Title: A novel family of secreted proteins linked to plant gall development

Authors: Aishwarya Korgaonkar[1], Clair Han[1], Andrew L. Lemire[1], Igor Siwanowicz[1], Djawed

Bennouna[2], Rachel Kopec[2,3], Peter Andolfatto[4], Shuji Shigenobu[5,6,7], David L. Stern[1,*]

Affiliations:

[1] Janelia Research Campus of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 19700 Helix Drive,

Ashburn, VA 20147, USA

[2] Dept. of Human Sciences, Division of Human Nutrition, The Ohio State University, 262G

Campbell Hall, 1787 Neil Ave., Columbus, OH 43210

[3] Ohio State University's Foods for Health Discovery Theme, The Ohio State University, 262G

Campbell Hall, 1787 Neil Ave., Columbus, OH 43210

[4] Department of Biology, Columbia University, 600 Fairchild Center, New York, NY 10027, USA

[5] Laboratory of Evolutionary Genomics, Center for the Development of New Model Organism,

National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, 444-8585 Japan

[6] NIBB Research Core Facilities, National Institute for Basic Biology, Okazaki, 444-8585 Japan

[7] Department of Basic Biology, School of Life Science, SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for

Advanced Studies), 38 Nishigonaka, Myodaiji, Okazaki, 444-8585 Japan

* Corresponding author

Abstract:

In an elaborate form of inter-species exploitation, many insects hijack plant development to induce galls—novel plant 'organs' resulting from dramatic reprograming of plant cell biology. Insects induce galls by introducing unidentified molecules into plants. Here we identify an aphid gene, *determinant of gall color* (*dgc*), that is genetically associated with variation in a gall phenotype, providing the first example of an insect gene implicated in gall development. *Dgc* encodes a BICYCLE protein, a new family of secreted proteins. *Bicycle* genes are strongly expressed specifically in galling aphid salivary glands, suggesting they control many aspects of gall development. *Bicycle* genes have experienced unusually strong diversifying selection, consistent with their potential role controlling gall development in a molecular arms race between aphids and their host plants.

One Sentence Summary:
Aphid *bicycle* genes, which encode diverse secreted proteins, contribute to plant gall development.

Main Text:

Organisms often exploit individuals of other species, for example through predation or parasitism. Parasites sometimes utilize molecular weapons against hosts, which themselves respond with molecular defenses, and the genes that encode or synthesize these molecular weapons may evolve rapidly in a continuous 'arms race' (Obbard et al., 2006; Papkou et al., 2019; Paterson et al., 2010). Some of the most elaborate molecular defenses—such as adaptive immune systems, restriction modification systems, and CRISPR—have resulted from such host-parasite conflicts. In many less well-studied systems, parasites not only extract nutrients from their hosts but they also alter host behavior, physiology, or development to the parasite's advantage (Heil, 2016). Insect galls represent one of the most extreme forms of such inter-species manipulation.

Insect-induced galls are intricately patterned homes that provide insects with protection from predators (Mani, 1964). Galls are also resource sinks, drawing nutrients from distant plant organs, and providing insects with abundant food (Larson and Whitham, 1991). Insect galls are atypical plant growths that do not result simply from unpatterned cellular over-proliferation, as observed for microbial galls like the crown gall induced by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. Instead, each galling insect species appears to induce a distinctive gall, even when related insect species attack the same plant, implying that each species provides unique instructions to re-program latent plant developmental networks (Cook and Gullan, 2008; Crespi and Worobey, 1998; Dodson, 1991; Leatherdale, 1955; Martin, 1938; Parr, 1940; Plumb, 1953; Stern, 1995; Stone and Cook, 1998).

At least some gall-inducing insects produce phytohormones (Dorchin et al., 2009;

McCalla et al., 1962; Suzuki et al., 2014; Tanaka et al., 2013; Tooker and Helms, 2014;

Yamaguchi et al., 2012), although it is not yet clear whether insects introduce these hormones

into plants to support gall development. However, injection of phytohormones alone probably

cannot generate the large diversity of species-specific insect galls. In addition, galling insects

induce plant transcriptional changes independent of phytohormone activity (Bailey et al.,

2015; Nabity et al., 2013; Schultz et al., 2019; Shih et al., 2018; Takeda et al., 2019). Thus,

given the complex cellular changes required for gall development, insects probably introduce

many molecules to induce galls.

Other than the potential role of phytohormones in promoting gall growth, none of the

mechanisms that generate specific patterns of gall development have yet been identified. For

example, while many herbivorous insects introduce effector molecules into plants to influence

plant physiology (Elzinga and Jander, 2013; Hogenhout and Bos, 2011; Kaloshian and Walling,

2016; Stuart, 2015), there is no evidence that any previously described effectors contribute to

gall development. Since there are currently no galling insect model systems that would

facilitate a genetic approach to this problem, we turned to natural variation to identify insect

genes that contribute to gall development.

We studied the aphid, *Hormaphis cornu*, which induces galls on the leaves of witch

hazel, *Hamamelis virginiana*, in the Eastern United States (Fig. 1A-F). In early spring, each *H.*

cornu gall foundress (fundatrix) probes an expanding leaf with her very thin mouthparts

(stylets) (Fig. 1A, B, Video S1). She pierces individual mesophyll cells with her stylets (Fig. 1G

and H) and injects fluid directly into each cell and perhaps into inter-cellular spaces (Lewis and

Walton, 1947, 1958). We found that plant cells near injection sites over-proliferate through

periclinal cell divisions (Figure 1H), a pattern of cytokinesis not otherwise observed in leaves at

this stage of development (Figure 1I), and cells elongate, causing thickening and apical

expansion of leaf tissue (Fig. 1D-G).

After several days, the basal side of the gall encloses the fundatrix and the gall

continues to grow apically and laterally, providing the fundatrix and her offspring with

protection and abundant food. After several weeks, the basal side of the gall opens to allow

aphids to remove excreta (honeydew) and molted nymphal skins from the gall and, eventually,

to allow winged migrants to depart. Continued gall growth requires the constant presence of

the fundatrix and gall tissue dies in her absence (Lewis and Walton, 1958; Rehill and Schultz,

2001), suggesting that the fundatrix must continuously inject material to overcome plant

defenses.

A natural gall color polymorphism is linked to regulatory variation in a novel aphid gene,

determinant of gall color

Populations of *H. cornu* include approximately 4% red galls and 96% green galls (Fig.

1F). We inferred that this gall color polymorphism results from differences among aphids,

rather than from differences associated with leaves or the location of galls on leaves, because

red and green galls are located randomly on leaves and often adjacent to each other on a

single leaf (Fig. 1F). We performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) on fundatrices

isolated from 43 green galls and 47 red galls by resequencing their genomes to approximately

3X coverage. There is no evidence for genome-wide differentiation of samples from red and

green galls, suggesting that individuals making red and green galls were sampled from a single

interbreeding population (Fig. S1A-D). We identified a cluster of SNPs near 40.5 Mbp on

Chromosome 1 that were strongly associated with gall color (Fig. 2A). We re-sequenced

approximately 800 kbp flanking these SNPs to approximately 60X coverage and identified 11

single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the introns and upstream of gene

Horco_16073 that were strongly associated with gall color (Fig. 2B-D). There is no evidence

that large scale chromosomal aberrations are associated with gall color (Supplementary Text).

Since GWAS can sometimes produce spurious associations, we performed an

independent replication study and found that all 11 SNPs were highly significantly associated

with gall color in fundatrices isolated from 435 green and 431 red galls (LOD = 191 – 236; Fig.

2E). All fundatrices from green galls were homozygous for the ancestral allele at 9 or more of

these SNPs (Fig. 2E). In contrast, 98% of fundatrices from red galls were heterozygous or

homozygous for derived alleles at 9 or more SNPs (Fig. 2E). This pattern suggests that alleles

contributing to red gall color are genetically dominant to alleles that generate green galls. A

small number of fundatrices making red galls were homozygous for ancestral alleles at these

SNPs and likely carry genetic variants elsewhere in the genome that confer red color to galls

(Supplementary Text).

Based on these genetic associations and further evidence presented below, we

assigned the name *determinant of gall color* (*dgc*) to *Horco_16073*. *Dgc* encodes a predicted

protein of 23 kDa with an N-terminal secretion signal sequence (Fig. S4). The putatively

secreted portion of the protein shares no sequence homology with any previously reported

proteins.

Most SNPs associated with green or red galls were found in one of two predominant

haplotypes (Fig. 2E) and exhibited strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) (Fig. S5). LD can result

from suppressed recombination. However, these 11 SNPs are in linkage equilibrium with many

other intervening and flanking SNPs (Fig. S5, S6). Also, multiple observed genotypes are

consistent with recombination between these 11 SNPs (Fig. 2E) and we found no evidence for

chromosomal aberrations that could suppress recombination (Fig. S1E-I; Supplementary Text).

Thus, LD among the 11 *dgc* SNPs associated with gall color cannot be explained by suppressed

recombination. It is more likely that the non-random association of the 11 *dgc*^{Red} SNPs has

been maintained by natural selection, suggesting that the combined action of all 11 SNPs may

have a stronger effect on gene function than any single SNP alone.

Regulatory variants at *dgc* dominantly silence *dgc* expression

Since all 11 *dgc* polymorphisms associated with gall color occur outside of *dgc* exons

(Fig. 2D), we tested whether these polymorphisms influence expression of *dgc* or of any other

genes in the genome. We first determined that *dgc* is expressed highly and specifically in

fundatrix salivary glands and lowly or not at all in other tissues or other life cycle stages (Fig.

S7A). We then performed RNAseq on salivary glands from fundatrices with *dgc*^{Green}/*dgc*^{Green} or

dgc^{Red}/*dgc*^{Green} genotypes. *Dgc* is the only strongly differentially expressed gene between

these genotypes (Figure 3A and S7B). Since *dgc*^{Red} alleles appeared to be dominant to the

dgc^{Green} alleles for gall color, we expected that dgc transcripts would be upregulated in animals

with dgc^{Red} alleles. In contrast, dgc transcripts were almost absent in fundatrices carrying

dgc^{Red} alleles (Fig. 3B). That is, red galls are associated with strongly reduced dgc expression in

fundatrix salivary glands.

Dgc expression is reduced approximately 20-fold in fundatrix salivary glands with

dgc^{Red}/dgc^{Green} (27 ± 22.6 CPM, mean ± SD) versus dgc^{Green}/dgc^{Green} genotypes (536 ± 352.3

CPM, mean ± SD). Thus, dgc^{Red} alleles probably downregulate both the dgc^{Red} and dgc^{Green}

alleles in heterozygotes. By examining exonic SNPs in dgc, we confirmed that the dgc

transcript is strongly downregulated from both dgc^{Red} and dgc^{Green} alleles in heterozygotes

(Fig. S7D). We observed no systematic transcriptional changes in neighboring genes (Figure

3B), most of which are dgc paralogs, indicating that dgc^{Red} alleles exhibit a perhaps unique

example of locus-specific repressive transvection (Duncan, 2002).

High levels of dgc transcription are associated with downregulation specifically of plant

anthocyanin genes and two anthocyanins

Since red galls are associated with strong differential expression of only dgc, we

wondered how the plant responds to changes in this single putative signal. To examine this

question, we performed whole-genome differential expression on plant mRNA isolated from

galls induced by aphids with dgc^{Red}/dgc^{Green} versus dgc^{Green}/dgc^{Green} genotypes (Supplementary

Text). Only eight plant genes were differentially expressed between green and red galls and all

168 eight genes were downregulated in green galls (Fig. 3C-D). That is, high levels of *dgc* are

169 associated with downregulation of eight plant genes in galls.

170   The seven most strongly downregulated plant genes all encode enzymes of the

171 anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway (Fig. 3E). One gene encodes an enzyme (ACCA) that

172 irreversibly converts acetyl-CoA to malonyl CoA, a biosynthetic precursor of multiple

173 anthocyanins. Two genes encode anthocyanidin 3-0-glucosyltransferases (UFGT and

174 UGT75C1), which glycosylate unstable anthocyanidins to allow their accumulation (Springob et

175 al., 2003). Two genes encode flavonoid 3'-5' methyltransferases (FAOMT-1, FAOMT-2), which

176 methylate anthocyanin derivatives (Hugueney et al., 2009). Finally, two genes encode phi class

177 glutathione S-transferases (GSTF11, GSTF12), which conjugate glutathione to anthocyanins,

178 facilitating anthocyanin transport and stable accumulation in vacuoles (Marrs et al., 1995).

179   Six of these enzymes are required for final steps of anthocyanin production and

180 deposition (Fig. 3E) and their upregulation in red galls may account for the accumulation of

181 pigments in red galls. To test this hypothesis, we extracted and analyzed pigments from galls

182 (Fig. 3F) and identified high levels of two pigments only in red galls (Fig. 3G), the anthocyanins

183 malvidin-3,5-diglucoside and peonidin-3,5-diglucoside (Fig. 3G-H, S8). Thus, the pigments

184 present in red galls are products of enzymes in the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway, such as

185 those that are upregulated in red galls. The two abundant anthocyanins are produced from

186 distinct intermediate precursor molecules (Fig. 3E), three of which were also detected in red

187 galls (Fig. 3H, S8), and synthesis of these two anthocyanins likely requires activity of different

188 methyltransferases and glucosyltransferases. The three pairs of glucosyltransferases,

methyltransferases, and glutathione transferases upregulated in red galls may provide the

specific activities required for production of these two anthocyanins.

Taken together, these observations suggest that *dgc* represses transcription of seven

anthocyanin biosynthetic enzymes. It is not clear how *dgc* induces specific transcriptional

changes in seven plant genes; it may act by altering activity of an upstream regulator of these

plant genes.

Aphids induce widespread transcriptomic changes in galls

The gall color polymorphism reflects only a small part of the gall phenotype. To

estimate how many plant genes are differentially expressed during gall development, we

performed differential expression analysis of plant genes in galls versus the surrounding leaf

tissue. Approximately 31% of plant genes were upregulated and 34% were downregulated at

FDR = 0.05 in galls versus leaf tissue (Figure S9A; 27% up and 29% down in gall at FDR = 0.01).

Results of gene ontology analysis of up and down-regulated genes is consistent with the

extensive growth of gall tissue and down regulation of chloroplasts seen in galls (Fig. S9B;

Supplementary Text).

Thus, approximately 15,000 plant genes are differentially expressed in galls,

representing a system-wide re-programming of plant cell biology. If other aphid effector

molecules act in ways similar to *dgc*, which is associated with differential expression of only

eight plant genes, then gall development may require injection of hundreds or thousands of

effector molecules.

***Dgc* is the founding member of a large class of novel *bicycle* genes expressed specifically in the salivary glands of gall-inducing aphids**

To identify additional proteins that aphids may inject into plants to contribute to gall development, we exploited the fact that only some individuals in the complex life cycle of *H. cornu* induce galls (Figure 4A). We hypothesized that expression of genes required for gall formation should be enriched specifically in the salivary glands of the generations that induce galls: the fundatrix (G1) and possibly also her immediate offspring (G2). We identified 3,048 genes upregulated in fundatrix salivary glands versus the fundatrix body (Fig. S10A) and 3,427 genes upregulated in salivary glands of fundatrices, which induce galls, versus sexuals, which do not induce galls although they feed on the same host plant (Fig. S10B). Intersection of these gene sets identified 1,489 genes specifically enriched in the salivary glands of fundatrices (Figure 4B).

Half of these genes (744) were homologous to previously identified genes, many of which had functional annotations. Gene Ontology analysis of the "annotated" genes suggests that they contribute mostly to the demands for high levels of protein secretion in fundatrix salivary glands (Fig. S10C). Most do not encode proteins with secretion signals (671; Fig. S10D) and are thus unlikely to be injected into plants. We searched for homologs of genes that have been proposed as candidate gall-effector genes in other insects but found no evidence that these classes of genes contribute to aphid gall development (Supplementary Text). We

therefore focused on the remaining 738 unannotated genes, which included 459 genes

encoding proteins with predicted secretion signals (Fig. S10D).

Hierarchical clustering of the unannotated genes by sequence similarity identified one

large (476 genes) and one small (43 genes) cluster of related genes, and 222 genes sharing few

or no homologs (Fig. 4C). Genes in both the large and small clusters encode proteins with N-

terminal secretion signals, as expected for effector proteins that might be injected into plants.

The small cluster encodes a divergent set of proteins containing several conserved cysteines

(C) and a well conserved tryptophan (W) and glycine (G) (Fig. S11A).

Proteins encoded by the large cluster display conservation mainly of a pair of widely

spaced cysteine-tyrosine-cysteine (CYC) motifs and spacing between the C, Y, and C residues of

each motif is not well conserved (Fig. 4F). This pair of CYC motifs led us to name these *bicycle*

(bi-CYC-like) genes. The *bicycle* genes were the most strongly upregulated class of genes in

fundatrix salivary glands (Fig. 4D, Fig. S11B-F) and were expressed specifically in the salivary

glands of the two generations associated with galls (G1 and G2) (Fig. 4E). Many *bicycle* genes

are found in paralog clusters throughout the *H. cornu* genome (Fig. S10E and F) and each

bicycle gene contains approximately 5-25 microexons (Fig. S10F)—a large excess relative to

the genomic background (Fig. S10G)—interrupted by long introns (Fig. S10F).

We found that *dgc* shares many features with *bicycle* genes—it is strongly expressed

specifically in fundatrix salivary glands, and it exhibits many microexons and a pair of CYC

motifs (Fig. S4)—and that it is evolutionarily related to other *bicycle* genes. Thus, *dgc*, which is

associated with gall color, is the founding member of a diverse family of genes encoding

secreted proteins expressed specifically in the salivary glands of gall forming generations.

Bicycle genes are therefore good candidates to encode many of the molecules required to

generate the extensive transcriptional changes observed in galls.

bicycle genes experienced intense diversifying selection, consistent with a potential arms

race between aphids and plants

Bicycle genes are extremely diverse at the amino acid sequence level. Each protein has

accumulated approximately two substitutions per amino acid site since divergence from

paralogs (Fig. S10H). To explore whether this diversity resulted from natural selection rather

than genetic drift, we compared rates of non-synonymous (d_N) versus synonymous (d_S)

substitutions between the sister species *H. cornu* and *H. hamamelidis* in *bicycle* versus non-

bicycle genes, because d_N/d_S values greater than one provide evidence for positive selection

(Yang and Bielawski, 2000). A large excess of *bicycle* genes displayed d_N/d_S significantly greater

than 1 relative to the genomic background (Fig. S12, Table S1; P < 2.2e-16), revealing recurrent

adaptive amino acid substitution at many *bicycle* genes since these species diverged,

approximately 1 MYA.

We then quantified the frequency of adaptive amino acid substitution at *bicycle* genes

and other categories of genes overexpressed in fundatrix salivary glands by calculating α, the

proportion of non-synonymous substitutions fixed by positive selection (Fay et al., 2001; Smith

and Eyre-Walker, 2002). We estimate that for all *bicycle* genes α = 0.33 and that for the subset

of *bicycle* genes displaying d_N/d_S significantly greater than 1, α = 0.62 (Table S2). Other

categories of genes overexpressed in fundatrix salivary glands also display values of α in the

range of 0.27-0.38 (Table S2), however, *bicycle* genes display a considerably higher ratio of

non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions than other categories of genes (Table S2). Most

strikingly, as a fraction of protein length, bicycle genes display a considerably greater fraction

of adaptive non-synonymous substitutions than other categories of genes (Fig. S12C). That is,

over the past 1 MY, positive selection has resulted on average in fixation of approximately 2-3

substitutions in each *bicycle* gene, and approximately 10 substitutions in the most rapidly

evolving *bicycle* genes. This represents a considerable fraction of the total number of amino

acids (~200) in each protein and reveals intense selection on these *bicycle* genes, presumably

for novel functions that require multiple amino acid substitutions.

The previous tests cannot detect selection on non-coding regions and do not

discriminate between selection acting in the deep past or more recently. To search for recent

selection in *bicycle* gene regions, we examined patterns of polymorphism and divergence

within and between *H. cornu* and *H. hamamelidis*. Polymorphism was strongly reduced

relative to divergence in *bicycle* gene regions compared with the genomic background (Fig.

S13, S14) and patterns of reduced polymorphism were strikingly similar in both species (Fig.

S13, S14). This pattern is suggestive of recent selective sweeps in *bicycle* gene regions in both

species, which we tested by performing genome-wide scans for positive selection (Pavlidis et

al., 2013). Genome-wide signals of selective sweeps were enriched near *bicycle* genes and

multiple signals fell within *bicycle* gene clusters in both species (Fig. S14 and S15). Thus, strong

selection has acted not only in the past to fix non-synonymous substitutions in *bicycle* genes,

but also recently and presumably frequently on many *bicycle* loci throughout the genome.

In summary, we find evidence for widespread, strong, recent, and frequent positive

selection on *bicycle* genes. Since *bicycle* genes are likely secreted from salivary glands

specifically in gall-forming aphids, these observations are consistent with the hypothesis that

bicycle genes encode proteins that are intimately involved in reciprocal molecular evolution

between the aphid and their host plant.

DISCUSSION

We presented multiple lines of evidence that suggest that *bicycle* gene products

provide instructive molecules required for aphid gall development. The strongest evidence is

that eleven derived regulatory polymorphisms at *dgc* are associated with almost complete

silencing of *dgc* in aphid salivary glands (Fig. 3A-B, S7B) and upregulation of seven anthocyanin

biosynthetic genes and two red-purple anthocyanins in galls (Fig. 3C-H, S8). Gall color is simply

one small, but convenient, aspect of the panoply of cell biological changes required for gall

development. We hypothesize that the product of each *bicycle* gene has its own unique set of

targets in the plant and that the combined action of all *bicycle* gene products regulates many

aspects of gall development. Testing this hypothesis will require the development of new

methods to explore and manipulate this aphid-plant system.

BICYCLE protein functions

Dgc likely encodes a protein that is deposited by aphids into gall tissue, but the

mechanism by which this novel aphid protein specifically and dramatically downregulates

seven anthocyanin biosynthetic genes remains to be determined. The primary sequences of

DGC and other BICYCLE proteins provide few clues to their molecular mode of action. Outside

of the N-terminal secretion signal, BICYCLE proteins possess no similarity with previously

reported proteins and display no conserved domains that might guide functional studies. The

relatively well-conserved C-Y-C motif appears to define a pair of ~50-80 aa domains in each

protein and the paired cysteines may form disulfide bonds, which is commonly observed for

secreted proteins. Secondary structure prediction methods provide little evidence for

structural conservation across BICYCLE proteins and the extensive variation in the spacing

between conserved cysteines further suggests that BICYCLE proteins may display structural

heterogeneity. Identification of their molecular mode of action will require identification of

BICYCLE protein binding targets.

Evolution of *bicycle* genes

We were unable to detect any sequence homology between *bicycle* genes and

previously reported genes, which is one reason that it is difficult to infer the molecular

function of *bicycle* genes from sequence alone. It is possible that *bicycle* genes evolved *de*

novo in an ancestor of gall-forming aphids, perhaps through capture of a 5' exon encoding an

N-terminal signal sequence. However, if *bicycle* genes experienced strong diversifying

selection since their origin, perhaps in an ancestor of gall forming aphids about 280 MYA, then

the rate of amino-acid substitution that we detected between two closely-related species

would likely be sufficient to have eliminated sequence similarity that could be detected by

homology-detection algorithms. Identifying the evolutionary antecedents of *bicycle* genes will

likely require tracing their evolutionary history across genomes of related species. It may also

be more fruitful to use the unusual gene structure of *bicycle* genes (Fig. S10F, G) to search for

the antecedents of *bicycle* genes.

References:

Bailey, S., Percy, D.M., Hefer, C.A., and Cronk, Q.C.B. (2015). The transcriptional landscape of
insect galls: psyllid (Hemiptera) gall formation in Hawaiian Metrosideros polymorpha
(Myrtaceae). BMC Genomics *16*, 943–943.

Bilder, D., and Irvine, K.D. (2017). Taking Stock of the Drosophila Research Ecosystem. *206*,
1227–1236.

Cook, L.G., and Gullan, P.J. (2008). Insect, not plant, determines gall morphology in the
Apiomorpha pharetrata species-group (Hemiptera: Coccoidea). Australian Journal of
Entomology *47*, 51–57.

Crespi, B., and Worobey, M. (1998). Comparative analysis of gall morphology in Australian gall
thrips: The evolution of extended phenotypes. Evolution *52*, 1686–1696.

Dodson, G.N. (1991). Control of gall morphology: tephritid gallformers (Aciurina spp.) on
rabbitbrush (Chrysothamnus). Ecol. Entomol *16*, 177–181.

Dorchin, N., Hoffmann, J.H., Stirk, W.A., NovÁk, O., Strnad, M., and Van Staden, J. (2009).
Sexually dimorphic gall structures correspond to differential phytohormone contents in male
and female wasp larvae. Physiological Entomology *34*, 359–369.

Elzinga, D.A., and Jander, G. (2013). The role of protein effectors in plant-aphid interactions.
Current Opinion in Plant Biology *16*, 451–456.

Fay, J.C., Wyckoff, G.J., and Wu, C.-I. (2001). Positive and Negative Selection on the Human
Genome. Genetics *158*, 1227+1234.

Heil, M. (2016). Host Manipulation by Parasites: Cases, Patterns, and Remaining Doubts. Front.
Ecol. Evol. *4*.

Hogenhout, S.A., and Bos, J.I.B. (2011). Effector proteins that modulate plant-insect
interactions. Current Opinion in Plant Biology *14*, 422–428.

Hugueney, P., Provenzano, S., Verriès, C., Ferrandino, A., Meudec, E., Batelli, G., Merdinoglu,
D., Cheynier, V., Schubert, A., and Ageorges, A. (2009). A novel cation-dependent o-
methyltransferase involved in anthocyanin methylation in grapevine. Plant Physiology *150*,
2057–2070.

Kaloshian, I., and Walling, L.L. (2016). Hemipteran and dipteran pests: Effectors and plant host
immune regulators. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology *58*, 350–361.

Larson, K.C., and Whitham, T.G. (1991). Manipulation of food resources by a gall-forming
aphid: the physiology of sink-source interactions. Oecologia *88*, 15–21.

Leatherdale, D. (1955). Plant hyperplasia induced with a cell-free insect extract. Nature *175*,
553–554.

Lewis, I.F., and Walton, L. (1947). Initiation of the cone gall of witch hazel. Science *106*, 419–
420.

Lewis, I.F., and Walton, L. (1958). Gall-formation on Hamamelis virginiana resulting from
material injected by the aphid Hormaphis hamamelidis. Trans. Am. Microscop. Soc. *77*, 146–
200.

Mani, M.S. (1964). Ecology of Plant Galls (The Hague, The Netherlands: Springer-
Science+Business Media, B.V.).

Marrs, K.A., Alfenito, M.R., Lloyd, A.M., and Walbot, V. (1995). A glutathione S-transferase
involved in vacuolar transfer encoded by the maize gene Bronze-2. Nature *375*, 397–400.

Martin, J.P. (1938). Stem galls of sugar cane induced with an insect extract. Hawaiian Planters'
Record *42*, 129–134.

McCalla, D.R., Genthe, M.K., and Hovanitz, W. (1962). Chemical Nature of an Insect Gall
Growth-Factor. Plant Physiol *37*, 98–103.

McDonald, J.H., and Kreitman, M. (1991). Adaptive Protein Evolution at the Adh Locus in
Drosophila. Nature *351*, 652–654.

Nabity, P.D., Haus, M.J., Berenbaum, M.R., and DeLucia, E.H. (2013). Leaf-galling phylloxera on
grapes reprograms host metabolism and morphology. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America *110*, 16663–16668.

Obbard, D.J., Jiggins, F.M., Halligan, D.L., and Little, T.J. (2006). Natural selection drives
extremely rapid evolution in antiviral RNAi genes. Current Biology *16*, 580–585.

Papkou, A., Guzella, T., Yang, W., Koepper, S., Pees, B., Schalkowski, R., Barg, M.C., Rosenstiel, P.C., Teotónio, H., and Schulenburg, H. (2019). The genomic basis of red queen dynamics during rapid reciprocal host–pathogen coevolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *116*, 923–928.

Parr, T. (1940). Asterolecanium variolosum Ratzeburg, a gall-forming coccid, and its effect upon the host trees. Yale University School of Forestry Bulletin *46*, 1–49.

Paterson, S., Vogwill, T., Buckling, A., Benmayor, R., Spiers, A.J., Thomson, N.R., Quail, M., Smith, F., Walker, D., Libberton, B., et al. (2010). Antagonistic coevolution accelerates molecular evolution. Nature *464*, 275–278.

Pavlidis, P., Zˇivkovic, D., Stamatakis, A., and Alachiotis, N. (2013). SweeD: Likelihood-Based Detection of Selective Sweeps in Thousands of Genomes. Molecular Biology & Evolution *30*, 2224–2234.

Plumb, G.H. (1953). The formation and development of the Norway Spruce gall caused by Adelges abietis L. Bulletin of the Connecticut Experiment Station *566*, 1–77.

Rehill, B.J., and Schultz, J.C. (2001). Hormaphis hamamelidis and gall size: a test of the plant vigor hypothesis. Oikos *95*, 94–104.

Schultz, J.C., Edger, P.P., Body, M.J.A., and Appel, H.M. (2019). A galling insect activates plant reproductive programs during gall development. Scientific Reports *9*, 1833–1833.

Shih, T.H., Lin, S.H., Huang, M.Y., Sun, C.W., and Yang, C.M. (2018). Transcriptome profile of cup-shaped galls in Litsea acuminata leaves. PLoS ONE *13*, 1–14.

Smith, N.G., and Eyre-Walker, A. (2002). Adaptive protein evolution in Drosophila. Nature *415*, 1022–1024.

Springob, K., Nakajima, J.-I., Yamazaki, M., and Saito, K. (2003). Recent advances in the biosynthesis and accumulation of anthocyanins.

Stern, D.L. (1995). Phylogenetic evidence that aphids rather than plants, determine gall morphology. Proceedings of Royal Society London B *260*, 85–89.

Stone, G.N., and Cook, J.M. (1998). The structure of cynipid oak galls: patterns in the evolution of an extended phenotype. Proceedings of the Royal Society, London Series B *265*, 979–988.

Stuart, J. (2015). Insect effectors and gene-for-gene interactions with host plants. Current Opinion in Insect Science *9*, 56–61.

Suzuki, H., Yokokura, J., Ito, T., Arai, R., Yokoyama, C., Toshima, H., Nagata, S., Asami, T., and Suzuki, Y. (2014). Biosynthetic pathway of the phytohormone auxin in insects and screening of its inhibitors. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology *53*, 66–72.

Takeda, S., Yoza, M., Amano, T., Ohshima, I., Hirano, T., Sato, M.H., Sakamoto, T., and Kimura,
S. (2019). Comparative transcriptome analysis of galls from four different host plants suggests
the molecular mechanism of gall development. PloS One *14*, e0223686–e0223686.

Tanaka, Y., Okada, K., Asami, T., and Suzuki, Y. (2013). Phytohormones in Japanese Mugwort
Gall Induction by a Gall-Inducing Gall Midge. Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry *77*,
1942–1948.

Tooker, J.F., and Helms, A.M. (2014). Phytohormone Dynamics Associated with Gall Insects,
and their Potential Role in the Evolution of the Gall-Inducing Habit. Journal of Chemical
Ecology *40*, 742–753.

Yamaguchi, H., Tanaka, H., Hasegawa, M., Tokuda, M., Asami, T., and Suzuki, Y. (2012).
Phytohormones and willow gall induction by a gall-inducing sawfly. New Phytologist *196*, 586–
595.

Yang, Z., and Bielawski, J.P. (2000). Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation.
Trends in Ecology & Evolution *15*, 496–503.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Jim Truman for performing the initial aphid salivary gland dissections and for

teaching us the tricks, which enabled the entire project, Erika Gajda and Henry Horn for help

collecting galls, Patrick Reilly for his genotyping pipeline and helpful conversations, Goran

Ceric for getting all software packages to run on the Janelia compute cluster, Tom Dolafi for

maintaining the Apollo genome annotation service, Mountain Lakes Biological Station for

permission to collect specimens, and Jim Truman, Nicolas Frankel, Richard Mann, Brett Mensh,

and Vanessa Ruta for helpful comments on the manuscript.

Competing Interests:

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. *Hormaphis cornu* aphids drive patterned over-proliferation of plant cells to produce

galls of on leaves *Hamamelis virginiana*

(A-C) Photographs of the abaxial surfaces of *H. virginiana* leaves being attacked by first-instar

fundatrices of *H. cornu*. Nymphs gather on the unopened leaf buds (A) and soon after bud

break the fundatrices gather near leaf veins (B) and inject material that begins to transform

the leaf into a gall (C). Magnified region in blue rectangle of panel (A) shows three fundatrices

waiting on unopened bud (A').

(D-F) Photographs of the adaxial leaves of *H. virginiana*, showing galls at early (D), middle (E),

and late (F) growth stages.

(G-I) Confocal micrographs of sections through a *H. cornu* gall (G,H) and un-galled *H. virginiana*

leaf (I) stained with Congo red and calcofluor white. Extensive hypertropy is observed in the

mesophyll (yellow arrows) at a considerable distance from the location of the aphid's stylets

(blue arrows) (G). The tips of aphid stylets (pink arrow) can be observed within cells of *H.*

virginiana and plant tissue shows evidence of hyperproliferation and periclinal divisions (grey

arrows) close to the tips of stylets and the termini of stylet sheaths (H). Periclinal divisions are

observed in both spongy and palisade mesophyll cells during gall development, but never in

ungalled leaf tissue (I). Plant tissue is presented in the aphid's frame of reference, with abaxial

leaf surface up.

Figure 2. A genome-wide association study (GWAS) identifies variation within a novel aphid

gene associated with gall color

(A) A GWAS of fundatrices isolated from 43 green and 47 red galls identified a small region on

chromosome 1 of the *H. cornu* genome that is strongly associated with gall color. Red line

indicates FDR = 0.05. Colors of points on chromosomes are arbitrary.

(B-D) Resequencing 800 kbp of Chromosome 1 centered on the most significant SNPs from the

original GWAS to approximately 60X coverage identified 11 spatially clustered SNPs

significantly associated with gall color located within the introns and upstream of

Horco_g16073, which was named *dgc* (D). (Some SNPs are closely adjacent and cannot be

differentiated at this scale.) Significant SNPs are indicated with orange vertical lines in (D).

(E) Genotypes of all 11 SNPs associated with gall color from an independent sample of aphids

from 435 green and 431 red galls. Color of gall for aphid samples shown on left and genotype

at each SNP is shown adjacent in green (0/0, homozygous ancestral state), yellow (0/1,

heterozygous), or red (1/1, homozygous derived state). LOD scores for association with gall

color shown for each SNP at bottom of genotype plot. Histogram of frequencies of each

multilocus genotype ordered by frequency and collected within gall color is shown on the

right. All 11 SNPs are strongly associated with gall color ($P < 10^{-192}$), and a cluster of 5 SNPs in a

61bp region are most strongly associated with gall color. Individuals homozygous for ancestral

alleles at all or most loci and making red galls likely carry variants at other loci that influence

gall color (Supplementary Text).

Figure 3. *Dgc* is the only strongly differentially expressed gene in salivary glands of

fundatrices collected from red versus green galls

(A) Genome-wide differential expression analysis of *H. cornu* fundatrix salivary gland

transcripts from individuals heterozygous for *dgc*Red/*dgc*Green (Red; N = 15) versus homozygous

for *dgc*Green (Green; N = 7) illustrated as a volcano plot. D*gc* is strongly downregulated in

*dgc*Red/*dgc*Green fundatrices.

(B) Salivary gland expression of genes in the paralog cluster that includes *dgc*Red/*dgc*Green. Gene

models of the paralogous genes are shown at the top and expression levels normalized by

mean expression across all paralogs is shown below. Only *dgc* is strongly downregulated in this

gene cluster between individuals carrying *dgc*Red/*dgc*Green (Red) versus *dgc*Green/*dgc*Green (Green)

genotypes.

(C) Genome-wide differential expression analysis of *H. virginiana* transcripts isolated from galls

made by fundatrices heterozygous for *dgc*Red/*dgc*Green (Red; N = 17) versus homozygous for

*dgc*Green (Green; N = 22) illustrated as a volcano plot. Only eight genes are differentially

expressed at FDR < 0.05, and all are overexpressed in red galls. The seven most strongly

differentially expressed genes that encode anthocyanin biosynthetic enzymes (FAOMT-1 =

Hamvi_23591; FAOMT-2 = Hamvi_7147 ; GSTF11 = Hamvi_134919; GSTF12 = Hamvi_109682;

UGT75C1 = Hamvi_14194; UFGT = Hamvi_22774; ACCA = Hamvi_97071).

(D) Expression levels, in counts per million reads, of the seven anthocyanin biosynthetic genes

overexpressed in red galls, in green (green) and red (red) galls and ungalled leaves (blue). Each

data point is from a separate genome-wide RNA-seq sample.

(E) Simplified diagram of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Enzyme classes upregulated

in red galls are shown in purple font. The two terminal anthocyanins that generate the red

color in galls, peonidin-3,5-diglucoside and malvidin-3,5-diglucoside, are shown in bold font,

and three precursor molecules found in red galls are shown in bold italic font. Anthocyanin

names are abbreviated (dg = 3,5-diglucoside).

(F) Photos of cross-sections of green (top left) and red (top right) and the pigments extracted

from green and red galls (below).

(G) UHPLC-DAD chromatograms at 520 nm of extract from red (red line) and green (green line)

galls.

(H) Overlaid UHPLC-MS chromatograms of green (top) and red (middle) gall extracts and

authentic standards (bottom). Each pigment is indicated with a different color: green =

delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside (m/z = 627.1551); black = cyanidin-3,5-diglucoside (m/z =

611.1602); blue = petunidin-3,5-diglucoside (m/z = 641.1709); purple = peonidin-3,5-

diglucoside (m/z = 625.1768); and red = malvidin-3,5-diglucoside (m/z = 655.1870).

Anthocyanin names are abbreviated (dg = 3,5-diglucoside). Peonidin-3,5-diglucoside and

malvidin-3,5-diglucoside together account for 87% of pigment detected in red galls.

Figure 4. *bicycle* genes are salivary gland enriched transcripts of gall-associated *H. cornu*

generations

(A) Diagram of life cycle of *H. cornu*. *H. cornu* migrates annually between *H. virginiana* (blue

line) and *Betula nigra* (pink line) and the gall is produced only in the spring on *H. virginiana*

(brown line). Each nymph of the first generation (G1, the fundatrix) hatches from an over-

wintering egg and initiates development of a single gall. Her offspring (G2) feed and grow

within the gall and develop with wings, which allows them to fly to *B. nigra* in late spring. For

three subsequent generations (G3-G5) the aphids develop as small, coccidiform morphs on *B.*

nigra. In the fall, aphids develop with wings (G6), fly to *H. virginiana* plants, and deposit male

and female sexuals (G7), the only generation possessing meiotic cells. The sexuals feed and

complete development on the senescing leaves of *H. virginiana*. As adults they mate and the

females deposit eggs that overwinter and give rise to fundatrices the following spring.

(B) Differential expression of fundatrix versus sexual salivary glands with only genes

significantly upregulated in fundatrix salivary glands marked with colors, shown as a volcano

plot. Genes with and without homologs in public databases are labeled as "Annotated" (blue)

and "Not Annotated" (brown), respectively.

(C) Hierarchical clustering of unannotated salivary-gland specific genes reveals one large

cluster of *bicycle* genes, one small cluster of *CWG* genes, and many largely unique, unclustered

genes.

(D) *Bicycle* (green) and remaining unannotated (brown) genes labelled on a differential

expression volcano plot illustrates that, on average, *bicycle* genes are the most strongly

differentially expressed genes expressed specifically in fundatrix salivary glands.

(E) *Bicycle* genes are expressed at highest levels in the salivary glands of the fundatrix and

mostly decline in expression during subsequent generations. (Sample sizes: G1 (N = 20); G2 (N

= 4); G5 (N = 6); and G7 (N = 6).

(F) Amino-acid logo for predicted BICYCLE proteins. Sequence alignment used for logo was

filtered to highlight conserved positions. Most genes encode proteins with an N-terminal

signal sequence and a pair of conserved cysteine-tyrosine-cysteine motifs (CYC).

Figure 1

A	A′	B	C
1 mm	1 mm	1 mm	1 mm

D	E	F
1 mm	1 mm	5 mm

G — abaxial / adaxial — 100 µm

H — abaxial / adaxial — Gall — 10 µm

I — abaxial / adaxial — lower epidermis / spongy / palisade / upper epidermis — Leaf — 10 µm

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

Gall generations

G1 Fundatrix → G2 winged

G3

Spring

Winter **Summer** G4

Hamamelis virginiana (Witch hazel)

Betula nigra (River birch)

Egg

Fall G5

G7 Sexuals ← G6 winged

B

- Annotated
- Not Annotated
- NS

$-Log_{10} P$

FDR = 0.01
FDR = 0.05

Salivary Gland (Fundatrix – Sexual)
Fold Change (log2)

C

CWG genes

bicycle genes

D

- bicycle
- Not Annotated
- NS

$-Log_{10} P$

FDR = 0.01
FDR = 0.05

Salivary Gland (Fundatrix – Sexual)
Fold Change (log2)

E

Counts Per Million Reads

10000

1000

G1   G2   G5   G7
Generation

F

Bits

signal sequence

CYC motif

CYC motif

Acidic   Basic   Hydrophobic   Neutral   Polar