The transcription factor CLAMP is required for neurogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

Maria A. Tsiarli [1, 2, *], Ashley M. Conard [1, 3, 4], Lucy Xu [1], Erica Nguyen[1], Erica N. Larschan [1, *]

[1] Department of Molecular Biology, Cellular Biology and Biochemistry, Brown University, Providence,

RI 02912, USA

[2] Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA Department 02115, USA

[3] Center for Computational and Molecular Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI, 02912;

[4] Computer Science Department, Brown University, Providence, RI, 02912

* corresponding author

Contact information

Maria A. Tsiarli: maria_tsiarli@brown.edu; maria_tsiarli@hms.harvard.edu

Ashley M. Conard: ashley_conard@brown.edu

Lucy Xu: lucy_xu@alumni.brown.edu

Erica Nguyen: erica_nguyen@alumni.brown.edu

Erica N. Larschan: erica_larschan@brown.edu

19
20
21

Abstract

Neural stem cell (NSC) differentiation is controlled by cell-intrinsic and external signals from the stem cell niche including niche surface glia (SG). However, the mechanisms by which transcription factors drive NSC differentiation within the niche remain largely unknown. Here, we show that the transcription factor, Chromatin-linked adaptor for MSL proteins (CLAMP) is required for NSC differentiation. CLAMP promotes transcription of genes involved in stemness, proliferation, and glial development and represses transcription of genes involved in neurogenesis and niche survival. Consistent with transcriptional changes, CLAMP promotes NSC proliferation and SG production. Furthermore, glial-specific knock-down of *clamp* causes similar phenotypes to *clamp* null mutants. CLAMP motifs are present at many target genes including the glial-determining gene, *glial cells missing,* and *Notch,* a key regulator of neurogenesis. Collectively, our results suggest that CLAMP regulates a transcriptional program which drives NSC proliferation and differentiation *via* cell-intrinsic and niche-dependent mechanisms that involve niche glia.

Introduction

Brain development is an extremely complex yet carefully orchestrated process, regulated along spatiotemporal axes. For the formation of the functional brain circuitry to take place, neural stem cells (NSCs) must undergo multiple rounds of divisions and lineage decisions at each step of the process are governed by specific transcription factors (TFs)[1]. In addition to the intrinsic developmental program, external metabolic and paracrine signals constitute another layer of developmental control over NSCs. Specifically, NSCs are part of a specialized microenvironment, the stem cell niche[2], which plays an architectural and nurturing role for the NSCs and their progeny. Moreover, the niche serves as a hub for the relay of metabolic and developmental signals that regulate differentiation of NSCs in order for the proper sequence of developmental events to be maintained[3]. In mammals, the stem cell niche is composed of various cell populations including neurons, glial cells, resident immune cells, the microglia, blood vessels which along with endothelial cells and glial cells form the blood-brain-barrier[4]. In *Drosophila*, the stem cell niche also consists of the NSCs, NSC progeny and glial cells of various types that are vital to the maintenance of the homeostatic balance required for proper neurogenesis to take place[3,5-10]. However, little is understood about the gene regulatory mechanisms that generate the stem cell niche.

In *Drosophila melanogaster* neurogenesis occurs at two distinct stages: the embryonic and post-embryonic stages [11,12]. The *Drosophila* central nervous system (CNS) is composed of the central brain (CB), the optic lobe (OL) and the ventral nerve cord (VNC). During the embryonic stage, CB neural stem cells (neuroblasts in *Drosophila*) proliferate to generate primary neurons until they become quiescent at the end of the embryonic stage. The optic lobe primordium which would form the OL, is generated by epithelial cells of the posterior procephalic region between embryonic stages 11-13[13]. Between stages 12-13 the OL primordium invaginates and attaches at the ventrolateral surface of the brain and embryonic OL neuroblasts (EONs) are formed[14]. EONs proliferate briefly to generate both glial and neuronal progeny and also enter

quiescence [14,15]. Then during the early larval stage, concurrent with the initiation of larval feeding, metabolic

signals impinge on the NSCs and cause exit from quiescence and initiation of the neurogenesis.

Neural stem cells divide asymmetrically, such that in each division they generate a new NSC and a daughter

cell which will generate glial and/or neuronal progeny[16]. For the appropriate asymmetric division to take

place, polarity determinants have to be sequestered on the apical and basal sides of the mother NSC: the

apical complexes are inherited by the new NSC and maintain the proliferating state, whereas basal proteins

are inherited by the daughter cell and will direct it to generate differentiated lineages. The Par protein

complex which is apically localized also directs the localization of the basal determinants. Moreover, Par

complex proteins such as the *Inscuteable* (Insc) and *Partner of Inscuteable (Pins)* regulate the orientation

of the mitotic spindle which determines how the cleavage furrow will form during the division of the NSC

[17,18].

In the *Drosophila* five distinct CNS glial types are identified based on morphological, positioning and

molecular profile criteria: perineurial (PG), subperineurial glia (SPG), cortex glia (CG), astrocyte-like and

ensheathing glia[19]. The first two types of glia constitute the *Drosophila* brain-blood barrier whereas PG,

SPG and CG can also be classified as surface glia because they are closer to the brain surface[15]. Perineural

glia are found on the outside layer of the CNS and serve a nurturing role for the development and function

of the NSCs and also regulate NSC proliferation *via* the secretion of Dally-like heparan sulfate proteoglycan

(Dlp)[5]. Reciprocally, the proliferating NSCs secrete the BMP homologue, Glass bottom boat (Gbb) which

acts to regulate their proliferation but also provides a survival signal to PG. This study also suggests that

PG and NSCs have direct communication *via* direct membrane contact or transcytosis. SPG glia forms a

tight protective layer of pleated septate junction contacts thus providing insulation of the nervous system

from exogenous molecules. With the initiation of larval feeding, SPG and PG contribute to the reactivation

of quiescent NSCs [5,8,20] *via* fat body signaling and induction of insulin secretion from SPG. In conditions

of oxidative stress and hypoxia, SPG and CG form lipid droplets (LDs) which protect NSCs from oxidative

stress [6,21]. Cortex glia are especially interesting because these cells form a bespoke membrane chamber

around diving NSCs and their progeny, thus providing not only protection and bidirectional signaling

between the proliferating NSCs and their niche, but also serving as individual spatial units for each

expanding NSC and its progeny[3]. A special subtype of CG, *mir8*[+] surface-associated CG, controls

neurogenesis in the OL[13,19,22]. Thus, niche glia are important for the function of NSCs. However, the

molecular mechanisms that direct the functional and nurturing relationship between niche glia and NSCs

remain poorly understood.

Here we define a role for the essential CLAMP zinc finger TF[23] in neurogenesis which is partly mediated

by niche glia. *Clamp* was discovered as part of a screen for factors that are necessary for the function of the

dosage compensation machinery in *Drosophila melanogaster* males [24]. In contrast to the MSL complex

components, CLAMP is essential for viability of both males and females and has transcriptional targets on

all chromosomes, albeit with a stronger binding affinity on the X [23,25]. Since its first characterization as a

required link for the MSL complex to bind to the X-chromosome [26,27], CLAMP is emerging as a

multifaceted transcription factor with roles including chromatin organization [28], histone locus function [29]

and regulation of Pol II function [30]. The identification of *Clamp* (CG1832), as a functional interactor of

embryonic lethal abnormal visual system (*elav*) and *erect wings* (*ewg*), critical regulators of embryonic and

post-embryonic neural development, hinted at a neuronal role of CLAMP [31,32]. Therefore, we hypothesized

that CLAMP is a TF which regulates neuronal development and NSC biology.

To test our hypothesis, we investigated the role of CLAMP in nervous system development. We find that

CLAMP is important both for neuronal and glial cell generation and regulates NSC proliferation *via* cell-

intrinsic and niche-dependent mechanisms. We used a CRISPR/Cas9-generated frameshift mutant in the

clamp gene which eliminates the expression of the CLAMP protein [25] to determine that lack of CLAMP

results in defects in brain development. Specifically, *clamp* null mutants have a smaller brain than controls,

with the most prominent defect observed in the optic lobe which is almost entirely lost. Our mRNA-

sequencing data from *clamp* mutant embryos and larval brains followed by temporal gene ontology (GO)

analysis determined that CLAMP positively regulates stemness/NSC proliferation genes and glial-

development genes. Binding motif analysis revealed the CLAMP motifs are present at key neurogenesis

genes such as *bazooka, gbb* and the glial-development regulator, *glial cells missing.* We validated

transcriptomics by using immunostaining to show that *clamp* null mutants exhibit a brain-wide reduction

in proliferating NSCs and surface glia and a profound defect in the development of the OL. Knock-down

of *clamp* in glia but not in neurons, revealed that NSC proliferation and glia generation are regulated in part

via glial *clamp.* Our results support a model in which CLAMP promotes the precise timing of NSC

differentiation by regulating genes which drive intrinsic and niche-glial mechanisms.

Results

CLAMP is ubiquitously expressed throughout the L3 nervous system and is present in proliferating

neuroblasts.

Clamp is maternally deposited and therefore *clamp* transcript and protein levels [29] are present at high levels

during embryogenesis. Near the end of embryogenesis (16-18 hours) during tissue differentiation and the

cessation of the first wave of neurogenesis, *clamp* transcript levels show a reduction followed by a sharp

increase between 20-24 hours and then a decrease throughout the post-embryonic neurogenesis period (Fig.

1a). Tissue-specific expression data from modENCODE Development RNA-Seq Collection[33,34]/Flybase[35]

show that *clamp* is highly expressed in the L3 central nervous system (Fig.1a). To define the role of CLAMP

in neural development, we mapped its expression in the developing L3 nervous system.

Immunofluorescence for CLAMP in L3 larvae revealed that it is ubiquitously expressed in the nucleus

throughout the larval nervous system, both in cells of the brain and the ventral nerve cord (VNC), (Figs.1c-

g and h). Interestingly, a subset of processes in the VNC also show protein localization of CLAMP,

suggesting that it may be transported to neuronal arborizations (Fig. 1c, axonal processes indicated by

arrows). Co-staining with the neural stem cell (NSC) marker Deadpan (Dpn), revealed that CLAMP is

expressed in NSCs (Figs.1i, j). Interestingly, CLAMP maintains its expression in mitotic/proliferating

NSCs, as seen by co-staining of Dpn and PCNA, both in the central brain and the OL (Figs.1i, j). The

distribution of the TF PCNA[36] within the cell nucleus changes during the progression of the cell cycle

allowing its nuclear localization to mark distinct phases of the cell-cycle [37,38]. In this way, we determined

that CLAMP is present in S, G1 and G2-phase cells (Fig.1j).

Lack of CLAMP leads to deficits in larval development

To determine the role of CLAMP in nervous system development, we characterized brain development in

clamp² mutants which we previously generated in our lab *via* the CRISPR/Cas9 approach[25]. Specifically,

in these mutants, a frameshift mutation in the *clamp* gene abolishes expression of CLAMP protein[25] (from

here on referred as *clamp* null). Due to the requirement for CLAMP for the recruitment of the dosage

compensation complex in males [23], the majority of male larvae die at the L2 stage. In contrast, female larvae

die at the late L3/prepupa stage [25]. Because we are interested in the essential role of CLAMP outside of

dosage compensation and due to the small number of male *clamp* null larvae, we only used female larvae

and focused on the L3 stage when larval brains can be dissected. Additionally, we matched control animals

for developmental stage with the *clamp* null larvae (see Materials and methods for details). Comparison of

clamp null L3 larvae to controls revealed that they are significantly smaller in size than matched controls

(Figs.2a and b). Furthermore, macroscopic examination of the central nervous system of *clamp* null larvae

revealed that their brains are significantly smaller compared to controls (Figs.2c and d). Western blotting

for CLAMP in *clamp* null larvae brains verified the absence of CLAMP protein (Fig.2e).

Lack of CLAMP causes defects in brain development

In order to evaluate CNS development in the clamp *null* mutants, for the majority of our experiments we

focused on the L3 stage and specifically the mid/late L3 stage (~120 hours after larval hatching (ALH)),

because the *clamp* mutants die during late L3/early pupa stage. Additionally, to better understand the extent

of neurodevelopmental defects in the *clamp* null animals, we also examined early L3 larvae brains. The

overall morphology of the CNS, as observed with DAPI staining, is different between nulls and controls as

described below (Figs.3a and e). As neural development progresses through the larval stages, the

neuroepithelial (NE) cells of the optic lobe (OL) start to differentiate into neuroblasts (NBs), which will

subsequently divide to give rise to neurons and glia[15]. We observed that the *clamp* null OL is profoundly

reduced in size. The clamp *null* OL neuroepithelia at 120h ALH resemble the 72h ALH control

neuroepithelia (i.e., early L3), indicating a likely arrest of the NE optic lobe cells (Figs. 3a, b, e and f).

Similarly, the *clamp* mutant central brain (CB) of the mid/late L3, has an underdeveloped morphology and

is more similar to the early CB of the control (Figs.3f and a).

Next, we examined NSCs in *clamp* null and control brains. Full z-stacks of the Dpn-positive NSCs both in

the early and mid/late L3 stages (Figs. 3c, d, g and h), revealed that in the *clamp* null NB development both

in the CB and OL, is considerably delayed from the early L3 stage and remains so until the mid/late L3

stage when the animals die. The OL NB population is greatly affected as the Dpn-positive area at the

mid/late L3 stage is much smaller than in controls (Figs 3d and h, dashed outlines). These observations

suggest that the deficits observed in brain formation during the L3 stage of the *clamp* null are may be

initiated at even earlier developmental stages leading to a dramatic defect in OL development. Interestingly,

comparison of early L3 *clamp* heterozygotes (*clamp*^{+/-}) and early L3 *clamp* nulls indicates that a single copy

of the *clamp* gene is sufficient to maintain the overall morphology of the developing OL (Supplementary.

Fig. 1).

Developmental transcriptomic analysis of clamp null mutants reveals a role for CLAMP in nervous

system development

The neurodevelopmental deficits observed in the larval *clamp* null mutant brain suggest that the

developmental action of CLAMP might initiate at an even earlier developmental stage. As a ubiquitously-

expressed TF, CLAMP is present throughout the nervous system from the early embryonic stages due to

the deposition of maternal transcripts into the egg[39] (Fig.1a). Each neurodevelopmental stage is

characterized by specific transcriptomic events which are controlled by specific TF factor networks[1]. Thus,

in order to understand how CLAMP regulates nervous system development on the transcriptomic level, we

performed a developmental RNA-sequencing experiment in the *clamp* null mutant during the following key

developmental stages: A) the late embryonic stage (16-18 hours), a time point where embryonic

neurogenesis pauses[14] and most NBs enter quiescence; and B) larval brains dissected from the mid/late L3

stage during which nervous system development in terms of neuronal and glial cell production are at their

maximum (see Fig.4a)[40]. Additionally, selection of the specific embryonic time point was based on studies

by Haussmann et al. (2008, 2010), where *clamp* was identified as a functional interactor of *elav* and *ewg,*

in 16-18h embryos[32, 31].

GO analysis revealed statistically significant enrichment for developmental/stem cell-related GO

categories, including neural development, at both the embryonic and larval developmental stages (Fig.4a).

Due to the neurodevelopmental defects in the *clamp* null mutant, we then specifically mined our expression

data for differential expression of genes (DEGs) that are implicated in cell cycle attributes, nervous system

development and neural stem cell biology. Additionally, we observed enrichment for GO categories

involving metabolic pathways. Because post-embryonic neurogenesis is largely orchestrated by signals

originating from nutrient availability and insulin signaling[6,41,42], we decided to also mine for metabolism-

related DEGs (Fig.4a).

To evaluate the possibility of direct regulation of DEGs by CLAMP, we determined where CLAMP binding

sites are present using FIMO analysis[43]. Previously characterized CLAMP binding motifs were used for

this analysis[44]. Interestingly, genes that contain putative CLAMP binding sites and are common between

the embryonic and L3 stages include the important proliferation/mitosis regulators *bazooka (baz),*

separation anxiety (*san*), a N-terminal acetyltransferase, required for mitotic chromosome segregation[45],

cyclin dependent kinase 7 (*cdk7*), vital for mitosis[46], *PCNA* and *Notch*; *sobremesa* (*sbm*) an SLC7 amino

acid transporter expressed in glia and regulating brain development *via* ecdysone signaling[41]; and *Negative*

elongation factor E (*nelf-E*) (Fig.4e, Embryo and L3 panel).

Developmental stage-specific genes that contain putative CLAMP binding sites include: A) <u>*Embryo stage*</u>:

anaphase promoting complex subunit 7 (*APC7*)*; pontin (pont)* which is part of the TIP60 complex which

regulates NSC attributes*; aurora A (aurA)* a kinase regulating asymmetric protein localization and thus

required for NSC proliferation[47]*; extra sex combs (esc)*, a component of the PRC2 complex which regulates

NSC proliferation and neural development[48] and *fruitless (fru)*, implicated in the formation of axonal

projections in the embryonic CNS[49] (Fig.4e, Embryo panel). B) <u>*L3 stage*</u>: Even more interesting during the

L3 stage (Fig.4e, L3 panel), CLAMP binding motifs are found in *gcm,* an essential regulator of glial

development*; glass bottom boat* (*gbb*) which regulates both NB proliferation and surface glia survival;

tailless (*tll*), a key regulator of optic lobe development and *anaplastic lymphoma kinase (Alk)* which is

implicated in cell cycle regulation and NSC proliferation; and *Dichaete* (*D*), a TF regulating neuronal fate

in the OL.

Therefore, CLAMP may be exerting direct control on neural development by affecting the transcription of

key players that function in multiple processes including asymmetric cell division, cell cycle and hormone

signaling. CLAMP may be directly regulating genes controlling different aspects of neural development in

different cell types such a glia (*gcm:* gliogenesis) or NSCs/neuroblasts (*gbb:* expressed in NSCs to control

proliferation and SG survival[5]). Therefore, the neurodevelopmental phenotypes observed in the *clamp* null

mutant might result from combinatorial changes in diverse signaling cascades originating from different

cell types.

We then proceeded to analyze the individual datasets which revealed that in the *clamp* null embryo, 5917

genes were differentially regulated (padj ≤ 0.05, Fig.4b and Supplementary Table 1a). Functional analysis

of the DEGs revealed highly statistically-significant enrichment in categories such as cell cycle regulation,

cell cycle checkpoints, mitotic cell cycle phase transition, neurogenesis and nervous system development,

metabolic processes, synaptic development and signaling (Supplementary Table 1b). We focused our

analysis on two major highly enriched GO categories: i). *Cell Cycle Regulation* [GO:0051726, padj: 6.22E-

11] and ii). *Nervous System Development* [GO:0007399, padj: 4.32261E-05], due to the fact we identified

key genes implicated in both categories as putative direct targets of CLAMP. Specifically, these are genes

directly regulating NSC proliferation and division mode: *aurora A (aurA)*, *bazooka* (baz), *pcna* and *notch*

(Fig.4E).

For the <u>*GO:0051726 -Cell Cycle Regulation*</u> category (Supplementary Table 1c), functional analysis of the

participating DEGs revealed specific roles including in G2/M transition of mitotic cell cycle, regulation of

chromosome segregation, cell cycle G1/S phase transition, negative regulation of nervous system

development and of insulin signaling (Fig.4c). These results are in line with CLAMP having direct control

over the expression of genes implicated in these processes including, *sbm (Fig.4E)* which is implicated in

regulation of neurogenesis through hormone signaling.

Expression of *elav,* an RNA-binding protein and master regulator of neural development, was increased

[L2FC: 0.44; padj: 0.045]. *elav* encodes a protein that regulates the expression of *ewg*. Both elav and *ewg*

are critical for nervous system development, and neuronal maturation[50]. Haussmann et al. (2008, 2010)[31,32]

reported that *clamp* along with a group of nine functionally-related genes which includes *groucho,* another

critical developmental regulator[51], are positively regulated by EWG and restrict synaptic growth (Table 1).

Analysis of the Haussman et al. (2008) DEGs in the clamp null embryo mRNA-seq data (16-18 hrs),

revealed that 9 out of 10 DEGs regulated by ewg are also DEGs in the *clamp* null embryos. Furthermore,

the synaptic function-related GO categories were highly enriched in the *clamp* null embryos, as shown for

the functionally-related genes that are regulated by *elav* and *ewg*[31,32]. Therefore, it is possible that a

synergistic relationship between the CLAMP and the ELAV/EWG pathways exists, in which they regulate

each other's expression to assure that brain development occurs normally.

Meta-analysis of the <u>*GO:0007399: Nervous System development*</u> category (Supplementary Table 1d),

revealed strong enrichment in the sub-categories of neurogenesis and central nervous system, visual system

development and glial cell development and differentiation (Fig.4d). Some of the DEGs that are part of the

Nervous System development GO category include: 1) *polo, aPKC, par6, pins, aurA* and *baz* components

of the Par-complex which regulates asymmetric neuroblast divisions; 2) *PCNA, RFC38, dacapo,* and *Reptin*

(part of the Tip60 complex), which participate in the molecular network controlling cell polarity,

asymmetric NSC division and cell fate [52]; 3) *CSN1b, CSN4* and *non-stop* implicated in lamina glia cell

migration and optic lobe development [53]. Importantly, *baz* and *pcna* are both candidate direct targets of

CLAMP as seen by binding motif analysis (Fig.4E), thus its is highly possible that the enrichment in these

categories is the result of direct effects of CLAMP in these key loci.

Furthermore, several DEGs critical for neural development and NSC biology were identified: *Notch* [L2FC:

-0.5, padj: 0.01], *lola* [L2FC: -0.6, padj: 0.004], and components of the Brahma chromatin remodeling

complex such as *moira* [L2FC: -1.019, padj: 6.21E-05] [52,54,55]. Importantly, many DEGs are part of specific

TF-networks that regulate different aspects of neural stem cell renewal identified by Neumuller et al.

(2011): *Su(z)12, Su(var)2-10*, the Hdac1 *Rpd3*, and *lola*. Notch signaling is critical for the balance between

proliferation and differentiation in NSCs and it has been suggested that *lola* can have distinct effects on the

Notch pathway depending on the expressed *lola* isoform[52]. Moreover, Notch controls neuroblast selection

and delamination[56] and is a key regulator of asymmetric divisions throughout the nervous system[57,58].

Additionally, Notch maintains the balance between symmetrically and asymmetrically dividing stem cell

pools in the optic lobe [59]. Importantly, we have shown that *Notch* may be under direct control by CLAMP

(Fig.4E), thus transcriptome changes associated with Notch signaling may be results of the CLAMP-*notch*

interaction.

Categorization of the embryonic DEGs allowed us to identify potential pathways in which CLAMP is likely

to regulate target genes. Overall, lack of CLAMP results in dysregulation of three inter-dependent gene/TF

networks: 1) neurogenesis/gliogenesis; 2) cell cycle/asymmetric division; and 3) neuronal

arborization/synaptogenesis.

Transcriptomic analysis of overlapping embryo and L3 *clamp* null DEGs implicates CLAMP in

regulating embryonic and post-embryonic neurogenesis.

The transition from the embryonic to the post-embryonic stage is accompanied by many physiological

changes with the most notable being the introduction of feeding which brings about a cascade of metabolic

signaling that induces activation of quiescent NSCs and neurogenesis. Comparison of the *clamp* null

embryo and L3 mRNA-seq results revealed that a large number of DEGs were shared amongst the two

stages (2363 DEGs). (Fig.4b). Meta-analysis of the top two GO categories revealed that the participating

genes have roles in nervous system development and neurogenesis, mitotic cell cycle, G protein-coupled

receptor signaling pathway and neurotransmitter biosynthesis suggesting a continuum in the roles that

CLAMP has in neural development pre- and post-embryonically. These results are in line with the fact that

key genes implicated in the above categories are putative direct targets of CLAMP, especially, *baz, pcna*

and *notch* and are consistent with the deficits observed in L3 brain development (Figs. 2 and 3).

The fact that cell cycle-related categories were enriched in our transcriptomic analysis is interesting because

CLAMP maintains its expression in L3 proliferating NSCs and co-localizes with PCNA (Figs.1i andj),

which has critical roles in the cell cycle[38] and is a candidate direct target of CLAMP. The expression of

pcna is downregulated in both developmental stages (*clamp* null embryo L2FC: -1.692 and L3 larva L2FC:

-0.824, Supplementary Tables 1a and 2a) in addition to cell cycle regulators/chromosome dynamics factors

such as *AurB*, *atms, baz, Cdc16,* suggesting that CLAMP regulates cell cycle progression and dynamics

directly (Fig.4E) .

Clamp is maternally deposited and its expression is high during the majority of embryogenesis[29] (Fig.1a).

While the persistence of maternal *clamp* was not specifically evaluated in late embryos, a study from our

lab showed that *clamp* deposited by *clamp* heterozygous mothers persists beyond the embryonic stage and

is present in the histone locus body throughout the development of the larval salivary gland [29]. Thus, it is

possible that a trace amount of maternally deposited *clamp* could still be present at 16-18 hr embryos.

CLAMP regulates genes controlling NSC attributes, glia development and function

The L3 stage encompasses a dramatic shift in the establishment of the nervous system. A plethora of

signaling pathways, including metabolic signals stimulated by larval feeding[60,42,61], are integrated at the L3

stage to allow NSCs to promote maturation of the nervous system. Consistent with this shift in NSC

function, GO analysis of the L3 DEGs (Supplementary Table 2b) revealed an enrichment in several broad

categories including: neurogenesis, mitotic DNA replication, and neuroblast differentiation. We find

changes in many genes critical for nervous system development including those in the following categories:

1) neuroblast attributes; 2) cell cycle dynamics; 3) glial cell development; 4) optic lobe development; 5)

ecdysone & insulin signaling dynamics; 6) lipid metabolism; 7) Clamp-related interactors; 8) Synapse

function & structure.

Next, we classified DEGs as down-regulated or upregulated in the *clamp* null mutant compared with wild

type controls (downregulated vs. upregulated, Tables 2 and 3, respectively). Downregulated genes are genes

that are putatively activated by CLAMP in wild type animals. These genes can be classified into the

following categories: 1) *NSC attributes* (cell-cycle progression, cell polarity, and survival); 2) *control* of

NSC evolution/differentiation; 3) *regulation of gliogenesis*; 4) *optic lobe development-specific*. In contrast,

upregulated genes that are normally repressed by CLAMP are part of the following categories: 1) *lipid*

metabolism/promoting mobilization of lipids; 2) *ecdysone and insulin signaling in glia cells*; 3) *pro-*

arborization/synapse formation and function; 4) *pro-neural/suppression of proliferation*. Based on these

data, we hypothesized that loss of *clamp* leads to deficits in neural stem cell attributes and promotes changes

that lead to the precocious acquisition of a neuronal fate at the expense of gliogenesis. Our expression data

also suggest that loss of CLAMP may cause concomitant activation of lipid metabolism/mobilization as a

compensatory response from the stem cell-niche. Additionally, we observed strong downregulation in genes

orchestrating OL development such as *eya, tll, dac, optix* (See Table 2 and Supplementary Tables 2d), of

which *tll* is a putative direct target of CLAMP (Fig.4E). These results are consistent with the greatly reduced

size of the L3 OL (Fig.3) and suggest a greater sensitivity of OL NSCs to the absence of CLAMP compared

with the rest of the brain.

CLAMP promotes NSC proliferation and prevents precocious neurogenesis

Our developmental transcriptomic analysis lead us to hypothesize that CLAMP regulates neural stem

cell/neuroblast attributes, progeny fate and synaptic circuit formation (axonogenesis, synaptogenesis). For

example, lack of CLAMP leads to a downregulation of genes that regulate neural stem cell stemness and

cell cycle progression both in embryos and larvae (Figs.4c-e). Therefore, we hypothesized that lack of

CLAMP promotes precocious neurogenesis at the expense of glia formation through the L3 stage. To test

our hypothesis that CLAMP regulates neural stem cell proliferation, we evaluated mid-late L3 brains for

proliferating NSCs using the S-phase marker EdU and the NSC marker Dpn. In agreement with our initial

observations (Fig.3), the OL in *clamp* null larvae brains was dramatically reduced in size compared to

controls, and both the CB and OL contained fewer proliferating NSCs (EdU$^+$Dpn$^+$, Figs.5a and c and

Supplementary Figs.2 a, b). Quantification of total proliferating NSCs throughout the CNS yielded a

dramatic ~90% reduction compared to controls (Fig. 5e). Moreover, the total number of proliferating cells

was reduced compared to controls, suggesting a reduced proliferation of stem cells and/or change in cell

cycle dynamics in the absence of CLAMP (EdU+ Fig.5e).

Genes encoded by many pro-neural TFs are upregulated in the absence of CLAMP (Table 3), in addition

to TFs that promote cell cycle regulation in proliferating stem cells. Specifically, in the L3 *clamp* null brain

the *Dpn* transcript, which regulates NSC proliferation, was significantly reduced (Table 2; L2FC: -0.665,

padj: 0.00245). Reduction in *Dpn* transcript levels correlates with the reduced numbers of proliferating

NSCs in the *clamp* null and suggests that neuron production may be altered in the absence of *clamp*. The

TF Prospero (Pros), acts as a master regulator driving the decision of stem cells to either self-renew or

differentiate[62], while nuclear presence of Pros signals the initiation of neuronal differentiation[62,63]. Thus, to

evaluate changes in neuronal production in the *clamp* null brain we performed Pros staining. We found

that the *clamp* null brain contained more clusters of nuclear Pros than matched controls, thus indicating a

rapid change in NSC cell fate attributes[64] (Figs.5b and d, arrows indicate Pros+ clusters). Interestingly,

while the total number of NSCs in the *clamp* null brain was reduced by approximately 70% (Dpn$^+$, Fig.5f),

Pros+ cell numbers were increased by approximately 70% (Pros$^+$, Fig.5f), indicating that stem cells are

acquiring a precocious neuronal fate. The reduction in NSCs is particularly evident in the OL, where very

few Dpn+ cells were observed and instead many Pros+ cells were present (Figs.5a and c- dashed lines and

outlines in Figs.5b and d indicate the OL). Pros, acts a transcriptional repressor of self-renewal genes and

induces differentiation[47]. In the *clamp* null brains, a significantly lower number of cycling stem cells have

nuclear Pros (Pros+EdU+, Sup.Fig.2c), suggesting that in the absence of CLAMP, neural stem cells either

undergo fewer cell division cycles and/or exit the cell cycle to acquire a precocious differentiated fate.

To further evaluate changes in the neuronal pool we performed Elav staining to label neurons[65] which revealed that *clamp* null brains contained a higher density of Elav[+] clusters compared to controls (Figs.5g and h). However, even though the overall Elav+ cluster density increased the Elav[+] zone in the OL was reduced compared to controls (Figs. 5g and h, yellow outlines). Immunostaining with the apoptotic marker Dcp1 revealed increased and specific presence of Dcp1^{+} nuclei in the OL in *clamp* nulls, compared to the controls which instead had more widespread apoptosis (Figs. 5i and j). Moreover, in more dorsal optical sections big Dcp1+ clusters were visible in the medulla and lamina area (Supplementary Figs.3a and b). These results suggest that in the absence of CLAMP a pro-differentiation pathway is activated in stem cells at the expense of their self-renewal which especially impacts the OL.

Lack of CLAMP results in reduction in number of surface glia and increased lipid droplet concentration

OL development is highly dependent on glia-neuron interactions because glia form a supportive niche for neural stem cells to function[13,66]. Our transcriptomic analysis supports the hypothesis that lack of CLAMP promotes neuronal differentiation at the expense of gliogenesis from the late embryo stage. Furthermore, the TF master regulator of gliogenesis, *gcm*, is a putative CLAMP target (Fig.4e).

Therefore, the defects observed in OL development of the L3 *clamp* null are likely to be the combinatorial result of deficits in glia formation and precocious neurogenesis. It was recently shown that surface glia (SG) are required for NB proliferation[67] using two different mechcanisms: 1) SG regulate NSC proliferation *via* the secretion of the proteoglycan Dally-like, (Dlp)[5]; 2) Stem cells secrete the BMP-ligand Glass bottom boat (Gbb) which regulates both their proliferation and survival. Furthermore, *gbb* is a putative direct transcriptional target for CLAMP (Fig.4e) because it contains CLAMP binding sites and is downregulated in the *clamp* null L3 brains (Supplementary Table 2d). Also, we observed enrichment of the BMP-signaling GO category in the *clamp* null (Fig.4d). Therefore, it is also possible that the effects we see on neural development in the *clamp* null brains are the result of changes in the dynamics between SG and NSCs. To

investigate this possibility, we used the glia-specific marker Repo to mark glial cells and we counted SG in

L3 brains (Ref Avet-Rochex) [40]. This approach revealed that the SG number in the *clamp* null was reduced

by ~25 % compared to the control (Figs.6 a-d). In addition to changes in SG, we observed that the

distribution and numbers of glia throughout the brain and especially in the OL exhibited a different

morphology within the lobula plug (Supplementary Figs. 3c-f). Overall, these data suggest that CLAMP

specifically regulates gliogenesis and glia distribution especially in the OL.

To determine if the effect on SG numbers was due to the lack of CLAMP in glia specifically, we used the

GAL4-UAS system to induce *clamp*-RNAi knock down (KD) using the glia-specific driver, *repo-GAL4*[68].

Interestingly, we find that in the *repo>clamp RNAi* brains, the number of SG was reduced to a similar extent

as in the *clamp* nulls (Repo+, Figs. 6a-d). To determine whether glia-specific or neuronal-specific *clamp*

depletion has differential effects on the proliferation (EdU+) and the number of NSCs (Dpn+ cells), we also

performed neuronal *clamp* KD by crossing to an *elav-GAL4* fly line[69]. Comparison with the data we already

obtained from the *clamp* null and control brains (see Figs.5e and f) revealed that *clamp* KD in neuronal

lineages did not result in significant changes either in EdU+ or Dpn+ cells, glia-specific *clamp* KD caused

a reduction in the number of total proliferating cells (EdU+). Therefore, glial CLAMP regulates

proliferation of NSCs likely *via* both cell autonomous mechanisms (e.g., reduction in Dpn+ gliogenic

NSCs) and *via* non-cell autonomous mechanisms (regulation of proliferation by niche glia).

Lack of CLAMP causes an imbalance in NSC niche homeostasis

One mechanism by which the NSC niche protects the stem cells from environmental stressors like hypoxia

and oxidative stress is by the mobilization of polyunsaturated fatty acids away from lipid membranes and

into lipid droplets (LDs) formed by cortex and subperineural glia cells (SPG). The GO analysis of the L3

clamp null transcriptomic data (Supplementary Table 2b) showed that the category metabolic process

(GO:0008152) was amongst the most enriched, and genes implicated in lipid metabolism were significantly

upregulated (Sup.Table 2c). Additionally, both *sbm* and *wunen (wun),* genes regulating neural development

via hormone signaling and regulation of lipid levels respectively, are both putative direct targets of CLAMP

(Fig.4E) which are upregulated in the L3 *clamp* null brains (Table 3). Therefore, we hypothesized that in

the absence of CLAMP, the niche lipid droplet formation mechanism is activated.

To test this hypothesis, we quantified LDs following staining with the neutral lipid-specific dye LipidTOX.

We found that the concentration of LDs was increased by 50% in the *clamp* null brain compared to controls

(Figs.6j-p). Also, the distribution of LDs in the *clamp* null brain differed compared to control brains.

Interestingly, large LD formations were observed surrounding dividing NBs in the *clamp* null more often

than in the control brain (Figs.6l and m and Supplementary.Fig.4). Collectively these results suggest that

despite the lack of CLAMP causing a reduction in LD-producing SG, the remaining SG cells undergo lipid

mobilization and increase LD formation, perhaps in an attempt to save the remaining proliferating NSCs.

In recent years, LD biology and function has been gaining traction in the context of both basic biology and

disease because LDs have proven to be critical and plastic organelles that actively participate in various

cellular functions[70]. An intriguing observation is the function of LDs as a storage location for free histones,

which in turn affects mitotic chromosome structure. Specifically, interactions between the LD-surface-

located Invadolysin and the SAGA chromatin modifying complex regulate chromosome structure[71-73]. The

GO analysis of the L3 stage transcriptomic data indicated a deficit in the neuroblast division mechanism

and in chromosomal organization (See Supplementary Table 2b and Table 2). Additionally, critical

regulators of asymmetric NSC division and mitotic spindle orientation were significantly down-regulated

in the *clamp* null brain (see Table 2) and some were identified as putative CLAMP targets both at the

embryo and L3 stage (Fig.4e).

Based on the connection between LDs and chromosome behavior and the results from our *clamp* null L3

RNA-seq, we decided to check for morphological differences in mitotic neuroblast chromosomes from

clamp null brains. Interestingly in mitotic chromosome preparations from *clamp* null brains, we observed

hyper-condensed, "snowflake-like" chromosome formations in contrast to the elongated and well separated

chromosomes observed in in control preparations (Figs.7a-d, yellow outlines). Occasionally, in the *clamp*

null preparations we would observe chromosomes with normal morphology, but this was less common

(Fig.7d, magenta outline). Co-staining with the differentiation marker Pros, also revealed the presence of

more nuclei positive for Pros in contrast to controls (Fig.7a and b,) supporting the observations reported in

Fig.5 (whole brain images) in which we observed precocious neuronal differentiation in the absence of

CLAMP. Moreover, in the null preparations we see fewer cells expressing Dachshund (Dac) which

regulates OL development (Figs.7c and d) and an overall decrease in cell size compared to controls.

In summary, these results suggest that lack of CLAMP affects neurogenesis at multiple levels, from

impacting mitotic chromosomal structure to affecting the neural stem cell niche homeostasis which leads

to precocious differentiation of neural stem cells.

Discussion

The understanding of the molecular and transcriptional mechanisms by which neural stem cells function to

ultimately build the brain is critical both to understand how brains develop. Signaling relays originating

from the stem niche play an instructive role in the neurogenetic process and highlight the fact that the

environmental context acts as an additional layer in addition to intrinsic developmental signals[2,3]. In this

study, we have defined a new role for the essential transcription factor, CLAMP, in nervous system

development and NSC-niche interactions. Specifically, we show that CLAMP is important both for

neuronal and glial cell generation and regulates NSC function *via* cell-intrinsic and NSC niche-dependent

mechanisms that implicate niche glia.

Neural development and NSC function are intricately linked to niche glia and depend on support and

nutrition from surface glia *via* Gbb-Dlp signaling, which sustains NSC proliferation and survival but also

ensures the survival of surface glia[5]. Thus, there is an interdependency between NSCs and niche glia,

ensuring overall homeostasis in the stem cell niche. In *clamp* null and *repo>clamp* RNAi larvae brains,

surface glia numbers are reduced to the same extent indicating that lack of glial CLAMP affects gliogenesis

(Fig.6d). Furthermore, the glial master gene, *gcm,* contains CLAMP binding motifs, indicating that CLAMP

is likely to directly regulate gliogenesis (Fig.4e).

In the post-embryonic nervous system, *gcm* and *gcm2* are expressed in OL NSCs destined both for glia and

neuron generation which they regulate *via* downstream mechanisms involving Dachshund (Dac)[79], a gene

whose expression is reduced in the *clamp* null (Table 2 and Fig.7d). Moreover, both *gcm* and *gcm2*

transcript levels were greatly reduced in the *clamp* null L3 brain and *gcm* contains CLAMP binding sites

(Fig.4e). The OL contains a special population of NSCs which generate both glia and neurons (Lawfs),

from a common progenitor population under the control of Notch signaling[80]. Moreover, Notch is a key

regulator which maintains the balance between symmetric/self-renewing and asymmetric NSCs divisions;

loss of Notch function promotes precocious differentiation and premature neurogenesis [59]. In the absence

of CLAMP, *Notch* is at least two-fold downregulated both in the embryonic and L3 stages (Supplementary

Table 1a and Table 2), and we determined that Notch has a nearby CLAMP binding site (Fig.4e). Overall,

our data support a model in which CLAMP directs *Notch* and *gcm* signaling to regulate NSC proliferation

and differentiation.

The formation of the OL was profoundly impaired in the *clamp* null, a phenotype accompanied by reduction

of EdU$^+$ NSCs (Figs.5a-d). We also observed increased numbers of Pros$^+$ cells in the null OL (Figs. 5b and

d, outlined area) and throughout the brain, consistent with lack of CLAMP promoting a neurogenic over a

gliogenic fate. While glial *clamp* KD results in a partial reduction in the number of proliferating NSCs

(EdU$^+$, Fig.6e), it does not affect the number of Dpn$^+$ NSCs/neuroblasts. These results suggest an effect on

the Dpn[-] progeny of the NSCs such as the ganglion mother cells (GMCs). Also, it is possible that there are

changes in the cell cycle kinetics that cause fewer NSCs to be actively proliferating. This possibility is

consistent with the GO analysis which identified genes involved in cell cycle kinetics/transitions (Fig4.c).

Moreover, CLAMP may have a regional role in OL neurogenesis because *tll* is a candidate direct target for

CLAMP (Fig.4) and other transcription factors critical for OL neurogenesis such as *eya, dac* and *optix* are

downregulated (see Table 2).

Under conditions of hypoxia and oxidative stress, niche glia form lipid droplets in order to reduce reactive

oxygen species and inhibit oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids that will damage both the NSCs and

glia[6]. In the *Drosophila* larval brain lipid droplets are formed exclusively by glial cells and not neurons,

and specifically by subperineural and superficial cortex glial cells, with the latter most enriched [21]. Cortex

glia are a very unique glial population. At the initiation of postembryonic neurogenesis, cortex glia ensheath

each dividing neuroblast and their membrane acquires the appropriate morphology to accommodate the

proliferating neuroblast and its progeny. Thus, these cells provide spatial organization for each NSC

progeny cell, while at the same time nurturing and protecting NSCs [5,21] . In the absence of CLAMP, there

is a marked increase in the levels of brain LDs (Figs.6j-p) concomitant with the changes in NSC and surface

glia numbers.

Thus, loss of CLAMP could be triggering a compensatory mechanism in glia through increase of lipid

formation and/or abnormal lipid storage in glia based on our transcriptomics which showed enrichment for

genes and GO categories implicated in insulin signaling, lipid mobilization and metabolism (Table 3 and

Fig.4). Moreover, *wunen (wun)* a membrane-localized lipid phosphate phosphatase which regulates the

levels of bioactive lipids and is expressed in SPG[81,82] is a putative direct target of CLAMP (Fig.4e) which

is upregulated in *clamp* nulls (Table 3). Thus, it is also possible that lack of CLAMP results in abnormal

accumulation of LDs in surface glia through *wun* regulation. In the *clamp* null L3 brain there is also a

dramatic upregulation in the levels of the glial-derived *dilp6*. dIlps- insulin-like peptides are released by

blood–brain barrier glial cells and act *via* the insulin/insulin-like growth factor pathway. Specifically dIlp6

activates the PI3K/Akt pathway in NSCs to promote their reactivation[8,60]. We noted an enrichment of

differentially expressed genes which were part of the insulin signaling pathway (Fig.4c) such as *dIlp6* and

upregulation and reduction in transcription of genes regulating cell cycle progression. Therefore, that lack

of CLAMP results in impairment of NSC progression through the cell cycle/exit from quiescence, and thus

stimulates a compensatory response from the NSC niche to overcome it.

Interestingly, we observed that large LDs are more often found around dividing neuroblasts in the *clamp*

null brains (Figs.6l and m; Supplementary Fig.4). Also, NSCs lacking CLAMP show abnormal

chromosomal behavior in larval mitotic chromosome preparations (Fig.7). During neuroblast divisions, the

axis of polarity is regulated by intrinsic and external cues from the daughter GMC which induces

polarization of the dividing NSC in order to orient its axis of polarity and its division plane[83]. Loss of the

proper orientation reduces the contact surface area with cortex glia and thus renders the proliferating NSC

more sensitive to stress. Lack of CLAMP results in downregulation of the mitotic polarization determinants

including *baz,* which is putatively under CLAMPs transcriptional control (Fig.4e). Therefore, the axis of

polarity may be disrupted in *clamp* nulls which reduces the area of contact between cortex glia ensheathing

the NSC. In budding yeast, the storage of excess lipids in LDs during anaphase is critical for proper

cytokinesis and cell division and disruption of this process leads to defects in cell separation[84]. Furthermore,

accumulation of LDs was shown to be important for the progression of the cell cycle and proliferation in

human cancer cells[85]. Therefore, it is possible that the formation of large LDs around diving neuroblasts in

the *clamp* null is yet another compensatory mechanism that niche glia uses to ensure proper division of

NSC.

We also observed that the *foxO* gene (Forkhead box, class O) is part of many of the cell cycle sub-categories

that we identified from GO analysis, including the *insulin signaling category*, which is a known target of

FOXO [74]. FOXO belongs to a family of highly dynamic and conserved transcription factors and regulates

gene expression during processes such as apoptosis, cell-cycle transitions including negative regulation of

G1/S and G2/M [75], both of which are highly enriched in our GO analysis. Overexpression of FOXO during

larval development results in reduction both in cell size and number in the developing eye [74]. In addition, it

was shown that FOXO affects the temporal sequence and cell fate specification in a subset of olfactory

neurons in *Drosophila* [76]. Interestingly, in the *clamp* null embryo the *foxO* transcript is upregulated (L2FC:

0.46846, padj:0.000283, Supplementary Table 1a). Moreover, glia-derived DILP6 activates the Insulin

Receptor (InR) on cholinergic neurons and induces Jeb activation which acts downstream to regulate FoxO

activity in Insulin Producing Cells (IPCs) [68,69]. Therefore, it is possible that some of the phenotypic effects

that we observed in the *clamp* null mutant are related to dysregulation of *foxO* gene expression [74,76].

Glial cells can arise from different mechanisms, either from dedicated glioblasts or from NBs that have

both glial and neuronal potential[86-89]. Our results indicate that CLAMP is important both for maintaining

the proliferation of NSCs by acting in a cell-type specific manner (i.e, glia vs. NSCs) and in a cell-intrinsic

mechanism that implicates regulation of chromosomal behavior and fate determinants such as *gcm* and

Notch. In addition, CLAMP acts *via* niche-dependent mechanisms that implicate surface glia, Dilp6/insulin

signaling and lipid droplet formation. Overall, here we have shown that CLAMP is an important regulator

of NSC differentiation and of the stem cell niche.

Materials and Methods

Fly Strains

Fly stocks used in this study were as follows: y^1w^1 (maintained in the Larschan lab); *clamp* null and *clamp*

rescue lines, both of which have been generated by Urban et al.(2017) and described therein [25]. Briefly, the

clamp null, is a CRISPR-Cas9 generated frameshift mutation which abolishes CLAMP protein expression,

whereas, the *clamp* rescue was generated by introduction of a full *clamp* rescue construct on chromosome

3L. For the RNAi experiments the following lines were used: *repo-GAL4*, *elav^{c155}* (*elav-GAL4*) and UAS-

clamp-RNAi, all obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. All crosses were done at 25ºC and a 12-hour

light/dark cycle.

Immunostaining

The following antibodies were used: Rabbit anti-CLAMP (1:200, Abcam custom made), mouse anti-Repo

(1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) 8D12), rabbit anti-DCP1 (1:100, Cell Signaling),

rat anti-Deadpan (Dpn, 1:100, Abcam), rat anti-Elav (1:50, DSHB), mouse anti-Prospero (Pros, 1:30,

DSHB), mouse anti-Dachshund (Dac, 1:40, DSHB), PCNA (PC10, Abcam), Nc82 (1:10, DSHB).

Secondary antibodies used were Alexa Fluor Conjugated A405, A488, A568, A647 (1:1000, Invitrogen).

A standard staining protocol was used.

Quantification of glia

To quantify superficial glia, 3-μm projections (z-projections of three 1-μm optical sections) of the dorsal

surface of third instar larval brain hemispheres stained for Repo were used and quantified manually using

Adobe Photoshop. Six hemispheres were quantified for each genotype.

Image acquisition and processing

Fluorescent images were acquired using an inverted Olympus FLUOVIEW FV1000 confocal laser

scanning microscope. Adobe Photoshop was used to adjust brightness and contrast in images and ImageJ

(or Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012)) was used to analyzed images while Adobe Illustrator was used to compile

figures.

EdU Incorporation

EdU incorporation in proliferative brain cells, was performed using Click-It Edu Alexa Fluor A488

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) in an adaptation of Tastan and Liu (2015)[90] method. Briefly, samples

were dissected in ice-cold Graces media and then transferred in Graces media containing 5μg/ml of EdU,

for 2 hours at room temperature. Then, samples were washed in 0.1% PBST and fixed in 4% PFA in 0.1%

PBST, for 20 min at room temperature. Following, tissues were washed in 5% HINGS in 0.1 % PBST and

then permealized in 0.5% PBST for 20 min (RT). After this step, the EdU Click It steps were followed

according to the manufacturer's instructions and then additional antibody staining was followed as

described above.

Brain Lipid Staining

Neutral brain lipids were stained using the LipidTOX dye as described in Bailey et al. (2015) [6]. Briefly,

tissue was dissected in ice-cold PBS, and fixed overnight in 2% PFA in phosphate buffer with lysine at

room temperature. Following PBS washes, the samples were permealized in 0.2% PBST, and stained with

DAPI. Then followed LipidTOX Red (1:1000) staining with overnight incubation. Following PBS washes,

samples were mounted in ProLong Gold for imaging.

 Larval Staging

Third Instar larvae crawling on the vial walls were selected to be mid/late stage using the bromophenol blue

method adjusted from Maroni and Stamey (1983) [91], using 0.05% w/v bromophenol blue-containing fly

food. To control for variations in brain size due to crowding, experiments were done under controlled

density conditions. Specifically, a set number of mated males and females were allowed to mate for 5 hours

and then removed from the vial. Additionally, the progeny number was evaluated to validate that we did

not have large deviations between control and *clamp* mutant larvae numbers.

RNA extraction and RNA sequencing

Mid/late third instar larvae were staged using the bromophenol blue method and larval brains were dissected

in ice-cold PBS, collected in TRIzol until RNA extraction was performed using the phenol-chloroform

method. Each biological replicate was generated by pooling at least 12 larval brains. mRNA-focused

sequencing libraries were constructed from total RNA using the Illumina Truseq RNA Library kit v2

according to the manufacturer's instructions (no strand-information). Paired-end 100 bp or 50 bp was

performed on an Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencer in High-Output mode at the MGH NextGen Sequencing

Core. Four biological samples were used per experimental condition.

RNA sequencing data analysis and Differential Gene Expression Analysis

RNA-seq reads were processed using FastQC[92] with default parameters to check the quality of raw sequence

data and filter out sequences flagged for poor quality, and aligned using HISAT2[93] . Then read counts per

gene were generated via HTSeq[94] using the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome dm6 (BDGP6) genome as

reference. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using DESeq2[95]. *gProfiler* was used for GO

analysis [96]. Using TIMEOR[97] the temporally differentially expressed genes where displayed in   interactive

expression clustermaps along the developmental time scale (see Supplementary files: *Embryo_heatmap* and

L3_brain_heatmap), from which additional, GO analysis was performed by TIMEOR *via* clusterProfiler[98]

to evaluate for possible gene networks.

Clamp Binding motifs

We used FIMO[43] to identify individual CLAMP motif occurrences within ±1KB from the transcription

start and end sites for all genes within each gene trajectory cluster. The position weight matrix used[44]

highlights the CLAMP motif independently of GAF binding potential. The specific command used is: *(fimo*

--oc $OUTPUT_DIR/ CLAMP_PWM.txt $geneList)

Western Blotting

Larval brains were dissected from wandering third instar larvae in ice-cold 0.7% saline and transferred in

PBS + 0.3% Triton X-100 on ice. Liquid was carefully removed, then samples were frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. Approximately ten brains were collected per biological replicate.

For protein extraction, 50 µL of ice-cold RIPA buffer (150 µL1M Tris-HCl pH 8, 12 µL 0.5M EDTA, 0.003

g sodium deoxycholate, 15 µL 20% SDS, 90 µL 5M NaCl, 30 µL 50x protease inhibitor, and 2700 µL

water) was added to each sample. Samples were homogenized using an electric handheld homogenizer and

disposable plastic pestle. After homogenization, the pestle was rinsed with an additional 50 µL of RIPA for

a total volume of 100 µL per tube. Samples were rotated at 4°C for 1-2 hours. Protein concentration was

quantified using the Qubit Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Statistical Analysis

Excel and GraphPad Prism were used for statistical analysis. Bar graphs were generated using the mean

and standard error of the mean (SEM) for each sample. Statistical significance was determined using an

unpaired Student's t-test.

Materials & Correspondence

Data and materials generated in this study are available upon request from the corresponding authors.

Transcriptomic data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Database, under the

accession number GSE148704.

735   REFERENCES
736
1   Konstantinides, N. *et al.* Phenotypic convergence: distinct transcription factors regulate common

terminal features. *Cell* **174**, 622-635. e613 (2018).

2   Scadden, D. T. The stem-cell niche as an entity of action. *Nature* **441**, 1075-1079 (2006).

3   Speder, P. & Brand, A. H. Systemic and local cues drive neural stem cell niche remodelling during

neurogenesis in Drosophila. *Elife* **7**, e30413 (2018).

4   Dani, N. *et al.* A cellular and spatial map of the choroid plexus across brain ventricles and ages.

BioRxiv, 627539 (2019).

5   Kanai, M. I. *et al.* Regulation of neuroblast proliferation by surface glia in the Drosophila larval

brain. *Scientific reports* **8**, 1-15 (2018).

6   Bailey, Andrew P. *et al.* Antioxidant Role for Lipid Droplets in a Stem Cell Niche of Drosophila.

Cell **163**, 340-353, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.020 (2015).

7   Yuan, X., Sipe, C. W., Suzawa, M., Bland, M. L. & Siegrist, S. E. Dilp-2–mediated PI3-kinase
activation coordinates reactivation of quiescent neuroblasts with growth of their glial stem cell
niche. *Plos Biology* **18**, e3000721 (2020).

8   Spéder, P. & Brand, A. H. Gap junction proteins in the blood-brain barrier control nutrient-
dependent reactivation of Drosophila neural stem cells. *Dev Cell* **30**, 309-321 (2014).

9   Ramon-Cañellas, P., Peterson, H. P. & Morante, J. From early to late neurogenesis: Neural
progenitors and the glial niche from a fly's point of view. *Neuroscience* **399**, 39-52 (2019).

10   Hindle, S. J. & Bainton, R. J. Barrier mechanisms in the Drosophila blood-brain barrier. *Frontiers*
in neuroscience **8**, 414 (2014).

11   Hartenstein, V. & Campos-Ortega, J. A. Early neurogenesis in wild-typeDrosophila melanogaster.
Wilhelm Roux's archives of developmental biology **193**, 308-325 (1984).

12   Prokop, A. & Technau, G. M. The origin of postembryonic neuroblasts in the ventral nerve cord of
Drosophila melanogaster. *Development* **111**, 79-88 (1991).

13   Ramon-Cañellas, P., Peterson, H. P. & Morante, J. From early to late neurogenesis: Neural
progenitors and the glial niche from a fly's point of view. *Neuroscience* (2018).

14   Hakes, A. E., Otsuki, L. & Brand, A. H. A newly discovered neural stem cell population is
generated by the optic lobe neuroepithelium during embryogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*.
Development **145**, dev166207, doi:10.1242/dev.166207 (2018).

15   Contreras, E. G., Sierralta, J. A. & Oliva, C. Novel strategies for the generation of neuronal
diversity: lessons from the fly visual system. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* **12**, 140 (2019).

16   Egger, B., Chell, J. M. & Brand, A. H. Insights into neural stem cell biology from flies.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **363**, 39-56 (2008).

17   Gallaud, E., Pham, T. & Cabernard, C. in *Asymmetric Cell Division in Development, Differentiation*
and Cancer   183-210 (Springer, 2017).

18   Crews, S. T. Drosophila Embryonic CNS Development: Neurogenesis, Gliogenesis, Cell Fate, and
Differentiation. *Genetics* **213**, 1111-1144, doi:10.1534/genetics.119.300974 (2019).

19 Yildirim, K., Petri, J., Kottmeier, R. & Klämbt, C. Drosophila glia: Few cell types and many

conserved functions. *Glia* **67**, 5-26 (2019).

20 Sousa-Nunes, R., Yee, L. L. & Gould, A. P. Fat cells reactivate quiescent neuroblasts via TOR and

glial insulin relays in Drosophila. *Nature* **471**, 508-512 (2011).

21 Kis, V., Barti, B., Lippai, M. & Sass, M. Specialized cortex glial cells accumulate lipid droplets in

Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS One* **10**, e0131250 (2015).

22 Morante, J., Vallejo, D. M., Desplan, C. & Dominguez, M. Conserved miR-8/miR-200 defines a

glial niche that controls neuroepithelial expansion and neuroblast transition. *Dev Cell* **27**, 174-187

(2013).

23 Soruco, M. M. *et al.* The CLAMP protein links the MSL complex to the X chromosome during

Drosophila dosage compensation. *Genes Dev* **27**, 1551-1556, doi:10.1101/gad.214585.113 (2013).

24 Larschan, E. *et al.* Identification of chromatin-associated regulators of MSL complex targeting in

Drosophila dosage compensation. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002830, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002830

(2012).

25 Urban, J. A., Doherty, C. A, Jordan, W. T, Bliss, J. E, Feng, J., Soruco, M. M, Rieder, L. E, Tsiarli,

M. A, Larschan, E. N. The essential Drosophila CLAMP protein differentially regulates non-coding

roX RNAs in male and females. *Chromosome Research* **25**, 101-113 (2017).

26 Soruco, M. M. *et al.* The CLAMP protein links the MSL complex to the X chromosome during

Drosophila dosage compensation. *Genes & development* **27**, 1551-1556 (2013).

27 Tikhonova, E. *et al.* The simultaneous interaction of MSL2 with CLAMP and DNA provides

redundancy in the initiation of dosage compensation in Drosophila males. *Development* **146**,

dev179663 (2019).

28 Kaye, E. G. *et al.* Drosophila dosage compensation loci associate with a boundary-forming

insulator complex. *Molecular and cellular biology* **37**, e00253-00217 (2017).

29 Rieder, L. E. *et al.* Histone locus regulation by the Drosophila dosage compensation adaptor protein

CLAMP. *Genes & development* **31**, 1494-1508 (2017).

30   Urban, J. *et al.* Enhanced chromatin accessibility of the dosage compensated Drosophila male X-

chromosome requires the CLAMP zinc finger protein. *PloS one* **12**, e0186855 (2017).

31   Haussmann, I. U., White, K. & Soller, M. Erect wing regulates synaptic growth in Drosophila by

integration of multiple signaling pathways. *Genome biology* **9**, R73 (2008).

32   Haussmann, I. U. & Soller, M. Differential activity of EWG transcription factor isoforms identifies

a subset of differentially regulated genes important for synaptic growth regulation. *Dev Biol* **348**,

224-230, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.09.006 (2010).

33   Brown, J. B. *et al.* Diversity and dynamics of the Drosophila transcriptome. *Nature* **512**, 393-399

(2014).

34   Graveley, B. *et al.* The D. melanogaster transcriptome: modENCODE RNA-Seq data for dissected

tissues. *Retrieved from website: http://www. modencode. org/Celniker. shtml* (2011).

35   Thurmond, J. *et al.* FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. *Nucleic acids research* **47**, D759-D765

(2019).

36   Schonenberger, F., Deutzmann, A., Ferrando-May, E. & Merhof, D. Discrimination of cell cycle

phases in PCNA-immunolabeled cells. *BMC Bioinformatics* **16**, 180, doi:10.1186/s12859-015-

0618-9 (2015).

37   Zerjatke, T. *et al.* Quantitative cell cycle analysis based on an endogenous all-in-one reporter for

cell tracking and classification. *Cell reports* **19**, 1953-1966 (2017).

38   Kurki, P., Vanderlaan, M., Dolbeare, F., Gray, J. & Tan, E. Expression of proliferating cell nuclear

antigen (PCNA)/cyclin during the cell cycle. *Experimental cell research* **166**, 209-219 (1986).

39   Rieder, L. E., Jordan, W. T. & Larschan, E. N. Targeting of the Dosage-Compensated Male X-

Chromosome during Early Drosophila Development. *Cell Reports* **29**, 4268-4275.e4262,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.095 (2019).

40   Avet-Rochex, A., Kaul, A. K., Gatt, A. P., McNeill, H. & Bateman, J. M. Concerted control of

gliogenesis by InR/TOR and FGF signalling in the Drosophila post-embryonic brain. *Development*

139, 2763-2772, doi:10.1242/dev.074179 (2012).

41   Galagovsky, D. *et al.* Sobremesa L-type amino acid transporter expressed in glia is essential for

827   proper timing of development and brain growth. *Cell reports* **24**, 3156-3166. e3154 (2018).

828   42   Britton, J. S. & Edgar, B. A. Environmental control of the cell cycle in Drosophila: nutrition

829   activates mitotic and endoreplicative cells by distinct mechanisms. *Development* **125**, 2149-2158

830   (1998).

831   43   Grant, C. E., Bailey, T. L. & Noble, W. S. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif.

832   *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1017-1018 (2011).

833   44   Kaye, E. G. *et al.* Differential occupancy of two GA-binding proteins promotes targeting of the

834   drosophila dosage compensation complex to the male X chromosome. *Cell reports* **22**, 3227-3239

835   (2018).

836   45   Ribeiro, A. L. *et al.* Naa50/San-dependent N-terminal acetylation of Scc1 is potentially important

837   for sister chromatid cohesion. *Scientific reports* **6**, 1-16 (2016).

838   46   Larochelle, S., Pandur, J., Fisher, R. P., Salz, H. K. & Suter, B. Cdk7 is essential for mitosis and

839   for in vivo Cdk-activating kinase activity. *Genes & development* **12**, 370-381 (1998).

840   47   Lee, C.-Y. *et al.* Drosophila Aurora-A kinase inhibits neuroblast self-renewal by regulating

841   aPKC/Numb cortical polarity and spindle orientation. *Genes & development* **20**, 3464-3474 (2006).

842   48   Curt, J. R., Salmani, B. Y. & Thor, S. Anterior CNS expansion driven by brain transcription factors.

843   *Elife* **8**, e45274 (2019).

844   49   Song, H.-J. *et al.* The fruitless gene is required for the proper formation of axonal tracts in the

845   embryonic central nervous system of Drosophila. *Genetics* **162**, 1703-1724 (2002).

846   50   Zaharieva, E., Haussmann, I. U., Brauer, U. & Soller, M. Concentration and Localization of

847   Coexpressed ELAV/Hu Proteins Control Specificity of mRNA Processing. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**, 3104-

848   3115, doi:10.1128/MCB.00473-15 (2015).

849   51   Turki-Judeh, W. & Courey, A. J. in *Current topics in developmental biology* Vol. 98   65-96

850   (Elsevier, 2012).

52   Neumüller, Ralph A. *et al.* Genome-Wide Analysis of Self-Renewal in Drosophila Neural Stem

Cells   by   Transgenic   RNAi.   *Cell   Stem   Cell   **8**,   580-593,

doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2011.02.022 (2011).

53   Weake, V. M. *et al.* SAGA-mediated H2B deubiquitination controls the development of neuronal

connectivity in the Drosophila visual system. *The EMBO journal* **27**, 394-405 (2008).

54   Janssens, D. H. *et al.* Earmuff restricts progenitor cell potential by attenuating the competence to

respond to self-renewal factors. *Development* **141**, 1036-1046 (2014).

55   Southall, T. D., Davidson, C. M., Miller, C., Carr, A. & Brand, A. H. Dedifferentiation of neurons

precedes tumor formation in Lola mutants. *Dev Cell* **28**, 685-696 (2014).

56   Arefin, B., Parvin, F., Bahrampour, S., Stadler, C. B. & Thor, S. Drosophila Neuroblast Selection

Is Gated by Notch, Snail, SoxB, and EMT Gene Interplay. *Cell reports* **29**, 3636-3651. e3633

(2019).

57   Contreras, E. G., Egger, B., Gold, K. S. & Brand, A. H. Dynamic Notch signalling regulates neural

stem cell state progression in the Drosophila optic lobe. *Neural development* **13**, 1-11 (2018).

58   Hakes, A. E. & Brand, A. H. Neural stem cell dynamics: the development of brain tumours. *Current*

Opinion in Cell Biology **60**, 131-138 (2019).

59   Egger, B., Gold, K. S. & Brand, A. H. Notch regulates the switch from symmetric to asymmetric

neural stem cell division in the Drosophila optic lobe. *Development* **137**, 2981-2987 (2010).

60   Chell, J. M. & Brand, A. H. Nutrition-responsive glia control exit of neural stem cells from

quiescence. *Cell* **143**, 1161-1173 (2010).

61   Lanet, E., Gould, A. P. & Maurange, C. Protection of neuronal diversity at the expense of neuronal

numbers during nutrient restriction in the Drosophila visual system. *Cell reports* **3**, 587-594 (2013).

62   Choksi, S. P. *et al.* Prospero acts as a binary switch between self-renewal and differentiation in

Drosophila neural stem cells. *Dev Cell* **11**, 775-789 (2006).

63   Knoblich, J. A. & Jan, Y. N. Asymmetric segregation of Numb and Prospero during cell division.

Nature **377**, 624-627 (1995).

64   Colonques, J., Ceron, J., Reichert, H. & Tejedor, F. J. A transient expression of Prospero promotes

cell cycle exit of Drosophila postembryonic neurons through the regulation of Dacapo. *PLoS One*

6, e19342 (2011).

65   Robinow, S. & White, K. The locus elav of Drosophila melanogaster is expressed in neurons at all

developmental stages. *Developmental biology* **126**, 294-303 (1988).

66   Pereanu, W., Shy, D. & Hartenstein, V. Morphogenesis and proliferation of the larval brain glia in

Drosophila. *Developmental biology* **283**, 191-203 (2005).

67   Kanai, M. I. *et al.* Regulation of neuroblast proliferation by surface glia in the Drosophila larval

brain. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 3730, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22028-y (2018).

68   Xiong, W.-C., Okano, H., Patel, N. H., Blendy, J. A. & Montell, C. repo encodes a glial-specific

homeo domain protein required in the Drosophila nervous system. *Genes & development* **8**, 981-

994 (1994).

69   Lin, D. M. & Goodman, C. S. Ectopic and increased expression of Fasciclin II alters motoneuron

growth cone guidance. *Neuron* **13**, 507-523 (1994).

70   Johnson, M. R., Stephenson, R. A., Ghaemmaghami, S. & Welte, M. A. Developmentally regulated

H2Av buffering via dynamic sequestration to lipid droplets in Drosophila embryos. *Elife* **7**, e36021

(2018).

71   McHugh, B. *et al.* Invadolysin: a novel, conserved metalloprotease links mitotic structural

rearrangements with cell migration. *The Journal of cell biology* **167**, 673-686 (2004).

72   Rao, S. G. *et al.* Invadolysin acts genetically via the SAGA complex to modulate chromosome

structure. *Nucleic acids research* **43**, 3546-3562 (2015).

73   Cobbe, N. *et al.* The conserved metalloprotease invadolysin localizes to the surface of lipid

droplets. *Journal of cell science* **122**, 3414-3423 (2009).

74   Kramer, J. M., Davidge, J. T., Lockyer, J. M. & Staveley, B. E. Expressionof Drosophila FOXO

regulates growth and can phenocopy starvation. *BMC developmental biology* **3**, 5 (2003).

75   Huang, H. & Tindall, D. J. Dynamic FoxO transcription factors. *J Cell Sci* **120**, 2479-2487 (2007).

76 Wei, J.-Y., Chung, P.-C., Chu, S.-Y. & Yu, H. H. FOXO regulates cell fate specification of

Drosophila ventral olfactory projection neurons. *Journal of neurogenetics* **33**, 33-40 (2019).

77 Sano, H. Coupling of growth to nutritional status: The role of novel periphery-to-brain signaling

by the CCHa2 peptide in Drosophila melanogaster. *Fly* **9**, 183-187 (2015).

78 Okamoto, N. & Nishimura, T. Signaling from Glia and Cholinergic Neurons Controls Nutrient-

Dependent Production of an Insulin-like Peptide for Drosophila Body Growth. *Dev Cell* **35**, 295-

310, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.10.003 (2015).

79 Chotard, C., Leung, W. & Salecker, I. glial cells missing and gcm2 cell autonomously regulate both

glial and neuronal development in the visual system of Drosophila. *Neuron* **48**, 237-251 (2005).

80 Chen, Z. *et al.* A unique class of neural progenitors in the Drosophila optic lobe generates both

migrating neurons and glia. *Cell reports* **15**, 774-786 (2016).

81 Ile, K. E., Tripathy, R., Goldfinger, V. & Renault, A. D. Wunen, a Drosophila lipid phosphate

phosphatase, is required for septate junction-mediated barrier function. *Development* **139**, 2535-

2546 (2012).

82 DeSalvo, M. K. *et al.* The Drosophila surface glia transcriptome: evolutionary conserved blood-

brain barrier processes. *Frontiers in neuroscience* **8**, 346 (2014).

83 Loyer, N. & Januschke, J. The last-born daughter cell contributes to division orientation of

Drosophila larval neuroblasts. *Nature Communications* **9**, 3745, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06276-0

(2018).

84 Yang, P.-L., Hsu, T.-H., Wang, C.-W. & Chen, R.-H. Lipid droplets maintain lipid homeostasis

during anaphase for efficient cell separation in budding yeast. *Molecular biology of the cell* **27**,

2368-2380 (2016).

85 Cruz, A. L. *et al.* Cell cycle progression regulates biogenesis and cellular localization of lipid

droplets. *Molecular and cellular biology* **39** (2019).

86   Bernardoni, R., Kammerer, M., Vonesch, J.-L. & Giangrande, A. Gliogenesis depends on
glide/gcm through asymmetric division of neuroglioblasts. *Developmental biology* **216**, 265-275
(1999).

87   Bossing, T., Udolph, G., Doe, C. Q. & Technau, G. M. The Embryonic Central Nervous System
Lineages ofDrosophila melanogaster: I. Neuroblast Lineages Derived from the Ventral Half of the
Neuroectoderm. *Developmental biology* **179**, 41-64 (1996).

88   Schmid, A., Chiba, A. & Doe, C. Q. Clonal analysis of Drosophila embryonic neuroblasts: neural
cell types, axon projections and muscle targets. *Development* **126**, 4653-4689 (1999).

89   Udolph, G., Rath, P. & Chia, W. A requirement for Notch in the genesis of a subset of glial cells
in the Drosophila embryonic central nervous system which arise through asymmetric divisions.
Development **128**, 1457-1466 (2001).

90   Tastan, Ö. Y. & Liu, J.-L. CTP synthase is required for optic lobe homeostasis in Drosophila.
Journal of genetics and genomics **42**, 261-274 (2015).

91   Maroni, G. & Stamey, S. Use of blue food to select synchronous, late third instar larvae. *Dros. Inf.*
Serv **59**, 142-143 (1983).

92   Andrews, S.   (Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom,
2010).

93   Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. hisat2. *Nature methods* (2015).

94   Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166-169 (2015).

95   Love, M., Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential analysis of count data–the DESeq2 package.
Genome Biol **15**, 10.1186 (2014).

96   Raudvere, U. *et al.* g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of
gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, W191-W198, doi:10.1093/nar/gkz369 (2019).

97   Conard, A. M. *et al.* TIMEOR: a web-based tool to uncover temporal regulatory mechanisms from
multi-omics data. *bioRxiv* (2020).

98   Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y. & He, Q.-Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological

themes among gene clusters. *Omics: a journal of integrative biology* **16**, 284-287 (2012).

99   Turner, F. R. & Mahowald, A. P. Scanning electron microscopy of Drosophila melanogaster

embryogenesis: II. Gastrulation and segmentation. *Developmental biology* **57**, 403-416 (1977).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Edward A. Kravitz for providing MAT laboratory space and contributing to supply costs for

completion of this study, Dr. Yick-Bun Chan for experimental support and assistance with DEG analysis

and Dr. Yiannis Savva for experimental support. We would also like to thank Dr. Séverine Trannoy for

critical reading of this manuscript. We thank the Harvard Medical School Neurobiology Department and

the Neurobiology Imaging Facility for consultation and instrument availability that supported this work.

This facility is supported in part by the Neural Imaging Center as part of an NINDS P30 Core Center grant

#NS072030. This work was supported in part by a Harvard Medical School Fix Fund Postdoctoral

Fellowship to M.A.T and by US National Institute of Health grants to E.N.L and E.A.K (R35 GM126994-

01 and R35 GM118137).

Contributions

MAT and E.N.L conceived the study and M.A.T designed the study. M.A.T performed

immunohistochemistry and imaging, collection of RNA-seq samples and analysis of RNA-seq DEG results.

L.X contributed to sample collection for RNA-seq experiments and immunohistochemistry, E.N

contributed in size experiments and A.M.C contributed in RNA-seq data analysis. M.A.T prepared figures

and wrote the original draft while M.A.T and E.N.L curated the manuscript with input from all authors.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1 CLAMP is ubiquitously expressed throughout the L3 nervous system and is present in

proliferating neuroblasts. (a) Temporal expression data from modEncode (Flybase) reveal that *clamp*

expression levels decrease as embryonic development proceeds and as the maternal transcripts are used-up

and reach a nadir at 18-20 hours after egg lay, which is then followed by a sharp increase just before

embryonic development is completed. During the larval stages *clamp* expression decreases until L3, where

it slowly starts to increase again before the pupa stage. (b) Tissue specific L3 expression data, reveal that

clamp is most highly expressed in the developing imaginal disks, the CNS and also the fat body.

(c-g) CLAMP is expressed both in the L3 central nervous system, specifically in the optic lobe (OL, yellow

dashed outline), central brain, (CB) and in the ventral nerve cord (VNC). (c, d) CLAMP is present in the

nucleus throughout the nervous system as seen by co-staining with DAPI and Nc82, which marks the

synaptic processes. In the VNC it is also observed in the neuronal processes (c, arrows). Panels e-g show

individual staining channels. (h-n) Higher resolution images reveal high expression of CLAMP in the

developing CB and OL and specifically in proliferating NSCs marked by Deadpan (Dpn) and Proliferating

cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). (j) Magnification of the boxed area in panel i, reveals that CLAMP is present

in cycling NSCs during different cell cycle phases according to the PCNA nuclear distribution. Star (*) in

panels k and m indicates an artifact. Scale bars: 50 μm and 25 μm in j.

1000

1001

Figure 2 Lack of CLAMP results in growth deficits both in the body and the nervous system (a, b)

Mutant *clamp* null L3 larvae are considerably smaller in size, compared to control L3s (Mean length ±

SEM; Control: 3.369 ± 0.06031, n=14; *clamp* null: 3.041 ± 0.04371, n=20; ttest p< 0.0001). (c, d) This

reduction is maintained at the CNS level of *clamp* null L3 larvae (Mean normalized brain area ± SEM;

Control: 1.001 ± 0.0485, n=20; *clamp* null: 0.601 ± 0.04052, n=20; ttest p< 0.0001). (e) Western blot for

CLAMP in samples from L3 brain lysates from control and *clamp* null L3 showing the absence of CLAMP

protein (n=2 per condition). Scale bars: 1 mm in a; 50 μm in c.

1009

Figure 3 Lack of CLAMP greatly affects brain development and causes defects in optic lobe

formation. (a, b and e, f) Brain development in the *clamp* null L3 is severely impaired from the early L3

stage, while even at the mid/late L3 stage, *clamp* null brains resemble early L3 control brains. (c, d and g,h)

Full z-stacks of L3 brains showing the distribution of Deadpan (Dpn) -positive NSCs areas, reveals that

NSCs development both in the central brain (CB) and the optic lobe (OL) of the null are impaired, starting

from the early L3 stage and continuing to the mid/late L3 stage. Especially impaired is the OL development

as seen by the reduction in the Dpn+ area indicated with the white dashed outlined areas. EAD: eye antenna

disk. Panels a, b, e and f are 3 optical sections whereas c, d, g and h are full z-stacks throughout the brain.

Scale bars: 50 μm.

Figure 4 CLAMP is implicated in transcriptomic networks that regulate the proliferation and differentiation of NSCs. (a) Experimental workflow for RNA sequencing data generation and DEG meta-analysis. Embryo panel is scanning electron image of a late stage embryo from[99]. (b) Venn diagram of DEGs in the *clamp* null embryo and L3. (c, d) GO analysis of the *clamp* null DEGs revealed high

1024   enrichment in categories related to cell cycle dynamics and neural development. (e) Find Individual Motif

1025   Occurrences (FIMO) analysis of the DEGs for the CLAMP binding motif, revealed that critical regulators

1026   of neural development and mitosis are putative targets for CLAMP binding both at the embryonic and L3

1027   stage.

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

Figure 5 Lack of CLAMP impairs neural stem cell proliferation and promotes precocious

differentiation. (a-d) Proliferation of NSCs as seen by EdU labeling, is highly reduced in the *clamp* null

brain, with the most evident impact being on OL NSCs (panels a and c, dashed lines indicate the OL). In

parallel, nuclear Pros+ clusters indicate acquisition of neuronal fate, are more evident in the *clamp* null

brains (panels b and d, arrows). (e) Both the total number of proliferating stem cells (EdU$^+$ Mean ± SEM,

Control: 323.6 ± 4.679; *clamp* null: 50.40 ± 3.174; p<0.0001; n=10) and of proliferating Dpn$^+$ NSCs is

reduced (Dpn$^+$EdU$^+$ Mean ± SEM; Control 102.6 ± 2.141; *clamp* null 11.50 ± 0.3727; n=10). (f) The

reduction in total Dpn+ cells (Dpn$^+$ Mean ± SEM, Control: 191.9 ± 5.313; *clamp* null: 57.90 ± 1.402; p

<0.0001; n = 10), is accompanied by a respective increase in Pros+ cells in the *clamp* null brains (Pros$^+$

Mean ± SEM, Control: 47.1 ± 1.716; *clamp* null: 148.3 ± 2.629; p <0.0001; n = 10). (g, h) Additionally,

despite having an increase in the neuronal marker Elav in the *clamp* null brains, the OL development is

impaired compared to controls (outlines). (i, j) Apoptotic cells marked with DCP1 are more in the OL of

the null compared to a more ubiquitous distribution in the control. CB, central brain; OL, optic lobe; VNC:

ventral nerve cord. Scale bars: a-d, 100 μm; g and h, 50 μm.

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

Figure 6 Glial-CLAMP surface glia generation and NSC proliferation (a-e) Surface glia (SG) is

reduced by ~25% in the *clamp* null brain compared to controls (% SG Repo$^+$ ± SEM, *Control*: 99.98 ±

5.328; *clamp* null: 75.37 ± 1.843; n=6) whereas, (e-i) proliferating NSCs (EdU$^+$ ± SEM, *Control:* 323.6 ±

4.679; clamp null: 50.40 ± *3.174*) and NSCs (Dpn$^+$ ± SEM, *Control:* 191.9 ± *5.313; clamp* null: 57.90 ±

1.402) are also reduced in numbers. Glial-specific *clamp* knock-down (*repo>clamp RNAi*) promotes a

similar reduction in SG numbers ((% SG Repo$^+$ ± SEM, *repo>clamp RNAi* 131.0 ± 3.162) and promotes a

reduction in proliferating NSCs (*repo>clamp RNAi* EdU$^+$ ± SEM*:* 277 ± 6.572; Dpn$^+$ ± SEM: 181 ± 3.964),

but to a lesser extend as the one seen in the *clamp* null. Neuronal-specific clamp KD (*elav>clamp RNAi*)

does not affect NSC proliferation and numbers NSCs (*elav>clamp RNAi* EdU$^+$ ± SEM*:* 316. ± 9.519; Dpn$^+$

± SEM: 217 ± 14.45),. (j-p) Staining with the neutral lipid-specific dye LipidTOX, revealed an altered

distribution of LDs in the *clamp* null brain compared to controls and (p) a ~50% increase in LDs in the

clamp null brain, compared to controls (% LipidTOX signal ± SEM; Control: 100 ± 11.86; *clamp* null:

149.8 ± 8.599, n=6). (n-o) This increase is also evident in the panels showing a full z-stack for each

condition. Panels l and m, represent magnification of the boxed areas in panels j and k respectively, where,

large LD formations are observed surrounding dividing NSCs in the *clamp* null. Scale bars 100 μm.

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

Figure 7 Lack of CLAMP results in aberrant mitotic chromosome morphology (a,b) Chromosomal

preparations of mitotic neuroblasts from L3 brains reveal the presence of mitotic chromosomes with altered

morphology in the *clamp* null (rectangle in b). Additionally, in the *clamp* null condition more Pros+ positive

nuclei are present, signaling more neuronal differentiation compared to controls. (d, c) Higher

magnification images showing that mitotic NSC chromosomes in the *clamp* null in their majority are hyper-

condensed and stubby (c, yellow rectangles) while the nuclei appear smaller compared to controls.

Additionally, in the *clamp* null preparations, less nuclei are positive for Dac, a regulator of OL development.

Scale bars, 100 μm.

1105

Gene Name	log2FC	padj
elav	0.44	0.043
ewg	NA	NA
clamp	-1	6.39E-14
CG12299	-0.70	0.0001
gro	-0.88	2.45E-06
CG8924	-1.12	1.15E-10
JIL-1	NA	NA
osk	-4.76	1.67E-11
ac3	0.54	4.87E-05
cdc16	-0.83	0.005
Ibf1	-0.78	0.0002
Bcl7-like	-0.93	2.92E-11

Table 1: Functional interactors of clamp as identified by Haussman et al., 2008

Gene ID	Gene Name	Log2FC	padj	Function
FBgn0044047	Dilp6	-3.028	4.38E-42	OL development/Glia Neuroblast interactions/Insulin Signaling
FBgn0003720	tll	-1.71	1.89E-31	OL development
FBgn0031745	rau	-1.373	3.42E-18	Glial cell development/differentiation of neurons and glia in the developing eye.
FBgn0014179	gcm	-1.281	5.90E-12	Glial cell development-promotes gliogenesis over neurogenesis
FBgn0010433	ato	-1.246	3.88E-15	OL development
FBgn0000529	bsh	-1.155	1.85E-24	OL development
FBgn0019809	gcm2	-1.154	3.97E-20	Has a redundant role with the product of gcm in the differentiation of glial cells and subset of neurons[79].
FBgn0031375	erm	-1.116	2.76E-19	Cell fate regulator during neurogenesis.
FBgn0000163	baz	-1.105	6.44E-10	Bazooka forms a complex with par-6 and aPKC. Functions in cell polarization and ACD.
FBgn0021776	mira	-0.99	3.16E-07	Neuroblast proliferation.
FBgn0000137	ase	-0.972	1.54E-05	Type I NB attributes.
FBgn0263512	Vsx2	-0.956	9.10E-23	OL development.
FBgn0010382	CycE	-0.951	0.00131	Cell cycle dynamics.
FBgn0001983	wor	-0.94	3.01E-05	Worniu, neuroblast attributes.
FBgn0000320	eya	-0.909	2.05E-08	OL development /insulin producing cells differentiation.
FBgn0000404	CycA	-0.855	0.010084	Cell cycle progression including G2.
FBgn0025739	pon	-0.847	3.66E-07	Contributes to asymmetric localization of numb and the subsequent suppression of Notch signaling.
FBgn0002973	numb	-0.845	1.67E-15	Acts via Notch signaling, asymmetric division control.
FBgn0005655	PCNA	-0.824	0.00019	Cell cycle dynamics.
FBgn0011674	insc	-0.788	1.44E-06	Spindle orientation; asymmetric divisions.
FBgn0037913	fabp	-0.72	1.85E-06	Expressed in lipid droplet-forming glial cells.
FBgn0013469	klu	-0.713	0.00013	Klumpfuss (klu) is a temporal fate determination.
FBgn0005677	dac	-0.707	4.97E-11	OL development.
FBgn0010109	dpn	-0.665	0.00245	Neuroblast self-renewal -interacts with klu and erm.
FBgn0004647	Notch	-0.642	0.0018	OL development/Stem cell proliferation.
FBgn0000411	Dichaete (D)	-0.634	2.56E-08	Nervous system development and OL development.
FBgn0044324	Chro	-0.61	0.00021	Neuroblast proliferation. Functions downstream of InR/PI3K/TOR signaling and regulates expression of quiescence-inducing Pros to permit NSC reactivation.
FBgn0005427	ewg	-0.533	1.06E-06	Neurogenesis/Synaptogenesis.
FBgn0040080	pins	-0.52	0.008985	Partner of Inscutable; involved in ACD and establishment of cell polarity.

1132

Table 2: Down-regulated genes in the clamp null L3 brain

Gene ID	Gene Name	Log2Fc	padj	Function
FBgn0000014	abd-A	2.983	0.00019	Controls the end of neuroblast proliferation.
FBgn0025879	Timp	2.299	9.57E-23	Coordinates synaptogenesis
FBgn0001258	Ldh	2.353	2.43E-22	Lipid metabolism.
FBgn0003117	pnr	2.106	0.008812	Activator of *proneural* achaete-scute complex genes.
FBgn0011746	ana	1.831	3.97E-20	Encodes a secreted glycoprotein that is expressed in glia and at lower levels in neuroblasts, <u>suppresses neuroblast proliferation</u>.
FBgn0032006	Pvr	1.742	8.56E-22	Glia development /neuroblast proliferation.
FBgn0016032	lbm	1.576	3.84E-21	facilitates synapse assembly
FBgn0037989	ATP8B	1.664	2.18E-20	Flippase, translocates phospholipids from one side of a membrane to the other forming new membrane structures, especially vesicles.
FBgn0031461	daw	1.502	2.82E-05	Regulates insulin release from IPC neurons.
FBgn0041087	wun2	1.249	3.17E-20	Encodes a lipid phosphate phosphatase; expressed in astrocytes.
FBgn0086677	jeb	1.224	1.37E-06	Functions as signaling ligand for the product of <u>Alk</u>.
FBgn0066101	LpR1	0.899	3.91E-21	Cellular uptake of neutral lipids from the circulating protein encoded by apolpp.
FBgn0000416	Sap-r	0.889	2.23E-29	Lysosomal Lipid homeostasis.
FBgn0000546	EcR	0.847	6.72E-06	Ecdysone Receptor.
FBgn0040505	Alk	0.692	0.00014	Employs Ras/ERK and PI3K signalling pathways, functions in multiple contexts including synaptic signaling.
FBgn0001297	kay	0.699	7.62E-12	Specifically expressed in glia.
FBgn0039332	alrm	0.635	0.00038	Glial related.
FBgn0000370	crc	0.61	3.83E-17	Coactivator of the product EcR, promotes expression the molting peptide hormone encoded by ETH.
FBgn0016078	wun	0.558	2.85E-07	Lipid phosphate phosphatase.
FBgn0013342	nSyb	0.506	3.24E-05	Synaptic signaling.
FBgn0030574	sbm	0.504	0.002373	L-type amino acid transporter expressed in glia; essential for proper timing of development and brain growth [41].

1133

Table 3: UP-regulated genes in the clamp null L3 brain

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141