Full title: Lateralized functional responses in the cortex arise from the dynamic

interactions in the structural connectome

Short title: Modeling lateralization of brain function

Authors: Neeraj Kumar[1], Amit Kumar Jaiswal[1], Dipanjan Roy[1], Arpan Banerjee[1,*]

Affiliation:[1]Cognitive Brain Dynamics Lab, National Brain Research Centre, NH 8,

Manesar, Gurgaon, 122052, India.

[*]**Corresponding author:** Arpan Banerjee

Email: arpan@nbrc.ac.in

Number of pages: 36

Number of figures: 4

Number of tables: 2 (in extended data)

Number of words:

-Abstract: 249

- Introduction: 639

- Discussion: 1489

Declaration of interests

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by NBRC Core funds. DR was supported by the Ramalingaswami fellowship (BT/RLF/Re-entry/07/2014) from DBT and extramural grant SR/CSRI/21/2016/ from Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science and Technology, Government of India. AB is supported by grant number F. NO.K-15015/42/2018/SP-V from Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India. AB and DR are jointly supported by BT/MED-III/NBRC/Flagship/Program/2019 from DBT. We sincerely thank late Dr. Jeffrey Michael Valla for constructive comments on an earlier version of this manuscript and Dr. Subham Kumar for helpful discussions and suggestions. Amit Kumar Jaiswal is currently placed as a Scientist at Elekta, Inc and as a PhD student at Aalto university, Finland.

Abstract

Structure-function relationships are fundamental to studies of neural systems, yet the mechanistic underpinnings of how structural networks shape hemispheric lateralization remain elusive. For instance, the asymmetric neuroanatomic embedding of primary auditory cortices was shown when connectivity with all other brain areas were considered. Concomitantly, functional lateralization e.g., left hemispheric dominance of speech processing and right hemispheric dominance of music perception, is widely acknowledged. The present article provides a parsimonious mechanistic explanation based on computational modelling and empirical recordings to demonstrate emergence of hemispheric lateralization of brain function. For validation of the model, empirical EEG recordings of auditory steady state responses (ASSR) were undertaken, and empirical findings suggest right hemispheric dominance at the level of cortical sources in binaural and monaural hearing conditions. Subsequently, we demonstrate the entrainment and phase of oscillations in connected brain regions based on a neurodynamic model constrained by empirically derived structural connectivity matrix from diffusion data. For relevance, we have taken into consideration time-delays in neural communication stemming from fibre distances and neuronal coupling arising from fibre densities. Based on relevant network parameters, we could demonstrate the emergence of right hemispheric dominance of ASSR in binaural and monaural hearing conditions when auditory cortical areas were chosen as triggers of entrained phase oscillators. Furthermore, we discovered emergence of left-lateralized functional response when bilateral Broca's area replaced auditory areas as triggers. Hence, a single unified mechanism based on entrainment of phase oscillators in a large-scale brain network could explain both emergence of right and left hemispheric laterality.

Keywords: Auditory, Structure, Function, Steady-state, Lateralization, 40 Hz, Entrainment,

Whole-brain model, Dynamics.

Significance statement: The origin of hemispheric specialization of sensory processing is a

fundamental question in neuroscience. For instance, speech and language are predominantly

processed in the left hemispheric regions, while the right hemisphere is specialized for processing

rhythmic, tonal, and melodic stimuli. Identification of the network mechanisms that give rise to

such functional lateralization from structural constraints remains elusive. In the present study, we

simulate neural activity observed during human EEG recordings of auditory steady-state responses

from a biophysically realistic large-scale model constrained by underlying structural connectivity.

Subsequently, we demonstrate how hemispheric lateralization of brain responses to sensory stimuli

emerge from the time-delayed interactions among whole-brain neuronal ensembles.

Introduction

Highly lateralized functional responses in the human brain have intrigued neuroscientists for a long time (Toga et al., 2003) and have tremendous clinical significance (Hecht et al., 2010). For instance, speech and language are predominantly processed in the left hemisphere (Riès et al., 2016), while the right hemisphere is specialized for processing music or rhythmic stimuli (Zatorre et al., 2001; Ross et al., 2005, Albouy et al.,2020). Depression patients have been reported to have hyper-active right hemispheres (Hecht et al., 2010). One simplistic explanation behind such observations can be attributed to the structure-function relationships in biological systems. Accordingly, attempts were made to understand the symmetries of auditory cortex embedding in the whole-brain structural connectome (Mišić et al., 2018). However, a mechanistic and causal basis of the functional asymmetry emerging from the dynamical interactions among brain areas driven by the neuro-physiological factors like conduction delays or fiber densities is poorly understood.

The present article uses computational modeling to test whether functional brain lateralization can emerge from the dynamical interactions in the whole-brain structural connectome. First, we demonstrate that auditory steady-state responses (ASSRs) from high-density EEG data are right-lateralized, which firmly establishes the validity of earlier reports (Ross et al., 2005). Second, we simulate the time-locked ASSRs from a large-scale neuro-dynamic model comprising biophysically realistic parameters, e.g., such as propagation time-delays and fiber thickness, extracted from diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The dynamics of each parcellated (Desikan et al., 2006) brain area was modeled as a non-linear phase oscillator (Kuramoto, 1984) coupled amongst themselves via a connection matrix, the elements of which

were determined by fiber densities. The time delays affecting the state variable – phase of

oscillation - was dependent on the distribution of tract lengths (Abeysuriya et al., 2018) and

parameterization of velocity of neural impulses along axonal tracts. Firstly, this approach allowed

us to reveal how the entrainment of an environmental rhythm in any arbitrary frequency in

principle could take place when the primary auditory areas are the recipient nodes of the external

rhythm or synchronous drive. Secondly, it intuitively provided an understanding how external

rhythms may synchronize with spontaneous oscillations at a characteristic frequency e.g., Alpha

frequency as this activity gets routed from recipient auditory cortical nodes to rest of the cortical

areas via physiological connection parameters e.g., time-delay. Further, we could capture that

right-hemispheric dominance of ASSRs may emerge from the constraints imposed by structural

connection topologies among distributed brain areas. Furthermore, to establish the model's

predictive validity for functional lateralization we constructed a scenario of virtual stimulation of

bilateral Broca's area by choosing them as the primary recipient nodes of external rhythmic input.

Interestingly, based on this virtual stimulation we observed a left lateralization of entrainment of

external rhythm and the power of the emergent neural oscillations. This finding demonstrates that

our proposed model can also capture the left-lateralized speech and language related responses

(Riès et al., 2016) as function of neuroanatomical embedding of correspondingly relevant brain

areas in the whole-brain connectome.

Further, by exploring the detailed parameter space of a whole-brain model, we could tease out the

biophysically realistic parameter regimes where the contributions of structural connectome shape

functional lateralization. For example, the stabilization of evoked ASSRs realized as enhanced

power and shifting of resonant frequency from 38-45 Hz was observed for 19-45 years old and

was speculatively linked to experience-driven myelination (Poulsen et al., 2007). Our model

demonstrates how a decrease in delay and increased global coupling, both factors of experience-

dependent myelination, can directly enhance laterality index in young to middle-aged adults;

however, middle-age to old transformation may increase time-delay due to de-myelination

(Goossens et al., 2016) and, hence a decrease of laterality indices. Thus, by constructing a

phenomenological model that captures hemispheric lateralization during entrainment of external

rhythms in the brain, our study elucidates the dynamical principles that generate lateralization of

brain function.

Materials and methods

Participants

Twenty-one healthy, right-handed human volunteers (16 males, 5 females, age range 22-39 years old; mean $\pm$ SD = 28 $\pm$ 2.10) participated in this study[1]. All the volunteers reported no medical history of audiological, neurological, or psychiatric disorders. All of them had normal or corrected to normal visual acuity. Informed consent were given by all the volunteers in a format approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee (IHEC) of National Brain Research Centre. All participants were fluent in at least two languages, Hindi and English, but some were familiar with more languages of Indian origin. All volunteers were undergraduate degree holders.

Experimental design

Stimuli consisted of sinusoidal tones of 1 kHz frequency with a 5% rise and fall, presented 40 times per second (Linden et al., 1987). Each trial comprised of 1s "On" block (auditory stimulation) period followed by 1s "Off" block (silent) period (Figure 1A). A total of 100 trials ("On" blocks) were presented for each kind of auditory stimulation, monaural and binaural. In total, four experimental conditions, each lasting 200 seconds, were performed in the following order: 1) a baseline condition in which the volunteers were not given any tonal stimuli; 2) Binaural (in both ears); 3) Monaural left (only through left ear); 4) Monaural right (only through right ear). The time interval between each condition was set to 100 s (silent). Auditory stimuli were created and presented in Stim2 software (Compumedics, Inc., USA) at 90 dB. Participants were instructed

---

[1] A subset of this data (10 volunteers) were used to validate the applicability of source localization methods previously: Halder, T; Talwar, S., Jaiswal, A.K., Banerjee, A.(2019): Quantitative evaluation in estimating sources underlying brain oscillations using current source density methods and beamformer approaches. eNeuro. 2019 Jul-Aug; 6(4): ENEURO.0170-19.2019.

to stay still in the sitting position, fixate on a visual cross displayed on a computer screen for the

duration, and listen to the tones. When the volunteers were performing the experiment, continuous

scalp EEG was recorded with relevant trigger data.

Neuroimaging procedure

EEG data were recorded using 64 high-density Ag/AgCl sintered electrodes mounted in an elastic

head cap according to the international 10-20 system. All recordings were done in a noise-proof

isolated room using NeuroScan (SynAmps2) system (CompumedicsInc, USA) with 1 kHz

sampling rate. Abrasive electrolyte gel (EASYCAP) was used to make contact between EEG

sensors and scalp surface, and impedance was maintained at values less than 5 kΩ in each sensor

throughout the entire experiment. The EEG system-assigned reference electrode at the vertex was

selected for reference, and the forehead (AFz) electrode as the ground.

Pre-processing of EEG signals

EEG data were imported in MATLAB using EEGLAB from Neuroscan raw files. Epochs of 1000

ms from "On" blocks were extracted from each trial. The resulting epochs were then bandpass

filtered to retain frequencies in the range 35 to 45 Hz, followed by detrending (baseline correction)

of data to remove any linear trends from the signal. Trials having a voltage greater than ±100 μv

were considered as artifacts and therefore, discarded. All trials from both monaural conditions of

participant 15 were full of artefacts; therefore we had removed data of participant 15 for further

analysis. Furthermore, since the objective was to estimate the steady-state activity of the brain,

trials from all participants were grouped together.

Spectral analysis

Multi-tapered power spectral density was computed using Chronux function *mtspectrumc.m*

(http://chronux.org/) and customized MATLAB (www.mathworks.com) scripts at each sensor,

trial, and condition. Power spectra of the concatenated time-series were calculated in the frequency

range of 2 - 48 Hz having time-bandwidth product and number of tapers both set at 1, as the input

parameters. Subsequently, differences in spectral power at 40 Hz (frequency of interest) between

auditory stimulation tasks and baseline conditions were statistically evaluated by means of a

permutation test using *ft_freqstatistics.m*, a function of FieldTrip toolbox

(www.fieldtriptoolbox.org). The paired-sample t-statistic between auditory stimulation and

baseline condition was computed at each sensor. Additionally, to circumvent multiple comparison

problems we clustered sensors based on their spatial adjacency (Maris et al., 2007). Therefore,

neighboring electrodes (minimum = 2) having individual t-value higher than corresponding *p*

<0.0001 were counted as a cluster. Afterward, cluster statistics were derived by taking the sum of

t - statistics across a cluster which was compared with the null distribution of cluster statistics

generated by random permutation procedure (1000 times). Subsequently, the statistical

significance of the spectral difference between the two conditions was assessed using a two-tailed

t-test in which the observed test statistic value of the cluster was the threshold at the 95th percentile

of the null distribution. *p*-values of the clusters were obtained by estimating the proportion of

clusters from the null distribution that are beyond the aforesaid threshold.

Source reconstruction

We performed exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (eLORETA) (Pascual-

Marqui, 2007) to locate the stimulus-specific sources of 40 Hz ASSRs. First, as a head model, we

used the standardized boundary element method (BEM) volume conduction model of the human

head as a common template for all participants (Oostenveld et al., 2003). We discretized the brain

volume into 2807, regularly spaced three-dimensional cubic. The center of the cubic grid had the

coordinates following the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template. Furthermore,

employing the standardized (10-20 system aligned with "colin27" brain) sensors location

information along with the head model, we created a leadfield for each grid.

Subsequently, to obtain the oscillatory sources of 40 Hz activity, we employ distributed source

modeling using exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (eLORETA). eLORETA

estimates the current source density across brain volume by minimizing the surface Laplacian

component during the construction of the spatial filter (Pascual-Marqui, 2007; Pascual-Marqui, et

al., 2011). Additionally, eLORETA does not rely upon any assumption regarding the number of

underlying sources while having excellent control over suppression of false positives during the

detection of sources (Halder et al., 2019). The source analysis was performed using FieldTrip

toolbox (Oostenveld et al., 2011; http://fieldtriptoolbox.org). The ingredients to construct a

frequency domain eLORETA filter are the forward model and the cross-spectral matrix of sensor

data. Hence, we computed a sensor-level cross-spectral matrix from 'On' blocks time series (i.e.,

1 s) after re-referencing the EEG data on common average reference for all conditions. After that,

a common spatial filter was computed employing combined data from all conditions. A common

filter attenuates filter-specific variability during inverse modeling, i.e., the observed difference

between different conditions is attributed only to the differences in conditions, not due to

differences in the spatial filter. The spatial filter for each grid was then calculated in 3 orthogonal

directions. Since we do not have any prior assumption about the orientation of the underlying

source, the cross-spectra of sensor data were projected through the strongest orientation of dipole,

i.e., denoting maximum variance. Consequently, a 3D distribution of source power across brain

volume was obtained. Afterward, prominent sources were selected after thresholding the source

power distribution at the 95[th]quantile. Supra-thresholded sources were visualized by rendering

onto the Colin27 brain template.

227   Neurodynamic model

Structural connectivity (SC) and fiber length matrices were obtained from an online dataset derived

from diffusion MRI probabilistic tractography of 40 participants of Human Connectome Project

(Glasser et al., 2013; Sotiropoulos et al., 2013; Van Essen et al., 2013, Abeysuriya et al., 2018).

The SC matrix and fiber length matrix were both symmetric matrices representing mean white

matter densities and distance among nodes respectively. SC matrix was parcellated in 68 regions,

according to the Desikan–Killiany brain atlas (Desikan et al., 2006). Subsequently, a network of

68 coupled Kuramoto oscillators was simulated, each oscillator representative of a brain parcel.

The oscillators were coupled according to a coupling matrix derived from scaling and normalizing

SC. Each hemisphere constituted an equal set of 34 nodes. The coupling strength matrix was

normalized between 0 and 1 such that the maximum strength among connections was 1. The values

at the diagonals of coupling and fiber length matrix representing self-connectivity and length with

self, respectively, were set at zero.

To imbibe the realism that primary generators of ASSRs lie in auditory cortex, we chose two nodes

in the auditory cortex (AC) (and other 66 nodes in the rest of the brain as non-auditory nodes (non-

AC). Using the Kuramoto model (Kuramoto, 1984), the phase (θ) dynamics of any non-AC nodes

is defined as,

$\dot{\theta}_n = \omega_n + k \sum_{p=1}^{N} C_{np} \sin\left(\theta_p(t - \tau_{np}) - \theta_n(t)\right), \forall n = 1,2 \ldots (N-2), p \in [1, N], p \neq n$ (1)

where ω_n represents the intrinsic frequency of the oscillator as $\omega_n = 2\pi f_n$; k is the mean coupling

strength used to scale the all the coupling strengths; τ_{np} represents transmission delay for the

propagation of information between two nodes, given the length of the fiber. Thus, $\tau_{np} = \frac{D_{np}}{v}$

where, bio-physiologically realistic communication speed (v) is $5 - 20 \, m/s$ in adult primate brain

(Ghosh et al., 2008). In equation (1) the value of p iterates from 1 to 68 including auditory nodes.

This implies that phase dynamics of non-AC will depend on phase dynamics of all other nodes

including both auditory nodes. However, phase dynamics of the AC nodes are defined as,

$\dot{\theta}_A = \omega_A$ (2)

Where $\omega_A = 2\pi * f_A$. After setting the frequency of non-AC nodes (f_n) at 10 Hz and frequency of

AC (f_A) nodes at 40 Hz, we investigated hemispheric laterality indices across a model parameter

space, wherein k values range from 1 to 50 and v values from $5 \; to \; 19.1 \, m/s$. During simulation

for every v, time delay distribution involved in transmitting information among the network nodes

was computed (Cabral et al., 2011). Similarly, structural connectivity was transformed into the

coupling strength matrix C. Thus, C_{np} and τ_{np} respectively represents the coupling strength and

time delay between node n and p. Therefore, the dynamics of phase (θ) at any node will be a

function of its anatomical strength and distance with other nodes. The model was simulated for

about 25 seconds. We took sine of (θ) obtained at each node which represents a simulation of

neural time series at EEG source level. Subsequently, we calculated the power spectral density

from 68 nodes followed by hemispheric laterality analysis of the spectral power at 40 Hz (See

more in Laterality analysis section). During monaural condition simulations, we asymmetrically

scaled the relative coupling strengths of both AC nodes with non-AC nodes by the ratio of spectral

power we obtained empirically between left and right hemisphere auditory parcels after source

reconstruction. Remaining procedure remained same as described above for binaural condition

simulation.

Laterality analysis

Hemispheric asymmetry in brain responses was quantified using laterality indices (*LI*), which is

the difference between right hemisphere (RH) and left hemispheric (LH) responses normalized by

the sum of response in both hemispheres.

$LI = \frac{RH - LH}{RH + LH}$ (3)

The value of *LI* lies between +1 and -1. Wherein +1 represent complete right hemispheric

dominance, -1 for complete left hemispheric dominance and 0 for the bilaterally symmetric

response. *LI*s for spectral power were computed at the source level for empirical and theoretical

dataset.

Results

Spectral topography of auditory steady state responses (ASSRs)

Mean power spectra of each volunteer and the grand mean spectra across all volunteers showed enhanced spectral power, specifically at 40 Hz in both monaural and binaural conditions relative to the silent baseline (Figure 1B). Differences between the topographic scalp distribution at 40 Hz during auditory stimulations and the baseline were evaluated using cluster-based permutation tests (see Methods). Significant enhancement of spectral power at 40 Hz in distributed scalp sensor locations was observed (Figure 1A). Overall, the pattern of distribution of enhancement in the spectral power at 40 Hz was found to be similar in both binaural and monaural conditions (see extended data Figure 1-1). However, differences in magnitudes were observed across different conditions and both hemispheres. In summary, we have observed two significant clusters of spectral power during every stimulation condition. Wherein, one large cluster was located over the fronto-central area and another in bi-lateral caudal parts of the scalp (Figure 1A, extended data Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2). However, the right posterior region channels showed greater enhancement than their counterparts in the left hemisphere during binaural and monaural right condition. The presence of right hemispheric dominance during binaural condition was cross-validated in different temporal segments (early, middle and late ERP components) of the "On" block, all three segments exhibiting right hemispheric dominance.

Source-level functional organization of 40 Hz ASSRs

Exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (eLORETA) was used to calculate the three-dimensional spatial distribution of source activity underlying 40 Hz ASSRs. Reconstructed sources were rendered onto a standard cortical surface derived from "colin27" brain provided in

the FieldTrip toolbox (http://fieldtriptoolbox.org). The locations of prominent sources during

monaural left, monaural right and binaural conditions are shown in Figure 2. Anatomical labels

corresponding to source regions according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas (Desikan et al., 2006) and

the number of activated voxels in the respective region are summarised in Figure 2-1. Distributed

sources of 40 Hz ASSRs in auditory cortices and beyond were observed. The robust bilateral

activations were concentrated in the superior temporal, supramarginal, pre-central gyrus, post-

central gyrus and Broca's area. The majority of the sources exhibited right hemisphere dominance

irrespective of the stimulation condition, further investigated by laterality indices (LI). Activation

in the contralateral Heschl's gyrus was observed during the monaural conditions. Left MTG

showed significant activation only during monaural left and binaural conditions but not during the

monaural right. Additionally, left hemisphere showed greater number of activated regions than

monaural right and binaural. LI computed from source power revealed right hemispheric

dominance during every type of stimulation condition, binaural (0.10), monaural left (0.13) and

during monaural right (0.23) (Figure 3B).

Neural dynamical model

Each parcellated brain area extracted from Desikan Killiany atlas (Desikan, et al., 2006) was

modelled as Kuramoto phase oscillator that were coupled amongst each other. Time-delays in

propagation of neural communication were considered as the time-delayed phases from rest of the

brain parcels to the phase of the oscillator concerned. The time-delay and coupling coefficients

that scaled the degree of influence which one brain region had over another were derived from

empirical diffusion MRI probabilistic tractography data (see Methods for details, Figure 3A). The

auditory cortex nodes were driven by 40 Hz external inputs while keeping other nodes' intrinsic

frequency at 10 Hz. The system of differential equations was numerically integrated using the

Euler integration method for 250000-time points with a step size (dt) of 0.0001 representative of

25 seconds duration. Thereafter, the power spectral density was computed from the source time

series of 25 seconds duration after down-sampling to 1000 Hz. The hemispheric laterality indices

(*LI*) was calculated from spectral power distribution at 40 Hz. Figure 3C demonstrates the *LI* values

across a range of global coupling (k) , 1- 50 and velocity of neural impulse propagation (v), 5 -

19.1 m/s (see Methods for rationale of choosing these biophysically realistic values). k is the

overall scaling factor on individual coupling coefficients, and represent an overall strength by

which all brain areas are bound as a network and has been established as a biophysically realistic

parameter by earlier modelling studies (Cabral et al., 2011). We compared the *LI* obtained from

the model with the empirical LI in Figure 3B for biophysically realistic $v = 12\,m/s$. $k = 30$ and

above, yielded good match with empirical LI values. The resulting LI for each condition are 0.04

(binaural), 0.06 (monaural left) and 0.08 (monaural right, Figure 3B). Positive *LI* values were

obtained across the entire parameter space spanned by k and v; (0.02, 0.07] for monaural left

condition, (0.03, 0.09] for monaural right condition and (0.01, 0.058] for binaural condition (Figure

3C). Since the time delay $\tau_{np} = \frac{D_{np}}{v}$ is parametrically dependent on v, *LI* is thus modulated as a

function of both time delay and global coupling strength (Figure 3C). Specifically, for every type

of simulation condition *LI* is increased positively (Right hemispheric dominance) when coupling

(k) and speed of transmission (v) increases.

To establish the predictive validity of our hypothesis that topology of structural brain networks

contributes to functional hemispheric lateralization, we constructed a scenario to explain the left

hemispheric lateralization of language and speech processing. The auditory cortical nodes for entry

of environmentally relevant stimuli to the whole brain connectome were replaced by parcels in

bilateral Broca's areas. According to Desikan Kiliany atlas Broca's area encompass two parcels

i.e., pars triangularis and pars opercularis. Therefore, we set the intrinsic frequency of these two

parcels at 40 Hz and rest of the brain parcels at 10 Hz and numerically integrate equation 1

following steps used earlier. Corresponding *LI* values were calculated from spectral power at 40

Hz. *LI* values across the tested parameter space display two kind of hemispheric lateralization

ranging (-0.019 0.039] for pars opercularis and (-0.001 0.04] for pars triangularis stimulation

(Figure 4). For conduction speed $(v = 10 - 19\,m/s)$ the *LI*'s were positive while for low

conduction speed $(v = 5 - 10\,m/s)$, *LI*'s were negative.

Additionally, we tested for the scenarios of negative time-delay in system of equations (1-2) which

can be numerically realized as situations where time-delayed contributions of phases of non-AC

parcels $\theta_n(t - \tau_{np})$ is coupled to $\theta_A(t)$ for defining the derivative of phase $\dot{\theta}_A(t)$. Physiologically this

can be contextualized to a scenario where auditory cortical nodes are driven by feedback from

other brain regions rather than feed-forward communication captured by positive τ_{np}. Numerical

integration of the revised equation 1-2 did not show emergence of 40 Hz oscillation. This result

was unchanged whether auditory cortices or Broca's area was chosen as areas with intrinsic

frequency set to 40 Hz.

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the role of whole-brain structural connectome comprising fairly detailed brain parcellation in shaping up the lateralization of brain dynamics. The primary hypothesis was validated using a canonical model for functional brain lateralization at two stages. First, we replicated the earlier findings of entrained 40 Hz oscillations triggered by amplitude modulation of the auditory stimuli, corroborating a number of previous studies (Galambos et al., 1981; Hari et al., 1989; Linden et al., 1987). While earlier studies concentrated predominantly on right hemispheric dominance of primary auditory areas (Ross et al., 2005), our results additionally demonstrate that the generators of auditory steady-state responses (ASSRs) need not be restricted to primary auditory areas only, instead of distributed over the entire right hemisphere suggesting a role of distributed network assemblies in processing sensory information. Importantly, we also demonstrate the lateralization of ASSR, although reported mostly in group-level studies (e.g., Ross et al., 2005), can also be observed at individual participant level in 65% (binaural), 60% (monoaural left), 70% (monoaural right) of the sample studied. Finally, by simulating auditory nodes as externally driven functional units in a whole-brain network of Kuramoto oscillators (Kuramoto, 1984), we could generate the functional lateralization of ASSR. Second, when exchanging identities of areas that receive direct environmental input, e.g., replacing auditory areas that receive tonal cues with Broca's area receiving speech signals, we could predict the left hemispheric dominance of functional brain responses as reported by several language studies (Szaflarski et al., 2006; Riès et al., 2016; Olulade et al., 2020). Interestingly, our model also propose time-delays in coupling can affect the lateralization, e.g., allow transitions of left to right lateralization (Figure 4), which can provide important insights on why lateralization shifts are observed during ageing (Olulade et al., 2020) that is often associated with neurophysiological time-

delays. Thus, two levels of validation – prediction of right hemspheric dominance during

generation of ASSR and left hemispheric dominance of language qualifies this model as a

canonical model for brain lateralization, which remains elusive to date to the best of our

knowledge.

Sources beyond the auditory cortex for generation of ASSR

eLORETA was used to locate 40 Hz ASSRs sources during binaural and both monaural conditions,

as it has been shown to have significant control over the false-positive ratio in the distributed dipole

condition (Halder et al., 2019). Subsequently, strong activation beyond the primary auditory cortex

is reported in the present study. For instance, brain regions in the inferior parietal gyrus, pre-central

and post-central gyrus, inferior and middle frontal gyrus, and occipital cortex (Figure 2-1) are in

line with earlier findings on reconstructed 40 Hz ASSRs sources with equivalent dipole modeling.

Earlier research identified distributed sources in both cortical and subcortical regions during 4, 20,

40, and 80 Hz ASSRs (Farahani et al., 2017). Here, we demonstrate right hemispheric dominance

during binaural condition extends beyond primary auditory areas and can contribute to right

hemispheric lateralization (Figure 2-1, Figure 2). During every stimulation condition, prominent

sources were found among bilateral supra-temporal gyri, pre-central and post-central gyri.

Activation in superior temporal gyrus (STG) corroborates with earlier findings (Mäkelä et al.,

1987). Several sources in spatially distinct brain regions may also reflect different stages

information processing hierarchy during binaural and both monaural conditions. In a PET-

weighted LORETA neuroimaging study Reyes and colleagues reported prominent activation in

the right temporal lobe and right parietal lobe along with activations in the right frontal lobe during

the monaural right condition (Reyes et al., 2005). Several studies have also reported anatomical

projections from STG to the frontal cortex (Hackett, 2011; Kaas et al., 2000; Plakke et al., 2014).

Wang and colleagues identified a functional network comprising of the frontal cortex and superior

temporal regions that are sensitive to tone repetition pattern, associated with human's unique

ability for language processing (Wang et al., 2015). Hence, the results presented here support the

emerging view that auditory processing at the sensory level requires other brain areas beyond

primary auditory cortices.

Generative mechanisms of asymmetric lateralization of functional brain responses

ASSRs involve synchronizing distributed neuronal assemblies to periodic external input (Pastor et

al., 2002; Reyes et al., 2005). Heschl's gyri situated in primary auditory cortices are known to be

the first cortical structure to receive auditory information. Subsequently, information from primary

auditory cortices is segregated to the specialized higher-order cortical networks to resolve and

process features of auditory stimuli. Mišić and colleagues suggested that asymmetry in

communication pathways among both primary auditory cortices to other brain regions may

contribute to functional lateralization in the auditory networks (Mišić et al., 2018). However, the

generative mechanisms of such emergent functional lateralization remained elusive from previous

studies. Using a neurodynamical whole-brain model constrained by biophysically realistic

structural parameters, we capture the right hemispheric dominance of entrainment – a resonant

physiological phenomenon. A more explicit validation of this hypothesis came from our source-

level analysis, which revealed almost all the top sources showed right hemispheric dominance in

terms of the number of sources and their power during binaural stimulation.

Another key finding of our study is that the right hemisphere at the source level was mostly

dominant during every stimulation condition. However, if we compare activations in the left

hemisphere among conditions, we found that the left auditory cortex has many sources during the

monaural left condition than binaural and monaural right conditions. Specifically, left middle

temporal gyri are activated in the monaural left condition but not during the monaural right. This

result implies greater activation during ipsilateral ear stimulation compared to the contralateral ear

stimulation. In an EEG study, Reyes and colleagues (Reyes et al., 2005) reported ipsilateral

dominance in the temporal lobe /inferior parietal lobe (IPL) during 40 Hz -ASSRs. The dominance

of ipsilateral (right) PoG and IPL during the monaural right condition was also reported by Pastor

and Reyes, respectively, during 40 Hz ASSRs (Pastor et al., 2002; Reyes et al., 2005).

Using the Broca's area as trigger zones of entrainment, we could simulate the scenario left-

hemispheric dominance often observed with language-related brain responses. Our simulation

results suggest possible sources of heterogeneous laterality indices in Broca's area's subdivisions

– pars triangularis and pars opercularis. Given these findings, one could further investigate the

other major sub-divisions in the brain with high-resolution brain parcellation schemes to address

the effects of compensatory mechanisms during stroke recovery or other neurological conditions.

While left hemispheric dominance for language processing is considered sacrosanct (Olulade et

al., 2020), compensating right-hemispheric analog to Broca's area is associated with recovery from

stroke (Xing et al., 2016) can be understood with our model by making appropriate changes in the

parameter space.

Limitations and Conclusion

While pondering over these results, we should also be mindful of certain obvious caveats for contextualizing our results towards formulating a general theory of functional brain lateralization. Although we did not test the participants on their linguistic or auditory processing skills, all our participants were self-declared bi-literates and, in some cases, knew more than two Indian languages. Another critical point to note is that we did not choose participants based on their musical training, which can be a potential future direction for pattern differences. Thus, an exciting extension for the asymmetrical differences we report, can be explored in data sets present in the literature from neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and neuropsychiatric disorders like schizophrenia. An important direction of ASSRs research is to define normative patterns of cortical auditory processing beyond simple audiological tests. We propose that our results be the most valuable, and more focused research delineating our neural disorders patterns is needed in the future. Another significant limitation of our model is that we did not consider subcortical areas in the network interactions. While certainly, this is an approach we want to take in the future, the current source localization methods become unreliable for deeper sources such as the thalamus and brain stem.

In summary, characterizing hemispheric dominance in the functional specialization of sensory processing is a fundamental question in cognitive neuroscience. Many factors may be involved to influence lateralization in brain, including anatomical asymmetries, stimulus designs, gender and handedness of the participant (Hutsler et al., 2003; Melynyte et al., 2017; Tervaniemi et al., 2000). Nonetheless, we have demonstrated that the structural connectome gives rise to two crucial physiological constraints: time-delays of propagation of information among brain areas and possible neural covariates dependent on tissue properties such as white matter density myelination

that are also subject to neuroplasticity. In a nutshell, the time delay and global network coupling

can be linked to two alternative plasticity mechanisms – experience/ age-dependent myelination/

demyelination and consolidation of between-network synaptic weights. Using our approach, both

the aforementioned mechanisms can be studied to understand neuroplastic changes in brain

responses in volunteers' groups, such as musicians whose auditory systems may have higher

fidelity and across life span aging cohorts where the brain's tissue parameters have changed. Our

model's validation in such data sets will undoubtedly be one of our main targets in the future.

Data and code Accessibility

The raw data have not been deposited on a public repository due to ethical considerations and

Institution guidelines. Anonymized and processed EEG data, and codes to generate figures are

uploaded at https://osf.io/sftqj/?view_only=76c7722b4b12465097246d2b4e80ce32.

Author contributions

NK - Formal analysis, investigation, methodology, software, visualization, validation and writing

original draft.

AJ - investigation and data curation.

DR - supervision, methodology, writing-reviewing and editing and funding acquisition.

AB - conceptualization, supervision, data curation, methodology, investigation, formal analysis,

writing-reviewing and editing and funding acquisition.

References

Abeysuriya, R. G., Hadida, J., Sotiropoulos, S. N., Jbabdi, S., Becker, R., Hunt, B. A. E., Woolrich,

M. W. (2018). A biophysical model of dynamic balancing of excitation and inhibition in fast

oscillatory large-scale networks. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *14*(2).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006007

Albouy, P., Benjamin1, L., Morillon, B., & Zatorre, R. J. (2020). Distinct sensitivity to

spectrotemporal modulation supports brain asymmetry for speech and melody. *Science*,

367(6481), 1043–1047. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz3468

Aminoff, M. J. (2012). Aminoff's Electrodiagnosis in Clinical Neurology..

https://doi.org/10.1016/C2010-0-65599-4

Andoh, J., Matsushita, R., & Zatorre, R. J. (2015). Asymmetric Interhemispheric Transfer in the

Auditory Network: Evidence from TMS, Resting-State fMRI, and Diffusion Imaging.

Journal of Neuroscience, *35*(43), 14602–14611. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2333-

15.2015

Banerjee, A., Kikuchi, Y., Mishkin, M., Rauschecker, J. P., & Horwitz, B. (2018). Chronometry

on Spike-LFP Responses Reveals the Functional Neural Circuitry of Early Auditory Cortex

Underlying Sound Processing and Discrimination. *Eneuro*, *5*(3), ENEURO.0420-17.2018.

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0420-17.2018

Cabral, J., Hugues, E., Sporns, O., & Deco, G. (2011). Role of local network oscillations in resting-

state functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*, *57*(1), 130–139.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.04.010

Cammoun, L., Thiran, J. P., Griffa, A., Meuli, R., Hagmann, P., & Clarke, S. (2015).

Intrahemisphericcortico-cortical connections of the human auditory cortex. *Brain Structure*

and Function, *220*(6), 3537–3553. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0872-z

Coffey, E. B. J., Herholz, S. C., Chepesiuk, A. M. P., Baillet, S., & Zatorre, R. J. (2016). Cortical contributions to the auditory frequency-following response revealed by MEG. Nature Communications, 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11070

Desikan, R. S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., Killiany, R. J. (2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. NeuroImage, 31(3), 968–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021

Farahani, E. D., Goossens, T., Wouters, J., & van Wieringen, A. (2017). Spatiotemporal reconstruction of auditory steady-state responses to acoustic amplitude modulations: Potential sources beyond the auditory pathway. *NeuroImage*, *148*, 240–253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.032

Galambos, R., Makeig, S., & Talmachoff, P. (1981). A 40-Hz auditory potential recorded from the human scalp. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *78*(4), 2643–2647. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.78.4.2643

Ghosh, A., Rho, Y., McIntosh, A. R., Kötter, R., & Jirsa, V. K. (2008). Noise during Rest Enables the Exploration of the Brain's Dynamic Repertoire. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *4*(10), e1000196. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000196

Glasser, M. F., Sotiropoulos, S. N., Wilson, J. A., Coalson, T. S., Fischl, B., Andersson, J. L., … Jenkinson, M. (2013). The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. NeuroImage, 80, 105–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2013.04.127

Gutschalk, A., Mase, R., Roth, R., Ille, N., Rupp, A., Hähnel, S., Scherg, M. (1999). Deconvolution of 40 Hz steady-state fields reveals two overlapping source activities of the human auditory

cortex. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, *110*(5), 856–868. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-
2457(99)00019-X

Hackett, T. A. (2011). Information flow in the auditory cortical network. *Hearing Research*,
271(1–2), 133–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2010.01.011

Halder, T., Talwar, S., Jaiswal, A. K., & Banerjee, A. (2019). Quantitative evaluation in estimating
sources underlying brain oscillations using current source density methods and beamformer
approaches. *ENeuro*, *6*(4). https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0170-19.2019

Hari, R., Hämäläinen, M. S., & Joutsiniemi, S. L. (1989). Neuromagnetic steady-state responses
to auditory stimuli. *J AcoustSoc Am*, *86*(3), 1033–1039. https://doi.org/10.1121/1.398093

Hecht, D. (2010, October 1). Depression and the hyperactive right-hemisphere. Neuroscience
Research. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2010.06.013

Henry, M. J., Herrmann, B., Kunke, D., & Obleser, J. (2017). Aging affects the balance of neural
entrainment and top-down neural modulation in the listening brain. *Nature Communications*,
8(1), 15801. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15801

Hine, J., & Debener, S. (2007). Late auditory evoked potentials asymmetry revisited. *Clinical*
Neurophysiology, *118*(6), 1274–1285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2007.03.012

Honey, C. J., Kötter, R., Breakspear, M., & Sporns, O. (2007). Network structure of cerebral cortex
shapes functional connectivity on multiple time scales. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
of Sciences of the United States of America, *104*(24), 10240–10245.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701519104

Hutsler, J., & Galuske, R. A. W. (2003). Hemispheric asymmetries in cerebral cortical networks.
Trends in Neurosciences. Trends Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(03)00198-X

Kaas, J. H., & Hackett, T. A. (2000). Subdivisions of auditory cortex and processing streams in

primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *97*(22), 11793–11799.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.22.11793

Kuramoto, Y. (1984). Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence (Vol. 19). Berlin,

Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69689-3

Linden, R. D., Picton, T. W., Hamel, G., & Campbell, K. B. (1987). Human auditory steady-state

evoked potentials during selective attention. *Electroencephalography and Clinical*

Neurophysiology, *66*(2), 145–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(87)90184-2

Lins, O. G., & Picton, T. W. (1995). Auditory steady-state responses to multiple simultaneous

stimuli. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/ Evoked Potentials*, *96*(5),

420–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-5597(95)00048-W

Mäkelä, J. P., & Hari, R. (1987). Evidence for cortical origin of the 40-Hz auditory evoked

response in man. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, *66*, 539–546.

Maris, E., Schoffelen, J. M., & Fries, P. (2007). Nonparametric statistical testing of coherence

differences. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *163*(1), 161–175.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.02.011

Melynyte, S., Pipinis, E., Genyte, V., Voicikas, A., Rihs, T., & Griskova-Bulanova, I. (2017). 40

Hz Auditory Steady-State Response: The Impact of Handedness and Gender. *Brain*

Topography, *31*(3), 419–429. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-017-0611-x

Mendel, M. I. (1980). Clinical use of primary cortical responses. *Audiology*, *19*(1), 1–15. Retrieved

from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6986148

Mišić, B., Betzel, R. F., Griffa, A., Reus, M. A. De, He, Y., Zuo, X., Zatorre, R. J. (2018). Network-

based asymmetry of the human auditory system. *Cerebral Cortex*, (May), 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhy101

Olulade, O. A., Seydell-Greenwald, A., Chambers, C. E., Turkeltaub, P. E., Dromerick, A. W.,

Berl, M. M., & Newport, E. L. (2020). The neural basis of language development: Changes

in lateralization over age. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(38), 23477-

23483. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905590117

Oostenveld, R., Fries, P., Maris, E., & Schoffelen, J.-M. (2011). FieldTrip: Open source software

for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. *Computational*

Intelligence and Neuroscience, *2011*, 156869. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/156869

Oostenveld, R., Stegeman, D. F., Praamstra, P., & van Oosterom, A. (2003). Brain symmetry and

topographic analysis of lateralized event-related potentials. *Clinical Neurophysiology:*

Official Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology, *114*(7), 1194–

1202. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12842715

Pantev, C., Roberts, L. E., Elbert, T., Ross, B., & Wienbruch, C. (1996). Tonotopic organization

of the sources of human auditory steady-state responses. *Hear Res*, *101*(1–2), 62–74.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5955(96)00133-5

Pascual-Marqui, R. D. (2007). Discrete, 3D distributed, linear imaging methods of electric

neuronal activity. Part 1: exact, zero error localization. Retrieved from

http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3341.

Pascual-Marqui, R. D., Lehmann, D., Koukkou, M., Kochi, K., Anderer, P., Saletu, B., Tanaka,

H., Hirata, K., John, E. R., Prichep, L., Biscay-Lirio, R. and Kinoshita, T. (2011) Assessing

interactions in the brain with exact low-resolution electromagnetic tomography. Philos Trans

A Math PhysEngSci, 369(1952), pp. 3768-84.

Pastor, M. A., Artieda, J., Arbizu, J., Marti-Climent, J. M., Peñuelas, I., & Masdeu, J. C. (2002).

Activation of human cerebral and cerebellar cortex by auditory stimulation at 40 Hz. *The*

Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, *22*(23),

10501–10506. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12451150

Picton, T. W., John, M. S., Dimitrijevic, A., & Purcell, D. (2003). Human auditory steady-state

responses. *International Journal of Audiology*, *42*(4), 177–219.

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-09-739086

Plakke, B., & Romanski, L. M. (2014). Auditory connections and functions of prefrontal cortex.

Frontiers in Neuroscience, (8 JUL). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00199

Plourde, G., Stapells, D. R., & Picton, T. W. (1991). The human auditory steady-state evoked

potentials. *Acta Oto-Laryngologica*, *111*(S491), 153–160.

https://doi.org/10.3109/00016489109136793

Poulsen, C., Picton, T. W., & Paus, T. (2007). Age-related changes in transient and oscillatory

brain responses to auditory stimulation in healthy adults 19-45 years old. Cerebral Cortex

(New York, N.Y.: 1991), 17(6), 1454–1467. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl056

Reyes, S. A., Lockwood, A. H., Salvi, R. J., Coad, M. Lou, Wack, D. S., & Burkard, R. F. (2005).

Mapping the 40-Hz auditory steady-state response using current density reconstructions.

Hearing Research, *204*(1–2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heares.2004.11.016

Riès, S. K., Dronkers, N. F., & Knight, R. T. (2016). Choosing words: Left hemisphere, right

hemisphere, or both? Perspective on the lateralization of word retrieval. Annals of the New

York Academy of Sciences, 1369(1), 111–131. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12993

Ross, B., Herdman, A. T., & Pantev, C. (2005). Right hemispheric laterality of human 40 Hz

auditory steady-state responses. *Cerebral Cortex*, *15*(12), 2029–2039.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi078

Scherg, M., & Von Cramon, D. (1986). Evoked dipole source potentials of the human auditory

cortex. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, *65*(5), 344–360.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-5597(86)90014-6

Semmes, J. (1968). Hemispheric specialization: A possible clue to mechanism. *Neuropsychologia*

(Vol. 6). Pergamon Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(68)90035-3

Sotiropoulos, S. N., Jbabdi, S., Xu, J., Andersson, J. L., Moeller, S., Auerbach, E. J., … Behrens,

T. E. J. (2013). Advances in diffusion MRI acquisition and processing in the Human

ConnectomeProject. NeuroImage, 80, 125–143.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2013.05.057

Stapells, D. R. (2010). Frequency-specific ABR and ASSRs threshold assessment in young infants.

A sound foundation through early amplification: An international conference (pp. 409–448).

https://doi.org/D21-P-1-10

Szaflarski, J. P., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V. J., & Byars, A. W. (2006). fMRI study of language

lateralization in children and adults. *Human brain mapping*, 27(3), 202-212.

https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20177

Tervaniemi, M., Medvedev, S. V., Alho, K., Pakhomov, S. V., Roudas, M. S., Van Zuijen, T. L.,

&Näätänen, R. (2000). Lateralized automatic auditory processing of phonetic versus musical

information: A PET study. *Human Brain Mapping*, *10*(2), 74–79.

https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0193(200006)10:2<74: AID-HBM30>3.0.CO;2-2

Tiihonen, J., Hari, R., Kaukoranta, E., & Kajola, M. (1989). Interaural interaction in the human

auditory cortex. *Audiology Official Organ of the International Society of Audiology*, *28*(1),

37–48.

Toga, A. W., & Thompson, P. M. (2003). Mapping brain asymmetry. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, *4*(1), 37–

48. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1009

Van Essen, D. C., Smith, S. M., Barch, D. M., Behrens, T. E. J., Yacoub, E., & Ugurbil, K. (2013).

The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An overview. NeuroImage, 80, 62–79.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2013.05.041

Wang, L., Uhrig, L., Jarraya, B., & Dehaene, S. (2015). Representation of Numerical and

Sequential Patterns in Macaque and Human Brains. *Current Biology*, *25*(15), 1966–1974.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.06.035

Xing, S., Lacey, E. H., Skipper-Kallal, L. M., Jiang, X., Harris-Love, M. L., Zeng, J., &

Turkeltaub, P. E. (2016). Right hemisphere grey matter structure and language outcomes in

chronic left hemisphere stroke. *Brain*, 139(1), 227-241. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv323

Zatorre, R J, & Belin, P. (2001). Spectral and temporal processing in human auditory cortex.

Cerebral   Cortex   (New   York,   N.Y.:   1991),   11(10),   946–953.

https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/11.10.946

Zatorre, Robert J, & Gandour, J. T. (2008). Neural specializations for speech and pitch: Moving

beyond the dichotomies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*

Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2161

Figure legends

Figure 1: Subject-wise and group brain response

    A. Topographical distribution of 40 Hz spectral power during presentation of periodic auditory stimuli at 40 Hz. The purple "*" on the topoplot maps the position of electrodes that are significantly different from the baseline condition at 95% confidence level.

    B. Power spectrum averaged over all sensors, measured for the monaural left (magenta), monaural right (green), binaural stimuli (blue), and baseline (black) conditions. Subject-wise responses are calculated from individual participant's event-related potentials. For better visualization purpose, time-series was band-pass filtered in the frequency range of 35 – 45 Hz.

    C. Laterality indices (LIs) for 40 Hz spectral power for different stimulus conditions. The colored circle in the group plot represents the mean of LIs. Lower and upper whisker marks the lower and upper limit of 95% confidence interval, respectively.

Figure 2: Cortical sources of 40 Hz ASSRs

Brain regions activated underlying auditory steady state responses (ASSRs) generated during 40Hz amplitude modulated stimulations for 1.) monaural left (1st row), 2.) monaural right (2nd row) and 3.) binaural conditions (3rd row). Axial (1st column), left (2nd column) and right (3rd column) views of brain are shown for better visualization of sources.

Figure 3: Whole-brain neuro-dynamic model to mechanistically explain ASSR lateralization

    A. Pipeline to compare laterality index (*LI*) in simulated and empirical EEG data. Connection parameters of tracts among brain parcels (following Desikan-Killiany atlas) is extracted

from diffusion MRI data. For ease of visualization, only the connections to auditory nodes

are plotted in the glass-brain visualization. The actual model encompass the whole brain

connectivity. Fiber densities guiding coupling coefficients (C_{np}) are plotted as a matrix in

the inset along with distribution of time-delay (τ_{np}) at $v = 19.1\ m/s$. Phase of oscillations

in each parcel were modelled using Kuramoto oscillators (red circles for auditory cortical

sources, grey circles for other regions, see text also). The time series obtained after

reconstructing the source amplitude from phase was used for computation of laterality

indices of source power at 40Hz. For illustrative purposes, results from empirical source

localization analysis using eLORETA are shown for binaural condition adjacent to

corresponding maps generated for simulated data.

B. Bar plots of group-level mean hemispheric *LI* for 40 Hz spectral power obtained from

eLORETA-based source reconstructions (Empirical) and output of neuro-dynamical model

(Theoretical)

C. *LI* values change under the parametric variation of global coupling (k) and transmission

velocity (v) in the large-scale neuro-dynamic model.

Figure 4: Exploring lateralization effects in language networks: *LI* of 40 Hz spectral power

under the parametric variation of global coupling (k) and conduction velocity (v) in the large-

scale neural model while setting seed regions of 40 Hz oscillation at sub-divisions of Broca's

area A) pars triangularis and B) pars opercularis.

Extended data figure legends

Figure 1-1: Subject-wise and group brain response during the monaural conditions:

Topographical distribution of 40 Hz spectral power during the presentation of periodic auditory

stimuli at 40 Hz during (A) monaural left and (B) monaural right condition.

Figure 1-2: t-statistic and *p*-values of significant 40 Hz ASSRs clusters over the scalp locations

for monaural and binaural stimulation

Figure 2-1: List of 40 Hz ASSRs source labels with the number of voxels activated in the left and

right hemispheres.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A.) Monaural left

B.) Monaural right

C.) Binaural

Figure 3

A

Structural connectivity

$$\dot{\theta}_n = \omega_n + k \sum_{p=1}^{N} C_{np} \sin\left(\theta_p(t - \tau_{np}) - \theta_n(t)\right)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_A = 2\pi40$$

Simulated ASSR

Empirical ASSR

Hemispheric laterality analysis

Hemispheric laterality analysis

B

C

Figure 4

Figure 1-1

A.) Monaural left

B.) Monaural right

Figure 1-1: Subject-wise and group brain response during the monaural condition: Topographical distribution of 40 Hz spectral power during the presentation of periodic auditory stimuli at 40 Hz during (A) monaural left and (B) monaural right condition.

Figure 1-2

	Monaural left		Monaural right		Binaural	
	t-score ($t_{(1980)}$)	*p*-value	t-score ($t_{(1980)}$)	*p*-value	t-score ($t_{(1980)}$)	*p*-value
Fronto-central	135.91	< 0.001	144.73	< 0.001	417.75	< 0.001
Posterior region	61.87	< 0.001	76.38	< 0.001		

Figure 1-2: t-statistic and *p*-values of significant 40 Hz ASSRs clusters over the scalp locations for monaural and binaural stimulation.

Figure 2-1

Monaural left				
Left hemisphere	No. of voxels		Right hemisphere	No. of voxels
Superior temporal	9		Superior temporal	24
Postcentral	3		Postcentral	14
Precentral	4		Precentral	12
Supramarginal	1		Supramarginal	10
Middle temporal lobe	3		Middle temporal lobe	1
Lateral occipital lobe	32		Pars Triangularis	2
Lingual	7		Pars Percularis	8
Fusiform	5		Transverse temporal	2
Pericalcarine	3			
Inferior temporal lobe	1			

Monaural right				
Precentral	13		Precentral	15
Pars Percularis	9		Pars Percularis	13
Pars Triangularis	5		Pars Triangularis	13
Postcentral	4		Postcentral	13
Superior temporal	6		Superior temporal	12
Lateral occipital lobe	19		Rostral middle frontal	3
Lingual	7		Pars Orbitalis	2
Cuneus	2		Supramarginal	2
Pericalcarine	2			
Fusiform	1			

Binaural				
Superior temporal	5		Superior temporal	17
Precentral	1		Precentral	15
Postcentral	1		Postcentral	13
Lateral occipital lobe	35		Pars Percularis	13
Lingual	14		Pars Triangularis	10
Fusiform	7		Supramarginal	3
Pericalcarine	4		Pars Orbitalis	2
Middle temporal lobe	1			

Figure 2-1: List of 40 Hz ASSRs source labels with the number of voxels activated in the left and right hemispheres.