TITLE: A combination of metagenomic and cultivation approaches reveals hypermutator

phenotypes within *Vibrio cholerae* infected patients.

AUTHORS: Inès Levade[1], Ashraful I. Khan[2], Fahima Chowdhury[2], Stephen B. Calderwood[3,4,5],

Edward T. Ryan[3,4], Jason B. Harris[3,6], Regina C. LaRocque[3,4,], Taufiqur R. Bhuiyan[2], Firdausi

Qadri[2], Ana A. Weil[7], B. Jesse Shapiro[1,8,9*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

[2]Center for Vaccine Sciences, International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Dhaka,

Bangladesh

[3]Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[4]Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA USA

[5]Department of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA USA

[6]Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

[7]Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[8]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

[9]McGill Genome Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: jesse.shapiro@mcgill.ca

ABSTRACT

Vibrio cholerae can cause a range of symptoms in infected patients, ranging from severe diarrhea to asymptomatic infection. Previous studies using whole genome sequencing (WGS) of multiple bacterial isolates per patient have shown that *Vibrio cholerae* can evolve a modest amount of genetic diversity during symptomatic infection. Little is known about *V. cholerae* genetic diversity within asymptomatic infected patients. To achieve increased resolution in the detection of *Vibrio cholerae* diversity within individual infections, we applied culture-based population genomics and metagenomics to a cohort of symptomatic and asymptomatic cholera patients. While the metagenomic approach allowed us to detect more mutations in symptomatic patients compared to the culture-based approach, WGS of isolates was still necessary to detect *V. cholerae* diversity in asymptomatic carriers, likely due to their low *Vibrio cholerae* load. We found that symptomatic and asymptomatic patients contain similar levels of within-patient diversity, and discovered *V. cholerae* hypermutators in some patients. While hypermutators appeared to generate mostly selectively neutral mutations, non-mutators showed signs of convergent mutation across multiple patients, suggesting *V. cholerae* adaptation within hosts. Our results highlight the power of metagenomics combined with isolate sequencing to characterize within-patient diversity in acute *V. cholerae* infection and asymptomatic infection, while providing evidence for hypermutator phenotypes within cholera patients.

IMPORTANCE

Pathogen evolution within patients can impact phenotypes such as drug resistance and virulence,

potentially affecting clinical outcomes. *V. cholerae* infection can result in life-threatening

diarrheal disease, or asymptomatic infection. Here we describe whole-genome sequencing of *V.*

cholerae isolates and culture-free metagenomic sequencing from stool of symptomatic cholera

patients and asymptomatic carriers. Despite the acuteness of cholera infections, we found

evidence for adaptive mutations in the *V. cholerae* genome that occur independently and

repeatedly within multiple symptomatic patients. We also identified *V. cholerae* hypermutator

phenotypes within 6 out of 14 patients, which appear to generate mainly neutral or deleterious

mutations. Our work sets the stage for future studies of the role of hypermutators and within-

patient evolution in explaining the variation from asymptomatic carriage to symptomatic cholera.

KEYWORDS: *Vibrio cholerae,* cholera, metagenomics, within-patient evolution, hypermutation,

asymptomatic carriage

INTRODUCTION

Infection with *Vibrio cholerae,* the etiological agent of cholera, causes a clinical spectrum of symptoms that range from asymptomatic colonization of the intestine to severe watery diarrhea that can lead to death. Although absent from most resource-rich countries, this severe diarrheal disease still plagues many developing nations. According to the WHO, there are an estimated 1.3 to 4.0 million cases of cholera each year, with 21,000 to 143,000 deaths worldwide (Ali et al. 2015). Cholera predominantly occurs in endemic areas, but can also cause explosive outbreaks as seen in Haiti in 2010 or in Yemen, where over 2.2 million cases are suspected since 2016 (Weil, Ivers, et Harris 2011; Camacho et al. 2018). Although cholera vaccines have reduced disease in some areas, the increasing number of people lacking access to sanitation and safe drinking water, the emergence of a pandemic lineage of *V. cholerae* with increased virulence (Satchell et al. 2016), and environmental persistence of this waterborne pathogen underscore the need to understand and interrupt transmission of this disease.

Cholera epidemiology and evolutionary dynamics have been studied by high-throughput sequencing technologies and new modeling approaches, at a global and local scale (Weil et Ryan 2018; Domman et al. 2018). Yet, many questions remain regarding asymptomatic carriers of *V. cholerae*, including their role and importance in the transmission chain during an epidemic (King et al. 2008; Phelps, Simonsen, et Jensen 2019). Numerous observational studies have identified host factors that could impact the severity of symptoms, including lack of pre-existing immunity, blood group O status, age, polymorphisms in genes of the innate immune system, or variation in the gut microbiome (Harris et al. 2005; 2008; Weil, Khan, et al. 2009; Midani et al. 2018; Levade et al. 2020).

Recent studies have shown that despite the acute nature of cholera infection, which typically lasts only a few days, genetic diversity can appear and be detected in a *V. cholerae*

population infecting individual patients (Seed et al. 2014; Levade et al. 2017). In a previous

study, we sampled multiple *V. cholerae* isolates from each of eight patients (five from

Bangladesh and three from Haiti) and sequenced 122 bacterial genomes in total. Using stringent

controls to guard against sequencing errors, we detected a few (0-3 per patient) within-patient

intra-host single nucleotide variants (iSNVs), and a greater number of gene content variants (gene

gain/loss events within patients) (Levade et al. 2017). This variation may affect adaptation to the

host environment, either by resistance to phage predation (Seed et al. 2014) or by impacting

biofilm formation (Levade et al. 2017), but it is not known how within-patient diversity affects

disease severity.

Several pathogens are known to evolve within human hosts (Didelot et al. 2016), and

hypermutation has been observed in some cases (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011; Lieberman et al.

2013; Marvig et al. 2013). Hypermutation is a phenotype whereby a strain loses the function of

its mismatch repair machinery and thus becomes a hypermutator. While these hypermutators may

quickly acquire adaptive mutations, they also bear a burden of deleterious mutations (Giraud et

al. 2001). For the population to survive the burden of deleterious mutations, hypermutators may

revert to a non-mutator state, or may recombine their adaptive alleles into the genomes of non-

mutators in the population (Denamur et al. 2000; Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011). The hypermutator

phenotype has been observed in vibrios in the aquatic environment (Chu et al. 2017), and induced

in *V. cholerae* in an experimental setting (Wang et al. 2018), but not clearly documented within

infected patients. There is some evidence for hypermutation in *V. cholerae* clinical strains

isolated between 1961 and 1965 (Didelot et al. 2015); however, the authors recognized that these

hypermutators could also have emerged during long-term culture (Eisenstark 2010). It therefore

remains unclear if hypermutators readily emerge within cholera patients, nor their consequences

for disease outcomes and transmission.

When within-patient pathogen populations are studied with culture-based methods, their

diversity may be underestimated because the culture process can select isolates more suited to

growth in culture, and due to undersampling of rare variants. In this study, we used a combination

of culture-free metagenomics and WGS of cultured isolates to characterize the within-patient

diversity of *V. cholerae* in individuals with different clinical syndromes ranging from

symptomatic to asymptomatic infection. We found that previous culture-based analyses likely

underestimated the true variation within infected hosts. However, asymptomatic persons yielded

too few metagenomic reads to assess within-patient variation, and this could only be accessed

using cultured *V. cholerae* isolates. Using this culture-based approach to compare symptomatic to

asymptomatic contacts from three households, we found similar levels of within-patient diversity

regardless of disease severity. Using both approaches, we also describe the presence of

hypermutator *V. cholerae* within both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected patients. These

hypermutators are characterized by a high mutation rate, and accumulation of an excess of likely

neutral or deleterious mutations in the genome. Finally, we provide evidence of adaptive

mutations occurring during non-mutator *V. cholerae* infections.

RESULTS

Taxonomic analyses of metagenomics sequences from *Vibrio cholerae* infected index cases

and household contacts.

To evaluate the level of within-patient diversity of *Vibrio cholerae* populations infecting

symptomatic and asymptomatic patients in a cohort in Dhaka, Bangladesh, we used both culture-

based whole genome sequencing and culture-free shotgun metagenomic approaches (Fig. 1). We

performed metagenomic sequencing of 22 samples from 21 index cases and 11 samples from 10

household contacts infected with *Vibrio cholerae,* of which two remained asymptomatic during

the follow-up period (Table S1). After removal of reads mapping to the human genome, we used

Kraken2 and MIDAS to taxonomically classify the remaining reads and identify samples with

enough *Vibrio cholerae* reads to reconstruct genomes. Among symptomatic patients (index cases

and household contacts), 15 samples from 14 patients contained enough reads to reconstruct the

Vibrio cholerae genome with a mean coverage > 5X. Neither of the two asymptomatic patients

had enough *Vibrio cholerae* reads in their metagenomic sequences to reconstruct genomes by

mapping or *de novo* assembly (mean coverage <0.05X). We also detected reads from two *Vibrio*

phages (ICP1 and ICP3) in some of these samples (Table S1).

Figure 1. Summary of the culture-dependent and the culture-free metagenomics workflows for the characterization of the *Vibrio cholerae* within-patient diversity. Stool or rectal swab samples were collected from symptomatic and asymptomatic *Vibrio cholerae* infected individuals and processed using two different approaches: (A) Culture, DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing of multiple isolates per patient; (B) Genome-resolved metagenomics involves DNA extraction directly from a microbiome sample followed by DNA sequencing, assembly, genome binning and dereplication to generate metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), and within-host diversity profiling by mapping reads back to the MAGs.

Recovery of high quality *Vibrio cholerae* MAGs from metagenomic samples

To reconstruct *Vibrio cholerae* metagenomic assembled genomes (MAGs) from the 11 samples with coverage > 10X, we *de novo* assembled each sample individually except for patient

E, for whom we co-assembled two samples from two consecutive sampling days. High quality

MAGs identified as *Vibrio cholerae* were obtained from each assembly, with no redundancy, and

completeness ranging from 91 to 100% (Table S2). We dereplicated the set of bins and removed

all but the highest quality genome from each redundant set, identifying the bin from patient J as

the best quality MAG overall.

***Vibrio cholerae* within patient nucleotide diversity estimated from metagenomic data**

All metagenomes with *Vibrio cholerae* mean coverage >5X were mapped against the

dereplicated genome set, and we assessed within-patient genetic diversity using inStrain (Olm et

al. 2020). We identified both single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that varied between

patients (Table S3), and intra patient single nucleotide variants (iSNVs) that varied within

patients (Table S4). We found a total of 39 SNPs between patients, and a range of two to 207

iSNVs within each sample (Table 1, Fig. 2). Given the wide variation in coverage across samples,

we checked for any bias toward detecting iSNVs in high-coverage samples. We observed no

correlation between the number of detected iSNVs and coverage values ($\rho = -0.12$, $P > 0.05$,

Pearson correlation), suggesting no coverage bias, and that diversity levels are comparable across

samples.

Figure 2. Within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* diversity quantified from metagenomic data. (A) Minor

allele frequency and distribution of intergenic, synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs across the two

Vibrio cholerae chromosomes for 14 patients with over 5X coverage of the *V. cholerae* genome. (B)

Numbers of intergenic, synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs for each patient. (C) Spectrum of

within-patient mutation in hypermutators compared to non-mutators. Error bars represent standard error of

the mean across the group of hypermutators or non-mutators. Only samples with 6 or more iSNVs were

included to reduce noise from low counts.

Several mechanisms could account for the origins of the observed iSNVs, including *de novo*

mutation within a patient, co-infection by divergent *V. cholerae* strains, or homologous

recombination. Most iSNVs had low-frequency minor alleles (Fig S1), consistent with recent

mutations occurring within individual patients, rather than co-infection by a roughly equal

mixture of distantly related strains. No iSNVs were observed at the exact same nucleotide

position in different patients, suggesting that iSNVs rarely spread by homologous recombination,

and are never precisely recurrent in our dataset. In patient E, sampled on two consecutive days,

we detected eight iSNVs on the first day, of which four were again detected on the second day,

along with 13 additional iSNVs. This suggests that iSNV allele frequencies can fluctuate

significantly over time. Moreover, iSNVs were distributed across the genome (Fig. 2A), rather

than clustered in hotspots, which would be expected if iSNVs arose from recombination events

(Croucher et al. 2011). Although we cannot strictly exclude co-infection or recombination events

as sources of diversity, most of the observed iSNVs are consistent with *de novo* mutation within

patients.

Evidence for *V. cholerae* hypermutators within patients

In 5 of the 6 patients with a high number of iSNVs (>25), we identified non-synonymous

(NS) mutations in genes involved in DNA mismatch repair pathways, including the DNA

polymerase II in patient D, or proteins of the methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR) system in

patient F, I and K (Table 1). These NS variants could explain why these samples seem to have a

higher level of within-host diversity, and suggest the presence of *Vibrio cholerae* with a

hypermutator phenotype (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011). In the patient harboring the highest

number of variants (Patient F, 207 iSNVs), we detected two NS mutations in two different genes

coding for proteins involved in DNA repair: the DNA mismatch repair endonuclease MutL

(Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011), and the nuclease SbcCD subunit C (Didelot et al. 2015)(Lovett

2011)(Darmon et al. 2007). The patient with the second highest number of iSNVs, patient A,

contained a high number of intergenic variants (87 out of 96 iSNVs, Fig. 2B), but no apparent NS

mutations in genes involved in DNA repair. This large number of intergenic iSNVs are unlikely

due to read mapping errors, since the same iSNV calls were obtained when using the MAG from

patient A as a reference genome. In patient I, where we also detected a high number of iSNVs, a

NS mutation in the gene coding for the MutT/nudix protein, involved in the repair of oxidative

DNA damage (Lu et al. 2001), could also cause a strong hypermutation phenotype. Patient D, H

and K presented fewer iSNVs but also showed NS mutations in genes involved in DNA damage

repair (Foster et al. 1995) (Lee, Sung, et Verdine 2019). However, some of these genes have been

shown to play less critical roles in bacterial DNA repair than MutSLH (Jolivet-Gougeon et al.

2011)(Kunkel et Erie 2005), which could lead to a weaker hypermutator phenotype.

Previous studies have noted mutational biases in hypermutators, such as an increase of

transition over transversion mutations in a *Burkholderia dolosa* mutator with a defective MutL

(Lieberman et al. 2013), or an excess of $G{:}C{\to}T{:}A$ transversions in a *Bacillus anthracis*

hypermutator (Zeibell et al. 2007), and in members of the gut microbiome (Zhao et al. 2019).

When we compared the spectrum of mutations observed in suspected hypermutators to non-

mutator samples, we found a significance difference (Chi-square test, P<0.01) due to an apparent

excess of $G{:}C{\to}T{:}A$ transversions in hypermutators (Fig. 2C).

Current theory suggests that hypermutators may be adaptive under novel or stressful

environmental conditions because they more rapidly explore the mutational space and are the first

to acquire adaptive mutations. However, hypermutation comes at the cost of the accumulation of

deleterious mutations. To test the hypothesis that hypermutation leads to fitness costs due to these

deleterious mutations, we used iRep (Brown et al. 2016) to estimate *V. cholerae* replication rates

in each sample, and test whether replication rate was negatively associated with the number of

iSNVs. iRep infers replication rates from MAGs and metagenomic reads (Brown et al. 2016). For

instance, an iRep value of 2 would indicate that most of the population is replicating one copy of

its chromosome. In our data (Table 1), iRep values varied from 1.23 (patient E at day 2) to 5.43

(patient D), and we did not find any association between the replication rate of *Vibrio cholerae*

and the number of iSNVs detected within each subject (Fig. S2B, Pearson correlation, $\rho = 0.15$, P

> 0.05). This suggests that deleterious mutations in hypermutators could be counterbalanced by

adaptive mutations that maintain growth. Alternatively, higher iRep values could be associated

with larger *V. cholerae* population sizes, which could support greater genetic diversity and yield a

positive correlation between iRep values and the number of iSNVs. These hypotheses merit

testing in larger patient cohorts.

Convergent evolution suggests adaptation of non-mutator *V. cholerae* within patients

239   While none of the patients shared iSNVs at the exact same nucleotide position, some

contained parallel (or convergent) mutations in the same gene (Table 2). To determine whether

genes that acquired multiple mutations could be under positive selection within the host, we

performed permutation tests for hypermutator and non-mutator samples separately (Methods).

Among the hypermutator samples, we identified five genes with NS mutations in two or more

patients (Table 2), which was not an unexpectedly high level of convergence given the large

number of mutations in hypermutators (permutation test, $P=0.97$). That the P-value approaches 1

suggests either that the hypermutators are actually selected against mutating the same genes in

different patients, or – more likely – that the permutation test is conservative. For the samples

with no evidence of hypermutator phenotypes, we identified two genes with NS mutations in two

patients. The first gene, *hlyA*, encodes a hemolysin that causes cytolysis by forming heptameric

pores in human cell membranes (Olson et Gouaux 2005), while the second gene encodes a

putative ABC transporter ferric-binding protein (Table 2). Observing convergent mutations in

two different genes is unexpected (permutation test, *P*=0.039) in a test that is likely to be

conservative. We also note that the three iSNVs in *hlyA* have relatively high minor allele

frequencies (0.22-0.43) compared to other convergent NS mutations (median minor allele

frequency of 0.11; Table 2) and to NS mutations overall (median of 0.12; Table S4). Together,

these analyses suggest that *V. cholerae* hypermutators produce NS mutations that are

predominantly deleterious or neutral, while evidence for within-patient positive selection on

certain genes in non-mutators merits further investigation.

To further explore differential selection at the protein level within and between patients,

we applied the McDonald-Kreitman test (McDonald et Kreitman 1991) to the 9 patients with no

evidence for hypermutation, and to the five patients harboring potential hypermutators. Based on

whole-genome sequences of *V. cholerae* isolates, we previously found an excess of NS mutations

fixed between patients in Bangladesh, based on a small sample of five patients (Levade et al.

2017). Here, based on metagenomes from a larger number of patients, we found the opposite

pattern of a slight excess of NS mutations segregating as iSNVs within patients, consistent with

slightly deleterious mutations occurring within patients and purged over evolutionary time.

However, the difference between NS:S ratios within and between patients was not statistically

significant (Fisher's exact test, *P*>0.05; Table S5); thus, the evidence for differential selective

pressures within versus between cholera patients remains inconclusive.

Many NS mutations occurred in genes involved in transmembrane transport,

pathogenesis, response to antibiotics, secretion systems, chemotaxis, and metabolic processes

(Figure S3). Both hypermutator samples (Fig. S3B) and non-mutators (Fig. S3C) have a high

NS:S ratio in genes of unknown function, while hypermutators have many NS mutations in

transmembrane proteins, which are absent in non-mutators. However, non-mutator samples have

more NS mutations in genes involved in pathogenesis and secretion systems. Most of the NS

mutations involved in pathogenesis were found in the gene *hlyA* (a target of convergent

evolution, mentioned above).

Whole genome sequencing of *Vibrio cholerae* isolates confirms hypermutator phenotypes

and suggests similar diversity levels in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients

In addition to metagenomic analyses, we performed whole genome sequencing of

multiple *Vibrio cholerae* clinical isolates from index cases and asymptomatic contacts (Fig. 1A)

from three households (56, 57, and 58, Table S1). As noted above, asymptomatic infected

contacts did not yield sufficient metagenomic reads to assemble the *V. cholerae* genome or call

iSNVs, but their stool cultures yielded colonies for whole-genome sequencing. The first

asymptomatic contact, 58.01, tested positive for *Vibrio cholerae* on day 4 after the presentation of

the index case to the hospital, and *Vibrio cholerae* was cultured from the stool on days 4, 6, 7 and

8. We sequenced five isolates respectively from day 4 and 6 samples, and four isolates from each

of the subsequent days. For households 56 and 57, five isolates were sequenced from each

sample, at day 1 for the index cases and day 2 for the asymptomatic carriers (Table S6).

The index case from household 58 (called 58.00 or patient N) was also included in the

metagenomic analysis described above, allowing a comparison between culture-dependent and -

independent assessments of within-patient diversity. We did not detect any iSNVs in patient

58.00, as the five isolates sequenced were isogenic. In contrast, the metagenomic analysis of

patient N revealed seven iSNVs (Table 1), suggesting a higher sensitivity for the detection of rare

variants.

In contrast to metagenomes consisting of many unlinked reads, whole-genome sequencing

allows the reconstruction of a phylogeny describing the evolution of *V. cholerae* within and

between patients (Fig. 3). As described previously (Domman et al. 2018), isolates from members

of the same household tended to cluster together. In index case 57.00, four of the isolates were

isogenic, and one isolate was identical to the five isolates sequenced from the asymptomatic

contact from the same household, patient 57.01 (Table 3, Fig. 3). This shared genotype between

the two individuals was unexpected, and could suggest a potential transmission event from the

asymptomatic contact to the index case, followed by a mutational event and the spreading of the

new variant in the index case. The only mutation found in four of the five isolates from the index

case was a non-synonymous mutation in a gene coding for a cyclic-di-GMP-modulating response

regulator, which could have an impact on the regulation of biofilm formation in the host (Tischler

et Camilli 2004). However, the hypothesis that this was a transmission event is only supported by

one mutation, and therefore remains uncertain. Among the other index cases, we found no iSNVs

in patient 58.00 and two iSNVs in patient 56.00. One isolate from this patient had a synonymous

mutation in a hypothetical protein, and another isolate had a non-synonymous mutation in a

UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 4,6-dehydratase gene (Table 3). We detected iSNVs in the other

asymptomatic contacts, with one synonymous and one intergenic mutation in contact 58.02, and

one non-synonymous mutation in one isolate from contact 56.01 (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Phylogeny and pan-genome of 48 *Vibrio cholerae* isolates from index cases and their

asymptomatic contacts. The phylogeny was inferred using Maximum Parsimony. The percentage of

replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) are

shown next to the branches. Filled circles represent isolates from index cases and empty circles represent

isolates from their asymptomatic contacts. The heatmap of gene presence-absence is based on 102 genes

in the flexible genome. Colored blocks in the heatmap indicate gene presence; white indicates gene

absence. Each row corresponds to an isolate from the phylogenetic tree and each column represents an

orthologous gene family. Each unique color represents a different individual.

Notably, we also found evidence for a hypermutator in contact 58.01. One isolate sampled

from this contact had the highest number of mutations seen in any branch in the phylogeny (five

NS mutations) which could be explained by a NS mutation in the gene encoding MutS, another

key component of the methyl-directed mismatch repair (MMR) system (Table 3, Fig. 3). The

mutation in this gene could explain the accumulation of a surprising number of mutations in this

isolate, which is likely a hypermutator. This contact presented no variants in the isolates sampled

at day 4 and 6, but we found this hypermutator isolate on day 7. However, this genotype was not

found at day eight, either due to the lower resolution in the detection of variants with the WGS of

cultured isolates, or the disappearance of this mutant from the population.

Pan-genome analyses

Whole-genome isolate sequencing also provides the opportunity to study variation in

gene content (the pangenome) within and between patients. We identified a total of 3523 core

genes common to all *V. cholerae* genomes, and 102 flexible genes present in some but not all

genomes (Figure 3; Table S7). We also found an additional 214 genes present uniquely in isolate

56.00C4, assembled into one single contig identified as the genome of the lytic Vibrio phage

ICP1, which was assembled alongside the *Vibrio cholerae* genome. This phage contig contained

the ICP1 CRISPR/Cas system, which consists of two CRISPR loci (designated CR1 and CR2)

and six *cas* genes, as previously described (Seed et al. 2011; 2013). These genes were excluded

from subsequent *V. cholerae* pan genome analyses.

Among the 102 flexible genes, some varied in presence/absence within a patient, ranging

from twelve to 53 genes gained or lost per patient (Table S7; Fig. 3). The majority of these

flexible genes (78%) were annotated as hypothetical, and several were transposases or prophage

genes. One gene known to be critical for streptogramin antibiotic resistance, a streptogramin A

acetyltransferase, was absent in five isolates from contact 58.01 (Alcala et al. 2020). A large

deletion of 24 genes was detected in patient 58.00, in an 18kb phage-inducible chromosomal

island (PICI) previously shown to prevent phage reproduction, and which is targeted by the ICP1

CRISPR/Cas system (Seed et al. 2013). These PICI-like elements are induced during phage

infection, and interfere with phage reproduction via multiple mechanisms (Ram et al. 2012;

O'Hara et al. 2017). The deletion of this PICI element in the *V. cholerae* genome may be a

consequence of an ongoing evolutionary arms race between *V. cholerae* and its phages.

DISCUSSION

Although within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* genetic diversity has been reported previously

(Seed et al. 2012; 2014; Kendall et al. 2010; Levade et al. 2017), our results confirmed that

within-patient diversity is a common feature observed in both symptomatic patients with cholera

but also in asymptomatically infected individuals. In this study, we used a combination of

metagenomic and WGS sequencing technologies to characterize this within-patient diversity,

revealing evidence for hypermutator phenotypes in both symptomatic and asymptomatic

infections.

We showed that metagenomics has a higher sensitivity to detect rare genetic variants in

the within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* population. In our previous study, we detected between zero

and three iSNVs in cultured isolates from patients with acute infection (Levade et al. 2017). In

contrast, metagenomic analyses allowed us to detect two iSNVs in the patient with the lowest

level of diversity, but up to 207 iSNVs in another individual (Table 1). In the only patient for

which we were able to characterize *Vibrio cholerae* intra-host diversity both from the

metagenome and from cultured isolates, we did not identify any iSNVs in the isolates, but

detected 7 iSNVs from the metagenomic analyses, even with a coverage <10X. These results

highlight one of the potential limitations of the colony sequencing-based approach for the study

of within-host diversity: the difficulty of recovering rare members of the population (Brenzinger

et al. 2019).

Despite better sensitivity to detect rare variants, metagenomics has limitations. Within-

sample diversity profiles cannot be established for low-abundance microbes with insufficient

sequence coverage (< 5X) and depth, and this level of coverage is difficult to obtain in diverse

microbial communities. In this study, only 48% of the samples from patients with acute

symptoms, known to harbor a high fraction of vibrios in their stool (10^{10}-10^{12} vibrios per liter of

stool), contained enough reads to reconstruct *Vibrio cholerae* MAGs and to quantify within-

patient diversity. Asymptomatic patients typically shed even less *V. cholerae* in their stool

(Nelson et al. 2009), making it even more challenging to assemble their genomes using

metagenomics without depletion of host DNA or targeted sequence capture techniques

(Bachmann et al. 2018; Vezzulli et al. 2017).

Hypermutation has been defined as an excess of mutations due to deficiency in DNA

mismatch repair, and hypermutator strains have been described in diverse pathogenic infections

and *in vivo* experiments, including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Haemophilus influenzae* and

Streptococcus pneumoniae in cystic fibrosis patients, or *E. coli* in diverse habitats (Jolivet-

Gougeon et al. 2011; Oliver et Mena 2010; Labat et al. 2005). In *Vibrio cholerae,* a previous

study of 260 clinical isolate genomes identified 17 isolates with an unusually high number of

SNPs uniformly distributed along the genome (Didelot et al. 2015). Most of these genomes

contained mutations in one or more of four genes (*mutS, mutH, mutL* and *uvrD*) that play key

roles in DNA mismatch repair (Didelot et al. 2015). These authors cautiously suggested that this

apparent high frequency of hypermutators could be associated with the rapid spread of the

seventh cholera pandemic, particularly because hypermutators may be a sign of population

bottlenecks and recent selective pressure. However, they also hypothesized that these high

mutation rates could be artefactual because the *V. cholerae* isolates had been maintained in stab

cultures for many years. It thus remains unclear if a hypermutator phenotype was derived within

patients or during culture (Didelot et al. 2015; Eisenstark 2010). Using our metagenomic

approach, we showed that hypermutators can indeed emerge during infection. Using culture-

based whole genome sequencing, using only a brief overnight culture, we confirmed that

hypermutators occur in asymptomatic patients as well. Future work will be required to determine

any impacts of hypermutation on cholera disease severity or transmission.

Hypermutator phenotypes are believed to be advantageous for the colonization of new

environments or hosts, allowing the hypermutator bacteria to generate adaptive mutations more

quickly, which leads to the more efficient exploitation of resources or increased resistance to

environmental stressful conditions, such as antibiotics (Jolivet-Gougeon et al. 2011; Oliver et

Mena 2010; Labat et al. 2005; Giraud et al. 2001). However, this high mutation rate can have a

negative impact on fitness in the long term, with most of the mutations being neutral or

deleterious (Funchain et al. 2000; Giraud et al. 2001; Chu et al. 2017). A mouse model study

showed that hypermutation can be an adaptive strategy for *V. cholerae* to resist host-produced

reactive oxygen induced stress, and lead to a colonization advantage by increased catalase

production and increased biofilm formation (Wang et al. 2018). In our study of convergent

evolution, we found no evidence for adaptive mutations in the hypermutators. This could be

because the signal from a small number of adaptive mutations are obscured by overwhelming

noise from a large number of neutral or deleterious mutations. Further work is therefore needed

to determine if *V. cholerae* mutators produce adaptive mutations during human infection.

In contrast, we did find evidence for an excess of convergent mutations occurring

independently in the same genes in different patients, suggesting parallel adaptation in non-

mutator *V. cholerae* infections. Specifically, two patients contained mutations in the same

hemolysin gene, *hlyA*, which codes for a toxin that has both vacuolating and cytocidal activities

against a number of cell lines, including human intestinal cells (Tsou et Zhu 2010), and is known

to be an important virulence factor in *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor O1 and a major target of immune

responses during acute infection (Olivier et al. 2007; Weil, Arifuzzaman, et al. 2009). Previous

studies of within-patient *V. cholerae* evolution did not identify mutations in *hlyA*, and instead

identified different mutations possibly under selection for biofilm formation (Levade et al. 2017)

or phage resistance phenotypes (Seed et al. 2014). This lack of concordance could be explained

by relatively modest sample sizes of cholera patients in these studies but could also suggest that

selective pressures may be idiosyncratic and person-specific across *Vibrio cholerae* infections.

In conclusion, our results illustrate the potential and limitations of metagenomics as a

culture-independent approach for the characterization of within-host pathogen diversity, and that

this diversity is likely to be underestimated by traditional culture-based techniques. We also

provide evidence that hypermutators emerge within human *V. cholerae* infection, and their

evolutionary dynamics and relevance to disease progression merits further study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection, clinical outcomes and metagenomic sequencing

To study within-host diversity of *V. cholerae* during infection, we used stool and rectal swab samples collected from cholera patients admitted to the icddr,b (International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh) Dhaka Hospital, and from their household contacts, as previously described (Midani et al. 2018). Index cases were defined as patients presenting to the hospital with severe acute diarrhea and a stool culture positive for *V. cholerae*. Individuals who shared the same cooking pot with an index patient for three or more days are considered household contacts and were enrolled within 6 hours of the presentation of the index patient to the hospital. Rectal swabs were collected each day during a ten-day follow up period after presentation of the index case. Household contacts underwent daily clinical assessment of symptoms and collection of blood for serological testing. Contacts were determined to be infected if any rectal swab culture was positive for *V. cholerae* or if the contact developed diarrhea and a 4-fold increase in vibriocidal titer during the follow-up period (Harris et al. 2008; Weil, Khan, et al. 2009). If they developed watery diarrhea during the follow up period, contacts with positive rectal swabs were categorized as symptomatic and those without diarrhea were considered asymptomatic. We excluded patients with age below two and above 60 years old, or with major comorbid conditions (Harris et al. 2008; Weil et al. 2009).

Fecal samples and rectal swabs from the day of infection and follow up timepoints were collected and immediately placed on ice after collection and stored at -80°C until DNA extraction. DNA extraction was performed with the PowerSoil DNA extraction kits (Qiagen) after pre-heating to 65°C for 10 min and to 95°C for 10 min. Sequencing libraries were constructed for 33 samples from 31 patients, for which we obtained enough DNA. We used the

NEBNext Ultra II DNA library prep kit and sequenced the libraries on the Illumina HiSeq 2500

(paired-end 125 bp) and the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 (paired-end 150 bp) platforms at the

Genome Québec sequencing platform (McGill University).

Metagenomic analyses

Sequence preprocessing and assembly

Sequencing fastq files were quality checked with FastQC

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). We removed human and technical

contaminant DNA by aligning reads to the PhiX genome and the human genome (hg19) with

Bowtie2 (Langmead et Salzberg 2012) , and used the iu-filter-quality-minoche script of the

illumina-utils program with default parameters to filter the reads (Eren et al. 2013).

Taxonomic assignment

Processed paired-end metagenomics sequences were classified using two taxonomic

profilers: Kraken2 v.2.0.8_beta (a k-mer matching algorithm) (Wood, Lu, et Langmead 2019)

and MIDAS v.1.3.0 (a read mapping algorithm) (Nayfach et al. 2016). Kraken 2 examines the k-

mers within a query sequence and uses the information within those k-mers to query a database,

then maps k-mers to the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of all genomes known to contain a

given k-mer. Kraken2 was run against a reference database containing all RefSeq viral, bacterial

and archaeal genomes (built in May 2019), with default parameters. MIDAS uses a panel of 15

single-copy marker genes present in all of ~31,000 bacterial species included in its database to

perform taxonomic classification, and maps metagenomic reads to this database to estimate the

read depth and relative abundance of 5,952 bacterial species. We identified metagenomic samples

containing *V. cholerae* and vibriophage reads, and computed the mean coverage (number of reads

per base-pair) of the *V. cholerae* pangenome in the MIDAS database (Table 1).

Assembly and binning of Vibrio cholerae genomes

490   To recover good quality metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) of *V. cholerae,* we

491   selected metagenomic samples with coverage >10X against the *V. cholerae* pangenome in the

492   MIDAS database, and used MEGAHIT v.1.2.9 (Li et al. 2016) to perform *de novo* assembly. For

493   9 of the 11 selected samples, we independently assembled the genome of each sample, and co-

494   assembled the two remaining samples, which belong to the same patient (a symptomatic infected

495   contact on days 9 and 10). Contigs of <1.5 kb were discarded.

496   We extracted MAGs by binning of our metagenomic assemblies. Because no single

binning approach is superior in every case, with performance of the algorithms varying across

samples, we used different binning tools to recover MAGs. The quality of a metagenomic bin is

evaluated by its completeness (the level of coverage of a population genome), and the

contamination level (the amount of sequence that does not belong to this population from another

genome). These metrics can be estimated by counting the frequency of single-copy marker genes

within each bin (Parks et al. 2015). We inferred bins using CONCOCT v.1.1.0 (Alneberg et al.

2014), MaxBin 2 v.2.2.7 (Wu, Simmons, et Singer 2016) and MetaBAT 2 v.2.12.1 (Kang et al.

2019), with default parameters. We then used DAS_Tool v.1.1.1 on the results of these three

methods, to select a single set of non-redundant, high-quality bins per sample (Sieber et al. 2018).

DAS_Tool is a bin consolidation tool, which predicts single-copy genes in all the provided bin

sets, aggregates bins from the different binning predictions, and extracts a more complete

consensus bin from each aggregate such that the resulting bin has the most single-copy genes

while having a reasonably low number of duplicate genes (Sieber et al. 2018). We then used

Anvi'o v.6.1 (Eren et al. 2015) to manually refine the bins with contamination higher than 10%

and Centrifuge v.1.0.4_beta (Kim et al. 2016) to determine the taxonomy of all bins in each

sample, in order to identified *V. cholerae* MAGs.

Bins with completeness > 60% and contamination <10% were first selected, and those

assigned to *V. cholerae* were further filtered (completeness > 90% and contamination <1% for

the *V. cholerae* bins). We dereplicated the entire set of bins with dRep v.2.2.3 using a minimum

completeness of 60%, the ANImf algorithm, 99% secondary clustering threshold, maximum

contamination of 10%, and 25% minimum coverage overlap, and obtained 79 MAGs displaying

the best quality and representing individual metagenomic species (MGS).

Detection of Vibrio cholerae genetic diversity within and between metagenomic samples

We created a bowtie2 index of the 79 representative genomes from the dereplicated set,

including a single high-quality *Vibrio cholerae* MAG, and mapped reads from each sample to this

set. By including many diverse microbial genomes in the bowtie2 index, we aimed to avoid the

mismapping of reads from other species to the *V. cholerae* genome, and to reduce potential false

positive intra-host single nucleotide variant (iSNV) calls. We mapped the metagenomics reads of

each sample with a *V. cholerae* coverage value >5X (obtained with MIDAS) against the set of 79

MAGs, using Bowtie2 (Langmead et Salzberg 2012) with the --very-sensitive parameters. We

also used Prodigal (Hyatt et al. 2010) on the concatenated MAGs, in order to predict open

reading frames using default metagenomic settings.

We then used inStrain on the 15 selected samples

(https://instrain.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html). This program aims to identify and compare

the genetic heterogeneity of microbial populations within and between metagenomic samples

(Olm et al. 2020). "InStrain profile" was run on the mapping results, with the minimum percent

identity of read pairs to consensus set to 99%, minimum coverage to call a variant of 5X, and

minimum allele frequency to confirm a SNV equal to 0.05. All non-paired reads were filtered

out, as well as reads with an identity value below 0.99. Coverage and breadth of coverage

(percentage of reference base pairs covered by at least one read) were computed for each

genome. InStrain identified both bialllelic and multiallelic SNV frequencies at positions where

phred30 quality filtered reads differ from the reference genome and at positions where multiple

bases were simultaneously detected at levels above the expected sequencing error rate. SNVs

were classified as non-synonymous, synonymous, or intergenic based on gene annotations, and

gene functions were recovered from the Uniprot database (The UniProt Consortium 2019) and

BLAST (Madden 2003). Then, similar filters to those described in (Garud et al. 2019) were

applied to the detected SNVs. We excluded from the analysis positions with very low or high

coverage value D compared to the median coverage $\overline{D}$, and positions within 100 bp of contig

extremities. As sites with very low coverage could result from a bias in sequencing or library

preparation, and sites with higher coverage could arise from mapping error or be the result of

repetitive region or multi-copy genes not well assembled, we masked sites in all the samples if D

was $< 0.3\overline{D}$ and if D was $> 3\overline{D}$ in at least two samples.

Mutation spectrum of hypermutator and non-mutator samples

For each sample, iSNVs were categorized into six mutation types based on the chemical

nature of the nucleotide changes (transitions or transversions). We combined all the samples with

hypermutators and compared them to the mutation spectrum of the non-mutators. The mutation

spectrum was significantly different between the hypermutator samples and the non-

hypermutator samples (Chi-squared test, P<0.01). We then computed the mutation mean and

standard error of each of the six mutation types and compared the two groups (Figure 2C).

Bacterial replication rate estimation

560   Replication rates were estimated with the metric iRep (index of replication), which is

561 based on the measurement of the rate of the decrease in average sequence coverage from the

562 origin to the terminus of replication. iRep values (Brown et al. 2016) were calculated by mapping

563 the sequencing reads of each sample to the *V. cholerae* MAG assembled from that sample.

Tests for natural selection

First, we identified signals of convergent evolution in the form of nonsynonymous iSNVs

occurring independently in the same gene in multiple patients. To assess the significance of

convergent mutations, we compared their observed frequencies to expected frequencies in a

simple permutations model. We ran separate permutations for non-mutators (two genes with

convergent mutations in at least two out of eight non-mutator samples, including only one time

point from the patient sampled twice, and excluding the outlier patient A with a large number of

intergenic iSNVs) and possible hypermutators (five genes with convergent mutations in at least

two out of five possible hypermutator samples). In each permutation, we randomized the

locations of the nonsynonymous mutations, preserving the observed number of nonsynonymous

mutations in each sample, and the observed distribution of gene lengths. For simplicity, we

assumed that 2/3 of nucleotide sites in coding regions were nonsynonymous. We repeated the

permutations 1000 times and estimated a *P*-value as the fraction of permutations yielding greater

than or equal to the observed number of genes mutated in two or more samples.

Second, we compared natural selection at the protein level within versus between patients,

using the McDonald-Kreitman test (McDonald et Kreitman 1991). We again considered

hypermutators separately. Briefly, the four counts (Pn, Ps, Dn, Ds) of between-patient divergence

(D) vs. within-patient polymorphism (P), and non-synonymous (n) vs synonymous (s) mutations

were computed and tested for neutrality using a Fisher's exact test (FDR corrected P-

values < 0.05).

Whole genome sequencing analyses

Culture of Vibrio cholerae isolates

We selected three of the households with asymptomatic infected contacts (households 56,

57, and 58) for within-patient diversity analysis using multiple *V. cholerae* colonies per

individual. Each index case was sampled on the day of presentation to the icddr,b, and

asymptomatic contacts positive for *V. cholerae* were sampled on the following day, except for

one contact (household 58, contact 02). This individual was only positive on day 4 following

presentation of the index case, and we collected samples and cultured isolates from day 4 to day

8. Stool samples collected from three index cases and their respective infected contacts were

streaked onto thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts-sucrose agar (TCBS), a medium selective for *V.*

cholerae. After overnight incubation, individual colonies were inoculated into 5 ml Luria-Bertani

broth and grown at 37 °C overnight. For each colony, 1 ml of broth culture was stored at −80 °C

with 30% glycerol until DNA extraction. We used the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit,

using 1.5 ml bacteria grown in LB media, to extract the genomic DNA. In order to obtain pure

gDNA templates, we performed a RNase treatment followed by a purification with the MoBio

PowerClean Pro DNA Clean-Up Kit.

Whole genome sequencing and preprocessing

We prepared 48 sequencing libraries using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA library prep kit

(New England Biolabs) and sequenced them on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (paired-end 125 bp)

platform at the Genome Québec sequencing platform (McGill University). Sequencing fastq files

were quality checked with FastQC, and Kraken2 was used to test for potential contamination with

other bacterial species (Wood, Lu, et Langmead 2019).

Variant calling and phylogeny

We mapped the reads for each sample to the MJ-1236 reference genome and called single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, fixed within patients) and single nucleotide variants (SNVs,

variable within patients) using Snippy v.4.6.0 (Seemann 2015), with default parameters. A

concatenated alignment of these core variants was generated, and an unrooted phylogenic tree

was inferred using maximum parsimony (MP) in MEGA X (Stecher, Tamura, et Kumar 2020).

The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap

test (1000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The MP tree was obtained using the

Subtree-Pruning-Regrafting (SPR) algorithm with search level 1 in which the initial trees were

obtained by the random addition of sequences (10 replicates).

De novo assembly and pan genome analyses

We *de novo* assembled genomes from each isolate using SPAdes v.3.11.1 on the short reads, with

default parameters (Bankevich et al. 2012) and used Prokka v1.5 (Seemann 2014) to annotate

them. We constructed a pan-genome from the resulting annotated assemblies using Roary

v.3.13.0 (Page et al. 2015), identifying genes present in all isolates (core genome) and genes only

present in some isolates (flexible genome). The flexible genome and the phylogenetic tree were

visualized with Phandango v.1.1.0 (Hadfield et al. 2018).

628
629
630

DATA AVAILABILITY

All metagenomic sequence data are available in NCBI GenBank under BioProject PRJNA668607, and isolate genome sequences under BioProject PRJNA668606.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research Operating Grant to BJS, the icddr,b Centre for Health and Population Research, grants AI103055 (J.B.H and F.Q), AI106878 (E.T.R and F.Q.), AI058935 (E.T.R, S.B.C and F.Q.), T32A1070611976 and K08AI123494 (A.A.W.), and Emerging Global Fellowship Award TW010362 (T.R.B.), from the National Institutes of Health, and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Harold Amos Medical Faculty Development Program (R.C.C.).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the people of Dhaka where our study was undertaken; to the field, laboratory and data management staff who provided a tremendous effort to make the study successful; and to the people who provided valuable support in our study. The icddr,b gratefully acknowledges the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh; Global Affairs Canada (GAC); Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) and the Department for International Development, (UKAid). We declare that we have no competing financial interest.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

The Ethical and Research Review Committees of the icddr,b and the Institutional Review Board of MGH reviewed the study. All adult subjects provided informed consent and parents/guardians of children provided informed consent. Informed consent was written.

660   **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

661   The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Patient	Total number of iSNVs	Number of non-synonymous iSNVs	Number of synonymous iSNVs	Number of intergenic iSNVs	Mean coverage	iRep value	DNA repair and proofreading genes with NS mutation
Patient A	93	6	0	87	451.3X	3.34	-
Patient B	18	7	5	6	111.4X	1.7	-
Patient C	6	0	1	5	111.8X	1.7	-
Patient D	41	22	9	10	10X	5.43	DNA polymerase II
Patient E day 1	8	2	1	5	351X	3.25	-
Patient E day 2	21	7	1	13	258X	1.23	-
Patient F	207	133	47	27	18.2X	2.48	DNA mismatch repair endonuclease MutL Nuclease SbcCD subunit C
Patient G	16	12	3	1	7.7X	1.73	-
Patient H	32	21	11	0	98.5X	4.75	Excinuclease ABC subunit UvrB
Patient I	75	55	20	0	13X	2.79	MutT/nudix family protein
Patient J	6	1	0	5	424.6X	1.84	-
Patient K	25	13	6	6	18X	1.69	Formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase mutM
Patient L	13	9	1	3	164.4X	2.67	-
Patient M	2	0	1	1	113X	2.65	-
Patient N	7	2	1	3	6.7X	2.27	-

664 **Table 1. Within-patient *Vibrio cholerae* diversity profiles from 15 metagenomes.** Mutations

665 segregating within patients are denoted iSNVs. The number of iSNVs and mean coverage values

666 were computed with InStrain (Olm et al. 2020) and replication rate with iRep (Brown et al.

667 2016).

Protein	Patient A	Patient B	Patient D	Patient E	Patient F	Patient H	Patient I	Patient K
Hemolysin (VC cytolysin)	NS (0.22)	-	-	3 NS (0.22-0.43)	-	-	-	-
2-aminoethylphosphonate ABC transporter ferric-binding protein	-	NS (0.05)	-	NS (0.05)	-	-	-	-
Peptidase B	-	-	NS (0.33)	-	-	-	NS (0.09)	-
Nuclease SbcCD subunit C	-	-	S (0.28)	-	NS (0.09)	-	-	-
C4-dicarboxylate transport sensor protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.08)	-	NS (0.11)	-
zinc/cadmium/mercury/lead-transporting ATPase	-	-	-	-	NS (0.08)	-	-	NS (0.06)
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.14)	-	-	NS (0.14)
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	-	NS (0.33)	NS (0.11)	-	-
Formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase mutM	-	-	-	-	S (0.18)	-	-	NS (0.08)
Phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine synthase	-	-	-	-	-	-	NS (0.06)	S (0.08)

Table 2. Set of genes with convergent mutations identified in more than one patient. The

presence of a synonymous or non-synonymous iSNV in each gene and each patient is indicated

with S or NS, respectively, and the minor allele frequency is shown in parentheses. None of the

mutations were found at the same nucleotide or codon position. Patients containing possible or

likely hypermutators are underlined. Only genes and patients containing more than one mutated

gene are shown.

Type	Isolates	Mutation type	Nucleotide position in MJ-1236	Ref. nucleotide	Alt. nucleotide	Gene annotation	Metagenomic samples with same variant
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,53054	G	A	DNA mismatch repair protein MutS	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	S	Chr1, 198988	G	A	MSHA biogenesis protein MshQ	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1, 209665	G	A	MSHA biogenesis protein MshN	-
iSNV	56.00C4	NS	Chr1,374172	C	T	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 4,6-dehydratase	-
SNP	Household 58	NS	Chr1,410638	G	A	Phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase	Patients M, N
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,754154	C	T	1,4-dihydroxy-2-naphthoate polyprenyltransferase	-
SNP	Household 58	S	Chr1,841538	C	T	SSU ribosomal protein S4p	Patients L, M, N
SNP	Household 58	S	Chr1,1315021	T	G	Exported zinc metalloprotease YfgC precursor	Patients L, M, N
iSNV	58.02C1	S	Chr1,1576083	C	A	Periplasmic thiol:disulfide oxidoreductase DsbB	-
SNP	Patient 58.00	NS	Chr1,1689779	A	C	Sigma-54 dependent transcriptional regulator	-
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	NS	Chr1,2301641	G	A	Putative membrane protein	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,1744854	C	T	Hypothetical protein	-
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	NS	Chr1,2262202	A	G	Serine transporter	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,2301641	C	T	LacI family DNA-binding transcriptional regulator	Patients D, J, K
iSNV	57.00C5	NS	Chr1,2509468	C	T	cyclic-di-GMP-modulating response regulator	-
iSNV	56.01C1	NS	Chr1,2588496	C	T	Amidophosphoribosyltransferase	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,2693815	C	T	PTS system, trehalose-specific IIB component	-
SNP	Household 58	NS	Chr1,2806858	A	T	Citrate lyase alpha chain	Patients L, M, N
iSNV	56.00C1	S	Chr1,3037471	A	G	Hypothetical protein	-
SNP	Patient 58.00	NS	Chr1,3059131	C	T	DNA polymerase V (UmuC)	-
SNP	Households 56 and 57	NS	Chr1,3095039	G	A	Outer membrane protein OmpU	Patients D, F, G, I ,J, K
SNP	Contacts 58.01 and 58.02	S	Chr1,3105102	C	T	Glutamate-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase	-
iSNV	58.01d7C1	NS	Chr1,528409	C	T	Vibriolysin, extracellular zinc protease	-

675 **Table 3. Nucleotide changes identified in core genes of the *V. cholerae* isolates from index**

676 **cases (56.00, 57.00 and 58.00) and their asymptomatic contacts.** Genome position is according

677 to the MJ-1236 reference genome (CP001485.1, CP001486.1). Mutations segregating within

678 patients are denoted iSNVs; mutations fixed between patients are denoted SNP. Patient allele

679 frequency shows the allele frequency of the alternative (minor) allele. Ref=Reference allele;

680 Alt=Alternative allele. NS=non-synonymous; S=synonymous. Chr1=chromosome 1;

681 Chr2=chromosome

 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

684 **Figure S1. Minor allele frequency distributions for iSNVs in 15 metagenomic samples.**

685 Allele frequencies and mean coverage values (cov) were computed with Instrain (Olm et al.

686 2020)

688
689
690 **Figure S2. iSNVs numbers are not linked to depth of metagenomic read coverage (A) or**
691 **replication rate (B).** Patients with strong hypermutation phenotypes are represented in red, weak
692 hypermutation phenotypes in orange, and other samples in yellow.

694
695 **Figure S3. Functional categories of genes containing within-patient variants (iSNVs).** The
696 total number of synonymous and non-synonymous iSNVs in different functional categories was
697 represented for all patients (A), for the 5 patients with hypermutation phenotypes (B), and for the
698 9 patients without hypermutation phenotypes (C). Categories are ranked in descending order of
699 the number of non-synonymous iSNVs.

REFERENCES

Alcala A, Ramirez G, Solis A, Kim Y, Tan K, Luna O, Nguyen K, et al. 2020. « Structural and Functional Characterization of Three Type B and C Chloramphenicol Acetyltransferases from Vibrio Species ». Protein Science : A Publication of the Protein Society. Protein Sci. mars 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3793.

Ali, Mohammad, Allyson R. Nelson, Anna Lena Lopez, et David A. Sack. 2015. « Updated Global Burden of Cholera in Endemic Countries ». Édité par Justin V. Remais. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 9 (6): e0003832. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003832.

Alneberg, Johannes, Brynjar Smári Bjarnason, Ino de Bruijn, Melanie Schirmer, Joshua Quick, Umer Z Ijaz, Leo Lahti, Nicholas J Loman, Anders F Andersson, et Christopher Quince. 2014. « Binning Metagenomic Contigs by Coverage and Composition ». *Nature Methods* 11 (11): 1144–1146. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103.

Bachmann, Nathan L., Rebecca J. Rockett, Verlaine Joy Timms, et Vitali Sintchenko. 2018. « Advances in Clinical Sample Preparation for Identification and Characterization of Bacterial Pathogens Using Metagenomics ». *Frontiers in Public Health* 6 (décembre). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00363.

Bankevich, Anton, Sergey Nurk, Dmitry Antipov, Alexey A Gurevich, Mikhail Dvorkin, Alexander S Kulikov, Valery M Lesin, et al. 2012. « SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing ». *Journal of Computational Biology* 19 (5): 455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.

Brenzinger, Susanne, Lizah T. van der Aart, Gilles P. van Wezel, Jean-Marie Lacroix, Timo Glatter, et Ariane Briegel. 2019. « Structural and Proteomic Changes in Viable but Non-culturable Vibrio cholerae ». *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00793.

Brown, Christopher T., Matthew R. Olm, Brian C. Thomas, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2016. « Measurement of Bacterial Replication Rates in Microbial Communities ». *Nature Biotechnology* 34 (12): 1256-63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3704.

Camacho, Anton, Malika Bouhenia, Reema Alyusfi, Abdulhakeem Alkohlani, Munna Abdulla Mohammed Naji, Xavier de Radiguès, Abdinasir M Abubakar, et al. 2018. « Cholera Epidemic in Yemen, 2016–18: An Analysis of Surveillance Data ». *The Lancet Global Health* 6 (6): e680-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30230-4.

Chu, Nathaniel D., Sean A. Clarke, Sonia Timberlake, Martin F. Polz, Alan D. Grossman, et Eric J. Alm. 2017. « A Mobile Element in MutS Drives Hypermutation in a Marine Vibrio ». *MBio* 8 (1). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02045-16.

Croucher, Nicholas J., Simon R. Harris, Christophe Fraser, Michael A. Quail, John Burton, Mark van der Linden, Lesley McGee, et al. 2011. « Rapid Pneumococcal Evolution in Response to Clinical Interventions ». *Science* 331 (6016): 430-34. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1198545.

761 Darmon, Elise, Manuel A. Lopez-Vernaza, Anne C. Helness, Amanda Borking, Emily Wilson,
762 Zubin Thacker, Laura Wardrope, et David R. F. Leach. 2007. « SbcCD Regulation and
763 Localization in Escherichia Coli ». *Journal of Bacteriology* 189 (18): 6686-94.
764 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00489-07.
765

766 Denamur, Erick, Guillaume Lecointre, Pierre Darlu, Olivier Tenaillon, Cécile Acquaviva,
767 Chalom Sayada, Ivana Sunjevaric, et al. 2000. « Evolutionary Implications of the Frequent
768 Horizontal Transfer of Mismatch Repair Genes ». *Cell* 103 (5): 711-21.
769 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00175-6.
770

771 Didelot, Xavier, Christophe Fraser, Jennifer Gardy, et Caroline Colijn. 2017. « Genomic
772 Infectious Disease Epidemiology in Partially Sampled and Ongoing Outbreaks ». *Molecular*
773 *Biology and Evolution* 34 (4): 997-1007. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw275.
774

775 Didelot, Xavier, Bo Pang, Zhemin Zhou, Angela McCann, Peixiang Ni, Dongfang Li, Mark
776 Achtman, et Biao Kan. 2015. « The Role of China in the Global Spread of the Current Cholera
777 Pandemic ». *PLOS Genetics* 11 (3): e1005072. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005072.
778

779 Didelot, Xavier, A Sarah Walker, Tim E Peto, Derrick W Crook, et Daniel J Wilson. 2016.
780 « Within-Host Evolution of Bacterial Pathogens. » *Nature Publishing Group* 14 (3): 150–162.
781 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2015.13.
782

783 Domman, Daryl, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Matthew J. Dorman, Ankur Mutreja,
784 Muhammad Ikhtear Uddin, Anik Paul, et al. 2018. « Defining Endemic Cholera at Three Levels
785 of Spatiotemporal Resolution within Bangladesh ». *Nature Genetics* 50 (7): 951-55.
786 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0150-8.
787

788 Eisenstark, Abraham. 2010. « Genetic Diversity among Offspring from Archived Salmonella
789 enterica ssp. enterica Serovar Typhimurium (Demerec Collection): In Search of Survival
790 Strategies ». *Annual Review of Microbiology* 64 (1): 277-92.
791 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073614.
792

793 Eren, A. Murat, Özcan C. Esen, Christopher Quince, Joseph H. Vineis, Hilary G. Morrison,
794 Mitchell L. Sogin, et Tom O. Delmont. 2015. « Anvi'o: An Advanced Analysis and Visualization
795 Platform for 'omics Data ». *PeerJ* 3: e1319. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1319.
796

797 Eren, A. Murat, Joseph H. Vineis, Hilary G. Morrison, et Mitchell L. Sogin. 2013. « A Filtering
798 Method to Generate High Quality Short Reads Using Illumina Paired-End Technology ». *PLOS*
799 *ONE* 8 (6): e66643. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066643.
800

801 Foster, P. L., G. Gudmundsson, J. M. Trimarchi, H. Cai, et M. F. Goodman. 1995.
802 « Proofreading-Defective DNA Polymerase II Increases Adaptive Mutation in Escherichia Coli ».
803 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 92 (17): 7951-55.
804 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.17.7951.
805

806 Funchain, Pauline, Annie Yeung, Jean Lee Stewart, Rose Lin, Malgorzata M. Slupska, et Jeffrey

H. Miller. 2000. « The Consequences of Growth of a Mutator Strain of Escherichia Coli as Measured by Loss of Function Among Multiple Gene Targets and Loss of Fitness ». *Genetics* 154 (3): 959-70.

Gardy, Jennifer L., et Nicholas J. Loman. 2018. « Towards a Genomics-Informed, Real-Time, Global Pathogen Surveillance System ». *Nature Reviews Genetics* 19 (1): 9-20. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.88.

Garud, Nandita R., Benjamin H. Good, Oskar Hallatschek, et Katherine S. Pollard. 2019. « Evolutionary Dynamics of Bacteria in the Gut Microbiome within and across Hosts ». *PLOS Biology* 17 (1): e3000102. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000102.

Giraud, Antoine, Ivan Matic, Olivier Tenaillon, Antonio Clara, Miroslav Radman, Michel Fons, et François Taddei. 2001. « Costs and Benefits of High Mutation Rates: Adaptive Evolution of Bacteria in the Mouse Gut ». *Science* 291 (5513): 2606-8. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1056421.

Hadfield, James, Nicholas J. Croucher, Richard J. Goater, Khalil Abudahab, David M. Aanensen, et Simon R. Harris. 2018. « Phandango: An Interactive Viewer for Bacterial Population Genomics ». *Bioinformatics* 34 (2): 292-93. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx610.

Harris, Jason B., Ashraful I. Khan, Regina C. LaRocque, David J. Dorer, Fahima Chowdhury, Abu S. G. Faruque, David A. Sack, Edward T. Ryan, Firdausi Qadri, et Stephen B. Calderwood. 2005. « Blood Group, Immunity, and Risk of Infection with Vibrio Cholerae in an Area of Endemicity ». *Infection and Immunity* 73 (11): 7422-27. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.11.7422-7427.2005.

Harris, Jason B., Regina C. LaRocque, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Tanya Logvinenko, Abu S. G. Faruque, Edward T. Ryan, Firdausi Qadri, et Stephen B. Calderwood. 2008. « Susceptibility to Vibrio cholerae Infection in a Cohort of Household Contacts of Patients with Cholera in Bangladesh ». *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2 (4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000221.

Hyatt, Doug, Gwo-Liang Chen, Philip F. LoCascio, Miriam L. Land, Frank W. Larimer, et Loren J. Hauser. 2010. « Prodigal: Prokaryotic Gene Recognition and Translation Initiation Site Identification ». *BMC Bioinformatics* 11 (1): 119. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119.

Jolivet-Gougeon, Anne, Bela Kovacs, Sandrine Le Gall-David, Hervé Le Bars, Latifa Bousarghin, Martine Bonnaure-Mallet, Bernard Lobel, François Guillé, Claude-James Soussy, et Peter Tenke. 2011. « Bacterial hypermutation: clinical implications ». *Journal of Medical Microbiology,* 60 (5): 563-73. https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.024083-0.

Kang, Dongwan, Feng Li, Edward S Kirton, Ashleigh Thomas, Rob S Egan, Hong An, et Zhong Wang. 2019. « MetaBAT 2: An Adaptive Binning Algorithm for Robust and Efficient Genome Reconstruction from Metagenome Assemblies ». Preprint. PeerJ Preprints. https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27522v1.

Kendall, Emily A, Fahima Chowdhury, Yasmin Begum, Ashraful I Khan, Shan Li, James H

854   Thierer, Jason Bailey, et al. 2010. « Relatedness of Vibrio Cholerae O1/O139 Isolates from
855   Patients and Their Household Contacts, Determined by Multilocus Variable-Number Tandem-
856   Repeat Analysis. » *Journal of Bacteriology* 192 (17): 4367–4376.
857   https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00698-10.
858
859   Kim, Daehwan, Li Song, Florian P. Breitwieser, et Steven L. Salzberg. 2016. « Centrifuge: Rapid
860   and Sensitive Classification of Metagenomic Sequences ». *Genome Research* 26 (12): 1721–
861   1729. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.210641.116.
862
863   King, Aaron A., Edward L. Ionides, Mercedes Pascual, et Menno J. Bouma. 2008. « Inapparent
864   Infections and Cholera Dynamics ». *Nature* 454 (7206): 877-80.
865   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07084.
866
867   Kunkel, Thomas A., et Dorothy A. Erie. 2005. « Dna mismatch repair ». *Annual Review of*
868   *Biochemistry* 74 (1): 681-710. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133243.
869
870   Labat, Francoise, Olivier Pradillon, Louis Garry, Michel Peuchmaur, Bruno Fantin, et Erick
871   Denamur. 2005. « Mutator Phenotype Confers Advantage in Escherichia Coli Chronic Urinary
872   Tract Infection Pathogenesis ». *FEMS Immunology & Medical Microbiology* 44 (3): 317-21.
873   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsim.2005.01.003.
874
875   Langmead, Ben, et Steven L Salzberg. 2012. « Fast Gapped-Read Alignment with Bowtie 2. »
876   *Nature Methods* 9 (4): 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.
877
878   Lee, Seung-Joo, Rou-Jia Sung, et Gregory L. Verdine. 2019. « Mechanism of DNA Lesion
879   Homing and Recognition by the Uvr Nucleotide Excision Repair System ». Research article.
880   Research. 2019. https://spj.sciencemag.org/research/2019/5641746/.
881
882   Levade, Inès, Morteza M. Saber, Firas Midani, Fahima Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, Yasmin A.
883   Begum, Edward T. Ryan, et al. 2020. « Predicting Vibrio Cholerae Infection and Disease
884   Severity Using Metagenomics in a Prospective Cohort Study ». *BioRxiv*, février,
885   2020.02.25.960930. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.25.960930.
886
887   Levade, Inès, Yves Terrat, Jean-Baptiste Leducq, Ana A. Weil, Leslie M. Mayo-Smith, Fahima
888   Chowdhury, Ashraful I. Khan, et al. 2017. « Vibrio cholerae genomic diversity within and
889   between patients ». *Microbial Genomics* 3 (12). https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000142.
890
891   Li, Dinghua, Ruibang Luo, Chi-Man Liu, Chi-Ming Leung, Hing-Fung Ting, Kunihiko
892   Sadakane, Hiroshi Yamashita, et Tak-Wah Lam. 2016. « MEGAHIT v1.0: A Fast and Scalable
893   Metagenome Assembler Driven by Advanced Methodologies and Community Practices ».
894   *Methods (San Diego, Calif.)* 102: 3–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.02.020.
895
896   Lieberman, Tami D, Kelly B Flett, Idan Yelin, Thomas R Martin, Alexander J McAdam, Gregory
897   P Priebe, et Roy Kishony. 2013. « Genetic variation of a bacterial pathogen within individuals
898   with cystic fibrosis provides a record of selective pressures ». *Nature Genetics* 46 (1): 82-87.
899   https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2848.
900

901   Lovett, Susan T. 2011. « The DNA exonucleases of Escherichia coli ». *EcoSal Plus* 4 (2).
902   https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.4.4.7.
903
904   Lu, A.-Lien, Xianghong Li, Yesong Gu, Patrick M. Wright, et Dau-Yin Chang. 2001. « Repair of
905   Oxidative DNA Damage ». *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics* 35 (2): 141-70.
906   https://doi.org/10.1385/CBB:35:2:141.
907
908   Madden, Tom. 2003. *The BLAST Sequence Analysis Tool*. *The NCBI Handbook [Internet]*.
909   National Center for Biotechnology Information (US).
910   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK21097/.
911
912   Marvig, Rasmus Lykke, Helle Krogh Johansen, Søren Molin, et Lars Jelsbak. 2013. « Genome
913   Analysis of a Transmissible Lineage of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Reveals Pathoadaptive
914   Mutations and Distinct Evolutionary Paths of Hypermutators ». *PLOS Genetics* 9 (9): e1003741.
915   https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003741.
916
917   McDonald, John H., et Martin Kreitman. 1991. « Adaptive Protein Evolution at the Adh Locus in
918   Drosophila ». *Nature* 351 (6328): 652-54. https://doi.org/10.1038/351652a0.
919
920   Midani, Firas S., Ana A. Weil, Fahima Chowdhury, Yasmin A. Begum, Ashraful I. Khan, Meti
921   D. Debela, Heather K. Durand, et al. 2018. « Human Gut Microbiota Predicts Susceptibility to
922   Vibrio Cholerae Infection ». *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 218 (4): 645-53.
923   https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy192.
924
925   Nayfach, Stephen, Beltran Rodriguez-Mueller, Nandita Garud, et Katherine S. Pollard. 2016.
926   « An Integrated Metagenomics Pipeline for Strain Profiling Reveals Novel Patterns of Bacterial
927   Transmission and Biogeography ». *Genome Research* 26 (11): 1612-25.
928   https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.201863.115.
929
930   Nelson, Eric J., Jason B. Harris, J. Glenn Morris, Stephen B. Calderwood, et Andrew Camilli.
931   2009. « Cholera transmission: the host, pathogen and bacteriophage dynamic ». *Nature Reviews*
932   *Microbiology* 7 (10): 693-702. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2204.
933
934   O'Hara, Brendan J., Zachary K. Barth, Amelia C. McKitterick, et Kimberley D. Seed. 2017. « A
935   Highly Specific Phage Defense System Is a Conserved Feature of the Vibrio Cholerae
936   Mobilome ». *PLOS Genetics* 13 (6): e1006838. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006838.
937
938   Oliver, A., et A. Mena. 2010. « Bacterial Hypermutation in Cystic Fibrosis, Not Only for
939   Antibiotic Resistance ». *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 16 (7): 798-808.
940   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03250.x.
941
942   Olivier, Verena, G. Kenneth Haines, Yanping Tan, et Karla J. Fullner Satchell. 2007.
943   « Hemolysin and the Multifunctional Autoprocessing RTX Toxin Are Virulence Factors during
944   Intestinal Infection of Mice with Vibrio Cholerae El Tor O1 Strains ». *Infection and Immunity* 75
945   (10): 5035-42. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00506-07.
946

Olm, Matthew R., Alexander Crits-Christoph, Keith Bouma-Gregson, Brian Firek, Michael J.
Morowitz, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2020. « InStrain Enables Population Genomic Analysis from
Metagenomic Data and Rigorous Detection of Identical Microbial Strains ». *BioRxiv*, janvier,
2020.01.22.915579. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.22.915579.

Olson, Rich, et Eric Gouaux. 2005. « Crystal Structure of the Vibrio Cholerae Cytolysin (VCC)
Pro-Toxin and Its Assembly into a Heptameric Transmembrane Pore ». *Journal of Molecular*
Biology 350 (5): 997-1016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.05.045.

Page, Andrew J., Carla A. Cummins, Martin Hunt, Vanessa K. Wong, Sandra Reuter, Matthew
T.G. Holden, Maria Fookes, Daniel Falush, Jacqueline A. Keane, et Julian Parkhill. 2015.
« Roary: Rapid Large-Scale Prokaryote Pan Genome Analysis ». *Bioinformatics* 31 (22):
3691-93. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421.

Parks, Donovan H., Michael Imelfort, Connor T. Skennerton, Philip Hugenholtz, et Gene W.
Tyson. 2015. « CheckM: Assessing the Quality of Microbial Genomes Recovered from Isolates,
Single Cells, and Metagenomes ». *Genome Research* 25 (7): 1043-55.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.

Phelps, Matthew D., Lone Simonsen, et Peter K. M. Jensen. 2019. « Individual and Household
Exposures Associated with Cholera Transmission in Case–Control Studies: A Systematic
Review ». *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 24 (10): 1151-68.
https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.13293.

Quince, Christopher, Alan W Walker, Jared T Simpson, Nicholas J Loman, et Nicola Segata.
2017. « Shotgun Metagenomics, from Sampling to Analysis ». *Nature Biotechnology* 35 (9):
833-44. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3935.

Ram, Geeta, John Chen, Krishan Kumar, Hope F. Ross, Carles Ubeda, Priyadarshan K. Damle,
Kristin D. Lane, José R. Penadés, Gail E. Christie, et Richard P. Novick. 2012. « Staphylococcal
Pathogenicity Island Interference with Helper Phage Reproduction Is a Paradigm of Molecular
Parasitism ». *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (40): 16300-305.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1204615109.

Satchell, Karla J. F., Christopher J. Jones, Jennifer Wong, Jessica Queen, Shivani Agarwal, et
Fitnat H. Yildiz. 2016. « Phenotypic Analysis Reveals That the 2010 Haiti Cholera Epidemic Is
Linked to a Hypervirulent Strain ». *Infection and Immunity* 84 (9): 2473-81.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00189-16.

Scholz, Matthias, Doyle V. Ward, Edoardo Pasolli, Thomas Tolio, Moreno Zolfo, Francesco
Asnicar, Duy Tin Truong, Adrian Tett, Ardythe L. Morrow, et Nicola Segata. 2016. « Strain-
Level Microbial Epidemiology and Population Genomics from Shotgun Metagenomics ». *Nature*
Methods 13 (5): 435-38. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3802.

Seed, Kimberley D., Kip L. Bodi, Andrew M. Kropinski, Hans-Wolfgang Ackermann, Stephen
B. Calderwood, Firdausi Qadri, et Andrew Camilli. 2011. « Evidence of a Dominant Lineage of

Vibrio Cholerae-Specific Lytic Bacteriophages Shed by Cholera Patients over a 10-Year Period
in Dhaka, Bangladesh ». *MBio* 2 (1). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00334-10.
995
996 Seed, Kimberley D., Shah M. Faruque, John J. Mekalanos, Stephen B. Calderwood, Firdausi
997 Qadri, et Andrew Camilli. 2012. « Phase Variable O Antigen Biosynthetic Genes Control
998 Expression of the Major Protective Antigen and Bacteriophage Receptor in Vibrio cholerae O1 ».
999 Édité par Karla J. F. Satchell. *PLoS Pathogens* 8 (9): e1002917.
1000 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002917.
1001
1002 Seed, Kimberley D., David W. Lazinski, Stephen B. Calderwood, et Andrew Camilli. 2013. « A
1003 Bacteriophage Encodes Its Own CRISPR/Cas Adaptive Response to Evade Host Innate
1004 Immunity ». *Nature* 494 (7438): 489-91. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11927.
1005
1006 Seed, Kimberley D, Minmin Yen, B Jesse Shapiro, Isabelle J Hilaire, Richelle C Charles, Jessica
1007 E Teng, Louise C Ivers, Jacques Boncy, Jason B Harris, et Andrew Camilli. 2014. « Evolutionary
1008 Consequences of Intra-Patient Phage Predation on Microbial Populations. » *ELife* 3 (août):
1009 e03497. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03497.
1010
1011 Seemann, Torsten. 2014. « Prokka: Rapid Prokaryotic Genome Annotation ». *Bioinformatics*
1012 *(Oxford, England)* 30 (14): 2068–2069. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.
1013 ———. 2015. « Snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads ». 2015.
1014 https://github.com/tseemann/snippy.
1015
1016 Sieber, Christian M. K., Alexander J. Probst, Allison Sharrar, Brian C. Thomas, Matthias Hess,
1017 Susannah G. Tringe, et Jillian F. Banfield. 2018. « Recovery of Genomes from Metagenomes via
1018 a Dereplication, Aggregation and Scoring Strategy ». *Nature Microbiology* 3 (7): 836-43.
1019 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0171-1.
1020
Stecher, Glen, Koichiro Tamura, et Sudhir Kumar. 2020. « Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
Analysis (MEGA) for MacOS ». *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 37 (4): 1237-39.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz312.
The UniProt Consortium. 2019. « UniProt: A Worldwide Hub of Protein Knowledge ». *Nucleic*
Acids Research 47 (D1): D506-15. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049.
Tischler, Anna D., et Andrew Camilli. 2004. « Cyclic diguanylate (c-di-GMP) regulates Vibrio
cholerae biofilm formation ». *Molecular microbiology* 53 (3): 857-69.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04155.x.
1029
1030
1031 Vezzulli, L., C. Grande, G. Tassistro, I. Brettar, M. G. Höfle, R. P. A. Pereira, D. Mushi, A.
1032 Pallavicini, P. Vassallo, et C. Pruzzo. 2017. « Whole-Genome Enrichment Provides Deep
1033 Insights into Vibrio Cholerae Metagenome from an African River ». *Microbial Ecology* 73 (3):
1034 734-38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-016-0902-x.
1035
1036 Wang, Hui, Xiaolin Xing, Jipeng Wang, Bo Pang, Ming Liu, Jessie Larios-Valencia, Tao Liu, et
1037 al. 2018. « Hypermutation-Induced in Vivo Oxidative Stress Resistance Enhances Vibrio
1038 Cholerae Host Adaptation ». *PLOS Pathogens* 14 (10): e1007413.
1039 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007413.

1040
1041 Weil, Ana A., Mohammad Arifuzzaman, Taufiqur R. Bhuiyan, Regina C. LaRocque, Aaron M.
1042 Harris, Emily A. Kendall, Azim Hossain, et al. 2009. « Memory T-Cell Responses to Vibrio
1043 Cholerae O1 Infection ». *Infection and Immunity* 77 (11): 5090-96.
1044 https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00793-09.
1045
1046 Weil, Ana A., Louise C. Ivers, et Jason B. Harris. 2011. « Cholera: Lessons from Haiti and
1047 Beyond ». *Current Infectious Disease Reports* 14 (1): 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11908-011-
1048 0221-9.
1049
1050 Weil, Ana A., Ashraful I. Khan, Fahima Chowdhury, Regina C. LaRocque, A. S. G. Faruque,
1051 Edward T. Ryan, Stephen B. Calderwood, Firdausi Qadri, et Jason B. Harris. 2009. « Clinical
1052 Outcomes in Household Contacts of Patients with Cholera in Bangladesh ». *Clinical Infectious*
1053 *Diseases* 49 (10): 1473-79. https://doi.org/10.1086/644779.
1054
1055 Weil, Ana A., et Edward T. Ryan. 2018. « Cholera: Recent Updates ». *Current Opinion in*
1056 *Infectious Diseases* 31 (5): 455–461. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000474.
1057 Wood, Derrick E., Jennifer Lu, et Ben Langmead. 2019. « Improved Metagenomic Analysis with
1058 Kraken 2 ». *Genome Biology* 20 (1): 257. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0.
1059
1060 Wu, Yu-Wei, Blake A. Simmons, et Steven W. Singer. 2016. « MaxBin 2.0: An Automated
1061 Binning Algorithm to Recover Genomes from Multiple Metagenomic Datasets ». *Bioinformatics*
1062 32 (4): 605–607. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638.
1063
1064 Zeibell, Krystle, Sharon Aguila, Vivian Yan Shi, Andrea Chan, Hanjing Yang, et Jeffrey H.
1065 Miller. 2007. « Mutagenesis and Repair in Bacillus Anthracis: The Effect of Mutators ». *Journal*
1066 *of Bacteriology* 189 (6): 2331-38. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01656-06.
1067
1068 Zhao, Shijie, Tami D. Lieberman, Mathilde Poyet, Kathryn M. Kauffman, Sean M. Gibbons,
1069 Mathieu Groussin, Ramnik J. Xavier, et Eric J. Alm. 2019. « Adaptive Evolution within Gut
1070 Microbiomes of Healthy People ». *Cell Host & Microbe* 25 (5): 656-667.e8.
1071 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.03.007.
1072
1073