Dynamics of sex chromosome evolution in a rapid radiation of cichlid fishes

Athimed El Taher[1], Fabrizia Ronco[1], Michael Matschiner[1,2,3], Walter Salzburger[1], Astrid Böhne[1,4*]

[1]Zoological Institute, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

[2]Department of Palaeontology and Museum, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

[3]Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

[4]Center for Molecular Biodiversity Research, Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Bonn, Germany

*e-mail: a.boehne@leibniz-zfmk.de

Dynamics of sex chromosome evolution in a rapid radiation of cichlid fishes

Abstract

Sex is a fundamental trait that is determined, depending on the species, by different environmental and/or genetic factors, including various types of sex chromosomes. However, while the functioning and evolution of sex chromosomes have been explored in species scattered across the eukaryotic tree of life, little is known about tempo and mode of sex chromosome evolution in closely related species. Here, we examined the dynamics of sex chromosome evolution in an archetypical example of adaptive radiation, the cichlid fishes of African Lake Tanganyika. Through inspection of male and female genomes of 244 cichlid taxa and the analysis of transcriptomes from 66 taxa, we identified the sex chromosomes in 79 taxa, involving 12 different linkage groups. We estimated that Tanganyikan cichlids have the highest rates of sex chromosome turnover and heterogamety transitions known to date. That the recruitments of chromosomes as sex chromosomes is not at random and that some chromosomes have convergently emerged as sex chromosomes in cichlids, provides empirical support for the limited options hypothesis of sex chromosome evolution.

Introduction

Sex chromosomes – referred to as Z and W in female and X and Y in male heterogametic sex determination (SD) systems – define, through their properties and combinations, the sex of an individual[1]. The evolutionary trajectories of sex chromosomes differ from those of autosomes: Due to the restriction of one of the two gametologs to one sex (W to females in ZW, Y to males in XY SD systems), their sex-specific inheritance (e.g., XY-fathers pass on their X exclusively to daughters and their Y to sons), and their reduced levels of recombination, sex chromosomes accumulate mutations more rapidly than autosomes, potentially leading to accelerated functional evolution[2,3].

The functioning of a chromosome as sex chromosome is often short-lived in evolutionary time scales. This relative instability of sex chromosomes is due to turnovers (i.e., changes of the actual chromosome pair in use as sex chromosome) caused by a new sex-determining mutation on a previously autosomal locus[4] or the translocation of the ancestral SD gene to another chromosome (e.g.,[5]). Sex chromosome turnovers may be accompanied by a transition in heterogamety[6]. Heterogamety can also change without transition to another chromosome, which in this case likely involves a turnover of, or a mutation within, the actual SD gene[7].

The assumed major driving forces underlying turnovers of sex chromosomes are deleterious mutational load[8 9], sexually antagonistic loci linked to a newly invading SD gene[10,11], selection on restoring sex-ratios[12] and genetic drift[6,13,14]. These drivers are predicted to differ in their respective outcome: turnovers induced by mutational load tend to preserve heterogamety[8,9], while sexually antagonistic selection driven turnovers more readily induce a change of heterogamety[10].

Finally, the gene repertoire on previously existing sex chromosomes can also be

extended by chromosomal fusion with an autosome, which then becomes sex-linked itself,

leading to the formation of a neo-sex chromosome[15].

The frequency of occurrence of these different paths of sex chromosome evolution

varies substantially across animal clades[16]. For example, in some vertebrates (mammals and

birds) the same sex chromosomes are shared across the entire class[1] (but see[12]). Models[13] as

well as empirical observations[15] suggest that sex chromosomes such as those of mammals

and (most) birds have differentiated to a degree that makes turnovers unlikely; these sex

chromosomes are in an "evolutionary trap"[17]. This is because a sex chromosome turnover

requires the fixation of one of the previous sex chromosomes as an autosome, which becomes

more deleterious and thus unlikely the more specialised and/or degenerated the sex

chromosomes are[18]. In other vertebrate lineages (amphibians, reptiles, and fish), frequent

turnover events and continued recombination led to many different and mostly non-

degenerated (homomorphic) sex chromosomes (e.g.[19,20]).

As of to date, empirical studies on the dynamics of sex chromosome evolution are

limited and scattered across different taxa. In an amphibian system with rapid rates of sex

chromosome turnover, the true frogs Ranidae, mutational load seems to be the major driving

force of sex chromosome turnover[20]. In geckos, a high rate of sex chromosome changes with

heterogametic transitions potentially supports sexual antagonism as a key mechanism of these

changes[10,11,21]. However, an in-depth analysis of sex chromosome turnovers over short

evolutionary timescales and with a broad taxon sampling is currently lacking (reviewed in[16]).

Here, we examined sex chromosome evolution in an archetypical example of rapid

organismal diversification, the adaptive radiation of cichlid fishes in African Lake

Tanganyika[22] (LT). Teleost fishes are generally known for their species richness[23], but

cichlids stand out in this clade on the basis of the "explosive" character of several of their

adaptive radiations, giving rise to a total estimated number of over 3000 species[23]. Rapid

speciation in adaptive radiations is usually attributed to ecological specialisation and thus

diversification in eco-morphological traits[24]. Here, we were interested if sex determination is

keeping pace with other traits in cichlids by determining the diversity of SD systems and by

investigating the dynamics of sex chromosome turnover across the entire LT cichlid

radiation. The available data from about 30 African cichlid species (reviewed in [25,26]) suggest

that sex chromosomes are not conserved in this group with both simple and polygenic sex

determination systems being known from the different species investigated. An emerging

picture is that certain chromosomes have recurrently been recruited as sex chromosomes in

cichlids. However, available studies supporting the convergent recruitment of sex

chromosomes have been based on cichlid species belonging to different lineages and the

observed patterns have rarely been assessed in a phylogenetic framework, which makes

inferences about rates of evolution as well as of convergence *versus* common ancestry

difficult (but see[25]). Importantly, as of yet, no inclusive analysis of sex chromosome

evolution exists for a cichlid adaptive radiation (nor for radiations in other fish families).

In this study, we inspected genomic and transcriptomic information from 229 Lake

Tanganyika cichlid taxa as well as 18 cichlid species belonging to the Haplochromini and

Lamprologini lineages, phylogenetically nested within the LT radiation[22,24,27] for signatures

of sex chromosomes. Based on this nearly complete taxon sampling of the LT radiation and

an available genome-wide phylogenetic hypothesis[24], we estimated the amount and direction

of sex chromosome turnovers in a young species flock. This allowed us to test for a possible

contribution of sexual antagonism in the evolution of sex chromosomes in LT cichlids.

Sexual antagonism has been suggested as a driving force of sex chromosome turnovers in

sexually dimorphic cichlids of the Lake Malawi radiation[28,29]. However, unlike the cichlid

adaptive radiation in Lake Malawi, which is composed solely of cichlids of the

Haplochromini lineage, the endemic LT cichlid assemblage consists of 16 cichlid lineages

(corresponding to the taxonomic assignment into tribes)[22], some of which are sexually

dimorphic while others are not.

To assess the dynamics of sex chromosome turnover in fish on a larger scale, we

expanded our comparative analyses to other fish systems as well. In particular, we

investigated sex chromosome turnovers in ricefishes, another model system for the evolution

of sex chromosomes[30].

Sex differences in the recombination rate could contribute to the differentiation of sex

chromosomes[31]. Unlike in the extremely heterochiasmic frogs of the family Ranidae[20] and

some fish model organisms[32,33], recombination rate along chromosomes do not systematically

nor drastically differ between the sexes in cichlids[33,34]. In ricefishes, reduced rates of

recombination have been linked to maleness in some species[35] but this does not seem to be a

general pattern in this group of fishes[36]. Ricefishes and cichlids may hence have differing,

probably lower, rates of sex chromosome degeneration than the heterochiasmic frogs, in

which mutational load resulting from sex chromosome degeneration caused by suppressed

recombination mainly drives turnover. In general, we expect fewer – if any – cichlid species

to be in the "evolutionary trap" of degenerated sex chromosomes and more sex chromosome

turnovers caused by sexual antagonism than mutational load[16]. Finally, with the identification

of sex chromosomes in genetically very closely related species we pave the way for the

subsequent identification of sex-determining genes and/or the causal mutations leading to sex

chromosome turnover.

Results

Sex chromosomes in LT cichlids

To identify sex chromosomes in LT cichlids, we screened male and female genomes of 244 taxa[24] as well as six transcriptomes of 66 taxa[27] for sex-linked regions, applying three complementary approaches: genome-wide association study (GWAS on the genomic data, approach 1, see Methods), identification of sex-specific SNPs in the genomic data (approach 2) and allele frequency difference tests on the transcriptome data (approach 3). Genomic locations of sex chromosomes refer to linkage groups (LGs) of the used reference genome of a phylogenetically equidistant outgroup to the cichlid species of the LT radiation, the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). To estimate sex chromosome turnovers (see below), we used two different datasets, a "permissive dataset" including all sex chromosomes identified with approaches 1-3 and a "stringent dataset" excluding sex chromosomes that had support only in approach 2, i.e. lacking transcriptome data or support for small sex-linked and potentially non-expressed regions in the transcriptome data and occurring in tribes too small to be investigated with approach 1.

By combing the result of approaches 1-3, we detected sex chromosomes in 78 endemic LT cichlid taxa as well as in the riverine Haplochromini *Orthochromis indermauri* (Figs. 1 and 2; Supplementary Tables 1-4, Supplementary Figs. 1-4).

Approach 1 (GWAS), which was applied to the larger (that is, more species-rich) cichlid tribes from LT only, revealed the presence of sex chromosomes shared among several species of their respective tribes. We thus identified an XY SD system on LG19 in Haplochromini/Tropheini, (thereby confirming an XY system previously known from one species in this clade, *Tropheus* sp. "black"[37]), an XY and ZW system on LG05 in Cyprichromini (confirming a ZW system previously described in *Cyprichromis leptosoma*[37]), and an XY system on LG15 and LG20 in Lamprologini. Surprisingly, with this approach, we

did not detect a shared sex chromosome within the second-most species-rich cichlid tribe of

LT, Ectodini.

Approach 2, the inspection of the genomes within tribes for an accumulation of sex-

specific SNPs (i.e., XY or ZW SNPs) and outlier regions thereof revealed two XY systems

on LG19 within Haplochromini/Tropheini, one covering the first ~22 Mb of LG19 (in the

genus *Tropheus* and in *O. indermauri*) and a second one located at the end of LG19 co-

occurring with XY SNPs at the beginning of LG05 (in the second Tropheini clade grouping

all genera but *Tropheus*, that is, 15 species belonging to the genera *Pseudosimochromis*,

Petrochromis, and *Interochromis,* Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). We also recovered the

narrow sex-linked region on LG20 detected with GWAS in Lamprologini, corroborating the

effectiveness of this approach.

As in approach 1, we did not detect a sex-differentiated region shared across species

in Ectodini with approach 2.

When applied to the smaller tribes, approach 2 revealed rather narrow but clear outlier

regions that were shared between subsets of species in Benthochromini (XY LG10, two

species), Trematocarini (ZW LG04, two species) and Cyphotilapiini (XY LG16, two species)

as well as in all members of the Eretmodini (XY, LG07 and LG10). We also detected a less

pronounced and smaller ZW-outlier region on LG09 in the same two Cyphotilapiini species,

a pattern potentially explained by variation in X-linked markers across the different species

while simultaneously lacking sites on the Y (hemizygosity in males). Due to this uncertainty

and the stronger signal on LG16, we excluded the ZW LG09 system of Cyphotilapiini in the

subsequent analysis (in the permissive and stringent datasets). Within Bathybatini and

Perissodini, we identified a chromosome-wide increase of ZW SNPs on LG07 and of XY

SNPs on LG19, respectively, which however failed our thresholds for the permissive dataset

(see Methods). Upon inspection of XY-ZW differences per species within these tribes

(Supplementary Fig. 5), this pattern turned out to be caused by only one species in each tribe

(*Hemibates stenosoma* and *Plecodus paradoxus*), which both showed signs of a differentiated

sex chromosome across the entire length of the respective LG. Note that a ZW system on

LG07 has previously been described in *H. stenosoma*[37] and that we could also confirm the

XY system spanning the full length of LG19 with approach 3 (see below) in *P. paradoxus* as

well as in another Perissodini species, *Plecodus straeleni,* for which we did not have whole

genome data of both sexes. We hence included the sex chromosomes of these species in all

the down-stream analyses.

Approach 3, the species-specific investigations of sex-specific SNPs based on

replicate transcriptome data, confirmed all sex-differentiated regions that spanned larger

chromosomal regions (i.e., the XY systems on LG19 and LG05/LG19 in

Haplochromini/Tropheini, the XY and ZW systems on LG05 in Cyprichromini, the XY

system in Eretmodini). With this approach we also detected a ZW system on LG15 in two

Ectodini species (*Xenotilapia boulengeri* and *Enantiopus melanogenys*). Approach 3 further

permitted us to identify sex-linked LGs unique to eight additional species and not shared with

their respective sister species. For example, we detected an XY system on LG23 in the

Ectodini *Callochromis pleurospilus,* and a ZW system on LG20 in the Benthochromini

Benthochromis horii (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). In another four species, the RNA data

showed a significant overrepresentation of either XY or ZW-SNP windows that could not be

placed unambiguously on reference LGs (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2).

Overall, in nine of the 13 investigated tribes of the cichlid radiation in LT, several

species shared the same SD system (chromosomal region and heterogametic type); however,

we did not find a shared sex chromosome across members of different tribes.

We detected sex linkage on 12 out of the 23 reference LGs (Fig. 2). Eight of these

reference LGs were sex-linked in species belonging to different tribes (Fig. 2a). Two

reference LGs (LG14 and LG18) that we did not identify as sex chromosomes within any of

the LT cichlid species, have respectively been identified as sex chromosomes in labstrains

and one natural population of the haplochromine cichlid *Astatotilapia burtoni* (occurring in

LT and affluent rivers)[38,39]. In addition to the published data for *A. burtoni*, we also included

the XY LG07 sex chromosome of *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Lake Chila)[25] in our

subsequent analyses, a haplochromine species integrated in the phylogenetic reconstruction

used here (Fig. 1) but represented with a single individual in the WGS dataset.

In 62 of the LT cichlids (79.5% of the LT species with a sex chromosomal signal), the

sex linkage was compatible with an XY system (Fig. 2b).

Sex chromosome evolution in LT cichlids

Next, to determine when particular sex chromosomes emerged and to trace heterogamety

transitions in the course of the cichlid adaptive radiation in LT, we performed ancestral state

reconstructions along a time-calibrated species tree[24]. We performed these analyses on the

permissive as well as on the stringent dataset.

We reconstructed 30 sex chromosome turnovers in the radiation and LG04 as the

likely sex chromosome at its root (permissive dataset; 27 turnover events with the stringent

dataset), translating into an estimated rate of 0.186 turnovers per Myr (Fig. 1, Supplementary

Fig. 7, permissive dataset; turnover rate stringent dataset 0.187). On average, we therefore

expect one sex chromosome turnover event between two species that diverged ~2.7 Ma. This

rate-estimate was ten times higher than the one that we calculated for ricefishes

(Adrianichthyidae; 0.02 transitions per Myr; Supplementary Fig. 9; 19 species investigated,

see Methods).

The distribution of sex chromosomes in LT cichlids differed from random

expectations (Fig. 2d). There was no correlation between the size of a reference LG, the

number of genes on a reference LG, or the number of known sex-candidate genes on a

reference LG and the frequency at which these LGs appeared as sex chromosome in LT

cichlids (Fig. 2d). Our findings thus corroborate that SD is a rapidly and non-randomly

evolving trait in cichlids. We further found that the number of turnovers in a tribe is

correlated with its species richness (Fig. 2c, pGLS: *P*=0.0043, coeff=0.039), suggesting that

the turnover rate has been relatively constant throughout the radiation.

Our heterogamety reconstructions further suggested that XY is the most likely

ancestral state in the cichlid adaptive radiation in LT (Supplementary Fig. 8). Subsequently,

11 transitions occurred from XY to ZW (permissive dataset; 11 towards ZW and one towards

XY in the stringent dataset). Heterogamety transitions are predicted to have a directional bias

towards new dominant sex chromosomes[13], suggesting that in cichlids from LT – just like in

cichlids from Lake Malawi[28,29] – the new W chromosomes are dominant over ancestral Ys.

When integrating over the reconstructed transitions in heterogamety and sex

chromosomes, we found heterogamety changes that were uncoupled from turnovers in LGs

and that were hence not captured in our rate estimate of sex chromosome turnover: A

transitions from XY to ZW was detected on LG05 in Cyprichromini and on LG04 in

Trematocarini and in Bathybatini (*H. stenosoma*) (Fig. 1; Supplementary Figs. 7-8).

The overlap of heterogametic and sex chromosome turnovers also showed that the

majority (23 *versus* seven) of the observed sex chromosome turnovers in LT cichlids

preserved the heterogametic state, suggesting that mutational load, predicted to preserve

heterogametic state[20], might be a major driver of sex chromosome turnover in cichlids as

well. The transitions with a change in heterogamety offer the possibility to investigate the

actual potential of sexual antagonistic selection between very young species (the divergence

time between e.g. *Paracyprichromis* and *Cyprichromis* between which a turnover has

occurred is ~3.8 Ma). The heterogametic status of the four species for which we could not

identify the sex-linked LG (see above) lead to additional heterogamety transitions not

captured in the sex chromosome turnover rate.

Overall, the heterogamety transition rate in LT cichlids (0.028 transitions per Myr

permissive dataset; 0.031 per Myr stringent dataset) was about four times higher than in

ricefishes (0.007 transitions per Myr; ancestral state: ZW). To explore heterogamety changes

on a greater taxonomic scale, we also calculated heterogamety transition rates for all ray-

finned fishes available in the Tree of Sex database (http://www.treeofsex.org/) that were

included in a recent comprehensive phylogeny[40] (543 species analysed in total). Our analysis

revealed a rate of 0.009 transitions per Myr for ray-finned fishes as a whole and identified

XY as the ancestral state (Supplementary Table 6; Supplementary Fig. 9). Across the ray-

finned fish phylogeny, transitions from XY to ZW were significantly younger than those

from ZW to XY (Supplementary Fig. 9b, *P*=0.01428).

Chromosome fusions and novel sex chromosomes

Novel sex chromosomes can be created by chromosome fusions[41], which can contribute to

reproductive isolation and eventually drive speciation over miss-segregation at meiosis,

changes in recombination rates, novel physical combinations of loci, and changes in gene

expression[42,43,44]. The here identified signatures of sex linkage suggest that several sex-

chromosome/autosome fusions have occurred in the course of the cichlid radiation in LT or

that autosome/autosome fusions occurred prior to the recruitment of these fused autosomes as

sex chromosome (Fig. 1). The distribution of sex-differentiated genomic regions indicated a

fusion (or large chromosomal translocations) between LG05 and LG19 in

Haplochromini/Tropheini and between LG15 and LG20 in Lamprologini (Fig. 1,

Supplementary Fig. 1). There was also some support for the previously described genome

rearrangements in the tribe Eretmodini[45], which showed an increase of XY SNPs on several

LGs (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 3). Additional sex-differentiated regions point to species-

specific fusion events (e.g. LG11 and LG15 in *Gnathochromis pfefferi,* LG05 and LG13 in *C.*

leptosoma). Our analyses also confirmed the reported sex linkage of LG04 as well as of

LG07 in *H. stenosoma*[37] (Supplementary Fig. 5). Chromosome fusions have previously been

implicated with the evolution of novel sex chromosomes in other taxa, as well as in the

riverine haplochromine cichlid *A. burtoni*[38,39].

Interestingly, the so far only karyotypically investigated member of the tribe

Tropheini, *Ctenochromis horei*, has a reduced number of chromosomes in a male and an

unsexed individual (2n=40) compared to other Haplochromini, which usually feature

2n=42[45]. We did not detect the LG05/LG19 XY system found in many other Tropheini in *C.*

horei. Hence, while the karyotype of this species indeed supports chromosomal fusions in the

Haplochromini/Tropheini, this data cannot help to resolve when and how these events

occurred. The data at hand are sparse but it might be that several large chromosomal

rearrangements occurred before the novel chromosomes were recruited as sex chromosomes,

making inferences of the driving forces of these fusions difficult.

Convergent evolution of sex chromosomes

On some LGs, the regions that showed sex linkage largely overlapped between members of

different tribes (Supplementary Fig. 10), which can either be explained by common ancestry

or by the independent (convergent) recruitment of those LGs as sex chromosome. In

particular on LG19, several closely related species including six *Tropheus* species

(Haplochromini/Tropheini), the riverine haplochromine *Orthochromis indermauri*, and *P.*

paradoxus, and *P. straeleni* (Perissodini) feature an XY system in the same chromosomal

region (Supplementary Fig. 10). Our ancestral state reconstruction suggested an independent

origin of the LG19 SD system in Perissodini and *Tropheus*, in each case early in their tribe's

evolutionary history, and another independent origin in the terminal branch leading to *O.*

indermauri (Supplementary Fig. 7). Phylogenetic inference from Y- and X-haplotypes indeed

supported the independent evolution of LG19 as XY sex chromosome in Perissodini (Fig. 3),

while grouping together the Y-haplotypes of the *Tropheus* species and *O. indermauri*. This

suggests common ancestry of the XY system in the two haplochromine clades with an origin

either early on in haplochromines (implying several losses later in the evolution of this tribe;

likely because of this, such a scenario was not supported by ancestral state reconstruction) or

a later origin and inheritance of the sex chromosomal system in *Tropheus* and *O. indermauri*

from an extinct or unsampled taxon.

The XY sex chromosome system on LG05/LG19 found in the second clade of

Haplochromini/Tropheini (grouping all genera except *Tropheus*) must be derived from

another independent evolutionary event, since the regions on LG19 that show XY alleles in

the two Haplochromini/Tropheini clades are not overlapping (Supplementary Fig. 10) and

also do not group together in the phylogenetic tree of LG19 (Fig. 3). Other convergent cases

of sex chromosome recruitment supported by our ancestral state reconstruction involved

LG05 (in Cyprichromini and the haplochromine *A. burtoni*[38,39]) and LG07. LG07 has

independently been recruited as a sex chromosome in *H. stenosoma* (Bathybatini)[37], in

Eretmodini, in the lamprologine *Neolamprologus cylindricus* (Fig. 1 , Supplementary Fig. 7),

in several Lake Malawi cichlids[28,29] (Haplochromini), as well as in *P. philander*[25]

(Haplochromini), making it the most widespread sex-linked LG known in cichlids to date.

Sex chromosome differentiation

A comparison of the proportion of sex-specific sites on the different sex-linked LGs revealed

a continuum of sex chromosome differentiation in the cichlid adaptive radiation in LT (Fig.

4, Supplementary Fig. 10), ranging from a few kb (LG20 in Lamprologini) to almost full

chromosomal length (LG05 in Cyprichromini, LG19 in *Tropheus* and Perissodini). Varying

lengths of sex-differentiated regions were even detected within the same LG when being used

as sex chromosome by different lineages (e.g., the sex-differentiated region on LG05 spans

only 8 Mb in Tropheini versus the entire LG in Cyprichromini).

The canonical model of sex chromosome evolution predicts progressing

differentiation of sex chromosomes with time[2]. Contrastingly, we found no correlation

between the estimated age of origin of a sex chromosome and its degree of differentiation

(Fig. 4, pGLS: P=0.9049, coeff=0.0011). Some very young sex chromosomes showed signs

of differentiation, i.e., sex-specific sites, along almost the full length of an LG, suggesting

wide-spread suppression of recombination along their sex chromosomes.

The amount of sex-specific sequences in cichlids of the LT radiation inferred from a

"subtraction pipeline" (approach 4) of expressed male and female sequences[46] was somewhat

higher in XY than in ZW systems (P=0.058), but not when accounting for phylogenetic

signal (phylogenetic ANOVA: P=0.2, Supplementary Fig. 11a). The observed pattern could

suggest that Y-chromosomal genes are more highly expressed in adult (gonadal) tissues of

closely related species than W-chromosomal genes. The difference in the amount of sex-

specific contigs between XY and ZW systems does not reflect a difference in the degree of

differentiation between the two heterogamety types (Supplementary Fig. 11b, phylogenetic

ANOVA: P=1).

Candidate genes of sex determination in LT cichlids

Our inspection of known genes implicated in SD revealed that such genes were located on all

LGs, including those, for which no sex linkage was detected, with no particular

overrepresentation on certain LGs (Supplementary Fig. 12). The regions with the strongest

signal for being sex-differentiated did not contain any of these genes (Supplementary Table

2). However, through the inspections of the regions with the strongest signs of sex linkage we

identified promising new candidate genes for SD in these regions, such as *tox2* in

Lamprologini, a HMG-box transcription factor involved in the hypothalamo-pituitary-

gonadal system. *Tox2* resembles the mammalian master SD gene *Sry*[47], which also codes for

an HMG-box protein.

In cichlids from lakes Malawi[28] and Victoria[48], sexually antagonistic colour genes

underlying a characteristic orange-blotched colour pattern are linked to SD genes, creating

the potential for speciation by sexual selection. In LT cichlids, which in general do not

feature the orange-blotched phenotypes, we did not find any obvious pattern in the

localisation of colour genes on sex-linked LGs (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Discussion

Here we report the identification of sex chromosomes for 79 taxa of cichlid fishes, most of which belonging to the cichlid adaptive radiation of LT, based on the analysis of whole-genome data from virtually all cichlid species of the radiation[22,24] and transcriptome data from a representative set of 66 taxa[27].

Models[13] and empirical observations[17] suggest that, beyond a certain degree of differentiation, sex chromosome turnover becomes unlikely. On the other hand, frequent turnovers, sex reversal, and continued recombination can contribute to counteract sex chromosome differentiation[9,49]. Our analyses revealed that, in the cichlid adaptive radiation of LT, sex chromosome turnovers seem to have occurred very frequently (Fig. 1), indicating that the cichlids' sex chromosomes have not (yet) reached a threshold preventing turnover, but that their sex chromosomes remain dynamic instead.

Sex chromosome recruitment in LT cichlids is non-random with respect to the recruited chromosome (Fig. 2), which is compatible with the hypothesis that certain chromosomes are more likely to become sex chromosomes than others[20,50]. This pattern becomes even more apparent when the LT cichlids are compared to other African cichlid species (Fig. 5), revealing that some LGs (in particular LG05, LG07, and LG19) emerged multiple times as sex chromosomes whereas others never appeared as such. This corroborates the hypothesis that particular chromosomes are preferentially[50] or even cyclically[9,49] recruited as sex chromosomes. Within LT cichlids, sex chromosome turnovers have likely been driven by a combination of mutational load and sexual antagonism. However, we detected a prevailing persistence of male heterogamety in LT cichlids, which is a common pattern in fish[51], suggesting a smaller role for sexual antagonism than previously postulated. The observed prevalence of XY systems is compatible with models of speciation driven by sexual

selection and sex-ratio distortion in cichlids that predict higher probabilities for the

maintenance of male heterogamety[52].

The evolution of a novel sex determiner driven by linkage to a sexually antagonistic

colour locus has previously been documented in Haplochromini from Lake Malawi[28], which

are characterised by pronounced levels of sexual dimorphism. In our set of mostly riverine

Haplochromini and Tropheini (the LT representatives of this clade) species, we found that a

single sex chromosome system prevails, XY on LG05/LG19, which was probably established

after a turnover from the rather strongly differentiated XY LG19 system present in the genus

Tropheus. It thus appears that in the Tropheini, in which sexual dimorphism is much less

pronounced (and even absent in some species) compared to the radiations of Haplochromini

in lakes Malawi and Victoria, sexual antagonism does not play a prominent role as a driving

force for sex chromosome turnover. Still, several Tropheini species seem to have lost the XY

LG05/LG19 SD system and we were unable to detect most of the a new system that replaced

it based on the available data, probably because the sex-linked chromosomal regions are

rather small. These species will be particularly interesting to investigate further for the

presence and the drivers of very young, novel sex chromosomes with a potential role for

sexual antagonism impacting sex chromosome turnover. In addition, the observed cases of

young homologous sex chromosome turnovers between closely related species (e.g. in the

genus *Cyprichromis* on LG05 or in Trematocarini on LG04), which are indeed compatible

with a role for sexual antagonism as driving force in cichlid sex chromosome evolution[10],

open the route for further analysis of the causal mutations driving sex chromosome turnovers.

Especially the presence of several ZW as well as XY species in Cyprichromini, potentially

caused by a single transition event on the same chromosome, will allow in the future to trace

which alleles have been affected by a heterogamety change. Such analyses may eventually

reveal the causal mutation(s) (supposedly within the SD gene) of the heterogamety turnover

and the dominance relationships between XY and ZW systems.

We failed to detect sex-linked LGs in several of the LT cichlids, which certainly can,

to some extent, be explained by our limited sample size per species and the limited power to

detect small sex-specific regions, especially when using transcriptome data, as well as

complex polygenic SD systems. While the limited per species sample size in the currently

available data certainly leaves some SD regions undetected, we found it particularly

intriguing that we could identify sex-linked regions only in three species across the second-

most species-rich tribe, Ectodini. Some species of this tribe display an impressive level of

sexual dimorphism, suggesting similar or even more pronounced sexual antagonistic

selection compared to tribes such as the Haplochromini/Tropheini, which show relatively

strongly differentiated sex chromosomes. It will thus be interesting to examine if Ectodini

(and also members of other LT cichlid tribes) have very small if any sex-specific genome

regions that our approaches failed to detect. This would further reveal if selective forces on

SD differ within the radiation and if our sex chromosome turnover rate is indeed

underestimating the true dynamics of sex chromosome change in LT cichlids.

Although the sex chromosomal status of many species remains to be identified in LT

cichlids, our ancestral state reconstructions estimated a sex chromosome turnover rate ten

times higher than the one in ricefishes, another group of fishes with an astonishing diversity

of sex chromosomes, as well as the one published for true frogs, which was previously

considered the fastest sex chromosome turnover rate known in vertebrates[20]. Note that

extremely high numbers of SD system turnovers have also been described in geckos[21], but

these have so far not been quantified using a comparable rate estimate.

Chromosome fusions could drive speciation through incompatibilities in genome

structure[42,43,44] and cytogenetic analyses have indeed provided evidence for chromosome

fusion and fissions in some cichlid species[45]; however, their impact on cichlid diversification

has not yet been assessed. Sex-chromosome/autosome fusions generating an odd number of

chromosomes in one sex and leading to the formation of neo-sex chromosomes can be driven

by altering expression of genes on the translocated chromosome[53,54], sexually antagonistic

selection resolving conflict by restricting an antagonistic allele to a sex chromosome[55], or

meiotic drive[56]. Until now, differences in chromosome number between male and female

cichlids have not been reported, with the notable exception of copy number variations in

female-determining B chromosomes in Lake Victoria and Lake Malawi cichlids[57,58]. For the

limited number of cytogenetically investigated cichlid species, males and females have the

same number of regular chromosomes and across cichlids in general, chromosome numbers

differ little[45]. Overall, our analyses provide support for several large chromosomal

rearrangements between the identified sex-linked LGs, suggesting that structural changes in

the genome and the emergence of sex chromosomes are coupled in cichlids. The causality of

this relationship remains to be investigated, just as the impact of genome rearrangements on

reproductive isolation and eventually diversification. The available data on rearrangements

are sparse, but it might be that several large chromosomal rearrangements occurred before the

novel chromosomes were recruited as sex chromosomes, making inferences of the driving

forces of these fusions difficult.

A next necessary step will be the identification of sex-determining genes and

mutations causing sex chromosome turnover, facilitated by the close relatedness of the LT

cichlids allowing the generation of interspecies hybrids and the opportunity to study multiple

sex chromosome turnover events and directions, including the repetitive occurrence of

heterogamety transitions without sex chromosome change. While the repeated recruitment of

the same LG as sex chromosome indicates a particularly powerful core set of SD genes on

the one hand, several transitions to novel LGs on the other hand question their dominance.

Although this could represent recycling of sex chromosomes to some extend, we lack the

molecular and most importantly functional evidence for any master SD gene in cichlids of the

Great Lakes radiations.

In conclusion, the estimated rapidity of sex chromosome turnover within (LT)

cichlids supports the hypothesis that SD mechanisms, albeit serving the unifying function of

sex determination, can be extremely labile. It remains to be tested if sex chromosome

turnovers simply keep pace with other fast evolving traits in radiating cichlid fishes or if they

are particularly frequent, potentially contributing to speciation.

Methods

Sequencing data

We used whole genome sequencing (WGS) data in the form of mapped reads in BAM files as well as variant call format from Ronco et al.[24] and raw transcriptome data from El Taher et al.[27] (see Supplementary Table 1 for details on species included and per species sample sizes). Based on a recent compilation of LT cichlid species[22], the WGS data included 225 taxa (174 described species with 4 of those represented with two local variants/populations each, and 47 undescribed species). The data further included 16 non-LT radiation haplochromine cichlid taxa (13 described species one of which represented with two local variants, and two undescribed species) and three riverine non-LT Lamprologini taxa (two described and one undescribed species) summing to a total of 244 taxa and 469 individual genomes (Supplementary Table 1). The transcriptome data that we used, were comprised of 66 taxa of LT cichlids (4 undescribed species, 61 described species one of which represented with two regional variants), with typically three males and three females per species (details are provided in Supplementary Table 1; 7 out of the 66 species had differing replicate numbers) and three tissues per individual (brain, gonad, gills; details on read numbers provided in Supplementary Table 3). In total, the dataset comprised 248 cichlid taxa.

Variant calling for WGS data

Mapped reads in coordinate-sorted BAM format were derived from Ronco et al.[24] (for mapping coverage statistics see Extended Data Table 1 in Ronco et al.[24]), which are based on mapping against the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) genome (NBI RefSeq GCF_001858045.1_ASM185804v2). Unplaced scaffolds of the reference genome were concatenated lexicographically into an "UNPLACED" super chromosome.

In addition to the variant file containing all species derived from Ronco *et al.*[24],

variants were called for each tribe separately with GATK's[59] (v.3.7) HaplotypeCaller (per

individual and per chromosome) and GenotypeGVCFs (per 1 Mb window), and merged with

GATK's   CatVariants.   Variants   were   further   filtered   with   BCFtools

(https://github.com/samtools/bcftools, v.1.6), applying the settings ReadPosRankSum<-0.5,

MQRankSum<-0.5, FS<20.0, QD>2.0, MQ>20.0 and placing tribe-specific thresholds on

minimum and maximum read depths to account for varying sample sizes (Bathybatini: 50-

300; Benthochromini: 25-100; Cyphotilapiini: 50-200, Cyprichromini: 100-400; Ectodini:

250-1500; Eretmodini: 50-200; Tropheini/Haplochromini: 375-1375; Lamprologini: 700-

3000; Limnochromini: 50-300; Trematocarini: 50-300). For the tribes Lamprologini,

Tropheini/Haplochromini,   Ectodini,   and   Limnochromini   we   further   applied

InbreedingCoeff>-0.8.

Indels were normalised with BCFtools's norm function, monomorphic sites were

excluded, and SNPs around indels were masked depending on the size of the indel: for indels

with a size of 1 bp, 2 bp were masked on both sides, and 3, 5, and 10 bp were masked for

indels with sizes of 3 bp, 4-5 bp, and >5 bp, respectively. Individual genotypes were then

masked with VCFtools[60] (v.0.1.14) if they had low quality (--minGQ 20) or depth (--minDP

4). Filtered variants were phased and missing genotypes were imputed with Beagle[61] (v.4.1).

We then retained only biallelic sites that had no more than 50% missing data prior to phasing.

For sites that were polymorphic but no individual had the reference genome allele, we set the

first alternative allele as reference allele.

Approach 1 Tribe-wise association tests for sex on WGS data using GWAS

In total, we applied four approaches to identify sex-linked genomic regions (approach 1-4).

Approaches 1 and 2 were applied on the tribe-level, the taxonomic rank above genus, but

below family and which, in the case of the LT radiation, groups species with divergence

times of 9.7-6.2My.

For approach 1 (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2), the phased sets of variants for tribes

with at least 10 species (Lamprologini: sample size of 196 individuals representing 100

species; Ectodini: sample size of 81 individuals representing 40 species; Haplochromini

including the LT-endemic Tropheini: sample size of 99 individuals of 55 species; and

Cyprichromini: sample size of 21 individuals of 11 species) were each transformed into bim

and bed format with PLINK[62] (v.1.90b). Next, we ran association tests (GWAS) for sex on

these tribe-specific variant files using the univariate linear mixed model integrated in

GEMMA[63] (v.0.97) accounting for population stratification. After visual inspection of

GWAS results for potentially sex-associated regions (i.e., peaks or shifts of increased

significance), genotypes of the 100 most significantly with sex associated sites for

Haplochromini and Cyprichromini (broad signal for sex-association along the entire length of

LG19 and LG05, respectively) and of outlier SNPs (narrow peak regions on LG15, LG20 and

unplaced contigs comprising 51 SNPs with a -log10(*P*-value) > 3 ; extraction of the top 100

most significantly sex-associated SNPs revealed same clustering and no further sex-

associated region since those SNPs were scattered across the genome) were clustered and

visualised with the R package Pheatmap (v.1.0.12, https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.html) in R (v.3.5.2) and inspected for grouping by

sex.

Approach 2 Tribe-wise tests for an accumulation of sex-specific SNPs

Approach 2 was applied to tribes that contain more than a single species (Supplementary

Table 1 for all sample sizes): We here tested for an accumulation of sites with sex-specific

alleles, referred to as XY and ZW sites depending on the heterogametic sex (Supplementary

Figs. 3 and 4), under the assumption that a sex chromosomal region will show an accumulation of sex-specific alleles due to linkage caused by suppressed recombination. To this end, we subsetted the unphased, filtered sets of variants per tribe and included only species for which we had individuals of both sexes (Supplementary Table 1). We then removed indels and sites with more than 20% missing data and more than two alleles with VCFtools (v.0.1.14). The resulting files were loaded into R (v.3.5.0) with VCFR[64] (v.1.8.0.9). Sex-specific sites were classified as follows: Each variant site was recoded per species as a "nosex" site if the male and the female individual had the same genotype; as "noinfo" if one or both individuals had no genotype call; as "XY" if the male was heterozygous and the female homozygous; and as "ZW" if the female was heterozygous and the male homozygous. Next, we calculated within each tribe the sum of nosex, ZW, and XY sites in windows of 10 kb with a slide of 2 kb as well as the difference between XY and ZW sites per window. Next, we calculated the mean genomewide percentage of nosex, ZW, and XY sites over all windows and multiplied these values with the number of called sites per window to obtain expected values for XY, ZW, and nosex under the assumption that most variant sites across the genome show no particular sex difference. The expected values per window were compared to the observed values using a Fisher's Exact test with the exception of the tribe Lamprologini in which the large counts of sites per window rendered exact calculations with a Fisher's Exact test impossible so that we applied a Pearson's Chi-squared test. These tests will indicate windows that significantly differ from the genome-wide mean. We next inspected how windows differed from the genome-wide mean and designated and plotted a window with its corresponding *P*-value as (i) XY if the observed XY value was greater than the expected one, and the observed ZW value smaller than the expected one and, as (ii) ZW if the observed ZW value was greater than the expected and the observed XY value smaller than the expected one. If both, the observed XY and observed ZW values were larger than the

expected value, a window was declared ambiguous and not further considered. If both,

observed XY and observed ZW values were equal or smaller than the expected values, a

window was declared nosex and not considered further. Fisher's Exact test and Pearson's Chi-

squared *P*-values of XY and ZW windows were plotted together (on -log10 and log10 scale

respectively) and with an overlay of the calculated XY-ZW difference for each window

normalised by dividing the obtained value through the number of species analysed. The

obtained plots were inspected for the presence of LG-wide or regional shifts in XY-ZW

difference and outliers from the expected XY or ZW sites. We also calculated and visualised

the XY-ZW difference in each window at the species level. In order to assess a false-

discovery threshold, we permutated the observed data within each tribe 100 times by

randomly assigning the SNPs to the observed genomic positions. We recalculated the XY-

ZW difference per window as well as the expected values. We assessed from each

permutation the highest absolute XY-ZW difference of a window and the smallest *P*-value

for XY/ZW sites. The largest absolute XY-ZW difference normalised by species number

across all permutations within each tribe was then used as minimal threshold to define the

sex-linked regions in the observed data. The lowest *P*-value of all XY/ZW windows across

all permutations was -log10(*P*-value)=5.04 in Haplochromini/Tropheini, which corresponds

to a FDR ~4 after Bonferroni correction. To minimise the possibility of false positives after a

comparison of all observed data across all tribes, we finally retained only drastic XY/ZW-

outlier regions that in addition of exceeding the tribe-wise threshold of XY-ZW difference

derived from each tribes permutation, also had a -log10(*P*-value)>20 (corresponding to a

FDR of 2.30×10^{-26} after Bonferroni correction).

Upon a first inspection of sequence content of sex-linked regions, we noticed in the

empirical RNA and DNA data XY and ZW peaks in different tribes/species within the same

region on LG02 of the reference genome. This region (21.36 Mb - 21.93 Mb) is annotated

with 26 protocadherin tandem gene copies. We suspect that this array of similar genes

impacts mapping and hence masked this region from our sex chromosomal call. Furthermore,

it has previously been shown that LG03 is a sex chromosome in *Oreochromini* and that the

assembly quality of this region is poor due to presence of repetitive elements leading to

difficulties in the identification of sex-linked regions on this LG[65]. This is also reflected in

our data by an increase of missing data on this LG and hence less reliable SNP data. We

therefore also excluded outlier regions on LG03 as potential sex chromosome.

Since approach 2 was run on the tribal level we next needed to identify how many and

which species are responsible for the sex chromosome signals detected within a tribe, i.e.

identify the sex chromosomes on the species level from this approach. To this aim, we

visualised, per window, species level XY-ZW differences in the outlier regions and clustered

individual genotypes (with the possible values "homozygous reference", "homozygous

alternative", "heterozygous") with divisive hierarchical clustering based on a pairwise

dissimilarities matrix of Gower's distances calculated with the R package FSA (v.0.8.30)

(https://github.com/droglenc/FSA). Resulting dendrograms were inspected for grouping by

sex rather than species and boxplots of species-specific XY-ZW difference for support by

increased absolute XY-ZW difference. Due to the reduced sample size, final calls based on

the outlier regions were only made if at least two species within a tribe shared the same sex

linkage (Supplementary Table 2).

Approach 3 Species-specific association tests for sex on transcriptome data

For approach 3, species-specific association tests (Supplementary Fig. 6), we pooled tissue-

specific transcriptomes of brain, gonad and gills into one transcriptome per individual and

quality filtered and trimmed these with Trimmomatic[66] (v.0.33) with a 4 bp window size, a

required window quality of 15 and a minimum read length of 30 bp resulting in typically six

multi-tissue transcriptomes per species (Supplementary Table 3 for read numbers). The

following analysis was then run per species: We performed reference-free *de novo* variant

calling with KisSplice[67] (v.2.4.0) with settings "-s 1 -t 4 -u" and "--experimental". The

identified SNPs were placed on the Nile tilapia genome assembly with STAR[68] (v.2.5.2a)

(settings   "--outFilterMultimapxNmax   1   --outFilterMatchNminOverLread   0.4   --

outFilterScoreMinOverLread 0.4"). The genome index used for this mapping was generated

with the corresponding STAR parameters: --runMode genomeGenerate, --sjdbOverhang 124,

--sjdbGTFfeatureExon   exon   and   the   genome   annotation   file   (RefSeq

GCF_001858045.1_ASM185804v2). Kiss2Reference[67] was used to classify KisSplice

variants aligned to the Nile tilapia reference genome, and kissDE[67] (v.1.4.0) was applied to

determine variants that differed between the two sexes. The resulting files were loaded into

R. The KisSplice events were filtered with the following attributes: Only SNPs were kept;

SNPs placed on mitochondrial DNA or on unplaced scaffolds of the reference genome were

removed; only SNPs with significant *P*-values for an allele difference between the sexes

($P \leq 0.05$ after adjustment for multiple testing following the Benjamini and Hochberg

method[69]) were retained. Significant SNPs were classified as (i) "XY" if they had zero read

counts in all females and a minimum of one count in at least two males and as (ii) "ZW" if

they had zero counts in all males and a minimum of one count in at least two females. Next,

the density of these XY and ZW SNPs was assessed in 10 kb non-overlapping windows

(Supplementary Fig. 6 first plot).

The difference between XY and ZW SNPs per 10 kb window was then calculated and

only outlier windows were kept (Supplementary Fig. 6 second and third plot). These outlier

windows were defined as windows with a difference of XY-ZW SNPs greater than the 75th

percentile value + 1.5 times the inter quartile range. We then compared the distribution of XY

and   ZW   SNPs   in   all   outlier   windows   with   a   paired   two-sided   Mann-Whitney   test

(Supplementary Fig. 6 fourth plot). If the two distributions were significantly different from

each other (*P*-value<0.05), the heterogametic system was defined as the distribution (XY or

ZW) with the higher total amount of SNPs. As a last step, we quantified XY or ZW SNPs of

outlier windows (depending on the previously defined heterogametic system) per reference

LG (corrected by chromosome size) and defined as potential sex chromosome the LG(s) with

an amount of SNPs higher than the 75th percentile value + 3 times the inter-quartile range. In

order to keep only the most extreme outliers and to avoid false positives, only the LGs with a

number of SNPs higher than the standard deviation were kept for this final call. In species

for which a heterogametic system was identified, we further visualized all SNPs of the outlier

windows of that system along the genome (Supplementary Fig. 6 fifth plot).

Approach 4 Inference of heterogamety from sex-specific "sequence subtraction"

We applied approach 4 to all taxa of the LT radiation, which had both transcriptome and

assembled WGS data of a female and a male available. For this, we adapted a "subtraction

pipeline" from[46] to infer (expressed) sex-specific contigs. *De novo* assembled draft genomes

from[24] were used as species-specific references. For each species, we pooled all male and

female transcriptome data of all three tissues and quality filtered them with Trimmomatic[66]

(v.0.33) with a 4 bp window size, a required minimum window Phred score quality of 15, and

a minimum read length of 80 bp. Next, we modified the pipeline as follows[46]: In step 1, we

used STAR (v.2.5.2a) to map RNA reads of one sex to the DNA *de novo* assembly of the

opposite sex[24] (--outFilterMultimapNmax 10 --outFilterMatchNminOverLread 0.4 --

outFilterScoreMinOverLread 0.4 --outFilterMismatchNmax 100 --seedSearchStartLmax 20 --

seedPerReadNmax 100000 --seedPerWindowNmax 1000 --alignTranscriptsPerReadNmax

100000 --alignTranscriptsPerWindowNmax 10000). In step 2, we assembled all

transcriptome reads that did not map to the genome of the opposite sex as previously

described[46]. In step 3, we used GMAP-GSNAP[70] (v.2017-08-15) with a minimum trimmed

coverage of 0.9 and a minimum identity of 0.98, to map the sex-specific *de novo* assembled

transcripts (generated in step 2) to the genome of the opposite sex. In step 4, we used STAR

and BEDTools[71] (v.2.26.0) to remove presumed sex-specific contigs that had more than 50%

of their length covered with RNA-reads from the opposite sex. In step 5, we used the CD-

HIT-EST function of Cd-hit[72] (v.4.6.4) to merge and extend sex-specific contigs. In step 6,

we mapped male and female genomic reads to sex-specific contigs as described in the

original pipeline[46]. In step 7, we used RSEM[73] (v.1.2.31) to calculate RPKM (reads per kb of

transcript per million mapped reads) values. We did not apply steps 8 (a repeat filter) and 9 (a

transcript length filter). We tested for a correlation between the type of heterogametic system

and the difference in the number of sex-specific contigs with a phylogenetic ANOVA using

phytools[74] (v.0.6-67).

Final sex chromosome systems definition

Sex-linked chromosomes, sex-differentiated regions and heterogametic state (XY/ZW) per

species were inferred from sex-association in GWAS (approach 1), the sex-specific allele test

(approach 2) and species-specific sex-differentiated site accumulations identified by allele

differences test based on transcriptomes (approach 3). For approach 1 and 2, in which we first

report the result per tribe, we defined sex chromosomes on the species level as follows: We

required the same sex-linked region to be present in at least two species to base a sex

chromosomal call on WGS data only. This might underestimate the presence of sex

chromosomes in our dataset but further reduces the number of false positives. Based on

approach 3, which includes more individuals per species and was run on the species-level, we

could confirm the sex chromosomes with larger sex-differentiated regions identified by

approach 1 and 2. We failed to detect some of the rather small regions with approach 3, such

as the narrow ~5 kb region in Lamprologini, which we think is due to a combination of the

low number of genes present in these regions and probably low levels of expression of these

genes in adults. However, approach 3 allowed us to identify and confirm species-specific sex

chromosomes that we would not call/identify otherwise (note that similar sample sizes and

transcriptome approaches have previously been used to identify sex chromosomes e.g.[46,75]).

The effectiveness of our method is evidenced by our ability to recall all three previously

identified sex chromosomes of LT cichlids[37].

Still, given the particularly reduced sample sizes for the small tribes in approach 2, we

further decided to generate two sex chromosome call sets, a permissive dataset retaining all

sex chromosomes identified by either approaches 1, 2 and 3 or combinations thereof and a

stringent dataset, excluding all sex chromosomes that were exclusively identified in approach

2. We performed all subsequent analyses with both sets and report the results.

Approach 4 had previously been established for flies using a comparable sequencing

set-up[46]. Within LT cichlids, we noted that this method seemed to have different levels of

sensitivity depending on the type of heterogamety. We thus cautiously decided to not base

our final sex chromosome call on this approach, but still report the outcome.

Reconstruction of sex chromosome turnovers in cichlids

In order to reconstruct sex chromosome evolution across the LT radiation, we coded the final

sex chromosome set as a probability matrix which included 14 different LGs identified in at

least one species as sex-linked, incorporating the published data for two labstrains and a cross

derived from a natural population of *A. burtoni*[38,39] and a population of *P. philander*[25]

(permissive dataset; stringent dataset 13 LGs). Note that *P. philander* was present in the

current dataset with a single individual only and the *A. burtoni* samples included here derive

from two different populations not allowing the confirmation of previously published data.

Species, for which we could not identify a sex-linked LG and none was published to the best

of our knowledge, were included in the analysis and attributed equal probability for all 14

LGs (permissive dataset; 13 LGs in the stringent dataset). We placed these sex chromosome

identities onto a time-calibrated phylogeny of LT cichlids[24], which we pruned to include only

the species studied here, using phytools. We followed the approach described in Jeffries *et*

al.[20] and inferred ancestral sex chromosome states using a stochastic mapping approach

implemented in phytools. We compared the likelihood scores (based on the Akaike

Information Criterion (AIC)) for three different transition rate models, equal rates (ER),

symmetrical (SYM), and all rates different (ARD), which identified ARD as the best model

for transition rates between states. We simulated 1000 stochastic character maps along the

phylogeny. In addition, we ran stochastic mapping for each chromosome separately, coding

the use of the chromosome as a sex chromosome in a given species as a binary (yes/no) trait

to account for the fact that some tips of the phylogeny are in two or more states (i.e., two or

more reference LGs showed sex-linkage likely due to chromosomal rearrangements/fusions)

rather than having the equal probability of being in one out of two states. Note, that for *A.*

burtoni, even four different LGs have been reported as sex chromosome[38,39]. We then

combined the 14 separate reconstructions (permissive dataset; 13 in the stringent dataset) into

one phylogenetic representation. The results obtained with the two approaches were very

similar and we hence continued calculations with the binary reconstructions.

We determined the timepoints of sex chromosome turnover events as points on

branches where the inferred probability of using a given chromosome as a sex chromosome

dropped below 0.5 for the first time starting from the tips of the phylogeny with the function

densityMap of phytools. Based on Jeffries *et al.*[20] we did not consider species that had no

detectable sex chromosome as having losses but only considered transition events that led to

the emergence of a new sex chromosome, i.e., we only considered gains.

Likewise, we ran a second independent analysis with 1000 stochastic mappings to

reconstruct ancestral states for the type of heterogamety (XY/ZW). In addition to the

reconstructed turnover points, we here added a turnover on the terminal branch leading to *A.*

burtoni, since for this species, both XY and ZW sex chromosomes have been described[39].

To test if gene content or chromosome size drives the observed pattern of sex

chromosome recruitment in LT cichlids, we randomly picked 30 times (the number of sex

chromosome recruitments derived from ancestral state reconstruction) a window of 10 kb of

the reference genome and attributed the LG containing this window as sex chromosome to a

species. We simulated this operation 10000 times and counted how many times each LG was

recruited in each simulation. We than counted in how many simulations nine or more LGs

were not recruited, as this was the observed pattern.

We then tested for a correlation of the number of sex chromosome turnovers leading

to the tips of each tribe with the number of species investigated in each tribe with a

phylogenetic generalized linear model (pGLS) using the R package ape[76] (v.5.2).

Reconstruction of sex chromosome turnovers in other teleosts

We then ran the same two analyses for ricefishes (Adrianichthyidae), which, to the best of

our knowledge, are the only fish family with detailed data on sex chromosomes with synteny

inference based on a comparison to a common reference genome (*Oryzias latipes*).

Information on sex chromosomes was taken from Hilgers and Schwarzer[30] and placed on a

time-calibrated phylogeny of the family Adrianichthyidae (19 species, Supplementary Table

5), extracted from a recent comprehensive ray-finned fish phylogeny[40]. We could not include

sex chromosome data of three species (*Oryzias sakaizumii*, *Oryzias wolasi*, and *Oryzias*

woworae), as these were not included in the phylogeny and no other comprehensive time-

calibrated tree comprising these fishes was available to us.

To compare our data on a larger scale, we calculated transition rates for ray-finned

fishes of the Tree of Sex database (http://www.treeofsex.org/). We used all Tree of Sex

species that were also included in the recent comprehensive ray-finned fish phylogeny[40]

(Supplementary Table 6). As several species names were not initially included in the

phylogeny[40], we inspected species names of Tree of Sex for typos, older versions of species

names and synonyms in FishBase (www.fishbase.org) and Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes

Online   Database   (https://www.calacademy.org/scientists/projects/eschmeyers-catalog-of-

fishes), and corrected the names accordingly. This allowed us to map SD data for 472 species

from the Tree of Sex database onto the phylogeny. We further added published data for

cichlids[25,29,37,38,39] and this study, resulting in an additional 72 species. Sex determination data

from the Tree of Sex database were simplified and coded as a probability matrix with three

states, namely "XY" (including species classified by Tree of Sex as "XY heteromorphic",

"XY homomorphic", "XO", "XY polygenic"), "ZW" (including species classified by Tree of

Sex as "ZW heteromorphic" and "ZW homomorphic", "ZO", "ZW polygenic") and

"NonGSD" (including species classified by Tree of Sex as "apomictic", "hermaphrodite",

"ESD_other", "pH", "size", "density", "TSD", "other"). The final matrix is provided in

Supplementary Table 6. Similar to our approach described above, all other species with no

information on sex determination were included with an equal probability for all three states.

780   Convergent evolution of sex chromosomes

We detected the same region on LG19 as sex-linked in species belonging to the tribes

Haplochromini and Perissodini. Within Haplochromini, this sex-linked region was present in

six species of the genus *Tropheus* (tribe Tropheini, the endemic LT Haplochromini) as well

as in *O. indermauri* (a distantly related riverine haplochromine from the Lufubu river, which

drains into LT). Our ancestral state reconstruction suggested an independent origin of the

LG19 SD system in Perissodini, *Tropheus* and *O. indermauri.* To further investigate the

hypothesis of convergence, we extracted all SNPs from LG19 which were XY in at least one

of the species with a positionally overlapping XY-system from a variant call file containing

all species investigated in the present study[24] with VCFtools. In addition to a male and a

female of these eight species, we included representatives without this XY-LG19 system

from the other tribes (a male and a female of each of *Xenotilapia flavipinnis*, *Plecodus*

elaviae, *Petrochromis trewavasae*, *Eretmodus cyanostictus*, *Benthochromis tricoti*, *C.*

leptosoma, *Limnochromis auritus*, and *Cyphotilapia frontosa*) as well as other haplochromine

species (a male *P. philander*, a male and a female *A. burtoni*, a male *Ctenochromis polli*). We

only kept variants with less than 10% missing data. We next extracted the two haplotype

sequences of each individual for all variants in FASTA format. Assuming that the variant

phasing with beagle was not error-free across whole chromosomes, we inspected the

haplotypes and corrected the phasing for the eight LG19-XY species. This was done such that

for sites where an XY male was heterozygous while the corresponding XX female was

homozygous, the allele of the male shared with the female was designated as haplotype 1 (the

presumed X-allele) and the other allele as haplotype 2 (the presumed Y-allele). We then

inferred a phylogenetic tree by maximum likelihood with IQ-TREE[77] (v.1.7-beta12) under

the GTR+F+ASC substitution model to account for ascertainment bias and assessing branch

support with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap approximations[78]. We rooted the obtained phylogenetic

tree in accordance with the species tree (Fig. 1).

Defining the degree of sex chromosome differentiation, potential sex-determining

regions, and candidate genes

On the above-defined sex chromosomes, we characterised species-specific sex-differentiated

regions by counting the numbers of XY- and ZW-SNPs in windows of 10 kb. The density of

XY- or ZW-windows is shown in Supplementary Fig. 10. We defined the size of the sex-

differentiated region as the proportion of the LG covered by windows that have a density of

sex-specific SNPs that is more than twice as high as the genome-wide mean over all windows

such that the sum of all sex-differentiated windows defines the cumulative length of the sex-

differentiated regions and the minimum and maximum window coordinates define the range

of the sex-differentiated region on the LG. We tested for a correlation between sex

chromosome differentiation and the estimated age of origin of the sex chromosome derived

from the turnover point with a phylogenetic generalized linear model (pGLS) using the R

package ape. From the results of approaches 1-3, we identified sex-differentiated regions

shared between several species and overlaid these with candidate genes involved in sex

determination and pigmentation. Pigmentation genes in the reference genome were defined

over gene ontology annotations including the term "pigmentation" and its child terms. We

also retrieved orthologous sequences of the Nile tilapia to the medaka pigmentation genes

defined by Braasch *et al.*[79] over Biomart, Ensembl release 96 (www.ensembl.org). Since this

Nile tilapia genome is a different genome release than the reference genome used by us, we

searched the NCBI database for the obtained Ensembl gene IDs and translated them to the

assembly version that we used with the NCBI Genome Remapping Service. Candidate genes

for SD included genes previously identified through a literature search[80,81] and a gene

ontology analysis based on a GO annotation matching the word "sex" (list of gene IDs of

candidate genes for SD and pigmentation in Supplementary Table 7). We further investigated

all annotated genes that were partially or fully included in the window(s) with the maximum

number of sex-specific SNPs on the sex chromosome (Supplementary Table 2).

Data availability

Sequencing data used in this study were published elsewhere[24,27]. All other data are provided in this paper and its Supplementary Information. Data were analysed with publically available software and their included functions as detailed in the methods section.

References

1.   Rice WR. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. *Evolution* **38**, 735-742 (1984).

2.   Charlesworth B, Coyne JA, Barton NH. The relative rates of evolution of sex chromosomes and autosomes. *Am Nat* **130**, 113-146 (1987).

3.   Irwin DE. Sex chromosomes and speciation in birds and other ZW systems. *Mol Ecol* **27**, 3831-3851 (2018).

4.   Myosho T*, et al.* Tracing the emergence of a novel sex-determining gene in medaka, *Oryzias luzonensis*. *Genetics* **191**, 163-170 (2012).

5.   Tennessen JA, Wei N, Straub SCK, Govindarajulu R, Liston A, Ashman T-L. Repeated translocation of a gene cassette drives sex-chromosome turnover in strawberries. *PLoS Biol* **16**, e2006062 (2018).

6.   Bull JJ, Charnov EL. Changes in the heterogametic mechanism of sex determination. *Heredity* **39**, 1-14 (1977).

7.   Ogata M, Lambert M, Ezaz T, Miura I. Reconstruction of female heterogamety from admixture of XX-XY and ZZ-ZW sex-chromosome systems within a frog species. *Mol Ecol* **27**, 4078-4089 (2018).

8.   Blaser O, Grossen C, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N. Sex-chromosome turnovers induced by deleterious mutation load. *Evolution* **67**, 635-645 (2013).

9.   Blaser O, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N. Sex-chromosome turnovers: The hot-potato

model. *Am Nat* **183**, 140-146 (2014).

10.   van Doorn GS, Kirkpatrick M. Transitions between male and female heterogamety

caused by sex-antagonistic selection. *Genetics* **186**, 629-645 (2010).

11.   van Doorn GS, Kirkpatrick M. Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual

conflict. *Nature* **449**, 909-912 (2007).

12.   Eshel I. Selection on sex-ratio and the evolution of sex-determination. *Heredity* **34**,

351 (1975).

13.   Veller C, Muralidhar P, Constable GWA, Nowak MA. Drift-induced selection

between male and female heterogamety. *Genetics* **207**, 711-727 (2017).

14.   Saunders PA, Neuenschwander S, Perrin N. Sex chromosome turnovers and genetic

drift: a simulation study. *J Evol Biol* **31**, 1413-1419 (2018).

15.   Bachtrog D. Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-

chromosome degeneration. *Nat Rev Genet* **14**, 113-124 (2013).

16.   Vicoso B. Molecular and evolutionary dynamics of animal sex-chromosome turnover.

Nat Ecol Evol **3**, 1632-1641 (2019).

17.   Pokorná M, Kratochvíl L. Phylogeny of sex-determining mechanisms in squamate

reptiles: are sex chromosomes an evolutionary trap? *Zool J Linn Soc* **156**, 168-183

(2009).

18.   Bull JJ. *Evolution of sex determining mechanisms*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing

(1983).

19.   Heule C, Salzburger W, Böhne A. Genetics of sexual development – an evolutionary

playground for fish. *Genetics* **196**, 579-591 (2014).

20.   Jeffries DL*, et al.* A rapid rate of sex-chromosome turnover and non-random

transitions in true frogs. *Nat Commun* **9**, 4088 (2018).

21.   Gamble T, Coryell J, Ezaz T, Lynch J, Scantlebury DP, Zarkower D. Restriction site-

883   associated DNA sequencing (RAD-seq) reveals an extraordinary number of

884   transitions among gecko sex-determining systems. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**, 1296-1309

885   (2015).

886   22.   Ronco F, Indermaur A, Büscher H, Salzburger W. The taxonomic diversity of the

887   cichlid fish fauna of ancient Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. *J Great Lakes Res* **46**,

888   1067-1078 (2020).

889   23.   Nelson JS, Grande TC, Wilson MVH. *Fishes of the World. 5th Edition*. John Wiley &

890   Sons, Hoboken (2016).

891   24.   Ronco F*, et al.* Drivers and dynamics of a massive adaptive radiation in African

892   cichlid fish. *Nature* **Accepted**, (2020).

893   25.   Böhne A*, et al.* Repeated evolution versus common ancestry: Sex chromosome

894   evolution in the haplochromine cichlid *Pseudocrenilabrus philander. Genome Biol

895   Evol* **11**, 439–458 (2019).

896   26.   Gammerdinger WJ, Kocher TD. Unusual diversity of sex chromosomes in African

897   cichlid fishes. *Genes* **9**, 480 (2018).

898   27.   El Taher A*, et al.* The evolution of gene expression levels during rapid organismal

899   diversification. *Nat Ecol Evol* **Accepted**, (2020).

900   28.   Roberts RB, Ser JR, Kocher TD. Sexual conflict resolved by invasion of a novel sex

901   determiner in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Science* **326**, 998-1001 (2009).

902   29.   Ser JR, Roberts RB, Kocher TD. Multiple interacting loci control sex determination in

903   Lake Malawi cichlid fish. *Evolution* **64**, 486-501 (2010).

904   30.   Hilgers L, Schwarzer J. The natural history of model organisms: The untapped

905   potential of medaka's wild relatives. *eLIFE* **8**, e46994 (2019).

31. Charlesworth D. Evolution of recombination rates between sex chromosomes. *Philos*

Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci **372**, 20160456 (2017).

32. Sardell JM*, et al.* Sex differences in recombination in sticklebacks. *G3* **8**, 1971-1983

(2018).

33. Feulner PGD, Schwarzer J, Haesler MP, Meier JI, Seehausen O. A dense linkage lap

of Lake Victoria cichlids improved the *Pundamilia* genome assembly and revealed a

major QTL for sex-setermination. *G3* **8**, 2411-2420 (2018).

34. Conte MA*, et al.* Chromosome-scale assemblies reveal the structural evolution of

African cichlid genomes. *Gigascience* **8**, (2019).

35. Matsuda M, Sotoyama S, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M. Male-specific restriction of

recombination frequency in the sex chromosomes of the medaka, *Oryzias latipes*.

Genet Res **73**, 225-231 (1999).

36. Tanaka K, Takehana Y, Naruse K, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M. Evidence for

different origins of sex chromosomes in closely related *Oryzias* fishes: Substitution of

the master sex-determining gene. *Genetics* **177**, 2075-2081 (2007).

37. Gammerdinger W, Conte M, Sandkam B, Ziegelbecker A, Koblmüller S, Kocher T.

Novel sex chromosomes in 3 cichlid fishes from Lake Tanganyika. *J Hered* **109**, 489-

500 (2018).

38. Böhne A, Wilson CA, Postlethwait JH, Salzburger W. Variations on a theme:

Genomics of sex determination in *Astatotilapia burtoni*. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 883

(2016).

39. Roberts NB*, et al.* Polygenic sex determination in the cichlid fish *Astatotilapia*

burtoni. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 835 (2016).

40. Rabosky DL*, et al.* An inverse latitudinal gradient in speciation rate for marine fishes.

Nature **559**, 392-395 (2018).

41.   Pennell MW*, et al.* Y fuse? Sex chromosome fusions in fishes and reptiles. *PLoS*
Genet **11**, e1005237 (2015).

42.   Kitano J*, et al.* A role for a neo-sex chromosome in stickleback speciation. *Nature*
461, 1079-1083 (2009).

43.   Lucek K. Evolutionary mechanisms of varying chromosome numbers in the radiation
of Erebia butterflies. *Genes* **9**, (2018).

44.   King M. *Species evolution - the role of chromosome change*. Cambridge University
Press (1993).

45.   Ozouf-Costaz C, Coutanceau JP, Bonillo C, Mercot H, Hermon Y, Guidi-Rontani C.
New insights into the chromosomal differentiation patterns among cichlids from
Africa and Madagascar. *Cybium* **41**, 35-43 (2017).

46.   Mahajan S, Bachtrog D. Convergent evolution of Y chromosome gene content in
flies. *Nat Commun* **8**, 785 (2017).

47.   Koopman P, Gubbay J, Vivian N, Goodfellow P, Lovell-Badge R. Male development
of chromosomally female mice transgenic for Sry. *Nature* **351**, 117 - 121 (1991).

48.   Seehausen O, van Alphen JJM, Lande R. Color polymorphism and sex ratio distortion
in a cichlid fish as an incipient stage in sympatric speciation by sexual selection. *Ecol*
Lett **2**, 367-378 (1999).

49.   Rodrigues N, Studer T, Dufresnes C, Perrin N. Sex-chromosome recombination in
common frogs brings water to the fountain-of-youth. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 942-948
(2018).

50.   Marshall Graves JA, Peichel CL. Are homologies in vertebrate sex determination due
to shared ancestry or to limited options? *Genome Biol* **11**, 205 (2010).

51.   Pennell MW, Mank JE, Peichel CL. Transitions in sex determination and sex
chromosomes across vertebrate species. *Mol Ecol* **27**, 3950-3963 (2018).

52.   Lande R, Seehausen O, van Alphen JJ. Mechanisms of rapid sympatric speciation by

957   sex reversal and sexual selection in cichlid fish. *Genetica* **112-113**, 435-443 (2001).

958   53.   Ohno S. *Sex chromosomes and sex-linked genes.* Springer (1967).

959   54.   Dobigny G, Ozouf-Costaz C, Bonillo C, Volobouev V. Viability of X-autosome

960   translocations in mammals: an epigenomic hypothesis from a rodent case-study.

961   *Chromosoma* **113**, 34-41 (2004).

962   55.   Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B. Sex-differences in fitness and selection for centric

963   fusions between sex-chromosomes and autosomes. *Genet Res* **35**, 205-214 (1980).

964   56.   de Villena FPM, Sapienza C. Female meiosis drives karyotypic evolution in

965   mammals. *Genetics* **159**, 1179-1189 (2001).

966   57.   Yoshida K*, et al.* B chromosomes have a functional effect on female sex

967   determination in Lake Victoria cichlid fishes. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002203 (2011).

968   58.   Clark FE, Kocher TD. Changing sex for selfish gain: B chromosomes of Lake Malawi

969   cichlid fish. *Sci Rep* **9**, (2019).

970   59.   McKenna A*, et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for

971   analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**, 1297-1303 (2010).

972   60.   Danecek P*, et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156-

973   2158 (2011).

974   61.   Browning SR, Browning BL. Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data

975   inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype

976   clustering. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 1084-1097 (2007).

977   62.   Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation

978   PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).

979   63.   Zhou X, Stephens M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association

980   studies. *Nat Genet* **44**, 821-824 (2012).

981   64.   Knaus BJ, Grunwald NJ. VCFR: a package to manipulate and visualize variant call

982   format data in R. *Mol Ecol Resour* **17**, 44-53 (2017).

983   65.   Conte MA, Gammerdinger WJ, Bartie KL, Penman DJ, Kocher TD. A high quality

984   assembly of the Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) genome reveals the structure of

985   two sex determination regions. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 341 (2017).

986   66.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

987   sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).

988   67.   Lopez-Maestre H*, et al.* SNP calling from RNA-seq data without a reference genome:

989   identification, quantification, differential analysis and impact on the protein sequence.

990   *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, e148-e148 (2016).

991   68.   Dobin A*, et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21

992   (2013).

993   69.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate - a practical and

994   powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B* **57**, 289-300 (1995).

995   70.   Wu TD, Watanabe CK. GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for

996   mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 1859-1875 (2005).

997   71.   Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

998   features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842 (2010).

72.   Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of

protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658-1659 (2006).

73.   Li B, Dewey C. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or

without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 323 (2011).

74.   Revell LJ. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). *Methods Ecol Evol* **3**, 217-223 (2012).

75.   Harrison PW*, et al.* Sexual selection drives evolution and rapid turnover of male gene

expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 4393-4398 (2015).

76.   Paradis E, Schliep K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and

evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 526-528 (2019).

77.   Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective

stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*

32, 268-274 (2015).

78.   Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. UFBoot2: Improving

the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**, 518-522 (2018).

79.   Braasch I, Brunet F, Volff J-N, Schartl M. Pigmentation pathway evolution after

whole-genome duplication in fish. *Genome Biol Evol* **1**, 479-493 (2009).

80.   Böhne A, Heule C, Boileau N, Salzburger W. Expression and sequence evolution of

aromatase *cyp19a1* and other sexual development genes in East African cichlid fishes.

Mol Biol Evol **30**, 2268-2285 (2013).

81.   Heule C, Göppert C, Salzburger W, Böhne A. Genetics and timing of sex

determination in the East African cichlid fish *Astatotilapia burtoni*. *BMC Genet* **15**,

140 (2014).

1022

1023 Acknowledgements

We thank Daniel Jeffries and Guillaume Lavanchy for code sharing for ancestral state

reconstructions and Milan Malinsky for discussions on sex-specific sites identification.

Calculations were performed at the sciCORE (http://scicore.unibas.ch/) scientific computing

center at the University of Basel, with support by the SIB (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics),

and at the Abel computer cluster at the University of Oslo. This work was funded by the

Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, Ambizione grant PZ00P3_161462) to AB and the

European Research Council (ERC, CoG 617585 "CICHLID~X") to WS.

1031

Author Contributions

A.B. designed the study with input from A.E., F.R., and W.S.; A.E. and A.B. analysed all
data, M.M. performed variant calling and phylogenetic reconstructions for convergent sex
chromosome evolution, and helped with ancestral state reconstructions as well as with
statistics, F.R. helped in analysing data for ancestral state reconstructions and sex-specific
site identifications, A.B. and A.E. wrote the manuscript with final contributions from all
authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

1039

Competing interest declaration

1041   The authors declare no competing interests.

1042

Materials & Correspondence

1044   Correspondence and requests to materials should be addressed to a.boehne@leibniz-zfmk.de

 Figures

Linkage group identified as sex chromosome at tips (inner cirlce) and inferred at nodes
LG04 ● LG05 ● LG07 ● LG10 ● LG11 ● LG13 ● LG14 ● LG15 ● LG16 ● LG17 ● LG18 ● LG19 ● LG20 ● LG23 ●
Heterogametic system (outer circle)
XY ● ZW ●

Fig. 1: Sex chromosome evolution in the cichlid adaptive radiation in Lake Tanganyika.

Sex chromosome state and ancestral state reconstruction in LT cichlids are placed on a time-

calibrated species tree[24] with tribe-grouping indicated by colour shading. The inner circle at -

tips shows identified sex-linked LGs. Colours refer to LGs of the reference genome; two- or

more coloured symbols at tips indicate sex chromosomal signals that were detected on two or

more reference LGs suggesting chromosomal rearrangements. The outer circle indicates the

heterogametic status of each species (blue: XY; red: ZW). White circles at tips indicated that

 no sex chromosome could be identified. Pie charts at nodes represent the probability for an

1054 LG being a sex chromosome at this time derived from ancestral state reconstructions.

1055

1056

1057 Fig. 2: Non-random sex chromosome distribution in Lake Tanganyika cichlids.

a Use of different LGs as sex chromosomes. Bars represent the number of times an LG has

been detected as sex-linked at the species level and are coloured according to tribe. **b** The

occurrence of sex determination (SD) systems. Bars represent how often an XY or ZW SD

system was identified at the species level and are coloured according to tribe. **c** Correlation

between species richness and sex chromosome turnover. The number of sex chromosome

turnovers leading to the tips of each tribe is correlated with the number of species

investigated in each tribe (pGLS: *P*=0.0043, coeff=0.039). Dots are coloured according to

tribes; the line represents the linear model fitted to the data. **d** Boxplots showing the expected

number of sex chromosome recruitments if recruitment was at random (10000 permutations).

Boxplot centre lines represent the median, box limits the upper and lower quartiles, and

 whiskers the 1.5x interquartile range. Outliers are not shown. Ten reference LGs were never

1069 implicated in a turnover event in LT cichlids. Under random recruitment in the simulations

1070 this pattern occurred only in 2.01 % of all simulations. Yellow dots indicate the number of

1071 observed sex chromosome recruitments per LG derived from ancestral state reconstructions,

1072 grey background shading represents chromosome length in Mb and numbers below each

1073 boxplot indicate the number of previously described sex-determining genes on these LGs.

1074

1075

Fig. 3: Convergent evolution of LG19 as XY sex chromosome in two Tanganyikan cichlid tribes

Phylogenetic tree of X- and Y-haplotype sequences does not group the *P. paradoxus* Y-haplotype with the *Tropheus* Y-haplotypes but supports the species tree, suggesting convergent evolution. The Y-haplotype of the non-LT riverine haplochromine *O. indermauri* groups with the Y-haplotypes of the *Tropheus* species, supporting monophyly of this sex

1082   chromosomal system. The scale bar indicates the number of substitutions per site; values at

1083   nodes represent bootstrap support (% of 1000 bootstraps, if no value is shown the node

1084   support was 100%).

1085

1086

Fig. 4: Sex chromosome differentiation in Lake Tanganyika cichlids.

a Size distribution of sex-differentiated regions. The size of these regions corresponds to the

proportion of the LG with windows that have more sex-specific SNPs than two times the

mean across all windows. **b** Per-species proportion of the chromosome(s) showing sex

differentiation and corresponding estimated ages of the sex chromosomal system based on

ancestral state reconstructions on a time-calibrated species tree. The degree of differentiation

is not correlated with the estimated age of origin (pGLS: *P*=0.9049, coeff=0.0011).

1094

Fig. 5: Sex chromosome evolution in African cichlids. Phylogenetic relationships[24,25] and

sex chromosome occurrence with reference to the genome of the Nile tilapia (*O. niloticus*) in

African cichlids. Cichlid lineages found in Lake Tanganyika are indicated in black, cichlids

from other lakes or rivers in grey. Sex chromosome information is derived from this study,

and previously published summaries[25,26].