In vitro gut modeling as a tool for adaptive evolutionary engineering of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*

Julia Isenring[a], Annelies Geirnaert[a], Alex R. Hall[b], Christoph Jans[a], Christophe Lacroix[a#],
Marc J.A. Stevens[a,c]

[a]Laboratory of Food Biotechnology, Institute of Food, Nutrition and Health, ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland.

[b]Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland.

[c]Institute for Food Hygiene and Safety, University of Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland.

*Correspondence:

Christophe Lacroix

Schmelzbergstrasse 7

8092 Zürich, Switzerland

+41446324867

christophe.lacroix@hest.ethz.ch

Running title: *In vitro* gut model for adaptive evolution.

Word count:

- Abstract: 200

- Main Text (excluding references, table footnotes and figure legends): 5415

Abstract

Research and marketing of probiotics demand for holistic strain improvement considering

both, the biotic and abiotic gut environment. Here we aim to establish the continuous *in*

vitro colonic fermentation model PolyFermS as a tool for adaptive evolutionary

engineering. Immobilized fecal microbiota from adult donors were steadily cultivated up to

72 days in PolyFermS reactors, providing a long-term compositional and functional stable

ecosystem akin to the donor's gut. Inoculation of the gut microbiota with immobilized or

planktonic *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* NZ3400, a derivative of the probiotic model strain

WCFS1, led to successful colonization. Whole genome sequencing of 45 recovered

strains revealed mutations in 16 genes involved in signaling, metabolism, transport, and

cell surface. Remarkably, mutations in LP_RS14990, LP_RS15205, and intergenic region

LP_RS05100<LP_RS05095 were found in recovered strains from different adaptation

experiments. Combined addition of the reference strain NZ3400 and each of those

mutants to the gut microbiota resulted in increased abundance of the corresponding

mutant in PolyFermS microbiota after 10 days, showing the beneficial nature of these

mutations. Our data show that the PolyFermS system is a suitable technology to generate

adapted mutants for colonization in colonic conditions. Analysis thereof will provide

knowledge about factors involved in gut microbiota colonization and persistence.

Importance

Improvement of bacterial strains to specific abiotic environmental factors is broadly used to enhance strain characteristics for processing and product quality. However, there is currently no multidimensional probiotic strain improvement approach for both, abiotic and biotic factors of a colon microbiota. The continuous PolyFermS fermentation model allows stable and reproducible continuous cultivation of colonic microbiota and provides conditions akin to the host gut with high control and easy sampling. This study investigated the suitability of PolyFermS for adaptive evolutionary engineering of a probiotic model organism for lactobacilli, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*, to an adult human colonic microbiota. The application of PolyFermS controlled gut microbiota environment led to adaptive evolution of *L. plantarum* strains for enhanced gut colonization characteristics. This novel tool for strain improvement can be used to reveal relevant factors involved in gut microbiota colonization and develop adapted probiotic strains with enhanced functionality in the gut.

Introduction

Microorganisms play a pivotal role in pharmaceutical, biotechnological, and food industries. The latter depends heavily on microorganisms for starter cultures, biopreservation agents, and flavor producers (1-3). Moreover, since the 1990s, there has been an increase in the production of probiotics, which are "live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host" (4). Strain improvement of probiotic bacteria is of major importance to meet consumer demands for functional foods and enhance competitiveness of probiotic strains. However, it demands for a multi-dimensional approach since biotic and abiotic factors are involved.

A promising solution for strain improvement is evolutionary engineering, which steers microbial evolution by exerting selective pressure (5-7). Desired mutants can be selected based on e.g. growth rate, increased survival, or retention time. This method is feasible with bacteria because short generation times and large population sizes facilitate rapid emergence and selective sweeps of mutants (8-11). It is a well-established approach to improve targeted strain characteristics like the acidification rate of *Lactococcus lactis* (12), growth of *Escherichia coli* (13) or enhanced succinate production in *Actinobacillus* and *Mannheimia* (10, 14, 15). Nonetheless, the potential of evolutionary engineering as multi-dimensional engineering within microbial consortia is not well established yet. Previously, the residence time of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* in the murine digestive tract was increased after repetitive administration of the longest persisting *L. plantarum* (16). However, *in vivo* models like mice have societal, ethical, and monetary restrictions and might therefore be substituted by *in vitro* models. Furthermore, gastrointestinal physiology and gut species composition of mice are different from humans, possibly limiting the translation (17, 18).

Continuous fermentation models are best suited for *in vitro* cultivation of gut microbiota in

conditions akin to the gut (19, 20). Different PolyFermS models were successfully

developed for cultivating colonic microbiota of humans of different ages and conditions,

swine, murine, and chicken cecum microbiota (21-25). The continuous PolyFermS model

allows testing several treatments in parallel in second-stage treatment reactors (TRs)

seeded with the same gut microbiota produced in the inoculum reactor (IR) containing

immobilized microbiota (21). Gut microbiota immobilization in polysaccharide gel beads

leads to the maintenance of high cell density, long-term stability due to prevention of cell

washout, and diversity of the simulated gut microbiota (23, 26, 27). It moreover creates a

sessile bacterial fraction on the gel beads and a planktonic fraction resulting from the

growth and release of sessile bacteria and further growth of planktonic cells in the bulk

medium (27, 28). This mimics the gastrointestinal environment consisting of free and

biofilm or mucus associated bacteria (29, 30). The PolyFermS colonic fermentation model

enables operation up to several months in a highly controllable environment with multiple

parameters to operate on, which is needed for evolutionary adaptation (26). We therefore

hypothesized that the PolyFermS model can provide a long-term stable gut microbiota

akin to the human adult colon that allows for adaptive evolution of an exogenous single

strain.

In this study, we investigated the PolyFermS fermentation model as a novel tool for strain

improvement via adaptive evolutionary engineering, using *L. plantarum* as model strain.

L. plantarum originates from fermented foods (31, 32) and is detected at low levels in

approximately half of healthy human gut microbiota (33). *L. plantarum* WCFS1 is a well-

characterized model strain for transient probiotic lactobacilli (34, 35). A WCFS1 derivative

harboring a chloramphenicol (CM) resistance gene for tracking, was cultivated in

PolyFermS reactors inoculated with immobilized adult fecal microbiota for at least

100 generations. Engineered strains were phenotypically and genotypically characterized

and tested for improved colonization in the PolyFermS model.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains used during this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

L. plantarum NZ3400 (36) was used as reference strain. NZ3400 is a derivative of

WCFS1, harboring a CM resistance cassette (P_{32}-*cat*) in a neutral locus on the

chromosome. *L .plantarum* was grown in De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS, Labo-Life

Sàrl, Pully, Switzerland) broth at 37°C, overnight. *L. plantarum* viable cells were

enumerated by plating on MRS agar supplemented with CM (10 µg/ml), aerobic

incubation at 37°C, overnight.

Immobilization of adult fecal microbiota

Fecal samples were obtained from four healthy adult individuals (27-31 years old) who did

not take antibiotics and probiotics for at least three months and did not show detectable

microbial growth on MRS + CM plates to avoid interference with *L. plantarum* NZ3400

recovery. Out of 15 tested fecal samples, four samples that did not show microbial growth

on MRS+CM and were chosen for fermentation (donor 1-4). The Ethics Committee of ETH

Zürich exempted this study from review because the sample collection procedure has not

been performed under conditions of intervention. Informed written consent was obtained

from fecal donors. Fecal samples were immediately transferred to an anaerobic chamber

within 2 h after defecation and suspended at 20% (w/v) in reduced peptone water (0.1%,

pH 7; Thermo Fisher Diagnostics AG, Pratteln, Switzerland). Immobilization of 10 ml fecal slurry in polymer gel beads (gellan gum (2.5%, w/v), xanthan (0.25%, w/v) and sodium citrate (0.2%, w/v)) was performed as previously described (21, 37). The inoculum bioreactor (IR) (Sixfors, Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland) was filled with 140 ml of vitamin supplemented MacFarlane medium (Supplementary Data S1), formulated to mimic the chyme entering the colon (20, 38), and inoculated with 60 ml of fecal gel beads. Beads were colonized in two fed-batch fermentations carried out at 37°C, pH 5.8 by controlled addition of NaOH (2.5 M), stirring at 180 rpm, and replacing 100 ml of spent medium with fresh medium after 16 h (21). Anaerobiosis was set by purging the bioreactor headspace with CO_2 and monitored by redox potential probes.

Proximal colon fermentation in the PolyFermS model using immobilized human gut microbiota

Continuous proximal colonic *in vitro* fermentations with immobilized human adult gut microbiota were performed in bioreactors as reported previously (26, 39). All fermentations were operated to mimic the adult proximal colon as described above for batch fermentation. Fresh medium, was continuously added to the IR (25 ml/h) and fermented medium was removed to maintain a working volume of 200 ml. Because short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are the main fermentation end products of the gut microbiota, their stable production is a convenient, easily measurable marker for stability of continuous intestinal fermentation models (21, 40). The IR was run in continuous mode for at least 10 days to reach metabolic stability indicated by lower than 10% day-to-day variation (25, 40) in SCFAs concentration, before connecting second-stage TRs. TRs were inoculated by the IR at 1.25 ml/h and fed at 23.75 ml/h. TRs were operated

continuously for four days prior to *L. plantarum* supplementation in order to establish a gut

microbiota activity akin to the IR. Addition of planktonic or immobilized *L. plantarum* was

tested in IR and TRs with different donor microbiota (Fig. 1). In case of two successive

treatment periods in the same reactor, the reactor was disconnected after the first

treatment period, sterilized, re-connected and stabilized for four days before starting a

second treatment.

Reactor effluent samples were taken daily to monitor the fermentation process,

centrifuged for 10 min at 14 000 g, 4°C and stored at -20°C. Pellets were used for DNA

extraction and supernatants for metabolite analysis.

Adaptive evolutionary engineering of *L. plantarum*

Adaptive evolution using immobilized L. plantarum NZ3400

Adaptive evolution of immobilized *L. plantarum* NZ3400 was tested in a continuously run

single-stage IR (IR1) inoculated with donor 1 fecal beads (Fig. 1, A). A *L. plantarum*

overnight culture was harvested at 4°C, 4000 g for 10 min and washed twice in

Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS), pH 6.2. Immobilization of *L. plantarum* was done as

described for fecal samples under aerobic conditions. Beads were colonized during two

pH-controlled batch cultures at 37°C for 16 h with stirring at 150 rpm. Colonized beads

were washed in PBS, supplemented with cryoprotective buffer (41) and stored at - 80°C.

Before use, *L. plantarum* beads were reactivated during two batch cultures as described

for colonization and washed twice in PBS. *L. plantarum* viable cell counts were determined

by crushing 1 g of beads in PBS with a spatula and plating serial dilutions. Four g of beads

($5*10^9$ colony forming units (CFU) *L. plantarum*/g) were added to the single-stage IR1 and

cultivated for 53 days.

Adaptive evolution using planktonic L. plantarum NZ3400

Due to microbial growth on MRS+CM plates of donor 1 fecal sample at this time, a new donor was chosen for the planktonic adaptation. To prevent carry-over of possible mutants present in the initial NZ3400 stock culture, a new stock from a single NZ3400 colony isolate, designated NZ3400*, was produced. NZ3400* was subjected to PacBio sequencing and used for all subsequent planktonic supplementation trials. NZ3400 and NZ3400* differed in eight SNPs but none thereof in SNP affected genes of recovered *L. plantarum*. Adaptation of planktonic *L. plantarum* NZ3400* was tested in TRs continuously inoculated by the effluent from IR2 containing beads with immobilized fecal microbiota of donor 2 (Fig. 1, B). Because the PolyFermS model was built with six TRs, two consecutive treatment periods were performed to test all treatments. *L. plantarum* strains were grown overnight, harvested, washed twice in PBS, re-suspended in MacFarlane medium and added to the TRs to a final level of 10^9 CFU *L. plantarum*/ml effluent. Long-term planktonic adaptation was tested in TR2 operated for 72 days and repeated in TR1 (period 1) and TR1 (period 2) for 44 and 23 days, respectively (Fig. 1, B). Furthermore, the strain IA10, recovered from the immobilized adaptation, was added in planktonic state to TR3 (period 1) and TR3 (period 2) containing donor 2 gut microbiota to investigate effects of adaptations that occurred during the immobilized adaptation (Fig. 1, B).

Metabolite analysis of the continuous colon fermentation

Reactors were sampled daily for analysis of the SCFAs acetate, butyrate and propionate, branched-chain fatty acids isobutyrate, isovalerate and valerate, and intermediate

metabolites lactate and formate (42). Concentrations were determined by high-

performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described previously (23).

Microbial profiling by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Genomic DNA of fecal and effluent samples was extracted using the FastDNA® SPIN Kit

for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the primers 806R

(5′- GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT- 3′) and 515F (5′- GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-

3′). Amplicons were barcoded PCR-based. Library preparation and sequencing (Illumina,

CA, USA) using an Illumina MiSeq flow cell with V2 reagent kit for 2 × 250-bp paired-end

Nextera chemistry supplemented with 10% of PhiX was performed in collaboration with

the Genetic Diversity Center (GDC, ETH Zürich, Switzerland).

Raw data obtained from 16S rRNA sequencing were processed using Cutadapt (43) and

DADA2 pipeline (44) to obtain amplicon sequence variants. Taxonomy was assigned

using SILVA database (v.132) (45) (full method in Supplementary Data S1).

Recovery of *L. plantarum* from the gut microbiota

L. plantarum colonization was determined by plating on MRS + CM agar. Combination of

the MRS selectivity for lactobacilli and enterococci together with aerobe incubation and

presence of antibiotics allowed growth repression of all other bacteria. Data were

compared to the theoretical washout curve determined for absence of growth, from the

following equation: $c_t = c_0 * e^{(t/RT)}$ (39), where c_0 and c_t represent cell concentration at time

point 0 and t, respectively, and RT corresponds to the retention time. Colonies were

randomly picked, incubated in MRS + CM overnight, mixed 1:1 with 60% (v/v) glycerol

(Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbHm Buchs, Switzerland) and stored at -80°C.

Natural biofilm formed in TR2, used for long-term planktonic adaptation, and the repetition

experiment in TR1 (period 1). To recover *L. plantarum* from biofilms, the vessels were

emptied and washed twice with PBS. Remaining biofilm was removed and homogenized

with glass beads (5 mm, VWR International AG, Dietikon, Switzerland) in dilution solution

containing 0.85% NaCl and 0.1% peptone from casein (w/v, VWR International AG,

Dietikon, Switzerland). Dilutions were plated with subsequent strain recovery and storage

performed as described above.

Phenotypic characterization of recovered *L. plantarum* strains

Growth behavior of recovered *L. plantarum* strains was analyzed in MRS supplemented

with each of the main SCFAs of the human gut microbiota, acetate (50, 75, 100 mM),

butyrate (15, 30, and 45 mM) and propionate (15, 30, and 45 mM) in similar

concentrations as measured during colonic fermentations (see Fig. S2 in the

supplemental material). The abiotic gut fermentation environment was simulated in

Effluent-MacFarlane-Sugar (EMS) medium consisting of filter-sterilized PolyFermS

effluent, MacFarlane medium in a ratio 9:1 and 0.75% (w/v) glucose (see Fig. S1 in the

supplemental material). Glucose was added since *L. plantarum* was unable to grow in

MacFarlane medium. For comparison of the effect on adaption in immobilized and long-

term planktonic adaptation trials performed in TR2 (Fig. 1, B), recovered strains were

divided into four groups based on their origin of isolation: (1) 11 strains from the effluent

at the late stage of immobilized adaptation after 53 days, (2) 14 strains from the effluent

during day 7 and day 23 (early planktonic adaptation), (3) 19 strains from the effluent

during day 60 and day 72 (late planktonic adaptation) and (4) 25 strains from the biofilm

of planktonic adaptation after 72 days. Strains were isolated at an early stage after seven

(stable *L. plantarum* colonization) and 23 days and late stage of 60 and 72 days to

increase the chance to observe adaptation. Biofilm was sampled on the last day of

fermentation because the reactor had to be emptied for biofilm sampling. Growth analysis

was done in 96-well tissue culture test plates (Bioswisstec AG, Schaffhausen,

Switzerland). Wells were filled with 200 µl of medium and inoculated with the potentially

adapted *L. plantarum* at 37°C. Growth was monitored by optical density (OD)

measurement at 600 nm in a plate reader after 24 h (PowerWaveTMXS, Bio-Tek

Instrument Inc., Winooski, VT, US) in biological triplicates.

Phenotype stability was assessed by repeated daily culturing of strains in MRS for

28 days, approximately 190 generations, as presented above. Stability was measured

after 1, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days, which corresponds to the time of transcriptome

homogenization among *L. plantarum* strains isolated from different habitats (46).

Complete genome sequencing and data analysis

L. plantarum genomic DNA was isolated via lysozyme-based cell lysis (47) followed by

purification using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit according to the

manufacturer's instructions (Promega, Dübendorf, Switzerland). The genome of the

reference strain NZ3400* was sequenced at the Functional Genomics Center Zurich

(Zürich, Switzerland) on PacBio RS II (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) using

one SMRT cell. Reads were assembled using HGAP assembly as described previously

(48). All other *L. plantarum* strains were sequenced using Illumina Miniseq (Illumina, CA,

US) with 250 bp paired-end reads at the Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, University

of Zurich (48). Reads were merged and mapped to the reference genome *L. plantarum*

NZ3400* using CLC Genomic Workbench 11.0 (Qiagen CLC bio, Aarhus, Denmark) using

default parameters. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were extracted using

Parsnp (49). SNPs were confirmed by Sanger Sequencing (Eurofins GATC, Biotech

GmbH, Konstanz, Germany). SNP related changes in amino acid sequence were

determined in CLC Genomic Workbench.

Competition experiments in the human gut microbiota

Competition experiments between NZ3400* and the mutant strains *L. plantarum* IA01,

PA1.2_01 and PA2_06 were performed to determine the effect of the mutations on gut

microbiota colonization (Fig. 1, B). NZ3400* was paired with each of the three mutant

strains in a 1:1 ratio to reach 10^9 CFU/ml reactor effluent to the gut microbiota and

cultivated for ten days. Ten days was sufficient to obtain stable *L. plantarum* colonization

for at least four days but also as short as possible to minimize the chance of proliferation

of new mutants. Relative abundance of the strains in the complex community was

determined by measuring allele frequency of the genes LP_RS14990 and LP_RS15205,

respectively, via Pyrosequencing (full method in Supplementary Data S1).

Stability of mutations under standard cultivation conditions

Stability of the mutations in *L. plantarum* IA01 and PA2_06 was investigated during

repeated daily cultures in MRS for 12 days, approximately 81 generations, performed in

triplicates. Cultivation of NZ3400* served as control. Allele frequency was determined via

Pyrosequencing as described above.

Plasmid construction and LP_RS14990 gene replacement of *L. plantarum* NZ3400*

Six mutants carrying the mutation in LP_RS14990 were recovered from independent adaptation experiments. To investigate the involvement of this gene in gut microbiota colonization, a *L. plantarum* ΔLP_RS14990 knockout was constructed by double-crossover gene replacement in *L. plantarum* NZ3400* (full method in Supplementary Data S1). The knockout strain was subsequently added to the gut microbiota and colonization levels were compared to the reference strain NZ3400* (Fig. 1, B).

In silico analysis of LP_RS15205 in *L. plantarum*

LP_RS15205 was affected by identical mutation in four strains recovered from two independent adaptation experiments. Since this mutation was also found in the background gut microbiota, in silico analysis of this locus was performed. Complete genome sequences of Firmicutes (n = 557), Bacteroidetes (n = 218), Actinomycetes (n = 457) and γ-Proteobacteria (n = 638) from the NCBI genome database were downloaded in May 2020. The amino acid sequence of LP_RS15205 was blasted against these genomes using standard settings and significant hits were aligned using MUSCLE (50).

Data analysis

Statistics for growth experiments were calculated in R (version 3.6.2) using one-sample t-test for comparison to *L. plantarum* NZ3400* and paired sample t-test for comparison within recovered *L. plantarum* groups. Values represent mean values ± standard deviation. The heatmap was generated using the R pheatmap package and Euclidean distance measure. Allele frequency determination by Pyrosequencing was calculated

based on three extracted DNA samples of the same time point. Graphs were created

using GraphPad Prism® version 8 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

PolyFermS model allows stable cultivation of adult gut microbiota

The PolyFermS model operated to mimic the adult proximal colon was used to provide a

gut microbiota environment for evolutionary adaptation of *L. plantarum* NZ3400.

Adaptation of immobilized *L. plantarum* was performed in IR1 and adaptation of planktonic

L. plantarum was tested in TRs continuously inoculated with IR2 microbiota (Fig. 1).

Metabolic stability of IR1 (see Fig. S2, A in the supplemental material) was achieved after

one week with main SCFAs acetate, propionate and butyrate at 73 ± 7, 21 ± 4 and

19 ± 3 mM over two month fermentation, respectively. IR1 microbiota was dominated by

Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes accounting for 44 ± 5 and 47 ± 2% of the total population

during days 44-46, respectively, 48 ± 7 and 41 ± 2% during days 57-59, respectively, and

52 ± 4 and 36 ± 4% during days 61-65, respectively (see Fig. S3, A in the supplemental

material). This stability was also observed on family level (see Fig. S3, B in the

supplemental material). IR2 microbiota reached metabolic stability (see Fig. S2, B in the

supplemental material) after one week with the main SCFAs acetate, propionate and

butyrate at 84 ± 5, 32 ± 8 and 23 ± 4 mM, respectively, during two months of culture. IR2

had a different microbiota composition from IR1 (see Fig. S3, C&D in the supplemental

material). Bacteroidetes dominated the gut microbiota compared to Firmicutes with

63 ± 1% and 36 ± 1% during days 24-26, respectively, 68 ± 4 and 30 ± 4% during days

41-43, respectively, and 66 ± 1 and 30 ± 1% during days 89-91, respectively (see

Fig. S3, C in the supplemental material). Stability was maintained up to 90 days on family

level (see Fig. S3, D in the supplemental material). Microbiota composition of the IR was

successfully transferred to and maintained in the TRs (see Fig. S3, D in the supplemental

material). Both, metabolic activity (see Fig. S2, C-E in the supplemental material) and

composition were reproduced in the TRs.

Prolonged cultivation of *L. plantarum* in *in vitro* human gut microbiota

To investigate the potential of the continuous *in vitro* gut fermentation model PolyFermS

for evolutionary engineering, immobilized *L. plantarum* NZ3400 was added to the

stabilized microbiota in IR1 at an initial concentration of 10^8 CFU/ml effluent. NZ3400

decreased at the rate of the theoretical wash-out during the first four days (Fig. 2, A),

followed by colonization between 10^2 CFU/ml and 10^4 CFU/ml during the 50-days

fermentation. *L. plantarum* was able to maintain a self-sustaining population, an

observation which will be referred to as colonization.

The PolyFermS model was further evaluated for long-term adaptation of planktonic

L. plantarum NZ3400* in TR2, fed by donor 2 gut microbiota. Cell counts decreased from

10^9 to 10^5 CFU/ml during the first four days after spiking (Fig. 2, B), at the rate of the wash-

out. Thereafter, colonization steadily increased to 10^6 CFU/ml during 72 days. Repeated

supplementation of *L. plantarum* with 10^6 and 10^9 CFU/ml in TR1 (period1) and TR1

(period2) resulted in stable colonization at different levels of 10^6 and $3*10^4$ CFU/ml,

respectively. Therefore, *L. plantarum* colonization at a donor specific level was

demonstrated for more than 50 days and 150 generations.

Recovered *L. plantarum* strains are phenotypically adapted to the gut microbiota environment

To test for *L. plantarum* adaptation during gut microbiota cultivation, recovered strains were grown in SCFA concentrations comparable to those in the gut fermenter. Average growth of strains from immobilized adaptation measured by OD was impaired in MRS and MRS supplemented with 30 mM propionate or butyrate compared to the reference strain NZ3400* (Table 1). Strains from early stage of long-term planktonic adaptation behaved similarly to the reference NZ3400* in all tested media. However, strains from late planktonic adaptation and biofilm grew better in MRS plus acetate (+0.11 and +0.07, respectively), less in standard MRS (-0.14 and -0.11, respectively), and similar in MRS plus propionate or butyrate (Table 1) compared to NZ3400*. Moreover, strains from biofilm and late planktonic adaptation grew significantly better in the reactor-effluent-mimicking EMS medium compared to strains from early adaptation (Table 1).

When clustered according to growth performance in MRS and MRS supplemented with acetate (50 mM), butyrate (30 mM) and propionate (30 mM), strains from immobilized and planktonic adaptation were clearly separated (Fig. 3). Further, strains recovered from immobilized adaptation exhibited a higher growth variability than strains isolated from the long-term planktonic adaptation (see Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). The reference NZ3400* clustered with strains isolated from early planktonic adaptation and clearly separate from strains isolated from effluent and biofilm at the end of adaptation (Fig. 3). Altogether, these results strongly hint towards adaptation of *L. plantarum* during prolonged cultivation in the gut microbiota. Furthermore, strains did not cluster according to the reactor they were isolated from, suggesting that the adaptation pattern is not dependent on reactor but rather time point of isolation.

Phenotypes of these adaptations were stable for at least 190 generations (see Fig. S5 in

the supplemental material). This strongly suggests that observed phenotypes are caused

by mutations rather than physiological variations.

Mutations in adapted *L. plantarum* strains hint towards adaptive evolution

Stable altered phenotypes of recovered *L. plantarum* strains strongly suggest that these

strains harbor mutations. Therefore, whole-genome sequencing of 45 strains randomly

selected from adaptations experiments was performed. Comparison to the reference

genome NZ3400* revealed 15 strains without any genotypic differences. Out of 18 SNPs

confirmed by Sanger sequencing, two were detected in non-coding regions. The

remaining 16 SNPs were found in genes involved in signaling, metabolism, transport, and

cell surface (Table 2).

The 11 mutated of 12 sequenced strains from the immobilized adaptation belonged to four

different genotypes. Among these 11 strains, a mutation in the cell surface protein gene

encoded by LP_RS14255 was found seven times. Further, a mutation in LP_RS14990,

encoding a histidine kinase domain, was found thrice (Table 2). Eight of 15 *L. plantarum*

strains that were recovered at late stage of the long-term planktonic adaptation were

mutated, resulting in five different genotypes (Table 2). Strikingly, a SNP in LP_RS14990

occurred independently during planktonic and immobilized adaptation. Moreover, the SNP

in LP_RS15205 and in the intergenic region between LP_RS05100 and LP_RS05095

were found in strains isolated from two different reactors.

Immobilized adaptation resulted in a bigger fraction of mutated strains but consisted

predominantly of two isogenic lineages. Planktonic adaptation resulted in less frequent

mutagenesis, yet higher mutant diversity. This suggests a difference in adaptation

pressure, as already observed for the phenotypic screening. Recovery of some identical

mutants from different adaptation experiments suggests that some of the observed

mutations are involved in adaptation to the gut microbiota.

Mutations in LP_RS14990 and LP_RS15205 are beneficial for *in vitro* gut microbiota

colonization

The mutation in the histidine kinase protein gene LP_RS14990 and the ROK protein gene

LP_RS15205 occurred independently more than once in adaptation experiments. We

therefore tested the fitness of each of the mutants *L. plantarum* PA2_06 (C837A in

LP_RS15205), IA01 (C979T in LP_RS14990) or PA1.2_01 (C979T in LP_RS14990 and

C837A in LP_RS15205) in competition experiments with the reference strain NZ3400*.

Ten days of cultivation resulted in an increased abundance of all tested mutants in the gut

microbiota when compared to NZ3400* (Table 3).

Pyrosequencing indicated that donor 2 gut microbiota had a *L. plantarum* background.

Remarkably, the Pyrogram of this background at the position LP_RS15205 C837A was

identical to the Pyrogram of a sample containing NZ3400* and PA2_06. This shows that

the nucleotide variation of both, NZ3400* and PA2_06, also occurs naturally (see Fig. S6

in the supplemental material).

Mutation C979T in LP_RS14990 is stable under standard culturing conditions

After observing increased fitness of mutants compared to the reference strain, it was

investigated whether the mutations of *L. plantarum* IA01 in LP_RS14990 and *L. plantarum*

PA2_06 in LP_RS15205 are stable during daily repeated batch cultures without the

adaptation pressure of the gut microbiota. Stability of the LP_RS14990 mutation in the

IA01 strain was observed during 12 batch cultures. However, the mutation C837A in strain

PA2_06 was not stable since the reference strain nucleotide reoccurred at 3.5 ± 0.15%

after 12 days (see Table S3 in the supplemental material). Investigation of NZ3400* in

repeated MRS batch cultures revealed no occurrence of the SNPs of the mutants.

LP_RS14990 gene replacement in *L. plantarum* NZ3400* results in delay of gut

microbiota colonization

To investigate the role of the LP_RS14990 gene in gut microbiota colonization, a

ΔLP_RS14990 gene replacement strain was constructed and its colonization compared

to NZ3400*. NZ3400* started to colonize the gut microbiota of donor 3 on day one and

donor 4 at day three since levels were above the wash-out curve (Fig. 4). Levels of

ΔLP_RS14990 in both gut microbiota decreased more rapidly than the wash-out curve

until day three, suggesting cell death (Fig. 4). Strain ΔLP_RS14990 started to colonize the

gut microbiota of both donors only after four days, later than the reference strain.

In silico analysis of LP_RS15205 in *L. plantarum*

Occurrence of the SNP C837A (L279F) in LP_RS15205 in *L. plantarum* strains from

different reactors and in the background of the gut microbiota suggests an important

function of this SNP in survival of *L. plantarum* in the gut microbiota. In silico analysis of

LP_RS15205 revealed that C837A lies in a conserved domain with an ANLxGA motive

that is found in Firmicutes and γ-Proteobacteria (data not shown). The function of this

domain is unknown, but its conservation throughout two phyla strongly suggests that it

might be important for protein function and the mutation ANLxGA to ANFxGA might have

an impact on protein functionality.

Discussion

Strain improvement is of major importance for food industries and probiotic cultures. Classical evolutionary adaptation approaches focus on specific strain characteristics in abiotic environments like improved performance of starter cultures or lactic acid bacteria (51, 52). However, for probiotics, improvement of gut colonization needs to consider abiotic and biotic factors. Here we examined the suitability of the *in vitro* gut fermentation model PolyFermS to provide a gut microbiota environment for evolutionary engineering of *L. plantarum* NZ3400 towards a human adult gut microbiota. Immobilization of the donor gut microbiota and cultivation in the PolyFermS allowed reproduction of distinct gut microbiota representative for human adults (53, 54). The achieved long-term metabolic and compositional stability allowed the generation of adapted mutants and the use of several donors increased the external validity of results on observed adaptations. *L. plantarum* supplementation method and donor microbiota seem to influence phenotypic and genotypic adaptations.

Even though observed phenotypic differences of recovered *L. plantarum* were small, *L. plantarum* strains recovered from the immobilized adaptation showed very limited adaptation in contrast to recovered strains from planktonic adaptation trials, although abiotic conditions were highly similar. It thus is assumed that differences in adaptation patterns are caused by the supplementation method. Immobilization protects against stress and entraps cells physically in the system, leading to decreased adaptation pressure (55-57), which is in accordance with our phenotypic screening where strains from immobilized adaptation showed less adaptation. Polymer beads create mucosal-like attachment sites (58) and growth in beads results in a gradual release of sessile cells from the surface that then grow as planktonic cells in the bulk medium (37). The result is a

mixed population consisting of cell lineages undergoing varying number of growth cycles

in the planktonic state. This might explain the more diverse phenotypic adaptation pattern

among strains from the immobilized adaptation. It was further shown that immobilized

cells are genetically more stable than planktonic cells (59-62), which was observed in our

study where recovered strains from immobilized adaptation mainly consisted of two

isogenic lineages.

Two observations suggest that the observed genotypic changes in isolated strains were

involved in adaptive evolution. The first is the known function of several of the genes

affected by SNPs. Activated genes of *L. plantarum* in the murine digestive tract were

involved in carbohydrate transport, metabolism and cell surface, and sugar-related

functions, molecule biosynthesis and stress response (63, 64). Further, exposure of

L. plantarum to the murine intestinal tract predominantly resulted in mutations in genes

encoding cell wall-associated proteins (16). The SNPs identified in our study belong to the

categories mentioned above. Remarkably, the latter study identified a SNP in a

glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase encoding gene, a gene also affected by a SNP

in a recovered strain in our study. In summary, the function of mutated genes are in

agreement with previously observed responses of *L. plantarum* to the *in vivo* intestinal

environment. This shows similar selection pressures in the PolyFermS system compared

to *in vivo* settings.

The second observation indicating adaptive evolution in our experiment is that three

mutations were found independently in multiple experiments. Since mutations occur

continuously, -80°C stock cultures are rarely isogenic and the mutants found in our study

could have been already present as subculture in the NZ3400 stock (16). To counteract

this bias, two different stock cultures, NZ3400 and NZ3400*, were used for the

immobilized and planktonic adaptation, respectively. Occurrence of identical mutants
recovered from immobilized and planktonic adaptation with the SNP in LP_RS14990
seems therefore unlikely to originate from a stock subculture, as confirmed by Sanger
sequencing (data not shown). Furthermore, the mutation was not detected by
Pyrosequencing of NZ3400* before inoculation (data not shown), minimizing a role for
subcultures in our experiments. Moreover, the increased fitness of mutants shows the
beneficial effect of detected mutations. This strongly supports that observed mutations are
caused by adaptive evolution and demonstrates the suitability of the PolyFermS model to
select relevant mutations related to the human gut microbiota. The exact nature of the
evolutionary pressure in our system is not known and remains to be elucidated. It is likely
the result of a combination of factors such as the competition for nutrients, metabolic
cross-feeding, physiochemical factors, or presence of antimicrobial metabolites.

In conclusion, we demonstrated successful application of the continuous PolyFermS gut
fermentation model to provide a long-term stable gut microbiota to generate adapted
mutants to this environment. Immobilization of strains does not only allow adaptive
evolution of non-colonizers but also creates a culture consisting of sessile and planktonic
cells mimicking the human gastrointestinal tract. The conditions of the model can be easily
changed to other needs and selective pressures, including the source of microbiota and
abiotic conditions. This novel technology enables identification of genes involved in gut
microbiota colonization, persistence, and metabolism. The PolyFermS system could
further be designed and applied for different fermentations, to trace and identify
evolutionary and ecological processes between an exogenous single strain and a complex
ecosystem.

Acknowledgment

We thank Alfonso Die for assistance during HPLC measurements, Dr. Florentin Constancias for his support converting 16S sequencing raw data into amplicon sequence variants, and Julia Iselin for experimental assistance. Pyrosequencing was set-up in collaboration with the Genetic Diversity Centre (GDC), ETH Zurich.

The study was funded by the ETH Zurich research grant program (ETH-30 15-2).

References

1. Ross RP, Morgan S, Hill C. 2002. Preservation and fermentation: past, present and future. Int J Food Microbiol **79**:3-16. doi:10.1016/s0168-1605(02)00174-5.

2. Demain AL, Adrio JL. 2008. Contributions of Microorganisms to Industrial Biology. Mol Biotechnol **38**:41-55. doi:10.1007/s12033-007-0035-z.

3. Cleveland J, Montville TJ, Nes IF, Chikindas ML. 2001. Bacteriocins: safe, natural antimicrobials for food preservation. Int J Food Microbiol **71**:1-20. doi:10.1016/s0168-1605(01)00560-8.

4. Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, Morelli L, Canani RB, Flint HJ, Salminen S. 2014. Expert consensus document: The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastro Hepat **11**:506. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66.

5. Butler PR, Brown M, Oliver SG. 1996. Improvement of antibiotic titers from *Streptomyces* bacteria by interactive continuous selection. Biotechnol and Bioeng **49**:185-196. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290(19960120)49:2<185::AID-BIT7>3.0.CO;2-M

6. Dragosits M, Mattanovich D. 2013. Adaptive laboratory evolution - principles and applications for biotechnology. Microb Cell Fact **12**:64. doi:10.1186/1475-2859-12-64.

7. Sauer U. 2001. Evolutionary engineering of industrially important microbial phenotypes, p 129-169, Metab Eng, Springer. doi:10.1007/3-540-45300-8_7

8. Bachmann H, Pronk JT, Kleerebezem M, Teusink B. 2015. Evolutionary

engineering to enhance starter culture performance in food fermentations. Curr

Opin Biotech **32**:1-7. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2014.09.003.

9. Mans R, Daran JG, Pronk JT. 2018. Under pressure: evolutionary engineering of

yeast strains for improved performance in fuels and chemicals production. Curr

Opin Biotech **50**:47-56. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2017.10.011.

10. Cakar ZP, Turanlı-Yıldız B, Alkım C, Yılmaz Ü. 2012. Evolutionary engineering of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae for improved industrially important properties. FEMS

Yeast Res **12**:171-82. doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00775.x.

11. Winkler JD, Kao KC. 2014. Recent advances in the evolutionary engineering of

industrial biocatalysts. Genomics **104**:406-11. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.09.006.

12. Bachmann H, Starrenburg MJC, Molenaar D, Kleerebezem M, Vlieg JETV. 2012.

Microbial domestication signatures of *Lactococcus lactis* can be reproduced by

experimental evolution. Genome Res **22**:115-124. doi:10.1101/gr.121285.111.

13. Conrad TM, Frazier M, Joyce AR, Cho BK, Knight EM, Lewis NE, Landick R,

Palsson BO. 2010. RNA polymerase mutants found through adaptive evolution

reprogram *Escherichia coli* for optimal growth in minimal media. PNAS **107**:20500-

5. doi:10.1073/pnas.0911253107.

14. Lee JW, Lee SY, Yoo JS. 2010. Metabolic and evolutionary engineering of

Mannheimia succiniciproducens for the enhanced succinate productivity. J

Biotechnol **150**:S516-S516. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.09.821.

15. Roca C, Albuquerque G, Reis M. 2010. Evolutionary engineering of *Actinobacillus*

succinogenes for improved succinic acid production on glycerol. J Biotechnol

150:S373-S373. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.09.451.

16.   van Bokhorst-van de Veen H, Smelt MJ, Wels M, van Hijum SAFT, de Vos P,

590   Kleerebezem M, Bron PA. 2013. Genotypic adaptations associated with prolonged

591   persistence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in the murine digestive tract. J Biotechnol

592   **8**:895-904. doi:10.1002/biot.201200259.

593   17.   Lesker TR, Durairaj AC, Galvez EJC, Lagkouvardos I, Baines JF, Clavel T, Sczyrba

594   A, McHardy AC, Strowig T. 2020. An integrated metagenome catalog reveals new

595   insights into the murine gut microbiome. Cell Rep **30**:2909-+.

596   doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.02.036.

597   18.   Lagkouvardos I, Pukall R, Abt B, Foesel BU, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Kumar N, Bresciani

598   A, Martinez I, Just S, Ziegler C, Brugiroux S, Garzetti D, Wenning M, Bui TPN,

599   Wang J, Hugenholtz F, Plugge CM, Peterson DA, Hornef M, Baines JF, Smidt H,

600   Walter J, Kristiansen K, Nielsen HB, Haller D, Overmann J, Stecher B, Clavel T.

601   2016. The Mouse Intestinal Bacterial Collection (miBC) provides host-specific

602   insight into cultured diversity and functional potential of the gut microbiota. Nat

603   Microbiol **1**. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.131.

604   19.   Pham VT, Mohajeri MH. 2018. The application of *in vitro* human intestinal models

605   on the screening and development of pre- and probiotics. Benef Microbes **9**:725-

606   742. doi:10.3920/BM2017.0164.

607   20.   Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S, Gibson GR. 1998. Validation of a three-stage

608   compound continuous culture system for investigating the effect of retention time

609   on the ecology and metabolism of bacteria in the human colon. Microb Ecol **35**:180-

610   187. doi:10.1007/s002489900072.

611   21.   Fehlbaum S, Chassard C, Haug MC, Fourmestraux C, Derrien M, Lacroix C. 2015.

612   Design and investigation of PolyFermS *in vitro* continuous fermentation models

inoculated with immobilized fecal microbiota mimicking the elderly colon. PLoS

One **10**:e0142793. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142793.

22. Doo EH, Chassard C, Schwab C, Lacroix C. 2017. Effect of dietary nucleosides

and yeast extracts on composition and metabolic activity of infant gut microbiota in

PolyFermS colonic fermentation models. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **93**.

doi:10.1093/femsec/fix088.

23. Tanner SA, Zihler Berner A, Rigozzi E, Grattepanche F, Chassard C, Lacroix C.

2014. *In vitro* continuous fermentation model (PolyFermS) of the swine proximal

colon for simultaneous testing on the same gut microbiota. PLoS One **9**:e94123.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094123.

24. Poeker SA, Lacroix C, de Wouters T, Spalinger MR, Scharl M, Geirnaert A. 2019.

Stepwise development of an *in vitro* continuous fermentation model for the murine

caecal microbiota. Front Microbiol **10**:1166. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01166.

25. Asare PT. 2019. Bioprotective effects of reuterin produced by bioconversion of

glycerol by *Lactobacillus reuteri* in fresh-cut vegetable and chicken gut. Diss ETH

No. 26433;ETH Zurich.

26. Berner AZ, Fuentes S, Dostal A, Payne AN, Gutierrez PV, Chassard C,

Grattepanche F, de Vos WM, Lacroix C. 2013. Novel polyfermentor intestinal

model (PolyFermS) for controlled ecological studies: validation and effect of pH.

PLOS One **8**:e77772. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077772.

27. Payne AN, Zihler A, Chassard C, Lacroix C. 2012. Advances and perspectives in

in vitro human gut fermentation modeling. Trends in Biotechnol **30**:17-25.

doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.06.011.

28. Bircher L, Schwab C, Geirnaert A, Greppi A, Lacroix C. 2020. Planktonic and

sessile artificial colonic microbiota harbor distinct composition and reestablish

differently upon frozen and freeze-dried long-term storage. mSystems **5**.

doi:10.1128/mSystems.00521-19.

29. De Weirdt R, Van de Wiele T. 2015. Micromanagement in the gut:

microenvironmental factors govern colon mucosal biofilm structure and

functionality. Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes **1**. doi:10.1038/npjbiofilms.2015.26.

30. Sonnenburg JL, Angenent LT, Gordon JI. 2004. Getting a grip on things: how do

communities of bacterial symbionts become established in our intestine? Nature

Immunology **5**:569-573. doi:10.1038/ni1079.

31. Quintana MCD, García PG, Fernández AG. 1999. Establishment of conditions for

green table olive fermentation at low temperature. Int J Food Microbiol **51**:133-143.

doi:10.1016/s0168-1605(99)00123-3.

32. Enan G, El-Essawy AA, Uyttendaele M, Debevere J. 1996. Antibacterial activity of

Lactobacillus plantarum UG1 isolated from dry sausage: characterization,

production and bactericidal action of plantaricin UG1. Int J Food Microbiol **30**:189-

215. doi:10.1016/0168-1605(96)00947-6.

33. Ahrné S, Nobaek S, Jeppsson B, Adlerberth I, Wold AE, Molin G. 1998. The normal

Lactobacillus flora of healthy human rectal and oral mucosa. J Appl Microbiol

85:88-94. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2672.1998.00480.x.

34. Kleerebezem M, Boekhorst J, van Kranenburg R, Molenaar D, Kuipers OP, Leer

R, Tarchini R, Peters SA, Sandbrink HM, Fiers MW, Stiekema W, Lankhorst RM,

Bron PA, Hoffer SM, Groot MN, Kerkhoven R, de Vries M, Ursing B, de Vos WM,

Siezen RJ. 2003. Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1.

PNAS **100**:1990-1995. doi:10.1073/pnas.0337704100.

35. van den Nieuwboer M, van Hemert S, Claassen E, de Vos WM. 2016. *Lactobacillus*

plantarum WCFS1 and its host interaction: a dozen years after the genome. Microb

Biotechnol **9**:452-65. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12368.

36. Remus DM, van Kranenburg R, van S, II, Taverne N, Bongers RS, Wels M, Wells

JM, Bron PA, Kleerebezem M. 2012. Impact of 4 *Lactobacillus plantarum* capsular

polysaccharide clusters on surface glycan composition and host cell signaling.

Microb Cell Fact **11**:149. doi:10.1186/1475-2859-11-149.

37. Cinquin C, Le Blay G, Fliss I, Lacroix C. 2004. Immobilization of infant fecal

microbiota and utilization in an *in vitro* colonic fermentation model. Microb Ecol

48:128-138. doi:10.1007/s00248-003-2022-7.

38. Michel C, Kravtchenko TP, David A, Gueneau S, Kozlowski F, Cherbut C. 1998. *In*

vitro prebiotic effects of Acacia gums onto the human intestinal microbiota depends

on both botanical origin and environmental pH. Anaerobe **4**:257-266.

doi:10.1006/anae.1998.0178.

39. Tanner SA, Chassard C, Zihler Berner A, Lacroix C. 2014. Synergistic effects of

Bifidobacterium thermophilum RBL67 and selected prebiotics on inhibition of

Salmonella colonization in the swine proximal colon PolyFermS model. Gut Pathog

6:44. doi:10.1186/s13099-014-0044-y.

40. Poeker SA, Geirnaert A, Berchtold L, Greppi A, Krych L, Steinert RE, de Wouters

T, Lacroix C. 2018. Understanding the prebiotic potential of different dietary fibers

using an *in vitro* continuous adult fermentation model (PolyFermS). Sci Rep **8**.

doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22438-y.

41. Bircher L, Geirnaert A, Hammes F, Lacroix C, Schwab C. 2018. Effect of

cryopreservation and lyophilization on viability and growth of strict anaerobic

human gut microbes. Microb Biotechnol **11**:721-733. doi:10.1111/1751-

7915.13265.

42. Topping DL, Clifton PM. 2001. Short-chain fatty acids and human colonic function:

Roles of resistant starch and nonstarch polysaccharides. Physiol Rev **81**:1031-

1064. doi:10.1152/physrev.2001.81.3.1031

43. Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. EMBnet Journal **17**:10-12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200.

44. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016.

DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat

Methods **13**:581-+. doi:10.1038/Nmeth.3869.

45. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner

FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data

processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res **41**:D590-D596.

doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219.

46. Filannino P, De Angelis M, Di Cagno R, Gozzi G, Riciputi Y, Gobbetti M. 2018. How

Lactobacillus plantarum shapes its transcriptome in response to contrasting

habitats. Environ Microbiol **20**:3700-3716. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14372.

47. Jans C, Gerber A, Bugnard J, Njage PMK, Lacroix C, Meile L. 2012. Novel

Streptococcus infantarius subsp *infantarius* variants harboring lactose metabolism

genes homologous to *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Food Microbiol **31**:33-42.

doi:10.1016/j.fm.2012.02.001.

48.   Stevens MJA, Zurfluh K, Stephan R. 2018. Complete and Assembled Genome

Sequences of *Pantoea calida* DSM 22759(T) and *Pantoea gaviniae* DSM

22758(T). Microbiol Resour Announc **6**. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00157-18.

49.   Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM. 2014. The Harvest suite for rapid

core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial

genomes. Genome Biol **15**. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0524-x.

50.   Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and

high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res **32**:1792-7. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.

51.   Johansen E. 2018. Use of natural selection and evolution to develop new starter

cultures   for   fermented   foods.   Annu   Rev   Food   Sci   T   **9**:411-428.

doi:10.1146/annurev-food-030117-012450.

52.   Wang C, Cui Y, Qu X. 2018. Mechanisms and improvement of acid resistance in

lactic acid bacteria. Arch Microbiol **200**:195-201. doi:10.1007/s00203-017-1446-2.

53.   Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes

GR, Tap J, Bruls T, Batto JM, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L,

Gautier L, Hansen T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc

M, Levenez F, Manichanh C, Nielsen HB, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J,

Sicheritz-Ponten T, Tims S, Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, Wang J, Guarner

F, Pedersen O, de Vos WM, Brunak S, Dore J, Meta HITC, Antolin M, Artiguenave

F, Blottiere HM, Almeida M, Brechot C, Cara C, Chervaux C, Cultrone A, Delorme

C, Denariaz G, Dervyn R, Foerstner KU, Friss C, van de Guchte M, Guedon E,

Haimet F, Huber W, van Hylckama-Vlieg J, Jamet A, Juste C, Kaci G, Knol J,

Lakhdari O, Layec S, Le Roux K, Maguin E, Merieux A, Melo Minardi R, M'Rini C,

Muller J, Oozeer R, Parkhill J, Renault P, Rescigno M, Sanchez N, Sunagawa S,

Torrejon A, Turner K, Vandemeulebrouck G, Varela E, Winogradsky Y, Zeller G,

Weissenbach J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P. 2011. Enterotypes of the human gut

microbiome. Nature **473**:174-80. doi:10.1038/nature09944.

54. Segata N, Haake SK, Mannon P, Lemon KP, Waldron L, Gevers D, Huttenhower

C, Izard J. 2012. Composition of the adult digestive tract bacterial microbiome

based on seven mouth surfaces, tonsils, throat and stool samples. Genome Biol

13:R42. doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r42.

55. Spoering AL, Lewis K. 2001. Biofilms and planktonic cells of *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa have similar resistance to killing by antimicrobials. J Bacteriol

183:6746-6751. doi:10.1128/Jb.183.23.6746-6751.2001.

56. Knudsen GM, Nielsen MB, Grassby T, Danino-Appleton V, Thomsen LE,

Colquhoun IJ, Brocklehurst TF, Olsen JE, Hinton JCD. 2012. A third mode of

surface-associated growth: immobilization of *Salmonella enterica* serovar

Typhimurium modulates the RpoS-directed transcriptional programme. Environ

Microbiol **14**:1855-1875. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02703.x.

57. Skandamis PN, Gounadaki AS, Geornaras I, Sofos JN. 2012. Adaptive acid

tolerance response of *Listeria monocytogenes* strains under planktonic and

immobilized growth conditions. Int J Food Microbiol **159**:160-166.

doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.07.027.

58. Walker AW, Duncan SH, Harmsen HJM, Holtrop G, Welling GW, Flint HJ. 2008.

The species composition of the human intestinal microbiota differs between

particle-associated and liquid phase communities. Environ Microbiol **10**:3275-

3283. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01717.x.

59. Kumar PKR, Schugerl K. 1990. Immobilization of genetically engineered cells - a new strategy for higher stability. J Biotechnol **14**:255-272. doi:10.1016/0168-1656(90)90111-N.

60. Shinmyo A, Kimura H, Okada H. 1982. Physiology of alpha-amylase production by immobilized *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Eur J Appl Microbiol Biotechnol **14**:7-12. doi:10.1007/Bf00507996.

61. Dupoet PD, Arcand Y, Bernier R, Barbotin JN, Thomas D. 1987. Plasmid stability in immobilized and free recombinant *Escherichia coli* Jm105(Pkk223-200) - Importance of oxygen diffusion, growth rate, and plasmid copy number. Appl Environ Microbiol **53**:1548-1555. doi:10.1128/AEM.53.7.1548-1555.1987

62. Stewart PS, Robertson CR. 1988. Product inhibition of immobilized *Escherichia coli* arising from mass transfer limitation. Appl Environ Microbiol **54**:2464-2471. doi:10.1128/Aem.54.10.2464-2471.1988.

63. Bron PA, Grangette C, Mercenier A, de Vos WM, Kleerebezem M. 2004. Identification of *Lactobacillus plantarum* genes that are induced in the gastrointestinal tract of mice. J Bacteriol **186**:5721-9. doi:10.1128/JB.186.17.5721-5729.2004.

64. Marco ML, Peters TH, Bongers RS, Molenaar D, van Hemert S, Sonnenburg JL, Gordon JI, Kleerebezem M. 2009. Lifestyle of *Lactobacillus plantarum* in the mouse caecum. Environ Microbiol **11**:2747-57. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.02001.x.

Tables

Table 1: Growth of recovered *L. plantarum* strains in different media. The values

reported are OD_{600nm} after 24 hours. Values obtained for NZ3400* represent

mean ± standard deviation of biological triplicates. All other values represent

mean ± standard deviation of all strains recovered from one adaption period, whereas

each recovered strain was measured in biological triplicates. Immobilized adaptation:

n= 11 strains, early planktonic adaptation: n= 14 strains, late planktonic adaptation:

n= 19 strains, biofilm of planktonic adaptation: n= 25 strains.

	MRS	MRS+acetate (50 mM)	MRS+propionate (30 mM)	MRS+butyrate (30 mM)	EMS
L. plantarum origin					
Immobilized adaptation	1.25±0.11[c#]	1.02±0.15[cb]	1.00±0.24[b#]	0.84±0.24[c#]	ND
Early planktonic adaptation	1.46±0.05[b]	1.06±0.05[b]	1.22±0.03[a]	1.22±0.02[a#]	0.69±0.05[a#]
Late planktonic adaptation	1.34±0.05[a#]	1.17±0.06[a#]	1.25±0.07[a]	1.21±0.07[a]	0.74±0.04[b#]
Biofilm of planktonic adaptation	1.37±0.07[a#]	1.13±0.09[a#]	1.22±0.07[a]	1.15±0.06[b#]	0.77±0.05[c#]
NZ3400*	1.48±0.04	1.06±0.04	1.23±0.03	1.20±0.03	0.63±0.07

[a,b,c]: significantly significantly different from each other ($p > 0.05$ in a paired sample t-test,

adjusted for unequal variance or normal distribution when needed).

[#]: significant differences between NZ3400* and *L. plantarum* groups (one-sample t-test, p

≤ 0.05).

ND: not determined

EMS: Effluent-MacFarlane-Sugar medium

Table 2: Identified genotypic changes of recovered *L. plantarum* strains compared to NZ3400*.

SNP position[a]	SNP location within gene	AA change[b]	AA charge[c]	AA polarity[d]	IA01 (i)	IA02 (i)	IA03	IA04 (ii)	IA05 (ii)	IA06 (ii)	IA07 (ii)	IA08 (ii)	IA09 (ii)	IA10 (ii)	IA11	PA1.2_01 (i)	PA1.2_02	PA1.2_03	PA2_01	PA2_02 (iii)	PA2_03 (iii)	PA2_04 (iv)	PA2_05 (iv)	PA2_06 (iv)	PA2_07	PA2_08	PA1.1_01	PA1.1_02	PA1.1_03	IA 10.1	IA 10.2	IA 10.3	IA 10.4	IA 10.5	Locus Tag[e]	Description
3197325	C979T	Glu -> Lys	- = +	P = P	x	x	x									x	x	x																	LP_RS14990	GHLK domain-containing protein
1441981	G645T	Met -> Ile	N = N	A = A																								x						LP_RS06730	Glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase
2635801	A487C	Thr -> Pro	N = N	P = A																									x						LP_RS12455	Lipase/esterase
2832369	C328T	Thr -> Ile	N = N	P = A																									x						LP_RS13325	class I SAM-dependent methyltransferase
3244391	C837A	Leu -> Phe	N = N	A = A																		x	x	x							x				LP_RS15205	ROK family protein
945932	C2340T	None														x																		LP_RS04385	*rpoC*; DNA-directed RNA polymerase subunit beta
488529	G1192A	Asp -> Asn	- = N	P = P																									x							LP_RS02260	aminoacetyl-tRNA hydrolase
1536407	G881A	None																								x								LP_RS07205	*rsgA*; ribosome small subunit-dependent GTPase A
1737654	G173A	Ala -> Val	N = N	A = A																							x								LP_RS08140	HAD_IC family P-type ATPase
3261513	C749T	None																		x	x	x												LP_RS15260	extracellular solute-binding protein
1286590	A767G	Ile -> Thr	N = N	A = P																					x										LP_RS05980	MFS transporter
300072	C995T	Thr -> Met	N = N	P = A																						x									LP_RS01370	acetoin ABC transporter permease
332157	G382A	Ala -> Thr	N = N	A = P												x	x	x																	LP_RS01530	hypothetical protein
2947006	G39T	Met -> Ile	N = N	A = A		x																														LP_RS13860	VanZ family protein
3025391	C569A	Gly->Val	N = N	A = A				x	x	x	x	x	x	x																	x	ø	x	x	x	LP_RS14255	WxL domain-containing protein
2307096	G984A	Met -> Ile	N = N	A = A																												x				LP_RS10985	LPXTG cell wall anchor domain-containing protein
1116951		N.A	N.A	N.A												x		x																		gen. DNA	intergenic; LP_RS05100 < LP_RS05095
67503		N.A	N.A	N.A											x																					gen. DNA	intergenic; LP_RS00275 < LP_RS00270

a) Indicates SNP position in relation to the *L. plantarum* WCFS1 genome

b) Indicates amino acid change in the mutant compared to NZ3400* caused by the SNP. None: no change in amino acid, N.A. = amino

acid change not identifiable.

c) Indicates change of the amino acid charge compared to NZ3400* caused by the SNP. N: neutral, +: positive charge, -: negative

charge.

d) Amino acid polarity, P: polar, A: apolar.

e) Location of the SNP. Intergenic SPS are labeled with genomic (gen.) DNA.

* X indicates a SNP, ⌀ indicates loss of a mutation. ** Roman letters represent isogenic strains.

Table 3: Increase in relative abundance (in %) of *L. plantarum* mutants during

10 days of competition against NZ3400* in *in vitro* human gut microbiota.

Presented values show the increase of the ratio of *L. plantarum* mutant to the reference

strain NZ3400* after 10 days of cultivation compared to the time point of inoculation.

Values represent mean ± standard deviation of three DNA samples isolated at the

same time point from the same reactor.

		L. plantarum mutant strains		
		IA01	PA2_06	PA1.2_01
Donor 2	Replicate 1	38±5		
	Replicate 2	25±1		
Donor 3	Replicate 1	66±1	26±3	11±2
	Replicate 2	51±1	31±3	39±2
Donor 4	Replicate 1		20±2	
	Replicate 2		6±2	

Caption Figures

Fig. 1: PolyFermS setup of immobilized and planktonic adaptation and further experiments in *in vitro* human adult gut microbiota. A) Immobilized *L. plantarum* NZ3400 was added to immobilized fecal gut microbiota in IR1 from donor 1 during a single-stage fermentation. B) Immobilized fecal microbiota of donors 2-4 were cultivated in the inoculum reactor (IR), which was used to inoculate second stage reactors (TRs) that were supplemented with *L. plantarum* after stabilization. NZ3400: reference strain; NZ3400*: new stock from single colony isolate of NZ3400; IA10, IA01, PA1.2_01, PA2_06: recovered *L. plantarum* mutants; *L. plantarum* ΔLP_RS14990: LP_RS14990 gene deletion strain. F_M: Inflow MacFarlane medium, F_O: Reactor outflow S/W: Sampling/Waste.

Fig. 2: Colonization levels of *L. plantarum* during immobilized and planktonic adaptation in adult gut microbiota. A) Immobilized *L. plantarum* NZ3400 (four g of colonized beads containing $5*10^9$ CFU *L. plantarum*/g) was added to the gut microbiota (●) and B) NZ3400* at 10^9 CFU/ml effluent in planktonic state (■). Dashed line indicates the theoretical wash-out of the system. Cell count is depicted on the y-axis and days of cultivation in the microbiota on the x-axis, whereas 0 corresponds to the day of *L. plantarum* supplementation.

Fig. 3: Heatmap visualization of growth behavior of potential mutant *L. plantarum* strains versus their reference strain NZ3400* in modified MRS medium (single combination of MRS with acetate (50 mM), butyrate (30 mM) or propionate (30 mM)). Image symbols: (i) Adaptation: indicates strain origin from immobilized or planktonic adaptation trials. (ii) Reactor: describes the general experiment type for long-term planktonic adaptation (TR2) and the repetition of planktonic adaptation in TR1

(period 1) and TR1 (period 2). (iii) Isolation: indicates biofilm/time point of isolation

(early or late) of a strain during the adaptation cycles. Heatmap colors stand for

measured ΔOD_{600nm} values after 24h. The black triangle represents growth of

L. plantarum NZ3400*. Ac: acetate, P: propionate, B: butyrate.

Fig. 4: Colonization of $\Delta LP\_RS14990$ compared to the reference strain NZ3400*.

L. plantarum strains were added to a level of 10^9 CFU/ml reactor effluent.

A) *L. plantarum* $\Delta LP\_RS14990$ and NZ3400* were added into each of the two reactors

(rep.1, rep.2) in donor 3 gut microbiota. B) *L. plantarum* $\Delta LP\_RS14990$ and NZ3400*

were added into each of the two reactors (rep.1, rep.2) in donor 4 gut microbiota.

Dotted lines indicate the theoretical wash-out curve of the system. Cell count is

depicted on the y-axis and days of cultivation in the microbiota on the x-axis, whereas

0 corresponds to the day of *L. plantarum* supplementation. *: significantly different

colonization levels between NZ3400* and $\Delta LP\_RS14990$ ($p \leq 0.05$, paired sample t-

test).

Caption Supplemental Figures

Fig. S1: Comparison of metabolite concentrations in EMS medium and the reactor effluent. Barplot representing metabolite concentrations (mM) of the EMS medium consisting of reactor effluent, MacFarlane medium and glucose and the reactor effluent without *L. plantarum* supplementation. Samples were analyzed in biological triplicates.

Fig S2: Concentrations (mM) of main SCFAs of PolyFermS effluent samples inoculated with immobilized and planktonic *L. plantarum*: A) IR1 inoculated with donor 1 immobilized fecal microbiota and immobilized *L. plantarum*; B) IR2 inoculated with donor 2 immobilized fecal microbiota and connected treatment reactors (TRs) C) TR1 (period 1), D) TR1 (period 2)and E) TR2 spiked with planktonic *L. plantarum*.

Fig. S3: Microbial composition in relative abundance obtained by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. Composition at phylum (A,C) and family (B,D) level of *in vitro* proximal colon microbiota of A,B) IR1 inoculated with donor 1 immobilized fecal microbiota and immobilized *L. plantarum* and of C,D) IR2 inoculated with donor 2 immobilized fecal microbiota and connected treatment reactors (TRs) TR1 (period 1), TR1 (period 2) and TR2 spiked with planktonic *L. plantarum*. Values at family level of < 1% are summarized in the "Others" group. X-axis labeling indicates the day during fermentation relative to the start of the IR.

Fig. S4: Principal component analysis (PCA) of growth pattern of recovered *L. plantarum* strains. *L. plantarum* strains were recovered from the immobilized and planktonic adaptation cycle and grown in MRS and MRS supplemented with acetate (50 mM), propionate (30 mM) and butyrate (30 mM). Reference indicates the reference strain NZ3400*. Plot is based on the R function fviz_pca_ind.

Fig. S5: Growth of *L. plantarum* in MRS during four weeks daily serial cultivation. *L. plantarum* was serially cultivated in triplicates in MRS medium for four weeks. Final

OD was measured in the first overnight culture (t0) and after one, two, three and four

weeks (t1-t4). ΔOD600 values were calculated by subtracting values obtained after

16 h of growth at 37°C by values obtained at the time point of inoculation. Values

represent mean ± standard deviation of triplicates. The reference strain (RT) and

selected recovered *L. plantarum* strains from immobilized and planktonic adaptation

are depicted on the x-axis.

Fig. S6: Pyrogram obtained by Pyrosequencing of the C837A SNP in LP_RS15205.

A) Pyrogram obtained from donor 2 gut microbiota prior to *L. plantarum*

supplementation. B) Pyrogram obtained from donor 3 gut microbiota supplemented

with *L. plantarum* PA2_06 and NZ3400* in a ratio 1:1. X-axis depicts the added

nucleotide over time and y-axis represents the light signal induced by incorporated

nucleotides. Allele frequency was measured for G and T since Pyrosequencing was

done on the complementary DNA strand.

Caption Supplemental Tables

Table S1: Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Table S2: Primers used in this study.

Table S3: SNP stability in *L. plantarum* IA10 and PA2_06 during 12 days of continuous

cultivation in MRS medium.

Supplemental Material and Methods

Supplementary Data S1

Figure 1

A

IR1

Donor 1
Female
30 years

B

IR

Immobilized
gut microbiota

			TR1	TR2	TR3	TR4	TR5	TR6
Donor 2 Male 27 years	Treatment period 1	Strain	NZ3400*	NZ3400*	IA10	IA01/NZ3400*		
		Supplemented at (CFU/ml)	1.00E+06	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09		
		Cultivation for (days)	44	72	23	10		
		Purpose	Planktonic adaptation	Long-term planktonic adaptation	Evaluation mutated strain	Competition		
	Treatment period 2	Strain	NZ3400*		IA10	IA01/NZ3400*		
		Supplemented at (CFU/ml)	1.00E+09		1.00E+09	1.00E+09		
		Cultivation for (days)	23		23	10		
		Purpose	Planktonic adaptation		Evaluation mutated strain	Competition		
Donor 3 Female 27 years		Strain	IA01/ NZ3400*	IA01/ NZ3400*	PA1.2_01/ NZ3400*	PA1.2_01/ NZ3400*	PA2_06/ NZ3400*	PA2_06/ NZ3400*
		Supplemented at (CFU/ml)	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09
		Cultivation for (days)	10	10	10	10	10	10
		Purpose	Competition	Competition	Competition	Competition	Competition	Competition
Donor 4 Female 31 years		Strain	NZ3400*	NZ3400*	ΔLP_RS14990	ΔLP_RS14990	PA2_06/ NZ3400*	PA2_06/ NZ3400*
		Supplemented at (CFU/ml)	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09
		Cultivation for (days)	10	10	10	10	10	10
		Purpose	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain	Competition	Competition
Donor 3		Strain	NZ3400*	NZ3400*	ΔLP_RS14990	ΔLP_RS14990		
		Supplemented at (CFU/ml)	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09	1.00E+09		
		Cultivation for (days)	9	9	9	9		
		Purpose	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain	Evaluation knockout strain		

Figure 2

A Colonization of immobilized *L. plantarum* NZ3400

B Colonization of planktonic *L. plantarum* NZ3400*

Figure 3

Adaptation
- Immobilized
- Planktonic

Reactor
- TR2
- IR
- TR1_period1
- TR1_period2

Isolation
- Early
- Late
- Biofilm

Figure 4

A Donor 3
- NZ3400*, rep.1
- NZ3400*, rep.2
- ΔLP_RS14990 , rep.1
- ΔLP_RS14990, rep.2
- Wash-out curve

B Donor 4
- NZ3400*, rep.1
- NZ3400*, rep.2
- ΔLP_RS14990 , rep.1
- ΔLP_RS14990, rep.2
- Wash-out curve