Species level resolution of female bladder microbiota from marker gene surveys

Carter Hoffman, MS[1]; Nazema Y Siddiqui, MD, MHSc[2]; Ian Fields, MD[3]; W. Thomas Gregory, MD[3]; Holly Simon, PhD[4]; Michael A. Mooney, PhD[1]; Alan J. Wolfe, PhD[5]; Lisa Karstens, PhD[1,3]*

Abstract

The human bladder contains bacteria in the absence of infection. Interest in studying these bacteria and their association with bladder conditions is increasing, but the chosen experimental method can limit the resolution of the taxonomy that can be assigned to the bacteria found in the bladder. 16S rRNA gene sequencing is commonly used to identify bacteria, but is typically restricted to genus-level identification. Our primary aim was to determine if accurate species-level identification of bladder bacteria is possible using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. We evaluated the ability of different classification schemes, each consisting of combinations of a 16S rRNA gene variable region, a reference database, and a taxonomic classification algorithm to correctly classify bladder bacteria. We show that species-level identification is possible, and that the reference database chosen is the most important component, followed by the 16S variable region sequenced.

Importance
Species-level information may deepen our understanding of associations between bladder microbiota and bladder conditions, such as lower urinary tract symptoms and urinary tract infections. The capability to identify bacterial species depends on large databases of sequences, algorithms that leverage statistics and available computer hardware, and knowledge of bacterial genetics and classification. Taken together, this is a daunting body of knowledge to become familiar with before the simple question of bacterial identity can be answered. Our results show the choice of taxonomic database and variable region of the 16S rRNA gene sequence makes species level identification possible. We also show this improvement can be achieved through the more careful application of existing methods and use of existing resources.

Author Affiliations:
1. Department of Medical Informatics and Clinical Epidemiology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR USA
2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University, Durham, NC USA
3. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR USA
4. AnimalBiome, Oakland, CA USA
5. Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL, USA

*Corresponding author: karstens@ohsu.edu

Introduction

The human body provides a wide range of habitats, supporting a variety of microorganisms that
include bacteria, archaea, viruses and fungi, collectively known as the human microbiome(1).
Recent evidence from sequence-based and enhanced culturing techniques have revealed a
population of microbes (bacteria, fungi and viruses) that exist in the bladder, even in the absence
of infection(2–7). The discovery of the bladder microbiota (also known as the bladder urobiome)
has led researchers to question how these microbes influence the health of the host. Studies have
shown that altered bladder urobiome diversity is associated with urgency urinary incontinence
(UUI)(4,8), urinary tract infection after instrumentation of the urinary tract(9,10), and is
predictive of response to a common UUI drug (11). These studies collectively provide evidence
that the bladder urobiome, while previously overlooked, is clinically relevant and warrants
further investigation.

To study the relationships between the bacteria found in the human bladder and health of the
host, it is necessary to accurately identify bacteria in a rapid and large-scale manner. Reliable
methods of determining the bacterial identity of an unknown bacterium include Matrix Assisted
Laser Desorption/Ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) analysis or whole genome sequencing
(WGS) of purified colonies; both techniques permit species-level identification of bacteria(12).
However, culturing specific bacterial species is also time consuming and laborious. This
limitation has been circumvented by adopting culture-independent methods of sequencing DNA
directly from an environmental sample, such as shotgun metagenomic sequencing and targeted
amplicon sequencing, the latter most commonly involving the 16S rRNA gene(13). These
culture-independent sequencing methods are an attractive strategy because they can more
accurately reveal microbiota diversity by identifying bacteria that are difficult to grow in culture.

Targeted amplicon sequencing is currently the most practical method for identifying bladder
bacteria in a large-scale manner. When performing targeted amplicon sequencing, DNA is first
extracted from all cells in a sample, including host and bacterial cells. Next, the polymerase
chain reaction (PCR) is used to amplify a small segment of the bacterial genome. This segment is
then sequenced in a high-throughput manner. Finally, bioinformatics are used to process the
resulting sequences and identify the taxonomy of the bacteria. Algorithms compare the short
DNA sequences recovered from a sample to known sequences held in a reference database until
the closest match is found. In general, longer or more unique strings of sequenced DNA can be
used to identify bacteria at a higher level of precision, though sequence length is often limited by
the sequencing technology. The 16S rRNA gene is commonly used in amplicon sequencing
studies due to its universal presence in bacteria. The 16S rRNA gene conveniently contains
multiple "variable regions" with unique strings of sequence that can be used for bacterial
identification. A common target is the 4th variable region (V4), as this region has good
phylogenetic resolution down to the genus level for many bacteria(14).

When identifying bacteria using targeted amplicon sequencing there are three important
components (**Figure 1**). These components are: 1) the identifier, or DNA sequence of the
unknown bacterium; 2) a database of DNA sequences annotated with taxonomic information;
and 3) a classifier, which is the algorithm that compares the unknown sequence to those in a
database until the closest match is found. These components work together as a *classification*
scheme. One common classification scheme uses the V4 region from the 16S rRNA gene

sequence(15) as the identifier, the Silva database(16), and the Naïve Bayes algorithm(17). A
limitation of this particular classification scheme, and many others commonly used, is that the
phylogenetic resolution is usually constrained to the genus level. Recently, several new
approaches to sequence processing and taxonomy assignment have become available, which may
improve resolution to the species level (e.g. amplicon sequence variant algorithms such as
DADA2(18), and taxonomic classifiers such as Bayesian Lowest Common Ancestor
(BLCA)(19)).

Our primary aim was to determine if species-level identification of bladder bacteria is possible
from 16S rRNA gene sequencing studies. To achieve this aim, we used a representative sample
of bacteria found in the human female bladder and published by Thomas-White and
colleagues(20). This dataset includes bacteria found in the human female bladder that have been
cultured, isolated, subjected to whole genome sequencing, and identified using full length
sequences of 40 protein-encoding genes.. We used these known DNA sequences to determine
which classification schemes would be most useful for future targeted amplicon sequencing
studies. We evaluated several variable regions (i.e. potential identifiers), reference databases, and
taxonomic classification algorithms for their ability to accurately identify bladder bacteria at the
species level.

**Figure 1. Model of the components that make up a classification scheme to assign taxonomy to
unknown sequences.** A classification scheme consists of an identifier, a database, and a classifier. The identifiers
used in this study are subsequences of the 16S rRNA gene, computationally generated using published primers as
coordinates on the gene sequence. These targeted amplicons are the V3, V4, and V6 variable regions of the gene, or
span the V1-V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, and V4-V6 variable regions. The databases used in this study are the Greengenes,
Silva, and NCBI 16S. The classifiers used in this study are the Naive Bayes and Bayesian Lowest Common Ancestor
(BLCA) algorithms. One example of a classification scheme is the V4 region identifier, Silva database, and Naive
Bayes classifier. Another example classification scheme is the V6 region identifier, Greengenes database, and BLCA
classifier. These two examples are distinct from each other and can have different outcomes when assigning
taxonomy.

Results

Representation of bladder bacteria in 16S rRNA gene sequence databases. The Thomas-
White genome sequencing dataset consists of 149 bladder bacterial isolates, representing 78
bacterial species from 36 genera(20). There are several databases available for bacterial
identification using the 16S rRNA gene(21,22). Of these, Greengenes (v.13_5)(23), Silva (v.

132)(24), and NCBI 16S Microbial (v. August 2019) were evaluated due to their widespread use
in amplicon sequencing studies and availability of species-level annotation. At the genus level,
all but 1 genus (*Globicatella*) were present in the Greengenes database and all genera were
present in the Silva and NCBI 16S Microbial databases. At the species level, all 78 bladder
bacterial species were present in the Silva and NCBI 16S Microbial databases, whereas only 21
species were present in the Greengenes database.

Information contained in variable regions differs for bladder bacterial species. Sequencing
studies frequently focus on a small segment of the 16S rRNA gene that can be rapidly sequenced
in a high throughput manner using short read sequencing technology, such as the Illumina HiSeq
or MiSeq. To evaluate the performance of different variable regions as identifiers, amplicons
were computationally generated from the Thomas-White genome sequencing dataset for the V1-
V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, V4-V6, V3, V4, and V6 variable regions using published primers (see
Methods). These computational amplicons (**Figure 2A**) were used to determine how well the
currently available classification schemes can distinguish bladder bacterial species. To assess
different classification schemes, we tested multiple permutations of the variable regions listed
above with different databases (i.e. Greengenes, Silva, or NCBI 16S Microbial) and different
classifiers (i.e. Naive Bayes or BLCA, see **Figure 1**).

To quantify the amount of information contained across variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene
among commonly identified bladder bacteria, we performed a sliding window analysis on a
multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of all genomes from the Thomas-White dataset. We
calculated entropy as a measure of information content along the MSA (**Figure 2B**). As
expected, the defined variable regions contained regions of high entropy, suggesting variability
across species, whereas variable regions were flanked by conserved regions with low entropy
containing sequences that are similar among species. The V1 and V2 regions contained the
highest entropy, while V7 and V8 contained the lowest.

Evaluation of classification scheme performance. To evaluate the ability of
currently available resources to identify bladder species, we calculated the recall, precision and
F-measure for each classification scheme implemented (see **Methods**). Briefly, each resulting
taxonomic classification was evaluated as a true match, true non-match, false match or false non-
match based on whether the taxonomic classification was correctly assigned or not. Recall refers
to the proportion of matches that the classification scheme correctly identified out of all possible
matches. Precision refers to the proportion of matches that the classification scheme called
correctly out of all classified matches. The F-measure is the equally weighted harmonic mean of
recall and precision.

In general, the classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S Microbial database perform the best
(**Figure 3**), with high recall and precision (range 60.3%-91.0% for both classifiers). Those using
the Silva database show reduced precision and recall (range 23.1%-70.5% for both measures).
Because the Greengenes database is missing many of the bacterial species found in the bladder, it
is less precise. As such, classification schemes using the Greengenes database can have good
recall values (range 50.0%-81.8%), but the precision values are very low (range 22.0%-36.0%),
indicating a large proportion of false matches to the number of true matches.

Figure 2. Variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene used in this study. A) Locations of the primers used in this study on the 16S rRNA gene. Locations of the predicted amplicons are shown as black bars in relation to the multi-sequence alignment (MSA) of the bacterial species described in Thomas-White et al. (2018). Gray columns are the locations of the known variable regions based on the sequence from *E. coli*. B) The information of variable regions, measured by entropy from a sliding window analysis of the MSA. Higher entropy indicates that the region has more variability across species, and therefore more information to identify a bacterial species. Lower entropy indicates that the region has little variability (i.e. is conserved) across species and therefore less information to identify a bacterial species.

When different variable regions are used as identifiers with Silva and NCBI 16S databases, there
are differences in classification scheme outcomes. Using the Silva database and Naive Bayes
classifier, the identifiers yielding the highest recall are the large V3-V4 (69.2%) and V4-V6
(69.2%) targeted amplicons. Using the Silva database and BLCA classifier, the V1-V3 and V4-
V6 amplicons have the highest recall (55.1% and 48.7%, respectively). In contrast, the identifiers
yielding the highest recall in classification schemes using the NCBI 16S database are the V1-V3
(90.3% on average) and V2-V3 (89.1% on average) targeted amplicons, regardless of the
classifier.

Figure 3: Classification scheme evaluation when ignoring confidence scores. The performance of each classification scheme is summarized by the precision (y axis) and recall (x axis) for each variable region (color). The best classification scheme would lie in the upper right-hand corner. Overall, classification schemes using the NCBI 16S Microbial database performed better than those using the Greengenes or Silva databases.

Confidence scores affect classification.

The BLCA and Naïve Bayes classifiers used in this study will classify an unknown sequence
even when the posterior probability for that taxon is very low. To account for this situation, a
confidence score is calculated that measures how much the classification changes through
random permutation (bootstrapping) and produces a value that reflects the "goodness of fit" of
that classification. When lacking any knowledge of how to choose the best confidence score that
minimizes the number of errors of a classification scheme (i.e. when a test set is not available),
using a predefined confidence score threshold is an option. Here, we evaluated the performance
of classification schemes when confidence score thresholds of 50% or 80% were used, such that
matches returned with confidence scores less than the threshold were considered non-matches.
Figure 4 shows the effect of increasing the confidence score on the number of true matches
returned by each classification scheme.

Almost all classification schemes had a decrease in recall when using a default confidence score
of 80% (**Supplemental Figure 1**). This effect is especially marked for the classification schemes
that use the Silva database, which shows a 79.3% reduction in recall, on average. Classification
schemes that use the NCBI 16S database are unequally affected, for example the V1-V3
identifier shows a slight reduction in recall (7.1% on average), while the V6 identifier shows the
largest (43.3% on average). Classification schemes that use the Greengenes database are slightly
affected. These reductions in recall are mirrored in all classification schemes when a confidence
score of 50% is used as a threshold, but at a smaller magnitude.

Changes in the precision of the classification schemes are affected the most by the database used
(**Supplemental Figure 1**). For the classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S database,
precision is generally improved regardless of confidence score, but at unequal amounts. For
example, using the 80% threshold, the V1-V3 identifier shows a slight increase of 3.5% on
average, while the V6 identifier shows a large 39.7% increase on average. Classification schemes
that use the Silva database are unequally affected, with both reduction and gains in precision. A
dramatic increase in precision is shown by the classification scheme composed of the Silva
database, V4 identifier, and the Naive Bayes classifier. When ignoring a confidence score, this
classification scheme has a precision of 52.6%, but shows a 63.1% gain when using a confidence
score of 80% as a threshold. In general, precision is reduced when using the BLCA classifier and
the Silva database. As with recall, classification schemes that use the Greengenes database show
slight changes in precision.

**Figure 4: The number of true matches returned for each classification scheme across all
confidence score values.** As the confidence score value is increased, the number of true matches dramatically
decreases, especially for schemes using the Silva database.

The overall changes in how these classification schemes perform when using a 50% or 80%
confidence score can be summarized by comparing the F-measure values shown in
Supplemental Figure 2. In almost every classification scheme, the F-measure value decreases
when a threshold is used, indicating a larger proportion of false matches and false non-matches
to the number of true matches. The classification schemes that use the Silva database clearly
demonstrate this effect, which show a 66.9% reduction in F-measure values on average. The
classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S database show slight decreases in the F-measure
values, with the exceptions of those that use the V3, V4 and V6 regions as identifiers. Those
classification schemes show a large 27.2% reduction in F-measure values on average. Finally,
the classification schemes that use the Greengenes database have slight changes in their F-
measure values, regardless of using a threshold or not.

Amplicons spanning more than one variable region identify a higher number of bladder bacterial species. Amplicons spanning more than one variable region identified more unique bladder bacteria at the species level than amplicons spanning a single variable region. For example, with the commonly used V4 variable region and Naïve Bayes classifier, 21.8% of bladder bacteria are correctly identified with the Greengenes database, whereas 52.6% are identified with the Silva database and 73.1% with the NCBI 16S database (**Figure 5**). However, with the NCBI database, when using amplicons spanning more than one variable region, such as the V1-V3 region, 91.0% of bacteria are correctly identified at the species level.

Figure 5: Percent of bladder bacteria correctly identified for each classification scheme. With the commonly used V4 variable region and BLCA classifier, 17% of bladder bacteria are correctly identified using the Greengenes database, compared with 35% correctly identified using the Silva database and 67% using the NCBI 16S database. A similar trend is seen with the Naïve Bayes classifier. Using other variable regions can lead to improved species-level resolution to a maximum number of 91% correctly identified.

Species identified depends on choice of database and variable region. While the results thus far have focused on summarizing overall performance of classification schemes for identifying bladder bacteria at the species level, we also sought to determine which classification schemes could be used to identify specific bacteria (**Table 1, Supplemental Figure 3**). Although the NCBI database contains the largest representation of bladder species, some species were not identified with certain variable regions, if at all. For example, *Lactobacillus* species were overall best represented within the NCBI database, with 8 out of 9 species being identified with the V1-V3 and V2-V3 variable regions (**Figure 6**). However, the other variable regions only identified between 4 and 6 *Lactobacillus* species when using the NCBI database. Interestingly, *Lactobacillus crispatus* was identifiable with the Silva and NCBI databases when using the V4-V6 regions, but only with the NCBI database using the V1-V3 and V2-V3 regions, and only the Silva database when using the V4 and V6 regions independently. *Lactobacillus iners* was not correctly identified from our dataset with any classification scheme.

Additionally, we found that there were important discrepancies for bacteria that are thought to play a role in bladder health and disease (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Several bladder species, such as *Gardnerella vaginalis*, were only detected with the NCBI and Silva databases. *Staphylococcus*

species were poorly identified with the V4 region but were distinguishable with all other regions. *Streptococcus* and *Corynebacterium* species were best identified with NCBI. *Escherichia coli* is not well represented in any of the databases, and was only detected with the V4 region and the NCBI database.

Figure 6: The ability of classification schemes to distinguish between different Lactobacillus species. Results shown for classification schemes using the BLCA classifier. Classification schemes using the NCBI 16S database have the most coverage, regardless of variable region chosen. The Greengenes database is not shown since it only classified two species (*L. pontis* and *L. delbrueckii*).

242   **Table 1.** Number of species identified with each database by variable region (BLCA).

Genus	V1-V3	V2-V3	V3	V3-V4	V4	V4-V6	V6
Actinomyces							
NCBI 16S	3	3	3	3	2	3	3
Silva	3	4	1	1	1	1	1
Greengenes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Aerococcus							
NCBI 16S	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Silva	3	3	3	3	3	3	0
Greengenes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bifidobacterium							
NCBI 16S	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
Silva	3	2	2	2	2	1	1
Greengenes	3	3	3	3	2	2	2
Corynebacterium							
NCBI 16S	7	7	6	7	6	7	5
Silva	1	2	1	2	0	1	1
Greengenes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lactobacillus							
NCBI 16S	8	8	5	6	5	6	4
Silva	5	3	1	4	3	5	2
Greengenes	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
Staphylococcus							
NCBI 16S	4	5	4	4	2	4	3
Silva	3	2	1	2	1	2	2
Greengenes	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
Streptococcus							
NCBI 16S	9	9	6	7	6	7	6
Silva	4	5	2	2	2	2	2
Greengenes	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
Other							
NCBI 16S	33	32	26	32	26	30	25
Silva	21	15	15	18	15	23	9
Greengenes	8	8	8	8	8	8	6
Total							
NCBI 16S	70	70	56	65	52	62	51
Silva	43	36	26	34	27	38	18
Greengenes	15	15	14	15	13	14	11

Validation of computational findings on V4 amplicon data. To evaluate the performance of
our computational findings on actual data, we acquired targeted amplicon sequencing data from
24 urine samples. These urine samples were a subset of those that were used to derive cultures in
the Thomas-White dataset and thus should contain the same bacteria. Sequencing data were
generated as part of two other studies using Illumina sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S
rRNA gene(4,11). We reprocessed the raw sequencing data (see **Methods**) and performed
taxonomic classification to assess the performance of our computational findings. Since 16S
rRNA gene sequencing will detect many more bacteria than those identified even with enhanced
culture, we restricted the evaluation to only the bacteria that grew in culture from a given sample.
We used accuracy to assess the number of predicted matches that were correctly identified in the
V4 dataset, using classification schemes composed of the V4 identifier, each of three databases,
and the BLCA classifier (**Figure 7**). All databases had good accuracy with high proportions of
accurate identifications at the species level (80% for NCBI 16S, 86% for Silva, and 88% for
Greengenes). Accuracy was reduced when the default confidence score of 80% was applied
(61% for NCBI 16S, 67% for Silva, and 86% for Greengenes). The default confidence score of
50% reduced the accuracy of two of the classification schemes (76% for NCBI 16S and 80% for
Silva). We also evaluated classification schemes with the Naive Bayes classifier and found
similar results (**Supplemental Figures 4 and 5**)

Figure 7. Taxonomic classification of the V4 validation dataset. A) Results when using a classification scheme including the V4 identifier, NCBI 16S database, and BLCA classifier. Blue dots represent species identified in cultured isolates, but not identified in targeted amplicon sequencing using this classification scheme. Yellow dots represent the species that were present in cultured isolates and successfully identified by the classification scheme. B) Summary of accuracy for classification schemes that use the V4 rRNA identifier, BLCA classifier, and the three databases (Greengenes, Silva and NCBI 16S). Rows show accuracy results when ignoring confidence scores, and when using confidence scores of 50% or 80% as thresholds.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that it is possible to gain higher resolution results at the species level with existing resources when performing targeted amplicon sequencing of urinary specimens. Though higher resolution is possible, it requires a carefully chosen classification scheme. Within the classification scheme, the reference database strongly influences the identification of bacteria at the species level. Overall, we found the NCBI 16S database performs the best, whereas the Greengenes database performs the worst, primarily because it does not currently contain representatives of bladder bacteria. The identifier, or 16S rRNA variable region that is chosen, can also influence the types of bacterial species that are identified. The choice of classifier did not drastically affect the identification of species and thus is less critical within the classification scheme.

The largest limitation of any reference database is that the number of records of accurately classified bacteria is dwarfed by the number and diversity of unidentified sequences obtained through metagenomic sequencing of environmental samples. Because of the considerable amount of work required to construct and maintain databases, they will undoubtedly incompletely represent existing bacteria.

For species level taxonomy assignments, the reference database must contain species-level information. In other words, if species of bacteria are expected in a sample, it must be verified that the database contains those species. For example, we found that the Greengenes database does not currently contain many bacterial species that are found in the human bladder. In contrast, the NCBI 16S Microbial and Silva databases had representation of all species that were identified from prior studies of bladder bacteria. Thus, the latter two databases are better choices for evaluating bacterial species from the bladder.

While the databases reviewed in this study do have species-level information associated with the records, additional work was needed before species-level identification could be achieved with the Naïve Bayes classifier. This classifier requires a database that has undergone the "training" steps that convert the DNA sequences to the calculated frequencies that each k-mer occurs in a taxon. For available classification algorithms like the RDP classifier(25) and QIIME2(17), the training is only currently done to reliably identify bacteria to the genus level. For this study, it was necessary to train the Silva and NCBI 16S databases to the species level for use with the Naive Bayes classifier. While training the reference databases did take significant computational effort, once completed it was used repeatedly.

The classifiers used in this study are examples of two different strategies designed to overcome the common challenges of searching an extremely large dataset in order to find matching pairs of query sequences and reference records. While these two approaches are different in concept, we did not find significant differences in their performance for species-level classification of bladder bacteria.

BLCA is an example of sequence comparison by pairwise alignment. The strength of this method is due to the fact that the similarities between two DNA samples are directly compared. This is the most effective way to compare the characteristics of a sample to those that define a taxon; however, until recent advances in computer technology, it remained impractical because

of the computational burden. The Naive Bayes classifier is an example of a k-mer-based
classification approach, and was designed to circumvent the computational challenges that are
faced with use of a pairwise alignment classifier. However, there are limitations when using
Naive Bayes for species-level identification. The first limitation arises from the database training
process. If one taxon has more training examples than another, Naive Bayes generates
unfavorable weights for the decision boundary(26). The second limitation is that all features (i.e.
the k-mers generated from the DNA sequences) are assumed to be independent, and weights for
taxa with strong dependencies among the associated k-mers are larger than those taxa with
weakly dependent k-mers(26).

Finally, as shown by both the computational and V4 validation results, the use of the 50% or
80% confidence score thresholds significantly reduced the recall and accuracy of the
classification schemes. Precision increased in several cases, for example with classification
schemes that use the NCBI 16S database or those that use the Silva database and Naïve Bayes
classifier, but at the cost of severely decreasing the number of species identified. These results
show that the default settings of 50% or 80% are restrictive, and limit the ability to detect bladder
species, especially when using the Silva and Greengenes databases. This could be resolved
through the use of a comparative data set to find the confidence score values yielding optimal
performance of these classification schemes.

Affordable sequencing of large-scale data is presently done with short read sequencing
technology, such as Illumina MiSeq. This is currently limited to sequencing reads up to 300
nucleotides in length. Until full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing can be achieved affordably
on a large scale (such as with Oxford Nanopore and PacBio technologies), choosing the optimal
region of the 16S rRNA gene for identification purposes remains a significant part of the
experimental design. Thus, the variable regions that are used as identifiers require some
consideration.

Our findings show that use of the V2-V3, and V1-V3 regions of the 16S rRNA gene allowed for
the correct identification of the most bladder bacterial species when combined with the NCBI
16S database and either classifier. In general, amplicons that span more than one variable region
perform better than those that contain single variable regions. This is likely due to the increased
information available with longer reads. It is important to note that longer reads can also have
limitations, which are discussed in more detail below. While shorter variable regions, such as
the V4 region, did not perform as well as longer amplicons, they were able to identify many
bladder bacteria at the species level (52 out of 78). These shorter amplicons are widely used with
Illumina sequencing and may be valid, depending on the study design and level of precision
desired. However, other variable regions may be explored for practical application, or when
more detailed information is desired.

By taking a computational approach to evaluating classification schemes that are capable of
identifying bladder bacterial species, we were able to thoroughly assess the ability of
classification schemes to identify known bacterial species. However, there are several practical
limitations of amplicon sequencing that were not captured in this approach.

Targeted amplicons are generated by priming the polymerase chain reaction with specially
designed oligonucleotides (PCR primers). The challenge of PCR primer design is to identify a

sequence of nucleotides that will anneal to only one location on the template DNA. Finding
suitable annealing sites that flank the variable region of interest becomes very difficult when
considering the 16S rRNA gene sequence of many species. We used published primers to create
computational amplicons, but this may not reflect the actual experimental efficiency. Finally,
quality control with DNA sequence processing must be conducted before classification is
performed. Targeted amplicon sequencing generates a large number of overlapping reads and
provides the data for methods to correct for errors introduced by the polymerase enzyme. After
error correction, similar reads are aggregated into operational taxonomic units or amplicon
sequence variants. The last step is to attempt to merge reads that are complementary before
attempting to classify them. If the sequence reads do not overlap, loss of phylogenetic
information occurs in the gaps and impacts the accuracy of identification, which may occur for
longer amplicons, such as the V1-V3 and V4-V6 regions.

In our study, we identified the V1-V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene as having the greatest
taxonomic resolution for the bacteria that are found in the bladder. This may be attributed to the
high occurrence of insertions and deletions (indels) in the conserved regions between the first
three variable regions across the bacteria in the Thomas-White dataset. Designing one degenerate
primer set that would amplify the entire dataset may not be possible for this region. A future
research direction could be to stratify the Thomas-White dataset into smaller, more closely
related phylogenetic groups for more specific primer design.

Conclusion

Species level taxonomy assignment will greatly benefit studies focused on the urobiome and its
relationship to bladder health and disease. Our results show that it is possible to reliably classify
bladder bacterial species using targeted amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene variable
regions with existing classification algorithms and databases. We determined that the most
important component of the classification scheme is the database used, and that the NCBI
database allows for best identification of bladder species. Our validation with V4 amplicon data
demonstrates that the predicted computational outcomes are a good approximation for how a
classification scheme will perform on real data. The knowledge that a majority of the predicted
matches reflect reality is encouraging. It can be expected that the alternate variable regions
covered in this study, such as the V2-V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene, would have similar
outcomes.

Importantly, we found that no single variable region gives 100% coverage of all bladder bacteria
species. Thus, the choice of variable region may significantly affect the results of a given study.
One approach to resolve this could be to use multiple amplicon sequencing or long read
sequencing technology. These technologies are emerging and may prove to be beneficial for the
urobiome community. Furthermore, no database has 100% coverage across a variable region.
This could be resolved by using more than one database for classification, though this approach
is complicated by differences in databases in terms of formatting, as well as conflicting
classifications. Both of these components are important for planning experimental and
computational aspects of urobiome studies, and should be considered when comparing results
across studies.

Material and Methods

Code resources. All scripts that were written for this project can be found in the GitHub repository (https://github.com/lakarstens/BladderBacteriaSpecies). All scripts sourced from this repository are referred to as "custom."

The Thomas-White dataset. The 78 species of bladder bacteria used in this study were identified by culturing 149 urine samples and performing whole-genome sequencing, as described in Thomas-White et al.(20). This set of identified species served as the basis for our computational analysis and is referred to as the Thomas-White dataset. For each species identified, the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the corresponding type strain was downloaded from the Silva v132 release (https://www.arb-silva.de/) on 4/27/2019. A *type strain* is the sequence of the cultured isolate that was subject to the metabolic, genotypic and phenotypic evaluations taken to define the bacterial species(27), and is the agreed bacterial organism to which the taxonomic name refers. Sequences were searched using the "[T]" filter setting, and sequences longer than 1450 nt with alignment and pintail quality scores greater than 95% were selected. For the species that had no hits, the taxonomic synonym (see below) was used as the search query, if available. One unidentified *Corynebacterium* species had no type strain available, and was excluded from the analysis.

The V4 validation dataset. Targeted amplicon sequences from 24 urine samples, using the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene sequence, is referred to as the V4 validation dataset. These 24 urine samples originated from a subsample of the women whose samples comprised the Thomas-White dataset. Sequencing data were generated as part of two other published studies using Illumina sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene(4,11). The raw sequence reads were processed with DADA2 version 1.14.1(18) to generate amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). The ASVs were subjected to taxonomic classification with the BLCA algorithm.

Synonyms of species. Species names have changed in response to advances in bacterial systematics. All currently known species synonyms were downloaded from the Prokaryotic Nomenclature Up-to-Date(28) (PNU) website on 1/5/2020. PNU includes information down to the strain level, but these entries were consolidated to the species level. For example, entries like *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter cloacae dissolvens* are treated as synonyms of *Enterobacter cloacae*. Classification results were then checked for synonyms using the custom "validate_match_batch.py" script.

Databases. The Greengenes database version 13_5 was downloaded on 9/23/19 from (http://greengenes.secondgenome.com/?prefix=downloads/greengenes_database/gg_13_5/). For use with BLCA, the database was processed using the provided "1.subset_db_gg.py" script (https://github.com/qunfengdong/BLCA/). For use with the Qiime2 package, the FASTA file was reformatted to work with Qiime2 using the custom "write_qiime_train_db.py" script, and trained to work with the Naive Bayes classifier with the provided "fit-classifier-naive-bayes" script.

The Silva database version 132 was downloaded on 9/14/19 from (https://www.arb-silva.de/no_cache/download/archive/release_132/Exports/) as a FASTA formatted file. The FASTA file was compiled into a database that could be used with BLCA by using the

"makeblastdb" utility provided in the Blast+ suite. The taxonomy file that was required by
BLCA was generated with the custom "write_taxonomy.py" script. For use with the Qiime2
package, the FASTA file was reformatted to work with Qiime2 using the custom
"write_qiime_train_db.py" script, and trained to work with the Naive Bayes classifier with the
provided "fit-classifier-naive-bayes" Qiime2 script.

The 16SMicrobial database is bundled with the BLCA package, but is available from
(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/). For use with BLCA, the database was processed using the
provided "1.subset_db_acc.py" script included with BLCA. For use with the Qiime2 package, a
FASTA file was extracted from the bundled BLCA database using "blastdbcmd" utility provided
in the Blast+ suite, and reformatted to work with Qiime2 using the custom
"write_qiime_train_db.py" script. The database was trained to work with the Naive Bayes
classifier with the provided "fit-classifier-naive-bayes" script included in Qiime2.

Presence of Thomas-White species in databases. To verify that all species from the
Thomas-White dataset were present in the databases used in this study, each database was first
converted to a FASTA file (if needed) using the "blastdbcmd" utility included in the Blast+ suite.
The FASTA file was then searched using regular expressions and the Linux command-line
program *grep* for a match of each species in the dataset. The commands were implemented using
the custom "species_in_db.bash" script. The presence or absence of each species was recorded.

Multisequence alignment. The 16S gene sequences from the Thomas-White dataset were
formed into a multi-sequence alignment using the T-coffee program(29). T-coffee version
12.00.7fb08c2 was downloaded from (http://tcoffee.org/Packages/Stable/Latest/) on 4/5/2019.
Alignments were performed using the default settings.

Sliding window analysis. Comparing the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the species in the
Thomas-White dataset reveals regions of conserved sequence and regions of variability. The
degree that variable regions of species differ from each other can aid the identification of each
species; therefore, quantifying the amount of variability of a region across a set of species is
important.

Sliding window analysis (SWA) is the method by which a list of subsequences are generated by
taking successive groups of equal size, in the manner of a window of fixed length sliding across
the full sequence. Quantifying the amount of variability along a MSA is achieved by combining
SWA with calculating the Shannon Entropy contained in each column framed by the window.

The minimum Shannon entropy occurs when all nucleotides in a position (column) of the MSA
are the same. The maximum occurs when all possible nucleotides in the MSA are present at that
position. However, the Shannon Entropy treats gaps in a sequence as relevant, where in practice
gaps reflect an absence of useful information. Multisequence alignments can generate many
columns of gap characters due to insertions or deletions (indels) in the respective sequences that
make up the MSA. A consequence of treating gaps as relevant is the Shannon Entropy will
interpret these indel regions as conserved sequence. This limitation was overcome by weighting
the entropy scores against gaps(30). The locations of known variable regions of the 16S gene
sequence were validated, and the relative amount of variability was quantified, using the custom
"weighted_ent.py" script.

Primers. Amplicons were computationally generated from the Thomas-White genome
sequencing dataset for the V1-V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, V4-V6, and V4 variable regions using
published primers and the V3 and V6 regions using designed primers. The primer sequences
used, listed in order of amplicon spanning variable region(s), forward primer name and sequence,
reverse primer name and sequence are: **V1-V3**: A17F 5'-GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3', 515R
5'-TTA CCG CGG CMG CSG GCA-3'(31,32). **V2-V3**: 16S_BV2f 5'-AGT GGC GGA CGG
GTG AGT AA-3', HDA-2 5'-GTA TTA CCG CGG CTG CTG GCA C-3'(33,34). **V3:** v3_579F
5'-THT TSS RCA ATG GRS GVA-3', v3_779R 5'-GKN SCR AGC STT RHY CGG-3'. **V3-V4:**
V3F 5'-CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-3', V4R 5'-GGA CTA CHV GGG TWT CTA AT-
3'(35). **V4**: F515 5'-GTG CCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3', R806 5'-CCT GAT GHV CCC
AWA GAT TA-3'(36). **V4-V6:** 519F 5'-GTG CCA GCT GCC GCG GTA ATA-3', 1114R 5'-
GGG GTT GCG CTC GTT GC-3'(32). **V6:** v6_1183F 5'-CCG CCT GGG GAS TAC GVH-3',
v6_1410R 5'-AGT CCC RYA ACG AGC GCA-3'. Degenerate primer design was employed to
generate primer sets for the V3 and V6 regions of the 16S rRNA gene that would anneal to as
many species in the Thomas-White dataset as possible with DegePrime(37)
(https://github.com/EnvGen/DEGEPRIME.git). DegePrime has the option to ignore columns of a
MSA if the number of "-" characters exceed a user-defined threshold. The MSAs were
preprocessed with this threshold set to .01. The main script of DegePrime was run using a
degeneracy setting of 4096 and a window length of 18.

Extracting computational amplicons. For each primer set, the DNA sequence
bracketed by the forward and reverse primers was extracted from the multisequence alignment.
Coordinates of the MSA were identified by searching the *E. coli* sequence (accession number
EU014689.1.1541) included in the MSA for a match to the forward and reverse primer
sequences, and then mapping those position to the MSA of the Thomas-White dataset. This
procedure was done using the custom "extract_16s_vr.py" script and output as a multi-record
FASTA formatted file.

Taxonomic classifiers. Taxonomic classification was performed with Bayesian lowest
common ancestor (BLCA) and Naïve Bayes classifiers. BLCA(19) was cloned from the
GitHub repository https://github.com/qunfengdong/BLCA.git. For the 16S variable regions, the
BLCA was run using default settings but pointing to the selected reference database, either
Greengenes, Silva, or NCBI 16S. The Naïve Bayes classifier as implemented by Qiime2(17) was
used with the Greengenes, Silva, and NCBI 16S databases and a confidence setting of 0, 50, and
80, but otherwise default settings.

Evaluating computational results. To evaluate the taxonomic classification results of
each classification scheme on the computational amplicon dataset, the custom
"new_taxonomy_results_2020-3-14.Rmd" file was used. These scripts compare the results of
each record pair (each comparison between the query sequence and sequence held in the
reference database) from the classification scheme to the known identify of the query sequence
from the Thomas-White dataset. All record pairs that were assigned a match by the classification
schemes were evaluated according to the following definitions (**Figure 8**):

True match - All record pairs assigned as a match that have identical genus and species
labels.

False match - All record pairs assigned as a match that did not have identical genus and
species labels.
False non-match - If a record representing a species in the Thomas-White dataset was
present in the database, but was not assigned as a match, the record was evaluated as a
false non-match.
True non-match - All records in the reference database that were not in the Thomas-
White dataset. While records assigned to this category were not used in evaluating the
classification schemes in this manuscript, the definition is still included for completeness.
520
521
522

Figure 8. Example of classification evaluation used in this study. Suppose there is a classification scheme comprising a set of query sequences (the rows E,F,G) and the set of reference sequences (the columns E,F,L,M) held in a reference database. In this example, the number of reference records is greater than the query records, and the reference is missing a corresponding G record from the query set. **A**) If the query and reference record letters are the same, then they are designated as a **match**. If they are different they are designated as a **non-match**. **B**) Next, the classifier is allowed to assign record pairs as matches or non-matches for all query sequences, represented as green plus signs for matches and blank cells as non-matches. Some results are correct, and some are not. Note that despite the lack of a matching record in the reference database, the classifier still designated the (G:M) pair as a match. **C**) Using the definitions for assigning the classifications to the confusion matrix, there is one **true match** (green square), two **false matches** (red squares), one **false non-match** (yellow square), and 8 **true non-matches** (white squares). D) The cell values of the confusion matrix are then filled out, and performance measurements can be calculated. For this classification scheme, the precision is 1/(1+2)=.33, recall is 1/(1+1)=.5, and the F-measure is (2*.33*.5)/(.33+.5)=.40.

Performance measures. Recall, precision and the F-measure were used to evaluate the
performance of each classification scheme implemented. Recall refers to the proportion of
matches that the classification scheme correctly identified (true matches) out of all possible
matches (true matches plus false non-matches). Precision refers to the proportion of matches that
the classification scheme called correctly (true matches) out of all classified matches (true
matches plus false matches). The F-measure is the equally weighted harmonic mean of recall and
precision. For this study, we chose to maximize recall over precision, because the number of true
matches impacts the subsequent work on diversity measures, such as species richness and
evenness(38).

Evaluating V4 validation results. The species of bacteria in the V4 seqeuncing data
were identified using classification schemes composed of the V4 sequencing results as the
identifier, BLCA classifier, and the Greengenes, Silva, and NCBI 16S microbial databases. To
determine the expected bacterial species in each sample, the results of the whole genome
sequencing on the isolates cultured from the corresponding subject was used. For each

classification scheme, accuracy was calculated by enumerating the number of species identified
by WGS that were also identified by the V4 16S targeted amplicon sequencing using the custom
"real_world_data_2020-4-17.Rmd" file.

The results of the V4 validation set were evaluated according to the following definitions
(**Figure 9**):

       542 ***True match*** - All matches from the computational classification scheme that were
correctly identified by V4 16S targeted amplicon sequencing
False match - All species identified by V4 16S targeted amplicon sequencing that were
not identified by the computational classification scheme
False non-match - All matches from the computational classification scheme that were
not identified by V4 16S targeted amplicon sequencing
True non-match - All species that were not identified by either the computational
classification schemes or the V4 16S targeted amplicon sequencing

Figure 9: Definitions of how the classification scheme outcomes are assigned to the cells of the confusion matrix for the V4 validation results. This example shows the classification scheme composed of the Greengenes database, BLCA classifier, and the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene as the identifier. When the Thomas-White dataset is subsetted by the 24 samples that underwent targeted amplicon sequencing, a smaller set of 49 species remains. The light yellow rows indicate the species correctly identified by the computational classification scheme. Blue dots represent species identified in the collected samples by whole genome sequencing after expanded urine culturing and isolation. Yellow dots indicate the species were identified in those samples by V4 targeted amplicon sequencing. Yellow dots in light yellow rows are true matches, when found elsewhere they are false matches. Blue dots in the light yellow rows are false non-matches, when found elsewhere they are true non-matches.

Data Availability.
This project used previously acquired publicly available data.[20] All code that was written for this project can be found in the GitHub repository:
https://github.com/lakarstens/BladderBacteriaSpecies.

Acknowledgements.
This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH): NIDDK award number K01 DK116706 (LK); NIA award numbers R03 AG060082 and P30AG028716 (NS). The content of the manuscript is solely our responsibility and does not represent the official views of the NIH or any other funding agency

Author contributions.
CH and LK conceived and designed experiments, and wrote the manuscript. CH performed analyses, LK reviewed analyses. AJW supplied the data. LK, CH, MM, HS, TG, IF, NS, and AJW interpreted results and revised the manuscript.

References

1. Lederberg J, McCray AT. 'Ome Sweet 'Omics-- A Genealogical Treasury of Words. The Scientist. 2001 Apr 2;15(7):2.

2. Wolfe AJ, Toh E, Shibata N, Rong R, Kenton K, FitzGerald M, et al. Evidence of Uncultivated Bacteria in the Adult Female Bladder. J Clin Microbiol. 2012 Apr 1;50(4):1376–83.

3. Khasriya R, Sathiananthamoorthy S, Ismail S, Kelsey M, Wilson M, Rohn JL, et al. Spectrum of Bacterial Colonization Associated with Urothelial Cells from Patients with Chronic Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Jul 1;51(7):2054–62.

4. Pearce MM, Hilt EE, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Thomas-White K, Fok C, et al. The Female Urinary Microbiome: a Comparison of Women with and without Urgency Urinary Incontinence. Blaser MJ, editor. mBio. 2014 Jul 8;5(4):e01283-14.

5. Fouts DE. Next Generation Sequencing to Define Prokaryotic and Fungal Diversity in the Bovine Rumen. PLOS ONE. 2012;7(11).

6. Price TK, Dune T, Hilt EE, Thomas-White KJ, Kliethermes S, Brincat C, et al. The Clinical Urine Culture: Enhanced Techniques Improve Detection of Clinically Relevant Microorganisms. Forbes BA, editor. J Clin Microbiol. 2016 May;54(5):1216–22.

7. Ackerman AL, Underhill DM. The mycobiome of the human urinary tract: potential roles for fungi in urology. Ann Transl Med. 2017 Jan;5:31–31.

8. Karstens L, Asquith M, Davin S, Stauffer P, Fair D, Gregory WT, et al. Does the Urinary Microbiome Play a Role in Urgency Urinary Incontinence and Its Severity? Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2016 Jul 27;6.

9.   Pearce MM, Zilliox MJ, Rosenfeld AB, Thomas-White KJ, Richter HE, Nager CW,
et al. The female urinary microbiome in urgency urinary incontinence. Am J Obstet
Gynecol. 2015 Sep;213(3):347.e1-347.e11.

10. Thomas-White KJ, Gao X, Lin H, Fok CS, Ghanayem K, Mueller ER, et al. Urinary
microbes and postoperative urinary tract infection risk in urogynecologic surgical
patients. Int Urogynecology J. 2018 Dec;29(12):1797–805.

11. Thomas-White KJ, Hilt EE, Fok C, Pearce MM, Mueller ER, Kliethermes S, et al.
Incontinence medication response relates to the female urinary microbiota. Int
Urogynecology J. 2016 May;27(5):723–33.

12. Kliem M, Sauer S. The essence on mass spectrometry based microbial diagnostics.
Curr Opin Microbiol. 2012 Jun;15(3):397–402.

13. Pace NR. A Molecular View of Microbial Diversity and the Biosphere. Science. 1997
May 2;276(5313):734–40.

14. Yang B, Wang Y, Qian P-Y. Sensitivity and correlation of hypervariable regions in
16S rRNA genes in phylogenetic analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016 Dec;17(1):135.

15. Hugenholtz P, Skarshewski A, Parks DH. Genome-Based Microbial Taxonomy
Coming of Age. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2016 Jun;8(6).

16. Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, et al. SILVA: a
comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA
sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007 Nov 14;35(21):7188–
96.

17. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, et al.
Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using
QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol. 2019 Aug;37(8):852–7.

18. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP.
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat
Methods. 2016 Jul;13(7):581–3.

19. Gao X, Lin H, Revanna K, Dong Q. A Bayesian taxonomic classification method for
16S rRNA gene sequences with improved species-level accuracy. BMC
Bioinformatics. 2017 Dec;18(1):247.

20. Thomas-White K, Forster SC, Kumar N, Kuiken MV, Putonti C, Stares MD, et al.
Culturing of female bladder bacteria reveals an interconnected urogenital
microbiota. Nat Commun. 2018 Apr 19;9(1):1557.

21. Breitwieser FP, Lu J, Salzberg SL. A review of methods and databases for
metagenomic classification and assembly. Brief Bioinform. 2019 Jul 19;20(4):1125–
36.

22.   Hugerth LW, Andersson AF. Analysing Microbial Community Composition through
Amplicon Sequencing: From Sampling to Hypothesis Testing. Front Microbiol.
2017;8.

23.   DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, et al.
Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and Workbench
Compatible with ARB. Appl Env Microbiol. 2006 Jul 1;72(7):5069–72.

24.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012 Nov 27;41(D1):D590–6.

25.   Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian Classifier for Rapid
Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Appl Environ
Microbiol. 2007 Aug 15;73(16):5261–7.

26.   Rennie JDM, Shih L, Teevan J, Karger DR. Tackling the Poor Assumptions of Naive
Bayes Text Classifiers. 2003;8.

27.   Rainey FA. How to Describe New Species of Prokaryotes. In: Methods in
Microbiology. Elsevier; 2011. p. 7–14.

28.   Parte AC. LPSN – List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature
(bacterio.net), 20 years on. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2018 Jun 1;68(6):1825–9.

29.   Notredame C, Higgins DG, Heringa J. T-coffee: a novel method for fast and
accurate multiple sequence alignment 1 1Edited by J. Thornton. J Mol Biol. 2000
Sep;302(1):205–17.

30.   Valdar WSJ. Scoring residue conservation. Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma.
2002;48(2):227–41.

31.   Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Moeschberger ML, Leys EJ. Identification of Candidate
Periodontal Pathogens and Beneficial Species by Quantitative 16S Clonal Analysis.
J Clin Microbiol. 2005 Aug 1;43(8):3944–55.

32.   Kumar P, Brooker M, Dowd S, Camerlengo T. Target Region Selection Is a Critical
Determinant of Community Fingerprints Generated by 16S Pyrosequencing. PLOS
ONE. 2011 Jun;6(6).

33.   Bukin YuS, Galachyants YuP, Morozov IV, Bukin SV, Zakharenko AS, Zemskaya TI.
The effect of 16S rRNA region choice on bacterial community metabarcoding
results. Sci Data. 2019 Mar;6(1):190007.

34.   Walter J, Tannock GW, Tilsala-Timisjarvi A, Rodtong S, Loach DM, Munro K, et al.
Detection and Identification of Gastrointestinal Lactobacillus Species by Using
Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis and Species-Specific PCR Primers. Appl
Environ Microbiol. 2000 Jan 1;66(1):297–303.

35. Graspeuntner S, Loeper N, Künzel S, Baines JF, Rupp J. Selection of validated
hypervariable regions is crucial in 16S-based microbiota studies of the female
genital tract. Sci Rep. 2018 Dec;8(1):9678.

36. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ,
et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per
sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011 Mar 15;108(Supplement_1):4516–22.

37. Hugerth LW, Wefer HA, Lundin S, Jakobsson HE, Lindberg M, Rodin S, et al.
DegePrime, a Program for Degenerate Primer Design for Broad-Taxonomic-Range
PCR in Microbial Ecology Studies. Löffler FE, editor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014
Aug 15;80(16):5116–23.

38. Morris EK, Caruso T, Buscot F, Fischer M, Hancock C, Maier TS, et al. Choosing
and using diversity indices: insights for ecological applications from the German
Biodiversity Exploratories. Ecol Evol. 2014 Sep;4(18):3514–24.

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Figure 1. Classification scheme precision and recall when using a confidence scores of (A) 80% and (B) 50% as a threshold. The schemes that use the Silva database have very low recall compared to when the confidence score is ignored (gray dots), whereas schemes that use Greengenes and NCBI 16S are not as affected.

Supplemental Figure 2. F-measure values for all classification schemes. Values shown are for confidence scores of 50%, 80%, and when confidence scores are ignored.

Supplemental Figure 3. Bladder bacterial species identified by database, variable region, and BLCA classifier.

703
704

705
706 **Supplemental Figure 4.** Values for accuracy, precision and recall (columns) when assigning
707 taxonomy with the V4 identifier, Naive Bayes classifier and all databases. Rows are values when
708 ignoring confidence scores, and when using confidence scores of 50% or 80% as thresholds.
709
710

711
712
713 **Supplemental Figure 5.** Values for accuracy, precision and recall (columns) when assigning
714 taxonomy with the V4 identifier, BLCA classifier and all databases. Rows are values when
715 ignoring confidence scores, and when using confidence scores of 50% or 80% as thresholds.