Time-dependent synergy for multi-agent anticancer therapy

Krzysztof Fujarewicz[1◑], Krzysztof Łakomiec [1◑*]

1 Department of Systems Biology and Engineering, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

◑These authors contributed equally to this work.
* krzysztof.lakomiec@polsl.pl

Abstract

A synergy between two therapeutic agents occurs when the effect of using both is greater than the sum of the effects of using each of them separately. There are several methods of detecting synergies in the literature, but they do not take into account the relationship between the times in which the factors act. In the article, we propose and justify the use of second-order sensitivity analysis as a potential tool for the detection and visualization of this type of synergy. We test and illustrate the proposed approach using four exemplary models of combined radio-chemotherapy of cancer.

1 Introduction

Several general methods have been established to evaluate therapeutics agents combinations, but mostly there are based on so-called isobolograms [1]. The isobologram shows relationship between drug dose and a biological response. Usually the isobolograms generates a curve which can be used to distinguish additivity from synergy (or antagonism) effect. A little modification to the isobologram method is the Tumor Static Concentration curve where involves plasma concetration as opposed to the dose [2] However methods based on isobolograms does not provide any information about the effect of changing of the synergy over time.

In this work we propose a numerical method to check the existence of the synergistic effect in models of combined therapy described by ordinary differential equations (ODE). Proposed method is based on the concept of second-order sensitivity analysis, and assumes numerical calculation of objective function's second derivative. Value of this derivative informs us about the existence of the synergistic effect in the analysed model, and it depends on definition of the objective function used in analysis. If the objective function need to be maximized and if objective function's second derivative have some values greater than 0 then the analysed model shows the synergistic behaviour.

Let us assume that the effect of two factors x_1 and x_2 is expressed by given function $f(x_1, x_2)$. Then we say that there exists synergistic effect (synergy) between x_1 and x_2 when

$$f(x_1, x_3) > f(x_1, 0) + f(0, x_2) \tag{1}$$

Now, let us treat as an effect the *change* of the function Δf caused by changes Δx_1 and Δx_2. Then the synergy between Δx_1 and Δx_2 exists when

$$\Delta f(\Delta x_1, \Delta x_3) > \Delta f(\Delta x_1, 0) + f(0, \Delta x_2) \tag{2}$$

In this article we will describe the method of detection of the second *incremental* type of synergy denoted by (2).

2 Second order sensitivity analysis for synergy detection

The behavior of the model described by the system of ordinary differential equations depends on the values of the parameters (including the initial values) and on the signals affecting the system. Taking this into account, three different second order sensitivity analyzes are possible — a sensitivity analysis taking into account changes in:

- parameter and parameter, i.e. classical 2nd order sensitivity analysis,
- parameter and signal,
- signal and signal.

In the subsections below, we present how to derive the sensitivities of all three types. At the same time, we describe how the obtained results should be interpreted in the context of the synergistic effect.

2.1 Parametric second order sensitivity

Let us assume two parameters: p_1 and p_2. Second derivative of the objective function J with respect to the parameters p_1, p_2 can be represented as a following coefficient:

$$S_{pp} = \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \tag{3}$$

To approximate the coefficient S_{pp} one can use the finite difference method:

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \approx \frac{J(p_1+h, p_2+h) - J(p_1+h, p_2) - J(p_1, p_2+h) + J(p_1, p_2)}{h^2} \tag{4}$$

where p_1, p_2 are nominal of analyzed parameters, h is a step of the finite difference approximation.

2.1.1 Value of the second order sensitivity and its connection with synergy

Let us rewrite the numerator of the right size of (4) as follows

$$\overbrace{J(p_1+h, p_2+h) - J(p_1, p_2)}^{Effect\ of\ change\ p_1\ and\ p_2} - \overbrace{(J(p_1, p_2+h) - J(p_1, p_2))}^{Effect\ of\ change\ p_2} - \overbrace{(J(p_1+h, p_2) - J(p_1, p_2))}^{Effect\ of\ change\ p_1} \tag{5}$$

When we compare (5) with the synergy condition (2) then it becomes clear that the positive sign of S_{pp} indicates the existence of synergy.

2.2 Time-dependent second order sensitivity

Two types of time-dependent second order sensitivity can be distinguished:

2.2.1 Sensitivity with respect to parameter and signal

Let us assume input time-varying signal: $u(t)$ and parameter p. Second derivative of the objective function J with respect to the input signal $u(t)$ and parameter p can be represented as a following function:

$$S_{up}(t) = \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial u_1(t) \partial p}, \qquad t \in (0, t_f) \tag{6}$$

To approximate the function S_{up} one can use the finite difference method:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial u(t_i) \partial p} \approx & \frac{J(u(t), p) - J(u(t_i) + h, p)}{h^2} + \\ & - \frac{J(u(t), p + h) + J(u(t_i) + h, p + h)}{h^2} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $u(t), p$ are nominal input signal and parameter, h is a step of the finite difference approximation, i is discrete time moments $i = (0, 1, 2, \ldots, t_N)$.

Example second order sensitivity function (S_{up}) with respect to one signal and one parameter is shown on Fig. 1. On this plot can be seen that the synergy effect depends on time — at time t equal to 3 the sensitivity function is negative, in other case at time equal to 7 the sensitivity is greater than zero. That means if we increase the value of signal u at time 3 we will decrease the sensitivity of the objective function J to the parameter p. In the second case when we increase the value of signal u at time 7 we will increase the sensitivity of objective function J with respect to parameter p.



Fig 1. Example of second order sensitivity function with respect to one parameter p and one signal $u(t)$.

2.2.2 Sensitivity with respect to two signals

Let us assume two input time-varying signals: $u(t)$ and $u_2(t)$. Second derivative of the objective function J with respect to the input signals $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ can be represented as a following function of two variables:

$$S_{uu}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial u_1(t_1) \partial u_2(t_2)}, \qquad t_1, t_2 \in (0, t_f) \tag{8}$$

To approximate the function S_{uu} one can use the finite difference method:

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial u_1(t_i)\partial u_2(t_j)} \approx \frac{J(u_1(t), u_2(t)) - J(u_1(t_i)+h, u_2(t))}{h^2} + \\ - \frac{J(u_1(t), u_2(t_j)+h) + J(u_1(t_i)+h, u_2(t_j)+h)}{h^2} \tag{9}$$

where $u_1(t)$, $u_2(t)$ are nominal input signals, h is a step of the finite difference approximation, i and j are discrete time moments $i, j = (0, 1, 2, \ldots, t_N)$.

Example second order sensitivity function (S_{uu}) with respect to two signals signals is shown on center panel in Fig. 2. It is clearly visible that this sensitivity function depends on times t_1, t_2. It can interpreted as follows: if we increase the signal u_1 at time 20 then the sensitivity of objective function J with respect to the signal u_2 will be behave as is shown on the left panel of Fig. 2. In other case when we increase the signal u_2 at time 80 then the sensitivity of objective function J with respect to the signal u_1 will be behave as is shown on the right panel of Fig. 2.



Fig 2. *Center panel:* Example of second order sensitivity of the objective function J with respect to two signals $u_1(t_1), u_2(t_2)$. *Left panel:* Cross-section of the example sensitivity function at time $t_1 = t^* = 20$, *Right panel:* Cross-section of the example sensitivity function at time $t_2 = t^{**} = 80$.

3 Analysed models of radio-chemotherapy

We used presented method to study of the synergy effect in the following models of radio-chemotherapy:

- Model 1
  First model was acquired from work [3] and is defined as following ordinary differential equation:

$$\dot{N} = -\rho N(t) \log\left(\frac{N(t)}{N_\infty}\right) - \beta_c C(t) N(t) - (\alpha R(t) + \beta R^2(t)) N(t) \tag{10}$$

  where N is the tumor size, N_∞ is the carrying capacity of the tumor, ρ is the proliferation coefficient, β_c is a coefficient of sensitivity tumor to chemotherapy, and α, β are parameters of the linear-quadratic (LQ) response model of tumor to radiotherapy. The input signals $R(t)$ and $C(t)$ represent the dose of radiotherapy and chemotherapy at time t.

- Model 2

Second model was acquired from work [4] and is also defined as one ordinary differential equation:

$$\dot{N} = -\rho N(t) \log\left(\frac{N(t)}{N_\infty}\right) - \beta_c C(t)N(t) - (\alpha R(t) + \beta R^2(t))(1 + rC(t))N(t) \quad (11)$$

Model introduces the synergy between radio and chemotherapy, and coefficient r indicating the strength of this effect.

- Model 3
  Third analyzed model is similar to the second but introduces additionally the effect of pharmacokinetics ($\gamma(t)$). Therefore the third model is described as a system of two ordinary differential equations:

$$\dot{N} = -\rho N(t) \log\left(\frac{N(t)}{N_\infty}\right) - \beta_c \gamma(t)N(t) - (\alpha R(t) + \beta R^2(t))(1 + r\gamma(t))N(t) \quad (12)$$

$$\dot{\gamma} = -0.1\gamma(t) + C(t) \quad (13)$$

- Model 4
  The last analyzed model in this work introduces the mechanism of DNA double strand breaks repair in the linear-quadratic model according to the work [5]. Therefore it is described as a system of three ordinary differential equations:

$$\dot{N} = -\rho N(t) \log\left(\frac{N(t)}{N_\infty}\right) - \beta_c \gamma(t)N(t) - (\alpha R(t) + 2\beta R(t)f(t))(1 + r\gamma(t))N(t) \quad (14)$$

$$\dot{\gamma} = -0.1\gamma(t) + C(t) \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{f} = -0.15f(t) + R(t) \quad (16)$$

3.1 Nominal parameters, models solver and simulations

Nominal parameters of the analyzed models were acquired from work [4]:
ρ = 7e-5, N_∞ = 1.735e4, β_c = 1.4e-2, α = 3.98e-2, β = 3.98e-3, r = 0.1.
Initial conditions for the models were set as $N(0)$ = 3e3, $\gamma(0) = f(0) = 0$.
Nominal inputs $R(t)$, $C(t)$ are assumed to be uniformly distributed with value equal to 0.005 at any time t: $R(t) = 0.005$, $C(t) = 0.005$.

To solve the models we used function *ode15s* from MATLAB environment. To avoid numerical errors during analysis we set the maximum step size for the solver to 0.001. Maximal time of simulations during analysis was set to 20. Simulation of the models with nominal values of parameters and inputs is shown on Fig. 3.

4 Results

All presented results are obtained using the step size h set to 1e-3. Due to numerical nature of described method a threshold value must be defined below which the resulting value are treated as zero. In our analysis we set the threshold value to 5e-6.

4.1 Objective function

Objective function for synergy analysis in the models was defined as:

$$J = -\log(N(t_f)) \quad (17)$$

where $N(t_f)$ is the tumor size at final time of simulation.



Fig 3. Simulation of the models with nominal values of parameters and signals.

4.2 Parametric second order sensitivity

Results of the parametric second order sensitivity analysis using equation (1) are shown in tables 1, 2, 3, 4. The highest values in all models were achieved by the derivative with respect to $\partial\rho^2$. Another interesting observation for model 1 is the values of derivatives with respect to $\partial\rho\partial\beta_c$ and $\partial\rho\partial\alpha$ are equal. This could mean that there are similar mechanisms in the model for chemo and radiotherapy (nominal inputs signals $R(t)$ and $C(t)$ are equals, and there is a linear term describing effect of chemotherapy and a linear term in the LQ model).

Table 1. Results of the parametric second order sensitivity analysis for model 1.

	$\partial\rho$	∂N_∞	$\partial\beta_c$	$\partial\alpha$	$\partial\beta$
$\partial\rho$	757.5789	-0.0012	-1.0937	-1.0937	-0.0055
∂N_∞	-0.0012	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta_c$	-1.0937	0	0	0	0
$\partial\alpha$	-1.0937	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta$	-0.0055	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Results of the parametric second order sensitivity analysis for model 2.

	$\partial\rho$	∂N_∞	$\partial\beta_c$	$\partial\alpha$	$\partial\beta$
$\partial\rho$	757.5792	-0.0012	-1.0937	-1.0942	-0.0055
∂N_∞	-0.0012	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta_c$	-1.0937	0	0	0	0
$\partial\alpha$	-1.0942	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta$	-0.0055	0	0	0	0

4.3 Time-dependent second order sensitivity

Sensitivity with respect to parameter and signal

Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation (7) are shown on fig. 4, 5, 6. Results for models 1 and 2 are the same as indicated in fig. 4. In all models is a synergy between chemotherapy signal $C(t)$ and parameter β_c. Similarly, in all analysed models is a synergy between radiotherapy signal $R(t)$ and parameters α, β. In models 3 and 4 these synergies changing in time due to additional equations describing pharmacokinetics and DNA repair.

Table 3. Results of the parametric second order sensitivity analysis for model 3.

	$\partial\rho$	∂N_∞	$\partial\beta_c$	$\partial\alpha$	$\partial\beta$
$\partial\rho$	758.1414	-0.0012	-4.8805	-1.0961	-0.0055
∂N_∞	-0.0012	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta_c$	-4.8805	0	1.3175e-5	0	0
$\partial\alpha$	-1.0961	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta$	-0.0055	0	0	0	0

Table 4. Results of the parametric second order sensitivity analysis for model 4.

	$\partial\rho$	∂N_∞	$\partial\beta_c$	$\partial\alpha$	$\partial\beta$
$\partial\rho$	758.1430	-0.0012	-4.8805	-1.0961	-0.0409
∂N_∞	-0.0012	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta_c$	-4.8805	0	1.3190e-5	0	0
$\partial\alpha$	-1.0961	0	0	0	0
$\partial\beta$	-0.0409	0	0	0	0

Sensitivity with respect to two signals

Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation (9) are shown on figs. 7, 8. The derivatives with respect to $C(t)^2$ are equal to zero in all analyzed models, indicating that there is no synergy in the action of chemotherapy alone.

For radiotherapy alone there is a synergy effect in all four models. This is due to the quadratic term in LQ model. For models 1-3 the synergy for radiotherapy alone is only visible only when we change at one time t the signal $R(t)$, but for model 4 due to DNA repair mechanism this effect is spreading over time.

The synergy effect between radio and chemotherapy is visible only in models 2-4. In model 1 the derivative with respect to $R(t)C(t)$ is zero because there is no synergy term between these two therapies. In model 2 the synergy between radio and chemotherapy only occurs when we changing the signals $R(t)$ and $C(t)$ at the same time. Model 3 due to the pharmacokinetics effect only shows the synergy effect between these two treatments when chemotherapy is added before radiotherapy. It is different for model 4 in which the synergy between chemo- and radiotherapy is visible only when the radiation is added before chemotherapy.

5 Discussion

Results obtained for analyzed models of radio-chemotherapy shows that synergy effect can be manifested in many ways, and classical isobologram based methods for study this effect may be insufficient. It appears that the important thing in synergy between two therapeutics agents may be study how this effect can change in time during therapy. Deepening the knowledge about this aspect of synergy may results in better plans for therapies against tumors.

6 Conclusion

Presented in this work method can be used to study how the synergy effect changing in time in mathematical models describing two therapeutics agents. However method can be used to any mathematical models described by ordinary differential equations. It is based on second-order sensitivity analysis and assume numerical approximation of objective function's second order derivatives. The main advantages of this method is



Fig 4. Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation 7 for models 1 and 2.



Fig 5. Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation 7 for model 3.

simplicity, using only finite difference approximation. Due to numerical nature user must only set the valid threshold value and the step size.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Polish National Science Centre under grant DEC-2016 /21/B/ST7/02241 (K.F.), and by the Silesian University of Technology under grant 02/040/BKM20/0007 (K.Ł.).

Calculations were performed using the infrastructure supported by the computer cluster Ziemowit (www.ziemowit.hpc.polsl.pl) funded by the Silesian BIO-FARMA project No. POIG.02.01.00-00-166/08 and expanded in the POIG.02.03.01-00-040/13 in the Computational Biology and Bioinformatics Laboratory of the Biotechnology Centre at the Silesian University of Technology.



Fig 6. Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation 7 for model 4.

References

1. Huang R-y, Pei L, Liu Q, Chen S, Dou H, Shu G, Yuan Z-x, Lin J, Peng G, Zhang W and Fu H (2019) Isobologram Analysis: A Comprehensive Review of Methodology and Current Research. Front. Pharmacol. 10:1222. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01222

2. Cardilin T, Almquist J, Jirstrand M, Zimmermann A, El Bawab S, Gabrielsson J. Model-Based Evaluation of Radiation and Radiosensitizing Agents in Oncology. CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol. 2018;7(1):51-58. doi:10.1002/psp4.12268

3. P. Bajger, K. Fujarewicz, and A. Swierniak. Effects of pharmacokinetics and DNA repair on the structure of optimal controls in a simple model of radio-chemotherapy. 2018 23rd International Conference on Methods and Models in Automation Robotics (MMAR), 2018. doi:10.1109/MMAR.2018.8485901.

4. P. Bajger, Krzysztof Fujarewicz, Andrzej Świerniak., Optimal control in a model of chemotherapy-induced radiosensilisation.Math. Appl. 2019 vol. 47 iss. 1, p. 81-91

5. R.K. Sachs, L.R. Hlatky, P. Hahnfeldt, Simple ODE models of tumor growth and anti-angiogenic or radiation treatment, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, Volume 33, Issues 12–13,2001, Pages 1297-1305,



Fig 7. Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation (9).



Fig 8. Results of the time-dependent second order sensitivity using equation (9).