Comparative transcriptomic analysis reveals conserved transcriptional programs underpinning
organogenesis and reproduction in land plants

Authors: Irene Julca[1], Camilla Ferrari[2], María Flores-Tornero[3], Sebastian Proost[2,4,5], Ann-Cathrin
Lindner[6], Dieter Hackenberg[7,8], Lenka Steinbachová[9], Christos Michaelidis[9], Sónia Gomes Pereira[6],
Chandra Shekhar Misra[6,13], Tomokazu Kawashima[10,11], Michael Borg[10], Frédéric Berger[10], Jacob
Goldberg[12], Mark Johnson[12], David Honys[8], David Twell[7], Stefanie Sprunck[3], Thomas Dresselhaus[3], Jörg
D. Becker[6,13*], Marek Mutwil[1*]

1) School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, 60 Nanyang Drive, Singapore,
637551, Singapore

2) Max Planck Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Muehlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-Golm,
Germany

3) Cell Biology and Plant Biochemistry, University of Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31, 93053
Regensburg, Germany

4) Laboratory of Molecular Bacteriology, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute,
KU Leuven, Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

5) VIB, Center for Microbiology, Kasteelpark Arenberg 31, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

6) Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande 6, 2780-156 Oeiras, Portugal

7) Department of Genetics and Genome Biology, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester,
LE1 7RH, UK.

8) School of Life Sciences, Gibbet Hill Campus, The University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

9) Laboratory of Pollen Biology, Institute of Experimental Botany of the Czech Academy of Sciences,
Rozvojová 263, 165 02, Prague, Czech Republic

10) Gregor Mendel Institute (GMI), Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, BioCenter (VBC), Dr. Bohr-
Gasse 3, 1030 Vienna, Austria

11) Dept. of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Kentucky, 321 Plant Science Building, 1405 Veterans
Dr., Lexington, KY 40546-0312

12) Department of Molecular Biology, Cell Biology, and Biochemistry, Brown University, Providence,
RI, 02912, USA

13) Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Av. da República, 2780-
157 Oeiras, Portugal

*Corresponding authors:

Marek Mutwil (mutwil@ntu.edu.sg)

Jörg D. Becker (jbecker@igc.gulbenkian.pt)

Abstract

The evolution of plant organs, including leaves, stems, roots, and flowers, mediated the explosive

radiation of land plants, which shaped the biosphere and allowed the establishment of terrestrial animal

life. Furthermore, the fertilization products of angiosperms, seeds serve as the basis for most of our food.

The evolution of organs and immobile gametes required the coordinated acquisition of novel gene

functions, the co-option of existing genes, and the development of novel regulatory programs. However,

our knowledge of these events is limited, as no large-scale analyses of genomic and transcriptomic data

have been performed for land plants. To remedy this, we have generated gene expression atlases for

various organs and gametes of 10 plant species comprising bryophytes, vascular plants, gymnosperms,

and flowering plants. Comparative analysis of the atlases identified hundreds of organ- and gamete-

specific gene families and revealed that most of the specific transcriptomes are significantly conserved.

Interestingly, the appearance of organ-specific gene families does not coincide with the corresponding

organ's appearance, suggesting that co-option of existing genes is the main mechanism for evolving new

organs. In contrast to female gametes, male gametes showed a high number and conservation of specific

genes, suggesting that male reproduction is highly specialized. The expression atlas capturing pollen

development revealed numerous transcription factors and kinases essential for pollen biogenesis and

function. To provide easy access to the expression atlases and these comparative analyses, we provide an

online database, www.evorepro.plant.tools, that allows the exploration of expression profiles, organ-

specific genes, phylogenetic trees, co-expression networks, and others.

Introduction

The evolution of land plants has completely changed the appearance of our planet. In contrast to their

algal relatives, land plants are characterized by three-dimensional growth and the development of

complex and specialized organs. They possess a host of biochemical adaptations, including those

necessary for tolerating desiccation and UV stress encountered on land, allowing them to colonize most

terrestrial surfaces. The earliest land plants which arose ~470 million years ago [1], were speculatively

similar to extant bryophytes, possessing tiny fertile axes or an axis terminated by a sporangium[2–4]. The

innovation of shoots and leaves mediated the 10-fold expansion of vascular plants [5,6] and an 8–20-fold

atmospheric CO2 drawdown [7], which significantly shaped the Earth's geosphere and biosphere [8]. To

enable soil attachment and nutrient uptake, the first land plants only had rhizoids, filamentous structures

homologous to root hairs [9]. Roots evolved to provide increased anchorage (and thus increased height) and

enable survival in more arid environments. Parallel with innovations of vegetative cell types, land plants

evolved new reproductive structures such as spores, pollen, embryo sacs, and seeds together with the

gradual reduction of the haploid phase. In contrast to algae, mosses, and ferns that require moist habitats,

the male and female gametophytes of gymnosperms and angiosperms are strongly reduced, consisting of

only a few cells, including the gametes [10,11]. Moreover, sperm cells have lost their mobility and use pollen

grains as a protective vehicle for long-distance transport and a pollen tube for their delivery deep into

maternal reproductive tissues [12,13]. The precise interaction of plant male and female gametes, leading to

cell fusion, karyogamy, and development of both the embryo and endosperm after double fertilization has

just begun to be deciphered at the molecular level [14,15]. These anatomical innovations are mediated by

coordinated changes in gene expression and the appearance of novel genes and/or repurposing of existing

genetic material. Genes that are specifically expressed in these organs often play a major role in their

establishment and function [16,17], but the identity and conservation of these specifically-expressed genes

have not been extensively studied.

Nowadays, flowering plants comprise 90% of all land plants and serve as the basis for the terrestrial food

chain, either directly or indirectly. The use of model plants like *Arabidopsis thaliana* and maize and

technical advances allowing live-cell imaging of double fertilization have been instrumental for several

major discoveries [18,19]. When assessing current knowledge of male and female gamete development in

plants, it is evident that the male germline has been studied to a greater extent [11,20]. This is mainly due to

its accessibility and the development of methods to separate the sperm cells from the surrounding

vegetative cell of pollen, e.g. by FACS [21]. Analysis of male germline differentiation, for example, has led

to the identification of Arabidopsis *DUO POLLEN 1* (*DUO1*) and the network of genes it controls, which

include the fertilization factors, *HAP2/GCS1* and *GEX2* [22]. However, as novel genes are still being

discovered that control the development of male and female gametes [10,11] or their functions [23,24], it is clear

that our knowledge of the molecular basis of gamete formation and function is far from complete.

Current approaches to study evolution and gene function mainly use genomic data to reveal which gene

families are gained, expanded, contracted, or lost. While invaluable, genomic approaches alone might not

reveal the function of genes that show no sequence similarity to known genes[25]. To remedy this, we

combined comparative genomic approaches with newly established, comprehensive gene expression

atlases of two bryophytes (*Marchantia polymorpha, Physcomitrium patens*), a lycophyte (*Selaginella*

moellendorffii), gymnosperms (*Ginkgo biloba, Picea abies*), a basal angiosperm (*Amborella trichopoda*),

eudicots (*Arabidopsis thaliana, Solanum lycopersicum*) and monocots (*Oryza sativa, Zea mays*). We then

compared these organ-, tissue- and cell-specific genes to identify novel and missing components involved

in organogenesis and gamete development.

We show that transcriptomes of most organs are conserved across land plants and report the identity of

hundreds of organ-specific gene families. We demonstrate that the age of gene families is positively

correlated with organ-specific expression and the appearance of organ-specific gene families does not

coincide with the appearance of the corresponding organ. We observed a high number of male-specific

gene families and strong conservation of male-specific transcriptomes, while female-specific

transcriptomes showed fewer specific gene families with less conservation. Our detailed analysis of gene

expression data capturing the development of pollen revealed numerous transcription factors and kinases

potentially important for pollen biogenesis and function. Finally, we present a user-friendly, online

database www.evorepro.plant.tools, which allows the browsing and comparative analysis of the genomic

and transcriptomic data derived from sporophytic and gametophytic samples across 13 members of the

plant kingdom.

Results

Constructing gene expression atlases and identifying organ-specific genes

We constructed gene expression atlases for ten phylogenetically important species (Table 1). These

include the bryophytes *Physcomitrium patens* (*Physcomitrella*) (Fig. 1a) and *Marchantia polymorpha*

(Fig. 1b), the lycophyte *Selaginella moellendorffii*, the gymnosperms *Ginkgo biloba* and *Picea abies*, the

basal angiosperm *Amborella trichopoda*, the monocots *Oryza sativa* and *Zea mays,* and the eudicots

Arabidopsis thaliana and *Solanum lycopersicum* (Fig. 1c). The atlases were constructed by combining

publicly available RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data with 134 fastq files generated by the EVOREPRO

consortium (see Supplementary Table 1). For each species, we generated an expression matrix that

contains transcript-level abundances captured by transcript per million (TPM) values [26]. The expression

matrices capture gene expression values from the main anatomical sample types, which we grouped into

ten classes: flower, female, male, seeds, spore, leaf, stem, apical meristem, root meristem, and root (Fig.

1a-c). Furthermore, the expression data was used to construct co-expression networks and to create an

online EVOREPRO database allowing further analysis of the data (www.evorepro.plant.tools).

To identify genes expressed in the different samples, we included only those with an average TPM >2

(see methods). For all ten species, approximately 71% of their genes were expressed in at least one

structure (Supplementary Table 2). Interestingly, the male sample has a lower percentage (38%) followed

by root meristems (46%), while the other samples have between 50-60% expressed genes (Fig. 1d).

Organ- and cell-specific genes can often play a major role in the establishment and function of the organ

and cell type [16,17]. To identify such genes, we calculated the specificity measure (SPM) of each gene,

which ranges from 0 (not expressed in a sample) to 1 (expressed only in the sample). A threshold

capturing top 5% of the SPM values was used to identify the sample-specific genes for all species

(Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 3). To examine the sample-specific genes' expression

profiles, we plotted the scaled TPM values of these genes for *A. thaliana*. Visual inspection shows that

the TPM values of the sample-specific genes are in all cases highest in the samples that the genes are

specific to (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 2). For the ten species, an average of 21% of the genes were

identified as sample-specific (Supplementary Table 2). The lowest percentage was found in *P. abies*

(5%), followed by *M. polymorpha* (11%) and *P. patens* (11%), while the highest percentage was found in

A. thaliana, where 35% of the transcripts showed sample-specific expression (Supplementary Table 2).

These low and high percentages observed can be partially explained by the number of organs and cell

types that we analyzed (Supplementary Table 1).

Interestingly, we observed that the male (5.3%) and root (5.0%) samples typically contained the highest

percentage of specific genes (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Table 2). In *A. thaliana*, the higher percentage of

male-specific genes was in agreement with previous studies that showed a high specialization of the male

transcriptome [27,28]. Conversely, stem, spore, apical meristem, root meristem, flower, and female show

values lower than 3% (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Table 2). Previous studies also showed the low number of

genes mainly expressed in the female gametophyte [29,30].

Fig. 1: Expression atlases for seven land plant species. Depiction of the different organs, tissues, and cells

collected for (**a**) *P. patens* (**b**) *Marchantia polymorpha*, and (**c**) angiosperms. **d**, The percentage of genes (x-axis)

found to be expressed (defined as TPM>2) in organs (y-axis) of the different species (indicated by colored bars as in

(f)). The numbers beneath the organs (y-axis) indicate the average percentage of genes for all species. **e**, Expression

profiles of organ-specific genes from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genes are in rows, organs in columns and the genes are

sorted according to the expression profiles (e.g., flower, female). The numbers at the top of each column indicate the

total number of genes per organ. Gene expression is scaled to range from 0-1. Bars on the left of each heatmap show

the sample-specific genes and correspond to the samples on the bottom: pink - Flower, purple - Female, yellow -

Male, orange - Seeds/Spore, dark-green - Leaf, medium-green - Stem, light-green - Apical meristem, blue - Root

meristem, brown - Root. **f**, The percentage of genes with specific expression in the ten species.

Table 1. Organs, tissues, and cell types used in the expression atlases analyzed. The different species

are shown in columns, while the rows organize the organs, tissues and cell types into rows.

Organ/tissue/cell type	Marchantia	Physcomitrella	Selaginella	Gingko	Spruce	Amborella	Arabidopsis	Tomato	Rice	Maize
Flower	N/A	N/A	Strobili	Strobili, microstrobilus	N/A	Tepals, buds, opened flowers	Buds, stamen filaments, carpels, petals, stigmatic tissue, sepals	Buds, opened flowers	Buds, panicles	Tassels, ear, silk
Apical meristem	-	-	-	-	-	Apical meristem	Apical meristem	Apical meristem	Apical meristem	Apical meristem
Male	Sperm	Sperm	-	-	-	Pollen (mature, tube), sperm, microspores, generative cell	Pollen (mature, tube, bicellular, tricellular), microspore, sperm	Pollen (mature, tube), microspore, sperm cell, generative cell	Pollen (bi-, tri-cellular), microspore, sperm	Pollen (mature, tube), microspore, sperm
Female	-	-	-	Ovules	-	Ovary, egg apparatus cell	Egg cell, ovule	Ovaries, ovary walls, ovules	Ovary, ovule, egg cell	Ovary, ovule, nucellus, egg cell, embryo sac
Root	N/A	N/A	Roots, rhizophores	Roots	-	Roots	Root (apex, tip, differentiation zone, stele, elongation zone)	Root (differentiation zone, elongation zone)	Root (differentiation zone, elongation zone)	Root (tip, secondary , stele, elongation zone, maturation zone)
Root meristem	N/A	N/A	Meristematic zone	-	-	-	Meristematic and QC zone	Meristematic zone	Meristematic zone	Root (meristematic zone)
Leaf	Thallus	Leaflets	Microphyll	Leafs	Needles	Leaves	Leaves	Leaves	Leaves	Leaves
Stem	N/A	N/A	Top stem, bottom stem	Stem, xylem, cambium	Xylem, phloem, cambium	-	Stems	Stems	Stems	Stems
Seed	N/A	N/A	N/A	Kernels	-	-	Seeds (young, germinating),	Seeds (5-30 DPA)	Seeds	Seeds (mature, germinating),

							endosperm			endosperm, pericarp and aleurone
Spore	Sporeling	Spore capsule, germinating spores	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

166 To summarize, these results show that organ-specific genes represent a significant part of the

167 transcriptome, with male and root samples possessing the most specialized transcriptomes.

169 Are the transcriptomes of organs conserved across species?

Our above analysis suggests that sample-specific gene expression is widespread, and we set out to

investigate whether these patterns are conserved across species. To this end, we investigated which

samples specifically expressed similar sets of gene families (represented by orthogroups) by employing a

Jaccard distance that ranges from 0 (two samples express an identical set of sample-specific gene

families) to 1 (none of the sample-specific gene families are the same in the two samples). We expected

that if, e.g., the root-specific transcriptome is conserved across angiosperms, then Jaccard distance of root

vs. root transcriptomes (e.g., *Arabidopsis* root vs. rice root) should be lower than when comparing root vs.

non-root transcriptomes (e.g., *Arabidopsis* root vs. rice leaf).

Fig. 2: Comparison of sample-specific transcriptomes. a, Bar plot showing the Jaccard distances (y-axis) when comparing the same samples (x-axis, e.g., male-male) and one sample versus the others (e.g., male-others) for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Lower values indicate a higher similarity of the transcriptomes. **b**, Significantly similar transcriptomes are indicated by blue cells (light blue p<0.05 and dark blue p<0.01). Species are indicated by the mnemonic: PHYPA - *P. patens*, MARPO - *Marchantia polymorpha*, SELML - *Selaginella moellendorffii*, GINBI - *Ginkgo biloba*, PICAB - *Picea abies*, AMBTC - *Amborella trichopoda*, ORYSA - *Oryza sativa*, MAIZE - *Zea mays*, ARATH - *Arabidopsis thaliana*, SOLLC - *Solanum lycopersicum*. **c**, Heatmap showing the significant (p-value < 0.05) functional enrichment (orange cell) or depletion (blue cell) in the ten sample classes (y-axis) in at least 50% species. The heatmap indicates Mapman bins (photosynthesis-not assigned), transcription factors, and kinases.

The analysis revealed that *Arabidopsis* flower-, male-, seeds-, stem- and root-specific transcriptomes were

significantly more similar to the corresponding sample in the other species (p-value < 0.05, Fig. 2a).

When performing the analysis for all ten species, we observed that root, male, and seeds expressed

specifically similar gene families in all species with the samples (7 species for root, 7 for male, and 5 for

seeds) and for other organs, some species show significance, flowers (5 out of 7 species with flower

samples), female (2 out of 6), leaf (7 out of 10), stem (5 out of 7), apical meristem (4 out of 5), root

meristem (4 out of 5) (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 3). Conversely, spore (0 out of 2) samples did not

show similar transcriptomes across *Marchantia* and *Physcomitrella* (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 3). We

also performed clustering analysis between all pairs of sample-specific genes in the ten species and

observed root-, seed-, flower, leaf-, meristem- and male-specific clusters (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Interestingly, the male samples in *Physcomitrella* and *Marchantia* formed a distinctive cluster

(Supplementary Fig. 4), suggesting that flagellated sperm of bryophytes employ a unique male

transcriptional program compared with non-motile sperm of angiosperms.

To reveal which biological processes are preferentially expressed in the different samples across the ten

species, we performed a functional enrichment analysis of Mapman bins, transcription factors, and

kinases (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. 5). The analysis revealed that many functions were depleted in male

and root samples in at least 50% of the species, indicating that most male and roots' cellular processes

were significantly repressed (p-value < 0.05, Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. 5). As expected, genes

associated with photosynthesis were enriched in leaves but depleted in roots, root meristems, and male

samples. Genes expressed in roots were enriched in solute transport functions, enzyme classification

(enzymes not associated with other processes), RNA biosynthesis, secondary metabolism, phytohormone

action, and cell wall organization (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, female and male reproductive cells were

enriched for 'not assigned' bin, indicating that these organs are enriched for genes with unknown

functions.

Since the sample-specific genes (Supplementary Table 3) are likely important for the formation and

function of the organ, we investigated sample-specific transcription factors (Supplementary Table 4) and

receptor kinases (Supplementary Table 5). An enrichment analysis of transcription factors (69 families)

and kinases (142 families) showed that apical meristem and root samples were highly enriched in

transcription factors, while male and apical meristem were enriched for kinases (Fig. 2c). In apical

meristems, some of the enriched transcription factor families (C2C2-YABBY, GRF) were associated with

the regulation, development, and differentiation of meristem [31,32]. In roots, the enriched transcription

factors (MYB, bHLH, WRKY, NAC) are related to biotic and abiotic stress response and root

development [33–37]. These sample-specific genes are thus prime candidates for further functional analysis

(Supplementary Table 5).

Phylostratigraphic analysis of sample-specific gene families

Organs, such as seeds and flowers, appeared at a specific time in plant evolution. To investigate whether

there is a link between gene families' appearance and their expression patterns, we used the proteomes of

23 phylogenetically important species and a derived species tree based on One Thousand Plant

Transcriptomes Initiative (2019). Each orthogroup was placed to one node (phylostrata) of the species

tree, where node 1 indicated the oldest phylostratum, and node 23 indicated the youngest, species-specific

phylostratum (Supplementary Table 6). A total of 131,623 orthogroups were identified in the 23

Archaeplastida, of which 113,315 (86%) were species-specific, and the remaining 18,308 (14%) were

assigned to internal nodes. Of these internal node orthogroups, most were ancestral (24% - node 1, 10% -

node 3), belonged to streptophytes (7%, node 6), land plants (7%, node 8), seed plants (10%, node 13),

monocots (0.3%, node 18), or eudicots (1%, node 19) (Fig. 3a). Analysis of phylostrata in each species

revealed a similar distribution of the orthogroups, with most of them belonging to node 1 (~34%) or being

species-specific (~31%, Supplementary Fig. 6).

To investigate whether the different phylostrata show different expression trends, we surveyed

orthogroups that contain at least two species with RNA-seq data, which resulted in 37,887 (29% of the

total number of orthogroups) meeting this criterion. Then, each orthogroup was assigned to different

expression profiles: ubiquitous (not specific in any organ), not conserved (e.g., root-specific in one

species, flower-specific in others), or organ-specific (for details see material and methods, Supplementary

Table 6). The majority of the orthogroups in internal nodes (not species-specific) of the phylogenetic tree

were assigned as ubiquitous (9,416), which corresponded to orthogroups that showed broad and not

organ-specific expression (Fig. 3b). Interestingly, we observed a clear pattern of gene families becoming

increasingly organ-specific as phylostratigraphic age decreased (<5% specific genes in node 1, vs. ~25%

in node 13), indicating that younger gene families are recruited to specific organs (Fig. 3b).

Fig. 3: Genomic analysis of sample-specificity of gene families. a, Species tree of the 23 species for which we

have inferred orthogroups. Species in red are the ones with transcriptomic data available. Blue numbers in the nodes

indicate the node number (e.g., 1: node 1). The tree's red circles show the percentage of orthogroups found at each

node (largest: node 1 - 24% of all orthogroups, smallest: node 21 - 0.1%). **b**, Percentage of expression profile types

of orthogroups per node. The expression profile types are: ubiquitous (light gray, orthogroup is not organ-specific),

not conserved (light blue, organ-specificity not conserved in different species), or sample-specific (e.g., brown: root-

specific). **c**, Percentage of phylostrata (nodes) within the different expression profile types. **d**, Transcriptome age

index (TAI) of the different sample-specific genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The boxplots show the TAI values (y-

axis) in the different organs (x-axis), where a high TAI value indicates that the sample expresses a high number of

younger genes. **e**, Summary of the average TAI value in the ten species. The organs are shown in rows, while the

species are shown in columns. The TAI values were scaled to 1 for each species by dividing values in a column with

the highest column value.

Next, we identified sample-specific gene families and investigated when they appeared during plant

evolution. The number of gene families in internal nodes per sample varied from 12 (spore) to 228 (root),

and we observed trends of samples across the internal nodes. In general, many organ-specific orthogroups

were present in nodes corresponding to monocots (Node 18, 20, 22). Expectedly, the 9,416 ubiquitous

orthogroups were mostly of ancient (node 1-7) origin, suggesting that these old gene families tend to

show a broader expression. The nonconserved groups had both old and more recent gene families. From

the organ-specific families, leaves and spores were the groups containing more ancient families, while

meristems had younger families. Flower, root, seeds, stem had few older families. Interestingly, when we

compared male and female groups, we observed that the male-specific orthogroups had older gene

families than the female-specific orthogroups (Fig. 3c).

Several studies revealed that new genes in animals tend to be preferentially expressed in male

reproductive tissues, such as testis [38–40]. Similar observations have been made in Arabidopsis, rice, and

soybean [41], where new genes were predominantly expressed in male reproductive cells [42], suggesting that

these cells may act as an "innovation incubator" for the birth of *de novo* genes. Our gene expression data

also revealed that male samples possess the youngest transcriptome in Arabidopsis (Fig. 3d, yellow bar),

and in the male samples of *M. polymorpha, A. trichopoda, Z. mays, O. sativa, S. lycopersicum,* but not in

P. patens (Fig. 3e, dark-blue cells for male, Supplementary Fig. 7). With the unclear exception in

Physcomitrella, we conclude that the observation that male samples express young genes is robust in the

plant kingdom. However, pollen also expresses a substantial portion of old genes (species nodes 1-7 in

Figure 3c), probably representing an old transcription program present in gametes in Archaeplastida.

Phylostratigraphic and gene expression analysis reveals that co-option drives the evolution of

organs

The evolution of land plants involved many major innovations mediated by gains and losses of gene

families and co-option of existing gene functions. Most of the changes are related to land adaptations

comprising requirements for structural support, uptake of water, prevention of desiccation and gas

exchange [43]. To better understand this complex process, we first analyzed the enrichment/depletion of

organ-specific and ubiquitous genes in each node of the species tree (Supplementary Table 7). In line with

previous results (Figure 3b), ubiquitous genes were enriched for genes that appeared before the

divergence of land plants and depleted for genes that appeared when plants colonized land (node 8, Fig.

4a). In line with the basal function (photosynthesis) of leaves, leaf-specific genes were enriched in

ancestral nodes and the species-specific nodes of *M. polymorpha* (thallus samples) and *S. moellendorffii*

(microphyll), and depleted in species-specific nodes of the seed plants (Fig. 4a).

Leaf-specific gene families were acquired mainly in two ancestral nodes, before the divergence of land

plants and before the divergence of seed plants (Fig. 4b). Most of the gene families were gained in node 1

(34 families, Supplementary Table 8). Leaves have multiple origins in land plants [44,45], however, the

programs for oxygenic photosynthesis originated in ancient organisms [46]. In agreement, before the

divergence of land plants, we observed enrichment for functions related to photosynthesis (<N8), and

after the divergence of land plants, we detected enrichment for additional functions such as external

stimuli response, cytoskeleton organization, phytohormone action, and protein modification

(Supplementary Table 9).

Fig. 4: Evolutionary analysis of organs. a, Enrichment and depletion of organ-specific genes per node in the species tree (nodes are the same as in Fig. 3a). The colors correspond with the number of species showing enrichment in each case (dark red: all species show enrichment, dark blue: all species show depletion). **b**, Cladograms of the main lineages showing gain (in red) and loss (blue) of gene families with ubiquitous and sample-specific expression profiles.

Interestingly, stem-, root-, and flower-specific genes shared a similar pattern and appeared to be enriched

in nodes 4-8, 10-13, 15, and 20, and depleted in the species-specific nodes of vascular plants, except for

P. abies for stems and *S. moellendorffii* for flowers. Although the origins(s) of roots, stems, and flowers

are associated with vascular plants [47–49], we observed gene family expansions before the divergence of

land plants (Fig. 4b) and in nodes as old as node 3 (2 orthogroups) for stems, node 1 (1 orthogroup) for

roots, and node 3 (1 orthogroup) for flowers (Supplementary Table 8). Previous studies suggested that the

evolution of novel morphologies was mainly driven by the reassembly and reuse of pre-existing genetic

mechanisms [45,50]. It was indicated that primitive root programs may have been present before the

divergence of lycophytes and euphyllophytes [51]. Also, before the divergence of charophytes from land

plants, an ancestral origin was proposed for the SVP subfamily, which plays a crucial role in the control

of flower development [52,53]. A recent study has shown that a moss (*Polytrichum commune*) possesses a

vascular system functionally comparable to that of vascular plants [54]. These results support the idea that

primitive stem-, root-, and flower-specific gene families existed prior to vascular plants' divergence. After

the divergence of land plants, we can observe that there is incremental gene family gain in monocots for

all three organs (roots, stems, flowers, Fig. 4b, indicated by red nodes), and also to a lesser extent in the

ancestral node of seed plants. Specifically, for stem, we observed more gains in gymnosperms and more

losses in eudicots. Functional enrichment analysis supports only enrichment in nodes corresponding to

land plants (>N8) and not in older nodes (Supplementary Table 9).

Apical and root meristem-specific genes appeared enriched in ancestral nodes and depleted in species-

specific nodes, with the exception of apical meristem in monocots that are enriched (Fig. 4a). The

analysis of gain/loss of gene families showed that many apical meristem-specific orthogroups were

gained in seed plants and monocots and lost in eudicots. For root meristem-specific gene families we

observed that many orthogroups were gained in monocots (Fig. 4b). Functional enrichment analysis for

apical meristem-specific gene families shows enrichment of unknown functions in nodes N19 and N20,

and for root meristem-specific gene families shows enrichment for phytohormone action in N8 and

protein modification in N15 (Supplementary Table 9).

Seed-specific genes were enriched only in nodes of land plants. The nodes that showed enrichment were

N10 (vascular plants), N18 and N20 (monocots), and species-specific nodes with the exception of *O.*

sativa, which showed depletion of this set of genes. Some seed-specific families were gained before the

divergence of land plants, but interestingly the higher number of gains was observed in N20 (monocots -

39 gene families), followed by N14 (gymnosperms - 15), N13 (seed plants - 11), and N19 (eudicots - 10)

(see Fig. 4b, Supplementary Table 8). Enrichment of functions related to solute transport was observed

only in eudicots (N19, Supplementary Table 9).

Spore-specific genes were enriched only in the species-specific nodes of bryophytes (Fig. 4a). However,

gene family gains were observed in ancestral nodes (N4, N6, N8, N9, see Supplementary Table 8) and

lipid metabolism enrichment only in the node ancestral to bryophytes (N9, Supplementary Table 9).

Male-specific genes were enriched in angiosperms (N15), monocots (N20), eudicots (N19, N21), and

species-specific nodes, while female-specific genes were enriched only in monocots (N18, N22), eudicots

(N19), and species-specific nodes (Fig. 4a). Additional male-specific families were gained in older nodes

than female-specific families (intensity of the red color in the ancestral node of land plants, Fig. 4b). For

male gene families, we observed six waves of gains (>15 gene families) in nodes N3, N8 (land plants),

N13 (seed plants), N15 (angiosperms), N19 (eudicots), N20 (monocots). From these nodes, parallel to

gains, we also observed many losses (>=10 gene families) in three nodes N13 (seed plants), N15

(angiosperms), and N19 (eudicots) (Supplementary Table 8). For female-specific families, we observed

three main waves of gains (>10 gene families) in nodes N13 (seed plants), N14 (gymnosperms), N20

(monocots), and different waves of losses (Supplementary Table 8). Male gene families showed

enrichment for protein modification, enzyme classification, RNA biosynthesis, cell cycle organization,

phytohormone action, and female gene families showed enrichment only for RNA biosynthesis

(Supplementary Table 9). Considering gains and losses of gene families, male-specific families were

gained mainly in the node ancestral to land plants, and in monocots, and for female-specific families in

seed plants and gymnosperms (Fig. 4b).

In summary, the genetic programs for organ-specific genes are present in older nodes, before the

divergence of land plants. Monocots seem to be the group with more gene family gains, which is in

agreement with previous studies [55].

Comparisons of transcriptional programs of gametes

Sexual reproduction is a complex process. In diploid flowering plants involves the production of haploid

male and female gametes and fertilization of the female ovule by male gametes mediated by pollination

(Fig. 5a). The pollen delivers the sperm cell(s) to the ovary by a pollen tube, and the fertilized ovules

grow into seeds within a fruit (Fig. 5a). The two haploid bryophytes in our study differ in their sexual

reproduction. *Physcomitrella* is monoicous and bears both sperm and eggs on one individual (Fig. 5b),

and *Marchantia* is dioicous and bears only egg or sperm, but never both (Fig. 5c). However, both species

produce motile sperm that require water droplets to fertilize the egg, generating diploid zygotes. The

zygotes divide by mitosis and grow into a diploid sporophyte. The sporophyte eventually produces

specialized cells that undergo meiosis and produce haploid spores, which are released and germinate to

produce haploid gametophytes (Fig. 5b,c).

To further study whether the transcriptional programs of sexual reproduction are conserved in land plants,

we applied k-means clustering on the male- and female-specific genes over the RNA-seq samples

representing different samples of male and female organs (Supplementary Table 1). For male-specific

genes, the analysis assigned each sample to one or more clusters (Fig. 5d exemplifies male samples in

Arabidopsis (for other species, see Supplementary Fig. 8), with a variable number of genes assigned to

each cluster (Supplementary Table 10). We then inferred which biological processes were enriched in the

clusters (Fig. 5e), plotted an average expression profile of the genes in each cluster (Fig. 5f), and used

Jaccard distance to identify similar clusters across species (Fig. 5g). Interestingly, three clusters showed

strong similarity and were specific to pollen tricellular, mature pollen, and pollen tube for Angiosperms

(Fig. 5g, indicated by red lines). Functional enrichment analysis revealed that pollen tricellular, mature

pollen, and pollen tube samples were mainly enriched for cell wall organization, cytoskeletal

organization, multi-process regulation, and protein modification (supported by five species, Fig. 5e).

Conversely, other clusters showed enrichment for genes without assigned functions, and depletion for

many biological processes (Fig. 5e).

Fig. 5: Comparison of male development across species. Overview of sexual reproduction in (**a**) Angiosperms, (**b**) *Phycomitrella*, and (**c**) *Marchantia*. **d**, Heatmaps showing the expression of male samples genes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genes are in columns, sample names in rows. Gene expression is scaled to range between 0-1. Darker

color corresponds to stronger gene expression. Bars to the bottom indicate the k-means clusters. **e**, Heatmap showing

enrichment (orange) and depletion (blue) of functions in the found clusters. Light colors: p<0.05, dark colors: p<

0.01. **f**, Heatmap showing the average normalized TPM value per cluster for all the species. **g**, Clustermap is

showing the Jaccard distance between pairs of clusters of all the species.

Female samples included were less diverse than male samples. In all species, each sample was assigned to

a cluster with exception of *O. sativa*, where ovule is divided into two clusters (Supplementary Fig. 9,

Supplementary Table 11). Interestingly, when we measured the Jaccard distance among all clusters

(including the species with one female sample), we observed no grouping of similar clusters, indicating

that the female gamete transcriptomes were poorly conserved (Supplementary Fig. 9). Functional

enrichment analysis showed enrichment mainly for not assigned functions and RNA processing, and

depletion for many biological processes (Supplementary Fig. 9). The *G. biloba* ovule cluster (GINBI-0,

ovule) showed enrichment for many functions, but ovule samples of other species did not support this

observation. Despite the small number of samples included these results provide evidence that female

gamete transcriptomes are poorly conserved across the different species analyzed.

Analysis of signaling networks underpinning male gametophyte development and function

Gene co-expression networks help to identify sets of genes involved in related biological processes and

highlight regulatory relationships [56,57]. Since we identified different gene clusters for male sub-samples

(see above), we decided to test whether the genes assigned to different clusters are co-expressed. For this

purpose, we reconstructed the co-expression networks of the ten species and analyzed whether the

number of observed connections was similar to the number of expected connections (see material and

methods). Interestingly, the clusters with expression profiles related to sperm had the least number of

connections with other clusters for *O. sativa*, *Z. mays*, *A. trichopoda,* and *A. thaliana* (Fig. 6a). However,

this pattern was not clear in *S. lycopersicum*, where the sperm cluster had connections with the cluster of

generative cells. Specifically, for *A. thaliana* the co-expression network revealed that cluster C5 (sperm)

is not well connected with other clusters (Fig. 6b), suggesting that the sperm cell transcriptome is

distinctive, confirming earlier observations [58–61]. The connections between clusters followed a pattern

from cluster C0 to C4, which highlighted the interaction of genes among the different developmental

stages of male gametogenesis. The number of transcription factors and kinases present in the co-

expression network changed among the different clusters, where transcription factors seemed to be more

abundant in cluster C0 (microspore), while kinases were more abundant in cluster C3 (mature pollen)

(Fig. 6b, indicated by the sizes of rectangles, Supplementary Table 12).

Transcription factors and kinases are regulatory proteins essential for plant growth and development. To

uncover the regulatory mechanism underlying male gametogenesis, we analyzed all the predicted

transcription factors and kinases in all the male clusters of *A. thaliana*. First, we searched for all the

transcription factors and kinases present in the five clusters that have been characterized using

experimental studies with mutants (Supplementary Table 13). Then we classified the effect of each

mutant gene as follows: no effect related to male gametogenesis (none), no experimentally described

function (unknown), and important for microspore, bicellular, mature pollen, pollen tube, and sperm

function. Interestingly, most of the genes are described as unknown (Fig. 6c), indicating no experiments

associated with those genes. It is important to note that the genes classified as 'none' have been found to

have an effect in other organs, but since pollen phenotype can be easily missed, this does not rule out the

possibility of these genes being associated with male development. Also, many of those genes show

effects in roots, and it has been shown that some genes are active during tip growth of root hairs and

pollen tubes [62]. We observed that the transcription factors were important at different stages of male

development, with main phenotypes affecting pollen tube and sperm function. Conversely, kinases only

showed an effect on pollen tubes, which is in line with their intercellular communication involvement.

Interestingly, we observed that genes present in the pollen tube cluster (ARATH-4) only affected pollen

tube function, but pollen tube function can also be affected by genes from earlier stages of pollen

development (ARATH1-3). In the case of sperm function, transcription factors expressed in tricellular

pollen have the greatest effect, but we also observed the involvement of genes expressed in microspore,

mature pollen and sperm (Fig. 6c).

Fig. 6: A network analysis of male clusters. a, Heatmaps show the number of observed connections divided by the

number of expected connections. Darker colors indicate more connections between clusters. **b**, *A. thaliana* co-

expression network clusters showing the edges between the different clusters (indicated as ARATH-0-5). The size of

the panels indicate the number of genes in each cluster. Transcription factors, kinases, and other genes are shown in

red, yellow, and blue, respectively. **c**, Percentage of genes of each *A. thaliana* male cluster. The colors indicate the

different stages of male development that a given gene is known to be involved in. For example, the majority of

transcription factors in cluster ARATH-4 (highest expression in the pollen tube, Fig. 5f) are important for pollen
tube growth (green bars).

Comparative gene expression analyses with the EVOREPRO database

To provide easy access to the data and analyses generated by our consortium, we have constructed an
online database available at www.evorepro.plant.tools. The database is preloaded with the expression data
used in this study and also includes *Vitis vinifera* (eudicot, grapevine), *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*
(chlorophyte), and *Cyanophora paradoxa* (glaucophyte), bringing the total number of species to 13. The
database can be queried with gene identifiers and sequences but also allows sophisticated, comparative
analyses.

To showcase a typical user scenario, we identified genes specifically expressed in male organs (defined
as, e.g., >35% reads of a gene expressed in male organs for Arabidopsis, Supplemental Figure 1). This
can be accomplished for one (https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/search/specific/profiles) or two
(https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/specificity_comparison/) species, where the latter option can reveal
specific expression profiles that are conserved across species (Fig. 7a). For this example, we selected
Arabidopsis and Amborella as species A and B from the drop-down menus, respectively, and used gene
families comprising only land plants, which uses all species found under node 8 in the species tree (Fig.
3a). Alternatively, the user can also select gene families constructed with seed plants (11 species found
under node 13, Fig. 3a) or archaeplastida (23 species found under node 1, Fig. 3a) sequences. Next, to
select male organs for comparisons, we specified 'Tissue specificity' and 'Male' as a method to group the
RNA-seq samples according to the definitions in Table 1. The slider near 'SPM cutoff' allows the user to
adjust the SPM value (the slider ranges from SPM 0.5 to 1), which interactively reveals many genes are
deemed organ-specific at a given SPM value cutoff. We left the slider at the default value (0.85) and
clicked on the 'Compare specificities' button. The analysis revealed that 319 gene families are expressed
specifically in the male organs of both Amborella and Arabidopsis (Fig. 7b), while the table below

showed the identity of the genes and gene families (Fig. 7c, Table S15). Interestingly, among the

conserved genes, we observed *GCS1/HAP2*, which is required for pollen tube guidance and fertilization

[63]. The table also contains links that redirect the user to pages dedicated to the genes and gene families.

For example, clicking on the Arabidopsis *GCS1/HAP2* gene identifier redirects the user to a gene page

containing the DNA/protein sequences (https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/sequence/view/17946), expression

profile (Fig. 7d), gene family, co-expression network, and Gene Ontology functional enrichment analysis

of the gene [64]. As expected, the interactive, exportable expression profiles confirmed that the Arabidopsis

GCS1/HAP2 and the Amborella ortholog (https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/sequence/view/45084, Fig. 7e)

are male-specific, with the highest expression in sperm and pollen. Clicking on the gene family identifier

(OG_05_0008081)   redirects   to   the   gene   family   page

(https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/family/view/139708), which among others, contains an interactive

phylogenetic tree (Fig. 7f, https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/tree/view/88288) and heatmap (Fig 7g,

https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/heatmap/comparative/tree/88288/row) showcasing the male- enriched

expression profiles for most of the genes in this family. Therefore, this approach can be used to identify

conserved, organ-specific genes across two species and study family-wide expression patterns.

494 **Fig. 7: Features of the EVOREPRO database. a**, Compare specificities tool. The dropdown menus allow selection

495 of the species, gene families, organs, tissues, cell types, and SPM value cutoffs. The analysis is started by clicking

496 on the 'Compare specificity' button. **b.** The Venn diagram shows the number of unique and common gene families

497 of male-specific genes in Arabidopsis and Amborella. The default SPM value cutoff of 0.85 was used for both

498 species. **c.** The table shows the identity of genes and gene families (first column) that are specifically expressed in

499 male organs of Arabidopsis (second column) and Amborella (third column). Each row contains a gene family, and

500 each cell can contain multiple comma-separated genes. Red triangles containing exclamation marks indicate genes

501 with low expression (<10TPM). **d.** Expression profile of *GCS1* from Arabidopsis. The colored columns indicate the

502 average expression values in the different samples, while gray points indicate the minimum and maximum

503 expression values. The y-axis indicates the TPM value. **e.** Expression profile of *GCS1*-like gene from Amborella

504 (*AMTR_s00069p00106210*). For clarity, the gray point indicating the maximum value in the sperm sample is

505 omitted. **f.** Phylogenetic tree of the gene family OG_05_0008081 representing *GCS1*. The branches represent genes

506 that are color-coded by species. The heatmap to the right of the gene identifiers indicates the scaled expression

values in the major organ and cell types and ranges from low (yellow) to high (dark blue). Genes with TPM < 10 are

indicated by filled red points, while the maximum gene expression is indicated by a blue bar to the right. **g.** Heatmap

indicating the low (green) and high (red) expression of the *GCS1* gene family. **h.** Comparative analysis of co-

expression clusters significantly (P<0.05) enriched for 'pollen tube' gene ontology term in Arabidopsis (cluster 13,

left) and Amborella (cluster 39, right). Nodes indicate genes, while solid gray and dashed blue edges connect co-

expressed and orthologous genes, respectively. We used 'label co-occurrences' as node options. For clarity, only

part of each cluster is shown**.**

Alternatively, the database can be used to identify conserved co-expression clusters of functionally

enriched genes. To demonstrate this tool, we navigated to

https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/search/enriched/clusters and entered 'pollen' into GO text box, selected

'pollen tube' as query and clicked on 'Show clusters'. The analysis revealed 5 co-expressed clusters

significantly (P<0.05) enriched for 'pollen tube' gene ontology term in Arabidopsis. We clicked on one of

the clusters (cluster 13, https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/cluster/view/113), redirecting us to a page

dedicated to the cluster. As expected, the cluster is significantly (P<0.05) enriched for genes involved in

pollen tube growth, cell wall organization and kinase activity, which are processes required to expand and

direct the pollen tube to the ovule. The page contains the identity of the 152 genes found in this cluster,

their average expression profiles, co-expression network

(https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/cluster/graph/113), and gene families and protein domains found in the

cluster.

Furthermore, a table labeled 'Similar Clusters' reveals the identity of similar (defined by Jaccard index,

see methods) co-expression clusters in other species, which can be used to identify functionally

equivalent clusters across species rapidly. To exemplify this, we first clicked on 'Jaccard index' table

header to sort the similar clusters and clicked on the 'Compare' link next to Cluster 39 from Amborella

(https://evorepro.sbs.ntu.edu.sg/graph_comparison/cluster/113/769/1). This redirected us to a co-

expression network page showing the genes (nodes), co-expression relationships (gray edges), and

orthologous genes (colored shapes of nodes connected by dashed edges) conserved in the two clusters.

The analysis revealed many conserved genes essential for pollen function, such as *ANX2* [65], *BUPS2*

(*At2g21480*) [66], *PI4K Gamma-1* [67], *PTEN1* [68], *RIC1* [69], and *ATM1* [70]. To conclude, this approach can be

used to uncover functionally equivalent, conserved transcriptional programs.

Discussion

To study the evolution of plant organs and gametes, we have generated and analyzed gene expression for

ten land plants, comprising representatives of bryophytes, lycophytes, gymnosperms, basal angiosperms,

monocots and eudicots. Our analyses' main advantage is that the conclusions are drawn from comparative

analyses of ten species, which cover the largest collection of representatives of land plants. The

comparative analysis revealed that each organ type typically expressed >50% of genes, with the exception

of the male gametes, which showed expression of ~38% of genes, on average (Figure 1D). Conversely,

male gametes and roots showed the highest number (5.3% and 5.0%, respectively) of specifically

expressed genes (Figure 1F), suggesting that these non-photosynthesizing cell types and tissues are highly

unique and specialized.

With the surprising exception of female gametes, the corresponding transcriptomes tend to be more

similar across the analyzed samples (Figure 2D, Figure S3, Figure S4). Another exception is seen in the

leaf-like organs of bryophytes (leaflets and thallus for *Physcomitrella* and *Marchantia*, respectively),

indicating that these organs have evolved independently from the leaves of flowering plants or that they

have significantly diverged since the last common ancestor of flowering plants and bryophytes.

Next, we examined expression patterns of expressed gene families as a function of their age. We report a

clear trend of older gene families having more ubiquitous (i.e., less organ-specific) expression, while

younger gene families show an increasingly higher proportion of organ-specific expression (Figure 3b-c).

This indicates that newly-acquired genes are typically recruited to perform some specialized function in a

plant organ, tissue, or cell type, rather than being integrated into fundamental biological pathways. As

expected, male gametes show the highest expression of the youngest genes (Figure 3d-e, Figure S7),

which is in line with previous studies [42,71]. Interestingly, *Physcomitrella* gametes did not show this

pattern, which is a finding that warrants further studies.

To study how new functions were gained or lost as the organs and gametes evolved, we studied which

phylostrata are enriched or depleted in the different organs (Figure 4a). Interestingly, we observe a

significant enrichment for gene families that appeared long before the corresponding organ (Figure 4a),

showing that the establishment of organs relies heavily on the co-option of existing genetic material, as

suggested previously [45,50]. Flowers (appearance in angiosperms), stems (appearance in vascular plants)

and roots (appearance in vascular/seed plants) show similar patterns of enrichment and depletion of genes

(Fig. 4a). This is surprising, as these organs appeared at different stages of plant evolution, which

suggests that the co-option underlying the establishment of novel organs follows a similar pattern of gene

gains and losses. Based on the diverse patterns of gains and losses of organ-specific gene families (Figure

4b) we conclude that monocot-specific families show substantial net gains in genes that are specifically

expressed in male gametes, seeds, stems, roots or in apical and root meristems (Figure 4b), suggesting

that during monocots evolution organ-specific transcriptomes was enriched with novel functions.

Surprisingly, eudicots show an opposite pattern, exhibiting more net losses of organ-specific families in

flowers, female and male gametes, leaves, stems, roots, and apical meristems (Figure 4b). This surprising

pattern of loss of functions in eudicots merits investigation by further analysis, which is made possible by

identifying the corresponding gene families (Table S8) and genes (Table S6).

Our comparative analysis of male gamete development reveals that transcriptional programs of mature

pollen form well-defined clusters and are thus conserved across species (Figure 5f-g). The mature pollen

clusters are enriched for processes related to signaling (protein modification comprising protein kinases)

and cell wall remodeling (Figure 5e), which are likely representing processes mediating pollen

germination, pollen tube growth, and sperm cell delivery. Conversely, the earlier stages of male gamete

development showed less defined clusters and enrichment for genes with unknown function (bin 'not

assigned', Figure 5e), suggesting that the processes taking place in the early stages of pollen development

are yet to be uncovered. Furthermore, the female gametes show poor clustering, indicating overall low

conservation of the transcriptional programs and enrichment of genes with unknown function for most

clusters (Figure S9c). These results indicate that genes expressed during early male gamete and female

gamete formation warrant closer functional analysis, which is now made possible by our identification of

these genes (Table S10-11). Of particular interest are the male-specific transcription factors and kinases

that we identified (Figure 6c), assumingly involved in various stages of pollen development and function

(Table S13). As a large fraction of these genes are not yet characterized, their involvement in male

gametogenesis and function should be further investigated.

To provide easy access to the 13 expression atlases, organ-specific genes, functional enrichment analyses,

co-expression networks, and various comparative tools, we provide the EVOREPRO database

(www.evorepro.plant.tools) to the community (Figure 7). This database represents a valuable resource for

further study and validation of key genes involved in organogenesis and land plants reproduction.

Methods

Physcomitrella growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Plant growth

The Gransden wild-type strain from *P. patens* Bruch & Schimp [72] was used for this study. To initiate

plant growth and culture, 3 mature sporophytes were sterilized using a 5% commercial bleach solution for

5 minutes and rinsed twice in molecular grade water. Sterilized sporophytes were then broken using a

pipette tip and diluted into 5mL molecular grade water. Spore containing solution was then distributed

into 4 sterile peat pellets (Jiffy-7, Jiffy Products International) and two 9 cm Petri dishes containing

KNOPS medium (Reski and Abel, 1985) supplemented with 0.5 g/l ammonium tartrate dibasic (Sigma-

Aldrich Co). Petri dishes were kept at 25°C, 50% humidity, and 16 h light (light intensity 80 μlum/m/s).

Protonema samples were collected 10 days after spore germination.

Plants in Phytatray™ II (Sigma-Aldrich Co) containing 4 sterile peat pellets (Jiffy-7, Jiffy Products

International) were grown for 6-8 weeks at 25°C, 50% humidity, and 16 h light (light intensity 80

μlum/m/s). Water was supplied to the bottom of each box. Leave samples were collected after 6 weeks,

prior to induction of gametangia development. For gametangia and sporophyte development, water was

again supplied to the bottom of each box containing four pellets and were transferred to 17°C, 8 h light,

and 50% humidity (light intensity 50 μlum/m/s) to induce the development of reproductive structures [73].

Gametangia samples (archegonia, paraphysis and sperm cell packages) were collected 15 days after

reproductive induction. Antheridia samples were collected at several time points during their

development. Further development of the sporophyte was conducted under these conditions and

sporophyte samples were collected at different time points during sporophyte development. S1

sporophytes were collected 7 days after sperm cell (SC) release, S2 sporophytes 15 days after SC release,

S3 sporophytes 20 days after SC release (green spore capsules) and SM samples 28 days after SC release

(brown spore capsules).

Sample preparation and sequencing

Leaves, protonema and sporophytes were collected under a stereoscope using tweezers, placed in 2.5 uL

of RLT+ buffer (Qiagen), and shock frozen in liquid nitrogen. Before RNA-seq library preparation, these

samples were mechanically disrupted using sterile pellet pestles (Z359947, Sigma-Aldrich Co).

Antheridia, archegonia, paraphysis and sperm cell packages were collected using a Yokogawa CSU-W

Spinning Disk confocal with 10x 0.25NA objective, using the brightfield channel and an Andor Zyla 4.2

sCMOS camera. For each of these samples the plants were prepared under a stereoscope, isolating the

whole gametangia for ca. 10 shoots. They were placed in 20 uL of molecular grade water on a glass slide.

Using a cover slip the gametangia were disrupted into individual antheridia by applying slight pressure.

Slides were placed under a microscope and specific organs were identified and collected, using an

Eppendorf CellTram® Air/Oil/vario micromanipulator with glass capillaries (borosilicate glass with fire

polished ends, without filament GB100-9P) pulled with a Narishige PC-10 puller. Then they were

transferred to another clean slide, and subsequently excessive liquid containing possible contaminations,

such as cell debris, was removed. For paraphysis samples 8-15 individual paraphysis were collected

directly into 2 uL of RLT+ buffer and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. For antheridia samples 5 to 20

individual antheridia of each specific stage (9 to 15 days after induction, distinguished by size) were

collected and then burst under a microscope by applying pressure on a cover slip applied to the samples

on the slide. The slide was washed with 4 uL of RLT+ buffer and the buffer transferred into a PCR tube,

subsequently flash frozen. Archegonia samples were prepared from 3-5 archegonia following the same

procedure. Released sperm cell packages (2-5 per sample) were collected from gametangia preparations

(as described above; antheridia 15 days after induction) without clean up, transferred into a tube with 2 uL

of RLT+ buffer, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently used for RNA-seq library preparation.

RNA-seq library preparation for all samples was performed as described in [74], with the addition of mixing

the PCR tubes on a Thermomixer C (Eppendorf) every 15 minutes at 200 rpm for 1 min during the RT

step. Libraries were sequenced on a NextSeq500 instrument with single-end 75 bp read length (SE75).

Marchantia growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Male accession of *Marchantia polymorpha* L., Takaragaike (Tak)-1 was grown on vermiculite under a

long-day condition (16/8 h day/night) at 22 °C. To induce sexual reproduction, thalli developed from

gemmae were transferred to a far-red light (700 – 780 nm, 44.3 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) supplemented

light condition using LabLEDs (RHENAC GreenTec Ag). Sperms were released from antheridiophores

by applying ddH$_2$O supplemented with RNasin® Ribonuclease Inhibitor (1 u/μl, Promega), collected in a

1.5 mL tube, and pelleted by centrifugation at 3,000 *g* for 5 min at 4 °C. RNA-seq libraries were

generated from total RNA of isolated *M. polymorpha* sperm using Smart-seq2 [75] using independent

biological replicates. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2500 using 125 bp paired-end.

Amborella growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Plant material and isolation procedures

Amborella trichopoda male flowers were harvested from a male plant growing in the Botanical Garden in

Bonn (Germany), in a shaded place inside a greenhouse under controlled conditions of 16-18ºC, constant

humidity of 66% and 12-hour photoperiods. Buds and fully opened male flowers were gathered in 50 ml

Falcon™ conical tubes (Thermo Fisher), placed without lid in a hermetically sealed plastic box containing

a bed of silica gel.

Uninucleated microspores (UNM) were isolated at room temperature from flower buds of 4.5 mm length,

as these were found to contain 98% uninucleated microspores. In brief, three samples with each 5 g buds

were homogenized in 0.1 M mannitol and filtered with a 70-micron pore size PET strainer (PluriSelect).

The filtered solution was processed by subsequent steps of percoll gradient separation, washing and

centrifugation, as described previously [76].

Amborella generative cells (GC) were obtained from mature pollen grains that were purified like

described previously [77]. Per replicate, 50 mg pollen was resuspended in 1 ml pollen germination medium

and transferred into a 1.5 ml vial containing glass beads (0.4 – 0.6 mm). The vial was vortexed

continuously at 2,200 rpm for 4 minutes to crack the pollen grains and release its contents. The solution

was filtered using a 15-micron PET strainer (PluriSelect). To stain the nuclei, a final concentration of 10X

SYBR Green I was added and GCs were identified using an inverted microscope (Nikon) equipped with

high-resolution 20X and 40X objectives suitable for fluorescent applications and suitable filters for SYBR

Green I (497 nm excitation; 520 nm emission). For RNA-seq, three replicates of each 140 GC were

harvested manually using an Eppendorf CellTram.

Amborella sperm cells (SC) were isolated at room temperature by adapting a method described for tomato

sperm cell isolation [78]. In brief, three replicates with each 50 mg purified pollen were germinated as

described [77]. 16 hours after germination, the medium was removed by filtration using a 15-micron PET

strainer (PluriSelect) and the pollen tubes were incubated for 10 min in a 15% mannitol solution with

0.4% cellulase "Onozuka" R-10 and 0.2% macerozyme R-10 to release the sperm cells. The mixture was

re-filtered using a 15-micron PET strainer and loaded on 5 ml 23% Percoll in 0.55 M mannitol and

centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 30 min. Approximately 1 ml with SC, floating on the surface of the Percoll

gradient, were harvested, washed with 1 ml RNAprotect® Cell Reagent (Qiagen) and centrifuged for 10

min at 2,500 x g. 50 µl of SC-enriched pellet (approximately 250 sperm cells each replicate) was used for

RNA-seq library preparation.

Isolation and sampling of *Amborella* ovaries, egg apparatus cells, pollen tubes, pollen grains as well as

male and female flowers, tepals, roots and leaves was done as described in previous studies [77,79].

RNA isolation and sequencing

RNA isolation from uninucleated microspores was performed by using the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA

Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA from *Amborella* generative

cells and sperm cells was extracted according to the "Purification of total RNA from animal and human

cells" protocol of the RNeasy Plus Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). In brief, cells were stored

and shipped on dry ice. After adding RLT Plus containing ß-mercaptoethanol the samples were

homogenized by vortexing for 30 sec. Genomic DNA contamination was removed using gDNA

Eliminator spin columns. Next ethanol was added and the samples were applied to RNeasy MinElute spin

columns followed by several wash steps. Finally total RNA was eluted in 12 μl of nuclease free water.

Purity and integrity of the RNA was assessed on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the RNA 6000 Pico

LabChip reagent set (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

The SMARTer Ultra Low Input RNA Kit for Sequencing v4 (Takara) was used to generate first strand

cDNA from 2.5 ng UNM, 0.8 ng GC and 0.5 ng SC total RNA. Double stranded cDNA was amplified by

LD PCR (10 for UNM, 13 cycles for GC and 15 cycles for SC) and purified via magnetic bead clean-up.

Library preparation was carried out as described in the Illumina Nextera XT Sample Preparation Guide

(Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). 150 pg of input cDNA were tagmented by the Nextera XT

transposome. The products were purified and amplified via a limited-cycle PCR program to generate

multiplexed sequencing libraries. For the PCR step 1:5 dilutions of index 1 (i7) and index 2 (i5) primers

were used. The libraries were quantified using the KAPA SYBR FAST ABI Prism Library Quantification

Kit. Equimolar amounts of each library were used for cluster generation on the cBot (TruSeq SR Cluster

Kit v3). The sequencing run was performed on a HiSeq 1000 instrument using the indexed, 2x100 cycles

paired end (PE) protocol and the TruSeq SBS v3 Kit. Image analysis and base calling resulted in .bcl

files, which were converted into .fastq files by the CASAVA1.8.2 software. Library preparation and

RNA-seq were performed at the service facility "Center of Excellence for Fluorescent Bioanalytics

(KFB)" (Regensburg, Germany; www.kfb-regensburg.de).

Arabidopsis growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Arabidopsis thaliana accession Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants were grown in controlled-environment

cabinets at 22°C under illumination of 150 μmol/m2/sec with a 16-h photoperiod. Mature pollen grains

(MPG) were harvested from open flowers of 5 to 6-week old plants by shaking into liquid medium (0.1 M

D-mannitol) as described previously[79]. Microspores and developing pollen grains were released from

anthers of closed flower buds and purified by Percoll density gradient centrifugation as described [76,80].

Populations of spores at five stages of development were isolated: uninucleate microspores (UNM),

bicellular pollen (BCP), late bicellular pollen (LBC), tricellular pollen (TCP) and mature pollen (MPG).

For semi in vivo pollen tube growth, a transgenic marker line harboring MGH3p::MGH3-eGFP and

ACT11p::H2B-mRFP [21] was used to pollinate WT emasculated pistils. After 2 hours, the pollinated pistil

was excised and placed on double sided tape. The excised pistil was then cut at the junction of style and

ovary and placed gently on solidified agarose pollen germination medium [81]. The pistil was incubated for

an additional 4 hours for the pollen tubes to emerge from the cut end of the style. The pollen tubes were

harvested using a 25G needle and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently used for the

RNA-seq library preparation as described in [74].

Total RNA was isolated from each sample using the RNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. RNA was DNAse-treated (DNA-freeTM Kit Ambion, Life Technologies)

according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA yield and purity were determined spectrophotometrically

and using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. cDNA was prepared using a slightly modified SmartSeq2

protocol in which cDNA is synthesized from poly(A)+ RNA with an oligo(dT)-tailed primer [75,82]. The

final libraries were prepared using a low-input Nextera protocol [83]. Libraries were sequenced on a

NextSeq500 instrument with single-end 75 bp read length (SE75).

A transgenic line expressing EC1.1p:NLS-3xGFP was cultured and used for Arabidopsis egg cell

isolation as previously described [84]. Three replicates of 25 to 30 pooled egg cells were used for RNA

extraction, RNA-seq library preparation and Illumina Next Generation Sequencing [85].

Tomato growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Solanum lycopersicum (tomato accession Nagcarlang, LA2661) seeds were obtained from the Tomato

Genetics Resource Center (TGRC, https://tgrc.ucdavis.edu/) and grown in the Brown University

Greenhouse (Providence, RI, USA). Dry pollen grains were collected from stage 15 flowers [86] into 500µl

eppendorf tubes. Pollen tubes were grown in 300µl of pollen growth medium in a 750µl eppendorf tube

that was incubated in a 28°C water bath. Pollen tubes were grown at a density of ~1000 pollen grains/µl.

The pollen germination medium [87] comprised 24% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 4000, 0.01% (w/v)

boric acid, 2% (w/v) Suc, 20 mm MES buffer, pH 6.0, 3 mm $Ca(NO_3)2·4H_2O$, 0.02% (w/v)

$MgSO_4·7H_2O$, and 1 mm KNO_3. Pollen tubes were grown for 1.5 hours, 3 hours, or 9 hours before they

were collected by centrifugation (1000 x g) for 1 minute. Pollen germination medium was carefully

removed by pipetting to avoid disrupting the loose pollen tube pellet. Independent pollen collections were

made for each of three biological replicates at each time point. Eppendorf tubes containing pollen tubes

were immediately flash frozen in liquid N_2, then stored at -80°C, or put directly on a dry-ice cooled metal

block for cell disruption by grinding with a frozen plastic pestle (Kontes). Total RNA was extracted using

the RNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen). RNA samples were evaluated by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Brown

University Genomics Core Facility) before RNA-seq library preparation (polyA selection) and Illumina

HiSeq, (150bp, paired end) sequencing were performed by Genewiz (South Plainfield, New Jersey. USA).

759 Maize growth conditions, RNA isolation and sequencing

Maize plants (inbred line B73) were grown in an air-conditioned greenhouse at 26°C under illumination

of about 400 µmol/m2/sec with a 16-h photoperiod (21°C night temperature) and air humidity between

60-65%. Fresh mature pollen grains were harvested as described[88]. Pollen tubes were germinated and

grown for 2 hours *in vitro* using liquid pollen germination medium [89]. Total RNA was extracted from

each three biological replicates of 100 mg pollen grains/pollen tubes by using a Spectrum™ Plant Total

RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to manufacturer's instructions. 250 ng of total RNA was each used

for library construction. RNA-seq was carried out as described in the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA

Sample Preparation Guide for the Illumina HiSeq 1000 System (Illumina) and the KAPA Library

Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems). Data from sperm cells, egg cells and various zygote stages were

taken from published data [88].

Compiling gene expression atlases

RNA data of different samples from nine species (*Physcomitrium patens*, *Marchantia polymorpha*,

Ginkgo biloba, *Picea abies*, *Amborella trichopoda*, *Oryza sativa*, *Zea mays*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*,

Solanum lycopersicum) were grouped in ten different classes (flower, female, male, seeds, spore, leaf,

stem, apical meristem, root meristem, root) (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). For male and female

reproductive organs samples we also included different sub-samples (female: egg cell, ovary, ovule;

Male: microspore, bicellular pollen, tricellular pollen, mature pollen, pollen tube, generative cell, sperm)

for each species (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). A total of 4,806 different RNA sequencing samples

were used, from which 4,672 were downloaded from the SRA database and 134 obtained from our

experiments (see above). Publicly available RNA-seq experiments data were downloaded from ENA [90], as

described in CoNekt-Plants [64]. Proteomes and CDSs of each species were downloaded from different

sources (Supplementary Table 14). The raw reads of each sample were mapped to the coding sequences

(CDS) with Kallisto v.0.46.1 [26] to obtain transcripts per million (TPM) gene expression values. If the

reads came from single cell samples (egg cell, ovule, sperm, generative cell), we removed the samples

that have <1M reads mapped, and for the other samples we removed those with <5M reads mapped

(Supplementary Table 1). All those samples were used to calculate Highest Reciprocal Rank (HRR)

networks, where two genes with HRR<100 were connected [91]. For comparative expression analysis, an

additional filter was applied by keeping only samples with a Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) >=0.8

to at least one other sample of the same type (e.g. flower to flower) (Supplementary Table 1).

Additionally, we included the expression matrix of *Selaginella moellendorffii* which has 18 samples

(Supplementary Table 1), and exclusively for the database (see section Constructing the co-expression

network and establishing the EVOREPRO database) the expression matrices of two unicellular algae

(*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Cyanophora paradoxa*) and *Vitis vinifera* [92]. Finally, genes with median

expression levels >2 TPM were considered as expressed [93]. All expression matrices are available for

download from http://www.gene2function.de/download.html.

Identifying sample-specific genes

Sample-specific genes based on expression data were detected by calculating the specificity measure

(SPM), using a similar method as described in [94]. For each gene, we calculated the average TPM value in

each sample (e.g., root, leaf, seeds). Then, the SPM value of a gene in a sample was computed by dividing

the average TPM in the sample by the sum of the average TPM values of all samples. The SPM value

ranges from 0 (a gene is not expressed in a sample) to 1 (a gene is fully sample-specific). To identify

sample-specific genes, for each of the ten species, we first identified a SPM value threshold above which

the top 5% SMP values were found (Supplementary Fig. S1, red line). Then, if a gene's SPM value in a

sample was equal to or larger than the threshold, the gene was deemed to be specifically expressed in this

sample.

Similarity of sample-specific transcriptomes between samples and species

To estimate whether sample-specific transcriptomes (see above) are similar, we calculated Jaccard

distance d_J between orthogroup sets. These orthogroup sets were found by identifying the orthogroups of

sample-specific genes per each species. Then pairwise d_J was calculated for all the samples and used as

input for the clustermap. The d_j ranges between 0 (the two sets of orthogroups are identical) to 1 (the two

sets have no orthogroups in common).

To estimate whether a species' sample-specific transcriptome was significantly similar to a corresponding

sample in the other species (e.g. Arabidopsis root vs. rice root, tomato root), we tested whether the

d_J values comparing the same sample were smaller (i.e. more similar) than d_J values comparing the

sample to the other samples (e.g., Arabidopsis root vs. rice flower, rice leaf, tomato flower, tomato leaf).

We used Wilcoxon rank-sum to obtain the p-values, which were adjusted using a false discovery rate

(FDR) correction [95].

Phylogenomic and phylostratigraphic analysis

We used proteomes of 23 species representing key phylogenetic positions in the plant kingdom (see

Supplementary Table 14), to construct orthologous gene groups (orthogroups) with Orthofinder v2.4.0 [96],

where Diamond v0.9.24.125 [97] was used as sequence aligner. A species tree based on a recent phylogeny

including more than 1000 species [98] was used for the phylostratigraphic analysis. The phylostratum (node)

of an orthogroup was assessed by identifying the oldest clade found in the orthogroup [99] using ETE v3.0

[100]. To test whether a specific phylostratum is enriched in a sample, we randomly selected (without

replacement) the number of observed sample-specific genes 1000 times. The empirical p-values were

obtained by calculating whether the observed number of gene families for each phylostratum was larger

(when testing for enrichment) or smaller than (testing for depletion) than the number obtained from the

1000 sampling procedure. The p values were FDR corrected [95].

Transcriptomic age index calculation

Transcriptome age index (TAI) is the weighted mean of phylogenetic ranks (phylostrata) and we

calculated it for every sample [71]. We used the species tree from [98]. The nodes in the tree were assigned

numbers ranging from 1 (oldest node) to 22 (youngest node, Fig. 3a) by traversing the tree using ETE

v3.0 (Huerta-Cepas et al. 2016) with default parameters. The age (phylostratum) of an orthogroup and all

genes belonging to the orthogroup, were derived by identifying the last common ancestor found in the

orthogroup using ETE v3.0 [100]. In the case of species-specific orthogroups the age of the orthogroup was

assigned as 23. Finally, all genes with TPM values <2 were excluded and the TAI was calculated for the

remaining genes by dividing the product of the gene's TPM value and the node number by the sum of

TPM values.

Functional annotation of genes and identification of transcription factor and kinase families

The proteomes of the ten species included in the transcriptome dataset were annotated using the online

tool   Mercator4   v2.0   (https://www.plabipd.de/portal/web/guest/mercator4/-

/wiki/Mercator4/recent_changes). This tool assigns Mapman4 bins to genes [101]. Transcription factors and

kinases were predicted using iTAK v1.7a [102]. Additional transcription factors were identified using the

online tool PlantTFDB v5.0 (http://planttfdb.cbi.pku.edu.cn/prediction.php) [103].

Functional enrichment analysis

Functional enrichment of the list of sample-specific and cluster-specific genes of each species, and genes

gained in each node, was calculated using the bins predicted with Mercator 4 v2.0. Briefly, for a group of

m genes (e.g., genes specifically expressed in Arabidopsis root), we first counted the number of Mapman

bins present in the group, and then evaluated if these bins were significantly enriched or depleted by

calculating an empirical p-value. The empirical p value that tests whether a Mapman bin (term) is

enriched in a collection of m genes is defined as:

$$P-\text{value}_{\text{term}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} I(\text{pred}_{\text{observed}} \leq \text{pred}_{\text{sampled}})}{N}$$

Where $pred_{Observed}$ is the number of times a term is observed, $pred_{Sampled}$ is the number of times the

term is observed when the terms of *m* genes are randomly sampled (without replacement) from the all

genes in the genome. *N* is the number of permutations, which was set to 1000. *I* is an indicator function,

which takes a value of 1 when the event (in this case $pred_{Observed} \leq pred_{Sampled}$) is true, and 0 when it

is not. For functional depletion analysis a similar approach was followed, with *I* taking a value of 1 when

$pred_{observed} \geq pred_{sampled}$. To account for multiple hypothesis testing, we applied a false discovery

rate (FDR) correction to the p values [95]. Transcription factor and kinase enrichment was calculated

following the same procedure.

Identification of orthogroup expression profiles

In order to analyse the expression profiles at phylostrata level, orthogroups were classified as 'sample-

specific', 'ubiquitous', and 'not conserved'. 'Sample-specific' orthogroups are orthogroups containing

sample-specific genes and can be sub-classified according to the organ (flower-, female-, male-, seeds-,

spore-, leaf-, apical meristem-, stems-, root meristem-, root-specific). 'Ubiquitous' are orthogroups that

are expressed in different samples for each species, i.e., they do not show a 'sample-specific' expression

profile. 'Not conserved' are orthogroups that have different sample-specific expression profiles in

different species (e.g., orthogroups containing root-specific genes for *Arabidopsis* and male-specific

genes for *Solanum*). Only orthogroups with species with sufficient expression data were used. More

specifically, we only analyzed orthogroups that were: i) species-specific with transcriptome data or, ii)

contained at least two species with transcriptome data. To identify sample-specific orthogroups, we

required , iii) >50% of genes of the orthogroup should support the expression profile, iv) >=50% of the

species with transcriptome data present in the node should support the expression profile.

Gene enrichment analysis per phylostrata

In order to analyse gene enrichment of specific samples across the different phylostrata in the species tree

(Fig. 3a), we used all the sample-specific genes of the ten species included. For each species and for each

defined sample (ubiquitous, flower, female, male, seeds, spore, leaf, stem, apical meristem, root

meristem, root) we counted the number of genes present in each node of the species tree, and then

evaluated if the number of sample-specific genes were significantly enriched or depleted by calculating an

empirical p-value as described for functional enrichment analysis. Then, we evaluated each sample and

counted the number of species that show significant enrichment/depletion (p<0.05) in each node of the

species tree. We obtained an normalized value per each node by calculating the difference of species

showing enrichment and species showing depletion and dividing it by the total number of species that

show enrichment/depletion. These results were used to plot a heatmap using the seaborn python package

[104].

Gene family comparisons

For each sample-specific (flower, female, male, seeds, spore, leaf, stem, apical meristem, root meristem,

root) and ubiquitous expression profiles we mapped loss and gain of organ-specific gene families onto the

species tree (Fig. 3a). All the orthogroups classified as sample-specific (see above) were analysed

independently and gain and loss was computed using the approach described in [105] with ETE v3.0 [100].

Briefly, a gene family gain was inferred at the last common ancestor of all the species included in the

family and a loss when a species did not have orthologs in the particular gene family. Groups of

monophyletic species that have lost the gene were counted as one loss. Then, we collapsed the values of

the nodes of the species tree to fit the different clades included (Fig. 4b), and we calculated the difference

between the total gains and the total losses to obtain an absolute value for each node. The values of each

expression profile were normalized dividing the values by the maximum absolute value in a way that we

got a range from -1 to 1 (negative values for losses and positive values for gains). Finally, per each

expression profile (ubiquitous, flower, female, male, seeds, spore, leaf, stem, apical meristem, root

meristem, root) a graphical representation of the different clades showing the nodes with a intensity of

color proportional to the normalized values of gains and losses was plotted using ETE v3.0 [100].

Identification of gamete-specific transcriptional profiles by clustering analysis

We analyzed the male and female sample-specific genes and their different sub-samples (Supplementary

Table 1), to identify transcriptional profiles by clustering analysis. For the clustering analysis we only

included species with at least 2 subsamples (*Amborella trichopoda*, *Oryza sativa*, *Zea mays*, *Arabidopsis*

thaliana, *Solanum lycopersicum*). The male samples were divided into: microspore, bicellular pollen,

tricellular pollen, mature pollen, pollen tube, generative cell, and sperm cell for Angiosperms; and sperm

for bryophytes. The female samples were divided into egg cell, ovary, and ovule. For each gene, the

average TPM in each sub-sample was calculated, and the average TPM values were scaled by dividing

with the highest average TPM value for the gene. The k-means clustering method from the sklearn.cluster

package [106] was used to fit the scaled average TPM values to the number of clusters (k) ranging from 1 to

20. The optimal number of k for each species was estimated by using the elbow method, where k that

produced a sum of squared distances<80% of k=1 was selected (Supplementary Fig. 10). Seaborn [104]

python package was used for plotting the figures.

Constructing the co-expression network and establishing the EVOREPRO database

Coexpression networks were calculated by using Highest Reciprocal Rank (HRR) value [91], which is a

distance-based metric that ranges from 0 (two genes are strongly coexpressed) to 100 (two genes are

weakly coexpressed). The networks were constructed by a CoNekT framework [64], which was also used to

establish the EVOREPRO database available at www.evorepro.plant.tools. For each species, all the genes

that were co-expressed in each male cluster were analysed to test whether the number of connections

observed is similar to the expected number. For this, we divided the number of observed connections

between the genes of two clusters (eg. cluster 1 and cluster 2) by the expected value (product of the

number of genes in cluster 1 x number of genes in cluster 2). These values were used to perform a

pearson correlation analysis and the results were presented in heatmaps. The networks present in the male

clusters were visualized using Cytoscape v3.8.0 [107]. The network files are available from

www.evorepro.plant.tools/species/.

Data availability

938 The fastq files are available for Arabidopsis (E-MTAB-9456), Amborella (E-MTAB-9190), Marchantia

939 (E-MTAB-9457), Physcomitrella (E-MTAB-9466), maize (E-MTAB-9692) and tomato (E-MTAB-9725).

References

1. Brown, R. C. & Lemmon, B. E. Spores before sporophytes: hypothesizing the origin of

sporogenesis at the algal-plant transition. *New Phytol.* **190,** 875–881 (2011).

2. Wellman, C. H., Osterloff, P. L. & Mohiuddin, U. Fragments of the earliest land plants. *Nature*

425, 282–285 (2003).

3. Edwards, D., Morris, J. L., Richardson, J. B. & Kenrick, P. Cryptospores and cryptophytes reveal

hidden diversity in early land floras. *New Phytol.* **202,** 50–78 (2014).

4. Jill Harrison, C. Development and genetics in the evolution of land plant body plans. *Philos. Trans.*

R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci **372,** (2017).

5. Kenrick, P. & Crane, P. R. The origin and early evolution of plants on land. *Nature* **389,** 33–39

(1997).

6. Friend, P. F. & House, M. R. The Devonian period. *Geological Society, London, Special*

Publications **1,** 233–236 (1964).

7. Berner, R. A. GEOCARBSULF: A combined model for Phanerozoic atmospheric O2 and CO2.

Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta **70,** 5653–5664 (2006).

8.   Beerling, D. J., Osborne, C. P. & Chaloner, W. G. Evolution of leaf-form in land plants linked to

atmospheric CO2 decline in the Late Palaeozoic era. *Nature* **410,** 352–354 (2001).

9.   Menand, B. *et al.* An ancient mechanism controls the development of cells with a rooting function

in land plants. *Science* **316,** 1477–1480 (2007).

10.   Hater, F., Nakel, T. & Groß-Hardt, R. Reproductive multitasking: the female gametophyte. *Annu.*

Rev. Plant Biol. **71,** 517–546 (2020).

11.   Hackenberg, D. & Twell, D. The evolution and patterning of male gametophyte development.

Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. **131,** 257–298 (2019).

12.   Johnson, M. A., Harper, J. F. & Palanivelu, R. A Fruitful Journey: Pollen Tube Navigation from

Germination to Fertilization. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **70,** 809–837 (2019).

13.   Zhou, L.-Z. & Dresselhaus, T. Friend or foe: Signaling mechanisms during double fertilization in

flowering seed plants. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **131,** 453–496 (2019).

14.   Dresselhaus, T., Sprunck, S. & Wessel, G. M. Fertilization mechanisms in flowering plants. *Curr.*

Biol. **26,** R125-39 (2016).

15.   Sprunck, S. Twice the fun, double the trouble: gamete interactions in flowering plants. *Curr. Opin.*

Plant Biol. **53,** 106–116 (2020).

16.   Borg, M. *et al.* The R2R3 MYB transcription factor DUO1 activates a male germline-specific

regulon essential for sperm cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **23,** 534–549 (2011).

17.   Favery, B. *et al.* KOJAK encodes a cellulose synthase-like protein required for root hair cell

morphogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Genes Dev.* **15,** 79–89 (2001).

18.   Denninger, P. *et al.* Male-female communication triggers calcium signatures during fertilization in

Arabidopsis. *Nat. Commun.* **5,** 4645 (2014).

19.   Leydon, A. R. *et al.* Pollen Tube Discharge Completes the Process of Synergid Degeneration That

Is Initiated by Pollen Tube-Synergid Interaction in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **169,** 485–496

(2015).

20.   Erbasol Serbes, I., Palovaara, J. & Groß-Hardt, R. Development and function of the flowering plant

982   female gametophyte. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **131,** 401–434 (2019).

983   21.   Borges, F. *et al.* FACS-based purification of Arabidopsis microspores, sperm cells and vegetative

984   nuclei. *Plant Methods* **8,** 44 (2012).

985   22.   Borg, M. *et al.* An EAR-Dependent Regulatory Module Promotes Male Germ Cell Division and

986   Sperm Fertility in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **26,** 2098–2113 (2014).

987   23.   Sprunck, S. *et al.* Egg cell-secreted EC1 triggers sperm cell activation during double fertilization.

988   *Science* **338,** 1093–1097 (2012).

989   24.   Cyprys, P., Lindemeier, M. & Sprunck, S. Gamete fusion is facilitated by two sperm cell-expressed

990   DUF679 membrane proteins. *Nat. Plants* **5,** 253–257 (2019).

991   25.   Rhee, S. Y. & Mutwil, M. Towards revealing the functions of all genes in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.*

992   **19,** 212–221 (2014).

993   26.   Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

994   quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34,** 525–527 (2016).

995   27.   Honys, D. & Twell, D. Comparative analysis of the Arabidopsis pollen transcriptome. *Plant*

996   *Physiol.* **132,** 640–652 (2003).

997   28.   Pina, C., Pinto, F., Feijó, J. A. & Becker, J. D. Gene family analysis of the Arabidopsis pollen

998   transcriptome reveals biological implications for cell growth, division control, and gene expression

999   regulation. *Plant Physiol.* **138,** 744–756 (2005).

29.   Steffen, J. G., Kang, I.-H., Macfarlane, J. & Drews, G. N. Identification of genes expressed in the

Arabidopsis female gametophyte. *Plant J.* **51,** 281–292 (2007).

30.   Wuest, S. E. *et al.* Arabidopsis female gametophyte gene expression map reveals similarities

between plant and animal gametes. *Curr. Biol.* **20,** 506–512 (2010).

31.   Bowman, J. L. The YABBY gene family and abaxial cell fate. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **3,** 17–22

(2000).

32.   Kim, J. H. & Lee, B. H. GROWTH-REGULATING FACTOR4 ofArabidopsis thaliana is required

for development of leaves, cotyledons, and shoot apical meristem. *J. Plant Biol.* **49,** 463–468

(2006).

33.   Lee, T. G. *et al.* A Myb transcription factor (TaMyb1) from wheat roots is expressed during

hypoxia: roles in response to the oxygen concentration in root environment and abiotic stresses.

Physiol. Plant. **129,** 375–385 (2006).

34.   Chen, D., Chai, S., McIntyre, C. L. & Xue, G.-P. Overexpression of a predominantly root-

expressed NAC transcription factor in wheat roots enhances root length, biomass and drought

tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* **37,** 225–237 (2018).

35.   Ding, Z. J. *et al.* Transcription factor WRKY46 modulates the development of Arabidopsis lateral

roots in osmotic/salt stress conditions via regulation of ABA signaling and auxin homeostasis.

Plant J. **84,** 56–69 (2015).

36.   Long, T. A. *et al.* The bHLH transcription factor POPEYE regulates response to iron deficiency in

Arabidopsis roots. *Plant Cell* **22,** 2219–2236 (2010).

37.   Ding, W. *et al.* A transcription factor with a bHLH domain regulates root hair development in rice.

Cell Res. **19,** 1309–1311 (2009).

38.   Betrán, E., Thornton, K. & Long, M. Retroposed new genes out of the X in Drosophila. *Genome*

Res. **12,** 1854–1859 (2002).

39.   Begun, D. J., Lindfors, H. A., Kern, A. D. & Jones, C. D. Evidence for de novo evolution of testis-

expressed genes in the Drosophila yakuba/Drosophila erecta clade. *Genetics* **176,** 1131–1137

(2007).

40.   Dubruille, R., Marais, G. A. B. & Loppin, B. Repeated evolution of testis-specific new genes: the

case of telomere-capping genes in Drosophila. *Int. J. Evol. Biol.* **2012,** 708980 (2012).

41.   Gossmann, T. I., Saleh, D., Schmid, M. W., Spence, M. A. & Schmid, K. J. Transcriptomes of

Plant Gametophytes Have a Higher Proportion of Rapidly Evolving and Young Genes than

Sporophytes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33,** 1669–1678 (2016).

42.   Cui, X. *et al.* Young Genes out of the Male: An Insight from Evolutionary Age Analysis of the

1033    Pollen Transcriptome. *Mol. Plant* **8,** 935–945 (2015).

1034 43. Doyle, J. A. in *Annual Plant Reviews* (eds. Roberts, J. A., Evan, D., McManus, M. T. & Rose, J. K.

1035    C.) 1–50 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2018). doi:10.1002/9781119312994.apr0486

1036 44. Beerling, D. J. Leaf evolution: gases, genes and geochemistry. *Ann. Bot.* **96,** 345–352 (2005).

1037 45. Pires, N. D. & Dolan, L. Morphological evolution in land plants: new designs with old genes.

1038    *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci* **367,** 508–518 (2012).

1039 46. Cardona, T. Thinking twice about the evolution of photosynthesis. *Open Biol.* **9,** 180246 (2019).

1040 47. Harrison, C. J. & Morris, J. L. The origin and early evolution of vascular plant shoots and leaves.

1041    *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci* **373,** (2018).

1042 48. Hetherington, A. J. & Dolan, L. Stepwise and independent origins of roots among land plants.

1043    *Nature* **561,** 235–238 (2018).

1044 49. Specht, C. D. & Bartlett, M. E. Flower Evolution: The Origin and Subsequent Diversification of

1045    the Angiosperm Flower. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **40,** 217–243 (2009).

1046 50. Pires, N. D. *et al.* Recruitment and remodeling of an ancient gene regulatory network during land

1047    plant evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110,** 9571–9576 (2013).

1048 51. Huang, L. & Schiefelbein, J. Conserved Gene Expression Programs in Developing Roots from

1049    Diverse Plants. *Plant Cell* **27,** 2119–2132 (2015).

1050 52. He, C., Si, C., Teixeira da Silva, J. A., Li, M. & Duan, J. Genome-wide identification and

1051    classification of MIKC-type MADS-box genes in Streptophyte lineages and expression analyses to

1052    reveal their role in seed germination of orchid. *BMC Plant Biol.* **19,** 223 (2019).

1053 53. Tanabe, Y. *et al.* Characterization of MADS-box genes in charophycean green algae and its

1054    implication for the evolution of MADS-box genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102,** 2436–2441

1055    (2005).

1056 54. Brodribb, T. J., Carriquí, M., Delzon, S., McAdam, S. A. M. & Holbrook, N. M. Advanced

1057    vascular function discovered in a widespread moss. *Nat. Plants* **6,** 273–279 (2020).

1058 55. Ruprecht, C. *et al.* Phylogenomic analysis of gene co-expression networks reveals the evolution of

functional modules. *Plant J.* **90,** 447–465 (2017).

56. Rao, X. & Dixon, R. A. Co-expression networks for plant biology: why and how. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)* **51,** 981–988 (2019).

57. Mutwil, M. Computational approaches to unravel the pathways and evolution of specialized metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **55,** 38–46 (2020).

58. Borges, F. *et al.* Comparative transcriptomics of Arabidopsis sperm cells. *Plant Physiol.* **148,** 1168–1181 (2008).

59. Liu, L. *et al.* Transcriptomics analyses reveal the molecular roadmap and long non-coding RNA landscape of sperm cell lineage development. *Plant J.* **96,** 421–437 (2018).

60. Anderson, S. N. *et al.* Transcriptomes of isolated Oryza sativa gametes characterized by deep sequencing: evidence for distinct sex-dependent chromatin and epigenetic states before fertilization. *Plant J.* **76,** 729–741 (2013).

61. Borg, M. *et al.* Targeted reprogramming of H3K27me3 resets epigenetic memory in plant paternal chromatin. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **22,** 621–629 (2020).

62. Becker, J. D., Takeda, S., Borges, F., Dolan, L. & Feijó, J. A. Transcriptional profiling of Arabidopsis root hairs and pollen defines an apical cell growth signature. *BMC Plant Biol.* **14,** 197 (2014).

63. von Besser, K., Frank, A. C., Johnson, M. A. & Preuss, D. Arabidopsis HAP2 (GCS1) is a sperm-specific gene required for pollen tube guidance and fertilization. *Development* **133,** 4761–4769 (2006).

64. Proost, S. & Mutwil, M. CoNekT: an open-source framework for comparative genomic and transcriptomic network analyses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46,** W133–W140 (2018).

65. Boisson-Dernier, A. *et al.* Disruption of the pollen-expressed FERONIA homologs ANXUR1 and ANXUR2 triggers pollen tube discharge. *Development* **136,** 3279–3288 (2009).

66. Zhu, L. *et al.* The Arabidopsis CrRLK1L protein kinases BUPS1 and BUPS2 are required for normal growth of pollen tubes in the pistil. *Plant J.* **95,** 474–486 (2018).

67.   Alves-Ferreira, M. *et al.* Global expression profiling applied to the analysis of Arabidopsis stamen

development. *Plant Physiol.* **145,** 747–762 (2007).

68.   Gupta, R., Ting, J. T. L., Sokolov, L. N., Johnson, S. A. & Luan, S. A tumor suppressor homolog,

AtPTEN1, is essential for pollen development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **14,** 2495–2507 (2002).

69.   Zhou, Z. *et al.* Arabidopsis RIC1 severs actin filaments at the apex to regulate pollen tube growth.

Plant Cell **27,** 1140–1161 (2015).

70.   Liang, Y. *et al.* MYB97, MYB101 and MYB120 function as male factors that control pollen tube-

synergid interaction in Arabidopsis thaliana fertilization. *PLoS Genet.* **9,** e1003933 (2013).

71.   Domazet-Lošo, T. & Tautz, D. A phylogenetically based transcriptome age index mirrors

ontogenetic divergence patterns. *Nature* **468,** 815–818 (2010).

72.   Ashton, N. W. & Cove, D. J. The isolation and preliminary characterisation of auxotrophic and

analogue resistant mutants of the moss, Physcomitrella patens. *Molec. Gen. Genet.* **154,** 87–95

(1977).

73.   Hohe, A., Rensing, S. A., Mildner, M., Lang, D. & Reski, R. Day Length and Temperature

Strongly Influence Sexual Reproduction and Expression of a Novel MADS-Box Gene in the Moss

Physcomitrella patens. *Plant Biol (Stuttg)* **4,** 595–602 (2002).

74.   Misra, C. S. *et al.* Transcriptomics of Arabidopsis sperm cells at single-cell resolution. *Plant*

Reprod. **32,** 29–38 (2019).

75.   Picelli, S. *et al.* Full-length RNA-seq from single cells using Smart-seq2. *Nat. Protoc.* **9,** 171–181

(2014).

76.   Dupláková, N., Dobrev, P. I., Reňák, D. & Honys, D. Rapid separation of Arabidopsis male

gametophyte developmental stages using a Percoll gradient. *Nat. Protoc.* **11,** 1817–1832 (2016).

77.   Flores-Tornero, M. *et al.* Transcriptomic and Proteomic Insights into Amborella trichopoda Male

Gametophyte Functions. *Plant Physiol.* (2020). doi:10.1104/pp.20.00837

78.   Lu, Y., Wei, L. & Wang, T. Methods to isolate a large amount of generative cells, sperm cells and

vegetative nuclei from tomato pollen for "omics" analysis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6,** 391 (2015).

79.   Flores-Tornero, M. *et al.* Transcriptomics of manually isolated Amborella trichopoda egg

1112   apparatus cells. *Plant Reprod.* **32,** 15–27 (2019).

1113   80.   Honys, D. & Twell, D. Transcriptome analysis of haploid male gametophyte development in

1114   Arabidopsis. *Genome Biol.* **5,** R85 (2004).

1115   81.   Boavida, L. C. & McCormick, S. Temperature as a determinant factor for increased and

1116   reproducible in vitro pollen germination in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **52,** 570–582 (2007).

1117   82.   Picelli, S. *et al.* Smart-seq2 for sensitive full-length transcriptome profiling in single cells. *Nat.*

1118   *Methods* **10,** 1096–1098 (2013).

1119   83.   Baym, M. *et al.* Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. *PLoS*

1120   *ONE* **10,** e0128036 (2015).

1121   84.   Englhart, M., Šoljić, L. & Sprunck, S. Manual Isolation of Living Cells from the Arabidopsis

1122   thaliana Female Gametophyte by Micromanipulation. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1669,** 221–234 (2017).

1123   85.   Sprunck, S. *et al.* Elucidating small RNA pathways in *Arabidopsis thaliana* egg cells. *BioRxiv*

1124   (2019). doi:10.1101/525956

1125   86.   Brukhin, V., Hernould, M., Gonzalez, N., Chevalier, C. & Mouras, A. Flower development

1126   schedule in tomato Lycopersicon esculentum cv. sweet cherry. *Sex. Plant Reprod.* **15,** 311–320

1127   (2003).

1128   87.   Covey, P. A. *et al.* A pollen-specific RALF from tomato that regulates pollen tube elongation.

1129   *Plant Physiol.* **153,** 703–715 (2010).

1130   88.   Chen, J. *et al.* Zygotic Genome Activation Occurs Shortly after Fertilization in Maize. *Plant Cell*

1131   **29,** 2106–2125 (2017).

1132   89.   Schreiber, D. N., Bantin, J. & Dresselhaus, T. The MADS box transcription factor ZmMADS2 is

1133   required for anther and pollen maturation in maize and accumulates in apoptotic bodies during

1134   anther dehiscence. *Plant Physiol.* **134,** 1069–1079 (2004).

1135   90.   Harrison, P. W. *et al.* The european nucleotide archive in 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47,** D84–D88

1136   (2019).

91.   Mutwil, M. *et al.* Assembly of an interactive correlation network for the Arabidopsis genome using

a novel heuristic clustering algorithm. *Plant Physiol.* **152,** 29–43 (2010).

92.   Ferrari, C. *et al.* Expression Atlas of Selaginella moellendorffii Provides Insights into the Evolution

of Vasculature, Secondary Metabolism, and Roots. *Plant Cell* **32,** 853–870 (2020).

93.   Wagner, G. P., Kin, K. & Lynch, V. J. A model based criterion for gene expression calls using

RNA-seq data. *Theory Biosci.* **132,** 159–164 (2013).

94.   Xiao, S.-J., Zhang, C., Zou, Q. & Ji, Z.-L. TiSGeD: a database for tissue-specific genes.

Bioinformatics **26,** 1273–1275 (2010).

95.   Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful

approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*

57, 289–300 (1995).

96.   Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative

genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20,** 238 (2019).

97.   Buchfink, B., Xie, C. & Huson, D. H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat.*

Methods **12,** 59–60 (2015).

98.   One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative. One thousand plant transcriptomes and

the phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature* **574,** 679–685 (2019).

99.   Domazet-Loso, T., Brajković, J. & Tautz, D. A phylostratigraphy approach to uncover the genomic

history of major adaptations in metazoan lineages. *Trends Genet.* **23,** 533–539 (2007).

100. Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F. & Bork, P. ETE 3: reconstruction, analysis, and visualization of

phylogenomic data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33,** 1635–1638 (2016).

101. Schwacke, R. *et al.* MapMan4: A Refined Protein Classification and Annotation Framework

Applicable to Multi-Omics Data Analysis. *Mol. Plant* **12,** 879–892 (2019).

102. Zheng, Y. *et al.* iTAK: A Program for Genome-wide Prediction and Classification of Plant

Transcription Factors, Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein Kinases. *Mol. Plant* **9,** 1667–1670

(2016).

103. Tian, F., Yang, D.-C., Meng, Y.-Q., Jin, J. & Gao, G. PlantRegMap: charting functional regulatory

maps in plants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48,** D1104–D1113 (2020).

104. Waskom, M. *et al.* Seaborn: V0.5.0 (November 2014). *Zenodo* (2014). doi:10.5281/zenodo.12710

105. Ballester, A.-R. *et al.* Genome, Transcriptome, and Functional Analyses of Penicillium expansum

Provide New Insights Into Secondary Metabolism and Pathogenicity. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.*

28, 232–248 (2015).

106. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning*

Research (2011).

107. Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular

interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13,** 2498–2504 (2003).

Acknowledgments

I.J is supported by Singaporean Ministry of Education grant MOE2018-T2-2-053, while M.M is
supported by NTU Start-Up Grant. ERA-CAPS EVO-REPRO I2163 to F.B.; ERA-CAPS-0001-2014 to
J.D.B; ERA-CAPS EVO-REPRO DR 334/12-1 to S.S. and T.D. DH was supported by ERA-CAPS UK
Biotechnology and Biological Research Council Grant BB/N005090 awarded to DT; M.B. was supported
through the FWF Lise Meitner fellowship M1818. The Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities GmbH (VBCF)
Plant Sciences Facility acknowledges funding from the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science
and Research and the City of Vienna. L.S was supported by CFS grant 17-23183S. C.M. and D.Ho. were
supported by Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport (LTC18034 and LTAIN19030) through the
European Regional Development Fund-Project "Centre for Experimental Plant Biology": No.
CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000738.The Genomics Unit of Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência was partially
supported by ONEIDA Project (LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-016417) co-funded by FEEI - "Fundos
Europeus Estruturais e de Investimento" from "Programa Operacional Regional Lisboa 2020″ and by
national funds from FCT - "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia". C.S.M acknowledges a doctoral
fellowship from FCT (PD/BD/114362/2016) under the Plants for Life PhD Program. J.D.B received
salary support from FCT through an "Investigador FCT" position. MJ and JG were supported by a US
National Science Foundation grant (IOS-1540019).

Help with sample generation: Lenka Záveská Drábková and David Reňák. Marchantia growth was
performed by the Plant Sciences Facility at Vienna BioCenter Core Facilities GmbH (VBCF), member of
the Vienna BioCenter (VBC), Austria. Maximilian Weigend, Cornelia Löhne and Bernhard Reinken
(Botanical Garden of the University of Bonn, Germany) are acknowledged for providing *Amborella*
trichopoda plant material.

We would like to thank Debbie Maizels (http://www.scientificart.com) for the illustrations on Fig.1 and
Fig. 5.

1197

1198

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: JDB, MM

Collected the data: ACL, MFT, SGP, CSM, IJ, LS, CM, DHo, DH

Contributed data or analysis tools: FB, MB, SS, TD, DT

Performed the analysis: IJ, CF, SP, ACL, MM

Wrote the paper: IJ, JDB, MM

1205

1206 **Competing interests**

1207 The authors declare no competing interests.

1208

1209 **Supplementary information**

1210

1211

1212

1213　**Supplementary Fig. 1: Distribution of SPM values in the ten species.** The x-axis indicates the

1214　specificity measure (SPM), while the y-axis indicates the log10-transformed frequency of the SPM values

1215　observed for all genes across the samples. The vertical red line indicates the SPM value cutoff, below

1216　which 95% of values are found.

1217

Supplementary Fig. 2: Expression profiles of the genes that were deemed to be specifically expressed in one of the organs/tissues/cells (sample) of the ten species used in this study. Genes are in rows, samples in columns, and the genes are sorted according to the expression profiles (e.g., flower, female). The numbers at the top of each column indicate the total number of specific genes in each sample. Gene expression is scaled to range from 0-1. Bars on the left of each heatmap show the sample-specific genes and correspond to the samples on the bottom: pink - Flower, purple - Female, yellow - Male, orange - Seeds/Spore, dark-green - Leaf, medium-green - Stem, light-green - Apical meristem, blue - Root meristem, brown - Root.

1226

1227

1228   **Supplementary Fig. 3:** Bar plot showing the Jaccard distances when comparing the same samples (i.e.,

1229   male-male) and one sample versus the others (i.e., male-others) for the ten species included in this study.

1230

1231

Supplementary Fig. 4: Comparing transcriptome similarities of the samples of the ten species. We used the Jaccard Index to calculate the similarity of transcriptomes of all samples in the dataset. The heatmap shows which transcriptomes of samples across species are similar by hierarchical clustering (dark blue). A lower value indicates a stronger similarity between two samples (white). For example, when comparing *Arabidopsis* root to roots from other species, we observe more similar transcriptomes than *Arabidopsis* root to non-root samples. The dendrograms on top and the left show the different clusters formed when the distance is <1.3.

1239

1240

Supplementary Fig. 5. Functional enrichment analysis of the sample-specific transcriptomes.
Samples are shown on the y-axis and functions in the x-axis for MapMan bins (a), transcription factors
(b), and kinases (c). Orange and blue colors indicate enrichment and depletion, respectively. The intensity
of the color is in correlation with the p-value (dark orange/blue: p <0.01, light orange/blue: p<0.05).

1245

Supplementary Fig. 6: Cladogram of the 23 species included in the analysis. The phylogenetic
relationship was based on One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, 2019. Species in red are
associated with transcriptomic data in this study. Blue numbers in the nodes indicate the node number
(e.g., 1: NODE_1). The tree's red circles show the percentage of orthogroups found in each node (largest
and smallest amounts: Node_1 - 24% and NODE_21 - 0.1%). Bars on the right show the percentage of
genes per species that are present in each node. The nodes are shown in different colors, as indicated in
the right bar.

1253

1254

1255   **Supplementary Fig. 7: Transcriptomic age index in the ten species.**

1256

1257

1258

1259 **Supplementary Fig. 8: Expression of male developmental stages genes for five species.** Genes are in
1260 rows, developmental stages in columns. Gene expression is scaled to range from 0-1. Darker color
1261 corresponds to a stronger positive correlation. Bars in the left mark the different clusters.

1262

1263

1264　**Supplementary Fig. 9: Analysis of the expression profile in different development stages of female**

1265　**organs.** Heat map showing the normalized TMP of genes per each development stage for five species.

1266　Bars on the left indicate the clusters. **b**, Jaccard distance between the clusters. **c**, Heatmap showing

1267　enrichment and depletion of functions. Orange and blue indicate enrichment and depletion, respectively

1268　(light colors: p < 0.05, dark colors: p < 0.01).

1269

1270

1271 **Supplementary Fig. 10: Identifying k value with the elbow method.** The orange mark indicates the k

1272 value where the sum of squared distances was less than 80% of the highest value found at k =1. **a**, For the

1273 male samples, and **b**, for the female samples.

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

Supplementary tables:

Supplementary Table 1. Samples included per each species. The columns in order show: mnemonic of the species, sample ID, original annotation, Organ name, Subsample name, source of the sample, number of fragments that could be pseudoaligned using, percentage of fragments that could be pseudoaligned, tag for the samples that pass/fail Kallisto stats, tag for the samples that pass/fail PCC filter.

Supplementary Table 2. The number of expressed and organ-specific expressed genes in the ten species.

Supplementary Table 3. Organ-specific genes in the ten land plants. Columns show species mnemonic, sample name, number of genes, gene names.

Supplementary Table 4. Organ-specific transcription factors in the ten land plants. Mnemonics indicate the species. The columns indicate transcription factor families.

Supplementary Table 5. Organ-specific kinases in the ten land plants. The species are indicated by mnemonics, while the organs are given after the species name. The different families of kinases are given in columns.

Supplementary Table 6. List of orthogroups identified in the 23 species included. The columns show the orthogroup name, node in the species tree (Fig. 3a), expression profile, pass/fail filter of the expression profile, list of species (mnemonic). The following columns show the list of genes per species.

Supplementary Table 7. Sample-specific gene enrichment for species and for node in the species tree (Fig. 3a). The columns show: species mnemonic, sample name, node of the species tree, p-value, tag (enrichment or depletion).

Supplementary Table 8. Gain/loss of gene families. The columns show the sample, node, number of total gains, number of total losses, orthogroups gained, orthogroups lost.

Supplementary Table 9. List of enriched functions in gained organ-specific and ubiquitous gene families per each node.

Supplementary Table 10. List of male cluster-specific genes. The first column shows the mnemonic of the species. The second, the cluster number. The third to ninth column: the average TPM per each male sample included. The last column: the list of genes of the cluster.

Supplementary Table 11. List of female cluster-specific genes. The first column shows the mnemonic of
the species. The second, the cluster number. The third to fifth column: the average TPM per each male
sample included. The sixth column: the list of genes of the cluster.

Supplementary Table 12. Features of male cluster-specific genes. The columns show the mnemonic of
the species, gene name, cluster number, if it is co-expressed (Yes/No), transcription factor, or kinase
name if reported in the annotation.

Supplementary Table 13. Annotation of the male cluster-specific genes of *A. thaliana*. The columns
show: cluster name, gene, tag for transcription factor (TF) or kinase (KIN), name of the transcription
factor or kinase, if it is co-expressed (Y/N), name of the sample that the known mutant affect, mutant, if
the gene is involved in pollen, references.

Supplementary Table 14. List of species included in this study and the source of their proteomes and
CDSs. Columns show: mnemonic, taxon identifier, species name, genome version, and source.

Supplementary Table 15. Gene families (first column), Arabidopsis male-specific genes (second
column) and Amborella male-specific genes (third column). Gene and family IDs are clickable and will
redirect the user to a corresponding page. The fourth column indicates gene families found in common in
Arabidopsis and Amborella (intersection), only in Arabidopsis (left) or only in Amborella (right).

a *Physcomitrella*

Female Archegonia

Male Antheridia

Leaflets

Spore

Rhizoids

b *Marchantia*

Female Archegoniophore

Male Antheridiophore

Spore

Thallus

c Angiosperm

Male — Anther, Filament
Petal
Sepal

Stigma
Style — Female
Ovary

Embryo
Endosperm
Seed coat

Meristem

Tassel (male)
Ear (female)

Flower
Leaf
Internode
Node
Stem
Root

d

Flower (58.2)
Female (53.3)
Male (37.9)
Seeds (52.2)
Spore (59.4)
Leaf (52.4)
Stem (53.1)
A. meristem (50.3)
R. meristem (45.8)
Root (55.0)

Percentage of genes

e

Arabidopsis thaliana

387 981 2299 1450 1479 519 381 1126 1176

genes

Flower Female Male Seeds Leaf Stem A. meristem R. meristem Root

f

Flower (2.7)
Female (2.8)
Male (5.3)
Seeds (3.4)
Spore (2.4)
Leaf (3.6)
Stem (2.5)
A. meristem (2.8)
R. meristem (2.9)
Root (5.0)

Percentage of genes

Species
PHYPA
MARPO
SELML
GINBI
PICAB
AMBTC
ORYSA
MAIZE
ARATH
SOLLC

a

b

c

d

e

a

Amborella trichopoda

Oryza sativa　　*Zea mays*

Arabidopsis thaliana

Solanum lycopersicum

b

ARATH-0

ARATH-5　　ARATH-1

ARATH-4　　ARATH-2

ARATH-3

Transcription factors

Kinases

Others

c

Transcription factors　　Kinases

Percentage of genes

Sperm
Pollen tube
Mature pollen
Pollen bicellular
Microspore
None
Unknown