Evaluation of the antiviral effect of chlorine dioxide (ClO$_2$) using a vertebrate model inoculated

with avian coronavirus

Zambrano-Estrada, Xóchitl[a], Domínguez-Sánchez, Carlos[b], Banuet-Martínez, Marina[b], Guerrero de

la Rosa, Fabiola[b], García-Gasca, Teresa[c], Prieto-Valiente, Luis[d], Acevedo-Whitehouse, Karina[b]

[a] Laboratory of Veterinary Diagnostic Pathology. School of Natural Sciences. Autonomous

University of Queretaro. Av. De las Ciencias S/N. Juriquilla, Queretaro. Qro. C.P.76230. Mexico

[b] Unit for Basic and Applied Microbiology. School of Natural Sciences. Autonomous University of

Queretaro. Anillo Vial Fray Junípero Serra, Querétaro, Qro. C.P. 76140, Mexico

[c] Laboratory of Cellular Biology. School of Natural Sciences. Autonomous University of Queretaro.

Av. De las Ciencias S/N. Juriquilla, Queretaro. Qro. C.P. 76230. Mexico

[d] Bioestadística Médica y Metodología de la Investigación, Análisis Estadístico y Big Data,

Universidad Católica de Murcia. Av. de los Jerónimos, 135 Guadalupe de Maciascoque Murcia,

30107. Spain

Corresponding author: Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse (karina.acevedo.whitehouse@uaq.mx)

Abstract

The need for safe and effective antiviral treatments is pressing given the number of viral infections

that are prevalent in animal and human populations, often causing devastating economic losses

and mortality. Informal accounts of anecdotal use of chlorine dioxide (ClO_2), a well-known

disinfectant and antiseptic, in COVID-19 patients has raised concern about potential toxicity, but

also raises the question that ClO_2 might elicit antiviral effects, a possibility that has never been

examined *in vivo* in any animal model. Here, we challenged the hypothesis that ClO_2 decreases the

viral load and virus-induced mortality in a vertebrate model. For this, we determined viral load,

virus-induced lesions and mortality in 10-day old chick embryos inoculated with 10^4 mean

EID_{50}/mL of attenuated Massachusetts and Connecticut avian coronavirus (IBV) strains. The ClO_2

treatment had a marked impact on IBV infection. Namely, viral titres were 2.4-fold lower and

mortality was reduced by half in infected embryos that were treated with ClO_2. Infection led to

developmental abnormalities regardless of treatment. Lesions typical of IBV infections were

observed in all inoculated embryos, but severity tended to be significantly lower in ClO_2-treated

embryos. We found no gross or microscopic evidence of toxicity caused by ClO_2 at the doses used

herein. Our study shows that ClO_2 could be a safe and viable way of treating and mitigating the

effects of avian coronavirus infections, and raises the possibility that similar effects could be

observed in other organisms.

Keywords

Chlorine dioxide, ClO_2, coronavirus, IBV, antiviral, chick embryo

Background

To date, despite advances in the identification of compounds with antiviral activity, the available

repertoire of antiviral drugs is insufficient. Given the number of endemic viruses that impact the

health of wild and domestic animals as well as human populations the need for effective and safe

antivirals is urgent. The COVID19 pandemic has evidenced this need; since its start in January

2020, a steep rise in cases and associated mortality, mounting pressure on health services

worldwide, and a marked damage to the economy of most countries [1, 2] warrants rigorous,

unbiased investigation of compounds with potential antiviral action.

The surge in COVID19 cases and the lack of effective treatments have led to a growing number of

informal reports and testimonials across social networks regarding the oral and intravenous use of

chlorine dioxide (ClO_2) solution as an efficacious treatment of COVID19. In an unprecedented

move, the Senate of Bolivia authorized the extraordinary use of ClO_2 to "manufacture, sell, supply

and use ClO_2 to prevent and treat COVID19" (law PL N° 219/2019-2020 CS approved 15 July 2020).

This situation has sparked concern from health agencies of various countries regarding the

potential toxicity of using this disinfectant as a treatment, given that ClO_2 has strong oxidative

properties. Chlorine dioxide has been known since 1946 for its broad antimicrobial properties [3],

and it is commonly used to purify water for human consumption, decontaminate produce and

other food items [4], and disinfect surgical instruments [5]. According to the Food and Drug

Administration (FDA) of the US, consuming ClO_2 can lead to adverse effects such as 'vomiting,

diarrhoea, dehydration, abdominal pain, metahemaglobinemia and systemic failures that could

potentially lead to death'. However, an impartial review of the scientific peer-reviewed literature

reveals few case reports of human patients presenting adverse effects after consumption of ClO_2,

none of which were fatal, and reversed completely after treatment [6–9]. Published studies on

ClO$_2$ toxicity have reported null to mild effects in adult humans and other animals [10–12] and

have stressed that toxicity is strongly dose-dependent [12–14].

There is evidence of virucidal activity of ClO$_2$ against echovirus [15], enterovirus [16], poliovirus

[17], rotavirus [18], norovirus [19, 20], calicivirus [19], and coronavirus [21]. However, there are

few publications that explore antiviral effects *in vitro* and *in vivo*. One of the few published studies

found that mice exposed to influenza A virus in an environment that contained aerosolized ClO$_2$

had significantly lower mortality than mice that were solely exposed to Influenza A virus [22]. The

authors reported that the antiviral effect was due to denaturation of hemagglutinin and

neuraminidase glycoproteins, a finding that concurs with previous studies that explain the

virucidal mechanism of action of ClO$_2$ due to oxidation of amino acid residues that are key for cell

entry [23–25]. More recently, the antiviral mechanism of action of ClO$_2$ was investigated *in vitro*

using pig alveolar macrophages and MARC-145 cells exposed to the porcine reproductive and

respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV1), finding that the chemical also inhibits the synthesis of pro-

inflammatory molecules that contribute to the pathogenesis of this disease [26]. The authors

concluded that viral synthesis of RNA and proteins was impeded by ClO$_2$, leading to a reduction in

viral replication. Despite these studies, to date there has been no published report of an *in vivo*

assessment of the antiviral effect of ClO$_2$. Here, we have investigated the effect of a ClO$_2$ solution

in 10-day old chick embryos inoculated with attenuated avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus

(IBV) Massachusetts and Connecticut vaccine strains, which are pathogenic for birds during their

embryonic stages of development [27].

Results

Avian coronavirus RNA was detected in all of the embryos that were inoculated with the vaccine

(n=15, in all cases Cq <40). The viral load varied significantly between treatments (ANOVA;

$F_{2,12}=4.421$, p=0.036; Fig. 1), being 2.4 times higher in the untreated embryos. The average viral

load of ClO_2-treated chicks was $10^{4.3}$/mL, range: $10^{3.66}$ – $10^{5.03}$ and of untreated chicks was

$10^{4.83}$/mL, range: $10^{4.52}$ – $10^{5.01}$, respectively (Tukey HSD, Group E *vs*. F, p = 0.03). There were no

differences in the viral load between both ClO_2-treated groups (p>0.05).

Mortality differed significantly between virus-inoculated (46.7%) and virus-free embryos (46.7%)

(Fisher's exact p = 0.018), (Fig. 2). In the viral-inoculated groups, 1/5 (**20 %)** embryos treated with a

low dose of ClO_2, 2/5 (**40 %)** embryos treated with a high dose of ClO_2, and 4/5 (**80 %)** untreated

embryos died (Groups D, E and F, respectively). The odds of survival were, on average, 4.0 times

higher for each increasing ClO_2 dosage (Logistic regression; unilateral P-value = 0.039; see

supplementary material).

Body mass, embryonic axis length and femur length differed between the virus-inoculated and

virus free-groups, regardless of ClO_2 treatment. All of the virus-inoculated embryos exhibited

dwarfing and had, on average, 38% lower mass (t = 21.15, df = 29.40, p = 2.2×10^{-16}; Fig. 3A), 10%

shorter axis length (t = 58.43, df = 29.26, p = 2.2×10^{-16}; Fig. 3B), and 20% shorter femur length (t =

8.49, df = 23.34, p = 1.4×10^{-8}; Fig 3C) than the virus-free groups. When analysing growth in the

virus-inoculated groups, mass was significantly higher in embryos that were treated with ClO_2 (t = -

2.74, df = 12.98, p = 0.017). Body length of virus-inoculated chicks did not vary according to ClO_2

treatment (p > 0.1). See supplementary material for photographs of the embryos.

Lesions previously described in embryos infected with avian coronavirus were observed at post

mortem examination. Namely, curling, the presence of white caseous material (urates), thickened

amnion and allantoic membranes that adhered to the embryos, oedematous serous membranes,

epidermal congestion, and subcutaneous haemorrhage (Table 2). Virus-inoculated embryos

treated with ClO_2 had a lower risk of epidermal congestion (RR = 0.4; Wald 95% CI: 0.187- 0.855; p

= 0.04), haemorrhage (RR = 0.1; Wald 95% CI: 0.016 - 0.642; p = 0.002), curling (RR = 0.019; Wald

95% CI: 0.125 - 0.844; p = 0.017) and thickened membranes (RR = 0; p = 0.003) than untreated

infected embryos. All the embryos had a pale liver and mildly congested lungs, regardless of their

experimental group. Pale enlarged kidneys were observed in the virus-inoculated groups but not in

the virus-free groups, regardless of ClO_2-treatment (see Table 2).

Microscopic lesions compatible with avian IBV infection were observed in various organs in all

virus-inoculated groups (Fig. 4). The severity of the lesions was either similar or slightly lower in

the embryos that had been treated with ClO_2 than in the embryos that did not receive any

treatment (Table 3). Two exceptions were the kidneys and the duodenum. In the kidneys, swelling

and degeneration of renal tubular epithelium was more common and more severe in the infected

chicks that were administered ClO_2 than in the infected embryos that did not receive ClO_2,

although the former presented mitotic cells. The duodenal villi of the embryos in the IBV-infected

groups were longer (ANOVA; $F_{5,18}$ = 5.62, p = 0.003), and their base was wider (ANOVA; $F_{5,18}$ =

13.65, p = 1.39 x 10^{-05}) than embryos from the none-infected groups, and they were moderately

congested. Duodenal villous atrophy varied amongst groups (ANOVA; $F_{5,18}$ = 5.71, p = 0.003) and

post-hoc comparisons revealed that the significant differences were E *vs.* B (p = 0.021) and E *vs.* C

(p = 0.001). The percent of bursal lymphoid tissue decreased markedly in the virus-inoculated

embryos (ANOVA; $F_{5,12}$ = 3.58, p = 0.033; see Fig. 4). Virus-inoculated embryos showed mild

apoptosis in the thymus and heterophilic infiltration. Amongst the six experimental groups, all of

the embryos examined presented subacute heterophilic bursitis, and pulmonary interstitial

multifocal heterophilic foci with congestion (Table 3).

There were no observable alterations to the architecture and integrity of the tissues of non-

infected embryos that were administered ClO_2 (Experimental groups B and C), nor was any

difference in the area (μm^2) of the thyroid (p>0.1). ClO_2-treated groups showed a slightly higher

myeloid to erythroid ratio in the bone marrow than the experimental control group and the viral

control group, although the difference was not statistically significant (ANOVA; $F_{5,15}$ = 2.33, p =

0.094).

Discussion

We have investigated the antiviral effect of chlorine dioxide (ClO_2) using avian infectious bronchitis

coronavirus (IBV)-infected chick embryos as models. At the concentrations used (30 ppm and 300

ppm), both below the reported NOAEL [13], we observed a reduction in viral titre in infected

embryos that were treated with ClO_2. Furthermore, mortality decreased substantially in the ClO_2-

treated embryos, although alterations to chick development were prevalent regardless of

treatment.

Virulent avian IBV strains typically have a burst size of 10 to 100 infective units per cell [28], which

can reach peak virus titres of $10^{6.5}$–$10^{8.5}$ $TCID_{50}$ after 36 hours [29]. The vaccine strains used here

have lower replication efficiencies as they are attenuated [30], but they are capable of replicating

and causing damage in chick embryos [27]. With 2,000 infective units (200 µl of 10^4 infective

units/mL) inoculated into each embryo, the ClO_2 treatments decreased viral load 2.4-fold

compared to the infected non-treated embryos, representing an average difference of 42,711

infective units. This result could be explained by two non-mutually exclusive mechanisms. Firstly,

direct destruction or neutralization of the virions exposed to ClO_2 could have occurred by

denaturing of envelope glycoproteins following oxidation of amino acid residues [22, 23, 25].

Secondly, viral replication efficiency could have decreased due to ClO_2–induced biochemical

changes in the extra- or intracellular milieu, impeding the synthesis of viral RNA and proteins [26,

31].

The effects of reduced viral titres in the infected embryos were evident, with a 50 to 75%

reduction in mortality in the treated embryos treated with 300 ppm and 30 ppm of ClO_2,

respectively. However, developmental abnormalities were observed in the majority of the infected

embryos, including those that received ClO_2 treatment. Namely, dwarfing, assessed by body mass,

axis length, and femur length were significantly lower in all infected embryos, as expected to occur

in IBV infections [32]. In contrast, curling [33, 34] was virtually absent in the embryos that received

ClO_2 after infection. Lesions associated con IBV infection [35, 36] were observed in most of the

infected embryos, including those that were administered ClO_2. However, for most of these

lesions, severity was lower or similar in the ClO_2-treated groups. One exception was in the kidneys,

where nephrosis was more severe and frequent in the IBV-infected embryos treated with ClO_2.

Nephrosis is unlikely to have occurred due to ClO_2 treatment *per se*, given that none of the

uninfected embryos that were administered ClO_2 showed kidney abnormalities. Similarly, atrophy

of the duodenal villi was highest in the inoculated group that was administered a high dose of

ClO_2, but absent in the non-infected groups that only received ClO_2. If duodenal atrophy and

nephropathogenicity in IBV-infected embryos are mitigated by inflammatory responses [37], it is

possible that the observed damage is due to ClO_2-driven downregulation of acute inflammation

that allowed virion replication in the tubular epithelium and in the duodenal villi. This scenario

could be plausible if we consider that ClO_2-treated pig alveolar macrophages and African green

monkey kidney cells infected with the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus

(PRRSV1) downregulate pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6 and TNF-α [26]. There are two drugs,

based on chloride, whose describe mechanism of action might be similar to that elicited by ClO_2.

One is the drug NP001 (a pH-adjusted stabilized form of $NaClO_2$) exerts strong anti-inflammatory

responses by inhibiting macrophage activation in humans, even after a single dose [38]. In turn,

WF10 (the commercial name for tetrachlorodecaoxyde, $Cl_4H_2O_{11}$), an oxidizing agent that contains

63.0 mmol/L chlorite and 56.4 mmol/L chloride [39] induces apoptosis of inflammatory cells and

downregulates pro-inflammatory genes [40, 41]. More studies will be needed to establish whether

the effect of ClO_2 on IBV-infected chicks is due to similar mechanisms. Interestingly, the affected

renal tubules of the chick embryos had mitotic cells, suggestive of regeneration as a reparative

response to damage of the renal tubular epithelium [42–44]. This possibility will need to be

explored further in the animal model used in our study. Unfortunately, knowledge of IBV

pathogenesis, immune responses and tissue reparation in the embryonated egg is limited. In

hatched birds, IBV can impact lymphocyte populations by inducing apoptosis, thus impeding virus

clearance [45]. We found some evidence of this effect, as the virus-inoculated embryos had a

reduced percent of bursal lymphoid tissue.

It is plausible that even though ClO_2 limited viral replication, given that the embryos were only

administered a single dose of ClO_2 solution, not all virions were eliminated. The viruses that

remained viable after administration of the ClO_2 were able to replicate, yielding lower viral titres,

and the damage that they caused to the embryos was less severe and ultimately led to less

mortality than in the untreated infected embryos. Repeated administrations of ClO_2 solution might

have reduced the viral load further, plausibly leading to even less virus-induced damage, a

possibility that we could not explore in this model. However, an important result of our study was

the lack of evidence of tissue damage caused by ClO_2 itself. Only one (20%) of the uninfected

embryos treated with the high dose of ClO_2 died, and this difference was not statistically

significant to the experimental control. It is possible that the death was due to other causes, as a

20% embryo mortality rate is considered normal in aviculture [46, 47].

Conclusions

Chlorine dioxide had an unequivocal antiviral effect in the model system used in this study, with a

reduction in viral RNA, virus-induced lesions and mortality and no evidence of toxicity at the doses

used herein. Further studies should aim to test the antiviral effect of ClO_2 in hatched chicks, which

have a more mature immune system, and where repeated administrations are easier to procure.

However, it is promising that we found an evident effect against IBV without any significant

adverse effect or evidence of toxicity to the chick embryos. Much research needs to be done

before it is possible to generalize and extrapolate our findings to other viruses and animal hosts.

Methods

We aimed to examine whether chlorine dioxide elicits a measurable antiviral effect *in vivo*, and

investigate toxicity associated with the administration of this chemical compound. For this, we

selected the chicken embryo as a vertebrate model and avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus

(IBV) as a virus model. The protocol that is described below was registered at the School of Natural

Sciences of the Autonomous University of Queretaro (Mexico). The study was carried out in

compliance with the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) guide for humane

treatment of chick embryos and approved by the Autonomous University of Queretaro Research

Ethics Committee

We used 30 10-day old SPF RossxRoss chick embryos (Pilgrims, Mexico) incubated at 38°C and 65-

70% humidity [48]. Five chick embryos were assigned to each of the six experimental groups at

random and treated according to their group (see Table 1). Embryos were also placed at random in

the incubator to avoid potential variations in temperature and humidity between the edges and

the centre of the incubator. All embryos were inoculated via the chorioallanotic sac, as

recommended for avian coronavirus replication [49, 50]. Details on inoculation can be seen as

Supplementary Materials.

Seven days after inoculation, embryos were sacrificed according to guidelines on humane

treatment and euthanasia of chick embryos over 13 days of age (AVMA 2020). The embryos were

placed at 4 °C for 4 h to ensure blood coagulation and avoid contamination of the allantoic fluid

[48]. The eggshell over the air chamber was disinfected with 70% ethanol and 3.5% iodine [48] and

removed together with the chorioallantoic membrane. Allanotic fluid (750 μl) was collected and

stored at −70 °C.

Each embryo was cleaned with distilled water to remove any remaining albumin, vitellus and

amniotic fluid. Body mass was determined with a precision scale (±0.1 mg). Embryonic axis length

was measured with digital callipers (± 0.1 cm). The body was examined for macroscopic lesions

typically caused by avian coronavirus, such as cutaneous haemorrhages, stunting, curving, urate

deposits in the kidney and feather alterations [49, 51]. Chick embryos were considered to have

died during the experiment when there was evidence of disconnected or detached blood vessels

of the chorioallantoic membrane or their organs exhibited imbibition of haemoglobin. Further

evidence of mortality was determined by examining the presence of autolysis at microscopic

examination.

Both femurs were dissected and their length was determined and averaged with a digital calliper

as a surrogate measure of chick development. Samples of all organs and tissues were collected,

including those known to be affected by the Massachusetts and Connecticut strains of avian

coronavirus, namely trachea, lung, proventricle, duodenum, liver, kidneys and the Bursa of

Fabricius [27, 49, 52, 53], and those reportedly affected by exposure to ClO_2 [13, 54, 55].

Samples were cut longitudinally, immersed in 10% buffered formalin (pH 7.4), paraffin-embedded

and sectioned at 3 μm, stained with haematoxylin-eosin and observed under the microscope at

40X and 100X. To assess haematopoietic status, bone marrow preparations were used for a 200-

cell differential count to classify the marrow precursors and to determine the myeloid:erythroid

(M/E) ratio for each embryo. This ratio was obtained by dividing the sum of all the granulocytic

cells by the sum of all the erythrocytic cells [56].

The virus was quantified in the allantoid fluid with an RT-qPCR protocol that targeted a 100 bp

fragment of the avian coronavirus N gene with primers IBV-pan_FW-1 (5'-CAG TCC CDG ATG CNT

GGT A) and IBV-pan_RV (5'-CC TTW SCA GMA ACM CAC ACT)[57]. Details on the protocol can be

seen as Supplementary Materials. We used a cDNA sample extracted from 500 µL of the vaccine

as a positive control (the vaccine contained 10^4 mean embryo infective dose (EID_{50})/mL of

coronavirus strains Massachusetts and Connecticut). A linear regression was used to calculate the

correlation coefficient (R^2 = 0.99) and the slope value (b = -5.062) of the RNA copy number and Cq

values using a 10-fold dilution of the vaccine (10^4 to 10^{-1}; see standard curve in Supplementary

material). The number of viral RNA copies (hereafter viral load) was determined by comparing the

Cq against this standard curve [57].

Contingency tables were built to investigate differences in the proportion of dead *vs*. live chick

embryos between experimental groups, and patterns were examined by logistic regression

(Armitage et al., 2001). The unilateral p-value was considered pertinent because of the strong

prior probability that ClO_2 should not decrease the survival rate of embryos. The risk of developing

virus-related lesions between treated and un-treated embryos was calculated using Fisher exact

tests. Body mass, morphometrics, viral load, and lesion severity were compared among groups by

one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey HSD tests. All analyses were performed in R version 3.6.3

[58].

Statement of conflict of interest

The authors declare they do not have any conflict of interest regarding this submission.

Acknowledgments

We thank Luis A. Soto-García and Karla Zamora y Cuevas for their help with photographic and

video documentation of the experiment.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or

not-for-profit sectors.

Author contributions

K.A-W. and T.G-G. conceived the idea. K.A-W. supervised the experiments and wrote the

manuscript. X.Z-E. performed all gross examinations and histopathology analyses. C.D-S.

conducted molecular assays and artwork. M.B-M. and F.G-D performed the inoculation

experiments and assisted during necropsies. K.A-W. and L.P-V conducted the statistical analyses.

All authors participated in the discussion of results.

References

1. Duan H, Wang S, Yang C. Coronavirus: limit short-term economic damage. Nature. 2020.

2. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socio-economic

implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. International Journal of Surgery.

2020.

3. Sigstam T, Rohatschek A, Zhong Q, Brennecke M, Kohn T. On the cause of the tailing

phenomenon during virus disinfection by chlorine dioxide. Water Res. 2014;48:82–9.

4. López-Gálvez F, Randazzo W, Vásquez A, Sánchez G, Decol LT, Aznar R, et al. Irrigating Lettuce

with Wastewater Effluent: Does Disinfection with Chlorine Dioxide Inactivate Viruses? J Environ

Qual. 2018;47:1139–45.

5. Doona CJ, Feeherry FE, Setlow P, Malkin AJ, Leighton TJ. The Portable Chemical Sterilizer (PCS),

D-FENS, and D-FEND ALL: novel chlorine dioxide decontamination technologies for the military. J

Vis Exp. 2014;:e4354.

6. Loh JMR, Shafi H. Kikuchi-Fujimoto disease presenting after consumption of "Miracle Mineral

Solution" (sodium chlorite). BMJ Case Rep. 2014;2014.

7. Bathina G, Yadla M, Burri S, Enganti R, Prasad Ch R, Deshpande P, et al. An unusual case of

reversible acute kidney injury due to chlorine dioxide poisoning. Ren Fail. 2013;35:1176–8.

8. Romanovsky A, Djogovic D, Chin D. A case of sodium chlorite toxicity managed with concurrent

renal replacement therapy and red cell exchange. J Med Toxicol Off J Am Coll Med Toxicol.

2013;9:67–70.

9. Kishan H. Chlorine dioxide-induced acute hemolysis. Journal of medical toxicology : official

journal of the American College of Medical Toxicology. 2009;5:177.

10. Lubbers JR, Chauan S, Bianchine JR. Controlled clinical evaluations of chlorine dioxide, chlorite

and chlorate in man. Environ Health Perspect. 1982;46:57–62.

11. Akamatsu A, Lee C, Morino H, Miura T, Ogata N, Shibata T. Six-month low level chlorine dioxide

gas inhalation toxicity study with two-week recovery period in rats. J Occup Med Toxicol.

2012;7:2.

12. Ma J-W, Huang B-S, Hsu C-W, Peng C-W, Cheng M-L, Kao J-Y, et al. Efficacy and Safety

Evaluation of a Chlorine Dioxide Solution. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017;14.

13. Bercz JP, Jones L, Garner L, Murray D, Ludwig DA, Boston J. Subchronic toxicity of chlorine

dioxide and related compounds in drinking water in the nonhuman primate. Environ Health

Perspect. 1982;46:47–55.

14. Hose JE, Di Fiore D, Parker HS, Sciarrotta T. Toxicity of chlorine dioxide to early life stages of

marine organisms. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. 1989;42:315–9.

15. Zhong Q, Carratalà A, Ossola R, Bachmann V, Kohn T. Cross-Resistance of UV- or Chlorine

Dioxide-Resistant Echovirus 11 to Other Disinfectants. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:1928.

16. Jin M, Shan J, Chen Z, Guo X, Shen Z, Qiu Z, et al. Chlorine dioxide inactivation of enterovirus 71

in water and its impact on genomic targets. Environ Sci Technol. 2013;47:4590–7.

17. Simonet J, Gantzer C. Degradation of the Poliovirus 1 genome by chlorine dioxide. J Appl

Microbiol. 2006;100:862–70.

18. Chen YS, Vaughn JM. Inactivation of human and simian rotaviruses by chlorine dioxide. Appl

Environ Microbiol. 1990;56:1363–6.

19. Montazeri N, Manuel C, Moorman E, Khatiwada JR, Williams LL, Jaykus L-A. Virucidal Activity of

Fogged Chlorine Dioxide- and Hydrogen Peroxide-Based Disinfectants against Human Norovirus

and Its Surrogate, Feline Calicivirus, on Hard-to-Reach Surfaces. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:1031.

20. Lim MY, Kim J-M, Ko G. Disinfection kinetics of murine norovirus using chlorine and chlorine

dioxide. Water Res. 2010;44:3243–51.

21. Wang X-W, Li J-S, Jin M, Zhen B, Kong Q-X, Song N, et al. Study on the resistance of severe

acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus. J Virol Methods. 2005;126:171–7.

22. Ogata N, Shibata T. Protective effect of low-concentration chlorine dioxide gas against

influenza A virus infection. J Gen Virol. 2008;89 Pt 1:60–7.

23. Ison A, Odeh IN, Margerum DW. Kinetics and mechanisms of chlorine dioxide and chlorite

oxidations of cysteine and glutathione. Inorg Chem. 2006;45:8768–75.

24. Stewart DJ, Napolitano MJ, Bakhmutova-Albert E V, Margerum DW. Kinetics and mechanisms

of chlorine dioxide oxidation of tryptophan. Inorg Chem. 2008;47:1639–47.

25. Noss CI, Olivieri VP. Disinfecting capabilities of oxychlorine compounds. Appl Environ

Microbiol. 1985;50:1162–4.

26. Zhu Z, Guo Y, Yu P, Wang X, Zhang X, Dong W, et al. Chlorine dioxide inhibits the replication of

porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus by blocking viral attachment. Infect Genet

Evol J Mol Epidemiol Evol Genet Infect Dis. 2019;67:78–87.

27. Tsai C-T, Tsai H-F, Wang C-H. Detection of infectious bronchitis virus strains similar to Japan in

Taiwan. J Vet Med Sci. 2016;78:867–71.

28. Robb JA, Bond CW. Coronaviridae. In: Fraenkel-Conrat H, Wagner RR, editors. Comprehensive

Virology. Boston: Springer; 1979.

29. Otsuki K, Noro K, Yamamoto H, Tsubokura M. Studies on avian infectious bronchitis virus (IBV).

II. Propagation of IBV in several cultured cells. Arch Virol. 1979;60:115–22.

30. Tsai C-T, Wu H-Y, Wang C-H. Genetic sequence changes related to the attenuation of avian

infectious bronchitis virus strain TW2575/98. Virus Genes. 2020;56:369–79.

31. Enjuanes L, Almazán F, Sola I, Zuñiga S. Biochemical aspects of coronavirus replication and

virus-host interaction. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2006;60:211–30.

32. Balasubramaniam A, Sukumar K, Suresh P, Puvarajan B. Molecular characterisation of

membrane glycoprotein and 5b protein of nephropathogenic infectious bronchitis virus. Vet

World. 2013.

33. Wickramasinghe INA, de Vries RP, Gröne A, de Haan CAM, Verheije MH. Binding of avian

coronavirus spike proteins to host factors reflects virus tropism and pathogenicity. J Virol.

2011;85:8903–12.

34. Mork A-K, Hesse M, Abd El Rahman S, Rautenschlein S, Herrler G, Winter C. Differences in the

tissue tropism to chicken oviduct epithelial cells between avian coronavirus IBV strains QX and

B1648 are not related to the sialic acid binding properties of their spike proteins. Vet Res.

2014;45:67.

35. Butcher GD, Winterfield RW, Shapiro DP. Pathogenesis of H13 nephropathogenic infectious

bronchitis virus. Avian Dis. 1990;34:916–21.

36. Cook JKA, Jackwood M, Jones RC. The long view: 40 years of infectious bronchitis research.

Avian Pathol. 2012;41:239–50.

37. Chhabra R, Ball C, Chantrey J, Ganapathy K. Differential innate immune responses induced by

classical and variant infectious bronchitis viruses in specific pathogen free chicks. Dev Comp

Immunol. 2018;87:16–23.

38. Miller RG, Zhang R, Block G, Katz J, Barohn R, Kasarskis E, et al. NP001 regulation of

macrophage activation markers in ALS: a phase I clinical and biomarker study. Amyotroph Lateral

Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2014;15:601–9.

39. Veerasarn V, Khorprasert C, Lorvidhaya V, Sangruchi S, Tantivatana T, Narkwong L, et al.

Reduced recurrence of late hemorrhagic radiation cystitis by WF10 therapy in cervical cancer

patients: a multicenter, randomized, two-arm, open-label trial. Radiother Oncol J Eur Soc Ther

Radiol Oncol. 2004;73:179–85.

40. Giese T, McGrath MS, Stumm S, Schempp H, Elstner E, Meuer SC. Differential effects on innate

versus adaptive immune responses by WF10. Cell Immunol. 2004;229:149–58.

41. Yingsakmongkol N, Maraprygsavan P, Sukosit P. Effect of WF10 (immunokine) on diabetic foot

ulcer therapy: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J foot ankle Surg Off Publ Am

Coll Foot Ankle Surg. 2011;50:635–40.

42. Fujigaki Y. Different modes of renal proximal tubule regeneration in health and disease. World

J Nephrol. 2012;1:92–9.

43. Lombardi D, Becherucci F, Romagnani P. How much can the tubule regenerate and who does

it? An open question. Nephrol Dial Transplant Off Publ Eur Dial Transpl Assoc - Eur Ren Assoc.

2016;31:1243–50.

44. Toback FG. Regeneration after acute tubular necrosis. Kidney Int. 1992;41:226–46.

45. Caron LF. Etiology and immunology of infectious bronchitis virus. Rev Bras Cienc Avic. 2010.

46. Romanoff AL. Spontaneous malformations. In: Romanoff AL, editor. Pathogenesis of the avian

embryo: an analysis of causes of malformations and prenatal death. New York: Wiley Interscience;

1972.

47. Fasenko GM, O'Dea EE. Evaluating broiler growth and mortality in chicks with minor navel

conditions at hatching. Poult Sci. 2008.

48. Guy JS. Isolation and propagation of coronaviruses in embryonated eggs. Methods Mol Biol.

2015.

49. Escorcia M, Fortoul TI, Petrone VM, Galindo F, López C, Téllez G. Gastric gross and microscopic

lesions caused by the UNAM-97 variant strain of infectious bronchitis virus after the eighth

passage in specific pathogen-free chicken embryos. Poult Sci. 2002;81:1647–52.

50. Jordan FT, Nassar TJ. The combined influence of age of embryo and temperature and duration

of incubation on the replication and yield of avian infectious bronchitis (IB) virus in the developing

chick embryo. Avian Pathol. 1973;2:279–94.

51. Alexander DJ, Senne DA. A Laboratory Manual for the Isolation, Identification and

Characterization of Avian Pathogens. 2008.

52. Cavanagh D. Severe acute respiratory syndrome vaccine development: experiences of

vaccination against avian infectious bronchitis coronavirus. Avian Pathol. 2003;32:567–82.

53. Cavanagh D. Coronavirus avian infectious bronchitis virus. Vet Res. 2007;38:281–97.

54. Harrington RM, Shertzer HG, Bercz JP. Effects of chlorine dioxide on thyroid function in the

African green monkey and the rat. J Toxicol Environ Health. 1986;19:235–42.

55. Environmental Protection Agency. Toxicological Review of Chlorine dioxide and Chlorite.

Washington, D.C.; 2000. http://www.epa.gov/iris.

56. Schalm OW, Jain NC. Schalm's veterinary hematology. 4th editio. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger;

1986.

57. Naguib MM, El-Kady MF, Lüschow D, Hassan KE, Arafa A-S, El-Zanaty A, et al. New real time

and conventional RT-PCRs for updated molecular diagnosis of infectious bronchitis virus infection

(IBV) in chickens in Egypt associated with frequent co-infections with avian influenza and

Newcastle Disease viruses. J Virol Methods. 2017;245:19–27.

58. R Core Team. R Development Core Team. R A Lang Environ Stat Comput. 2016.

414
415

Figure 1. Virus RNA copy number in the allantoic fluid of the infected embryos per treatment group. Virus

copy number was calculated by the geometric mean of the triplicate Cq value referred to the standard curve

(see methods). Experimental groups D to F contained virus-inoculated embryos (D: Low dose of ClO_2, E: High

dose of ClO_2, F. Viral control). For details on treatments, see Table 1.

Figure 2. Chick embryo mortality during the experiment. Experimental groups A to C contained virus-free

embryos (A: Experimental control, B: Low dose of ClO_2, C: High dose of ClO_2); experimental groups D to F

contained virus-inoculated embryos (D: Low dose of ClO_2, E: High dose of ClO_2, F. Viral control). For details

on treatments, see Table 1.

Figure 3. Chick embryo development at the end of the experiment (day 17 of incubation). A) mass, B) body

axis, C) femur length. Experimental groups A to C contained virus-free embryos (A: Experimental control,

B: Low dose of ClO_2, C: High dose of ClO_2); experimental groups D to F contained virus-inoculated embryos

(D: Low dose of ClO_2, E: High dose of ClO_2, F. Viral control). For details on treatments, see Table 1.

Figure 4. Histology of selected tissues from 17-day-old chick embryos. In each row, microphotograph pairs

show H&E-stained representative tissues of non-infected (left) and infected embryos (right), A) Bursa of

Fabricio (100X). Infected embryos showed severe lymphoid depletion, with approximately 10% of active

lymphoid tissue and abundant heterophils. B) Bone marrow (400 X). Infected embryos showed an increase

in erythroid cellularity ne, C) Spleen (400 X), Infected embryos showed reticuloendothelial hyperplasia, D)

Duodenum (400 X). Infected embryos showed mild villous atrophy, E) Proventricle (40X). Infected embryos

showed epithelial hyperplasia with desquamation and hyaline material, F) Proventricle (400X). Image

shows the increased length of the proventricular folds and mitotic cells indicative of epithelial

452   regeneration, G) Kidney (400X). Infected embryos showed swelling, cell detritus and protein within the

453   tubules, and basophilic material compatible with urate crystals, H) Liver (400X). Infected embryos showed

454   glucogenic degeneration, I) Trachea (400X). Infected embryos showed hyperplasia and epithelial

455   degeneration. Scale = 50 µm in all panels except for E (scale = 500 µm).

Table 1. Experimental groups used to assess the *in vivo* antiviral effect of high and low

concentrations of ClO2 solution in chick embryos. The table shows the number of embryos

included in each group. (LD: Low dose, HD: High dose)

Group	N	Treatment
Group A (Experimental control)	5	200 µl of sterile 0.9% saline solution
Group B (ClO$_2$ LD)	5	100 µl of sterile ClO$_2$ solution (30 ppm[1]) and 100 µl of sterile 0.9% saline solution
Group C (ClO$_2$ HD)	5	100 µl of sterile ClO$_2$ solution (300 ppm[2]) and 100 µl of sterile 0.9% saline solution
Group D (Virus + ClO$_2$ LD)	5	100 µl of resuspended avian coronavirus vaccine and 100 µl of sterile ClO$_2$ solution (30 ppm)
Group E (Virus + ClO$_2$ HD)	5	100 µl of resuspended avian coronavirus vaccine and 100 µl of sterile ClO$_2$ solution (300 ppm)
Group F (Viral control)	5	100 µl of resuspended live attenuated avian coronavirus vaccine (Bron Blen® Merial, containing 10^4 of mean embryo infective dose (EID$_{50}$)/mL of coronavirus strains Massachusetts and Connecticut) and 100 µl of sterile 0.9% saline solution

456 [1] This concentration is 10 times below the no-observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL; 3.5 mg/kg per day)
457 determined for ClO$_2$ (Bercz et al. 1982), considering an embryonic mass of 10 g at the time of inoculation.
458 [2] This concentration is equal to the NOAEL considering an embryonic mass of 10 g at the time of inoculation.

Table 2. Macroscopic abnormalities observed at necropsy in the chick embryos. The table shows the number of embryos in each group that presented each lesion. (LD: Low dose, HD: High dose)

	Dwarfing	Curling	White caseous material	Thickened membranes	Oedema	Epidermal congestion	Pale and enlarged kidneys
Group A (Experimental control)	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5	0/5[f]	0/5
Group B (ClO_2 LD)	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5	0/5[f]	0/5
Group C (ClO_2 HD)	0/5[f]	0/5[f]	1/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5	2/5	0/5
Group D (Virus + ClO_2 LD)	5/5[a]	1/5	1/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5	2/5	5/5[f,a]
Group E (Virus + ClO_2 HD)	5/5[a]	0/5[f]	1/5[f]	0/5[f]	0/5	2/5	3/5[a]
Group F (Viral control)	5/5[a]	4/5[a]	4/5[a]	4/5[a]	1/5	5/5[a]	1/5

[a]: Indicates a significant difference to the experimental control

[f]: Indicates a significant difference to the viral control

Table 3. Microscopic abnormalities related to avian coronavirus infection in the chick embryos. (LD: Low dose, HD: High dose)

	A (Exp. control)	B (ClO$_2$ LD)	C (ClO$_2$ HD)	D (Virus + ClO$_2$ LD)	E (Virus + ClO$_2$ HD)	F (Viral control)
Trachea	-	Mild hyperplasia	Mild hyperplasia	Karyorexis, focal hyperplasia	Moderate congestion	Mild hyperplasia
Lung	Mild to moderate congestion	Moderate congestion, interstitial oedema	Mild to moderate congestion, interstitial oedema	Moderate to severe congestion, karyolysis	Mild to moderate congestion, moderate oedema	Mild to moderate congestion, severe to moderate oedema
Proventricle	-	-	-	Moderate hyperplasia	Mild hyperplasia	Moderate hyperplasia, mild congestion
Duodenum	-	-	-	Moderate congestion		Mild diffuse congestion, atrophy of intestinal villi
Liver	-	-	-	Gluogenic degeneration, mild congestion	Gluogenic degeneration, mild congestion	Gluogenic degeneration, moderate congestion, periportal eosinophilic material
Kidneys	-	-	-	Degeneration w/ regeneration, congestion, mild heterophilic infiltration, urates	Degeneration w/ regeneration, congestion, mild heterophilic infiltration, urates	Haemorrhage, multifocal heterophilic infiltration, urates
Spleen	-	-	-	Reticuloendothelial hyperplasia	Reticuloendothelial hyperplasia	Reticuloendothelial hyperplasia
Bursa of Fabricius	Subacute heterophilic bursitis	Subacute heterophilic bursitis	Subacute heterophilic bursitis	Subacute heterophilic bursitis	Subacute heterophilic bursitis	Subacute heterophilic bursitis