High dimensionality of the stability of a marine benthic ecosystem

Nelson Valdivia[1, 2, *], Moisés A. Aguilera[3, 4], Bernardo R. Broitman[5]

[1]Instituto de Ciencias Marinas y Limnológicas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de

Chile, Campus Isla Teja, Valdivia, Chile

[2]Centro FONDAP de Investigación de Dinámicas de Ecosistemas Marinos de Altas Latitudes

(IDEAL)

[3]Departamento de Biología Marina, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del

Norte, Larrondo 1281, Coquimbo, Chile

[4]Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas (CEAZA), Universidad Católica del Norte,

Ossandón 877, Coquimbo, Chile

[5]Departamento de Ciencias, Facultad de Artes Liberales & Bioengineering Innovation

Center, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Padre Hurtado

750, Viña del Mar, Chile

*Corresponding author Tel.: +56632221557, Fax: +56632221455, E-mail:

nelson.valdivia@uach.cl

Nelson Valdivia ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5394-2072

Bernardo R. Broitman ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6582-3188

Moisés A. Aguilera ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3517-6255

Abstract

Stability is a central property of complex systems and encompasses multiple

dimensions such as resistance, resilience, recovery, and invariability. How these

dimensions correlate among them is focus of recent ecological research; yet, empirical

evidence at regional scales, at which conservation decisions are usually made, remains

absent. Using a field-based manipulative experiment conducted in two marine intertidal

regions, we analyse the correlations among different aspects of stability in functioning

(community cover) and composition of local communities facing a press disturbance. The

experiment involved the removal of the local space-dominant species for 35 months in

eight sites under different environmental regimes in northern- and southern-central Chile

(ca. 30ºS and 40ºS, respectively). After the disturbance, the magnitude of the initial

responses and the recovery patterns were similar among communities dominated by

different species, but varied between the functional and compositional response variables,

and among four dimensions of stability. The recovery trajectories in function and

composition remained mostly uncorrelated across the system. Yet, larger initial functional

responses were associated with faster recovery trajectories—high functional resilience, in

turn, was associated with both, high and low variability in the pattern of recovery. Finally,

the compositional stability dimensions were independent from each other. The results

suggest that varying community compositions can perform similar levels of functioning,

which might be the result of strong compensatory dynamics among species competing for

space in these communities. Knowledge of several, and sometimes orthogonal, aspects of

stability is mandatory to fully describe the stability of complex ecological systems.

Introduction

Anthropogenic environmental change is disrupting the functioning and composition of ecosystems over multiple spatiotemporal scales (De Laender et al., 2016;Isbell et al., 2017). Understanding the capacity of ecosystems to absorb and respond to such disturbances is challenging, because stability is a multifaceted property characterised by several dimensions (Pimm, 1984;Ives and Carpenter, 2007;Donohue et al., 2013). Recent theoretical and empirical advances provide the framework to assess this dimensionality through correlations between multiple aspects of stability such as resistance, resilience, recovery, and invariability (Donohue et al., 2013;Hillebrand et al., 2018;Radchuk et al., 2019). Most scientific research to date has focused on either one or two dimensions, which has impaired our capacity to fully understand the stability of complex ecological systems (Donohue et al., 2016;Kéfi et al., 2019). The functional consequences of biodiversity loss can be exacerbated at large spatial scales that are relevant for conservation or policy (Isbell et al., 2017). Yet, empirical tests of stability dimensionality conducted at ecologically relevant scales are, to our best knowledge, still missing.

The dimensions of stability include, but are not limited to, *resistance*, or the degree to which an aggregate function or species composition remains unchanged after a disturbance, *resilience*, or the speed of community recovery after the disturbance, *recovery*, or the degree to which the community recovers from the disturbance, and *invariability*, or the degree of constancy of the function or composition around the temporal recovery trend (Grimm and Wissel, 1997;Hillebrand et al., 2018). These aspects of stability can well be independent of each other or can be correlated. Recent models suggest that most stability dimensions are positively correlated (Radchuk et al., 2019). For instance, communities

with low invariability (i.e. highly variable functioning over time) can show low resistance

against disturbances following the increased risk of local stochastic extinction for species

with small population sizes (Lande et al., 2003). In those cases, stability is unidimensional

and conservation decisions could maximise both aspects of stability. However, resistance

can be negatively related to resilience when disturbance has a strong impact on functioning

and intrinsic growth rates are high (Harrison, 1979;Hillebrand et al., 2018). Resistance can

also negatively correlate with invariability in mesocosm experiments (Pennekamp et al.,

2018). Negatively correlated stability dimensions imply that policy trade-offs would be

needed to maintain either one or another aspect of stability. Strong disturbances, such as

the local extinction of dominant species, can lead to uncorrelated dimensions of stability

(Donohue et al., 2013). The lack of correlation among stability dimensions (i.e. a high

dimensionality) indicates that multiple aspects need to be quantified simultaneously to

fully characterise the overall stability of the ecosystem.

The responses to disturbances can be assessed either for aggregate ecosystem

properties performed by the community, such as productivity or total abundance

(functional stability), or for the combination of species identities and abundances in the

community (compositional stability; Micheli et al., 1999). Asynchronous or compensatory

species dynamics have been shown to stabilise (in terms of invariability) ecosystem

properties of species-rich communities, because different community compositions can

achieve similar levels of functioning (Tilman, 1996;Yachi and Loreau, 1999;Gonzalez and

Loreau, 2009). Therefore, functional compensation between species could lead to negative

or null correlations between functional and compositional aspects of stability. By

implication, the recovery of functioning will not necessarily need a full recovery of

community composition. While several studies support the role of species compensation in stabilising community functioning (reviewed in Gonzalez and Loreau, 2009), it is less clear how functional and compositional stabilities will be correlated in communities facing the widespread chronic disturbances experienced by ecosystems (Guelzow et al., 2017).

In this study, we analyse the results of a field-based manipulative experiment in which a press disturbance, i.e. the chronic extinction of the locally dominant species, was simulated to assess the dimensionality of stability within the functional and compositional domains of rocky intertidal sessile communities. To this end, we used total community cover as a relevant metric of ecosystem functioning. For communities of sessile species, this function expresses the degree to which competing species use the space as a limiting resource and thus, its relevant to understand both functional and compositional stability (Paine, 1980;Dunstan and Johnson, 2006;Stachowicz et al., 2008). Multiple sites spanning a large environmental gradient (Fig. 1) were used to assess the dimensionality of stability at an ecologically relevant spatial scale (Isbell et al., 2017). Without incurring in a large replacement in species identities, our experimental design involved that the locally dominant species varied among sites, which allowed us to enhance the generality of our findings (e.g. Arnillas and Cadotte, 2019). These dominant species were functionally and evolutionary distinct and included the red corticated alga *Mazzaella laminarioides,* chthamalid barnacles (mixture of *Jehlius cirratus* and *Notochthamalus scabrosus*), and the purple mussel *Perumytilus purpuratus* (hereafter referred to as *Mazzaella*, barnacles, and *Perumytilus,* respectively; Table 1). This setup allowed us to test the following three hypotheses.

H1: The three dominant species use the settlement substratum in different ways; upon

removal, the ensuing communities will exhibit different magnitudes of stability responses.

Perumytilus is able to monopolise almost the 100 % of primary substratum, while the

barnacle complex occurs in combination with bare rock and filamentous algae (Table 1;

Broitman et al., 2001;Moreno, 2001;Lagos et al., 2005)—*Mazzaella*, on the other hand, uses

a smaller proportion of the primary substratum, but generates a large canopy-like

structure above the rock (Hoffmann and Santelices, 1997). In addition, different ecological

mechanisms are suggested to lead to species compensation in the dynamics these

communities experience after the extirpation of the dominant species (*Perumytilus*:

facilitation-competition over succession; barnacles: facilitation of grazers and macroalgae;

Mazzaella: light attenuation, see below).

H2: Across sites, the removal of dominant species will elicit negative or neutral

correlations between functional and compositional stability dimensions due to prevailing

compensatory dynamics. The removal of *Perumytilus* triggers the compensation between

subordinate taxa: fast-growing ephemeral macroalgae such as *Ulothrix* sp. and *Pyropia* spp.

dominate the assemblage during the first two months after the removal, then they are

replaced by barnacles and *Ulva* sp., and eventually by *Mazzaella,* in line with Connell and

Slatyer (1977) facilitation successional model (Aguilera and Navarrete, 2012;Tejada-

Martinez et al., 2016). Barnacles facilitate small grazers; therefore, the removal of barnacles

leads to increased abundances of microalgae, but decreased abundances of perennial

macroalgae (Harley, 2006;Mrowicki et al., 2014;Whalen et al., 2016). Finally, the removal of

the corticated macroalga *Mazzaella* is associated with an increase in the abundance of *Ulva*

rigida, *Lithophyllum* spp., the colonisation by the ephemerals *Petalonia fascia* and

Scytosiphon lomentaria (Jara and Moreno, 1984), but a decrease in *Gymnogongrus*

furcellatus—these dynamics are ascribed to negative effect of *Mazzaella* cover on light

availability (Moreno and Jaramillo, 1983;Nielsen and Navarrete, 2004).

H3: Across sites and stability domain (functional and compositional stability), the

stability dimensions will be correlated with each other. According to previous modelling

work (Radchuk et al., 2019), the stability dimensions will show positive pairwise

correlations. The exception will be negative resistance-resilience and resilience-

invariability correlations (Hillebrand et al., 2018).

Materials and methods

Study region

The study was conducted at multiple field sites on the extremes of a large

transitional biogeographic province that extends between the Peruvian and Magellan

provinces along the Chilean sector of the South East Pacific (Camus, 2001;Thiel et al.,

2007;Lara et al., 2019). We used eight study sites that where evenly split between the

northern and southern extremes of the province (around 30.23ºS and 39.9º,

respectively)—each region encompassing ca. 200 km of shoreline (see Fig. 1).

The northern region is characterised by semi-permanent coastal upwelling activity,

which coincides with a significant transition in abiotic (Hormazabal et al., 2004;Garreaud et

al., 2011;Tapia et al., 2014) and biotic conditions (Valdivia et al., 2015). Upwelling activity

in the region has been suggested to increase community-wide synchrony in species'

abundance fluctuations, and thus to decrease community invariability (Valdivia et al.,

2013). Rocky-shore communities inhabiting the mid-intertidal zone across the province are

composed of a diverse set of mobile and sessile species, where human harvesting and

environmental context modulate ecological interactions (Broitman et al., 2001;Lagos et al.,

2005;Caro et al., 2010;Broitman et al., 2011).

The southern region presents a persistent relationship between Chlorophyll-*a*

concentration, riverine inputs, and runoff from forestry and agricultural activities (Van

Holt et al., 2012;Lara et al., 2019). In this region, upwelling activity is weaker and more

intermittent than the sector equatorward from Concepción, which is dominated by strong

seasonal upwelling (ca. 37S; Atkinson et al., 2002;Letelier et al., 2009;Pinochet et al., 2019).

Experimental design and setup

At each site, we conducted a manipulative experiment with dominant-species

removal as a fixed factor. The experiments were conducted between October 2014 and

July-August 2017 in the southern region, and between December 2014 and August-

September 2017 in the northern region—in both cases, the prolonged time span (ca. three

years) allowed us to fully capture the seasonal and intra-annual variation in abiotic

conditions and species composition. Prior to the start of the experiment, we estimated

relative species abundances in ten 30 x 30 cm plots haphazardly located on the mid-

intertidal zone to determine locally dominant species as those with the highest relative

abundances at each site (see also Valone and Balaban-Feld, 2018). At each site, quadrats

were located within an alongshore transect (ca. 3 m wide) covering ca. 50 m of the

coastline. The red corticated alga *Mazzaella laminarioides* and chthamalid barnacles (a

mixture of *Jehlius cirratus* and *Notochthamalus scabrosus*) were the dominant taxa in the

northern region; chthamalid barnacles and the purple mussel *Perumytilus purpuratus* were

the dominant species in the southern region (hereafter referred as *Mazzaella*, barnacles,

and *Perumytilus,* respectively; Table 1). Before the manipulations, mean percentage cover

of dominant species ranged from ca. 30 % for *Mazzaella* in the northern region to 100 %

for *Perumytilus* in the southern region—unevenness in species abundance distribution,

expressed as Simpson's concentration index, varied between 0.4 and 0.9 in the northern

and southern region, respectively (Table 1).

Following site characterization, we started identical field experiments. We used 30 x

30 cm experimental plots on the mid-intertidal zone of each site, which were permanently

marked with stainless-steel bolts. The size of the experimental unit was selected to

minimise the impact on the local intertidal communities. For logistic reasons, we deployed

10 replicate plots in the sites located on the northern sector and 20 replicates in the

southern sites. Experimental plots were haphazardly located, avoiding crevices, tide-pools,

and vertical surfaces to reduce biotic variation related to local spatial heterogeneity and

within areas of high abundance of the locally dominant species.

At the onset of experimental manipulations (i.e. October and December 2014 in the

southern and northern regions, respectively), we haphazardly selected half of the plots at

each site and completely removed the dominant species with scrapers and chisels. The

remaining half of the experimental units remained un-manipulated and assigned to the

control level. Settlers and recruits of the dominant species were further removed

approximately every three months, i.e. the press disturbance treatment (see also Bulleri et

al., 2012). Prior field studies on recruitment patterns in the region suggest that the three-

month window between manipulations allows to functionally exclude dominant species

(Aguilera et al., 2013;Valdivia et al., 2013;Valdivia et al., 2015).

All plots were sampled immediately before the manipulations, 1-2 months after the

removal of local dominants, and then approximately every three months until the end of

the experiment. All macroalgae and sessile macro-invertebrates (> 5 mm) occurring in each

plot were identified *in situ* and classified to the lowest possible taxonomic level (usually

species). For each plot, we used a 0.09-m^2 frame, divided in 25 equal fields with a

monofilament line, to estimate sessile species abundances as percentage cover (1 %

resolution). We quantified the organisms growing on both primary and secondary

substrata (i.e. rock and other organisms, respectively), which allowed total community

cover to increase beyond 100 %. This protocol has been used in several studies of benthic

diversity along Chile and elsewhere (see Broitman et al., 2011;Bulleri et al., 2012). The data

obtained during the 11 successive surveys, conducted after the first removal, were used to

estimate four dimensions of stability, i.e. resistance, resilience, recovery, and invariability.

Since we were interested in the response of the remaining community to the local

extinction of dominants, the latter were removed from the dataset when we assessed

community stability.

Species compensation

The degree of species compensation was measured by assessing community-wide

synchrony in species abundances. To this aim, we used the φ statistic (Loreau and de

Mazancourt, 2008):

$$\varphi = \frac{\sigma^2{}_F}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{f_i}\right)^2}$$

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i,$$

where f_i is the percentage cover of species i, F is the equivalent aggregate community-level

function (total community cover), and $\sigma_{f_i}^2$ and σ_F^2 are their respective temporal variances.

The index is standardised between 0 (perfect asynchrony; i.e. most species' abundances are

negatively correlated over time) and 1 (perfect synchrony; i.e. most species' abundances

are positively correlated). Since φ is independent of the magnitude and distribution of

species abundances and variances, it allows us to quantitatively compare communities with

different numbers of species (Loreau and de Mazancourt, 2008).

Stability dimensions

Stability was assessed according to the metrics for resistance, resilience, recovery,

and invariability described by Hillebrand et al. (2018). Each metric was estimated by

randomly pairing one control and one disturbed plot in each site. In this way, we obtained

replicate estimations within each site. The stability metrics in the functional domain were

calculated on the basis of total community cover (F), and the stability metrics in the

compositional domain were calculated on the basis of Bray-Curtis similarities (*sim*)

between the disturbed and control communities (C).

Resistance (a) was estimated for function as the log-response ratio (LRR) calculated

after the disturbance took place (1-2 months after the removal of local dominants):

$$a = ln\left(\frac{F_{dist}}{F_{con}}\right),$$

where F_{dist} is total community cover in the dominant-removed plot, and F_{con} is the same

property in the control plot. A value of $a = 0$ indicates maximum resistance of the

community to the disturbance, $a < 0$ indicates low resistance due to underperformance,

and $a > 0$ low resistance due to overperformance relative to controls, respectively

(Hillebrand et al. 2018). This means that both initially increased and decreased functioning

will reflect low functional resistance. For that reason, we used the modulus (absolute

value) of resistance in some hypothesis tests as described below (see *Statistical analyses:*

H1 and *H2*).

The resistance of composition (a) was calculated on the basis of Bray-Curtis

similarities between the disturbed and the control plots:

$a = \left(\dfrac{C_{dist}}{C_{con}}\right),$

Where C_{dist} and C_{con} are the species-abundance matrices in the dominant-removed and

control plots, respectively. Maximum similarities of $a = 1$ indicate 100 % of similarity

between disturbed and control plots and thus maximum resistance. Minimum similarities

of $a = 0$ indicate 0% of similarity between both conditions and thus extremely low

resistance.

Resilience (b) was calculated for each plot as the slope of the linear regression of *LRR*

calculated for each sampling event (Hillebrand et al. 2018):

$ln\left(\dfrac{F_{dist}}{F_{con}}\right) = i + b * t,$

$dis\left(\dfrac{C_{dist}}{C_{con}}\right) = i + b * t$

where *i, b,* and *t* are the intercept, slope, and time, respectively. A *b*-value equalling zero

indicates no recuperation over time, $b > 0$ indicates faster recuperation in case of a negative

initial effect of disturbance on the function or composition, and $b < 0$ indicates further

deviation from control in case of negative initial effects. When the disturbance generates

positive effects on functioning (i.e. $a > 0$), $b > 0$ is interpreted as further deviation from

control and vice versa.

Recovery (c) was estimated as the *LRR* and similarity calculated at the end of the

experiment. c-values equal to zero denote maximum recovery, $c < 0$ incomplete recovery,

and $c > 0$ overcompensation relative to control. Like for resistance, both $c < 0$ and $c > 0$

reflect low functional recovery; thus, the modulus of functional c was analysed in the test of

hypotheses H1 and H3 (see *Statistical analyses*).

Invariability (d) was calculated as the multiplicative inverse of the standard

deviation of residuals (*resid*) from the regression slope b as:

$$d = \left(\frac{1}{sd(resid_b)}\right).$$

Larger values de d denote smaller temporal variations around the trend of recovery

in function or composition (Hillebrand et al. 2018). Note that invariability is termed as

Temporal stability by Hillebrand et al. (2018). However, we have preferred to use

Invariability to avoid confusions with the term *Stability* (see also Radchuk et al., 2019).

Statistical analyses

For simplicity, hereafter the community in each site will be referred to according to

the identity of the locally dominant species that was removed (i.e. *Mazzaella*-, barnacle-, or

Perumytilus-dominated communities). This means that the sites will be grouped into each

of the three community types in some of the statistical analyses.

H1: The magnitude of the stability response will depend on the identity of the removed

dominant species

To test this hypothesis, we first used *t*-tests for each community and within each

stability domain (either functional or compositional) to determine if resistance, resilience,

and recovery significantly differed from the reference values. Secondly, we used separate

general lineal models (LMM) to compare the magnitude of each stability dimension among

the three communities. Accordingly, we used the modulus of functional resistance and

recovery to focus on their magnitudes. The models included the identity of the removed

dominant species as fixed effect, and site as random effect. Nevertheless, site was removed

from the models of the compositional domain due to singularity (i.e. variance nearly zero).

H2: The removal of dominant species will elicit negative or neutral correlations between

functional and compositional stability dimensions due to prevailing compensation in the

community dynamics

First, we used one-tailed *t*-tests to determine whether φ was significantly lower

than 1 (i.e. perfect synchrony in species fluctuations) for each community. In addition, we

used a generalised linear mixed model (GLMM) to determine if the removal of the locally

dominant species decreased φ (i.e. increased the degree of species compensation within

the community). For the model we used a Gamma structure of errors and a log link

function; the model included the identity of the dominant species in each site and the

removal treatment (removal or control) as crossed and fixed factors, and the site (eight

levels) as random factor. After initial the fit, however, site was removed due to singularity.

Second, Pearson product-moment correlations were calculated between functional

and compositional dimensions of stability. Correlations were calculated separately for each

community and stability dimension. In these correlations, we used the modulus of every

functional stability dimension to assess the magnitude, rather than the direction, of these

responses. The absence of statistically significant correlations, in addition to near-zero

values of φ were used as evidence of species compensation after the removal of dominant

species.

Third, to identify the species driving compensation (or the lack thereof), we used

Similarity Percentage Routines (SIMPER; Clarke, 1993) that partitioned the contribution of

each species to the between-treatment dissimilarity in each community.

H3: The stability dimensions will be correlated across sites and within each of the stability

domains

Pearson product-moment correlations were used to test this hypothesis. Within the

functional and compositional domains, analyses were conducted combining all sites and

communities to test for a tendency between these dimensions to correlate independently

of the ecological context and species identities.

All analyses, calculations, and plots were done in R programming environment

version 3.6.1 and the R-packages *lme4, MuMIn, sjPlot, tidyverse,* and *vegan* (R Core Team,

2019), except for Fig. 1, which was made using MatLab R2014a and data from MODIS-Aqua.

Results

H1: The magnitude of the stability response will depend on the identity of the removed

dominant species

The different communities significantly responded to the experimental disturbances

within both functional and compositional domains (Figs. 2A, C, E, G and B, D, F, H,

respectively; Table A1 in the Appendix). The exception was the functional resilience of the

barnacle- and *Perumytilus*-dominated communities, which did not differ from zero (Table

A1, Fig. 2C). When we compared the magnitude of the responses among communities, the

three communities exhibited remarkably similar stability responses to the experimental

disturbance for both domains (Fig. 2). Accordingly, statistical analyses did not reveal

statistically significant differences among the communities, with the exception of functional

resilience (GLMM contrasts in Table A2: *Mazzaella* > barnacles; Fig. 2C).

H2: The removal of dominant species will elicit negative or neutral correlations between

functional and compositional stability dimensions due to prevailing compensation in the

community dynamics

The low values of the proxy for species synchrony, φ, indicated that species

compensation was common across communities and removal treatments (Fig. 3). The

parameter was on average 0.11 (0.06 – 0.16), 0.30 (0.21 – 0.40), and 0.17 (0.12 – 0.21) for

Mazzaella, barnacles, and *Perumytilus* communities respectively (mean [95% CI]). These

values were significantly lower than 1 in each community (one-tailed *t*-tests: t_9 = -42.2, t_{16} =

-17.5, and t_{28} = -38.2 for *Mazzaella,* barnacles, and *Perumytilus,* respectively; *P* < 0.001).

However, the response of these dynamics to the dominant removal varied among

communities. The press disturbance increased the strength of species compensation in the

Perumytilus communities, but not in the others (GLM: R^2 = 0.45, P < 0.001 for an 80-%

decrease in φ in the *Perumytilus* communities, see Figure 3). In these communities, the

strengthening of species compensation was accounted for by the overshoot in the

abundance of Ulvoids (mixture of *Ulva rigida* and *U. compressa*) during the first half of the

experiment (late 2014 - early 2016; see Fig. A1 in the Appendix); this taxon was replaced

by chthamalid barnacles over time, which remained relatively stable until the end of the

experiment in mid-2017 (Fig. A1, see also species accounting for the between-treatment

dissimilarities in Table A3).

According to the high prevalence of species compensation, we observed almost no

statistically significant correlation between functional and compositional stabilities across

communities (Fig. 4). Separately for the three community types, we observed non-

statistically significant, correlations for resistance (Fig. 4A; Table A4 in the Appendix). For

resilience, only the communities in which barnacles were removed showed a significant,

and negative, correlation between the functional (modulus) and compositional domains

(green symbols in Fig. 4B; Table A4). Similarly, the barnacle-dominated communities

exhibited a negative correlation between functional (modulus) and compositional

recoveries (green symbols in Fig. 4C; Table A4). Finally, functional invariability was

uncorrelated from compositional invariability (Fig. 4D; Table A4).

H3: The stability dimensions will be correlated across sites and within each of the stability

domains

Our results did not support this hypothesis, yet we detected some significant

correlations (Fig. 5; Table A5 in the Appendix). In the functional domain, statistically

significant correlations were found between resistance and resilience (negative; Fig. 5A;

Table A5), resistance and invariability (positive; Fig. 5D; Table A5), and resilience and

invariability (negative; Fig. 5E; Table A3). In the compositional domain, we did not detect

statistically significant correlations among stability dimensions (Fig. 6; Table A5).

Discussion

This study showed that the stability of rocky intertidal communities in a broad

region of the SE Pacific shore is a multidimensional property. After the removal of locally

dominant species, the magnitude of the effect of the disturbance and its temporal pattern of

recovery were surprisingly similar among communities (Hypothesis 1) but varied between

functional and compositional response variables (Hypothesis 2) and among the four

dimensions of stability examined here; namely, resistance, resilience, recovery, and

invariability (Hypothesis 3). Only in the functional domain we still observed significant

correlations between resistance and resilience (negative), resistance and invariability

(positive), and resilience and invariability (negative). The high prevalence of species

compensation and asynchronous dynamics could explain the general lack of correlation

between functional and compositional stability domains, indicating that functional

recovery may not involve a fully compositional recovery in this study system. Our results

are well in line with recent evidence of the multifaceted nature of ecological stability

(Donohue et al., 2016;Hillebrand et al., 2018;Pennekamp et al., 2018;Kéfi et al.,

2019;Radchuk et al., 2019), which we further discuss below.

Different communities exhibited similar initial and long-term stability responses to local

extinction

The disturbance elicited significant stability responses within both the functional and compositional domain regardless of the dominant species identity, with the exception of functional resilience, which was indistinguishable from zero in the case of the invertebrate-dominated communities. Despite these differences, no community fully recovered in the end of the experiment, 35 months after the start of the disturbance. Importantly, the consistently negative compositional resilience provides further support for a persistent departure of these assemblages from the reference state (i.e. control plots), as shown in phytoplankton mesocosm experiments (Hillebrand et al., 2018). This result may indicate that the recovery, and restauration, of this ecosystem may need a longer time span than here considered. Long-term (i.e. 20 years) monitoring of rocky intertidal communities suggests the existence of cyclic succession after severe disturbances, with the alternation of dominant species every ca. two years (Beninca et al., 2015). These non-equilibrium dynamics can well be amplified by environmental stochasticity, as shown by experimental removals of dominant mussels (Wootton, 2010). Thus, the non-equilibrium and near-chaotic nature of coastal systems may obstruct our ability to restore disturbed coastal ecosystems. Indeed, a major review of restoration strategies indicates that functional and compositional recovery of coastal systems may reach on average a 34 % in 12 years, even when active restoration is enforced (Moreno-Mateos et al., 2020).

Between-site environmental differences could have generated "unexplained" variability in the stability responses, precluding our ability to detect differences among the dominant species. Nevertheless, our analyses suggest that the factors (other than

dominant's identity) associated to between-site differences may have little influence on the

stability measurements (compare the pseudo-R^2 between fixed factors and full models in

Table A2). In the case of functional stability, between-site differences accounted for small,

but non-zero, amount of variation in the stability responses. Dampened environmental

variability in sites under the influence of persistent upwelling could have influenced the

invariability in community cover (Valdivia et al., 2013). Sea water temperature patterns

define the phenology of most intertidal organisms (Sanford, 2002;Baldanzi et al., 2018),

which, mediated through larval dispersal processes, can influence overall population

growth rates, and thus functional resilience and recovery (Svensson et al., 2005). In the

case of compositional stability, on the contrary, site accounted for almost no variation in

Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. If site differences seemly accounted for a small variation in

stability responses, then, to what degree the stability observed at the local scales can scale

up to regional and metacommunity scales?

The area-invariability relationship indicates that stability should increase from local

to regional scales due to asynchronous and compensatory dynamics among sites within the

metacommunity, and also among species within sites (Wang and Loreau, 2014;Zhang et al.,

2018). Moreover, increased beta-diversity (i.e. between-site differences in species

composition) is expected to increase the spatial asynchrony in functioning among sites, and

thus the stability of the metacommunity (Wang and Loreau, 2016). According to the high

prevalence of asynchronous species dynamics (this study ;Valdivia et al., 2013) and high

beta-diversity (Broitman et al., 2001;Valdivia et al., 2015;Valdivia et al., 2017), predictions

based on the area-invariability relationship should hold in our study system. Albeit the

extension of this theory to other stability dimensions and the compositional domain still

needs further development, we suggest that maximising protected areas, rather than

focusing on patches that contain unique assemblages, should be a conservation goal to

maintain the functioning in the analysed ecosystem (see also Economo, 2011;Ospina-

Alvarez et al., 2020).

Compensatory dynamics were common within the system, leading to null or negative

function-composition correlations

In agreement with our prediction, asynchronous and compensatory species

dynamics were strong in the study region. The strength of these dynamics, however, varied

among the three analysed community types. In particular, the removal of *Perumytilus*

reduced the synchrony in species abundances following compensatory dynamics between

ulvoids algae and barnacles, according to the facilitation model of ecological succession

(Connell and Slatyer, 1977). In addition to competitive species replacement during

succession, asynchronous species dynamics can also reflect differential species

environmental responses, population compensatory cycles, species-wise variations in

dispersal, and neutral, zero-sum dynamics (e.g. Lehman and Tilman, 2000;Chesson et al.,

2001;Loreau et al., 2003;Loreau and de Mazancourt, 2008). Accordingly, evidence of the

central role of species synchrony in the stability of ecosystem functions is supported by

studies across ecosystems and taxonomic groups (reviewer by Gonzalez and Loreau, 2009).

The lack of correlation between functional and compositional measurements of

stability could well reflect the effects of species compensation. For instance, high resistance

and recovery (i.e. near-zero log-response ratios) in the functional domain were observed

along ample ranges of both metrics in the compositional domain. This indicates that a given

level of functioning could be performed by communities with different compositions, which

may have important consequences for ecosystem restauration (e.g. Borja et al., 2010). In

this line, the negative function-composition correlations detected for resilience and

recovery further reinforce the notion of functional compensation within these

communities. These results agree with previous empirical studies showing low or negative

correlations between functional and compositional stability in other ecosystems (Guelzow

et al., 2017;Hillebrand et al., 2018;Hillebrand and Kunze, 2020).

The stability dimensions were mostly uncorrelated across community types and stability

domains

In the functional domain, the correlations among the different dimensions of

stability ranged from negative to null and to positive. We were able to detect negative

correlations between functional resistance and resilience and between resilience and

invariability. Negative functional resistance-resilience and resilience-invariability

correlations have been also observed in modelling and experiments conducted on

experimental phytoplanktonic mesocosm communities (Hillebrand et al., 2018), and have

been associated to comparatively high growth rates that allow faster recovery rates with

stronger initial impacts (Harrison, 1979). Similarly to aquatic ciliate communities

(Pennekamp et al., 2018), larger initial functional responses (i.e. lower resistance) were

associated with more temporally stable functioning, which could respond to a positive

relationship between species' invariability and relative abundance (Grman et al.,

2010;Lamy et al., 2020).

In the compositional domain, on the other hand, no statistically significant

correlation between stability dimensions was detected. This high dimensionality implies

that the four aspects of compositional stability analysed in this study are fully orthogonal

and are mandatory to completely describe the response of these communities to an

ecologically realistic disturbance akin to our press removal of the dominant species.

Uncorrelated stability dimensions have been highlighted by previous work when ecological

communities face severe environmental disturbances (Allison, 2004;van Ruijven and

Berendse, 2010;Donohue et al., 2013;Hillebrand et al., 2018). Contrarily, most empirical

research has been based on one or two stability dimensions; the temporal coefficient of

variation has been widely used to assess the stability in aggregate ecosystem functions in

the absence of major disturbances (reviewed by Kéfi et al., 2019). Yet, restoration

assessment after pulse and press disturbances would largely benefit from considering

multiple components of stability, because, as we have shown, these components may well

be decoupled during the process of recovery (Donohue et al., 2016;Moreno-Mateos et al.,

2020).

Conclusion and future directions

Our results allow us to conclude that ecological stability of the analysed intertidal

communities is a multidimensional and complex phenomenon. The experimental removal

of locally dominant species elicited significant, and similar in magnitude, stability

responses of communities in a large metacommunity of the SE Pacific shore. These

responses varied between functional and compositional domains, likely due to pervasive

and strong asynchronous species dynamics.

Still is necessary to determine how different types and aspects of disturbances can

influence stability dimensionality in these ecosystems. Albeit recent modelling work

indicates that disturbance types such as random, species-specific, or local disturbances can

have similar effects on stability dimensionality (Radchuk et al., 2019), contrasting the

effects of pulse and press disturbances can enhance our mechanistic understanding of the

ecological consequences of current climate crisis (Kéfi et al., 2019)—anthropogenic climate

change involves the interdependent effects of both types of disturbances over multiple

spatiotemporal scales (Harris et al., 2018). Regional manipulative experiments considering

a more ample range of environmental filters and dispersal limitations would allow us

modelling the role of spatial aspects of disturbance (Zelnik et al., 2018;2019) in how

stability dimensionality scales from communities to metacommunities; to our best

knowledge, the scale dependence of stability has been investigated only for single aspects

of stability (Wang et al., 2017). In summary, realising that stability is indeed a

multidimensional concept will enhance our ability to predict the response of communities

and metacommunities to future and ongoing anthropogenic disturbances.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the enthusiastic undergraduate interns (Biología Marina; UACH),

members of the Coastal Ecology Lab (Lafkenchelab, UACH), and the Changolab (CEAZA-

UAI) who provided invaluable help during the fieldwork. Melissa González, José Pantoja,

and Oscar Beytía were fundamental to complete the sampling schedule in the northern

region. Constructive criticism by Fabio Bulleri, Matt Bracken and two anonymous

reviewers greatly improved an early version of this manuscript. This study was financially

supported by the FONDECYT #1141037, FONDECYT #1190529, and FONDAP #15150003

(IDEAL) to NV. MAA was supported by FONDECYT #1160223 and PAI-CONICYT

#79150002-2016. BRB acknowledges the support of FONDECYT #1181300 and the MUSEL

Millennium Nucleus, funded by Iniciativa Científica Milenio.

Author contribution – NV and BRB conceived the study; NV analysed the data; BRB

and MAA contributed with field work, reagents and analytical material; NV wrote the

paper; BRB and MAA contributed significantly to the writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest – The authors have no conflict of interest.

Permit(s) – The study did not need permits, because all experiments were

conducted on open-access coastal areas.

References

Aguilera, M.A., Navarrete, S., A., and Broitman, B.R. (2013). Differential effects of grazer
species on periphyton of a temperate rocky shore. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
484**,** 63-78.
Aguilera, M.A., and Navarrete, S.A. (2012). Functional identity and functional structure
change through succession in a rocky intertidal marine herbivore assemblage.
Ecology 93**,** 75-89.
Allison, G. (2004). The influence of species diversity and stress intensity on community
resistance and resilience. *Ecological Monographs* 74**,** 117-134.
Arnillas, C.A., and Cadotte, M.W. (2019). Experimental dominant plant removal results in
contrasting assembly for dominant and non-dominant plants. *Ecology Letters* 22**,**
1233-1242.
Atkinson, L.P., Valle-Levinson, A., Figueroa, D., De Pol-Holz, R., Gallardo, V.A., Schneider, W.,
Blanco, J.L., and Schmidt, M. (2002). Oceanographic observations in Chilean coastal
waters between Valdivia and Concepcion. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*
107.
Baldanzi, S., Storch, D., Navarrete, S.A., Graeve, M., and Fernandez, M. (2018). Latitudinal
variation in maternal investment traits of the kelp crab Taliepus dentatus along the
coast of Chile. *Marine Biology* 165**,** 12.

Beninca, E., Ballantine, B., Ellner, S.P., and Huisman, J. (2015). Species fluctuations sustained by a cyclic succession at the edge of chaos. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 112**,** 6389-6394.

Borja, Á., Dauer, D.M., Elliott, M., and Simenstad, C.A. (2010). Medium- and Long-term Recovery of Estuarine and Coastal Ecosystems: Patterns, Rates and Restoration Effectiveness. *Estuaries and Coasts* 33**,** 1249-1260.

Broitman, B.R., Navarrete, S.A., Smith, F., and D., G.S. (2001). Geographic variation of southeastern Pacific intertidal communities. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* 224**,** 21-34.

Broitman, B.R., Véliz, F., Manzur, T., Wieters, E.A., Finke, G.R., Fornes, P.A., Valdivia, N., and Navarrete, S.A. (2011). Geographic variation in diversity of wave exposed rocky intertidal communities along central Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 84**,** 143-154.

Bulleri, F., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Cusson, M., Maggi, E., Arenas, F., Aspden, R., Bertocci, I., Crowe, T.P., Davoult, D., Eriksson, B.K., Fraschetti, S., Golléty, C., Griffin, J.N., Jenkins, S.R., Kotta, J., Kraufvelin, P., Molis, M., Sousa-Pinto, I., Terlizzi, A., Valdivia, N., and Paterson, D.M. (2012). Temporal stability of European rocky shore assemblages: variation across a latitudinal gradient and the role of habitat-formers. *Oikos* 121**,** 1801-1809.

Camus, P.A. (2001). Marine biogeography of continental Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 74**,** 587-617.

Caro, A.U., Navarrete, S.A., and Castilla, J.C. (2010). Ecological convergence in a rocky intertidal shore metacommunity despite high spatial variability in recruitment regimes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107**,** 18528-18532.

Chesson, P., Pacala, S., and Neuhauser, C. (2001). "Environmental niches and ecosystem functioning," in *The Functional Consequences of Biodiversity,* eds. A. Kinzig, S. Pacala & D. Tilman. Princeton University Press).

Clarke, K.R. (1993). Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 18**,** 117-143.

Connell, J.H., and Slatyer, R.O. (1977). Mechanisms of succession in natural communities and their role in community stability and organization. *American Naturalist* 111**,** 1119-1144.

De Laender, F., Rohr, J.R., Ashauer, R., Baird, D.J., Berger, U., Eisenhauer, N., Grimm, V., Hommen, U., Maltby, L., Melian, C.J., Pomati, F., Roessink, I., Radchuk, V., and Van Den Brink, P.J. (2016). Reintroducing Environmental Change Drivers in Biodiversity-Ecosystem Functioning Research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 31**,** 905-915.

Donohue, I., Hillebrand, H., Montoya, J.M., Petchey, O.L., Pimm, S.L., Fowler, M.S., Healy, K., Jackson, A.L., Lurgi, M., Mcclean, D., O'connor, N.E., O'gorman, E.J., and Yang, Q. (2016). Navigating the complexity of ecological stability. *Ecology Letters* 19**,** 1172-1185.

Donohue, I., Petchey, O.L., Montoya, J.M., Jackson, A.L., Mcnally, L., Viana, M., Healy, K., Lurgi, M., O'connor, N.E., and Emmerson, M.C. (2013). On the dimensionality of ecological stability. *Ecology Letters* 16**,** 421-429.

Dunstan, P.K., and Johnson, C.R. (2006). Linking richness, community variability, and invasion resistance with patch size. *Ecology* 87**,** 2842-2850.

Economo, E.P. (2011). Biodiversity Conservation in Metacommunity Networks: Linking
Pattern and Persistence. *American Naturalist* 177**,** E167-E180.
Garreaud, R.D., Rutllant, J.A., Muñoz, R.C., Rahn, D.A., Ramos, M., and Figueroa, D. (2011).
VOCALS-CUpEx: the Chilean Upwelling Experiment. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
Physics 11**,** 2015-2029.
Gonzalez, A., and Loreau, M. (2009). The causes and consequences of compensatory
dynamics in ecological communities. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and*
Systematics 40**,** 393-414.
Grimm, V., and Wissel, C. (1997). Babel, or the ecological stability discussions: An inventory
and analysis of terminology and a guide for avoiding confusion. *Oecologia* 109**,** 323-
334.
Grman, E., Lau, J.A., Schoolmaster, D.R., and Gross, K.L. (2010). Mechanisms contributing to
stability in ecosystem function depend on the environmental context. *Ecology*
Letters 13**,** 1400-1410.
Guelzow, N., Muijsers, F., Ptacnik, R., and Hillebrand, H. (2017). Functional and structural
stability are linked in phytoplankton metacommunities of different connectivity.
Ecography 40**,** 719-732.
Harley, C.D.G. (2006). Effects of physical ecosystem engineering and herbivory on intertidal
community structure. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 317**,** 29-39.
Harris, R.M.B., Beaumont, L.J., Vance, T.R., Tozer, C.R., Remenyi, T.A., Perkins-Kirkpatrick,
S.E., Mitchell, P.J., Nicotra, A.B., Mcgregor, S., Andrew, N.R., Letnic, M., Kearney, M.R.,
Wernberg, T., Hutley, L.B., Chambers, L.E., Fletcher, M.S., Keatley, M.R., Woodward,
C.A., Williamson, G., Duke, N.C., and Bowman, D.M.J.S. (2018). Biological responses to
the press and pulse of climate trends and extreme events. *Nature Climate Change* 8**,**
579-587.
Harrison, G.W. (1979). Stability under Environmental Stress: Resistance, Resilience,
Persistence, and Variability. *The American Naturalist* 113**,** 659-669.
Hillebrand, H., and Kunze, C. (2020). Meta-analysis on pulse disturbances reveals
differences in functional and compositional recovery across ecosystems. *Ecology*
Letters.
Hillebrand, H., Langenheder, S., Lebret, K., Lindström, E., Östman, Ö., Striebel, M., and
O'connor, M. (2018). Decomposing multiple dimensions of stability in global change
experiments. *Ecology Letters* 21**,** 21-30.
Hoffmann, A.J., and Santelices, B. (1997). *Flora marina de Chile central.* Santiago: Ediciones
Universidad Católica de Chile.
Hormazabal, S., Shaffer, G., and Leth, O. (2004). Coastal transition zone off Chile. *Journal of*
Geophysical Research-Oceans 109**,** C01021.
Isbell, F., Gonzalez, A., Loreau, M., Cowles, J., Diaz, S., Hector, A., Mace, G.M., Wardle, D.A.,
O'connor, M.I., Duffy, J.E., Turnbull, L.A., Thompson, P.L., and Larigauderie, A. (2017).
Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales.
Nature 546**,** 65-72.
Ives, A.R., and Carpenter, S.R. (2007). Stability and diversity of ecosystems. *Science* 317**,** 58-
62.
Jara, H.F., and Moreno, C.A. (1984). Herbivory and structure in a midlittoral rocky
community: A case in southern Chile. *Ecology* 65**,** 28-38.

Kéfi, S., Dominguez-Garcia, V., Donohue, I., Fontaine, C., Thebault, E., and Dakos, V. (2019).
662   Advancing our understanding of ecological stability. *Ecology Letters* 22**,** 1349-1356.

663   Lagos, N.A., Navarrete, S.A., Veliz, F., Masuero, A., and Castilla, J.C. (2005). Meso-scale spatial
664   variation in settlement and recruitment of intertidal barnacles along the coast of
665   central Chile. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* 290**,** 165-178.

666   Lamy, T., Koenigs, C., Holbrook, S.J., Miller, R.J., Stier, A.C., and Reed, D.C. (2020). Foundation
667   species promote community stability by increasing diversity in a giant kelp forest.
668   *Ecology*.

669   Lande, R., Engen, S., and Saether, B.-E. (2003). *Stochastic population dynamics in ecology and*
670   *conservation.* New York: Oxford University Press.

671   Lara, C., Saldías, G., Cazelles, B., Rivadeneira, M., Haye, P., and Broitman, B. (2019). Coastal
672   biophysical processes and the biogeography of rocky intertidal species along the
673   south-eastern Pacific. *Journal of Biogeography* 00**,** 1-12.

674   Lehman, C.L., and Tilman, D. (2000). Biodiversity, stability, and productivity in competitive
675   communities. *American Naturalist* 156**,** 534-552.

676   Letelier, J., Pizarro, O., and Nunez, S. (2009). Seasonal variability of coastal upwelling and
677   the upwelling front off central Chile. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans* 114**,** 1-
678   16.

679   Loreau, M., and De Mazancourt, C. (2008). Species synchrony and its drivers: neutral and
680   nonneutral community dynamics in fluctuating environments. *American Naturalist*
681   172**,** E48-E66.

682   Loreau, M., Mouquet, N., and Gonzalez, A. (2003). Biodiversity as spatial insurance in
683   heterogeneous landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
684   *United States of America* 100**,** 12765-12770.

685   Micheli, F., Cottingham, K.L., Bascompte, J., Bjornstad, O.N., Eckert, G.L., Fischer, J.M., Keitt,
686   T.H., Kendall, B.E., Klug, J.L., and Rusak, J.A. (1999). The dual nature of community
687   variability. *Oikos* 85**,** 161-169.

688   Moreno, C.A. (2001). Community patterns generated by human harvesting on Chilean
689   shores: A review. *Aquatic Conservation-Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 11**,** 19-
690   30.

691   Moreno, C.A., and Jaramillo, E. (1983). The role of grazers in the zonation of intertidal
692   macroalgae of the Chilean coast. *Oikos* 41**,** 73-76.

693   Moreno-Mateos, D., Alberdi, A., Morrien, E., Van Der Putten, W.H., Rodriguez-Una, A., and
694   Montoya, D. (2020). The long-term restoration of ecosystem complexity. *Nature*
695   *Ecology & Evolution* 4**,** 676-685.

696   Mrowicki, R.J., Maggs, C.A., and O'connor, N.E. (2014). Does wave exposure determine the
697   interactive effects of losing key grazers and ecosystem engineers? *Journal of*
698   *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 461**,** 416-424.

699   Nielsen, K.J., and Navarrete, S.A. (2004). Mesoscale regulation comes from the bottom-up:
700   intertidal interactions between consumers and upwelling. *Ecology Letters* 7**,** 31–41.

701   Ospina-Alvarez, A., De Juan, S., Davis, K.J., González, C., Fernández, M., and Navarrete, S.A.
702   (2020). Integration of biophysical connectivity in the spatial optimization of coastal
703   ecosystem services. *Science of The Total Environment* 733**,** 139367.

704   Paine, R.T. (1980). Food webs: linkage, interaction strength and community infrastructure.
705   *Journal of Animal Ecology* 49**,** 667-685.

Pennekamp, F., Pontarp, M., Tabi, A., Altermatt, F., Alther, R., Choffat, Y., Fronhofer, E.A.,
Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Garnier, A., Griffiths, J.I., Greene, S., Horgan, K., Massie,
T.M., Machler, E., Palamara, G.M., Seymour, M., and Petchey, O.L. (2018). Biodiversity
increases and decreases ecosystem stability. *Nature* 563, 109-+.
Pimm, S.L. (1984). The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature* 307, 321-326.
Pinochet, A., Garces-Vargas, J., Lara, C., and Olguin, F. (2019). Seasonal Variability of
Upwelling off Central-Southern Chile. *Remote Sensing* 11.
R Core Team (2019). "R: a language and environment for statistical computing". 3.0.3 ed.
(Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing).
Radchuk, V., De Laender, F., Cabral, J.S., Boulangeat, I., Crawford, M., Bohn, F., De Raedt, J.,
Scherer, C., Svenning, J.C., Thonicke, K., Schurr, F.M., Grimm, V., and Kramer-Schadt,
S. (2019). The dimensionality of stability depends on disturbance type. *Ecology*
Letters 22, 674-684.
Sanford, E. (2002). The feeding, growth, and energetics of two rocky intertidal predators
(Pisaster ochraceus and Nucella canaliculata) under water temperatures simulating
episodic upwelling. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 273, 199-
218.
Stachowicz, J.J., Graham, M., Bracken, M.E.S., and Szoboszlai, A.I. (2008). Diversity enhances
cover and stability of seaweed assemblages: the role of heterogeneity and time.
Ecology 89, 3008-3019.
Svensson, C.J., Jenkins, S.R., Hawkins, S.J., and Åberg, P.J.O. (2005). Population resistance to
climate change: modelling the effects of low recruitment in open populations. 142,
117-126.
Tapia, F.J., Largier, J.L., Castillo, M., Wieters, E.A., and Navarrete, S.A. (2014). Latitudinal
discontinuity in thermal conditions along the nearshore of central-northern Chile.
Plos ONE 9, e110841.
Tejada-Martinez, D., López, D.N., Bonta, C.C., Sepúlveda, R.D., and Valdivia, N. (2016).
Positive and negative effects of mesograzers on early-colonizing species in an
intertidal rocky-shore community. *Ecology and Evolution* 6, 2045-7758.
Thiel, M., Macaya, E.C., Acuna, E., Arntz, W.E., Bastias, H., Brokordt, K., Camus, P.A., Castilla,
J.C., Castro, L.R., Cortes, M., Dumont, C.P., Escribano, R., Fernandez, M., Gajardo, J.A.,
Gaymer, C.F., Gomez, I., Gonzalez, A.E., Gonzalez, H.E., Haye, P.A., Illanes, J.E., Iriarte,
J.L., Lancellotti, D.A., Luna-Jorquerai, G., Luxoroi, C., Manriquez, P.H., Marin, V.,
Munoz, P., Navarrete, S.A., Perez, E., Poulin, E., Sellanes, J., Sepulveda, H.H., Stotz, W.,
Tala, F., Thomas, A., Vargas, C.A., Vasquez, J.A., and Vega, J.M.A. (2007). The
Humboldt Current System of northern and central Chile. *Oceanography and Marine*
Biology: an Annual Review 45, 195-344.
Tilman, D. (1996). Biodiversity: population versus ecosystem stability. *Ecology* 77, 350-
363.
Valdivia, N., Aguilera, M.A., Navarrete, S.A., and Broitman, B.R. (2015). Disentangling the
effects of propagule supply and environmental filtering on the spatial structure of a
rocky shore metacommunity. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 538, 67-79.
Valdivia, N., Gonzalez, A.E., Manzur, T., and Broitman, B.R. (2013). Mesoscale variation of
mechanisms contributing to stability in rocky shore communities. *PLoS ONE* 8,
e54159.

Valdivia, N., Segovia-Rivera, V., Fica, E., Bonta, C.C., Aguilera, M.A., and Broitman, B.R.
(2017). Context-dependent functional dispersion across similar ranges of trait space
covered by intertidal rocky shore communities. *Ecology and Evolution* 7**,** 1882-1891.
Valone, T.J., and Balaban-Feld, J. (2018). Impact of exotic invasion on the temporal stability
of natural annual plant communities. *Oikos* 127**,** 56-62.
Van Holt, T., Moreno, C.A., Binford, M.W., Portier, K.M., Mulsow, S., and Frazer, T.K. (2012).
Influence of landscape change on nearshore fisheries in southern Chile. *Global*
Change Biology 18**,** 2147–2160.
Van Ruijven, J., and Berendse, F. (2010). Diversity enhances community recovery, but not
resistance, after drought. *Journal of Ecology* 98**,** 81-86.
Wang, S., Loreau, M., Arnoldi, J.-F., Fang, J., Rahman, K.A., Tao, S., and De Mazancourt, C.
(2017). An invariability-area relationship sheds new light on the spatial scaling of
ecological stability. *Nature Communications* 8**,** 15211.
Wang, S.P., and Loreau, M. (2014). Ecosystem stability in space: alpha, beta and gamma
variability. *Ecology Letters* 17**,** 891-901.
Wang, S.P., and Loreau, M. (2016). Biodiversity and ecosystem stability across scales in
metacommunities. *Ecology Letters* 19**,** 510-518.
Whalen, M.A., Aquilino, K.M., and Stachowicz, J.J. (2016). Grazer diversity interacts with
biogenic habitat heterogeneity to accelerate intertidal algal succession. *Ecology* 97**,**
2136-2146.
Wootton, J.T. (2010). Experimental species removal alters ecological dynamics in a natural
ecosystem. *Ecology* 91**,** 42-48.
Yachi, S., and Loreau, M. (1999). Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating
environment: the insurance hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America 96**,** 1463-1468.
Zelnik, Y.R., Arnoldi, J.-F., and Loreau, M. (2018). The Impact of Spatial and Temporal
Dimensions of Disturbances on Ecosystem Stability. *Frontiers in Ecology and*
Evolution 6.
Zelnik, Y.R., Arnoldi, J.-F., and Loreau, M. (2019). The three regimes of spatial recovery.
Ecology 100**,** e02586.
Zhang, Y.H., He, N.P., Loreau, M., Pan, Q.M., and Han, X.G. (2018). Scale dependence of the
diversity-stability relationship in a temperate grassland. *Journal of Ecology* 106**,**
1277-1285.
784
785
786

Tables

Table 1

Identity of the dominant species experimentally removed at each rocky shore site in each

region. South latitude and West longitude (Lat. and Lon. respectively) data are provided in

decimal degrees. Community-specific mean percentage (1st and 3rd quantiles

parenthesised) cover of each locally space-dominant species (*Mazzaella laminarioides*,

mixture of *Jehlius cirratus* and *Notochthamalus scabrosus* barnacles, and *Perumytilus*

purpuratus) is provided. Simpson's concentration index (λ; range: [0, 1]) indicates the

degree of unevenness in the distribution of species abundances. Community-specific mean

percentage covers are in bold.

Region	Lat.	Lon.	Site	Site code	*Mazzella*	Barnacles	*Perumytilus*	λ
Northern	29.28	71.18	Temblador	TEMB	0 (0-0)	**40** (25.5-56.2)	0.2 (0-0)	0.43
	30.20	71.48	Guanaqueros	GUAN	0 (0-0)	**69** (67.5-80.2)	0.3 (0-0.8)	0.67
	30.45	71.42	Limarí	LIMA	**54.3** (41.2-65)	0.5 (0-1)	0 (0-0)	0.48
	30.54	71.41	Punta Talca	PTAL	**31.1** (19.5-48.5)	1.3 (1-2)	0.1 (0-0)	0.37
Southern	39.41	73.22	Cheuque	CHEU	2.3 (0-0)	21.9 (2-40)	**100** (100-100)	0.59
	39.78	73.39	Calfuco	CALF	7.6 (0-2.2)	4 (1-5.2)	**100** (100-100)	0.62
	39.93	73.59	Chaihuín	CHAI	7 (0-8)	**89** (84-97.2)	3.5 (1-3.5)	0.77
	40.54	73.72	Pucatrihue	PUCA	0 (0-0)	1.1 (1-1)	**98.5** (98.8-100)	0.86

 Figure caption

Fig. 1

Location of study sites in the northern and southern regions in the SE Pacific shore of Chile.

Colour scales denote long-term temporal mean (2003-2018) of (A) sea surface temperature

and (B) $\log_{10}$ Chlorophyll-*a* concentration using L3 MODIS-aqua data (Lara et al., 2019).

Site codes are in Table 1. *Mazzaella*-dominated communities: LIMA and PTAL; barnacle-

dominated communities: TEMB, GUAN, and CHAI: *Perumytilus*-dominated communities:

CHEU, CALF, and PUCA.

Fig. 2

Four dimensions of stability in the (A, C, E, G) functional and (B, D, F, H) compositional

domains. Note that (A) functional resistance and (E) recover are shown as modulus in

order to compare magnitudes, rather than directions of effects. Values are mean ± 95 %

confidence intervals. Dashed lines represent reference values for maximum resistance and

recovery; solid grey lines represent reference values for minimum resilience. All stability

dimensions statistically differed from reference values, excepting (C) functional resilience

of barnacle- and *Perumytilus*-dominated communities (t-tests: t_{16} = -1.24, P > 0.05; t_{28} =

1.28, P > 0.05, respectively). Accordingly, the three types of communities exhibited similar

stability responses, excepting functional resilience (GLMM contrasts: *Mazzaella* >

barnacles; *Mazzaella* > *Perumytilus*).

Fig. 3

Community-wide synchrony among subordinate species in each community type

(*Mazzaella-*, barnacle-, or *Perumytilus*-dominated community). The range of φ is [0, 1], with

1 = perfectly synchronous species fluctuations and 0 = perfectly asynchronous,

compensatory species fluctuations. Values are mean ± 95 % confidence intervals. All

communities statistically differed from 1 (one-tailed *t*-tests: t_9 = -42.2, *P* < 0.001; t_{16} = -17.5,

P < 0.001; t_{28} = -38.2, *P* < 0.001, respectively), indicating prevalent compensatory dynamics

across the region. The removal of the dominant species systematically increased the

strength of species compensation in the *Perumytilus* communities, but not in the others

(Gamma GLM, R^2 = 0.45, P < 0.001 for *Perumytilus*).

Fig. 4

Pairwise associations between functional and compositional dimensions of stability. In

these analyses, all functional dimensions were used as modulus in order to correlated

magnitudes, rather that directions of effects. Dashed lines represent reference values for

maximum resistance and recovery; solid grey lines represent reference values for

minimum resilience. Only (B) resilience and (C) recovery of the barnacle-dominated

communities (green symbols) showed significant function-composition correlations (r = -

0.52 and r = -0.57, respectively; P < 0.05).

Fig. 5

Associations among four dimensions of functional stability. Dashed lines represent

reference values for maximum resistance and recovery; solid grey lines represent

reference values for minimum resilience. Across communities, statistically significant

correlations were detected for the resistance-resilience, resistance-invariability, and

resilience-invariability pairs (r = -0.38, r = 0.39, and r = -0.36, respectively; P < 0.01).

Fig. 6

Associations among four dimensions of compositional stability. Dashed lines represent

reference values for maximum resistance and recovery; solid grey lines represent

reference values for minimum resilience. No statistically significant correlation was

detected across communities.

Fig. 1 Valdivia et al.

859   Fig. 2 Valdivia et al.

863   Fig. 3 Valdivia et al.

865   Fig. 4 Valdivia et al.

Functional stability

869   Fig. 5 Valdivia et al.

Compositional stability

873 Fig. 6 Valdivia et al.