Interpreting the dynamic pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease by

longitudinal blood transcriptome analysis

Gang Xue[1,4], Gang Wang[1,2,4], Qianqian Shi[1], Hui Wang[1], Bo-Min Lv[1], Min Gao[1,3],

Xiaohui Niu[1*] and Hong-Yu Zhang[1*]

[1]Hubei Key Laboratory of Agricultural Bioinformatics, College of Informatics,

Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, 430070, China

[2]Department of Basic Medical Laboratory, General Hospital of the Central Command

Theater of PLA, Wuhan, 430015, China

[3]Lab of Epigenetics and Advanced Health Technology, Space Science and Technology

Institute (Shenzhen), Shenzhen, 518117, China

[4]These authors contributed equally

*Corresponding authors: Hong-Yu Zhang (zhy630@mail.hzau.edu.cn) and Xiaohui

Niu (niuxiaoh@mail.hzau.edu.cn)

Abstract

Achieving an improved understanding of the temporal sequence of factors involved in

Parkinson's disease (PD) pathogenesis may accelerate drug discovery. In this study, we

performed a longitudinal transcriptome analysis to identify associated genes underlying

the pathogenesis of PD at three temporal phases. We firstly found that multiple initiator

genes, which are related to processes of olfactory transduction and stem cell

pluripotency, indicate PD risk to those subjects at the prodromal phase. And many

facilitator genes involved in calcium signaling and stem cell pluripotency contribute to

PD onset. We next identified 325 aggravator genes whose expression could lead to

disease progression through damage to dopaminergic synapses and ferroptosis via an

integrative analysis with DNA methylation. Last, we made a systematic comparison of

gene expression patterns across PD development and accordingly provided candidate

drugs at different phases in an attempt to prevent the neurodegeneration process.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a common chronic neurodegenerative disorder characterized by tremor and postural instability in motor symptoms along with non-motor symptoms, including hyposmia, sleeping disorder and cognitive decline[1,2]. The primary pathological features of PD are aggregations of alpha-synuclein (α-syn) in the cytoplasm, as well as the elimination of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc)[1].

Currently, the pathogenesis of PD has not been thoroughly elucidated, and many factors have been identified to contribute to the neurodegenerative process of PD. Recently, a new conceptual model of PD pathogenesis was proposed, in which these multifaceted factors can be divided into three temporal phases, namely, triggers, facilitators, and aggravators, and they may play distinct roles at different phases of PD[3]. Triggers are agents, such as environmental toxins and viral infections, that initiate the disease process. Accompanying or following triggers, facilitators spread the pathology to the central nervous system, leading to the onset of PD motor symptoms. Facilitators are proposed to include systemic inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and genetic mutations. After PD manifests, aggravators, such as impaired autophagy and neuroinflammation, can directly contribute to disease progression. This conceptual model provides a new definition for PD pathogenesis, but the framework requires further investigation and validation at the molecular level. Elucidating the temporal sequence of pathogenesis in the disease process may be helpful for applying appropriate therapeutic agents when the related factor is most active[3].

Transcriptome sequencing is a useful technique that is employed to quantitatively investigate genes responsible for the underlying pathogenesis. Previous transcriptomic studies of PD examined gene expression at a single time point[4,5,6], hindering the observation of expression changes in disease development. By monitoring the same individual at different stages or time points, longitudinal transcriptomics analysis can capture the gene expression patterns during the temporal phases of disease and therefore has the potential to be employed to study the systemic evolution of pathogenesis[7]. The Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) is a landmark longitudinal observational study to comprehensively evaluate PD and identify biomarkers of disease progression[8]. In 2019, the PPMI reported the longitudinal RNA resource of whole blood samples, which enabled us to determine the sequence of pathogenesis in PD development.

In this study, we performed a longitudinal transcriptome analysis with blood samples from the PPMI to study the dynamic pathogenesis of PD (Fig. 1). By analyzing the subjects who developed from prodromal to PD, we first identified initiator genes that indicated the risk of disease by survival analysis. Next, facilitator genes were determined to contribute to the onset of PD through differential expression analysis. Moreover, aggravator genes were identified in 252 *de novo* PD patients observed from baseline to the third year. Causal inference and methylome-wide association study (MWAS) provided further validation and insight into aggravation. Based on the distinct gene expression patterns observed in these three phases, we suggested potential therapies to be employed at the appropriate stages to prevent neurodegeneration in PD.

Notably, we demonstrated that withaferin A has the ability to promote the differentiation

of neural stem cells into dopaminergic neurons *in vitro* experiment. The results suggest

great potential of withaferin A to treat prodromal or early stage PD patients.

Results

Overview of study

In this study, two cohorts from PPMI were utilized to interpret the dynamic

pathogenesis of PD (Fig. 1). Sixty-four prodromal subjects who had a diagnosis of

hyposmia or rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) or at least one motor

symptom of PD were followed from 2013 to 2019, some of whom had converted to PD

during longitudinal observation. The conversion process was employed to infer the

pathogenesis of initiation and facilitation. To investigate the pathogenesis of disease

aggravation, 252 *de novo*, untreated idiopathic PD patients were selected at baseline

and subsequently observed at 1 year, 2 years, and 3 years. In this instance, the

Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS)

total score was applied to measure the clinical severity of PD.

It is difficult to obtain brain transcriptomes from PD patients, while blood

transcriptomes are more accessible and less invasive to obtain from patients, making it

easy to dynamically monitor cellular injuries that occur in PD. RNA-seq data were

obtained from whole blood samples under the stringent quality controls applied by

PPMI (Methods). Notably, ~60-70% of genes expressed in the blood are also expressed

in brain tissues, as determined by examining mRNA only, suggesting that the blood

may serve as a surrogate tissue for brain transcriptome analysis and facilitate the

identification of PD-related molecular signatures.

Identification of initiators

In the previous conceptual model of PD pathogenesis, triggers are agents or events that

initiate disease pathogenesis during prodromal PD. These triggers, such as pathogens

and environmental toxins, are exogenous factors that initiate the disease process[3].

However, we attempted to infer endogenous factors at the molecular level by examining

initiator genes, which might indicate the risk of disease and are thought to act in the

prodromal stage. To identify these genes, we defined prodromal conversion to PD as an

"event" and defined time interval of conversion as the "survival time" to perform

survival analysis (Methods). Next, genes that were significantly associated with the risk

of conversion were considered initiator genes of PD (2,714 genes, log-rank test $P \leq$

0.05, Supplementary Table 1).

Many initiator genes that influence the risk of PD onset were involved in signaling

pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells, olfactory transduction, and hepatitis C

(Fig. 2a). In line with the triggers proposed, hepatitis C, which is an infectious pathogen,

is associated with a higher risk of developing PD[9]. In addition, the dysfunction of

olfactory transduction is strongly related to hyposmia, which is one of the earliest non-

motor symptoms of PD[10] and is consistent with the clinical symptoms of prodromal

subjects, as previously described. Together with the evidence of α-syn aggregates in

olfactory[11], these findings indicated that the olfactory system may be the major initiator

site in PD pathogenesis. Notably, *OR8D1*, one of the key genes to help maintain normal

olfactory function[12], ranks first among the initiator genes (log-rank test, $P = 3.2e\text{-}05$).

Prodromal subjects with lower expression levels of *OR8D1* were at high risk of

converting to PD (Fig. 2b). Thus, the expression of *OR8D1* could be employed to help

early diagnosis. In PD, ~50% of nigral neurons are lost before the onset of clinical

motor symptoms[13]. According to our results, we hypothesize that the lost neurons might

not be renewed due to the damaged pluripotency of stem cells, which can influence the

neurogenesis process[14,15].

Identification of facilitators

Facilitators are proposed to help initiators spread PD pathology and damage the central

nervous system[3]. Unlike initiator genes, facilitator genes are thought to contribute to

the onset of PD and show different expression patterns in prodromal and early-stage

PD. To find the facilitator genes, we identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

by comparing the expression profiles from prodromal to confirmed PD patients in a

discovery dataset, which contained 17 RNA-seq samples of five subjects during their

natural conversion (Method, Fig. 3a). We identified 1,326 DEGs whose changes in

expression during conversion may facilitate the onset of PD ($P \leq 0.05$, Supplementary

Table 2). To assess the robustness of our results, a similar analysis was performed in a

validation dataset with 57 prodromal subjects and 390 PD subjects at baseline.

Although these two cohorts have no conversion relationship between each other, the

large-scale samples can largely eliminate the influence of differences deriving from

genetic background in our comparative research. The DEGs in the discovery and

validation datasets (false discovery rate (FDR) $\leq$ 0.01, Supplementary Table 3) had a

significant overlap (Fig. 3b), supporting the results in the discovery dataset with limited

samples. Therefore, these 1,326 DEGs refer to facilitator genes of PD.

The facilitator genes were mostly expressed in the cerebral cortex, suggesting that

the central nervous system might have been damaged (Fig. 3c). In addition, the

facilitator genes were mainly downregulated in the calcium signaling pathway and

signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells during conversion (Fig. 3d).

Evidence has shown that changes in calcium (Ca^{2+}) levels may trigger a series of events

to increase SNc neuronal death sensitivity[16], including mitochondrial dysfunction,

enhanced ROS generation and damaged ATP production. Ca^{2+} homeostasis is also

regulated by PD-related proteins, such as α-syn, PINK-1/parkin, leucine-rich repeat

kinase 2 (LRRK2), and DJ-1 protein[16]. These findings confirmed the facilitators of a

previously conceptual model[3] and indicated that dysfunction in Ca^{2+} signaling is one of

the earliest events in the pathogenesis of PD[16]. We also noted that the pathway of stem

cells pluripotency was also captured by initiator genes; thus, the neurogenesis process

was influenced in both the prodromal and early stages of PD, which may also imply its

causative role in the onset of PD. Taken together, these findings indicated that the

dysfunctions in calcium signaling and stem cell pluripotency might be considered

facilitators of PD pathogenesis.

Identification of aggravators

Once PD manifests, aggravators can directly promote the neurodegenerative process

and contribute to disease progression. In fact, although there are some interventions for

PD, at present, there is no agent that can halt PD progression. Most of the patients

showed aggravation within a few years after diagnosis[17]. In addition, consistent with

our observation (Supplementary Fig. 1a-b), the clinical manifestations of patients differ

greatly in the trajectory of aggravation[18], hindering efforts to identify a suitable

treatment. Although molecular mechanism underlying PD aggravation has critical

importance in the long-term prevention and treatment of this disease, it has not been

elucidated to date. Therefore, we searched for potential aggravator genes to determine

the underlying mechanisms of PD.

With the longitudinal blood transcriptome of 252 patients at four serial time points

(baseline, 1 year, 2 years, and 3 years), we constructed linear mixed effects models

(Methods) to characterize the association between gene expression change and clinical

severity during disease aggravation. Using each subject's baseline samples as their own

control, this analysis reduces the noise derived from the genetic background of patients

and improves the precision. We identified 325 genes significantly associated with PD

aggravation (FDR $\leq$ 0.05; Supplementary Table 4), 307 of which were consistently

downregulated and 18 of which were upregulated, across the entire aggravation process

(Fig. 4a). Taking downregulated aggravator genes as an example, at early stages

(baseline and 1 year), these genes were expressed at a relatively high level, but the gene

expression level decreased significantly in many patients after 2-3 years. Such an

expression trend was also observed in an independent dataset from PD patients

(Supplementary Fig. 2), suggesting that the dynamic molecular functions dominating

disease aggravation may help the development of drugs to intervene in the process.

Moreover, the downregulated aggravator genes were mainly enriched in the

dopaminergic synapse pathway, cGMP-PKG signaling pathway, and ferroptosis (Fig.

4b). It is clear that the suppressed function of dopaminergic synapses can damage

dopaminergic neurons. Ferroptosis is an iron-dependent autophagic cell death process[19],

and prior research has elucidated its mechanism in PD neurodegeneration. For example,

as a key component of ferroptosis, iron generates hydroxyl radicals and induces the

oxidation of dopamine, which may lead to an oxidative environment and thus contribute

to the death of dopaminergic neurons in the SNc[20].

What remained to be confirmed was whether the changes in the expression levels of

aggravator genes were the consequences or causes of PD progression. Therefore, we

next performed cross-lagged panel analyses (Methods, Fig. 4c) to investigate the cause-

effect relationship between the 325 aggravator genes and clinical severity (i.e., MDS-

UPDRS total score). Ten aggravator genes showed significant path coefficients (β_4)

from baseline gene expression to follow-up MDS-UPDRS total score (the third year)

but did not show significant path coefficients (β_2) from baseline MDS-UPDRS total

score to follow-up gene expression, demonstrating that the changes in expression

exhibited by these genes may be the causes, rather than the consequences of PD

aggravation (Supplementary Table 5). Based on the unidirectional relationship from

baseline gene expression to follow-up clinical severity at ten genes, we further

examined the association between weighted gene expression risk score (ERS) at

baseline and MDS-UPDRS total score at follow-up and found a significant positive

correlation between them (Methods), which confirmed that these genes drive the

process of aggravation and could be utilized for disease prediction (Fig. 4d).

Integrative analysis of aggravator genes and DNA methylation

As is well-known, the alterations of gene expression are affected by comprehensive

factors, such as genetic variation and epigenetic changes. In this study, we further

integrated the baseline blood methylation profiles to elucidate the epigenetic regulation

related to disease aggravation. Methylome-wide association study (MWAS) were

performed to distinguish the disease signals from CpG methylation (Method). Since

methylation alterations may achieve long-term regulation of disease development and

do not cause clinical manifestations immediately[21,22] (Supplementary Fig. 3), we

constructed a linear model with the baseline methylome and the rate of PD aggravation.

A total of 1,416 CpG sites ($P \leq 0.001$, Supplementary Table 6) were found to be

associated with the rate of aggravation (Fig. 5a), and methylation score derived from

these CpG sites accounted for approximately 19% of its variance (Method, Fig. 5b). In

addition, previous research identified 138 CpGs that significantly changed over time in

PD patients with longitudinal genome-wide methylation data[23]. Although there is no

overlap between our aggravator rate-associated CpGs and the previously identified 138

CpGs, the genes mapped by CpGs showed significant overlap ($P = 7.8e-06$, Fig. 5c),

further indicating that these 1,416 CpGs identified by us were related to disease

aggravation. Moreover, the aberrant methylation events that occurred in many PD-

related pathways overlapped with those pathways enriched by aggravator genes, e.g.,

dopaminergic synapse and ferroptosis, which led us to investigate their functional

associations.

We subsequently explored the associations between our aggravator genes and these

aggravator rate-associated CpGs (Method) and found that the changes in expression in

all aggravator genes exhibited significant relationships with these CpGs ($P \leq 0.05$). In

particular, cg13298827 was positively correlated with expression changes of *PCDHA11*

located within +/-10 kb (Fig. 5d). *PCDHA11* may be involved in the creation and

maintenance of specific neuronal connections in the brain, and its dysfunction may

influence the signaling and communication between neural cells, thereby leading to the

aggravation of PD. Since some regulations may have long-range interactions, we next

integrated high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) data from the

brain dorsolateral prefrontal cortex[24] (http://resource.psychencode.org) and identified

18 CpG-gene interactions in the topologically associating domains (TADs)

(Supplementary Table 7). For example, cg17512517 may influence disease aggravation

by negatively regulating expression changes of *CCDC50* (Fig. 5d). Taken together, our

results indicated that DNA methylation may regulate the changes in expression levels

of aggravator genes, which may modulate PD progression.

Inferred cellular changes associated with aggravation

In addition to identifying genes associated with aggravation, we also examined which

cell types were changed along with PD aggravation. In this study, we applied the xCell

algorithm[25] to infer the abundance scores of 64 immune and stromal cell types from the

RNA-seq expression profiles of each PD sample at baseline and after 1-3 years.

Analogous to our aggravator gene identification flow, 14 cell types were found to be

significantly associated with PD aggravation (FDR ≤ 0.05, Supplementary Fig. 4).

Remarkably, neurons, although slightly increased in the third year, significantly

decreased overall with disease aggravation, which is in keeping with the loss of neurons

observed in the SNc of PD patients[1]. In addition, some of the gene signatures of neurons

were also downregulated aggravator genes, such as *NDRG4*, *PKIA*, *SHISA6*, *STX16*,

ZNF14, and *ZNF606*, which confirmed our previous results. Nonetheless, it has not

been determined whether changes in cellular proportions take place before

transcriptomic changes or after them. Moreover, a recent study provided more detailed

evidence regarding the cell types underlying the pathogenesis of PD based on single-

cell transcriptomic data, demonstrating that cholinergic neurons, monoaminergic

neurons, enteric neurons, and oligodendrocytes were related to PD and that these cells

changed at the earliest stages of disease progression[26].

Distinct expression patterns between initiator, facilitator and aggravator genes

We next investigated how PD develops by tracking the trajectory of gene expression

patterns. We first observed that the initiator, facilitator and aggravator genes have little

overlap (Fig. 6a), which is reminiscent of the distinct biological processes of these three

temporal phases, as previously discussed. When further investigating their connection

in the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network, we observed that the facilitator genes

possess more degrees than initiator genes and tend to be located in the hub of the

network (Fig. 6b-c), while aggravator genes are located in the hub compared to the

facilitator genes (Fig. 6d-e). Since the expression changes in initiator genes precede the

facilitator genes and the changes in facilitator genes precede the aggravator genes, it

appears that the dysfunction first occurred in the signature genes at the early phase,

which would further spread the disease disturbance to spur neurodegeneration by giving

way to new signature genes, exhibiting dynamic pathogenesis in disease development

and implying that although distinct biological functions are presented by the three

temporal phase genes, they can connect to one another in various ways. This complex

evolution of pathogenesis may account for the difficulties in halting or slowing PD

development. Taken together, these results confirmed the difference in pathogenesis

among the three temporal phases of PD while also demonstrating the connections

among them.

Identification of potential drugs for different phases of PD

After elucidating the factors involved in PD pathogenesis in three temporal phases, we

next sought to identify potential therapies to reverse or slow the development of PD.

Currently, pharmacological treatment and deep brain stimulation are the main therapies

to alleviate the symptoms of PD, but they are unable to prevent the neurodegenerative

process[27,28]. Another promising therapy is cell-based treatments, which can replace lost

neurons with new and healthy neurons to reconstruct disrupted circuits[29,30,31]. We

propose that these therapies should be applied at the appropriate phase of PD to

maximize the chances of modifying the neurodegeneration process.

As mentioned above, the stem cell pluripotency was influenced in both the prodromal

and early stages of PD, and analysis of facilitator genes indicated that the signaling

pathways regulating the pluripotency of stem cells (KEGG ID: hsa04550) were

downregulated when prodromal subjects were converted to PD patients (Fig. 7a). This

result motivated us to seek novel candidate agonists to treat prodromal or early stage

PD patients by recovering the function of stem cells and promoting their

revitalization of neurons. To this end, L1000CDS² analysis was performed to identify

potential agents by upregulating the expression of DEGs in the hsa04550 pathway

(Methods, Supplementary Fig. 5a). Next, we obtained three candidate compounds, i.e.,

atorvastatin, curcumin and withaferin A (WFA), the former two of which have been

reported to promote the differentiation of neurons in neural stem cells (NSCs)[32,33].

Moreover, similar results were also obtained in the validation dataset using the same

approach (Supplementary Fig. 5a), indicating that WFA is of high confidence for

promoting NSCs differentiation into neurons.

To verify our inferences, we first evaluated the effects of WFA on the proliferation

and differentiation of NSCs *in vitro* (Methods). The optimum concentration for NSCs

differentiation was identified by the CCK8 test (Fig. 7b). Since NSCs will start to

differentiate spontaneously in the absence of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)[34],

we applied WFA or DMSO (control) to treat NSCs without bFGF, and we assessed

differentiation by immunofluorescence staining. We observed that WFA can promote

NSCs to differentiate more neurons (Tuj$^+$ cells), fewer astrocytes (GFAP$^+$ cells) and

oligodendrocytes (GalC$^+$ cells) compared with the DMSO-treated control group (Fig.

7c-d), which demonstrated that WFA can promote NSCs to differentiate into neurons.

Given that the loss of dopaminergic neurons is an important pathological change in

PD[1], we performed further experiments and observed that the proportion of

dopaminergic neurons (TH$^+$ Tuj$^+$ cells) in neurons (Tuj$^+$ cells) under WFA treatment

was significantly higher than that in the control (Fig. 7e-f), suggesting that WFA can

effectively promote NSCs to differentiate into dopaminergic neurons. Moreover, five

WFA-regulated genes were identified from the DEGs (Supplementary Fig. 5a) and

further validated by quantitative RT-PCR (Supplementary Table 8, Fig. 7g).

Specifically, *FGF2*, *ID1*, and *TCF3* were upregulated, which is consistent with our

hypothesis that NSCs differentiation is promoted by upregulating the DEGs. While

WNT6 and *WNT11* were downregulated, we speculated that the difference between

blood tissue and NSCs may lead to this inconsistency. Notably, *TCF3* is a

transcriptional regulator involved in the maintenance of neural stem cells[35]; thus, its

functional recovery probably promotes the revitalization of neurons. *TCF3* is also a hub

node in the network of initiator-facilitator genes (Fig. 6c). Taken together, these results

strongly indicated that WFA has considerable potential to cure prodromal and early-

stage PD by promoting the differentiation of NSCs into dopaminergic neurons.

With the aggravation of PD, we found that biological terms, such as dopaminergic

synapse and the cGMP-PKG signaling pathway, were also enriched by the drug targets

of PD, implying their connections in a biological context. Among our aggravator genes,

six downregulated aggravator genes were also drug targets of PD, and three of them

have agonists in the DrugBank database, which might be considered viable drugs in

attempts to slow PD aggravation (Table 1). For example, by upregulating *DRD3* in the

dopaminergic synapse pathway, levodopa may be suitable to treat patients with

relatively more severe symptoms. In fact, levodopa is effective in controlling most

motor symptoms and remains the gold standard in the drug treatment of PD[36]. Moreover,

to yield more effective disease-modifying treatments, some of these agonists should be

combined to target the various pathogeneses in aggravation. In addition, although

SLC18A1 is not a target of PD to date, it is located in the dopaminergic synapse pathway

and connected to known drug targets by dopamine transduction. The downregulation

of *SLC18A1* expression may lead to dysfunction of the synaptic vesicle circle, thereby

accelerating the severity of the disease (Supplementary Fig. 5b); therefore it may be

reasonable to consider *SLC18A1* as a potential target for the design of therapeutic

interventions in the future.

Discussion

In this study, we identified distinct molecular patterns in the development of PD with a

longitudinal blood transcriptomic analysis and provided a modified conceptual model

for PD pathogenesis. The results of this study helped us to identify appropriate therapies

for PD patients at different stages of the disease.

At the prodromal stage of PD, we found that olfactory-associated genes were first

reflected in the initiation of the disease and subsequently provided a simple and

effective method to help early diagnosis by examining the expression of the olfactory

receptor *OR8D1* in blood samples. Since hyposmia is also a clinical feature of early-

stage patients[10], our results could be coupled with clinical observations. Nonetheless,

the validity of these results should be confirmed in larger prospective experiments. In

addition, the expression of the initiator genes also supported that hepatitis C is a trigger

to spark the disease[3,9].

After the prodromal subjects were diagnosed as PD patients, the expression of the

facilitator genes indicated that dysfunction of calcium regulation might contribute to

neuronal death, and the neurons were unable to be renewed due to the decreased

pluripotency of stem cells. Changes in calcium levels can induce a series of events,

including mitochondrial dysfunction, enhanced ROS generation, and damaged ATP

production[16]. Calcium is also regulated by PD-related proteins, such as α-syn and

LRRK2[16]. These findings confirmed the facilitators of the previously proposed

conceptual model[3].

With the progression of PD, the expression of the aggravator genes showed that the

dysfunction of dopaminergic synapses, cGMP-PKG signaling, and ferroptosis may

represent the main factors of the neurodegeneration process. Ferroptosis is an

autophagic cell death process that contributes to autophagy activation[19]. In line with the

role played by aggravators in a previous conceptual model, these findings highlight the

importance of autophagy in disease aggravation[3]. Other aggravators identified by this

study need to be confirmed through further experiments. In addition, we noted that

although the expression levels of aggravator genes are significantly changed with

progression, the magnitude of these expression level changes was not dramatic ($|$log2

(third year/baseline)| $\leq$ 1). One of the reasons for this finding may be that PD injury

occurs in brain tissue; thus, signal intensity may be reduced when it reaches the

bloodstream. The blood environment may also possess biological signals from the

internal environment or other organs, which may interfere with the extraction of disease

signals. In addition, PD is a chronic disease; therefore, gene expression does not change

dramatically, which also highlights the necessity of conducting longitudinal studies that

can capture this moderate dynamic change. Interestingly, by integrating DNA

methylation, we observed that methylation alterations at baseline did not cause clinical

manifestations immediately but may have influenced the PD aggravation rate by

regulating the changes in expression levels of aggravator genes. A similar phenomenon

was also reported by other chronic conditions[21], making it possible to detect and

monitor PD aggravation earlier. However, it has not been determined how these CpGs

regulate gene expression changes and how long they are sustained.

According to the pathogenesis involved at different stages of PD, we further inferred

and demonstrated that WFA has the ability to promote the differentiation of neural stem

cells into dopaminergic neurons with strong potential to treat prodromal or early stage

PD patients (Fig. 7b-g). WFA is a major active component of *Withania somnifera*,

which has been demonstrated to protect dopaminergic neurons in Parkinsonian mouse

models and has exhibited a strong antioxidative effect[37]. Moreover, *Withania somnifera*

was also reported to treat other disorders related to the central nervous system[37]; thus,

the future research should investigate whether WFA has a similar mode of action in the

treatment of other diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease. For advanced PD patients, we

proposed a list of drugs, such as levodopa, droxidopa, and dextromethorphan, that may

be employed to slow PD aggravation. The appropriate drug combination addressing

multiple targets and pathogenesis would benefit severe patients. Moreover, our results

may also lead to the reconsideration of previously failed clinical trials, which may have

been performed in nonspecific PD patients[3].

Methods

Clinical data analysis of PD patients

In this study, the Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale

(MDS-UPDRS) total score, which relates to various aspects, including non-motor and

motor symptoms, as well as motor complications[38], was applied to measure the severity

of PD patients. A higher score indicates an individual with a more serious condition.

For the use of PD medications, there are seven medical treatments defined in PPMI,

including unmedicated, levodopa, and levodopa plus dopamine agonist. In this study,

we simply classified patients as unmedicated and medicated for subsequent analyses;

for age characteristics, patients were classified into three groups adopted from PPMI:

group 1 (< 56 years), group 2 (56-65 years), group 3 (> 65 years). Missing values of

demographic and clinical information were imputed using the median value.

Omics data and quality control

For RNA-seq data of whole blood samples, quality control and quantification of gene

or transcript expression were applied by PPMI. Briefly, FASTQ files were merged and

aligned to GRCh37 (hs37d5) by STAR (v2.4K)[39]. The read counts of genes or

transcripts were calculated by FeatureCounts[40] and abundance estimates (transcripts per

million, TPM) via salmon[41]. Samples with obvious quality control issues, such as

gender mismatch, principal component analysis (PCA) outliers and low read counts,

were filtered. After quality control, most samples have a mean Spearman correlation (>

0.87) to all other samples. To reduce the influence of technical noise, we removed genes

with counts/TPM of 0 in more than 80% of the samples in the subsequent analysis.

Importantly, according to PPMI analysis, ~60-70% of genes in whole blood are also

expressed in brain tissue by examining mRNA expression only. Detailed information

regarding the RNA sequencing and quality control can be found on the PPMI website

(http://ppmi-info.org/).

For DNA methylation (DNAm) data of whole blood samples, PPMI applied the

Illumina Human Methylation EPIC array (alias 850K methylation array) to assess

methylation status over 850,000 methylation sites across the whole genome. The β

values for each probe, which ranged from 0 (no methylation) to 1 (100% methylation),

were utilized to measure the methylation level of the targeted CpG site. Quality control

included removing low-quality samples and probes, as well as normalizing blood cell

composition. On that basis, we also applied the following filtering criteria in this study[42]:

removal of probes targeting X and Y chromosomes ($N = 19,701$), removal of cross-

reactive probes on the EPIC array ($N = 43,254$), and removal of probes overlapping

genetic variants at targeted CpG sites ($N = 12,510$), leaving 792,150 CpG sites for the

subsequent analysis. CpGs mapped to genes according to GPL21145 from Gene

Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).

Identifying initiator genes

Sixty-four prodromal subjects were recruited at baseline with a longitudinal

observation beginning in 2013, and 18 prodromal subjects converted to PD by 2019.

After matching RNA-seq samples and removing subjects without longitudinal

observation, 53 prodromal subjects were kept for the downstream analysis. To identify

initiator genes of PD, we defined prodromal conversion to PD as an "event" and

defined the time interval from prodromal to PD as the "survival time" to perform

survival analysis. For each gene, baseline prodromal subjects were divided into two

groups based on the median expression of the gene. Next, survival curves were

constructed by Kaplan-Meier survival curves, and the log-rank test was applied to

compare whether there was a significant difference in the overall conversion between

the two groups using the R package survival.

Identifying facilitator genes

To identify facilitator genes, we first performed differential expression analysis in a

discovery dataset, which contained 17 RNA-seq samples of five subjects after matching

clinical status and RNA-seq samples. Specifically, the heterogeneity between subjects

is an important confounding factor identified by PCA (Fig. 3a); thus, we applied

a multi-factor design that included the subjects and their condition (prodromal and PD)

information in the design formula to identify DEGs using the R package/Bioconductor

DESeq2[43]. This design will account for differences between the subjects when

estimating the effect due to the condition. The validation dataset contained 57

prodromal and 390 PD RNA-seq samples at baseline without a conversion relationship

between each other; therefore, the DEGs of the validation dataset were identified only

by estimating the effect due to the condition.

Identifying aggravator genes

In this study, 252 PD patients both included clinical and RNA-seq data at four serial

time points (baseline, 1-3 years). We first filtered the genes whose expression did not

significantly change during the four time points based on repeated measures ANOVA

with the R package ez. The P-value was adjusted by sphericity corrections followed by

multiple testing using FDR. Genes with FDR ≤ 0.01 were kept for subsequent analyses

(3435 genes). The linear mixed-effects model was constructed to identify which gene

was associated with PD aggravation using the lme function in the R package nlme. Each

model included the MDS-UPDRS total score as the dependent variable; gene

expression, age, and time (i.e., baseline, 1-3 years), and medication (unmedicated or

medicated) as fixed effects; Each subject variation was included as a random effect and

considered their different response to medical treatment, therefore each model was

constructed as follows:

MDS-UPDRS total score ~ Gene expression + Age + Time + Medication, random =

~1+ Medication | Subject.

Genes with FDR ≤ 0.05 were defined as aggravator genes (325 genes, Supplementary

Table 4). The β coefficient of the gene in the model lower than 0 indicates that it was

downregulated with PD aggravation; otherwise, it was upregulated. The R package

Pheatmap was subsequently used to plot the heatmap of aggravator genes. Notably,

some PD samples were abandoned in the above analysis because they did not possess

RNA-seq data for four serial years. In fact, most of them were measured three times,

involving 425 RNA-seq samples, which were regarded as an independent dataset to

assess the robustness of the aggravator genes.

Cross-lagged panel analysis

Cross-lagged panel analysis was used to investigate cause-effect relationship between

the expression of aggravator genes and clinical severity (Fig. 4c). Briefly, baseline gene

expression and MDS-UPDRS total score were adjusted for age by regression residual

analyses and then standardized with Z-transformation (mean = 0, SD = 1). The same

process was performed in the follow-up year (third year) with additional adjustment for

the times of medical treatment. Then, two following equations were built:

$$X_{follow-up} = \beta_1 X_{baseline} + \beta_2 Y_{baseline} + \varepsilon_X$$
$$Y_{follow-up} = \beta_3 Y_{baseline} + \beta_4 X_{baseline} + \varepsilon_Y$$

Here, X is aggravator gene and Y is MDS-UPDRS total score. The linear regression

coeffcients β_1 and β_3 describe the autoregressive effects, and the regression coeffcients

β_2 and β_4 represent cross-lagged effects. All parameters and statistics were estimated by

the *sem* function in the R package lavaan based on these two equations. Next, the

weighted gene expression risk score (ERS) was calculated as follows:

Weighted $ERS = \frac{1}{n}(\beta_1 \times Gene_1 + \beta_2 \times Gene_2 + \beta_3 \times Gene_3 + ... + \beta_{n-1} \times Gene_{n-1} + \beta_n \times Gene_n)$

where β is the cross-lagged path coefficient (β_4) for each gene, and n is the number of

genes included.

MWAS analysis

A linear regression model was constructed to assess the associations between each

CpG's methylation level (β value) and clinical traits of PD at baseline ($N = 330$). The

model used for each CpG was as follows:

522   MDS-UPDRS total score $\sim$ Methylation β value + Age

Since variation of DNA methylation (DNAm) may arise prior to disease[21,22], and we

hypothesized that DNAm may influence the aggravation of PD in a long-term manner,

we applied the rate of PD aggravation, i.e., the change of MDS-UPDRS total score from

baseline to third years, as clinical traits of PD to identify the aggravation rate-associated

CpGs. After matching longitudinal clinical and DNAm data, 268 PD patients were

retained for the subsequent analysis. Using the *lm* function in R, the linear regression

model used for each CpG was as follows:

Rate of PD aggravation $\sim$ Methylation β value + Age + Medication times

The quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot was used to diagnose the above MWAS results

using the R package qqman. To estimate how much of the rate of PD aggravation could

be explained by blood DNAm, the top association CpGs were selected first ($P \leq 0.001$,

Supplementary Table 6). Next, PCA was performed on these CpGs, and each patient

was scored by the first principal component using the R package psych. A linear model

was constructed to measure the relationship between the score calculated by these CpGs

and the rate of PD aggravation.

Functional analysis

KEGG pathway enrichment and gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) analysis (2000

total permutations) of gene sets were performed by the R/Bioconductor package

clusterProfiler[44]. Tissue enrichment analysis of DEGs between prodromal and PD was

investigated by R package/Bioconductor TissueEnrich[45].

Integrating transcriptome and methylome on PD aggravation

The RNA-seq profiles and DNA methylation array data were pairwise integrated ($N =$

191) to study the regulatory relationship between each aggravator gene and each

aggravation rate-associated CpG site. Each linear regression model included delta gene

expression level change from baseline to third years as the dependent variable (log2

(third year/baseline)), β value of a CpG site at baseline as the independent variable, and

age and medication times as covariates:

Δ Gene expression level ~ Methylation β value + Age + Medication times

xCell analysis

xCell[25] was used to estimate the abundance scores of 64 immune and stromal cell types

from the RNA-seq profiles of each PD patent over time (i.e., baseline, 1, 2, 3 years),

the abundance scores was then standardized with Z-transformation (mean = 0, SD = 1)

Similar to our aggravator genes analysis, linear mixed-effects model was used to

inferred which cell type was associated with PD aggravation:

MDS-UPDRS total score ~ Cell score + Age + Medication, random = ~1+

Medication | Subject

Observation of initiator, facilitator and aggravator genes in the PPI network

The interactions between initiator, facilitator and aggravator genes were examined in a

protein-protein interaction (PPI) network. The PPI network was derived from the

STRING database (http://www.string-db.org), and the interaction with experimental

score higher than 400 was considered reliable. Notably, since it difficult to observe the

network relationship between aggravator genes and facilitator genes identified from the

discovery dataset, we merged the facilitator genes both from the discovery and

validation datasets to observe their connections with aggravator genes in the PPI

network. The gene networks were subsequently visualized using Cytoscape[46].

Drug discovery by L1000CDS² analysis

The Library of Integrated Network-based Cellular Signatures (LINCS) program has

generated more than 1 million transcriptional profiles to elaborate the human cell

expression change under different perturbations of agents[47]. The L1000 dataset is part

of LINCS, which is based on the expression of only ~1000 genes[48]. L1000CDS² is a

LINCS L1000 characteristic direction signature search engine that links drugs, genes,

and   diseases   by   comparing   the   input   signatures   to   the   background

(http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/L1000CDS2/#/index). In this study, we applied L1000CDS² to predict potential small molecular compounds that may reverse the expression of DEGs between prodromal and PD subjects.

In the discovery dataset, 50 agents were returned by L1000CDS² according to eight DEGs ($P \leq 0.05$) in pathways of regulating pluripotency of stem cells (KEGG ID: hsa04550). We further selected three candidate compounds, i.e., atorvastatin, curcumin and withaferin A (WFA), which were used to treat patients with mental disorders in clinical trials (https://clinicaltrials.gov/), indicating the ability of delivery across the blood brain barrier. Using the same approach, WFA was also identified in the validation dataset (57 prodromal and 390 PD RNA-seq samples). To identify potential genes regulated by WFA, we further identified six DEGs in the discovery dataset that overlapped with background genes of WFA in the L1000 dataset, and five of these six potential WFA-regulated genes in the discovery dataset were confirmed by the validation dataset (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

Isolation and culture of rat fetal neural stem cells

Rat fetal NSCs were isolated and cultured from brain tissues of Sprague-Dawley rats at 14−18 days post-coitum. Briefly, brain tissues were cut into small blocks, digested by pre-warmed StemPro® Accutase (A11105-01, Gibco, NY, USA), filtered by a 70-μm cell strainer (352350, BD Biosciences, NY, USA) and centrifuged. The cell pellet was resuspended in pre-warmed StemPro® NSC SFM complete medium (consisting of KnockOut D-MEM/F-12 with 2% StemPro® Neural Supplement, 20 ng/mL bFGF, 20

ng/mL EGF, and 1% GlutaMAX-I; A1050901, Gibco, NY, USA), inoculated in a dish

at 1×10^7/mL and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 90% humidity. The NSCs that

developed into neurospheres were passaged after 5-7 days (Supplementary Fig. 6a). In

subculture, the NSCs were digested by pre-warmed StemPro® Accutase and plated in

dishes precoated with 20 μg/mL fibronectin (33016015, Gibco, NY, USA) to adherent

culture at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 90% humidity (Supplementary Fig. 6b). The NSCs were

passaged again when 75-90% confluent. The cells were identified by

immunofluorescence staining of Nestin at passage 2 (P2) (Supplementary Fig. 6c-d).

All WFA effects research experiments were carried out on cells at passages 2 to 3 (P2~3)

to minimize the experimental deviations.

Analysis of WFA on NSCs proliferation by CCK8 assay

WFA (HY-N2065, MCE, NJ, USA) was dissolved in DMSO to make a 1 mmol/L stock

solution, and subsequently, we made culture media containing different concentrations

of WFA with StemPro® NSC SFM complete medium. The working concentrations of

WFA were 0, 2, 10, 50, 250, 1000, 5000, and 25000 nmol/L. After that step, rat fetal

NSCs at P2 were collected and resuspended in culture media containing WFA at

5×10^4/mL and then seeded at 100 μL/well in 96-well plates immediately. To minimize

the experimental deviations, culture medium containing WFA but without NSCs was

used as a blank control for each concentration. After incubating the cells at 37°C, 5%

CO_2 and 90% humidity for 48 hours, 10 μL CCK8 reagent (HY-K0301, MCE, NJ, USA)

was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours. The absorbance was measured at 450

nm using a microplate reader (Multiskan MK3, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA).

The working concentration of WFA with the highest average absorbance was used to

analyze the effect of WFA on NSCs differentiation.

Intervention of NSCs differentiation by WFA

Rat fetal NSCs at P3 were plated as an adherent culture on chamber slides (177380,

Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) or 6-well plates pre-coated with 20 μg/mL

fibronectin for 1 hour. To investigate the effect of WFA on NSCs differentiation, we

withdrew bFGF from StemPro® NSC SFM complete medium and added WFA stock

solution (1 mmol/L in DMSO, 1:4000; according to the experimental results of CCK8

assay, the work concentration is 250 nmol/L) or DMSO (D2438, 1:4000, Sigma, MO,

USA) into the media. Then, the cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 and 90%

humidity until subsequent immunofluorescence and quantitative RT-PCR detection.

Analysis of WFA on NSCs differentiation by immunofluorescence staining

After intervention by WFA or DMSO for 48 hours, NSCs undergoing differentiation

were fixed, permeabilized and blocked and then incubated with primary mouse anti-

Tuj antibody (ab78078, 1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK), primary mouse anti-GALC

antibody (MAB342, 1:100, Millipore, MA, USA) or primary rabbit anti-GFAP antibody

(16825-1-AP, 1:2000, ProteinTech, Wuhan, China) overnight at 4°C. After washing

with PBS, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor 594 conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG

(H+L) cross-adsorbed secondary antibody (A-11005, 1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific,

MA, USA) or Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) cross-adsorbed

secondary antibody (A-11008, 1:200, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) at 37°C for

30 min. Finally, cells were washed with PBS, dyed with DAPI (Roche, Basel,

Switzerland), mounted with 50% glycerol/PBS and photographed under a fluorescence

microscope (BX51, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

After treating NSCs with WFA or DMSO for seven days, double

immunofluorescence staining of Tuj and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) was used to analyze

whether WFA could further affect NSCs differentiation into dopaminergic neurons.

Briefly, after fixation, permeabilization and blocking, cells were incubated with both

primary mouse anti-Tuj antibody and primary rabbit anti-TH antibody (ab112, 1:1000,

Abcam, Cambridge, UK) overnight at 4°C. Then, the cells were incubated with mixed

secondary antibodies of Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG and Alexa

Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG for 30 min. Finally, the cells were dyed with

DAPI, mounted with 50% glycerol/PBS and photographed under a BX51 fluorescence

microscope.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from NSCs treated with WFA or DMSO for 48 hours with

TRIzol reagent (15596026, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) and reverse

transcribed into cDNA using the RevertAid RT Reverse Transcription Kit (K1691,

Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). Then, qRT-PCR mixtures were prepared with the

KAPA SYBR® FAST Universal qPCR Kit (KK4601, Sigma, MO, USA) according to

the manufacturer's instructions and run in LightCycler 96 (Roche, Switzerland). The

mRNA expression of genes regulating the pluripotency of stem cells was normalized to

the expression of GAPDH. The results were compared by the 2−ΔΔCt method. The

specific primers (Supplementary Table 8) were synthesized by Tsingke Biological

Technology (Wuhan, China).

Statistical analysis of NSCs experiments

Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, MA, USA) was used for analysis and

cell counting of the fluorescence images. The results from different groups were

compared using Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple

comparison tests. P-value $\leq$ 0.05 was considered to be significant. All statistical

analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software, CA,

USA).

PD drugs and targets collection

The drugs for PD were obtained from clinical trials (release 2019.4.22, at least phase 2

clinical trial drugs, https://clinicaltrials.gov/), and the drug targets were extracted from

DrugBank[49], DGIdb[50] and TTD[51]. We finally collected 104 PD drugs corresponding to

363 target genes (Supplementary Table 9).

Data availability

Data used in this study were obtained from the Parkinson's Progression Markers

Initiative (PPMI) database (www.ppmiinfo.org/data). For up-to-date information on the

study, please visit www.ppmiinfo.org.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge PPMI **-** a public-private partnership, which is funded by

the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and funding partners,

including Abbvie, Allergan, Amathus Therapeutics, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals,

Biogen, BioLegend, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Calico, Celgene, Denali, GE Healthcare,

Genetech, GlaxoSmithKline, Golub Capital, Handl Therapeutics, Insitro, Janssen

Neuroscience, Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Pfizer, Piramal, Prevail,

Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda, Teva, UCB, Verily, and Voyager Therapeutics.

We also thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 31670779) for

supporting this study.

Author contributions

H.Y.Z. and X.H.N. conceived the project; G.X. collected and performed data analysis

with help from X.H.N., Q.Q.S., M.G., and H.W; G.W. performed the WFA experiment.

G.X., G.W., Q.Q.S., H.Y.Z., X.H.N., H.W., and B.L wrote and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Dauer W, Przedborski S. Parkinson's disease: mechanisms and models. *Neuron* **39**, 889-909 (2003).

2.   Chaudhuri KR, Healy DG, Schapira AH. Non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease: diagnosis and management. *Lancet Neurol.* **5**, 235-245 (2006).

3.   Johnson ME, Stecher B, Labrie V, Brundin L, Brundin P. Triggers, facilitators, and aggravators: Redefining Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. *Trends Neurosci.* **42**, 4-13 (2019).

4.   Shamir R*, et al.* Analysis of blood-based gene expression in idiopathic Parkinson disease. *Neurology* **89**, 1676-1683 (2017).

5.   Chen-Plotkin AS. Blood transcriptomics for Parkinson disease? *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **14**, 5-6 (2018).

6.   Fan Y, Xiao S. Progression rate associated peripheral blood biomarkers of Parkinson's disease. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **65**, 312-318 (2018).

7.   Androulakis IP, Yang E, Almon RR. Analysis of time-series gene expression data: methods, challenges, and opportunities. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* **9**, 205-228 (2007).

8.   Marek K*, et al.* The parkinson progression marker initiative (PPMI). *Prog. Neurobiol.* **95**, 629-635 (2011).

9.   Kim J-M*, et al.* Association between hepatitis C virus infection and Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* **31**, 1584-1585 (2016).

10.   Doty RL. Olfaction in Parkinson's disease and related disorders. *Neurobiol. Dis.*

733 **46**, 527-552 (2012).

734 11. Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rüb U, De Vos RA, Steur ENJ, Braak E. Staging of

735   brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging.* **24**,

736   197-211 (2003).

737 12. Mainland JD*, et al.* The missense of smell: functional variability in the human

738   odorant receptor repertoire. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 114 (2014).

739 13. Ross GW*, et al.* Parkinsonian signs and substantia nigra neuron density in

740   decendents elders without PD. *Ann. Neurol.* **56**, 532-539 (2004).

741 14. Wichterle H, Przedborski S. What can pluripotent stem cells teach us about

742   neurodegenerative diseases? *Nat. Neurosci.* **13**, 800-804 (2010).

743 15. Le Grand JN, Gonzalez-Cano L, Pavlou MA, Schwamborn JC. Neural stem

744   cells in Parkinson's disease: a role for neurogenesis defects in onset and

745   progression. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **72**, 773-797 (2015).

746 16. Calì T, Ottolini D, Brini M. Calcium signaling in Parkinson's disease. *Cell*

747   *Tissue Res.* **357**, 439-454 (2014).

748 17. Poewe W, Mahlknecht P. The clinical progression of Parkinson's disease.

749   *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* **15**, S28-S32 (2009).

750 18. Chenplotkin A*, et al.* Finding useful biomarkers for Parkinson's disease. *Sci.*

751   *Transl. Med.* **10**, eaam6003 (2018).

752 19. Gao M, Monian P, Pan Q, Zhang W, Xiang J, Jiang X. Ferroptosis is an

753   autophagic cell death process. *Cell Res.* **26**, 1021-1032 (2016).

754 20. Guiney SJ, Adlard PA, Bush AI, Finkelstein DI, Ayton S. Ferroptosis and cell

death mechanisms in Parkinson's disease. *Neurochem. Int.* **104**, 34-48 (2017).

21. Chen R*, et al.* Longitudinal personal DNA methylome dynamics in a human with a chronic condition. *Nat. Med.* **24**, 1930-1939 (2018).

22. Rakyan VK, Down TA, Balding DJ, Beck S. Epigenome-wide association studies for common human diseases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **12**, 529-541 (2011).

23. Henderson-Smith A*, et al.* DNA methylation changes associated with Parkinson's disease progression: outcomes from the first longitudinal genome-wide methylation analysis in blood. *Epigenetics* **14**, 365-382 (2019).

24. Wang D*, et al.* Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. *Science* **362**,   (2018).

25. Aran D, Hu Z, Butte AJ. xCell: digitally portraying the tissue cellular heterogeneity landscape. *Genome Biol.* **18**, 220 (2017).

26. Bryois J*, et al.* Genetic identification of cell types underlying brain complex traits yields insights into the etiology of Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 482-493 (2020).

27. Connolly BS, Lang AE. Pharmacological treatment of Parkinson disease: a review. *JAMA* **311**, 1670-1683 (2014).

28. Benabid AL, Chabardes S, Mitrofanis J, Pollak P. Deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. *Lancet Neurol.* **8**, 67-81 (2009).

29. Barker RA, Drouin-Ouellet J, Parmar M. Cell-based therapies for Parkinson disease—past insights and future potential. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **11**, 492 (2015).

777   30.   Reddy AP, Ravichandran J, Carkaci-Salli N. Neural regeneration therapies for

778   Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease-related disorders. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*

779   *Mol. Basis. Dis.* **1866**, 165506 (2020).

780   31.   Qian H*, et al.* Reversing a model of Parkinson's disease with in situ converted

781   nigral neurons. *Nature* **582**, 550-556 (2020).

782   32.   Choi N-Y*, et al.* Atorvastatin rejuvenates neural stem cells injured by oxygen-

783   glucose deprivation and induces neuronal differentiation through activating the

784   PI3K/Akt and ERK pathways. *Mol. Neurobiol.* **56**, 2964-2977 (2019).

785   33.   Chen F*, et al.* Curcumin increased the differentiation rate of neurons in neural

786   stem cells via wnt signaling in vitro study. *J. Surg. Res.* **192**, 298-304 (2014).

787   34.   Gage FH. Mammalian neural stem cells. *Science* **287**, 1433-1438 (2000).

788   35.   Ohtsuka T*, et al.* Gene expression profiling of neural stem cells and

789   identification of regulators of neural differentiation during cortical development.

790   *Stem Cells* **29**, 1817-1828 (2011).

791   36.   Poewe W, Antonini A, Zijlmans JC, Burkhard PR, Vingerhoets F. Levodopa in

792   the treatment of Parkinson's disease: an old drug still going strong. *Clin. Interv.*

793   *Aging* **5**, 229 (2010).

794   37.   Singh N, Rai SN, Singh D, Singh SP. Withania somnifera shows ability to

795   counter Parkinson's Disease: An Update. *SOJ Neurol.* **2**, 1-4 (2015).

796   38.   Goetz CG*, et al.* Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified

797   Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale presentation and

798   clinimetric testing results. *Mov. Disord.* **23**, 2129-2170 (2008).

799   39.   Dobin A*, et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**,

800   15-21 (2013).

801   40.   Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program

802   for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930

803   (2014).

804   41.   Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast

805   and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods.* **14**, 417-

806   419 (2017).

807   42.   Pidsley R*, et al.* Critical evaluation of the Illumina MethylationEPIC BeadChip

808   microarray for whole-genome DNA methylation profiling. *Genome Biol.* **17**,

809   208 (2016).

810   43.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and

811   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

812   44.   Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing

813   biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS.* **16**, 284-287 (2012).

814   45.   Jain A, Tuteja G. TissueEnrich: Tissue-specific gene enrichment analysis.

815   *Bioinformatics* **35**, 1966-1967 (2019).

816   46.   Shannon P*, et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of

817   biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498-2504 (2003).

818   47.   Keenan AB*, et al.* The library of integrated network-based cellular signatures

819   NIH program: system-level cataloging of human cells response to perturbations.

820   *Cell Syst.* **6**, 13-24 (2018).

48.   Subramanian A*, et al.* A next generation connectivity map: L1000 platform and

the first 1,000,000 profiles. *Cell* **171**, 1437-1452. e1417 (2017).

49.   Law V*, et al.* DrugBank 4.0: shedding new light on drug metabolism. *Nucleic*

Acids. Res. **42**, D1091-D1097 (2014).

50.   Wagner AH*, et al.* DGIdb 2.0: mining clinically relevant drug–gene interactions.

Nucleic Acids. Res. **44**, D1036-D1044 (2016).

51.   Qin C*, et al.* Therapeutic target database update 2014: a resource for targeted

therapeutics. *Nucleic Acids. Res.* **42**, D1118-D1123 (2014).

 Figure

 Fig. 1 Study workflow. Longitudinal RNA-seq of whole blood samples and

 corresponding clinical assessment were obtained from Parkinson's Progression

 Markers Initiative (PPMI) database (https://www.ppmi-info.org/data). (up) Flowchart

 of analysis in the conversion process. (right) Flowchart of analysis in the aggravation

 process.

 Fig. 2 Pathway enrichment and survival analysis of initiator genes. a KEGG

 pathway enrichment of initiator genes by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (genes

 ranked by -log10(P-value)). **b** Kaplan-Meier curves of conversion in prodromal

 subjects ($N = 53$). The magenta line indicates prodromal subjects with higher expression

 of *OR8D1*, while the blue line indicates prodromal subjects with lower expression of

 OR8D1, which are at high risk of conversion.

 Fig. 3 Identifying DEGs between prodromal and PD. a PCA clustering plot of RNA-

 seq data between prodromal and PD. **b** Overlaps of DEGs in the discovery and

 validation datasets ($P = 4.75e-09$). **c** Tissue enrichment of DEGs between prodromal

 and PD (*$P \leq 0.05$). **d** KEGG pathway enrichment of DEGs by GSEA (genes ranked

 by log2FoldChange).

 Fig. 4 Functional analysis of aggravator genes and causal inference. a Heatmap of

aggravator genes in longitudinal PD patients ($N = 252$). **b** KEGG pathway enrichment

of downregulated aggravator genes. **c** Causal inference based on the cross-lagged path

analysis model. β_2 and β_4 are cross-lagged path coefficients. Genes with significant

unidirectional paths (β_4, $P \leq 0.05$) from baseline gene expression to follow-up MDS-

UPDRS total score (the third year), while those without significant path coefficients

(β_2) from baseline MDS-UPDRS total score to follow-up gene expression are

considered to be causing genes in disease aggravation. **d** Correlation between the

weighted gene expression risk score (ERS) at baseline and MDS-UPDRS total score at

follow-up (the third year).

Fig. 5 Assessment of the blood methylome and investigation of their regulatory

relationship with aggravator genes. a Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot of MWAS result

using rate of aggravation as clinical traits ($N = 268$). **b** Linear relationship between

DNA methylation score and rate of aggravation of PD patients. **c** Overlaps of our

aggravator rate associated-genes with literature-reported PD aggravator-associated

genes (CpGs map to genes). **d** (upside) The associations between cg13298827,

PCDHA11 and aggravation; (downside) The associations between cg17512517,

CCDC50 and aggravation. Positive (+) and negative (-) associations are shown in the

figure.

Fig. 6 Relationship between initiator, facilitator and aggravator genes. a Initiator,

facilitator and aggravator genes do not show significant overlaps with each other ($P >$

0.05). **b** Boxplot of the connected degrees of initiator and facilitator genes in the PPI

network. Facilitator genes showed more connections than initiator genes ($P = $ 2e-04). **c**

Network diagram depicting the interaction between initiator and facilitator genes. The

facilitator genes (yellow) tend to be located in the hub of the network. **d** Boxplot of the

connected degrees of facilitator and aggravator genes in the PPI network. Aggravator

genes showed more connections than facilitator genes ($P = $ 4.2e-09). **e** Network

diagram depicting the interaction between facilitator and aggravator genes. The

aggravator genes (pink) tend to be located in the hub of the network.

Fig. 7 WFA promotes the proliferation and differentiation of NFCs. a GSEA plot

showing downregulated signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells when

prodromal conversion to PD. **b** Identification of the optimum concentration of WFA on

the proliferation of NFCs by the CCK8 test. WFA significantly promoted NSCs

proliferation at 50, 250, and 1000 nmol/L (*$P \leq 0.05$), and the proliferative effects at

250 nmol/L were significantly higher than those at 50 nmol/L ($^{\#}P \leq 0.05$), suggesting

that WFA has a dose-dependent effect, whereas no significant difference was observed

between 250 nmol/L and 1000 nmol/L, indicating that 250 nmol/L may reach the

plateau stage of a dose-dependent effect; thus, 250 nmol/L was adopted to evaluate the

effects of WFA on the next NSCs differentiation experiment. **c** Detection of NFCs

differentiation by immunofluorescence staining (scale bar $= 100$ μm). **d** Statistical

analysis of neurons (Tuj^{+} cells), astrocytes (GFAP^{+} cells) and oligodendrocytes (GalC^{+}

cells) between the WFA- and DMSO-treated control groups (*$P \leq 0.05$). **e** Detection of

dopaminergic neurons derived from NSCs using immunofluorescence staining (Scale

bar = 100 μm). **f** Statistical analysis of the proportion of dopaminergic neurons (TH$^+$

Tuj$^+$ cells) in neurons (Tuj$^+$ cells) between the WFA and control groups (*$P \leq 0.05$). **g**

Assessment of the mRNA expression of genes regulating the pluripotency of stem cells

by quantitative RT-PCR (*$P \leq 0.05$).

Table 1 Downregulated aggravator genes and the involved agonists

Aggravator genes	Agonists (DrugBank)
ADRA2C	Brimonidine, Norepinephrine, Droxidopa, Clonidine, Bromocriptine, Apomorphine, Paliperidone, Ephedra sinica root, Metamfetamine, Xylometazoline, Agmatine, Oxymetazoline, Racepinephrine
DRD3	Ropinirole, Pramipexole, Apomorphine, Cariprazine, Pergolide, Bromocriptine, Lisuride, Cabergoline, Rotigotine, Dopamine, Levodopa, Captodiame, Dihydro-alpha-ergocryptine, Tianeptine
OPRD1	Codeine, Dextropropoxyphene, Hydrocodone, Morphine, Butorphanol, Fentanyl, Etorphine, 3-Methylthiofentanyl, Dimethylthiambutene, Loperamide, 3-Methylfentanyl, Oxycodone, Diamorphine, Tramadol, Methadone, Sufentanil, Carfentanil, Amitriptyline, Diphenoxylate, Levorphanol, Dihydromorphine, Remifentanil, Ketobemidone, Dextromethorphan, Opium, Loxicodegol, Butyrfentanyl, Metenkefalin, Hydromorphone

Supplementary Figure

Supplementary Fig. 1 Clinical aggravation of PD patients. a The overall aggravation of PD patients (N = 252). Although most patients are under drug treatment after enrollment, the disease condition is still in progression (Repeated measures ANOVA P = 9.15e-20). **b** The aggravation trajectory for each PD patient.

Supplementary Fig. 2 Heatmap of aggravator genes in independent PD patients (425 RNA-seq samples).

Supplementary Fig. 3 QQ plot of MWAS using baseline MDS-UPDRS total score as a clinical trait. As shown in the plot, almost all the CpGs on the diagonal of the plot and seldom the P-value of CpGs were smaller than expected, which indicates that little CpG was found to be significantly associated with this clinical trait.

Supplementary Fig. 4 Heatmap of cell types associated with PD aggravation. Abbreviations: Th1 cells, Type 1 T-helper cells; aDC, Activated dendritic cells; CD4+Tem, CD4+ effector memory T-cells; CD8+ Tcm, CD8+ central memory T cells; MSC, Mesenchymal stem cells; Th2 cells, Type 2 T-helper cells; HSC, Hematopoietic stem cells.

Supplementary Fig. 5 Identifying drugs and potential drug targets for PD. a

L1000CDS² analysis for drug discovery. DEGs in the pathway of regulating

pluripotency of stem cells (KEGG ID: hsa04550) were submitted to L1000CDS² to

predict potential agents. DEGs overlapping with background genes of withaferin A in

L1000 signatures were considered WFA-regulated genes. Five WFA-regulated genes

were confirmed by the validation datasets. **b** Downregulated aggravator genes and PD

drug targets in the neuron presynaptic terminal of dopaminergic synapse. The green

color indicates drug targets of PD, while the magenta color indicates aggravator gene.

Supplementary Fig. 6 Morphology and immunofluorescent identification of NSCs.

a Bright field image of suspended neurospheres at P1 (passage 1) that was cultured in

complete StemPro® NSC SFM for 6 days (Scale bar = 100 μm). **b** Bright field image

of adherent NSCs at P2 (passage 2) that were cultured in complete StemPro® NSC SFM

(scale bar = 100 μm). **c** Immunofluorescent identification of NSCs with primary mouse

anti-Nestin monoclonal antibody (ab6142, 1:100, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) (Scale bar

= 100 μm). **d** Merged fluorescence image of nestin and DAPI (scale bar = 100 μm).

Longitudinal RNA-seq of whole blood samples

Longitudinal clinical assessment

Prodromal

Conversion

PD

Initiator genes

Facilitator genes

Drug discovery

Validation in vitro experiment

NSCs

Agent

Control

Neurons

Astrocytes

Oligodendrocytes

Function analysis & Comparison

De Novo PD

Baseline, 1-3 years

Aggravator genes

Advanced PD

Drug discovery

Causal inference

Integration with DNA methylation

a

b

a

Phenotype
- Prodromal
- PD

Subject ID
- ● 18567
- ▲ 60024
- ■ 60035
- ✚ 60065
- ⊠ 90456

PC1: 56% variance

b

Discovery dataset **1224** | **102** | **1996** Validation dataset

P = 4.75e-09

c

d

KEGG pathway enrichment

downregulated

p.adjust
0.05
0.04
0.03
0.02
0.01
0.00

count
- ● 50
- ● 100
- ● 150
- ● 200

a

b

c

d

a

Running Enrichment Score

signaling pathways regulating
pluripotency of stem cells

PD vs Prodromal
NES = -1.560
Adjusted *P* = 0.004

Ranked list metric

Rank in Ordered Dataset

b

WFA (nmol/L)

c

DMSO · WFA

Tuj

GFAP

GALC

d

% of total cells

Tuj · GFAP · GALC

e

DMSO · WFA

Tuj · Tuj

TH · TH

DAPI · DAPI

Merge · Merge

f

% of total cells

TH

g

Rative mRNA expression

FGF2 · ID1 · TCF3 · WNT6 · WNT11

■ DMSO ■ WFA