Synergistic Coding of Human Odorants in the Mosquito Brain

Shruti Shankar [1], Genevieve M. Tauxe [1], Emma D. Spikol [2], Ming Li [3], Omar S. Akbari [3], Diego Giraldo [1], Conor J. McMeniman [1, 2, *]

[1] W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.

[2] The Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.

[3] Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA.

*Corresponding author
Email: cmcmeni1@jhu.edu

Abstract:

The yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* employs olfaction to locate humans. We applied CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering and neural activity mapping to define the molecular and cellular logic of how the mosquito brain is wired to detect human odorants. We determined that the breath volatile carbon dioxide (CO_2) is detected by the largest unit of olfactory coding in the primary olfactory processing center of the mosquito brain, the antennal lobe. Synergistically, CO_2 detection gates synaptic transmission from defined populations of olfactory sensory neurons, innervating unique antennal lobe regions tuned to the human sweat odorant L-(+)-lactic acid. Our data suggests that simultaneous detection of signature human volatiles rapidly disinhibits a multimodal olfactory network for hunting humans in the mosquito brain.

Main Text:

Blood-thirsty female *A. aegypti* mosquitoes detect and navigate towards a plethora of physical and chemosensory cues emitted by the human body (*1-4*). Of these cues, human scent is a powerful mosquito attractant, comprising of a complex bouquet of hundreds of volatile chemicals derived from sweat, breath and the human skin microbiome (*5*). Despite recent advances in our understanding of mosquito chemoreception at the periphery (*2, 6-13*), central mechanisms involved in detection and integration of human body odorants by this prolific disease vector are largely unknown (*2*). This highlights the critical need for novel approaches to illuminate olfactory circuits underlying the epidemiologically important process of *A. aegypti* attraction to human body odor.

The olfactory system of *A. aegypti* consists of three major olfactory appendages including the antennae, maxillary palps and labella of the proboscis. Lining these organs are various morphological classes of porous sensilla (*14-16*) that house the dendritic processes of typically 2-3 olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) that detect diverse structural classes of volatile odorants. The axonal processes of OSNs project to the primary olfactory processing brain center known as the antennal lobe (*17*). In related insects such as *Drosophila*, olfactory information is locally processed and encoded in the antennal lobe via the action of excitatory and inhibitory local neurons (*18-20*), before being sent by projection neurons to higher order brain centers involved in orchestrating innate and learned olfactory behaviors (*21-23*).

Large chemoreceptor gene families implicated in detection of various components of human scent and other ethologically relevant odorants are encoded in the *A. aegypti* genome (*24*). The Odorant Receptor (*OR*) chemoreceptor family, typically tuned to aldehydes, short-chain alcohols and ketones, likely mediates anthropophilic host preference in *A. aegypti* (*8, 12*). In a complementary fashion, chemoreceptors from the Ionotropic Receptor (*IR*) family that are responsive to carboxylic acids and amines (*25*), and certain Gustatory Receptors (*GR*) family members that detect the volatile gas carbon dioxide (CO_2) (*2*), drive synergistic behavioral taxis of female mosquitoes towards human scent (*26, 27*). For instance, L-(+)-lactic Acid, a predominant chemical fraction of human sweat, is alone unattractive to *A. aegypti*, but potently synergizes with CO_2 to elicit olfactory attraction when these two stimuli are combined together (*28, 29*). Functionality of the *IR* co-receptor *IR8a* that putatively forms multimeric *IR* complexes tuned to L-(+)-lactic acid and related acidic volatiles (*25*), as well as the *Gr1/2/3* CO_2 receptor complex (*2*), are together required

for this olfactory synergism. However, the mechanistic basis of how these and other human

volatiles are integrated by the *A. aegypti* antennal lobe to yield attractive behavioral synergy is

currently unclear.

To identify antennal lobe circuits mediating synergy between CO_2 and L-(+)-lactic acid in the

mosquito brain, we first developed an updated *in vitro* neuroanatomical atlas of the antennal lobe

from the *LVPib12 A. aegypti* strain, demonstrating that this olfactory brain center contains ~ 80

units of glomerular synaptic connectivity (*17*). In *Drosophila*, the axonal processes of OSNs

expressing unique complements of chemoreceptors project from the peripheral sensory

appendages such as the antenna and maxillary palp to spatially defined glomeruli within the

antennal lobe (*30, 31*). To facilitate in-depth neuroanatomical studies and genetic access to the

A. aegypti antennal lobe, we first applied CRISPR-Cas9 genome engineering (*32*) and *Mos1-*

mariner transposition (*33*) to integrate components of the *QF2/QUAS* system (*34*) for binary

expression of reporter transgenes in defined subsets of *A. aegypti* OSNs projecting to this brain

region.

To generate transgenic *A. aegypti* chemoreceptor-*QF2* driver lines, we used CRISPR-Cas9

mediated homologous recombination to insert a *T2A-QF2* in-frame fusion cassette (*35*) into the

coding exons of three major olfactory co-receptor genes: Odorant Receptor co-receptor (*orco*),

Ionotropic Receptor co-receptor *IR8a*, and the CO_2 receptor complex subunit *Gr1* (Fig. 1A-C).

Using this strategy, *QF2* was integrated in-frame into Exon 3 of each target gene, placing

expression of this transcription factor under control of endogenous regulatory elements for each

locus. These driver lines also included a visible *3xP3-DsRed2* eye marker to facilitate

identification of transgenic individuals. *orco^{QF2Red}* and *IR8a^{QF2Red}* cassettes inserted in-frame as

expected via ends-out recombination events, whereas *Gr1^{QF2Red}* inserted in-frame yet also

incorporated a duplicated copy of the plasmid backbone downstream of the *T2A-QF2* in-frame

fusion via an ends-in recombination event.

We crossed each driver line with a *QUAS-mCD8::GFP* responder strain that we generated by

Mos1 mariner transposition and demonstrated that we successfully labeled OSNs with

membrane-tethered green fluorescent protein (GFP). Confocal analyses of female peripheral

sensory appendages revealed strong GFP expression in OSN dendrites and cell bodies on the

antenna, maxillary palp and labella of the proboscis of *orco^{QF2Red}>15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*

individuals (Fig. 1a and Fig. S1 a-e); as well as OSN labeling of the antennal flagellum of

IR8a^{QF2Red}>15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP (Fig. 1b) individuals, and maxillary palp tissue of

Gr1^{QF2Red}>15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP mosquitoes (Fig. 1c).

Expression patterns from *QF2* knock-ins were consistent with a previous *LVPib12* strain

neurotranscriptome analysis (*36*) that revealed broad *orco* expression across olfactory tissues,

with *IR8a* and *Gr1* expression confined to antennal and maxillary palp tissue, respectively.

Dendrites of *orco* (+) neurons on the mosquito antenna were localized to hair-like trichoid sensilla

(Fig. 1a), whereas dendrites of *IR8a* (+) neurons were confined to grooved-peg sensilla on the

antenna, and *Gr1* (+) neurons were found in capitate peg sensilla on the maxillary palp (Fig. 1b

and c). These latter two classes of sensilla are the locations for OSN-based detection of L-(+)-

lactic acid (*37, 38*) and CO_2 (*2, 39, 40*), respectively, grossly validating the neuroanatomical

specificity of our transgenic labeling approach.

To examine central projection patterns of OSNs expressing the CO_2 receptor complex subunit

Gr1, as well as *IR8a* (+) and *orco* (+) OSNs into the central nervous system, we dissected brains

from adult female mosquitoes and performed immunohistochemistry analyses with a primary

antibody directed against the pre-synaptic protein Bruchpilot (BRP) (*41*) to demarcate glomerular

boundaries of neuropils in the antennal lobe, and anti-GFP antibody to amplify mCD8::GFP signal.

Surprisingly, immunohistochemistry with *QF2^{Red}* genotypes revealed spurious red and green

fluorescence throughout the central brain (Fig. S2 a-c), particularly in glia, including in fixed brains

not subjected to anti-GFP staining, suggesting potential interference in the expected *QF2/QUAS*

transactivation pattern at these loci. As all of our *T2A-QF2* insertions included a downstream

fluorescent marker cassette containing the *3xP3* synthetic promoter (*42*), which is a multimerized

binding site for the paired-box transcription factor *Pax6* involved in glial and neuronal development

(*43, 44*), we suspected that the source of the this aberrant expression pattern may be due to

promiscuous *3xP3* enhancer activity operating at these genomic loci.

To abrogate this effect, we developed a strategy to excise floxed *3xP3* fluorescent marker

cassettes from our *QF2^{Red}* strains via crossing these genotypes to a germline *Cre* recombinase

strain (*exu-Cre*) that we engineered. Using this approach, we successfully generated marker-free

driver strains (*orco^{QF2}*, *IR8a^{QF2}* and *Gr1^{QF2}*) which were devoid of all *3xP3* fluorescent markers

and any apparent background fluorescence in the central brain, clearly driving reporter expression

in OSN axonal processes innervating the antennal lobe (Fig. 1, d-f and Fig. S2 d-i). Consistent

with peripheral expression patterns, in marker-free *QF2>mCD8:GFP* composite genotypes, *orco*

(+) OSNs were observed to innervate the largest number of glomeruli (60/78 total) across several

spatial regions of the antennal lobe, whereas *IR8a* (+) neurons (15/78 total) and *Gr1* (+) neurons

(1/78 total) innervate sparser subsets of glomeruli in posterolateral and mediodorsal antennal lobe

regions, respectively (Fig. 2a).

Using a systematic reference key for *A. aegypti* antennal lobe nomenclature (*17*), we then

determined that a subset of 6 *orco*/*IR8a* glomeruli had putative co-labeling, indicative of co-

expression of these two genes, based on their overlapping positions and assigned name relative

to defined antennal lobe landmarks. We also found 8/78 total glomeruli in the ventral region of the

antennal lobe that were not labeled by any of these chemoreceptor driver lines (Fig. 2a), and we

suggest these glomeruli may receive innervations from OSNs expressing other chemoreceptors

such as those complexed with *IR* co-receptors *IR25a* and *IR76b*, which are known to project to

the antennal lobe in *Drosophila* (*45*). Additionally, we visualized *orco* (+) neurons innervating the

taste center of the insect brain known as the suboesophageal zone (SEZ) (Fig. S3), consistent

with the projection pattern of *orco* (+) OSNs in the African malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*

(*46*). 2D and 3D mapping of antennal lobes from replicate brain samples (Fig 2, Fig. S4-S7) ,

revealed the same complement of glomeruli was consistently labeled across *orco*, *IR8a* and *Gr1*

driver strains. Volumetric analysis of *A. aegypti* antennal lobe glomeruli from each of these

clusters of chemoreceptor innervation further revealed that the *Gr1* (+) glomerulus MD1 is the

largest glomerulus in the antennal lobe (Fig. 2b). This observation may reflect the critical

importance of CO_2 to multiple facets of *A. aegypti* host-seeking behavior (*1-4, 26, 27*).

Markedly increased glomerular subdivision of the *A. aegypti* antennal lobe relative to *Drosophila*

(*17*) makes assigning odor-evoked neurophysiological responses to particular glomeruli at high-

spatial resolution a daunting challenge. To leverage the detailed receptor-to-glomerulus antennal

lobe reference maps we generated for *orco* (+), *IR8a* (+) and *Gr1* (+) OSNs (Fig 2 and Fig. S4-

S7), we next applied functional imaging assays with calcium modulated photoactivatable

ratiometric indicator (CaMPARI2) (*47*) to assess neural correlates of olfactory synergy between

CO_2 and L-(+)-lactic acid. This genetically encoded, ratiometric calcium indicator photoconverts

from green to red in the presence of 405nm light and high levels of calcium (*47, 48*), providing a

permanent readout of neural activity during odorant stimulation. CaMPARI2 is further amenable

to post-hoc staining methods for spatial registration of neural activity (*47*). We localized

photoconversion signal from this fluorescent indicator to specific antennal lobe glomeruli using

brain co-staining with fluorophore-conjugated phalloidin toxin to mark cytoskeletal F-actin

filaments in OSN axonal processes (*49*), with reference to phalloidin-stained antennal lobe maps

that we generated (Fig. S8).

To initially trial the efficacy of CaMPARI2 at recording odor-evoked activity from *A. aegypti*

antennal lobe glomeruli, we calculated photoconversion ratios in the axon terminals of *Gr1* (+)

OSNs innervating the MD1 glomerulus in response to stimulation with CO_2. To do this, we first

generated composite imaging strains that express *CaMPARI2* driven by a cumulative 30 copies

of the Q upstream activation sequence in *Gr1* (+) OSNs (denoted here as *Gr1^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-*

CaMPARI2, Table S10). The olfactory appendages of live head-tethered mosquito preparations,

with surgically exposed antennal lobes, were then subjected to a standard CaMPARI stimulus

duty cycle (*48*) consisting of simultaneous pulses of 405nm photoconversion light through a high-

numerical aperture water immersion objective and 1% CO_2 delivered by a custom olfactometer.

After each photoconversion regime, brains were immediately dissected from each mosquito, co-

stained with fluorophore-conjugated toxin phalloidin to demarcate glomerular boundaries with

confocal imaging, and green to red CaMPARI2 photoconversion ratios in MD1 were calculated to

query whether the axonal terminals of *Gr1* (+) OSNs projecting to this glomerulus responded to

the stimulus. Encouragingly, MD1 exhibited a significantly higher rate of CaMPARI2

photoconversion in CO_2-stimulated mosquitoes versus those that were stimulated with synthetic

air (Fig. 3 a-c), further validating the ability of 405nm light to penetrate mosquito brain tissue and

photoconvert glomeruli such as MD1 positioned deep below the antennal lobe surface (*17*).

Having demonstrated CAMPARI2 photoconversion was a viable approach for activity-dependent

neural labeling in OSN axon terminals innervating the antennal lobe, we then went on to test

whether synergy between the sweat odorant L-(+)-lactic acid and CO_2 could be detected in

specific *IR8a* (+) glomeruli, given that *IR8a* (*25*) and the CO_2 receptor pathways (*2*) are both

required for synergistic attraction of *A. aegypti* to these two odorants. To do this, we mapped

CaMPARI2 activity in 12 *IR8a* (+) glomeruli in replicate brain samples derived from *IR8a^{QF2}* >

30XQUAS-CaMPARI2 mosquitoes that were stimulated with unitary and binary combinations of

L-(+)-lactic acid and CO2. Surprisingly, we determined that application of L-(+)-lactic acid alone

to olfactory appendages of live imaging mosquitoes did not yield higher CaMPARI2

photoconversion ratios than CO2 or synthetic air controls in *IR8a* (+) glomeruli (Fig. 4 b, c, d). In

contrast, we observed a dramatic increase in CaMPARI2 photoconversion ratios when CO_2 was

coapplied with L-(+)-lactic acid to mosquitoes (Fig. 4 a). In particular, two out of twelve *IR8a* (+)

glomeruli, denoted PL5 and PL6, exhibited synergistic and highly significant differences in mean

CaMPARI2 photoconversion values when co-stimulated with CO_2 and L-(+)-lactic acid relative to
L-(+)-lactic acid or CO_2 alone and synthetic air controls (Fig. 4 e and f, Fig. S9 and S10). We
interpret this as evidence that presynaptic calcium levels are significantly elevated in *IR8a* (+)
OSN axon terminals innervating PL5 and PL6 in response to co-stimulation with CO_2 and L-(+)-
lactic acid.

This study lays the critical foundation towards defining how constituents of human scent and other
chemosensory stimuli are encoded in the mosquito brain. Our data are highly suggestive of the
existence of a circuit-based mechanism for olfactory synergism between the human sweat
odorant L-(+)-lactic acid and breath volatile CO_2 at first olfactory synapse in the antennal lobe.
We observed that axon terminals of *IR8a* (+) neurons had consistently low CaMPARI2
photoconversion signals in response to stimulation with L-(+)-lactic acid or CO2 alone, that were
not significantly different from baseline values observed with clean air. Synergistically, pre-
synaptic calcium levels in axon terminals of *IR8a* (+) neurons were dramatically elevated upon
co-stimulation of mosquitoes with L-(+)-lactic acid with CO_2, as reported by enhanced CaMPARI2
photoconversion in PL5 and PL6 glomeruli.

Previous extracellular recordings on the *A. aegypti* antenna demonstrated no changes in odor-
evoked activity from L-(+)-lactic acid-sensitive OSNs in response to stimulation with this
carboxylic acid with CO_2 (*38*) indicating synergism likely does not occur though peripheral
mechanisms (*50, 51*) during ligand detection by OSN dendrites. Rather, we speculate given the
silent nature of *IR8a* (+) glomerular responses towards unitary blends of either ligand, that this
binary synergy may occur via disinhibitory local circuitry (*52*) operating between the CO_2-sensitive
glomerulus MD1 and axon terminals of lactic acid-sensitive *IR8a* (+) glomeruli such as PL5 and
PL6 in the antennal lobe.

Rapid central feedback between antennal lobe glomeruli to yield disinhibition of OSN axon
terminals may therefore represent a simple, yet flexible circuit for this prolific disease vector to
faithfully identify signature combinations of human odorants and improve the fidelity of their hunt
for humans. Given that multiple human odorants in combination likely lie at the heart of mosquito
lust for human scent (*53, 54*), further dissection of synergistic coding operational in the mosquito
antennal lobe may reveal key human volatiles and mosquito chemosensory circuitry that can be
targeted to combat mosquito-borne diseases such as dengue, Zika and malaria.

References:

1. R. A. Corfas, L. B. Vosshall, The cation channel TRPA1 tunes mosquito thermotaxis to host temperatures. *Elife* **4**, (2015).
2. C. J. McMeniman, R. A. Corfas, B. J. Matthews, S. A. Ritchie, L. B. Vosshall, Multimodal integration of carbon dioxide and other sensory cues drives mosquito attraction to humans. *Cell* **156**, 1060-1071 (2014).
3. F. van Breugel, J. Riffell, A. Fairhall, M. H. Dickinson, Mosquitoes Use Vision to Associate Odor Plumes with Thermal Targets. *Curr Biol* **25**, 2123-2129 (2015).
4. C. Vinauger *et al.*, Visual-Olfactory Integration in the Human Disease Vector Mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Curr Biol* **29**, 2509-2516.e2505 (2019).
5. L. Dormont, J. M. Bessière, A. Cohuet, Human skin volatiles: a review. *J Chem Ecol* **39**, 569-578 (2013).
6. A. F. Carey, G. Wang, C. Y. Su, L. J. Zwiebel, J. R. Carlson, Odorant reception in the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *Nature* **464**, 66-71 (2010).
7. Z. Chen, F. Liu, N. Liu, Human Odour Coding in the Yellow Fever Mosquito, Aedes aegypti. *Sci Rep* **9**, 13336 (2019).
8. M. DeGennaro *et al.*, orco mutant mosquitoes lose strong preference for humans and are not repelled by volatile DEET. *Nature* **498**, 487-491 (2013).
9. E. A. Hallem, A. Nicole Fox, L. J. Zwiebel, J. R. Carlson, Olfaction: mosquito receptor for human-sweat odorant. *Nature* **427**, 212-213 (2004).
10. W. D. Jones, P. Cayirlioglu, I. G. Kadow, L. B. Vosshall, Two chemosensory receptors together mediate carbon dioxide detection in Drosophila. *Nature* **445**, 86-90 (2007).
11. T. Lu *et al.*, Odor coding in the maxillary palp of the malaria vector mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *Curr Biol* **17**, 1533-1544 (2007).
12. C. S. McBride *et al.*, Evolution of mosquito preference for humans linked to an odorant receptor. *Nature* **515**, 222-227 (2014).
13. G. Wang, A. F. Carey, J. R. Carlson, L. J. Zwiebel, Molecular basis of odor coding in the malaria vector mosquito Anopheles gambiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 4418-4423 (2010).
14. S. B. McIver, Structure of sensilla trichodea of female Aedes aegypti with comments on innervation of antennal sensilla. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **24**, 383-390 (1978).
15. S. B. McIver, Fine structure of pegs on the palps of female culicine mosquitoes. *Can J Zool* **50**, 571-576 (1972).
16. S. B. McIver, Fine structure of antennal sensilla coeloconica of culicine mosquitoes. *Tissue Cell* **5**, 105-112 (1973).
17. S. Shankar, C. J. McMeniman, An updated antennal lobe atlas for the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **14**, e0008729 (2020).
18. Y. H. Chou *et al.*, Diversity and wiring variability of olfactory local interneurons in the Drosophila antennal lobe. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 439-449 (2010).
19. E. J. Hong, R. I. Wilson, Simultaneous encoding of odors by channels with diverse sensitivity to inhibition. *Neuron* **85**, 573-589 (2015).
20. E. Yaksi, R. I. Wilson, Electrical coupling between olfactory glomeruli. *Neuron* **67**, 1034-1047 (2010).
21. S. J. Caron, V. Ruta, L. F. Abbott, R. Axel, Random convergence of olfactory inputs in the Drosophila mushroom body. *Nature* **497**, 113-117 (2013).
22. M. J. Dolan *et al.*, Neurogenetic dissection of the Drosophila lateral horn reveals major outputs, diverse behavioural functions, and interactions with the mushroom body. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

23. V. Grabe *et al.*, Elucidating the Neuronal Architecture of Olfactory Glomeruli in the
Drosophila Antennal Lobe. *Cell Rep* **16**, 3401-3413 (2016).
24. B. J. Matthews *et al.*, Improved reference genome of Aedes aegypti informs arbovirus
vector control. *Nature* **563**, 501-507 (2018).
25. J. I. Raji *et al.*, Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes Detect Acidic Volatiles Found in Human Odor
Using the IR8a Pathway. *Curr Biol* **29**, 1253-1262.e1257 (2019).
26. T. Dekker, R. T. Cardé, Moment-to-moment flight manoeuvres of the female yellow fever
mosquito (Aedes aegypti L.) in response to plumes of carbon dioxide and human skin
odour. *J Exp Biol* **214**, 3480-3494 (2011).
27. T. Dekker, M. Geier, R. T. Cardé, Carbon dioxide instantly sensitizes female yellow fever
mosquitoes to human skin odours. *J Exp Biol* **208**, 2963-2972 (2005).
28. F. Acree, Jr., R. B. Turner, H. K. Gouck, M. Beroza, N. Smith, L-Lactic acid: a mosquito
attractant isolated from humans. *Science* **161**, 1346-1347 (1968).
29. A. E. Eiras, P. C. Jepson, Host location by Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae): a wind
tunnel study of chemical cues. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **81**, 151-160 (1991).
30. A. Couto, M. Alenius, B. J. Dickson, Molecular, anatomical, and functional organization
of the Drosophila olfactory system. *Curr Biol* **15**, 1535-1547 (2005).
31. L. B. Vosshall, A. M. Wong, R. Axel, An olfactory sensory map in the fly brain. *Cell* **102**,
147-159 (2000).
32. K. E. Kistler, L. B. Vosshall, B. J. Matthews, Genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9 in
the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Cell Rep* **11**, 51-60 (2015).
33. C. J. Coates, N. Jasinskiene, L. Miyashiro, A. A. James, Mariner transposition and
transformation of the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
95, 3748-3751 (1998).
34. O. Riabinina *et al.*, Improved and expanded Q-system reagents for genetic
manipulations. *Nat Methods* **12**, 219-222, 215 p following 222 (2015).
35. F. Diao, B. H. White, A novel approach for directing transgene expression in Drosophila:
T2A-Gal4 in-frame fusion. *Genetics* **190**, 1139-1144 (2012).
36. B. J. Matthews, C. S. McBride, M. DeGennaro, O. Despo, L. B. Vosshall, The
neurotranscriptome of the Aedes aegypti mosquito. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 32 (2016).
37. E. E. Davis, Structure-response relationship of the lactic acid-excited neurones in the
antennal grooved-peg sensilla of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Journal of Insect*
Physiology **34**, 443-449 (1988).
38. E. E. Davis, P. G. Sokolove, Lactic acid-sensitive receptors on the antennae of the
mosquito,Aedes aegypti. *Journal of comparative physiology* **105**, 43-54 (1976).
39. A. J. Grant, B. E. Wigton, J. G. Aghajanian, R. J. O'Connell, Electrophysiological
responses of receptor neurons in mosquito maxillary palp sensilla to carbon dioxide. *J*
Comp Physiol A **177**, 389-396 (1995).
40. F. E. Kellogg, Water vapour and carbon dioxide receptors in Aedes aegypti. *J Insect*
Physiol **16**, 99-108 (1970).
41. A. Hofbauer *et al.*, The Wuerzburg hybridoma library against Drosophila brain. *J*
Neurogenet **23**, 78-91 (2009).
42. A. J. Berghammer, M. Klingler, E. A. Wimmer, A universal marker for transgenic insects.
Nature **402**, 370-371 (1999).
43. R. Quiring, U. Walldorf, U. Kloter, W. J. Gehring, Homology of the eyeless gene of
Drosophila to the Small eye gene in mice and Aniridia in humans. *Science* **265**, 785-789
(1994).
44. T. Suzuki, R. Takayama, M. Sato, eyeless/Pax6 controls the production of glial cells in
the visual center of Drosophila melanogaster. *Dev Biol* **409**, 343-353 (2016).
45. A. F. Silbering *et al.*, Complementary function and integrated wiring of the evolutionarily
distinct Drosophila olfactory subsystems. *J Neurosci* **31**, 13357-13375 (2011).

46. O. Riabinina *et al.*, Organization of olfactory centres in the malaria mosquito Anopheles
gambiae. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13010 (2016).
47. B. Moeyaert *et al.*, Improved methods for marking active neuron populations. *Nat*
Commun **9**, 4440 (2018).
48. B. F. Fosque *et al.*, Neural circuits. Labeling of active neural circuits in vivo with
designed calcium integrators. *Science* **347**, 755-760 (2015).
49. W. Rössler, J. Kuduz, F. W. Schürmann, D. Schild, Aggregation of f-actin in olfactory
glomeruli: a common feature of glomeruli across phyla. *Chem Senses* **27**, 803-810
(2002).
50. C. Y. Su, K. Menuz, J. Reisert, J. R. Carlson, Non-synaptic inhibition between grouped
neurons in an olfactory circuit. *Nature* **492**, 66-71 (2012).
51. L. Xu *et al.*, Widespread receptor-driven modulation in peripheral olfactory coding.
Science **368**, eaaz5390 (2020).
52. W. Zhao *et al.*, A disinhibitory mechanism biases Drosophila innate light preference. *Nat*
Commun **10**, 124 (2019).
53. U. R. Bernier *et al.*, Synergistic attraction of Aedes aegypti (L.) to binary blends of L-
lactic acid and acetone, dichloromethane, or dimethyl disulfide. *J Med Entomol* **40**, 653-
656 (2003).
54. R. C. Smallegange, Y. T. Qiu, J. J. van Loon, W. Takken, Synergism between ammonia,
lactic acid and carboxylic acids as kairomones in the host-seeking behaviour of the
malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto (Diptera: Culicidae). *Chem Senses*
30, 145-152 (2005).
55. V. Nene *et al.*, Genome sequence of Aedes aegypti, a major arbovirus vector. *Science*
316, 1718-1723 (2007).
56. M. Li *et al.*, Germline Cas9 expression yields highly efficient genome engineering in a
major worldwide disease vector, Aedes aegypti. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E10540-
e10549 (2017).
57. C. J. Potter, L. Luo, Splinkerette PCR for mapping transposable elements in Drosophila.
PLoS One **5**, e10168 (2010).
58. J. M. Richardson, S. D. Colloms, D. J. Finnegan, M. D. Walkinshaw, Molecular
architecture of the Mos1 paired-end complex: the structural basis of DNA transposition in
a eukaryote. *Cell* **138**, 1096-1108 (2009).
59. K. W. Beyenbach, R. Masia, Membrane conductances of principal cells in Malpighian
tubules of Aedes aegypti. *J Insect Physiol* **48**, 375-386 (2002).
373
374

Acknowledgements:

We thank N. Kizito, B. Natarajan, H. Rosado, M. Gebhardt, V. Balta and B. Burgunder for expert

technical assistance; R. Harrell (UM-ITF) for mosquito embryonic microinjection services; S. Seo

and A. Hammond for help with transgene mapping, C. Potter and E. Schreiter for constructs and

technical advice; and C. Huang, M. Schnitzer, B. Ferris, G. Maimon, C. Dan and V. Jayaraman

for guidance on surgical preparations. This research was supported by funding from the National

Institutes of Health NIAID (R21 AI139358-01), USAID (AID-OAA-F-16-00061) and Centers for

Disease Control and Prevention (200-2017-93143) to C.J.M; and funding to G.M.T. as a

postdoctoral fellow on The Molecular And Cellular Basis Of Infectious Diseases (MCBID) Program

(T32A1007417) from the NIH. O.S.A and M.L. were supported in part by a DARPA Safe Genes

Program Grant (HR0011-17-2-0047) and a DARPA ReVector program grant (HR0011-20-2-0030)

awarded to O.S.A. The views, opinions and/or findings expressed should not be interpreted as

representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

Microscopy infrastructure at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine Microscope Core Facility used in

this research was supported by the National Institutes of Health NCRR (S10OD016374 and

S10OD023548). The mosquito template in Figure 1 was created for us by Biorender.com. We

thank Terry Shelley at the JHU Center for Neuroscience Research Machine Shop for fabrication

services supported by NINDS Center grant (NS050274). We further acknowledge generous

support to C.J.M. from Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute (JHMRI) and Bloomberg

Philanthropies. S.S. and G.M.T. were supported by JHMRI Postdoctoral Fellowships.

Contributions:

S.S. and C.J.M conceived the experimental design. M.L. and O.S.A. generated and provided the

exu-Cas9 strain for use. G.M.T., C.J.M. and S.S. together engineered constructs for transgenesis

and the custom olfactometer for odorant delivery. C.J.M. and G.M.T. screened, genotyped and

maintained transgenic lines. E.D.S. performed confocal analyses of *IR8a* peripheral expression

patterns. S.S. performed all other microscopy, immunohistochemistry, antennal lobe

reconstructions, glomerular mapping and *CaMPARI2* imaging experiments. D.G. and S.S.

analyzed the data. S.S. and C.J.M. drafted the manuscript.

Competing interests:

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials & Correspondence: Correspondence to Conor J. McMeniman

Figure 1. OSNs expressing divergent chemoreceptor gene families project centrally to defined regions of the *Aedes aegypti* **antennal lobe.** To study the expression patterns of *orco*, *IR8a* and *Gr1* in the nervous system of female *A. aegypti*, each of the three chemoreceptor *QF2* driver lines we generated was crossed to a *QUAS mCD8::GFP* reporter line and peripheral and central innervation patterns were imaged using confocal microscopy. **(a)** Densely packed cell bodies of *orco* (+) OSNs were observed in all 13 antennal flagellomeres, with dendrites localized to trichoid sensilla along the antennal surface, as well as the sensilla on the labella of the proboscis and maxillary palps (see Figure S1); **(b)** *IR8a* (+) OSNs were housed on all antennal flagellomeres in grooved peg sensilla; while **(c)** *Gr1* (+) OSNs were visualized exclusively in the club-shaped capitate peg sensilla on the ventral surface of the maxillary palps. Projections to the mosquito brain: **(d)** *orco* (+) neurons innervate the entire anterior region of the antennal lobe as well as the suboesophageal zone (SEZ), located ventral to the antennal lobe; **(e)** *IR8a* (+) neurons from the antenna innervate a group of glomeruli in the posterolateral and central region of the antennal lobe; while **(f)** *Gr1* (+) neurons originating from the maxillary palps uniquely innervate a single large glomerulus, found in the posterior region of the antennal lobe. Maximum intensity projections (d-e) and a single posterior z-slice (f) for the anterior view of adult female mosquito brains are shown at 10X magnification. The antennal lobes are encircled in white. Arrows indicate expression of the *3xP3-ECFP* marker in the outer optic lobes.

Figure 2. CO₂ receptor complex neurons innervate the largest glomerulus in the *Aedes aegypti* antennal lobe.
(a) 3D reconstructed model of the left antennal lobe of a female *A. aegypti* mosquito as seen from the anterior, lateral, posterior and medial perspectives. On this model, 60 glomeruli innervated by *orco* (+) neurons are shaded blue, 15 glomeruli receiving projections from *IR8a* (+) neurons are shaded yellow, the MD1 glomerulus targeted by *Gr1* (+) neurons is shaded red. A group of 8 ventral glomeruli not innervated by any of the three classes of chemosensory neurons are shaded grey. Glomeruli putatively co-innervated by *orco* (+) and *IR8a* (+) neurons are shaded orange. Eight landmark glomeruli for spatial registration are shown on the model. These include AD1 and VC6, seen on the anterior surface, and MD1, V1, V8, PL9, CD4 and D5 on the posterior surface. Scale bar for 3D model: 10 µm. Template genotype: *orco^QF2^ > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*. **(b)** Average volumes for 75 glomeruli that were consistently identified in antennal lobe reconstructions. Three glomeruli (D6, VC11 and VC12) could not be consistently identified across all reconstructions and thus were excluded from this volumetric analysis. Based on observed levels of GFP fluorescence throughout individual glomeruli, we classified the innervation pattern as being 'heavy' or 'sparse' with the latter glomeruli labeled by asterisks. MD1 innervated by *Gr1* (+) neurons is the largest antennal lobe glomerulus with a mean volume of approximately 6000 µm³. Mean volumes +/- s.e.m. are plotted, *n* = 5 brains.

Figure 3: The *Aedes aegypti* MD1 glomerulus detects CO₂. Female $Gr1^{QF2} > 30XCaMPARI2$ mosquitoes were

exposed to simultaneous pulses of 1% CO2 and 405nm photoconversion light, and post-stimulation brains were

dissected to analyze activity-dependent neural labeling visualized by the ratiometric intensity of red to green CaMPARI2

fluorescence. **(a)** The MD1 glomerulus, receiving innervation from *Gr1* (+) maxillary palp neurons was strongly activated

by CO_2, in contrast to **(b)** weak activity labeling in response to stimulation with synthetic air. **(c)** Mean CaMPARI2

photoconversion values were significantly different between CO_2 and control synthetic air conditions (P = 0.037 *,

Mann-Whitney test, *n* = 3-5 brains per stimulus, mean R/G values +/- s.e.m. plotted). Green and Red *CaMPARI*2 signal

in MD1 are shown after odor stimulation. Red *CaMPARI2* in *IR8a* (+) glomeruli is visualized on the right panels as a

heatmap of red fluorescence intensity. Dotted lines outline the boundary of the MD1 glomerulus. MD1 from the left

antennal lobe was imaged at 63X magnification. Scale bars: 10 μm.

Figure 4: CO_2 and L-(+)-lactic acid co-stimulation synergistically gates pre-synaptic calcium levels in acid-sensing IR8a neurons. OSN axon terminals of *IR8a* (+) neurons innervating the antennal lobe exhibited strong CaMPARI2 photoconversion upon co-stimulation of female *IR8a^{QF2}* > *30XCaMPARI2* mosquitoes with simultaneous pulses of 1% CO_2 with L-(+)-lactic acid **(a).** In contrast mosquitoes stimulated with either odorant alone **(b - c)**, showed minimal photoconversion above background levels **(d)**. Glomeruli PL5 and PL6 were more significantly photoconverted in the presence of L-(+)-lactic acid and CO_2 relative to all other odor treatments **(e – f)** (*P < 0.05* for all comparisons to L-(+)-lactic acid + CO_2, Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test, n = 4-9 brains per stimulus, mean R/G values +/- s.e.m. plotted). Additional glomeruli in the same z-plane were also noticeably activated in some replicates (see Fig. S9). Red *CaMPARI2* in *IR8a* (+) glomeruli is visualized on the right panels as a heatmap of red fluorescence intensity. Dotted outlines represent the boundaries of the *IR8a* (+) glomeruli in these representative z-slices. The *IR8a* (+) glomeruli from the left antennal lobe were imaged at 63X magnification. Scale bars: 10 µm.

471   **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**
472

Materials and Methods:

Mosquito Stock Maintenance
The *Aedes aegypti LVPib12* strain (*55*) was used as the recipient genetic background for the
generation of all transgenic lines and subsequent assays. Mosquitoes were maintained with a 12
hr light:dark photoperiod at 27°C and 80% relative humidity using a standardized rearing protocol
(*17*). All experiments were conducted with non-blood fed and mated *A. aegypti* females that were
5-10 day old. Adult mosquitoes were provided constant access to a 10% w/v sucrose solution.

Selection and *in vitro* transcription of sgRNAs
Single guide RNA (sgRNA) target sites in the coding sequences of *orco* (AAEL005776), *IR8a*
(AAEL002922) and *Gr1* (AAEL002380) were identified using online design pipelines at
http://zifit.partners.org/ZiFiT/ and http://crispr.mit.edu/. Candidate sgRNAs at each locus were
prioritized for downstream use based on their putative lack of off-target activity in the *A. aegypti*
genome. sgRNAs were transcribed and purified according to the method of Kistler et al. (2016)
(*32*). Briefly, DNA templates for sgRNA synthesis were generated by PCR with two partially
overlapping PAGE-purified oligos (IDT) for each target. sgRNA was subsequently produced using
the MegaScript T7 in vitro transcription kit (Ambion) and purified using the MEGAclear
transcription clean-up kit (Invitrogen). Prior to microinjection, sgRNA activity was confirmed by *in*
vitro cleavage assays with purified recombinant Cas9 protein (PNA Bio, Inc., CP01-200) following
the manufacturer's instructions. See Table S1 for final sgRNA sequences.

***T2A-QF2* Donor Constructs**
A base *T2A-QF2* donor construct (pBlackbird) for CRISPR-Cas9 mediated homologous
recombination into target chemoreceptor loci in *A. aegypti* was generated by sequential rounds
of In-Fusion cloning (TakaraBio). This construct was generated with a SwaI site for in-frame
insertion of the 5′ homology region from a of a gene directly with the *T2A-QF2* coding sequence.
To survey for homology arms, genomic DNA regions spanning each target site were first PCR
amplified with CloneAmp (TakaraBio) using the following primers for *orco* (5'-
TGCAAGTGGATCATTTGTCG-3' and 5'-GTGCAATTGTGCCATTTTGA-3'), *IR8a* (5'-
CAAAGTATAATTTCGCCCCCTCC-3' and 5'-CTCTATGGCAGCCAAGATATTGG-3') and *Gr1*
(5'-AAGCCAGCTGGAAGGACATA-3' and 5'-ACCGTTTGGAGGTTGAATTG-3'). PCR products

were cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO (Invitrogen) for subsequent sequence verification. After
determining the most common sequence clone for each region, homology arms flanking the
CRISPR-Cas9 cut site were then PCR-amplified and inserted into the pBlackbird donor at the
SwaI site (5′ arm) and BssHII site (3′ arm) using the In-Fusion primers listed in Table S2, to
generate a T2A-in frame fusion into the coding exon of interest. Three donor constructs that
yielded successful integrations at these target loci included *pBlackbird-AaOrco-sg2*, *pBlackbird-*
AaIR8a-sg2 and *pBlackbird-AaGr1-sg2*. Each *T2A-QF2* donor construct included a floxed *3xP3-*
DsRed2 cassette as transformation marker, as well as a *3xP3-ECFP* cassette in the vector
backbone outside the transposition cassette as a marker to assess putative ends-in
recombination events at the target locus or alternate off-target integrations elsewhere in the
genome.

***Mos1 mariner QUAS* Reporter and Germline *Cre* Constructs**

QUAS reporter and germline *Cre* cassettes were generated by sequential rounds of In-Fusion
cloning (TakaraBio) into template plasmid backbones for *Mos1 mariner* transposition (*pMOS-*
3xP3-ECFP and *pMOS-3xP3-dsRed*) (*33*) as outlined in Table S3. All *QUAS* reporter constructs
included a *3xP3-ECFP* cassette to mark transformants, while the *pMOS* backbone for *QUAS-*
CaMPARI2 was modified to remove the existing *3xP3-DsRed2* cassette from that vector and
replace it with floxed *3xP3-ECFP cassette.* The *pMOS* backbone for generating *exu*-Cre was
modified to have a *Polyubiquitin-EYFP* marker using standard cloning methods. Final plasmids
that yielded transformants included: *pMosECFP-QUAS-mCD8::GFP-p10*, *pMoslECFP-QUAS-*
CaMPARI2-p10 and *pMosEYFP-Exu-Cre-p10*.

The complete nucleotide sequences for all donor plasmids, *pMOS* vector backbones and *Mos1*
helper (*33*) plasmids used in this study will be deposited to Addgene, and template materials are
listed in Table S3.

Generation of Transgenic Lines

T2A-QF2 knock-in lines into *orco*, *Ir8a* and *Gr1* were generated via CRISPR-Cas9 mediated
homologous recombination (*32*) using embryonic microinjection.

To generate the *Gr1^{QF2Red}* insertion, an injection mixture consisting of sgRNA (40ng/ul), purified
recombinant Cas9 protein (PNA Bio, 300ng/ul) and donor plasmid (500ng/ul) was prepared in
microinjection buffer (5 mM KCl and 0.1 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7.2); and microinjected into the

posterior pole of pre-blastoderm stage *LVPib12* embryos of at the Insect Transformation Facility

at University of Maryland (UM-ITF) using standard methods.

To generate the *orco^{QF2Red}* and *IR8a^{QF2Red}* insertions, for each target *in vitro* transcribed sgRNA

(100ng/ul) was mixed T2A-QF2 donor construct (100 ng/ul) and microinjected in the McMeniman

laboratory into the posterior pole of transgenic *A. aegypti* pre-blastoderm stage embryos

expressing *Cas9* under the maternal germline promoter *exuperantia* (*56*). Transformed G$_1$ larvae

from all knock-in lines were isolated via the visible expression of *3xP3-DsRed2* fluorescent marker

in eye tissue and were outcrossed to the *LVPib12* wild-type line for at least five generations prior

to attempting to generate homozygous strains. Precise insertion of each donor construct was

confirmed by PCR amplification and subsequent Sanger sequencing of regions covering the

homology arms and flanking sequences on either side of the insertion.

QUAS reporter and *exu-Cre* strains were generated by co-injecting each *pMOS* donor construct

(500 ng/ul) with a pKhsp82 helper plasmid (300 ng/ul) expressing the Mos1 transposase (*33*) to

foster quasi-random integration into the genome. Embryo microinjections were carried out by UM-

ITF using standard techniques. For *QUAS* reporters, G$_1$ lines were selected for stock

establishment that had the strongest *3xP3-ECFP* expression levels in the eyes and ventral nerve

cord, indicative of responder loci accessible for neuronal expression.

***Cre-LoxP* Mediated Excision of *3xP3* Fluorescent Markers**

To remove the floxed *3xP3-DsRed2* cassette from each driver line (*IR8a^{QF2Red}*, *orco^{QF2Red}*,

Gr1^{QF2Red}), we crossed males of each *3xP3-DsRed2* marked *QF2* driver line to females of the

exu-Cre line we generated. We then screened F$_1$ progeny for loss of the *DsRed2* marker. In the

case of the *Gr1^{QF2Red}* line, the reduplicated marker due to the ends-in insertion was incompletely

removed in F$_1$ progeny, so progeny lacking visible *DsRed2* or *ECFP* markers were mated to their

exu-Cre (+) siblings to ensure complete excision of all markers. Precise excision was confirmed

for all three driver lines by PCR and Sanger sequencing using this strategy. Marker-free *QF2*

driver lines are denoted as *IR8a^{QF2}*, *orco^{QF2}* and *Gr1^{QF2}*.

***Mos1 mariner* Splinkerette PCR**

QUAS and *Exu-Cre* transgenes inserted via *Mos1* mariner transposition were mapped to

chromosomal locations (AaegL5.0 genome assembly) using a modified Splinkerette PCR, based

on the protocol described in Potter and Luo (2010) (*57*). Genomic DNA from single transgenic

individuals was digested using the restriction enzymes BamHI-HF, BgIII, and BstYI (New England

BioLabs) in separate reactions; digests were left overnight (~16 hrs). BstYI reactions were

subsequently heat-inactivated at 80° for 20 minutes according to the recommended protocol.

BamHI reactions were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAgen) according to

manufacturer instructions and eluted in 50 µl H_2O after 4 minutes of incubation at 50°C.

Digests of genomic DNA were ligated to annealed SPLNK oligos as described (*57*). Splinkerette

oligonucleotides 5'-GATCCCACTAGTGTCGACACCAGTCTCTAA-TTTTTTTTTTCAAAAAAA-3'

and   5'-CGAAGAGTAACCGTTGCTAGGAGAGACCGTGGCTG-

AATGAGACTGGTGTCGACACTAGTGG-3' were first annealed and ligated to digested genomic

DNA. The first- and second-round PCR amplification steps were modified, using the standard

SPLNK primers and new primers designed to the inverted repeat regions of the *Mos1 mariner*

transposon. PCR products were amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB).

First   round   Splinkerette   PCR   was   carried   out   using   the   primers   5'-

CGAAGAGTAACCGTTGCTAGGAGAGACC-3' and 5'-TCAGAGAAAACGACCGGAAT-3' for the

right   inverted   repeat,   and   5'-CGAAGAGTAACCGTTGCTAGGAGAGACC-3'   and   5'-

CACCACTTTTGAAGCGTTGA-3' for the left inverted repeat. The second round of Splinkerette

PCR was carried out using the primers 5'-GTGGCTGAATGAGACTGGTGTCGAC-3' and 5'-

TCCGATTACCACCTATTCGC-3'   for   the   right   inverted   repeat,   and   5'-

GTGGCTGAATGAGACTGGTGTCGAC-3' and 5'-ATACTGTCCGCGTTTGCTCT-3' for the left

inverted repeat. In the case of *QUAS-CaMPARI2*, the extension time of the second-round PCR

was lengthened to 4 minutes to amplify longer segments of flanking DNA. PCR products were gel

purified and Sanger sequenced with additional sequencing primers for the right (5'-

AAAAATGGCTCGATGAATGG-3') and left (5'-GGTGGTTCGACAGTCAAGGT-3') inverted

repeats. BLAST searches were used to map Splinkerette fragments derived from each *Mos1*

mariner cassette to coordinate locations in the genome at canonical TA dinucleotides (*58*) and

insertion sites (Table S5) were subsequently confirmed by PCR.

Genotyping *Gr1^QF2Red^* and *Gr1^QF2^*

*Gr1^QF2Red^* and *Gr1^QF2^* knock-ins were genotyped using a multi-primer PCR assay with the forward

primer: 5′-CATGTACATCCGCAAGTTGG-3′; and two standard reverse primers: 5′-

TGTTAGTGAGATCAGCGAACCT-3′ and 5′-GATCAACCCACAGATGACGA-3′. Fragments for

size-based genotyping were amplified via DreamTaq (Thermo Scientific) and analyzed by

conventional agarose gel electrophoresis. Each of the reverse primers were used at half the
normal concentration. This resulted in a single 689 bp amplicon in homozygous mosquitoes; a
single 884 bp amplicon in wild-type mosquitoes; and two amplicons, one at 689 bp and one at
884 bp, in heterozygous mosquitoes.
611

Genotyping *IR8a^QF2Red* and *IR8a^QF2*
IR8a^QF2Red and *IR8a^QF2* knock-ins were genotyped using a multi-primer PCR assay with the
forward primer: 5′-AGGAGATTGCGCTTGTCCTA-3′; and two standard reverse primers: 5′-
CCCCGACATAGTTGAGCATT-3′ and 5′-TGTTAGTGAGATCAGCGAACCT-3′. Each of the
reverse primers were used at half the normal concentration. This resulted in a single 560 bp
amplicon in homozygous mosquitoes, a single 501 bp amplicon in wild-type mosquitoes, and two
amplicons, one at 560 bp and one at 501 bp, in heterozygous mosquitoes.
619

Genotyping *orco^QF2Red* and *orco^QF2*
orco^QF2Red and *orco^QF2* knock-ins were genotyped using conventional PCR. The PCR reaction
used the forward primer: 5′-GCGATAGCGTCAAAAACGTA-3′ and reverse primer: 5′-
ATTCCTTGAAGGTCCATTGCAG-3′. This resulted in an 1842 bp amplicon corresponding to the
orco^QF2 allele, a 3129 bp amplicon corresponding to the *orco^QF2Red* allele, and/or a 367 bp amplicon
corresponding to the wild-type allele. Heterozygotes had both wild-type and transgenic PCR
bands.
627

628 **Genotyping *QUAS-mCD8:GFP***
629 *QUAS-mCD8:GFP-11F4* was genotyped using conventional PCR. The PCR reaction used the
630 forward primer: 5′-TCCAGCCGATAGGAACAATC-3′ and reverse primer: 5′-
631 CAAATCCGAATTTCCCGTAA-3′. This resulted in a single 5797 bp amplicon for homozygotes
632 and a 444 bp for the wild-type allele. Heterozygotes typically only had the wild-type PCR band.
633

Genotyping *QUAS-CaMPARI2-F2*
QUAS-CaMPARI2-F2 was genotyped using a multi-primer PCR assay with the forward primer:
5′-GTTTGACCAAATGCCGTTTC-3′; and two standard reverse primers: 5′-
GTCGATAGGCGCGTAGTGTA-3′ and 5′-CACCACTTTTGAAGCGTTGA-3′. Each of the reverse
primers is used at half the normal concentration. This results in a single 645 bp amplicon in
homozygous mosquitoes, a single 874 bp amplicon in wild-type mosquitoes; and two amplicons,
one at 645 bp and one at 874 bp in heterozygous mosquitoes.

Transgenic Stock Maintenance and Composite Genotypes

$Gr1^{QF2Red}$, $Gr1^{QF2}$, $IR8a^{QF2Red}$ and $IR8a^{QF2}$ driver lines were maintained as homozygous stocks. $orcoQ^{F2Red}$ was maintained as a heterozygous stock by outcrossing to LVPib12 each generation. $orco^{QF2}$ was maintained as a heterozygous stock by outcrossing to either *LVPib12* or *QUAS-mCD8::GFP* each generation and screening for GFP fluorescence in the olfactory tissues of the progeny. *15xQUAS-mCD8::GFP* and *15xQUAS-CaMPARI2* responder lines were maintained as homozygous stocks. The *exu-Cre* line was maintained as a heterozygous stock by outcrossing to *LVPib12* each generation. Stock and composite genotypes used in each figure panel are detailed in Table S4. Cytogenetic locations of all transgenes generated in this study are detailed in Figure S10. Cytogenetic locations of all transgenes generated in this study are detailed in Figure S11.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining of female *A. aegypti* brains was performed as previously described (*17*), with minor modifications. Briefly, severed mosquito heads were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Milonig's buffer, pH 7.2) for three hours and brains were carefully dissociated from the head capsule, pigmented ommatidia and air sacks. Dissected brains were then subjected to three 20 min washes at room temperature in PBST (0.1M PBS with 0.25% Triton-X 100), and allowed to incubate overnight in a blocking solution consisting of 2% normal goat serum (NGS) and 4% Triton-X 100 in 0.1M PBS at 4°C. Brains were then washed three times for 20 min each in PBST and incubated for three days at 4°C in a primary antibody solution containing mouse anti-BRP (DSHB, nc82-s, AB_2314866, 1:50 v/v) targeting the pre-synaptic active zone protein *Bruchpilot* (*41*) and rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen, A-6455, 1:100 v/v) targeting *mCD8::GFP*. Brains were then washed three times for 20 min each in PBST and incubated for 3 days at 4°C in a secondary antibody solution consisting of goat anti-mouse Cy3 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, AB_2338680, 1:200 v/v) and goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, A-11008, 1: 200 v/v). All primary and secondary antibody dilutions were prepared in PBST with 2% v/v NGS. Brains were finally washed three times for 20 min each in PBST at room temperature and mounted in 20 ul of Slow-Fade Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, S36936) on glass slides with coverslip bridges (Number 2-170 µm).

Immunohistochemistry Image Acquisition Settings

Brain immunostaining images were acquired on a single-point laser scanning Carl-Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope. To capture images of the entire adult brain, a 10X objective lens (0.3 NA, Plan-Apochromat) was used. Excitation of Cy3 signal was achieved with a 561 nm solid-state

laser line at 0.05 % laser power, and GaAsP detector gain set to 825; while a 488 nm laser line
was used to excite Alexa Fluor 488 (20% laser power, detector gain at 825). We additionally
acquired images with a 20X objective lens (0.8 NA, Plan-Apochromat) to perform 3D
reconstructions of the antennal lobes. For these the power of the 488 nm laser line was adjusted
to 5%. For each antennal lobe, 60 z-slices with a z-step size of 1 μm and a 1024 X 1024-pixel
resolution were acquired.
681

Antennal lobe reconstructions
3D morphological reconstructions of left antennal lobes were performed as previously described
(*17*). Briefly, confocal images were imported in *.lsm format into Amira (FEI Houston Inc) and then
segmented by highlighting all pixels across a z-stack occupied by individual glomeruli. The nc82
channel was used for manual segmentation of individual glomeruli. The *GFP* channel was then
used to identify *orco*, *IR8a* and *Gr1*-positive glomeruli. To name glomeruli we identified landmark
glomeruli in each antennal lobe sample and using a systematic antennal lobe reference key (*17*)
we then designated names to all *GFP* labeled glomeruli based on their spatial positions relative
to the landmarks. 3D and 2D antennal lobe models were generated by surface rendering.
Glomerular volumes were obtained (μm^3) from the left antennal lobe of five replicate brains using
the nc82 channel.
693

Imaging of Peripheral Olfactory Appendages
Live antenna, palp and proboscis tissue were dissected in 0.1M PBS and immediately mounted
in Slow-Fade Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, S36936). Images were acquired on a Carl-
Zeiss LSM 780 confocal microscope within 1 hour of dissection. To excite the *GFP* signal, the
488 nm laser line was used at 5% laser power. An additional DIC channel was used to visualize
gross morphology of the peripheral tissue. Images of the antennae were acquired with a 20X
objective lens (0.8 NA, Plan-Apochromat), while images of the palp and labella of the proboscis
were acquired with a 40X (1.3 NA, Plan-Apochromat) oil immersion objective.
702

Live Mosquito Preparation for *CaMPARI2* Photoconversion
To prepare mosquitoes for *CaMPARI2* photoconversion (*47*), mosquitoes were cold anesthetized
and tethered to an imaging chamber. To do this, the thorax of a female mosquito was first affixed
to the ventral surface of a 35mm petri dish lid (Eppendorf, 0030700112) using UV-curing adhesive
(Bondic) immediately proximal to a 15mm diameter circular hole made in the lid center. Two
additional drops of adhesive were applied to the ommatidia on extremities of the mosquito head,

to prevent head movement. A small piece of clear tape (Duck EZ Start, Heavy Duty Packaging

Tape) was then affixed over the center hole such that the dorsal surface of the mosquito head

could be gently affixed to the ventral adhesive tape surface. An excised section of plastic coverslip

(5mm x 3mm) was then affixed to the tape and used to shield the antennae from the adhesive

tape surface and suspend these sensory appendages in the air.

The imaging chamber with head fixed mosquito was then inverted and a rectangular incision

window approximately 400 μm X 200 μm was cut through the tape window where the dorsal head

cuticle and ommatidia were affixed. The wide boundary of the incision was typically made

immediately adjacent to the first antennal subsegment along the lateral-medial brain axis, while

the short boundary of the incision extended along the dorsal-ventral brain axis. To create this

window, segments of ommatidia and bridge cuticle between the left and right eyes were gently

incised and removed using a surgical stab knife (Surgical Specialties Corporation, Sharpoint, Part

1038016) to reveal the underlying antennal lobes. The exposed antennal lobes were then

immediately immersed in an *A. aegypti* Ringer's solution (*59*) composed of 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM

KCl, 5mM glucose, 1.8 mM $NaHCO_3$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 25mM HEPES and 1.7 mM $CaCl_2$; pH 7.1.

Mosquitoes were allowed to recover for a period of 15 min from cold anesthesia and surgery in a

humidified chamber at room temperature prior to imaging.

***CaMPARI2* Photoconversion**

For *CaMPARI2* photoconversion, the tethered preparation was then placed under a 20X water

dipping objective (Olympus XLUMPLFLN20XW, 1.0 NA) ensuring that the antennal lobes

expressing basal green *CaMPARI2* signal were in focus. Each preparation was then exposed to

a combined photoconversion-odor stimulation regime consisting of repetitive duty cycles of four

500 ms pulses of 405nm light from an LED driver (Thorlabs, DC4104, 1000mA current setting)

synchronized with a 1 s odorant pulse as outlined in Fosque et al. 2015 (*48*), for 75 cycles with a

total protocol duration of approximately 41 minutes.

Odorant Delivery

Pulses of odorants were delivered using a custom olfactometer device (Lundström et al 2010)

with solenoid valves regulating delivery of odor stimuli from chambers equipped with pressure-

sensitive check valves (Smart Products USA, Inc.). 3mL of control (dH2O) or treatment (L-(+)-

lactic acid solution, Sigma Aldrich, 27714) odors were placed into dedicated and sealed odor

delivery vials. During 'odor onset', synthetic air (Airgas, AI UZ300) at a flow rate of 1ml/s was

passed through these holding chambers to carry headspace odors via Teflon tubing into a carrier

airstream of humified synthetic air that was directed at the olfactory appendages of the mosquito

using a plastic pipette. During *CaMPARI2* photoconversion assays, the tethered mosquito

preparation always received a constant amount of airflow (5ml/s) during odor onset/offset from

the stimulus pipette via solenoid valves simultaneously switching or combining humidified

synthetic air, 5% CO_2 (Airgas, CD USP50) and L-(+)-lactic acid headspace as required for

different odor treatments. In trials involving CO_2, a 1ml/s stream of 5% CO_2 was diluted 1:5 into

the carrier airstream for a final concentration at the specimen of 1%.

CaMPARI2 **Sample Processing**

Following photoconversion, the mosquito was gently untethered from the imaging chamber and

the head severed and fixed in Milonig's buffer for 20 minutes. The brain was then dissected out

in calcium-free Ringer's solution composed of 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM KCl, 5 mM glucose, 1.8 mM

$NaHCO_3$, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 25 mM HEPES and 10 mM EGTA. To stain glomerular boundaries, we

then incubated each brain in Alexa Fluor 647 Phalloidin (Invitrogen, A22287) prepared in calcium-

free Ringer's solution (1:40 v/v dilution) for 30 min. To prepare Alexa Fluor 647 phalloidin for use

in imaging, first, a 400X DMSO stock solution was prepared according to the manufacturer's

instructions by dissolving the fluorophore in 150 ul of DMSO. 1 ul of this DMSO stock was diluted

in 399 ul calcium-free Ringer's solution to yield a 1X stock. This stock was then further diluted to

a final concentration 1:40 in calcium-free Ringer's solution for staining. Brains were transferred

directly from this solution into 20 ul of Slow-Fade Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, S36936) on

glass slides with coverslip bridges (Number 2- 170 μm) for *CaMPARI2* and phalloidin imaging.

CaMPARI2 **Image Acquisition Settings**

Antennal lobes from *CaMPARI2* photoconversion assays were imaged with a 63X (1.4 NA) oil-

immersion objective on a Zeiss 880, Airyscan FAST super-resolution single point scanning

microscope. Excitation of red *CaMPARI2* signal was achieved with a 561 nm solid-state laser line

at 14 % laser power. Green *CaMPARI2* was excited with a 488 nm argon laser line at 10% laser

power. To visualize glomerular boundaries, a 633 nm diode laser was used to excite the Alexa-

647 phalloidin fluorophore at 40% laser power. Master detector gain was set to a value of 800.

We captured 0.987 μm z-slices of 1572 X 1572-pixel resolution in the FAST mode. Raw images

were further processed by applying the Airyscan method with 'auto' processing strength.

CaMPARI2 **Image Analysis**

Image analysis was carried out in Fiji (http://imagej.net/Fiji) and images were imported into the

program in the *.lsm format. We first applied a median filter (radius= 2 pixels) to remove noise

and then a rolling ball subtraction (rolling ball radius =80 pixels), to correct for non-uniformity of

background intensities. We analyzed *CaMPARI2* photoconversion in the left antennal lobe of all

samples due the well-defined spatial arrangement and conspicuous boundaries of *IR8a*-positive

glomeruli in this lobe with phalloidin straining. ROIs were defined by manually segmenting

glomeruli using the free hand selection tool. For *IR8a* glomeruli, we analyzed photoconversion

ratios for 12/15 *IR8a*-positive glomeruli that could be reliably identified across all AL samples.

These included: VC5, VC6, PL1-PL6, PM4, PC4, CD2 and CD3. The integrated density (mean

grey value X area) for all z- slices of the ROI, which included all representative slices of a target

glomerulus, was calculated in the green (488 nm) and red (560 nm) imaging channels. The final

measure of photoconversion, the red to green ratio (R/G), was calculated as:

R/G = Average integrated density of ROI $^{(RED)}$ / Average integrated density of ROI $^{(GREEN)}$

Glomeruli were named by co-localizing green and red *CaMPARI2* signal to individual glomeruli

evident in the Alex-Fluor 647 phalloidin channel and defining their spatial orientation relative to

landmark and flanking glomeruli using our 2D *CaMPARI2*-phalloidin antennal lobe reference map.

Figure S1. Additional peripheral innervation patterns of *orco* (+) olfactory sensory neurons on *A. aegypti* sensory appendages. (a) Schematic of the mosquito head showing the gross expression pattern from *orco*[QF2Red] > *15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* females in peripheral olfactory tissue. **(b-c)** In addition to the third antennal segment shown in Figure 1, strong GFP expression from dorsal and ventral perspectives of the labella of the proboscis. Axonal projections of these neurons extend into the shaft of the proboscis. **(d)** *orco* expression was also strongly evident within capitate peg sensilla on the ventral surface of the maxillary palp. **(e)** Maximum intensity projection of a female pedicel at 40X magnification. No *orco* expression was noted in the scolopidia of the pedicel while the antennal nerve was observed to transect the pedicel Scale bar: 50 μm.

Figure S2. Promiscuous *QF2/QUAS* driven fluorescence in the *A. aegypti* brain and *Cre-LoxP* mediated

excision of *3xP3* marker cassettes. The transgenic $QF2^{Red}$ driver lines described in this study carried a floxed *3xP3-*

DsRed2 cassette to mark successful transgenesis. However, upon close examination of the central brain we noted that

this marker cassette was not only expressed in the optic lobes, but was also strongly expressed in hexagonal cells

ensheathing the central brain which are putatively glia (arrows). In crosses involving the $orco^{QF2Red}$ and $Gr1^{QF2Red}$ driver

lines, we also noted conspicuous green expression in these same locations suggesting the *3xP3* promoter was

influencing the expression pattern of the integrated *T2A-QF2* transgene at these loci. **(a-c)** Anterior view of adult female

mosquito brains at 20X magnification. *3xP3* expression occludes the antennal lobes and other neuropil, in each of the

three driver lines, posing a significant challenge for confocal or two-photon imaging. Genetic excision of this marker

from the *QF2* driver lines alone markedly improved our ability to clearly view the antennal lobes in both unstained **(d-f)**

and immunostained brain preparations **(g-i)**. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Orco QF2Red > 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP

824
825
826 **Figure S3. SEZ innervation of *orco* (+) neurons.** Posterior view of the brain of an adult female *orco^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-*
827 *mCD8::GFP* mosquito. *orco* (+) neurons from the labella project via the labial nerve (arrow) and terminate in the
828 gustatory center of the mosquito brain, the suboesophageal zone (SEZ). In *Anopheles gambiae*, these terminal neuropil
829 clusters were well separated and named SEZ glomeruli (Riabinina et al., 2016). In *Aedes aegypti* the boundaries of
830 these clusters overlap and appear to be smaller in size. Scale bar: 20 µm.
831

833
Figure S4. Three-dimensional models of the female antennal lobe from *Ir8a* and *Gr1* driver lines. 3D
reconstructed models of the left antennal lobe from adult female *Aedes aegypti* from **(a)** *IR8a*^{QF2} *> 30XQUAS-*
mCD8::GFP and **(b)** *Gr1*^{QF2} *> 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* genotypes are illustrated. 3D models are arranged (left to right)
in the anterior, lateral, posterior and medial orientations. *orco* (+) glomeruli, *IR8a* (+) glomeruli, *orco* (+) / *IR8a* (+)
glomeruli, and the *Gr1* (+) (MD1) glomerulus are colored blue, yellow, orange and red respectively. Eight landmark
glomeruli including AD1 and VC6 on the anterior surface, and MD1, V1, V8, PL9, CD4 and D5 on the posterior AL
surface are labelled. Scale bar: 10 μm. These models are shown in 2D format in Figure S6 and S7.
841

842
843

Figure S5. *orco* Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map.

Representative confocal stack from the left antennal lobe of an adult female *orco^{QF2}^ > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* mosquito. The left panels show the antennal lobe at 20X magnification, with the GFP signal from *orco* neurons in green and the neuropil stained with BRP in magenta, to visualize glomerular boundaries. The middle panels show the BRP stained glomeruli overlayed with blue (*orco+*), yellow (*Ir8a+*), orange (putatively *orco+* and *Ir8a+*), red (*Gr1+*), and grey colors (unknown chemosensory identity). The right panels show detailed spatial maps of all antennal glomeruli, glomeruli are color coded according to chemoreceptor class. 10 representative focal planes from a total of 60 z-slices are shown on this map. *orco* neurons innervate 60 glomeruli. These included all the anterior glomerular groups namely, the Antero-Dorsal (AD), Antero-Lateral (AL), Antero-Medial (AM), Antero-Central (AC) and the Ventro-Central (VC) groups. The posterior groups innervated by *orco* (+) neurons include the Postero-Medial (PM), Postero-Central (PC), Postero-Lateral (PL), Centro-Dorsal (CD), Postero-Ventral (PV), Dorsal (D) and Medio-Dorsal (MD) groups. A total of 49 spatially invariant glomeruli depicted in blue are shown in this 2D map. Scale bar: 10 μm.

857
858
859 **Figure S5 (continued). *orco* Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map.**

860
861

862
Figure S6. *IR8a* Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map

Representative confocal stack from the left antennal lobe of an adult female *Ir8a^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* mosquito.

7 representative focal planes from a total of 44 z-stacks are shown on this map. *Ir8a* neurons innervate 15 total

glomeruli: 2 glomeruli of the anteriorly positioned VC group (VC5 and VC6), 9 glomeruli of the PL group (PL1-9), 2 CD

glomeruli (CD2 and CD3), 1 PC group glomerulus (PC4) and 1 PM group glomerulus (PM4). Glomeruli CD2, CD3, PL3,

PL4, PC4, PM4 are putatively co-innervated by *orco* (+) and *IR8a* (+) neurons. *IR8a* (+) glomeruli are spatially invariant.

Scale bar: 10 μm.

870
871

872
873
874
875   **Figure S6 (continued).** *IR8a* **Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map.**

877
878
879 **Figure S7.** *Gr1* **Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map**

880 Representative confocal stack from the left antennal lobe of an adult female *Gr1^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* mosquito.

881 7 representative focal planes from a total of 50 z-stacks are shown on this map. Scale bar: 10 μm. *Gr1* neurons project

882 to the spatially invariant MD1 glomerulus. No innervation *of Orco, Ir8a or GR1* neurons was observed in the Ventral (V)

883 group. Scale bar: 10 μm.

885
886
887
888   **Figure S7 (continued).** *Gr1* **Receptor to Glomerulus 2D Map.**
889

890
891
892
893
Figure S8: Phalloidin-stained LVPib12 female antennal lobe model used as a reference map for CaMPARI2
activity dependent labeling.

(a) 3D model generated from a female LVib12 left antennal lobe stained with Alexa Fluor 647-phalloidin and imaged at
63X magnification from anterior, lateral, posterior and medial perspectives. Glomeruli are color coded according to
chemoreceptor class. Landmark glomeruli are highlighted on the model. **(b)** 2D reference map for CaMPARI labelling.
Scale bar: 10 μm.

IR8a QF2 > 30XQUAS-CaMPARI2

905
906
Figure S9: CaMPARI2 Photoconversion In Supplemental *IR8a* (+) Glomeruli Screened in Response to Unitary
and Binary Blends of L-(+)-lactic acid and CO_2.
Comparisons of CaMPARI2 photoconversion revealed no significant differences in glomerular photoconversion in VC5,
VC6, PL2, PL3, PM4 and CD3 glomeruli with any of the four odorant stimuli (L-(+)-lactic acid + CO_2; L-(+)-lactic acid
alone, CO_2 alone; and synthetic air). Of the remaining glomeruli, PL5 and PL6 reported in the main text, and PL4 and
CD2 glomeruli reported here exhibited photoconversion ratios where the 'L-(+)-lactic acid + CO_2' stimulus had mean
R/G values that were significantly elevated relative to all or some of the other stimuli. For example, the PL4 glomerulus
had mean photoconversion values for 'L-(+)-lactic acid +CO_2 vs Synthetic Air', P = 0.0320; and 'L-(+)-lactic acid + CO_2
vs L-(+)-lactic acid', P = 0.0094; that were significantly different. For the CD2 glomerulus, differences between mean
R/G values for 'L-(+)-lactic acid + CO_2 vs L-(+)-lactic acid' also reached statistical significance (P = 0.0481). Tukey's
Multiple Comparison Test, *n* = 4-9 brains per stimulus, mean R/G values +/- s.e.m. plotted.
918
919

920
921
Figure S10: Mean Red/Green CaMPARI2 photoconversion values of *IR8a* (+) glomeruli plotted as a heat map
on the phalloidin reference atlas. Average photoconversion (R/G) values for L(+)-lactic acid + 1% CO_2; L(+)-lactic
acid alone, CO_2 alone; and a synthetic air control are shown. Scale bar: 10 μm. In total 12 out of 15 total IR8a (+) were
included in this screen, with three IR8a (+) glomeruli (PL7, PL8 and PL9) not included because they were not clearly
identified across all brain samples.

Figure S11. Physical Positions of Transgenes on *Aedes aegypti* Chromosome 1, 2 and 3. *T2A-QF2* in-frame fusion cassettes were precisely inserted at *orco* (AAEL005776), *IR8a* (AAEL002922) and *Gr1* (AAEL002380) loci via CRISPR-Cas9 homologous recombination, while exu-Cre, *15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP* and *15XQUAS-CaMPARI2*, were inserted into the mosquito genome using *Mos1 mariner* transposition with integration sites mapped using Splinkerette PCR.

935
936

937 **Table S1. CRISPR Target Sites and Locations**

Gene	Identifier	Chromosome	Band	CRISPR target site (with N**GG**)	Cut Site
orco	AAEL005776	3	3p22	CCATCAAGGCTTGGTACCCG T**GG**	Exon 3
IR8a	AAEL002922	1	1p22	TCCAACGCGAAAGTACCGCT T**GG**	Exon 3
Gr1	AAEL002380	2	2q23	TGACCATGAGGTACTTATAC T**GG**	Exon 3

939 **Table S2. Homology Arms for *T2A-QF2* Donor Constructs**
940

Gene region	Homology Arm Size (bp)	Homology Arm Primers with In-Fusion Adapters (underlined)
Gr1 Left Arm	1003	5'-<u>TATAACCCGCCTCGG</u>TTATTCCGTTTGTTTTCAATTTTCCGCG-3' 5'-<u>TGCCGCGGCCCTCTCCGCTTCC</u>CTGGTAGTCGGTCCACATGT-3'
Gr1 Right Arm	884	5'-<u>TGACAGATCTGCGCG</u>TAAGTACCTCATGGTCACCGGA-3' 5'-<u>GATATCGATCGCGCG</u>ACCGTTTGGAGGTTGAATTG-3'
Orco Left Arm	2624	5'-<u>TATAACCCGCCTCGG</u>TGCAAGTGGATCATTTGTCG-3' 5'-<u>TGCCGCGGCCCTCTCCGCTTCC</u>GTACCAAGCCTTGATGGGC-3'
Orco Right Arm	1329	5'-<u>TGACAGATCTGCGCG</u>CCGTGGGATGCAATGAG-3' 5'-<u>GATATCGATCGCGCG</u>GTGCAATTGTGCCATTTTGA-3'
IR8a Left Arm	2159	5'-<u>TATAACCCGCCTCGG</u>CAAAGTATAATTTCGCCCCCTCC-3' 5'-<u>TGCCGCGGCCCTCTCCGCTTCC</u>TTGGTCGGTTTGATCTTCTG-3'
IR8a Right Arm	1964	5'-<u>TGACAGATCTGCGCG</u>GGTACTTTCGCGTTGGAACTA-3' 5'-<u>GATATCGATCGCGCG</u>CTCTATGGCAGCCAAGATATTGG-3'

943 **Table S3. Template Materials for Constructs**

Plasmid	Element	Source		Addgene #
pBlackbird				
	3xP3-ECFP-SV40	pBAC-ECFP-15xQUAS-TATA-SV40		104875
	T2A-QF2-hsp70-loxP	pHACK-QF2		80274
	3xP3 promoter	pBAC-ECFP-15xQUAS-TATA-SV40		104875
	DsRed2-SV40	pMOS-3xP3-DsRed		n/a
All reporter constructs				
	Mos1 and ECFP marker	pMos{3xP3-ECFPaf}		n/a
	15xQUAS promoter	pBAC-ECFP-15xQUAS-TATA-SV40		104875
	Syn21 enhancer	pJFRC81-10XUAS-IVS-Syn21-GFP-p10		36432
	p10 terminator	pJFRC81-10XUAS-IVS-Syn21-GFP-p10		36432
pMos-ECFP-QUAS-mCD8::GFP				
	mCD8:GFP	pQUASp-mCD8::GFP		46163
pMos-loxP-ECFP-loxP-QUAS-CaMPARI2				
	CaMPARI2	pAAV-hsyn1-CaMPARI2	gift of E. Schreiter	
pMos-EYFP-exu-Cre				
	Mos1	pMOS-3xP3-dsRed		n/a
	Polyubiquitin promoter	pSL1180-HR-PUbECFP		47917
	EYFP	pBAC-YFP-QF2-hsp70	gift of C. Potter	
	exuperantia promoter	*AAEL010097-Cas9* mosquitoes	gift of O. Akbari	
	Cre recombinase	pENTR L1-vas2-Cre-L4		62301
	p10 terminator	pJFRC81-10XUAS-IVS-Syn21-GFP-p10		36432

944
945

Table S4. Complete Genotypes of *Aedes aegypti* Stocks and Composite Genotypes

Identifier	ABBREVIATED GENOTYPE		FULL GENOTYPE (*Aedes aegypti* chromosome 1; 2; 3) + = wild-type allele
Stock	*LVPib12*	*wild-type*	*+/+; +/+; +/+*
Stock	*Gr1$^{QF2Red.}$*	*marker = 3xP3-dsRed2, 3xP3-ECFP*	*+/+; Gr1^{QF2Red} / Gr1^{QF2Red}; +/+*
Stock	*IR8a^{QF2Red}*	*marker = 3xP3-dsRed2*	*IR8a^{QF2Red} / IR8a^{QF2Red}; +/+; +/+*
Stock	*orco$^{QF2Red.}$*	*marker = 3xP3-dsRed2*	*+/+; +/+; orco^{QF2Red} / +*
Stock	*Gr1^{QF2}*	*marker-free*	*+/+; Gr1^{QF2} / Gr1^{QF2}; +/+*
Stock	*IR8a^{QF2}*	*marker-free*	*IR8a^{QF2} / IR8a^{QF2}; +/+; +/+*
Stock	*orco^{QF2}*	*marker-free*	*+/+; +/+; orco^{QF2} / +*
Stock	*15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*	*marker = 3xP3-ECFP*	*+/+; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP / 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP; +/+*
Stock	*15XQUAS-CaMPARI2*	*marker = 3xP3-ECFP*	*15XQUAS-CaMPARI2 / 15XQUAS-CaMPARI2; +/+; +/+*
Stock	*exu-Cre*	*marker = PUb-EYFP*	*+/+; exu-Cre / +; +/+*
Composite	*Gr1^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*+/+; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP, Gr1^{QF2} / 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP; +/+*
Composite	*orco^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*+/+; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP / 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP; orco^{QF2} / +*
Composite	*IR8a^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*IR8a^{QF2} / +; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP / 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP; +/+*
Composite	*Gr1^{QF2Red} > 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*+/+; Gr1^{QF2Red} / 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP; +/+*
Composite	*orco^{QF2Red} > 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*+/+; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP / +; orco^{QF2Red} / +*
Composite	*IR8a^{QF2Red} > 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP*		*IR8a^{QF2Red} / +; 15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP / +; +/+*
Composite	*IR8a^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-CaMPARI2*		*IR8a^{QF2},15XQUAS-CaMPARI2 / 15XQUAS-CaMPARI2; +/+; +/+*
Composite	*Gr1^{QF2} > 30XQUAS-CaMPARI2*		*15XQUAS-CaMPARI2 / 15XQUAS-CaMPARI2; Gr1^{QF2} / +; +/+*
Composite	*orco^{QF2} > 15XQUAS-CaMPARI2*		*15XQUAS-CaMPARI2 / +; +/+; orco^{QF2} / +*

950 **Table S5. Genomic Integration Sites of *Mos1 mariner* transgenes**

Transgene	Chromosome	Band	Insertion Site	Insertion Location
15XQUAS-CaMPARI2	1	1p25	65092968	AAEL026960, Intron
15XQUAS-mCD8::GFP	2	2q24	329084910	Upstream of AAEL021072 lncRNA
exu-Cre	2	2q24	324350134	AAEL013635, Intron

956