European Primary Forest Database (EPFD) v2.0

Authors

Francesco Maria Sabatini[1,2†]; Hendrik Bluhm[3]; Zoltan Kun[4]; Dmitry Aksenov[5]; José A. Atauri[6];

Erik Buchwald[7]; Sabina Burrascano[8]; Eugénie Cateau[9]; Abdulla Diku[10]; Inês Marques Duarte[11];

Ángel B. Fernández López[12]; Matteo Garbarino[13]; Nikolaos Grigoriadis[14]; Ferenc Horváth[15];

Srđan Keren[16]; Mara Kitenberga[17]; Alen Kiš[18]; Ann Kraut[19]; Pierre L. Ibisch[20]; Laurent

---

Affiliations

[1] German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) - Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Puschstrasse 4 04103 Leipzig, Germany. francesco.sabatini@botanik.uni-halle.de; ORCID 0000-0002-7202-7697

[2] Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Institut für Biologie. Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle, Germany

[3] Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Geography Department, Unter den Linden 6, 10099, Berlin, Germany. hendrik.bluhm@geo.hu-berlin.de. 0000-0001-7809-3321

[4] Frankfurt Zoological Society

[5] NGO "Transparent World", Rossolimo str. 5/22, building 1, 119021, Moscow, Russia. picea2k@gmail.com

[6] EUROPARC-Spain/Fundación Fernando González Bernáldez. ICEI Edificio A. Campus de Somosaguas. E28224 Pozuelo de Alarcón, Spain. jose.atauri@redeuroparc.org

[7] The Danish Nature Agency, Gjøddinggård, Førstballevej 2, DK-7183 Randbøl, Denmark; ecb@nst.dk. ORCID 0000-0002-5590-6390

[8] Sapienza University of Rome, Department of Environmental Biology, P.le Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Rome, Italy. ORCID 0000-0002-6537-3313

[9] Réserves Naturelles de France, La Bourdonnerie, Dijon cedex, 21000, France. eugenie.cateau-rnf@espaces-naturels.fr

[10] PSEDA-ILIRIA. Forestry department, Tirana 1000, Albania. adiku@hotmail.com

[11] Centre for Applied Ecology "Professor Baeta Neves" (CEABN), InBIO, School of Agriculture, University of Lisbon, Tapada da Ajuda 1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal. inesmarquesduarte@gmail.com; ORCID 0000-0002-1524-5487

[12] Parque Nacional de Garajonay. Avda. V Centenario, edif. Las Creces, local 1, portal3, 38800 San Sebastian de La Gomera, Tenerife, Spain. aferlop@gobiernodecanarias.org

[13] University of Torino, Department DISAFA L.go Paolo Braccini 2, Grugliasco 10095, Italy. matteo.garbarino@unito.it, ORCID 0000-0002-9010-1731

[14] Forest Research Institute, Vassilika, 57006, Thessaloniki, Greece. nikosforest@yahoo.gr

[15] Centre for Ecological Research, Institute of Ecology and Botany, Alkotmány u. 2-4., 2163 Vácrátót, Hungary. horvath.ferenc@okologia.mta.hu; ORCID 0000-0002-1317-1269

[16] Faculty of Forestry, University of Agriculture in Krakow, aleja 29-Listopada 46, 31-415 Krakow, Poland. srdan.keren@urk.edu.pl; ORCID 0000-0001-6589-1295

[17] Latvian State Forest Research Institute "Silava", Rigas street 111, Salaspils, Latvia, LV-2169. mara.kitenberga@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0002-6192-988X

[18] Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina Province, Novi Sad, Radnička 20a, 21000, Serbia. alenkis73@gmail.com. ORCID: 0000-0002-5230-1652

[19] University of Tartu, Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, Vanemuise 46, EE-51014 Tartu, Estonia; ann.kraut@ut.ee, ORCID: 0000-0002-7403-7565

[20] Centre for Econics and Ecosystem Management, Faculty of Forest and Environment, Eberswalde University for Sustainable Development, Alfred-Möller-Str. 1, 16225 Eberswalde, Germany, pierre.ibisch@hnee.de

Larrieu[21,22]; Fabio Lombardi[23]; Bratislav Matovic[24]; Radu Nicolae Melu[25]; Peter Meyer[26]; Rein

Midteng[27]; Stjepan Mikac[28]; Martin Mikoláš[29,30]; Gintautas Mozgeris[31]; Momchil Panayotov[32];

Rok Pisek[33]; Leónia Nunes[34]; Alejandro Ruete[35]; Matthias Schickhofer[36]; Bojan Simovski[37];

Jonas Stillhard[38]; Dejan Stojanovic[39]; Jerzy Szwagrzyk[40]; Olli-Pekka Tikkanen[41]; Elvin

Toromani[42]; Roman Volosyanchuk[43,44]; Tomáš Vrška[45]; Marcus Waldherr[46]; Maxim

Yermokhin[47]; Tzvetan Zlatanov[48]; Asiya Zagidullina[49]; Tobias Kuemmerle[50]

[21] Université de Toulouse, INRAE, UMR DYNAFOR, 24 Chemin de Borde-Rouge Auzeville CS 52627. Castanet-Tolosan, 31326, France. laurent.larrieu@inrae.fr. ORCID: 0000-0002-9050-0281

[22] CRPF-Occitanie, antenne de Tarbes, place du foirail, 65000 Tarbes, France

[23] Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, Agraria Department, Loc. Feo di Vito, 89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy. fabio.lombardi@unirc.it; ORCID: 0000-0003-3517-5890

[24] University of Novi Sad, Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Antona Cehova 13d, Novi Sad, 21102, Serbia. bratislav.matovic@uns.ac.rs

[25] World Wide Fund for nature (CEE), Lunga street 190, Brasov, 500051, Romania. rmelu@wwf.ro

[26] Northwest German Forest Research Institute, Department Forest Nature Conservation, Professor-Oelkers-Straße 6, 34346. Hann. Münden, Germany, peter.meyer@nw-fva.de; ORCID: 0000-0003-4200-4993

[27] Asplan Viak A.S.Kjörboveien 20, postboks 24, N-1300 Sandvika, Norway. rein.midteng@asplanviak.no

[28] University of Zagreb, Faculty of Forestry, Svetosimunska cesta 25, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. smikac@sumfak.hr, ORCID: 0000-0002-4470-7898

[29] Czech University of Life Sciences, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Kamýcka cesta 1176, CZ-16521 Praha6-Suchdol, Czech Republic. mikolasm@fld.czu.cz

[30] PRALES, Odtrnovie 563, SK-01322 Rosina, Slovakia

[31] Vytautas Magnus University, K. Donelaičio g. 58, LT-44248 Kaunas, Lithuania, gintautas.mozgeris@vdu.lt, ORCID: 0000-0002-8480-6006

[32] University of Forestry, Dendrology Department, bulevard "Sveti Kliment Ohridski" 10, 1756 Sofia, Bulgaria, panayotov.m@ltu.bg; ORCID: 0000-0003-1600-9352

[33] Slovenia Forest Service, Department for forest management planning, Vecna pot 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. rok.pisek@zgs.si; ORCID: 0000-0002-8150-1514

[34] Centre for Applied Ecology "Professor Baeta Neves" (CEABN), InBIO, School of Agriculture, University of Lisbon, Tapada da Ajuda 1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal. lnunes@isa.ulisboa.pt; ORCID 0000-0002-2617-0468

[35] Greensway AB, Ulls väg 24A. 756 51 Uppsala. Sweden. aleruete@gmail.com, ORCID 0000-0001-7681-2812

[36] Freelance forest expert and book author. matthias.schickhofer@supportingchange.org

[37] Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Hans Em Faculty of Forest Sciences, Landscape Architecture and Environmental Engineering, Department of Botany and Dendrology, P.O. Box 235, MK-1000 Skopje, North Macedonia. bsimovski@sf.ukim.edu.mk; ORCID 0000-0003-2905-1971

[38] Swiss Federal Research Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Forest Resources and Management, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland, jonas.stillhard@wsl.ch ORCID: 0000-0001-8850-4817

[39] University of Novi Sad, Institute of Lowland Forestry and Environment, Antona Cehova 13d, Novi Sad, 21000, Serbia. dejan.stojanovic@uns.ac.rs

[40] Department of Forest Biodiversity, University of Agriculture, Kraków, Poland, rlszwagr@cyf-kr.edu.pl

[41] University of Eastern Finland, School of forest Sciences, Yliopistokatu 7, 80100 Joensuu, Finland. olli-pekka.tikkanen@uef.fi

[42] Agricultural University of Tirana, Forestry Department, Kodër Kamëz, SH1, 1029 Tirana, Albania. etoromani@ubt.edu.al

[43] World Wide Fund for nature (DCP) Ukraine, Mushaka 48, Lviv, 79011, Ukraine. volosyanchuk@yahoo.com

14   †corresponding author: francesco.sabatini@botanik.uni-halle.de

[44] Ecosphera NGO, Kapushans'ka 82a, Uzhhorod, 88000, Ukraine. volosyanchuk@yahoo.com

[45] Silva Tarouca Research Institute, Department of Forest Ecology, Lidická 25/27, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic, tomas.vrska@vukoz.cz

[46] Centre for Econics and Ecosystem Management, Faculty of Forest and Environment, Eberswalde University for Sustainable Development, Alfred-Möller-Str. 1, 16225 Eberswalde, Germany. marcus.waldherr@hnee.de

[47] Institute of Experimental Botany of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Laboratory of Productivity & Stability of Plant Communities, 220072, Academicheskaya St. 27, Minsk, Belarus, maxim.yermokhin@gmail.com

[48] Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2 Gagarin Street, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria, tmzlatanov@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0003-4205-3429

[49] Saint-Petersburg State University, Department of Vegetation Science, University Embankment, 7/9, St Petersburg, 199034, Russia, azagidullina@gmail.com

[50] Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Geography Department & Integrative Research Institute on Transformation in Human-Environment Systems, Unter den Linden 6, 10099, Berlin, Germany. tobias.kuemmerle@geo.hu-berlin.de; ORCID 0000-0002-9775-142X

Abstract

Primary forests are scarce in Europe and continue to disappear at an alarming rate.

Despite these losses, we know little about where such forests still occur. Here, we present an

updated geodatabase and map of Europe's known primary forests. Our geodatabase

harmonizes 48 different datasets of primary forests, and contains 18,411 individual patches

(41.1 Mha) spread across 33 countries. When available, we provide information on each

patch (name, location, naturalness, extent and dominant tree species) and the surrounding

landscape (biogeographical regions, protection status, potential natural vegetation, current

forest extent). To assess the robustness of our geodatabase, we checked each patch for

forest disturbance events using Landsat satellite-image time series (1985-2018). We estimate

that 94% of the patches in our database did not experience significant disturbances that

would alter their primary forest status in the last 30 year. Our database is the most

comprehensive dataset on primary forests in Europe, and will be useful for biogeographic

and ecological studies, and conservation planning to safeguard these unique forests.

Background & Summary

Primary forests are composed of native tree species without clearly visible

indications of human activity and with intact ecological processes[1,2]. The importance of such

forests is widely recognized[3,4]. First, they provide refuge to forest biodiversity[5], and act as a

buffer to species loss in human-dominated landscapes[6]. Second, primary forests play an

important role in climate change mitigation. At the local scale, they buffer the adverse

effects of increasing temperature on understory biodiversity, as they often have cooler

forest-floor summer temperatures compared to secondary forests[7]. At the global scale they

contribute to climate stability by storing large quantities of carbon, both in the biomass and

in soils[3,8,9]. Third, primary forests often serve as a reference for developing close-to-nature

forest management, or for benchmarking restoration efforts[10]. Finally, these forests are an

irreplaceable part of our natural heritage, shape the cultural identities of local communities,

and have a high intrinsic value[11].

In Europe, as in many human-dominated regions, most forested area is currently

managed[12], often with increasing harvest intensities[13,14]. As a result, despite the general

trend of increasing total forest area, primary forests are scarce and continue to disappear[15].

For instance, Romania hosts some of the largest swaths of primary forest in Central Europe

and faced a sharp increase in logging rates since 2000. This has resulted in significant primary

forest loss, even within protected areas[15-17]. In Poland, the iconic Białowieża Forest was

recently in the spotlight after the controversial decision from the Polish National Forest

Holding, now nullified by the Court of Justice of the European Union[18], to implement salvage

logging followed by tree planting after a bark beetle outbreak[19]. Widespread loss of primary

forests also occurred in Ukraine[20], Slovakia[21], or in the boreal North, e.g., in the Russian

North-West, where 4.6 Mha of primary forest were lost since 2001[15,22]. Effective protection

of Europe's primary forests is therefore urgently needed[23].

In the newly released 'Biodiversity Strategy for 2030', the European Commission

emphasized the need to define, map, monitor and strictly protect all of the EU's remaining

primary and old-growth forests[4]. Reaching these objectives requires complete and up-to-

date data on primary forests' location and protection status. Such data could inform both

conservation planning and research, for instance by highlighting areas where primary forests

are either scarce, or poorly studied. Yet, many data gaps remain on the location and

conservation status of EU's primary forests[23]. Only a few countries conducted systematic, on-

the-ground inventories[21,24]. For most countries data are either only available for a few well-

studied forests[25-27], or are limited to the distribution of potential (=unconfirmed) primary

forests, typically predicted statistically or via remote sensing[28-30]. Despite past efforts for

harmonizing data[31,32], only recently has the first map of primary forests been released for

Europe[33] together with a first assessment of their conservation status[23].

The first version of our European Primary Forest database (EPFD v1.0) included 32

local-to-national datasets, plus data from a literature review and a survey, resulting in the

mapping of a total of ~1.4 Mha of primary forest[33]. This is only about one fifth of the

estimated 7.3 Mha of undisturbed forest still occurring in Europe, excluding Russia[12]. Here,

we build on those efforts to substantially progress towards a complete EPFD, as well as to

release the data open-access[34]. Key improvements of this new database include (a) filling

major regional gaps, including European Russia, the Balkan Peninsula, the Pyrenees and the

Baltic region, (2) mapping 'potential' primary forests for Sweden and Norway, two key

regions where complete inventories are currently unavailable, and (3) updating our literature

review to January 2019.

EPFD v2.0 thus aggregates and harmonizes 48 regional-to-continental spatial

datasets, contains 18,411 non-overlapping primary forest patches (plus 299 point features)

covering an area of 41.1 Mha (37.4 Mha in European Russia alone; Figure 1) across 33

countries (Table 1). Potential primary forests for Sweden and Norway account for an

additional 16,311 polygons and 2.5 Mha (Figure 2).

Figure 1 - *Overview of the primary forest patches contained in the EPFD v2.0. Both points and polygons were*
magnified to improve visibility.

85
86

88   *Figure 2 - Overview of the maps of potential primary forests of Sweden and Norway.*

90   *Table 1 - Summary of primary forest data across European countries. Dataset IDs correspond to those in Table 2.*
91   ** Some point features have no information on forest patch area.*

Country	Num. features (Polygons\Points)	Tot. estimated area (1,000 ha)	Sources
Albania	13\6	13.36	0, 1, 47, 54
Austria	34\2	1.46	9, 35, 49
Belarus	3\0	188.29	46
Bosnia and Herzegovina	4\12	4.1	0, 2, 50, 53
Bulgaria	483\2	56.77	0, 3, 4, 35
Croatia	45\3	6.24	0, 5, 9
Czechia	86\10	9.07*	0, 6, 9
Denmark	0\24	1.68	7
Estonia	0\29	0.05*	0, 8
Finland	1,008\3	2,817.36*	0, 12, 38, 39
France	106\7	10.86*	0, 13, 14, 35, 37
Germany	25\21	13.65*	0, 9, 15, 35
Greece	5\2	1.75*	0, 16
Italy	86\12	6.84*	0, 18, 35, 55

Latvia	3\0	4.79	40
Lithuania	20\0	32.05	19
Moldova	0\1	0.03	35
Montenegro	2\0	2.85	2, 50
Netherlands	3\0	0.08	36
North Macedonia	5\1	0.81	1, 20
Norway	240\1	280.05*	0, 21, 36, 43
Poland	66\5	21.15*	0, 22, 35
Portugal	32\21	15.75*	23, 24
Romania	3,571\6	59.11*	0, 1, 25, 32, 33, 35
Russian Federation	3,082\3	37,417.69*	0, 51
Serbia	14\4	7.78	0, 35, 36, 44, 45
Slovakia	290\4	10.98	0, 9, 26
Slovenia	170\1	9.53	0, 27
Spain	44\58	9.4*	0, 41, 52
Sweden	0\51	32.81*	0, 29, 35
Switzerland	5\5	2.29	0, 30, 35
Ukraine	8,966\3	97.8*	0, 1, 32
United Kingdom	0\2	0.1	9
Total	18,411\299	41,136.53*	

Methods

To define primary forests, we integrated the FAO definition of primary forests[1], with

the framework proposed by Buchwald [35]. In this framework, the term "primary forest"

includes all forests where the signs of former human impacts, if any, are strongly blurred due

to decades (at least 60-80 years) without forestry operations[35]. 'Primary forests' is therefore

an umbrella term to include forests with different levels of naturalness, such as primeval,

virgin, near-virgin, old-growth and long-untouched forests[35]. Our definition of primary

forests, therefore, does not imply that these forests were never cleared or disturbed by

humans, and includes, beside late-successional forests, also early seral stages and young

forests that originated after natural disturbances and natural regeneration, without

subsequent management. In case of large forest tracts (>250 ha) with high naturalness, our

definition also allows forest polygons that include land temporarily or permanently not

covered by trees.

To create the EPFD v2.0, we first expanded and updated the literature review on

primary forests we had originally carried out for EPFD v1.0[33], which only considered the

period 2000-2017, and did not consider European Russia. Specifically, we added all scientific

studies published between January 2000 and January 2019 for Russia, and those published in

2017-2019 for the rest of Europe. We identified relevant publications in the ISI Web of

Knowledge using the search terms "(primary OR virgin OR old-growth OR primeval) AND

forest*" in the title field. In line with [33], we deliberately excluded terms such as

"unmanaged" (meaning: not under active management), "ancient" (never cleared for

agriculture) or "natural" (stocked with naturally regenerated native trees). These terms

indicate conditions that are necessary, but not sufficient for considering a forest as primary.

Finally, we refined our search using geographical and subject filters. The literature search

returned 122 candidate papers. After screening their content, we added 23 additional

primary forest stands (10 in European Russia, 13 in the rest of Europe), from 13 studies (four

from European Russia, and nine from the rest of Europe).

Building the EPFD v1.0[33] involved reaching out to 134 forest experts. For v2.0 we

contacted an additional 75 experts with knowledge on forests or forestry, and invited them

to add spatially-explicit data on primary forests to our database. We focussed on experts

from geographical regions poorly covered in v1.0. We received 56 answers, which led to the

incorporation of 19 new datasets in our map. Given the context-dependency of definitions

used in regional mapping projects, new datasets were only included if we could find an

explicit equivalence between country-specific forest definitions and our definition

framework[35].

We integrated all data into a geodatabase, which contains primary forests either as

polygons (if information on the forest boundary was available) or point locations (when

having only a centroid). We set 0.5 hectares as minimum mapping unit. If available, we

included a set of basic descriptors for each patch: name, location, naturalness level (based

on [35]), extent, dominant tree species, disturbance history and protection status. In total,

our map harmonized 48 regional-to-continental datasets of primary forests (Table 2). All

data is open-access[34]. Besides, we retrieved three additional datasets that we kept

confidential, either for conservation or copyright reasons. These datasets are: 'Hungarian

Forest Reserve monitoring' (ID 17, custodian: Ferenc Horváth); 'Ancient and Primeval Beech

Forests of the Carpathians and Other Regions of Europe'[36,37] (ID 34, custodian: UNESCO), and

'Potential OGF and primary forest in Austria' (ID 48, custodian: Matthias Schickhofer).

Additional non-open access polygons also exist for the dataset 'Strict Forest Reserves in

Switzerland' (ID 30, custodian: Jonas Stillhard). These data are referred to here for

transparency, but are not included in the statistics and summaries reported in this paper.

Table 2 - Synthetic description of datasets retrieved. ID codes are not consecutive. * Some point features have no information on forest patch area. † Overlapping areas across different datasets are double-counted.

ID	Dataset name	Custodian	Num. features (Polygons\Points)	Tot. estimated area (1,000 ha)†	Source
0	Literature Review - Primary Forest of Europe	Francesco Maria Sabatini	0\106	85.83*	33
1	Forest Ecology Group CULS – REMOTE primary forests	Martin Mikolas	22\0	1.91	33,38-40
2	LomJanPerBio	Matteo Garbarino & Renzo Motta	4\0	4.45	33,41-44
3	WWF - Old-growth forests in Bulgaria	Tzvetan Zlatanov	129\0	51.93	33,45
4	Coniferous Old-growth forest of Rila and Pirin NP, Bulgaria	Momchil Panayotov	363\0	3.3	33,46
5	Croatian OG forests reserve	Stjepan Mikac	46\0	7.28	33
6	Czech natural forests databank	Dušan Adam; Tomas Vrska	86\0	8.17	33,47
7	Old-growth & long untouched forests of Denmark	Erik Buchwald	0\24	1.68	33
8	Hemiboreal old-growth forests of Estonia	Ann Kraut	0\23	0.05	33,48
9	High Value Beech Forest in Europe	Fabio Lombardi	0\10	0.57	33,49
12	Publicly available data on OG forests of Finland	Olli-Pekka Tikkanen	681\0	2740.5	Derived from [50]; 33
13	WWF - Hauts lieux de naturalité en France	Daniel Vallauri	49\0	0.19	33,51,52
14	RNF	Eugénie Cateau	7\0	5.31	33,53
15	Naturwaldreservate & Weltnaturerbe Buchenwälder in Deutschland	Peter Meyer	24\7	5.81*	33,54
16	World Heritage Beech Forests of Europe - Greek candidates	Nikolaos Grigoriadis	5\0	1.75	33
18	Old-growth forests in Italian National Parks	Sabina Burrascano	67\0	3.58	[33,55] + Unpublished
19	Long-untouched forests in Lithuania	Gintautas Mozgeris	20\0	32.05	33

20	PriMaFor - Primary forests in Mavrovo NP	Bojan Simovski	4\1	0.68	[33]
21	Old-growth forests in Norway outside protected areas	Rein Midteng	50\0	106.29	[33,56]
22	Database of old-growth forests of Poland	Jerzy Szwagrzyk	66\0	20.87	[33]
23	Natural forest areas in Portugal	Inês Marques Duarte	31\21	1.11*	[33]
24	Natural forest areas in Portuguese Macaronesia region‡	Leónia Nunes	1\0	14.64	[33]
25	WWF - Lemnocontrolat	Radu Melu	3179\0	46.68	[33,57]
26	PRALES Database	Juraj Vysoky	290\0	10.58	[21,33,58]
27	Graficni prikaz gozdnih rezervatov	Rok Pisek	170\0	9.51	[33,59]
29	Dynamic edge effects on Boreal forest	Alejandro Ruete	0\31	0.97	[33,60]
30	Strict Forest Reserves in Switzerland	Jonas Stillhard	5\0	0.73	[33,61,62]
32	WWF - Identified old-growth forests of Ukrainian Carpathians and Polissia	Roman Volosyanchuk, Andriy Plyha	9068\0	97.86	[33,63]
33	Official Romanian catalogue of virgin and quasi-virgin forests	Romanian Ministry of Forest and Waters	1287\0	19	[64]
35	European Beech Forest Network (EBFN) sites	Marcus Waldherr, Pierre Ibisch	0\32	28.29*	Unpublished
36	OGF Collection	Francesco Maria Sabatini	8\0	29.48	NL [65]; RS [66]; NO - Norwegian Environment Agency
37	Inventory of both ancient and mature forests on the northern slope of the Pyrenees_GEVFP	Laurent Larrieu	51\0	3.25	[67,68]
38	Kainuun vanhat metsät	Matti Liimatainen	123\0	6.43	Unpublished
39	Kansallisomaisuus turvaan	Paloma Hannonen	204\0	71.18	[69]
40	Natural forests in Latvia	Mara Kitenberga	3\0	4.79	[70-72]
41	Garajonay	Ángel B. Fernández López	85\0	2.4	[73-75]
43	Foreslåtte verneområder	Rein Midteng	200\0	196.73	[76]
44	Serbia Beech OGF	Bratislav Matović;	5\0	0.15	[77]

		Dejan Stojanović			
45	Protected virgin & old growth forests in the Pannonian biogeographical region in Serbia	Alen Kiš	8\0	0.65	[78]
46	Forest-mire ecosystems in Belovezhskaya pushcha National Park, Berezinski biosphere reserve, Olmany reserve in Belarus	Maxim Yermokhin	3\0	188.29	Unpublished
47	Albanian Primary Forests	Elvin Toromani	0\4	0.65	Unpublished
49	Suspected Primeval Forests of the Kalkalpen Nationalpark	Simone Mayrhofer	34\0	0.45	Unpublished
50	VF Montenegro	Stjepan Mikac	2\0	1.65	Unpublished
51	Primary Forests of European Russia	Dmitry Aksenov; Asiya Zagidullina	3084\0	37417.69	[15,79,80]
52	Red de Rodales de Referencia (Network of Reference Stands)	Jose A. Atauri	0\54	0.89	[81]
53	Primary forests in Bosnia	Srđan Keren	0\9	0.72	Unpublished
54	Old beech forests in Albania	Abdulla Diku	13\0	12.7	[82]
55	Network of old-growth forests in southern Apennine National Parks	Sabina Burrascano	19\0	2.78	[83]

‡ this dataset belongs to the Regional Forest Service of Madeira

Post-Processing

To provide common descriptions for all features contained in the geodatabase, we

integrated the basic descriptors detailed above with a range of attributes derived by

intersecting all polygons or points with layers of: 1) biogeographical regions, 2) protected

areas, 3) forest type, and 4) forest cover.

Overlaying the map of biogeographical region[84] returned ten classes: 1. Alpine, 2.

Arctic, 3. Atlantic, 4. Black Sea, 5. Boreal, 6. Continental, 7. Macaronesia, 8. Mediterranean,

9. Pannonian, 10. Steppic. Information on protection status and time since onset of

protection was based on the World Database of Protected Areas (WDPA)[85]. We simplified

the original IUCN classification to three classes: 1. strictly protected – (IUCN category I); 2.

protected – (IUCN categories II-VI + not classified); 3. not protected. We considered a

primary forest patch as protected if >75% of its surface was within a WDPA polygon. When

better information on the protection status of a forest patch was available directly from data

contributors, we gave priority to this source. Forest type was based on the 14 forest

categories defined by the European Environmental Agency[75]. The spatial information was

derived by simplifying the map of Potential Vegetation types for Europe[86], after creating a

cross-link table[23]. The 13 categories comprise: 1. Boreal forest; 2. Hemiboreal forest and

nemoral coniferous and mixed broadleaved coniferous forest; 3. Alpine coniferous forest; 4.

Acidophilous oakwood and oak birch forest; 5. Mesophytic deciduous forest; 6. Lowland to

submountainous beech forest; 7. Mountainous beech forest; 8. Thermophilous deciduous

forest; 9. Broadleaved evergreen forest; 10. Coniferous forests of the Mediterranean,

Anatolian and Macaronesian regions; 11. Mire and swamp forest; 12. Floodplain forest; 13.

Non riverine alder, birch or aspen forest. For each primary forest patch, we reported the

two most common forest categories. Finally, we extracted for each polygon the actual share

covered by forest. We did this, because larger primary forest polygons in high naturalness

classes can encompass land temporarily or permanently not covered by trees. We used a

tree cover density map for the year 2010 for these regions from [87]. All post-processing was

performed in R (v3.6.1)[88].

Data Gaps

To assess the completeness of our map, we calculated the ratio between the area of primary

forest in our database at country level, and the estimated area of "forest undisturbed by

man" from the indicator 4.3 in the Forest Europe report[89]. Although the definition of "forest

undisturbed by man" in [89] is consistent with our definition of primary forest, it must be

noted that these country-level estimates stem from national inventories or studies based on

different interpretations, and the data quality varies from country to country. The

comparison presented here should, therefore, be taken with caution (Figure 3).

Forest Europe reports no primary forest for some western European countries

(Spain, France, Belgium, Netherlands, Germany, United Kingdom and Ireland), although for

most of these countries we did find information on at least a handful of primary forest sites.

The coverage of our map was also higher than expected for some Eastern European

countries (e.g., Ukraine, Belarus, Lituania), as well as Norway and Finland, known for hosting

large areas of primary forests. Data completeness was lower for some central European

countries. In the case of Czechia, Slovakia, Poland and Romania, our data only accounted for

20-100% of the country-level estimates from [89]. For Austria, Switzerland and Hungary,

instead, data on primary forests exists but it is not currently open-access, and therefore not

considered here. The largest data gaps were in Sweden, Italy, Bulgaria, Estonia, Denmark and

Russia, where our map accounted for less than 10% of the primary forest reported in [89].

The low data completeness found for Denmark likely depends on the inclusion of minimum-

intervention forest reserves in [83] that were harvested until then and therefore do not

qualify as primary forests according to our definition.

Figure 3 – Estimation of data completeness. Ratio between the total primary forest area in the EPFD v2.0 and the
country estimate of 'forest undisturbed by man' (indicator 4.3) from Forest Europe[89]. Parallel hatching represents
countries where Forest Europe reports either no forest undisturbed by man ('No Reported PF'), or where data on
forests undisturbed by man are missing ('No Data').

Potential Primary forests of Sweden and Norway

For Sweden and Norway, where abundant geographic information was available on forest

distribution, we created maps of potential (yet unconfirmed) primary forests, as a way to

complement our map. For Sweden, we derived a workflow to create a map of potential

primary forests as detailed in Figure 4. This yielded 14,300 polygons covering a total area of

2.4 Mha.

Figure 4 - Workflow and data sources for the map of potential primary forests in Sweden. Data on woodland key
habitats derive from [[90,91]]; forest with conservation value from [[92,93]], forest core areas from [[94]], continuity
forests from [[95,96]], protected mountain coniferous forests from [[97]], clear cuts and fellings from [[98]].

For Norway, even though we were able to include two datasets of confirmed primary

forests, additional primary forest is expected to exist. Therefore, we derived a map of

potential primary forests, based on the *"Viktige Naturtyper"* dataset from the Norwegian

Environment Agency[99], which maps different habitat types of high conservation value both

inside and outside forested areas. We extracted all polygons larger than 10 ha classified as

"old forest types" (="gammelskog"), i.e., forests that have never been clearcut and are in age

classes of 120 years or older. This yielded 2,103 polygons covering a total area of 0.1 Mha.

Data Records

The EPFD v2.0[34] is composed of 48 individual datasets (Table 2), which we harmonized into

two aggregated feature classes, after excluding all duplicated\overlapping polygons across

individual datasets.

1) EU_PrimaryForests_Polygons_OA_v20

229   Composite feature class combining the forest patches classified as "primary

230   forest" based on polygon data sources described in Table 2

231   Data type: Polygon Feature Class

232   2) EU_PrimaryForests_Points_OA_v20

233   Composite feature class combining forest locations classified as "primary

234   forest", based on point data sources described in Table 2. Only points not

235   overlapping with polygons in (1) reported.

236   Data type: Point Feature Class

The individual datasets are also included in the geodatabase, inside the feature datasest

'European_PrimaryForests'. The dataset is stored in Figshare

(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13194095.v1)[34]. The file format is ESRI personal

geodatabase (.mdb). Each feature class in the geodatabase follows the structure described in

Table 3.

Table 3 - Spatial attributes of the feature classes of primary forests. ‡ - Only for point feature classes.

Variable Name	Variable_type	Description and possible values
OBJECTID	Object ID	
FOREST_NAME	Text	Name of the forest stand (if applicable, otherwise can be name of the wider area)
LOCATION	Text	Municipality, Protected Area, or Region in which the primary forest remnant is located
NATURALNESS_LEVEL	Short Integer	Naturalness level according to [39]: Possible values: 10 = n10 -

		Primeval Forest; 9 = n9 - Virgin Forest; 8 = n8 - Frontier Forest; 7 = n7 - Near-virgin Forest; 6 = n6 - Old-growth Forest; 5 = n5 - Long Untouched Forest; 0 = UNKNOWN
FOREST_EXTENT_MEASURED[‡]	Float	The total extent of the primary forest patch in hectares. This field is only relevant when a polygon feature IS NOT available for the forest patch.
FOREST_EXTENT_ESTIMATED[‡]	Short Integer	The order of magnitude of the extent of a primary forest remnant patch. This field is only relevant when a polygon feature IS NOT available for the forest patch and no precise measurement of the total extent of the forest remnant is available. Possible values: 1 = 1-10 ha; 2 = 11-100 ha; 3 = 101-1000 ha; 4 = >1001 ha
DOMINANT_TREE_SPECIES1	Text	Species (latin name) of the dominant tree species of the overstorey
DOMINANT_TREE_SPECIES2	Text	Species (latin name) of the second dominant tree species of the overstorey (if any)
DOMINANT_TREE_SPECIES3	Text	Species (latin name) of the third dominant tree species of the overstorey (if any)
THREAT_1	Short Integer	Threat (if any) that is most likely to endanger the primary forest remnant. Possible values: 1 = Plantation development; 2 = Anthropogenic Fires; 3 = Tourism/recreation; 4 =Infrastructure development (including touristic); 5 = Mismanagement; 6 = Illegal logging; 7 = Timber and fuelwood extraction; 8 = Non-Timber Forest Products extraction; 9 = Urbanization and housing construction; 10 = Climate change; 11 = Biodiversity loss
THREAT_2	Short Integer	Threat (if any) that is most likely to endanger the primary forest remnant. See above for possible values.
LAST_DISTURBANCE1_TYPE	Text	If known, type of the last disturbance event. Possible values: 1 = Fire, 2 = Windthrow; 3 = Flood; 4 = Landslide Avalanche; 5 = Logging\harvesting; 6 = Diseases\insect outbreak; 7 = OTHER natural; 8 = OTHER anthropogenic
LAST_DISTURBANCE1_YEAR	Short Integer	Year when disturbance event 1 happened
LAST_DISTURBANCE1_INTENSITY	Short Integer	Intensity of disturbance event 1. Possible values: 1 = Light (<20% of the stand disturbed); 2 = Moderate (20-70% of the stand disturbed); 3 = Stand replacing (>70% of the stand disturbed)
LAST_DISTURBANCE2_TYPE	Text	If known, type of the penultimate disturbance event Possible values: see above
LAST_DISTURBANCE2_YEAR	Short Integer	Year of disturbance event 2
LAST_DISTURBANCE2_INTENSITY	Short Integer	Intensity of disturbance event 2 – Possible values: see above

PROTECTION_STATUS	Short Integer	Legal protection status of the forest stand as derived from the World Database of Protected[74]. The original IUCN classification was simplified to three classes: Strictly protected (IUCN category I); Protected (IUCN categories II-VI + not classified); Not protected. In case more updated/precise information was available from our data contributors, these were given priority. Possible values: 0 = Not protected; 1 = Protected; 2 = Strictly protected
PROTECTED_SINCE	Short Integer	Year since the onset of legal protection, derived the same way as PROTECTION_STATUS, see above
RELEVANT_LITERATURE	Text	Any relevant sources of information describing the forest remnant (including journal articles, local reports and websites)
CONTACT_PERSON	Text	Name of the contact person providing the information on the stand
Notes	Text	optional additional remarks to the forest polygon
Source	Text	Directly attributable source/ownership attribution of the forest remnant data
ID_Dataset	Text	ID of the data set (Table 2)
Priority	Integer	An integer number describing the priority of the polygon in case of overlap across individual datasets. For polygons of lower priority, only the portion of polygon not overlapping with polygons with higher priority was included in the composite dataset. Polygons with priority=99 were not included in the composite dataset
Area_ha	Float	area of the forest polygon in ha
BIOGEOGRAPHIC_REGION	Text	as defined by the European Environmental Agency[38]
FOREST_TYPE1	Short Integer	Main forest type according to the forest categories defined by the European Environmental Agency [75], based on the map of Potential Vegetation type for Europe [76]. Possible values: 1 = Boreal; 2 = Hemiboreal-nemoral; 3 = Alpine coniferous; 4 = Acidophilus oak-birch; 5 = Mesophytic deciduous; 6 = Lowland beech; 7 = Montane beech; 8 = Thermophilus deciduous; 9 = Broadleaved evergreen: 10 = Coniferous Mediterranean; 11 = Mire and swamp; 12 = Floodplain; 13 = Non-riverine Alder-birch-aspen
FOREST_TYPE2	Short Integer	Second main forest type according to the forest categories defined by the European Environmental Agency [75], based on the map of Potential Vegetation types for Europe [76]. See FOREST_TYPE1 for legend

FOREST_SHARE	Float	Actual share of the polygon covered by forest, assuming that primary forests in high naturalness classes, and having a large extent, may encompass land temporarily or permanently not covered by forest. Derived from high resolution maps of forest cover based on [77,78].

Technical Validation

Although we had no direct control of the raw data contained in our database, the

fact that all our information on primary forest locations derives either from peer-reviewed

scientific literature, or were field-checked by trained researchers and/or professionals

suggests high data reliability. We made sure to have a common understanding with data

contributors about forest definitions [i.e., [1,35]], and only included a dataset in the EPFD if we

could find an explicit equivalence with the forest definitions we used.

To further assess data reliability, we carried out a robustness check using the open-

access Landsat archive and the LandTrendr disturbance detection algorithm[100,101], both

implemented in Google Earth Engine[102] (Figure 5). Specifically, we 1) quantified the

proportion of polygons in our map, which underwent disturbance between 1985 and 2018,

i.e., Landsat 5 operating time, 2) visually checked a subset of these disturbed polygons, to

quantify the prevalence of anthropogenic vs. natural disturbance, and 3) extrapolated these

results to the whole database to provide an estimation of the proportion of polygons in our

map not meeting the necessary, but not sufficient, condition for being classified as primary

(i.e. not being affected by anthropogenic disturbance within the last 35 years).

For each polygon contained in the map of primary forests, we extracted the whole

stack of available Landsat images (~1985-today), and ran the LandTrendr[103] algorithm.

LandTrendr identifies breakpoints in spectral time series, separates periods of disturbance or

stability, and records the years in which disturbances occurred. To avoid problems due to

cloud cover, changes in illumination, and atmospheric condition, we used all available

images from the growing season of each year (1 May through 15 September) to derive yearly

composite images[104]. As our spectral index, we used Tasseled Cap Wetness (TCW), as this

index is particularly sensitive to forest structure[105], is robust to spatial and temporal

variations in canopy moisture[106], and consistently outperforms other spectral indices,

including Normalized Difference Vegetation Index[103], for detecting forest

disturbance[100,107,108].

After running LandTrendr, we eliminated noise by applying a minimum disturbance

threshold (2 ha). We then visually inspected a subset of primary forest polygons highlighted

as 'disturbed' by LandTrendr. Based on the spectral and physical characteristics of the

disturbed patch (brightness, shape, size), and on ancillary information derived from very-

high-resolution images available in Google Earth, we assigned disturbance agents as either

anthropogenic (i.e., forest harvest, infrastructure development) or natural (e.g., windstorm,

bark beetle outbreak, fire; Figure 6, Figure 7).

Figure 5 – Workflow of the data robustness check.

Figure 6 - Examples of disturbed polygons, as detected by LandTrendr, before (left) and after (right) disturbance.
a) clearcuts in the Russian Republic of Karelia; b) natural disturbance in Babia Gora, Slovakia; c) clear-cuts in Tatra
National Park in Slovakia; d) natural disturbance in the southern Bourgas Province of Bulgaria. Red circles have a
radius of 50 m; pink squares have a side of 1 km. Image credits: Google Earth.

Out of the 17,309 polygons we checked, LandTrendr returned 4,734 polygons (27.3%

of total) which experienced major disturbances between 1985 and 2018. The proportion of

disturbed area was greater than 10% in 2,904 polygons. We visually checked 20% of the

disturbed polygons in each biogeographic region, up to a maximum of 100 polygons.

Depending on the size of the polygons, we inspected up to 5 pixels with a minimum distance

of 1km. As a result, we visually inspected a total of 712 pixels across 268 primary forest

polygons, therefore validating 1.5% of the total number of polygons and 5.7% of the

disturbed polygons. We attributed a total of 149 pixels, across 61 primary forest polygons, to

anthropogenic disturbance, (i.e., 22.7%, standard error = 2.5%) of the polygons we checked

(Table 4, Figure 7). We thus estimated the total number of primary forest polygons being

anthropogenically disturbed by multiplying the total number of polygons by the proportion

of disturbed polygons (27.3%) and the share of these disturbed polygons attributed to

anthropogenic causes (22.7%). This suggests our map contains 1,077 anthropogenically

disturbed polygons (95% CIs [847, 1323]), which corresponds to 6.2% (95% CIs [4.9%, 7.6%])

of the total number of polygons. Disturbed polygons were concentrated in the Russian

Federation (especially in Archangelsk region, Karelia and Komi republics), Southern Finland,

and the Carpathians (Figure 7; Table 4). The Boreal and Alpine biogeographical regions had

the highest number of disturbed polygons (both in total, and when considering only those

with evident anthropogenic disturbance). The regions with the highest share of

anthropogenically disturbed polygons was the Macaronesian, followed by the Continental

and Boreal. Please note, that this robustness check should be considered as a low estimate,

because only the disturbance events with a magnitude sufficient to be captured with

LandTrendr and occurring in 1985-2018 could be identified.

Figure 7 - Geographical distribution of naturally vs. anthropogenically disturbed polygons, as resulting from a visual check of 712 disturbed polygons.

312
313

Table 4 - Results of robustness check, summarized by biogeographical region. † The number of disturbed polygons is higher than the number of polygons because some polygons expanding over more than one biogeographical region are double counted. PF – Primary Forest.

315
316
317

Biogeographic region	Num. PF polygons (1)	Num. disturbed PF polygons (2)	Num. disturbed PF polygons checked (3)	Num. of (3) with evident anthropogenic disturbance (4)	Share of (3) anthropogenically disturbed (4/3) %
Alpine	11,734	1,096	102	23	22.55
Arctic	96	105†	20	0	0.00
Atlantic	83	48	13	0	0.00
Black Sea	19	6	1	0	0.00
Boreal	4,074	3,334	110	30	27.27
Continental	1,100	105	21	6	28.57
Macaronesia	27	8	2	1	50.00
Mediterranean	132	27	5	1	20.00
Pannonian	39	4	1	0	0.00
Steppic	5	1	0	0	0.00

Usage Notes

All data files are referenced in a geographic coordinate system (lat/long, WGS 84 - EPSG code: 4326). The provided files are in a personal geodatabase, and can be accessed and displayed using standard GIS software such as: QGIS ([www.qgis.org/en)](www.qgis.org/en).

All datasets listed in Table 2 are freely available in Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13194095.v1)[34] with a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license. Three additional non open-access datasets are available on request after approval of the respective copyright holders. These datasets are: 'Hungarian Forest Reserve monitoring' (ID 17, custodian: Ferenc Horváth); 'Ancient and Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and Other Regions of Europe'[36,37] (ID 34, Custodian: UNESCO), and 'Potential OGF and primary forest in Austria' (ID 48, custodian: Matthias Schickhofer). The same conditions apply for additional data from the dataset 'Strict Forest Reserves in Switzerland' (ID 30, custodian: Jonas Stillhard). Comments and requests of updates for the dataset are collected and discussed in the GitHub forum: https://github.com/fmsabatini/PrimaryForestEurope.

Code Availability

The code to reproduce the post-processing is available in Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13194095.v1)[34]. The dataset contains five scripts:

- *00_ComposeMap.R* – Identifies overlapping polygons across individual datasets
- *01_CreateComposite_Points.py* – creates the composite point feature class.
- *02_CreateComposite_Polygons.py* – creates the composite polygon feature class.
- *03_PostProcessing.R* – Extract additional information on each primary forest
- *04_Add_Postprocessing.py* – Imports post-processing output into the geodatabase
- *05_Summary_stats.R* – Calculates summary statistics of primary forests

Python (.py) scripts were run in ESRI ArcGIS (v10.5) and are available also as ArcGIS Models inside the Geodatabase. The remaining (.R) scripts were run using R (v4.0).

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by Frankfurt Zoological Society (FZS; project ETN-WIE-FZS-001) and the European Commission (Marie Sklodowska-Curie fellowship to FMS, project FORESTS & CO, #658876). The Italian dataset was supported by funding from the Department for Nature Protection of the Italian Ministry of the Environment, Land and Sea Protection. The GEVFP's French dataset was supported by funding from EU, RF and 'Conseil Régional Midi-Pyrénées'.

MM gratefully acknowledges the institutional project EVA No.CZ.02.1.01/

0.0/0.0/16_019/0000803 and MŠMT project LTT20016. This work would not have been

possible without all those who responded to the questionnaires and those who collected all

the data presented here. A special thanks goes to all data contributors, especially those who

are not coauthors of this paper (in alphabetic order): Paloma Hannonen (Dataset 39), Matti

Liimatainen (Dataset 38), Simone Mayrhofer (Dataset 49), Daniel Vallauri (Dataset 13) and

Juraj Vysoky (Dataset 26). We also thank Teresa De Marzo for support during the validation

process.

Author contributions

363   The original idea for the database is from FMS, TK and ZK. FMS and HB harmonized the

364   datasets, and conducted the literature review. DA, JAA, EB, SB, EC, AD, IMD, ABF, MG, NG,

365   FH, SK, MK, AKi, AKr, PLI, LL, FL, BM, RNM, PM, SM, RM, MM, GM, MP, RP, LN, AR, MS, BS, JS,

366   DS, JS, O-PT, ET, RV, TV, MW, MY, TZ, AZ contributed data. FMS, HB, and TK created the first

367   draft of the manuscript and all co-authors contributed substantially to its revision.

Competing interests

370   The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

373   1   FAO. *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015. Terms and definitions.* (FAO, 2015).
374   2   Lansstyrelsen. Formellt skyddad skogi Norrbottens län. Report No. 0283-9636,
375   (2018).
376   3   Watson, J. E. M. *et al.* The exceptional value of intact forest ecosystems. *Nat. Eco.*
377   *Evo.,* 1 (2018).
378   4   European Commission. in *COM(2020) 380 final*   (Brussels, 2020).
379   5   Vandekerkhove, K. *et al.* Reappearance of Old-Growth Elements in Lowland
380   Woodlands in Northern Belgium: Do the Associated Species Follow? *Silva Fenn.* **45**,
381   909-935, doi:10.14214/sf.78 (2011).
382   6   Di Marco, M., Ferrier, S., Harwood, T. D., Hoskins, A. J. & Watson, J. E. Wilderness
383   areas halve the extinction risk of terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature*, 1-4 (2019).
384   7   Frey, S. J. K. *et al.* Spatial models reveal the microclimatic buffering capacity of old-
385   growth forests. *Science Advances* **2**, e1501392, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501392 (2016).
386   8   Zhou, G. Y. *et al.* Old-growth forests can accumulate carbon in soils. *Science* **314**,
387   1417-1417, doi:10.1126/science.1130168 (2006).
388   9   Burrascano, S., Keeton, W. S., Sabatini, F. M. & Blasi, C. Commonality and variability
389   in the structural attributes of moist temperate old-growth forests: A global review.
390   *For. Ecol. Manag.* **291**, 458-479, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.11.020
391   (2013).
392   10   Bauhus, J., Puettmann, K. & Messier, C. Silviculture for old-growth attributes. *For.*
393   *Ecol. Manag.* **258**, 525-537, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.01.053 (2009).

394   11   Moore, K. D. In the shadow of the cedars: the spiritual values of old-growth forests.
395   *Conserv. Biol.* **21**, 1120-1123 (2007).
396   12   FOREST EUROPE. State of Europe's Forests 2015. (Ministerial Conference on the
397   Protection of Forests in Europe, Madrid, 2015).
398   13   Ceccherini, G. *et al.* Abrupt increase in harvested forest area over Europe after 2015.
399   *Nature* **583**, 72-77, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2438-y (2020).
400   14   Levers, C. *et al.* Drivers of forest harvesting intensity patterns in Europe. *For. Ecol.*
401   *Manag.* **315**, 160-172 (2014).
402   15   Potapov, P. *et al.* The last frontiers of wilderness: Tracking loss of intact forest
403   landscapes from 2000 to 2013. *Science Advances* **3**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600821
404   (2017).
405   16   Schickhofer, M. & Schwarz, U. *Inventory of Potential Primary and Old-Growth Forest*
406   *Areas in Romania (PRIMOFARO). Identifying the largest intact forests in the*
407   *temperate zone of the European Union.* (Euronatur Foundation, 2019).
408   17   Knorn, J. *et al.* Continued loss of temperate old-growth forests in the Romanian
409   Carpathians despite an increasing protected area network. *Environ. Conserv.* **40**, 182-
410   193 (2013).
411   18   Court of Justice of the European Union. C-441/17 - Commission v Poland (Forêt de
412   Białowieża) *Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 17 April 2018* (2018).
413   19   Chylarecki, P. & Selva, N. Ancient forest: spare it from clearance. *Nature* **530**, 419-
414   419 (2016).
415   20   Earthsight. Complicit in corruption. How billion-dollar firms and EU governments are
416   failing Ukraine's forests. (Earthsight, 2018).
417   21   Mikoláš, M. *et al.* Primary forest distribution and representation in a Central
418   European landscape: Results of a large-scale field-based census. *For. Ecol. Manag.*
419   **449**, 117466, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117466 (2019).
420   22   Hance, J. & But, S. s. IKEA Logging Old-growth Forest for Low-price Furniture in
421   Russia. (2012). <https://news.mongabay.com/2012/05/ikea-logging-old-growth-
422   forest-for-low-price-furniture-in-russia/>.
423   23   Sabatini, F. M. *et al.* Protection gaps and restoration opportunities for primary
424   forests in Europe. *Divers. Distrib.* **n/a**, doi:10.1111/ddi.13158 (2020).
425   24   Adam, D. & Vrška, T. in *Landscape Atlas of the Czech Republic* (eds T Hrnčiarová, P
426   Mackovčin, & I Zvara) 209 (Ministry of Environment and Silva Tarouca Research
427   Institute, Prague–Silva Tarouca Research Institute for Landscape and Ornamental
428   Gardening, 2009).
429   25   Bernadzki, E., Bolibok, L., Brzeziecki, B., Zajaczkowski, J. & Zybura, H. Compositional
430   dynamics of natural forests in the Bialowieza National Park, northeastern Poland. *J.*
431   *Veg. Sci.* **9**, 229-238 (1998).
432   26   Hobi, M. L., Commarmot, B. & Bugmann, H. Pattern and process in the largest
433   primeval beech forest of Europe (Ukrainian Carpathians). *J. Veg. Sci.* **26**, 323-336
434   (2015).
435   27   Kral, K. *et al.* Local variability of stand structural features in beech dominated natural
436   forests of Central Europe: Implications for sampling. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **260**, 2196-
437   2203, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.09.020 (2010).
438   28   Veen, P. *et al.* Virgin forests in Romania and Bulgaria: results of two national
439   inventory projects and their implications for protection. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **19**, 1805-
440   1819, doi:10.1007/s10531-010-9804-2 (2010).
441   29   Ibisch, P. L. & Ursu, A. (Greenpeace CEE Romania; Centre for Econics and
442   Ecosystem Management, Eberswalde University for Sustainable Development;
443   Geography Department, A. I. Cuza University of Iași, 2017).
444   30   Spracklen, B. D. & Spracklen, D. V. Identifying European Old-Growth Forests using
445   Remote Sensing: A Study in the Ukrainian Carpathians. *Forests* **10**, 127 (2019).

31   Diaci, J. in *Proceedings of the invited lecturers' reports presented at the COST E4*
management committee and working groups meeting in Ljubljana, Slovenia.
(Department of Forestry and Renewable Forest Resources Biotechnical Faculty).
32   Frank, G. *et al. COST Action E27. Protected Forest Areas in Europe-analysis and*
harmonisation (PROFOR): results, conclusions and recommendations. (Federal
Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape (BFW),
2007).
33   Sabatini, F. M. *et al.* Where are Europe's last primary forests? *Divers. Distrib.* **24**,
1426-1439, doi:10.1111/ddi.12778 (2018).
34   Sabatini, F. M. *et al. European Primary Forest Database. figshare,*
<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13194095.v1 > (2020).
35   Buchwald, E. in *Proceedings: Third expert meeting on harmonizing forest-related*
definitions for use by various stakeholders (Food and Agriculture Organization of the
United Nations, 2005).
36   Britz, H. *et al.* Nomination of the "Ancient Beech Forests of Germany" as Extension to
the World Natural heritage "Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians". *Nationale*
Naturlandschaften, Federal Republic of Germany. Nieden-stein: Specialised editing
Cognitio Kommunikation & Planung (2009).
37   UNEP-WCMC & IUCN. *Protected Planet: Ancient and Primeval Beech Forests of the*
Carpathians and Other Regions of Europe in Albania, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria,
Croatia, Germany, Italy, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and Ukraine, The World
Database on Protected Areas (WDPA)/The Global Database on Protected Areas
Management Effectiveness (GD-PAME), <https://www.protectedplanet.net/903141>
(2019).
38   Trotsiuk, V. *et al.* A mixed severity disturbance regime in the primary Picea abies (L.)
Karst. forests of the Ukrainian Carpathians. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **334**, 144-153,
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.09.005 (2014).
39   Kozák, D. *et al.* Profile of tree-related microhabitats in European primary beech-
dominated forests. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **429**, 363-374 (2018).
40   Svoboda, M. *et al.* Landscape-level variability in historical disturbance in primary
Picea abies mountain forests of the Eastern Carpathians, Romania. *J. Veg. Sci.* **25**,
386-401, doi:10.1111/jvs.12109 (2014).
41   Garbarino, M. *et al.* Gap disturbances and regeneration patterns in a Bosnian old-
growth forest: a multispectral remote sensing and ground-based approach. *Ann. For.*
Sci. **69**, 617-625, doi:10.1007/s13595-011-0177-9 (2012).
42   Keren, S. *et al.* Comparative Structural Dynamics of the Janj Mixed Old-Growth
Mountain Forest in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Are Conifers in a Long-Term Decline?
Forests **5**, 1243-1266 (2014).
43   Motta, R. *et al.* Structure, spatio-temporal dynamics and disturbance regime of the
mixed beech–silver fir–Norway spruce old-growth forest of Biogradska Gora
(Montenegro). *Plant Biosyst.* **149**, 966-975, doi:10.1080/11263504.2014.945978
(2015).
44   Motta, R. *et al.* Development of old-growth characteristics in uneven-aged forests of
the Italian Alps. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **134**, 19-31, doi:10.1007/s10342-014-0830-6 (2015).
45   WWF Bulgaria. *Forests in Bulgaria - Old growth forests,*
<https://gis.wwf.bg/mobilz/en/#>.
46   Panayotov, M. *et al. Mountain coniferous forests in Bulgaria – structure and natural*
dynamics. (University of Forestry and Geosoft, 2016).
47   Blue Cat Team. *Czech Natural Forests Databank,*
<https://www.naturalforests.cz/czech-natural-forests-databank>.
48   Lõhmus, A. & Kraut, A. Stand structure of hemiboreal old-growth forests:
Characteristic features, variation among site types, and a comparison with FSC-

498		certified mature stands in Estonia. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **260**, 155-165,
499		doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.018 (2010).
500	49	EEA. *Developing a forest naturalness indicator for Europe. Concept and methodology*
501		*for a high nature value (HNV) forest indicator.* (EEA Technical report No 13/2014,
502		Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2014).
503	50	SYKE. *Finnish Environment Institute*, <http://www.syke.fi/en-US/Open_information>.
504	51	Rossi, M., Bardin, P., Cateau, E. & Vallauri, D. Forêts anciennes de Méditerrané e et
505		des montagnes limitrophes: références pour la naturalité régionale. *WWF France,*
506		*Marseille, France*, 144 (2013).
507	52	WWF France. *Hauts lieux de naturalité en France*,
508		<http://www.foretsanciennes.fr/proteger-mieux/france/>.
509	53	Cateau, E. *et al.* Le patrimoine forestier des réserves naturelles. Focus sur les forêts à
510		caractère naturel. Vol. cahier rnf 7 (Réserves Naturelles de France, 2017).
511	54	Bundesanstalt für Landwirtschaft und Ernährung. *Datenbank Naturwaldreservate in*
512		*Deutschland*, <https://www.naturwaelder.de/index.php?tpl=home>.
513	55	Blasi, C., Burrascano, S., Maturani, A. & Sabatini, F. M. *Old-growth forests in Italy.*
514		(Palombi Editori, 2010).
515	56	Myhre, T. Skogkur 2020. redningsplan for Norges unike skoger. *WWF Verdens*
516		*villmarksfond, Norges naturvernforbund, SABIMA* (2012).
517	57	WWF Romania. *Harta ariilor protejate din Romania*,
518		<http://www.lemncontrolat.ro/en/home/>.
519	58	PRALESY.SK. *Mapovanie pralesov Slovenska*, <http://en.pralesy.sk/>.
520	59	Slovenia Forest Service. *Gozdni rezervati*,
521		<http://www.zgs.si/gozdovi_slovenije/o_gozdovih_slovenije/gozdni_rezervati/index.
522		html>.
523	60	Ruete, A., Snäll, T. & Jönsson, M. Dynamic anthropogenic edge effects on the
524		distribution and diversity of fungi in fragmented old-growth forests. *Ecol. Appl.* **26**,
525		1475-1485, doi:10.1890/15-1271 (2016).
526	61	Heiri, C., Wolf, A., Rohrer, L., Brang, P. & Bugmann, H. Successional pathways in
527		Swiss mountain forest reserves. *Eur. J. For. Res.* **131**, 503-518 (2012).
528	62	Brang, P., Heiri, C. & Bugmann, H. *Waldreservate: 50 Jahre natürliche*
529		*Waldentwicklung in der Schweiz.* (Haupt, 2011).
530	63	WWF Ukraine. *Virgin, Old-Growth and Natural Forests of Ukraine*, <http://gis-
531		wwf.com.ua/>.
532	64	Ministerului Apelor și Pădurilor. *Catalogul pădurilor virgine și cvasivirgine din*
533		*România*, <http://apepaduri.gov.ro/paduri-virgine/>.
534	65	Alterra. *Forest reserves*, <https://www.wur.nl/en/Research-Results/Projects-and-
535		programmes/Humus-forms/Publications-Humus-forms/Forest-reserves.htm>
536		(2000).
537	66	Pantić, D. *et al.* Structural, production and dynamic characteristics of the strict forest
538		reserve'Račanska šljivovica'on Mt. Tara. *Glasnik Šumarskog fakulteta*, 93-114 (2011).
539	67	Savoie, J. M. *et al.* Vieilles forêts pyrénéennes de Midi-Pyrénées. Deuxième phase.
540		Evaluation et cartographie des sites. Recommandations. Rapport final. (Ecole
541		d'Ingénieurs de PURPAN/DREAL Midi-Pyrénées, 2015).
542	68	Savoie, J. M. *et al.* Forêts pyrénéennes anciennes de Midi-Pyrénées. Rapport
543		d'Etude de projet FEDER 2008-2011. 320 (Ecole d'Ingénieurs de PURPAN/DREAL
544		Midi-Pyrénées, 2011).
545	69	WWF Finland. Kansallisomaisuus turvaan - valtion omistamia suojelun arvoisia
546		metsä- ja suoalueita., (WWF Suomen raportteja, 2012).
547	70	Kitenberga, M. *et al.* A mixture of human and climatic effects shapes the 250-year
548		long fire history of a semi-natural pine dominated landscape of Northern Latvia. *For.*
549		*Ecol. Manag.* **441**, 192-201 (2019).

71   Baders, E., Senhofa, S., Purina, L. & Jansons, A. Natural succession of Norway spruce
stands in hemiboreal forests: case study in Slitere national park, Latvia. *Baltic*
Forestry **23**, 522-528 (2017).
72   Kokarēviča, I. *et al.* Vegetation changes in boreo–nemoral forest stands depending
on soil factors and past land use during an 80 year period of no human impact. *Can.*
J. For. Res. **46**, 376-386 (2016).
73   Fernandez López, A. B. Parque Nacional de Garajonay, Patrimonio Mundial.
(Organismo Autonomo Parques Nacionales, 2009).
74   TRAGSATEC. Segundo inventario ecológico del Parque Nacional de Garajonay.
(Parque Nacional de Garajonay, 2006).
75   Fernández, A. B. & Gómez, L. Qué son los bosques antiguos de laurisilva. Su valor y
situación en Canarias. *La Gomera, entre bosques y taparuchas*, 177-236 (2016).
76   BIO FOKUS. *Narin lokalitetsdatabase*, <https://biofokus.no/narin/>.
77   Matović, B. *et al.* Comparison of stand structure in managed and virgin european
beech forests in Serbia. *Šumarski list* **142**, 47-57 (2018).
78   Kiš, A., Stojšić, V., & Dinić, A. in *2nd International Symposium on Nature*
Conservation. Proceedings   373-382 (Institute for Nature Conservation of Vojvodina
Province, Novi Sad, 2016).
79   Potapov, P. *et al.* Mapping the world's intact forest landscapes by remote sensing.
Ecol. Soc. **13** (2008).
80   Kobyakov, K. & Jakolev, J. Atlas of high conservation value areas, and analysis of gaps
and representativeness of the protected area network in northwest Russia. *Finnish*
Environment Institute (2013).
81   Europarc Spain. *Mapa de rodales de referencia RedBosques*, <www.redbosques.eu> (
82   Diku, A. & Shuka, L. *Pyjet e vjetër të ahut në shqipëri (Old Beech forests in Albania).*
(PSEDA - ILIRIA <http://iliria-al.org/publications/>, 2017).
83   Burrascano, S. *et al.* It's a long way to the top: Plant species diversity in the transition
from managed to old-growth forests. *J. Veg. Sci.* **29**, 98-109, doi:10.1111/jvs.12588
(2018).
84   EEA.   (ed Directorate-General for Environment (DG ENV) Council of Europe (CoE))
(2016).
85   UNEP-WCMC & IUCN. *Protected Planet: The World Database on Protected Areas*
(WDPA) <www.protectedplanet.net> (2019).
86   Bohn, U. *et al.* Map of the natural vegetation of Europe. Explanatory text with CD-
ROM., (German Federal Agency for Nature Conservation, Bonn, Germany, 2003).
87   Hansen, M. C. *et al.* High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change.
Science **342**, 850-853, doi:10.1126/science.1244693 (2013).
88   R: A language and environment for statistical computing v. 3.6.1 (R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria., 2019).
89   FOREST EUROPE. *Quantitative Indicators Country reports 2015*,
<https://foresteurope.org/state-europes-forests-2015-report/#1476295965372-
d3bb1dd0-e9a0> (2015).
90   Skogsstyrelsen. *Key Woodland Habitats*, <https://www.skogsstyrelsen.se/> (2003).
91   Frank, A. *Inventering av nyckelbiotoper: resultat till och med 2003.* (Skogsstyr.,
2004).
92   Länsstyrelsen Västerbotten. *LstAC Skogar med höga naturvärden ovan gränsen för*
fjällnära skog 2003-2015, <https://ext-
geodatakatalog.lansstyrelsen.se/GeodataKatalogen/> (2019).
93   Naturvårdsverket. *Skyddsvärda statliga skogar*, <http://mdp.vic-
metria.nu/miljodataportalen/GetMetaDataById?UUID=3919E66E-2E09-440D-9171-
B5074DF0C0ED> (2017).

94 Naturvårdsverket. *Skogliga värdekärnor*, <http://gpt.vic-
metria.nu/data/land/skogliga_vardekarnor_2016.zip> (2016).
95 Naturvårdsverket. *Preciserad kartering av kontinuitetsskog i Jämtlands län*,
<http://gpt.vic-metria.nu/data/land/Preciserad_kskog_jamtland.zip> (2019).
96 Ahlkrona, E., Giljam, C. & Wennberg, S. Kartering av kontinuitetsskogi boreal region.
Metria AB på uppdrag av Naturvårdsverket., (2017).
97 Naturvårdsverket. *Skyddad fjallbarrskog*, <https://gpt.vic-
metria.nu/data/land/NMD/Skyddad_Fjallbarrskog.zip> (2019).
98 Skogsstyrelsen. *Utförda avverkningar*, <https://www.skogsstyrelsen.se/> (2014).
99 Miljødirektoratet. (2016).
100 Cohen, W. B., Yang, Z., Healey, S. P., Kennedy, R. E. & Gorelick, N. A LandTrendr
multispectral ensemble for forest disturbance detection. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **205**,
131-140 (2018).
101 Kennedy, E. R. *et al.* Implementation of the LandTrendr Algorithm on Google Earth
Engine. *Remote Sensing* **10**, doi:10.3390/rs10050691 (2018).
102 Gorsevski, V., Geores, M. & Kasischke, E. Human dimensions of land use and land
cover change related to civil unrest in the Imatong Mountains of South Sudan. *Appl.
Geogr.* **38**, 64-75, doi:10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.11.019 (2013).
103 Kennedy, R. E., Yang, Z. & Cohen, W. B. Detecting trends in forest disturbance and
recovery using yearly Landsat time series: 1. LandTrendr—Temporal segmentation
algorithms. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **114**, 2897-2910 (2010).
104 Griffiths, P., Van Der Linden, S., Kuemmerle, T. & Hostert, P. A pixel-based landsat
compositing algorithm for large area land cover mapping *IEEE Journal of Selected
Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing* **6**, 2088-2101 (2013).
105 Cohen, W. B. & Spies, T. A. Estimating structural attributes of Douglas-fir/western
hemlock forest stands from Landsat and SPOT imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **41**, 1-
17 (1992).
106 Czerwinski, C. J., King, D. J. & Mitchell, S. W. Mapping forest growth and decline in a
temperate mixed forest using temporal trend analysis of Landsat imagery, 1987–
2010. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **141**, 188-200 (2014).
107 Cohen, W. B., Yang, Z. & Kennedy, R. Detecting trends in forest disturbance and
recovery using yearly Landsat time series: 2. TimeSync—Tools for calibration and
validation. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **114**, 2911-2924 (2010).
108 Grogan, K., Pflugmacher, D., Hostert, P., Kennedy, R. & Fensholt, R. Cross-border
forest disturbance and the role of natural rubber in mainland Southeast Asia using
annual Landsat time series. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **169**, 438-453 (2015).
637