Limited and strain-specific transcriptional and growth responses to acquisition of a multidrug resistance plasmid in genetically diverse *Escherichia coli* lineages

Steven J. Dunn*[1], Laura Carrilero*[2], Michael Brockhurst[2], Alan McNally[1]

*Joint first authors contributed equally

[1]Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT

[2]Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT

Running title: Transcriptional response to MDR plasmids in *E. coli*

Abstract

Multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* are a major global threat to human health, wherein multi-drug resistance is primarily spread by MDR plasmid acquisition. MDR plasmids are not widely distributed across the entire *E. coli* species, but instead are concentrated in a small number of clones. Here, we test if diverse *E. coli* strains vary in their ability to acquire and maintain MDR plasmids, and if this relates to their transcriptional response following plasmid acquisition. We used strains from across the diversity of *E. coli*, including the common MDR lineage ST131, and the IncF plasmid, pLL35, encoding multiple antibiotic resistance genes. Strains varied in their ability to acquire pLL35 by conjugation, but all were able to stably maintain the plasmid. The effects of pLL35 acquisition on cefotaxime resistance and growth also varied among strains, with growth responses ranging from a small decrease to a small increase in growth of the plasmid-carrier relative to the parental strain. Transcriptional responses to pLL35 acquisition were limited in scale and highly strain specific. We observed significant transcriptional responses at the operon or regulon level, possibly due to stress responses or interactions with resident MGEs. Subtle transcriptional responses consistent across all strains were observed affecting functions, such as anaerobic metabolism, previously shown to be under negative frequency dependent selection in MDR *E. coli*. Overall there was no correlation between the magnitude of the transcriptional and growth responses across strains. Together these data suggest that fitness costs arising from transcriptional disruption are unlikely to act as a barrier to MDR plasmid dissemination in *E. coli*.

Importance

Plasmids play a key role in bacterial evolution by transferring niche adaptive functions

between lineages, including driving the spread of antibiotic resistance genes. Fitness

costs of plasmid acquisition arising from the disruption of cellular processes could limit

the spread of multidrug resistance plasmids. However, the impacts of plasmid

acquisition are typically measured in lab-adapted strains rather than in more

ecologically relevant natural isolates. Using a clinical multidrug resistance plasmid and

a diverse collection of *E. coli* strains isolated from clinical infections and natural

environments, we show that plasmid acquisition had only limited and highly strain-

specific effects on bacterial growth and transcription. These findings suggest that

fitness costs arising from transcriptional disruption are unlikely to act as a barrier to

plasmid transmission in natural populations of *E. coli*.

Introduction

Multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* present a global public health risk and are listed by the World Health Organisation as a priority pathogen. The incidence of MDR *E. coli* as aetiological agents of human disease has steadily increased since the turn of the century (Mathers et al., 2015). Initially this was due to the emergence of *E. coli* clones carrying plasmids containing extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes conferring resistance to third generation cephalosporins (Mathers et al., 2015). This emergence mirrored the rise in the incidence of *E. coli* as the causative agent of bloodstream infections world-wide, primarily due to the rapid global dissemination of MDR clones (Banerjee & Johnson, 2014; Mathers et al., 2015). This was followed by the emergence of clones carrying plasmids containing carbapenemase enzyme genes, conferring strains resistance to all antimicrobial classes with the exception of colistin (Peirano et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2019).

The emergence of MDR *E. coli* has not occurred evenly across the species. Rather MDR plasmid carriage is concentrated in a number of clones associated with extra-intestinal infections, whilst it is rarely seen in clones causing intestinal infectious disease or in exclusively commensal lineages (Dunn et al., 2019). ESBL plasmid carriage is most commonly seen in low-diversity clones of lineages such as ST131, ST648, and ST410 (Dunn et al., 2019), with ST131 representing the most common cause of MDR *E. coli* bloodstream and urine infections in the developed world (Banerjee & Johnson, 2014). Carriage of carbapenemase plasmids is also concentrated in low-diversity clones of lineages such as ST167 and ST410, both of which belong to the Phylogroup A clade of *E. coli* which are generally devoid of most common *E. coli* virulence factors (Feng et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019; Zong et al., 2018).

Comparison of the genomes of MDR plasmid carrying clones with the lineages that

those clones emerged from shows striking similarity in key steps in their evolution. All

show rapid clonal expansion of MDR plasmid carrying strains which are globally

distributed in a matter of years (Feng et al., 2019; Petty et al., 2014; Zong et al., 2018).

The MDR clones also carry clone specific alleles of key genes encoding traits involved

in human colonisation, such as adhesins and iron acquisition (Feng et al., 2019;

McNally et al., 2019; Zong et al., 2018). Comprehensive analysis of ST131 MDR clade

C showed it differed from the drug susceptible clade A and B of the lineage in a number

of unique alleles of genes involved in colonisation as well as anaerobic metabolism

genes (McNally et al., 2019). MDR clones also contain unique intergenic-sequence

alleles, which correlate with plasmids carried by strains (McNally et al., 2016)(Feng et

al., 2019; Zong et al., 2018).

As well as the biosynthetic burden associated with replicating, transcribing and

translating the new genetic material, plasmid acquisition often disrupts cellular

homeostasis. For example, large-scale changes to regulation of chromosomal genes

have been observed following plasmid acquisition in a range of bacterial hosts

(Harrison et al., 2015; Millan et al., 2015; San Millan et al., 2018), which can be

negated by compensatory mutations to regulators. The shared genetic traits of MDR

clones of *E. coli* including regulatory sequences together with the uneven distribution

of MDR plasmids, suggests that some lineages of *E. coli* may be better preadapted to

the acquisition and stable integration of MDR plasmids than others, potentially

suffering less cellular disruption (Dunn et al., 2019; McNally et al., 2016). However,

data comparing the transcriptional and phenotypic responses of diverse *E. coli* strains

to MDR plasmid acquisition are lacking.

We tested the transcriptional response to acquisition of an ESBL plasmid encoding CTX-M-15 and TEM-112 in eight genetically diverse *E. coli* strains, including environmental *E. coli* isolates from lineages in which MDR plasmids have never been reported, and strains from clade A, B and C of *E. coli* ST131, wherein clade C is most frequently associated with MDR plasmid acquisition. Strains varied in the rate of ESBL plasmid acquisition by conjugation from *K. pneumoniae* and the degree of cefotaxime resistance conferred by the plasmid but not in stability of the plasmid once acquired. ESBL plasmid carriers showed variations in growth relative to plasmid free cells ranging from impaired to enhanced relative growth of ESBL plasmid carriers. Plasmid transcription did not vary significantly among host strains, but we observed strain specific differences in chromosomal gene expression caused by plasmid acquisition. We observed no correlation between the degree of transcriptional disruption caused by plasmid acquisition and the relative growth of ESBL plasmid carriers.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and plasmids

A total of 8 *E. coli* strains were selected for use in this study, representing sequence types 131 (clades A, B, and C), 394, and 1122 (Table S1). All strains were screened to ensure that they did not contain any existing MDR plasmids. This study used plasmid donor strain LL35 (a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate belonging to ST-45) which contains pLL35, a 106 kb incFII(K)-9 plasmid with a complete conjugative transfer machinery, and a complex antibiotic resistance region (Figure S1). The resistance region in pLL35 is comprised of multiple translocatable genetic elements. It contains several complete antibiotic resistance genes, conferring resistance to cephalosporins

and beta-lactams (*blaCTX-M-15*, *blaTEM-112*), aminoglycosides (*aacA4, aacC2,*

aadA1) and quinolones (*qnrS1*). This region also contains OXA-9, however this gene

is truncated due to a premature stop codon. The antibiotic resistance region is

potentially mobilizable, due to an ISEcp1 insertion sequence. pLL35 also encodes two

separate toxin/antitoxin systems (*higAB*, *ccdAB*).

Plasmid conjugation

Twelve independent conjugation assays were performed for each strain. A single

colony from overnight growth on nutrient agar was inoculated into 5 ml of nutrient broth

(Oxoid, UK). This was incubated at 37˚C for 2 hours with shaking (180 rpm). Cultures

were mixed at a ratio of 1:3 donor to recipient and 50 μl were used to inoculate 6 ml

of BHI. Six replicates were incubated as static cultures at 37˚C for 24 hours, and six

replicates were incubated as shaken cultures at 37˚C for 24 hours at 180 rpm.

The conjugation mix was plated onto UTI Chromagar (Sigma Aldrich, UK)

supplemented with 4 μg/ml of cefotaxime and incubated at 37˚C overnight. Colonies

that produced a phenotype indicative of *E. coli* were further subcultured onto UTI

Chromagar with 4 μg/ml of cefotaxime in order to check the resistance profile, and to

ensure there was sufficient pure growth to store for subsequent use. One of these

replicates was used to quantify differential gene expression and is subsequently

referred to as the transconjugant. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) data was also

generated for three additional replicates from the conjugation assays, which are

referred to as the transconjugant replicates.

In the generation of conjugated strains, bacteria were sub-cultured a total of 5 times.

In order to account for any basal adaptation to the lab conditions, and to control for

any variation generated by variables extraneous to the plasmid conjugation, the

parental recipient strains were also run through the conjugation protocol with a plasmid

free *Klebsiella* strain Ecl8 (Buckner et al., 2018). These triplicate samples were also

sequenced and are referred to as the control replicates.

Genome sequencing

Whole genome sequencing was performed on the ancestral, transconjugant, control

replicates and transconjugant replicate strains. The ancestral and transconjugant lines

were sequenced by both Illumina and Oxford Nanopore based technologies. Illumina

sequencing was provided by MicrobesNG (http://www.microbesng.com). Illumina

genome sequence reads were assessed for quality using FastQC (V 0.11.9), and

subsequently trimmed using Trimmomatic (V 0.3)14 with a sliding window quality of

Q15 and length of 20 base pairs. Kraken (V 2) was used to confirm species ID and

check for potential contaminants.

Long read sequencing was performed on DNA extracted using a phenol/chloroform

method. DNA was quantified using the Qubit 4.0 and a broad range dsDNA kit

(ThermoFisher, UK). Libraries were prepared using the SQK-LSK109 sequencing kit,

and EXP-NBD104 expansion set. The libraries were then sequenced on a MinION rev

4.1D using a R9.1 flowcell over 48 hours (Oxford Nanopore Technologies,

UK).MinION data was basecalled using GPU-accelerated Guppy (V 3.1.5+781ed57)

in high accuracy mode. Adapters were confirmed and removed using Porechop (V

0.2.3_seqan2.1.1). Reads that had differential demultiplexing via Guppy and

Porechop were discarded, leaving only reads for which both programs had reached a

consensus. Chimeric reads were discarded using Unicycler's Scrub module (V 0.4.7).

Finally, reads were filtered using FiltLong (V 0.2.0), with parameters based on read

length and quality distributions generated by NanoPlot (V 1.24.0), removing relatively

short or low-quality reads (e.g. lower 10% of the distribution).

Circularised assemblies were produced using both the long and short read data by

Unicycler (V 0.4.7) (Wick et al., 2017). Following assembly, we ran additional rounds

of Pilon (V 1.23) until no further changes were found. Assemblies were annotated

using Prokka (V 1.13.3) (Seemann, 2014). Structural variants were identified using a

combination of Sniffles (V 1.0.12) and Assemblytics (V 1.0). Structural variants were

further filtered to high confidence calls by inspecting the BAM file and filtering to

discard minor allele variants (AF <0.9). Single nucleotide variants were called against

the hybrid assemblies using Snippy (V 4.3.6).

189
Transcriptome sequencing

RNA sequencing was performed on biological triplicates of the ancestral and

transconjugant strains. For each replicate, a colony was picked from overnight growth

on Nutrient Agar and added to 5 ml of Nutrient Broth (Sigma Aldritch, UK). Cultures

were incubated at 37˚C until reaching an OD600 of ~0.6. RNA was extracted using

Trizol (ThermoFisher, UK). Following isopropanol precipitation, DNA was digested

using Turbo DNAse (Thermofisher, UK) based on the manufacturer's protocol. The

final RNA solution was purified using a RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, UK), and quantified

using a Qubit with the RNA HS assay kit. The RNA was immediately stored at -80˚C.

RNA sequencing was performed by the Centre for Genomics Research (Liverpool,

UK). The RNA integrity number and library insert size were verified using the Agilent

RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Bioanalyzer platform (Agilent, USA). The RiboZero (Illumina,

USA) kit was used to deplete rRNA, and dual indexed libraries were prepared using

the NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA sequencing kit (New England Biolabs, USA).

Libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, USA) configured to 2 x 150 bp

cycles. In order to obtain at least 10 million reads per sample, the sequencing run was

distributed across three lanes.

Kallisto (v 0.46.0) was used to quantify differential gene expression, with the high-

quality hybrid *de novo* assemblies of parental strains used as a reference. Input files

were prepared using Prokka (v 1.13.3) for annotation, genbank_to_kallisto.py

(https://github.com/AnnaSyme/genbank_to_kallisto.py) to convert the annotation files

for use with Kallisto, and GNU-Parallel (v 20180922) for job parallelisation. Differential

gene expression was analysed using Voom/Limma in Degust (V 3.20), with further

processing of the resulting differential counts in R (V 3.5.3). UPGMA clustering was

performed using DendroUPGMA (http://genomes.urv.cat/UPGMA/). Functional

categories were assigned to genes using eggnog-mapper (V 2).

Plasmid persistence

To determine the persistence of the plasmid over time, 4 replicate cultures of each

plasmid-containing strain were propagated by daily serial transfer in nutrient broth

(NB) microcosms (6 ml of NB in a 30 ml glass universal) for 16 days. 1% of each

culture was transferred to fresh media every 24 hours. Populations were plated out

onto nutrient agar ± 4µg/ml of cefotaxime at days 0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 10, 13 and 16.

Growth curves and phenotypic profiling

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assays for the ancestral and transconjugant strains were conducted according to the CLSI guidelines (CLSI, 2012), using nutrient broth and cefotaxime. Briefly, assays were performed in 96 well plates at final cefotaxime concentrations of 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, and thereafter two-fold increases from 2 to 2,048 µg/ml.

Growth kinetics for both plasmid-free and plasmid-containing strains were measured using cultures grown in 200 µl nutrient broth per well in a 96 well plate using an automated absorbance plate reader (Tecan Spark 10). Wells were inoculated using the same procedure described above. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 39 hours and the optical density of each well was measured every 30 minutes at 600 nm, plates were shaken for 5s (orbital shaking, movement amplitude 3mm, 180 rpm) and allowed to settle for 50s prior to each reading. A humidity cassette was used to minimise evaporation of the samples.

Data availability

Sequence data is available under bioproject PRJNA667580, and individual SRA accessions are provided in Table S1.

Results

E. coli **strains varied in conjugational uptake of an ESBL plasmid**

For most strains, the conjugation rate from *K. pneumoniae* was higher in static than in shaken culture. Indeed, for several strains we detected no transconjugants from

shaken cultures in any of the replicates (e.g., F022 and F047 – ST131 clade A and C,

respectively), and only three strains consistently acquired the plasmid in both shaken

and static conditions (i.e., F037, F048, F054 – all ST131 clade B/C – Figure S1). Due

to the high number of missing replicates in shaken cultures, we were only able to

analyse variation across all strains in the conjugation rates estimated from static

cultures. In static cultures, strains varied in their ability to acquire the plasmid by

conjugation from *K. pneumoniae* (Figure S2; ANOVA, strain effect, $F_{7,36}$ = 19.23 P =

2.06e-10). Once acquired, however, the plasmid was stably maintained over time by

all strains (Figure S3; Wilcoxon test comparing population density averaged over time

on media with or without cefotaxime, all strains, P > 0.05).

Strain-specific effects of ESBL plasmid carriage on resistance and bacterial

growth kinetics

Plasmid acquisition increased resistance to cefotaxime but the level of resistance

conferred by the plasmid varied by strain (Figure 1; ANOVA, strain by plasmid

interaction, $F_{7, 32}$ = 2.968, P = 0.0163). Specifically, in strains F037, F047 and F054

the plasmid provided lower levels of cefotaxime resistance than in plasmid bearers of

the other strains.

Parental strains varied in their growth parameters (lag time, ANOVA, $F_{7, 32}$ = 5.358, P

= 0.000394; maximum growth rate, ANOVA, $F_{7, 32}$ = 6.3, P = 0.000108; saturation

density, ANOVA, $F_{7, 32}$ = 18.48, P = 0.00000000136; integral of the growth curve,

Kruskal-Wallis x^2_7 = 31.759, P = 0.000045). To control for this variation in baseline

growth among the strains, we normalised growth parameters per plasmid-carrying

strain by its corresponding parental strain. This gave estimates of relative growth

parameters, and thus of the impact of plasmid acquisition upon the growth of each

strain (i.e., a value of 1 would indicate no effect on growth of plasmid acquisition;

Figure 2). Strains varied in their relative maximum growth rate (ANOVA, $F_{7,32}$ = 5.742,

P = 0.00023), relative saturation density (Kruskal-Wallis x^2_7 = 24.102, P = 0.001093),

and relative integral of the growth curve (ANOVA, $F_{7,32}$ = 8.998, P = 0.00000424), but

not in their relative lag time (Kruskal-Wallis x^2_7 = 10.264, P = 0.1741). The clearest

impacts of plasmid acquisition on growth were apparent for the relative integral of the

growth curve (Figure 2), which is a useful measure of the overall effect of plasmid

acquisition on growth (Wright et al., 2018): The integral of the growth curve was

reduced by plasmid carriage in the strains GU15 and F047 (one-sample t-test of

relative integral against 1; GU15, t = 3.6933, df = 4, P = 0.021; F047, t = 4.1762, P =

0.014) but was increased in the strains F022 and F037 (one-sample t-test of relative

integral against 1; F022, t = 7.0987 df = 4 P = 0.0021; F037, t = 5.0836, P = 0.0071).

Thus, acquiring the ESBL plasmid had variable effects upon growth across the strains

causing both increased and decreased growth, whilst having a negligible impact upon

the growth of half of the strains tested.

Following the masking of any variants that occurred in the ancestral or control

sequence data, ESBL plasmid carriers contained very few SNPs, with the majority of

isolates containing no SNPs at all (n=6 out of 8). The position of detected SNPs was

determined, but no clear evidence of parallelism could be established (Table S2). To

confirm this limited genomic impact further, we sequenced three additional

independently constructed transconjugant replicates. This also revealed a small

number of SNPs (0-2 SNPs per replicate), with the majority of sequences containing

0 variants (n=16 out of 24).

Strain specific transcriptional responses to acquisition of an ESBL plasmid

We next compared the transcriptomes of the pLL35 carrying transconjugants with their parental strain to determine the effect of ESBL plasmid acquisition on host gene expression. Combining differential gene expression analysis from three independent biological replicates showed very little significant transcriptional response in any strain, with the number of significantly 2-fold differentially expressed genes ranging from 22 to zero at a false discovery rate (FDR) P-value of < 0.05 and 31 to zero at a FDR P-value of < 0.1 (Figure S3). Volcano plots for the transcriptional impact of ESBL plasmid acquisition per strain show highly strain-specific responses to acquisition of the ESBL plasmid, both in terms of the level of transcriptional response and the genes that were differentially expressed (Figure 3). Strains ELU39, F104 and F037 showed no significant changes in gene expression upon acquisition of the plasmid (at FDR P-value of < 0.05 or < 0.1), strains GU15, F054 and F048 had fewer than 5 genes significantly differentially expressed, and strains F022 and F047 showed between 10 and 22 genes significantly differentially expressed (at FDR P-value of < 0.05, Figure S4). There was no correlation between magnitude of transcriptional response and the growth responses observed in the strains (r = -0.6162, P = 0.1038).

Functions whose expression was affected by ESBL plasmid acquisition varied between strains

We observed differences in the functions whose expression was significantly affected by ESBL plasmid acquisition between the strains. In F022 plasmid-carriers we observed upregulation of the entire class 1, 2 and 3, flagella biosynthesis regulons relative to the plasmid-free parental strain (Chilcott & Hughes, 2000). Further

inspection of the genome sequences of the parental and transconjugant F022 strains revealed that this occurred due to an insertion of an IS1 family IS element in *lrhA,* the negative regulator of *flhDC* (Figure 4).

In F047 the ESBL plasmid caused upregulation of a variety of chromosomal genes, including those involved in various stress responses such as *cpxP* (envelope stress response), *deaD* (low temperature response), *ibpAB* (heat and oxidative stress), and *soxS* (superoxide stress master regulator). This is mirrored in several differentially expressed genes just below the 2 log fold change significance threshold such as *dnaJ, degP* and *osmY* (1.81, 1.64 1.59 FC), which are all involved in stress response. The presence of plasmid also led to upregulation of *marR*, the repressor of the *mar* antibiotic resistance and oxidative stress response regulon, though *marA* expression was not significantly affected (1.0 FC, 0.57 FDR). Other functions upregulated by plasmid acquisition included metabolic transport (*mgtA, pstS*) and anaerobic metabolism genes (*glpD*).

In F048, several co-located hypothetical genes were upregulated, 3 of these were significantly upregulated while the remainder were slightly below the FDR significance threshold. This region was further characterised with Prophage Hunter, showing a putative ~60 Kb prophage. This prophage contains 78 genes and shows 94% identity and 28% coverage with prophage CUS-3. No evidence of phage mobilisation was detected by structural variant analysis, and the read depth at this region (normalised to house-keeping genes) was consistent across both the parental and transconjugant read sets suggesting that the observed upregulation was not due to the presence of additional prophage copies.

In GU15 the ESBL plasmid led to down regulation of the entire sulfur biosynthesis and

transport operons (*cysA – cysW*). Significant downregulation was observed in *cysA* at

FDR >0.05, and *genes cysHIJKNWU* at FDR >0.1. The rest of the operon were

expressed at ranges slightly below the FDR significance threshold.

Consistent pattern of low-level transcriptional response to acquisition of the

ESBL plasmid

Some genes known to be in operons or regulons did not pass significance threshold

in our FDR analysis, despite the rest of the operon or regulon doing so. This is due to

our use of amalgamated data from three independent biological replicates and strict

significance thresholds. We decided to further analyse the expression of all core genes

(Figure S5) using a principal component analysis to detect genes that were

differentially expressed across our host range (Figure S6). Analysis of this set of genes

showed some consistent patterns of differential expression across all strains. A

common pattern of upregulation in response to acquisition of the ESBL plasmid was

observed for the *cit* operon, *his* operon, the *hya, hyb,* and *hyc* operon, and the *nar* and

ttd operons and the *gad* loci (Figure 5). Conversely, there was a common pattern of

down-regulation in response to acquisition of the ESBL plasmid of the *csg, gat, waa,*

yad, and *yih* operons across all strains. Functional enrichment analysis of genes in the

5th and 95th percentile of these differentially expressed loci confirmed this consistent

fine-scale transcriptional response to the plasmid in genes associated with the cell

wall, signal transduction, cell motility, energy production and conversion, and

carbohydrate transport and metabolism (Figure 5).

Discussion

Evidence from experimental evolution studies has provided us with a detailed picture of the impact that acquisition of plasmids and their stable integration into a host cells genetic inventory has on cell fitness (Brockhurst et al., 2019). Much of this fitness impact is driven by changes in transcription in the acquiring cell, both the need to transcribe genes on the plasmid, but also global effects on host cell transcription to offset the impact of carrying the plasmid (Buckner et al., 2018; Harrison et al., 2015; Millan et al., 2015). There are very few of these studies examining the impact of acquisition of multi-drug resistance plasmids on cells from genetically diverse strains (Buckner et al., 2018), with most evidence of adaptations that occur as a result of MDR plasmid acquisition stemming from large comparative population genomics studies (Feng et al., 2019; McNally et al., 2016, 2019). Here we address this by examining the impact of acquisition of an MDR plasmid on *E. coli* from a variety of genetic backgrounds ranging from environmental lineages with no reported MDR plasmid carriage to MDR-plasmid-free clinical isolates from the ST131 lineage most commonly associated with multi-drug resistance in clinical settings.

Acquisition of the ESBL plasmid varied among strains, although all strains stably maintained the plasmid once they had acquired it, likely due to the presence of two toxin-antitoxin systems on pLL35. Plasmid acquisition had variable effects on growth between strains. Notably, plasmid acquisition was not costly in terms of relative growth for all the strains, with increased growth of plasmid carriers relative to their parentals observed in two strains. This is surprising given that plasmid acquisition has been shown to be associated with fitness costs across a diversity of plasmid-host interactions, although variation in the magnitude of the cost has been described

(Bouma & Lenski, 1988; Göttig et al., 2016; Kottara et al., 2018; Nang et al., 2018).

However, our data are consistent with those of another recent study that tested the

fitness effect of a given plasmid across the range of genetic backgrounds present in a

host species. Like ours this study reveal diverse fitness impacts ranging across a

continuum from costly to beneficial (Alonso-del Valle et al., 2020). These data highlight

that the fitness effects of a plasmid can be highly strain-specific, and thus are likely to

arise from specific genetic interactions rather than the generic biosynthetic costs of

plasmid maintenance. Interestingly, effects on growth of plasmid acquisition were

uncorrelated with the extent of changes in gene expression across the strains,

suggesting that greater plasmid-mediated gene dysregulation does not necessarily

translate to larger fitness costs.

Strains varied in the level of cefotaxime resistance provided by the ESBL plasmid.

This suggests epistasis between the plasmid ESBL gene and chromosomal loci that

vary among strains. Through comparison of the strain genomes we could not identify

any clear differences in chromosomal gene content among strains in terms of known

resistance determinants to explain the observed variation in cefotaxime resistance.

For example, all strains encode the same set of standard efflux pumps with the

exception of F047 and F048, which also contain *tetA*. Yet F047 and F048 differ

markedly to each other in their cefotaxime resistance response, suggesting that TetA

does not explain the variable resistance response. All strains encode a variant of

blaEC. In addition, F022 and F047 encode *blaTEM-1* but vary in their cefotaxime

resistance response, suggesting that *blaTEM-1* does not explain the variable

resistance response. This is perhaps unsurprising as the prediction of a strain's

resistance phenotype from gene content alone is notoriously inaccurate (Mahfouz et

al., 2020).

Comparing the transcriptomes of plasmid-carriers with their parental strain revealed

highly strain-specific effects of plasmid acquisition on the expression of chromosomal

genes. The number of genes whose transcription was affected by the plasmid was

small in all strains, ranging from 0 to just 22 genes (at FDR < 0.05). These data stand

in contrast to other studies where the expression levels of hundreds of chromosomal

genes are affected by plasmid acquisition (Harrison et al., 2015; Long et al., 2019;

Millan et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2015). Significant transcriptional effects of ESBL

plasmid acquisition were focussed in discrete operons or regulons. For example, in

GU15 we observed down-regulation of the sulfur biosynthesis and transport operons

(*cysA – cysW*). In F048 plasmid-carriers, flavohaemoglobin (Hmp), which is

responsible for resistance to nitrosative stress (Stevanin et al., 2007), was upregulated

following plasmid acquisition. In F047, the transcriptional impact of plasmid acquisition

was more widespread, affecting more diverse functions, but, consistent with F048,

most of these were related to stress-responses. Upregulation was observed in *marR*,

which did not extend to *marA*; *marA* has been demonstrated to have a short half-life

(3 minutes) and is quickly depleted when the environmental stress is removed (Vinué

et al., 2013). Increased expression was also observed in heat shock proteins IbpA/B.

The function of IbpA/B extends beyond heat stress; previous studies have shown that

increased *ibpA/B* expression resulted in the overproduction of a beta-lactamase

precursor, potentially through IbpA/B binding to the precursor protein, preventing

subsequent processing (Kuczyńska-Wiśnik et al., 2002). This could explain the

lowered cefotaxime MIC observed, though the exact cause of *ibpA/B* upregulation is

unclear. All of these factors indicate that F047 exhibited a strong stress response to

acquisition of the plasmid. Plasmids are known to elicit stress responses in their host

cells (San Millan et al., 2018), for example through the conjugation-mediated cell

envelope stress in *E. coli* (Yang et al., 2008). It is hypothesised the production of

conjugation apparatus may lead to misfolded proteins; F047 failed to conjugate in

shaking conditions. This may be due to an increase in the concentration of damaged

or misfolded proteins beyond that which would be mitigated by increased expression

of stress response proteins (e.g. DegP, CpxP).

In two of our strains there is evidence of a transcriptional response possibly driven by

the relationship between mobile genetic elements. In F022, the upregulation of

flagellar and chemotaxis genes was explained by a 768 bp insertion of an IS1 family

element encoding both *insA* and *insB* in the *lrhA* regulator. LrhA belongs to the lysR

family and binds to and negatively regulates expression of the *flhDC* master regulator.

Truncation of *lrhA* is therefore likely to have prevented negative regulation of *flhDC*,

leading to uncontrolled expression of the flagellar and chemotaxis operons. Genomic

comparison of IS elements within the F022 genome revealed 7 identical IS1

sequences in the parental genome, with 4 found on the chromosome, and 3 on a

canonical plasmid. Upon plasmid acquisition, this element inserted one additional

time. This appeared to be a random event, as a similar insertion could not be detected

in the short-read data of replicate transconjugants. In F048 a set of co-located

upregulated genes were associated with a chromosomal prophage. Many prophages

respond to host stress responses, which can be induced by plasmid acquisition and

thus may explain the upregulation of prophage gene expression observed here.

Prophages have also been shown to excise and replicate under stress conditions, but

we did not detect any excision or genomic amplification of the phage region.

Besides those genes whose expression was significantly altered by ESBL plasmid

acquisition (i.e. that met the stringent significance threshold), we also observed a

subtle but consistent transcriptional response to plasmid acquisition among all genes

with a > 2-fold change in expression. Upon acquisition of a plasmid the most intuitive

scenario would be a fall in chromosomal transcription as transcriptional machinery is

sequestered at plasmid promoters (Dunn et al., 2019). Accordingly, across all strains,

we observed reduction in transcription of *csg* genes encoding curli fimbriae and genes

involved in cell wall and outer membrane production including the *waa* LPS core

genes. These genes are involved in biosynthesis of energetically costly structures in

the cell and their repression is consistent with offsetting energetic costs of plasmid

maintenance. Conversely, we observed consistently increased transcription of *hya,*

hyb, hyc genes encoding the hydrogenase-1 and 3 complexes, the *nar* gene encoding

nitrate reductase, the *ttr* gene encoding tartrate dehydratase, and the *gad* glutamate

decarboxylase operon. All of these genes are involved in various aspects of anaerobic

metabolism, which is known to be important for colonising the mammalian gut.

Moreover, some of these genes exhibit negative frequency dependent selection in the

MDR clade C of *E. coli* ST131, which may reflect selection for enhanced intestinal

colonisation (McNally et al., 2019). The observation of broad-scale, subtle changes to

chromosomal gene expression caused by an MDR plasmid that are consistent across

diverse bacterial lineages warrants further investigation. Their scale is suggestive of a

role for plasmid-encoded regulatory elements, such as small RNAs (Vial & Hommais,

2020), with the potential for genome-wide effects.

Conclusion

We observed strain-specific but limited effects of acquisition of an ESBL plasmid across diverse *E. coli* lineages. The transcriptional response to plasmid acquisition was limited to differential expression of small numbers of genes within discrete operons or regulons whose identity varied between strains. More subtle but consistent effects of plasmid acquisition on global transcription were observed, affecting a range of cellular processes. Relative growth and cefotaxime resistance of ESBL plasmid carriers varied between strains. Overall, our findings suggest that the effects of MDR plasmid acquisition upon the host cell arise from specific genetic interactions that are likely to be difficult to predict a priori and that fitness costs are unlikely to act as a barrier to plasmid transmission in natural populations of *E. coli*.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by a BBSRC project grant [BB/R006261/1 & BB/R006253/1] jointly awarded to AM and MB respectively. We would like to thank Dr. Robert Moran for his feedback and guidance on pLL35.

Figures

Figure 1 – **The level of resistance to cefotaxime conferred by the pLL35 varied by strain.** Plots are facetted by *E. coli* strain. Solid lines show the mean (n=3) ± standard error of bacterial density measured using optical density (OD) at 600 nm with (red) or without (blue) pLL35 across gradients of increasing cefotaxime concentration.

Figure 2 – **The effect of acquiring pLL35 on bacterial growth kinetics varied by**

strain. Panels show the response of the following growth parameters to plasmid

acquisition: (A) lag time, (B) maximum growth rate, (C) maximum density, and (D)

integral (i.e. area under the growth curve). Boxes show normalised values (plasmid-

carrying strain value divided by parental strain value) for each strain (n=5) indicating

the performance of plasmid carriers relative to their parental strain where a value of 1

represents equal performance. The lower hinge of the box denotes the 25th percentile,

the upper hinge denotes the 75th percentile, and the line within the box indicates the

median. Upper whiskers extend to the highest value no further than 1.5 times the inter-

quartile range from the hinge. Lower whiskers extend to the smallest value no further

than 1.5 times the inter-quartile range from the hinge. Points indicate outliers beyond

the whiskers.

Figure 3 – **Transcriptional responses to acquiring pLL35 varied by strain.** Log_2

fold change (CTX) of differential expression data and their statistical significance (-

log_{10} of the P-value). Whilst 4 of the 8 isolates showed no significant transcriptional

differences, other isolates showed patterns of differential expression in discrete

operons, or in genes under the control of a common regulator. The transcriptomic

effects observed in this host range are determined by strain, rather than host genetic

background. Blue = Significant Fold Change (>2) OR Significant P value (<0.05), Red

= Also FDR Significant at a threshold of <0.10, Green = Also FDR Significant at a

threshold of <0.05, Black = Not significant.

Figure 4 – **Transcriptional response of strain F022 was likely caused by a**

chromosomal insertion of an IS element. A) Parental genome sequence with fully

intact lrhA gene. B) Transconjugant genome sequence with an IS1 family transposase

sequence causing a truncation to lrhA. C) IS1 family transposase with left and right

inverted repeat sequences, and a 9 base pair target site duplication. This particular

IS1 occurs 7 times in the parental strain, and has inserted one additional time upon

plasmid conjugation. Querying the ISfinder database shows that this IS1 shares 97%

sequence identity with IS1 R, B and D. This element encodes two ORFs, insA and

insB. D) Depth of long reads uniquely mapped to the transconjugant assembly. The

minimum depth of reads that were successfully mapped to this region is 50, and in the

parental isolate there are 0 reads that map to the IS1 transposase.

Figure 5 – Transcriptional change of genes from the extremes of the PCA distribution

show some common signatures of differential expression (e.g. *cit* operon, *his* operon,

the *hya, hyb,* and *hyc* operon, and the *nar*, *gad* and *ttd* operons). Genes were

extracted from the 5th and 95th percentile of the PCA distribution from figure S6 (i.e.

genes extraneous to the central distribution). These genes were assigned to COGS

functional categories, with each category ordered via UPGMA clustering of the

expression data.

Supplementary Material

Figure S1 – CTX-M-15 containing plasmid pLL35. A) Genomic map of pLL35 showing

a complete transfer region including a full suite of conjugation machine (tra locus), and

a complex antibiotic resistance region that confers resistance to cephalosporins,

aminogylcosides and quinolones. Within this region, OXA-9 is found to contain a

premature stop codon. pLL35 was found to contain a novel IS element flanked by a 5

bp terminal site duplication (TCCTG). B) Schematic of the resistance region, which

contains Tn1331b that is interrupted by a 2971 bp insertion of ISEcp1 containing a

1315 bp passenger section that includes CTX-M-15.

Figure S2 – Conjugational uptake of pLL35 varied with *E. coli* strain and culturing

conditions. Open symbols show mean ± standard error of conjugation rate for each

strain and culturing condition. Colour denotes culturing condition (shaken culture =

green; static culture = orange). Individual replicate values are shown as different

symbol shapes. Symbols are jittered to prevent over-plotting.

Figure S3 – **Stable maintenance of pLL35 in all *E. coli* strains.** Plots are facetted

horizontally by strain. Lines show the mean (n=4) ± standard error (shaded area)

bacterial population densities over time from colony forming unit counts on nutrient

agar plates to give the whole population (blue) or nutrient agar plates supplemented

with 4 µg/ml of cefotaxime to give the plasmid-carrier fraction of the population (red).

Figure S4 – **The magnitude of the transcriptional response to pLL35 acquisition**

varied by strain. A) Number of genes that were significantly (FDR <0.05) differentially

expressed (>2 log fold change). B) Number of genes that were significantly (FDR

<0.10) differentially expressed (>2 log fold change). Red = number of genes

upregulated, Blue = number of genes that were downregulated.

Figure S5 – **Global transcriptional response of ~779 core genes across all**

strains, sorted by functional cog category. Gene order is determined via UPGMA

clustering of log2 transcriptional changes. A = Cellular processes and signalling, B =

Metabolism , C = Information storage and processing, D = Poorly characterised. The

isolates vary in their transcriptional response to the plasmid in a strain dependent

manner, however a large number of metabolism genes can be seen to increase in

transcription across all strains. Isolate F022, belonging to ST-131 Clade A -which is

not typically associated with MDR plasmids – exhibits the most disparate differential

expression profile.

Figure S6 – **PCA distributions of expression values from functionally**

categorised core genes. A = Cellular processes and signalling, B = Metabolism , C

= Information storage and processing. D shows how each isolate is contributing to the

PCA distribution. This data highlights which genes exhibit the greatest level of

differential expression amongst the isolates, with several candidates in each larger

COG category. Panel D recapitulates the phylogenetic relatedness of isolates, with

environmental isolates, MDR associated and non-associated lineages appearing

together.

Table S1 – Accession numbers for all read data associated with this study.

Table S2 – Variants detected in transconjugant strains and their impact on host

transcription, and variants detected in independent conjugation replicates. Positions

highlighted in bold occur in multiple replicates.

References

Alonso-del Valle, A., León-Sampedro, R., Rodríguez-Beltrán, J., Hernández-García, M., Ruiz-Garbajosa, P., Cantón, R., San Millán, Á., Spain, M., & Peña-Miller, R. (2020). The distribution of plasmid fitness effects explains plasmid persistence in bacterial. In *bioRxiv*.

Banerjee, R., & Johnson, J. R. (2014). A New Clone Sweeps Clean: the Enigmatic Emergence of Escherichia coli Sequence Type 131. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *58*(9), 4997–5004. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02824-14

Bouma, J. E., & Lenski, R. E. (1988). Evolution of a bacteria/plasmid association. *Nature*, *335*(6188), 351–352. https://doi.org/10.1038/335351a0

Brockhurst, M. A., Harrison, E., Hall, J. P. J., Richards, T., McNally, A., & MacLean, C. (2019). The Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. *Current Biology : CB*, *29*(20), R1094–R1103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.012

Buckner, M. M. C., Saw, H. T. H., Osagie, R. N., McNally, A., Ricci, V., Wand, M. E., Woodford, N., Ivens, A., Webber, M. A., & Piddock, L. J. V. (2018). Clinically Relevant Plasmid-Host Interactions Indicate that Transcriptional and Not Genomic Modifications Ameliorate Fitness Costs of Klebsiella pneumoniae Carbapenemase-Carrying Plasmids. *MBio*, *9*(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02303-17

Chilcott, G. S., & Hughes, K. T. (2000). Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar assembly in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium and Escherichia coli. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, *64*(4), 694–708. https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.64.4.694-708.2000

CLSI. (2012). *Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Test for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically. M7-A*. Wayne, PA.

Dunn, S. J., Connor, C., & McNally, A. (2019). The evolution and transmission of

multi-drug resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: the complexity

of clones and plasmids. In *Current Opinion in Microbiology* (Vol. 51, pp. 51–56).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2019.06.004

Feng, Y., Liu, L., Lin, J., Ma, K., Long, H., Wei, L., Xie, Y., McNally, A., & Zong, Z.

(2019). Key evolutionary events in the emergence of a globally disseminated,

carbapenem resistant clone in the Escherichia coli ST410 lineage.

Communications Biology, 2, 322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0569-1

Göttig, S., Riedel-Christ, S., Saleh, A., Kempf, V. A. J., & Hamprecht, A. (2016).

Impact of blaNDM-1 on fitness and pathogenicity of Escherichia coli and

Klebsiella pneumoniae. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 47(6),

430–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.02.019

Harrison, E., Guymer, D., Spiers, A. J., Paterson, S., & Brockhurst, M. A. (2015).

Parallel Compensatory Evolution Stabilizes Plasmids across the Parasitism-

Mutualism Continuum. *Current Biology*, 25(15), 2034–2039.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.06.024

Kottara, A., Hall, J. P. J., Harrison, E., & Brockhurst, M. A. (2018). Variable plasmid

fitness effects and mobile genetic element dynamics across Pseudomonas

species. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 94(1), 172.

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix172

Kuczyńska-Wiśnik, D., Kędzierska, S., Matuszewska, E., Lund, P., Taylor, A.,

Lipińska, B., & Laskowska, E. (2002). The Escherichia coli small heat-shock

proteins IbpA and IbpB prevent the aggregation of endogenous proteins

denatured in vivo during extreme heat shock. *Microbiology*.

https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-148-6-1757

Long, D., Zhu, L. L., Du, F. L., Xiang, T. X., Wan, L. G., Wei, D. D., Zhang, W., & Liu,

Y. (2019). Phenotypical profile and global transcriptomic profile of Hypervirulent

Klebsiella pneumoniae due to carbapenemase-encoding plasmid acquisition.

BMC Genomics, *20*(1), 480. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5705-2

Mahfouz, N., Ferreira, I., Beisken, S., von Haeseler, A., & Posch, A. E. (2020).

Large-scale assessment of antimicrobial resistance marker databases for

genetic phenotype prediction: a systematic review. *Journal of Antimicrobial*

Chemotherapy. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa257

Mathers, A. J., Peirano, G., & Pitout, J. D. D. (2015). The role of epidemic resistance

plasmids and international high-risk clones in the spread of multidrug-resistant

Enterobacteriaceae. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *28*(3), 565–591.

https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00116-14

McNally, A., Kallonen, T., Connor, C., Abudahab, K., Aanensen, D. M., Horner, C.,

Peacock, S. J., Parkhill, J., Croucher, N. J., & Corander, J. (2019).

Diversification of Colonization Factors in a Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia coli

Lineage Evolving under Negative Frequency-Dependent Selection. *MBio*, *10*(2).

https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00644-19

McNally, A., Oren, Y., Kelly, D., Pascoe, B., Dunn, S., Sreecharan, T., Vehkala, M.,

Välimäki, N., Prentice, M. B., Ashour, A., Avram, O., Pupko, T., Dobrindt, U.,

Literak, I., Guenther, S., Schaufler, K., Wieler, L. H., Zhiyong, Z., Sheppard, S.

K., … Corander, J. (2016). Combined Analysis of Variation in Core, Accessory

and Regulatory Genome Regions Provides a Super-Resolution View into the

Evolution of Bacterial Populations. *PLoS Genetics*, *12*(9), e1006280.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006280

Millan, A. S., Toll-Riera, M., Qi, Q., & MacLean, R. C. (2015). Interactions between

horizontally acquired genes create a fitness cost in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Nature Communications, *6*. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7845

Nang, S. C., Morris, F. C., McDonald, M. J., Han, M. L., Wang, J., Strugnell, R. A.,

Velkov, T., & Li, J. (2018). Fitness cost of mcr-1-mediated polymyxin resistance

in Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, *73*(6), 1604–

1610. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky061

Peirano, G., Schreckenberger, P. C., & Pitout, J. D. D. (2011). Characteristics of

NDM-1-Producing Escherichia coli Isolates That Belong to the Successful and

Virulent Clone ST131. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *55*(6), 2986–

2988.

Petty, N. K., Zakour, N. L. Ben, Stanton-Cook, M., Skippington, E., Totsika, M.,

Forde, B. M., Phan, M. D., Moriel, D. G., Peters, K. M., Davies, M., Rogers, B.

A., Dougan, G., Rodriguez-Baño, J., Pascual, A., Pitout, J. D., Upton, M.,

Paterson, D. L., Walsh, T. R., Schembri, M. A., & Beatson, S. A. (2014). Global

dissemination of a multidrug resistant Escherichia coli clone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A, doi/10.107.

San Millan, A., Toll-Riera, M., Qi, Q., Betts, A., Hopkinson, R. J., McCullagh, J., &

MacLean, R. C. (2018). Integrative analysis of fitness and metabolic effects of

plasmids in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. *The ISME Journal*, *12*(12), 3014–

3024. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0224-8

Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics*,

30(14), 2068–2069. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153

Stevanin, T. M., Read, R. C., & Poole, R. K. (2007). The hmp gene encoding the

NO-inducible flavohaemoglobin in Escherichia coli confers a protective

advantage in resisting killing within macrophages, but not in vitro: Links with

swarming motility. *Gene*, *398*(1-2 SPEC. ISS.), 62–68.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.03.021

Takahashi, Y., Shintani, M., Takase, N., Kazo, Y., Kawamura, F., Hara, H., Nishida,

H., Okada, K., Yamane, H., & Nojiri, H. (2015). Modulation of primary cell

function of host Pseudomonas bacteria by the conjugative plasmid pCAR1.

Environmental Microbiology, *17*(1), 134–155. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-

2920.12515

Vial, L., & Hommais, F. (2020). Plasmid-chromosome cross-talks. *Environmental*

Microbiology, *22*(2), 540–556. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14880

Vinué, L., Mcmurry, L. M., & Levy, S. B. (2013). The 216-bp marB gene of the

marRAB operon in Escherichia coli encodes a periplasmic protein which

reduces the transcription rate of marA. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12182

Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L., & Holt, K. E. (2017). Unicycler: Resolving

bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS*

Computational Biology, *13*(6), e1005595.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595

Wright, R. C. T., Friman, V.-P., Smith, M. C. M., & Brockhurst, M. A. (2018). Cross-

resistance is modular in bacteria-phage interactions. *PLoS Biology*, *16*(10),

e2006057. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006057

Wu, W., Feng, Y., Tang, G., Qiao, F., McNally, A., & Zong, Z. (2019). NDM Metallo-

beta-Lactamases and Their Bacterial Producers in Health Care Settings. *Clinical*

Microbiology Reviews, *32*(2). https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00115-18

Yang, X., Ma, Q., & Wood, T. K. (2008). The R1 conjugative plasmid increases

Escherichia coli biofilm formation through an envelope stress response. *Applied*

and Environmental Microbiology, *74*(9), 2690–2699.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02809-07

Zong, Z., Fenn, S., Connor, C., Feng, Y., & McNally, A. (2018). Complete genomic

characterization of two Escherichia coli lineages responsible for a cluster of

carbapenem-resistant infections in a Chinese hospital. *Journal of Antimicrobial*

Chemotherapy, *73*(9), 2340–2346. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky210

A

B

C

D

A   1,570,204 ⊢ yfbR   alaA   lrhA   ndhC nuoB ⊣ 1,575,030

                                    312 aa

                                                        +776 bp
B   1,570,204 ⊢ yfbR   alaA   IS1   lrhA ndhC nuoB ⊢ 1,575,806

                                       267 aa
                                       -45 aa

● Biosynthesis ● Flagellar ● NADH ● Transposase

C

            IRL   IRR
GGTGATGCTGCCAACTTACTGAT   ATCAGTAAGTTGGAGTCATTACC

CTTACTGAT   ▐   IS1   ▌   CTTACTGAT

                768 bp

D

Transcojugant

Parental

Depth
☐ 0
  50-70
  70-80
  80+

alaA   — IS1 —   lrhA   ndhC