Title: Protocerebral bridge neurons that regulate sleep in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Authors: Jun Tomita[1]*, Gosuke Ban[1]*, Yoshiaki S. Kato[1], and Kazuhiko Kume[1,2]

1. Department of Neuropharmacology, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya City

University, Nagoya 467-8603, Japan

2. Corresponding author: Department of Neuropharmacology, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical

Sciences, Nagoya City University, 3-1 Tanabe, Mizuho, Nagoya 467-8603, Japan.

Tel/Fax., +81-52-836-3676.   Email, kume@phar.nagoya-cu.ac.jp

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The central complex is one of the major brain regions that control sleep in *Drosophila*, but the
circuitry details of sleep regulation have yet to be elucidated.   Here, we show a novel sleep-
regulating neuronal circuit in the protocerebral bridge (PB) of the central complex.   Activation of
the PB interneurons labeled by the *R59E08-Gal4* and the PB columnar neurons in the *R52B10-Gal4*
promoted sleep and wakefulness, respectively.   A targeted GFP reconstitution across synaptic
partners (t-GRASP) analysis demonstrated synaptic contacts between these two groups of sleep-
regulating PB neurons.   Furthermore, we found that activation of a pair of dopaminergic (DA)
neurons projecting to the PB (T1 DA neurons) decreased sleep.   The wake-promoting T1 DA
neurons and the sleep-promoting PB interneurons formed close associations.   *Dopamine 2-like*
receptor (*Dop2R*) knockdown in the sleep-promoting PB interneurons increased sleep.   These
results indicated that the neuronal circuit in the PB regulated by dopamine signaling mediates sleep-
wakefulness.

Introduction

Sleep is a basic physiological state evolutionarily conserved among animal species (Allada and Siegel, 2008; Cirelli and Tononi, 2008). The fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, has emerged as a powerful model system for uncovering the molecular and cellular basis of sleep-wakefulness. Sleep in *Drosophila* is defined by behavioral features including circadian control of the timing of sleep, homeostasis of the amount of sleep and increased arousal threshold as in other animal species that do not exhibit the characteristic electroencephalogram patterns of sleep (Campbell and Tobler, 1984).

The central complex is a unique midline neuropil structures in the adult insect brain, composed of four substructures, the protocerebral bridge (PB), the fan-shaped body (FB), the ellipsoid body (EB) and the noduli (NO), and serves as a higher-order integrator for sensory information and various motor control (Homberg, 2008). Accumulating evidence from a number of neurogenetic studies has established a crucial role for the central complex in *Drosophila* sleep regulation. The sleep-promoting neurons having extensive presynaptic arborizations in the dorsal layer of the FB (dFB) has been identified (Donlea et al., 2011; Ueno et al., 2012). Dopamine is a neurotransmitter that is essential for maintaining wakefulness in *Drosophila*, similar to mammals (Andretic et al., 2005; Kume et al., 2005). The protocerebral posterior medial 3 (PPM3) cluster of dopaminergic (DA) neurons projecting to the dFB neurons controls sleep through Dopamine 1-like receptor 1 (Dop1R1) signaling (Liu et al., 2012; Ueno et al., 2012). Neurons that project to the ventral layer of the FB promote sleep and mediate consolidation of long-term memory (Dag et al., 2019). Homeostatic mechanism of sleep would be associated with changes in neuronal activity of the sleep-promoting dFB neurons and their functional upstream neurons, the R5 neurons in the EB (Donlea et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016). In addition, the dFB neurons form a recurrent circuit with the EB R5 neurons via helicon cells to regulate sleep homeostasis (Donlea et al., 2018). Regarding circadian regulation of sleep-wakefulness, recent studies have shown that sleep-modulating dorsal neurons 1 (DN1) of circadian clock neurons and EB ring neuron subtypes are functionally connected via sleep-promoting tubercular-bulbar (TuBu) neurons and that this circuit is likely to regulate sleep-wakefulness (Guo et al., 2018; Lamaze et al., 2018). Besides, sleep-promoting lateral posterior neurons (LPNs), another group of clock neurons, appear to form close associations to the sleep-promoting dFB neurons and activate these neurons (Ni et al., 2019). Detailed mechanisms for regulating sleep-wakefulness by the central complex are being clarified. However, because the four substructures of the central complex are interconnected, a population of central complex neurons other than the FB neurons and

the EB R5 neurons should also be implicated in the control of sleep-wakefulness.

Here, we begin to explore a novel sleep-regulating neuron in the central complex and discover

that the sleep-promoting PB interneurons have direct neuronal connections to the wake-promoting

PB columnar neurons projecting from the PB to the FB and the NO.　Moreover, we reveal that T1

cluster of DA neurons regulates sleep by acting on the sleep-promoting PB interneurons through D2

dopamine receptor signaling.

Results

The PB defective mutant flies, *nob^KS49* decreased sleep

In order to examine the role of the central complex in sleep regulation, we tested eleven mutant strains

with morphological defects in the central complex.   These mutant flies were isolated histologically

following ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenesis, except for *agn^XI*, which was isolated from a wild

population (Strauss and Heisenberg, 1993).   Flies were entrained to 12 h light : 12 h dark (LD) cycles

for at least 3 days, then maintained in constant dark (DD) conditions.   Locomotor activity was

recorded in both LD and DD conditions.   Sleep was defined as periods of immobile state lasting 5

min or longer, as previously described (Hendricks et al., 2000; Shaw et al., 2000; Huber et al., 2004;

Kume et al., 2005).   Because most of these structural mutants are still uncharacterized, their total

daily activity and sleep were compared to those of three control strains (Canton-S (CS), *y w* and *w^1118*)

under DD conditions.   There were no significant differences in total daily activity and sleep between

the three control strains (*Figure 1A,B*).   Among the central complex mutants tested, *nob^KS49* flies

showed significant hyperactivity compared with two control lines (CS and *y w*).   *nob^KS49* mutants

have a defect in the central part of PB (Strauss et al., 1992).   No difference was seen in waking

activity index, defined as total daily activity divided by the active period length, between *nob^KS49* and

controls (*Figure 1C*), suggesting hyperactivity in *nob^KS49* flies is not attributed to aberrant locomotion.

On average, total daily activity significantly increased by about 2-fold in *nob^KS49* relative to controls

(*Figure 1A*).   In contrast, total daily sleep of *nob^KS49* flies decreased to approximately half of that of

the CS and *y w* controls (*Figure 1B*).   These results suggest that PB is involved in sleep regulation.

Figure 1.   The PB defective *nob^KS49* decreased sleep.

Total daily activity **(A)**, total sleep **(B)** and waking activity index **(C)** for control flies (Canton-S, *y w* and *w^1118*, white bars) and mutant strains with structural defects in the central complex (black bars) in constant dark (DD) conditions.   Data are averaged for 3 days and are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) (*n* = 3-11 for each group).   Groups with asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (Tukey-Kramer HSD test for normally distributed data, *p* < 0.05).

Activation of *Gal4*-expressing neurons in *Gal4* drivers that express in the PB affected sleep

To further explore the involvement of PB neurons in sleep regulation, we examined the effects of transient thermogenetic activation of a subset of PB neurons using the temperature-sensitive cation channel dTrpA1 on the amount of sleep.   For this, fourteen *Gal4* driver lines that express strongly in PB neurons (*PB-Gal4*) were selected from the Gal4 image data of the FlyLight Image Database (https://flweb.janelia.org/cgi-bin/flew.cgi, Jenett et al., 2012).   Progenies from the control (*PB-Gal4* × w^{1118}) and the experimental (*PB-Gal4* × *UAS-dTrpA1*) crosses were grown at 22ºC to adulthood. Sleep was measured in adult progeny flies in DD following LD cycles.   These flies were transferred from 22ºC to 29ºC for 24 h to conditionally activate dTrpA1-expressing neurons and then returned to 22ºC.   Of the 14 *PB-Gal4* drivers tested, the acute activation of neurons with the 9 *Gal4* drivers significantly decreased sleep.   In particular, the decrease in the sleep amount induced by activation of neurons using the *R52B10-Gal4* was most remarkable and flies hardly slept during neuronal activation as previously reported (*Figure 2A-C*) (Liu et al., 2016).   Although clear homeostatic sleep recovery (sleep rebound) after sleep loss by the thermogenetic activation using the *R52B10-Gal4* was reported (Liu et al., 2016), such a clear sleep rebound was not observed in our experimental conditions (*Figure 2B,C*).   On the other hand, the activation of Gal4 expressing neurons in the 3 of 14 *PB-Gal4* drivers significantly increased sleep (*Figure 2A*).   The largest effect on sleep induction was caused by the neuronal activation using the *R59E08-Gal4*.   In this *Gal4* driver, the amount of sleep in the experimental flies peaked in the middle of the subjective day at 29ºC and was maintained at the peak level during neuronal activation (*Figure 2D*).   Sleep amount in the experimental flies was significantly reduced compared with that in the control flies after stopping the activation.

The expression patterns of the *R52B10-Gal4* or the *R59E08-Gal4* drivers in the adult brains were visualized using *UAS-mCD8::GFP*.   The wake-promoting *R52B10-Gal4* drove strong expression in several cell types of columnar neurons named PFN projecting from the PB to the ventral part of the FB and contralateral NO (*Figure 2F*, left panel) as shown in the FlyLight database (Jenett et al., 2012) and the previous report (Buchanan et al., 2015).   In addition to these central complex substructures, some neurons in the anterior ventrolateral protocerebrum (AVLP) were also be seen as intense GFP signals (*Figure 2F*, right panel).   The thermogenetic activation using the *R52H12-Gal4* or the *R83A10-Gal4* that express strongly in the AVLP neuropil (the FlyLight database, Jenett et al., 2012) did not result in a marked decrease in the amount of sleep (*Figure 2-figure supplement 1*).   The sleep-promoting *R59E08-Gal4* specifically labeled the PB strongly in the central complex (*Figure 2G*).   These PB neurons were identified as PB interneurons according to their previously described

119 morphological features (Lin et al., 2013; Wolff et al., 2015).   In addition, the ubiquitous strong
120 expression of GFP in the medulla cells was also detected in the *R59E08-Gal4* driver.   Neuronal
121 activation using two *Gal4* drivers (*R14F09-Gal4* and *R59A12-Gal4*), whose expression patterns in
122 the medulla resemble that of the *R59E08-Gal4* (the FlyLight database, Jenett et al., 2012), did not
123 significantly increase the amount of sleep (*Figure 2-figure supplement 2*).

 Figure 2. Acute activation of the PB neurons affected sleep.

(A) The amount of sleep per day at 29ºC in DD conditions in control flies (black bars) and flies
expressing *dTrpA1* transgene by indicated *Gal4* drivers that highly express in PB neurons (red bars).
For controls, each *Gal4* driver was crossed with *w*^*1118*^ (the genetic background of *UAS-dTrpA1*
carrying flies).   Data are presented as mean ± SEM (*n* = 6-16 for each group).   Asterisks indicate
statistically significant differences from control determined by a *t*-test ($p < 0.05$).   **(B,C)** Sleep
profiles for 60-min intervals (B) or total daily sleep (C) for controls (*R52B10-Gal4* × *w*^*1118*^, black
circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* with *R52B10-Gal4* (*R52B10-Gal4* × *UAS-dTrpA1*,
red circles or bars, *n* = 16) in DD.   Behavior was monitored in DD for 1 day at 22ºC, followed by 1
day at 29ºC (dTrpA1 activation), and 1 day at 22ºC.   Gray and black bars under the horizontal axis
indicate subjective day and night, respectively.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs.
control; *t*-test.   **(D,E)** Sleep profiles for 60-min intervals (D) or total daily sleep (E) for controls
(*R59E08-Gal4* × *w*^*1118*^, black circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* with *R59E08-Gal4*
(*R59E08-Gal4* × *UAS-dTrpA1*, blue circles or bars, *n* = 10) in DD.   Data are presented as mean ±
SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test.   **(F,G)** Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain
images of *R52B10-Gal4* (F) or *R59E08-Gal4* (G) crossed to *UAS-mCD8::GFP* flies.   The scale bars
represent 100 μm.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1.   Neuronal activation using *Gal4* drivers that express in the
AVLP did not remarkably decrease sleep.

(A,B) Sleep profiles for 60-min intervals (A) or total daily sleep (B) for controls (*R52H12-Gal4* ×
w^*1118*^, black circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* with *R52H12-Gal4* (*R52H12-Gal4* ×
UAS-dTrpA1, red circles or bars, *n* = 14) in DD.   The behavior was monitored as described in Figure
2.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test.   **(C,D)** Sleep profiles for 60-

min intervals (C) or total daily sleep (D) for controls (*R83A10-Gal4 × w^1118*, black circles or bars, *n*
= 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* with *R83A10-Gal4* (*R83A10-Gal4 × UAS-dTrpA1*, red circles or
bars, *n* = 11) in DD.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Figure 2-figure supplement 2.   Neuronal activation using *Gal4* drivers that express in the
medulla cells did not increase sleep.   **(A,B)** Sleep profiles for 60-min intervals (A) or total daily
sleep (B) for controls (*R14F09-Gal4 × w^1118*, black circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1*
with *R14F09-Gal4* (*R14F09-Gal4 × UAS-dTrpA1*, blue circles or bars, *n* = 16) in DD.   The behavior
was monitored as described in Figure 2.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM. $*p < 0.05$ vs. control;
t-test.   **(C,D)** Sleep profiles for 60-min intervals (C) or total daily sleep (D) for controls (*R59A12-*
Gal4 × w^1118, black circles or bars, *n* = 15) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* with *R59A12-Gal4* (*R59A12-*
Gal4 × UAS-dTrpA1, blue circles or bars, *n* = 16) in DD.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Activation of PB interneurons with *R59E08-Gal4* increased sleep

The sleep-promoting *R59E08-Gal4* was expressed not only in the adult brain but also in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) (*Figure 3A*).   To determine which targeted neurons in this driver are responsible for the sleep induction, we employed *tsh-Gal80*, which inhibits Gal4-mediated transcription in the VNC.   The incorporation of the *tsh-Gal80* transgene successfully blocked *R59E08-Gal4* induced GFP expression in the VNC without affecting its expression pattern in the brain (*Figure 3A*). Activation of *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing neurons with *tsh-Gal80* also markedly increased in the amount of sleep, indicating that brain neurons labeled by *R59E08-Gal4* contribute dTrpA1-mediated sleep induction (*Figure 3B,C* and *Figure 2D,E*).   On the other hand, decreased sleep caused after dTrpA1 activation was completely restored by introducing *tsh-Gal80*, indicating that *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing the VNC neurons are involved in this sleep phenotype.   Thus, distinct populations of *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing neurons participate in the sleep phenotypes induced during or after dTrpA1 activation.

Because the DAM system only detects motions of a fly passing through an infrared beam that bisects a glass tube at the center, continuing feeding or grooming away from the beam path are detected as apparent inactivity (continuous bins with the value of zero).   To confirm that the flies certainly sleep by activation of *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing brain neurons, we performed video analysis of the behavior of these flies in glass tubes during the late subjective-day (CT 8 to CT 12) at 29ºC (*Figure 3D*).   The temperature was shifted from 22ºC to 29ºC at CT 0.   As expected from the sleep patterns observed by the DAM system, the experimental flies (*R59E08-Gal4 × tsh-Gal80*; *UAS-dTrpA1*) displayed little locomotor activity compared to controls (*R59E08-Gal4 × tsh-Gal80*).   The immobile flies did not show excessive feeding or grooming, and paralysis in naked-eye observation.

We also found that the sleep phenotypes induced during or after activation of *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing neurons were largely rescued by introducing *Cha^{7.4kb}-Gal80* transgene, which prohibits Gal4 activity in cholinergic neurons (*Figure 3E,F*).   Taken together, these results indicate that the PB interneurons labeled by *R59E08-Gal4* were sleep-promoting and were primarily cholinergic.

Figure 3.   *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing PB interneurons promote sleep.

(A) Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain or ventral nerve cord (VNC) images of flies expressing *UAS-mCD8::GFP* under the control of *R59E08-Gal4* (left two panels) or *R59E08-Gal4* with *tsh-Gal80* (right two panels).   Transgene *tsh-Gal80* efficiently suppressed *R59E08-Gal4* driven GFP expression in the VNC, while did not in the brains.   The scale bars indicate 100 μm.   **(B,C)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (B) or total daily sleep (C) for control flies (*R59E08-Gal4* × *tsh-Gal80*, black circles or bars, $n = 12$) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *R59E08-Gal4* brain neurons (*R59E08-Gal4* × *tsh-Gal80*; *UAS-dTrpA1*, blue circles or bars, $n = 11$) in DD.   The behavior was monitored as described in Figure 2.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test.   **(D)** Horizontal movements of the centroid of three representative control flies (*R59E08-Gal4* × *tsh-Gal80*, black circles) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *R59E08-Gal4* brain neurons (*R59E08-Gal4* × *tsh-Gal80*; *UAS-dTrpA1*, blue circles) in a glass tube at 29°C during the late subjective day (CT 8 to CT 12).   Positions of the cotton plug and the food were at the top and the bottom in each plot, respectively.   The behavior of flies was recorded at 2 frames/sec using an infrared video camera in DD conditions.   The fly position in a glass tube was calculated for each image using an ImageJ plugin.   **(E,F)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (E) or total daily sleep (F) for control flies (*R59E08-Gal4* × *w^1118^*, black circles or bars, $n = 16$) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *R59E08-Gal4* except cholinergic neurons (*R59E08-Gal4* × *tsh-Gal80*; *UAS-dTrpA1*; *Cha^{7.4kb}-Gal80*, blue circles or bars, $n = 16$) in DD.   Data are presented as mean ± SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test.

PFN neurons were wake-promoting neurons

As shown in *Figure 4A*, *tsh-Gal80* effectively attenuated the wake-promoting *R52B10-Gal4* driven

GFP expression in the VNC without effect on its expression in the brain. Activation of the brain

neurons targeted by *R52B10-Gal4* resulted in a dramatic reduction in the amount of sleep comparable

to that evoked by activating both the brain and the VNC neurons using this *Gal4* driver (*Figure 4B,C*

and *Figure 2B,C*). *Cha^{7.4kb}-Gal80* was able to fully revert the decreased sleep caused by activation

of *R52B10-Gal4*-expressing neurons (*Figure 4D,E* and *Figure 2B,C*). These results suggest that

cholinergic brain neurons labeled by *R52B10-Gal4* are wake-promoting.

Next, we searched for *R52B10-Gal4*-expressing brain neurons that contribute to the promotion of

wakefulness by a genetic mosaic approach. Using the mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker

(MARCM) system, the *dTrpA1* and *GFP* were randomly co-expressed in a subpopulation of neurons

using *R52B10-Gal4* with FRT/FLP-induced mitotic recombination. We measured the amount of

sleep in these genetically mosaic flies at 22ºC and then 29ºC under DD conditions. The change in

sleep amount (ΔSleep) in individual flies was calculated by subtracting the amount of sleep during

the 12-h subjective night at 29ºC from that at 22ºC (*Figure 5A*). In this experiment, ΔSleep value

above the mean plus two times standard deviation (+2 SD) was regarded as a significant decrease in

subjective-night sleep at 29ºC. Of 133 flies examined, 7 flies had a ΔSleep value above the mean

+2 SD (426 min). After measuring the amount of sleep, the brains of these flies were dissected and

immunostained to determine GFP expression co-expressed with dTrpA1. GFP expression in the

flies with significantly reduced sleep labeled the PFN neurons in all but one of the flies shown in #7

in *Figure 5B*. By contrast, in the 7 individuals having ΔSleep value near the average, 2 flies were

labeled with the PFN neurons (#5 and #6 in *Figure 5C*), while the remaining flies were either not

labeled at all (#1 and #2) or labeled with neurons different from the PFN neurons (#3, #4, #7).

Moreover, to ensure that the PFN neurons labeled by *R52B10-Gal4* are the wake-promoting

neurons, we employed *c465-Gal4* that drives expression in these neurons participating in the tuning

of the magnitude of locomotor handedness (Buchanan et al., 2015). Because *c465-Gal4* is also

strongly expressed in the mushroom bodies that is another brain neuropil structures involved in

Drosophila sleep regulation (Joiner et al., 2006; Pitman et al., 2006; Sitaraman et al., 2015a), we used

MB247-Gal80, which blocks the activity of Gal4 in the mushroom bodies (*Figure 5D*). As expected,

activation of *c465-Gal4*-expressing neurons except for mushroom body neurons significantly

decreased sleep, as did the activation of *R52B10-Gal4*-expressing neurons (*Figure 5E,F*). These

results indicate that the PFN neurons labeled by *R52B10-Gal4* are wake-promoting.

Figure 4. **Activation of *R52B10-Gal4*-expressing cholinergic neurons in the brain promotes wakefulness.**

(A) Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain or VNC images of flies expressing *UAS-mCD8::GFP* under the control of *R52B10-Gal4* (left two panels) or *R52B10-Gal4* with *tsh-Gal80* (right two panels). The *tsh-Gal80* efficiently suppressed *R52B10-Gal4* driven GFP expression in the VNC, while did not in the brains. **(B,C)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (B) or total daily sleep (C) for control flies (*R52B10-Gal4 × tsh-Gal80*, black circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *R52B10-Gal4* brain neurons (*R52B10-Gal4 × tsh-Gal80*; *UAS-dTrpA1*, red circles or bars, *n* = 16) in DD. The behavior was monitored as described in Figure 2. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test. **(D,E)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (D) or total daily sleep (E) for control flies (*R52B10-Gal4 × w^1118*, black circles or bars, *n* = 16) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *R52B10-Gal4* except cholinergic neurons (*R52B10-Gal4 × UAS-dTrpA1*; *Cha^{7.4kb}-Gal80*, red circles or bars, *n* = 7) in DD. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Figure 5. *R52B10-Gal4*-**expressing PFN neurons promote wakefulness.**
(A) Using the MARCM system, *dTrpA1* expression was targeted to a limited number of neurons in

R52B10-Gal4. The behavior of mosaic flies was monitored as described in Figure 2. Sleep change (ΔSleep) of a single fly was calculated by subtracting the amount of sleep during the subjective night at 29°C from that at 22°C (before 29°C) (*n* = 133). The red horizontal bar indicates the mean. **(B,C)** Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain images of the flies whose ΔSleep were > +2 SD higher than the mean (red oval in (A)) (B) or nearly equal to the mean (C). **(D)** Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain images of *c465-Gal4, MB247-Gal80* crossed to *UAS-mCD8::GFP* flies. *c465-Gal4* drives expression in the PFN neurons. **(E,F)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (E) or total daily sleep (F) for control (*c465-Gal4, MB247-Gal80 × w^{1118}*, black circles or bars, *n* = 15) or flies expressing *dTrpA1* in *c465-Gal4* except for mushroom body neurons (*c465-Gal4, MB247-Gal80 × UAS-dTrpA1; UAS-dTrpA1*, red circles or bars, *n* = 11) in DD conditions. Behavior was monitored as described in Figure 2, except that flies were transferred to 29°C for 2 days to allow dTrpA1 activation. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test. All of the scale bars indicate 100 μm.

The sleep-promoting PB interneurons had synaptic connections with the wake-promoting PFN neurons

To visualize putative dendritic and axonal terminals of the wake-promoting PFN neurons, both DenMark (Nicolai et al., 2010), a specific somatodendritic marker and synaptotagmin (syt)-GFP (Zhang et al., 2002), a presynaptic marker localized to synaptic vesicles were expressed using *R52B10-Gal4.* These neurons displayed specific dendritic DenMark labeling in the PB and strong labeling of presynaptic syt-GFP in the layer 1 of the FB and in the ventral NO (*Figure 6A*). In addition, weak labeling of presynaptic terminals was also observed near the layers 2 to 3 of the FB and in regions of the NO other than the ventral NO.

To assess synaptic connectivity between the sleep-promoting PB interneurons and the wake-promoting PFN neurons, we employed a targeted GFP reconstitution across synaptic partners (t-GRASP) technique (Shearin et al., 2018) under the control of two independent binary systems. A part of the *cacophony* gene tagged with the *GFP11* fragment of a *split-GFP* (*pre-t-GRASP*), which is targeted to axonal terminals and a portion of the mouse *Icam5* gene tagged with the *GFP1-10* (*post-t-GRASP*), which is targeted to dendritic terminals were expressed by *R59E08-Gal4* and *R52B10-LexA,* respectively. Reconstituted GFP signals were detected in the PB (*Figure 6B*), suggesting that the sleep-promoting PB interneurons labeled by *R59E08-Gal4* have synaptic connections with the wake-promoting PFN neurons marked by *R52B10-Gal4.*

Figure 6. **The sleep-promoting PB interneurons form synaptic contacts with the wake-promoting PFN neurons.**

(A) The dendritic arbors and presynaptic terminals of the PFN neurons in *R52B10-Gal4* were visualized by expression of the postsynaptic marker DenMark and the presynaptic marker syt-GFP, respectively. **(B)** The neuronal connection of the sleep-promoting PB interneurons to the wake-promoting PFN neurons was revealed using the t-GRASP method. The *pre-t-GRASP* and the *post-t-GRASP* encode the split-GFP fragments, which are targeted to presynaptic endings and dendritic terminals, respectively. Brains expressing *pre-t-GRASP* with *R59E08-Gal4* and *post-t-GRASP* with *R52B10-LexA* were stained with a reconstituted GFP-specific antibody. The scale bars represent 100 μm.

Dopamine signaling acted on the sleep-promoting PB interneurons for sleep regulation

Dopamine has been identified as a key neurotransmitter in the regulation of sleep in *Drosophila* (Andretic et al., 2005; Kume et al., 2005; Pimentel et al., 2016). In the *Drosophila* brain, DA neurons are distributed in clusters, and each cluster is involved in various physiological phenomena, including sleep-wake (Liu et al., 2012; Ueno et al., 2012; Sitaraman et al., 2015b). A pair of DA neurons, named T1, has been previously observed with dendrites arborizing in the tritocerebrum and with axons projecting to the PB (Nässel and Elekes, 1992; Alekseyenko et al., 2013). The intersectional method combining the enhancer-trap flippase (FLP) transgenic line, *FLP[243]* with a DA-neuron specific *TH-Gal4* driver allows for the selective targeting of the T1 neurons (*Figure 7A*) (Alekseyenko et al., 2013). Both activation and inhibition of the T1 DA neurons using this intersectional method have been reported to specifically facilitate inter-male aggression. On the other hand, inactivation of these neurons has no effect on locomotor activity and sleep amount (Alekseyenko et al., 2013). We conditionally activated the T1 neurons with dTrpA1 and found that the manipulation resulted in a significant decrease in the amount of sleep (*Figure 7B,C*).

Next, we examined whether T1 DA neurons directly connect with sleep-wake regulating PB neurons by GRASP analysis utilizing membrane-tethered two complementary split-GFP fragments (CD4-spGFP1-10 and CD4-spGFP11) (Feinberg et al., 2008). *TH-Gal4* was used to express *UAS-CD4-spGFP1-10* and *R59E08-LexA* or *R52B10-lexA* were employed to drive *lexAop-CD4-spGFP11*, respectively. Immunohistochemical signals for reconstituted GFP in the PB were detected only in the combination of *TH-Gal4* and *R59E08-LexA* (*Figure 7D,E*). These signals were dot-like and localized to the bilateral bends of the PB.

Alekseyenko and colleagues also demonstrate that Dopamine 2-like receptors (Dop2R also known as D2R or DD2R), a functional counterpart of mammalian D2 receptor, are expressed in the PB but not localized to the presynaptic terminals of T1 neurons. *Dop2R* knockdown using two independent RNAi lines in the *R59E08-Gal4* expressing PB neurons resulted in a significant increase in the amount of sleep compared to controls (*Figure 7F* and *Figure 7-figure supplement 1*). These results support the idea that T1 DA neurons regulate sleep by acting on the sleep-promoting PB interneurons via D2 receptor signaling.

 Figure 7. Dopamine regulates sleep by acting on the sleep-promoting PB interneurons.

(A) Maximum-intensity projection of the confocal brain images of *TH-Gal4, FLP²⁴³* crossed to
UAS>stop>mCD8::GFP flies.   *TH-Gal4, FLP²⁴³* restricts expression to the T1 dopaminergic (DA)
neurons that project to the PB.   **(B,C)** Sleep profiles in 60-min intervals (B) or total daily sleep (C)
for control (*TH-Gal4 × UAS>stop>dTrpA1*, black circles or bars, $n = 15$) or flies expressing *dTrpA1*
in T1 DA neurons (*TH-Gal4, FLP²⁴³ × UAS>stop>dTrpA1*, red circles or bars, $n = 16$) in DD
conditions.   The behavior was monitored as described in Figure 2.   Data are presented as mean ±
SEM.   *$p < 0.05$ vs. control; *t*-test.   **(D,E)** The anatomical connection of DA neurons in *TH-Gal4*
to the sleep-promoting PB interneurons (D) or the wake-promoting PFN neurons (E) were examined
using the GRASP method.   Brains were stained with a reconstituted GFP-specific antibody.   **(F)**
Total daily sleep for control (white bar, $n = 15$) and *Dop2R* RNAi-expressing flies using the *R59E08-*
Gal4 driver (black bars, $n = 16$) in DD conditions.   Data are averaged for 3 days and are presented
as mean ± SEM.   *$p < 0.05$; *t*-test.   All of the scale bars indicate 100 μm.

Figure 7-figure supplement 1. ***Dop2R* knockdown with *R59E08-Gal4* increased sleep.**

Total daily sleep for control (*UAS-Dicer-2*; *R59E08-Gal4* × *w^1118^*, white bar, $n = 13$) and *Dop2R* RNAi

(VDRC 1820)-expressing flies using the *R59E08-Gal4* driver (*UAS-Dicer-2*; *R59E08-Gal4* × *UAS-*

Dop2R RNAi, black bars, $n = 13$) in DD conditions.   Data are averaged for 3 days and are presented

as mean ± SEM. $*p < 0.05$; *t*-test.

Discussion

The data presented provide evidence that *Drosophila* sleep is controlled by the neuronal circuit in PB consisting of the wake-promoting PFN neurons and the sleep-promoting PB interneurons and by the T1-PB dopamine pathway (*Figure 7-figure supplement 2*). Previous anatomical, electrophysiological and genetic studies have revealed the physiological functions of the PB in several insect species. For example, in desert locusts, different types of PB neurons generate a topographical map of the sky polarization pattern underlying sun compass orientation (Homberg et al., 2011). Morphological counterparts of these locust's PB neurons are found in migratory monarch butterflies and serve similar functions in processing polarized-light information (Heinze and Reppert, 2011). Experiments in fruit flies have demonstrated a role for the PB in locomotor control such as walking speed, leg coordination, maintenance of walking activity, locomotor handedness and visual targeting in gap-crossing behavior (Strauss et al., 1992; Strauss and Heisenberg, 1993; Martin et al., 1999; Triphan et al., 2010; Buchanan et al., 2015). The locomotor activity of *nob^{KS49}* mutants has been reported to be decreased compared to control flies but was increased in the present study (Martin et al., 1999) (*Figure 1A*). We and Martin et al. assessed the number of activity counts per day or at a particular time of day (4.5 hours) in *nob^{KS49}* flies as total locomotor activity, respectively. This difference in assessment methods may have caused the phenotypic discrepancy in locomotor activity. *nob^{KS49}* flies with a large gap at the sagittal midplane in the PB showed a significant reduction in the amount of sleep (*Figure 1B*), suggesting a physical impairment of sleep-promoting neurons in this mutant. We found that *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing PB interneurons promote sleep (*Figure 2* and *Figure 3*). Analysis of single PB neurons labeled by MARCM or multicolor flip-out technique has shown that PB interneurons are classified into three cell types based on their pattern of glomerular innervation in the PB (Lin et al., 2013; Wolff et al., 2015). Two of the three cell types of PB interneurons innervate all glomeruli, including the central part of the PB. Because the sleep-promoting *R59E08-Gal4* was expressed throughout the PB, at least one of these two cell types of PB interneurons would have been labeled with this driver. Although the cell type of PB interneurons that promote sleep has been unidentified in our results, the two cell types may be physically damaged in *nob^{KS49}* mutants.

Our MARCM experiment using *R52B10-Gal4* suggested that distinct types of PFN neurons promote wakefulness (*Figure 5B*). There are five cell types of PFN neurons, which are PB output neurons, classified according to their patterns of projection to the FB and the NO (Wolff et al., 2015).

Each PFN neuron belonging to the same cell type is further classified into subtypes that have different

patterns of arborization in the PB and arborize to one of the PB glomeruli.   The *Gal4* line *c465*

drives expression in the wake-promoting PFN neurons (*Figure 5D-F*) (Lin et al., 2013; Buchanan et

al., 2015).   Of the five cell types of PFN neurons, three are commonly labeled by *R52B10-Gal4* and

c465-Gal4, especially the cell type with axon terminals in layer 2 of the FB and in the ventral NO

exhibits high *Gal4* expression in these two drivers (Buchanan et al., 2015).   On the other hand,

neuronal activation with *R44B10-Gal4*, which is highly expressed in all three cell types of PFN

neurons (Buchanan et al., 2015), was less effective in reducing the amount of sleep than neuronal

activation with *R52B10-Gal4* or *c465-Gal4* (*Figure 2A*).   Although *R52B10-Gal4*, *c465-Gal4* and

R44B10-Gal4 label the same cell types of PFN neurons, it is possible that the subtypes of PFN

neurons arborizing in one specific PB glomerulus which are co-labeled with *R52B10-Gal4* and *c465-*

Gal4 are implicated in promoting arousal.

The t-GRASP experiment using *R59E08-Gal4* and *R52B10-LexA* suggested the sleep-

promoting PB interneurons form synaptic contacts with the wake-promoting PFN neurons (*Figure*

6B).   The symmetrical patterns of the t-GRASP signal intensity in PB glomeruli should indicate

that this signal is not non-specific, supporting the idea described above that particular subtypes of

PFN neurons promote arousal.   Sleep phenotypes of the flies in which *R59E08-Gal4-* or *R52B10-*

Gal4-expressing neurons were acutely activated, and axon-dendrite connectivity between these

sleep-regulating neurons suggest that the sleep-promoting PB interneurons inhibit the activity of the

wake-promoting PFN neurons.   Because the sleep-promoting PB interneurons were predominantly

cholinergic (*Figure 3E,F*), the wake-promoting PFN neurons were likely to be regulated by

inhibitory acetylcholine receptor signaling (Ren et al., 2015).   Functional connectivity analysis of

the central complex using Ca^{2+} imaging combined with optogenetics has demonstrated that

activation of one cell type of PB interneurons designated as Δ7 triggers the inhibitory response of

one cell type of PFN neurons (Franconville et al., 2018).   However, since the Δ7 neurons are either

glutamatergic or GABAergic, they must not be the major PB interneurons to promote sleep.

Further anatomical and functional dissection of the sleep-regulating neuronal circuits in the PB

archived with promising *split-Gal4* lines will be required.

Previous studies have elucidated the control of *Drosophila* sleep by several clusters of DA

neurons (Liu et al., 2012; Ueno et al., 2012; Sitaraman et al., 2015b).   This study revealed that the

PB-projecting T1 DA neurons physically connected to the sleep-promoting PB interneurons promote

wakefulness (*Figure 7A-D*).   Because knockdown of *Dop2R* encoding Gi protein-coupled dopamine

receptor in *R59E08-Gal4*-expressing neurons significantly increased the amount of sleep (*Figure 7F*

and *Figure 7-figure supplement 1*), the simplest explanation is that dopamine inhibits the sleep-

promoting PB interneurons and thus promotes wakefulness.   *Dop2R* null mutants have an increased

amount of sleep (Deng et al., 2019).   The DopR2 function in the pars intercerebralis (PI) neurons

expressing Dilp2 and SIFamide has been shown to contribute to this sleep-increasing phenotype.

Our results show that, in addition to the PI, Dop2R also functions in the PB to regulate sleep.

T1 neurons were originally identified as neurons that specifically modulate aggression between

pairs of males (Alekseyenko et al., 2013).   Regarding the interaction between sleep and aggression,

it has been shown that aggressive behaviors are suppressed in sleep-deprived male flies, and the

changes are mediated by octopamine signaling (Kayser et al., 2015).   Interestingly, Duhart and

colleagues have recently reported that T1 neurons act downstream of courtship- and sleep-regulating

P1 neurons to modulate nutrition-dependent sleep-courtship balance in male flies (Duhart et al., 2020).

Elucidation of the mechanisms controlling the activity of T1 neurons may provide a novel link

between sleep, aggression and courtship.

More recently, we have successfully demonstrated that the wake-promoting PFN neurons

directly activate the FB interneurons (also known as pontine neurons) that appear to transmit

inhibitory acetylcholine signals to the sleep-promoting dFB neurons (Kato YS et al., unpublished

results, *Figure 7-figure supplement 2*).   Further studies are required to determine the cellular and

molecular details of how these PB-dFB circuits control sleep-wakefulness.

Figure 7-figure supplement 2.   Schematic drawing of the sleep-regulating neuronal circuit in
the PB.
The circuit consists of the sleep-promoting PB interneurons and the wake-promoting PFN neurons,
and is regulated by the wake-promoting T1 DA neurons.   The wake-promoting PFN neurons
indirectly inhibit the sleep-promoting dFB neurons via the FB interneurons (Kato YS et al.,
unpublished results).

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Roland Strauss, Paul A. Garrity, Julie H. Simpson, Takaomi Sakai, J. Douglas Armstrong, Edward A. Kravitz and Barry J. Dickson, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, the Vienna *Drosophila* RNAi Center and the Kyoto Stock Center for providing fly lines used in this study. In addition, we also thank Dr. Taro Ueno (Toho University) and members of the Kume lab for helpful discussions. This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18H02481, K.K., JP26507008, JP17K08571, J.T.

Competing interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Materials and Methods

Fly strains and rearing conditions

Fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) were raised at 25ºC in 50-60% relative humidity on standard medium containing cornmeal, yeast, glucose, wheat germ and agar. They were maintained under a 12-h light:12-h dark (LD) cycle. Eleven mutant flies with structural defects in the central complex *agnostic^{X1}* (*agn^{X1}*), *central-body-defect^{KS171}* (*cbd^{KS171}*), *cbd^{KS188}*, *cbd^{1762}*, *central-complex-broad^{KS145}* (*ccb^{KS145}*), *central-complex-deranged^{KS135}* (*ccd^{KS135}*), *central-complex^{KS181}* (*cex^{KS181}*), *ellipsoid-body-open^{KS263}* (*ebo^{KS263}*), *ebo^{678}*, *ebo^{1041}* and *no-bridge^{KS49}* (*nob^{KS49}*) were kindly provided by Roland Strauss. *UAS-dTrpA1* lines on the second or third chromosomes were gifts from Paul A. Garrity and backcrossed over five generations to the control strain (*w^{1118}*). *teashirt* (*tsh*)-*Gal80*/CyO (Clyne and Miesenböck, 2008) was a gift from Julie H. Simpson. *Cha$^{7.4kb}$-Gal80* (Sakai et al., 2009) and *MB247-Gal80* (Krashes et al., 2007) were gifts from Takaomi Sakai. *c465-Gal4* (Young and Armstrong, 2010) was a gift from J. Douglas Armstrong. *FLP^{243}* (Alekseyenko et al., 2013) was a gift from Edward A. Kravitz. *UAS>stop>mCD8::GFP* (Yu et al., 2010) and *UAS>stop>dTrpA1* (von Philipsborn et al., 2011) were gifts from Barry J. Dickson. *R14F09-Gal4* (stock number: 48652), *R15E12-Gal4* (#48608), *R16D01-Gal4* (#48722), *R37G05-Gal4* (#48133), *R38G07-Gal4* (#50019), *R40A01-Gal4* (#50072), *R44B10-Gal4* (#50202), *R45G06-Gal4* (#50244), *R52B10-Gal4* (#38820), *R52G12-Gal4* (#49581), *R52H12-Gal4* (#38856), *R55G08-Gal4* (#50422), *R59A12-Gal4* (#39206), *R59E08-Gal4* (#39219), *R67B06-Gal4* (#48294), *R74C08-Gal4* (#46711) *R83A10-Gal4* (#48371), *R83H12-Gal4* (#40374), *R91A12-Gal4* (#40573), *10×UAS-IVS-mCD8::GFP* (#32187), *10×UAS-IVS-mCD8::GFP* (#32188), *UAS-DenMark*, *UAS-syt.eGFP* (#33064), *R52B10-LexA* (#52826), *R59E08-LexA* (#52832), *13×LexAop2-post-t-GRASP*, *20×UAS-pre-t-GRASP* (#79040), *UAS-CD4-spGFP1-10*, *lexAop-CD4-spGFP11* (#58755), *UAS-Dop2R-RNAi* (#50621) and *y, v; P{CaryP}attP40* (control line for TRiP RNAi lines, #36304) were obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center, Indiana University, Indiana, USA. *UAS-Dop2R-RNAi* (VDRC ID: 1820), *UAS-Dicer-2* (60008) and *w^{1118}* (the genetic background for VDRC RNAi lines, 60000) were from the Vienna *Drosophila* RNAi Center (VDRC). *P{neoFRT}19A* (stock number: 106482) and *P{neoFRT}19A, tubP-GAL80, hsFLP; Pin^{Yt}*/CyO (#108064) were from the Kyoto Stock Center, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Kyoto, Japan.

Locomotor activity and sleep analysis

Locomotor activity of individual fly was measured using the *Drosophila* activity monitoring system

(TriKinetics, Waltham, MA) as described previously.   Flies were placed individually in glass tubes

(length, 65 mm; inside diameter, 3 mm) containing either standard medium or sucrose-agar medium

(5% sucrose and 1% agar) at one end and were entrained for at least 3 days to LD cycles before

transferring to constant dark (DD) conditions.   Two- to four-day-old male flies were used except for

MARCM experiments.   Activity data were recorded continuously at 1-min intervals under both LD

and DD conditions.   *Drosophila* sleep was defined as continuous immobile periods lasting 5 min or

longer based on previous reports (Hendricks et al., 2000; Shaw et al., 2000; Huber et al., 2004; Kume

et al., 2005).   Total activity counts, total amount of sleep and waking activity index were analyzed

by Microsoft Excel-based software as previously described (Kume et al., 2005) and averaged over 3

days.   For conditional dTrpA1 activation experiments, control and experimental flies were grown at

22ºC.   Sleep measurements were performed in DD following LD cycles.   At circadian time (CT) 0

(the beginning of a subjective day), temperature was raised from 22ºC to 29ºC for 24 h or 48 h to

activate dTrpA1-expressing neurons and then returned to 22ºC.

Video tracking of locomotion

Offspring from the control (*R59E08-Gal4 × tsh-Gal80*) and the experimental (*R59E08-Gal4 × tsh-*

Gal80; *UAS-dTrpA1*) crosses were housed in glass tubes and entrained to an LD cycle at 22ºC.   After

entrainment, these flies were maintained in DD with illumination by near-infrared LEDs.   At CT 0

on day 2 in DD, flies were transferred from 22ºC to 29ºC for 12 h to activate dTrpA1.   The behavior

of flies was recorded at 2 frames/sec using a USB camera (Flea3 USB3.0: FL3-U3-13Y3M-C, Point

Grey Research Inc., Richmond, BC, Canada) fitted with a macro zoom lens (MLH-10X, computer,

Tokyo, Japan) during late subjective day (CT 8 to CT 12) at 29ºC.   The captured images were

converted into 8-bit grayscale images.   A composite background image was subtracted from each

video image.   The position of individual fly was calculated for each subtracted image using the

Particle Tracker 2D/3D, an ImageJ plugin (Sbalzarini and Koumoutsakos, 2005).

The MARCM system

To generate flies for MARCM, female flies carrying *FRT19A, tub-GAL80, hs-FLP*; *UAS-dTrpA1* (X ;

II) crossed to males carrying *FRT19A*; *R52B10-Gal4, 10×UAS-IVS-mCD8::GFP* (X ; III) at 22ºC.

Following egg-laying for 48 h, embryos or first instar larvae were heat-shocked at 37ºC for 1 h to

induce FLP-mediated recombination across two FRT sites.   The amount of sleep in mosaic female

flies was measured at 22ºC and 29ºC under DD conditions.   After sleep analysis, GFP expression

co-expressed with dTrpA1 in the brains was immunohistochemically determined.

Immunohistochemistry

To detect GFP expression in the MARCM experiments and reconstituted GFP signals in the t-GRASP

and GRASP experiments, whole-mount immunofluorescence staining of adult brains was performed

as described (Wu and Luo, 2006).   For determination of GFP expression, GFP polyclonal antibody

(A6455, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used at 1:250 dilution and goat anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa Fluor

568 (A11036, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used at 1:200 dilution as secondary antibodies.   We

used GFP recombinant rabbit monoclonal antibody (G10362, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 1:300

dilution and monoclonal anti-GFP, clone GFP-20 (G6539, Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:100 dilution for

detection of reconstituted GFP signals in t-GRASP and GRASP experiments, respectively.   Alexa

Fluor 488, goat anti-rabbit IgG (A11008, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and goat anti-mouse IgG (A11001,

Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used at 1:200 dilution as secondary antibodies.

Confocal imaging

Immunostained brain tissues were imaged by laser scanning confocal microscopes Zeiss LSM 510 or

LSM 800 (Carl Zeiss).   Adult brains and ventral nerve cords expressing *mCD8::GFP* or *syt-GFP*

under the control of Gal4 were dissected and scanned by the confocal microscopes without staining.

In Figure 3A and Figure 4A, to examine the inhibitory effect of *tsh-Gal80* on the Gal4 activity of

R59E08-Gal4 and *R52B10-Gal4*, the Gal4-driven *mCD8::GFP* expression in the brains or the ventral

nerve cords in the presence and absence of *tsh-Gal80* were imaged and processed in parallel using

identical settings on a confocal microscope.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed as described in the figure legends using Microsoft Excel and R: a language and

environment for statistical computing (R Core Team, 2020, https://www.R-project.org/).

References

References

Alekseyenko, O. V., Chan, Y.-B., Li, R., and Kravitz, E. A. (2013). Single dopaminergic neurons
that modulate aggression in Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 6151–6.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1303446110.

Allada, R., and Siegel, J. M. (2008). Unearthing the phylogenetic roots of sleep. *Curr. Biol.* 18,
R670–R679. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.06.033.

Andretic, R., van Swinderen, B., and Greenspan, R. J. (2005). Dopaminergic modulation of arousal
in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 15, 1165–75. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.05.025.

Buchanan, S. M., Kain, J. S., and de Bivort, B. L. (2015). Neuronal control of locomotor
handedness in Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112, 6700–6705.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1500804112.

Campbell, S. S., and Tobler, I. (1984). Animal sleep: a review of sleep duration across phylogeny.
Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 8, 269–300. doi:10.1016/0149-7634(84)90054-x.

Cirelli, C., and Tononi, G. (2008). Is sleep essential? *PLoS Biol.* 6, e216.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060216.

Clyne, J. D., and Miesenböck, G. (2008). Sex-specific control and tuning of the pattern generator
for courtship song in Drosophila. *Cell* 133, 354–63. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.01.050.

Dag, U., Lei, Z., Le, J. Q., Wong, A., Bushey, D., and Keleman, K. (2019). Neuronal reactivation
during post-learning sleep consolidates long-term memory in Drosophila. *Elife* 8.
doi:10.7554/eLife.42786.

Deng, B., Li, Q., Liu, X., Cao, Y., Li, B., Qian, Y., et al. (2019). Chemoconnectomics: Mapping
Chemical Transmission in Drosophila. *Neuron* 101, 876-893.e4.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.045.

Donlea, J. M., Pimentel, D., and Miesenböck, G. (2014). Neuronal machinery of sleep homeostasis
in Drosophila. *Neuron* 81, 860–72. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.12.013.

Donlea, J. M., Pimentel, D., Talbot, C. B., Kempf, A., Omoto, J. J., Hartenstein, V., et al. (2018).

Recurrent Circuitry for Balancing Sleep Need and Sleep. *Neuron* 97, 378-389.e4.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.016.

Donlea, J. M., Thimgan, M. S., Suzuki, Y., Gottschalk, L., and Shaw, P. J. (2011). Inducing sleep

by remote control facilitates memory consolidation in Drosophila. *Science* 332, 1571–6.

doi:10.1126/science.1202249.

Duhart, J. M., Baccini, V., Zhang, Y., Machado, D. R., and Koh, K. (2020). Modulation of sleep-

courtship balance by nutritional status in Drosophila. *Elife* 9. doi:10.7554/eLife.60853.

Feinberg, E. H., Vanhoven, M. K., Bendesky, A., Wang, G., Fetter, R. D., Shen, K., et al. (2008).

GFP Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP) defines cell contacts and synapses in

living nervous systems. *Neuron* 57, 353–63. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.11.030.

Franconville, R., Beron, C., and Jayaraman, V. (2018). Building a functional connectome of the

drosophila central complex. *Elife*. doi:10.7554/eLife.37017.

Guo, F., Holla, M., Díaz, M. M., and Rosbash, M. (2018). A Circadian Output Circuit Controls

Sleep-Wake Arousal in Drosophila. *Neuron* 100, 624-635.e4.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.002.

Heinze, S., and Reppert, S. M. (2011). Sun compass integration of skylight cues in migratory

monarch butterflies. *Neuron* 69, 345–58. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.025.

Hendricks, J. C., Finn, S. M., Panckeri, K. A., Chavkin, J., Williams, J. A., Sehgal, A., et al. (2000).

Rest in Drosophila is a sleep-like state. *Neuron* 25, 129–38. doi:10.1016/s0896-

6273(00)80877-6.

Homberg, U. (2008). Evolution of the central complex in the arthropod brain with respect to the

visual system. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* 37, 347–62. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2008.01.008.

Homberg, U., Heinze, S., Pfeiffer, K., Kinoshita, M., and el Jundi, B. (2011). Central neural coding

of sky polarization in insects. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 366, 680–7.

doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0199.

Huber, R., Hill, S. L., Holladay, C., Biesiadecki, M., Tononi, G., and Cirelli, C. (2004). Sleep

homeostasis in Drosophila melanogaster. *Sleep* 27, 628–39. doi:10.1093/sleep/27.4.628.

Jenett, A., Rubin, G. M., Ngo, T.-T. B., Shepherd, D., Murphy, C., Dionne, H., et al. (2012). A
GAL4-Driver Line Resource for Drosophila Neurobiology. *Cell Rep.* 2, 991–1001.
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2012.09.011.

Joiner, W. J., Crocker, A., White, B. H., and Sehgal, A. (2006). Sleep in Drosophila is regulated by
adult mushroom bodies. *Nature* 441, 757–760. doi:10.1038/nature04811.

Kayser, M. S., Mainwaring, B., Yue, Z., and Sehgal, A. (2015). Sleep deprivation suppresses
aggression in Drosophila. *Elife* 4. doi:10.7554/eLife.07643.

Krashes, M. J., Keene, A. C., Leung, B., Armstrong, J. D., and Waddell, S. (2007). Sequential use
of mushroom body neuron subsets during drosophila odor memory processing. *Neuron* 53,
103–15. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.11.021.

Kume, K., Kume, S., Park, S. K., Hirsh, J., and Jackson, F. R. (2005). Dopamine is a regulator of
arousal in the fruit fly. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 7377–84. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2048-05.2005.

Lamaze, A., Krätschmer, P., Chen, K.-F., Lowe, S., and Jepson, J. E. C. (2018). A Wake-Promoting
Circadian Output Circuit in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 3098-3105.e3.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.07.024.

Lin, C.-Y., Chuang, C.-C., Hua, T.-E., Chen, C.-C., Dickson, B. J., Greenspan, R. J., et al. (2013).
A comprehensive wiring diagram of the protocerebral bridge for visual information processing
in the Drosophila brain. *Cell Rep.* 3, 1739–53. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.04.022.

Liu, Q., Liu, S., Kodama, L., Driscoll, M. R., and Wu, M. N. (2012). Two dopaminergic neurons
signal to the dorsal fan-shaped body to promote wakefulness in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 22,
2114–23. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.09.008.

Liu, S., Liu, Q., Tabuchi, M., and Wu, M. N. (2016). Sleep Drive Is Encoded by Neural Plastic
Changes in a Dedicated Circuit. *Cell* 165, 1347–1360. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.013.

Martin, J. R., Raabe, T., and Heisenberg, M. (1999). Central complex substructures are required for
the maintenance of locomotor activity in Drosophila melanogaster. *J. Comp. Physiol. A.* 185,
277–88. doi:10.1007/s003590050387.

Nässel, D. R., and Elekes, K. (1992). Aminergic neurons in the brain of blowflies and Drosophila:

dopamine- and tyrosine hydroxylase-immunoreactive neurons and their relationship with

putative histaminergic neurons. *Cell Tissue Res.* doi:10.1007/BF00318701.

Ni, J. D., Gurav, A. S., Liu, W., Ogunmowo, T. H., Hackbart, H., Elsheikh, A., et al. (2019).

Differential regulation of the Drosophila sleep homeostat by circadian and arousal inputs. *Elife*

8. doi:10.7554/eLife.40487.

Nicolai, L. J. J., Ramaekers, A., Raemaekers, T., Drozdzecki, A., Mauss, A. S., Yan, J., et al.

(2010). Genetically encoded dendritic marker sheds light on neuronal connectivity in

Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 20553–20558. doi:10.1073/pnas.1010198107.

Pimentel, D., Donlea, J. M., Talbot, C. B., Song, S. M., Thurston, A. J. F., and Miesenböck, G.

(2016). Operation of a homeostatic sleep switch. *Nature* 536, 333–337.

doi:10.1038/nature19055.

Pitman, J. L., McGill, J. J., Keegan, K. P., and Allada, R. (2006). A dynamic role for the mushroom

bodies in promoting sleep in Drosophila. *Nature* 441, 753–756. doi:10.1038/nature04739.

Ren, G. R., Folke, J., Hauser, F., Li, S., and Grimmelikhuijzen, C. J. P. (2015). The A- and B-type

muscarinic acetylcholine receptors from Drosophila melanogaster couple to different second

messenger pathways. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 462, 358–64.

doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.04.141.

Sakai, T., Kasuya, J., Kitamoto, T., and Aigaki, T. (2009). The Drosophila TRPA channel, Painless,

regulates sexual receptivity in virgin females. *Genes. Brain. Behav.* 8, 546–57.

doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2009.00503.x.

Sbalzarini, I. F., and Koumoutsakos, P. (2005). Feature point tracking and trajectory analysis for

video imaging in cell biology. *J. Struct. Biol.* 151, 182–95. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2005.06.002.

Shaw, P. J., Cirelli, C., Greenspan, R. J., and Tononi, G. (2000). Correlates of sleep and waking in

Drosophila melanogaster. *Science* 287, 1834–7. doi:10.1126/science.287.5459.1834.

Shearin, H. K., Quinn, C. D., Mackin, R. D., Macdonald, I. S., and Stowers, R. S. (2018). t-GRASP,

a targeted GRASP for assessing neuronal connectivity. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 306, 94–102.

doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2018.05.014.

Sitaraman, D., Aso, Y., Jin, X., Chen, N., Felix, M., Rubin, G. M., et al. (2015a). Propagation of

Homeostatic Sleep Signals by Segregated Synaptic Microcircuits of the Drosophila Mushroom

Body. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 2915–27. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.09.017.

Sitaraman, D., Aso, Y., Rubin, G. M., and Nitabach, M. N. (2015b). Control of Sleep by

Dopaminergic Inputs to the Drosophila Mushroom Body. *Front. Neural Circuits* 9, 73.

doi:10.3389/fncir.2015.00073.

Strauss, R., Hanesch, U., Kinkelin, M., Wolf, R., and Heisenberg, M. (1992). No-bridge of

Drosophila melanogaster: portrait of a structural brain mutant of the central complex. *J.*

Neurogenet. 8, 125–55. doi:10.3109/01677069209083444.

Strauss, R., and Heisenberg, M. (1993). A higher control center of locomotor behavior in the

Drosophila brain. *J. Neurosci.* 13, 1852–61. Available at:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8478679 [Accessed January 31, 2020].

Triphan, T., Poeck, B., Neuser, K., and Strauss, R. (2010). Visual targeting of motor actions in

climbing Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 663–8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.02.055.

Ueno, T., Tomita, J., Tanimoto, H., Endo, K., Ito, K., Kume, S., et al. (2012). Identification of a

dopamine pathway that regulates sleep and arousal in Drosophila. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 1516–

1523. Available at: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nn.3238.

von Philipsborn, A. C., Liu, T., Yu, J. Y., Masser, C., Bidaye, S. S., and Dickson, B. J. (2011).

Neuronal control of Drosophila courtship song. *Neuron* 69, 509–22.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.011.

Wolff, T., Iyer, N. A., and Rubin, G. M. (2015). Neuroarchitecture and neuroanatomy of the

Drosophila central complex: A GAL4-based dissection of protocerebral bridge neurons and

circuits. *J. Comp. Neurol.* doi:10.1002/cne.23705.

Wu, J. S., and Luo, L. (2006). A protocol for dissecting Drosophila melanogaster brains for live

imaging or immunostaining. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 2110–2115. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.336.

Young, J. M., and Armstrong, J. D. (2010). Structure of the adult central complex in Drosophila:

organization of distinct neuronal subsets. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 518, 1500–24.

doi:10.1002/cne.22284.

Yu, J. Y., Kanai, M. I., Demir, E., Jefferis, G. S. X. E., and Dickson, B. J. (2010). Cellular

organization of the neural circuit that drives Drosophila courtship behavior. *Curr. Biol.* 20,

1602–14. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.08.025.

Zhang, Y. Q., Rodesch, C. K., and Broadie, K. (2002). Living synaptic vesicle marker:

synaptotagmin-GFP. *Genesis* 34, 142–5. doi:10.1002/gene.10144.