A laboratory module that explores RNA interference and codon optimization through fluorescence microscopy using *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Authors: Maryam A. Azmi[1],†, Nicholas J. Palmisano[1],†, Taylor N. Medwig-Kinney[1], Frances E. Moore[1], Rumana Rahman[1], Wan Zhang[1], Rebecca C. Adikes[1],*, David Q. Matus[1],*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
†These authors contributed equally to this work
*Correspondence to: rebecca.adikes@stonybrook.edu and david.matus@stonybrook.edu

Funding and Conflict of Interest: Funding for this work was provided by startup funds to DQM from Stony Brook University. None of the authors has a financial, personal, or professional conflict of interest related to this work.

Abstract

Authentic research experiences are beneficial to students allowing them to gain laboratory and problem-solving skills as well as foundational research skills in a team-based setting. We designed a laboratory module to provide an authentic research experience to stimulate curiosity, introduce students to experimental techniques, and promote higher-order thinking. In this laboratory module, students learn about RNA interference (RNAi) and codon optimization using the research organism *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*). Students are given the opportunity to perform a commonly used method of gene downregulation in *C. elegans* where they visualize gene depletion using fluorescence microscopy and quantify the efficacy of depletion using quantitative image analysis. The module presented here educates students on how to report their results and findings by generating publication quality figures and figure legends. The activities outlined exemplify ways by which students can acquire the critical thinking, data interpretation, and technical skills, which are beneficial for future laboratory classes, independent inquiry-based research projects and careers in the life sciences and beyond.

SCIENTIFIC TEACHING CONTENT

Learning Goals
- Gain experience working with *C. elegans*
- Understand the process of RNA interference and importance of codon optimization
- Learn basic microscopy techniques and image analysis
- Learn how to properly use the scientific method
- Enhance critical thinking skills

Learning Objectives

Students will be able to:

Lab 1 and 2:
- Identify specific larval stages of *C. elegans*
- Synchronize *C. elegans* larvae using alkaline hypochlorite treatment
- Understand codon usage
- Formulate hypotheses and design a controlled experiment

Lab 3 and 4:
- Acquire images using an epifluorescence microscope
- Effectively communicate results and formulate conclusions from data
- Describe what RNAi is and how it affects gene expression/activity
- Calculate mean fluorescent intensity from acquired fluorescence micrographs
- Perform statistical tests to determine the significance of results
- Generate publication quality figures and figure legends

INTRODUCTION

Inquiry-based learning is a form of active learning where students obtain the skills they need to problem solve and make unique discoveries about the natural world(1-3). In contrast to teacher-centered instruction, where facts are disseminated to students, inquiry-based learning encourages students to foster their own independent learning with the assistance of the instructor(1-3). In addition, inquiry-based learning puts emphasis on students developing science process skills, such as making observations, developing hypotheses, and formulating conclusions(1-3).

Course-based Undergraduate Research Experiences (CUREs) are a form of inquiry-based learning that provide students with a genuine research experience. Students enrolled in CUREs develop or are given a research question with an unknown outcome, use the scientific method to address the question, collect and analyze data, and communicate their results(3-5). Students that participate in a CURE learn the necessary skills and techniques they need to carry out the tasks required(6-8), and at the same time gain confidence in their ability to engage in the scientific process(9-11). Assessment of student learning gains reveal that CUREs improve student ability to think critically, interpret data, communicate results, and collaborate as a team, compared to traditional lab courses(12-17). A critical aspect of independent research is obtaining the foundational skills and introductory training needed for understanding a specific system and/or research topic of interest.

Here we describe a simple laboratory module employed in the first half of our upper division undergraduate CURE on developmental genetics, which is used to prepare students for independent inquiry-based group research projects that occur in the second half of the course. In this module,

students are introduced to the research organism, *Caenhorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*), to explore the concepts of RNA interference (RNAi) and codon optimization. *C. elegans* offers many advantages that make it an ideal research organism, such as a fast life cycle, large brood sizes, and easy access to genetic manipulation by forward and/or reverse genetic approaches(18-20). Additionally, they are transparent, which allows for visualization of all tissue types, and the real-time visualization of fluorescent-tagged reporter proteins expressed in various tissues of interest(21, 22). Using the protocols outlined in this paper, students will cultivate and utilize *C. elegans* to conduct an RNAi experiment where they will visualize first-hand how RNAi depletes a gene of interest and how codon optimization significantly impacts gene expression.

The importance of this module is that it not only teaches concepts in molecular genetics and introduces students to a model system, it also promotes higher-order thinking. With the instruction provided here, students will gain experience and expertise in working with *C. elegans*, basic microscopy, data analysis, and science communication. Students will have an intuition for probing a research question, developing hypotheses, planning experiments, thinking about possible results, and formulating conclusions. Therefore, modules like the one presented here have a positive impact on student development and at the same time provide the prerequisites needed for success in STEM-related fields and beyond.

Overall, this module can be used at various educational levels to enhance students' interest in science and provide the groundwork needed for independent scientific research. The module incorporates active learning styles of instruction that significantly enhance student performance and encourage engagement. Finally, this module can also be used as a "stepping-stone" or "bootcamp" exercise to provide students with a set of skills and tools for the inquiry-based module of a CURE using *C. elegans* as a model organism.

Intended Audience

This laboratory module was employed in the first half of upper-level undergraduate developmental genetics at Stony Brook University. Most students enrolled in the course were Juniors or Seniors; however, the module can be implemented at various educational levels (i.e. Freshman or Sophomores or first-year graduate students as a "bootcamp" exercise). It can also be adapted for use in a high school setting where students can be introduced to a model system commonly studied in the life sciences, learn concepts that pertain to gene expression and regulation, and reinforce their prior knowledge of the scientific method.

Required Learning Time

The module requires four lab sessions of approximately 3 hours each. We found this was ample time for students to become accustomed to working with *C. elegans* and proficient in the necessary skills needed to complete the module. However, the module timing can be adjusted as needed to any desired length of time.

Prerequisite Student Knowledge

Students enrolled in the course are required to have taken introductory biology laboratories and animal development. It is highly encouraged that students have familiarity with basic laboratory procedures, such as micropipetting and sterile techniques. Prior to the module, all necessary

160 materials and information needed to complete the assignments will be provided, and students will
161 receive an introduction to *C. elegans*, RNAi, and basic microscopy.
162
Prerequisite Teacher Knowledge
It is encouraged that instructors have some experience with *C. elegans*; however, the
information presented in this manuscript should be sufficient for an instructor with no experience in
C. elegans biology to learn the techniques required. Instructors should have a good understanding of
concepts involving RNA interference(23) and codon optimization(24, 25). Additionally, instructors
should know how to operate compound light microscopes, epifluorescence light microscopes, and
image processing software, such as Fiji/ImageJ(26).
170

SCIENTIFIC TEACHING THEMES

Active Learning
Several active learning strategies that were implemented throughout this module include group
activities, polling questions, and independent-learning activities. To complete the life history stages
assignment and GFP RNAi experiment discussed below, students were arranged into groups, which
fostered peer-to-peer communication, teamwork, and promoted student engagement. Moreover,
prior to the GFP RNAi experiment, students were assigned a GFP RNAi worksheet (see below) which
prompted independent thinking about the experiment. By completing the GFP RNAi worksheet,
students had an opportunity to complete a task on their own without supervision and troubleshoot
through any obstacles they may have encountered.
182

Assessment
Student assessments were conducted at multiple levels throughout the module. During the short
introductory lectures given, students were asked a series of polling questions incorporated into the
lecture (Supplement 1) and were assessed based on their answers. Students were evaluated on their
ability to perform lab tasks and follow directions given by teaching assistants and instructors.
Additionally, students were graded based on the quality of their lab assignment, which included data
analysis, figure generation and figure legend writing (Supplement 2).
190

Inclusive Teaching
We have designed this module to be all-inclusive by differentiating content and lesson material to
reach various types of learners. The hands-on activities of this module capture the attention and
engagement of kinesthetic and tactile learners. Our short lectures that contain images, provide
written instruction, and facilitate discussion amongst the class are accommodating to both visual and
auditory learners. In addition, we encourage splitting the class into groups to include both men and
women, as well as students of different ethnic backgrounds to foster an inclusive instructional
environment. Thus, the module ensures equity by reaching all types of learners and encourages
diversity through the formation of disparate groups.
200
201

LESSON PLAN

Overview of the module

In the module discussed in detail below, various concepts that are central to the understanding of gene expression and gene regulation will be explored. Using *C. elegans* as a model organism, students will understand how codon optimization of a nucleotide sequence significantly impacts the effectiveness of RNAi-mediated gene depletion.

Specifically, students will work with two GFP-expressing *C. elegans* strains, where one strain expresses a non-codon optimized (NCO) GFP tag (GFP$_{NCO}$), while the other strain expresses a codon optimized (CO) GFP tag (GFP$_{CO}$). The GFP$_{NCO}$ and GFP$_{CO}$ tags are each fused to the histone protein, *his-58* (H2B), that is driven by a ubiquitous promoter, *eft-3,* which promotes expression in all cells. Students will treat each strain with an empty vector (control) RNAi bacterial clone or an RNAi bacterial clone that produces dsRNA specific to only the non-codon optimized GFP variant (GFP$_{NCO}$) (Review Timmons and Fire, 1998 for a detailed description on how RNAi works in *C. elegans*). Through fluorescence microscopy, students will visually see differences in GFP expression in each strain due to codon optimization, and they will observe that significant depletion occurs only in the strain expressing *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$*. From their understanding of RNAi and codon optimization, we anticipate that students will be able to accurately predict these results and explain why these outcomes occur.

Prior to the module, we present students with a series of lectures that include an introduction to *C. elegans* and discussion about gene regulation (Supplement 1) (Review Corsi et al., 2015 for a comprehensive overview of *C. elegans*). We discuss the topic of RNAi, which is a biological process that in the presence of exogenous double stranded RNA (dsRNA) results in post-transcriptional gene silencing(19, 27-29). One method used to administer *C. elegans* with dsRNA is to feed them with *E. coli* expressing a vector capable of producing dsRNA, that is complementary to a target gene of interest(30, 31). *C. elegans* are unique in that they have a systemic RNAi response, meaning that dsRNA spreads throughout all tissues, with the exception of most neurons(32, 33). Thus, loss-of-function phenotypes for genes of interest can be assessed in almost any tissue of interest using RNAi.

We also engage our students by having a discussion on codon optimization, or the modification of a sequence of DNA such that the frequency of codons used by a particular organism for a specific amino acid is taken into account(34-36). Codon optimization significantly enhances the expression level of a particular protein due to the correlation between codon usage and tRNA abundance, and mRNA stability(37-40). Thus, the expression levels of codon optimized genes will be more robust than those of non-codon optimized.

In all, we anticipate this module will fulfill several goals, which include student proficiency in using the scientific method and development of critical thinking skills. After completing this module, students will be able to conduct controlled experiments using a model organism. In addition, they will be able to explain what RNAi is and how it can be used to assess loss-of-function phenotypes for any gene of interest. Lastly, students will be able to state the importance of codon optimization as it pertains to gene expression.

Labs 1 and 2: Introduction to C. elegans and microscopy

To carry out the GFP RNAi module, both instructors and students should have a general
understanding of the life cycle and larval stages of *C. elegans* development(22). Briefly, *C. elegans* are
free-living soil nematodes (worms) that have two sexes, hermaphrodites and males(18). After the
completion of embryogenesis, *C. elegans* develop through four larval stages (L1-L4) prior to reaching
adulthood. L1 larvae are the smallest of the larval stages, while adult hermaphrodites are
characterized by the formation of eggs within the midline of the animal(18, 41). The life cycle of *C.*
elegans varies by temperature (15°C–25°C), but typically, development from egg to egg-laying adult
is approximately 2 days at 25°C, 3.5 days at 20°C, or 5 days at 15°C(18, 41). We recommend
instructors prepare a lecture that is devoted to giving an overview of the life cycle of *C. elegans*(22)*.*
For the purposes of this module, animals can be evaluated at the L3 or L4 larval stages;
however, any stage can be evaluated as desired. The L3 or L4 stages can be reached by plating
synchronized L1 larvae onto RNAi plates for ~34 or 48 hours at 20°C, respectively(41). Alternatively,
the L3 or L4 stages can be reached by growing synchronized L1s for ~60 or 80 hours at 15°C(41). If
analysis of earlier larval stages is desired, an instructor or lab technician can simply place
synchronized L4 animals on RNAi plates and collect L1 or L2 progeny from those animals for analysis.
To help students get accustomed to working with *C. elegans*, we devoted one lab session (Lab
1) to introduce basic worm techniques. These techniques include worm picking, slide making and
alkaline hypochlorite treatment (bleaching) for animal synchronization(42, 43) (see Supplement 3
and 4). An additional lab session was devoted to an introduction to compound light microscopy and
a tutorial on microscopy. After the conclusion of these introductory sessions, students demonstrate
their ability to work with *C. elegans* and operate a compound light microscope available in class, by
imaging the life history stages (different larval stages) of the worms. Additionally, students compile
a figure containing images of the life stages along with a descriptive figure legend (Supplement 2 and
3). We find this exercise extremely valuable for students to master important worm husbandry
techniques (*i.e.* worm picking), identify larval stages, and become familiar with microscopy
techniques, all of which will be necessary to successfully perform the GFP RNAi experiment.
270
Lab 3 and 4: GFP RNAi Module
Student and instructor preparation
To prepare the students for the experiment, we presented a short lecture on RNAi and codon
bias (Supplement 1) and devised a "GFP RNAi worksheet" (Supplement 5). The goal of this worksheet
is to drive students to formulate hypotheses as to whether the GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi clone will efficiently
knock down GFP intensity levels in the strain expressing H2B::GFP$_{CO}$ or H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$. In this
worksheet, the students are provided with the nucleotide and amino acid sequences for the codon
and non-codon optimized H2B::GFP tags, as well as the short-interfering nucleotide sequence from
the GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi clone (Supplement 5). Using the sequences provided, students will make a pairwise
sequence alignment, using EMBOSS Needle (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/emboss_needle/).
They will then compare the percent similarities between the different sequences and determine
whether the short-interfering nucleotide sequence for GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi is most similar to H2B::GFP$_{CO}$ or
H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$. Through this process, students will see that the siRNA sequence (in DNA form) encoded
by the GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi clone is 100% identical to the GFP$_{NCO}$ sequence and not the GFP$_{CO}$ sequence.
Students will also appreciate that the control RNAi clone is called "empty vector" because it does not
produce a dsRNA product.

To conduct the RNAi experiment, the students should grow up both the *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$* and *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$* strains (DQM583 and DQM594, respectively) initially on NGM plates containing an *E. coli* diet (OP50)(43)(Supplement 4 Section II). Please note that worms are initially grown on OP50-seeded NGM plates prior to treatment with *E. coli* expressing dsRNA-producing vectors, and that RNAi plates utilize an *E. coli* food source different from OP50. Prior to the experiment, instructors should have RNAi plates made that contain *E. coli* specific to empty vector control (*T444T*) and GFP$_{NCO}$ (Supplement 4 SectionIV). To acquire a sufficient number of L1 larvae for the experiment, we recommend that instructors ensure that students have an adequate number of NGM plates containing gravid adults for bleach synchronization(42, 43).

When NGM plates are full of gravid adults (>100 adults on each plate or food source is near depletion), students should treat each strain with alkaline hypochlorite solution **(Figure 1 Step 1)**(Supplement 3 and Supplement 4 Section V) to create synchronized L1s. Approximately 50-100 L1 animals should be pipetted onto control and GFP$_{NCO}$-specific RNAi plates **(Figure 1 Step 2)**. Individual RNAi plates should have no more than ~50-100 worms to prevent overcrowding and depletion of the *E. coli* food source **(Figure 1 Step 2)**. The L1's are then cultured on the RNAi plates at the desired temperature until the L3 or L4 stage is reached **(Figure 1 Step 3)**. Once the desired stage is reached, students mount the animals on 5% agarose slides containing a droplet of M9 buffer and sodium azide to anesthetize the animals **(Figure 1 Step 3)**. We recommend that students pick ~10 animals for imaging at a time. We also encourage instructors to ensure students handle sodium azide with care as it is toxic(44)(Supplement 3 and Supplement 4 Section VI).

Student Experimental Results

Students quantified H2B::GFP fluorescence depletion using two wide-field epifluorescence microscopes, the Accu-Scope or Leica DMLB fluorescence microscopes (**Figure 1 Step 4, Figure 2 A and B**). For imaging consistency, instructors should predetermine the imaging settings (exposure time, magnification, camera gain and binning) using the *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$* strain (DQM583) as a baseline due to it fluorescing the brightest. Both *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$* and *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$* strains were imaged for each RNAi treatment (control and GFP$_{NCO}$). From the data acquired by the students, several qualitative observations were made **(Figure 2 A and B)**. First, the overall fluorescence intensity of the GFP$_{CO}$ strain was visually much brighter than the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain. Second, treating the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi strongly reduced the fluorescence intensity of GFP, whereas treating the GFP$_{CO}$ strain with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi did not **(Figure 2 A and B, *eft-3>H2B::GFP* column)**. Third, in the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain treated with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi, although the fluorescence intensity of GFP was strongly reduced, some nuclei still showed high levels of GFP, these correspond to the cells that are insensitive to RNAi, most notably neurons **(Figure 2A , *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$*; GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi)**

To analyze the data quantitatively, we instructed students to quantify whole-body GFP fluorescence intensity for 10 animals from each strain grown on control and GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi, using Fiji/ImageJ2(26). Briefly, the entire body of each worm was outlined and the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was then measured for both GFP and an area of background. The background MFI measurement was then subtracted from the GFP MFI measurement to reduce background noise and obtain a mean gray value (MGV). Mean gray values were normalized by dividing the MFI in RNAi-treated animals by the average MFI in control-treated animals (Supplement 6, Supplement 4 Section

VII, Tutorial Videos 1-4, Supplement 7). The mean gray values obtained from each imaging system
(microscope) are plotted next to their respective micrographs **(Figure 2 A' and B')**.

By plotting the normalized MGV, students were able to clearly see that treating the GFP$_{NCO}$
strain with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi significantly reduced the expression of GFP compared to control-treated
animals **(Figure 2A, 2A', and 2B, 2B', NCO strain; control RNAi vs. GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi)**. Moreover, the
students noted that treatment with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi had no effect on GFP expression levels in the codon-
optimized strain **(Figure 2A, 2A', and 2B, 2B', CO strain; control RNAi vs. GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi)**. To
determine the statistical significance of their results, students performed a Student's t-test
comparing control MGV's to the MGV's for the GFP$_{NCO}$ and GFP$_{CO}$ strains. To assess whether the
students successfully carried out the experiment, we instructed them to document their results by
creating a publication quality figure similar to that described for the life history stages, which also
included a table of their raw data and description of their results (Supplement 2 and 6). From these
results, and the results obtained from the GFP RNAi worksheet, it becomes evident that RNAi
specificity is largely dependent on the sequence homology/similarity between the target gene
sequence and the sequence of the siRNA produced by the RNAi clone itself.

Extended Results (Optional)

Compared to wide-field epifluorescence microscopy, high resolution fluorescence
microscopy greatly improves the detail and resolving power of fluorescence micrographs, such that
unwanted out-of-focus light is significantly reduced, and the detail of cellular objects is greatly
enhanced(45). Thus, to show students high quality images of nuclear DNA labeled with H2B::GFP, we
acquired spinning-disk confocal images for both the *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$* and *eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$* strains
(Figure 2C, 2C', 3, and 4). Importantly, these spinning-disk confocal images served to better
illustrate some of the key concepts discussed in the lab module, such as codon optimization and
lineage specific differences in RNAi susceptibility.

From the confocal fluorescence micrographs, it becomes more apparent that treatment with
GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi significantly reduces GFP fluorescence intensity in the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain, but not in the GFP$_{CO}$
strain **(Figure 2C, 2C'; CO strain vs. NCO strain; GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi vs. control RNAi)**. To highlight the
differences in expression levels between codon optimized and non-codon optimized H2B::GFP fusion
proteins, we took spinning disk confocal images of the *C. elegans* germline. In general, codon
optimized transgenes are more robustly expressed in the germline than non-codon optimized
transgenes(46, 47). In line with this, H2B::GFP fluorescence expression was more robust in germ cells
when GFP is codon-optimized as opposed to when it is non-codon optimized **(Figure 3; CO strain**
vs. NCO strain).

In *C. elegans*, certain cell lineages show different sensitivities to exogenous dsRNA. For
example, neurons are less sensitive to RNAi compared to other somatic tissues(32, 48). This is
because neurons lack the dsRNA-gated channel, *sid-1*, which promotes the uptake of dsRNA into cells
that express it(49). To emphasize this concept to students, we acquired spinning-disk confocal
images of nuclei from various cell lineages commonly studied in *C. elegans* (**Figure 4A)**, such as
pharyngeal neurons **(Figure 4B)**, epidermal cells **(Figure 4C)**, somatic gonadal cells **(Figure 4D)**,
and vulval precursor cells **(figure 4E)**. For each of the cell lineages examined, once again treatment
with GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi significantly reduced GFP fluorescence intensity levels in the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain, but not
in the GFP$_{CO}$ strain **(Figure 4B-E)**. However, with respect to the GFP$_{NCO}$ strain treated with GFP$_{NCO}$

RNAi, the percent decrease in GFP intensity levels in the pharyngeal neurons was much less than the
decrease found in the other cell types examined **(Figure 4B compared to Figures 4C-E)**. Thus, these
observations can be used in the classroom to clearly illustrate to students that certain cell types are
more or less sensitive to exogenous dsRNA, and this sensitivity is in part dictated by the surface
proteins these cells express(49).
377

TEACHING DISCUSSION

The laboratory module presented here teaches a variety of common techniques employed by
C. elegans researchers and exposes students to various concepts in molecular genetics and
microscopy. During this module, students will become proficient at working with a widely used
research organism, be able to conduct controlled experiments, analyze data, produce publication
quality images, and have a basic understanding of microscopy. In addition, students will have a solid
foundation as to how RNAi works, how it can be used to study gene function, and the importance of
codon optimization on proper gene expression

This module clearly illustrates that certain cell types are less or more prone to the effects of
dsRNA treatment, and that codon optimization results in improved gene expression in tissues (i.e.
the germline). The advantage of using a strain that drives ubiquitous expression of H2B::GFP is that
it is extremely bright and nuclear localized, and therefore easily visible on widefield epifluorescence
microscopes, which are commonly available in most laboratory classrooms. For classrooms that have
access to a high resolution microscope, such as a spinning-disk confocal, laser-scanning confocal
microscope, or structured illumination microscopes, this module can be easily adapted for use on
those types of microscopes as shown in Figures 2C, 3, and 4. The additional benefit of the strains used
in this module is that students can immediately see differences in depletion between H2B::GFP$_{CO}$ and
H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$ upon GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi treatment. Such is a bonus when attempting to keep students
intrigued and engaged.

Upon completing this module, students will acquire the basic foundational skills needed for
independent inquiry-based research projects involving *C. elegans*. Some examples of inquiry-based
research projects that can follow this module include a reverse genetics screen to identify genes
important for specific processes of interest, such as longevity. In this example, with the assistance of
their instructor, students can design a simple research question, such as "Do fat metabolism genes
play a role in regulating lifespan?". Students can search the literature for fat metabolism genes of
interest, use either the Ahringer or Vidal RNAi libraries (Source Bioscience) to isolate clones specific
for those genes, and determine if their depletion reduces or enhances longevity. Results can then be
documented, written up in research paper format, and presented to the class. The independent
inquiry-based research projects that follow this module are limitless and can focus on key areas of
research implicated in a wide range of cellular processes, such as cell cycle regulation, cellular
invasion, stress-resistance pathways, vesicle trafficking, and much more.

Whereas most lecture and laboratory-based classrooms use expository styles of instruction,
classrooms that utilize active learning styles of instruction, such as inquiry-based learning strategies,
significantly enhance student performance and learning outcomes(15, 50, 51). Several active
learning strategies that can be implemented throughout this module include variations of the Jigsaw
technique(52) and Think-Pair-Share(53). With respect to the Jigsaw technique, students can be
divided into several teams, with each team focusing on identifying a specific life history stage as

described above. When those teams complete the assignment, the class is divided once again into
new groups. Each new group consists of one member from the original teams, with each member
being responsible for teaching the group how to identify their originally assigned life history stage.
We performed a variation of the Jigsaw technique and found the students to be engaged and excited
with the task provided. To further promote student thinking and awareness, an instructor can also
take advantage of Think-Pair-Share. Here, an instructor can pose a question, allow the students to
think independently about the question, then form pairs or groups to discuss their ideas collectively,
and share with the class. This strategy can be easily administered for the GFP RNAi worksheet. Here
students can be given the worksheet as a homework or in-class assignment to think independently
about which strain the GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi clone will be more efficient against. Later in the lab session or
during the next lab session, the students can form pairs or groups, discuss their opinions with one
another, and then present them to the class. In all, there are various active learning strategies that
can be implemented in this module, which fosters peer-to-peer communication, promotes student
engagement, and stimulates higher-order thinking.
This module can be further adapted for remote teaching and online learning. Instructors can
teach image analysis alongside with their lectures online and provide students with previously
acquired raw data sets from epifluorescence and/or confocal microscopes. Lessons can be held
synchronously by utilizing the share screen option in video conferencing apps, such as Zoom or
Google Meet, or asynchronously by recording lectures and lessons ahead of time, and uploading them
onto Blackboard, Microsoft Cloud, or Google Drive along with our image analysis video tutorials
(Supplemental Tutorial Videos 1-5). Based on the knowledge gained from the lectures, compiled raw
data, and the GFP RNAi worksheet, students will be able to formulate their hypothesis and test it by
analyzing the supplied data. We adapted this distance learning technique for the second half of our
course during the SARS-CoV2 pandemic in the Spring of 2020 and received positive feedback from
our students about the adaptability of the course.
An additional advantage of this module is that it not only applies to the undergraduate setting,
it can be adapted to a variety of educational levels, such as the high school or graduate level. At the
high school level, this module can enhance critical thinking, promote independence at an early stage
of a student's career, and instill awareness by introducing the field of genetics and organismal
biology. Moreover, it inherently promotes student engagement, by allowing students to work with
an organism that most are unaware exists, work in groups to share ideas, and visualize cellular
processes live. Additionally, we provide simplified protocols and instructions to make it easy for
instructors who have little experience with *C. elegans* to facilitate this module in their classroom. At
the graduate level, this module can be particularly useful for graduate student rotations and can serve
as an introductory "boot camp" or "stepping-stone" to introduce the *C. elegans* and experimental
techniques used in *C. elegans* research. Here, entry-level graduate students who have not previously
worked with *C. elegans* will have the opportunity to do so, and can immediately start acquiring data
by conducting a reverse genetics screen devised by the principal investigator and/or themselves.
Over time, these students can become confident enough to develop and plan their own projects.
In summary, this module is an excellent resource for instructors interested in conveying a
real-life science experience to their students and serves as an excellent opportunity for students to
gain the hands-on experience they need in order to pursue a career in biology.
457

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Supplemental Files:

Supplemental Movies:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this work was provided by startup funds to D.Q.M from Stony Brook University. We are grateful to Michael A. Q. Martinez and Katie L. Palmisano for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions. We would like to thank all developmental genetics students who have taken this course for their enthusiasm and for making the course enjoyable. We give special thanks to the teaching assistants Scott Yang, Andrew Hillowe, Roy Raheb, Narges Zali, Sam Chiappone, Stephen Ruis, Nazia Jamil and Asim Nadeen for their hard work and dedication to teaching developmental genetics and course faculty, Gerald Thomsen and J. Peter Gergen. We would like to thank the lab coordinators Mary A. Bernero and Albert Wilkinso for their assistance with laboratory set up and prep.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Workflow diagram of the GFP RNAi module. (Step 1) For both codon optimized (*eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$*) and non-codon optimized (*eft-3>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$*) strains, 10 OP50-seeded NGM plates each containing ~50-100 *C. elegans* gravid adults were treated with alkaline hypochlorite solution to obtain synchronized larvae. **(Step 2)** After 24 hours in M9 solution (and up to 72 hours), hatched L1 larvae are ready for plating onto RNAi plates (control or *T444T* RNAi and GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi). For optimal RNAi efficiency and to avoid overcrowding/starvation, ~50 worms per plate will suffice. **(Step 3)** L1 larvae are grown until the L3/L4 larval stage and then mounted on 5% agarose pad slides (containing sodium azide (anesthetic) and a drop of M9 buffer) for image acquisition. *Growth times will vary based on temperature (see text for more details). **(Step 4)** Images are acquired and then analyzed using Fiji/ImageJ to determine the mean fluorescence intensity. Results are briefly explained in the lab report and submitted along with a publication quality figure with figure legend.

Figure 2. RNAi specificity between codon optimized genes and non-codon optimized H2B::GFP strains. (A-C) Representative DIC and fluorescence micrographs of *eft-3*>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$ (CO strain) and *eft-3*>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$ (NCO strain) strains treated with RNAi against empty vector (control) or GFP$_{NCO}$. Micrographs were collected by students using AccuScope (A) or Leica (B) epifluorescence compound microscopes, or were collected by instructors using a custom modified upright spinning disk confocal microscope (C). Images represent either the whole-body (A and B) or the midsection (C) of the animal. **(A'-C')** Quantification of the normalized mean gray value (Normalized M.G.V) of H2B::GFP expression, shown as a percentage, in CO and NCO strains. Mean fluorescence intensity for either whole-body (A and B) or midsection nuclei (C) are shown. N≥8 animals per treatment (A' and B') or N≥6 animals per treatment and n>100 midsection nuclei (C'). Error bars denote mean with SD. Scale bars: 25 μm (A&B), 5 μm (C). Arrow heads (A) denote neurons in the *eft-3*>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$ not affected by GFP$_{NCO}$ RNAi; inset shows the same image inverted. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired, two-tailed, Student's t-test with Welch's correction or Mann-Whitney U test. n.s.: not significant. p-value ****≤0.0001.

Figure 3. Codon optimization results in improved gene expression in the germline. (A) Representative DIC and fluorescence micrographs of the *C. elegans* germline for *eft-3*>H2B::GFP$_{CO}$ (CO strain) and *eft-3*>H2B::GFP$_{NCO}$ (NCO strain) strains. Insets zoom into the germline to emphasize expression differences between the CO and NCO strains. **(B)** Quantification of the Normalized M.G.V of H2B::GFP expression in individual nuclei of the midsection, shown as a percentage, in CO and NCO strains. Colored lines represent the mean M.G.V for individual lineages. N≥6 animals per strain and n>100 midsection nuclei. Scale bars: 25 μm. Error bars denote mean with SD. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired, two-tailed, Mann-Whitney U test. p-value ****≤0.0001

Figure 4. Lineage specific differences in RNAi susceptibility. (A) Cartoon schematic of a single *C. elegans* nematode with different cell lineages outlined. The cell lineages shown and quantified include pharyngeal neurons (B), epidermal/hypodermal cells (C), somatic gonadal cells (D), and vulval precursor cells (VPCs) (E). **(B-E)** For each lineage, the Normalized M.G.V for individual nuclei was quantified in CO and NCO strains treated with control or GFP$_{NCO}$ dsRNA. For each lineage, N≥6 animals/RNAi clone and n≥30 nuclei. Scale bars: 5 μm. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired, two-tailed, Student's t-test with Welch's correction or Mann-Whitney U test. n.s.: not significant. p-value **≤0.01, ****≤0.0001.

REFERENCES

1.   Wilke RR, Straits WJ. 2005. Practical Advice for Teaching Inquiry-Based Science Process Skills in the Biological Sciences. The American Biology Teacher 67:534.

2.   Wilke RR, Straits WJ. 2001. The effects of discovery learning in a lower-division biology course. Adv Physiol Educ 25:134-41.

3.   Weaver GC, Russell CB, Wink DJ. 2008. Inquiry-based and research-based laboratory pedagogies in undergraduate science. Nat Chem Biol 4:577-80.

4.   Auchincloss LC, Laursen SL, Branchaw JL, Eagan K, Graham M, Hanauer DI, Lawrie G, McLinn CM, Pelaez N, Rowland S, Towns M, Trautmann NM, Varma-Nelson P, Weston TJ, Dolan EL. 2014. Assessment of course-based undergraduate research experiences: a meeting report. CBE Life Sci Educ 13:29-40.

5.   Corwin LA, Graham MJ, Dolan EL. 2015. Modeling course-based undergraduate research experiences: an agenda for future research and evaluation. CBE Life Sci Educ 14:es1.

6.   Hastie E, Sellers R, Valan B, Sherwood DR. 2019. A Scalable CURE Using a CRISPR/Cas9 Fluorescent Protein Knock-In Strategy in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Microbiol Biol Educ 20.

7.   Swanson HI, Sarge OP, Rodrigo-Peiris T, Xiang L, Cassone VM. 2016. Development of a Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experience to Introduce Drug-Receptor Concepts. J Med Educ Curric Dev 3.

8.   Mordacq JC, Drane DL, Swarat SL, Lo SM. 2017. Research and Teaching: Development of Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experiences Using a Design-Based Approach. Journal of College Science Teaching 46:64.

9.   Bauer KW, Bennett JS. 2003. Alumni Perceptions Used to Assess Undergraduate Research Experience. The Journal of Higher Education 74:210-230.

10.   Lopatto D. 2007. Undergraduate research experiences support science career decisions and active learning. CBE life sciences education 6:297-306.

11.   Hunter A-B, Laursen SL, Seymour E. 2007. Becoming a scientist: The role of undergraduate research in students' cognitive, personal, and professional development. Science Education 91:36-74.

12.   Brownell SE, Hekmat-Scafe DS, Singla V, Chandler Seawell P, Conklin Imam JF, Eddy SL, Stearns T, Cyert MS. 2015. A high-enrollment course-based undergraduate research experience improves student conceptions of scientific thinking and ability to interpret data. CBE Life Sci Educ 14:14:ar21.

13.   Indorf JL, Weremijewicz J, Janos DP, Gaines MS. 2019. Adding Authenticity to Inquiry in a First-Year, Research-Based, Biology Laboratory Course. CBE Life Sci Educ 18:ar38.

14.   Jones CK, Lerner AB. 2019. Implementing a course-based undergraduate research experience to grow the quantity and quality of undergraduate research in an animal science curriculum1. J Anim Sci 97:4691-4697.

15.   Freeman S, Eddy SL, McDonough M, Smith MK, Okoroafor N, Jordt H, Wenderoth MP. 2014. Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111:8410-8415.

16.   Tootle T, Hoffmann D, Allen A, Spracklen A, Groen C, Kelpsch D. 2019. Research and Teaching: Mini-Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experience: Impact on Student Understanding of STEM Research and Interest in STEM Programs. Journal of College Science Teaching 048.

17.   Brownell SE, Kloser MJ, Fukami T, Shavelson R. 2012. Undergraduate Biology Lab Courses: Comparing the Impact of Traditionally Based "Cookbook" and Authentic Research-Based Courses on Student Lab Experiences. Journal of College Science Teaching 41.

18.   Brenner S. 1974. THE GENETICS OF CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS. Genetics 77:71-94.

19.   Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. 1998. Potent and specific genetic
interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 391:806-11.
20.   Jorgensen EM, Mango SE. 2002. The art and design of genetic screens: caenorhabditis elegans.
Nat Rev Genet 3:356-69.
21.   Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, Ward WW, Prasher DC. 1994. Green fluorescent protein as a
marker for gene expression. Science 263:802-5.
22.   Corsi AK, Wightman B, Chalfie M. 2015. A Transparent Window into Biology: A Primer on
Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 200:387-407.
23.   Mello CC, Conte D, Jr. 2004. Revealing the world of RNA interference. Nature 431:338-42.
24.   Hanson G, Coller J. 2018. Codon optimality, bias and usage in translation and mRNA decay.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 19:20-30.
25.   Quax TE, Claassens NJ, Soll D, van der Oost J. 2015. Codon Bias as a Means to Fine-Tune Gene
Expression. Mol Cell 59:149-61.
26.   Rueden CT, Schindelin J, Hiner MC, DeZonia BE, Walter AE, Arena ET, Eliceiri KW. 2017.
ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data. BMC Bioinformatics 18:529.
27.   Izant JG, Weintraub H. 1984. Inhibition of thymidine kinase gene expression by anti-sense
RNA: a molecular approach to genetic analysis. Cell 36:1007-15.
28.   Fire A, Albertson D, Harrison SW, Moerman DG. 1991. Production of antisense RNA leads to
effective and specific inhibition of gene expression in C. elegans muscle. Development
113:503-14.
29.   Dehio C, Schell J. 1994. Identification of plant genetic loci involved in a posttranscriptional
mechanism for meiotically reversible transgene silencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:5538-
42.
30.   Timmons L, Fire A. 1998. Specific interference by ingested dsRNA. Nature 395:854.
31.   Kamath RS, Martinez-Campos M, Zipperlen P, Fraser AG, Ahringer J. 2001. Effectiveness of
specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested double-stranded RNA in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Genome Biol 2:RESEARCH0002.
32.   Winston WM, Molodowitch C, Hunter CP. 2002. Systemic RNAi in C. elegans requires the
putative transmembrane protein SID-1. Science 295:2456-9.
33.   Hunter CP, Winston WM, Molodowitch C, Feinberg EH, Shih J, Sutherlin M, Wright AJ,
Fitzgerald MC. 2006. Systemic RNAi in Caenorhabditis elegans. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant
Biol 71:95-100.
34.   Plotkin JB, Kudla G. 2011. Synonymous but not the same: the causes and consequences of
codon bias. Nat Rev Genet 12:32-42.
35.   Sharp PM, Tuohy TM, Mosurski KR. 1986. Codon usage in yeast: cluster analysis clearly
differentiates highly and lowly expressed genes. Nucleic Acids Res 14:5125-43.
36.   Ikemura T. 1985. Codon usage and tRNA content in unicellular and multicellular organisms.
Mol Biol Evol 2:13-34.
37.   Ikemura T. 1981. Correlation between the abundance of Escherichia coli transfer RNAs and
the occurrence of the respective codons in its protein genes: a proposal for a synonymous
codon choice that is optimal for the E. coli translational system. J Mol Biol 151:389-409.
38.   Bulmer M. 1987. Coevolution of codon usage and transfer RNA abundance. Nature 325:728-
30.
39.   Presnyak V, Alhusaini N, Chen YH, Martin S, Morris N, Kline N, Olson S, Weinberg D, Baker KE,
Graveley BR, Coller J. 2015. Codon optimality is a major determinant of mRNA stability. Cell
160:1111-24.
40.   Wei Y, Silke JR, Xia X. 2019. An improved estimation of tRNA expression to better elucidate
the coevolution between tRNA abundance and codon usage in bacteria. Sci Rep 9:3184.
41.   Byerly L, Scherer S, Russell RL. 1976. The life cycle of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans.
II. A simplified method for mutant characterization. Dev Biol 51:34-48.

42.   Martinez MAQ, Matus DQ. 2020. Auxin-mediated Protein Degradation in Caenorhabditis
637   elegans. Bio Protoc 10.
638   43.   Stiernagle T. Maintenance of C. elegans doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1. WormBook.
639   44.   Wang Y, Borlongan CV. 2000. Sodium Azide-Induced Neurotoxicity, p 233-242. *In* Sanberg PR,
640   Nishino H, Borlongan CV (ed), Mitochondrial Inhibitors and Neurodegenerative Disorders
641   doi:10.1007/978-1-59259-692-8_16. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
642   45.   Cox S. 2015. Super-resolution imaging in live cells. Dev Biol 401:175-81.
643   46.   Nance J, Frokjaer-Jensen C. 2019. The Caenorhabditis elegans Transgenic Toolbox. Genetics
644   212:959-990.
645   47.   Fielmich LE, Schmidt R, Dickinson DJ, Goldstein B, Akhmanova A, van den Heuvel S. 2018.
646   Optogenetic dissection of mitotic spindle positioning in vivo. Elife 7.
647   48.   Calixto A, Chelur D, Topalidou I, Chen X, Chalfie M. 2010. Enhanced neuronal RNAi in C.
648   elegans using SID-1. Nat Methods 7:554-9.
649   49.   Whangbo JS, Weisman AS, Chae J, Hunter CP. 2017. SID-1 Domains Important for dsRNA
650   Import in Caenorhabditis elegans. G3 (Bethesda) 7:3887-3899.
651   50.   Smallhorn M, Young J, Hunter N, Burke da Silva K. 2015. Inquiry-based learning to improve
652   student engagement in a large first year topic. Student Success 6.
653   51.   Freeman S, O'Connor E, Parks JW, Cunningham M, Hurley D, Haak D, Dirks C, Wenderoth MP,
654   Grossel M. 2007. Prescribed Active Learning Increases Performance in Introductory Biology.
655   CBE—Life Sciences Education 6:132-139.
656   52.   Aronson E. 1978. The Jigsaw classroom. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, Calif.
657   53.   Lyman F. 1981. The Responsive Classroom Discussion, p 109-113. *In* Anderson AS (ed),
658   Mainstreaming Digest, College Park, MD: University of Maryland College of Education.
659

Figure 1

Step 1: bleach synchronization

plates with gravid adults

bleaching → 24-72 hrs → ~50 L1s →

grow until L3/L4*

Step 2: plate L1 larvae on RNAi plates

Step 4: analyze data

lab report ← ImageJ ← image ← pick worms for slide ←

Step 3: acquire data

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4