Tetravalent SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibodies Show Enhanced Potency and Resistance to Escape Mutations

Shane Miersch[1,13], Zhijie Li[2,13], Reza Saberianfar[1], Mart Ustav[3], James Brett Case[4], Levi Blazer[1], Chao Chen[1,] Wei Ye[1], Alevtina Pavlenco[1], Maryna Gorelik[1], Julia Garcia Perez[1], Suryasree Subramania[1], Serena Singh[1], Lynda Ploder[1], Safder Ganaie[4], Rita E. Chen[4,12], Daisy W. Leung[4] Pier Paolo Pandolfi[5,6], Giuseppe Novelli[7], Giulia Matusali[8], Francesca Colavita[8], Maria R. Capobianchi [8], Suresh Jain[9], J.B. Gupta[9], Gaya K. Amarasinghe[12], Michael S. Diamond[4,11,12], James Rini[2,10*], Sachdev S. Sidhu[1,*]

Affiliations

- The Donnelly Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

- Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

- Icosagen, Õssu, Estonia

- Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

- Renown Institute for Cancer, Nevada System of Higher Education, Reno, NV, USA.

- Department of Molecular Biotechnologies & Health Sciences, Molecular Biotechnology Center, University of Turin, Italy

- Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, Tor Vergata University of Rome, 00133, Rome, Italy

- Laboratory of Virology, National Institute for Infectious Diseases "L. Spallanzani" IRCCS, Rome, Italy

- Virna Therapeutics, West Roxbury, MA, USA

- Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

– Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

- Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA

- The authors contributed equally

* - Corresponding author

Corresponding authors email: james.rini@utoronto.ca and sachdev.sidhu@utoronto.ca

SUMMARY

Neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) hold promise as effective therapeutics against COVID-19. Here,

we describe protein engineering and modular design principles that have led to the development

of synthetic bivalent and tetravalent nAbs against SARS-CoV-2. The best nAb targets the host

receptor binding site of the viral S-protein and its tetravalent versions can block entry with a

potency that exceeds the bivalent nAbs by an order of magnitude. Structural studies show that

both the bivalent and tetravalent nAbs can make multivalent interactions with a single S-protein

trimer, observations consistent with the avidity and potency of these molecules. Significantly, we

show that the tetravalent nAbs show much increased tolerance to potential virus escape mutants.

Bivalent and tetravalent nAbs can be produced at large-scale and are as stable and specific as

approved antibody drugs. Our results provide a general framework for developing potent antiviral

therapies against COVID-19 and related viral threats, and our strategy can be readily applied to

any antibody drug currently in development.

INTRODUCTION

As of December 10, 2020, the ongoing COVID-19 viral pandemic has tallied more than 68,000,000 confirmed cases and caused over 1,550,000 deaths (www.who.int). Moreover, the highly infectious nature of the disease has imposed severe global economic hardship due to the need for social distancing and lockdown measures. A number of repurposed drugs have shown only limited or uncertain efficacy against COVID-19 (Beigel et al., 2020; Boulware et al., 2020). Although licensed vaccines are now emerging (Poland et al., 2020), their effectiveness across demographics, their availability worldwide, and how well they will be adopted by the public at large remains an unknown. Almost certainly, COVID-19 will remain a serious human health concern for the foreseeable future and there is an urgent need for the development of therapeutics capable of treating patients and those at high risk for infection and/or with a poor prognosis.

Several lines of evidence suggest that SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) that bind directly to the virus spike glycoprotein and inhibit entry into host cells have therapeutic potential. First, many infected individuals either remain asymptomatic or recover rapidly with only minimal symptoms, and the plasma from these convalescent patients usually contains nAbs (Long et al., 2020; Robbiani et al., 2020). Second, transfer of plasma containing nAbs from convalescent patients to symptomatic patients has been beneficial in some cases (Duan et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020). Third, recombinant nAbs that inhibit the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and host cells confer protection in cell-based assays and animal models (Alsoussi et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020), and efficacy has also been observed for nAbs targeting the related coronaviruses SARS-CoV (Meulen et al., 2004; Sui et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2007) and MERS (Corti et al., 2015). Consequently, a number of nAbs have entered clinical trials as post-infection treatment of COVID-19 associated with SARS-CoV-2 (Clinicaltrials.gov - NCT04452318, NCT04497987), with some (Bamlanivimab, Casirivimab, and Imdevimab) receiving Emergency Use Authorization for treatment of mildly ill subjects.

SARS-CoV-2 virions contain 25-100 glycosylated spike (S) proteins that protrude from the viral membrane (Ke et al., 2020; Klein et al., 2020). The S-protein binds to the host cell protein, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), to mediate viral entry (Hoffmann et al., 2020). The S-protein is a homotrimer and each of its three receptor binding domains (RBD) can be found in either the "up" or the "down" conformation, the former required for ACE2 binding. The most potent nAbs against both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV bind to the RBD and sterically block its interaction with ACE2 (Cao et al., 2020b; Hansen et al., 2020; Pinto et al.; Rogers et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020). Consequently, we focused our efforts on developing nAbs that bound to the RBD and competed with ACE2.

85    To date, all clinically advanced candidate nAbs against SARS-CoV-2 infection have been

86 derived by cloning from B cells of recovered COVID-19 patients or from other natural sources

87 (Cao et al., 2020b; Hansen et al., 2020; Noy-Porat et al., 2020; Rogers et al., 2020; Shi et al.,

88 2020; Wan et al., 2020; Wec et al., 2020). Here, we applied an alternative strategy using *in vitro*

89 selection with phage-displayed libraries of synthetic Abs built on a single human IgG framework

90 derived from a clinically validated drug, trastuzumab (Cobleigh et al., 1999). This approach

91 enabled the rapid production of high affinity nAbs with drug-like properties ready for pre-clinical

92 assessment. Moreover, the use of a highly stable framework enabled facile and modular design

93 of ultra-high-affinity nAbs in tetravalent formats that retained favorable drug-like properties while

94 exhibiting neutralization potencies that greatly exceeded those of the bivalent IgG format. Our

95 tetravalent platform provides a general approach for rapidly improving the potency of virtually any

96 nAb targeting pathogen-related receptor binding proteins, including SARS-CoV-2 and related

97 coronaviruses. Thus, our strategy can improve existing COVID-19 nAb drugs and can be adapted

98 in response to resistant mutations or to future viral threats.

RESULTS

Engineering of anti-RBD Fabs and IgGs

Using a phage-displayed human antigen-binding fragment (Fab) library similar to the highly validated library F (Persson et al., 2013), we performed four rounds of selection for binding to the biotinylated RBD of the S-protein of SARS-CoV-2 immobilized on streptavidin-coated plates. Screening of 384 Fab-phage clones revealed 348 that bound to the RBD but not to streptavidin. The Fab-phage were screened by ELISA and those exhibiting >50% loss of binding to the RBD in the presence of 200 nM ACE2 were sequenced, resulting in 34 unique clones (**Fig. 1A**) that were converted into the full-length human IgG1 format for purification and functional characterization.

To determine relative binding strength, ELISAs were performed with serial dilutions of IgG protein binding to biotinylated S-protein trimer captured with immobilized streptavidin. These assays showed that three IgGs bound with EC_{50} values in the sub-nanomolar range (**Fig. 1B, C and Table 1**). Each IgG also partially blocked the binding of biotinylated ACE2 to immobilized S-protein (**Fig. 1D**). Moreover, similar to the highly specific IgG trastuzumab, these three IgGs did not bind to seven immobilized, heterologous proteins that are known to exhibit high non-specific binding to some IgGs. The observed lack of binding to these heterologous proteins is a predictor of good pharmacokinetics *in vivo* (**Fig. 1E**) (Jain et al., 2017; Mouquet et al., 2010). We also used biolayer interferometry (BLI) to measure binding kinetics and to determine avidities more accurately. All three antibodies exhibited sub-nanomolar K_D apparent (**Table 1**), in agreement with the estimates determined by ELISA (**Fig. 1C**). Among these, IgG 15033 exhibited the highest avidity, which was mainly due to a two- or seven-fold higher on-rate than IgG 15031 or 15032, respectively. Based on the binding kinetics, we focused further efforts on Ab 15033.

We took advantage of the modular design principles of our synthetic Ab library to improve the affinity of Ab 15033. The naïve synthetic library was constructed with tailored diversification of key positions in all three heavy chain complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) and the third CDR of the light chain (CDR-L3). We reasoned that the already high affinity of Ab 15033 could be further improved by recombining the heavy chain with a library of light chains with naïve diversity in CDR-L3. Following selection for binding to the RBD, the light chain library yielded numerous variants, of which 17 were purified in the IgG format and analyzed by BLI. Several of the variant light chains resulted in IgGs with improved binding kinetics compared with IgG 15033, and in particular, IgG 15033-7 (**Fig. 1B**) exhibited significantly improved avidity (K_D apparent =

300 pM for 15033 or 39 pM for 15033-7) due to an off-rate that was an order of magnitude slower

(**Table 1**).

Structural analysis of Fabs in complex with the RBD and the S-protein

To rationalize the molecular basis for the differences between nAbs 15033 and 15033-7,

and their ability to block ACE2 binding, we first solved the X-ray crystal structures of Fabs in

complex with the SARS-CoV-2 RBD at 3.2 and 3.0 Å resolution, respectively (**Fig. 2A, Table S1**).

As expected, backbone superposition showed that the two complexes were essentially identical

(RMSD = 0.17 Å). The binding of Fab 15033-7 to the RBD resulted in an interface with 1130 and

1112 Å^2 of buried surface area on the RBD and Fab, respectively. Of the surface area buried on

the Fab, 59% comes from the light chain and 41% from the heavy chain, with the Fab paratope

centered on the receptor binding motif of the RBD (**Fig. 2B**). Comparison of the Fab and ACE2

footprints on the RBD revealed that they overlap extensively, with 69% of the ACE2 footprint

covered by that of the Fab footprint (**Fig. 2C**). It follows that direct steric hindrance explains the

ability of 15033 and 15033-7 to block the RBD-ACE2 interaction (**Fig. 1D**).

Fabs 15033 and 15033-7 recognize a patch of surface-exposed non-polar residues on the

RBD using several non-polar residues in both their heavy and light chain CDRs (**Fig. 2D**). The

significance of this interaction mode is made clear by a comparison with the ACE2-RBD complex

(**Fig. 2E**). The same patch of non-polar RBD residues mediates the interaction with ACE2, a

reflection of their importance in both the Fab-RBD and ACE2-RBD complexes. The RBD of SARS-

CoV-2 binds to ACE2 with high affinity and exploiting this surface on the RBD may explain why

Ab 15033 emerged as the most potent Ab in our initial phage-display screen. RBD residue Phe^{486}

is particularly noteworthy as its side chain is completely buried in a pocket between CDRs H2, H3

and L3.

Abs 15033 and 15033-7 differ at only two positions (15033, Tyr108L/Arg109L; 15033-7,

His108L/Thr109L). In the RBD-15033 complex, Tyr^{108L} makes van der Waals interactions with

RBD residues Tyr^{473} and Ala^{475}, as well as a weak hydrogen bond to the side chain of Tyr^{473} (**Fig.**

2F). In the higher affinity RBD-15033-7 complex, the side chain of the equivalent residue, His^{108L},

makes similar interactions. However, its side chain also makes an additional intramolecular

hydrogen bond to the corresponding residue, Thr^{109L}, the other residue that differs between 15033

and 15033-7. Taken together, these residue changes likely stabilize the 15033-7 CDR-L3 loop

conformation with a concomitant improvement in both the van der Waals and hydrogen bond

interactions with RBD residues Tyr^{473} and Ala^{475}.

Using electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM), we also determined the structure of Fab

15033-7 in complex with the S-protein trimer. This analysis resulted in the identification of at least

4 different complexes with either two or three Fabs bound to each S-protein trimer (**Fig. 2G-J, S1,**

S2). For all but one of the Fabs in these complexes, interpretable density for the entire Fab was

observed, a result of Fab-Fab interactions that served to immobilize the Fab and the RBD to which

it was bound.

In two of the complexes with three Fabs bound (**Fig. 2G-H**), all three RBDs were found in

the "up" conformation. The Fabs were relatively well-ordered in both complexes, as adjacent Fabs

contacted each other around the three-fold rotation axis describing the S-protein trimer. Although

three-fold symmetry was observed in one of the complexes (**Fig. 2G, S1A**), in the other, one of

the Fab-RBD units made unique Fab-Fab interactions that broke the symmetry (**Fig. 2H, S1B**).

In the third complex with three Fabs bound, two of the RBDs were in the "up" conformation

and the third RBD was in the "down" conformation (**Fig. 2I, S1C**). To accommodate the latter, one

of the other Fab-RBD units was pushed away from the 3-fold rotation axis where it was unable to

make Fab-Fab contacts. As such, the density for the Fab was relatively weak, an indication of

motion/disorder. The remaining Fab-RBD unit stacked over the one in the "down" conformation,

making extensive and yet again different Fab-Fab contacts. This led to a well-packed

arrangement where the Fab bound to the "down" RBD was sandwiched between the two "up"

RBDs.

In the complex with 2 Fabs bound (**Fig. 2J, S1D**), the bound RBDs were in the "up"

conformation and the Fab-Fab interactions were very similar to those found in the symmetrical

complex with three Fabs bound (**Fig. 2G, S1A**). The structure showed that the unbound RBD,

which was in the "down" conformation, could not sterically accommodate a Fab and further

affirmed that one of the two "up" Fab-RBD units must move away from the 3-fold rotation axis if

binding to it was to occur.

Analysis of the distances between the C-termini of the heavy chains of the Fabs in these

complexes showed that they range from 45 to 120 Å (**Fig. 2G-J,** lower row). In some cases, they

are oriented such that two of them, with some repositioning of the Fab-RBD unit, could be linked

to a single IgG molecule. Indeed, as described below, negative stain electron microscopy

confirmed simultaneous binding of both Fab arms of a single IgG 15033-7 molecule to the S-

protein trimer.

Taken together, our structural analysis showed that Fab 15033/15033-7 blocks ACE2

binding to the RBD by direct steric hindrance and that the simultaneous binding of both IgG arms

to the S-protein trimer likely enhances potency through avidity effects. It also showed that Fab

15033/15033-7 can make four conformationally distinct complexes with the S-protein trimer
through interactions involving the RBD in either the "up" or "down" conformation, a property also
shared by some other ACE2-blocking Fabs (Barnes et al., 2020). While it was not immediately
apparent why these Fabs were able to recognize both "up" and "down" positions, such flexibility
bodes well for efforts aimed at the design of improved antibody-based therapeutics. Finally, it
showed that different Fab-Fab interaction modes served to define and stabilize the complexes
observed.

Engineering of tetravalent nAbs with enhanced avidities

Building on these observations, we explored whether we could further enhance the
interactions of these nAbs with the S-protein trimer by taking advantage of modular design
strategies. We generated tetravalent versions of 15033 and 15033-7 by fusing additional copies
of the Fab to either the N- or C- terminus of the IgG heavy chain to construct molecules that we
termed Fab-IgG or IgG-Fab, respectively (**Fig. 3A**).

Our ultimate goal is to produce nAbs that can be used to counter SARS-CoV-2 infections
either as a therapeutic and/or as a prophylactic. Aside from high affinity and specificity, effective
nAb drugs must also possess favorable properties including high yields from recombinant
expression in mammalian cells, high thermodynamic stability, and the lack of aggregation and
excessive hydrophobic surface area. To examine these properties, we produced IgGs 15033 and
15033-7, and their Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab counterparts, by transient expression in Expi293F cells.
All six proteins were expressed in high yield (160-200 mg/L) and showed high thermostability with
CH3/Fab melting temperatures ranging from 81-87 $^{\circ}$C, values which exceeded that of the
trastuzumab Fab (79.5 $^{\circ}$C, **Table 1, Fig. S3**). Size exclusion chromatography revealed that each
IgG eluted as a predominant (91 to >95%) monodispersed peak with elution volumes nearly
identical to that of trastuzumab (**Fig. 3B and Table 1**). All the tetravalent molecules eluted as
single peaks in advance of trastuzumab, an observation consistent with their larger molecular
weights. We also showed that the IgG and tetravalent versions of both 15033 and 15033-7 could
be purified to near homogeneity by protein-A affinity chromatography as evidenced by SDS-PAGE
(**Fig. 3C**). Taken together, these analyses demonstrated that the IgGs and their tetravalent
derivatives possess excellent biophysical properties that will facilitate drug development and
production at large scale.

Importantly, the tetravalent Abs exhibited greatly reduced off-rates compared with their
bivalent IgG counterparts, with apparent dissociation constants for the S-protein trimer in the low
single-digit picomolar range as measured by BLI (**Fig. 3D, Table 1**). Negative stain electron

microscopy of IgG 15033-7, in complex with the S-protein trimer, showed that the two arms of a single IgG bound the S-protein trimer in a pincer-like fashion (**Fig. 4B,D**). Fab-IgG 15033-7 also makes pincer-like interactions with a single S-protein trimer **(Fig. 4C,E, Fig. S6, S7),** but the complexes revealed additional density that differed from those observed for the complex of the S-protein trimer with the IgG. In particular, we observed density consistent with that from an additional Fab in the Fab-IgG complexes, suggesting that all three of the S-protein RBDs are bound by Fabs from a single tetravalent nAb molecule, Fab-IgG in this instance. Given the range of complexes observed in our cryo-EM analysis, it is possible that the Fab-IgG, with four available Fabs, can engage all three RBDs within a single S-protein molecule (**Fig. 4E**). Further analysis will be required to fully establish whether this occurs, which could explain the decreased off-rates shown by the tetravalent proteins due to avidity. Nevertheless, it is clear that both the Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab possess an increased potential for multivalent interactions with one or more S-protein trimers. The latter mode of interaction may be possible on the viral surface and likely contributes to enhanced potency observed for the tetravalent IgGs, as shown below using a cell-based infectivity assay.

Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cell-based assays

We assessed the effects of the nAbs on virus infection in an assay that measured the infection of ACE2-expressing Vero E6 cells with the SARS-CoV-2 strain 2019 n-CoV/USA_WA1/2020. All three high affinity nAbs (15031, 15032 and 15033) from the naïve library (**Fig. 1**) exhibited dose-dependent neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 infection, confirming their inhibitory capacity (**Fig. S4**). Consistent with their affinities, IgG 15033 was the most potent with an IC_{50} of 3.3 nM, and its potency was confirmed with the observation of strong neutralization of a second SARS-CoV-2 strain (2019-nCoV/Italy-INMI1)**.** Neutralization of the second strain was consistent with that of the first strain, and for simplicity, only one set of data are shown.

The tetravalent Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab versions of 15033 exhibited improved potencies with IC_{50} values of 170 and 160 pM, respectively (**Fig. 5A and Table 1**). The optimized IgG 15033-7 also exhibited high neutralizing potency with an IC_{50} of 550 pM and its tetravalent Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab versions exhibited the best potencies of all the molecules tested with IC_{50} values of 60 and 37 pM, respectively. Taken together, these results support the ability of naïve synthetic Ab libraries to yield highly potent nAbs with drug-like properties. Moreover, further optimization through the engineering of tetravalent formats can produce drug-like molecules with ultra-high potencies that exceed those of bivalent IgGs.

Resistance to potential viral escape mutants

To explore the sensitivity of our nAbs to potential escape mutants, we generated HIV-gag-
based lentivirus-like particles (VLPs) pseudotyped with the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. We confirmed
ACE2-dependent infection of HEK-293 cells stably over-expressing exogenous ACE2 by
pseudotyped VLPs, and we showed that infection was inhibited by either Fc-tagged RBD (RBD-
Fc) or IgG 15033 (data not shown).　Using this system, we generated a panel of 44 pseudotyped
VLP variants **(Fig. S5A)**, each containing a single alanine substitution at an RBD position within
or close to the ACE2-binding site. Twenty of these VLP variants exhibited a >4-fold reduction in
infection compared with the wild-type (wt) VLP, suggesting that the substituted residues
contributed favorably to the interaction between the RBD and ACE2. The remaining 29 VLP
variants infected with high efficiency, suggesting that these are positions where residue changes
could abrogate antibody binding without affecting the ACE2 interaction.

Using the panel of 29 infective VLP variants, we measured VLP infection after treatment
with the various nAbs (**Fig. 5B**). We defined as escape mutants those VLP variants for which
entry in the presence of 50 nM nAb was >5% of the entry in the absence of the nAb. Based on
this definition, we found that 7 of the variants were able to escape from IgG 15033 (**Fig. S5A**),
whereas only 4 could escape from IgG 15033-7.　We also found that 15033 in tetravalent Ab
format neutralized more variants than it did as an IgG, and that, remarkably, tetravalent Ab 15033-
7 strongly neutralized all of the variants but one (Phe^{486}).　As discussed above, RBD residue
Phe^{486} is found in the non-polar interface between the RBD and the Fab and it makes numerous
contacts with CDRs H2, H3 and L3, sitting deep in a pocket formed by these contacts (**Fig.
S5B,C**). As such, it represents a bona fide positional vulnerability where mutant viruses still
capable of binding ACE2 could evade neutralization by nAb 15033-7.　Comprehensive maps of
escape mutations confirm the vulnerability of other nAbs to this mutation, but also suggest
strategies by which escape can be overcome with nAb cocktails or bi-specific nAbs (Greaney et
al., 2020). Overall, these results showed that for IgGs, increasing the affinity of the Fab-RBD
interaction increased neutralizing potency and resistance to mutation, and moreover, that
tetravalent presentation of the Fab provides even greater potency and increased resistance to
potential escape mutants.

DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2 has wreaked havoc on global health and the economy and highlighted the need for drug development technologies to combat not only COVID-19, but emerging infectious diseases in general. In this context, we have used synthetic antibody engineering to rapidly develop unique formats of human nAbs as therapeutic candidates. Our nAb formats include natural bivalent IgGs as well as ultra-potent tetravalent molecules arising from a modular design strategy that adds two additional Fabs to the canonical IgG molecule. Most importantly, we have shown that the enhanced avidities and potencies characteristic of the tetravalent nAbs are achieved without compromising the favorable properties – such as yield, solubility, and stability - that make IgG molecules ideal drugs. Moreover, we showed that the tetravalent nAbs are more resistant to potential escape mutations, an observation highlighting the utility of these molecules as therapeutics against SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses capable of rapidly mutating under selection pressure.

Structural analyses showed that IgG and Fab-IgG 15033-7 make pincer-like interactions with a single SARS-CoV-2 S-protein trimer, an observation providing insight into the basis for the avidity observed for these molecules. It also provides strong evidence that the tetravalent Fab-IgG can engage all three RBDs of the S-protein trimer, and that the RBD can be bound by a Fab in either the "up" or "down" conformation. We did not optimize the tetravalent formats; their very high avidities arose naturally from the geometry of the Fab-RBD complex, the spatial relationships between the Fabs, the flexibility of the RBDs on the S-protein trimer, and fortuitous Fab-Fab interactions that clearly stabilized the complexes observed. We and others have generated many additional Fabs that can inhibit the virus but employ different epitopes to do so, and incorporating these Fabs into tetravalent frameworks, including bispecific formats targeting two distinct epitopes, has the potential to generate a vast array of ultra-high affinity nAbs with minimal further effort. Taken together, these observations underscore the vast potential of our modular design approach for the development of novel and highly effective nAb therapeutics, and our approach has the potential to further optimize any COVID-19 nAb therapeutic currently in development.

COVID-19 has also exposed the need for drug development to respond to emerging viral threats in real time. In this regard, the isolation of nAbs from the B cells of infected individuals has emerged as a rapid approach to obtaining leads for novel drug development. Indeed, several reports have shown that these technologies can deliver drug-grade therapeutic nAbs for manufacturing and subsequent clinical trials in approximately six months (Hansen et al., 2020; Jones et al.). Further highlighting the urgent need and the rapid speed at which drug development has proceeded, these IgG-based nAbs have now received emergency-use approval from the US

FDA as both cocktail and single agent, and these extraordinarily rapid drug development timelines set a benchmark for alternative technologies. The potencies of other anti-SARS CoV-2 nAbs obtained in similar fashion range from nanomolar (Wu et al., 2020) to picomolar (Liu et al., 2020), but those that have been awarded approval for emergency use have potencies in the middle of this range (Hansen et al., 2020; Jones et al.). Thus, it is worth noting that antibodies with higher *in vitro* potencies may further improve *in vivo* efficacy, and our tetravalent formats should be applicable to enhance potency of nAbs in general.

With our platform, we show that synthetic *in vitro* antibody engineering is comparable to B cell cloning, in terms of both speed and potency of drug development (Hansen et al., 2020; Zost et al., 2020). Our project was initiated at the beginning of April 2020 when we identified our first naïve Ab leads. Within a month, we validated lead IgG molecules as neutralizing agents in cell-based assays with authentic virus. In parallel, we initiated further selections to optimize the Fab 15033 paratope, that yielded our best lead nAb 15033-7; both Fabs were then reformatted as tetravalent molecules (Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab) to further enhance potency. Though others have now shown enhanced potency of SARS-CoV-2 neutralization with oligomers of Ab variable domains (Schoof et al., 2020) and synthetic proteins (Cao et al., 2020a), insofar as our format closely resembles natural Abs, they can also be manufactured at large scale, possess long half-lives and likely low immunogenicity, all of which are required to become effective drugs. Indeed, by the beginning of October, we established manufacturing-grade stable cells capable of producing multi-gram quantities of drug-grade nAbs from a litre of culture, in both the IgG and tetravalent formats. We are now manufacturing our best nAb for clinical trials. Thus, synthetic Ab engineering technologies can match the six-month lead-to-manufacture timelines established by methods based on the cloning of natural nAbs.

Our synthetic engineering technologies offer exquisite control over Ab design and by introducing tailored diversity into an optimized IgG framework, ensures that candidate therapeutics possess biophysical properties that are ideal for drug development. Facilitated by the use of highly stable frameworks, we now demonstrate the rapid construction of complex tetravalent formats that enhance potency while retaining favorable drug-like properties. Perhaps most importantly though, synthetic methods do not rely on infected patients (i.e. natural repertoires) as a source of drug leads. By its very nature, B cell cloning is a reactive technology that can be implemented only after a viral outbreak is underway, and this places a limit on the time required for drug development. With synthetic *in vitro* methods, drug development can proceed in a proactive manner, as the development and stockpiling of potential therapeutics in advance of outbreaks is feasible. Indeed, large-scale surveillance and sequencing efforts have

369 provided unprecedented access to the genomes of numerous SARS-CoV-2-related viruses and
370 other pathogens with the potential to cross species barriers and infect humans (Daszak et al.,
371 2020; Shi and Hu, 2008). With our approach, it is feasible to develop - in advance, synthetic Abs
372 against hundreds of antigens in parallel (Hornsby et al., 2015) and working within a collaborative
373 international network, we have initiated efforts with this aim.
374

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
This study was supported in part by contracts and grants from NIH (R01 AI157155) and
the Defense Advanced Research Project Agency (HR001117S0019) to MSD, NIH grants
P01AI120943 and R01AI123926 to GKA, and an NIH grant R01AI107056 to DWL. This study was
also supported in part by a CIHR operating grant (COVID-19 Rapid Research Funding #OV3-
170649) to JR and SSS, and by the Lazio Region (Italy, DGR n. 653 29 September 2020) and
the Rome Foundation (Italy, Prot 317A/I). Additional support was generously provided by FAST
grants #2161 to GKA and SSS and #2189 to SSS, from Emergent Ventures through the
Thistledown Foundation (Canada) and the Mercatus Center at George Mason University. We are
grateful to Daniele Lapa (INMI), for his contribution in determining the neutralizing power of the
antibodies tested in Rome. We are also grateful to Carlo Tomino for his valuable help in preparing
for the regulatory aspects of monoclonal antibodies in Italy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
[†]Shane Miersch Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Supervision, Writing - Original
Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization, Validation, Project administration
[†]Zhijie Li Methodology, Investigation, Data Curation, Visualization, Formal analysis, Validation,
Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing
Reza Saberianfar Methodology, Investigation
Mart Ustav Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation
Brett Case Investigation, Validation
Levi Blazer Conceptualization, Investigation
Chao Chen Investigation
Wei Ye Investigation
Alevtina Pavlenco Investigation
Maryna Gorelik Investigation
Julia Garcia Perez Investigation
Suryaseree Subramania Investigation

Serena Singh Investigation

Lynda Ploder Investigation

Safder Ganaie Investigation

Daisy Leung Investigation

Rita E. Chen Investigation

Pier Paolo Pandolfi Investigation

Giuseppe Novelli Investigation

Giulia Matusali Investigation

Francesca Colavita Investigation

Maria R. Capobianchi Investigation

Suresh Jain Funding acquisition

J.B. Gupta Funding acquisition

Gaya Amarasinghe Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing

Michael Diamond Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing

*****James Rini** Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition

*****§Sachdev Sidhu** Conceptualization, Writing - Original Draft, Supervision, Funding acquisition

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

423   MSD is a consultant for Inbios, Vir Biotechnology, NGM Biopharmaceuticals, Carnival
424   Corporation and on the Scientific Advisory Boards of Moderna and Immunome. The Diamond
425   laboratory has received unrelated funding support in sponsored research agreements from
426   Moderna, Vir Biotechnology, and Emergent BioSolutions. SSS, SJ, SM, MU, JBG and PPP are
427   shareholders in Virna Therapeutics.

    FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Characterization of anti-RBD Abs by ELISA. (A) Binding of unique Fab-phage clones

to immobilized RBD blocked by solution-phase ACE2. Signal was normalized to the signal in the

absence of ACE2. **(B)** CDR sequences of Abs for which the binding to RBD was strongly blocked

by ACE2. Positions are numbered according to the IMGT nomenclature (Lefranc et al., 2003).

Sequences in 15033-7 that differ from 15033 are shaded *black.* **(C)** Serial dilutions of IgG binding

to immobilized S-protein trimer. The EC_{50} values derived from the curves are shown in **Table 1**

and values are representative of 2 independent experiments. **(D)** Binding of biotinylated ACE2 to

immobilized S-protein blocked by solution-phase IgG. Signal was normalized to the signal in the

presence of a non-binding control IgG and error bars show the standard error of the mean of

duplicate samples. (**E**) Assessment of non-specific binding of IgGs to immobilized antigens or a

goat anti-human Fc Ab (positive control).

Figure 2. Structural analysis of Fabs bound to the RBD. (A-F) X-ray crystallography analysis.

(A) Ribbon diagram of Fab 15033-7 in complex with the RBD. The RBD is shown in white. The

light and heavy chains of the Fab are shown in pink or blue, respectively. **(B)** Fab 15033-7 (surface

representation) and its interaction with the receptor binding motif (green ribbons) of the RBD. The

paratope defined by the Fab-RBD complex is colored blue (heavy chain) and pink (light chain).

(C) Surface representation of the RBD colored to show the Fab footprint (blue + green), the ACE2

footprint (yellow + green) and their significant overlap (green). **(D)** Non-polar residues (magenta)

on the Fab (15033 shown; light chain, pink; heavy chain, blue) make key interactions with a stretch

of exposed apolar residues (green) on the RBD (white). **(E)** These same non-polar residues are

critical for ACE2 binding. Highlighted is the important interaction with RBD residue Phe[486] in the

ACE2 complex. **(F)** Fabs 15033 (light pink) and 15033-7 (dark pink) differ by only two residues

in CDR-L3. In 15033-7, His[108] forms an additional hydrogen bond with Thr[109], stabilizing the local

conformation of the CDR-L3 loop. **(G-J)** Cryo-EM analysis. Four Fab-S-protein complexes were

observed in the cryo-EM maps: **(G)** the 3-Fab-bound, 3-"up", C3 symmetric structure; **(H)** the 3-

Fab-bound, 3-"up", asymmetric structure; **I)** the 3-Fab-bound, 2-"up"-1-"down" structure; **(J)** the

2-Fab-bound, 2-"up" structure. Upper row: side view of the EM maps; lower row: top view of the

EM maps with the Fabs in ribbons. The dotted lines indicate distances between the C-terminal

ends of the Fab heavy chains. Light blue, unit A Fab; orange, unit B Fab; magenta, unit C Fab;

green/blue, RBD. In **(I)** and **(J)** the blue RBDs are in the "down" position. In **(H)** the blue RBD and

its bound Fab (unit C) is rotated, breaking the C3-symmetry. In **(I)** the density for the B unit Fab

is weak. The distances involving it were derived from the best fit of a Fab (orange) to the density

(also see **Fig S1, S2**).

Figure 3. Design and characterization of tetravalent nAbs. (A) Schematic of the bivalent IgG

format, and the tetravalent Fab-IgG and IgG-Fab formats. Paratopes are shown in red, linkers are

shown in black, and disulfide bonds are shown as yellow spheres. **(B)** Analytical gel filtration SEC

of nAbs. **(C)** SDS-PAGE analysis of nAbs under non-reducing (top) or reducing conditions

(bottom). **(D)** BLI sensor traces for nAbs (6.7 nM) binding to immobilized S-protein trimer.

Figure 4. Negative stain electron microscopy analysis of nAbs and their interaction with

the S-protein trimer. (A) Examples of the 15033-7 IgG (left), Fab-IgG (middle) and IgG-Fab

(right) molecules observed in the negative stain micrographs. Schematic interpretations are

shown to the right of each image. **(B)** Negative stain electron microscopy 2D class averages of

IgG 15033-7 in complex with the S-protein trimer. **(C)** Negative stain electron microscopy 2D

class averages of Fab-IgG 15033-7 in complex with the S-protein trimer. The arrows indicate

Fab-sized densities not observed in the IgG complex in **(B)**. **(D)** Tentative schematic of the

complex in **(B)** showing a trimer with 2 RBDs "up" and one RBD "down". **(E)** Schematics of the

four possible ways that the Fab-IgG can bind to the two "up" RBDs of a trimer that has two RBDs

"up" and one RBD "down". In **(D)** and **(E)**, the following color scheme was used: white, Fc;

orange, the Fabs of a native IgG; blue, the Fabs added to the native IgG. Wavy lines indicate the

locations of the CDRs. The Fabs with heavy outline are making specific interactions through their

CDRs. As shown by our Cryo-EM structures, the RBD in the "down" conformation is accessible

for specific interaction with one of the remaining Fabs (orange or blue with light dotted outline)

shown in **(E)**. This would lead to a trivalent interaction with the S-protein trimer and may explain

the additional Fab-sized densities observed in **(C).**

Figure 5. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and pseudotyped VLPs. (A) Neutralization of SARS-

CoV-2 strain 2019 n-CoV/USA_WA1/2020 by bivalent and tetravalent nAbs (also see **Fig. S4**).

The virus was pre-treated with serial dilutions of nAb and infection of ACE2-expressing Vero E6

cells was measured relative to untreated control. Samples were run in triplicate and results are

representative of two independent experiments. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean.

(B) Neutralization of a panel of pseudotyped VLPs displaying SARS-CoV-2 S-proteins with single

alanine mutations in or near the ACE2-binding site of the S-protein RBD (also see **Fig. S5**). The

VLPs were treated with 50 nM of the indicated nAb and uptake by ACE2-expressing HEK-293

cells was measured in duplicate, and results are representative of two independent experiments.

The heat map shows uptake normalized to uptake in the absence of nAb. Boxed cells indicate

VLPs that represent potential escape mutants for a given nAb, as defined by >5% uptake with

nAb treatment compared with untreated control (the percent uptake is shown in each cell).

TABLES

Table 1. Affinity, potency and biophysical characteristics of nAbs

Ab	Format	BLI analysis[a]			Virus inhibition[b]		SEC analysis[c]		T_M2[d] (°C)	Yield[e] (mg/L)
		k_{on} ($10^5 M^{-1}s^{-1}$)	koff ($10^{-5}s^{-1}$)	Apparent K_D (pM)	IC_{50} (pM)	IC_{50} (ng/mL)	Monomer Peak (%)	Elution volume (mL)		
trastuzumab	IgG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	>95	9.2	79.5	303
15033	IgG	17	50	300	3260	489	91	9.3	81	207
15033-7	IgG	18	7	39	550	83	95	9.1	81	165
15033	Fab-IgG	46	1.5	3	170	43	>95	8.2	87	214
15033-7	Fab-IgG	40	0.8	2	60	15	93	8	85.5	194
15033	IgG-Fab	36	1.9	5	160	41	>95	8.2	87	166
15033-7	IgG-Fab	30	<0.1	<1	37	9.3	93	8.1	85	118

[a]Calculated by assay of serial dilutions of nAb binding to immobilized S-trimer, as shown in **Fig. 3D**
[b]Calculated from assays shown in **Fig. 5A**
[c]Calculated from analysis shown in **Fig. 3B**
[d]Calculated from analysis shown in **Fig. S3**
[e]Calculated from proteins produced by transient transfection of Expi293F cells followed by affinity purification with Protein A sepharose, as shown in **Fig. 3C**.

STAR METHODS

Cells

Mammalian cells were maintained in humidified environments at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 in the indicated

media. Vero E6 (ATCC), HEK293T (ATCC) and HEK293T cells stably overexpressing ACE2 were

maintained at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 in DMEM containing 10% (vol/vol) FBS. Expi293F cells

(ThermoFisher) were maintained at 37 °C in 8% CO_2 in Expi293F expression media

(ThermoFisher).

Protein production

The previously reported piggyBac transposase-based expression plasmid PB-T-PAF (Li et al.,

2013) containing a CMV promotor (PB-CMV) and a woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional

regulatory element (WPRE) was used for large-scale transient expression. cDNA encoding the

SARS-CoV-2 S-protein ectodomain trimer (residues 1-1211), followed by a foldon trimerization

motif (Tao et al., 1997), a 6xHis tag and an AviTag biotinylation motif (Fairhead and Howarth,

2015) was cloned in to the PB-CMV vector using standard molecular biology techniques, and

residues 682–685 (RRAR) and 986–987 (KV) were mutated to SSAS or PP sequences,

respectively, to remove the furin cleavage site on the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein or to stabilize

the pre-fusion form of the spike (Pallesen et al., 2017), respectively. The SARS-CoV-2 receptor

binding domain (RBD, residues 328-528), the soluble human ACE2 construct (residues 19-615),

and the SARS-CoV RBD (residues 315-514), each followed by a 6xHis tag and an AviTag, were

similarly cloned into the same vector. For expression, PB-CMV expression constructs were mixed

with Opti-MEM media (Gibco) containing 293fectin reagent (Thermo Fisher) and the mixture was

incubated for 5 min before addition to the shaker flask containing 10^6 Freestyle 293-F cells/mL

grown in suspension in Freestyle 293 expression media (Thermo Fisher). Expression was allowed

to continue for 6 days before purification.

Protein purification and *in vitro* biotinylation

Expressed proteins were harvested from expression medium by binding to Ni-NTA affinity resin

followed by elution with 1X PBS containing 300 mM imidazole and 0.1% (v/v) protease inhibitor

cocktail (Sigma), then further purified by size-exclusion chromatography. For the RBDs and

ACE2, a Superdex 200 Increase (GE healthcare) column was used. For the S-protein

ectodomain, a Superose 6 Increase (GE healthcare) column was used. Purified proteins were

site-specifically biotinylated in a reaction with 200 μM biotin, 500 μM ATP, 500 μM $MgCl_2$, 30

μg/mL BirA, 0.1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail and not more than 100 μM of the protein-AviTag

substrate. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 2 hours and biotinylated proteins were then

purified by size-exclusion chromatography.

Phage display selections

A synthetic, phage-displayed antibody library (Persson et al., 2013) was selected for binding to

SARS-CoV-2 RBD in solution. In each round, phage library was first depleted on neutravidin

immobilized in wells of a 96-well Maxisorp plate from a 2 μg/mL solution incubated with shaking

overnight at 4 °C, then incubated with 50 nM biotinylated RBD in solution for two hours at RT.

Protein-phage complexes were captured in wells coated with neutravidin as above for 15 min at

RT. After washing with 1X PBS pH 7.4 containing 0.05% Tween, phage were eluted for 5 min with

0.1 M HCl and then neutralized with 1 M Tris pH 8.0. Eluted phage were amplified, purified, and

selected for binding to target for a total of 5 rounds, after which, individual phage clones were

subjected to DNA sequencing, as described (Persson et al., 2013).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays

For ELISAs, plates were coated with neutravidin, as above, then blocked with PBS, 0.2% BSA for

1 h. Biotinylated target protein was captured from solution by incubation in neutravidin-coated and

BSA-blocked wells for 15 min with shaking at RT, and subsequently, phage or Ab was added and
allowed to bind for 30 min. Plates were washed, incubated with an appropriate secondary
antibody, and developed with TMB substrate as described (Miersch et al., 2017).
568

Construction of genes encoding tetravalent Abs

DNA fragments encoding heavy chain Fab regions (VH-CH1; terminating at hinge residue Thr^{10},
IMGT numbering (Lefranc et al., 2003)) were amplified by PCR from the IgG expression
constructs. Tetravalent Ab constructs were generated by fusing these fragments with their
respective IgG heavy chain in the pSCSTa mammalian expression vector using Gibson assembly
(New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Fab-IgG constructs were arranged by fusing a heavy chain
Fab domain to the N-terminus of the IgG using a S(G4S)$_3$ linker. IgG-Fab constructs were
arranged by fusing a heavy chain Fab domain to the C-terminus using a G(G4S)$_2$GGGTG linker.
For both formats, the Fc region terminated at Gly^{129} (IMGT numbering (Lefranc et al., 2003)).
578

Ab production and purification

IgG and tetravalent Abs were produced in Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher) by transient
transfection, by diluting heavy and light chain construct DNA in OptiMem serum-free media
(Gibco) before the addition of and incubation with FectoPro (Polyplus Transfection) for 10 min.
For IgG expression, equivalent amounts of plasmids encoding heavy chain or light chain were
transfected, whereas for tetravalent formats, a ratio of 2:1 light chain to heavy chain plasmids was
used. Following addition of the DNA complex to Expi293F cells and a 5-day expression period,
Abs were purified using rProtein A Sepharose (GE Healthcare), then buffer exchanged and
concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter devices (Millipore). IgGs were stored in
PBS (Gibco), and tetravalent Abs were stored in 10 mM L-Histidine, 0.9% sucrose, 140 mM NaCl,
pH 6.0.
590

Size exclusion chromatography

592 Protein samples (50 μg) were injected onto a TSKgel BioAssist G3SWxl column (Tosoh) fitted
593 with a guard column using an NGC chromatography system and a C96 autosampler (Biorad). The
594 column was preequilibrated in a PBS mobile phase and protein retention was monitored by
595 absorbance at 215 nm during a 1.5 CV isocratic elution in PBS.
596
Biolayer interferometry

The binding kinetics and estimation of apparent affinity (K_D) of Abs binding to the S-protein were

determined by BLI with an Octet HTX instrument (ForteBio) at 1000 rpm and 25 $^{\circ}$C. Biotinylated

S-protein was first captured on streptavidin biosensors from a 2 µg/mL solution to achieve a

binding response of 0.4-0.6 nm and unoccupied sites were quenched with 100 µg/mL biotin. Abs

were diluted with assay buffer (PBS, 1% BSA, 0.05% Tween 20) and 67 nM of an unrelated

biotinylated protein of similar size was used as negative control. Following equilibration with assay

buffer, loaded biosensors were dipped for 600 s into wells containing 3-fold serial dilutions of each

Ab starting at 67 nM, and subsequently, were transferred back into assay buffer for 600 s. Binding

response data were corrected by subtraction of response from a reference and were fitted with a

1:1 binding model using ForteBio's Octet Systems software 9.0.

Differential scanning fluorimetry

Thermostabilities of Abs were determined by differential scanning fluorimetry using Sypro Orange,

as described (Niedziela-Majka et al., 2015), with a 1 µM solution of Ab and temperature range

from 25-100 $^{\circ}$C in 0.5 $^{\circ}$C increments.

Generation of pseudotyped VLPs

HEK-293 cells (ATCC) were seeded in a 6-well plate at 0.3 x 10^6 cells/well in DMEM

(ThermoFisher) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) and grown

overnight at 37 $^{\circ}$C with 5% CO_2. HEK-293 cells were then co-transfected with 1 µg of pNL4-

3.luc.R-E- plasmid (luciferase expressing HIV-1 with defective envelop protein) (NIH AIDS

Reagent Program) and 0.06 µg of CMV-promoter driven plasmid encoding wt or mutant S-protein

using Lipofectamine™ 2000 transfection reagent (ThermoFisher). Pseudotyped VLPs were

harvested by collecting supernatant 48 h after transfection and were filter sterilized (0.44 µm,

Millipore Sigma, Cat. No. SLHA033SS).

623
624
Infection assays with pseudotyped VLPs

HEK-293 cells stably over-expressing full-length human ACE2 protein were seeded in 96-well

white polystyrene microplates (Corning, Cat. No. CLS3610) at 0.03 x 10^6 cells/well in DMEM (10%

FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin) and were grown overnight at 37 $^{\circ}$C with 5% CO_2.

Pseudotyped VLPs were mixed with Ab, incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and added to

the cells. The cells were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$C with 5% CO_2, the medium was replaced with fresh

DMEM (10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin) after 6 h, and again every 24 h up to 72 h. To

measure the luciferase signal (VLP entry), DMEM was removed and cells were replaced in DPBS

(ThermoFisher) and mixed with an equal volume of ONE-Glo™ EX Luciferase Assay System

(Promega). Relative luciferase units were measured using a BioTek Synergy Neo plate reader

(BioTek Instruments Inc.). The data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism Version 8.4.3 (GraphPad

Software, LLC).

SARS-CoV-2 focus reduction neutralization assay

SARS-CoV-2 strain 2019 n-CoV/USA_WA1/2020 was obtained from the Centers for Disease

Control (USA) and Prevention. Virus stocks were produced in Vero CCL81 cells (ATCC) and

titrated by focus-forming assay on Vero E6 cells (Case et al., 2020). Serial dilutions of mAbs were

incubated with 10^2 focus-forming units (FFU) of SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h at 37 °C. MAb-virus

complexes were added to Vero E6 cell monolayers in 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C for 1

h. Cells were overlaid with 1% (w/v) methylcellulose in MEM supplemented with 2% FBS. Plates

were harvested after 30 h by removing overlays and were fixed with 4% PFA in PBS for 20 min

at RT. Plates were washed and sequentially incubated with 1 μg/mL of CR3022 (Yuan et al.,

2020) anti-S-protein antibody and HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG in PBS, 0.1% saponin,

0.1% BSA. SARS-CoV-2-infected cell foci were visualized using TrueBlue peroxidase substrate

(KPL) and were quantitated on an ImmunoSpot microanalyzer (Cellular Technologies). Data were

processed using Prism software (GraphPad Prism 8.0).

X-ray crystallography

The SARS-CoV-2 RBD (residues 328-528) was expressed with a C-terminal 6xHis tag

from HEK293F GnT1-minus cells. The Fabs were expressed from HEK293F cells. The RBD was

purified from the expression media by metal-affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA beads

(Qiagen). The Fab fragments were purified from the expression media using rProtein A

Sepharose Fast Flow beads (GE healthcare). The RBD was treated with endoglycosidase H

(Robbins et al., 1984) and Carboxypeptidase A (Sigma-Aldrich), to remove the N-glycans and the

C-terminal 6xHis tag. The RBD and Fabs were further purified by ion-exchange and hydrophobic

interaction chromatography. Before crystallization, the RBD-Fab complexes were purified using

size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 Increase column (GE Healthcare). For both

Fab 15033 and Fab 15033-7, the optimized crystallization conditions contained 1.2-1.6 M

$(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and 8-18% glycerol. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 100 K on beamline 08IB-

1 at the Canadian Light Source. The diffraction data were integrated and scaled using the XDS

package (Kabsch, 2010). The structures were solved by molecular replacement using the

program Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007). A homology model of the VH-VL region was produced by
the SysImm Repertoire Builder server (https://sysimm.org/rep_builder)(Schritt et al., 2019). The
CDR loops were then deleted from this VH-VL model. The constant (CH1-CL) region search
model and the RBD search model were both derived from PDB entry 6W41 (Yuan et al., 2020).
The three models were used to solve the structure by molecular replacement. The atomic models
were built using Coot (Emsley et al., 2010) and refined with Phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012).
672

Negative stain electron microscopy

3 µL of the S-protein ectodomain were applied to the surface of a glow-discharged (60 s,
15 mA), carbon-coated copper mesh grid. The grid was washed with water and stained with 3 µL
of 2% uranyl formate. Micrographs were acquired on a Talos L120C 120 kV electron microscope
equipped with a Ceta 16M CMOS camera. Particle selection and two-dimensional classification
were carried out using cryoSPARC v2. Contrast transfer function (CTF) estimation was
performed using GCTF (Zhang, 2016).
680

Cryo-EM

Four additional substitutions for proline residues (817P/A892P/A899P/A942P) were
introduced to the S-2P SARS-CoV-2 S-protein ectodomain to stabilize the prefusion conformation
(Hsieh et al., 2020). Fab 15033-7 was mixed with the SARS-CoV-2 spike ectodomain at a 3:1
molar ratio. 3 µL of the Fab-spike mixture containing 0.4 mg/mL total protein were applied to a
glow-discharged (60 s, 15 mA) C-flat 2/2 carbon holey grid (CF-2/2-4C, Electron Microscopy
Science). Plunge vitrification was performed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Vitrobot Mark IV
instrument. The grids were blotted for 2.5 s at 100% humidity and 277 K before being plunge-
frozen in liquid ethane cooled to 90 K. Specimen screening and optimization were performed
using a Talos L120C 120 kV electron microscope equipped with a Ceta 16M CMOS camera.
High-resolution data were collected on a Thermo Fisher Scientific Titan Krios G3 300 kV
microscope equipped with a Falcon 4 Direct Electron Detector. The data were collected at
75000x nominal magnification, resulting in a calibrated pixel size of 1.03 Å. Each movie was
recorded in counting mode with a 10 s exposure and saved in 30 fractions. The total exposure
was 38 electrons per $Å^2$. The data were collected with a 1.0-2.2 µm defocus setting. A total of
~6431 movies were collected for the final high-resolution dataset.
Full-frame motion and local motion corrections of the cryo-EM movies were performed
using implementations of the alignframes_lmbfgs algorithm (Rubinstein and Brubaker, 2015) in

cryoSPARC v2 (Punjani et al., 2017). CTF parameters were estimated using GCTF (Zhang,
2016). Particle selection was initially performed using a Gaussian blob picker, then by Topaz
neural network picking (Bepler et al., 2019), both in cryoSPARC v2. Two-dimensional
classification of the particle images was performed using cryoSPARC v2. The particle images
corresponding to Fab-S-protein complexes in the different conformations were separated by
performing heterogenous refinement in cryoSPARC v2. Initial map generation and homogenous,
heterogenous and non-uniform three-dimensional refinements were performed in cryoSPARC
v2. For the map with three RBDs in the up conformation, the three-dimensional refinements were
carried out with either C1 or C3 symmetry imposed. The maps generated with C3 symmetry were
used for model building. A previously reported SARS-CoV-2 spike structure, PDB entry 6VXX
(Walls et al., 2020), and the 15033-7:RBD complex reported here, were first docked into the map
using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004) and then manually modified using Coot (Emsley
and Cowtan, 2004). The HV-LV and HC1-LC domains of the Fab were docked individually to
account for elbow angle differences (SI Figure ZFH). The resulting model was refined with C3
symmetry against the cryo-EM map using Rosetta (Wang et al., 2016) and Phenix (Liebschner et
al., 2019) . The resulting C3-symmetric model was used as starting model to build the other three
structures, which were also refined against the corresponding cryo-EM maps using Rosetta and
Phenix. The atomic models were validated using Molprobity (Williams et al., 2018) and the
comprehensive validation (cryo-EM) tool in Phenix (Liebschner et al., 2019). To improve the
interpretability of the Fab-RBD interaction, local refinement of the Fab-RBD unit was performed
in cryoSPARC v2 using a mask encompassing one of the Fab-RBD units in the C3-symmetric
structure. Moderate resolution improvement for the Fab-RBD unit was achieved (**Fig. S2H**).

Data deposition
The crystal structures of the RBD-Fab 15033 and RBD-Fab 15033-7 complexes were deposited
in the PDB with accession codes 7KLG and 7KLH, respectively. The S-protein-Fab 15033-7 cryo-
EM maps and structures were deposited to EMDB and PDB with the following accession codes:
EMD-22925/PDB:7KMK (2 Fab bound, 2-"up"-1-"down"), EMD-22926/PDB:7KML (3 Fab bound,
3-"up", C3-symmetric), EMD-23064/PDB:7KXJ (3 Fab bound, 3-"up" asymmetric), EMD-
23065/PDB:7KXK (3 Fab bound, 2-"up", 1-"down").

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Table S1. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics

Table S2. Cryo-EM data collection and image processing

Figure S1. 2D class averages and resolution plots of the four 15033-7 Fab-S-protein cryo-
EM structures. The GSFSC curve, selected 2D class averages and the local resolution map are
shown for each of the four structures: **A)** the 3-Fab-bound, 3-"up", C3 symmetric structure; **B)** the
3-Fab-bound, 3-"up", asymmetric structure; **C)** the 3-Fab-bound, 2-"up"-1-"down" structure; **D)**
the 2-Fab-bound, 2-"up" structure.

Figure S2. Cryo-EM maps showing only Fabs and RBDs in the density. A-D) The 15033-7
Fabs (colored ribbons) are shown in the cryo-EM maps for each of the four structures: **A)** the 3-
Fab-bound, 3-"up", C3 symmetric structure; **B)** the 3-Fab-bound, 3-"up", asymmetric structure; **C)**
the 3-Fab-bound, 2-"up"-1-"down" structure; "A", "B" and "C" label the three Fab-RBD units where
"C" is in the "down" conformation, "A" stacks over "C" and "B" is the Fab-RBD unit pushed away
from the 3-fold rotation axis; **D)** the 2-Fab-bound, 2-"up" structure; **E)** A top view of the "B" Fab-
RBD unit (Fab, orange; RBD, green) shown in **C)**. **F)** Comparison of the 15033-7 Fab-RBD unit
found in the C3 symmetric cryo-EM structure (Fab, blue; RBD, green) with that found in the crystal
structure (Fab and RBD, gray). A change in the Fab elbow angle is observed. **G)** The Fab-RBD
unit in the C3 symmetric cryo-EM map, showing two views. **H)** Local-refinement map of the 15033-
7 Fab-RBD unit, showing two views. In **G)** and **H)** the Fab heavy chain, Fab light chain and the
RBD are colored blue, magenta and green, respectively.

Figure S3. Thermostability of IgGs and tetravalent nAbs. Thermal melt curves obtained by monitoring the fluorescence of Sypro orange in the presence of 1 μM antibody from 44 - 100 $^{\circ}$C and the relative fluorescence intensity baseline shifted to zero. Vertical bars mark the point of inflection in each curve indicating the T_M2.

Figure S4. Antibody-mediated neutralization of clinically isolated SARS-CoV-2 Infection of VeroE6 cells by a clinically-isolated SARS CoV2 virus (strain 2019 n-CoV/USA_WA1/2020) was measured over a range [IgG] versus an IgG isotype control antibody using a focal reduction neutralization assay. Relative infection determined from the number of detectable foci was plotted versus log-transformed [IgG] and plots fit to determine IC_{50} values.

Figure S5. Vulnerabilities of antibody-mediated neutralization to potential escape mutants. **(A)** RBD residues individually mutated to alanine are indicated by spheres and labeled with the residue number. Those variants that exhibited reduced infection >75% relative to the wt are shown in dark grey and were not included in the analysis; those that exhibited at least 25% infectivity but could be neutralized >95% by 50 nM IgG 15033 are shown in light grey and those that retained infectivity but exhibited <95% neutralization of infection by 50 nM IgG 15033 are shown in red. **(B)** Residue Phe486 and Fab CDR residues within 4 Å of it are shown from the crystal structure of the complex of Fab 15033-7 and the SARS CoV-2 RBD. **(C)** Surface view of Fab 15033-7 in complex with the RBD reveals that residues in **(B)** form a hydrophobic pocket between the heavy and light chain, into which Phe486 inserts.

Figure S6. A negative stain micrograph of the Fab-IgG-S-protein complex The Fab-IgG-S-protein complexes are indicated by open brackets. Unbound Fab-IgG molecules are indicated by white arrowheads. The micrograph is contrast-inverted to help visualization.

785   **Figure S7. Negative stain EM particle images of the Fab-IgG-S-protein complexes** Each

786   panel contains a Fab-IgG-S-protein complex observed in negative stain EM micrographs. Each

787   particle is aligned so that the spike portion is upright. The images are contrast-inverted to help

788   visualization.

REFERENCES

Afonine, P. V., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Echols, N., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., Mustyakimov, M.,

Terwilliger, T.C., Urzhumtsev, A., Zwart, P.H., and Adams, P.D. (2012). Towards automated

crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol.

Crystallogr. *68*, 352–367.

Alsoussi, W.B., Turner, J.S., Case, J.B., Zhao, H., Schmitz, A.J., Zhou, J.Q., Chen, R.E., Lei, T., Rizk,

A.A., McIntire, K.M., et al. (2020). A Potently Neutralizing Antibody Protects Mice against SARS-

CoV-2 Infection. J. Immunol. *205*, 915–922.

Barnes, C.O., Jette, C.A., Abernathy, M.E., Dam, K.M.A., Esswein, S.R., Gristick, H.B., Malyutin,

A.G., Sharaf, N.G., Huey-Tubman, K.E., Lee, Y.E., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody

structures inform therapeutic strategies. Nature.

Beigel, J.H., Tomashek, K.M., Dodd, L.E., Mehta, A.K., Zingman, B.S., Kalil, A.C., Hohmann, E.,

Chu, H.Y., Luetkemeyer, A., Kline, S., et al. (2020). Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 —

Preliminary Report. N. Engl. J. Med.

Bepler, T., Morin, A., Rapp, M., Brasch, J., Shapiro, L., Noble, A.J., and Berger, B. (2019).

Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron

micrographs. Nat. Methods *16*, 1153–1160.

Boulware, D.R., Pullen, M.F., Bangdiwala, A.S., Pastick, K.A., Lofgren, S.M., Okafor, E.C., Skipper,

C.P., Nascene, A.A., Nicol, M.R., Abassi, M., et al. (2020). A Randomized Trial of

Hydroxychloroquine as Postexposure Prophylaxis for Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. *383*, 517–525.

Cao, L., Goreshnik, I., Coventry, B., Case, J.B., Miller, L., Kozodoy, L., Chen, R.E., Carter, L., Walls,

A.C., Park, Y.J., et al. (2020a). De novo design of picomolar SARS-CoV-2 miniprotein inhibitors.

Science (80-.). *370*, 426–431.

Cao, Y., Su, B., Guo, X., Sun, W., Deng, Y., Bao, L., Zhu, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, Y., Geng, C., et al.

(2020b). Potent Neutralizing Antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 Identified by High-Throughput

Single-Cell Sequencing of Convalescent Patients' B Cells. Cell *182*, 73-84.e16.

Case, J.B., Rothlauf, P.W., Chen, R.E., Liu, Z., Zhao, H., Kim, A.S., Bloyet, L.M., Zeng, Q., Tahan, S.,

Droit, L., et al. (2020). Neutralizing Antibody and Soluble ACE2 Inhibition of a Replication-

Competent VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and a Clinical Isolate of SARS-CoV-2. Cell Host Microbe *28*, 475-

485.e5.

Cobleigh, M.A., Vogel, C.L., Tripathy, D., Robert, N.J., Scholl, S., Fehrenbacher, L., Wolter, J.M.,

Paton, V., Shak, S., Lieberman, G., et al. (1999). Multinational study of the efficacy and safety of

humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody in women who have HER2-overexpressing

metastatic breast cancer that has progressed after chemotherapy for metastatic disease. J. Clin.

Oncol. *17*, 2639–2648.

Corti, D., Zhao, J., Pedotti, M., Simonelli, L., Agnihothram, S., Fett, C., Fernandez-Rodriguez, B.,

Foglierini, M., Agatic, G., Vanzetta, F., et al. (2015). Prophylactic and postexposure efficacy of a

potent human monoclonal antibody against MERS coronavirus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.

112, 10473–10478.

Daszak, P., Olival, K.J., and Li, H. (2020). A strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to the

2019-nCoV outbreak. Biosaf. Heal. *2*, 6–8.

Duan, K., Liu, B., Li, C., Zhang, H., Yu, T., Qu, J., Zhou, M., Chen, L., Meng, S., Hu, Y., et al. (2020).

Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

U. S. A. *117*, 9490–9496.

Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: Model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta

Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. *60*, 2126–2132.

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W.G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot.

Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 486–501.

Fairhead, M., and Howarth, M. (2015). Site-specific biotinylation of purified proteins using BirA.

Methods Mol. Biol. *1266*, 171–184.

Greaney, A.J., Starr, T.N., Gilchuk, P., Zost, S.J., Binshtein, E., Loes, A.N., Hilton, S.K., Huddleston,

J., Eguia, R., Crawford, K.H.D., et al. (2020). Complete Mapping of Mutations to the SARS-CoV-2

Spike Receptor-Binding Domain that Escape Antibody Recognition. Cell Host Microbe.

Hansen, J., Baum, A., Pascal, K.E., Russo, V., Giordano, S., Wloga, E., Fulton, B.O., Yan, Y., Koon,

K., Patel, K., et al. (2020). Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-

CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science *369*, 1010–1014.

Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Mü, M.A., Drosten, C., and Pö, S. (2020). SARS-

CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease

Inhibitor. Cell *181*, 271-280.e8.

Hornsby, M., Paduch, M., Miersch, S., Sääf, A., Matsuguchi, T., Lee, B., Wypisniak, K., Doak, A.,

King, D., Usatyuk, S., et al. (2015). A high through-put platform for recombinant antibodies to

folded proteins. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *14*, 2833–2847.

Hsieh, C.L., Goldsmith, J.A., Schaub, J.M., DiVenere, A.M., Kuo, H.C., Javanmardi, K., Le, K.C.,

Wrapp, D., Lee, A.G., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). Structure-based design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-

CoV-2 spikes. Science (80-.). *369*, 1501–1505.

Jain, T., Sun, T., Durand, S., Hall, A., Houston, N.R., Nett, J.H., Sharkey, B., Bobrowicz, B., Caffry,

I., Yu, Y., et al. (2017). Biophysical properties of the clinical-stage antibody landscape. Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*, 944–949.

Jones, B.E., Brown-Augsburger, P.L., Corbett, K.S., Westendorf, K., Davies, J., Cujec, T.P.,

Wiethoff, C.M., Blackbourne, J.L., Heinz, B.A., Foster, D., et al. Title: LY-CoV555, a rapidly

isolated potent neutralizing antibody, provides protection in a non-human primate model of

SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Kabsch, W. (2010). XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. *66*, 125–132.

Ke, Z., Oton, J., Qu, K., Cortese, M., Zila, V., McKeane, L., Nakane, T., Zivanov, J., Neufeldt, C.J.,

Cerikan, B., et al. (2020). Structures and distributions of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins on intact

virions. Nature 1–7.

Klein, S., Cortese, M., Winter, S.L., Wachsmuth-Melm, M., Neufeldt, C.J., Cerikan, B., Stanifer,

M.L., Boulant, S., Bartenschlager, R., and Chlanda, P. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 structure and

replication characterized by in situ cryo-electron tomography. BioRxiv 2020.06.23.167064.

Lefranc, M.P., Pommié, C., Ruiz, M., Giudicelli, V., Foulquier, E., Truong, L., Thouvenin-Contet,

V., and Lefranc, G. (2003). IMGT unique numbering for immunoglobulin and T cell receptor

variable domains and Ig superfamily V-like domains. Dev. Comp. Immunol. *27*, 55–77.

Li, L., Zhang, W., Hu, Y., Tong, X., Zheng, S., Yang, J., Kong, Y., Ren, L., Wei, Q., Mei, H., et al.

(2020). Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical Improvement in Patients

With Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA.

Li, Z., Michael, I.P., Zhou, D., Nagy, A., and Rini, J.M. (2013). Simple piggyBac transposon-based

mammalian cell expression system for inducible protein production. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.

A. *110*, 5004–5009.

Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Baker, M.L., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V.B., Croll, T.I., Hintze, B., Hung,

L.W., Jain, S., McCoy, A.J., et al. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays,

neutrons and electrons: Recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol.

75, 861–877.

Liu, L., Wang, P., Nair, M.S., Yu, J., Rapp, M., Wang, Q., Luo, Y., Chan, J.F.W., Sahi, V., Figueroa,

A., et al. (2020). Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike.

Nature *584*, 450–456.

Long, Q.X., Tang, X.J., Shi, Q.L., Li, Q., Deng, H.J., Yuan, J., Hu, J.L., Xu, W., Zhang, Y., Lv, F.J., et al.

(2020). Clinical and immunological assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nat.

Med. *26*, 1200–1204.

McCoy, A.J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C., and Read, R.J.

(2007). Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. *40*, 658–674.

Meulen, J. Ter, Bakker, A.B.H., Brink, E.N. Van Den, Weverling, G.J., Martina, B.E.E., Haagmans,

B.L., Kuiken, T., Kruif, J. De, Preiser, W., Spaan, W., et al. (2004). Human monoclonal antibody as

prophylaxis for SARS coronavirus infection in ferrets. Lancet *363*, 2139–2141.

Miersch, S., Maruthachalam, B.V., Geyer, C.R., and Sidhu, S.S. (2017). Structure-Directed and

Tailored Diversity Synthetic Antibody Libraries Yield Novel Anti-EGFR Antagonists. ACS Chem.

Biol. *12*, 1381–1389.

Mouquet, H., Scheid, J.F., Zoller, M.J., Krogsgaard, M., Ott, R.G., Shukair, S., Artyomov, M.N.,

Pietzsch, J., Connors, M., Pereyra, F., et al. (2010). Polyreactivity increases the apparent affinity

of anti-HIV antibodies by heteroligation. Nature *467*, 591–595.

Niedziela-Majka, A., Kan, E., Weissburg, P., Mehra, U., Sellers, S., and Sakowicz, R. (2015). High-

throughput screening of formulations to optimize the thermal stability of a therapeutic

monoclonal antibody. J. Biomol. Screen. *20*, 552–559.

Noy-Porat, T., Makdasi, E., Alcalay, R., Mechaly, A., Levy, Y., Bercovich-Kinori, A., Zauberman, A.,

Tamir, H., Yahalom-Ronen, Y., Israeli, M., et al. (2020). A panel of human neutralizing mAbs

targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike at multiple epitopes. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1–7.

Pallesen, J., Wang, N., Corbett, K.S., Wrapp, D., Kirchdoerfer, R.N., Turner, H.L., Cottrell, C.A.,

Becker, M.M., Wang, L., Shi, W., et al. (2017). Immunogenicity and structures of a rationally

designed prefusion MERS-CoV spike antigen. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*, E7348–E7357.

Persson, H., Ye, W., Wernimont, A., Adams, J.J., Koide, A., Koide, S., Lam, R., and Sidhu, S.S.

(2013). CDR-H3 diversity is not required for antigen recognition by synthetic antibodies. J. Mol.

Biol. *425*, 803–811.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and

Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis.

J. Comput. Chem. *25*, 1605–1612.

Pinto, D., Park, Y.-J., Beltramello, M., Walls, A.C., Tortorici, M.A., Bianchi, S., Jaconi, S., Culap, K.,

Zatta, F., Marco, A. De, et al. Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-

CoV antibody.

Poland, G.A., Ovsyannikova, I.G., and Kennedy, R.B. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 immunity: review and

applications to phase 3 vaccine candidates. Lancet *396*, 1595–1606.

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J., and Brubaker, M.A. (2017). CryoSPARC: Algorithms for

rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat. Methods *14*, 290–296.

Robbiani, D.F., Gaebler, C., Muecksch, F., Lorenzi, J.C.C., Wang, Z., Cho, A., Agudelo, M., Barnes,

C.O., Gazumyan, A., Finkin, S., et al. (2020). Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in

convalescent individuals. Nature *584*, 437–442.

Robbins, P.W., Trimble'+d, R.B., Wirth, D.F., Hering, C., Maley, F., Maleycp8, G.F., Das, R.,

Gibsonh, B.W., Royalh, N., and Biemann, K. (1984). THE JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY

Primary Structure of the Streptomyces Enzyme Endo-@-N-acetylglucosaminidase H*.

Rogers, T.F., Zhao, F., Huang, D., Beutler, N., Burns, A., He, W.T., Limbo, O., Smith, C., Song, G.,

Woehl, J., et al. (2020). Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection

from disease in a small animal model. Science (80-.). *369*, 956–963.

Rubinstein, J.L., and Brubaker, M.A. (2015). Alignment of cryo-EM movies of individual particles

by optimization of image translations. J. Struct. Biol. *192*, 188–195.

Schoof, M., Faust, B., Saunders, R.A., Sangwan, S., Rezelj, V., Hoppe, N., Boone, M., Billesbølle,

C.B., Puchades, C., Azumaya, C.M., et al. (2020). An ultrapotent synthetic nanobody neutralizes

SARS-CoV-2 by stabilizing inactive Spike. Science (80-.). eabe3255.

Schritt, D., Li, S., Rozewicki, J., Katoh, K., Yamashita, K., Volkmuth, W., Cavet, G., and Standley,

D.M. (2019). Repertoire Builder: High-throughput structural modeling of B and T cell receptors.

Mol. Syst. Des. Eng. *4*, 761–768.

Shen, C., Wang, Z., Zhao, F., Yang, Y., Li, J., Yuan, J., Wang, F., Li, D., Yang, M., Xing, L., et al.

(2020). Treatment of 5 Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19 with Convalescent Plasma. JAMA - J.

Am. Med. Assoc. *323*, 1582–1589.

Shi, Z., and Hu, Z. (2008). A review of studies on animal reservoirs of the SARS coronavirus.

Virus Res. *133*, 74–87.

Shi, R., Shan, C., Duan, X., Chen, Z., Liu, P., Song, J., Song, T., Bi, X., Han, C., Wu, L., et al. (2020).

A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor-binding site of SARS-CoV-2. Nature *584*,

120–124.

Sui, J., Li, W., Murakami, A., Tamin, A., Matthews, L.J., Wong, S.K., Moore, M.J., Tallarico, A.S.C.,

Olurinde, M., Choe, H., et al. (2004). Potent neutralization of severe acute respiratory syndrome

(SARS) coronavirus by a human mAb to S1 protein that blocks receptor association. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *101*, 2536–2541.

Tao, Y., Strelkov, S. V, Mesyanzhinov, V. V, and Rossmann, M.G. (1997). Structure of

bacteriophage T4 fibritin: a segmented coiled coil and the role of the C-terminal domain.

Structure *5*, 789–798.

Walls, A.C., Park, Y.J., Tortorici, M.A., Wall, A., McGuire, A.T., and Veesler, D. (2020). Structure,

Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. Cell *181*, 281-292.e6.

Wan, J., Xing, S., Ding, L., Wang, Y., Gu, C., Wu, Y., Rong, B., Li, C., Wang, S., Chen, K., et al.

(2020). Human-IgG-Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibodies Block the SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Cell

Rep. *32*.

Wang, R.Y.R., Song, Y., Barad, B.A., Cheng, Y., Fraser, J.S., and DiMaio, F. (2016). Automated

structure refinement of macromolecular assemblies from cryo-EM maps using Rosetta. Elife *5*.

Wec, A.Z., Wrapp, D., Herbert, A.S., Maurer, D.P., Haslwanter, D., Sakharkar, M., Jangra, R.K.,

Dieterle, M.E., Lilov, A., Huang, D., et al. (2020). Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by

human monoclonal antibodies. Science (80-.). *369*, 731–736.

Williams, C.J., Headd, J.J., Moriarty, N.W., Prisant, M.G., Videau, L.L., Deis, L.N., Verma, V.,

Keedy, D.A., Hintze, B.J., Chen, V.B., et al. (2018). MolProbity: More and better reference data

for improved all-atom structure validation. Protein Sci. *27*, 293–315.

Wu, Y., Wang, F., Shen, C., Peng, W., Li, D., Zhao, C., Li, Z., Li, S., Bi, Y., Yang, Y., et al. (2020). A

noncompeting pair of human neutralizing antibodies block COVID-19 virus binding to its

receptor ACE2. Science (80-.). eabc2241.

Yuan, M., Wu, N.C., Zhu, X., Lee, C.C.D., So, R.T.Y., Lv, H., Mok, C.K.P., and Wilson, I.A. (2020). A

highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV.

Science *368*, 630–633.

Zhang, K. (2016). Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. J. Struct. Biol. *193*, 1–12.

Zhu, Z., Chakraborti, S., He, Y., Roberts, A., Sheahan, T., Xiao, D., Hensley, L.E., Prabakaran, P.,

Rockx, B., Sidorov, I.A., et al. (2007). Potent cross-reactive neutralization of SARS coronavirus

isolates by human monoclonal antibodies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *104*, 12123–12128.

Zost, S.J., Gilchuk, P., Chen, R.E., Case, J.B., Reidy, J.X., Trivette, A., Nargi, R.S., Sutton, R.E.,

Suryadevara, N., Chen, E.C., et al. (2020). Rapid isolation and profiling of a diverse panel of

human monoclonal antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Nat. Med. *26*, 1422–

1427.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

C

D 15033

F486

Y473

RBD

E ACE2

F486

RBD

F CDR-L3

Y108H

A475

Y473

RBD

G A C B

50 Å

7KML

H A C B

47 Å

77 Å

80 Å

7KXJ

I A C B

120 Å

68 Å

89 Å

7KXK

J A B

45 Å

7KMK

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

IgG

Fab-IgG

IgG-Fab

B

C

D

E

Figure 5

A

B