Loss of *Cnot6l* impairs inosine RNA modifications in mouse oocytes

Pavla Brachova [1]†ɸ, Nehemiah S. Alvarez [1]†, Lane K. Christenson [1]ɸ

[1] Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center,

Kansas City, KS 66160

† These authors contributed equally to this work

ɸ Corresponding Authors:

Pavla Brachova, PhD

3071 HLSIC

3901 Rainbow Blvd.

Kansas City, KS 66160

Phone: (913) 588-0421

E-mail: pbrachova@kumc.edu

Lane K. Christenson, PhD

3075 HLSIC

3901 Rainbow Blvd.

Kansas City, KS 66160

Phone: (913) 588-0420

Fax: (913) 588-7430

E-mail: lchristenson@kumc.edu

Author e-mail addresses: PB: pbrachova@kumc.edu; NSA: nalvarez@kumc.edu; LKC:

lchristenson@kumc.edu

Abstract

Mammalian oocytes must degrade maternal transcripts through a process called translational

mRNA decay, in which maternal mRNA undergoes translational activation, followed by

deadenylation and mRNA decay. Once a transcript is translationally activated, it becomes

deadenylated by the CCR4-NOT complex. Knockout of *Cnot6l*, a deadenylase within the CCR4-

NOT complex, results in mRNA decay defects during MI entry. Knockout of *Btg4*, an adaptor

protein of the CCR4-NOT complex, results in mRNA decay defects following fertilization.

Therefore, mechanisms controlling mRNA turnover have significant impacts on oocyte

competence and early embryonic development. Post-transcriptional inosine RNA modifications

can impact mRNA stability, possibly through a translation mechanism. Here, we assessed

inosine RNA modifications in oocytes from $Cnot6l^{-/-}$ and $Btg4^{-/-}$ mice, which display stabilization

of mRNA and over-translation of the stabilized transcripts. If inosine modifications have a role in

modulating RNA stability, we hypothesize that in these mutant backgrounds, we would observe

changes or a disruption in inosine mRNA modifications. To test this, we used a computational

approach to identify inosine RNA modifications in total and ribosome-associated mRNA-seq

data during meiotic maturation (GV, MI, and MII stages). We observed pronounced depletion of

inosine mRNA modifications in oocytes from $Cnot6l^{-/-}$, but not in $Btg4^{-/-}$ mice. Additionally,

analysis of ribosome-associated RNA revealed clearance of inosine modified mRNA. These

observations suggest a novel mechanism of mRNA clearance during oocyte maturation, in

which inosine-containing transcripts decay in an independent, but parallel mechanism to CCR4-

NOT deadenylation.

Introduction

Growing mammalian oocytes transcribe and store transcripts that support meiotic

maturation, fertilization, and early embryo development (Bachvarova and De Leon 1980;

Bachvarova 1985; De La Fuente et al. 2004; De La Fuente and Eppig 2001). The transition of

maternal to zygotic control of development is termed the maternal to zygotic transition (MZT),

and occurs in the absence of transcription (Piko and Clegg 1982; De La Fuente and Eppig

2001). As oocytes resume meiosis, a large-scale, but selective wave of maternal transcripts is

targeted for translation and subsequent degradation (Su et al. 2007; Svoboda et al. 2015; Sha

et al. 2019) in a process called translational mRNA decay. By the 2-cell stage of mouse early

embryogenesis, approximately 90% of maternal RNA are degraded (Schellander et al. 2007).

The activation of translation requires specific 3′ UTR elements, such as polyadenylation signals

and cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements (Chen et al. 2011; Dai et al. 2019). Once transcripts

undergo translational activation following the lengthening of their poly(A) tails, they are subject

to decay by deadenylation (Ozturk and Uysal 2017). CCR4-NOT is the major, multi-subunit

deadenylase complex involved in poly(A) shortening (Collart and Panasenko 2012). A functional

CCR4-NOT complex is essential for the proper decay of maternal oocyte mRNA, and for the

development of a fertilized oocyte into an embryo (Sha et al. 2018; Horvat et al. 2018; Yu et al.

2016; Dumdie et al. 2018).

An understanding of the individual components of the CCR4-NOT complex has

illuminated specific features of mRNA transcripts leading to their specific and selective decay.

The ribonuclease *Cnot6l* is uniquely expressed in mouse oocytes, and regulates deadenylation

of transcripts undergoing translational mRNA decay during oocyte maturation (Sha et al. 2018;

Horvat et al. 2018; Vieux and Clarke 2018). *Cnot6l*^{-/-} mice are severely subfertile due to the

overtranslation of undegraded maternal transcripts, resulting in spindle defects during the

completion of meiosis (Sha et al. 2018; Horvat et al. 2018). The CCR4-NOT deadenylase

complex also relies on the adaptor RNA binding proteins, ZFP36L2 and BTG4, to associate with

cytoplasmic transcripts (Ball et al. 2014; Dumdie et al. 2018; Doidge et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2016;

Wu and Dean 2016; Pasternak et al. 2016). *Zfp36l2*^{-/-} mice are infertile due to decreased oocyte

numbers as well as decreased rates of oocyte maturation (Ball et al. 2014; Dumdie et al. 2018).

Btg4^{-/-} mice are also infertile due to embryonic arrest at the 2-cell and 4-cell stages (Yu et al.

2016; Sha et al. 2018). Cumulatively, these models demonstrate that the translational mRNA

decay machinery is necessary to establish the correct dosage of RNA during the MZT, and

dysfunction or loss of critical components within the mRNA degradation pathway leads to

infertility.

Previous work has established inosine post-transcriptional RNA modifications can impact mRNA

stability through a translation mechanism (Licht et al. 2019). Since the *Cnot6l*^{-/-} and *Btg4*^{-/-} mice

result in stabilization of mRNA and overtranslation of stabilized transcripts, we hypothesized that

in these mutant backgrounds, we would observe an increase in inosine modifications in mRNA.

Surprisingly, we observed a significant decrease in inosine modifications in oocytes from *Cnot6l*

[-/-], but not in *Btg4*^{-/-} mice, suggesting that in the absence of *Cnot6l*, mRNA degradation of

inosine-modified transcripts is still occurring, while unmodified transcripts are stabilized. Our

data suggest novel components of translational mRNA decay during oocyte maturation.

Results

Inosine RNA modifications are lost in oocytes from Cnot6l^{-/-} *mice*

Using our computational approach (Brachova et al. 2019)*,* we identified transcriptome wide

inosine RNA modifications (Supplemental Fig. S1) in previously reported RNA-seq datasets

(Sha et al. 2018) from wild-type (WT), *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes at 0, 8, and 16 h after in vitro

maturation. These three time points correspond to the GV, MI, and MII oocyte stages,

respectively. The number of unique transcripts with inosine RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-}

oocytes (134 ± 28 in GV, 159 ± 0 in MI, 163 ± 3 in MII, and 160 ± 7 in Zyg; Mean ± SEM) were

significantly lower than both WT oocytes and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes at all oocyte/embryo stages (WT:

1750 ± 337 in GV, 999 ± 109 in MI, 1132 ± 213 in MII, and 1311 ± 562 in Zyg; *Btg4*^{-/-}: 1749 ±

197 in GV, 1412 ± 30 in MI, 1579 ± 103 in MII, and 1441 ± 200 in Zyg; one-way ANOVA; Fig.

1a). No difference in number of transcripts with inosine RNA modifications was detected

between WT and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes at any stage (Fig. 1a). To confirm that the reduction in

transcripts with inosine RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-}oocytes was not due to a differential

pattern of RNA expression, we identified inosine modifications only in transcripts found in all

samples across all groups (8,686 transcripts, total RNA; 6,006 transcripts, ribosome-associated

transcripts). The results (Fig. 1c), indicate that the loss of inosine RNA modification was not due

to differential gene expression.

Inosine RNA modifications are enriched in ribosome-associated mRNA

Our previous study linked inosine RNA modifications to the process of translation through RNA

modifications of codons (Brachova et al. 2019). To test if the accumulated and over-translated

maternal transcripts found in oocytes of *Cnot6l*^{-/-} mice were enriched in inosine RNA

modifications, we cataloged inosine RNA modifications in ribosome-associated mRNA at the

GV, MI, and MII stages in WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes. In the ribosome-associated mRNA of WT

GV oocytes, the number of inosine-modified transcripts decreased during oocyte maturation,

possibly indicating clearance of inosine-modified transcripts (Fig. 1b). Conversely, in *Cnot6l*^{-/-}

oocytes, significantly fewer transcripts are inosine-modified at the GV stage, and the number of

inosine-modified transcripts increases, rather than decreases during oocyte maturation (Fig.

1b). Even among commonly expressed transcripts, the pattern is similar (Fig. 1d). Our data

show that globally, the total RNA fraction of *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes have fewer inosine modified

transcripts, however, these modified transcripts are still detected in the ribosome-associated

fraction.

Pattern of inosine RNA modifications in total and ribosome-associated mRNA

In addition to having the fewest inosine modified transcripts, oocytes from *Cnot6l*^{-/-} mice had the

lowest proportion of the transcriptome that was modified (GV: 1.0 ± 0.3%, MI: 1.2 ± 0.02%,

MII:1.2 ± 0.03%, Zyg: 1.3 ± 0.05%), compared to WT oocytes (GV: 14.4 ± 2.6%, MI: 8.5 ±

0.7%, MII: 10.1 ± 1.7%, Zyg: 10.9 ± 4.3%) and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes (GV: 13.4 ± 1.2%, MI: 10.6 ±

124 0.3%, MII: 13.0 ± 0.7%, Zyg: 12.0 ± 1.4%; x^2 p<0.5; Fig. 2a). Among ribosome-associated

125 mRNA, a lower proportion of transcripts were modified in $Cnot6l^{-/-}$ oocytes (GV: 6.1 ± 0.2%, MI:

126 9.7 ± 0.2%, MII: 8.7 ± 0.4%,), compared to WT oocytes (GV: 16.4 ± 0.3%, MI: 10.6 ± 0.2%, MII:

127 9.5 ± 0.1%, Fig. 2b). Comparing the number of identified inosine RNA modifications per

128 transcript, on average, WT oocytes and $Btg4^{-/-}$ oocytes had similar patterns, while $Cnot6l^{-/-}$

129 oocytes contained transcripts with fewer modifications per transcript (blue and green lines, Fig.

130 2c). However, within ribosome-associated mRNA, the pattern of inosine RNA modifications per

131 transcript was similar (Fig. 2c). To further characterize the nature of inosine RNA modifications

132 in oocytes from WT, $Cnot6l^{-/-}$, and $Btg4^{-/-}$ mice, the location of modifications within protein-coding

133 genes was determined by annotating the location of each inosine RNA modification (5′ UTR,

134 coding, intron, and 3′ UTR). Overall, the distribution of inosine RNA modifications is similar

135 between all stages of oocyte maturation in WT and $Btg4^{-/-}$ mice, with the majority of

136 modifications occurring in the CDS and 3′ UTR. Conversely, oocytes from $Cnot6l^{-/-}$ mice display

137 a higher proportion of intron inosine RNA modifications (Fig. 2d). Within transcripts from

138 ribosome-associated mRNA, the pattern of inosine RNA modifications was similar (Fig. 2d).

139 *Consequences of coding sequence inosine RNA modifications in mouse oocytes*

140 To understand the consequence of inosine RNA modifications, if any, on the protein coding

141 capacity of mRNA transcripts, we used Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (McLaren et al.

142 2016) to identify the synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions (Fig. 3a). Among the non-

143 synonymous substitutions, altered stop codons (stop loss, stop gain, or stop retained) made up

144 less than 0.3% of coding sequence modifications. Therefore, stop codon substitutions were not

145 included in further analyses.

146 The majority of coding region inosine RNA modifications observed resulted in synonymous

147 substitutions in WT and $Btg4^{-/-}$ oocytes (Brachova et al. 2019) Conversely, in oocytes from

148 $Cnot6l^{-/-}$ oocytes, the pattern of inosine RNA modifications was reversed, with more than 60%

exhibiting non-synonymous substitutions (Fig. 3a). Inosine RNA modified transcripts in the

ribosome-associated mRNA had a similar pattern between WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 3b). In

order to predict the consequence of the amino acid substitutions, a computational tool, Sorting

Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT) that predicts the effects of amino acid substitution on protein

function was used (Ng and Henikoff 2003). SIFT analysis showed that inosine RNA

modifications observed in WT and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes exhibited greater levels of tolerated amino

acid substitutions when compared to the oocytes from *Cnot6l*^{-/-} mice (Fig. 3c). Again, inosine

RNA modified transcripts in the ribosome-associated mRNA had a similar pattern of tolerated

amino acid substitutions between WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 3d). When considering the

number of tolerated or deleterious substitutions, *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes have reduced numbers in total

RNA (Fig. 3e), but in the ribosome-associated transcripts, only GV *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes had

significantly fewer tolerated substitutions (2-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 3f). In summary, the

total RNA fraction in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes had a higher proportion of inosine RNA modifications

within the coding sequencing that resulted in potentially deleterious non-synonymous

substitutions. However, within the ribosome-associated RNA, similar levels of synonymous and

non-synonymous and tolerated and deleterious substitutions were observed between WT and

Cnot6l^{-/-}. These results suggest that translated mRNA in WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes share a

similar capacity for inosine induced protein recoding through alterations to codon usage.

Inosine RNA modifications are enriched at the wobble position in ribosome-associated RNA

The abundance of inosine modifications resulting in synonymous substitutions in WT and *Btg4*^{-/-}

oocyte RNA led us to investigate the potential effects of inosine RNA modifications on codon

usage. We first determined the number of inosine RNA modifications that occur in the 34

different codons that contain an adenosine, excluding stop codons. We found in WT and *Btg4*^{-/-}

oocytes, certain codons contain more inosine RNA modifications: AAA, ACA, CAA, CCA, GAA,

and GCA (Fig. 4a). In *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, inosine-modified codons were significantly reduced

compared with WT and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 4a). Additionally, there were no enriched modified

codons detected in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 4a). Within transcripts from ribosome-associated

mRNA in both WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, we detected similar codons enriched in inosine RNA

modifications (Fig. 4b). Further analysis indicated that inosine modifications are enriched at the

wobble position in codons with multiple adenosines in total RNA of WT (Fig. 4c), but not in

Cnot6l^{-/-} oocytes. Conversely, both WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} ribosome-associated mRNA exhibited the

wobble position enhancement (Fig. 4d). The wobble position RNA modification across all

codons was not present in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes in total RNA, in contrast to WT and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes,

while the ribosome-associated mRNA retained wobble position inosine RNA modifications

across all three genotypes (Fig. 4e and f). Other codons assessed did not show a specific

enrichment or difference between WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Efficiency of inosine RNA modifications is highest in ribosome-associated mRNA

Transcriptome-wide inosine RNA modification efficiency at various codons remained around

50% throughout oocyte maturation and in the zygote in WT oocytes (Fig. 5a, raw counts are in

Supplemental Fig. 3). Conversely, *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes displayed defects in inosine RNA

modification efficiency in total RNA at all stages of oocyte maturation and in zygotes (Fig. 5a).

An examination of inosine RNA modification efficiency in *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes revealed a reduction of

modification efficiency of select codons (Fig. 5a). The inosine RNA modification efficiency

reached almost 100% within transcripts of ribosome-associated mRNA of WT oocytes, and then

decreased during oocyte maturation (Fig. 5b). *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes also displayed almost 100%

efficiency of particular codons (AAA, AAC, AAT, AGA, CAA, CAG, CCA, CGA, GTA, TTA), however

other codons were not modified at all (AAG, ACG, ACT, AGG, ATT, GAC, GAG, GAT), as seen in

Fig. 4. By the end of oocyte maturation, the inosine RNA modification efficiency was completely

lost (Fig. 5b). Overall, during oocyte maturation, the inosine RNA modification efficiency is

almost 100% in ribosome-associated mRNA, and decreases during maturation. These results

suggest that, in the *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes where mRNA is stabilized, the inosine modified mRNA are

degraded, while the unmodified mRNA stabilized.

Discussion

Translational mRNA decay is a main mechanism of maternal mRNA clearance in mammalian

oocytes. During oocyte maturation, mRNA are recruited for translation and subsequent

degradation through interactions with components of the CCR4-NOT complex (Sha et al. 2018;

Vieux and Clarke 2018). The maternal-to-zygotic decay machinery component CNOT6L plays

an important role in maternal mRNA decay during MI (Sha et al. 2018; Vieux and Clarke 2018;

Horvat et al. 2018), while the adaptor factor BTG4 plays an important role in degradation of

maternal mRNA after ovulation and prior to fertilization (Liu et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2016). As such,

selective decay of transcripts allows for specific control of events occurring during the MZT,

necessary for early embryo development.

An important component of translational mRNA decay is the rate of translation, which is

influenced by codon composition, a phenomenon known as codon optimality (Presnyak et al.

2015; Bazzini et al. 2016; Carneiro et al. 2019; Horstick et al. 2015; Pop et al. 2014; Bergman

and Tuller 2020). Codons that are non-optimal slow the ribosome, and experimental evidence

indicates that this increases mRNA degradation through CCR4-NOT (Webster et al. 2018). It

has recently been reported that inosine RNA modifications in the coding sequence of mRNA

lead to ribosome stalling (Licht et al. 2019). In a previous report (Brachova et al. 2019), and now

here in WT and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes, we identified inosine RNA modifications specifically enriched in

at the codon wobble position in oocytes (Brachova et al. 2019). Based on our finding and

others, we hypothesized that inosine RNA modifications within the coding sequence could alter

mRNA stability, potentially through translational mRNA decay. To test this hypothesis we

analyzed previously generated RNA-seq data for *Cnot6l*^{-/-} and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes, which are known

to exhibit dysfunction in translational mRNA decay (Sha et al. 2018). The nearly complete loss

of inosine RNA modified transcripts in the *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes was unexpected, as we originally

hypothesized to identify increased modified transcripts in oocytes with hyper-stabilized mRNA

as previously established (Sha et al. 2018). The loss of inosine RNA modifications was not

associated with a loss of *Adar* expression (Supplemental Fig. S4), but we have not evaluated

ADAR protein levels in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, which is an important consideration. *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes, on

the other hand, have inosine RNA modification profiles similar to that of WT oocytes. Both

CNOT6L and BTG4 accumulate during oocyte maturation and trigger maternal mRNA decay,

but their knockouts result in different oocyte phenotypes: *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes arrest at

prometaphase I, while *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes are able to complete meiotic maturation and do not arrest

until fertilization (Sha et al. 2018). CNOT6L and BTG4 degradation pathways act on similar

substrate pools during oocyte maturation, albeit with different timing (Sha et al. 2018). We

identified inosine modified transcripts common between all genotypes, and observed that

Cnot6l^{-/-} oocytes had significantly fewer modified transcripts compared to WT and *Btg4*^{-/-}

oocytes (Fig. 1c). We consider three possible mechanisms that can explain our results. First,

CONT6L and ADAR could interact in oocytes, facilitating inosine RNA modifications. Biological

evidence for such a complex is lacking. Recent mass spectrometry approaches to identify

ADAR associated proteins that regulate inosine RNA modifications have not identified CCR4-

NOT complex components (Freund et al. 2020). Rather, several components of the ribosome

were identified directly associated with ADAR (Freund et al. 2020).

The second explanation for reduced inosine RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes is that *Adar*

is no longer being translated efficiently and the ADAR protein level is reduced, thus reducing

inosine RNA modifications. Though RNA abundance is not direct evidence for translation, we

detected *Adar* transcripts in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes in both the total RNA and ribosome-associated

fractions (Supplemental Fig. S4). Additionally, it has been reported that *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes over-

translate mRNA (Sha et al. 2018). Based on these observations, we would anticipate that *Adar*

would have a higher probability to be over-translated rather than under-translated. Furthermore,

analysis of the ribosome-associated mRNA revealed that the number of inosine modified

transcripts were similar between MI and MII stages in WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 1b, d). This

indicates that within the ribosome-associated fraction, ADAR had similar levels of activity in WT

and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes. We observed a significant difference in inosine modified mRNA between

WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} GV oocytes (Fig. 1b, d), indicating the reduction of inosine RNA modifications

occurs prior to GV entry. Additionally, analysis of the number of inosine modifications per

transcript, codon wobble position modifications, and efficiency of modifications within ribosome-

associated mRNA revealed that both WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes had similar levels of inosine RNA

modifications at the GV stage (Fig. 3c, 4f, & 5b). We have previously shown that the inosine

modification efficiency in oocytes is linked to the catalytic activity of ADAR (Brachova et al.

2019), indicating that ADAR is present and active in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes. Therefore, we do not

consider a reduction of ADAR protein to be a likely explanation for the decrease in inosine RNA

modifications present in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, however, further experimentation would be needed to

test ADAR levels in the absence of CNOT6L.

A third possible mechanism to explain the reduction in inosine RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-}

oocytes is that CONT6L and ADAR both contribute to translational mRNA degradation, through

two independent, but parallel pathways. An important component of translational mRNA decay

is the rate of translation, which is influenced by codon composition, a phenomenon known as

codon optimality (Presnyak et al. 2015; Bazzini et al. 2016; Carneiro et al. 2019). Codons that

are non-optimal slow the ribosome, and experimental evidence indicates that this increases

mRNA degradation through CCR4-NOT (Webster et al. 2018; Buschauer et al. 2020). It has

been reported that inosine RNA modifications in the coding sequence of mRNA lead to

ribosome stalling (Licht et al. 2019). In our previous report, we identified inosine RNA

modifications in the total RNA fraction that are specifically enriched at the codon wobble position

(Brachova et al. 2019). Here, we show that ribosome-associated mRNA have similar inosine

enrichment to the wobble position of codons in both WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 4f).

However, codon wobble position enrichment of inosine is absent in the total RNA fraction

Cnot6l^{-/-} oocytes (Fig. 4e). Furthermore, the number of transcripts with inosine RNA

modifications is significantly reduced in the total RNA fraction of *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes when

compared to WT (Fig. 1a). We reason that one explanation for the difference between the

amount of RNA transcripts with inosine RNA modifications between total RNA and ribosome-

associated mRNA fractions is due to the number of oocytes used and the subsequent

enrichment of ribosome fraction (total RNA: 10 oocytes; ribosome-associated RNA: 500

oocytes). The inosine modified transcripts in the ribosome fraction are also present in the total

RNA, albeit at a smaller proportion, and enrichment allows for their detection.

Additionally, the observed reduction of inosine RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes within the

total RNA fraction may be due to two factors: overall increased mRNA stability and selective

decay of inosine modified mRNA. In *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, mRNA is globally stabilized, and we

hypothesize that inosine modified RNA is targeted for decay. We reason that the stabilization of

mRNA increases the mRNA complexity of the total RNA fraction, thus reducing the sensitivity of

RNA-seq to detect inosine modified RNAs. The same phenomenon is present in the ribosome-

associated fraction of *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, but it is offset by the enrichment procedure of ribosome.

In the ribosome-associated fraction, we were able to observe that in the GV oocyte stage of WT

and *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, inosine RNA modification efficiency was above 60%, and in some cases

almost 100% for the codons analyzed (Fig. 5b). In comparison, the total RNA fraction, inosine

modification efficiency was approximately 50% (Fig. 5a). In the ribosome-associated fraction of

WT oocytes, progression into MI phase was marked by a reduction in inosine RNA modification

efficiency (Fig. 5b). In *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes, there is almost a complete loss of inosine RNA

modification efficiency at the codons analyzed (Fig. 5b). An interpretation of this observation is

that inosine mRNA are subject to a decay process independent of CNOT6L. In ribosome-

associated mRNA of WT oocytes, we observe a reduction of inosine containing mRNA, and this

reduction is similar to the reduction in overall mRNA levels at MI (Sha et al. 2018). We

hypothesize that mRNA decay mediated by CNOT6L and inosine RNA modifications share a

common component: translation. It has previously been shown that inosine RNA modifications

in coding sequences can induce ribosome stalling (Licht et al. 2019). Stalling, or slowing of

ribosomes can induce CCR4-NOT decay (Webster et al. 2018; Buschauer et al. 2020). Based

on this prediction, we had originally hypothesized that we would observe increases in inosine

RNA modifications in *Cnot6l*^{-/-} oocytes. However, we observed a decrease in inosine RNA

modifications in the ribosomal associated fraction. If the inosine induced stalling or slowing of

ribosomes is still occurring and in the absence of a functioning CCR4-NOT complex decay was

still progressing, this would indicate a parallel pathway to mRNA decay. Our results suggest a

novel mechanism of mRNA clearance during oocyte maturation, in which inosine-containing

transcripts decay uncoupled from CCR4-NOT deadenylation. Further experiments will reveal the

impact of inosine RNA modifications on maternal mRNA decay.

Methods

Sources of GV and MII RNA-seq datasets

Wild-type GV, MI, MII, and Zyote RNA-seq data, and GV, MI, MII, and Zygote RNA-seq data

from *Cnot6l*^{-/-} and *Btg4*^{-/-} knockout mice dataset PRJNA486094 (Sha et al. 2018). The authors of

this study generated library preparations from total RNA isolated from groups of 10 oocytes or

embryos, all on the C57B6 background. For RNA-seq libraries of polysome-bound mRNA,

groups of 500 oocytes or embryos were used for polysome pull-down. Two replicates were

generated for library preparation, and each preparation had mCherry spike-in added before

cDNA synthesis for normalization. Barcoded libraries were pooled and sequenced on an

Illumina HiSeq X Ten platform with 150 bp paired-end reads (Sha et al. 2018).

Identification and consequence analysis of inosine RNA modifications

We identified putative inosine RNA modifications utilizing a combination of the HISAT2 aligner,

the Genome Analysis Tool Kit (GATK), and Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) (McKenna

et al. 2010; McLaren et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2015; Brachova et al. 2019). Inosines appear as A-

to-G substitutions when comparing RNA-seq data to a reference genome (Ramaswami et al.

2013). HISAT2 was used to map raw RNA-seq reads to a mus musculus index built from

GRCm38 containing common SNP annotation from dbSNP.

Default settings for HISAT2 were used for each type of library aligned (i.e. single-end, paired-

end, or stranded, Supplemental Table 2) (Kim et al. 2015). Prior to alignment, fastq files were

checked for adaptors and trimmed if necessary, using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014). The

default alignment settings for HISAT2 will report at most 10 valid primary alignments; we did not

increase the amount of multimapping allowed. HISAT2 reports valid alignments that are at or

above the minimum alignment score determined by the function where the length of the read.

Mismatches in the alignment were subtracted from the alignment score, reads that fell below the

minimum alignment score were not reported. RNA/DNA differences were called using the

Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) RNASeq variant pipeline with modifications (McKenna et al.

2010). The program elprep was used to sort, mark duplicates, and index RNA-seq reads.

Known SNPs were filtered out using the Mouse Genomes Project database, Wellcome Trust

Sanger Institute mouse strains (Yalcin et al. 2011). RNA-DNA mismatches identified by HISAT2,

regardless if stranded mode was used, and GATK were reported in the sense orientation;

therefore strand information of the variant is inferred from the gene model using Ensembl VEP

(McLaren et al. 2016). VCF files were filtered on allelic depth (AD > 0) and used as input for

Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP, (McLaren et al. 2016)). VEP was used to identify inosine

modified transcripts, categorize the location within the transcript; and determine the

consequence of inosines on coding capacity. Only inosine sites occurring in transcripts with

TPM ≥ 1 and having an AD > 0 were reported. A transcript was considered to be inosine

modified if it contained at least one inosine site. R statistical computation software with the

following packages was used to parse VEP output: sleuth, biomaRt, dplyr, plyr,

AnnotationFuncs, org.Mm.eg.db, ggplot2 (Pimentel et al. 2017; Team and Others 2013;

Wickham 2009; Durinck et al. 2009).

References

Bachvarova R. 1985. Gene expression during oogenesis and oocyte development in mammals.
Dev Biol **1**: 453–524.

Bachvarova R, De Leon V. 1980. Polyadenylated RNA of mouse ova and loss of maternal RNA
in early development. *Dev Biol* **74**: 1–8.

Ball CB, Rodriguez KF, Stumpo DJ, Ribeiro-Neto F, Korach KS, Blackshear PJ, Birnbaumer L,
Ramos SBV. 2014. The RNA-binding protein, ZFP36L2, influences ovulation and oocyte
maturation. *PLoS One* **9**: e97324.

Bazzini AA, Del Viso F, Moreno-Mateos MA, Johnstone TG, Vejnar CE, Qin Y, Yao J, Khokha
MK, Giraldez AJ. 2016. Codon identity regulates mRNA stability and translation efficiency
during the maternal-to-zygotic transition. *EMBO J* **35**: 2087–2103.

Bergman S, Tuller T. 2020. Widespread non-modular overlapping codes in the coding regions.
Phys Biol. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/ab7083.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

Brachova P, Alvarez NS, Hong X, Gunewardena S, Vincent KA, Latham KE, Christenson LK.
2019. Inosine RNA modifications are enriched at the codon wobble position in mouse
oocytes and eggs. *Biol Reprod*. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioz130.

Buschauer R, Matsuo Y, Sugiyama T, Chen Y-H, Alhusaini N, Sweet T, Ikeuchi K, Cheng J,
Matsuki Y, Nobuta R, et al. 2020. The Ccr4-Not complex monitors the translating ribosome
for codon optimality. *Science* **368**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aay6912.

Carneiro RL, Requião RD, Rossetto S, Domitrovic T, Palhano FL. 2019. Codon stabilization
coefficient as a metric to gain insights into mRNA stability and codon bias and their
relationships with translation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: 2216–2228.

Chen J, Melton C, Suh N, Oh JS, Horner K, Xie F, Sette C, Blelloch R, Conti M. 2011. Genome-
wide analysis of translation reveals a critical role for deleted in azoospermia-like (Dazl) at
the oocyte-to-zygote transition. *Genes Dev* **25**: 755–766.

Collart MA, Panasenko OO. 2012. The Ccr4–Not complex. *Gene* **492**: 42–53.

Dai X-X, Jiang J-C, Sha Q-Q, Jiang Y, Ou X-H, Fan H-Y. 2019. A combinatorial code for mRNA
3′-UTR-mediated translational control in the mouse oocyte. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:
328–340. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky971.

De La Fuente R, Eppig JJ. 2001. Transcriptional activity of the mouse oocyte genome:
companion granulosa cells modulate transcription and chromatin remodeling. *Dev Biol* **229**:
224–236.

De La Fuente R, Viveiros MM, Burns KH, Adashi EY, Matzuk MM, Eppig JJ. 2004. Major
chromatin remodeling in the germinal vesicle (GV) of mammalian oocytes is dispensable for
global transcriptional silencing but required for centromeric heterochromatin function. *Dev
Biol* **275**: 447–458.

Doidge R, Mittal S, Aslam A, Winkler GS. 2012. The anti-proliferative activity of BTG/TOB
proteins is mediated via the Caf1a (CNOT7) and Caf1b (CNOT8) deadenylase subunits of
the Ccr4-not complex. *PLoS One* **7**: e51331.

Dumdie JN, Cho K, Ramaiah M, Skarbrevik D, Mora-Castilla S, Stumpo DJ, Lykke-Andersen J,
Laurent LC, Blackshear PJ, Wilkinson MF, et al. 2018. Chromatin Modification and Global
Transcriptional Silencing in the Oocyte Mediated by the mRNA Decay Activator ZFP36L2.
Dev Cell **44**: 392–402.e7.

Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. 2009. Mapping identifiers for the integration of
genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature Protocols* **4**: 1184–
1191. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.97.

Freund EC, Sapiro AL, Li Q, Linder S, Moresco JJ, Yates JR 3rd, Li JB. 2020. Unbiased
Identification of trans Regulators of ADAR and A-to-I RNA Editing. *Cell Rep* **31**: 107656.

Horstick EJ, Jordan DC, Bergeron SA, Tabor KM, Serpe M, Feldman B, Burgess HA. 2015.
Increased functional protein expression using nucleotide sequence features enriched in
highly expressed genes in zebrafish. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**: e48.

Horvat F, Fulka H, Jankele R, Malik R, Jun M, Solcova K, Sedlacek R, Vlahovicek K, Schultz
RM, Svoboda P. 2018. Role of Cnot6l in maternal mRNA turnover. *Life Sci Alliance* **1**:
e201800084.

Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2015. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. *Nat Methods* **12**: 357–360.

Licht K, Hartl M, Amman F, Anrather D, Janisiw MP, Jantsch MF. 2019. Inosine induces
context-dependent recoding and translational stalling. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**: 3–14.

Liu Y, Lu X, Shi J, Yu X, Zhang X, Zhu K, Yi Z, Duan E, Li L. 2016. BTG4 is a key regulator for
maternal mRNA clearance during mouse early embryogenesis. *Journal of Molecular Cell
Biology* **8**: 366–368. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjw023.

McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler
D, Gabriel S, Daly M, et al. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework
for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**: 1297–1303.

McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GRS, Thormann A, Flicek P, Cunningham F. 2016.

The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* **17**: 122.

Ng PC, Henikoff S. 2003. SIFT: Predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function.
Nucleic Acids Res **31**: 3812–3814.

Ozturk S, Uysal F. 2017. Poly(A)-binding proteins are required for translational regulation in
vertebrate oocytes and early embryos. *Reprod Fertil Dev* **29**: 1890–1901.

Pasternak M, Pfender S, Santhanam B, Schuh M. 2016. The BTG4 and CAF1 complex
prevents the spontaneous activation of eggs by deadenylating maternal mRNAs. *Open*
Biology **6**: 160184. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsob.160184.

Piko L, Clegg KB. 1982. Quantitative changes in total RNA, total poly(A), and ribosomes in early
mouse embryos. *Dev Biol* **89**: 362–378.

Pimentel H, Bray NL, Puente S, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2017. Differential analysis of RNA-seq
incorporating quantification uncertainty. *Nat Methods* **14**: 687–690.

Pop C, Rouskin S, Ingolia NT, Han L, Phizicky EM, Weissman JS, Koller D. 2014. Causal
signals between codon bias, mRNA structure, and the efficiency of translation and
elongation. *Mol Syst Biol* **10**: 770.

Presnyak V, Alhusaini N, Chen Y-H, Martin S, Morris N, Kline N, Olson S, Weinberg D, Baker
KE, Graveley BR, et al. 2015. Codon optimality is a major determinant of mRNA stability.
Cell **160**: 1111–1124.

Ramaswami G, Zhang R, Piskol R, Keegan LP, Deng P, O'Connell MA, Li JB. 2013. Identifying
RNA editing sites using RNA sequencing data alone. *Nat Methods* **10**: 128–132.

Schellander K, Hoelker M, Tesfaye D. 2007. Selective degradation of transcripts in mammalian
oocytes and embryos. *Theriogenology* **68 Suppl 1**: S107–15.

Sha Q-Q, Yu J-L, Guo J-X, Dai X-X, Jiang J-C, Zhang Y-L, Yu C, Ji S-Y, Jiang Y, Zhang S-Y, et
al. 2018. CNOT6L couples the selective degradation of maternal transcripts to meiotic cell
cycle progression in mouse oocyte. *EMBO J*. http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/embj.201899333.

Sha Q-Q, Zhang J, Fan H-Y. 2019. A story of birth and death: mRNA translation and clearance
at the onset of Maternal-to-Zygotic transition in mammals. *Biol Reprod*.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioz012.

Su Y-Q, Sugiura K, Woo Y, Wigglesworth K, Kamdar S, Affourtit J, Eppig JJ. 2007. Selective
degradation of transcripts during meiotic maturation of mouse oocytes. *Dev Biol* **302**: 104–
117.

Svoboda P, Franke V, Schultz RM. 2015. Sculpting the Transcriptome During the Oocyte-to-
Embryo Transition in Mouse. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **113**: 305–349.

Team RC, Others. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
http://cran.univ-paris1.fr/web/packages/dplR/vignettes/intro-dplR.pdf.

Vieux K-F, Clarke HJ. 2018. CNOT6 regulates a novel pattern of mRNA deadenylation during
oocyte meiotic maturation. *Sci Rep* **8**: 6812.

Webster MW, Chen Y-H, Stowell JAW, Alhusaini N, Sweet T, Graveley BR, Coller J, Passmore
LA. 2018. mRNA Deadenylation Is Coupled to Translation Rates by the Differential
Activities of Ccr4-Not Nucleases. *Mol Cell* **70**: 1089–1100.e8.

Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis.[WWW document]. *URL*
https://cran r-project org/package= ggplot2 [accessed on 10 January 2016].

Wu D, Dean J. 2016. BTG4, a maternal mRNA cleaner. *J Mol Cell Biol* **8**: 369–370.

Yalcin B, Wong K, Agam A, Goodson M, Keane TM, Gan X, Nellåker C, Goodstadt L, Nicod J,
Bhomra A, et al. 2011. Sequence-based characterization of structural variation in the
mouse genome. *Nature* **477**: 326–329.

Yu C, Ji S-Y, Sha Q-Q, Dang Y, Zhou J-J, Zhang Y-L, Liu Y, Wang Z-W, Hu B, Sun Q-Y, et al.
2016. BTG4 is a meiotic cell cycle-coupled maternal-zygotic-transition licensing factor in
oocytes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **23**: 387–394.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Inosine RNA modifications occur in transcripts enriched in the ribosome-

associated RNA. A-B) The number of unique inosine modified transcripts identified in WT,

Cnot6l^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} GV, MI, MII, and Zyg in total RNA (A) and ribosome-associated mRNA (B).

C-D) Among common transcripts present in all samples, the number of unique inosine modified

transcripts identified in WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} GV, MI, MII, and Zyg in total RNA (C) and

ribosome-associated mRNA (D).

Figure 2. Distinct pattern of inosine RNA modifications from WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-}

oocytes and zygotes. A-B) Proportion of the transcriptome (percentage) that contains inosine

RNA modifications in total RNA (A) and ribosome-associated mRNA (B). C) Number of inosine

RNA modified transcripts exhibiting one or multiple inosines per transcript in total RNA and

ribosome-associated RNA. Numbers above line indicate the number of inosines / transcript. D)

Number of inosine RNA modifications within specific regions (5′ UTR, CDS, intron, and 3′ UTR)

in mRNA in total RNA and ribosome-associated RNA. *Means ± SEM within panel A and B are

different ($p < 0.05$); significance was determined using χ^2 tests. Only transcripts with TPM ≥ 1

were analyzed.

Figure 3. Consequences of inosine RNA modifications within CDS from WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and

***Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and zygote transcripts.** A-B) Proportion of inosine modifications (percentage)

in WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and zygotes that result in non-synonymous or synonymous

changes was determined for all CDS inosine modified mRNA transcripts in total RNA (A) and

ribosome-associated mRNA (B). C-D) Proportion of tolerated and deleterious transcripts

following Sorting Intolerant From Tolerant (SIFT) analysis of the inosine modified mRNA

transcripts from WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and zygotes in total RNA (C) or ribosome-

associated mRNA (D). E-F) Counts of tolerated and deleterious transcripts resulting from

inosine RNA modifications from WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and zygotes in total RNA (E)

or ribosome-associated mRNA (F). *Means ± SEM within a panel are different ($p < 0.05$);

significance was determined using X2 tests or 2-way ANOVA. Only transcripts with TPM ≥ 1

were analyzed.

Figure 4. Inosines are enriched at the wobble position of codons in total RNA and

ribosome-associated RNA. A-B) Number of inosine RNA modifications in adenosine-

containing codons in WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and zygotes in total RNA (A) and

ribosome-associated RNA (B). C-D) Number of inosine RNA modifications occurring at specific

codons among the codons with multiple adenosines in WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and

zygotes in total RNA (C) and ribosome-associated RNA (D). E-F) Global frequency of inosine

RNA modifications at the first, second, or third codon positions in WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-}

oocytes and zygotes in total RNA (E) and ribosome-associated RNA (F). *Means ± SEM within

a panel were different ($p < 0.05$); significance was determined using two-way ANOVA tests.

Only codons from transcripts with TPM ≥ 1 were analyzed.

Figure 5. Inosine RNA modification efficiency of total and ribosome-associated mRNA. A)

Inosine RNA modification efficiency in total RNA from WT, *Cnot6l*^{-/-}, and *Btg4*^{-/-} oocytes and

zygotes. Capital letter denotes the position of the codon with an inosine RNA modification. B)

Inosine RNA modification efficiency in ribosome-associated mRNA from WT and *Cnot6l*^{-/-}

oocytes and zygotes. Capital letter denotes the position of the codon with an inosine RNA

modification.

Figure 2

A) Total RNA

B) Ribosome-associated mRNA

C) Total RNA

D) Ribosome-associated mRNA

E) Total RNA

F) Ribosome-associated mRNA

Figure 4