Membrane transporter dimerization driven by differential lipid solvation energetics

of dissociated and associated states

Running title: Differential lipid solvation drives CLC-ec1 dimerization.

Rahul Chadda[1*], Nathan Bernhardt[3*], Elizabeth G. Kelley[4], Susana C. M. Teixeira[4,5], Kacie Griffith,

Alejandro Gil-Ley[2,3], Tuğba N. Öztürk[1], Lauren E. Hughes[2], Ana Forsythe[2], Venkatramanan

Krishnamani[2], José D. Faraldo-Gómez[3#] and Janice L. Robertson[1#]

[*]equal contribution

[#]corresponding authors

[1]Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

63110

[2]Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Carver College of Medicine, The University of Iowa, Iowa City,

IA 52242

[3]Theoretical Molecular Biophysics Laboratory, National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, National

Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD 20892

[4]Center for Neutron Research, National Institute for Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

[5]Center for Neutron Science, Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark

DE 19716

IMPACT STATEMENT

Differences in the lipid solvation energetics of associated and dissociated states is a primary driving

force for membrane protein oligomerization, presenting a molecular mechanism for lipid regulation in

biology.

ABSTRACT

Over two-thirds of integral membrane proteins of known structure assemble into oligomers. Yet, the forces that drive the association of these proteins remain to be delineated, as the lipid bilayer is a solvent environment that is both structurally and chemically complex. In this study we reveal how the lipid solvent defines the dimerization equilibrium of the CLC-ec1 Cl^-/H^+ antiporter. Integrating experimental and computational approaches, we show that monomers associate to avoid a thinned-membrane defect caused by their exposed dimerization interfaces. In this defect, lipids are strongly tilted and less densely packed than in the bulk, with a larger degree of entanglement between opposing leaflets and greater water penetration into the bilayer interior. Dimerization restores the membrane to a near-native state and therefore, appears to be driven by the larger free-energy cost of lipid solvation of the dissociated protomers. Supporting this theory, we demonstrate that addition of short-chain lipids strongly shifts the dimerization equilibrium towards the monomeric state, and show that the cause of this effect is that these lipids preferentially solvate the defect. Importantly, we show that this shift requires only minimal quantities of short-chain lipids, with no measurable impact on either the macroscopic physical state of the membrane or the protein's biological function. Based on these observations, we posit that free-energy differentials for local lipid solvation define membrane-protein association equilibria. With this, we argue that preferential lipid solvation is a plausible cellular mechanism for lipid regulation of oligomerization processes, as it can occur at low concentrations and does not require global changes in membrane properties.

INTRODUCTION

Lipid bilayers are the most common means of chemical compartmentalization in biology. The bilayer interior, formed by the acyl chains, is a ≈ 30 Å layer of low-dielectric fluid oil (Fricke, 1925) that provides a natural electrostatic barrier for the passage of charged and polar species. This insulating core enables the cell to generate trans-bilayer chemical and electrical potential-energy gradients that fuel essential metabolic functions. While the macroscopic structure of the lipid bilayer is shared across nearly all species and organelles, their chemical compositions are remarkably diverse. For example, phospholipids can vary in their headgroup moieties, in the length and degree of saturation of the acyl chains, and in the chain-headgroup linkage, i.e. ester vs. ether (Meer et al., 2008). Acyl chains can feature modifications such as branching, or even form covalent bonds across monolayers, as in tetraether lipids (Valentine, 2007). Lipidomics studies indeed show that cellular membranes include hundreds of lipid types (Brügger, 2014). It has been proposed that this diversity is in part explained by the "homeoviscous adaptation" of cells, i.e., the need to maintain an appropriate membrane fluidity under a wide variety of environmental conditions (Sinensky, 1974). For example, a recent study indicates that under varying dietary fatty acid input, mammalian cells alter the lipid composition of their membranes to regulate this key property (Levental et al., 2020). Yet, some of these compensatory chemical changes appear to be excessively redundant. For example, under cold growth temperatures *E. coli* generates unsaturated lipids to increase membrane fluidity, but it also increases production of short-chain lipids (Marr and Ingraham, 1962; Sanders and Mittendorf, 2011). Do these different chemical strategies target others cellular processes that change coincidentally with variations in fluidity? Is there more to the vast diversity in lipid compositions observed across different types of membranes and conditions, beyond the basic requirement of a fluid lipid bilayer?

One possibility is that this lipid diversity reflects a coupled relationship with the other major constituent of all cellular membranes, namely integral membrane proteins (Phillips, 2018). The mechanisms of these proteins are, fundamentally, not unlike those of water-soluble proteins, and entail processes such as molecular recognition, conformational exchange and catalyzed chemistry. For membrane proteins, however, the lipid bilayer provides a distinct reaction environment where lipid molecules are the primary solvent. In any biological equilibrium reaction, the solvent plays a major role in defining the energetic landscape; it seems therefore logical to hypothesize that the variability in the chemical composition of physiological membranes might reflect adaptive mechanisms of regulation of

protein structure and function. A key question is, however, how this kind of regulation can be sufficiently
targeted and specific, rather than globally disruptive.

Here, we examine the role of the lipid bilayer in a highly prevalent reaction in membrane biology,
namely protein oligomerization. Indeed, among membrane-protein classes of known structure,
approximately 70% are found as homo- or hetero-oligomers (Aleksandrova et al., 2019), compared with
about 55% of water-soluble proteins. This comparison is striking because the principal driving force for
the formation of protein oligomers in water, i.e. the hydrophobic effect (Tanford, 1978), cannot be a
dominant factor in the membrane, as its interior is largely dehydrated. Membrane protein complexes do
bury large non-polar surfaces, bringing many hydrophobic side-chains into close proximity, in the range
of van der Waals interactions. Yet, it is unclear whether this kind of protein-protein contacts are the main
drivers for the association of integral membrane proteins (Cristian et al., 2003) as these side chains also
form numerous, similarly favorable contacts with lipids in the dissociated states. Likewise, it is not evident
that interfacial tensions at the protein-lipid boundary are a dominant factor; while the acyl-chain core
would favor association to reduce the total area of the protein-lipid interface, the head-group layer has an
opposite effect (Dixit and Lazaridis, 2020; Marsh, 2008).

Nonetheless, it has long been recognized that the complementarity between membrane proteins
and their lipid environment is imperfect, resulting in different kinds of perturbations in the structure and
dynamics of the bilayer (Marsh, 2008). In the context of protein-protein association, local perturbations
in membrane thickness are particularly noteworthy; this effect, referred to as "hydrophobic mismatch",
has been shown to be a key factor in the dimerization equilibrium of helical peptides such as Gramicidin
A (Goforth et al., 2003; Andersen and Koeppe, 2007) and WALP (Sparr et al., 2005), and has also been
proposed to explain the organization of various rhodopsins and other GPCRs (Mondal et al., 2013)
(Pearson et al., 1983; Soubias et al., 2015). This type of perturbation results from a suboptimal match
between the exposed non-polar surface of a transmembrane protein and the intrinsic thickness of the acyl-
chain core of the bilayer, for a given composition. This mismatch typically forces the bilayer to deform,
which translates into an energetic penalty; thus, oligomeric states that minimize this penalty are favored,
at least in regard to the membrane energetics. Furthermore, because this energetic penalty will depend on
the bilayer material properties, variations in lipid composition might provide a means for the cell to
regulate oligomerization processes (Andersen and Koeppe, 2007). However, the potential for this seems
limited, as there is an inherent biological drive for cells to maintain the basic biophysical properties of
their membrane through homeostatic adaptation (Levental et al., 2020). Thus, we hypothesize that the

physiological mechanism of lipid regulated oligomerization equilibrium will involve molecular mechanisms that occur at low concentrations of regulatory lipids within the membrane, and in the absence of large-scale membrane perturbations.

A key to evaluating the dominant driving forces for membrane protein oligomerization is to develop assays that quantify this kind of equilibria in lipid bilayers with sufficient accuracy and sensitivity to variations in lipid composition. Previously, we established such an assay based on single-molecule fluorescence microscopy, and carried out measurements of the free-energy of dimerization of the *E. coli* Cl^-/H^+ antiporter CLC-ec1 (**Fig. 1A**) in 2:1 palmityl, oleoyl phosphatidyl-ethanolamine/phosphatidyl-glycerol (2:1 POPE/POPG) lipid bilayers (Chadda et al., 2016). These membranes are a synthetic mimic of the *E. coli* polar-lipid content, and consist of C16:0/18:1 acyl-chains, the most commonly found in biological membranes (Phillips, 2018). While CLC-ec1 had been known to exist as a homodimer in detergent and membranes (Maduke et al., 1999; Dutzler et al., 2002), our measurements revealed this complex results from association of two functionally competent monomers (Robertson et al., 2010), via an interface of about 1200 $Å^2$, most of which is inside the membrane (**Fig. 1B**). Specifically, the measured equilibrium dimerization free energy for this complex is -10.9 kcal/mole, relative to a standard state of 1 monomer/lipid (Chadda et al., 2018). This is a remarkable finding, in that it implies that the population of dissociated monomers at biological protein-expression levels is virtually zero. This interaction is thus reminiscent of obligate water-soluble homomeric complexes, whose association is dominated by the hydrophobic effect (Bahadur et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2008); by analogy, it is reasonable to infer that the energetics of the lipid solvent might also be key for CLC-ec1 dimerization. Indeed, examination of the dimerization interface shows that the two central helices are much shorter than what is typical in transmembrane segments (**Fig. 1B**). In the monomeric state, a significant hydrophobic mismatch might therefore exist between this protein surface and the surrounding membrane (**Fig. 1C**), which would be completely eliminated upon dimerization, possibly explaining the remarkable stability of this complex.

CLC-ec1 thus appears to be an excellent system to examine the fundamental questions outlined above. That is, can protein-induced membrane deformations contribute to explain the structure and stability of obligate membrane protein complexes? What is the extent of the changes in membrane lipid composition that are necessary to influence these oligomerization reactions, what is the underlying mechanism, and importantly, are those changes physiologically viable, i.e. do they preserve or impair protein function? To address these questions, we first use molecular dynamics simulations of monomeric and dimeric CLC-ec1 in 2:1 POPE/POPG, to evaluate the lipid bilayer structure in each state. This analysis

informs a series of new experimental assays, namely small-angle neutron scattering measurements, Cl⁻

transport assays and single-molecule photobleaching analyses, with which we determine how varying

quantities of short-chain C12:0, di-lauryl (DL) lipids alter the dimerization equilibrium as well as the

activity of this transporter. To obtain a molecular level interpretation for these new experimental results,

we return to molecular dynamics simulations in membrane mixtures that mimic the experimental

conditions. These studies lead to a perspective of the dimerization reaction as primarily controlled by the

energetics of local lipid solvation of the associated and dissociated states (Marsh, 1995, 2008), and

underscores the essential role of molecular-scale heterogeneity of the lipid bilayer in defining membrane

protein association equilibria.

RESULTS

The CLC-ec1 dimerization interface causes a structural defect in the surrounding membrane.

As mentioned, the features of the CLC dimerization interface (**Fig. 1B**) suggest that, when exposed in the

monomeric state, there might be a hydrophobic mismatch with the surrounding membrane (**Fig. 1C**). If

so, the energetic cost associated with solvating the monomer could translate into an effective driving force

towards dimerization; by burying these 'problematic' interfaces away from the lipids, the system would

gain free energy upon association (**Fig. 1D**). To begin to validate or refute this hypothesis, we first studied

the structure of the lipid bilayer around the CLC-ec1 monomer and dimer, using coarse-grained molecular

dynamics (CGMD) simulations, and evaluated whether the exposed dimerization interface indeed appears

to cause the membrane to adopt a higher-energy state, relative to the other regions of the protein surface.

For both monomer and dimer we used 2:1 POPE/POPG membranes (**Fig. 2 - fig. supp. 1A**), corresponding

to the C16:0/18:1 acyl chains used in our previous experimental measurements of the reversible

dimerization reaction (Chadda et al., 2016).

It is worth noting that any simulation of a non-homogenous membrane necessarily presupposes an

initial spatial distribution of the lipid components, which is not only arbitrary but also may not be

representative of the equilibrium condition. Prior to examining the structure of these membranes, it is

therefore key to ascertain that the simulations are long enough for the two lipid components to mix fully

and spatially re-distribute according to the free-energy landscape of the molecular system. One way to

examine this process of mixing is to quantify, for each lipid in the simulation box, what fraction of all

other lipids in the same leaflet are at some point part of their first solvation shell. In our case, this analysis

shows that each lipid, on average, is in direct contact with 80% of all other lipids in the course of each of

our simulations (i.e. over 1,100 molecules) (**Fig. 2 - fig. supp. 1B**). Given that at any given timepoint, a

solvation shell consists of fewer than 10 lipids, this result implies extensive mixing and hence no concerns

in regard to the starting condition. We also examined the orientation of the protein in the bilayer, which

is also an arbitrary initial condition. This analysis indicates that the simulations broadly explore orientation

space, resulting in clearly defined probability distributions for both monomer and dimer (**Fig. 2 – fig.**

supp. 1C).

With sufficient lipid exchange over the time-scale of the simulations, we proceeded to analyze the

shape of the lipid bilayer near the monomer and the dimer as well as other structural descriptors (**Fig. 2 -**

fig. supp. 2). From the simulated trajectories, we calculated 3D density maps reflecting the spatial

distribution of both acyl chains and ester linkages in the protein vicinity (**Fig. 2A, B**). The results for the

monomer show that the membrane shape is deformed at the dimerization interface, thinning near the two

shorter helices at the center. Elsewhere along the monomer perimeter the membrane is largely

unperturbed, and its shape is nearly identical to what we observe for the dimer, confirming the simulations

are probing the membrane structure reliably. Quantitative analysis of bilayer thickness, measured by the

separation between the outer and inner ester layers and represented on a 2D heat map, shows that the

magnitude of this thinning defect is about 8 Å relative to the bulk (**Fig. 2C**), i.e. nearly a quarter of the

unperturbed hydrophobic thickness of this 2:1 POPE/POPG membrane. This defect is also clearly specific

to the dimerization interface in the monomeric state; consistent with the 3D density maps. Smaller defects

are discernable elsewhere but, as noted, they are indistinguishable if monomer and dimer are compared.

Membrane thickness deformations are sometimes conceptualized as resulting from spring-like

compressions or extensions of the lipid chains (Andersen and Koeppe, 2007; Brown, 2017). In this case,

however, the mean acyl chain end-to-end distance near the protein is only a fraction of 1 Å smaller than

the bulk value (**Fig. 2 - fig. supp. 3A**); this minor perturbation is also not specific to the dimerization

interface, but is present at other regions. Therefore, the thinning defect that we observe does not arise due

to a significant compression of the acyl chains. Instead, our simulations show that increased lipid tilt is

in large part what leads to the membrane thinning. This effect is clear from analysis of the orientation of

the acyl chains in terms of the coarse-grained equivalent of a second-rank order parameter, which reveals

a clear change at the dimerization interface (**Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 3B**). To quantify this effect more directly,

we evaluated the mean lipid-chain tilt angle across the system, relative to the membrane normal (**Fig. 2D**).

In the bulk, this angle averages to 0° for one leaflet and 180° for the other, as one would expect, as the

lipid dynamics are isotropic. Approaching the dimerization interface, however, this angle increases

gradually and is maximally deflected by 60°, in both leaflets. This drastic change in orientation can be

clearly visualized in 3D by analyzing the "average structure" of the lipid molecules residing at different

positions along the membrane (**Fig. 2E**). In the bulk, this average yields a linear structure, perfectly

perpendicular to the membrane mid-plane, again due to the isotropy of the lipid configurational dynamics.

However, the lipids that are closest to the dimerization interface (yellow helices) adopt tilted, non-bilayer

configurations in order to optimally solvate the protein. Alongside this drastic change in tilt angle, we

also observe that near the dimerization interface the acyl chains in one leaflet show a greater degree of

inter-digitation with those in the other leaflet (**Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 3C**), compared to the bulk or elsewhere

along the protein perimeter.

In summary, our simulation data clearly shows that when the dimerization interface of CLC-ec1

is exposed to the lipid solvent, it deforms the surrounding membrane by thinning and twisting the bilayer

structure (additional effects in lipid density and hydration will be discussed later below). To solvate this

'problematic' interface, C16:0/18:1 lipids must adopt non-bilayer configurations that are significantly

tilted and more entangled with lipids in the opposite leaflet. Interestingly, the perturbations we observe

are in all cases symmetric with respect to the bilayer midplane, consistent with the fact that the CLC-ec1

monomer consists of two topologically inverted structural repeats; this observation further underscores

that it is the protein structure that dictates the morphology of the adjacent bilayer. Altogether, these results

clearly indicate that optimal lipid solvation of the monomeric state in C16:0/18:1 lipids requires the

membrane to adopt a high-energy conformation. Because dimerization completely eliminates this

membrane defect, the cost of lipid solvation of monomeric CLC-ec1 must therefore translate into an

attractive force. Although the precise magnitude of this stabilizing effect is not directly revealed by the

results presented thus far, our single-molecule TIRF assays enable us to evaluate its significance

experimentally. That is, if solvation of the membrane defect caused by monomeric CLC-ec1 indeed

implies a dominant energetic penalty, then the dimerization equilibrium should be shifted towards the

monomeric state by introducing lipids that are a 'better' solvent for this defect; this shift should be

reflected in the measured free-energy of dimerization.

Evaluating short-chain lipids as an alternative lipid solvent.

Since the membrane defect induced by monomeric CLC-ec1 is constructed by hydrophobic thinning, we

decided to test this hypothesis by introducing short-chain di-lauryl (DL) C12:0 lipids into the C16:0/18:1

PO lipid membranes while keeping the overall 2:1 PE/PG headgroup composition constant (**Fig. 3A**). DL

lipids are shorter than PO lipids by 4-6 carbons per chain, and are also fully saturated, losing the ω-9

double bond in one chain. Before drawing any conclusions in regard to the CLC-ec1 dimerization, we

sought to characterize the intrinsic properties of these quaternary lipid bilayers. To do so, we first

measured phase-transition thermograms for different DL/PO ratios by differential scanning calorimetry

(**Fig. 3B**). The mixtures show broad profiles; however, the membranes are fluid at room temperature,

with the exception of the 100% DL condition. Plotting the peak T_m as a function of DL shows eutectic

behavior with a minimum T_m at about 30% DL (**Fig. 3C**). Next, we examined the structure of the DL/PO

bilayers at 25 ℃ with small-angle neutron scattering (SANS). Using a spherical, multi-lamellar liposome

model to fit the scattering spectra (**Fig. 3D,E**), we observe a gradual decrease in the bilayer thickness as

the DL content is increased (**Fig. 3F**); at 70% DL, the membrane is about 6 Å thinner than that with no

DL. This change is consistent with published SANS measurements for POPC vs. DLPC (Kučerka et al.,

2011) and POPG vs. DLPG (Pan et al., 2014). It also approximately matches the magnitude of the defect

created by the CLC-ec1 monomer (**Fig. 2C**), indicating that the DL lipids in these mixtures might be

suitable for solvating the dimerization interface.

To understand how addition of DL impacts the bilayer thickness at the molecular level, we also

carried out coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CGMD) simulations for the pure PO/DL membranes. As

the DL content is increased, the observed change in thickness in the simulations reproduce the

experimental trend, despite the approximations inherent to the CG forcefield (**Fig. 3G**). Further analysis

indicates a high degree of cooperativity between the two lipid-chain types: for example, if the bilayer

thickness is quantified by the distance between the two ester layers, there is virtually no difference when

this distance is evaluated only for DL vs. PO lipids, at any % DL (**Fig. 3G**). This observation indicates

that as DL is added, their lipid headgroups remain aligned with those of the PO lipids so as to minimally

perturb the degree of hydration of the headgroup layer. It is worth noting that the average number of

contacts formed between the acyl chains in one leaflet and those in the other is also a conserved quantity,

regardless of the PO/DL content **(Fig. 3H)**. For example, comparing the 0% and 10% DL membranes, we

observe that a given DL chain can form only half of the interleaflet contacts seen for the PO chains in the

absence of DL. However, to counter this destabilizing effect, PO lipids slightly increase the number of

interactions they form across the membrane midplane and become more interdigitated; as a result, the

number of chain contacts is, on average, unchanged, but this translates into a thinning of the bilayer. It

appears, therefore, that an optimal degree of headgroup-layer hydration and interleaflet contacts dictates

the thickness of the pure PO membrane; as DL lipids are added these PO/DL membranes adapt to preserve

these two quantities, which requires them to become thinner. The significance of these conserved

quantities will be discussed again further below.

Short-chain lipids shift CLC dimerization equilibrium without effect on protein function or global

membrane changes.

Following these results, we investigated whether the monomer-dimer equilibrium can be influenced by

addition of DLPE/PG lipids to the POPE/PG membranes, using our previously established single-

molecule subunit-capture approach (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and Robertson, 2016). In this method,

the protein is site-specifically labelled with a Cy5-maleimide fluorophore and reconstituted into lipid

bilayers that are fused into large multilamellar vesicles by freeze/thaw cycles. In this state, the membrane

area is sufficiently large to permit monomer and dimer populations to equilibrate according to the

association constant of the reaction and the protein to lipid mole fraction, $\chi_{protein}$. The oligomeric-state

distribution resulting from this equilibrium condition is quantified by fragmenting the membranes into

fixed liposome compartments via extrusion, and by counting the probability distribution of subunit capture

by single-molecule photobleaching analysis using TIRF microscopy. The photobleaching probability

distribution follows a Poisson distribution provided one considers heterogenous compartments and

multiple protein species (Cliff et al., 2019), and thus the population of oligomeric species can be quantified

using this approach. However, it is also important to control for other factors that affect the probability

distribution such as the protein labeling yield and liposome size distribution. Our site-specific labeling

procedure (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and Robertson, 2016) provides a consistent labeling yield, $P_{Cy5,\text{WT}}$

= 0.66 ± 0.00 (mean ± sem, n = 27 independent purifications with Cy5 labeling, **Fig. 4 – source data 3-**

6, Fig. 5 – source data 1,2); thus, as long as we know the liposome size distribution, we can determine

any changes of CLC-ec1 dimerization equilibrium in different lipid environments.

Using this approach, we set out to study the degree of CLC dimerization in a single mixed lipid

condition in which we observe thinner membranes, namely 20% DL. Our first step was to examine the

mixed DL/PO liposomes using cryo-electron microscopy imaging. 2:1 PE/PG membranes containing 20%

DL and 80% PO were prepared, freeze-thawed into multi-lamellar vesicles, extruded through 400 nm

filters and then imaged and analyzed to measure the size distribution directly. The liposomes and

membranes appear similar to those in the 0% DL condition (i.e. 2:1 POPE/POPG), with comparable radius

and fractional surface area distributions (**Fig. 4A-C**). There is a significant proportion of multilamellar

vesicles in both compositions, 44% for 20% DL and 25% for 100% PO samples. Next, WT-Cy5 20% DL

liposomes were imaged by single-molecule TIRF microscopy. Example images and raw data for the

photobleaching traces for PO and 20% DL liposomes (**Fig. 4D,E**) demonstrates no changes in the quality

of images obtained in the different lipid conditions. While the cryo-EM imaging indicated no significant

differences in liposome size distributions, we also examined the photobleaching probability distributions

of two experimental controls: I201W/I422W, referred to as 'WW', a version of the protein with two

tryptophan substitutions at the dimerization interface (Robertson et al., 2010) that reports the fixed

monomer probability distribution; and R230C/L249C, or 'RCLC', a disulfide cross-linked constitutive

dimer (Nguitragool and Miller, 2007) that reports the fixed dimer probability distribution (Chadda et al.,

2018). Photobleaching analysis of these controls in 20% DL liposomes show dependencies on the protein

mole fraction comparable to those observed for the 2:1 POPE/POPG composition (**Fig. 4 – fig. supp.**

1A,B). Thus, our analyses indicate that the 20% DL, 80% PO 2:1 PE/PG liposome population is

comparable to the 100% PO condition, allowing us to attribute changes in the single-molecule

photobleaching distributions to specific changes in CLC dimerization.

With our quantification method benchmarked, we analyzed the photobleaching probability

distribution of WT CLC-ec1 in 20% DL 2:1 PE/PG lipid bilayers and compared it to the WW and RCLC

control data in the same lipid condition (**Fig. 4F**). Calculation of the fraction of dimer in these protein

populations, from least-squares fitting to the WW and RCLC reference distributions, shows that

dimerization is significantly destabilized, i.e. the equilibrium is shifted towards the monomeric state (**Fig.**

4G). By fitting to an equilibrium dimerization isotherm, we estimate a lower-limit of the $K_D > 4.2 \pm 1.3$

$\times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid, as the reaction falls out of the dynamic range for these measurements leading to an

insufficient fit of the reaction. Still, the limited reaction indicates that the 20% DL condition destabilizes

dimerization by at least +3 kcal/mole. To verify that this shift reflects a new equilibrium, we also

examined whether the mostly dimeric population of CLC-ec1 in 2:1 POPE/POPG lipid bilayers is driven

towards the monomer state when fusing the proteo-liposomes with DL containing membranes. **Fig. 4H**

shows the resultant distribution of diluting $\chi_{protein} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid proteoliposomes 1:1 via

freeze-thawed fusion with either 0% DL or 40% DL (i.e. final DL proportion is 20%). Indeed, after

incubating the fused samples for 5 days at room temperature, the probability distribution showed a

significant shift towards monomers, indicated by an increase in single steps, P_1 (**Fig. 4 – fig. supp. 1C,D**).

Therefore, alternative approaches consistently demonstrate that the short-chain DL lipid shifts the

oligomeric distribution of CLC-ec1 towards the monomeric form. Finally, we examined whether CLC-

ec1 remained functional in this new membrane environment. To do so, we carried out chloride efflux

measurements from CLC-ec1 proteo-liposomes (Walden et al., 2007) and quantified the chloride transport

activity as a function of DL in the membrane. The protein remained effective at transporting chloride in

20% DL (**Fig. 4I**), with no difference in the fraction of inactive vesicles (**Fig. 4J**), and a modest 2-fold

decrease in chloride efflux rate (**Fig. 4K**). Therefore, CLC-ec1 is significantly destabilized towards the

dissociated monomeric form in 20% DL, 80% PO 2:1 PE/PG membranes, yet remains a functionally

competent chloride transporter in the new lipid composition.

Next, we examined how dimerization depends on the DL/PO ratio by carrying out a titration

experiment, studying the monomer-dimer population as a function of DL, from 10^{-8} to 80% (**Fig. 5A**).

Note these experiments were conducted at dilute protein densities within the membrane, with 1 subunit

per million lipids ($\chi_{protein} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid), where WT CLC-ec1 is $\approx$ 80% dimeric in 2:1

POPE/POPG. Based on our experiments of WW and RCLC controls (**Fig. 4F**, (Chadda et al., 2018)), we

know a dimeric population is expected to yield comparable probabilities of single and double steps ($P_1 \approx$

P_2), while a monomeric population will exhibit mainly single steps ($P_1 > P_2$). The reason why a dimeric

population includes a significant observation of single steps is because our experimental labeling yield is

$P_{Cy5} = 0.66$, and binomial statistics predicts a nearly equal proportion of singly and doubly labelled Cy5

dimers, as demonstrated by our previous theoretical simulations (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and

Robertson, 2016; Cliff et al., 2019). The raw photobleaching probability distributions show a population

shift from nearly all dimers in 0% DL to all monomers at 80% DL, which resembles the distribution of

WW in 2:1 POPE/POPG. Calculation of F_{dimer} from these data shows that the impact of DL on

dimerization follows two phases with an inflection point around 1% DL (**Fig. 5B**). We also examined the

dependency of CLC activity on the presence of DL in greater detail by measuring CLC dependent chloride

efflux while titrating DL in the membrane (**Fig. 5 - fig. supp. 1**). For samples with 10% DL or less, we

observed no change in chloride transport activity; by contrast, at 40% DL there is approximately a 70%

reduction in transport rate. To compare how dimerization and function relate to bilayer structure, we

plotted the normalized change in the bilayer thickness from the SANS data, Δd_B, with the normalized

change in the dimeric population, ΔF_{dimer} and the normalized change in transport rate Δk_P (**Fig. 5C**). From

this plot, we can see that > 60% of the dimerization changes occur below 10% DL, i.e. before there are

any major changes in the macroscopic structure of the membrane. However, the change in chloride efflux
rate correlates directly with the change in bilayer thickness.

Therefore, while function appears to be impacted by global changes in membrane thickness
following a simple trend, our photobleaching results demonstrate that dimerization equilibrium is coupled
to the membrane in a more complex manner. Converting F_{Dimer} to the change in free energy of dimerization
relative to the zero DL condition, $\Delta\Delta G$, highlights the two types of molecular linkage observed. At high
DL > 1%, the complex is destabilized by 0.8 ± 0.3 kcal/mole for every addition of 10% DL in the
membrane (**Fig. 5D**). As this corresponds to the range where we observe membrane thinning, it is
reasonable to assume this change is linked to the bulk properties of the membrane. However, at low DL
< 1%, $\Delta\Delta G$ shows a linear dependency with the logarithm of DL, with a destabilization of 0.14 ± 0.07
kcal/mole for every Log_{10} change in % DL (**Fig. 5E, Fig. 5 - source data 1**). This coupling describes a
microscopic process effected by DL, detectable even when DL is present in minimal amounts. It also
indicates the molecular mechanism, as a linear dependency of $\Delta\Delta G$ on the logarithm of the co-solvent
activity corresponds to a thermodynamic linkage model of preferential solvation, as described by Tanford
and others (Tanford, 1969; Record and Anderson, 1995; Marsh, 1995; Timasheff, 2002a).

Preferential solvation by DL at the CLC-ec1 dimerization interface.

To investigate whether preferential solvation is involved in the mechanism by which DL shifts CLC-ec1
dimerization equilibrium toward monomers, we again turned to CGMD simulations. Specifically, we
carried out simulations of the CLC monomer in bilayers of DLPE/DLPG/POPE/POPG lipids with a 2:1
PE/PG ratio and a DL content of either 1%, 10%, 30% or 50% (**Fig. 6 - fig. supp. 1A**). Before drawing
any conclusions from these simulations, we again ascertained that these complex bilayers do appropriately
mix in the timescale of the trajectories, using a metric identical to that considered for the POPE/POPG
simulations. Taking the 50% DL membrane as an example, we observe that by the end of the simulations,
any one PO or DL lipid has been in direct contact with about 90% of all other lipid molecules in the same
leaflet (**Fig. 6 - fig. supp. 1B**), indicating near-ideal equilibration.

We then proceeded to examine the structure of these membranes using the same descriptors as
those employed above. Interestingly, the thinned-membrane defect around the dimerization interface is
still observed in the mixed PO/DL simulations, even for 50% DL (**Fig. 6A**), and is comparable to what
we find in the 2:1 POPE/POPG simulations (**Fig. 2C**). That is, the defect is observed even when the

'macroscopic' thickness of the lipid bilayer is reduced; for example, for 50% DL, the thinning is about

5.5 Å. Examination of the average lipid structure across the membrane show that both PO and DL lipids

solvate the totality of the protein surface and that, as in the 100% PO condition, the thinned-membrane

defect results from both types of lipids becoming increasingly tilted as they approach the dimerization

interface (**Fig. 6B**, **Fig. 6 – fig. supp. 2**). However, 3D density maps for the first lipid solvation shell

indicate these two lipid types are not distributed identically (**Fig. 6C).** At the two interfaces not involved

in dimerization, the DL density signal weakens at the center of the membrane, revealing the DL chains

are too short to solvate the hydrophobic span of the protein, which is better matched to PO lipids.

Conversely, the density signal for PO is weaker than DL at the dimerization interface, and weaker than

that seen in the 100% PO membrane, indicating PO is depleted here. This depletion, and the corresponding

enrichment in DL lipids, becomes apparent in 2D projections of the percent difference between the

observed lipid density ratio (DL/PO) and the expected bulk ratio (**Fig. 6D**). These data show that the

normalized probability of observing DL rather than PO at the dimerization interface is higher than

elsewhere in the membrane, irrespective of PO/DL composition. Conversely, DL is depleted at the other

two interfaces, consistent with the 3D density analysis discussed above. Quantification of the DL

enrichment as a function of the distance from the protein surface reveals this effect extends for up to 30

Å from the dimerization interface and confirms that it is largely independent of the PO/DL ratio (**Fig. 6E**).

As noted above, increasing DL content ultimately results in a change in the overall thickness of

the PO/DL bilayers. Thus, it could be reasonably argued that this 'macroscopic' effect would reduce the

energetic cost of the thinned-membrane defect caused by monomeric CLC-ec1, irrespective of whether

one lipid type or another is preferentially enriched, and thereby cause a shift in the dimerization

equilibrium. It is important to note, however, that the enrichment effect we report is discernable at 1%

DL, i.e. in conditions where there is virtually no change in the global thickness of the bilayer, relative to

the PO condition, both in experiment and simulation (**Fig. 3F,G**). Yet, 1% DL has a profound impact on

the dimerization equilibrium (**Fig. 5**). Limitations in computing speed currently preclude us from verifying

this effect for even smaller quantities of DL lipids with adequate statistically significance. Nevertheless,

the existing results underscore that a process distinct from a change of the global properties of the

membrane is dominant in this regime, which we posit is that of preferential lipid solvation.

The observation of near complete lipid mixing in our simulations implies that the enrichment of

DL at the dimerization interface is neither artifactual nor transient but rather a minimum free-energy state

of the lipid-solvent structure. What are the molecular factors that explain this observation, i.e. what drives

the preferential residence of DL over PO in this specific region of the membrane? As mentioned above,

our simulation data for the pure PO/DL bilayers indicates that the collective degree of interdigitation

between opposing leaflets is a conserved quantity that dictates membrane structure. This interdigitation

can be quantified by metrics such as the average number of interleaflet contacts formed by the acyl-chains

(**Fig. 3G,H**). With this observation in mind, 2D maps of the number of interleaflet lipid contacts for the

CLC-ec1 systems reveal key differences that seem to explain why DL is enriched at the dimerization

interface (**Fig. 7A**). In the bulk, there is no difference between the 100% and 50/50 PO/DL conditions, for

example, when all lipids are averaged. The number of interleaflet contacts is again a conserved quantity,

as observed for the pure bilayers. At the CLC-ec1 dimerization interface, however, this conserved quantity

cannot be matched by the PO lipids; whether for 100% PO or 50/50 PO/DL, PO lipids create an excessive,

clearly non-native overlap between leaflets. By contrast, when at the dimerization interface, DL chains

very closely reproduce the conserved bulk values. Thus, by segregating PO lipids away from this interface,

and accumulating DL lipids instead, the system minimizes the negative impact of the thinned-membrane

defect created by the CLC monomer, shifting the equilibrium towards the dissociated state.

The mitigating effect of the DL lipids is also apparent from other more conventional descriptors

of bilayer structure. In **Fig. 7B**, for example, we quantify how the number of lipid near-neighbors varies

across the membrane. The data shows that in 100% PO the CLC monomer introduces a clear lipid-density

defect at the dimerization interface, particularly at the level of the headgroup and ester-linkage layers.

This perturbation, in turn, leads to a marked increase in the degree of water penetration of the hydrocarbon

interior of the bilayer, by almost 3-fold relative to the bulk-membrane values (**Fig. 7C**). As noted earlier,

these perturbations impact both leaflets, in a manner that reflects the internal symmetry of the CLC

monomer, and very likely signify a major energetic cost. The preferential solvation of the dimerization

interface by DL does not entirely eradicate these defects, but it is clear from our data that they are greatly

minimized (**Fig. 7B,C**). In view of these results, we can plausibly infer that in the PO/DL conditions the

energetic cost of lipid solvation of the monomer is reduced, relative to the pure PO condition. This

preferential solvation effect would in turn explain the shift in dimerization equilibrium observed

experimentally, particularly at low DL concentrations.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that the dimerization of a membrane protein can be driven in large part by the

energetic cost of lipid solvation of the monomeric state, due to the exposure of a protein surface that

deforms the membrane structure. While other factors may also contribute to the overall stability of the

dimer, the significant dependency on membrane forces observed in this study implies that this

oligomerization equilibrium can be regulated through variations in the chemical and physical nature of

the lipid bilayer. In our studies, we observe that the monomer-dimer free-energy balance can be modulated

by a mechanism of preferential solvation, i.e. the enrichment of specific lipid types that are more naturally

predisposed to reside in the membrane defect caused by the dissociated protomers. This is an effect that

occurs with low quantities of the modulatory lipid in the membrane, before macroscopic changes in

membrane thickness are apparent, and where the functionality of the protein is preserved. In contrast,

when global changes in membrane thickness become significant, the dimerization equilibrium has already

shifted drastically, and the physical state of the membrane is observed to degrade protein function. Thus,

we find that preferential solvation is a plausible contender for a mechanism of physiological regulation of

membrane protein complexes in biological membranes. In the following sections, we discuss the

molecular basis and physiological implications of such findings.

Burial of membrane defects is a significant driving force for CLC-ec1 dimerization.

Our computational studies demonstrate that the CLC-ec1 monomer in 2:1 POPE/POPG introduces a non-

native thinned defect in the surrounding membrane, due to the exposure of the shorter central helices that

form the core of the dimerization interface. Experimentally, we have measured that the free energy of

CLC-ec1 dimerization in 2:1 POPE/POPG lipid bilayers is -10.9 ± 0.1 kcal/mole (1 subunit/lipid standard

state). Given the nature of the perturbations caused by the monomer, and the fact that this free-energy

value can be drastically shifted by addition of minimal amounts of DLPE/DLPG lipids, we believe it is

very likely that this dimerization reaction is driven primarily by the energetics of the membrane, with

protein-protein interactions contributing on a smaller scale. Conclusive evaluation of this hypothesis will

however require further experimental and computational investigations of the dimerization equilibrium

for a range of protein constructs in different lipid bilayers and conditions, and a direct quantification of

the anticipated differences in lipid solvation energetics of associated and dissociated states, in each case.

The concept that protein-induced membrane defects can translate into an effective driving force

towards oligomerization presents a generalizable solution to the problem of membrane protein self-

organization, while allowing for evolutionary adaptations in amino-acid sequence that might be

advantageous. In this perspective, association primarily depends on the overall protein architecture and

the general chemical features of the protein surface. Strict conservation of specific amino-acids at specific

sites on the protein surface is thus not critical though not entirely inconsequential. Membrane protein

complexes may thus evolve high shape-complementarity, for example to maximize the exclusion of lipids

in the complexed form of the protein (Li et al., 2013), and thereby achieve greater stability. Indeed,

previous analysis showed that CLC-ec1 exhibits high shape-complementarity, comparable to other high-

affinity antigen antibody complexes (Robertson et al., 2010).

As noted, membrane perturbations appear to influence the association of other systems as well.

Assembly of Gramicidin A peptides into functional ion-channel dimers results in a hydrophobic mismatch

with the surrounding bilayer, and thus dimerization can be inhibited by increasing the global membrane

thickness (Goodall, 1971; Mobashery et al., 1997). FRET measurements for reconstituted Rhodopsin have

indicated the formation of higher-order assemblies when the membrane is thicker or thinner than a certain

range (Botelho et al., 2006). Computational studies have rationalized this kind of spatial organization as

resulting from anisotropic defects in membrane thickness or curvature, which become mitigated upon

association in specific geometries (Mondal et al., 2014) (Kahraman and Haselwandter, 2019). A striking

illustration of this concept is found in the inner mitochondrial membranes, where ATP synthases, each a

dimeric complex, spontaneously assemble into micrometer-scale linear arrays, priming the membrane to

invaginate and form cristae (Anselmi et al., 2018; Blum et al., 2019). Thus, there is a growing body of

evidence that suggests that membrane dependent forces are a key factor in the self-assembly and

organization of membrane protein complexes. To our knowledge, however, this study is the first to probe

how such forces can dictate the oligomerization equilibrium of a strongly-bound integral membrane

protein complex, in the absence of global physical membrane changes, and without compromising its

biological functionality.

Preferential solvation vs. bulk membrane thickness vs. site-specific binding.

The notion that dissociated and associated states of a membrane protein oligomer can perturb the bilayer

in distinct ways, as a result of hydrophobic mismatch, implies it is conceivable that cellular mechanisms

exist through which variations in lipid composition can regulate this type of equilibria (Andersen and

Koeppe, 2007). However, different mechanisms can be envisaged. The relative energetics of solvation of

the dissociated and associated states would logically be altered if there is a global change in membrane
thickness. Alternatively, a different mechanism could involve that certain lipids bind to the 'problematic'
protein-membrane surface, in a manner similar to conventional agonists or antagonists.

Neither of these mechanisms, however, explain our experimental data. The first effect that we
observe is when short-chain saturated DL lipids are added to PO bilayers at extremely low DL activities,
ranging from 1 DL per 10^{10} PO up to 1% DL. In this regime, we determined that the bilayer thickness is
identical to that of PO membranes, and we measure no change in protein function. Yet, upon increasing
the amount of DL, we detect a gradual correlated increase in the monomeric proportion of CLC-ec1, and
this effect appears to be linear with respect to the logarithm of DL over six orders of magnitude (**Figure
5F-H**). At first, it seems intuitive to interpret this data as a process of competitive inhibition, i.e. one or
more DL-specific binding sites might exist at the dimerization interface, with an affinity of $K_{D,DL}$, the
occupancy of which precludes dimerization. However, we can immediately see that our data do not agree
with this type of linkage. This type of model would lead to complete saturation of the population of the
monomeric state over a much narrower increase in % DL, at most thousand-fold, and centered at the
hypothetical $K_{D,DL}$. The gradual, linear relationship of the decay of the dimeric population with the
logarithm of % DL that we observe in our data, over six orders of magnitude, is simply not in agreement
with a model of site-specific competitive binding.

Lipid-composition effects can be however conceptualized beyond the paradigms of bimolecular
recognition or global morphological changes. If we consider lipids as solvent molecules (Marsh, 1995), a
different type of linkage model, used to examine mixed aqueous solvent systems, explains our
observations. The stability of soluble proteins, both as oligomeric assemblies or folds, is known to be
dependent on the relative activities of the co-solvents present, due to preferential solvation effects
(Tanford, 1969; Record and Anderson, 1995; Schellman, 1987, 2003; Timasheff, 2002b; Lee and
Timasheff, 1981). That is, one state of the protein might be "preferentially solvated" by a given co-
solvent, and so an increase in that co-solvent activity shifts the reaction equilibrium to that state. This is a
form of linkage that describes how proteins can be stabilized or destabilized by salts, glycerol, sugar or
chaotropic denaturants. It does not involve specific binding, but rather non-specific affinities that lead to
a linear dependency of the change in free energy with the log-activity of the co-solvent, as we observe in
our experiments.

While preferential solvation alone, i.e. without bulk-membrane changes, had not been previously
demonstrated to impact the formation of obligate complexes of integral membrane proteins, the notion

that the features of the protein-lipid interface can dictate the spatial distribution of different lipid types in
its vicinity has been previously documented. For example, in CGMD simulations of a wide set of
membrane proteins in highly complex bilayers, it was observed that each protein induces a unique lipid
solvation structure, akin to a "lipid fingerprint" (Corradi et al., 2018). Similarly, a simulation study of the
Gramicidin A dimer in a two-component bilayer with C16:1 and C24:1 acyl-chains, reported that the latter
become underrepresented in the first solvation shell, as the C16:1 chains better match the hydrophobic
thickness of the dimer (Beaven et al., 2017). These results demonstrate that lipids distribute around the
dimer to match the features of the protein, and this may contribute to changes in the overall stability.
However, the interpretation of this observation is unfortunately limited because the monomeric state was
not studied in these lipid compositions, and it is the change in lipid distribution between the dimer and
monomeric states that provides the linkage to the oligomerization equilibrium during preferential
solvation.

The experiments and simulations described in our study indeed confirm that preferential solvation
effects alone can dictate the energetics of oligomerization reactions for integral membrane proteins, even
those that are assumed to be obligate oligomers, where the associated form appears to be derived from
evolutionarily pressures, e.g. CLC. That the monomer causes a thinned-membrane defect that is eliminated
upon dimerization is key. We observe how at this defect the distribution of PO and DL lipids diverges
from what would be expected based on their bulk ratio, and the shorter DL lipids become enriched while
the PO lipids are depleted. This enrichment is specific to the dimerization interface, and therefore also
specific for the monomeric state that exposes this interface. And importantly, it is observed irrespective
of the PO/DL content of the bilayer, as could be expected for an effect that is dictated by the protein itself.
Thus, although any deviation from the bulk-membrane homogeneity does entail a free-energy penalty, the
larger gains resulting from a more optimal solvation of the exposed dimerization interface ultimately
translate into a strong shift in favor of the monomeric state.

As is logical, the preferential solvation effect is ultimately superseded by more global changes in
the state of the membrane; according to our SANS experiments, these changes begin to take place when
the DL proportion exceeds 10%, which is the kind of change in lipid composition that has been typically
evaluated in previous studies of membrane-driven organization processes. In this regime, we do observe
an additional depletion of the dimer population, because the energetic penalty of solvating the monomer
is further reduced as the membrane becomes thinner. However, in this high-DL range we also observe a
correlated decrease in CLC-ec1 transport activity. That is, while the thinner DL/PO membranes match the

exposed dimerization interface better, they also compromise the functional integrity at high DL. While we

do not have direct structural information about the protein under these conditions, one plausible

interpretation for this functional degradation is that the structural mechanism of the protein is somehow

impaired in globally thinner membranes. Preferential solvation would thus appear to be a more viable

mechanism of lipid regulation of oligomerization reactions of specific species under physiological

conditions where biology strives to maintain global membrane properties.

Physiological implications for lipid modulation of membrane proteins.

Preferential solvation is a generalizable effect that could modulate any equilibrium whereby one or more

protein states introduce a local morphological defect into the membrane. Besides oligomerization

reactions, this effect likely defines the energetics of the intermediate conformational states that are

encountered during membrane protein folding, as well as gating, transport, and signaling. Given the

highly complex composition of real biological membranes, one can therefore envisage that each of these

conformational states will have a different local lipid composition, optimized to stabilize the structure of

the membrane in that state. The relative free-energies of the combined protein-membrane system in each

state will therefore be dependent on the lipid types that are available, which the cell can alter through e.g.

regulation of lipid synthesis and degradation pathways.

While membrane protein reactions can be severely influenced in laboratory conditions through

drastic changes in the chemical and physical state of membrane, a critical point to note is that a plausible

regulatory mechanism must be effective in the actual range that is physiologically viable. Cell membranes

are known to undergo changes in lipid composition due to many external factors (Marr and Ingraham,

1962; Sanders and Mittendorf, 2011); yet, it is rare for a membrane to change its composition so much

that its macroscopic structure is significantly altered. For instance, the membranes of *E. coli* cells grown

at colder temperatures will primarily decrease chain saturation, with only minor changes to the amount of

short-chain lipids. The resulting changes are presumed to maintain fluidity while maintaining an

appropriate thickness of the membrane so that the majority of membrane proteins remain properly solvated

and can still function optimally. Homeostatic adaptation of macroscopic membrane properties have been

shown for mammalian cells also (Levental et al., 2020). As far as we know, there is no situation where a

cell will change the overall macroscopic thickness of its membranes due to a physiological stimulus.

Therefore, when contemplating possible mechanisms of physiological regulation within the membrane,

and particularly with chain-length in mind, we must consider that they should be consistent with low-level

changes of these types of lipids within the membrane. Our experiments show that CLC-ec1 dimerization

is sensitive to the amount of the short-chain DL lipid in the membrane; even at low levels, from 10^{-8} to

1%, we observe a gradual and non-saturating impact, indicating that dimerization is tunable without a

global change in the state of the membrane. The resulting change in the dimer population, from 80% to

50%, could certainly impart a physiological effect if it was linked to a cell signaling function. It is equally

important to note that the phenomenon of preferential solvation naturally allows for this gradual tuning,

as opposed to what would be expected for a process of site-specific lipid-ligand binding, which would

inhibit dimerization in a switch-like manner over a much narrower range of DL-lipid concentrations.

While site-specific binding mechanisms may be at play for some types of processes and specific lipid

types, we anticipate that preferential solvation effects will be found to control diverse kinds of membrane

protein equilibria in physiological settings.

Our examination of the impact of short-chain lipids on CLC-ec1 dimerization sheds light on a

potentially ubiquitous mechanism of action by regulatory molecules within the membrane. In simulations,

we observe the CLC-ec1 monomers force PO lipids to adopt non-native conformations, and many of the

features of the bilayer near the dimerization interface, ranging from lipid tilt-angle to interleaflet contacts

or water exclusion, are radically different from those in the bulk. When DL lipids are present, they

disproportionately accumulate at this defect, spontaneously, while maintaining non-specific interactions.

In doing so, DL lipids restore some of the bulk-like features to the bilayer near the dimerization interface.

That is, although the defect remains, DL is a better solvent for it, and thereby stabilizes the dissociated

monomeric state. A small lipid like DL could thus be considered a chemical that drives disaggregation,

analogous to chaotropic denaturants stabilizing the un-folded states of proteins in aqueous solution. Many

regulatory molecules in membranes are also small fatty acids; pharmacological agents like general

anesthetics are small non-polar molecules as well. It is possible that these small lipoidal factors act

similarly to DL in the problem examined here, and that they preferentially solvate and stabilize the

membrane in states where local deformations and defects created by a protein become exposed. This may

promote protein disaggregation, especially at high enough densities, and shift membrane protein equilibria

to optimize activity. Altogether, our findings lead to the hypothesis that the complexity of lipid

compositions found in biological cell membranes, leveraged through mechanisms such as preferential

solvation, permits the cell to regulate and fine-tune the reactions of membrane proteins within – folding,

oligomerization, and conformational changes – amidst the extremely variable conditions that life faces. It

will be fascinating to continue to unravel the nature of these processes through further experimental and
simulation studies.
641
642 **CONCLUSION**
643
This study provides fundamental insights into an ubiquitous process in membrane physiology, namely
protein oligomerization. It also yields a novel perspective of the mechanism by which cells could regulate
the stability of membrane protein complexes through subtle variations in the lipid composition of the
bilayer. Specifically, we have posited that a principal driving force for the oligomerization of membrane
proteins stems from differences in the lipid solvation energetics of the associated and dissociated states.
Such differences arise when one of the states in equilibrium introduces a perturbation in the bilayer that
would not be naturally observed otherwise, i.e. one that implies a significant free-energy cost from the
membrane standpoint. A driving force that originates in the energetics of lipid solvation is by definition
highly sensitive to the composition of the membrane. In this regard, the perspective that emerges from
this study differs from models that postulate site-specific binding or global changes in the state of the
membrane. In our perspective, the lipid bilayer is a system of co-solvents that can alter their spatial
distribution so as to preferentially solvate one or more of the states of any given reaction. A particular
state might be therefore favored or disfavored, statistically speaking, depending on the energetics of the
solvation structure that is achievable by a given co-solvent mixture. It follows that minimal changes in the
lipid composition of the membrane can have a profound effect on specific oligomerization reactions,
without any global morphological changes that might broadly compromise protein functionality, i.e. what
is expected for a physiologically realistic regulatory process.
661

662 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**
663
The Robertson lab is supported by the National Institute of General Medical Science, National Institutes
of Health (R01GM120260, R21GM126476); the Faraldo-Gómez lab is funded by the Division of
Intramural Research of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, NIH. SCMT is grateful for support
from the U. Delaware Center for Neutron Science, a cooperative agreement (70NANB15H260) with
the National Center for Neutron Research at NIST, U.S. Department of Commerce. Access to the
NGB30 SANS instrument was provided by the Center for High Resolution Neutron Scattering, a

partnership between the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the National Science
Foundation under Agreement No. DMR-1508249. This work benefitted from the use of the SasView
application, originally developed under NSF award DMR-0520547. SasView contains code developed
with funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the
SINE2020 project, grant agreement No 654000. Computing resources were in part provided by the NIH
Supercomputer Biowulf. We thank Kacey Mersch and Tim Lohman for useful discussions during the
preparation of this manuscript. The identification of any commercial products or trade names does not
imply endorsement or recommendation by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

METHODS

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. All simulations were calculated with GROMACS 5.2.1

(Abraham et al., 2015) using the MARTINI 2.2/ElNeDyn22 forcefield (Wassenaar et al., 2015).

Temperature and pressure were maintained constant at 303.15 K and 1 bar, using the velocity-rescale

thermostat and the Parrinello-Rahman semi-isotropic barostat, respectively. Equations of motion were

integrated using the leapfrog algorithm with a time step of 20 fs. Electrostatics were treated with the

reaction field method using a cutoff of 1.2 nm. To ensure statistical significance, several independent runs

were performed for each system (see **Fig. 2 – source data 1** for further details). The simulations are based

on the crystal structure of wild-type CLC-ec1 dimer deposited in the Protein Data Bank, entry 1OTS

(resolution 2.51 Å) (Dutzler et al., 2003). Chloride ions were included at sites S_{cen} and S_{int}, and E113

(chains A and B) and D417 (chain A) were protonated as indicated from electrostatics analysis (Faraldo-

Gómez and Roux, 2004) In the monomer state the N-terminus was truncated up to residue 30, as this

cytoplasmic helix, which domain-swaps in the dimer, is highly flexible and able to adopt alternate

conformations (Robertson et al., 2010) The atomic structure was coarse-grained using the *martinize* tool;

different mixtures of POPE, POPG, DLPE and DLPG lipids were then added around the protein and the

systems solvated. The total system charge was neutralized by addition of Na^+ ions, and the system buffered

with NaCl to a concentration of 150 mM. The preparatory stages included an 15,000-steps energy

minimization using the steepest-descent method, and a 5-ns equilibration to bring the system to desired

temperature and pressure. To simplify the visualization and analysis of trajectories, the protein was not

permitted to rotate around the Z-axis (i.e. the membrane perpendicular) or to diffuse away from the

membrane center. Note this is strictly equivalent to re-defining the laboratory frame as the molecular

frame for each snapshot, and thus these restrictions have no impact on the sampling of the internal

configurational space. These orientational/translational restraints were implemented with PLUMED

(Bonomi et al., 2009); specifically, two centers-of-mass, A and B, were defined using elements of helices

H/P (residues 406-409, 411, 412, 194-196, 197, 198) and the linker regions between M/N and E/F

(residues 138, 143-145, 347, 348,351-353). In the monomer simulations, harmonic potentials were used

to keep both A and B on the YZ plane and equidistant from the membrane center. In the dimer, centers A

and B were combined into a single center per monomer, C, and the same restraints were applied to keep

the dimer on the YZ plane. The vertical drift of the membrane was also removed prior to trajectory

analysis, by re-centering each snapshot so that the midpoint of centers A and B is fixed in place. For the

pure bilayer simulations, the same was accomplished by holding fixed the z-component of the membrane

center. To map any given descriptor of the lipid structure onto the x-y plane, a grid consisting of square

cells each with an area of 0.005 Å^2 was constructed. Data derived from analysis of individual lipid

molecules in each simulation snapshots were mapped onto specific grid points based on the XY position

of the corresponding ester beads (GL1 and GL2); specifically, data was added to all grid points contained

within the van der Waals radius of the beads. The grid-point data was then averaged over the all trajectory

snapshots. To ascertain which grid-points reflect statistically significant data, the frequency with which

each grid point was assigned to any lipid, referred to hereafter as the occupancy number, was annotated.

Grid points with less than 40% of the average occupancy were considered to be not statistically significant

and excluded from graphical representations and/or global averages. Occupancy numbers were also used

to quantify the enrichment of DL lipids in the mixed PO/DL systems, relative to the bulk ratio.

Specifically, the percent enrichment at grid point i was computed as

$$\%E_i \;=\; 100 \left(\frac{\left[\dfrac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_i - \left[\dfrac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_B}{\left[\dfrac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_B} \right) \tag{1}$$

724 where ρ_{DL} and ρ_{PO} refer to the lipid occupancy number, for DLPX and POPX lipids respectively, and the

725 subscripts i and B indicates the ratio at grid point i or the expected ratio in the bulk given the condition

726 simulated, i.e. if both lipid types were distributed evenly across the box. To compute the enrichment as a

727 function of distance d from the protein (or a specific interface), grid points within a mask centered at that

728 distance and 10 Å in width were selected. The percent enrichment was then computed as

$$\%E_d = 100 \left(\frac{\left[\frac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_M - \left[\frac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_B}{\left[\frac{\rho_{DL}}{\rho_{PO}}\right]_B} \right) \tag{2}$$

where the subscript M refers to the sum of the occupancy numbers over the grid points found within each

mask. All grid-based analysis tools are in-house software, available for download

in https://github.com/TMB-CSB/Membrane-Analysis-Tools-Gromacs (Bernhardt & Faraldo-Gomez,

2021), with the exception of the 3D density maps, which were calculated using the *volmap* plugin of VMD

(Humphrey et al., 1996). For more details on the grid-based lipid metrics analysis see **Fig. 2 – fig. supp.**

2

Preparation of lipids for reconstitution. Detergent solubilized lipids were prepared as described before

(Chadda et al., 2016) with the modification that dry lipids were solubilized in 2:1 chloroform:methanol

followed by two washes in 3:1 pentane:dichloromethane. This was done due to the fact that DL lipids

(DLPE or 12:0 PE; 1,2-dilauroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine and DLPG or 12:0 PG; 1,2-

dilauroyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (sodium salt)), unlike PO lipids, were found to be

insoluble in chloroform or pentane alone.

For a typical preparation, 4 mL of POPE, and 2 mL of POPG (25 mg/mL stocks in chloroform,

Avanti Polar Lipids Inc.) were combined in a glass vial (22 mm; RPI, Malvern, PA). The chloroform was

evaporated under a continuous stream of 0.22 μm filtered N_2 gas (Ultra High Purity Nitrogen 5.0 Grade;

Airgas). The dried lipid mass, was dissolved at least once in 2:1 chloroform/methanol followed by 1-2

washes in 3:1 pentane/dichloromethane and drying while rotating, leaving a thin film of lipids along the

walls and the bottom of the glass vial. The lipid film, containing 150 mg total lipids (100 mg POPE + 50

mg POPG) was dried under continuous stream of N_2, approximately 10-12 minutes. Next, after addition

of 161.3 mg (21.5 mg/ml) CHAPS and 7.5 ml Dialysis Buffer (DB: 300 mM KCl, 20 mM citrate pH 4.5

(adjusted with NaOH)) sonication was performed leading to a translucent suspension of the

CHAPS/POPE/POPG mixture. The final concentration of components was 20 mg/mL 2:1 POPE/POPG

(mass ratio) and 35 mM CHAPS. DL lipids were prepared as follows: 40 mg DLPE, and 20 mg DLPG

(powder, Avanti Polar Lipids Inc.) were added to a glass vial. The solids were solubilized in 2:1

chloroform/methanol and then were taken through the identical washing procedure as PO lipids until a

thin, uniform lipid film resulted after drying. Next 64.5 mg CHAPS and 3 mL DB was added followed by

sonication. The final concentration of components was 20 mg/mL 2:1 DLPE/DLPG (mass ratio) and

CHAPS at 35 mM. Finally, the PO and DL master stocks were mixed in different ratios (volume/volume)

resulting in the quaternary lipid mixtures. For instance, to prepare 1 mL of the 20% DL (w/w) lipid

mixture, 0.8 mL of the PO lipid stock was mixed with 0.2 mL of the DL lipid stock and used immediately

for CLC reconstitution. For reference, the conversion of % DL (w/w) to mole fraction and molality are

presented in **Fig. 5 - source data 3.**

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). The 2:1 PE/PG - PO and DL 25 mg/mL lipid stocks were solubilized

in 2:1 chloroform:methanol as described above, and then mixed together to yield the following titration - 0, 10,

30, 50, 70, 90, 100 %DL. After mixing, the lipids were dried under N_2 gas, and solubilized in DB (10-15 mg/mL)

by sonication yielding the formation of small unilamellar vesicles. These samples were freeze-thawed 7x to form

multi-lamellar vesicles, which were stored at room temperature and examined by DSC days-weeks after

preparation. Samples were degassed prior to measurement, and data was collected using a MicroCal VP-DSC

differential scanning calorimeter. Data were collected at multiple scan rates to ensure that there was minimal

influence of the scan rate on the measured melting transition. Presented data were collected on heating from 2 °C

to 50 °C with a scan rate of 30 °C/h and were baseline corrected. Source data is provided in **Fig 3. - source data**

1.

Cryo-EM measurements of liposome size distributions. Cryo-electron microscopy (EM) imaging and

analysis of images was performed as described earlier (Chadda et al., 2018; Cliff et al., 2019). Briefly,

liposomes were freeze-thawed seven times, and then extruded through a 400-nm nucleopore filter (GE

Life Sciences) 21 times. 3 µL of the undiluted sample was loaded onto a glow-discharged Lacey carbon

support film (Electron Microscope Sciences), blotted, and plunged into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot

System (FEI). Images were collected on a FEI Titan Krios G3 300kV Cryo-TEM microscope with a Gatan

K2 Summit Direct electron detector (GATAN). Magnifications of 6500x, 33 000x and 53 000x were used.

For size determination, liposomes were manually outlined in Fiji and ImageJ (Schindelin et al., 2012,

2015) to measure the outer radii of all liposomes, including those located on the carbon. Multilamellarity

was manually counted as the fraction of vesicles containing more than one bilayer. Liposome size
distribution source data is provided in **Fig. 4 - source data 1.**

Small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) experiments. Liposomes were prepared by drying as described
previously, then sonicating the dried lipid films in reconstitution buffer prepared with 99.9% pure D_2O
(Cambridge Isotopes). Note, the *pD* of the buffer was measured by soaking the *pH* electrode in pure D_2O
for several minutes and then adjusted with NaOD for a final *pD* of 4.5 (Kręzel and Bal, 2004). Prior to
measurement, liposomes were freeze-thawed following the procedure described previously, then extruded
in two steps, first through 400 nm filters and then through 100 nm nucleopore membranes.

SANS data were collected on the NGB30SANS instrument at the NIST Center for Neutron
Research at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Data were collected using a
neutron wavelength (λ) 6 Å and a wavelength spread ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda$) of 0.12 with sample to detector distances of
1 m, 4 m, and 13 m. Additional data were collected using $\lambda = 8.4$ Å with a sample to detector distance of
13 m. These instrument configurations provided access to a q-range of 0.001 Å^{-1} < q < 0.04 Å^{-1} where q
is the scattering vector and is defined as q = $4\pi\lambda^{-1}\sin(\theta/2)$ and θ is the scattering angle. Samples were
sealed in titanium cells with quartz windows and sample temperature was controlled at 25 °C ($\pm$ 0.1 °C)
during data acquisition. Data were reduced to absolute intensity using the macros provided by NIST
(Kline, 2006).

SANS data were analyzed with the multilamellar form factor in the SasView application. The data
showed a broad shoulder at q $\approx$ 0.06 Å^{-1} due to the presence of a mixture of unilamellar and multilamellar
vesicles (Scott et al., 2019). SANS data were fit with an array distribution of N, where N is the number of
lamellar shells and the reported results are for the distribution that gave the best fit to the data, defined as
the minimum χ^2 value. Approximately 85- 90% of the vesicle population contained a single lamella which
was in good agreement with Cryo-EM experiments that confirmed the presence of $\approx$ 85% unilamellar, $\approx$
10% bi-lamellar (vesicles containing 2 bilayers) and $\approx$ 5% multilamellar vesicles (vesicles with 3 or more
bilayers).

Cryo-EM imaging of the liposomes also showed a bimodal distribution of vesicle sizes. The SANS
analysis fixed the distribution of outer vesicle radii based on the cryo-EM results and only fit the data for
q > 0.015 Å^{-1} where the form factor contribution from the vesicle radii were constant (Pencer et al., 2006).
The parameters fit during the analysis were the bilayer thickness (d_b), the water layer thickness (d_w) and

the scattering length density of the bilayer (results not shown).   Source data is provided in **Fig. 3 - source**
data 2.

Protein purification, labeling, and reconstitution. DNA constructs for CLC-ec1 C85A/H234C (WT),
C85A/H234C/I201W/I422W (WW) (Chadda et al., 2016) and C85A/H234C/R230C/L249C (RCLC) were
described previously (Chadda et al., 2018). Expression and purification of these CLC-ec1 variants was
carried out as described earlier (Chadda et al., 2016). Briefly, proteins were overexpressed in BL21-AI
E.coli competent cells and extracted into 2% n-Decyl-β-D-Maltopyranoside (DM; Anatrace, Maumee
OH) containing 5 mM TCEP (Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine; Soltec Bioscience, Beverly, MA). After
removing cellular debris by centrifugation, the protein was affinity purified using TALON cobalt affinity
resin (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA) followed by size exclusion chromatography on
Superdex 200 10/30 GL size exclusion column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) into size exclusion
buffer (SEB): 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM MOPS pH 7.0, 5 mM analytical-grade DM.

Addition of TCEP during purification ensures that the engineered cysteine at the residue H234C
remains reduced and available for maleimide labeling. This can be quantitatively estimated after reacting
the purified protein with Ellman's reagent (DNTB, 5,5'-Dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid); Sigma-Aldrich)
as described before (Chadda et al., 2016). Fluorescent labeling of the protein is conducted in presence of
5X Cy5-maleimide followed by separation of unreacted dye using affinity and size-exclusion
chromatography. Quantification of the Cy5 labeling yield per subunit, P_{Cy5}, was carried out as described
previously (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and Robertson, 2016).

For reconstitution, Cy5-labeled protein is mixed 20 mg/mL lipids in DB + 35 mM CHAPS and
then dialyzed in independent buckets to prevent the possibility of cross-contamination between different
lipid compositions.   Note, the effect of contamination during dialysis appears negligible in our
experiments, as we quantified it by photobleaching analysis and observed a small, non-significant
difference (**Figure 4 – fig. supp. 1E**).

Functional measurements. Chloride transport assays from 400 nm extruded liposomes were performed
as described earlier (Walden et al., 2007; Chadda et al., 2016). Functional measurements were carried out
6.4 ± 6.1 days (mean ± std, n = 2-5) after freeze/thaw and sample incubation in the dark, at room
temperature. Chloride transport was quantified in two ways, by fitting the initial slope by linear regression,
$k_{init.}$, or fitting the full transport trace to the following exponential association function:

847
$$norm.\,Cl^- = F_{0,vol.}(1 - e^{-k_{leak}t}) + (1 - F_{0,vol.})(1 - e^{-k_P t})$$

All data are listed in **Fig. 4 - source data 2**.

Single-molecule photobleaching analysis and calculations of dimerization. Proteoliposomes samples

were extruded, imaged on TIRF microscope, and the videos analyzed for counting single-molecule

photobleaching steps as described earlier (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and Robertson, 2016; Chadda et

al., 2018). Briefly, dialyzed proteoliposomes were freeze-thawed seven times leading to formation of large

multilamellar vesicles (MLVs). The samples were stored at room temperature, in the dark, with 0.02%

NaN$_3$ until extrusion and single-molecule imaging. Overall, the Cy5 labeling yield was $P_{Cy5} = 0.66 \pm$

0.00 (mean $\pm$ sem, n = 27) for wild-type CLC-ec1 samples. Imaging was carried out 3-15 days after

freeze-thaw and sample incubation in the dark, at room temperature. Images were analyzed as described

previously using the *imscroll* software in MATLAB (Friedman and Gelles, 2015).

To quantify the underlying dimerization reaction from the photobleaching data, the same methods

described in were followed (Chadda et al., 2016; Chadda and Robertson, 2016; Chadda et al., 2018).

Briefly, photobleaching probability distributions (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) were determined for each construct as a

function of protein density and lipid composition. The fraction of dimer in the protein population, F_{Dimer},

was estimated by least-squares fitting of the linear combination of the probability distributions for the

monomer and dimer controls under similar conditions. Equilibrium constants were obtained by fitting the

data to an equilibrium dimerization isotherm,

$$F_{Dimer} = \frac{1 + 4K_{eq}\chi^* - \sqrt{1 + 8K_{eq}\chi^*}}{4K_{eq}\chi^*} \qquad (3)$$

869   and then converted to $\Delta G^\circ = -RT ln(K_{eq})$, standard state = 1 subunit/lipid. All data is listed in **Fig. 4 -**

870   **source data 3-6** and **Fig. 5 - source data 1**.

REFERENCES

Abraham, M.J., T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J.C. Smith, B. Hess, and E. Lindahl. 2015. GROMACS:

High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to

supercomputers. *Softwarex*. 1:19–25. doi:10.1016/j.softx.2015.06.001.

Aleksandrova, A.A., E. Sarti, and L.R. Forrest. 2019. MemSTATS: A Benchmark Set of Membrane

Protein Symmetries and Pseudo-Symmetries. *J Mol Biol*. 432:597–604.

doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.09.020.

Andersen, O.S., and R.E. Koeppe. 2007. Bilayer Thickness and Membrane Protein Function: An

Energetic Perspective. *Annu Rev Bioph Biom*. 36:107–130.

doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.36.040306.132643.

Bahadur, R.P., P. Chakrabarti, F. Rodier, and J. Janin. 2003. Dissecting subunit interfaces in

homodimeric proteins. *Proteins Struct Funct Bioinform*. 53:708–719. doi:10.1002/prot.10461.

Beaven, A.H., A.M. Maer, A.J. Sodt, H. Rui, R.W. Pastor, O.S. Andersen, and W. Im. 2017. Gramicidin

A Channel Formation Induces Local Lipid Redistribution I: Experiment and Simulation. *Biophys J*.

112:1185–1197. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2017.01.028.

Bernhardt, N; Faraldo-Gomez, JD; 2021; Membrane Analysis Tools Gromacs;

GitHub; *https://github.com/TMB-CSB/Membrane-Analysis-Tools-Gromacs*. 8ba532b

Bonomi, M., D. Branduardi, G. Bussi, C. Camilloni, D. Provasi, P. Raiteri, D. Donadio, F. Marinelli, F.

Pietrucci, R.A. Broglia, and M. Parrinello. 2009. PLUMED: A portable plugin for free-energy

calculations with molecular dynamics. *Comput Phys Commun*. 180:1961–1972.

doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2009.05.011.

Brown, M.F. 2017. Soft Matter in Lipid-Protein Interactions. *Annu Rev Biophys*. 46:379–410.

doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-033843.

Brügger, B. 2014. Lipidomics: analysis of the lipid composition of cells and subcellular organelles by

electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 83:79–98. doi:10.1146/annurev-

biochem-060713-035324.

Chadda, R., L. Cliff, M. Brimberry, and J.L. Robertson. 2018. A model-free method for measuring

dimerization free energies of CLC-ec1 in lipid bilayers. *J Gen Physiology*. 150:355–365.

doi:10.1085/jgp.201711893.

Chadda, R., V. Krishnamani, K. Mersch, J. Wong, M. Brimberry, A. Chadda, L. Kolmakova-Partensky,

L.J. Friedman, J. Gelles, and J.L. Robertson. 2016. The dimerization equilibrium of a ClC Cl(-)/H(+)

antiporter in lipid bilayers. *Elife*. 5:e17438. doi:10.7554/elife.17438.

Chadda, R., and J.L. Robertson. 2016. Measuring Membrane Protein Dimerization Equilibrium in Lipid

Bilayers by Single-Molecule Fluorescence Microscopy. *Methods Enzymol*. 581:53–82.

doi:10.1016/bs.mie.2016.08.025.

Cliff, L., R. Chadda, and J.L. Robertson. 2019. Occupancy distributions of membrane proteins in

heterogeneous liposome populations. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Biomembr*. 1862:183033.

doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2019.183033.

Corradi, V., E. Mendez-Villuendas, H.I. Ingólfsson, R.-X. Gu, I. Siuda, M.N. Melo, A. Moussatova, L.J.

DeGagné, B.I. Sejdiu, G. Singh, T.A. Wassenaar, K.D. Magnero, S.J. Marrink, and D.P. Tieleman.

2018. Lipid-Protein Interactions Are Unique Fingerprints for Membrane Proteins. *Acs Central Sci*.

4:709–717. doi:10.1021/acscentsci.8b00143.

Cristian, L., J.D. Lear, and W.F. DeGrado. 2003. Determination of membrane protein stability via

thermodynamic coupling of folding to thiol–disulfide interchange. *Protein Sci*. 12:1732–1740.

doi:10.1110/ps.0378603.

Dixit, M., and T. Lazaridis. 2020. Free energy of hydrophilic and hydrophobic pores in lipid bilayers by

free energy perturbation of a restraint. *J Chem Phys*. 153:054101. doi:10.1063/5.0016682.

Dutzler, R., E.B. Campbell, M. Cadene, B.T. Chait, and R. MacKinnon. 2002. X-ray structure of a ClC

chloride channel at 3.0 Å reveals the molecular basis of anion selectivity. *Nature*. 415:287–294.

doi:10.1038/415287a.

Dutzler, R., E.B. Campbell, and R. MacKinnon. 2003. Gating the Selectivity Filter in ClC Chloride

Channels. *Science*. 300:108–112. doi:10.1126/science.1082708.

Faraldo-Gómez, J.D., and B. Roux. 2004. Electrostatics of Ion Stabilization in a ClC Chloride Channel

Homologue from Escherichia coli. *J Mol Biol*. 339:981–1000. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.04.023.

Fricke, H. 1925. THE ELECTRIC CAPACITY OF SUSPENSIONS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE

TO BLOOD. *J Gen Physiology*. 9:137–152. doi:10.1085/jgp.9.2.137.

Friedman, L.J., and J. Gelles. 2015. Multi-wavelength single-molecule fluorescence analysis of

transcription mechanisms. *Methods San Diego Calif*. 86:27–36. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.05.026.

Goforth, R.L., A.K. Chi, D.V. Greathouse, L.L. Providence, R.E. Koeppe, and O.S. Andersen. 2003.

Hydrophobic Coupling of Lipid Bilayer Energetics to Channel Function. *J Gen Physiology*. 121:477–

493. doi:10.1085/jgp.200308797.

Goodall, M.C. 1971. Thickness dependence in the action of Gramicidin A on lipid bilayers. *Arch*

Biochem Biophys. 147:129–135. doi:10.1016/0003-9861(71)90318-3.

Humphrey, W., A. Dalke, and K. Schulten. 1996. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graphics*.

14:33–38. doi:10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5.

Kahraman, O., and C.A. Haselwandter. 2019. Supramolecular organization of membrane proteins with

anisotropic hydrophobic thickness. *Soft Matter*. 15:4301–4310. doi:10.1039/c9sm00358d.

Kline, S.R. 2006. Reduction and analysis of SANS and USANS data using IGOR Pro. *J Appl*

Crystallogr. 39:895–900. doi:10.1107/s0021889806035059.

Kręzel, A., and W. Bal. 2004. A formula for correlating pKa values determined in D2O and H2O. *J*

Inorg Biochem. 98:161–166. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2003.10.001.

Kučerka, N., M.-P. Nieh, and J. Katsaras. 2011. Fluid phase lipid areas and bilayer thicknesses of

commonly used phosphatidylcholines as a function of temperature. *Biochim Biophys Acta*.

1808:2761–71. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2011.07.022.

Lee, J.C., and S.N. Timasheff. 1981. The stabilization of proteins by sucrose. *J Biological Chem*.

256:7193–201.

Leventhal, K.R., E. Malmberg, J.L. Symons, Y.-Y. Fan, R.S. Chapkin, R. Ernst, and I. Leventhal. 2020.

Lipidomic and biophysical homeostasis of mammalian membranes counteracts dietary lipid

perturbations to maintain cellular fitness. *Nat Commun*. 11:1339. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15203-1.

Li, Y., X. Zhang, and D. Cao. 2013. The role of shape complementarity in the protein-protein

interactions. *Sci Rep-uk*. 3:3271. doi:10.1038/srep03271.

Maduke, M., D.J. Pheasant, and C. Miller. 1999. High-Level Expression, Functional Reconstitution, and

Quaternary Structure of a Prokaryotic Clc-Type Chloride Channel. *J Gen Physiol*. 114:713–722.

doi:10.1085/jgp.114.5.713.

Marr, A.G., and J.L. Ingraham. 1962. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE COMPOSITION OF

FATTY ACIDS IN ESCHERICHIA COLI. *J Bacteriol*. 84:1260–7.

Marsh, D. 1995. Preferential solvation and the selectivity of lipid-protein interactions. *Biophys J*.

69:1191–1192. doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(95)79993-3.

964 Marsh, D. 2008. Protein modulation of lipids, and vice-versa, in membranes. *Biochimica Et Biophysica*
965   *Acta Bba - Biomembr*. 1778:1545–1575. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2008.01.015.

966 Meer, G. van, D.R. Voelker, and G.W. Feigenson. 2008. Membrane lipids: where they are and how they
967   behave. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biology*. 9:112–24. doi:10.1038/nrm2330.

968 Mobashery, N., C. Nielsen, and O.S. Andersen. 1997. The conformational preference of gramicidin
969   channels is a function of lipid bilayer thickness 1. *Febs Lett*. 412:15–20. doi:10.1016/s0014-
970   5793(97)00709-6.

971 Mondal, S., J.M. Johnston, H. Wang, G. Khelashvili, M. Filizola, and H. Weinstein. 2013. Membrane
972   Driven Spatial Organization of GPCRs. *Sci Rep-uk*. 3:2909. doi:10.1038/srep02909.

973 Mondal, S., G. Khelashvili, and H. Weinstein. 2014. Not Just an Oil Slick: How the Energetics of
974   Protein-Membrane Interactions Impacts the Function and Organization of Transmembrane Proteins.
975   *Biophys J*. 106:2305–16. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.04.032.

976 Nguitragool, W., and C. Miller. 2007. CLC Cl-/H+ transporters constrained by covalent cross-linking.
977   *Proc National Acad Sci*. 104:20659–20665. doi:10.1073/pnas.0708639104.

978 Pan, J., D. Marquardt, F.A. Heberle, N. Kučerka, and J. Katsaras. 2014. Revisiting the bilayer structures
979   of fluid phase phosphatidylglycerol lipids: Accounting for exchangeable hydrogens. *Biochim Biophys*
980   *Acta*. 1838:2966–9. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.08.009.

981 Pearson, L.T., S.I. Chan, B.A. Lewis, and D.M. Engelman. 1983. Pair distribution functions of
982   bacteriorhodopsin and rhodopsin in model bilayers. *Biophys J*. 43:167–174. doi:10.1016/s0006-
983   3495(83)84337-9.

984 Pencer, J., S. Krueger, C.P. Adams, and J. Katsaras. 2006. Method of separated form factors for
985   polydisperse vesicles. *J Appl Crystallogr*. 39:293–303. doi:10.1107/s0021889806005255.

986 Phillips, R. 2018. Physics of Biological Membranes. *undefined*. 73–105. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-00630-
987   3_3.

988 Record, M.T., and C.F. Anderson. 1995. Interpretation of preferential interaction coefficients of
989   nonelectrolytes and of electrolyte ions in terms of a two-domain model. *Biophys J*. 68:786–794.
990   doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(95)80254-7.

991 Robertson, J.L., L. Kolmakova-Partensky, and C. Miller. 2010. Design, function and structure of a
992   monomeric ClC transporter. *Nature*. 468:844–7. doi:10.1038/nature09556.

993 Sanders, C.R., and K.F. Mittendorf. 2011. Tolerance to changes in membrane lipid composition as a
994   selected trait of membrane proteins. *Biochemistry-us*. 50:7858–67. doi:10.1021/bi2011527.

Schellman, J.A. 1987. Selective binding and solvent denaturation. *Biopolymers*. 26:549–559.
doi:10.1002/bip.360260408.

Schellman, J.A. 2003. Protein Stability in Mixed Solvents: A Balance of Contact Interaction and
Excluded Volume. *Biophys J*. 85:108–125. doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(03)74459-2.

Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C.
Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak,
and A. Cardona. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*.
9:676–82. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Schindelin, J., C.T. Rueden, M.C. Hiner, and K.W. Eliceiri. 2015. The ImageJ ecosystem: An open
platform for biomedical image analysis. *Mol Reprod Dev*. 82:518–29. doi:10.1002/mrd.22489.

Scott, H.L., A. Skinkle, E.G. Kelley, M.N. Waxham, I. Levental, and F.A. Heberle. 2019. On the
Mechanism of Bilayer Separation by Extrusion, or Why Your LUVs Are Not Really Unilamellar.
Biophys J. 117:1381–1386. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2019.09.006.

Sinensky, M. 1974. Homeoviscous Adaptation—A Homeostatic Process that Regulates the Viscosity of
Membrane Lipids in Escherichia coli. *Proc National Acad Sci*. 71:522–525.
doi:10.1073/pnas.71.2.522.

Soubias, O., W.E. Teague, K.G. Hines, and K. Gawrisch. 2015. Rhodopsin/Lipid Hydrophobic
Matching—Rhodopsin Oligomerization and Function. *Biophys J*. 108:1125–1132.
doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015.01.006.

Sparr, E., W.L. Ash, P.V. Nazarov, D.T.S. Rijkers, M.A. Hemminga, D.P. Tieleman, and J.A. Killian.
2005. Self-association of Transmembrane α-Helices in Model Membranes IMPORTANCE OF
HELIX ORIENTATION AND ROLE OF HYDROPHOBIC MISMATCH *. *J Biol Chem*.
280:39324–39331. doi:10.1074/jbc.m502810200.

Tanford, C. 1969. Extension of the theory of linked functions to incorporate the effects of protein
hydration. *J Mol Biol*. 39:539–544. doi:10.1016/0022-2836(69)90143-0.

Tanford, C. 1978. The hydrophobic effect and the organization of living matter. *Science*. 200:1012–
1018. doi:10.1126/science.653353.

Timasheff, S.N. 2002a. Protein-solvent preferential interactions, protein hydration, and the modulation
of biochemical reactions by solvent components. *Proc National Acad Sci*. 99:9721–9726.
doi:10.1073/pnas.122225399.

Timasheff, S.N. 2002b. Protein Hydration, Thermodynamic Binding, and Preferential Hydration.

Biochemistry-us. 41:13473–13482. doi:10.1021/bi020316e.

Valentine, D.L. 2007. Adaptations to energy stress dictate the ecology and evolution of the Archaea. *Nat*

Rev Microbiol. 5:316–323. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1619.

Walden, M., A. Accardi, F. Wu, C. Xu, C. Williams, and C. Miller. 2007. Uncoupling and Turnover in a

Cl−/H+ Exchange Transporter. *J Gen Physiology*. 129:317–329. doi:10.1085/jgp.200709756.

Wassenaar, T.A., H.I. Ingólfsson, R.A. Böckmann, D.P. Tieleman, and S.J. Marrink. 2015.

Computational Lipidomics with insane : A Versatile Tool for Generating Custom Membranes for

Molecular Simulations. *J Chem Theory Comput*. 11:2144–2155. doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00209.

Yan, C., F. Wu, R.L. Jernigan, D. Dobbs, and V. Honavar. 2008. Characterization of Protein–Protein

Interfaces. *Protein J*. 27:59–70. doi:10.1007/s10930-007-9108-x.

1036

1037

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The CLC-ec1 dimerization reaction in lipid bilayers. (A) Side view of the CLC-ec1

homodimer in the lipid bilayer. Two subunits are shown in silver and grey, with helices forming the

dimerization interface highlighted blue and green. The hydrophobic core of the membrane is depicted in

yellow. Approximate pathways for Cl⁻ and H⁺ transport is shown with red arrows. (B) The dimerization

interface of the monomer. The four helices forming the interface (Q, P, H & I) are shown in green, with

non-polar side-chains in orange and interfacial polar/charged side-chains in blue. The red dotted line and

arrows highlights the shorter H & P helices at the center of the interface. (C,D) Top view of dissociated

and associated end-points of the CLC-ec1 dimerization reaction, with the free energy of dimerization

defined as ΔG°, defined relative to the 1 subunit/lipid mole fraction standard state. Circles represent lipids,

with orange circles highlighting an example set of lipids that exchange between the dimerization interface

and the bulk upon dimerization.

1050

Figure 2. Membrane morphology around CLC-ec1 monomer and dimer from molecular dynamics

simulations. Results are shown for 2:1 POPE/POPG membranes, averaging 8 independent trajectories of

≈ 7.5 μs each for the monomer, and 10 trajectories of ≈ 10 μs each for the dimer (**Fig. 2 – source data 1**).

All simulations are based on the coarse-grained MARTINI force field. (A, B) 3D density maps for the

ester layers (red) or acyl chains (blue) in the vicinity (≤ 10 Å) of the protein (white surface), for (A) the

monomer and (B) the dimer. In (A), 3 different views of the lipid first-shell are depicted; the 4 helices at

dimerization interface are highlighted (yellow). (C-E) Spatially resolved grid-based analysis of different

descriptors of the lipid bilayer structure. See **Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 2** for details. (C) 2D maps of the local

bilayer thickness across the simulation system. The proteins occupy the central area (white mask). Note

monomer and dimer are oriented differently relative to the periodic boundaries of the simulation system.

To facilitate this comparison an axis perpendicular to the dimerization interface (white arrow) is drawn in

both cases. (D) Variation in the mean lipid tilt-angle across the membrane plane, relative to the bilayer

perpendicular, for both the outer and inner leaflets. (E) Time-averages of the instantaneous 3D

conformation of lipid molecules residing at different positions across the membrane plane. Acyl chains

(gray scale), ester linkages (red), and headgroups (orange/purple) are shown as spheres. Note perfectly

isotropic dynamics, when time-averaged, results in a linear structure for the entire molecule, perpendicular

to the membrane mid-plane, and with both acyl chains superposed. These structures are therefore non-

physical, but they reveal the mean tilt of the lipid molecules across the membrane as well as the degree of

contacts between leaflets. Data is shown for the monomer only (transparent surface), viewed from two

sides. The 4 helices at the dimerization interface are highlighted (yellow). See **Fig. 2 - fig. supp. 1-3**,

source data 1 for additional details.

1072

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Simulation systems and quantification of configurational lipid and

protein sampling. (A) Simulation systems for the CLC-ec1 monomer (left) and dimer (right) in 2:1 POPE

(blue)/POPG (orange) lipid bilayer. The protein is shown as yellow helices, with the dimerization interface

highlighted in magenta. The systems include a total of 2,784 and 2,773 lipid molecules, respectively. (B)

Evaluation of the degree of lipid mixing for the monomer system. For any lipid i, we quantify, as a function

of simulation time, the percentage of all other lipids j in the same leaflet that reside for at least 3 ns in a

10-Å shell around lipid i. Results are shown for a single trajectory (left), and for the average of all

trajectories (right). At any given time, the number of lipids j in these shells is, on average, about 6. (C)

Evaluation of the protein tilt, relative to the membrane perpendicular (Z-axis). Data for the isolated

monomer is compared with the monomer in the context of the dimer. The polar plots describe the

magnitude of the tilt in the radial coordinate, contoured in 1º increments; and the XY direction of tilt in

the angular coordinate, as indicated. These descriptors were evaluated as a function of simulation time for

all trajectories and represented here as probability distributions (color bar). The direction and magnitude

of tilt derive from evaluation of a vector connecting two centers within the protein, C_1 and C_2, each defined

by a set of backbone beads (324, 327, 332, 361, 370, 413 for C_1; and residues 202, 267, 272, 363, 402,

446 for C_2), identical for the monomer and dimer systems .In the context of the dimer, the monomer

fluctuates around the membrane perpendicular, as can be expected for a symmetric dimer, preferring a tilt

of $\approx 4º$; when isolated, the preferred tilt increases slightly, $\approx 7º$, and the fluctuations are no longer centered

on the membrane perpendicular. In both cases, the probability distributions appear to be fully defined,

indicating near complete sampling of the accessible orientation space.

1093

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2. Schematic of different descriptors of lipid-bilayer structure. (A)

Composition of membranes simulated in this study. All simulations maintain a 2:1 PE/PG ratio for the

lipid headgroups, while varying the DL/PO acyl chain composition. The coarse-grained particles or beads

used to represent each lipid molecule are shown as spheres. Analysis is typically performed for each leaflet

(outer vs. inner) unless otherwise noted. For each simulation snapshot and each trajectory, a one-to-one

assignment of each lipid molecule (or specific groups therein) to a point in a 2-dimensional grid on the

membrane XY plane is made. For each lipid, the descriptor of interest is calculated on the basis of the 3D

coordinates of the system in that snapshot/trajectory, and assigned to the corresponding grid-point. Finally,

all values mapped onto each grid point are averaged for all snapshots/trajectories, and represented as a

color-coded 2D map. (B) To calculate the membrane thickness, the mean Z-coordinate of either the

phosphate or the ester layer is calculated for each leaflet, and mapped onto 2D grids as mentioned above;

the mean Z-coordinate map for the inner leaflet is then subtracted from that of the outer leaflet, in the

regions of the grid where both are defined. (C) Acyl chain end-to-end distance, $d_{ester-Cn}$, where n represents

the last bead in the acyl chain ($n = 4$ for PO lipids). (D) Lipid tilt angle, Θ_i, for each chain i relative to the

membrane normal (Z-axis). (E) Mean order parameter calculated along all acyl chain bond angles, Θ_{ij},

across chain i and bond j. (F) The average lipid structure for a point on the 2D grid is calculated by

averaging the 3D coordinates of the lipid molecules assigned to that point. (G) To evaluate the number of

nearest neighbors j for a given lipid i, a set of geometric centers are defined for either the headgroup, ester

groups, or acyl chains in both i and j. Lipid j is considered to be a neighbor of i if the distance between

the respective centers is 15 Å or less. (H) The degree of hydration is quantified for each lipid molecule,

either for the ester layer or the acyl chains, as the total number of lipid-water contacts where a contact is

counted if the CG water is within 8 Å of a bead in the selected group. (I) To rank the degree of

interdigitation of a given acyl chain i with all other lipids j in the opposing leaflet, the beads in j are

indexed as indicated, and those within 6 Å of the last bead in i (marked by asterisk) are identified; the rank

averaged over these contacts and time is reported. (J) Interleaflet contacts are the total number of contacts

formed between each acyl chain I and beads in any chain j in the opposing leaflet where a contact requires

the beads be within 6 Å of each other.

1121

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3. Membrane morphology around CLC-ec1 monomer and dimer from

molecular dynamics simulations. Note monomer and dimer are oriented differently. To facilitate this

comparison an axis perpendicular to the dimerization interface (white arrow) is drawn in both cases. (A)

Variation in the end-to-end distance in the lipid acyl chains across the simulation system, for both outer

and inner leaflet. (B) Variation in the second-rank order parameter, P_2, of the coarse-grained C-C bonds

in the acyl chains. The P_2 parameter of a given C-C bond is defined as $1/2\ (3\ \cos^2\langle\theta\rangle - 1)$, where $\langle\theta\rangle$

denotes the ensemble-average value of the angle formed by the bond and the bilayer perpendicular (Z-

axis). The plots show the mean value of P_2 for the three C-C bonds in the PO lipids, mapped across the

system. (C) Interdigitation rank for the acyl chains in one leaflet with the acyl chains in the opposing

leaflet. See **Figure 2 – fig. supp. 2** for further details.

1132

Figure 3. Physical properties of 2:1 PE/PG membranes with mixed PO/DL acyl chains. (A) Chemical

structures of lipids POPE, POPG, DLPE and DLPG. (B) Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

thermograms of PO/DL mixed membranes in the multilamellar vesicle state. Dotted line marks

approximate ambient room temperature (RT) of 22 °C. (C) Peak phase transition temperature, T_m, as a

function of DL (%). Dotted line indicates RT. (D) Multilamellar spherical form factors for small (orange)

and large (blue) vesicle populations based on size. Both form factors are constant for q > 0.015 Å^{-1}. (E)

SANS spectra as a function of DL (%) at 25 °C from 100 nm extruded vesicles. Spectra are offset from

the 0% condition for visualization. Solid lines represent best fit of the q > 0.015 Å^{-1} regions using the

multilamellar form factor model. The broad shoulder at q ≈ 0.06 - 0.7 is due to the presence of a small

population of multi-lamellar vesicles. (F) Bilayer thickness (d_B) as a function of DL (%, white circles).

Reference SANS data is shown for PC (solid circle, (Kučerka et al., 2011)) and PG (solid square, (Pan et

al., 2014)). (G) Change in bilayer thickness as function of DL% from coarse-grained molecular dynamics

simulations of pure PO/DL 2:1 PE/PG membranes, calculated as the average separation between either

the phosphate layers or the ester layers. Data is shown for a calculation that considers either all lipids

(black), or only PO lipids (red), or only DL lipids (blue). (H) Average number of contacts formed between

each of the acyl chains of given lipid (either both PO and DL, black; or PO only, red; or DL only, blue)

and any other acyl chain in the opposing leaflet, for the same bilayers examined in (G). See **Fig. 3 –**

source data 1 & 2 for additional information.

1151

Figure 4. CLC-ec1 dimerization in 2:1 PE/PG membranes with 20% DL and 80% PO acyl chains.

(A) Liposome size distribution of 20% DL liposomes (orange histogram), extruded through 400 nm filters

and imaged by cryo-electron microscopy (inset). Black line shows mean ± standard deviation distribution

of 400 nm extruded 2:1 POPE/POPG vesicles (Cliff et al., 2019). (B) Distribution of the fractional surface

area of each liposome composition. (C) Cumulative fractional surface area distributions show that

populations are not significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, P = 0.08, D = 0.26). (D) Total

internal reflection fluorescence microscopy image of 2:1 PE/PG liposomes with 20% DL containing CLC-

ec1-Cy5 reconstituted at $\chi_{protein}$ = 1 x 10^{-6} subunits/lipid (ρ = 0.1 μg/mg). Scale bar represents 4 μm. (E)

Representative integrated intensity photobleaching traces of WT CLC-ec1-Cy5 in 0% and 20% DL

membranes. (F) Photobleaching probabilities (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) of monomeric control I201W/I422W-Cy5 (WW, white circles, n = 2-3), dimeric control R230C/L249C-Cy5 (RCLC-Cy5, black circles, n = 2-3), and WT CLC-ec1-Cy5 in 20% DL (orange circles, n = 2-5), 400 nm extruded liposomes. Data represent mean ± sem for the reactive protein/lipid mole fraction χ^* (x-axis) and photobleaching probabilities (y-axis). (G) CLC-ec1 dimerization isotherm in 20% DL (orange, $K_{D,20\%DL} > (4.2 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid, $\Delta G° = -7.4 \pm 0.2$ kcal/mole, $R^2 = 0.53$, 1 subunit/lipid standard state) compared to previously published 0% DL (black, $K_{D,0\% DL} = (2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-8}$ subunits/lipid, $\Delta G° = -10.4 \pm 0.1$ kcal/mole, $R^2 = 0.92$ from Chadda et al., JGP 2018), resulting in $\Delta\Delta G > +3.0 \pm 0.2$ kcal/mole. (H) Fusion experiment showing the change in the photobleaching distribution of $\chi_{protein} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid CLC-ec1-Cy5 in 0% DL membranes when diluted 1:1 by fusion with 0% DL or 40% DL, for final 20% DL and $\chi_{protein} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ subunits/lipid conditions. (I) Chloride transport function for WT-Cy5 CLC-ec1 at $\chi_{protein} = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ subunits/lipid ($\rho = 1$ µg/mg) in 0% (black) and 20% DL (orange). Efflux is initiated by addition of valinomycin/FCCP (^) and the remaining trapped chloride is released by addition of β-OG (v). (J) Summary of the fractional volume of inactive vesicles $F_{0,vol.}$ and (K) CLC dependent chloride efflux rate, k_p, over the 0% and 20% DL conditions. For the studies shown here, statistical analysis was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student's t-test on P_1, $F_{0,vol.}$ or k_p data (*, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$). See **Fig. 4 – fig. supp. 1, source data 1-6.**

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1. Additional analysis of CLC-ec1 assembly in membranes as a function of DL percentage in 2:1 PE/PG membranes. Photobleaching probability distributions (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) for (A) WW-Cy5 monomeric control and (B) dimeric control RCLC-Cy5 in 2:1 PE/PG, 400 nm extruded liposomes with 0% DL (black circles) vs. 20% DL (white circles). (C,D) Photobleaching probabilities, P_1 and P_2, for the in-membrane dilution by freeze-thaw fusion of 0.2 µg/mg vesicles in 0% DL membranes (black circles) with either 0% DL (negative control, white circles) or 40% DL membranes for a final DL composition of 20% (orange circles), measured at (C) 4.7 ± 0.7 days and (D) 14.3 ± 0.3 days after freeze/thaw fusion and incubation at room temperature in dark conditions. (E) Comparison of dialyzing 0% DL samples in the absence (- DL) or presence (+ DL) of high DL samples (> 20% DL) in the same dialysis bucket (mean ± sem, n = 3). Statistical significance calculated using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student's t-test (*, $P < .05$).

Figure 5. CLC-ec1 dimerization depends on DL in 2 phases. (A) Photobleaching probability distributions of $\chi_{protein} = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ subunits CLC-ec1-Cy5 per lipid as a function of % DL ($n_{0\% \, DL} = 9$; $n_{1E-8 \, to \, 80\% \, DL} = 3 - 5$; $n_{WW-Cy5, \, 0\% \, DL} = 2$ (Chadda et al., 2016)). Data is represented as mean ± sem. Statistical analysis calculated using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student's t-test on P_1 data (*, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$; ****, $P \leq 0.0001$). For additional statistical information, see **Fig. 5 - source data 1**. (B) Fraction of dimer, $F_{dimer,0}$, calculated by least-squares fitting of WT-Cy5 distributions to WW-Cy5 and RCLC-Cy5 monomer and dimer controls. The subscript, "0", indicates that the 0% DL control distributions were used. Data was fit to a single exponential decay, $F_{Dimer,0} = F(0)e^{-\lambda(DL)}$ (black, dashed, $\lambda = 0.13 \pm 0.04$, $R^2 = 0.48$) and two-phase exponential decay, $F_{Dimer,0} = F(0)\left(F_1 e^{-\lambda1(DL)} + (1 - F_1)e^{-\lambda2(DL)}\right)$ (orange, $\lambda_1 = (1.71 \pm 2.66) \times 10^6$, $F_1 = 27.23 \pm 4.27$, $\lambda_2 = 0.09 \pm 0.03$, $R^2 = 0.64$), where $F(0) = 0.86$, the mean value at 0% DL. The inset shows that the two-phase exponential decay is required for fitting < 1% DL data. (C) Normalized change in bilayer thickness (Δd_B, black) from SANS measurements, compared to normalized fraction of dimers ($\Delta F_{dimer,0}$, white) and chloride transport rate (Δk_P, blue) as a function of % DL. Fits are single exponential decays for Δd_B: $\lambda = 0.023 \pm 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.94$ (black), Δk_P: $\lambda = 0.025 \pm 0.005$, $R^2 = 0.59$ (blue), and a two-phase exponential decay for $\Delta F_{dimer,0}$ (orange, same fit parameters as above), with the y-intercept set to 1. Complete analysis of functional data is shown in **Fig. 5 – fig. supp. 1.** (D) $\Delta\Delta G$ vs. % DL for data > 1% DL. Line represents linear regression analysis with 95% confidence intervals (slope = 0.078 ± 0.029, y-intercept = 1.65 ± 0.27, best-fit ± standard error, $R^2 = 0.38$). (E) $\Delta\Delta G$ vs. $Log_{10}(DL)$ for data < 1% DL. Line represents linear regression analysis with 95% confidence intervals (slope = 0.135 ± 0.072, y-intercept = 1.61 ± 0.33, best-fit ± standard error, $R^2 = 0.09$). See **Fig. 5 – fig. supp. 1, source data 1-3.**

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. **Chloride transport activity as a function of DL titration.** (A) Raw traces of chloride transport function in 2:1 PE/PG vesicles containing different proportions of DL vs. PO. Valinomycin initiated chloride efflux (^), and addition of β-OG detergent to dissolve inactive vesicles and release the remaining chloride into solution (ⱽ) are marked as shown. Protein was reconstituted at $\chi_{protein} = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ subunits/lipid ($\rho = 1 \, \mu g$ CLC-ec1 per mg lipids). (B) Representative chloride leak traces from empty vesicles with 0% (black) and 20% DL composition (orange). Leak rates in normalized Cl⁻/s measured by fitting to exponential association, k_{leak}, or initial slope, $k_{init.}$: 0% DL - $k_{leak} = 0.0004 \pm 0.0002$, $k_{init.} = 0.0006 \pm 0.0009$ ($n_{rep.} = 3$); 20% DL - $k_{leak} = 0.0004 \pm 0.0003$, $k_{init.} = 0.0005 \pm 0.0005$ ($n_{rep.} = 4$); 50% DL: $k_{leak} = 0.0012 \pm 0.0010$, $k_{init.} = 0.0011 \pm 0.0010$ ($n_{rep.} = 4$); data represented as mean ± standard

deviation, $n_{rep.}$ – measurement replicates from a single sample. (C) Chloride transport rate, $k_{init.}$ (blue) or

k_P (orange), in normalized Cl⁻/second, mean ± sem, n = 3-5 independent samples. Dashed lines represent

fits to a single exponential decay, $k = y_0 e^{-\lambda(DL)}$: $k_{init.} - y_0 = 0.021 \pm 0.001$, $\lambda = 0.034 \pm 0.024$, $R^2 = 0.78$;

$k_p - y_0 = 0.027 \pm 0.002$, $\lambda = 0.024 \pm 0.036$, $R^2 = 0.59$. Statistical analysis calculated using a two-tailed

unpaired parametric student's t-test (*, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$; ****, $P \leq 0.0001$). (D)

Fractional volume of active vesicles, $1-F_{0,vol}$. Dashed line represents fits to a single exponential decay,

$1 - F_{0,vol.} = y_0 e^{-\lambda(DL)}$: $y_0 = 0.88 \pm 0.05$, $\lambda = 0.007 \pm 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.14$. (E) Plot of the normalized change

in bilayer thickness, Δd_B (black), and transport rates, Δk_P (orange) and Δk_{init} (blue). Lines represent fits to

exponential decays with $y_0=1$: Δd_B: $\lambda = 0.024 \pm 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.94$; Δk_P: $\lambda = 0.023 \pm 0.005$, $R^2 = 0.58$; Δk_{init}:

$\lambda = 0.032 \pm 0.004$, $R^2 = 0.77$.

1232

Figure 6. Preferential DL solvation of the CLC-ec1 dimerization interface in mixed PO/DL

membranes. Data are shown for 2:1 POPE/POPG membranes with varied proportions of 2:1

DLPE:DLPG, namely 1%, 10%, 30% and 50%. For each composition, the results presented are averages

of 8 independent trajectories of 6-10 μs each (**Fig. 2 – source data 1**) (A) 2D maps of the bilayer thickness

analogous to that shown in **Fig. 2C** for a membrane with no DL. (B) For the 50% DL condition, time-

averaged lipid conformations, represented identically to **Fig. 2E**. PO and DL lipids are analyzed

separately. (C) For the 50% DL condition, 3D density maps for the ester layers (red) or acyl chains (blue)

in the vicinity (≤ 10 Å) of the protein (white surface), represented identically to those in **Fig. 2A.** PO and

DL lipids are analyzed separately. (D) Enrichment or depletion of DL lipids across the membrane, relative

to the preset proportions of PO and DL lipids, quantified by the percent difference between the observed

2D lipid density ratio (DL/PO) and what would be expected for a uniform distribution and the bulk ratio.

Positive values reflect enrichment while negative values reflect depletion. Each leaflet is examined

separately. (E) The results shown in panel (D) are summarized by integrating the data over lipid-solvation

shells of increasing width and combining the outer and inner leaflets. Independent profiles are calculated

for the dimerization interface and for the other two protein-lipid interfaces. Error bars reflect the standard

deviation of the data across independent trajectories.

1249

1250   **Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. Lipid-solvation of the CLC-ec1 monomer in CGMD simulations**

1251   **with PO/DL membranes.** (A) Snapshots of simulation systems used to examine the 10% DL (left) and

1252   50% DL conditions. The molecular systems are represented as in **Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 1A**. We also examined

the 1% and 30% conditions (see **Fig. 2 – source data 1** for details). (B) Quantification of the degree of lipid mixing observed for the 50% DL condition, evaluated and represented as in **Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 1B.**

Figure 6 – figure supplement 2. Lipid tilt around the CLC-ec1 monomer and dimer from molecular dynamics simulations. Note monomer and dimer are oriented differently. To facilitate this comparison an axis perpendicular to the dimerization interface (white arrow) is drawn in both cases. Variation in the mean lipid tilt angle across the membrane, relative to its perpendicular, for both the CLC-ec1 dimer and monomer in 2:1 POPE/POPG, i.e. 100% PO; and for the monomer in 50% DL/50% PO membranes. Data are shown for each of the bilayer leaflets. For the PO/DL membrane, the mean tilt analysis evaluates either both lipid types, PO lipids only, or DL lipids only.

Figure 7. DL enrichment of membrane defect partially restores native-like properties. (A) Analysis of the average number of contacts formed by each of the acyl chains of a given lipid (either both PO and DL, PO only, or DL only) and any other acyl chain in the opposing leaflet. The results are mapped across the membrane plane, for either the CLC-ec1 dimer or the monomer, in either 100 PO or 50/50 PO/DL. This is the same quantity reported in **Fig. 3H** for the pure PO/DL bilayers, but here it is mapped in 2D, and is calculated with the protein present. Note the number of contacts formed by DL lipids at the defect induced by monomeric CLC-ec1 approximately matches the bulk values for 100 PO or 50/50 PO/DL (regions of map in gray); by contrast, PO lipids exceed the bulk quantity. (B) Analysis of the 2D lipid density, at the level of either the headgroups, the ester layers, or the acyl chains, in terms of the number of lipid neighbors within 15 Å. Results are shown for each leaflet separately, for either the CLC-ec1 dimer or monomer, and in either 100 PO or 50/50 PO/DL. Note the density defect created by monomeric CLC-ec1 in the headgroup and ester layers in the 100 PO condition, and how this defect is minimized through enrichment in DL lipids. (C) Analysis of the extent of water penetration of the acyl-chain interior of the bilayer, for either the dimer or monomer and either the 100 PO or 50/50 PO/DL condition. Consistent with the lipid density analysis, the degree of water penetration into the bilayer interior in the 100 PO condition is much greater in the defect at the dimerization interface in monomeric CLC-ec1 than anywhere else in the membrane; this perturbation is diminished by DL enrichment.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1

Figure 2 – figure supplement 2

Figure 2 – figure supplement 3

1337　**Figure 3**

1338

1344　**Figure 4**

1345

1346
1347
1348

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1

Figure 5

1358 **Figure 5 – figure supplement 1**
1359

1360
1361
1362

Figure 6

1368 **Figure 6 – figure supplement 1**
1369

1370
1371
1372

Figure 6 – figure supplement 2

Figure 7

 SOURCE DATA LEGENDS

 Figure 2 – source data 1. CGMD simulation specifications. A total of 0.7 ms total simulation time was

 carried out for this study.

 Figure 3 - source data 1. Differential scanning calorimetry for mixed DL/PO 2:1 PE/PG

 membranes. Measurement conducted on liposomes post freeze-thaw in the multi-lamellar state. Data

 represented as mean ± sem. Statistical analysis carried out using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student's

 t-test compared to the 0% DL samples.

 Figure 3 - source data 2. SANS bilayer thickness analysis for mixed DL/PO 2:1 PE/PG membranes.

 100 nm extruded vesicles, at 25°C. Data represent best-fit ± standard error. A description of the CGMD

 analysis is in **Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 2.**

 Figure 4 - source data 1. Cryo-EM radii of 20% DL 2:1 POPE/POPG. From single preparation of

 400 nm extruded vesicles (n = 1).

 Figure 4 - source data 2. Chloride transport of CLC-ec1 in DL/PO proteoliposomes. Data represent

 mean ± sem, n independent protein purifications and reconstitutions, with each sample measured as the

 average of > 3 replicate measurements. Statistical analysis was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired

 parametric student t-test compared to the 0% DL data set (*, $P < .05$; **, $P < .01$; ***, $P < .001$).

 Figure 4 - source data 3. Photobleaching data for monomeric control CLC-ec1 I201W/I422W, WW-

 Cy5 in 20% DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids,

 based on the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and

 assuming the reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. Data are represented

 as mean ± standard error. Sample numbers, n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification,

 photobleaching analysis).

 Figure 4 - source data 4. Photobleaching data for dimeric control CLC-ec1 R230C/L249C, RCLC-

 Cy5 in 20% DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids,

1413   based on the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and

1414   assuming the reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. Data are represented

1415   as mean $\pm$ standard error. Sample numbers, n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification,

1416   photobleaching analysis).

1417

Figure 4 - source data 5. Photobleaching data for monomeric control CLC-ec1 WT-Cy5 in 20%

DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids, based on

the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and assuming the

reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. F_{dimer} is calculated based on the

WW-Cy5 and RCLC-Cy5 in 20% DL. Data are represented as mean $\pm$ standard error. Sample numbers,

n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification, photobleaching analysis).

1424

Figure 4 - source data 6. Shift in dimer equilibrium upon fusion with DL containing vesicles. CLC-

ec1-Cy5 proteoliposomes (0.2 µg/mg) in 100% PO, 2:1 PE/PG were either (A) unmodified, (B) fused with

100% PO 2:1 PE/PG liposomes or (C) fused with 40% DL, 60% PO 2:1 PE/PG liposomes by multiple

freeze-thaw cycles. Data represented as mean $\pm$ sem, n = 3 independent samples. P-values are calculated

using a two-tailed student's t-test on the P_1 photobleaching data, and using the χ^2 test on the (P_1, P_2, P_{3+})

photobleaching probability distributions, designated in brackets (*, $P < .05$; **, $P < .01$).

1431

Figure 5 - source data 1. Photobleaching titration of CLC-ec1 WT-Cy5 in 2:1 PE/PG mixed DL/PO

membranes. Data is represented as mean $\pm$ sem, with independent sample preparations, n. Statistical

tests were calculated using a two-tailed, unpaired parametric student's t-test on P_1 data, and a χ^2 test for

the mean (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) distributions, in brackets (*, $P \le .05$; **, $P \le .01$; ***, $P \le .001$; ****, $P \le .0001,$).

$\Delta G°$ is calculated for each F_{Dimer} value where $0 < F_{Dimer} < 1$, and $\Delta\Delta G = \Delta G°(x\%\,DL)\text{-}mean(\Delta G°(0\%\,DL))$,

n in brackets.

1438

1439   **Figure 5 - source data 2. Testing for DL contamination during dialysis.** CLC-ec1-Cy5

1440   proteoliposomes (0.1 µg/mg) in 100% PO, 2:1 PE/PG were dialyzed alone (-DL) or in the presence of a

1441   cassette containing $> 20\%$ DL (+DL). The P-value was calculated using a χ^2 test on the mean (P_1, P_2, P_{3+})

1442   photobleaching probability distributions. Data is represented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

1443

Figure 5 - source data 3. DL and PO concentrations, mole fraction and molality in the titrated

DL/PO 2:1 POPE/POPG lipid bilayers. Lipids are prepared at 20 mg/mL total lipid mass, and the %

DL mixtures are prepared by combining stock solutions, w/w of total lipid. Note, the headgroup mole

fraction remains nearly constant and ranges from $\chi_{PE} = 0.68$-0.69 and $\chi_{PG} = 0.31$-0.32 across the range of

% DL studied. $MW_{POPE} = 717.996$ g/mole, $MW_{POPG} = 770.989$ g/mole, $MW_{DLPE} = 579.746$ g/mole,

$MW_{DLPG} = 632.739$ g/mole.

1450

1451

SOURCE DATA

Figure 2 – source data 1. CGMD simulation specifications. A total of 0.7 ms total simulation time was carried out for this study.

Component	Dimer	Monomer					Pure Membrane			
	100% PO	100% PO	99% PO 1% DL	90% PO 10% DL	70% PO 30% DL	50% PO 50% DL	100% PO	90% PO 10% DL	70% PO 30% DL	50% PO 50% DL
Protein	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
POPE	1814	1819	1954	1672	1251	839	892	802	616	498
POPG	959	965	977	823	626	425	296	402	320	222
DLPE	0	0	19	214	629	976	0	88	268	448
DLPG	0	0	11	109	343	479	0	46	104	220
Na^+	1898	1710	1377	1696	1730	1514	572	576	554	582
Cl^-	953	748	390	771	764	613	126	128	130	140
H_2O	54,956	35,382	33,150	36,333	37,450	26,814	10,112	10,304	10,444	11,179
System size (nm)	29.4 x 29.4 x 12.0	29.1 x 29.1 x 9.4	30.4 x 30.4 x 8.6	29.0 x 29.0 x 9.5	29.0 x 29.0 x 9.6	27.9 x 27.9 x 8.3	19.9 x 19.9 x 7.4	19.8 x 19.8 x 7.4	19.5 x 19.5 x 7.5	19.5 x 19.5 x 7.6
Number of replicas	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Simulation time per replica (µs)	9.6 - 12.9	7.4	20.0	6.1	6.0	7.8	6.0	6.7	7.8	6.8
Total simulation time (µs)	107.4	59.1	160.0	55.0	48.3	55.0	48.0	53.6	62.7	54.2

1458
1459
1460
1461

Figure 3 - source data 1. Differential scanning calorimetry for mixed DL/PO 2:1 PE/PG membranes. Measurement conducted on liposomes post freeze-thaw in the multi-lamellar state. Data represented as mean ± sem. Statistical analysis carried out using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student's t-test compared to the 0% DL samples.

DL (%)	T_m (°C)	n	*P*-value
0	20.3 ± 0.2	4	
10	18.5 ± 0.4	3	**, 0.0046
30	14.6 ± 0.8	3	***, 0.0005
50	16.1 ± 0.7	3	**, 0.0014
70	19.1 ± 0.4	3	*, 0.0333
100	25.9 ± 0.7	3	***, 0.0004

1471 **Figure 3 - source data 2. SANS bilayer thickness analysis for mixed DL/PO 2:1 PE/PG membranes**. 100 nm extruded
1472 vesicles, at 25°C. Data represent best-fit ± standard error. A description of the CGMD analysis is in **Fig. 2 – fig. supp. 2.**
1473

	SANS data			CGMD analysis	
2:1 PE/PG	d_b **(nm)**	d_w **(nm)**	**% ULV**	d_{esters} **(nm)**	d_{PO4} **(nm)**
0% DL	3.70 ± 0.02	6.50 ± 0.03	85	3.20	4.06
10% DL	3.55 ± 0.01	5.85 ± 0.03	85	3.15	4.02
30% DL	3.46 ± 0.02	6.11 ± 0.02	85	3.06	3.92
50% DL	3.21 ± 0.01	6.49 ± 0.03	87	2.95	3.81
70% DL	3.08 ± 0.02	6.64 ± 0.03	90	2.85	3.72
90% DL	2.98 ± 0.02	6.65 ± 0.04	90	2.39	3.66
100% DL	2.99 ± 0.02	6.73 ± 0.03	95		
PC	**(Kučerka et al., 2011)**				
0% DL	3.98 ± 0.08				
100% DL	3.30 ± 0.07				
PG**	**(Pan et al., 2014)**				
0% DL	3.85				
100% DL	3.14				

1479 **Figure 4 - source data 1. Cryo-EM radii of 20% DL 2:1 POPE/POPG**. From single preparation of 400 nm extruded vesicles
1480 (n = 1).
1481

r, nm	P_{radius}	F_{SA}	Cumulative F_{SA}
2.5	0	0	0
7.5	0.0129	0.00018	0.00018
12.5	0.0516	0.00201	0.00219
17.5	0.1226	0.00936	0.01155
22.5	0.0387	0.00489	0.01644
27.5	0.0839	0.01582	0.03226
32.5	0.0516	0.01360	0.04586
37.5	0.0839	0.02941	0.07527
42.5	0.07097	0.03197	0.10724
47.5	0.05807	0.03267	0.13991
52.5	0.10323	0.07096	0.21087
57.5	0.03871	0.03192	0.24278
62.5	0.04516	0.04400	0.28678
67.5	0.05807	0.06598	0.35276
72.5	0.03226	0.04229	0.39504
77.5	0.00645	0.00967	0.40470
82.5	0.01290	0.02190	0.42661
87.5	0.00645	0.01232	0.43893
92.5	0.01290	0.02753	0.46646
97.5	0.00645	0.01530	0.48175
102.5	0.01290	0.03381	0.51556
107.5	0.00645	0.01859	0.53416
112.5	0.00645	0.02036	0.55452
117.5	0	0	0.55452
122.5	0.00645	0.02415	0.57866
127.5	0.00645	0.02616	0.60482
132.5	0	0	0.60482
137.5	0.01290	0.06084	0.66566
142.5	0.00645	0.03267	0.69833
147.5	0.01936	0.10502	0.80335
152.5	0.00645	0.03742	0.84076
157.5	0.00645	0.03991	0.88068
162.5	0	0	0.88068
167.5	0	0	0.88068
172.5	0	0	0.88068
177.5	0	0	0.88068
182.5	0	0	0.88068
187.5	0.00645	0.05657	0.93724
192.5	0	0	0.93724
197.5	0.00645	0.06276	1

Figure 4 - source data 2. Chloride transport of CLC-ec1 in DL/PO proteoliposomes. Data represent mean ± sem, n independent protein purifications and reconstitutions, with each sample measured as the average of > 3 replicate measurements. Statistical analysis was calculated using a two-tailed unpaired parametric student t-test compared to the 0% DL data set (*, P < .05; **, P < .01; ***, P < .001).

DL (%)	$F_{0,vol.}$	*P*-value	k_P (norm. Cl⁻/s)	*P*-value	$k_{init.}$ (norm. Cl⁻/s)	*P*-value	n
0	0.25 ± 0.02		0.026 ± 0.001		0.020 ± 0.002		3
1	0.25 ± 0.01	ns, 0.98	0.025 ± 0.001	ns, 0.81	0.020 ± 0.001	ns, 0.89	4
10	0.26 ± 0.02	ns, 0.92	0.025 ± 0.005	ns, 0.92	0.017 ± 0.002	ns, 0.27	4
20	0.33 ± 0.08	ns, 0.50	0.015 ± 0.002	**, 0.008	0.010 ± 0.002	*, 0.02	5
40	0.57 ± 0.10	*, 0.046	0.010 ± 0.001	***, 0.0001	0.005 ± 0.001	***, 0.0008	4

Figure 4 - source data 3. Photobleaching data for monomeric control CLC-ec1 I201W/I422W, WW-Cy5 in 20% DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids, based on the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and assuming the reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Sample numbers, n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification, photobleaching analysis).

density (µg/mg)	χ^* (subunits/lipid)	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	n
0.0001	$(8.24 \pm 3.07) \times 10^{-10}$	0.78 ± 0.03	4.0 ± 0.0	0.74 ± 0.11	0.21 ± 0.07	0.06 ± 0.04	(2,2)
0.001	$(3.39 \pm 0.57) \times 10^{-9}$	0.77 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.76 ± 0.04	0.20 ± 0.03	0.04 ± 0.00	(2,3)
0.01	$(2.92 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-8}$	0.77 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.79 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	(2,3)
0.1	$(2.77 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-7}$	0.77 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.63 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.03	(2,3)
0.5	$(2.05 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-6}$	0.78 ± 0.03	4.0 ± 0.0	0.38 ± 0.04	0.23 ± 0.01	0.41 ± 0.03	(2,2)

Figure 4 - source data 4. Photobleaching data for dimeric control CLC-ec1 R230C/L249C, RCLC-Cy5 in 20% DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids, based on the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and assuming the reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Sample numbers, n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification, photobleaching analysis).

density (µg/mg)	χ^* (subunits/lipid)	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	n
0.0001	9.72×10^{-10}	0.73 ± 0.02	3.0 ± 0.0	0.40 ± 0.14	0.44 ± 0.07	0.16 ± 0.07	(1,2)
0.001	$(4.06 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-9}$	0.73 ± 0.01	3.7 ± 0.7	0.41 ± 0.08	0.47 ± 0.03	0.12 ± 0.05	(2,3)
0.01	$(2.69 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-8}$	0.77 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.38 ± 0.08	0.48 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.05	(2,3)
0.1	$(2.77 \pm 0.76) \times 10^{-7}$	0.77 ± 0.02	3.7 ± 0.3	0.30 ± 0.07	0.46 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.06	(2,3)
0.5	$(1.17 \pm 0.48) \times 10^{-6}$	0.73 ± 0.02	3.0 ± 0.0	0.21 ± 0.00	0.39 ± 0.01	0.40 ± 0.01	(2,2)

Figure 4 - source data 5. Photobleaching data for monomeric control CLC-ec1 WT-Cy5 in 20% DL, 80% PO, 2:1 PE/PG lipids. χ^* is the reactive molar ratio of protein subunits and lipids, based on the observed mole fraction calculated from the protein and lipid quantification assays, and assuming the reaction occurs between oriented species in the membrane, $\chi^* = \chi/2$. F_{dimer} is calculated based on the WW-Cy5 and RCLC-Cy5 in 20% DL. Data are represented as mean ± standard error. Sample numbers, n, are listed separately for (mole fraction quantification, photobleaching analysis).

density (µg/mg)	χ^* (subunits/lipid)	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	F_{dimer}	n
0.0001	$(1.42 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-9}$	0.69 ± 0.00	3.0 ± 0.0	0.83 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.00 ± 0.00	(2,2)
0.001	$(5.23 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-9}$	0.68 ± 0.01	4.5 ± 1.0	0.77 ± 0.00	0.18 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	0.07 ± 0.06	(2,4)
0.01	$(3.11 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-8}$	0.68 ± 0.01	4.5 ± 1.0	0.74 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.05	(3,4)
0.1	$(4.21 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-7}$	0.68 ± 0.01	4.5 ± 1.0	0.63 ± 0.03	0.28 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.05	(3,4)
0.5	$(1.87 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-6}$	0.69 ± 0.00	3.0 ± 0.0	0.33 ± 0.02	0.28 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.02	0.40 ± 0.08	(2,2)

1531 **Figure 4 - source data 6. Shift in dimer equilibrium upon fusion with DL containing vesicles.** CLC-ec1-Cy5
1532 proteoliposomes (0.2 µg/mg) in 100% PO, 2:1 PE/PG were either (A) unmodified, (B) fused with 100% PO 2:1 PE/PG liposomes
1533 or (C) fused with 40% DL, 60% PO 2:1 PE/PG liposomes by multiple freeze-thaw cycles. Data represented as mean ± sem, n
1534 = 3 independent samples. P-values are calculated using a two-tailed student's t-test on the P_1 photobleaching data, and using
1535 the χ^2 test on the (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) photobleaching probability distributions, designated in brackets (*, $P < .05$; **, $P < .01$).
1536

Sample	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	*P*-value
A: 0% DL, 0.2 µg/mg	0.69 ± 0.01	4.7 ± 0.7	0.39 ± 0.02	0.34 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.04	
		14.3 ± 0.3	0.37 ± 0.03	0.35 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.03	
B: 1:1 dilution of A with 0% DL		4.7 ± 0.7	0.44 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.00	0.24 ± 0.01	ns, P_{AB} = 0.06 (ns, P_{AB} = 0.59)
		14.3 ± 0.3	0.36 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.05	ns, P_{AB} = 0.87 (ns, P_{AB} = 0.80)
C: 1:1 dilution of A with 40% DL		4.7 ± 0.7	0.52 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.01	**, P_{AC} = 0.007 *, P_{BC} = 0.02 (*, P_{AC} = 0.03 ns, P_{BC} = 0.28)
		14.3 ± 0.3	0.49 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.02	ns, P_{AC} = 0.07 ns, P_{BC} = 0.20 (*, P_{AC} = 0.05 *, P_{BC} = 0.03)

Figure 5 - source data 1. Photobleaching titration of CLC-ec1 WT-Cy5 in 2:1 PE/PG mixed DL/PO membranes. Data is represented as mean ± sem, with independent sample preparations, n. Statistical tests were calculated using a two-tailed, unpaired parametric student's t-test on P_1 data, and a χ^2 test for the mean (P_1, P_2, P_{3+}) distributions, in brackets (*, $P \le .05$; **, $P \le .01$; ***, $P \le .001$; ****, $P \le .0001$,). $\Delta G°$ is calculated for each F_{Dimer} value where $0 < F_{Dimer} < 1$, and $\Delta\Delta G = \Delta G°(x\% \ DL)-mean(\Delta G°(0\% \ DL))$, n in brackets.

% DL (w/w)	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	n	*P*-value	F_{dimer}	$\Delta\Delta G$ (kcal/mole)
0	0.65 ± 0.01	5.1 ± 0.9	0.46 ± 0.02	0.38 ± 0.01	0.17 ± 0.02	9		0.81 ± 0.05	
1e-8	0.65 ± 0.02	4.0 ± 0.6	0.50 ± 0.06	0.33 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.05	4	ns, 0.41 ns, 0.67	0.69 ± 0.14	0.5 ± 0.9 (4)
1e-7	0.64 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.5	0.45 ± 0.05	0.34 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.04	4	ns, 0.92 ns, 0.54	0.80 ± 0.11	0.0 ± 0.6 (4)
1e-6	0.65 ± 0.02	4.0 ± 0.6	0.50 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.04	4	ns, 0.42 ns, 0.32	0.66 ± 0.14	1.6 ± 0.4 (3)
1e-5	0.64 ± 0.01	4.3 ± 0.5	0.51 ± 0.06	0.31 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.04	4	ns, 0.33 ns, 0.31	0.63 ± 0.17	1.7 ± 0.5 (3)
1e-4	0.65 ± 0.01	3.6 ± 0.6	0.52 ± 0.04	0.31 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.03	5	ns, 0.17 ns, 0.28	0.63 ± 0.10	0.9 ± 0.7 (5)
1e-3	0.64 ± 0.01	3.8 ± 0.8	0.51 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.03	4	ns, 0.21 ns, 0.22	0.67 ± 0.07	1.0 ± 0.4 (4)
1e-2	0.66 ± 0.01	3.3 ± 0.6	0.52 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.01	4	ns, 0.10 ns, 0.35	0.62 ± 0.09	1.2 ± 0.5 (4)
0.1	0.65 ± 0.00	3.8 ± 0.8	0.52 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.02	0.18 ± 0.04	4	ns, 0.14 ns, 0.13	0.60 ± 0.11	1.4 ± 0.4 (4)
1	0.65 ± 0.01	3.6 ± 0.6	0.57 ± 0.03	0.27 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.02	5	**, 0.0094 *, 0.036	0.51 ± 0.09	1.7 ± 0.3 (5)
5	0.65 ± 0.01	7.5 ± 3.9	0.59 ± 0.03	0.27 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	4	**, 0.0058 *, 0.015	0.43 ± 0.09	2.0 ± 0.3 (4)
10	0.65 ± 0.01	6.0 ± 2.6	0.63 ± 0.07	0.24 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.05	3	**, 0.0062 ***, 0.0007	0.32 ± 0.16	2.5 ± 0.6 (3)
20	0.65 ± 0.01	5.0 ± 1.2	0.73 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.01	4	****,<0.0001 ****,<0.0001	0.07 ± 0.04	3.2 ± 0.0 (2)
40	0.65 ± 0.01	4.5 ± 1.3	0.79 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.01	0.05 ± 0.01	4	****,<0.0001 ****, <0.0001	0.00 ± 0.00	ND
80	0.65 ± 0.01	4.5 ± 1.3	0.88 ± 0.03	0.10 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.01	3	****,<0.0001 ****,<0.0001	0.00 ± 0.00	ND

1560 **Figure 5 - source data 2. Testing for DL contamination during dialysis.** CLC-ec1-Cy5 proteoliposomes (0.1 µg/mg) in 100%
1561 PO, 2:1 PE/PG were dialyzed alone (-DL) or in the presence of a cassette containing > 20% DL (+DL). The P-value was
1562 calculated using a χ^2 test on the mean (P_1,P_2,P_{3+}) photobleaching probability distributions. Data is represented as mean ±
1563 standard deviation.
1564

sample	P_{Cy5}	days	P_1	P_2	P_{3+}	n	P-value
-DL	0.65 ± 0.02	5 ± 3	0.46 ± 0.06	0.38 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.05	9	
+DL			0.53 ± 0.09	0.31 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.10	3	ns, 0.22

1565
1566
1567

Figure 5 - source data 3. DL and PO concentrations, mole fraction and molality in the titrated DL/PO 2:1 POPE/POPG lipid bilayers. Lipids are prepared at 20 mg/mL total lipid mass, and the % DL mixtures are prepared by combining stock solutions, w/w of total lipid. Note, the headgroup mole fraction remains nearly constant and ranges from χ_{PE} = 0.68-0.69 and χ_{PG} = 0.31-0.32 across the range of % DL studied. MW_{POPE} = 717.996 g/mole, MW_{POPG} = 770.989 g/mole, MW_{DLPE} = 579.746 g/mole, MW_{DLPG} = 632.739 g/mole.

mass ratio	Concentration			Lipid mole fraction		Molality		Protein mole fraction
% DL (w/w)	[Lipid] (mM)	[DL] (mM)	[PO] (mM)	χ_{DL}	χ_{PO}	m_{DL} (moles/ Kg)	m_{PO} (moles/ Kg)	$\chi_{reconst.}$ (subunits/lipid)
0	27.2	0.0	27.2	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-8	27.2	3.4e-9	27.2	1.2e-10	1.00	1.7e-10	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-7	27.2	3.4e-8	27.2	1.2e-09	1.00	1.7e-9	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-6	27.2	3.4e-7	27.2	1.2e-08	1.00	1.7e-8	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-5	27.2	3.4e-6	27.2	1.2e-07	1.00	1.7e-7	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-4	27.2	3.4e-5	27.2	1.2e-06	1.00	1.7e-6	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-3	27.2	3.4e-4	27.2	1.2e-05	1.00	1.7e-5	1.36	1.0e-6
1e-2	27.2	3.4e-3	27.2	1.2e-04	1.00	1.7e-4	1.36	1.0e-6
0.1	27.2	3.4e-2	27.2	0.01	0.99	1.7e-3	1.36	1.0e-6
1	27.3	3.4e-1	26.9	0.01	0.99	1.7e-2	1.35	1.0e-7
5	27.5	1.7	25.9	0.06	0.94	8.4e-2	1.29	9.9e-7
10	27.8	3.4	24.5	0.12	0.88	0.17	1.22	9.8e-7
15	28.2	5.0	23.1	0.18	0.82	0.25	1.16	9.7e-7
20	28.5	6.7	21.8	0.24	0.77	0.34	1.09	9.6e-7
30	29.1	10.1	19.1	0.35	0.65	0.50	0.95	9.4e-7
40	29.7	13.4	16.3	0.45	0.55	0.67	0.82	9.2e-7
50	30.4	16.8	13.6	0.55	0.45	0.84	0.68	9.0e-7
70	31.6	23.5	8.2	0.74	0.26	1.17	0.41	8.6e-7
80	32.3	26.8	5.4	0.83	0.17	1.34	0.27	8.4e-7
100	33.5	33.5	0.0	1.00	0.00	1.68	0.00	8.1e-7