Population differentiation and structural variation in the *Manduca sexta* genome across the United
States

Andrew J. Mongue[*†], Akito Y. Kawahara[‡]

[*] University of Edinburgh, Institute of Evolutionary Biology, Edinburgh, UK, EH93FL

[†] University of Kansas, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Lawrence, KS, USA, 66045

[‡]McGuire Center for Lepidoptera and Biodiversity, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of
Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

Running title: Segregating inversions in *Manduca sexta*

Keywords: tobacco hornworm, Carolina sphinx moth, inversion, sex chromosomes, pseudogene,
resequencing, population structure, agricultural entomology

correspondence contact: Andrew J. Mongue

Ashworth 2.02, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh, UK, EH93FL

+1-906-241-2776

ajmongue@gmail.com

Abstract

Many species that are extensively studied in the laboratory are less well characterized in their natural habitat, and laboratory strains represent only a small fraction of the variation in a species' genome. Here we investigate genomic variation in three natural North American populations of an agricultural pest and a model insect for many scientific disciplines, the tobacco hornworm (*Manduca sexta*). We show that hornworms from Arizona, Kansas, and North Carolina are genetically distinct, with Arizona being particularly differentiated from the other two populations using Illumina whole-genome resequencing. Peaks of differentiation exist across the genome, but here we focus in on the most striking regions. In particular, we identify two likely segregating inversions found in the Arizona population. One inversion on the Z chromosome may enhance adaptive evolution of the sex chromosome. The larger, autosomal inversion contains a pseudogene may be involved in the exploitation of a novel hostplant in Arizona, but functional genetic assays will be required to support this hypothesis. Nevertheless, our results reveal undiscovered natural variation and provide useful genomic data for both pest management and evolutionary genetics of this insect species.

Introduction

One of the main objectives of evolutionary genetics is to unite the proximate molecular function of genes with the long-term evolutionary forces that govern their change (Nei 1987). The two halves of this pursuit necessitate different approaches, with well-controlled laboratory studies best suited to elucidating the biochemistry of gene function and surveys of natural variation required to understand the ecological and demographic context in which these genes exist. The most fruitful species to study in the lab tend to be those with small body sizes and short generation times. In the field, common species with large population sizes are easiest to sample. Consequently, many of the most popular models for evolutionary genetics come from insects, which fulfill all of the above criteria.

For a brief example, consider the well-studied flies in the genus *Drosophila*. Early pioneers of evolutionary genetics noted that *Drosophila* collected from different locations often had differing arrangements of genes along their chromosomes, in other words, inversion polymorphisms within and between populations (Sturtevant 1917; Dobzhansky and Sturtevant 1938). But without the context provided by population genomic methods, these authors could only catalog the various structural rearrangements they observed; the evolutionary significance of these traits was unknown. With the benefit of modern sequencing technologies, researchers have since shown that segregating inversions like these often exist in environmental clines and contribute to maintaining fitness across a variety of environmental conditions (Anderson *et al.* 2005; Kapun *et al.* 2016; Wellenreuther *et al.* 2017). The initial discovery could only come from controlled laboratory crosses, but the significance could only be established by studying individuals taken from natural populations.

In spite of successes like these, species for which both robust natural and controlled studies exist remain rare. Certainly, the establishment of a new laboratory model species is a massive undertaking and model status can only be achieved through widespread adoption in the research community, which takes years if not decades. Conversely, population genetic sampling is only becoming more tractable with advances in sequencing technology. From this perspective, it is more logical to identify well-studied species that lack population genetic data and bring that natural context to them.

The tobacco hornworm moth (*Manduca sexta*) is a well-established laboratory model (Reinecke,
Buckner, & Grugel, 1980), and likely the second most studied moth after the domestic silkmoth, *Bombyx*
mori (The International Silkworm Genome Consortium, 2008). The tobacco hornworm is used to study
developmental biology of numerous organs (Höglund and Struwe 1970; Banister and White 1987; Nardi
1993), immunology (Kanost *et al.* 2004), reproductive biology (Whittington *et al.* 2015; Mongue and
Walters 2017), along with a range of other topics. This attention has resulted in a wealth of genetic
information for this species, with many physiological functions characterized by the genetic pathways on
which they depend and supported by robust sequencing of RNA (aggregated in Cao and Jiang 2017). In
other words, there has been great progress in understanding the molecular genetics of this species.

Yet for all of this research in vitro, the genetics of *M. sexta* in its natural habit remains largely
unexplored. Its phylogenetic relationship to other sphinx moths was only recently genetically evaluated
(Kawahara *et al.* 2013) and only two years ago were the first whole genome sequences from wild
individuals generated (Mongue *et al.* 2019). Very basic questions of natural populations have yet to be
addressed. For instance, *M. sexta*, like other moths in the family Sphingidae are particularly strong and
mobile fliers (Stevenson *et al.* 1995) and at one time it was claimed that this species is migratory
(Ferguson 1991). However, both this initial claim and its later refutation are based solely on limited
observations of seasonal occurrence (McNeil 1995) and the ability of pupae to survive subfreezing
temperatures (Shapiro 2006). Surprisingly, there have been no genetic studies of population structuring
of *M. sexta* to date.

This lack of research into the population genetics of this moth is puzzling on two fronts. First, in terms of
basic research, much of the ecological knowledge about this moth, such as acclimation and adaptation
to different environments and host plants (Mechaber and Hildebrand 2000; Contreras *et al.* 2013) could
benefit from understanding natural genetic variation across its range. Second, as the name tobacco
hornworm suggests, *M. sexta* is a pest of economically important crops, including tobacco (*Nicotiana*
spp.), tomatoes, peppers, and generally other plants in the nightshade family, Solanaceae (Wagner
2005). Thus, understanding how *M. sexta* populations are structured will inform pest management
strategies. In service of both of these goals, we present whole-genome resequencing of this model
insect to investigate population structure across an east-west transect of the continental United States.
With these resequencing samples, we establish patterns of regional genetic variation and differentiated
parts of the genome for further studies of *M. sexta.*

Methods

Sample collection and sequencing

For an initial characterization of genetic variation in this broadly distributed species, samples were
chosen, based on availability, to maximize the geographic range represented while still sampling local
populations with enough individuals to detect large changes in allele frequency. Specifically, samples
from three states in the US were examined in this project: North Carolina (n = 12), Kansas (n = 4), and
Arizona (n = 8); see Figure 1. Collecting methods varied somewhat between these locales. Samples from
North Carolina were already obtained and sequenced as part of Mongue *et al.* (2019). In brief, adults
were collected over the course of a week from a mercury vapor light trap in a single locale in July of
2017. Kansas samples were obtained from a mixture of adult moths caught at light traps and larvae
taken from tomato fields in different towns in eastern Kansas throughout the summer of 2018. For larval

sampling, only one individual was sequenced per field to minimize the risk of sequencing siblings.
Arizona samples were obtained from the University of Florida's collections, where adult moths had been
preserved in ethanol. At time of collection, they were collected from a mercury vapor trap at one
location over several nights in July of 2012. A summary of sequenced individuals and their methods of
collection can be found in Table 1.

For each sample, tissue was extracted from adult thoracic flight muscle to avoid eggs and sperm in the
abdomen, and larval head capsules to avoid hostplant tissue in the gut. DNA was extracted using an
Omniprep kit for genomic DNA (G-Biosciences, St. Louis, MO, USA), following the manufacturer's
protocol. DNA was isolated via phase-separation with chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. DNA
pellets were resuspended in buffer and sent for Illumina sequencing with Novogene Sequencing
(Sacramento, CA, USA). Quality control and library preparation followed the company's standard and
sequencing generated 150 basepair, paired-end reads sequenced to an average depth of 14-20x
coverage across the genome (also summarized in Table 1). As part of the sequencing service, the
company trimmed raw reads for both quality and adapter sequence content.

Starting points for genomic analyses

Recent work on the *Manduca sexta* genome assembly has created both the opportunity and need for
some comparative work on the multiple available assemblies. *Manduca sexta* has had an Illumina-only
(i.e. fragmented) genome assembly for several years (Kanost *et al.* 2016); much more recently a
chromosome-level assembly has been published (Gershman *et al.* 2021). Naturally, the chromosome-
level assembly's more contiguous scaffolds facilitate identification of large-scale variation; however, the
previous assembly features high quality gene models informed by dozens of RNA-sequencing
experiments and manually updated over the last five years (Kanost *et al.* 2016). To take advantage of the
strengths of both assemblies, the same analysis pipeline was carried out on both assemblies to leverage
the wealth of available data. Generally speaking, the newer assembly was employed for genome-wide
investigation of differentiation and the older assembly was used to validate patterns observed in the
former and investigate specific gene(set)s of interest. For both assemblies, the same processed short-
read data were aligned to the reference using the very-sensitive-local alignment setting in Bowtie2
version 2.2.9 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Alignments were coordinate-sorted and optical duplicates
were removed with Picardtools v. 2.8 (Wysoker *et al.* 2013). Finally, alignments around insertions and
deletions were adjusted with GATK v. 3.7 (McKenna et al., 2010). This end result generated a set of
curated alignment files (bams) that were the starting point for all downstream analyses.

For some of these analyses, it was necessary to analyze the genotypes of single nucleotide variants. To
generate sets of high-confidence variants, the curated alignments were taken through GATK v 3.7's best
practices pipeline for SNP calling (McKenna *et al.* 2010), including hard quality filters (Quality by Depth >
2.0 & Fisher Strand-bias < 60 & Mapping Quality > 40). The end result was a Variant Call Format (vcf) file
containing SNPs for each population. This filtering resulted in a total of 16,674,625 SNPs across all of the
M. sexta samples on the new assembly (and a similar 16,792,392 for the old assembly).

Finally, some inferences of population differentiation relied on differences in allele frequency between
locations. For polymorphic sites, estimation of allele frequency depends on inference of which of the
alleles (reference or alternate) is ancestral, otherwise allele frequencies are collapsed to the range [0.0,
0.5] and information is lost. To infer ancestral state at a given site, variation in *M. sexta* was compared
to that in a congener, *Manduca quinquemaculata*. A *Manduca quinquemaculata* sample was sequenced

in Mongue *et al.* (2019). Reads from that individual were aligned to the references with Stampy v1.08
(Lunter and Goodson 2011), using "--substitutionrate=0.1" to allow for increased single nucleotide
mismatches expected from divergences. After initial alignment, this sample was treated in the same
manner as the within-species samples (curated with Picard and variants called with GATK), producing
9,333,270 high quality single nucleotide variants. These putative divergences were combined with the
polymorphism data from *M. sexta* to create a new "ancestral" reference (fasta) for use in polarizing
allele frequencies, using the following parsimony logic.

In a majority of cases, polymorphic sites did not overlap with divergent sites as well. In other words,
these sites that varied in *M. sexta* but not in *M. quinquemaculata* showed the reference allele in the
outgroup; thus, the reference allele was taken to be ancestral and left as was. For each site that varied
in both *M. sexta* and *M. quinquemaculata*, allele identities were compared between species. If the
alternate allele in *M. quinquemaculata* was shared with the alternate allele in *M. sexta* the alternate
allele was inferred to be ancestral, and the reference derived. Likewise, if the outgroup was
heterozygous at the site, but shared only one allele with the focal species, that allele was inferred to be
ancestral (e.g. a tri-allelic site with reference: T, *M. sexta* alternate allele: C, *M. quinquemaculata*
alternate alleles: G, C). Considering both of these scenarios, a total of 1,327,986 sites were updated in
the reference. In a minority of cases (e.g. a tri-allelic site with reference: T, *M. sexta* alternate allele: C,
M. quinquemaculata alternate allele: G), there was no most-parsimonious solution. These sites were
updated to "N" at the site in the new ancestral reference to mask them from analysis (n = 275,410 sites).
The code to generate the updated reference was written in R and can be found in the git repo listed in
Data Accessibility.

Updating genomic resources
In addition to preparation of resequenced samples and variants, the newer reference genome required
ancillary metadata, namely: the assignment of scaffolds to chromosomes to separate chromosome-
specific effects (e.g. differing dynamics on the Z sex chromosome). Curiously, the newer *M. sexta*
reference contains no chromosomal linkage information for its scaffolds. The older assembly does have
linkage information however, from previous ortholog counting analyses comparing *M. sexta* to *B. mori*
to identify and separate sex-linked scaffolds; this effort generated a chromosome identity for each
scaffold, but did not contain information about the ordering of scaffolds within a chromosome (Mongue
and Walters 2017). To anchor the newer assembly, it was aligned to the older one using
SatsumaSynteny in Satsuma v.3.1 (Grabherr *et al.* 2010). This tool generated a list of alignments
between the older, anchored assembly and the newer, more contiguous but unanchored assembly.
Chromosomes were assigned to the new assembly's scaffolds by tabulating the number of fragmented
(older) scaffolds aligning to each chromosome-length scaffold and taking the highest scoring
chromosome. This effort generated unambiguous assignment of the largest scaffolds in the newer
assembly (which should be mostly complete chromosomes) as well as putative assignments for the
other, unplaced scaffolds. These placements were mostly unambiguous based on syntenic alignments
alone, but in the case of two ambiguities, additional information from BAC-FISH probes between *M.*
sexta and *B. mori* were used to resolve chromosomal assignment (Yasukochi *et al.* 2009). As this
information may be of future use beyond the scope of this research, both this assignment and the
alignment summary file used to generate it can be found in the supplementary files.

Population structure

With linkage established, alignments were filtered to separate out the Z chromosome, as unequal sampling of sexes between populations (e.g. North Carolina's sample is male-only) could create spurious patterns. The autosomal genome was used to infer population structure while accounting for uncertainty in genotyping using the software NGSAdmix v. 3.2 (Skotte *et al.* 2013) as well as a principle-component based approach with PCAngsd v.0.98 (Meisner and Albrechtsen 2018). For the admixture analysis, the best-supported number of populations (K) was determined by assessment of ten bootstrapped replicates each for possible K values from 2 to 6, evaluated using the logic from CLUMPAK's web-hosted application (Kopelman *et al.* 2015). After a first round of analyses revealed a large (~8Mb) block of differentiation on chromosome 12 segregating in population, structuring analyses were repeated with this chromosome removed as well.

Characterization of genomic differences

After establishing basic population relationships, population differences were examined in detail. A baseline expectation of differentiation was set by estimating the genome-wide pairwise F_{ST} (excluding the Z chromosome) analyzing the curated alignments with the population genetic software ANGSD v. 0.916 (Korneliussen *et al.* 2014). In more detail, we input into angsd the list of curated bam files and the parsimony-informed ancestral reference sequence and generated site allele frequency likelihoods (-dosaf 1) separately for each population using the SAMtools method of genotype likelihoods (-gl 1). Next, a two-dimensional site frequency spectrum was generated for each pair of populations for a total of three comparisons using the realSFS command. With the relevant site frequency spectrum as a prior, we used the -fstout command to generate genome-wide F_{ST} on using both assemblies as references. Additionally, we estimated F_{ST} separately for silent (four-fold degenerate) and non-silent (zero-fold degenerate) sites to assess whether differentiation skewed toward silent sites or those more likely to be under selection. Identification of zero-fold and four-fold degenerate sites was completed in previous analyses with custom R scripts (in R v 3.3, R Core Team 2017; Mongue *et al.* 2019) but was only carried out on the Kanost et al. assembly due to the higher quality gene models.

With a baseline established, candidates for further investigation were identified via a preliminary scan for F_{ST} outlier regions in windows of 10 kilobases with a 5 Kb step size to identify regions for further study (using the fst command again with -win 10000 -step 5000). All pairwise comparisons were performed: North Carolina (NC) – Arizona (AZ), Kansas (KS) – Arizona, and NC – KS. For these analyses, published chromosome assignments of scaffolds were employed for the older assembly (Kanost *et al.* 2016; Mongue and Walters 2017; Mongue *et al.* 2020) and assignments generated for this manuscript were used for the new assembly. For the older assembly, these assignments did not contain information on ordering of scaffolds *within* a chromosome. Thus, we focused primarily on the new assembly for identification of differentiated regions, but we performed analogous F_{ST} analyses with alignments to the old assembly to assess differentiation in and around coding regions.

These analyses revealed multiple large F_{ST} peaks, as would be expected of segregating inversions. To further investigate these regions and characterize which population(s) carried the ancestral or derived orientation in the putatively inverted regions, genetic diversity was examined as follows. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) frequencies were examined in each population, under the logic that if loci within an inversion cannot freely recombine with the non-inverted orientation, they should share allele frequencies across longer distances than those outside of the inversion. For these analyses the

polarized allele frequencies described above were used. SNP variant files (vcfs) were generated for each
population and alternate allele frequencies were extracted and examined. Beyond allele frequency, the
allele identity was also examined. The VCFtools utility "extract-FORMAT-info GT" (Danecek *et al.* 2011)
was used to examine sample genotypes directly and determine which individuals carried non-reference
alleles across genetic features of interest. Finally, to further evaluate the interpretation of these regions
as inversions, a pair of individuals ostensibly carrying the inversions was investigated with Delly2 v 0.8.7
(Rausch *et al.* 2012) to identify structural variants and potential inversion breakpoints. Because Delly
makes inferences based on read-pair alignment positions, the individuals with the deepest coverage of
those carrying the potential inversions were chosen (A36 and A70) to compare to a pair ostensibly
lacking the inversion (S39 and S42).

Finally, in the interest of establishing baseline metrics for these populations, we calculated a number of
population genetic summary statistics. In particular, we calculated measures of variability: pN
(nonsynonymous changes per nonsynonymous site), pS (synonymous changes per synonymous site),
pN/pS the scaled ratio of non-synonymous variation, π_0 (variation at zero-fold degenerate sites), π_4
(variation at four-fold degenerate sites), Tajima's D_0, and Tajima's D_4 (estimators of deviation from
neutral evolution at zero- and four-fold degenerate sites respectively). These metrics were all calculated
using alignments to the Kanost et al. assembly for reasons of gene model quality described below.
Metrics related to pN/pS relied on SNP calls from the vcf files but estimates of π and Tajima's D were
performed directly from alignments using angd's "saf2theta" command. In addition, we calculated ρ^2
linkage disequilibrium for non-overlapping 50-basepair windows across the more contiguous Gershman
assembly using the vcftools utility "--geno-r2". These results are reported in Table 3 but we refrain from
formal statistical comparisons between populations as the uneven sampling effort between them makes
estimates of variation difficult to compare directly.

Genetic characterization of differentiated regions

For each genomic region-of-interest, annotated genes in the region were examined based on the
original assembly; this version's annotations have a high degree of manual curation not present in the
newer assembly (Kanost *et al.* 2016). *Manduca sexta* has a wealth of available RNA sequence data from
both sexes and different life-stages, aggregated as a set of RNAseq collected by Cao and Jiang (2017) and
quantified with this older reference. From this dataset, the specificity of gene expression was calculated
for each gene in the genome for life-stage, tissue, and sex-specificity (following methods in Mongue,
Hansen, & Walters, 2020) to inform potential function of genes-of-interest. More precisely, the
specificity metric (SPM) was used to assess the proportion of a given gene's expression found in a
specific class. This metric ranges from zero to one, inclusive, and denotes the proportion of a given
gene's expression in a focal category (Kryuchkova-Mostacci and Robinson-Rechavi 2017). SPM was
calculated for each of the three dimensions of specificity. Stage-specificity was defined as gene
expression unique to larva, pupa, or adult *M. sexta* based on a set of tissues collected in all three stages
(head, midgut, and fat bodies). Tissue-specificity within a stage was based on tissue types available for
that stage (see Table 2). And, naturally, sex-specificity was based on amount of expression unique to
males or females. For instance, a gene with an adult SPM of 0.90 shows 90% of its expression in adults
as opposed to pupae or larvae. The same gene has separate SPM values that denote how specific its
expression is to males or females and which tissues of those individuals. This method has the benefit of
generating a sense of gene-function independent of functional annotation based on sequence homology
with existing annotations.

All genes across the genome were annotated with SPM values; however, for large outlier regions
spanning numerous genes, it was not feasible to examine individual genes in depth. Instead, using the
above annotations, these regions were examined for biased composition compared to non-
differentiated regions. In other words, enrichment or depletion of functional classes within putative
inversions was tested. For small regions containing one or a few genes, each could be manually
examined. In these cases, single nucleotide polymorphisms in and around these genes were examined
for evidence of coding-sequence variation between populations. SNPs were annotated with the tool
SNPeff v. 4.2, which uses gene models to predict the coding sequence changes introduced by
polymorphisms (e.g. loss of a canonical stop codon, Cingolani et al., 2012).

Data accessibility

319 All newly generated sequencing data are available with the following accessions: SRP144217,
320 PRJNA639154 on NCBI. Accessions for RNAseq used in functional inference can be found in (Cao and
321 Jiang 2017). Custom scripts for analysis can be found at
322 github.com/amongue/Manduca_Demography.git. New assembly chromosome assignments can be
323 found in supplementary files.

Results

Chromosomal assignment of the new *M. sexta* assembly

The older, Illumina-only assembly of *M. sexta* already possesses chromosomal assignment based on
syntenic alignments to *Bombyx mori*, a well-studied moth in a sister family to *M. sexta*. It has previously
been shown that these two species share not only a conserved karyotype (n = 28) but also extensive
conservation of sequence along these chromosomes (Yasukochi *et al.* 2009). Initially, we compared
synteny between the two assemblies of *M. sexta* to maximize similarity and thus alignment information.
From these alignments, 25 of the 28 largest scaffolds in the new assembly matched cleanly and
overwhelmingly to one chromosome. The remaining three were more complicated and resolved as
follows.

HiC_scaffold_31 showed synteny to both chromosome 2 and chromosome 26, in a way that suggested a
potential fusion or misassembly, i.e. the first ~9Mb of the scaffold was syntenic to chromosome 26 and
the remaining ~6Mb to chromosome 2. Closer inspection of published BAC-FISH mapping reveals that
there has been structural turnover between species and both *B. mori* chr2 and chr26 are orthologous to
a fused *M. sexta* chr2 (Yasukochi *et al.* 2009); thus this scaffold was correctly assembled and was
manually annotated as chromosome 2.

Second, both HiC_scaffold_2 and HiC_scaffold_25 showed homology to *B. mori* chromosome 11 almost
exclusively. Again, the BAC-FISH mapping revealed the reason for the anomaly. Chromosome 11 in *B.
mori* is split in *M. sexta* into the larger chromosome 11 and smaller chromosome 26 (Yasukochi *et al.*
2009). Based on the relative sizes of these scaffolds, we annotated the larger (~10Mb) HiC_scaffold_2 as
chromosome 11 and the smaller HiC_scaffold_25 (~5Mb) scaffold to chromosome 26.

With these manual annotations, each of the largest 28 scaffolds in the new assembly is now anchored to
one of the 28 chromosomes in *M. sexta*. Smaller, more fragmented scaffolds were also assigned to

chromosome based on syntenic alignment counts, but without manual curation. These assignments are
presented in a supplementary file.

Structure

We found three populations via the heuristic of Evanno et al. (2005): namely, the most probable K is the
one the biggest change in likelihood from the previous K value. Per this metric, each of the three states'
moths form distinct populations, although there was some apparent admixture between Arizona and
Kansas, including an Arizona individual confidently grouping with the Kansas samples (Figure 2a).

Taking a complimentary approach, we assessed principal components of SNP variation across our
samples and observed the same clustering pattern as found in the structure analysis. Each state's
samples do not cluster with other states, but Arizona samples showing a more dispersed pattern than
the others, including some individuals more similar to Kansas individuals than other Arizona individuals
(Figure 2b). When excluding chromosome 12, the signal for admixture in some Arizonan moths
disappears, and samples all sort by collecting location more cleanly (Figure 2c).

Differentiation across the genome

To start, we provide population genetic summary statistics for each state in Table 3. Due to the unequal
sampling effort between states, we do not formally test for statistical differences between populations.
And indeed, absolute values for many measures of variation (e.g. pS or π) are lowest in Kansas, the
population with the fewest sampled individuals. Still, the relative amount of non-synonymous variation
scaled by synonymous variation (pN/pS) is remarkably consistent across populations, suggesting the
strength of selection relative to drift is comparable across states. Moreover, Tajima's D is more negative
at zero-fold sites (i.e. those exposed to selection) than four-fold (putatively neutral sites) in each
population, which further implies a role for directional selection in each population. Finally, apparent
linkage disequilibrium is inversely correlated with sample size, as expected if artificially tight associations
are observed between common alleles due to shallower sampling failing to capture rare alleles.

A simple genome-wide average of F_{ST} set a baseline level of differentiation of 0.04 to 0.09, depending on
the pairwise comparison between states (Table 4). A sliding window scan across the genome revealed a
few regions with markedly higher F_{ST} (> 0.5, Figure 3). From this analysis, several regions-of-interest
became apparent. Most notably, a large proportion of chromosome 12 shows high levels of
differentiation between Arizona and the other two populations. The Z chromosome showed the
strongest peak of elevated differentiation, and chromosome 19 showed another above our threshold
level. We compared these results on the newer assembly to a parallel F_{ST} scan on the older assembly
(Figure S1). As expected, this turned up many differentiated scaffolds assigned to chromosome 12, the Z,
and 19, but also 2, 6, and 13. In the following sections we describe each of these regions in detail and
resolve apparent discrepancies.

An inferred segregating inversion on chromosome 12

We observed a large F_{ST} peak across a substantial portion of chromosome 12 in the more contiguous
assembly (Figure 4). This peak corresponds with the Delly2 annotation of an inversion located on
HiC_scaffold_12 from 5,414,046 Bp to 13,233,866bp, a total of 7.82Mb. Samples from North Carolina
annotated with Delly lacked this called inversion, as expected given that the reference individual was
sourced from lab stock which was originally collected in North Carolina.

In the old assembly, this peak correspond to 13 scaffolds, listed in Table S2 and plotted in Figure S2,
summing to 7.6 Mb of sequence, approximately 56% of the total (assigned) length of the chromosome.
The peaks contain 321 annotated genes. Note the discrepancy of ~200Kb of differentiated sequence.

The putative inversion's frequency of 0.6875 corresponds to 11 of 16 sampled chromosomes with the
inversion. Per investigation of sample genotypes, this variation is distributed as 4 individuals
homozygous for the non-reference orientation (A36, A70, A78, A82), three heterozygous for it (A71, A76,
A84), and one homozygous for the ancestral orientation (A85). Note that the heterozygous individuals
correspond to those inferred to be admixed with Kansas and the one homozygous non-inverted
individual was grouped with Kansas completely in structure analyses. As discussed above, removing
chromosome 12 from structuring analyses leads to less similarity between AZ and KS samples,
suggesting the inversion presence/absence is large portion of the signal of the Arizona population. A
scan of linkage disequilibrium along the chromosome (Figure S3) showed elevated linkage within the
bounds of the hypothesized inversion in each of the three populations, suggesting that this region is a
barrier to geneflow between populations.

The large size of this inferred inversion (roughly 8Mb and 321 genes contained within) makes identifying
a causal adaptive locus challenging. We used the RNA sequencing metadataset to classify genes as
specific to one sex (male or female), life stage (larva, pupa, or adult), and tissue (antennae, head,
fatbody, midgut, Malpighian tube, muscle, ovaries, or testes) if a given gene showed 70% or more of its
expression exclusively in that category. By this metric, the putative inversion on chromosome 12 does
not differ in sex-biased composition (X^2_2 = 1.31, p = 0.518), lifestage-biased composition (X^2_3 = 2.06, p =
0.560), or tissue-biased composition (X^2_7 = 7.45, p = 0.384) compared to the rest of the genome. Thus,
even if there is a set of locally adaptive alleles in this region, it is not one enriched for any of the
annotations available to us. Nevertheless, we identified one gene of interest in this region thanks to a
misassembly.

Both the ostensible chromosome 2 and 13 peaks from the more fragmented assembly belong to the
putatively inverted region of chromosome 12 in the newer assembly. The former is rather unremarkable,
some 50Kb of a scaffold containing two annotated genes (Msex2.02572 and Msex2.02573) with highly
differentiated SNPs localized to areas outside the coding region of either. These variants may be truly
neutral or impact the regulation of the nearby genes, but without a better understanding of regulatory
elements (e.g. promoter sequences) in this species, it is impossible to say. The peak previously assigned
to chromosome 13, deserves more consideration however.

A potential pseudogene within the Chromosome 12 inversion

Despite overall congruence of results from the old and new assembly, one difference in the F_{ST} scans
proved particularly interesting. The older assembly indicated a peak of differentiation on a scaffold
anchored to chromosome 13; however, none of the more contiguous chromosome 13 is particularly
differentiated using the new assembly as a reference. To reconcile this contradictory pair of results, we
investigated the peak in the old assembly first, then placed it in new assembly.

This peak comes from one scaffold (scaffold00381) starting around 200Kb and continuing for the
remaining 100Kb (Figure 5a). This region contains a single gene, Msex2.10493, and it displays a striking
pattern of differentiation between states. Within population variation is low in Kansas and North
Carolina, with only 1 and 3 coding-sequence polymorphisms observed, respectively; in contrast, 61 SNPs

were called in the gene body from the Arizona samples (summarized in Figure 5b). Moreover, many of
these SNPs are at high frequency (Figure 5c), including the predicted loss of a stop codon.

The massive difference in called variants theoretically could arise from population-specific differences in
mapping rates in this region, either through poor mapping in populations with low SNP counts or (far
less likely) a pile-up of reads in Arizona owing to a collapsed segregating paralog. To rule out these
artefactual explanations, we examined per-base coverage around this gene and found no differences
between populations (Figure 5d; note that slightly deeper coverage in NC is consistent with genome-
wide differences in sequencing effort between the populations). Thus, Arizona *M. sexta* appear to truly
differ from the other populations with regard to the molecular evolution of this gene, which begs the
question of the gene's functional role. Msex2.10493 is overwhelmingly (98.2%) expressed in larvae
compared to 1.8% adult expression and vanishingly small pupal expression. Within larvae, this gene
shows 97% of its expression in the antennae and another 2.8% in the head.

The final question to consider was why such a striking pattern of difference is absent in the newer
assembly. To answer this, we examined the syntenic alignment of scaffold00381 to the more contiguous
assembly. A discrepancy immediately arose: roughly 2/3^{rds} of the scaffold aligned to HiC_scaffold30
(which we have anchored as chromosome 13), but the remaining 1/3rd, including the coding region for
Msex2.10493, aligns to chromosome 12 (Supplemental Figure S4). This pattern is suggestive of a
misassembly in the older reference genome. Furthermore, the roughly 100Kb length of this apparently
chromosome 12 sequence accounts for half of the 200Kb discrepancy in the estimated chromosome 12
differentiation peak between the two assemblies.

More direct evidence suggests that this potential pseudogene lies within the chromosome 12 inversion.
First, the coding sequence of the old assembly's Msex2.10493 is homologous to the new assembly's
XM_037442453.1, which lies in the inverted region (roughly 9.257 – 9.261Mb into chromosome 12).
Additionally, the frequency of the stop-loss is 0.6875 (= 11/16) and matches that of the most common
SNP frequency within the inversion. Moreover, the genotypes (homozygous present, heterozygous,
homozygous absent) of the inversion perfectly match the stop-loss variant's genotype. In conclusion,
this potential pseudogene sits in the middle of the inferred inversion on chromosome 12, but it was only
identified thanks to a serendipitous misassembly in the older version of the *M. sexta* reference.

The Z holds another putative segregating inversion

456
The Z chromosome's outliers are attributable to roughly 1Mb in the middle of the chromosome-level
scaffold (HiC_scaffold_19). The scale of elevated differentiation suggests another inversion, and our
structural variant scan identified an inversion that precisely overlaps this region (from 13,706,400 to
14,710,588 bp, for a total length of 1,004,188 bp). Further evidence for a lack of recombination in this
region can be seen in the non-reference allele frequencies which vary across the scaffold in both NC and
AZ but show a tract of SNPs with a common frequency of 0.93 (= 13/14) within the putative inverted
region in Arizona (Figure 6).

This peak of differentiation is also identifiable in the older assembly as a roughly 1Mb region of
scaffold00022, suggesting we are capturing essentially all of the inversion on one scaffold, even in the
more fragmented assembly, (Figure S5). In terms of gene content, scaffold00022 contains 35 genes and
shows an significant excess of unbiased genes (i.e. those expressed in both sexes, X^2_2 = 11.36, p =

0.0034), compared to the rest of the Z, which is significantly masculinized, as previously reported
(Mongue *et al.* 2020).

Chromosomes 6 and 19 show differentiation but no clearly differentiated genes

Chromosome 6 shows a single peak of divergence, though this region is only weakly differentiated,
barely meeting our cut-off threshold for exploration (F_{ST} > 0.5 between any two populations). This region
contains three full annotated genes (Msex2.12148, Msex2.12149, and Msex2.12150), but no SNPs
within coding regions.

Two outlier scaffolds in the older assembly localize to chromosome 19; both represent differentiation
between Arizona and the other two states. In the newer, more contiguous assembly, these peaks
remain distinct from each other (Figure S6), suggesting they are not parts of a common feature. One is
localized to scaffold00118, to approximately the last 400Kb of an ~850Kb scaffold. This region contains
11 annotated genes (Msex2.05775-Msex2.05786), but none of these shows skews in coding region SNPs
between populations as extreme as seen on the potential pseudogene mentioned above. However, two
genes do show more modest deviation between states. Msex2.05777 is variable in both North Carolina
(Pn = 6, Ps = 10) and Kansas (Pn = 7, Ps = 12) but monomorphic (Pn = 0, Ps = 0) in Arizona. Conversely,
Msex2.05784 is non-variable in the North Carolina and Kansas samples but contains 3 non-synonymous
variants and 1 synonymous variant in Arizona.

The second peak, on scaffold00419, seems to span the length of this 200Kb scaffold, but this may reflect
the limits of resolution of our F_{ST} window-size. In any case, the entire scaffold contains 11 annotated
genes, many of which have no expression data and no called SNPs in any population. As such, we do not
place much emphasis on the differentiation around these dubiously annotated regions.

Discussion

We conducted whole genome resequencing to investigate the natural variation of *Manduca sexta*
populations across the United States. In brief, we found that individuals from three populations across
an East-West transect are genetically differentiated. This result may not seem surprising, but studies of
other Lepidoptera have at times found evidence for continent-wide panmixis (specifically for monarch
butterflies, Lyons et al., 2012; Zhan et al., 2014). And while monarchs may be an extreme case of
lepidopteran long-distance travel, sphinx moths, including *M. sexta*, are renowned for their flight and
dispersal ability, both in terms of small-scale speed and dexterity (Stevenson *et al.* 1995) and long-
distance dispersal (Janzen 1984; Haber and Frankie 1989). Indeed, *M. sexta* has been posited as
migratory in the past (Ferguson 1991). Our results, however, suggest that gene flow is less common than
expected of a species with routine migration. In fact, the genome-wide mean F_{ST} for the least
differentiated pair (0.04, Kansas-Arizona) is on par with the differentiation between migratory North
American monarchs and the Hawaiian population that is thought to have split roughly 200 years ago
(Lyons *et al.* 2012).

More striking than this level of differentiation is the nature of the differences that contribute to it.
Namely, large (>1 Mb) putative inversions, are key contributors to the variation between populations.
Sustained tracts of differentiation are most apparent on two chromosomes, the Z chromosome and
chromosome 12. In both cases, the Arizona population is distinct from Kansas and North Carolina. We
note that the smaller sample size of Kansas *M. sexta* decreases the precision of allele frequency

estimation (Lou *et al.* 2020), and may obscure some of the more subtle population differences for the
time being. For the present study, we discuss in detail the genomic and genetic nature of the large
differences, with the caveat that functional genetics will be required to truly confirm the genomic
structure and downstream fitness consequences of this variation.

The largest signal for population differentiation: a likely inversion on chromosome 12

A long tract of chromosome 12 showed high levels of differentiation in our F_{ST} scan. This signal belonged
to a single block of sequence, spanning 8 megabases (roughly half the length of the chromosome),
containing over 300 annotated genes, and found at a frequency of almost 70% in the Arizona population.
Individuals that are heterozygous for this putative inversion or lacking it entirely cluster more closely
with the Kansas population than other Arizona samples which are homozygous for it. When removing
chromosome 12 from analyses, all Arizona samples cluster more closely with each other than with
Kansas however, suggesting that the two populations are differentiated across the genome, but that the
sheer number of variants associated with the putative inversion swamps other signals. These two
observations combine to suggest that the inversion has been segregating in the population for some
time.

In general, and especially in large populations, inversion frequencies are thought to imply something
about their selective effects, with only beneficial variants reaching high frequency (Lande 1984;
Kirkpatrick and Barton 2006). In other species in which naturally occurring inversions have been studied,
this expectation has been borne out. Low frequency inversions (e.g. 8%) are associated with fitness
tradeoffs (Lee *et al.* 2016) and more common inversions (~50%) may have little to no fitness costs (Knief
et al. 2016), at least locally. Their absence elsewhere may suggest a lack of gene flow or that there *are*
fitness costs in other environmental backgrounds. Indeed, linkage disequilibrium is higher within the
bounds of the inverted region even in populations in which we did not detect the variant, suggesting a
dampening of gene flow between populations here. From this perspective, the common chromosome
12 variant seems likely to be at least selectively neutral, if not beneficial, in Arizona. However, the large
number of encompassed genes present a challenge for identifying selectively relevant loci from purely
computational methods. Still, one gene-of-interest arose from our efforts to cross-check results with the
older *M. sexta* reference (Kanost *et al.* 2016).

A likely candidate for local adaptation in the inversion: an apparent pseudogene

An F_{ST} scan of the older assembly with the same resequencing data revealed numerous outlier scaffolds
anchored to chromosome 12 as expected. However, an additional similar peak was detected on a
scaffold assigned to chromosome 13. Alternate allele frequencies on this peak matched those most
commonly seen in the chromosome 12 inversion, and a closer inspection of synteny between the old
and new assemblies suggested that this scaffold is likely a chimera. The majority of sequence belongs to
chromosome 13, but the differentiated region is syntenic to chromosome 12 within the inversion. This
region contains a single annotated gene, Msex2.10493 (in the old annotation; XM_037442453.1 in the
new annotation). It carries almost no variation in North Carolina or Kansas but has a wealth of high
frequency variants (61 SNPs in our sample) in the Arizona population, one of which is a predicted stop-
loss in the coding region. A large-effect SNP like this could easily break the protein function via
translation of otherwise non-coding DNA. While inconclusive from molecular data alone, a hypothetical
loss of function would easily explain the preponderance of SNPs found in Arizona; pseudogenization
instantly relaxes selective pressure such that subsequent variants can accrue quickly. And indeed, the

high frequency of this stop-loss suggests that it cannot have an appreciable fitness cost, or it would not
have persisted in the population. Naturally, this raises the question of what function this gene has that it
could be lost without strong selective consequences. Or, alternatively, could a loss of function be
adaptive under certain circumstances?

Based on our expression characterization, the Msex2.10493 gene is almost exclusively expressed in
larval antennae, which are known to play a role in olfaction and food choice in *M. sexta* (Boer and
Hanson 1987). Olfaction genes are among the most commonly observed to pseudogenize in other
species, ostensibly because the fitness consequences of their loss are rarely lethal (Nei *et al.* 2008;
Prieto-Godino *et al.* 2016). Intriguingly, while most populations of *M. sexta* feed almost exclusively on
plants in the family Solanaceae, larval Arizona hornworms have been recorded eating the unrelated
devil's claw (Martyniaceae: *Proboscidea parviflora*, Mechaber & Hildebrand, 2000). It is tempting to
make the connection between the apparent loss of function of a larval sensory gene and the
exploitation of a new hostplant, as research on the silkmoth (*B. mori*) has shown that knockout of a
single chemoreceptor is sufficient to turn naturally specialist larvae into generalists (Zhang *et al.* 2019);
however, it will take further study to establish whether or not a similar situation is occurring in *M. sexta.*

Still, the placement of this apparent pseudogene within a massive block of elevated differentiation
between populations and its potential relationship with hostplant ecology is suggestive of a locally
adapting region. As genomic scans of natural variation become more common, so too does the
observation that many species harbor regions of large-scale differentiation that distinguish individuals
adapted to different environments (Fuller *et al.* 2019; Todesco *et al.* 2020; Mérot *et al.* 2021, 2021). In
such instances, suppressed recombination, typically through inversions, keep locally beneficial alleles
from being decoupled from each other, even in the face of gene flow from other populations. The
frequencies of these large variants typically follow an environmental (or more directly a selective) cline
(Kapun *et al.* 2016; Wellenreuther *et al.* 2017). We do not have the geographic resolution to detect any
potential cline in the frequency of this inversion and pseudogene, but presence and absence data are at
least consistent with a role in expanded hostplant usage.

The devil's claw plant's native range is limited to the desert southwest of North America (particularly:
northern Mexico and California, Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, and Nevada in the United States; see Berry
et al. 1981). As expected based on this range, we did not observe any *M. sexta* carrying the putative
inversion in North Carolina or Kansas. With only four sampled individuals from Kansas, we cannot
exclude the possibility that the Arizona inversion is present there as well, albeit at a much lower
frequency, but to follow the logic of the devil's claw hypothesis, there would be no local benefit for
individuals carrying the pseudogene outside of the southwest. Indeed, in the absence of a novel
hostplant with the correct set of complex phytochemistry, becoming less choosy could be maladaptive.
Zhang et al. (2019) found that although chemoreceptor-knockout silkworms readily ate many food
sources, they failed to finish development and pupation on all but the traditional hostplant. To reiterate,
these inferences are highly speculative, but they create easily testable hypotheses. Laboratory studies of
Arizonan *M. sexta* with the putative inversion and pseudogene will be needed to unpack the functional
consequences of this striking variation.

A Z inversion in AZ: a role for sex-bias driving rearrangement
The single highest peak of differentiation in our genome-wide scan comes from the Z chromosome. This
region, with roughly 1 Mb of extended differentiation and shared allele frequencies in Arizona also fits

the pattern of a segregating inversion between populations. Moreover, the non-reference orientation is
nearly fixed in the Southwest population, being homozygous (or present in hemizygous females) in all
but one individual. As discussed above, an inversion is unlikely to reach such high frequency without
some selective advantage.

Here, even without specific gene functional annotations, we have a reasonable hypothesis for what
could drive this structural variant to near-fixation. We found that the inversion contains a significant
excess of genes expressed in both sexes (i.e. unbiased genes), compared to the rest of the Z
chromosome, which is male-biased (Mongue and Walters 2017). As has been recently shown, unbiased
genes are an important source of positive selection on the Z in *M. sexta* (Mongue *et al.* 2021). These
genes are expressed in a haploid state in females (which have only one Z chromosome); thus, even
recessive beneficial mutations are exposed to positive selection (Rice 1984; Charlesworth *et al.* 1987).
The capture of positively selected variants of such genes in a non-recombining region would ensure their
co-transmission and seems a reasonable explanation for this inversion's prevalence.

Moreover, these sex chromosome dynamics intersect with the population genetics of local adaptation in
synergistic ways. Modeling suggests that the exposure of recessive alleles to selection should facilitate
local adaptation and make the sex chromosomes hotspots for this phenomenon (Lasne *et al.* 2017). For
similar reasons, sex-linked inversions are thought to sweep to fixation more easily than autosomal
inversions (Connallon *et al.* 2018). As such, it is unsurprising to find the Z involved in short-term
differentiation as well as long term adaptation (Mongue *et al.* 2021) in this species.

Other differentiated regions of the genome
The inversions discussed above are the most apparent sources of regional differentiation, but
chromosomes 6 and 19 also showed elevations in differentiation at smaller scales. For the most part,
differentiated regions fell outside of coding regions or near dubiously annotated genes (i.e. those not
supported by expression data). Lacking further information for hypothesized importance, they are not
discussed in detail here for brevity's sake.

Conclusions
We report that *M. sexta* from Arizona, Kansas, and North Carolina are genetically differentiated. The
confirmation of regional differentiation is a valuable addition to research in both laboratory and field
studies, as well as to development of management plans for this crop pest between regions. That said,
we have yet to establish the minimum geographic scale at which *M. sexta* populations are structured
(for instance how much gene flow exists between adjacent states or even within a single state). This
finer scale sampling will be particularly important for agricultural centers on the applied side, and
additional sampling will also provide more insight into the large-scale variation we report here.

At least two large inversions and a potential pseudogene differentiate Arizona from the Kansas and
North Carolina populations. All of these variants are high frequency (> 0.5) within Arizona but absent in
the other populations. However, the nature of our sample collection limits the inferences we can make
at present. For instance, the high frequency of the variants suggests they are not deleterious, but with
only a single time-point of sampling in Arizona, it is hard to say whether or not these variants have
reached an equilibrium frequency. Additionally, other studies have shown inversion polymorphisms to
follow geographic or environmental clines (Anderson *et al.* 2005; Wellenreuther *et al.* 2017). In such
cases, the inversions often hold locally adaptive alleles that are maintained in spatial polymorphism by

differences in environmental factors, but for *M. sexta*, with each sampled state separated from the others by at least 1,500 kilometers, it is impossible to say if these segregating variants also follow a cline in the region or to which climactic variables they may relate. Additional sampling of the Southwest will be required to assess how widespread the inversions are.

Likewise, controlled laboratory studies will be required to assess the fitness consequences of the segregating stop-loss in a larval antennal gene within the chromosome 12 inversion. In particular, the coincidence of a novel larval hostplant in Arizona and a large-effect mutation in a gene expressed in a larval chemosensory gene are suggestive but far from conclusive. The relationship between the two will require hostplant choice experiments for larvae with and without the stop-loss mutation.

Finally, it is worth noting that these dramatic differences between populations could not be observed in standard lab strains, which are all derived from the North Carolina population to our knowledge. On the other hand, without extensive lab studies and RNA-sequencing, we would not have the information to form functional hypotheses for these variants found in wild populations. Beyond the immediate utility of these data, the results we present here serve as a reminder of the efficacy of integrating laboratory and field research within the same study system.

Acknowledgements

This project was partially funded by NSF DDIG to AJM (DEB-1701931) and DEB #1557007 and IOS #1920895 to AYK. The authors wish to acknowledge Wesley Mason and Michael Hulet and the rest of the Information and Telecommunication Technology Center (ITTC) staff at the University of Kansas for their support with our high-performance computing. The authors also thank the University of Kansas's Entomology Endowment for additional funding support in sequencing. Thanks to Paul Hime for guidance on population structure analysis. Thank you to Clyde Sorenson, Judie Bronstein, and Goggy Davidowitz, for initial and continued support in investigating population genomics of *M. sexta*. Kelly Dexter and Rebecca Messcher helped provide the samples from University of Florida's McGuire Center collection. Thanks also to the members of Ashworth 2.02 for continued patience in the discussion of the material of this manuscript.

Figure 1. Location of sampled populations of tobacco hornworms with sample sizes. From left to right:
Arizona (AZ), Kansas (KS), and North Carolina (NC). Locations are plotted against a backdrop of
temperature seasonality (the annual standard deviation in average monthly temperature) to
demonstrate that local environment varies across the range of the species.

Figure 2. Population structure of *M. sexta* based on whole-genome resequencing of North Carolina (NC),
Arizona (AZ), and Kansas (KS) individuals, omitting the Z chromosome. **(a)** Clustering of samples based
on the most likely K (3), demonstrating that moths from different states are genetically differentiable.
(b) Principle component analysis of the same dataset, with coloring the same as in (a). Note in both
cases that Arizona individual (A85) clusters more closely with Kansas than other Arizona samples and
three others (A71, A76, and A84) fall in between Kansas and the other four Arizona samples. Additional
analysis revealed that these individuals lacked a large chromosome 12 inversion unique to the AZ
population. **(c)** Excluding this chromosome from analysis causes all AZ individuals to cluster more closely
with each other than with KS.

Figure 3. Pairwise differentiation (F_{ST}) across the *M. sexta* genome: North Carolina (NC) vs. Kansas (KS; black squares), Kansas vs. Arizona (AZ; blue diamonds), and North Carolina vs. Arizona (red points). Plotting is ordered by chromosomal linkage, but not ordered within a chromosome. We examined regions enriched for differentiation greater than 0.5 (dashed line). By this metric, one peak on the Z, and one peak each on chromosomes 12 and 19. Additionally, note that the highest peaks almost always come from KS vs. AZ and NC vs. AZ, indicating that Arizona's population is more distinct from the others than NC and KS are from each other. Chromosomes are numbered based on syntenic assignment of HiC scaffolds from the Gershman assembly. Unplace scaffolds are all those beyond the 28 main chromosomal scaffolds.

Figure 4. Evidence of a single segregating inversion on chromosome 12 from the newly released *M.*

sexta assembly (Gershman *et al.* 2021). **Top.** F_{ST} comparison for the North Carolina and Arizona

populations shows a roughly 8 Mb region (between 5.3-13.3 Mb) of elevated differentiation between

the populations, corresponding to the length of the two tracts of differentiation from Figure 3, plus

some additional unplaced peaks. Vertical dashed lines delimit this differentiated region and extend

below for ease of comparison between populations. **Middle and Bottom.** Frequencies of alternate

alleles for called SNPs in North Carolina (middle) and Arizona (bottom). Points are semi-transparent such

that opaquer regions are denser with variants. Arizona appears to hold the inverted orientation as a

single allele frequency (0.6875 or 11/16) dominates the differentiated region, whereas North Carolinian

allele frequencies are variable throughout. Also in the 1-2 Mb and 4-5 Mb regions are areas of dense

SNPs fixed in both populations. These are interpreted as regions in which the lab strain used to generate

the reference has differentiated from the wild populations.

Figure 5. Extreme population differentiation of a gene within chromosome 12's putative inversion. **a.**
Finer scale plotting of F_{ST} of the differentiated Kanost et al. scaffold, previously assigned to chromosome
13 shows that differentiation occurs beyond 200 Kb and, as with the inversions above, it appears that
Arizona is markedly different from North Carolina and Kansas. Boxes at the bottom of the plot show
syntenic alignments with the Gershman et al. assemble and reveal that the differentiated region belongs
to chromosome 12 rather than 12. A single annotated gene, Msex2.10493, lies in this region (grey box).
b. Annotation of SNPs in the coding region reveals a stark difference in variation between populations.
Arizona moths hold more than ten times the non-synonymous polymorphisms than those in the other
two states. **c.** Frequencies of the SNPs in Arizona. Grey boxes represent exons and the dashed vertical
line marks a variant that disrupts the annotated stop codon. **d.** Depth of coverage of the gene in
question does not vary between populations in a way that suggests differences in alignment between
populations can explain the differences in called SNPs.

A putative Arizona-specific inversion on the Z chromosome

Figure 6. Evidence for an inversion on the Z chromosome. **Top.** A roughly 1 Mb portion of the Z shows elevated differentiation in North Carolina – Arizona and Kansas – Arizona comparisons. Here, as well as below, this region corresponds to an inversion identified by Delly2 (from 13.7 to 14.7 Mb.). **Bottom.** Like the chromosome 12 inversion, Arizona shows a long tract of shared non-reference allele frequencies in the region while North Carolina does not (note that Kansas allele frequencies are omitted due to lower sampling effort artificially stratifying frequencies). Inferred blocks of non-reference SNPs that do not correspond to similar F_{ST} peaks are inferred to be regions in which the lab-strain used for sequencing has differentiated from the natural populations.

Sample	State	Location	Collecting method	Sex	Overall alignment rate (bowtie2 vsl)	Coverage depth (x)
A36	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.08%	18
A70	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M*	94.13%	18
A71	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	F*	93.60%	17.8
A76	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	F	93.61%	13.7
A78	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.00%	14.7
A82	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.09%	14.5
A84	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.18%	14.2
A85	AZ	Madera Canyon	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.20%	14
WK2	KS	Williamstown	Larva from hostplant	F	93.65%	14.5
KC1	KS	Kansas City	Larva from hostplant	F	93.77%	15.9
LK5	KS	Lawrence	Larva from hostplant	F	94.04%	16.2
GK3	KS	Garnett	Larva from hostplant	M	94.55%	18
S32	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.12%	18.13
S33	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	93.90%	19.82
S34	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	93.84%	18.90
S35	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.02%	16.93
S36	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.04%	19.13
S37	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped	M	94.06%	20.08

			adult			
S38	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.08%	22.44
S39	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.01%	26.16
S40	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	93.79%	19.72
S42	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.12%	25.67
S44	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.07%	22.10
S45	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	94.16%	20.49
Q6	NC	Rocky Mount	Blacklight trapped adult	M	85.44%	11.2

Table 1. Sample collection and alignment information. Sample names follow sample labeling in SRA.
States are abbreviated as AZ (Arizona), KS (Kansas), and NC (North Carolina). Basic genomic information
on overall alignment rates to the published *M. sexta* genome (Kanost *et al.* 2016) and depth of
sequencing coverage are given as well. All sequencing data are available with the following accessions:
SRP144217, PRJNA639154 on NCBI. Individuals with an * next to their sex did not have sex information
recorded during sampling; however, we were still able to assign sex based on coverage ratios between
the Z chromosome and autosome after sequencing. Q6 is the *M. quinquemaculata* sample used as an
outgroup to polarize allele frequencies.

	Antenna		Head	Muscle	Midgut	Malpighian tubes	Fat	Gonad	
Larva									
Pupa								M	F
Adult	M	F						M	F

Table 2. Tissue-specific RNA sequencing available for each life-stage of *M. sexta*. This dataset forms the basis for tissue specificity labeling annotation of genes in this study. Grey cells indicate presence, empty cells denote absence of data. If sex specific data are available, it is noted with an M (for male) and F (female) in the relevant cell. For larvae, few tissues were sampled at multiple instars, so no further developmental division was made.

Table 3. Population genetic parameters across the autosomes of the populations surveyed here. The Z
chromosome was omitted due to uneven sampling of sexes between populations. Median values are given
for polymorphism estimates (to avoid skew from outliers), while means are reported for Tajima's D (as in
every case, the median value is centered on zero). For all statistics involving silent and replacement sites
(i.e. everything but linkage) the older Kanost et al. assembly was used to take advantage of higher
confidence gene models. Mean linkage disequilibrium (ρ^2) reported for 50 basepair windows using the
Gershman et al. assembly to take advantage of more robustly supported linkage groups. Standard
deviations appear in parentheses.

	Population genetic summary statistics for each population surveyed		
	North Carolina	Kansas	Arizona
pN	0.0068 (±0.022)	0.0044 (±0.0198)	0.0063 (±0.027)
pS	0.0232 (±0.050)	0.0140 (±0.050)	0.0197 (±0.058)
pN/pS	0.2850 (±0.656)	0.2852 (±0.665)	0.2851 (±0.652)
π_0	$8.82*10^{-9}$ (±0.030)	$4.27*10^{-8}$ (±0.034)	$7.95*10^{-8}$ (±0.032)
π_4	$5.96*10^{-8}$ (±0.082)	$3.37*10^{-7}$ (±0.090)	$4.32*10^{-7}$ (±0.086)
Tajima's D_0	-0.0795 (±0.433)	-0.0367 (±0.299)	-0.0673 (±0.354)
Tajima's D_4	-0.0259 (±0.510)	-0.0148 (±0.368)	-0.0259 (±0.406)
ρ^2	0.3538 (±0.396)	0.5990 (±0.392)	0.4053 (±0.401)

F_{ST} 0x, 4x	NC	KS
KS	0.064, 0.054	
AZ	0.090, 0.081	0.041, 0.044

811 **Table 4.** Genome-wide point estimates (excluding the Z chromosome) for differentiation between each
812 pairwise combination of the three populations studied here. In each comparison differentiation at sites
813 exposed to selection (i.e. zero-fold degenerate sites, 0x) and putatively neutral sites (four-fold
814 degenerate sites, 4x) were estimated separately in angsd using the gene models from the Kanost et al.
815 assembly.

References

Anderson, A. R., A. A. Hoffmann, S. W. McKechnie, P. A. Umina, and A. R. Weeks, 2005 The latitudinal cline in the In (3R) Payne inversion polymorphism has shifted in the last 20 years in Australian Drosophila melanogaster populations. Molecular Ecology 14: 851–858.

Banister, M. J., and R. H. White, 1987 Pigment migration in the compound eye of Manduca sexta: effects of light, nitrogen and carbon dioxide. Journal of insect physiology 33: 733–743.

Berry, J., P. Bretting, G. Nabhan, and C. Weber, 1981 Domesticated Proboscidea parviflora: a potential oilseed crop for arid lands. Journal of Arid Environments 4: 147–160.

Boer, G. de, and F. E. Hanson, 1987 Differentiation of roles of chemosensory organs in food discrimination among host and non-host plants by larvae of the tobacco hornworm, Manduca sexta. Physiological Entomology 12: 387–398.

Cao, X., and H. Jiang, 2017 An analysis of 67 RNA-seq datasets from various tissues at different stages of a model insect, *Manduca sexta*. BMC Genomics 18:.

Charlesworth, B., J. A. Coyne, and N. H. Barton, 1987 The relative rates of evolution of sex chromosomes and autosomes. The American Naturalist 130: 113–146.

Cingolani, P., A. Platts, L. L. Wang, M. Coon, T. Nguyen *et al.*, 2012 A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w 1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly 6: 80–92.

Connallon, T., C. Olito, L. Dutoit, H. Papoli, F. Ruzicka *et al.*, 2018 Local adaptation and the evolution of inversions on sex chromosomes and autosomes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 373: 20170423.

Contreras, H. L., J. Goyret, M. von Arx, C. T. Pierce, J. L. Bronstein *et al.*, 2013 The effect of ambient humidity on the foraging behavior of the hawkmoth Manduca sexta. Journal of Comparative Physiology A 199: 1053–1063.

Danecek, P., A. Auton, G. Abecasis, C. A. Albers, E. Banks *et al.*, 2011 The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27: 2156–2158.

Dobzhansky, T., and A. H. Sturtevant, 1938 Inversions in the chromosomes of Drosophila pseudoobscura. Genetics 23: 28.

Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet, 2005 Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Molecular ecology 14: 2611–2620.

Ferguson, D., 1991 An essay on the long-range dispersal and biogeography of Lepidoptera, with special reference to the Lepidoptera of Bermuda. Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada 158: 67–79.

Fuller, Z. L., S. A. Koury, N. Phadnis, and S. W. Schaeffer, 2019 How chromosomal rearrangements shape adaptation and speciation: Case studies in Drosophila pseudoobscura and its sibling species Drosophila persimilis. Molecular ecology 28: 1283–1301.

Gershman, A., T. G. Romer, Y. Fan, R. Razaghi, W. A. Smith *et al.*, 2021 De novo genome assembly of the tobacco hornworm moth (Manduca sexta). G3 11: jkaa047.

Grabherr, M. G., P. Russell, M. Meyer, E. Mauceli, J. Alföldi *et al.*, 2010 Genome-wide synteny through highly sensitive sequence alignment: Satsuma. Bioinformatics 26: 1145–1151.

Haber, W. A., and G. W. Frankie, 1989 A tropical hawkmoth community: Costa Rican dry forest
Sphingidae. Biotropica 155–172.
Höglund, G., and G. Struwe, 1970 Pigment migration and spectral sensitivity in the compound eye of
moths. Zeitschrift für vergleichende Physiologie 67: 229–237.
Janzen, D. H., 1984 Two ways to be a tropical big moth: Santa Rosa saturniids and sphingids.
Kanost, M. R., E. L. Arrese, X. Cao, Y.-R. Chen, S. Chellapilla *et al.*, 2016 Multifaceted biological insights
from a draft genome sequence of the tobacco hornworm moth, *Manduca sexta*. Insect
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 76: 118–147.
Kanost, M. R., H. Jiang, and X. Q. Yu, 2004 Innate immune responses of a lepidopteran insect, *Manduca
sexta*. Immunological Reviews 198: 97–105.
Kapun, M., D. K. Fabian, J. Goudet, and T. Flatt, 2016 Genomic evidence for adaptive inversion clines in
Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular biology and evolution 33: 1317–1336.
Kawahara, A. Y., J. W. Breinholt, F. V. Ponce, J. Haxaire, L. Xiao *et al.*, 2013 Evolution of Manduca sexta
hornworms and relatives: Biogeographical analysis reveals an ancestral diversification in Central
America. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 68: 381–386.
Kirkpatrick, M., and N. Barton, 2006 Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation. Genetics
173: 419–434.
Knief, U., G. Hemmrich-Stanisak, M. Wittig, A. Franke, S. C. Griffith *et al.*, 2016 Fitness consequences of
polymorphic inversions in the zebra finch genome. Genome biology 17: 199.
Kopelman, N. M., J. Mayzel, M. Jakobsson, N. A. Rosenberg, and I. Mayrose, 2015 Clumpak: a program
for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K.
Molecular ecology resources 15: 1179–1191.
Korneliussen, T. S., A. Albrechtsen, and R. Nielsen, 2014 ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing
Data. BMC Bioinformatics 15:.
Kryuchkova-Mostacci, N., and M. Robinson-Rechavi, 2017 A benchmark of gene expression tissue-
specificity metrics. Briefings in Bioinformatics 18: 205–214.
Lande, R., 1984 The expected fixation rate of chromosomal inversions. Evolution 743–752.
Langmead, B., and S. L. Salzberg, 2012 Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9: 357–
359.
Lasne, C., C. M. Sgrò, and T. Connallon, 2017 The Relative Contributions of the X Chromosome and
Autosomes to Local Adaptation. Genetics 205: 1285–1304.
Lee, Y. W., L. Fishman, J. K. Kelly, and J. H. Willis, 2016 A segregating inversion generates fitness variation
in yellow monkeyflower (Mimulus guttatus). Genetics 202: 1473–1484.
Lou, R. N., A. Jacobs, A. Wilder, and N. O. Therkildsen, 2020 A beginner's guide to low-coverage whole
genome sequencing for population genomics.
Lunter, G., and M. Goodson, 2011 Stampy: A statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast mapping of
Illumina sequence reads. Genome Research 21: 936–939.
Lyons, J. I., A. A. Pierce, S. M. Barribeau, E. D. Sternberg, A. J. Mongue *et al.*, 2012 Lack of genetic
differentiation between monarch butterflies with divergent migration destinations. Molecular
Ecology 21: 3433–3444.
McKenna, A. H., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis *et al.*, 2010 The Genome Analysis
Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome
Research 20: 1297–1303.
McNeil, J., 1995 Physiological integration of migration in Lepidoptera. Insect migration: tracking
resources through space and time.
Mechaber, W. L., and J. G. Hildebrand, 2000 Novel, non-solanaceous hostplant record for Manduca
sexta (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) in the Southwestern United States. Annals of the Entomological
Society of America 93: 447–451.

Meisner, J., and A. Albrechtsen, 2018 Inferring population structure and admixture proportions in low-depth NGS data. Genetics 210: 719–731.

Mérot, C., E. Berdan, H. Cayuela, H. Djambazian, A.-L. Ferchaud *et al.*, 2021 Locally adaptive inversions modulate genetic variation at different geographic scales in a seaweed fly. bioRxiv 2020–12.

Mongue, A. J., M. E. Hansen, L. Gu, C. E. Sorenson, and J. R. Walters, 2019 Nonfertilizing sperm in Lepidoptera show little evidence for recurrent positive selection. Molecular Ecology 28: 2517–2530.

Mongue, A. J., M. E. Hansen, and J. R. Walters, 2021 Support for faster and more adaptive Z chromosome evolution in two divergent lepidopteran lineages. Evolution n/a:

Mongue, A. J., M. E. Hansen, and J. R. Walters, 2020 ZZ Top: faster and more adaptive Z chromosome evolution in two Lepidoptera. bioRxiv.

Mongue, A. J., and J. Walters, 2017 The Z chromosome is enriched for sperm proteins in two divergent species of Lepidoptera. Genome 61: 248–253.

Nardi, J. B., 1993 Modulated expression of a surface epitope on migrating germ cells of Manduca sexta embryos. Development 118: 967–975.

Nei, M., 1987 *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. Columbia university press.

Nei, M., Y. Niimura, and M. Nozawa, 2008 The evolution of animal chemosensory receptor gene repertoires: roles of chance and necessity. Nature Reviews Genetics 9: 951–963.

Prieto-Godino, L. L., R. Rytz, B. Bargeton, L. Abuin, J. R. Arguello *et al.*, 2016 Olfactory receptor pseudo-pseudogenes. Nature 539: 93–97.

R Core Team, 2017 R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rausch, T., T. Zichner, A. Schlattl, A. M. Stütz, V. Benes *et al.*, 2012 DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. Bioinformatics 28: i333–i339.

Rice, W. R., 1984 Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. Evolution 38: 735–742.

Shapiro, A. M., 2006 Survival of freezing and subsequent summer eclosion by three migratory moths: Manduca sexta and Hyles Lineata (Sphingidae), and Helicoverpa zea (Noctuidae). Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society 60: 101–103.

Skotte, L., T. S. Korneliussen, and A. Albrechtsen, 2013 Estimating individual admixture proportions from next generation sequencing data. Genetics 195: 693–702.

Stevenson, R., K. Corbo, L. Baca, and Q. Le, 1995 Cage size and flight speed of the tobacco hawkmoth Manduca sexta. Journal of Experimental Biology 198: 1665–1672.

Sturtevant, A. H., 1917 Genetic factors affecting the strength of linkage in Drosophila. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 3: 555.

The International Silkworm Genome Consortium, 2008 The genome of a lepidopteran model insect, the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 38: 1036–1045.

Todesco, M., G. L. Owens, N. Bercovich, J.-S. Légaré, S. Soudi *et al.*, 2020 Massive haplotypes underlie ecotypic differentiation in sunflowers. Nature 584: 602–607.

Wagner, D. L., 2005 *Caterpillars of eastern North America: a guide to identification and natural history*. Princeton University Press.

Wellenreuther, M., H. Rosenquist, P. Jaksons, and K. W. Larson, 2017 Local adaptation along an environmental cline in a species with an inversion polymorphism. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 30: 1068–1077.

Whittington, E., Q. Zhao, K. Borziak, J. R. Walters, and S. Dorus, 2015 Characterisation of the *Manduca sexta* sperm proteome: Genetic novelty underlying sperm composition in Lepidoptera. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 62: 183–193.

Wysoker, A., K. Tibbetts, and T. Fennell, 2013 Picard tools version 1.90. http://picard. sourceforge. net
(Accessed 14 December 2016) 107: 308.
Yasukochi, Y., M. Tanaka-Okuyama, F. Shibata, A. Yoshido, F. Marec *et al.*, 2009 Extensive conserved
synteny of genes between the karyotypes of *Manduca sexta* and *Bombyx mori* revealed by BAC-
FISH mapping. PLoS ONE 4: e7465:
Zhan, S., W. Zhang, K. Niitepõld, J. Hsu, J. F. Haeger *et al.*, 2014 The genetics of monarch butterfly
migration and warning colouration. Nature 514: 317–321.
Zhang, Z.-J., S.-S. Zhang, B.-L. Niu, D.-F. Ji, X.-J. Liu *et al.*, 2019 A determining factor for insect feeding
preference in the silkworm, Bombyx mori. PLOS Biology 17: e3000162.

987   Supplementary tables and figures
988

Putative chromosome	Kanost *et al.* Scaffold	Gershman et al. Scaffold	Type
Z	scaffold00022	HiC_scaffold_19	Inversion
12	scaffold00042	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00095	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00119	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00158	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00203	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00240	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00264	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00300	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00335	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00460	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00466	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00571	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00616	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00633	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00790	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00888	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00921	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00966	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01138	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01198	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01282	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01317	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01366	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01482	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold01552	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion
12	scaffold00381	HiC_scaffold_12	Inversion, potential pseudogene
19	scaffold00118	HiC_scaffold_21	Localized peak
19	Scaffold00419	HiC_scaffold_21	Localized peak

990   **Table S1.** Scaffold information for genomic regions of interest. To be included in this table, a scaffold
991   had to show at least one 10 kilobase window in which F_{ST} was greater than 0.5 between any two of the 3
992   populations. The **Type** of differentiation (e.g. localize peak vs. inversion) was determined through
993   subsequent analyses. For convenience, genomic locations are provided for both assemblies of *M. sexta*.

Genotype	Chr12_inversion	Stop-loss variant	Z inversion	Chr19_oddities
+/+	A36, A70, A78, A82	A36, A70, A78, A82	A36, A70, A71*, A78, A82, A84, A85	A70, A71, A76, A78, A84
+/-	A71, A76, A84	A71, A76, A84		A85
-/-	A85	A85	A76*	A36, A82

997 **Table S2.** Genotypes of Arizona individuals for each of the key variants discussed in this manuscript. +
998 denotes presence, - absence. The stop-loss variant and chromosome 12 inversion co-segregate in
999 perfect linkage, further proof that the former resides within the latter. The Z inversion is not structured
1000 in the same way, however. Individuals marked with an * are female and hemizygous. Thus A71's single Z
1001 carries the inversion while A76's lacks it.

1002

1003

1004

Figure S1. Pairwise differentiation (F_{ST}) across the *M. sexta* genome using the Kanost *et al.* reference:
North Carolina (NC) vs. Kansas (KS; black squares), Kansas vs. Arizona (AZ; blue diamonds), and North
Carolina vs. Arizona (red points). Plotting is ordered by chromosomal linkage, but not ordered within a
chromosome. We examined regions of differentiation greater than 0.5 (dashed line). By this metric, one
peak on the Z, and one peak each on chromosomes 2, 13, and two on 19. Additionally, note the massive
spike on chromosome 12, made up of numerous highly differentiated scaffolds. Some assignments,
especially the peak on chromosome 13, were updated in the new assembly.

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

Figure S2. Investigation of differentiation across chromosome 12. **Top:** Ordering scaffolds from **Figure S1**
via synteny with *Bombyx mori* suggests two regions > 1.5 Mb of elevated differentiation (F_{ST}) between *M.*
sexta populations. Given the wholly contiguous nature of the signal in the Gershman et al. assembly,
this arrangement is best understood as an intra-chromosomal rearrangement between *Manduca* and
Bombyx leading to an incorrect synteny ordering. **Bottom:** Examining deviations from background levels
of variation with pairwise difference in genetic diversity (π) between populations. Diversity is roughly
equal between populations (near 0 difference) except within putatively inverted regions, in which both
NC and KS have fewer pairwise differences than AZ. In both the top and bottom, scaffold limits are
denoted by dashed vertical lines. **Left:** The majority of assembled scaffolds could be placed in an order
with synteny. **Right:** Some scaffolds could not be placed by this method and appear here, unordered.
Note that some among these scaffolds also show scaffold-wide differentiation and differences in
diversity. Compare to Figure 4 of the main text, in which the full length of the putative inversion appears
to have been contiguously assembled.

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046 **Figure S3.** Linkage disequilibrium across chromosome 12. A rolling median of windows of 10Kb for the
1047 genotype ρ^2 as calculated with vcftools in each population. Dashed lines indicate approximate location
1048 of the inferred inversion breakpoints. Note as well with the fewest sampled chromosomes in the Kansas
1049 population, apparent linkage is overall higher across the chromosome.

1050

1051 **Figure S4.** Syntenic alignment of scaffold00381 compared to the chromosome-level assembly. The
1052 majority of the scaffold (in black) is syntenic to chromosome 13, explaining its previous assignment to
1053 that chromosome. However, a significant portion of the sequence (red), including Msex2.10493, the
1054 putative segregating pseudogene, is syntenic to chromosome 12.

1055

Figure S5. Evidence for an inversion on the Z chromosome using the Kanost et al. assembly. **Top.** A roughly 1 Mb portion of scaffold0002 (from 58 Kb to 1.03 Mb) shows elevated differentiation in North Carolina – Arizona and Kansas – Arizona comparisons. **Middle.** As with the chromosome 12 inversion, this region shows differences in π between the inverted and non-inverted region. **Bottom.** Like the chromosome 12 inversion, Arizona shows a long tract of shared allele frequencies in the region while North Carolina does not (note that Kansas allele frequencies are omitted due to lower sampling effort artificially stratifying frequencies).

1075

1076   **Figure S6.** Population differentiation on chromosome 19. Two separate regions of elevated F_{ST} occur in
1077   the first two megabases of the chromosome, corresponding to the two different outlier scaffolds in the
1078   Kanost et al. assembly.