Species level resolution of female bladder microbiota from 16S rRNA
amplicon sequencing

Carter Hoffman, MS[1]; Nazema Y Siddiqui, MD, MHSc[2]; Ian Fields, MD[3]; W. Thomas Gregory,
MD[3]; Holly Simon, PhD[4]; Michael A. Mooney, PhD[1]; Alan J. Wolfe, PhD[5]; Lisa Karstens,
PhD[1,3]*

Abstract

The human bladder contains bacteria in the absence of infection. Interest in studying these
bacteria and their association with bladder conditions is increasing. However, the chosen
experimental method can limit the resolution of the taxonomy that can be assigned to the bacteria
found in the bladder. 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing is commonly used to identify bacteria in
urinary specimens, but is typically restricted to genus-level identification. Our primary aim was
to determine if accurate species-level identification of bladder bacteria is possible using 16S
rRNA amplicon sequencing. We evaluated the ability of different classification schemes, each
consisting of combinations of a reference database, a 16S rRNA gene variable region and a
taxonomic classification algorithm to correctly classify bladder bacteria. We show that species-
level identification is possible, and that the reference database chosen is the most important
component, followed by the 16S variable region sequenced.
18
Importance
Species-level information may deepen our understanding of associations between bladder
microbiota and bladder conditions, such as lower urinary tract symptoms and urinary tract
infections. The capability to identify bacterial species depends on large databases of sequences,
algorithms that leverage statistics and available computer hardware, and knowledge of bacterial
genetics and classification. Taken together, this is a daunting body of knowledge to become
familiar with before the simple question of bacterial identity can be answered. Our results show
the choice of taxonomic database and variable region of the 16S rRNA gene sequence makes
species level identification possible. We also show this improvement can be achieved through
the more careful application of existing methods and use of existing resources.

29
30

Author Affiliations:
1. Department of Medical Informatics and Clinical Epidemiology, Oregon Health &
Science University, Portland, OR USA
2. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University, Durham, NC USA
3. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Oregon Health & Science University,
Portland, OR USA
4. AnimalBiome, Oakland, CA USA
5. Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL,
USA

*Corresponding author: karstens@ohsu.edu

Introduction

The human body provides a wide range of habitats, supporting a variety of microorganisms that include bacteria, archaea, viruses and fungi, collectively known as the human microbiome(1). Recent evidence from sequence-based and enhanced culturing techniques have revealed a population of microbes that exist in the bladder, even in the absence of infection(2–7). The discovery of the bladder microbiota (also known as the bladder urobiome) has led researchers to question how these microbes influence the health of the host. Studies have shown that altered urobiome diversity is associated with urinary tract infections (8–10), neurogenic bladder(7, 11, 12), bladder cancer(13, 14), and urgency urinary incontinence (UUI)(4, 15). These studies collectively provide evidence that the bladder urobiome, while previously overlooked, is clinically relevant and warrants further investigation.

To study the relationships between the bacteria found in the human bladder and health of the host, it is necessary to accurately identify bacteria in a rapid and large-scale manner. Reliable methods of determining the bacterial identity of an unknown bacterium include Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) analysis or whole genome sequencing (WGS) of purified colonies; both techniques permit species-level identification of bacteria(16). However, culturing specific bacterial species is time consuming and laborious. This limitation has been circumvented by adopting culture-independent methods of sequencing DNA directly from an environmental sample, such as shotgun metagenomic sequencing and targeted amplicon sequencing, the latter most commonly involving the 16S rRNA gene(17, 18). These culture-independent sequencing methods are an attractive strategy because they can more accurately reveal microbiota diversity by identifying bacteria that are difficult to grow in culture(19).

Targeted amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is a common method for identifying bladder bacteria in a large-scale manner(20, 21). This is particularly true in urine where the low microbial biomass(9, 22) often results in lower bacterial DNA quantities than what is required for shotgun metagenomic sequencing(23). When performing targeted amplicon sequencing, DNA is extracted from all cells in a sample. Next, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is used to amplify a small segment of the bacterial genome, typically spanning one or more variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene. This amplified DNA segment is subject to high-throughput sequencing, such as Illumina MiSeq or HiSeq short read technology. Bioinformatics are used to process the resulting sequences and identify the taxonomy of the bacteria(24).

When identifying bacteria using targeted amplicon sequencing there are three important components (**Figure 1**). These components are: 1) a database of DNA sequences annotated with taxonomic information; 2) the identifier, or DNA sequence of the unknown bacterium; and 3) a classifier, which is the algorithm that compares the unknown sequence to those in a database until the closest match is found. These components work together as a *classification scheme*. One common classification scheme that can be implemented through the use of the mothur(25) or QIIME2(26, 27) bioinformatic pipelines uses the Silva database(28), the V4 region from the 16S rRNA gene sequence as the identifier(29), and the Naïve Bayes algorithm(27) as the classifier. A limitation of this and many other commonly used classification schemes is that the taxonomic resolution is usually constrained to the genus level. Recently, several new approaches to sequence processing and taxonomy assignment have become available (e.g. amplicon sequence

variant algorithms such as DADA2(30), and taxonomic classifiers such as Bayesian Lowest
Common Ancestor (BLCA)(31)), which may improve resolution to the species level.

Figure 1: Model of the components that make up a classification scheme to assign
taxonomy to unknown sequences. A classification scheme consists of a database, an identifier,
and a classifier. The databases used in this study are the Greengenes, Silva, and NCBI 16S. The
identifiers used in this study are subsequences of the 16S rRNA gene, computationally generated
using published primers as coordinates on the gene sequence. These targeted amplicons are the
V3, V4, and V6 variable regions of the gene, or span the V1-V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, and V4-V6
variable regions. The classifiers used in this study are the Naive Bayes and Bayesian Lowest
Common Ancestor (BLCA) algorithms. One example of a classification scheme is the Silva
database, V4 region identifier, and Naive Bayes classifier. Another example classification
scheme is the Greengenes database, V1-V2 region identifier, and BLCA classifier. These two
examples are distinct from each other and can have different outcomes when assigning
taxonomy.

Our primary aim was to determine if species-level identification of bladder bacteria is possible
using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing studies. To achieve this aim, we used known DNA
sequences from a representative sample of bacteria found in the human female bladder,
published by Thomas-White and colleagues(32). This dataset includes bacterial species that have
been isolated from the bladder, cultured, and thoroughly characterized using WGS. We
computationally tested multiple classification schemes to see which schemes identified these
known DNA sequences at the species level. We evaluated several reference databases, variable

regions (i.e. potential identifiers), and taxonomic classification algorithms for their ability to
accurately identify bladder bacteria at the species level.

Results

Representation of bladder bacteria in 16S rRNA gene sequence databases. The Thomas-
White genome sequencing dataset consists of 149 bladder bacterial isolates, representing 78
bacterial species from 36 genera(32). There are several databases available for bacterial
identification using the 16S rRNA gene(33, 34). Of these, Greengenes (v.13_5)(35), Silva (v.
132)(36), and NCBI 16S Microbial (v. August 2019) were evaluated due to their widespread use
in amplicon sequencing studies and availability of species-level annotation. At the genus level,
all but one genus from the Thomas-White dataset (*Globicatella*) were present in the Greengenes
database and all genera were present in the Silva and NCBI 16S Microbial databases. At the
species level, all 78 bladder bacterial species were present in the Silva and NCBI 16S Microbial
databases, whereas only 21 species were present in the Greengenes database.

Information contained in variable regions differs for bladder bacterial species. Sequencing
studies frequently focus on a small segment of the 16S rRNA gene that can be rapidly sequenced
in a high throughput manner using short read sequencing technology, such as the Illumina HiSeq
or MiSeq. To evaluate the performance of different variable regions as identifiers, amplicons
were computationally generated from the Thomas-White genome sequencing dataset for the V1-
V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, V4-V6, V3, V4, and V6 variable regions using published primers (see
Methods). These computational amplicons were used to determine how well the currently
available classification schemes can distinguish bladder bacterial species (**Figure 2A**). To assess
different classification schemes, we tested multiple permutations of the variable regions listed
above with different databases (i.e. Greengenes, Silva, or NCBI 16S Microbial) and different
classifiers (i.e. Naive Bayes or BLCA, see **Figure 1**).

To quantify the amount of information contained across variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene
among commonly identified bladder bacteria, we performed a sliding window analysis on a
multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of all 16S rRNA gene sequences from the Thomas-White
dataset. We calculated entropy as a measure of information content along the MSA (**Figure 2B**).
As expected, the defined variable regions contained regions of high entropy, suggesting
variability across species, whereas variable regions were flanked by conserved regions with low
entropy containing sequences that are similar among species. The V1 and V2 regions contained
the highest entropy, while V7 and V8 contained the lowest.

Figure 1. Variable regions of the 16S rRNA gene used in this study. A) Locations of the primers used in this study on the 16S rRNA gene. Locations of the predicted amplicons are shown as black bars in relation to the multi-sequence alignment (MSA) of the bacterial species described in Thomas-White et al. (2018). Gray columns are the locations of the known variable regions based on the sequence from *E. coli*. B) The information of variable regions, measured by entropy from a sliding window analysis of the MSA. Higher entropy indicates that the region has more variability across species, and therefore more information to identify a bacterial species. Lower entropy indicates that the region has little variability (i.e. is conserved) across species and therefore less information to identify a bacterial species.

Evaluation of classification scheme performance. To evaluate the ability of currently available resources to identify bladder species, we calculated the recall, precision and F-measure for each classification scheme implemented (see **Methods**). For these calculations, we compared results from computational classification schemes to known bacterial species

information from prior WGS. Each taxonomic classification was evaluated as a true match, true
non-match, false match or false non-match based on whether the taxonomic classification was
correctly assigned or not. Recall refers to the proportion of matches that the classification
scheme correctly identified out of all possible matches. Precision refers to the proportion of
matches that the classification scheme called correctly out of all classified matches. The F-
measure is the equally weighted harmonic mean of recall and precision.

In general, classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S Microbial database perform the best as
they have high recall and precision, thus the highest F-measures (median 0.79, range 0.60 –
0.91), regardless of which classifier is used (**Figure 3**). Classification schemes using the Silva
database show reduced recall and precision, thus lower F-measures (median 0.48 range 0.23 –
0.69). Because the Greengenes database is missing many of the bacterial species found in the
bladder, it is less precise. As such, classification schemes using the Greengenes database can
have good recall but low precision resulting in a low F-measure (median 0.30, range 0.22 –
0.36).

165
Figure 2: Overall classification scheme evaluation. A. The performance of each classification
scheme is summarized by the precision (y axis) and recall (x axis) for each variable region
(color). The best classification scheme would lie in the upper right-hand corner. **B.** Box plots
depicting the median, quartiles, and range of the F-measure of all classification schemes by
database. Overall, classification schemes using the NCBI 16S Microbial database had the
highest F-measures and those using the Greengenes databases had the lowest.

While the choice of database appears to have the largest effect on the outcome of a classification
scheme, the combination of identifiers and classifiers with specific databases show differences as
well. For example, when using the Silva database, the classifier has an impact on the
classification scheme outcome. With the Naive Bayes classifier, the identifiers yielding the
highest F-measures are the V3-V4 (0.69) and V4-V6 (0.69) targeted amplicons. Using the BLCA
classifier, the V1-V3 targeted amplicon has the highest F-measure (0.55).

Confidence scores affect classification.

The BLCA and Naïve Bayes classifiers used in this study will classify an unknown sequence
even when the posterior probability for that taxon is very low. To account for this situation, a

confidence score is calculated. This confidence score measures how much the classification
changes through random permutation (bootstrapping) and the value reflects the "goodness of fit"
of that classification. When lacking any knowledge of how to choose the best confidence score
that minimizes the number of errors of a given classification scheme, using a predefined
confidence score threshold is an option. We evaluated the performance of classification schemes
against our test set when confidence score thresholds were used. For this analysis, matches that
returned with confidence scores less than the designated confidence threshold were considered
non-matches. **Figure 4** shows the effect of increasing the confidence score on the number of true
matches returned by each classification scheme.

190
Figure 3: The number of true matches returned for each classification scheme across all
confidence score values. As the confidence score value is increased where there is higher
confidence of an accurate taxonomic assignment, the number of true matches dramatically
decreases. For Greengenes and NCBI 16S databases, true matches especially decrease with
confidence scores higher than 80%. With schemes using the Silva database, true matches steadily
decrease with confidence scores as low as 30% .

Almost all classification schemes had a decrease in recall when using a default confidence score
of 80% (**Supplemental Figure 1**). This effect is especially marked for the classification schemes
that use the Silva database, which shows an average 79.3% reduction in recall with higher
confidence scores. Classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S database are unequally affected
by increasing confidence scores; for example the V1-V3 identifier shows a slight reduction in
recall (7.1% on average), while the V6 identifier shows the largest (43.3% on average).
Classification schemes that use the Greengenes database are only slightly affected with different
confidence scores resulting in smaller magnitude differences in recall.

Changes in the precision of classification schemes are mostly affected by the database used
(**Supplemental Figure 1**), though confidence scores can further affect precision. For the
classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S database, precision is generally improved with
increasing confidence score, but at unequal amounts. For example, using the 80% threshold, the
V1-V3 identifier shows a slight average increase of 3.5%, while the V6 identifier shows a large
average increase of 39.7%. Classification schemes that use the Greengenes database show only
minimal changes in precision. In contrast, classification schemes using the Silva database are
differentially affected, with both reduction and gains in precision depending on the identifier and
classifier combinations that are chosen. In general, for the Silva database, precision is reduced
when using any 16S rRNA identifier with the BLCA classifier. However, a dramatic increase in
precision is shown by the classification scheme composed of the Silva database, V4 identifier,
and the Naive Bayes classifier. When ignoring a confidence score, this classification scheme has
a precision of 52.6%, but increases to 85.7% when using a confidence score threshold of 80%.

The overall changes in how these classification schemes perform when using a 50% or 80%
confidence score can be summarized by comparing the F-measure values shown in
Supplemental Figure 2. In almost every classification scheme, the F-measure value decreases
when a threshold is used. The classification schemes that use the Silva database clearly
demonstrate this effect, which show a 66.9% reduction in F-measure values on average when
higher confidence scores are used. The classification schemes that use the NCBI 16S database
show slight decreases in the F-measure values, with the exceptions of those that use the V3, V4
and V6 regions as identifiers. Those classification schemes show a large 27.2% reduction in F-
measure values on average. Finally, the classification schemes that use the Greengenes database
have slight changes in their F-measure values, regardless of whether a threshold is used or not.

Amplicons spanning more than one variable region identify a higher number of bladder
bacterial species. Amplicons spanning more than one variable region identified more unique
bladder bacteria at the species level than amplicons spanning a single variable region. For
example, with the commonly used V4 variable region and Naïve Bayes classifier, 21.8% of
bladder bacteria are correctly identified with the Greengenes database, whereas 52.6% are
identified with the Silva database and 73.1% with the NCBI 16S database (**Error! Reference**
source not found.A). In contrast, using amplicons spanning more than one variable region such
as the V1-V3 region, 91.0% of bacteria are correctly identified at the species level when using
the NCBI 16S database.

Species identified depends on choice of database and variable region. While the results thus
far have focused on summarizing overall performance of classification schemes for identifying
bladder bacteria at the species level, we also sought to determine which classification schemes
could be used to identify specific bacteria (**Figure 5B, Supplemental Figure 3**). Although the
NCBI 16S database contains the largest representation of bladder species, some species were not
identified with certain variable regions, if at all. For example, *Lactobacillus* species, which are
commonly found in the female bladder and vagina (32, 37, 38), were overall best represented
within the NCBI 16S database, with 8 out of 9 species being identified with the V1-V3 and V2-
V3 variable regions (**Figure 5C**). However, the other variable regions only identified between 4
and 6 *Lactobacillus* species when using the NCBI 16S database. Interestingly, *L. crispatus* and *L.*
rhamnosus were only detectable with specific combinations of variable regions and databases,
and *L. iners* was not correctly identified from our dataset with any classification scheme.

249
Figure 4: Percent of bladder bacteria correctly identified for each classification scheme. A.
Overall results by classifier. With the commonly used V4 variable region and BLCA classifier,
17% of bladder bacteria are correctly identified using the Greengenes database, compared with
35% correctly identified using the Silva database and 67% using the NCBI 16S database. A
similar trend is seen with the Naïve Bayes classifier. Using other variable regions can lead to
improved species-level resolution to a maximum number of 91% correctly identified. **B.** The
percent of bladder bacterial species identified with each database by variable region (BLCA).
Genera with less than 3 species are grouped as Other. **C.** Lactobacillus species depends on the
variable region and database used, with the NCBI 16S database having the most coverage,
regardless of variable region chosen. The Greengenes database is not shown since it only
classified two species (*L. pontis* and *L. delbrueckii*).

Additionally, we found that there were important discrepancies for bacteria that are thought to
play a role in bladder health and disease(39) (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Several bladder species,
such as *Gardnerella vaginalis*, were only detected with the NCBI 16S and Silva databases.
Staphylococcus species were poorly identified with the V4 region but were distinguishable with
all other regions. *Streptococcus* and *Corynebacterium* species were best identified with NCBI
16S. *Escherichia coli*, a common uropathogen(40), is not well represented in any of the
databases, and was only detected with the V4 region and the NCBI 16S database.
268

Validation of computational findings on V4 amplicon data. To evaluate the performance of
our computational findings on actual data, we acquired targeted amplicon sequencing data from
24 urine samples. These urine samples were a subset of those that were used to derive cultures in
the Thomas-White dataset and thus should contain the same bacteria. Sequencing data were
generated as part of two other studies using Illumina sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S
rRNA gene(4, 41). We reprocessed the raw sequencing data (see **Methods**) and performed
taxonomic classification to assess the performance of our computational findings. Since 16S
rRNA amplicon sequencing will detect many more bacteria than those identified even with
enhanced culture, we restricted the evaluation to only the bacteria that grew in culture from a
given sample (see **Supplemental Figure 4**). We used Cohen's Kappa to assess the agreement
between species assignment in the V4 computational dataset to the experimental V4 dataset (see
Methods). All classification schemes had moderate agreement (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Cohen's Kappa demonstrates moderate agreement of the computational and experimental amplicon datasets.

Scheme	Cohen's Kappa
V4 – Silva – BLCA	0.70
V4 – Greengenes – BLCA	0.59
V4 – NCBI 16S – BLCA	0.58
V4 – Silva – Naïve Bayes	0.47
V4 – Greengenes – Naïve Bayes	0.65
V4 – NCBI 16S – Naïve Bayes	0.50

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that it is possible to attain results at the species level with existing resources when performing targeted amplicon sequencing of urinary specimens. Though species-level taxonomic resolution is possible, it requires a carefully chosen classification scheme. Within the classification scheme, we found that the classifier chosen did not drastically affect the identification of species, but the database and identifier does. Importantly, we found that the reference database strongly influences the identification of bacteria at the species level. Overall, the NCBI 16S database performed the best, whereas the Greengenes database performed the worst using our approach.

While database selection is recognized as an important decision in taxonomy assignment for amplicon sequencing studies, few studies have compared the effect of different databases on taxonomy assignment. Furthermore, to our knowledge none have explored their use in identifying bacteria originating from the human bladder. Park and Won recently compared database performance on mock microbial communities and similarly found that the Silva database outperformed the Greengenes database(42). Several groups have also demonstrated that niche-specific databases perform the best for species level identification(43), an approach that has been taken in several microbiome research communities, such as the vaginal(44), oral(45) and gut(46).

For species level taxonomy assignments, the reference database must contain species-level information of the bacteria to be identified. We found that the Greengenes database does not currently contain many bacterial species that are found in the human bladder. In contrast, the NCBI 16S Microbial and Silva databases had representation of all species that were identified from prior studies of bladder bacteria. Thus, the latter two databases are better choices for evaluating bacterial species from the bladder. Even though both of these databases contain species of interest, the NCBI 16S database consistently outperformed the Silva database.

The classifiers used in this study are examples of two different strategies designed to overcome the common challenges of searching an extremely large dataset in order to find matching pairs of query sequences and reference records. While these two approaches are different in concept, we did not find significant differences in their performance for species-level classification of bladder bacteria. BLCA is an example of sequence comparison by pairwise alignment. The strength of

this method is due to the fact that the similarities between two DNA samples are directly
compared. This is a highly effective approach; however, until recent advances in computer
technology, it remained impractical because of the computational burden. The Naive Bayes
classifier is an example of a *k*-mer-based classification approach, and was designed to
circumvent the computational challenges that are faced with use of a pairwise alignment
classifier. However, there are limitations when using Naive Bayes for species-level
identification. The first limitation arises from the database training process. If one taxon has
more training examples than another, Naive Bayes generates unfavorable weights for the
decision boundary(47). The second limitation is that all features (i.e. the *k*-mers generated from
the DNA sequences) are assumed to be independent, and weights for taxa with strong
dependencies among the associated *k*-mers are larger than those taxa with weakly dependent *k*-
mers(47). A third limitation was that the available Naive Bayes Classifiers (such as those
available through the RDP classifier(48) and QIIME2(27)) only had genus level information for
the Silva and NCBI 16S databases. To use these for species-level assignment, it was necessary to
retrain the classifier to use the specified databases at the species level. This training converts the
DNA sequences to the calculated frequency that each *k*-mer occurs in a taxon.

Affordable sequencing of large-scale data is presently done with short read sequencing
technology, such as Illumina MiSeq. This is currently limited to sequencing reads up to 300
nucleotides in length. Until full-length 16S rRNA gene sequencing can be achieved affordably
on a large scale (e.g. technologies such as Oxford Nanopore and PacBio technologies), choosing
the optimal region of the 16S rRNA gene for identification purposes remains a significant part of
the experimental design. Thus, the variable regions that are used as identifiers require some
consideration.

Our findings show that use of the V2-V3, and V1-V3 regions of the 16S rRNA gene allowed for
the correct identification of the most bladder bacterial species when combined with the NCBI
16S database and either classifier. In general, amplicons that span more than one variable region
perform better than those that contain single variable regions. This is likely due to the increased
information available with longer reads. It is important to note that longer reads can have
experiment limitations(49). While shorter variable regions, such as the V4 region, did not
perform as well as longer amplicons, they were able to identify many bladder bacteria at the
species level if the NCBI 16S database was used (52 out of 78). These shorter amplicons are
widely used with Illumina sequencing and may be valid, depending on the study design and level
of precision desired. However, other variable regions may be employed when more detailed
information is desired.

This study is adds to a growing body of literature informing and improving experimental design
for urobiome research. Several studies have now informed how the method of sample
collection(2, 50), sample handling and storage(51), sample chemical composition(52), and DNA
extraction protocol(52, 53) can influence downstream results and interpretations. By taking a
computational approach to evaluating classification schemes, we were able to thoroughly assess
the ability of various combinations of databases, identifiers, and classifiers to identify known
bladder bacterial species. However, there are several practical limitations of amplicon
sequencing that were not captured in this approach. We used published primers to create
computational amplicons, but this may not reflect the efficiency of primers binding in actual
experiments. Additionally, targeted amplicon sequencing generates a large number of

overlapping reads and provides the data for methods to correct for errors introduced in the lab by
the polymerase enzyme and through sequencing. Our method did not account for the
experimental noise that is found in experimental data that may decrease the ability of the
classification schemes to differentiate between bacterial species with similar sequences.

Conclusion

Species level taxonomy assignment will greatly benefit studies focused on the urobiome and its
relationship to bladder health and disease. Our results show that it is possible to reliably classify
bladder bacterial species using targeted amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene variable
regions with existing classification algorithms and databases. We determined that the most
important component of the classification scheme is the database used, and that among those that
were tested, the NCBI 16S database allows for the best identification of bladder species. Our
validation with V4 amplicon data demonstrates that the predicted computational outcomes are a
reasonable approximation for how a classification scheme will perform on real data. It can be
expected that the alternate variable regions covered in this study, such as the V2-V3 region of the
16S rRNA gene, would have similar outcomes.

Importantly, we found that no single variable region gives 100% coverage of all bladder bacteria
species. Thus, the choice of variable region may significantly affect the results of a given study.
One approach to resolve this could be to use multiple amplicon sequencing or long read
sequencing technology. These technologies are emerging and may prove to be beneficial for the
urobiome community. Furthermore, no database has 100% coverage across a variable region.
This could be resolved by using more than one database for classification, though this approach
is complicated by differences in databases in terms of formatting, as well as conflicting
classifications(54). Both of these components are important for planning experimental and
computational aspects of urobiome studies, and should be considered when comparing results
across studies.

Material and Methods

Code resources. All scripts that were written for this project can be found in the GitHub
repository (https://github.com/KastensLab/BladderBacteriaSpecies). All scripts sourced from this
repository are referred to as "custom."

The Thomas-White dataset. The 78 species of bladder bacteria used in this study were
identified by culturing 149 bacterial isolates from 77 urine samples and performing whole-
genome sequencing, as described in Thomas-White et al.(32). This set of identified species
served as the basis for our computational analysis and is referred to as the Thomas-White dataset.
For each species identified, the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the corresponding type strain was
downloaded from the Silva v132 release (https://www.arb-silva.de/) on 4/27/2019. A *type strain*
is the sequence of the cultured isolate that was subject to the metabolic, genotypic and
phenotypic evaluations taken to define the bacterial species(55), and is the agreed bacterial
organism to which the taxonomic name refers. Sequences were searched using the "[T]" filter
setting, and sequences longer than 1450 nt with alignment and pintail quality scores greater than
95% were selected. For the species that had no hits, the taxonomic synonym (see below) was

used as the search query, if available. One unidentified *Corynebacterium* species had no type
strain available, and was excluded from the analysis.

The V4 validation dataset. Targeted amplicon sequences from 24 urine samples, using the
V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene sequence, is referred to as the V4 validation dataset. These 24
urine samples originated from a subsample of the women whose samples comprised the Thomas-
White dataset. Sequencing data were generated as part of two other published studies using
Illumina sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene(4, 41). The raw sequence reads
were processed with DADA2 version 1.14.1(30) to generate amplicon sequence variants (ASVs).
The ASVs were subjected to taxonomic classification with the BLCA algorithm.

Synonyms of species. Species names have changed in response to advances in bacterial
systematics. All currently known species synonyms were downloaded from the Prokaryotic
Nomenclature Up-to-Date(56) (PNU) website on 1/5/2020. PNU includes information down to
the strain level, but these entries were consolidated to the species level. For example, entries like
Enterobacter cloacae and *Enterobacter cloacae dissolvens* are treated as synonyms of
Enterobacter cloacae. Classification results were then checked for synonyms using the custom
"validate_match_batch.py" script.

Databases. The Greengenes database version 13_5 was downloaded on 9/23/19 from
(http://greengenes.secondgenome.com/?prefix=downloads/greengenes_database/gg_13_5/). The
Silva database version 132 was downloaded on 9/14/19 from (https://www.arb-
silva.de/no_cache/download/archive/release_132/Exports/) as a FASTA formatted file. The
NCBI 16SMicrobial database is bundled with the BLCA package, and is also available from
(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db/). For use with BLCA, each database was processed with
provided scripts (`1.subset_db_gg.py` from BLCA for greengenes, `makeblastdb` utility
from BLAST + suite combined with custom `write_taxonomy.py` for Silva, and
`1.subset_db_acc.py` from BLCA for NCBI 16S Microbial).   For use with Naïve Bayes,
the FASTA file for each database was reformatted to work with QIIME2 using the custom
"write_qiime_train_db.py" script. The Naive Bayes classifier was then trained with the "fit-
classifier-naive-bayes" script QIIME2 script.

Presence of Thomas-White species in databases. To verify that all species from the
Thomas-White dataset were present in the databases used in this study, each database was first
converted to a FASTA file (if needed) using the "blastdbcmd" utility included in the Blast+ suite.
The FASTA file was then searched using regular expressions and the Linux command-line
program *grep* for a match of each species in the dataset. The commands were implemented using
the custom "species_in_db.bash" script. The presence or absence of each species was recorded.

Multisequence alignment. The 16S gene sequences from the Thomas-White dataset were
formed into a multi-sequence alignment using the T-coffee program(57). T-coffee version
12.00.7fb08c2 was downloaded from (http://tcoffee.org/Packages/Stable/Latest/) on 4/5/2019.
Alignments were performed using the default settings.

Sliding window analysis. Comparing the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the species in the
Thomas-White dataset reveals regions of conserved sequence and regions of variability. The
degree that variable regions of species differ from each other can aid the identification of each

species; therefore, quantifying the amount of variability of a region across a set of species is
important.

Sliding window analysis (SWA) is the method by which a list of subsequences are generated by
taking successive groups of equal size, in the manner of a window of fixed length sliding across
the full sequence. Quantifying the amount of variability along a MSA is achieved by combining
SWA with calculating the Shannon Entropy contained in each column framed by the window.

The minimum Shannon entropy occurs when all nucleotides in a position (column) of the MSA
are the same. The maximum occurs when all possible nucleotides in the MSA are present at that
position. However, the Shannon Entropy treats gaps in a sequence as relevant, where in practice
gaps reflect an absence of useful information. Multisequence alignments can generate many
columns of gap characters due to insertions or deletions (indels) in the respective sequences that
make up the MSA. A consequence of treating gaps as relevant is the Shannon Entropy will
interpret these indel regions as conserved sequence. This limitation was overcome by weighting
the entropy scores against gaps(58). The locations of known variable regions of the 16S gene
sequence were validated, and the relative amount of variability was quantified, using the custom
"weighted_ent.py" script.

Primers. Amplicons were computationally generated from the Thomas-White genome
sequencing dataset for the V1-V3, V2-V3, V3-V4, V4-V6, and V4 variable regions using
published primers and the V3 and V6 regions using designed primers. The primer sequences
used, listed in order of amplicon spanning variable region(s), forward primer name and sequence,
reverse primer name and sequence are: **V1-V3**: A17F 5'-GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3', 515R
5'-TTA CCG CGG CMG CSG GCA-3'(59, 60). **V2-V3**: 16S_BV2f 5'-AGT GGC GGA CGG
GTG AGT AA-3', HDA-2 5'-GTA TTA CCG CGG CTG CTG GCA C-3'(61, 62). **V3:** v3_579F
5'-THT TSS RCA ATG GRS GVA-3', v3_779R 5'-GKN SCR AGC STT RHY CGG-3'. **V3-V4:**
V3F 5'-CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-3', V4R 5'-GGA CTA CHV GGG TWT CTA AT-
3'(63). **V4**: F515 5'-GTG CCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3', R806 5'-CCT GAT GHV CCC
AWA GAT TA-3'(18). **V4-V6:** 519F 5'-GTG CCA GCT GCC GCG GTA ATA-3', 1114R 5'-
GGG GTT GCG CTC GTT GC-3'(60). **V6:** v6_1183F 5'-CCG CCT GGG GAS TAC GVH-3',
v6_1410R 5'-AGT CCC RYA ACG AGC GCA-3'. Degenerate primer design was employed to
generate primer sets for the V3 and V6 regions of the 16S rRNA gene that would anneal to as
many species in the Thomas-White dataset as possible with DegePrime(64)
(https://github.com/EnvGen/DEGEPRIME.git). DegePrime has the option to ignore columns of a
MSA if the number of "-" characters exceed a user-defined threshold. The MSAs were
preprocessed with this threshold set to .01. The main script of DegePrime was run using a
degeneracy setting of 4096 and a window length of 18.

Extracting computational amplicons. For each primer set, the DNA sequence
bracketed by the forward and reverse primers was extracted from the multisequence alignment.
Coordinates of the MSA were identified by searching the *E. coli* sequence (accession number
EU014689.1.1541) included in the MSA for a match to the forward and reverse primer
sequences, and then mapping those position to the MSA of the Thomas-White dataset. This
procedure was done using the custom "extract_16s_vr.py" script and output as a multi-record
FASTA formatted file.

Taxonomic classifiers. Taxonomic classification was performed with Bayesian lowest
common ancestor (BLCA) and Naïve Bayes classifiers. BLCA(31) was cloned from the
GitHub repository https://github.com/qunfengdong/BLCA.git. For the 16S variable regions, the
BLCA was run using default settings but pointing to the selected reference database, either
Greengenes, Silva, or NCBI 16S. The Naïve Bayes classifier as implemented by QIIME2(27)
was used with the Greengenes, Silva, and NCBI 16S databases and a confidence setting of 0, 50,
and 80, but otherwise default settings.

Evaluating computational results. To evaluate the taxonomic classification results of
each classification scheme on the computational amplicon dataset, the custom
"new_taxonomy_results_2020-3-14.Rmd" file was used. These scripts compare the results of
each record pair (each comparison between the query sequence and sequence held in the
reference database) from the classification scheme to the known identify of the query sequence
from the Thomas-White dataset. All record pairs that were assigned a match by the classification
schemes were evaluated according to the following definitions (**Figure 6**):

True match - All record pairs assigned as a match that have identical genus and species
498   labels.
499   ***False match*** - All record pairs assigned as a match that did not have identical genus and
500   species labels.
501   ***False non-match*** - If a record representing a species in the Thomas-White dataset was
502   present in the database, but was not assigned as a match, the record was evaluated as a
503   false non-match.
504   ***True non-match*** - All records in the reference database that were not in the Thomas-
505   White dataset. While records assigned to this category were not used in evaluating the
506   classification schemes in this manuscript, the definition is still included for completeness.

507
Figure 6. Example of classification evaluation used in this study. Suppose there is a
classification scheme comprising a set of query sequences (the rows E,F,G) and the set of
reference sequences (the columns E,F,L,M) held in a reference database. In this example, the
number of reference records is greater than the query records, and the reference is missing a
corresponding G record from the query set. **A**) If the query and reference record letters are the

same, then they are designated as a **match**. If they are different they are designated as a **non-**
match. **B**) Next, the classifier is allowed to assign record pairs as matches or non-matches for all
query sequences, represented as green plus signs for matches and blank cells as non-matches.
Some results are correct, and some are not. Note that despite the lack of a matching record in the
reference database, the classifier still designated the (G:M) pair as a match. **C**) Using the
definitions for assigning the classifications to the confusion matrix, there is one **true match**
(green square), two **false matches** (red squares), one **false non-match** (yellow square), and 8
true non-matches (white squares). D) The cell values of the confusion matrix are then filled out,
and performance measurements can be calculated. For this classification scheme, the precision is
1/(1+2)=.33, recall is 1/(1+1)=.5, and the F-measure is (2*.33*.5)/(.33+.5)=.40.

Performance measures. Recall, precision and the F-measure were used to evaluate the
performance of each classification scheme implemented. Recall refers to the proportion of
matches that the classification scheme correctly identified (true matches) out of all possible
matches (true matches plus false non-matches). Precision refers to the proportion of matches that
the classification scheme called correctly (true matches) out of all classified matches (true
matches plus false matches). The F-measure is the equally weighted harmonic mean of recall and
precision. For this study, we chose to maximize recall over precision, because the number of true
matches impacts the subsequent work on diversity measures, such as species richness and
evenness(65).

532
Evaluating V4 validation results. To determine the expected bacterial species in each
sample, the results of the whole genome sequencing on the isolates cultured from the
corresponding subject was used. The species of bacteria in the V4 sequencing data were
identified using classification schemes composed of 1) the Greengenes, Silva, and NCBI 16S
microbial databases 2) the V4 sequencing results as the identifier, and 3) the BLCA and Naive
Bayes classifiers. For each classification scheme, the species identification from the
computational and validation datasets was scored as a '1' if correct, and '0' otherwise. This
resulted in a table for each classification scheme consisting of bacterial species as the rows, and
the scores from the computational or validation outcomes as columns. Cohen's kappa was then
calculated for each table using the "kappa2" function from the "irr" R package (https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=irr).

Data Availability.
This project used previously acquired publicly available data(20). All code that was written for
this project can be found in the GitHub repository:
https://github.com/KarstensLab/BladderBacteriaSpecies.
549
550 **Acknowledgements.**
551 This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH): NIDDK award number K01
552 DK116706 (LK); NCAT award number UL1TR002369 (LK); NIA award numbers R03
553 AG060082 and P30AG028716 (NS). The content of the manuscript is solely our responsibility
554 and does not represent the official views of the NIH or any other funding agency

555
556 **Author contributions.**

CH and LK conceived and designed experiments, and wrote the manuscript. CH performed analyses, LK reviewed analyses. AJW supplied the data. LK, CH, MM, HS, TG, IF, NS, and AJW interpreted results and revised the manuscript.

References

1. Lederberg J, McCray AT. 2001. 'Ome Sweet 'Omics-- A Genealogical Treasury of Words. The Scientist 15:2.

2. Wolfe AJ, Toh E, Shibata N, Rong R, Kenton K, FitzGerald M, Mueller ER, Schreckenberger P, Dong Q, Nelson DE, Brubaker L. 2012. Evidence of Uncultivated Bacteria in the Adult Female Bladder. J Clin Microbiol 50:1376–1383.

3. Khasriya R, Sathiananthamoorthy S, Ismail S, Kelsey M, Wilson M, Rohn JL, Malone-Lee J. 2013. Spectrum of Bacterial Colonization Associated with Urothelial Cells from Patients with Chronic Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. J Clin Microbiol 51:2054–2062.

4. Pearce MM, Hilt EE, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Thomas-White K, Fok C, Kliethermes S, Schreckenberger PC, Brubaker L, Gai X, Wolfe AJ. 2014. The Female Urinary Microbiome: a Comparison of Women with and without Urgency Urinary Incontinence. mBio 5:e01283-14.

5. Price TK, Dune T, Hilt EE, Thomas-White KJ, Kliethermes S, Brincat C, Brubaker L, Wolfe AJ, Mueller ER, Schreckenberger PC. 2016. The Clinical Urine Culture: Enhanced Techniques Improve Detection of Clinically Relevant Microorganisms. J Clin Microbiol 54:1216–1222.

6. Ackerman AL, Underhill DM. 2017. The mycobiome of the human urinary tract: potential roles for fungi in urology. Ann Transl Med 5:31–31.

7. Fouts DE, Pieper R, Szpakowski S, Pohl H, Knoblach S, Suh M-J, Huang S-T, Ljungberg I, Sprague BM, Lucas SK, Torralba M, Nelson KE, Groah SL. 2012. Integrated next-generation sequencing of 16S rDNA and metaproteomics differentiate the healthy urine microbiome from asymptomatic bacteriuria in neuropathic bladder associated with spinal cord injury. J Transl Med 10:174.

8. Thomas-White KJ, Gao X, Lin H, Fok CS, Ghanayem K, Mueller ER, Dong Q, Brubaker L, Wolfe AJ. 2018. Urinary microbes and postoperative urinary tract infection risk in urogynecologic surgical patients. Int Urogynecology J 29:1797–1805.

9. Moustafa A, Li W, Singh H, Moncera KJ, Torralba MG, Yu Y, Manuel O, Biggs W, Venter JC, Nelson KE, Pieper R, Telenti A. 2018. Microbial metagenome of urinary tract infection. Sci Rep 8:4333.

10. Neugent ML, Hulyalkar NV, Nguyen VH, Zimmern PE, De Nisco NJ. 2020. Advances in Understanding the Human Urinary Microbiome and Its Potential Role in Urinary Tract Infection. mBio 11:1–15.

11. Groah SL, Pérez-Losada M, Caldovic L, Ljungberg IH, Sprague BM, Castro-Nallar E, Chandel NJ, Hsieh MH, Pohl HG. 2016. Redefining Healthy Urine: A Cross-Sectional Exploratory Metagenomic Study of People With and Without Bladder Dysfunction. J Urol 196:579–587.

12. Bajic P, Wolfe AJ, Gupta GN. 2019. The Urinary Microbiome: Implications in Bladder Cancer Pathogenesis and Therapeutics. Urology 126:10–15.

13. Zeng J, Zhang G, Chen C, Li K, Wen Y, Zhao J, Wu P. 2020. Alterations in Urobiome in Patients With Bladder Cancer and Implications for Clinical Outcome: A Single-Institution Study. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 10:555508.

14. Bučević Popović V, Šitum M, Chow C-ET, Chan LS, Roje B, Terzić J. 2018. The urinary microbiome associated with bladder cancer. Sci Rep 8:12157.

15. Karstens L, Asquith M, Davin S, Stauffer P, Fair D, Gregory WT, Rosenbaum JT, McWeeney SK, Nardos R. 2016. Does the Urinary Microbiome Play a Role in Urgency Urinary Incontinence and Its Severity? Front Cell Infect Microbiol 6.

16. Kliem M, Sauer S. 2012. The essence on mass spectrometry based microbial diagnostics. Curr Opin Microbiol 15:397–402.

17. Pace NR. 1997. A Molecular View of Microbial Diversity and the Biosphere. Science 276:734–740.

18. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, Fierer N, Knight R. 2011. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:4516–4522.

19. The Human Microbiome Project Consortium, Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R, Abubucker S, Badger JH, Chinwalla AT, Creasy HH, Earl AM, FitzGerald MG, Fulton RS, Giglio MG, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Lobos EA, Madupu R, Magrini V, Martin JC, Mitreva M, Muzny DM, Sodergren EJ, Versalovic J, Wollam AM, Worley KC, Wortman JR, Young SK, Zeng Q, Aagaard KM, Abolude OO, Allen-Vercoe E, Alm EJ, Alvarado L, Andersen GL, Anderson S, Appelbaum E, Arachchi HM, Armitage G, Arze CA, Ayvaz T, Baker CC, Begg L, Belachew T, Bhonagiri V, Bihan M, Blaser MJ, Bloom T, Bonazzi V, Paul Brooks J, Buck GA, Buhay CJ, Busam DA, Campbell JL, Canon SR, Cantarel BL, Chain PSG, Chen I-MA, Chen L, Chhibba S, Chu K, Ciulla DM, Clemente JC, Clifton SW, Conlan S, Crabtree J, Cutting MA, Davidovics NJ, Davis CC, DeSantis TZ, Deal C, Delehaunty KD, Dewhirst FE, Deych E, Ding Y, Dooling DJ, Dugan SP, Michael Dunne W, Scott Durkin A, Edgar RC, Erlich RL, Farmer CN, Farrell RM, Faust K, Feldgarden M, Felix VM, Fisher S, Fodor AA, Forney LJ, Foster L, Di Francesco V, Friedman J, Friedrich DC, Fronick CC, Fulton LL, Gao H, Garcia N, Giannoukos G, Giblin C, Giovanni MY, Goldberg JM, Goll J, Gonzalez A, Griggs A, Gujja S, Kinder Haake S, Haas BJ, Hamilton HA, Harris EL, Hepburn TA, Herter B, Hoffmann DE, Holder ME, Howarth C, Huang KH, Huse SM, Izard J, Jansson JK, Jiang H, Jordan C, Joshi V, Katancik JA, Keitel WA, Kelley ST, Kells C, King NB, Knights D, Kong HH, Koren O, Koren S, Kota KC, Kovar CL, Kyrpides NC, La Rosa PS, Lee SL, Lemon KP, Lennon N, Lewis CM, Lewis L, Ley RE, Li K, Liolios K, Liu

B, Liu Y, Lo C-C, Lozupone CA, Dwayne Lunsford R, Madden T, Mahurkar AA, Mannon
PJ, Mardis ER, Markowitz VM, Mavromatis K, McCorrison JM, McDonald D, McEwen J,
McGuire AL, McInnes P, Mehta T, Mihindukulasuriya KA, Miller JR, Minx PJ, Newsham
I, Nusbaum C, O'Laughlin M, Orvis J, Pagani I, Palaniappan K, Patel SM, Pearson M,
Peterson J, Podar M, Pohl C, Pollard KS, Pop M, Priest ME, Proctor LM, Qin X, Raes J,
Ravel J, Reid JG, Rho M, Rhodes R, Riehle KP, Rivera MC, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Rogers
Y-H, Ross MC, Russ C, Sanka RK, Sankar P, Fah Sathirapongsasuti J, Schloss JA, Schloss
PD, Schmidt TM, Scholz M, Schriml L, Schubert AM, Segata N, Segre JA, Shannon WD,
Sharp RR, Sharpton TJ, Shenoy N, Sheth NU, Simone GA, Singh I, Smillie CS, Sobel JD,
Sommer DD, Spicer P, Sutton GG, Sykes SM, Tabbaa DG, Thiagarajan M, Tomlinson CM,
Torralba M, Treangen TJ, Truty RM, Vishnivetskaya TA, Walker J, Wang L, Wang Z,
Ward DV, Warren W, Watson MA, Wellington C, Wetterstrand KA, White JR, Wilczek-
Boney K, Wu Y, Wylie KM, Wylie T, Yandava C, Ye L, Ye Y, Yooseph S, Youmans BP,
Zhang L, Zhou Y, Zhu Y, Zoloth L, Zucker JD, Birren BW, Gibbs RA, Highlander SK,
Methé BA, Nelson KE, Petrosino JF, Weinstock GM, Wilson RK, White O. 2012.
Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486:207–214.

20.   Karstens L, Asquith M, Caruso V, Rosenbaum JT, Fair DA, Braun J, Gregory WT, Nardos
R, McWeeney SK. 2018. Community profiling of the urinary microbiota: considerations for
low-biomass samples. Nat Rev Urol 15:735–749.

21.   Aragón IM, Herrera-Imbroda B, Queipo-Ortuño MI, Castillo E, Del Moral JS-G, Gómez-
Millán J, Yucel G, Lara MF. 2018. The Urinary Tract Microbiome in Health and Disease.
Eur Urol Focus 4:128–138.

22.   Adebayo AS, Ackermann G, Bowyer RCE, Wells PM, Humphreys G, Knight R, Spector
TD, Steves CJ. 2020. The Urinary Tract Microbiome in Older Women Exhibits Host
Genetic and Environmental Influences. Cell Host Microbe 28:298-305.e3.

23.   Oechslin CP, Lenz N, Liechti N, Ryter S, Agyeman P, Bruggmann R, Leib SL, Beuret CM.
2018. Limited Correlation of Shotgun Metagenomics Following Host Depletion and
Routine Diagnostics for Viruses and Bacteria in Low Concentrated Surrogate and Clinical
Samples. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 8:375.

24.   Prodan A, Tremaroli V, Brolin H, Zwinderman AH, Nieuwdorp M, Levin E. 2020.
Comparing bioinformatic pipelines for microbial 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. PLOS
ONE 15:e0227434.

25.   Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,
Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ,
Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-
Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. Appl Environ
Microbiol 75:7537–7541.

26.   Navas-Molina JA, Peralta-Sánchez JM, González A, McMurdie PJ, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Xu
Z, Ursell LK, Lauber C, Zhou H, Song SJ, Huntley J, Ackermann GL, Berg-Lyons D,

Holmes S, Caporaso JG, Knight R. 2013. Advancing our understanding of the human
microbiome using QIIME. Methods Enzymol 531:371–444.

27.   Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H,
Alm EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ,
Brown CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener
C, Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki
M, Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J,
Hillmann B, Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK,
Jiang L, Kaehler BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I,
Kosciolek T, Kreps J, Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C,
Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD, McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL,
Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-Molina JA, Nothias LF, Orchanian SB,
Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse E, Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson
MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A, Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford
AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A, Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der
Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von Hippel M, Walters W, Wan Y,
Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD, Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang
Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible
microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37:852–857.

28.   Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2007.
SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA
sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res 35:7188–7196.

29.   Hugenholtz P, Skarshewski A, Parks DH. 2016. Genome-Based Microbial Taxonomy
Coming of Age. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 8.

30.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016.
DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods
13:581–583.

31.   Gao X, Lin H, Revanna K, Dong Q. 2017. A Bayesian taxonomic classification method for
16S rRNA gene sequences with improved species-level accuracy. BMC Bioinformatics
18:247.

32.   Thomas-White K, Forster SC, Kumar N, Kuiken MV, Putonti C, Stares MD, Hilt EE, Price
TK, Wolfe AJ, Lawley TD. 2018. Culturing of female bladder bacteria reveals an
interconnected urogenital microbiota. Nat Commun 9:1557.

33.   Breitwieser FP, Lu J, Salzberg SL. 2019. A review of methods and databases for
metagenomic classification and assembly. Brief Bioinform 20:1125–1136.

34.   Hugerth LW, Andersson AF. 2017. Analysing Microbial Community Composition through
Amplicon Sequencing: From Sampling to Hypothesis Testing. Front Microbiol 8:22.

35. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D,
Hu P, Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a Chimera-Checked 16S rRNA Gene Database and
Workbench Compatible with ARB. Appl Env Microbiol 72:5069–5072.

36. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO.
2012. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and
web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590–D596.

37. Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schneider GM, Koenig SSK, McCulle SL, Karlebach S, Gorle R,
Russell J, Tacket CO, Brotman RM, Davis CC, Ault K, Peralta L, Forney LJ. 2011. Vaginal
microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:4680–4687.

38. Martinez RM, Hulten KG, Bui U, Clarridge JE. 2014. Molecular Analysis and Clinical
Significance of Lactobacillus spp. Recovered from Clinical Specimens Presumptively
Associated with Disease. J Clin Microbiol 52:30–36.

39. Mueller ER, Wolfe AJ, Brubaker L. 2017. Female urinary microbiota. Curr Opin Urol
27:282–286.

40. Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ. 2015. Urinary tract infections:
epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:269–
284.

41. Thomas-White KJ, Hilt EE, Fok C, Pearce MM, Mueller ER, Kliethermes S, Jacobs K,
Zilliox MJ, Brincat C, Price TK, Kuffel G, Schreckenberger P, Gai X, Brubaker L, Wolfe
AJ. 2016. Incontinence medication response relates to the female urinary microbiota. Int
Urogynecology J 27:723–733.

42. Park S-C, Won S. 2018. Evaluation of 16S rRNA Databases for Taxonomic Assignments
Using a Mock Community. Genomics Inform 16:e24.

43. Myer PR, McDaneld TG, Kuehn LA, Dedonder KD, Apley MD, Capik SF, Lubbers BV,
Harhay GP, Harhay DM, Keele JW, Henniger MT, Clemmons BA, Smith TPL. 2020.
Classification of 16S rRNA reads is improved using a niche-specific database constructed
by near-full length sequencing. PLOS ONE 15:e0235498.

44. Fettweis JM, Serrano MG, Sheth NU, Mayer CM, Glascock AL, Brooks JP, Jefferson KK.
2012. Species-level classification of the vaginal microbiome. BMC Genomics 13:9.

45. Chen T, Yu W-H, Izard J, Baranova OV, Lakshmanan A, Dewhirst FE. 2010. The Human
Oral Microbiome Database: a web accessible resource for investigating oral microbe
taxonomic and genomic information. Database 2010:baq013–baq013.

46. Ritari J, Salojärvi J, Lahti L, de Vos WM. 2015. Improved taxonomic assignment of human
intestinal 16S rRNA sequences by a dedicated reference database. BMC Genomics
16:1056.

47.   Rennie JDM, Shih L, Teevan J, Karger DR. 2003. Tackling the Poor Assumptions of Naive
Bayes Text Classifiers, p. 8. *In* Proceedings of the Twentieth International Conference on
Machine Learning. The AAAI Press, Washington, DC.

48.   Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naive Bayesian Classifier for Rapid
Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. Appl Environ
Microbiol 73:5261–5267.

49.   Abellan-Schneyder I, Matchado MS, Reitmeier S, Sommer A, Sewald Z, Baumbach J, List
M, Neuhaus K. 2021. Primer, Pipelines, Parameters: Issues in 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing
6:22.

50.   Pohl HG, Groah SL, Pérez-Losada M, Ljungberg I, Sprague BM, Chandal N, Caldovic L,
Hsieh M. 2020. The Urine Microbiome of Healthy Men and Women Differs by Urine
Collection Method. Int Neurourol J 24:41–51.

51.   Jung CE, Chopyk J, Shin JH, Lukacz ES, Brubaker L, Schwanemann LK, Knight R, Wolfe
AJ, Pride DT. 2019. Benchmarking urine storage and collection conditions for evaluating
the female urinary microbiome. Sci Rep 9:13409.

52.   Munch MM, Chambers LC, Manhart LE, Domogala D, Lopez A, Fredricks DN, Srinivasan
S. 2019. Optimizing bacterial DNA extraction in urine. PLOS ONE 14:e0222962.

53.   Ackerman AL, Anger JT, Khalique MU, Ackerman JE, Tang J, Kim J, Underhill DM,
Freeman MR, the NIH Multidisciplinary Approach to the Study of Chronic Pelvic Pain
(MAPP). 2019. Optimization of DNA extraction from human urinary samples for
mycobiome community profiling. PLOS ONE 14:e0210306.

54.   Balvočiūtė M, Huson DH. 2017. SILVA, RDP, Greengenes, NCBI and OTT — how do
these taxonomies compare? BMC Genomics 18:114.

55.   Rainey FA. 2011. How to Describe New Species of Prokaryotes, p. 7–14. *In* Methods in
Microbiology. Elsevier.

56.   Parte AC. 2018. LPSN – List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature
(bacterio.net), 20 years on. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 68:1825–1829.

57.   Notredame C, Higgins DG, Heringa J. 2000. T-coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate
multiple sequence alignment. J Mol Biol 302:205–217.

58.   Valdar WSJ. 2002. Scoring residue conservation. Proteins Struct Funct Bioinforma 48:227–
241.

59.   Kumar PS, Griffen AL, Moeschberger ML, Leys EJ. 2005. Identification of Candidate
Periodontal Pathogens and Beneficial Species by Quantitative 16S Clonal Analysis. J Clin
Microbiol 43:3944–3955.

60. Kumar P, Brooker M, Dowd S, Camerlengo T. 2011. Target Region Selection Is a Critical
Determinant of Community Fingerprints Generated by 16S Pyrosequencing. PLOS ONE 6.

61. Bukin YuS, Galachyants YuP, Morozov IV, Bukin SV, Zakharenko AS, Zemskaya TI.
2019. The effect of 16S rRNA region choice on bacterial community metabarcoding results.
Sci Data 6:190007.

62. Walter J, Tannock GW, Tilsala-Timisjarvi A, Rodtong S, Loach DM, Munro K, Alatossava
T. 2000. Detection and Identification of Gastrointestinal Lactobacillus Species by Using
Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis and Species-Specific PCR Primers. Appl Environ
Microbiol 66:297–303.

63. Graspeuntner S, Loeper N, Künzel S, Baines JF, Rupp J. 2018. Selection of validated
hypervariable regions is crucial in 16S-based microbiota studies of the female genital tract.
Sci Rep 8:9678.

64. Hugerth LW, Wefer HA, Lundin S, Jakobsson HE, Lindberg M, Rodin S, Engstrand L,
Andersson AF. 2014. DegePrime, a Program for Degenerate Primer Design for Broad-
Taxonomic-Range PCR in Microbial Ecology Studies. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:5116–
5123.

65. Morris EK, Caruso T, Buscot F, Fischer M, Hancock C, Maier TS, Meiners T, Müller C,
Obermaier E, Prati D, Socher SA, Sonnemann I, Wäschke N, Wubet T, Wurst S, Rillig MC.
2014. Choosing and using diversity indices: insights for ecological applications from the
German Biodiversity Exploratories. Ecol Evol 4:3514–3524.

Supplemental Figures

799
800 **Supplemental Figure 1.** Classification scheme precision and recall when using a confidence
801 scores of (A) 80% and (B) 50% as a threshold. The schemes that use the Silva database have
802 very low recall compared to when the confidence score is ignored (gray dots), whereas schemes
803 that use Greengenes and NCBI 16S are not as affected.
804

805
806
807 **Supplemental Figure 2.** F-measure values for all classification schemes. Values shown are for
808 confidence scores of 50%, 80%, and when confidence scores are ignored.
809

810 **Supplemental Figure 3.** Bladder bacterial species of the Thomas-White dataset identified by
811 database, variable region, and BLCA classifier.

812
813 **Supplemental Figure 4.** Summary of the NCBI 16S classification for *in silico* classification on
814 the V4 validation dataset. Blue dots represent the species/sample pair that was identified by
815 whole genome sequencing, but was not identified by the classification scheme. Yellow dots
816 represent the species/sample pair that was successfully identified by the classification scheme
817 and whole genome sequencing of the culture data.
818
819
820