A mobile genetic element increases bacterial host fitness by manipulating development

Joshua M. Jones[1], Ilana Grinberg[2], Avigdor Eldar[2,*], and Alan D. Grossman[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Cambridge, MA 02139   USA

[2]The Shmunis School of Biomedicine and Cancer Research

Faculty of Life Sciences

Tel Aviv University

Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

19
20

*Corresponding authors:
email: avigdor@gmail.com
email: adg@mit.edu

Abstract

Horizontal gene transfer is a major force in bacterial evolution. Mobile genetic elements are

responsible for much of horizontal gene transfer and also carry beneficial cargo genes.

Uncovering strategies used by mobile genetic elements to benefit host cells is crucial for

understanding their stability and spread in populations. We describe a benefit that ICE*Bs1*, an

integrative and conjugative element of *Bacillus subtilis*, provides to its host cells. Activation of

ICE*Bs1* conferred a frequency-dependent selective advantage to host cells during two different

developmental processes: biofilm formation and sporulation. These benefits were due to

inhibition of biofilm-associated gene expression and delayed sporulation by ICE*Bs1*-containing

cells, enabling them to exploit their neighbors and grow more prior to development. A single

ICE*Bs1* gene, *devI* (formerly *ydcO*), was both necessary and sufficient for inhibition of

development. Manipulation of host developmental programs allows ICE*Bs1* to increase host

fitness, thereby increasing propagation of the element.

Introduction

Conjugative elements and phages are abundant mobile genetic elements in bacteria, capable

of transferring DNA between cells (Frost *et al.*, 2005). Integrative and conjugative elements

(ICEs) appear to be the most widespread type of conjugative element (Guglielmini *et al.*, 2011).

ICEs are found integrated in a host genome. When activated, they excise and produce

conjugation machinery that transfers the element DNA from the host cell to recipients (Carraro

and Burrus, 2015; Johnson and Grossman, 2015; Wozniak and Waldor, 2010).

ICEs often carry "cargo" genes that are not necessary for transfer but confer a phenotype to

host cells. In fact, ICEs (conjugative transposons) were first identified because of the phenotypes

conferred by cargo genes (Franke and Clewell, 1981). Cargo genes include those encoding

antibiotic resistances, metabolic pathways, and determinants of pathogenesis and symbiosis

(Johnson and Grossman, 2015). Transfer of mobile elements between cells contributes to rapid

evolution and spread of associated cargo genes and phenotypes (Frost *et al.*, 2005; Treangen and

Rocha, 2011).

Despite the benefits cargo genes can provide, the maintenance and transfer of mobile genetic

elements requires host cellular resources and in some cases is lethal (Baltrus, 2013).

Maintenance of a mobile genetic element in host cells requires balancing the costs and benefits

to the host or a sufficiently high transfer frequency. Many mobile elements, especially ICEs,

have been identified bioinformatically (Bi *et al.*, 2012; Guglielmini *et al.*, 2011). Many of these

ICEs contain putative cargo genes. However, the phenotypes conferred by these genes cannot be

inferred from sequence nor are they easily detected experimentally (Cury *et al.*, 2017).

ICE*Bs1*, a relatively small (~20 kb) ICE found in most strains of *Bacillus subtilis*, was

identified bioinformatically (Burrus *et al.*, 2002) and experimentally based on its regulation by

cell-cell signaling (Auchtung *et al.*, 2005). Most of the ICE*Bs1* genes needed for conjugation are

grouped together in an operon that is repressed until activating signals are sensed. Two pathways

activate ICE*Bs1*, both of which lead to cleavage of the repressor ImmR by the protease and anti-

repressor ImmA (Auchtung *et al.*, 2007; Bose *et al.*, 2008; Bose and Grossman, 2011). ICE*Bs1*

contains the cell-cell signaling genes, *rapI* and *phrI*, which regulate ICE*Bs1* activation by

sensing population density and the relative abundance of ICE*Bs1*-containing host cells

(Auchtung *et al.*, 2005). RapI is produced at high cell density and during the transition to

stationary phase and stimulates the proteolytic cleavage of the repressor ImmR by the protease

ImmA (Bose and Grossman, 2011). Overproduction of RapI stimulates activation of ICE*Bs1* in

>90% of cells (Auchtung *et al.*, 2005). RapI activity (and therefore ICE*Bs1* activation) is

inhibited by PhrI, a peptide that is secreted by cells that contain ICE*Bs1*. PhrI levels indicate the

relative abundance of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells in the population, preventing the activation and

possible redundant transfer of ICE*Bs1* if most nearby cells already contain the element. ICE*Bs1*

is also activated during the RecA-dependent DNA damage response (Auchtung *et al.*, 2005).

Biofilms appear to be hotspots of horizontal gene transfer for bacteria growing in natural

settings (Madsen *et al.*, 2012; Molin and Tolker-Nielsen, 2003). Undomesticated strains of *B.*

subtilis form complex biofilms on agar plates and at the air-liquid interface in standing cultures

(Vlamakis *et al.*, 2013). There is also extensive spore formation in *B. subtilis* biofilms (Branda *et*

al., 2001; Vlamakis *et al.*, 2008). In addition, during growth in a biofilm, ICE*Bs1* is naturally

activated and transfers efficiently, generating on the order of 10 new ICE*Bs1*-containing host

cells (transconjugants) per donor cell (Lécuyer *et al.* 2018). *B. subtilis* biofilms are held together

by a matrix composed of secreted exopolysaccharides, protein fibers, and DNA (Vlamakis *et al.*,

2013). This matrix reinforces cell-cell contacts, likely promoting rapid spread of ICE*Bs1* by

conjugation. Additionally, the conditions that promote biofilm formation (high cell density) also

promote activation and transfer of ICE*Bs1* and sporulation (Auchtung *et al.*, 2005; Grossman and

Losick, 1988)*.* Though biofilm growth is clearly beneficial to conjugation, it is unknown how

ICE*Bs1* impacts its host cells under these conditions.

In this study, we describe a selective advantage provided by ICE*Bs1* to its host cells during

growth in biofilms. This fitness benefit was due to inhibition of host biofilm and spore

development. We identified the ICE*Bs1* gene *devI* (formerly *ydcO*) as necessary and sufficient to

inhibit host development and provide a selective advantage to ICE*Bs1*-containing cells. We also

provide evidence indicating that *devI* inhibits the key developmental transcription factor Spo0A,

reducing its ability to stimulate biofilm and sporulation gene expression. *devI* (*ydcO*) is

conserved in other ICE*Bs1*-like elements, indicating that manipulation of host development may

be a conserved strategy among this family of mobile genetic elements. We postulate that

manipulation of host pathways may be a common function of many of the as yet uncharacterized

cargo genes in ICEs.

Results

ICE*Bs1* spreads efficiently in biofilms by conjugation

Biofilm formation is characteristic of many bacteria growing in natural settings, including *B.*

subtilis. We used biofilm growth to determine if ICE*Bs1* affected the fitness of its host cells

under conditions that naturally promote its spread. We performed competition experiments in

biofilms using strains of undomesticated *B. subtilis* (NCIB3610 plasmid-free) with or without

ICE*Bs1*.

We observed highly efficient spread of ICE*Bs1* at low donor to recipient ratios (Table 1)

during growth in biofilms, similar to results reported previously (Lécuyer *et al*., 2018). To

measure mating, we mixed ICE*Bs1*-containing cells (potential donors) with cells that did not

contain ICE*Bs1* (ICE*Bs1*^{0}, potential recipients) and co-cultured the mix on standard biofilm-

stimulating growth medium (MSgg agar) (Branda *et al*., 2001). Since ICE*Bs1* induction is

regulated by cell-cell signaling, we varied the initial frequency of ICE*Bs1*+ cells between

approximately 0.01 and 0.9. We inserted unique selectable markers (antibiotic resistances) in the

chromosomes of the donors and recipients as well as within ICE*Bs1*. After four days of growth at

30°C (approximately 17 net doublings of the initial population), biofilms were disrupted and the

number of transconjugants was determined by selective plating.

We found that after four days of biofilm growth, the frequency of transconjugants ranged

from ~0.4 – 0.6 of total cells in the biofilm for starting donor frequencies of ~0.01 – 0.5 (Table

1). The highest frequency of transconjugants was observed when the starting frequency of

ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was ~0.1. Enhanced conjugation at low donor to recipient ratios is

likely due to regulation of ICE*Bs1* by cell-cell signaling (induction is inhibited by the presence

of other potential donors) and the higher likelihood of contacting potential recipients at low

frequencies of donors.

Table 1: Frequency of transconjugants generated in biofilm matings

Initial frequency ICE*Bs1* donors[1]	Final frequency transconjugants[2]
0.008 ± 0.002	0.42 ± 0.13
0.10 ± 0.03	0.64 ± 0.15
0.47 ± 0.05	0.44 ± 0.07
0.89 ± 0.03	0.063 ± 0.008

The high levels of ICE*Bs1* conjugation during growth in biofilms presented a challenge for

quantifying the fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing host cells relative to ICE*Bs1*^{0} cells. Mating

converts a large fraction of ICE*Bs1*^{0} cells to transconjugants (ICE*Bs1*-containing), reducing the

ICE*Bs1*^{0} proportion of the population in a manner unrelated to host fitness. To measure the effect

of ICE*Bs1* on host fitness, we blocked conjugative DNA transfer using the *conEK476E* mutation

(Berkmen *et al.*, 2010). We then compared the proportion of ICE*Bs1*-containing hosts to

ICE*Bs1*^{0} cells without the confounding influence of conjugation.

ICE*Bs1* provides a frequency-dependent selective advantage in biofilms

We found that cells containing ICE*Bs1* that is incapable of conjugation

{ICE*Bs1*(*conEK476E*)} had a fitness advantage over cells lacking ICE*Bs1* during biofilm growth

when they were initially present as a minority of the population. As before, we varied the initial

frequency of ICE*Bs1*-containing host cells in the inoculum between approximately 0.01 and 0.9.

To measure fitness we determined the frequency of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells (f_{ICE}) and ICE*Bs1*^{0}

(f_{NULL}) cells in the initial inoculum and in mature biofilms (4 days of growth at 30ºC) by

selective plating. The relative fitness of the ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was calculated as the fold

change in the ratio of f_{ICE} / f_{NULL} over the course of the competition.

We found that the fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was dependent on their initial frequency

in the population (Fig. 1A). The frequency-dependence was most likely due to regulation of

ICE*Bs1* gene expression by the cell-cell signaling genes *rapI-phrI* or some other function of

rapI. Cells with ICE*Bs1* had a selective advantage at low frequencies (0.01 or 0.1) when the

element is most strongly activated. At high frequencies in the population (0.5 or 0.9), when there

is little or no activation, fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was approximately neutral (Fig. 1A).

The final growth yields of the populations were similar regardless of the frequency of ICE*Bs1*-

containing cells (Fig. 1C).

We performed control competitions of two differentially marked ICE*Bs1*[0] strains to verify

that the enhanced fitness we observed was due to the presence of ICE*Bs1* rather than an inherent

fitness difference associated with antibiotic resistances (see Methods and Materials). There was a

small cost associated with the marker used to select cells containing ICE*Bs1* (relative fitness 0.7

±0.09), leading to a slight underestimate of the selective advantage to these cells.

There is a large amount of sporulation in *B. subtilis* biofilms (Branda *et al*., 2001; Vlamakis

et al., 2008). Consistent with this, we found that approximately 80% of viable colony-forming

units (CFUs) in a mature biofilm after 3 days were from spores. The selective advantage to cells

containing ICE*Bs1* growing in biofilms could be due to sporulation and/or biofilm development.

Figure 1. The fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells during development depends on their initial frequency in the population. The fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells (JMJ593) relative to ICE*Bs1*-cured cells (JMJ550) was measured by competition during biofilm growth (A) or growth on sporulation medium without biofilm formation (B). The total growth yields in biofilms (C) and on sporulation medium (D) were determined by counting CFUs derived from both cells and spores. Data shown are pooled from 3 independent experiments. A total of 9 populations were analyzed per initial frequency. Boxes extend from the lower to upper quartiles of the data, and the middle line indicates the median fitness. Whiskers indicate the range of the fitness measurements.

ICE*Bs1* confers a selective advantage in biofilms without sporulation

We blocked sporulation using a mutation that causes a reduction in the amount of the

transcription factor Spo0A that is required for spore formation. The *spo0AΔPs* mutation is a

deletion of the sigma-H-dependent promoter upstream of *spo0A* (Siranosian and Grossman,

1994). This mutation reduces production of Spo0A, and cells do not achieve the threshold

concentration required to initiate sporulation (Chung *et al.*, 1994). *spo0AΔPs* mutant cells

formed biofilms that were morphologically similar to those formed by wild type cells.

In biofilms without sporulation, *spo0AΔPs* mutant cells containing ICE*Bs1* (JMJ788) had a

selective advantage compared to *spo0AΔPs* mutant cells without ICE*Bs1* (JMJ786). Notably, the

median fitness for *spo0AΔPs* mutant cells containing ICE*Bs1* at a low frequency in the

population was approximately six. Thus, sporulation was not required for a fitness benefit to

ICE*Bs1*-containing cells in biofilms.

Fitness of cells in biofilms can be affected by production of the biofilm matrix. For example,

cells that "cheat" by contributing less to biofilm matrix production reap the benefits of growing

with other cells that bear the cost of matrix gene expression (Dragoš *et al.*, 2018). We showed

that cells containing ICE*Bs1* "cheat" by decreasing expression of biofilm matrix genes compared

to cells without ICE*Bs1* (see below).

ICE*Bs1* confers a selective advantage during sporulation, in the absence of biofilms

We found that cells containing ICE*Bs1* also had a frequency-dependent selective advantage

during sporulation, in the absence of biofilms. We prepared mixtures of cells with and without

ICE*Bs1* as described above. These mixtures were spotted onto a medium (DSM agar) that

promotes high levels of sporulation. During growth on this medium, there are no complex colony

features found in biofilms. As in the biofilm competitions, cells containing ICE*Bs1* had a

frequency-dependent selective advantage during sporulation (Fig. 1B). At an initial frequency of

approximately 0.01 the median relative fitness of the ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was approximately

14 (14.5 ± 4.3). As in biofilms, the total growth yields of the populations were similar regardless

of ICE*Bs1* host frequency (Fig. 1D). These results demonstrate that ICE*Bs1* confers a selective

advantage to cells growing on DSM agar, outside the context of biofilms. This could be due to

sporulation or growth under these specific conditions.

We found that sporulation was required for the strong selective advantage during growth on

sporulation medium (DSM agar). The fitness benefit associated with the ICE*Bs1*-containing cells

at a low frequency in the population was greatly reduced in the *spo0AΔPs* mutant (no

sporulation). The sporulation mutant with ICE*Bs1* had a median fitness of approximately 1.5

compared to approximately 9 for wild-type. Based on these results, we conclude that the

presence of ICE*Bs1* confers a frequency-dependent selective advantage during sporulation.

Together, our results demonstrate that cells containing ICE*Bs1* have a frequency-dependent

selective advantage in biofilms and during sporulation. This selective advantage is independent

of the ability of ICE*Bs1* to actually transfer from one cell to another. Biofilm formation (Hamon

and Lazazzera, 2001) and sporulation (Hoch, 1993; Sonenshein, 2000) are both regulated by the

transcription factor Spo0A. Our results indicate that the presence of ICE*Bs1* could somehow be

inhibiting the activity or activation of Spo0A.

ICE*Bs1*-containing cells have a frequency-dependent delay in sporulation

We hypothesized that some ICE*Bs1*-encoded gene(s) inhibit host cell development. This

inhibition could delay development and enable cells to continue growth for a small number of

generations. This model derives from analogous phenotypes of mutants that do not enter the

sporulation pathway (Dawes and Mandelstam, 1970). Mutants that delay the start of sporulation

have a growth advantage as they are able to divide one or a few more times while other cells in

the population stop growing and start to sporulate.

We found that in mixed populations, sporulation was delayed in cells containing ICE*Bs1*

compared to cells without ICE*Bs1*, in a frequency-dependent manner. As above, we used an

ICE*Bs1* mutant that is incapable of conjugation {ICE*Bs1*(*conEK476E*)}. We started several

replicate populations, each of which we sampled once at different times to create a time-course.

{This was done because sampling to quantify CFUs (spores and cells) disrupts and prevents

monitoring a single population over time.} Spore frequency was determined by measuring heat-

resistant CFUs as a fraction of total CFUs for ICE*Bs1*-containing and ICE*Bs1*-cured strains that

contained different antibiotic resistance markers to distinguish the strains.

Sporulation is delayed in ICE*Bs1* host cells during biofilm formation. We found that

sporulation of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was delayed in a frequency-dependent manner during

growth in biofilms (Fig. 2A, 2B). When ICE*Bs1*-containing cells were started at a frequency of

approximately 0.01, they reached their maximum sporulation frequency (>80% spores) roughly

17 hours later than the cells without ICE*Bs1* (Fig. 2A). After three days of biofilm growth, the

sporulation frequencies of ICE*Bs1*-containing and ICE*Bs1*-cured cells were indistinguishable.

Over this period of time the total frequency of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells in the population

typically rose from ~0.01 to ~0.03, giving a relative fitness (~3) consistent with results above

(Fig. 1A). When the ICE*Bs1*-containing cells were the majority in the population (initial

frequency ~0.9) the timing and sporulation frequencies of the ICE*Bs1*-containing and ICE*Bs1*-

cured cells were indistinguishable (Fig. 2B).

Figure 2. ICE*Bs1*-containing cells delay sporulation in a frequency-dependent manner.
ICE*Bs1*-containing cells (JMJ593, black circles) were mixed with ICE*Bs1*-cured cells
(JMJ550, open squares) at an initial frequency of 0.01 and spotted onto biofilm growth
medium (A) or sporulation medium (C). Mixtures with ICE*Bs1*-containing cells at an initial
frequency of 0.9 were also spotted onto biofilm medium (B) and sporulation medium (D).
Biofilms and colonies were harvested at the indicated times to determine the fraction of CFUs
derived from heat-resistant spores for both the ICE*Bs1*-containing and the ICE*Bs1*-cured cells.
Boxes below each graph indicate the frequency of ICE*Bs1*-containing CFUs at each timepoint.
Data shown are the average from two populations per timepoint with error bars indicating the
standard deviation. A representative experiment is shown.

<u>Sporulation is delayed in ICE*Bs1* host cells during sporulation in the absence of biofilms.</u>

We also found that sporulation of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells was delayed in a frequency-dependent

manner during sporulation in the absence of biofilm formation (Fig. 2C, 2D). When the ICE*Bs1*

containing cells were inoculated at a low frequency (approximately 0.01), the delay in

sporulation was qualitatively similar to that observed in biofilms (Fig. 2C). However, the

increase in the total frequency of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells in the population was approximately

10-fold, giving a relative fitness of approximately 10, consistent with results described above

(Fig. 1B). This increase was much greater than the approximately 3-fold increase during biofilm

formation.

We suspect that the stronger selective advantage of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells on sporulation

medium is due to the earlier onset of sporulation. By 16 hours of growth on sporulation medium,

spores made up about 40% of the total CFUs. By the same time in biofilms spores were

undetectable (limit of detection ~0.03% spores).

***rapI-phrI* are necessary but not sufficient for enhanced fitness**

The fitness benefits provided by ICE*Bs1* were dependent on the relative abundance of

ICE*Bs1*-containing cells, indicating that the cell-cell signaling genes *rapI-phrI* in ICE*Bs1* were

likely involved, either directly or indirectly. Other Rap proteins in *B. subtilis* are known to

regulate development by inhibiting phosphorylation (activation) of the transcription factor

Spo0A (Sonenshein, 2000). RapI, like other Rap proteins in *B. subtilis*, can inhibit the pathway

needed to phosphorylate (activate) the transcription factor Spo0A, and overexpression of *rapI* in

vivo inhibits sporulation (Parashar *et al*., 2013, Singh *et al.,* 2013). However, it was unknown

whether RapI regulates development in vivo under physiological conditions. Results described

below demonstrate that the *rapI-phrI* system is required for the fitness advantage of ICE*Bs1*-

containing cells, but that this requirement is by virtue of causing induction of ICE*Bs1* gene

expression. Another gene in ICE*Bs1* is both necessary and sufficient for the selective advantage

of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells during development.

rapI-phrI are required for the fitness benefit of cells containing ICE*Bs1*. We deleted *rapI-*

phrI (Δ*rapI-phrI*) in ICE*Bs1* and compared the fitness conferred by this mutant to that conferred

by ICE*Bs1* with *rapI-phrI*. Because loss of *rapI* prevents induction of gene expression, excision,

and replication of ICE*Bs1*, we used ICE*Bs1* mutants ('locked-in') that are incapable of excision

or replication (see Methods and Materials), regardless of the presence or absence of *rapI*.

Preventing excision and replication of ICE*Bs1* allowed us to compare the fitness of wild-type

ICE*Bs1* to ICE*Bs1* Δ*rapI-phrI* (and other mutants), which would otherwise have a lower gene

copy number due to a lower frequency of induction.

We verified that locked-in ICE*Bs1* still conferred a fitness benefit to host cells. During

sporulation in biofilms (MSgg agar), cells containing locked-in ICE*Bs1* had a relative fitness of

approximately 14 when they were started at a low frequency in the population (~0.01) (Fig. 3A).

This benefit was much greater than that conferred by wild-type ICE*Bs1* that can excise and

replicate. We suspect that replication of ICE*Bs1* incurs a fitness cost to the host cell that reduces

the apparent benefit. The sources of this burden could include use of host resources, the host's

response to single-stranded DNA produced by rolling-circle-replication of ICE*Bs1*, and increases

in ICE*Bs1* gene expression due to increased copy number.

We found that *rapI-phrI* were required for the fitness benefit conferred by ICE*Bs1*. During

sporulation in biofilms (MSgg agar), the relative fitness of the Δ(*rapI-phrI*) host strain was

approximately neutral (Fig. 3A), in contrast to the high fitness (median ~14) provided by ICE*Bs1*

containing *rapI-phrI* when the ICE*Bs1*-containing cells were started at a low frequency (~0.01).

Figure 3. The ICE*Bs1* cell-cell signaling genes, *rapI-phrI*, are necessary but not sufficient to confer a selective advantage. (A) The fitness of cells containing locked-in ICE*Bs1* (JMJ646) or an isogenic *rapI-phrI* mutant (JMJ686) was measured relative to ICE*Bs1*-cured cells (JMJ550) during biofilm competitions. The ICE*Bs1*-containing cells were started at a frequency of 0.01. (B) The fitness of cells containing *rapI-phrI* alone (JMJ576) or cells containing both *rapI-phrI* and locked-in ICE*Bs1ΔrapI-phrI* (JMJ785) was measured relative to ICE*Bs1*-cured cells (JMJ714) during biofilm and sporulation medium competitions. JMJ576 and JMJ785 were started at a frequency of 0.01. Data shown are pooled from 3 independent experiments with a total of 9 populations analyzed per strain mixture. Boxes extend from the lower to upper quartiles, and the middle line indicates the median fitness. Whiskers indicate the range of the fitness measurements.

The requirement for *rapI-phrI* could be due to a direct role for one of these, likely RapI, or an

indirect role in activating expression of ICE genes.

rapI-phrI are not sufficient in the absence of other ICE*Bs1* genes to provide a fitness benefit.

We found that *rapI-phrI* alone were not sufficient to provide a fitness benefit during sporulation

or during sporulation in biofilms. We cloned *rapI-phrI* and their native promoters and inserted

them in an ectopic locus (*bcaP*) in a strain that did not contain ICE*Bs1*. When this strain was

started at a low frequency (~0.01), fitness of this strain was neutral relative to a control strain

without *rapI-phrI* (Fig. 3B). To verify that *rapI-phrI* were functional, we added back ICE*Bs1*

that was missing *rapI-phrI*. Adding the rest of ICE*Bs1* restored the fitness advantage during

sporulation and in biofilms, indicating that the ectopic copy of *rapI-phrI* was functional (Fig.

3B). The requirement for *rapI-phrI* and some other ICE*Bs1* gene(s) indicated that the selective

advantage was likely dependent on induction of ICE*Bs1* by RapI.

Activation of ICE*Bs1* is required for the fitness benefit. We found that expression of one or

more ICE*Bs1* genes controlled by the promoter P*xis* was required for the selective advantage in

biofilms with sporulation. P*xis* drives most of the genes in ICE*Bs1* and is indirectly activated by

RapI in a frequency-dependent manner (Auchtung *et al.*, 2005; Bose and Grossman, 2011). We

deleted P*xis* in a strain in which ICE*Bs1* was unable to excise or replicate (locked-in-ICE*Bs1*). In

this strain, only genes not dependent on P*xis* could be expressed, including *rapI-phrI*. Fitness of

this strain was approximately neutral during sporulation in biofilms (Fig. 4B). This indicated that

expression of one or more genes controlled by P*xis*, either alone or in combination with *rapI*,

was required for the fitness benefit conferred by ICE*Bs1*.

Since most of the genes controlled by P*xis* have known roles in the conjugative life cycle, we

focused our search on genes without a known function, starting with the genes near P*xis*. Of

these, we found that a deletion of *devI* (*ydcO*) reduced fitness. Results described below

demonstrate that a single ICE*Bs1* gene, *devI* (*ydcO*), is both necessary and sufficient to inhibit

development and provide a selective advantage. The primary role of *rapI* in the fitness benefit

appears to be the induction of *devI* expression.

devI is necessary for the fitness benefit conferred by ICEBs1

We found that an ICE*Bs1* gene of unknown function, *devI* (*ydcO*), was necessary for the

fitness advantage of ICE*Bs1* host cells. We constructed a deletion of *devI* in the locked-in-

ICE*Bs1* strain. When started at a low frequency in the population (~0.01) the relative fitness of

the *devI* mutant was approximately 3.5 (Fig. 4D), much less than that of the isogenic *devI*+ cells

(median fitness ~14) in biofilms with sporulation (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the deletion of *devI* did

not reduce fitness fully to neutral, indicating a possible role for other ICE*Bs1* genes.

devI (*ydcO*) is predicted to encode an 86 amino acid protein. A search for conserved motifs

and structural similarity between DevI (YdcO) and other proteins did not significantly inform

our understanding of DevI function. However, *devI* (*ydcO*) homologs are found in other *Bacillus*

species (see below).

devI is sufficient to inhibit sporulation and provide a fitness benefit

We found that when expressed constitutively, *devI* alone, in the absence of all other ICE*Bs1*

genes, was sufficient to inhibit sporulation and provide a selective advantage. We cloned *devI*

under the control of P*xis* at an ectopic locus (*lacA*) in a strain without ICE*Bs1*. In the absence of

ICE*Bs1* (and its repressor ImmR), P*xis* is constitutively active (Auchtung *et al.*, 2007). Fitness

was measured relative to a control strain that had P*xis* with no gene downstream.

Figure 4. Effects of deletions in ICE*Bs1* on host fitness. (A) Abbreviated genetic map of locked-in-ICE*Bs1* showing genes as open block arrows, promoters as thin right-angle arrows, and the left attachment site (*attL*) as a black bar. (B to E) Brackets under the map of ICE*Bs1* indicate regions contained in isogenic derivatives of locked-in-ICE*Bs1*. Open spaces represent regions deleted. The fitness of strains containing locked-in-ICE*Bs1* (JMJ646) and its derivatives (ΔP*xis*, JMJ662; Δ*ydzL*, JMJ704; Δ*devI*, JMJ703; Δ*sncO*, JMJ688) is indicated at the right. Fitness was measured relative to ICE*Bs1*-cured cells (JMJ550) during competitions in biofilms. ICE*Bs1*-containing cells were started at a frequency of 0.01. Data shown are the median ± standard deviation from at least 3 independent experiments (at least 9 total competitions per strain mixture), with the exception of JMJ688 which was measured only in 1 experiment (3 total competitions).

Sporulation of the P*xis-devI* strain was strongly inhibited under conditions that normally

support robust sporulation, including in biofilms (Fig. 5). During sporulation either with (Fig.

5A) or without biofilm formation (Fig. 5B), the frequency of the P*xis-devI* strain in the

population rose from ~0.01 to ~0.05, giving a relative fitness of ~5. This is greater than the

typical fitness conferred by ICE*Bs1* in biofilms, but less than that observed during sporulation

without biofilms. We suspect these differences are due to constitutive expression of *devI* in the

absence of ICE*Bs1*'s regulatory systems and the earlier onset of starvation on DSM agar

compared to MSgg agar; cells that are unable to sporulate eventually die.

DevI likely targets the developmental transcription factor Spo0A

Results described above demonstrated that *devI* is a robust inhibitor of sporulation.

Sporulation is controlled by the transcription factor Spo0A {reviewed in (Hoch, 1993;

Sonenshein, 2000)}, which both directly and indirectly regulates the expression of many genes

needed for development, including biofilm formation (Hamon and Lazazzera, 2001). The results

described below indicate that DevI most likely targets Spo0A.

devI inhibits early sporulation gene expression. We found that *devI* inhibits expression of

genes normally activated early during sporulation. Sporulation is initiated when Spo0A~P

directly stimulates transcription of several genes, including the three sporulation operons, *spoIIA,*

spoIIE, and *spoIIG* (Sonenshein, 2000). Using *lacZ* fusions to the promoters of each of these

operons, we found that P*xis-devI* inhibited activity of each promoter compared to wild-type

during sporulation in liquid sporulation medium (Fig. 6A). This indicates that DevI inhibits the

initiation of sporulation.

devI inhibits biofilm gene expression. We also found that *devI* inhibits expression of genes

needed for extracellular matrix production during biofilm formation. We measured expression of

Figure 5. *devI* alone is sufficient to inhibit sporulation and provide a selective advantage. ICE*Bs1*-cured cells that constitutively express *devI* (JMJ725, black circles) were mixed at an initial frequency of 0.01 with ICE*Bs1*-cured cells containing an empty expression construct (JMJ727, open squares). The mixture was spotted onto biofilm growth medium (A) or sporulation medium (B). Biofilms and colonies were harvested at the indicated times to determine the fraction of CFUs derived from heat-resistant spores for both the *devI*-containing and control cells. Boxes below each graph indicate the frequency of *devI*-containing CFUs at each timepoint. Data shown are the average from two populations per timepoint with error bars indicating the standard deviation.

biofilm matrix genes *epsB* and *tasA* by RT-qPCR with primers internal to each gene. In early

stationary phase in liquid biofilm medium, transcript levels of *epsB* and *tasA* were reduced by

about 5-fold and 3-fold, respectively, in the P*xis-devI* strain compared to wild-type (Fig. 6B). We

suspect that inhibition of biofilm matrix genes, in addition to delaying sporulation, is an

important mechanism of selection for ICE*Bs1* host cells during growth in a biofilm. This is

consistent with the selective advantage of ICE*Bs1* host cells in biofilms without sporulation

described earlier. Inhibition of biofilm and early sporulation genes is consistent with DevI

functioning as an inhibitor of Spo0A or its activation by phosphorylation.

devI* is conserved among ICEs homologous to ICE*Bs1

We found that *devI* (*ydcO*) is conserved among *Bacillus* species and in many cases is located

within what appear to be ICEs similar to ICE*Bs1*. We used NCBI BLAST to search for

homologous protein sequences using both pBLAST (protein database) and tBLASTn (translated

nucleotide database). Homologs with 100% sequence coverage and greater than 70% identity to

YdcO from *B. subtilis* NCIB3610 were found in dozens of other *B. subtilis* strains and in closely

related species including *B. licheniformis, B. atrophaeus,* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*. Excluding

Bacillus species from the searches to possibly identify more distantly related proteins with

known functions produced no hits.

We analyzed the sequence surrounding the *devI* (*ydcO*) homologs identified to determine if

there is similarity to ICE*Bs1*. All of the *devI* (*ydcO*) homologs appear to be within mobile

element regions similar to ICE*Bs1*, though some are clearly missing genes present in ICE*Bs1*.

Although we cannot infer whether any of these regions are functional mobile elements, we

suspect that the ability to inhibit host development may be a conserved strategy among ICE*Bs1*-

like elements and possibly other ICEs with cargo genes of unknown function.

Figure 6. *devI* inhibits expression of genes associated with sporulation initiation and biofilm formation. (A) ICE*Bs1*-cured cells that constitutively express *devI* (black circles) or contain an empty expression construct (open squares) were grown in liquid sporulation medium. Cells were harvested at the indicated times and β-galactosidase specific activity was measured. Strains: P*spoIIA-lacZ* P*xis-devI* (JMJ732), P*spoIIA-lacZ* WT (JMJ735), P*spoIIE-lacZ* P*xis-devI* (JMJ731), P*spoIIE-lacZ* WT (JMJ734), P*spoIIG-lacZ* P*xis-devI* (JMJ733), P*spoIIG-lacZ* WT (JMJ736). A representative experiment is shown. (B) ICE*Bs1*-cured cells that constitutively express *devI* (JMJ725, black circles) and ICE*Bs1*-cured cells containing an empty expression construct (JMJ727, open squares) were grown in liquid biofilm medium, and cells were harvested at the indicated times. cDNA was synthesized using reverse transcriptase and RT-qPCR was used to measure expression of biofilm-associated genes *epsB* and *tasA*. The transcript copy numbers of these genes were measured relative to a housekeeping gene *gyrA*. The data reported are the average of three technical replicates from one experiment. The relative expression levels are normalized to wild-type at T_{-1}. A representative experiment is shown.

Discussion

Our work demonstrates that ICE*Bs1* confers a selective advantage on its host cells by

delaying biofilm and spore development, enabling the host to grow more than cells without

ICE*Bs1*. When ICE*Bs1*-containing cells are the minority in a mixed population, ICE*Bs1* genes

are induced. One of these genes, *devI*, is necessary and sufficient to inhibit biofilm- and

sporulation-associated gene expression, likely by inhibiting the key developmental regulator

Spo0A. Together with previous findings we conclude that ICE*Bs1* encodes at least three distinct

strategies to benefit its host cells. 1) Inhibition of development (described here) provides a

growth advantage in biofilms and during sporulation. 2) Exclusion, mediated by *yddJ*, blocks the

conjugation machinery and protects the host cell from lethal excessive transfer (Avello *et al.*,

2019). 3) An abortive infection mechanism, mediated by *spbK* (*yddK*) protects populations of

ICE*Bs1* host cells from predation by the lysogenic phage SPβ (Johnson *et al.*, 2020). We propose

that all three strategies provide a competitive advantage for ICE*Bs1* and its host cells in different

conditions.

Expression of *devI* reduces biofilm matrix expression and delays the initiation of sporulation.

Production of the biofilm matrix is a public good, benefiting the whole community (Dragoš *et*

al., 2018). Avoidance of matrix production can therefore be considered an exploitative behavior.

Exploitation can be detrimental to the population as a whole (Smith and Schuster, 2019), but we

did not observe any negative effects on populations under conditions where ICE*Bs1* host cells

had an advantage. This is in agreement with the facultative nature of ICE*Bs1* cheating (Even-Tov

et al., 2016; Pollak *et al.*, 2016). Quorum-sensing by *rapI-phrI* ensures that ICE*Bs1* cheats only

as a minority, where its impact on total public goods levels is negligible. Interestingly, the pBS32

plasmid utilizes direct regulation of biofilm formation by a Rap receptor to its benefit (Bendori *et*

al., 2015; Pollak *et al*., 2015), while in ICE*Bs1* this regulation was moved from the Rap receptor

to one of its regulated genes.

The fitness consequences of sporulation inhibition are complicated (Mutlu *et al*., 2018).

Delaying sporulation too long would result in a loss of viability of the starved cells. Inhibition of

sporulation by ICE*Bs1* appears to be transient; ICE*Bs1* host cells eventually sporulate and do not

lose significant viability as a consequence of delaying sporulation. Regulation of *devI* expression

by cell-cell signaling is likely critical for transient developmental inhibition.

ICE*Bs1* stability during sporulation

We hypothesize that in addition to providing a fitness advantage to its host cell, delaying

sporulation may also improve stability of ICE*Bs1* in the host during development. Sporulation

involves the formation of an asymmetric division septum generating the larger mother cell and

the smaller forespore (Errington, 2001; Higgins and Dworkin, 2012). Sporulation is induced

when cells are at a high population density and running out of nutrients, conditions that also

activate ICE*Bs1* (Auchtung *et al*., 2005; Grossman and Losick, 1988). The plasmid form of

ICE*Bs1* that is generated after excision from the chromosome is not known to have a mechanism

for active partitioning and is more likely to remain in the larger mother cell if the cells do enter

the sporulation pathway and divide asymmetrically. Therefore, the ability of ICE*Bs1* to delay the

initiation of sporulation under conditions where the element is activated could help prevent loss

of the element and maintain ICE*Bs1* in host cells.

Mobile genetic elements employ various strategies to promote their maintenance during

sporulation. Rates of curing during sporulation for various plasmids in *Bacillus* species vary

widely and do not necessarily correlate with their stability during normal cell division (Tokuda *et*

al., 1993; Turgeon *et al*., 2008). Mechanisms encoded by plasmids to promote their stability

during growth and sporulation include the production of dynamic cytoskeletal filaments (Becker

et al., 2006) and post-segregational killing of plasmid-cured pre-spores with toxin-antitoxin

systems (Short *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, even lytic phage genomes can be incorporated into

spores (first described in the 1960s) by co-opting the host's chromosomal partitioning system

(Meijer *et al.*, 2005).

Diversity of cargo genes and associated phenotypes

Mobile genetic elements, especially ICEs, are widespread in bacteria (Frost *et al.*, 2005;

Guglielmini *et al.*, 2011). Many known mobile genetic elements encode cargo genes that confer

easily recognizable phenotypes, notably antibiotic resistance. Other cargo genes provide less

obvious phenotypes but still fundamentally alter the physiology of the host cell. A large (500-kb)

ICE was discovered in *Mesorhizobium loti* because its horizontal transfer conferred the ability to

form nitrogen-fixing symbiotic rood nodules on *Lotus* plant species (Sullivan and Ronson, 1988).

In many pathogens, cargo genes in mobile elements are largely responsible for virulence. For

example, *Vibrio cholerae* is capable of a pathogenic lifestyle in human hosts due to the toxin-

encoding phage CTXΦ (Waldor and Mekalanos, 1996). In the sporulating pathogen *Bacillus*

anthracis, mobile genetic elements regulate both virulence and host development. Two plasmids,

pXO1 and pXO2, provide the genes for toxin synthesis and production of a protective capsule,

respectively (Green *et al.*, 1985; Mikesell *et al.*, 1983). pXO1 also contains a regulatory gene,

atxA, that regulates virulence factor production and inhibits host cell sporulation (Dale *et al.*,

2018). Co-regulation of virulence factors and sporulation is likely important during infection, as

B. anthracis spores are thought to be more susceptible than vegetative cells to eradication by the

immune system (Mock and Fouet, 2001).

Mobile elements are also known to alter the host's interaction with other horizontally

acquired DNA, which has implications for the fitness and evolvability of the host. For example,

the plasmid pBS32 in *B. subtilis* encodes an inhibitor of the host's DNA uptake machinery,

blocking natural transformation (Konkol *et al.*, 2013). Interestingly, genes with roles in defense

against foreign DNA, CRISPR-Cas systems, are also identified within mobile elements (Faure *et*

al., 2019; McDonald *et al.*, 2019; Millen *et al.*, 2012). Competition between mobile elements not

only shapes the repertoire of cargo genes in a given cell, but it may also protect the host from

harmful elements.

Many mobile genetic elements have been identified bioinformatically from genome

sequences or discovered by means other than the phenotypes they provide (Bi *et al.*, 2012;

Guglielmini *et al.*, 2011; Johnson and Grossman, 2015). Many elements lack obvious cargo

genes, or at least lack cargo genes that have recognizable functions (Cury *et al.*, 2017). We

suspect that many elements with uncharacterized cargo genes provide important traits to their

hosts beyond the scope of the phenotypes currently attributed to mobile elements. Though

mobile genetic elements can have remarkably broad host ranges, such as the Tn*916*-Tn*1545*

group of ICEs (Clewell *et al.*, 1995; Roberts and Mullany, 2009) and the IncP-1 group of

plasmids (Popowska and Krawczyk-Balska, 2013), cargo genes and their associated functions

could be highly specific to certain hosts.

Characterization of unknown cargo genes is likely to expand the diversity of traits currently

attributed to mobile genetic elements. We speculate that many of these genes modulate normal

host functions rather than provide entirely new phenotypes. Understanding cargo gene function

is critical for understanding interactions between and co-evolution of mobile elements and their

hosts.

Methods and Materials

Media and growth conditions

Prior to competition experiments, cells were grown as light lawns for approximately 20 hours

at room temperature on 1.5% agar plates containing 1% w/v glucose, 0.1% w/v monopotassium

glutamate, and 1x Spizizen's salts (2 g/l $(NH_4)SO_4$, 14 g/l K_2HPO_4, 6 g/l KH_2PO_4, 1 g/l

Na_3citrate-$2H_2O$, and 0.2 g/l $MgSO_4$-$7H_2O$) (Harwood and Cutting, 1990). Cells were

resuspended from light lawns and grown at 37ºC with shaking in $S7_{50}$ defined minimal medium

(Jaacks *et al.*, 1989) with 1% w/v glucose and 0.1% w/v monopotassium glutamate. Biofilms

were grown at 30ºC on MSgg agar plates (as defined in Branda *et al.*, 2001 with the exception of

tryptophan and phenylalanine, which we did not include). The sporulation medium used was

DSM (in liquid form or as plates solidified with 1.5% agar) (Harwood and Cutting, 1990). MSgg

agar and DSM agar plates were dried for 20-24 hours at 37ºC prior to use. Antibiotics were used

at the following concentrations for selection on LB agar plates: chloramphenicol (5 μg/ml),

kanamycin (5 μg/ml), spectinomycin (100 μg/ml), tetracycline (12.5 μg/ml), and a combination

of erythromycin (0.5 μg/ml) and lincomycin (12.5 μg/ml) to select for macrolide-lincosamide-

streptogramin (MLS) resistance.

Strains and alleles

The *B. subtilis* strains used are listed in Table 2. The strain background used in all

experiments was a derivative of the undomesticated strain NCIB3610 lacking its endogenous

plasmid pBS32 (NCIB3610 plasmid-free). ICE*Bs1*[0] indicates the strain is cured of ICE*Bs1*.

Standard techniques were used for cloning and strain construction (Harwood and Cutting 1990).

Some alleles were previously described and are summarized below. Variants of ICE*Bs1* that

were blocked for transfer contained the *conEK476E* mutation derived from MMB1118 (Berkmen

et al., 2010). The *spo0AΔPs* allele was derived from AG1242 (Siranosian and Grossman, 1994).

The *amyE*::P*spoIIA-lacZ cat* allele was derived from KI938 (Chung *et al*., 1994). Essentially

identical alleles with P*spoIIE* and P*spoIIG* were also used.

Construction of selective markers for mating and competition experiments. ICE*Bs1* was

marked with the *cat* gene (conferring chloramphenicol resistance) between the divergently

transcribed genes *yddJ* and *spbK* (*yddK*). Markers used to select ICE*Bs1*-containing and ICE*Bs1*[0]

cells were all integrated at *lacA* and contained *spec* (spectinomycin resistance), *mls* (macrolide-

lincosamide-streptogramin resistance), or *kan* (kanamycin resistance). The *mls* and *kan*

constructs also contained constitutively expressed fluorescent proteins BFP and RFP,

respectively. The plating efficiency of all markers was verified, and control competitions

(described below) were performed to measure marker-associated fitness effects.

Construction of ICE*Bs1* mutants. Fitness measurements of ICE*Bs1* mutants were performed

in a version of ICE*Bs1* unable to excise and replicate (locked-in ICE*Bs1*). All mutants were

isogenic to JMJ646 (ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*attR*::*tet*), which is unable to excise due to the *attR*::*tet*

deletion (Lee and Grossman, 2007). The origin of transfer was mutated (oriT*) to prevent

ICE*Bs1* replication while integrated, which is detrimental (Lee and Grossman, 2007; Menard and

Grossman, 2013). The markerless oriT* mutation was constructed by cloning *nicK*(oriT*) from

pJT245 and ~1 kb of upstream sequence into pCAL1422 (a plasmid that contains *E. coli lacZ*) by

isothermal assembly (Gibson *et al*., 2009), essentially as previously described (Thomas *et al*.,

2013). The resulting plasmid, pJMJ196, was integrated into the chromosome by single-crossover

recombination. Transformants were screened for loss of *lacZ*, indicating loss of the integrated

plasmid, and PCR was used to identify a clone containing the oriT* allele. Markerless deletions

of ICE*Bs1* sequence were also generated using pCAL1422-derived plasmids. The *rapI-phrI*

deletion was generated using pJMJ430 and removes the *rapI* and *phrI* ORFs. The P*xis* deletion

was generated using pJMJ199 and removes sequence from 149 bp to 27 bp upstream of the *xis*

ORF. This removes the presumed -35 and -10 of the promoter but does not remove the known

regulatory sites at the neighboring *immR* promoter (Auchtung *et al.*, 2007). The *ydzL* deletion

was generated using pELS5 and fuses the first four and last two codons of *ydzL*. The *devI*

deletion was generated using pELS1 and fuses the first four and last two codons of *devI*. The

sncO deletion was generated using pELC815 and removes the *sncO* gene and 44 bp of upstream

sequence.

Construction of ectopic *rapI-phrI* construct. The *rapI-phrI* ORFs plus the promoter region

(352 bp upstream of *rapI*) and 112 bp of downstream sequence were cloned into pMMH253

(vector for integration at *bcaP*). The resulting plasmid (pJMJ354) was linearized and introduced

to *B. subtilis* by transformation and selection for kanamycin resistance. The corresponding empty

control construct was generated by transforming linearized pMMH253.

Construction of *lacA*::P*xis-devI*. We expressed *devI* from the P*xis* promoter by cloning

existing elements from a P*xis* gene expression construct marked with *mls* at *lacA* and inserting

the *devI* ORF by isothermal assembly. The resulting product was introduced to the chromosome

by transformation and selection for MLS resistance. The P*xis*-empty control strain contains an

identical construct lacking an ORF fused to P*xis*.

Biofilm mating experiments

Cultures were started from resuspended light lawns (described above) diluted to an initial

OD600 of 0.05 in S7$_{50}$ minimal medium. Cultures were grown to mid-exponential phase (OD600

~0.5) at 37ºC with shaking. Cells were pelleted, resuspended in 1x Spizizen's salts, and diluted

to an OD600 of 0.01. Donor and recipient strains were mixed at the indicated frequencies and 50

μl of the mixture was spotted onto the center of MSgg agar plates. Spots were allowed to dry at

30ºC before flipping the plates. Plates were incubated at 30ºC for 4 days. At the time of

inoculation, the strain mixes were diluted and plated on LB agar plates containing the

appropriate antibiotics to determine the initial CFU/ml of the donor and recipient strains. After 4

days, the mature biofilms were scraped from the agar surface with sterile wooden sticks and

resuspended in 5 ml 1x Spizizen's salts, followed by mild sonication to disperse the cells. Cells

were diluted and selectively plated to determine the final CFU/ml of transconjugants.

Competition experiments

Cells were prepared for competition experiments as described above for biofilm mating

experiments. Strain mixtures at the indicated frequencies were spotted onto MSgg agar plates for

biofilm competitions and DSM agar plates for sporulation competitions. Plates were incubated at

30ºC for 4 days unless otherwise indicated. Biofilms/colonies were collected, disrupted, and

plated as described above. For time-course competitions, two replicate biofilms/colonies were

collected at each of the indicated times. Sporulation frequency was determined by selective

plating before and after a heat treatment at 85ºC for 20 minutes to enumerate total CFUs and

CFUs derived from heat-resistant spores. Relative fitness of ICE*Bs1*-containing cells over

ICE*Bs1*^{0} cells was determined as $(p_f/(1-p_f))/(p_i/(1-p_i))$, where p_f, p_i are the frequencies of

ICE*Bs1*-containing cells in the final and initial populations, respectively. Control competitions

between ICE*Bs1*-cured cells were performed to determine the fitness associated with the

lacA::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*} marker (JMJ574) used in ICE*Bs1*-containing cells relative to the

lacA::{Ppen-*mApple2 kan*} marker used in ICE*Bs1*-null cells (JMJ550). When the *mls*-marked

cells were started at a frequency of 0.01, their relative fitness was 0.7 ± 0.09 (average and

standard deviation from 3 independent experiments and a total of 9 biofilms).

Gene expression assays

Expression of sporulation genes was measured in cultures grown from single colonies in

liquid DSM at 37º with shaking. Cells were harvested at the indicated timepoints. For β-

galactosidase assays, growth was stopped by the addition of toluene (~1.5% final concentration).

β-galactosidase specific activity ($\{\Delta A_{420}$ per minute per ml of culture per $OD_{600}\}$ x 1000) was

measured as described (Miller, 1972) after pelleting cell debris.

Biofilm gene expression was measured in cultures grown from single colonies in liquid

MSgg at 37º with shaking. For RT-qPCR assays, cells were harvested directly into ice-cold

methanol (1:1 methanol to culture volume) and pelleted. RNA was isolated using Qiagen

RNeasy PLUS kit with 10 mg/ml lysozyme. iScript Supermix (Bio-Rad) was used for reverse

transcriptase reactions to generate cDNA. Control reactions without reverse transcriptase were

performed to assess the amount of DNA present in the RNA samples. RNA was degraded by

adding 75% volume of 0.1 M NaOH and incubating at 70ºC for 10 minutes, followed by

neutralization with an equal volume of 0.1 M HCl. qPCR was done using SSoAdvanced SYBR

master mix and CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR system (Bio-Rad). Primers used to measure *epsB*

were oJJ363 (5'-CGGAACAATATCGCACCATTC-3') and oJJ364 (5'-

CGCTGCACTGAACGATTTAC-3'). Primers used to quantify *tasA* were oJJ367 (5'-

GGATCACTTGCGATCAAAGAAG-3') and oJJ368 (5'-CTTCAAACTGGCTGAGGAAATC-

3'). Primers used to measure the control locus *gyrA* were oMEA128 (5'-

TGGAGCATTACCTTGACCATC-3') and oMEA129 (5'-AGCTCTCGCTTCTGCTTTAC-3').

The relative transcript copy numbers (as indicated by the Cp values measured by qPCR) of *epsB*

and *tasA* were normalized to *gyrA* after subtracting the signal from control reactions without

reverse transcriptase.

Table 2: *B. subtilis* strains used[1]

Strain	Relevant genotype
JMJ550	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{Ppen-*mApple2 kan*}
JMJ574	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ576	ICE*Bs1*[0] *bcaP*::{P*rapI-rapIphrI kan*} *lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ592	ICE*Bs1 yddJ-cat-yddK lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ593	ICE*Bs1 conEK476E yddJ-cat-yddK lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ646	ICE*Bs1* oriT* *attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ662	ICE*Bs1* ΔP*xis* oriT* *attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ686	ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*rapIphrI attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ688	ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*sncO attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ703	ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*devI attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ704	ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*ydzL attR*::*tet lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ714	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::*spec bcaP*::*kan*
JMJ725	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis-devI mls*}
JMJ727	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis*-empty *mls*}
JMJ731	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis-devI mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIE-lacZ cat*}
JMJ732	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis-devI mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIA-lacZ cat*}
JMJ733	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis-devI mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIG-lacZ cat*}
JMJ734	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis*-empty *mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIE-lacZ cat*}
JMJ735	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis*-empty *mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIA-lacZ cat*}
JMJ736	ICE*Bs1*[0] *lacA*::{P*xis*-empty *mls*} *amyE*::{P*spoIIG-lacZ cat*}
JMJ785	ICE*Bs1* oriT* Δ*rapIphrI attR*::*tet bcaP*::{P*rapI-rapIphrI kan*} *lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}
JMJ786	ICE*Bs1*[0] *spo0A*ΔP*s lacA*::{Ppen-*mApple2 kan*}
JMJ788	ICE*Bs1 conEK476E yddJ-cat-yddK spo0A*ΔP*s lacA*::{Pveg-*mTagBFP mls*}

[1]All strains derived from NCIB3610 plasmid-free

Acknowledgements

We thank Mary Anderson and Janet Smith for helpful comments on the manuscript. Research

reported here is based upon work supported, in part, by the National Institute of General Medical

Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number R01GM050895 and R35

GM122538 to ADG. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in

this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National

Institutes of Health. Funding for travel was provided by the MIT International Science and

Technology Initiatives (MISTI) MIT-Israel Seed Fund.

References

600
601   Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Garrison KL, Grossman AD. 2007. Identification and characterization of
602   the immunity repressor (ImmR) that controls the mobile genetic element ICEBs1 of Bacillus
603   subtilis. *Molecular Microbiology* **64**:1515–1528. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05748.x
604
605   Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Monson RE, Lehman AP, Grossman AD. 2005. Regulation of a Bacillus
606   subtilis mobile genetic element by intercellular signaling and the global DNA damage response.
607   *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:12554–12559. doi:10.1073/pnas.0505835102
608
609   Avello M, Davis KP, Grossman AD. 2019. Identification, characterization and benefits of an
610   exclusion system in an integrative and conjugative element of Bacillus subtilis. *Molecular
611   Microbiology* **112**:1066–1082. doi:10.1111/mmi.14359
612
613   Bai U, Mandic-Mulec I, Smith I. 1993. SinI modulates the activity of SinR, a developmental
614   switch protein of Bacillus subtilis, by protein-protein interaction. *Genes Dev* **7**:139–148.
615   doi:10.1101/gad.7.1.139
616
617   Baltrus DA. 2013. Exploring the costs of horizontal gene transfer. *Trends in Ecology &
618   Evolution* **28**:489–495. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2013.04.002
619
620   Becker E, Herrera NC, Gunderson FQ, Derman AI, Dance AL, Sims J, Larsen RA, Pogliano J.
621   2006. DNA segregation by the bacterial actin AlfA during Bacillus subtilis growth and
622   development. *The EMBO Journal* **25**:5919–5931. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601443
623

Bendori SO, Pollak S, Hizi D, Eldar A. 2015. The RapP-PhrP Quorum-Sensing System of
Bacillus subtilis Strain NCIB3610 Affects Biofilm Formation through Multiple Targets, Due to
an Atypical Signal-Insensitive Allele of RapP. *Journal of Bacteriology* **197**:592–602.
doi:10.1128/JB.02382-14

Berkmen MB, Lee CA, Loveday E-K, Grossman AD. 2010. Polar Positioning of a Conjugation
Protein from the Integrative and Conjugative Element ICEBs1 of Bacillus subtilis. *Journal of*
Bacteriology **192**:38–45. doi:10.1128/JB.00860-09

Bi D, Xu Z, Harrison EM, Tai C, Wei Y, He X, Jia S, Deng Z, Rajakumar K, Ou H-Y. 2012.
ICEberg: a web-based resource for integrative and conjugative elements found in Bacteria.
Nucleic Acids Res **40**:D621–D626. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr846

Bose B, Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Grossman AD. 2008. A conserved anti-repressor controls
horizontal gene transfer by proteolysis. *Molecular Microbiology* **70**:570–582.
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06414.x

Bose B, Grossman AD. 2011. Regulation of Horizontal Gene Transfer in Bacillus subtilis by
Activation of a Conserved Site-Specific Protease. *Journal of Bacteriology* **193**:22–29.
doi:10.1128/JB.01143-10

Branda SS, Gonzalez-Pastor JE, Ben-Yehuda S, Losick R, Kolter R. 2001. Fruiting body
formation by Bacillus subtilis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **98**:11621–
11626. doi:10.1073/pnas.191384198

Burrus V, Pavlovic G, Decaris B, Guédon G. 2002. The ICESt1 element of Streptococcus
thermophilus belongs to a large family of integrative and conjugative elements that exchange
modules and change their specificity of integration. *Plasmid* **48**:77–97. doi:10.1016/s0147-
619x(02)00102-6

Carraro N, Burrus V. 2015. The dualistic nature of integrative and conjugative elements. *Mobile*
Genetic Elements **5**:98–102. doi:10.1080/2159256X.2015.1102796

Chung JD, Stephanopoulos G, Ireton K, Grossman AD. 1994. Gene expression in single cells of
Bacillus subtilis: evidence that a threshold mechanism controls the initiation of sporulation. *J*
Bacteriol **176**:1977–1984.

Clewell DB, Flannagan SE, Jaworski DD, Clewell DB. 1995. Unconstrained bacterial
promiscuity: the Tn916–Tn1545 family of conjugative transposons. *Trends in Microbiology*
3:229–236. doi:10.1016/S0966-842X(00)88930-1

Cury J, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. 2017. Integrative and conjugative elements and their hosts:
composition, distribution and organization. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**:8943–8956.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkx607
668

Dale JL, Raynor MJ, Ty MC, Hadjifrangiskou M, Koehler TM. 2018. A Dual Role for the
Bacillus anthracis Master Virulence Regulator AtxA: Control of Sporulation and Anthrax Toxin
Production. *Front Microbiol* **9**. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00482

Dawes IW, Mandelstam J. 1970. Sporulation of Bacillus subtilis in Continuous Culture. *Journal
of Bacteriology* **103**:529–535. doi:10.1128/JB.103.3.529-535.1970

Dragoš A, Kiesewalter H, Martin M, Hsu C-Y, Hartmann R, Wechsler T, Eriksen C, Brix S,
Drescher K, Stanley-Wall N, Kümmerli R, Kovács ÁT. 2018. Division of Labor during Biofilm
Matrix Production. *Current Biology* **28**:1903-1913.e5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.04.046

Errington J. 2001. Septation and chromosome segregation during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis.
Current Opinion in Microbiology **4**:660–666. doi:10.1016/S1369-5274(01)00266-1

Even-Tov E, Bendori SO, Valastyan J, Ke X, Pollak S, Bareia T, Ben-Zion I, Bassler BL, Eldar
A. 2016. Social Evolution Selects for Redundancy in Bacterial Quorum Sensing. *PLOS Biology*
14:e1002386. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002386

Faure G, Shmakov SA, Yan WX, Cheng DR, Scott DA, Peters JE, Makarova KS, Koonin EV.
2019. CRISPR–Cas in mobile genetic elements: counter-defence and beyond. *Nat Rev Microbiol*
17:513–525. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0204-7

Franke AE, Clewell DB. 1981. Evidence for a chromosome-borne resistance transposon (Tn916)
in Streptococcus faecalis that is capable of "conjugal" transfer in the absence of a conjugative
plasmid. *J Bacteriol* **145**:494–502.

Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A. 2005. Mobile genetic elements: the agents of
open source evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**:722–732. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1235

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic
assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* **6**:343–345.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.1318

Green BD, Battisti L, Koehler TM, Thorne CB, Ivins BE. 1985. Demonstration of a capsule
plasmid in Bacillus anthracis. *Infection and Immunity* **49**:291–297. doi:10.1128/IAI.49.2.291-
297.1985

Grossman AD, Losick R. 1988. Extracellular control of spore formation in Bacillus subtilis.
PNAS **85**:4369–4373. doi:10.1073/pnas.85.12.4369

Guglielmini J, Quintais L, Garcillán-Barcia MP, de la Cruz F, Rocha EPC. 2011. The Repertoire
of ICE in Prokaryotes Underscores the Unity, Diversity, and Ubiquity of Conjugation. *PLoS
Genet* **7**:e1002222. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002222
712

713   Hahn J, Roggiani M, Dubnau D. 1995. The major role of Spo0A in genetic competence is to
714   downregulate abrB, an essential competence gene. *Journal of Bacteriology* **177**:3601–3605.
715   doi:10.1128/jb.177.12.3601-3605.1995
716
717   Hamon MA, Lazazzera BA. 2001. The sporulation transcription factor Spo0A is required for
718   biofilm development in Bacillus subtilis. *Molecular Microbiology* **42**:1199–1209.
719   doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02709.x
720
721   Hamon MA, Stanley NR, Britton RA, Grossman AD, Lazazzera BA. 2004. Identification of
722   AbrB-regulated genes involved in biofilm formation by Bacillus subtilis. *Molecular*
723   *Microbiology* **52**:847–860. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04023.x
724
725   Harwood, C.R., and Cutting, S.M. (1990). Molecular Biological Methods for Bacillus, John
726   Wiley & Sons, Chichester, NY.
727
728   Higgins D, Dworkin J. 2012. Recent progress in Bacillus subtilis sporulation. *FEMS Microbiol*
729   *Rev* **36**:131–148. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00310.x
730
731   Hoch JA. 1993. Regulation of the phosphorelay and the initiation of sporulation in Bacillus
732   subtilis. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **47**:441–465. doi:10.1146/annurev.mi.47.100193.002301
733
734   Jaacks KJ, Healy J, Losick R, Grossman AD. 1989. Identification and characterization of genes
735   controlled by the sporulation-regulatory gene spo0H in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* **171**:4121–
736   4129. doi:10.1128/jb.171.8.4121-4129.1989
737
738   Johnson CM, Grossman AD. 2015. Integrative and Conjugative Elements (ICEs): What They Do
739   and How They Work. *Annu Rev Genet* **49**:577–601. doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-112414-055018
740
741   Johnson CM, Harden MM, Grossman AD. 2020. An integrative and conjugative element
742   encodes an abortive infection system to protect host cells from predation by a bacteriophage.
743   *bioRxiv* 2020.12.13.422588. doi:10.1101/2020.12.13.422588
744
745   Kearns DB, Chu F, Branda SS, Kolter R, Losick R. 2005. A master regulator for biofilm
746   formation by Bacillus subtilis. *Molecular Microbiology* **55**:739–749. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
747   2958.2004.04440.x
748
749   Konkol MA, Blair KM, Kearns DB. 2013. Plasmid-Encoded ComI Inhibits Competence in the
750   Ancestral 3610 Strain of Bacillus subtilis. *Journal of Bacteriology* **195**:4085–4093.
751   doi:10.1128/JB.00696-13
752
753   Lécuyer F, Bourassa J-S, Gélinas M, Charron-Lamoureux V, Burrus V, Beauregard PB. 2018.
754   Biofilm Formation Drives Transfer of the Conjugative Element ICEBs1 in Bacillus subtilis.
755   *mSphere* **3**. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00473-18
756

757 Lee CA, Grossman AD. 2007. Identification of the Origin of Transfer (oriT) and DNA Relaxase
758 Required for Conjugation of the Integrative and Conjugative Element ICEBs1 of Bacillus
759 subtilis. *Journal of Bacteriology* **189**:7254–7261. doi:10.1128/JB.00932-07
760
761 Madsen JS, Burmølle M, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ. 2012. The interconnection between biofilm
762 formation and horizontal gene transfer. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **65**:183–195.
763 doi:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2012.00960.x
764
765 McDonald ND, Regmi A, Morreale DP, Borowski JD, Boyd EF. 2019. CRISPR-Cas systems are
766 present predominantly on mobile genetic elements in Vibrio species. *BMC Genomics* **20**:105.
767 doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5439-1
768
769 Meijer WJ, Castilla-Llorente V, Villar L, Murray H, Errington J, Salas M. 2005. Molecular basis
770 for the exploitation of spore formation as survival mechanism by virulent phage φ29. *The EMBO*
771 *Journal* **24**:3647–3657. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600826
772
773 Menard KL, Grossman AD. 2013. Selective Pressures to Maintain Attachment Site Specificity of
774 Integrative and Conjugative Elements. *PLoS Genet* **9**:e1003623.
775 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003623
776
777 Mikesell P, Ivins BE, Ristroph JD, Dreier TM. 1983. Evidence for plasmid-mediated toxin
778 production in Bacillus anthracis. *Infection and Immunity* **39**:371–376. doi:10.1128/IAI.39.1.371-
779 376.1983
780
781 Millen AM, Horvath P, Boyaval P, Romero DA. 2012. Mobile CRISPR/Cas-Mediated
782 Bacteriophage Resistance in Lactococcus lactis. *PLoS One* **7**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0051663
783
784 Miller, J. (1972). Miller JH. Experiments in Molecular Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
785 Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
786
787 Mock M, Fouet A. 2001. Anthrax. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **55**:647–671.
788 doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.55.1.647
789
790 Molin S, Tolker-Nielsen T. 2003. Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms and
791 induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*
792 **14**:255–261. doi:10.1016/S0958-1669(03)00036-3
793
794 Mutlu A, Trauth S, Ziesack M, Nagler K, Bergeest J-P, Rohr K, Becker N, Höfer T, Bischofs IB.
795 2018. Phenotypic memory in Bacillus subtilis links dormancy entry and exit by a spore quantity-
796 quality tradeoff. *Nature Communications* **9**:69. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02477-1
797
798 Okinaka RT, Cloud K, Hampton O, Hoffmaster AR, Hill KK, Keim P, Koehler TM, Lamke G,
799 Kumano S, Mahillon J, Manter D, Martinez Y, Ricke D, Svensson R., Jackson PJ. 1999.
800 Sequence and Organization of pXO1, the Large Bacillus anthracis Plasmid Harboring the
801 Anthrax Toxin Genes. *Journal of Bacteriology* **181**:6509–6515. doi:10.1128/JB.181.20.6509-
802 6515.1999

803
804 Parashar V, Jeffrey PD, Neiditch MB. 2013. Conformational Change-Induced Repeat Domain
805 Expansion Regulates Rap Phosphatase Quorum-Sensing Signal Receptors. *PLoS Biol*
806 **11**:e1001512. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001512
807
808 Pollak S, Omer Bendori S, Eldar A. 2015. A complex path for domestication of B. subtilis
809 sociality. *Curr Genet* **61**:493–496. doi:10.1007/s00294-015-0479-9
810
811 Pollak S, Omer-Bendori S, Even-Tov E, Lipsman V, Bareia T, Ben-Zion I, Eldar A. 2016.
812 Facultative cheating supports the coexistence of diverse quorum-sensing alleles. *Proc Natl Acad*
813 *Sci USA* **113**:2152–2157. doi:10.1073/pnas.1520615113
814
815 Popowska M, Krawczyk-Balska A. 2013. Broad-host-range IncP-1 plasmids and their resistance
816 potential. *Front Microbiol* **4**. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00044
817
818 Short FL, Monson RE, Salmond GP. 2015. A Type III protein-RNA toxin-antitoxin system from
819 *Bacillus thuringiensis* promotes plasmid retention during spore development. *RNA Biology*
820 **12**:933–937. doi:10.1080/15476286.2015.1073438
821
822 Singh PK, Ramachandran G, Ramos-Ruiz R, Peiró-Pastor R, Abia D, Wu LJ, Meijer WJJ. 2013.
823 Mobility of the native Bacillus subtilis conjugative plasmid pLS20 is regulated by intercellular
824 signaling. *PLoS Genet* **9**:e1003892. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892
825
826 Siranosian KJ, Grossman AD. 1994. Activation of spo0A transcription by sigma H is necessary
827 for sporulation but not for competence in Bacillus subtilis. *J Bacteriol* **176**:3812–3815.
828
829 Smith P, Schuster M. 2019. Public goods and cheating in microbes. *Current Biology* **29**:R442–
830 R447. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.001
831
832 Sonenshein AL. 2000. Control of sporulation initiation in Bacillus subtilis. *Current Opinion in*
833 *Microbiology* **3**:561–566. doi:10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00141-7
834
835 Sullivan JT, Ronson CW. 1998. Evolution of rhizobia by acquisition of a 500-kb symbiosis
836 island that integrates into a phe-tRNA gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
837 **95**:5145–5149. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.9.5145
838
839 Thomas J, Lee CA, Grossman AD. 2013. A Conserved Helicase Processivity Factor Is Needed
840 for Conjugation and Replication of an Integrative and Conjugative Element. *PLoS Genet* **9**.
841 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003198
842
843 Tokuda Y, Ano T, Shoda M. 1993. Characteristics of plasmid stability in Bacillus subtilis NB22,
844 an antifungal-antibiotic iturin producer. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering* **75**:319–
845 321. doi:10.1016/0922-338X(93)90159-6
846
Treangen TJ, Rocha EPC. 2011. Horizontal Transfer, Not Duplication, Drives the Expansion of
Protein Families in Prokaryotes. *PLoS Genet* **7**. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001284

Turgeon N, Laflamme C, Ho J, Duchaine C. 2008. Evaluation of the plasmid copy number in B.
cereus spores, during germination, bacterial growth and sporulation using real-time PCR.
Plasmid **60**:118–124. doi:10.1016/j.plasmid.2008.05.001

Vlamakis H, Aguilar C, Losick R, Kolter R. 2008. Control of cell fate by the formation of an
architecturally complex bacterial community. *Genes & Development* **22**:945–953.
doi:10.1101/gad.1645008

Vlamakis H, Chai Y, Beauregard P, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. Sticking together: building a
biofilm the Bacillus subtilis way. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **11**:157–168. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2960

Waldor MK, Mekalanos JJ. 1996. Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage encoding
cholera toxin. *Science* **272**:1910–1914. doi:10.1126/science.272.5270.1910

Wozniak RAF, Waldor MK. 2010. Integrative and conjugative elements: mosaic mobile genetic
elements enabling dynamic lateral gene flow. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**:552–563.
doi:10.1038/nrmicro2382