Zinc-embedded fabrics inactivate SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus

Vikram Gopal[1*], Benjamin E. Nilsson-Payant[2], Hollie French[3], Jurre Y. Siegers[4], Wai-shing Yung[1], Matthew Hardwick[5], Aartjan J.W. te Velthuis[3*]

[1]Ascend Performance Materials, 1010 Travis Street, Suite 900, Houston, TX 77002, USA

[2]Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

[3]Division of Virology, Department of Pathology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, University of Cambridge, Hills Road, CB2 2QQ, United Kingdom

[4]Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

[5]ResInnova Laboratories, 8807 Colesville Rd, 3rd Floor, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA

* address correspondence to: VGopal@ascendmaterials.com, ajwt6@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Infections with respiratory viruses can spread via liquid droplets and aerosols, and cause diseases such as influenza and COVID-19. Face masks and other personal protective equipment (PPE) can act as barriers that prevent the spread of respiratory droplets containing these viruses. However, influenza A viruses and coronaviruses are stable for hours on various materials, which makes frequent and correct disposal of these PPE important. Metal ions embedded into PPE may inactivate respiratory viruses, but confounding factors such as absorption of viruses make measuring and optimizing the inactivation characteristics difficult. Here we used polyamide 6.6 (PA66) fibers that had zinc ions embedded during the polymerisation process and systematically investigated if these fibers can absorb and inactivate pandemic SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus H1N1. We find that these viruses are readily absorbed by PA66 fabrics and inactivated by zinc ions embedded into this fabric. The inactivation rate ($pfu \cdot gram^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$) exceeds the number of active virus particles expelled by a cough and supports a wide range of viral loads. Moreover, we found that the zinc content and the virus inactivating property of the fabric remain stable over 50 standardized washes. Overall, these results provide new insight into the development of "pathogen-free" PPE and better protection against RNA virus spread.

Introduction

Infections with influenza A viruses (IAV), influenza B viruses (IBV) and coronaviruses (CoV) are a burden on our healthcare systems and economy. These respiratory RNA viruses transmit through aerosols, liquid droplets and fomites and seasonal strains typically cause a mild disease with symptoms including nasopharyngitis, fever, coughing, and headache. Nevertheless, seasonal IAV and IBV result in 290,000-645,000 deaths every year and billions of dollars in losses, in part due to increased hospitalizations and reduced work efficiencies.

The impact of highly pathogenic and pandemic IAV and CoV strains is even more severe. Over the past century, several pandemic influenza A virus (IAV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronaviruses (SARS-CoV) strains have infected and killed millions of people. Of particular importance were the 1918 H1N1, 1957 H2N2, and the 1968 H3N2 pandemic IAV strains, and the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic strain, the causative agent of COVID-19. These viruses can cause viral pneumonia and make it easier for secondary bacterial infections to take hold, increasing patient morbidity and mortality (1). Understanding how we can efficiently prevent the spread of these viruses will be important for current and future RNA virus outbreaks.

IAV is part of the *Orthomyxoviridae*, a family of negative-sense RNA viruses that are known for their segmented RNA genomes. The virus particle is enveloped by a double-layered membrane and contains multiple copies of the viral haemagglutinin (HA), matrix 2 (M2), and, neuraminidase (NA) proteins embedded in the membrane (2). The HA protein binds sialic acid receptors on the outside of host cells, and fuses the viral membrane and the

cellular plasma membrane, while the M2 protein acts as proton channel that plays a role in

the activation of HA and release of the viral RNA genome into the host cell. The viral RNA

genome consists of eight segments that are encapsidated by the viral nucleoprotein (NP)

and RNA polymerase as ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes inside virus particles (3). After

viral transcription, protein synthesis, replication and virion formation, the NA protein is

required for the release of virus particles from the host cell.

SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the *Coronaviridae*, a family of positive-sense RNA viruses that

infect a wide range of vertebrates, including humans (4, 5). The SARS-CoV-2 virion consists

of a double-layered membrane and membrane proteins spike (S), envelope (E) and matrix

(M). The viral RNA genome is harboured inside the virus particle and encapsidated by the

viral nucleocapsid protein (N). Infection of a host cell requires binding of the S protein to

the cellular receptor ACE2 (6). Following entry, the virus releases its viral RNA into the host

cell for viral protein synthesis and genome transcription and replication by the viral RNA

polymerase.

Various antivirals are currently available for the treatment of influenza and CoV infections,

including RNA polymerase inhibitors favipiravir and remdesivir (7-9). In addition, vaccines

are available or in development that target the HA or S protein to prevent infection and

spread of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 (10). Unfortunately, vaccines are not readily available for

emerging RNA viruses, and existing RNA viruses can become resistant against antivirals

and escape immune pressures due to their relatively high mutation rate (11, 12). Use of

personal protective equipment (PPE), such as face masks, is therefore recommended by

health organizations to prevent respiratory virus spread and several studies have supported

their efficacy (13-15). However, opponents of the use of face masks have pointed to

complicating factors, including observations that respiratory viruses are stable for days to

hours on fabrics and that N95 respirators require careful decontamination to allow their re-

use (16-19). Further considerations are the additional environmental waste that disposable

face masks produce, the poor fit of some masks, and the potential health hazard that

discarded masks present (20, 21). Development of PPE that can trap and inactivate

respiratory viruses may help address some of these concerns or simplify their use in day-to-

day life.

Previous research has shown that IAVs and CoVs can be inactivated by metal surfaces, such

as copper and zinc (22-24). While the exact underlying inactivation mechanisms are not

fully understood, evidence suggests that metal ions can induce RNA hydrolysis, membrane

destabilisation, or viral protein inactivation or degradation (25-27). So far, few studies have

investigated if metal ions embedded in fabrics can inactivate RNA viruses, in part because

absorbance and fabric density differences among fabrics present confounding factors that

the protocols approved for testing the inactivating properties of surfaces do not account

for.

To tackle some of these confounding issues, we here measured the ability of different

fabrics, such as cotton, polyamide 6.6 (PA66) and polypropylene (PPP), to trap H1N1 IAV

and pandemic SARS-CoV-2, and we explore how we can remove these viruses from the

fabrics to test for inactivation. We find that cotton and PA66 readily absorb respiratory

viruses, and that zinc ions embedded in PA66-based fabrics resulted in approximately a 2-

log reduction in virus titer, which is more than sufficient to inactivate the number of

infectious IAV virus particles (~24 plaque forming units [pfu]) present in a cough (28). Virus

inactivation plateaued over time. Overall, these results provide new insight into the

protective properties of fabrics used for face masks and the development of "pathogen-

free" fabrics.

Results

Influenza virus absorbance by cotton, polypropylene and polyamide

Various studies have investigated the filtration properties of fabrics and have investigated

factors such as breathability, hydrophobicity and/or electrostaticity. Fabrics also have a

different weight per square meter (gram/m^2) and different moisture retention abilities, with

cotton absorbing up to 500% of its weight and PA66 absorbing as little as 0.3% of its

weight, depending on environmental conditions (29). These different properties may affect

how fabrics trap and/or release aerosols or liquid droplets containing RNA viruses. For

instance, PPE with poor absorbing properties that become contaminated with viruses may

retain these viruses on their surface thus become a potential health hazard if not disposed

of properly. Presently, it is not fully understood how moisture retention is correlated with

virus particle absorption. To investigate this relationship, we added IAV strain A/WSN/33

(H1N1) to International Antimicrobial Council (IAC) issued cotton, a textile PA66 fabric, or

PPP from a disposable type II 3-ply face mask (Fig. 1A). After a 30-min incubation at room

temperature, the fabrics were washed with PBS to remove unabsorbed virus (Fig. 1B). To

estimate the amount of remaining liquid on each fabric, each sample tube with fabric was

weighed and compared to its dry weight. As shown in Fig. 1C and D, cotton and PA66

retained more liquid than PPP, both relative to the applied volume and the weight of the

fabric. Subsequent analysis of the IAV titer in the input and fabric washes showed that the

cotton and woven PA66 fabrics readily absorbed the applied virus, while less virus was

observed by the PPP fabric (Fig. 1E, F), which is in line with the hydrophobicity of PPP (30).

Figure 1. Absorption and release of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 from fabrics. **A**) Photographs of cotton

control, PA66 and polypropylene fabric samples. **B**) Schematic of experimental procedure for exposing

and isolating RNA virus from fabrics. **C**) Analysis of virus medium retention by fabrics per volume of

input medium. Values were obtained by weighing each fabric before and after addition of virus medium,

and after removal of the virus medium. **D**) Analysis of virus medium retention by fabrics normalized by

dry weight of each fabric. Values were obtained by weighing each fabric before and after addition of

virus medium, and after removal of the virus medium. **E**) Plaque assay of IAV present in virus medium

after removal of the medium from each fabric. **F**) Quantitation of the amount of virus remaining on each

fabric, normalized by the dry weight of each fabric. **G**) Effect of different tween-80 concentrations on

IAV plaque assay read-out. **H**) Effect of 0.05% tween-80 in PBS on the amount of virus released from

each fabric. **I**) Quantitation of IAV titers after absorption of the virus to the fabrics and washing of the

fabrics with PBS or PBS containing different concentrations of tween-80. **J**) Quantitation of SARS-CoV-2

titers after absorption of the virus to the fabrics and washing of the fabrics with PBS or PBS containing

different concentrations of tween-80. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Asterisk indicates p-value,

with * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.005$, and ns $p > 0.05$.

In order to remove IAV from the cotton and PA66 fabrics without inactivating the virus, we

added different concentrations of polysorbate-80 (tween-80) - a mild detergent that is also

used in IAV vaccine preparations - to the PBS wash buffer (Fig. 1G). We did not observe any

cytopathic effects of the detergent on the Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells used

for the plaque assay, but did find that the presence of 0.05%-0.1% tween-80 increased the

apparent viral titer relative to infections in PBS (Fig. 1G), whereas 0.25-0.5% tween-80

reduced the apparent IAV plaque size (Fig. 1G). We found that 0.05% tween-80 succeeded

in recovering more than 94% of the virus from the PA66 woven fabric, whereas 61% was

removed from the cotton fabric (Fig. 1H and I). Higher concentrations, such as 1% tween-

80, prevented IAV infection (Fig. 1I).

To confirm whether other viruses can be removed from cotton and woven PA66 as well, we

repeated the experiment with SARS-CoV-2. We found that over 92% of SARS-CoV-2 can be

recovered from the woven PA66 fabric using 0.05% tween-80, while up to 59% could be

recovered from the cotton fabric (Fig. 1J). Together, these results demonstrate that IAV

and SARS-CoV-2 are strongly absorbed by cotton and PA66, suggesting that these

materials would trap respiratory viruses inside face masks. At the same time, these findings

imply that PPP is poor at trapping respiratory viruses. Since IAV and SARS-CoV-2 can be

removed from a PA66 fabric with a mild detergent, this protocol can be useful for testing

the inactivating properties of fabrics.

Influenza virus is inactivated by zinc ions

Copper and zinc surfaces or particles can inactivate IAV strains and seasonal CoV HCoV-

229E, and PPP imbued with copper oxide can potentially inactivate IAV (22, 25, 26, 31). For

embedding into polymers, zinc ions provide benefits over copper ions as zinc has a much

higher propensity to ionize than copper, and thereby provides a much faster reaction

potential. Moreover, zinc oxide, which we embedded in the PA66 polymer used here, is

considered a Generally regarded as Safe (GRAS) compound by the FDA, which can speed

up the development process. Finally, zinc does not cause discolouration of the polymer or

fabric enabling a broader applicability. However, like copper, zinc ions are cytotoxic in

tissue culture (Fig. 2A), which confounds analysis of their effect on viral titers. We found

that addition of an equimolar concentration of EDTA following the virus incubation with

zinc ions (Fig. 2B) can efficiently chelate zinc ions and prevent cytotoxic effects (Fig. 2C).

EDTA alone does not have any cytotoxic effects and does not reduce viral titers (Fig. 2A).

Figure 2. IAV is inactivated by zinc ions. A) Plaque assay showing the effect of different zinc chloride

and EDTA concentrations on IAV titers. **B**) Experimental approach for inactivating IAV with zinc ions and

neutralization of zinc ions using EDTA. **C**) Cytotoxicity analysis of zinc chloride and EDTA in MDCK cells.

D) IAV titers after exposure to zinc chloride and neutralization with EDTA as measured on MDCK cells. **E**)

Western blot IAV HA and NP protein levels after exposure to zinc chloride and neutralization with EDTA.

Upper panel shows quantitation of western signal and middle panel the western signal as detected with

LI-COR. Bottom panel shows NA segment RT-qPCR analysis of IAV virus after exposure to zinc chloride

and neutralization with EDTA. Error bars represent standard deviation. Asterisk indicates p-value, with *

p<0.05, ** p<0.005, and ns p>0.05.

To investigate if zinc ions can directly inactivate IAV, we incubated influenza virus with

varying concentrations of zinc chloride. After 60 min, the reactions were stopped with an

equimolar amount of EDTA and subsequently diluted for virus titer determination by

plaque assay (Fig. 2B). As shown in Fig. 2D, we found that addition of zinc chloride resulted

in a significant reduction in the IAV titer. Previous research has shown that metal ions can

destabilize viral proteins (25). To gain more insight into the mechanism of virus

inactivation, viral protein levels in the zinc chloride-treated samples were analysed by

western blot. As shown in Fig. 2E, we found that in the presence of zinc chloride, HA levels

were reduced in a concentration-dependent manner, while NP levels did not diminish (Fig.

2E). This result thus suggest that zinc ions may affect the IAV surface proteins more

significantly than the internal proteins. To test if IAV RNA levels were affected, we added a

120-nucleotide long spike RNA to each sample, extracted viral RNA, and performed reverse

transcriptions (RT) using a 3☐ terminal NA primer. cDNA levels were next quantified using

quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) of the NA gene-encoding segment and

normalized to the spike RNA level (Fig. 2E). No effect of zinc chloride on viral NA segment

levels was found. Together, these results imply that zinc ions can inactivate an IAV (H1N1)

strain by destabilization of the viral surface proteins.

Influenza and coronavirus strains are inactivated on fabrics containing zinc ions

The above results suggest that zinc ions can directly inactivate an IAV H1N1 strain. To

investigate if these inactivating properties are also present when zinc ions are embedded in

a PA66 matrix, we used 0.4 gram of a textile PA66 fabric containing 328 ppm zinc ions

(equivalent to 2.5 mM; abbreviated as KF1). Incubation of KF1 with virus and washing of the

fabrics using a PBS buffer containing 0.05% tween-80 and 10 mM EDTA (PBSTE; Fig. 3A),

resulted in an approximately 2-log reduction of the IAV and SARS-CoV-2 titers compared to

a PA66 control fabric after 1 h (Fig. 3B and C). To confirm that inactivation of these viruses

occurred on KF1, viral protein levels were analysed in the PBSTE wash eluate by western

blot (Fig. 3D and E). Any virus that remained in the fabric after extraction with PBSTE, was

lysed and extracted using Trizol and analyzed by western blot as well. Western blots

showed a reduction in the HA and S protein level in the virus eluate that was removed from

the KF1 fabric compared to the control fabric eluate for IAV and SARS-CoV-2, respectively

(Fig. 3D and E). The signal obtained from the virus that remained on each fabric after the

PBSTE extraction was close to background, in line with the observations in Fig. 1, and we

were only able to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 signal, but observed no statistically significant

difference. Overall, we conclude that inactivation of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 occurs on a fabric

embedded with zinc oxide, analogous to the previously observed effects of copper oxide

(31). To better investigate the rate of reduction, we incubated KF1 with virus for different

lengths of time and subtracted the absorbed virus titer in the negative control from the

level of reduction in the KF1 fabric and fitted the data with a logarithmic equation (Fig. 3F).

A maximum reduction occurred between 30 seconds and 5 min of incubation, and the virus

titer reduction reached a plateau after approximately 50 min.

Figure 3. Inactivation of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 on fabrics. A) Schematic of testing procedure for fabrics

without or with embedded zinc oxide. **B**) IAV titer in input, or PA66 control or KF1 fabric eluates. **C**)

SARS-CoV-2 titer in input, or PA66 control or KF1 fabric eluates. One representative experiment is

shown. **D**) Western blot analysis of IAV HA and NP protein levels after exposure of IAV to the KF1 or

control fabric. Both the virus that was removed (eluate) from each fabric with PBSTE as well as the virus

that remained on each fabric was analyzed. **E**) Western blot analysis of SARS-CoV-2 S and N protein

levels after exposure of virus to the KF1 or control fabric. Both the virus that was removed (eluate) from

each fabric with PBSTE as well as the virus that remained on each fabric was analyzed. **F**) Time course of

IAV or SARS-CoV-2 titer reduction by the KF1 fabric minus the titer reduction by the PA66 control

without embedded zinc. One representative time course is shown. Data were fit with logarithmic

equation. **G**) Reduction rate of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 titer after exposure to KF1 fabric. Data points were

obtained by from time courses experiments in which we varied the viral load and subsequently

estimated the maximum reduction rate (exponential phase) for each time course. Reduction was

normalized to $pfu \cdot gram^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$ using the dry fabric weight. IAV and SARS-CoV-2 data points were fit

with a linear line and no difference was observed between the two fits. R^2 for IAV fit is shown. **H**) Zinc

content in KG6 fabric after repeated washing according to the standardized home laundry test protocol

AATCC M6-2016. One representative experiment for one batch of fabric is shown. **I**) Reduction rate of

IAV titer after exposure to unwashed or washed KG6 fabric. Error bars represent standard deviation.

Asterisk indicates p-value, with * $p<0.05$ and ns $p>0.05$.

Inactivation of IAV and coronaviruses scales with virus load

To investigate the robustness and saturation level of the inactivation by fabrics containing

embedded zinc oxide, we next performed experiments with KF1 and varied the viral load

added to each fabric over a range of 10^3 to 10^7 pfu. The liquid volume applied to each fabric

was kept constant. After incubation for different periods of time, fabrics were washed with

PBSTE, virus titers estimated by plaque assay and the virus titer reduction rate calculated

based on the shortest incubation time. Reduction rates were subsequently normalized by

the dry weight of each fabric. As shown in Fig. 3G, the rate of reduction in virus titer (in

pfu·gram^{-1}·min^{-1}) scaled with virus load. On a log-log plot, the data could be fit with a linear

equation. To confirm the robustness of these findings, we performed the same

experiments with SARS-CoV-2, and found a similar behavior (Fig. 3H).

To investigate if fabrics constructed from fibers containing zinc ions maintain their

zinc oxide content after washing, a KF1 fabric with 500 ppm zinc ions (equivalent to 5.3 mM;

internal code KG6) was washed 25 or 50 times using the standardized home laundry test

protocol AATCC M6-2016. Subsequent analysis of the zinc content after washing revealed

that the zinc content remained relatively constant in the PA66 fabrics for up to 50 washes

(Fig. 3H). We next confirmed if these washed fabrics were still able to reduce virus titers and

incubated 0.4 g of unwashed or washed fabric with a fixed amount of IAV and removed

inactivated virus with PBSTE. Analysis of the virus titers showed that both washed fabrics

were able reduce the IAV titer by approximately 2-logs (Fig. 3I). Overall, these results

suggest that the PA66 fabric containing zinc can inactivate both IAV and SARS-CoV-2 and

that this property is retained after 50 washes.

Discussion

Infections with respiratory RNA viruses cause regular seasonal epidemics and occasional

pandemics, and thus present a severe burden on our personal health, healthcare systems,

and economy. While seasonal respiratory viruses - including over 160 different rhinoviruses,

human CoVs strains NL63, OC43, HKU1 and 229E, influenza A, B and C viruses, human

respiratory syncytial virus, human parainfluenza viruses, and human metapneumovirus –

typically cause mild disease, IAV and CoVs have also been associated with zoonotic

outbreaks and lethal pandemics. Vaccines and antivirals are available or in development for

various respiratory viruses, but the appearance of resistance to antivirals or vaccines is a

known or potential problem. In the absence of new vaccines or antivirals, one way to fight

RNA viruses is to limit respiratory virus spread through efficient PPE.

To better understand how respiratory RNA viruses are absorbed and inactivated on fabrics,

we here added IAV and SARS-CoV-2 to cotton, PA66, and PPP. We find strong absorption

by cotton and PA66 in the standard laboratory buffer PBS, and that addition of tween-80

results in efficient virus release from PA66, but not from cotton. A previous clinical trial

found that cotton masks with strong absorbing properties may be associated with a higher

risk of infection when reused and our finding that cotton does not release IAV or SARS-

CoV-2 efficiently after washing is in line with this observation (16). By contrast, virus

retention on PPP, which is used for the construction of disposable 3-ply masks, is poor, in

line with its hydrophobic properties (30). This result implies that respiratory viruses remain

on the surface of these masks and together with findings that SARS-CoV-2 can survive on

various surfaces for several hours to days, and even 7 days on PPP-based surgical face

masks (19, 32), PPP-based masks may increase the risk of infection if not handled and

disposed of properly. However, PPP has of course alternative properties, such as good

breathability, filtration, and electrostatic properties and will thus has a purpose in the right

situation.

The use of any face mask or other PPE, even if used temporarily but correctly to prevent

spread, is better than wearing no face mask as it may reduce the risk of infection with

respiratory viruses (13-15). However, the above considerations suggest that there is a

potential for the use of pathogen-inactivating PPE, i.e. fabrics that can both absorb as well

as inactivate viruses. In particular the use of zinc and copper ions in PPE is promising in this

regard, as these metals can inactivate IAV and SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2, 3) (22, 24, 26). Using a

PA66-based fabric from which we could easily remove absorbed virus with a mild detergent

(Fig. 1), we tested the effect of zinc ions embedded in a matrix on the infectiousness of IAV

and pandemic SARS-CoV-2. We consistently found a rapid reduction in the titer of all

viruses tested and at viral loads that far exceed the number of infectious IAV particles

present in a cough (Fig. 3). After washing the fabrics using a standardized protocol, both

the zinc content as well as the inactivating properties of the PA66 fabric were retained,

suggesting that this fabric is reusable at least 50 times. This property may be of particular

importance for designing reusable PPE that could help reduce environmental waste, virus

transmission, and costs.

We also investigated the mechanism by which zinc ions inactivate IAV and SARS-CoV-2.

RT-qPCR analysis showed no significant reduction in viral RNA integrity after treatment

with zinc ions. By contrast, analysis of the stability of the viral surface and capsid proteins

revealed a reduced stability of the virus surface proteins HA and S, for IAV and SARS-CoV-

2, respectively, after exposure to zinc ions, while no effect on the internal nucleoprotein or

nucleocapsid proteins was detected. We observed a similar altered surface protein to

nucleoprotein ratio after exposure to the zinc containing PA66 fabric KF1. Together, these

results suggest that the reduction in virus titer after exposure to zinc ions derives from

inactivation of the viral surface proteins. This is in line with previous research using copper

ions (25). Research has shown that zinc and copper ions can also induce oxidative reactions,

inactivation of the viral proton channels, or viral membrane destabilization and we cannot

exclude that these processes may play a role in the inactivation as well (27, 33, 34).

Overall, these results strongly suggest that virus inactivating fabrics can offer enhanced

safety over widely used cotton and PPP-based PPE. Our findings may therefore be

important for health care workers who are exposed to infected patients for prolonged

periods, people with underlying risk factors needing additional protection, and people who

need to frequently remove their PPE.

Methods

Influenza viruses and cells

MDCK cells were originally sources from ATCC. Influenza A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus was

rescues from plasmids (35) and grown on MDCK cells in Minimal Essential Medium (MEM)

containing 0.5% foetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. Plaque assays were

performed on 100% confluent MDCK cells in MEM containing 0.5% FBS with a 1% agarose

overlay. Ten-fold virus dilutions were grown under a 1% agarose in MEM containing 0.5%

FBS overlay for 2 days at 37 °C. Cell viability was measured using a CellTiter Blue assay

(Promega).

Coronaviruses and cells

SARS-CoV-2 (Bavpat-1 and USA-WA1/2020) were grown on African Green Monkey kidney

epithelial Vero-E6 cells in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) supplemented

with 10% FBS. For plaque assay analysis, Vero-E6 cells were seeded in 12-well plates and

infected at 100% confluency. Ten-fold virus dilutions were grown under a 1% agarose

overlay in DMEM containing 0.5% FBS for 2 days at 37 °C. Experiments were performed in a

BSL3 lab according to approved biosafety standards.

Fabrics

Fabric samples were cut by cleaned scissors. The cotton fabric was issued and certified by

the IAC (lot number IACVC01012020). The PA66 fabrics with zinc ions (Microban Additive

Z07; EPA Reg. No. 42182-8) and a control fabric without zinc ions were produced and

provided by Ascend Performance Materials. The PPP disposable type II 3-ply face mask

(Medical Products Co, Ltd) was BS EN14638:2019 type II compliant. PA66 fabrics were

washed according to the standardized home laundry test protocol AATCC M6-2016.

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis was used to determine the zinc content after

fabric washing.

Virus absorption and extraction

To test the ability of fabrics to reduce viral titres, we used a modified ISO 18184 protocol.

Briefly, 100 µl of IAV strain A/WSN/33 (H1N1) was applied in 5-10 µl droplets to cotton, a

textile PA66 fabric, or PPP cut from medical grade face masks. The size of the fabrics varied

from 1 cm² to 0.4 gram, as indicated in the figures. After an incubation at room

temperature as indicated, the fabrics were washed with PBS, PBS containing tween-80, or

PBS containing 0.05% tween-80 and 10 mM EDTA through vortexing. After virus removal, 1

ml of Trizol (Invitrogen) was added to each fabric to extract remaining viral protein and

RNA. Experiments were performed in triplicate, unless noted otherwise. Data was analysed

in Graphpad Prism 8 using 1-way ANOVA.

RT-qPCR and western blot

RNA extraction from Trizol was performed as described previously(36), while protein was extracted from the interphase using isopropanol precipitation(37). Precipitated protein was washed in ethanol, resuspended in 5x SDS-PAGE loading buffer, sonicated for 10 seconds, and boiled for 10 min before 8% SDS-PAGE analysis. Western blot was performed using antibodies directed against IAV HA (Invitrogen, PA5-34929) and NP (GeneTex, GTX125989) and SARS-CoV-2 S (Abcam ab272504) and N (GeneTex, GTX632269). Membranes were washed in TBS containing 0.1% tween-20. Spike RNA was purchased from IDT and had the sequence 5'-AGUAGAAACAAGGCGGUAGGCGCUGUCCUUUAUCCAGACAACCAUUACCUGUCCACACA AUCUGCCCUUUCGAAAGAUCCCAACGAAAAGAGAGACCACAUGGUCCUUCCUGCUUUU GCU-3'. Isolated RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript III and a primer binding to the 3' end of the NA segment (36). qPCR was performed as described previously (36). Data was analysed in Graphpad Prism 8 using one-way ANOVA with multiple corrections.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shanaka Rodrigo, Natasha Virjee, Chris Hsiung, and Benjamin Tenoever for helpful discussions, materials and information. AtV is supported by joint Wellcome Trust and Royal Society grant 206579/Z/17/Z and the National Institutes of Health grant R21AI147172.

Competing interests

430 This study was funded in part by Ascend Performance Materials. VG and W-sY are

431 employed by Ascend Performance Materials. MH is employed by ResInnova and hired by

432 Ascend Performance Materials to perform experiments and analyze the data. Icahn School

433 of Medicine at Mount Sinai and University of Cambridge received consultancy fees from

434 Ascend Performance Materials for experimental work and data analysis.

References

438 1. McCullers JA. 2014. The co-pathogenesis of influenza viruses with bacteria in the

439  lung. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:252-62.

440 2. Hutchinson EC, Charles PD, Hester SS, Thomas B, Trudgian D, Martinez-Alonso M,

441  Fodor E. 2014. Conserved and host-specific features of influenza virion architecture.

442  Nat Commun 5:4816.

443 3. Te Velthuis AJ, Fodor E. 2016. Influenza virus RNA polymerase: insights into the

444  mechanisms of viral RNA synthesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:479-93.

445 4. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, Zhao X, Huang B, Shi W, Lu R, Niu P,

446  Zhan F, Ma X, Wang D, Xu W, Wu G, Gao GF, Tan W, China Novel Coronavirus I,

447  Research T. 2020. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019.

448  N Engl J Med 382:727-733.

449 5. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V. 2020.

450  The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-

451  nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol 5:536-544.

6.   Letko M, Marzi A, Munster V. 2020. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor

usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. Nat Microbiol 5:562-

569.

7.   Goldhill DH, Te Velthuis AJW, Fletcher RA, Langat P, Zambon M, Lackenby A, Barclay

WS. 2018. The mechanism of resistance to favipiravir in influenza. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A 115:11613-11618.

8.   Pruijssers AJ, George AS, Schafer A, Leist SR, Gralinksi LE, Dinnon KH, 3rd, Yount BL,

Agostini ML, Stevens LJ, Chappell JD, Lu X, Hughes TM, Gully K, Martinez DR, Brown

AJ, Graham RL, Perry JK, Du Pont V, Pitts J, Ma B, Babusis D, Murakami E, Feng JY,

Bilello JP, Porter DP, Cihlar T, Baric RS, Denison MR, Sheahan TP. 2020. Remdesivir

Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in Human Lung Cells and Chimeric SARS-CoV Expressing the

SARS-CoV-2 RNA Polymerase in Mice. Cell Rep 32:107940.

9.   Williamson BN, Feldmann F, Schwarz B, Meade-White K, Porter DP, Schulz J, van

Doremalen N, Leighton I, Yinda CK, Perez-Perez L, Okumura A, Lovaglio J, Hanley PW,

Saturday G, Bosio CM, Anzick S, Barbian K, Cihlar T, Martens C, Scott DP, Munster VJ,

de Wit E. 2020. Clinical benefit of remdesivir in rhesus macaques infected with SARS-

CoV-2. Nature 585:273-276.

10.   van Riel D, de Wit E. 2020. Next-generation vaccine platforms for COVID-19. Nat

Mater 19:810-812.

11.   Eckerle LD, Becker MM, Halpin RA, Li K, Venter E, Lu X, Scherbakova S, Graham RL,

Baric RS, Stockwell TB, Spiro DJ, Denison MR. 2010. Infidelity of SARS-CoV Nsp14-

exonuclease mutant virus replication is revealed by complete genome sequencing.

PLoS Pathog 6:e1000896.

12.   Cheung PP, Rogozin IB, Choy KT, Ng HY, Peiris JS, Yen HL. 2015. Comparative

476   mutational analyses of influenza A viruses. RNA 21:36-47.

477   13.   Gandhi M, Beyrer C, Goosby E. 2020. Masks Do More Than Protect Others During

478   COVID-19: Reducing the Inoculum of SARS-CoV-2 to Protect the Wearer. J Gen Intern

479   Med doi:10.1007/s11606-020-06067-8.

480   14.   Jefferson T, Del Mar CB, Dooley L, Ferroni E, Al-Ansary LA, Bawazeer GA, van Driel

481   ML, Nair S, Jones MA, Thorning S, Conly JM. 2011. Physical interventions to interrupt

482   or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses. Cochrane Database Syst Rev

483   doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006207.pub4:CD006207.

484   15.   Wu J, Xu F, Zhou W, Feikin DR, Lin CY, He X, Zhu Z, Liang W, Chin DP, Schuchat A.

485   2004. Risk factors for SARS among persons without known contact with SARS

486   patients, Beijing, China. Emerg Infect Dis 10:210-6.

487   16.   MacIntyre CR, Seale H, Dung TC, Hien NT, Nga PT, Chughtai AA, Rahman B, Dwyer

488   DE, Wang Q. 2015. A cluster randomised trial of cloth masks compared with medical

489   masks in healthcare workers. BMJ Open 5:e006577.

490   17.   Wang Y, Tian H, Zhang L, Zhang M, Guo D, Wu W, Zhang X, Kan GL, Jia L, Huo D, Liu B,

491   Wang X, Sun Y, Wang Q, Yang P, MacIntyre CR. 2020. Reduction of secondary

492   transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in households by face mask use, disinfection and social

493   distancing: a cohort study in Beijing, China. BMJ Glob Health 5.

494   18.   Fischer RJ, Morris DH, van Doremalen N, Sarchette S, Matson MJ, Bushmaker T,

495   Yinda CK, Seifert SN, Gamble A, Williamson BN, Judson SD, de Wit E, Lloyd-Smith JO,

496   Munster VJ. 2020. Effectiveness of N95 Respirator Decontamination and Reuse

497   against SARS-CoV-2 Virus. Emerg Infect Dis 26.

19. Chin AWH, Chu JTS, Perera MRA, Hui KPY, Yen HL, Chan MCW, Peiris M, Poon LLM.

2020. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. Lancet Microbe

1:e10.

20. Prata JC, Silva ALP, Walker TR, Duarte AC, Rocha-Santos T. 2020. COVID-19 Pandemic

Repercussions on the Use and Management of Plastics. Environ Sci Technol 54:7760-

7765.

21. Adyel TM. 2020. Accumulation of plastic waste during COVID-19. Science 369:1314-

1315.

22. Noyce JO, Michels H, Keevil CW. 2007. Inactivation of influenza A virus on copper

versus stainless steel surfaces. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:2748-50.

23. Biryukov J, Boydston JA, Dunning RA, Yeager JJ, Wood S, Reese AL, Ferris A, Miller D,

Weaver W, Zeitouni NE, Phillips A, Freeburger D, Hooper I, Ratnesar-Shumate S,

Yolitz J, Krause M, Williams G, Dawson DG, Herzog A, Dabisch P, Wahl V, Hevey MC,

Altamura LA. 2020. Increasing Temperature and Relative Humidity Accelerates

Inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 on Surfaces. mSphere 5.

24. Warnes SL, Little ZR, Keevil CW. 2015. Human Coronavirus 229E Remains Infectious

on Common Touch Surface Materials. mBio 6:e01697-15.

25. Fujimori Y, Sato T, Hayata T, Nagao T, Nakayama M, Nakayama T, Sugamata R, Suzuki

K. 2012. Novel antiviral characteristics of nanosized copper(I) iodide particles

showing inactivation activity against 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza virus. Appl

Environ Microbiol 78:951-5.

26. Imai K, Ogawa H, Bui VN, Inoue H, Fukuda J, Ohba M, Yamamoto Y, Nakamura K.

2012. Inactivation of high and low pathogenic avian influenza virus H5 subtypes by

copper ions incorporated in zeolite-textile materials. Antiviral Res 93:225-233.

27.   Binder H, Arnold K, Ulrich AS, Zschornig O. 2001. Interaction of Zn2+ with

523   phospholipid membranes. Biophys Chem 90:57-74.

524   28.   Lindsley WG, Noti JD, Blachere FM, Thewlis RE, Martin SB, Othumpangat S,

525   Noorbakhsh B, Goldsmith WT, Vishnu A, Palmer JE, Clark KE, Beezhold DH. 2015.

526   Viable influenza A virus in airborne particles from human coughs. J Occup Environ

527   Hyg 12:107-13.

528   29.   Cruz J, Leitao AC, Silveira D, Pichandi S, Pinto M, Fangueiro R. 2017. Study of

529   moisture absorption characteristics of cotton terry towel fabrics. Procedia

530   Engineering 200:389-398.

531   30.   Erbil HY, Demirel AL, Avci Y, Mert O. 2003. Transformation of a simple plastic into a

532   superhydrophobic surface. Science 299:1377-80.

533   31.   Borkow G, Zhou SS, Page T, Gabbay J. 2010. A novel anti-influenza copper oxide

534   containing respiratory face mask. PLoS One 5:e11295.

535   32.   van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, Holbrook MG, Gamble A, Williamson BN,

536   Tamin A, Harcourt JL, Thornburg NJ, Gerber SI, Lloyd-Smith JO, de Wit E, Munster VJ.

537   2020. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. N

538   Engl J Med 382:1564-1567.

539   33.   Gandhi CS, Shuck K, Lear JD, Dieckmann GR, DeGrado WF, Lamb RA, Pinto LH. 1999.

540   Cu(II) inhibition of the proton translocation machinery of the influenza A virus M2

541   protein. J Biol Chem 274:5474-82.

542   34.   Okada A, Miura T, Takeuchi H. 2003. Zinc- and pH-dependent conformational

543   transition in a putative interdomain linker region of the influenza virus matrix

544   protein M1. Biochemistry 42:1978-84.

545   35.   Fodor E, Devenish L, Engelhardt OG, Palese P, Brownlee GG, Garcia-Sastre A. 1999.

546   Rescue of Influenza A Virus from Recombinant DNA. Journal of Virology 73:9679-

547   9682.

548   36.   Te Velthuis AJW, Long JC, Bauer DLV, Fan RLY, Yen HL, Sharps J, Siegers JY, Killip MJ,

549   French H, Oliva-Martin MJ, Randall RE, de Wit E, van Riel D, Poon LLM, Fodor E.

550   2018. Mini viral RNAs act as innate immune agonists during influenza virus infection.

551   Nat Microbiol 3:1234-1242.

552   37.   Simoes AE, Pereira DM, Amaral JD, Nunes AF, Gomes SE, Rodrigues PM, Lo AC,

553   D'Hooge R, Steer CJ, Thibodeau SN, Borralho PM, Rodrigues CM. 2013. Efficient

554   recovery of proteins from multiple source samples after TRIzol((R)) or TRIzol((R))LS

555   RNA extraction and long-term storage. BMC Genomics 14:181.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Absorption and release of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 from fabrics. **A**) Photographs of

cotton control, PA66 and polypropylene fabric samples. **B**) Schematic of experimental

procedure for exposing and isolating RNA virus from fabrics. **C**) Analysis of virus medium

retention by fabrics per volume of input medium. Values were obtained by weighing each

fabric before and after addition of virus medium, and after removal of the virus medium. **D**)

Analysis of virus medium retention by fabrics normalized by dry weight of each fabric.

Values were obtained by weighing each fabric before and after addition of virus medium,

and after removal of the virus medium. **E**) Plaque assay of IAV present in virus medium

after removal of the medium from each fabric. **F**) Quantitation of the amount of virus

remaining on each fabric, normalized by the dry weight of each fabric. **G**) Effect of different

tween-80 concentrations on IAV plaque assay read-out. **H**) Effect of 0.05% tween-80 in PBS

on the amount of virus released from each fabric. **I**) Quantitation of IAV titers after

absorption of the virus to the fabrics and washing of the fabrics with PBS or PBS containing

different concentrations of tween-80. **J**) Quantitation of SARS-CoV-2 titers after absorption

of the virus to the fabrics and washing of the fabrics with PBS or PBS containing different

concentrations of tween-80. Error bars indicate standard deviation. Asterisk indicates p-

value, with * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.005$, and ns $p>0.05$.

Figure 2. IAV is inactivated by zinc ions. **A**) Plaque assay showing the effect of different

zinc chloride and EDTA concentrations on IAV titers. **B**) Experimental approach for

inactivating IAV with zinc ions and neutralization of zinc ions using EDTA. **C**) Cytotoxicity

analysis of zinc chloride and EDTA in MDCK cells. **D**) IAV titers after exposure to zinc

chloride and neutralization with EDTA as measured on MDCK cells. **E**) Western blot IAV HA

and NP protein levels after exposure to zinc chloride and neutralization with EDTA. Upper

panel shows quantitation of western signal and middle panel the western signal as detected

with LI-COR. Bottom panel shows NA segment RT-qPCR analysis of IAV virus after

exposure to zinc chloride and neutralization with EDTA. Error bars represent standard

deviation. Asterisk indicates p-value, with * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.005$, and ns $p>0.05$.

Figure 3. Inactivation of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 on fabrics. **A**) Schematic of testing

procedure for fabrics without or with embedded zinc oxide. **B**) IAV titer in input, or PA66

control or KF1 fabric eluates. **C**) SARS-CoV-2 titer in input, or PA66 control or KF1 fabric

eluates. One representative experiment is shown. **D**) Western blot analysis of IAV HA and

NP protein levels after exposure of IAV to the KF1 or control fabric. Both the virus that was

removed (eluate) from each fabric with PBSTE as well as the virus that remained on each

fabric was analyzed. **E**) Western blot analysis of SARS-CoV-2 S and N protein levels after

exposure of virus to the KF1 or control fabric. Both the virus that was removed (eluate) from

each fabric with PBSTE as well as the virus that remained on each fabric was analyzed. **F**)

Time course of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 titer reduction by the KF1 fabric minus the titer

reduction by the PA66 control without embedded zinc. One representative time course is

shown. Data were fit with logarithmic equation. **G**) Reduction rate of IAV or SARS-CoV-2

titer after exposure to KF1 fabric. Data points were obtained by from time courses

experiments in which we varied the viral load and subsequently estimated the maximum

reduction rate (exponential phase) for each time course. Reduction was normalized to

pfu·gram^{-1}·min^{-1} using the dry fabric weight. IAV and SARS-CoV-2 data points were fit with

a linear line and no difference was observed between the two fits. R^2 for IAV fit is shown. **H**)

Zinc content in KG6 fabric after repeated washing according to the standardized home

laundry test protocol AATCC M6-2016. One representative experiment for one batch of

fabric is shown. **I**) Reduction rate of IAV titer after exposure to unwashed or washed KG6

fabric. Error bars represent standard deviation. Asterisk indicates p-value, with * $p < 0.05$

and ns $p > 0.05$.