleh, and J.W. Raff. 2014b. A molecular mechanism of mitotic centrosome
assembly in Drosophila. *Elife*. 3:2987. doi:10.7554/elife.03399.

Consolati, T., J. Locke, J. Roostalu, J. Asthana, W.M. Lim, J. Gannon, F. Martino, A. Costa, and T.
Surrey. 2019. Microtubule Nucleation by Single Human γTuRC in a Partly Open Asymmetric
Conformation. *Biorxiv*. 853218. doi:10.1101/853218.

Consolati, T., J. Locke, J. Roostalu, Z.A. Chen, J. Gannon, J. Asthana, W.M. Lim, F. Martino, M.A.
Cvetkovic, J. Rappsilber, A. Costa, and T. Surrey. 2020. Microtubule Nucleation Properties of
Single   Human   γTuRCs   Explained   by   Their   Cryo-EM   Structure.   *Dev   Cell*.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.04.019.

Cota, R.R., N. Teixidó-Travesa, A. Ezquerra, S. Eibes, C. Lacasa, J. Roig, and J. Lüders. 2017. MZT1
regulates microtubule nucleation by linking γTuRC assembly to adapter-mediated targeting and
activation. *J Cell Sci*. 130:jcs.195321. doi:10.1242/jcs.195321.

D'Avino, P.P., M.G. Giansanti, and M. Petronczki. 2015. Cytokinesis in Animal Cells. *Csh Perspect
Biol*. 7:a015834. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a015834.

Dinkel, H., C. Chica, A. Via, C.M. Gould, L.J. Jensen, T.J. Gibson, and F. Diella. 2010. Phospho.ELM:
a   database   of   phosphorylation   sites--update   2011.   *Nucleic   Acids   Res*.   39:D261-7.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkq1104.

Eisman, R.C., M.A.S. Phelps, and T. Kaufman. 2015. An Amino-Terminal Polo Kinase Interaction
Motif Acts in the Regulation of Centrosome Formation and Reveals a Novel Function for
centrosomin (cnn) in Drosophila. *Genetics*. 201:685 706. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.181842.

Eisman, R.C., M.A.S. Phelps, and T.C. Kaufman. 2009. Centrosomin: a complex mix of long and short
isoforms is required for centrosome function during early development in Drosophila melanogaster.
Genetics. 182:979 997. doi:10.1534/genetics.109.103887.

Fabian, L., and J.A. Brill. 2012. Drosophila spermiogenesis. *Spermatogenesis*. 2:197–212.
doi:10.4161/spmg.21798.

Farache, D., L. Emorine, L. Haren, and A. Merdes. 2018. Assembly and regulation of γ-tubulin
complexes. *Open Biol*. 8:170266. doi:10.1098/rsob.170266.

Feng, Z., A. Caballe, A. Wainman, S. Johnson, A.F.M. Haensele, M.A. Cottee, P.T. Conduit, S.M. Lea,
and J.W. Raff. 2017. Structural Basis for Mitotic Centrosome Assembly in Flies. *Cell*. 169:1078
1089.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.030.

Flor-Parra, I., A.B. Iglesias-Romero, and F. Chang. 2018. The XMAP215 Ortholog Alp14 Promotes
Microtubule   Nucleation   in   Fission   Yeast.   *Curr   Biol*.   28:1681   1691.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.008.

Goshima, G., M. Mayer, N. Zhang, N. Stuurman, and R.D. Vale. 2008. Augmin: a protein complex
required for centrosome-independent microtubule generation within the spindle. *J Cell Biology*.
181:421–429. doi:10.1083/jcb.200711053.

Gunzelmann, J., D. Rüthnick, T. Lin, W. Zhang, A. Neuner, U. Jäkle, and E. Schiebel. 2018. The
microtubule polymerase Stu2 promotes oligomerization of the γ-TuSC for cytoplasmic microtubule
nucleation. *Elife*. 7:934. doi:10.7554/elife.39932.

Hanafusa, H., S. Kedashiro, M. Tezuka, M. Funatsu, S. Usami, F. Toyoshima, and K. Matsumoto. 2015.
PLK1-dependent activation of LRRK1 regulates spindle orientation by phosphorylating
CDK5RAP2. *Nat Cell Biol*. 17:1024–1035. doi:10.1038/ncb3204.

Hutchins, J.R.A., Y. Toyoda, B. Hegemann, I. Poser, J.-K. Hériché, M.M. Sykora, M. Augsburg, O.
Hudecz, B.A. Buschhorn, J. Bulkescher, C. Conrad, D. Comartin, A. Schleiffer, M. Sarov, A.
Pozniakovsky, M.M. Slabicki, S. Schloissnig, I. Steinmacher, M. Leuschner, A. Ssykor, S. Lawo,
L. Pelletier, H. Stark, K. Nasmyth, J. Ellenberg, R. Durbin, F. Buchholz, K. Mechtler, A.A. Hyman,
and J.-M. Peters. 2010. Systematic Analysis of Human Protein Complexes Identifies Chromosome
Segregation Proteins. *Science*. 328:593–599. doi:10.1126/science.1181348.

Kollman, J.M., C.H. Greenberg, S. Li, M. Moritz, A. Zelter, K.K. Fong, J.-J. Fernandez, A. Sali, J.
Kilmartin, T.N. Davis, and D.A. Agard. 2015. Ring closure activates yeast γTuRC for species-
specific microtubule nucleation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 22:132 137. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2953.

Kollman, J.M., A. Merdes, L. Mourey, and D.A. Agard. 2011. Microtubule nucleation by γ-tubulin
complexes. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio*. 12:709 721. doi:10.1038/nrm3209.

Lawo, S., M. Bashkurov, M. Mullin, M.G. Ferreria, R. Kittler, B. Habermann, A. Tagliaferro, I. Poser,
J.R.A. Hutchins, B. Hegemann, D. Pinchev, F. Buchholz, J.-M. Peters, A.A. Hyman, A.-C. Gingras,
and L. Pelletier. 2009. HAUS, the 8-subunit human Augmin complex, regulates centrosome and
spindle integrity. *Curr Biol*. 19:816 826. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.04.033.

Li, K., E.Y. Xu, J.K. Cecil, F.R. Turner, T.L. Megraw, and T.C. Kaufman. 1998. Drosophila
centrosomin protein is required for male meiosis and assembly of the flagellar axoneme. *The Journal*
of cell biology. 141:455 467.

Lin, T., A. Neuner, and E. Schiebel. 2014a. Targeting of γ-tubulin complexes to microtubule organizing
centers: conservation and divergence. *Trends Cell Biol*. 25:296 307. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2014.12.002.

Lin, T., A. Neuner, Y.T. Schlosser, E. Schiebel, A.N. Scharf, and L. Weber. 2014b. Cell-cycle
dependent phosphorylation of yeast pericentrin regulates γ-TuSC-mediated microtubule nucleation.
Elife. 3:e02208. doi:10.7554/elife.02208.

Liu, P., Y.-K. Choi, and R.Z. Qi. 2014. NME7 is a functional component of the γ-tubulin ring complex.
Mol Biol Cell. 25:2017–2025. doi:10.1091/mbc.e13-06-0339.

Liu, P., E. Zupa, A. Neuner, A. Böhler, J. Loerke, D. Flemming, T. Ruppert, T. Rudack, C. Peter, C.
Spahn, O.J. Gruss, S. Pfeffer, and E. Schiebel. 2019. Insights into the assembly and activation of the
microtubule nucleator γ-TuRC. *Nature*. 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1896-6.

Lynch, E.M., L.M. Groocock, W.E. Borek, and K.E. Sawin. 2014. Activation of the γ-Tubulin Complex
by the Mto1/2 Complex. *Curr Biol*. 24:896 903. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.03.006.

Lyon, A.S., G. Morin, M. Moritz, K.C.B. Yabut, T. Vojnar, A. Zelter, E. Muller, T.N. Davis, and D.A.
Agard. 2016. Higher-order oligomerization of Spc110p drives γ-tubulin ring complex assembly.
Mol Biol Cell. 27:mbc.E16-02-0072 2258. doi:10.1091/mbc.e16-02-0072.

Mukherjee, A., P.S. Brooks, F. Bernard, A. Guichet, and P.T. Conduit. 2020. Microtubules originate
asymmetrically at the somatic Golgi and are guided via Kinesin2 to maintain polarity in neurons.
Elife. 9:e58943. doi:10.7554/elife.58943.

Muroyama, A., L. Seldin, and T. Lechler. 2016. Divergent regulation of functionally distinct γ-tubulin
complexes during differentiation. *J Cell Biology*. 213:679–692. doi:10.1083/jcb.201601099.

Nithianantham, S., B.D. Cook, M. Beans, F. Guo, F. Chang, and J. Al-Bassam. 2018. Structural basis
of tubulin recruitment and assembly by microtubule polymerases with Tumor Overexpressed Gene
(TOG) domain arrays. *Elife*. 7:e38922. doi:10.7554/elife.38922.

Oegema, K., C. Wiese, O.C. Martin, R.A. Milligan, A. Iwamatsu, T.J. Mitchison, and Y. Zheng. 1999.
Characterization of two related Drosophila gamma-tubulin complexes that differ in their ability to
nucleate microtubules. *The Journal of cell biology*. 144:721 733.

Petry, S., and R.D. Vale. 2015. Microtubule nucleation at the centrosome and beyond. *Nat Cell Biol*.
17:1089 1093. doi:10.1038/ncb3220.

Pinyol, R., J. Scrofani, and I. Vernos. 2013. The role of NEDD1 phosphorylation by Aurora A in
chromosomal microtubule nucleation and spindle function. *Curr Biol*. 23:143 149.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.11.046.

Sanchez, A.D., and J.L. Feldman. 2016. Microtubule-organizing centers: from the centrosome to non-
centrosomal sites. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 44:93 101. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2016.09.003.

Santamaria, A., B. Wang, S. Elowe, R. Malik, F. Zhang, M. Bauer, A. Schmidt, H.H.W. Silljé, R.
Körner, and E.A. Nigg. 2011. The Plk1-dependent Phosphoproteome of the Early Mitotic Spindle.
Mol Cell Proteomics. 10:M110.004457. doi:10.1074/mcp.m110.004457.

Sawin, K.E., P.C.C. Lourenço, and H.A. Snaith. 2004. Microtubule nucleation at non-spindle pole body
microtubule-organizing centers requires fission yeast centrosomin-related protein mod20p. *Curr
Biol*. 14:763 775. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.03.042.

Scrofani, J., T. Sardon, S. Meunier, and I. Vernos. 2015. Microtubule nucleation in mitosis by a
RanGTP-dependent protein complex. *Curr Biol*. 25:131 140. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.025.

Tariq, A., L. Green, J.C.G. Jeynes, C. Soeller, and J.G. Wakefield. 2020. In vitro reconstitution of
branching microtubule nucleation. *Elife*. 9:e49769. doi:10.7554/elife.49769.

Teixidó-Travesa, N., J. Roig, and J. Lüders. 2012. The where, when and how of microtubule nucleation
- one ring to rule them all. *J Cell Sci*. 125:4445 4456. doi:10.1242/jcs.106971.

Thawani, A., R.S. Kadzik, and S. Petry. 2018. XMAP215 is a microtubule nucleation factor that
functions synergistically with the γ-tubulin ring complex. *Nat Cell Biol*. 20:1 18.
doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0091-6.

Thawani, A., M.J. Rale, N. Coudray, G. Bhabha, H.A. Stone, J.W. Shaevitz, and S. Petry. 2020. The
transition state and regulation of γ-TuRC-mediated microtubule nucleation revealed by single
molecule microscopy. *Elife*. 9:e54253. doi:10.7554/elife.54253.

Tillery, M., C. Blake-Hedges, Y. Zheng, R. Buchwalter, and T. Megraw. 2018. Centrosomal and Non-
Centrosomal Microtubule-Organizing Centers (MTOCs) in Drosophila melanogaster. *Cells*. 7:121.
doi:10.3390/cells7090121.

Tovey, C.A., and P.T. Conduit. 2018. Microtubule nucleation by γ-tubulin complexes and beyond.
Essays Biochem. 91:EBC20180028. doi:10.1042/ebc20180028.

Tovey, C.A., C.E. Tubman, E. Hamrud, Z. Zhu, A.E. Dyas, A.N. Butterfield, A. Fyfe, E. Johnson, and
P.T. Conduit. 2018. γ-TuRC Heterogeneity Revealed by Analysis of Mozart1. *Curr Biol*. 28:2314
2323.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.044.

Uehara, R., R. Nozawa, A. Tomioka, S. Petry, R.D. Vale, C. Obuse, and G. Goshima. 2009. The augmin
complex plays a critical role in spindle microtubule generation for mitotic progression and
cytokinesis in human cells. *Proc National Acad Sci*. 106:6998 7003. doi:10.1073/pnas.0901587106.

Vogt, N., I. Koch, H. Schwarz, F. Schnorrer, and C. Nüsslein-Volhard. 2006. The γTuRC components
Grip75 and Grip128 have an essential microtubule-anchoring function in the Drosophila germline.
Development. 133:3963–3972. doi:10.1242/dev.02570.

Wieczorek, M., L. Urnavicius, S.-C. Ti, K.R. Molloy, B.T. Chait, and T.M. Kapoor. 2019. Asymmetric
Molecular Architecture of the Human γ-Tubulin Ring Complex. *Cell*.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.12.007.

Zhai, B., J. Villén, S.A. Beausoleil, J. Mintseris, and S.P. Gygi. 2008. Phosphoproteome analysis of
Drosophila melanogaster embryos. *J Proteome Res*. 7:1675 1682. doi:10.1021/pr700696a.

Zhang, J., and T.L. Megraw. 2007. Proper recruitment of gamma-tubulin and D-TACC/Msps to
embryonic Drosophila centrosomes requires Centrosomin Motif 1. *Mol Biol Cell*. 18:4037 4049.
doi:10.1091/mbc.e07-05-0474.

Zhu, H., J.A. Coppinger, C.-Y. Jang, J.R. Yates, and G. Fang. 2008. FAM29A promotes microtubule
amplification via recruitment of the NEDD1−γ-tubulin complex to the mitotic spindle. *J Cell
Biology*. 183:835–848. doi:10.1083/jcb.200807046.

1110

  Figure Legends

  Figure 1

  The extreme N-terminal region of Cnn-C inhibits binding to γ-tubulin complexes. (**A**)

  Diagram of the centrosomal Cnn (Cnn-C) and testes-specific Cnn (Cnn-T) isoforms that exist

  in vivo. **(B)** Diagram of artificial Cnn proteins with differing N-terminal regions that were used

  to form Cnn scaffolds (induced by phospho-mimetic mutations in the PReM domain (beige))

  via mRNA injection into unfertilised eggs. **(C-E)** Fluorescence images of unfertilised eggs

  expressing γ-tubulin37C-mCherry that were injected with mRNA encoding the different types

  of artificial Cnn protein, as indicated. Insets show representative examples of individual

  scaffolds. **(F)** Graph showing fluorescence intensity measurements of γ-tubulin37C-mCherry

  and GFP-Cnn at Cnn scaffolds of different types (a.u., arbitrary units). Linear lines of best fit

  are shown. **(G,H)** Western blot (G) and quantification of γ-tubulin bands (H) showing

  immunoprecipitation of γ-tubulin from embryo extracts by bacterially-purified, MBP-tagged

  Cnn-C-N, Cnn-T-N and Cnn-C$^{\Delta1\text{-}77}$-N fragments. The blot was probed with anti-MBP and anti-

  γ-tubulin antibodies, as indicated. Band intensities were normalised within each of 3

  experimental repeats to the γ-tubulin band in the respective MBP-Cnn-T-N IP.

  Figure 2

  Multiple γ-TuRC-specific proteins are recruited to Cnn-T scaffolds. Fluorescence images

  (A,B,D,E,G,H) and graphs **(C,F,I)** showing recruitment of Grip75-sfGFP (A-C), Grip128-sfGFP

  (D-F), or Grip163-sfGFP (G-I) to either mKATE-Cnn-C or mKATE-Cnn-T scaffolds. Insets in

  (A,B,D,E,G,H) show representative examples of individual scaffolds. Fluorescence values in

  (C,F,I) are arbitrary units (a.u.). Linear lines of best fit are shown. In each case, the mKATE-

  Cnn-T scaffolds recruited more of the sfGFP-tagged Grip protein than the mKATE-Cnn-C

  scaffolds.

  Figure 3

  Cnn-T scaffolds organise microtubules more robustly than Cnn-C scaffolds. (A-C)

  Fluorescence images (A,B) of Cnn-C scaffolds (A) or Cnn-T scaffolds (B) formed within eggs

  expression the microtubule marker Jupiter-mCherry. **(C)** Bar-graph showing quantification of

  a blind categorisation of eggs containing Cnn-C or Cnn-T scaffolds with regard to the ability

  of the scaffolds within the eggs to organise microtubule asters. The results show that Cnn-T

  scaffolds tend to organise microtubule asters more readily than Cnn-C scaffolds. **(D)**

1145 Fluorescence images showing that adjacent Cnn-T scaffolds can organise spindle-like
1146 structures.
1147
Figure 4
Phosphorylation of the CAI domain relieves auto-inhibition to allow binding to γ-tubulin
complexes. (A) A hypothesis of how the CM1 domain (purple) might be sterically inhibited by
folding back of the CAI domain (dark blue). Putative phospho-patches (containing high
concentrations of Serine and Threonine residues) are indicated in yellow. The amino acid
sequence of each putative phospho-patch is displayed with S and T residues highlighted in
orange and the proportion of S and T residues within each patch indicated in brackets.
Potential Polo kinase sites (green), Cdk1 sites (blue), and kinase landing sites (black) are
indicated. **(B-D)** Western blots (B,C) and quantification of γ-tubulin bands (D) showing the
immunoprecipitation of γ-tubulin from embryo extracts by bacterially-purified MBP-tagged N-
terminal fragments of Cnn, as indicated. The blot was probed with anti-MBP and anti-γ-tubulin
antibodies, as indicated. Band intensities were normalised within each experiment to the γ-
tubulin band in the respective MBP-Cnn-T-N IP, as in Figure 1G. On average, the band
intensities of γ-tubulin from the MBP-Cnn-C-N, MBP-Cnn-C-N^{P1}, and MBP-Cnn-C-N^{T27} IPs
were ~18%, 44%, and 34%, respectively, in comparison to the average band intensity of γ-
tubulin from the MBP-Cnn-T-N IP. Horizontal lines represent the mean.
1164
Figure 5
Mis-expression of the Cnn-T N-terminus results in cell division defects. (A) Diagram of
normal Cnn-C and chimeric Cnn-C^T in which the unique N-terminus of Cnn-C (dark blue) is
replaced by the unique N-terminus of Cnn-T (red). **(B)** Graph showing quantification of
hatching rate of progeny from crosses of pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T males and females to
wild-type flies, as indicated. The mean proportion of embryos that hatched is shown, and error
bars show 95% confidence intervals. **(C)** Western blots of protein extracts from embryos and
testes of wild-type (WT), pUbq-Cnn-C, and pUbq-Cnn-C^T flies as indicated. Blots were probed
with anti-γ-tubulin, anti-Cnn-C (N-term), anti-Cnn-C (C-term), and anti-Cnn-T^N antibodies as
indicated. Endogenous Cnn-C is indicated by a black arrowhead; combined endogenous and
pUbq-driven Cnn-C is indicated by a blue arrowhead in the pUbq-Cnn-C lanes. Cnn-C^T is
indicated by a red arrowhead; non-specific bands of approximately the same size as Cnn-C^T
are marked with asterisks. **(D)** Western blot showing results of anti-Cnn immunoprecipitation
from embryo extracts expressing pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T as indicated. Red arrowhead
indicates Cnn-C^T. Note that, given the low expression of pUbq-Cnn-C^T within embryos, gel

loading of the IP lanes was adjusted to try to better balance the amount of Cnn protein. **(E)** Graph showing quantification of defects in fixed embryos. Embryos were classified into categories (during a blind analysis) based on whether they were perceived to be normal, or to display severe, moderate or mild defects, based both on how widespread defects were and the severity of individual defects. **(F)** Fluorescent images show either syncytial pUbq-Cnn-C embryos (top panels) or pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos (bottom panels) in either S-phase (left panels) or M-phase (right panels). Images were selected to show extreme examples i.e. normal S-phase and M-phase in pUbq-Cnn-C embryos and strongly perturbed S-phase, including the presence of cytosolic microtubules, and M-phase in pUbq-Cnn-C^T embryos. **(G)** Phase contrast images showing round spermatids from testes of flies expressing pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T. Alterations in nucleus: nebenkern ratio (normally 1:1, asterisks in right panel) and size (normally approximately equal) indicate defects in cytokinesis and karyokinesis. **(H)** Graph showing quantification of the nucleus:nebenkern ratio (left panel) and variance in nuclear diameter (right panel) in pUbq-Cnn-C and pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes. Error bars show standard error of the mean. **(I)** Fluorescence images showing cytokinesis and karyokinesis defects during meiosis in pUbq-Cnn-C^T testes. Microtubules (green, α-tubulin), centrosomes (pink, Asterless), and DNA (blue) are marked. Cytosolic microtubules not associated with centrosomes can be observed (arrows), suggesting ectopic cytosolic microtubule nucleation.

 Supplementary Figure Legends

 Figure S1

 Diagrams of different Cnn constructs (omitting the tags) used in this study. (A) Diagram

 showing full-length Cnn constructs without modifications to the PReM domain. Cnn-C is the

 major centrosomal isoform in *Drosophila*. Cnn-T is the testes-specific isoform in *Drosophila*.

 Cnn-C^T represents an artificial form of Cnn-C in which the N-terminal region of Cnn-C (dark

 blue) has been replaced with the N-terminal region of Cnn-T (red). **(B)** Diagram showing Cnn

 constructs containing phospho-mimetic mutations in their PReM domain to drive scaffold

 formation *in vivo*. The constructs differ only in their N-termini: Cnn-C-PReM^m and Cnn-C^T-

 PReM^m each contain the Cnn-C or Cnn-T unique N-terminal region, respectively. Cnn-C$^{\Delta1-77-}$

 PReM^m is a version of Cnn-C lacking its specific N-terminal extension region (the CAI domain).

 (C) Diagram showing bacterially-purified N-terminal fragments of different Cnn types. Each

 fragment contains the CM1 domain (purple); in addition, Cnn-C-N and Cnn-T-N contain unique

 N-terminal regions (dark blue and red respectively).

 Figure S2

 Bacterially-purified MBP-Cnn-T-N fragments immunoprecipitate γ-Tubulin Ring

 Complexes. (A) Western blot showing results of anti-MBP immunoprecipitation from embryo

 extracts expressing GFP-tagged Grip proteins (homologues of GCP4,5,6), either

 supplemented (+) or not supplemented (-) with MBP-Cnn-T-N, as indicated. Blots were probed

 with anti-GFP, anti-Grip71 and anti-γ-tubulin antibodies as indicated. When using MBP-Cnn-

 T-N, γ-tubulin and Grip71, as well as Grip75, 128, or 163, are co-immunoprecipitated. **(B)**

 Mass spectrometry results from IPs with MBP-Cnn-T-N showing the presence of various γ-

 TuRC components. Note that Mzt1 is not expressed within embryos. Results of a control

 experiment on Grip75-GFP embryo extract not supplemented with any MBP-Cnn-T-N

 fragment are also shown. Numbers indicate emPAI scores as a proxy for protein abundance.

 Grip84 (A) and Grip84 (E) represent two different isoforms of Grip84 (promoters 1 and 2

 respectively).

 Figure S3

 Protein alignment of N-terminal regions of Cnn-C proteins from different *Drosophila*

 species. The alignment was carried out in JalView keeping *D. melanogaster* at the top with

 the closest related species in order below. Only the N-terminal regions of the proteins were

 used in the alignment (~1-255aa). Potential phosphorylation patches are highlighted in yellow,

1234 with the proportion of S/T residues present in the *Drosophila melanogaster* sequence
1235 indicated in brackets. The CM1 domain is highlighted in purple. Red boxes and green arrows
1236 indicate a-helices and β-sheets based on predictions from JPred.

1237

Figure S4
Polarity is established normally in pUbq-Cnn-C^T oocytes. (A-B) Fluorescent images show
localisation of Staufen protein in oocytes expressing pUbq-Cnn-C (A) or pUbq-Cnn-C^T (B) at
stages 8, 9 and 10, as indicated. **(C)** Fluorescent images show localisation of Gurken protein
in oocytes expressing pUbq-Cnn-C or pUbq-Cnn-C^T at stage 9. Localisation of Staufen and
Gurken are not perturbed in oocytes expressing pUbq-Cnn-C^T indicating that there are no
major defects in microtubule organisation.

1245

1246 **Figure S5**
1247 **Major spermatocyte defects are observed within testes from pUbq-Cnn-C^T flies**
1248 Fluorescence images showing cytokinesis and karyokinesis defects during meiosis in pUbq-
1249 Cnn-C^T testes. Microtubules (green, γ-tubulin), centrosomes (pink, Asterless), and DNA (blue)
1250 are marked.

1251

Supplementary Videos

Video 1
Cnn-T scaffolds organise microtubule asters and can be mobile. Movie showing Cnn-T
scaffolds (green) organising microtubule asters (marked with Jupiter-mCherry (magenta)). A
mobile scaffold (lower left) with an asymmetric microtubule aster can be seen moving through
the cytosol.

1258

1259 **Video 2**
1260 **Transient spindle-like structures can form between Cnn scaffolds.** Movie showing the
1261 formation and disappearance of a transient spindle-like structure between adjacent Cnn-T
1262 scaffolds (green). Microtubules are marked with Jupiter-mCherry (magenta).

1263

1264 **Video 3**
1265 **Spindle-like structures organised by Cnn scaffolds can form in synchrony.** Movie
1266 showing the synchronous formation and disappearance of a multi-polar spindle-like array of
1267 microtubules that is subsequently organised by a nearby group of coalescing Cnn scaffolds
1268 (green). Microtubules are marked with Jupiter-mCherry (magenta)

1269

1270 **Video 4**

1271 **Microtubules are robustly anchored to Cnn scaffolds.** Movie showing rare giant Cnn-T

1272 scaffolds (green). One scaffold can be seen rotating and dragging the microtubules, indicating

1273 that the microtubules are robustly attached to the scaffold, presumably via γ-TuRCs.

1274 Microtubules are marked with Jupiter-mCherry (magenta).

1275

Video 5

Expression of GFP-Cnn-T-N leads to the formation of dynamic microtubules within the

cytosol of unfertilised eggs. Left panel shows a movie displaying dynamic cytoplasmic

microtubules formed upon injection of mRNA encoding GFP-Cnn-T-N into unfertilised eggs.

Right panel shows a movie of an unfertilised egg injected with water alone (control).

Microtubules are marked with Jupiter-mCherry (magenta).

1282

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A Jupiter-mCherry Cnn-C scaffolds — 10μm

B Jupiter-mCherry Cnn-T scaffolds — 10μm

D Jupiter-mCherry Cnn-T scaffolds — 5μm, 5μm

C Cnn-T scaffolds / Cnn-C scaffolds

% eggs

- strong aster
- medium aster
- weak aster
- tubulin overlay
- no aster

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure S1

A

B

C

Figure S2

A

UniProt entry	Protein	G75, no fragment	G75 + Cnn-T-N	G128 + Cnn-T-N	G163 + Cnn-T-N
A0A0B4K6Z9	**Cnn**	-	4.50	15.63	13.03
M9PDN9	**ytubulin 37C**	0.45	24.45	63.16	39.41
P23257	**ytubulin 23C**	-	1.04	1.90	1.43
E1JJQ3	**Grip 84**	-	1.76	8.14	4.76
Q8IQW7	**Grip 84**	-	1.54	7.44	-
Q9XYP8	**Grip 91**	-	1.74	4.45	3.54
Q9VKU7	**Grip 75**	0.07	0.88	3.57	2.54
Q9VXU8	**Grip 128**	-	0.08	0.40	0.16
Q9VTS3	**Grip 163**	-	0.24	1.09	0.24
Q9VJ57	**Grip71**	-	0.30	1.87	0.93
X2JCP8	**Actin**	40.63	17.87	22.66	32.21

B

Figure S3

Figure S4

Figure S5

examples of spermatocytes within testes of pUbq-Cnn-C^T flies

meiotic DNA
centrosomes
microtubules