Enrichment of neurodegenerative microglia signature in brain-derived extracellular vesicles isolated from Alzheimer's disease mouse model

Satoshi Muraoka[1], Mark P. Jedrychowski[2], Naotoshi Iwahara[1], Mohammad Abdullah[1], Kristen D. Onos[3], Kelly J. Keezer[3], Jianqiao Hu[1], Seiko Ikezu[1], Gareth R. Howell[3], Steven P. Gygi[2], Tsuneya Ikezu[1,4,5*]

[1]Department of Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; smuraoka@bu.edu (S.M.); niwahara@bu.edu (N.I.); moab@bu.edu (M.A.); lawrenhu@bu.edu (J.H.); sikezu@bu.edu (S.I.)

[2]Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; Mark_Jedrychowski@hms.harvard.edu (M.P.J.); steven_gygi@hms.harvard.edu (S.P.G.)

[3]The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine, ME, USA; Kristen.Onos@jax.org (K.O.); Kelly.keezer@jax.org (K.J.K); Gareth.Howell@jax.org (G.H.)

[4]Department of Neurology, Alzheimer's Disease Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA

[5]Center for Systems Neuroscience, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

[#]**Correspondence author:** Tsuneya Ikezu, MD, PhD

Boston University School of Medicine, 72 East Concord St, L-606B, Boston, MA 02118, USA. Email: tikezu@bu.edu Phone: 617-358-9575 Fax: 617-358-9574

Running title: Protein profiling of CAST.*APP/PS1* mouse brain-derived EVs

Abbreviations

Aβ: Amyloid beta peptide

AD: Alzheimer's disease

ANXA5: Annexin-5

APOE: Apolipoprotein E

APP: Amyloid precursor protein

BCA: Bicinchoninic acid

CNS: Central nervous system

CYC1: Cytochrome C

DAM/MGnD: disease-associated / neurodegenerative microglia

DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization, and integrated discovery

DEP: Differentially expressed proteins

EVs: Extracellular vesicles

FAD: Early-onset / familial AD

GM130: 130 kDa cis-Golgi matrix protein

HO: Homeostatic microglia

ITGAX: Integrin alpha-x

LOAD: Sporadic / late-onset AD

MS: Mass spectrometry

MVBs: Multivesicular bodies

NFT: Neurofibrillary tangles

NTA: Nanoparticle tracking analysis

PS1: Presenilin-1

TEM: Transmission electron microscopy

TSG101: Tumor susceptibility gene 101 protein

UC: Ultracentrifugation

TMT: Tandem mass tag

Abstract

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are secreted by any neuronal cells in the central nervous system (CNS)

for molecular clearance, cellular communications and disease spread in multiple

neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease (AD), although their exact molecular

mechanism is poorly understood. We hypothesize that high-resolution proteomic profiling of EVs

separated from animal models of AD would determine the composition of EV contents and their

cellular origin. Here, we examined recently developed transgenic mice (CAST.*APP/PS1*), which

express familial AD-linked mutations of amyloid precursor protein (*APP*) and presenilin-1 (*PS1*)

in the CAST/EiJ mouse strain and develop hippocampal neurodegeneration. Quantitative

proteomics analysis of EVs separated from CAST.*APP/PS1* and age-matched control mice by

tandem mass tag-mass spectrometry identified a total of 3,444 unique proteins, which are enriched

in neuron, astrocyte, oligodendrocyte and microglia-specific molecules. CAST.*APP/PS1*-derived

EVs show significant enrichment of Psen1, APP, Itgax, and reduction of Wdr61, Pmpca, Aldh1a2,

Calu, Anp32b, Actn4 and Ndufv2 compared to WT-derived EVs, suggesting the involvement of

Aβ-processing complex and disease-associated / neurodegenerative microglia (DAM/MGnD) in

EV secretion. In addition, Itgax and Apoe, the DAM/MGnD markers, in EV show a positive

correlation with *Itgax* and *Apoe* mRNA expression from brain tissue in CAST.*APP/PS1* mice.

These datasets indicate the significant contribution of Aβ plaque and neurodegeneration-induced

DAM/MGnD microglia for EV secretion in CAST.*APP/PS1* mice and shed light on understanding

the AD pathogenesis.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, amyloid-β peptide, amyloid precursor protein, apolipoprotein E,

extracellular vesicles, integrin, microglia, presenilin-1, proteome

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder and the most common

forms of adult dementia affecting 50 million people worldwide (1). The neuropathology of AD is

characterized by extracellular deposition of amyloid-β (Aβ) plaques, which are processed by

amyloid precursor protein (APP) and presenilin-1 (PS1)-dependent gamma secretase complex,

and intraneuronal accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), which are consisted with

hyperphosphorylated microtubule-associated protein tau (2-4). There are two form of AD, early-

onset / familial AD (FAD) and sporadic / late-onset AD (LOAD) (5, 6). FAD is mostly caused

by mutations in *APP* and *PSEN1* and *PSEN2* (7). The FAD mouse models expressing FAD-

linked mutation of *APP, PSEN1* or both, have been extensively used to understand the

pathophysiology of Aβ deposition although most of them do not develop neurodegeneration (8-

10). Onos *et al.* have recently reported a comprehensive assessment of the transgene expression

of FAD-linked mutation of *APP* and *PSEN1* in different genetic backgrounds including B6,

WSB/EiJ, PWK/PhJ, and CAST/EiJ to establish more clinically-relevant AD mouse models (11).

The study showed that CAST.*APP/PS1* line develops reduction in the number of hippocampal

pyramidal neurons and robust neuroinflammatory response than previous models (11), which

would be more suitable for the assessment of Aβ deposition-induced inflammatory reaction and

neuronal cell loss.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs), including exosomes (50-150nm), ectosomes/microvesicles

(150-1000nm), and apoptotic bodies (1000-5000nm) are released from almost any neuronal cells

(12-14). These EVs contain proteins, mRNA, non-coding RNAs (such as microRNA) and lipids,

can transfer these molecules from cells to cells, and can be transported to biofluids, such as and

cerebrospinal fluid and blood. In the central nervous system (CNS), brain-derived EVs contain

multiple AD-associated proteins such as Aβ, α-synuclein, APP, cyclin-dependent kinase 5,

PSEN1, and tau, and play important roles in Aβ deposition and tauopathy (15-20). Moreover, it

has been reported that inhibition of EV synthesis reduced Aβ plaque deposition in the mouse

model of AD, and stimulation of EV secretion increased intracellular transfer of prion protein in

AD mouse models (16, 17). EVs are involved in the extracellular enzymatic degradation of Aβ

and promote both Aβ aggregation and clearance by microglia (18, 19), although their exact

molecular mechanism is poorly understood. We hypothesize that high-resolution proteomic

profiling of EVs separated from animal models of AD would determine the composition of EV

contents and their cellular origin. Here we provide the quantitative proteomics profiling of EVs

separated from CAST.*APP/PS1* transgenic mouse brain tissue and show brain-derived EV

molecules altered during early-onset AD.

Materials and methods

CAST.-*APP/PS1* transgenic mouse model

The CAST.-*APP/PS1* transgenic mouse line, which expresses human *APP^{swe}* and *PS1^{de9}*, was

created in the Howell lab colony at The Jackson Laboratory by backcrossing for at least seven

generations the *APP/PS1* transgenes from C57BL/6J (B6) to CAST (11). Brain samples

(forebrain and hindbrain) were extracted from 6 female CAST.*APP/PS1* and 6 female CAST

(WT) littermate control mice at 8 months of age. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine

prior to tissue harvest.

Brain tissue homogenates

Frozen whole brain tissue was chopped on ice using a razor blade (# 12-640 Fischer Scientific)

to generate approximately 0.5 mm-wide pieces, and homogenized by a sonicator. The

homogenized tissue was lyzed using Guanidine Hydrochloride (# 50950-250G Sigma).

Separation of EVs from mouse brain tissue

Brain tissue (0.4 g per sample) was processed for EV extraction based on our reported method

with modifications. Briefly, frozen whole brain tissue was chopped on ice using a razor blade (#

12-640 Fischer Scientific) to generate approximately 0.5 mm-wide pieces. The sections were

transferred to 3mL of Hibernate E solution (# A1247601 Gibco) containing 20 U of papain (#

LK003178 Worthington-biochemical corporation) in Earle's Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS) (#

14155063 Gibco) and then incubated at 37°C for 15 min by stirring once every 5 min. After the

incubation, the samples were placed on ice, and added with 6 mL of ice-cold Hibernate E

solution supplemented with Halt™ Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktails (# PI78443

Fisher scientific). The samples were gently homogenized (20 strokes) with a glass-Teflon

homogenizer (# 89026-384 VWR), and filtered with 40-μm mesh filter (# 22-363-547 Fisher

scientific), followed by centrifugation at $300 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C (# 5720R Eppendorf). The

supernatant was transferred to a new 15-mL polypropylene tube and centrifuged at $2,000 \times g$ for

10 min at 4°C (# 5720R Eppendorf). The supernatant was transferred to a 30-mL conical tube

and centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C (#5424R Eppendorf). The supernatant filtered

through a 0.22-μm polyethersulfone membrane filter (# SLGP033RS EMD Millipore) into new a

polyallomer ultracentrifuge tube with 13.2-mL capacity (# 331372 Beckman Coulter), diluted

with double-filtered phosphate-buffered saline (dfPBS) with 0.22-μm polyethersulfone

membrane filter to 12 mL, and centrifuged at $140,000 \times g$ for 70 min at 4°C (# Optima-XE

SW41 Beckman Coulter). The pellet was resuspended in 2 mL of 0.475M of sucrose solution (#

S5-3 Fisher science) in dfPBS. The sucrose step gradient was created in dfPBS with six 2-mL

steps starting from 2.0M to 1.5M, 1.0M, 0.825M, 0.65M, and 0.475M (containing the

resuspended pellet) in a polyallomer ultracentrifuge tube. The gradient was centrifuged at

$200,000 \times g$ for 20 h at 4°C (35,000 rpm with # Optima-XE SW41 Beckman Coulter). The

gradient was collected in 2-mL fractions, except for the first and last fractions, which were 1 mL

each. The interphases between the second (0.65M) and third (0.825M) steps correspond to

fraction "V" and the third and fourth steps corresponded to fraction "VI" have a buoyant density

of 1.10 - 1.12 and 1.12 - 1.15 g/cm^3, respectively, and enriched in EVs. The V and VI fractions

were diluted to a total volume of 12 mL with dfPBS and centrifuged at $140,000 \times g$ for 70 min at

4°C (# Optima-XE SW41 Beckman Coulter), and each pellet were resuspend with 30 µl of

dfPBS. The fraction V and VI fractions were mixed as an EV-enriched sample.

Protein concentrations

The bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay was used to determine protein concentration for each

sample using BCA protein assay kit (# 23225 Pierce) as previously described (21). EVs were

diluted 1:10 before loading into the assay, and a 1:8 ratio of sample to reaction components was

used. All assays were allowed to incubate at 37°C for 30 min before protein concentration was

read in at 562 nm (SynergyMix, Biotek).

Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA)

All samples were diluted in dfPBS at least 1:8000 to get particles within the target reading range

for the Nanosight 300 machine (Malvern Panalytical Inc), which is 10-100 particles per frame.

Using a manual injection system, four 60-s videos were taken for each sample at 21°C. Analysis

of particle counts was carried out in the Nanosight NTA 3.2 software (Malvern Panalytical Inc)

with a detection threshold of 5.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The EV separated from *APP/PS1* and control mouse brain tissue were analyzed by TEM. The

EV sample (5µl) was adsorbed for 1 min to a carbon-coated mesh grid (# CF400-CU, Electron

Microscopy Sciences) that had been made hydrophilic by a 20-s exposure to a glow discharge

(25 mA). Excess liquid was removed with a filter paper (# 1 Whatman). The grid was then

floated briefly on a drop of water (to wash away phosphate or salt), blotted on a filer paper, and

then stained with 0.75% uranyl formate (# 22451 Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 30 s. After

removing the excess uranyl formate, the grids were examined, and random fields were

photographed using a JEOL 1200EX TEM with an AMT 2k CCD camera at the Electron

Microscopy Facility, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

Western blotting

EV samples and brain tissue homogenate samples were run in a 4% to 20% gradient gel (#

4561093 Bio-Rad) and electro-transferred to Immobilon-P membrane, PVDF 0.45-µm (#

10344661 Fisher scientific). The membrane was blocked in freshly prepared 5% BSA diluted in

TBS before being immunoblotted with specific primary antibodies (CD81; #EXOAB-CD81A-1

System Biosciences, GM130; #610822 Becton Dickinson, Cytochrome C; #11940T Cell

Signaling Technology, ANXA5, ItgaX; #14-011485 eBioscience) or HRP-labeled primary

antibodies (TSG101; # SC-7964 Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The membrane was incubated with

HRP-labeled secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and scanned using the C300

digital chemiluminescent imager (Azure Biosystems).

High-Resolution Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass-tag Mass spectrometry

SDS-PAGE and In-gel digestion

Ice-cold 100% (w / v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (# T6399 Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the

separated EV fraction to a final concentration of 20% of TCA, then the mixed sample was

incubated for 30 min on ice and was centrifuged at 15,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The pellet was

then washed twice with ice-cold acetone (# 179124 Sigma-Aldrich). After drying, the pellet was

resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer (# 1610747 Bio-Rad) with 5 mM dithiothreitol (# 43815

Sigma-Aldrich), reduced for 20 min at 65°C, and alkylated with 15 mM iodoacetamide (# I1149

Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. Subsequently, the samples were run

in a 4% to 20% gradient gel (# 4561096 Bio-Rad) until the dye front was 10 mm from the top of

the gel. The gels were washed twice with distilled water, fixed with 100% Methanol, and stained

with GelCode Blue Stain Reagent (# 24590 Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 16 hrs. Each lane was

then individually removed from the gel. Gel pieces were then transferred to 1.5 mL tubes and

destained twice using 50% acetonitrile (J. T. Baker, USA) in 25 mM HEPES (pH 8.8) at 22°C,

for 15 min with shaking, and dehydrated with 100% acetonitrile for additional 10 min with

shaking, for a total of three times. The destained gel piece was dried up using SpeedVac

Concentrators (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The gel pieces were digested with proteomic grade

trypsin (# 03708985 Roche, USA) in 25 mM HEPES overnight at 37°C. The digested peptide

was extracted with 70% acetonitrile /1% formic acid, and were removed the gel by Ultrafree-MC

Centrifugal Filter (# UFC30L Millipore USA). The digested peptides were reconstituted in 25 μL

of 200 mM EPPS (pH 8.0) and vortexed for 5 min.

Peptide labeling with TMT 16-plex isobaric labeling Kit

Tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (#

A44520 Thermo Fisher Scientific). In brief, 4 μL of TMT Label reagent (20 ng/μL) was added to

the digested peptides in 30 μL of 200 mM HEPPS (4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-

piperazinepropanesulfonic acid), pH8.0. After incubation at room temperature for 1 h, the

reaction was quenched with 2 μL of 5% hydroxylamine in water for 15min. The TMT-labeled

peptide samples were pooled at a 1:1 ratio across ten samples. The combined sample was added

to 100 μL of 20% formic acid, 2 mL of 1% formic acid, desalted via StageTip, dried by vacuum

centrifugation, and resuspended in 20 μL of 5% acetonitrile and 5% formic acid for nano liquid

chromatography and tandem mass-spectrometry (Nano LC-MS/MS/MS).

Nano-Liquid Chromatography and Tandem Mass-tag Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS/MS)

Nano LC–MS/MS/MS analysis was conducted using an LTQ-Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass

spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) equipped with a Proxeon EASY-nano LC 1200

liquid chromatography pump (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Peptides were separated

on a 100 μm inner diameter microcapillary column packed with 35-cm long Accucore150 resin

(2.6 μm, 150 Å, Thermo Fisher Scientific). We loaded 4 μL onto the column and separation was

achieved using a 180 min gradient of 8 to 23% acetonitrile in 0.125% formic acid at a flow rate

of ~550 nL/min. The analysis used an MS^3 based TMT method, which has been shown to reduce

ion interference. The scan sequence began with an MS1 spectrum (Orbitrap; resolution 120,000;

mass range 400-1400m/z; automatic gain control (AGC) target 5×10^5; maximum injection time

100ms). Precursors for MS^2/MS^3 analysis were selected using a Top10 method. MS2 analysis

consisted of collision-induced dissociation (quadrupole ion trap; AGC 2×10^4; normalized

collision energy (NCE) 35; maximum injection time 150ms). Following acquisition of each MS^2

spectrum, we collected an MS^3 spectrum using our recently described method in which multiple

MS^2 fragment ions were captured in the MS^3 precursor population using isolation waveforms

with multiple frequency notches (22). MS^3 precursors were fragmented by high-energy collision-

induced dissociation (HCD) and analyzed using the Orbitrap (NCE 65; AGC 1×10^5; maximum

injection time 150ms, resolution was 50,000 at 200Th).

Mass-spectrometry data analysis

A compendium of in-house developed software was used to convert mass spectrometric data

(Raw file) to the mzXML format, as well as to correct monoisotopic m/z measurements (23).

Database searching included all entries from the *Mus musculus* with human APP and PS1

UniProt database (ver. October 2018). This database was concatenated with one composed of all

protein sequences in the reversed order. Searches were performed using a 50ppm precursor ion

tolerance for total protein level profiling (22). The product ion tolerance was set to 0.9 Da, which

was chosen to maximize sensitivity in conjunction with SEQUEST searches and linear

discriminant analysis. TMT tags on lysine residues and peptide N termini (+229.163 Da) and

carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues (+57.021 Da) were set as static modifications, while

oxidation of methionine residues (+15.995 Da) was set as a variable modification. Peptide-

spectrum matches (PSMs) were adjusted to a 1% false discovery rate (FDR). Filtering was

performed using an in-house linear discrimination analysis (LDA) method to create one

combined filter parameter from the following peptide ion and MS^2 spectra metrics: SEQUEST

parameters XCorr and ΔCn, peptide ion mass accuracy and charge state, in-solution charge of

peptide, peptide length, and mis-cleavages. Linear discrimination scores were used to assign

probabilities to each MS^2 spectrum for being assigned correctly, and these probabilities were

further used to filter the dataset with an MS^2 spectra assignment FDR of smaller than 1% at the

protein level (24). For TMT-based reporter ion quantitation, we extracted the summed signal-to-

noise (S/N) ratio for each TMT channel and found the closest matching centroid to the expected

mass of the TMT reporter ion. PSMs were identified, quantified, and collapsed to a 1% peptide

FDR and then collapsed further to a final protein-level FDR of 1%. Moreover, protein assembly

was guided by principles of parsimony to produce the smallest set of proteins necessary to

account for all observed peptides. Proteins were quantified by summing reporter ion counts

across all matching PSMs. PSMs with poor quality, MS^3 spectra with more than eight TMT

reporter ion channels missing, MS^3 spectra with TMT reporter summed signal-to-noise ratio less

than 100, or no MS^3 spectra were excluded from quantification (25). The mass spectrometry

proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner

repository (26) with the dataset identifier PXD022349 (Username:

reviewer_pxd022349@ebi.ac.uk, pa$$word: 4ot9rIHF). Protein quantitation values were

exported for further analysis in Microsoft Excel or Prism8. Each reporter ion channel was

summed across all quantified proteins.

Experimental design and statistical analysis

EVs were isolated from brain tissue of 6 female CAST.*APP/PS1* and 6 female CAST (WT)

littermate control mice at 8 months of age. Statistical analysis was conducted using Prism 8

(GraphPad, Inc.). Between group comparisons were analyzed by Welch's t-test. The Gene

Ontology of identified proteins were elucidated by the Database for Annotation, Visualization

and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) Bioinformatics Resources 6.8. The venn diagram and

heatmap analysis were generated using Venny_2.1 (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/) and

ClustVis (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis/).

Results

Biochemical and morphological characterization of EVs separated from brain tissue

We separated EVs from mouse brain tissues by ultracentrifugation and sucrose gradient

ultracentrifugation as previously described (21). To check the purity of the EV preparation from

mouse brain tissues, the EV fractions were analyzed for their size and number by NTA. The EV

fractions were enriched the particle of small size (median 122 nm) compared to brain

homogenate (median 165 nm, **Figure 1A**). The particles per protein were 2.08×10^7 [particles /

µg] in brain homogenate and 1.40×10^9 [particles / µg] in separated EV fraction (**Figure 1B**),

showing significant enrichment (p < 0.001). The EV markers such as Tumor susceptibility gene

101 protein (TSG101) and CD81 were clearly represented in EV fractions, whereas

contamination markers such as 130 kDa cis-Golgi matrix protein (GM130) and cytochrome C

(CYC1) in MISEV2018 guidelines (12) were absent in the EV fraction (**Figure 1C**). The

separated EVs were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), which shows cap-

shaped morphology as commonly seen separated EVs (**Figure 1D**). These data demonstrate the

successful enrichment of EV fraction from mouse brain tissues.

Proteomic profiling of EVs from CAST.*APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain tissue

The median diameter of separated EVs was 120 nm for WT and 112 nm for *APP/PS1* groups,

and the particle counts were 7.03×10^{11} particles for WT and 1.38×10^{12} particles for *APP/PS1*

groups (**Figure 2A**). There were no significantly difference in these parameters between WT and

APP/PS1 groups (Diameter: *p* = 0.4848, particle counts: *p* = 0.0649). We next analyzed the

protein profiles of EVs separated from *APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain tissues by LC-MS/MS/MS

using TMT-based labeling (27). We identified a total of 3444 unique proteins **(Supplementary**

Table S1 and S2). The identified proteins were compared with the top 100 EV proteins from the

ExoCarta database (28). The Venn diagram represents 90 of the top 100 EV proteins commonly

found in the mouse brain-derived EVs **(Figure 2B)**. We analyzed the proteomic dataset using

Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID Gene Ontology

(GO)) (29, 30). The identified proteins show significantly enrichment of extracellular exosome

by 'cellular component', and transport and protein-binding molecules by 'Biological process'

and 'Molecular function', respectively (**Figure 2C**). The KEGG pathway analysis showed

enrichment of endocytosis and glutamatergic synapse molecules, which are related to microglia

and neuronal functions. The EV proteins were mostly annotated brain, brain cortex and

hippocampus by Tissue ontology as expected **(Figure 2C)**. Taken together, these results show

successful enrichment of proteins specific to EVs, cadherin/protein binding molecules, neuronal

/glial functions and brain tissues in separated EV samples.

Neural cell-type specific proteins of EVs derived from mouse brain tissue

We next examined the enrichment of neural cell-type specific molecules in the EV proteomic

dataset using the proteomic dataset of neural cells, such as neurons, astrocytes, microglia and

oligodendrocytes separated from mouse brain tissues by a bio-panning method as a reference

(31). The identified neural cell-type specific markers (155 total) are 43.9 % (68) neurons, 5.8%

(9) microglia, 27.1% (42) astrocytes and 23.2% (36) oligodendrocytes **(Figure 3A)**. We

examined the changes in the expression of these cell type-specific markers in EVs separated

from *APP/PS1* and WT groups. The neuron-specific molecules downregulated in *APP/PS1*

compared to WT include Pclo (Piccolo), Add2 (Beta-adducin), L1cam (Neural cell adhesion

molecule L1), Calb2 (Calretinin) and Calb1 (Calbindin), while upregulated molecules include

Camkv (CaM kinase-like vesicle-associated protein), Gprin1 (G protein-regulated inducer of

neurite outgrowth 1), Ngef (Ephexin-1), and Fxyd6 (FXYD domain-containing ion transport

regulator 6) (**Figure 3B**). The astrocyte-specific molecules downregulated in *APP/PS1* compared

to WT include Aldh1a2 (Retinal dehydrogenase 2), Nid1 (Nidogen-1), Lamb2 (Laminin subunit

beta-2) and Cbs (Cystathionine beta-synthase), while upregulated molecules include Sorbs1

(Sorbin and SH3 domain-containing protein 1), Fmn2 (Formin-2) and Pacsin3 (Protein kinase C

and casein kinase II substrate protein 3). The oligodendrocyte-specific molecules downregulated

in *APP/PS1* compared to WT include P4ha1 (Prolyl 4-hydroxylase subunit alpha-1), Mog

(Myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein), Tnr (Tenascin-R) and Hmgcs1 (Hydroxymethylglutaryl-

CoA synthase, cytoplasmic), while upregulated molecules include Col1a1 (Collagen alpha-1(I)

chain), Pde9a (High affinity cGMP-specific 3',5'-cyclic phosphodiesterase 9A) and Cnp (C-type

natriuretic peptide). There are limited changes in the microglia-specific molecules identified by

the previous proteomic study. To compensate the information, we have used the microglia-

specific gene signature identified from microglia separated from another *APP/PS1* mouse models

(32-34), namely disease-associated/neurodegenerative microglia (DAM/MGnD) and homeostatic

microglia (HO). We identified DAM/MGnD-specific molecules, especially integrin alpha-x

(Itgax) and apolipoprotein E (Apoe) upregulated in EVs from *APP/PS1* compared to WT group

as determined by the scattered plot analysis of Log_2 fold changes of EV proteomic dataset and

the microglia gene expression profile (**Figure 3C**). These data indicate global changes in the

contribution of EV production in different neural cell types, suggesting their potential application

in monitoring the disease progression and understanding the pathobiology.

Comparison of *APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain-derived EV proteins by TMT-labeling

proteomics analysis

We analyzed the fold change and *p*-values of proteins by Volcano plot, which shows that 3

proteins were significantly upregulated ($p < 0.05$, $Log_2FC > 0.585$ or < -0.5585) , while 7

proteins were significantly down-regulated in *APP/PS1* compared to the WT **(Figure 4A)**. The

three significantly upregulated molecules are Psen1, App and Itgax (**Figure 4B**). Among them,

Psen1 and App are likely due to their transgene expression in *APP/PS1* mice, thus Itgax

(CD11c), which is the most representative marker of DAM/MGnD, is the only endogenous

molecule significantly upregulated in the separated EVs from *APP/PS1* mouse brain. The seven

significantly downregulated molecules are WD repeat-containing protein 61 (Wdr61),

Mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit alpha (Pmpca), Retinal dehydrogenase 2 (Aldh1a2,

also astrocyte-specific marker), Calumenin (Calu), Acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein

32 family member B (Anp32b), Alpha-actinin-4 (Actn4), and NADH dehydrogenase

flavoprotein 2 (Ndufv2) **(Figure 4C)**. The 46 significantly differentially expressed proteins

(DEPs, $p < 0.05$) are displayed in a heatmap, showing two clusters either upregulated or

downregulated in *APP/PS1* compared to WT group **(Figure 4D)**. The upregulated proteins

include Anxa5 (Annexin-5), which specifically binds to the phosphatidylserine expressed on

dying cells (35). We recently reported ANXA5 as the most upregulated molecules in AD brain-

derived EVs compared to healthy control group (20). We also confirmed the expression of

ANXA5 by immunoblotting of EVs separated from *APP/PS1* and WT mouse brains

(Supplementary Figure. S1). We compared the ratio of mRNA levels in *APP/PS1* mouse brain

tissues over WT controls, which was published (11) and the ratio protein levels EVs separated

from *APP/PS1* mouse brains over WT controls in this study by a scattered plot (**Figure 4E**). The

Itgax protein show highly positive correlation with Itgax mRNA level ($\log_2$ mRNA expression

ratio; 3.77, $\log_2$ EV protein expression ratio; 1.44). These data demonstrate that DAM/MGnD

induction in *APP/PS1* mouse brain, as determined by Itgax expression, may contribute to the

enhanced EV production by microglia, which is shown in the upregulation of Itgax in *APP/PS1*

mouse brain-derived EVs. The ItgaX protein was upregulated in EVs separated from *APP/PS1*

mouse brains using immunoblotting **(Figure 4F)**.

Discussion

In the present study, we separated EVs from brain tissue of CAST.*APP/PS1* transgenic mice and

age-matched CAST WT littermates. The EV samples were biophysically and morphologically

characterized and subjected TMT-labeled high-resolution quantitative proteomic profiling by

Nano LC-MS/MS/MS. A total of 3,444 unique proteins from brain-derived EVs, were found to be

enriched as extracellular exosomes molecules. The identified EV proteins were enriched in neural

cell type and DAM/MGnD -specific molecules in CAST.*APP/PS1* compared to WT group. Itgax,

the DAM/MGnD marker, was significantly upregulated in EVs from CAST.*APP/PS1* compared

to WT mouse brains. In addition, the significantly increased level of ANXA5 in the

CAST.*APP/PS1* group, which was also increased in AD brain-derived EVs, was confirmed by

western blot.

The protein levels of APP and Psen1 were also significantly upregulated in CAST.*APP/PS1*

brain-derived EVs compare to WT. The peptides of APP identified in both groups by Nano-LC-

MS/MS, which covered 14.5% of APP, including the corresponding amyloid-β peptide region

(Supplementary Figure S2). The quantification value of identified peptides, which contain

amyloid beta peptide and C-terminal peptide showed to upregulate 1.5 - 2.0 folds in

CAST.*APP/PS1* compared to WT in **Supplementary Table S3**. The EV in CAST.*APP/PS1*,

therefore, may contain amyloid-β peptide, full-length APP and cleaved C-terminal APP. Our

attempt to detect these molecules by ELISA was unsuccessful due to the scarcity of the target

molecules (data not shown).

Itgax is a well-established integrin and form complex with Integrin beta2 (Itgb2/CD18) as

inactivated-C3b receptor 4 (complement receptor 4) (36). The expression levels of Itgax is

specifically increased in DAM/MGnD microglia separated from aged *APP/PS1* mice (37). In

addition, we have recently shown that amyloid plaque-associated Mac2$^+$ DAM/MGnD microglia

hyper-secrete EVs to extracellular regions in *App^{NL-G-F}* knockin mouse model, demonstrating that

DAM/MGnD plays a key role in EV secretion in AD mouse brains (38).

415   We compare the EV proteomics data to human AD brain-derived EV proteomics data (20),

416 the 380 proteins were common between CAST.*APP/PS1* brain-derived EVs and human AD brain-

417 derived EVs **(Supplementary Figure S3)**. The APOE, CAMKV, ANXA5 and VGF showed a

418 similar correlation with these EVs, suggesting that Aβ deposition may be the major pathology for

419 the upregulation of these molecules in EVs.

420   The study has some limitation. The first is the limited amount of EVs that can be separated

from mouse brain (9.7 - 27.5 µg/whole brain). It is often difficult to detect proteins of interest

unless highly sensitive quantification method (such as digital ELISA) is available with the limited

amount of proteins. The second is the depth of identified neural cell type-specific molecules from

mouse brains that are publicly available. This is especially an issue for microglia-specific markers

in this study. This can be compensated by the dataset of cell type-specific gene expression analysis,

but these molecules still need to be validated by proteomic approaches. Another issue is the lack

of other neuropathology in *APP/PS1* mouse models, such as tau accumulation, cortical atrophy,

which may attribute to the difference in proteomic profiles of EVs separated from human and

mouse brain tissues. Further studies will be necessary to address these limitations by the use of

more robust and sensitive protein detection systems, development of more comprehensive dataset

for neural cell type-specific proteome, and application of animal models more closely

recapitulating AD progression in brain.

In summary, we have profiled a total of 3,444 proteins in EV samples separated from

CAST.*APP/PS1* and CAST WT mouse brain tissues at 8 months of age. *APP/PS1* mouse brain-

derived EVs are enriched in App, Psen1, Itgax and Anxa5, representing the amyloid pathology

progression, contribution of DAM/MGnD-derived EVs and apoptotic cell-detecting molecules: A

highly relevant molecular set for understanding the disease progression in *APP/PS1* mouse brains.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank M. Ericsson (Electron Microscopy Facility, Harvard Medical School) for

electron microscopic imaging services.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

S.M. and T.I. designed research; S.M., M.P.J., N.I., M.A., and K.O. performed research; S.M.,

M.P.J., N.I., J.H., and S.P.G. analyzed data; L.O., and G.H. provided brain sample; and S.M.,

and T.I. wrote the paper; S.M., W.P.J., N.I., M.A., S.I., and T.I. edited the paper.

Funding

This work is in part funded by Alzheimer's Association AARF-9550302678 (SM), Cure

Alzheimer's Fund (TI), NIH R01 AG066429 (TI), NIH RF1 AG054199 (TI), NIH R56

AG057469 (TI), NIH RF1 AG051496 (GRH), NIH RF1 AG055104 (GRH) and BU ADC P30

AG013846 (SI).

References

1.   Selkoe, D. J., and Hardy, J. (2016) The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at

25 years. *EMBO Mol Med* 8, 595–608

2.   Masters, C. L., Bateman, R., Blennow, K., Rowe, C. C., Sperling, R. A., and Cummings,

J. L. (2015) Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 1, 15056

3.   Ittner, L. M., and Götz, J. (2011) Amyloid-β and tau--a toxic pas de deux in Alzheimer's

disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12, 65–72

4.   Goedert, M. (2015) NEURODEGENERATION. Alzheimer"s and Parkinson"s diseases:

The prion concept in relation to assembled Aβ, tau, and α-synuclein. *Science* 349, 1255555–

1255555

5.   Barber, R. C. (2012) The genetics of Alzheimer's disease. *Scientifica (Cairo)* 2012,

246210–14

6.   Adam, M. P., Ardinger, H. H., Pagon, R. A., Wallace, S. E., Bean, L. J., Stephens, K.,

Amemiya, A., and Bird, T. D. (1993) Early-Onset Familial Alzheimer Disease – RETIRED

CHAPTER, FOR HISTORICAL REFERENCE ONLY. *PLoS Med* 14, e1002270

7.   Bertram, L., and Tanzi, R. E. (2008) Thirty years of Alzheimer's disease genetics: the

implications of systematic meta-analyses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 768–778

8.   Sasaguri, H., Nilsson, P., Hashimoto, S., Nagata, K., Saito, T., De Strooper, B., Hardy, J.,

Vassar, R., Winblad, B., and Saido, T. C. (2017) APP mouse models for Alzheimer's disease

preclinical studies. *EMBO J.* 36, 2473–2487

9.   Platt, T. L., Reeves, V. L., and Murphy, M. P. (2013) Transgenic models of Alzheimer's

disease: better utilization of existing models through viral transgenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*

1832, 1437–1448

10.   Balducci, C., and Forloni, G. (2011) APP transgenic mice: their use and limitations.

Neuromolecular Med. 13, 117–137

11.   Onos, K. D., Uyar, A., Keezer, K. J., Jackson, H. M., Preuss, C., Acklin, C. J., O'Rourke,

R., Buchanan, R., Cossette, T. L., Sukoff Rizzo, S. J., Soto, I., Carter, G. W., and Howell, G. R.

(2019) Enhancing face validity of mouse models of Alzheimer's disease with natural genetic

variation. *PLoS Genet.* 15, e1008155

12.   Thery, C., Witwer, K. W., Aikawa, E., Alcaraz, M. J., Anderson, J. D., Andriantsitohaina,

R., Antoniou, A., Arab, T., Archer, F., Atkin-Smith, G. K., Ayre, D. C., Bach, J.-M., Bachurski,

D., Baharvand, H., Balaj, L., Baldacchino, S., Bauer, N. N., Baxter, A. A., Bebawy, M.,

Beckham, C., Bedina Zavec, A., Benmoussa, A., Berardi, A. C., Bergese, P., Bielska, E.,

Blenkiron, C., Bobis-Wozowicz, S., Boilard, E., Boireau, W., Bongiovanni, A., Borràs, F. E.,

Bosch, S., Boulanger, C. M., Breakefield, X., Breglio, A. M., Brennan, M. Á., Brigstock, D. R.,

Brisson, A., Broekman, M. L., Bromberg, J. F., Bryl-Górecka, P., Buch, S., Buck, A. H., Burger,

D., Busatto, S., Buschmann, D., Bussolati, B., Buzás, E. I., Byrd, J. B., Camussi, G., Carter, D.

R., Caruso, S., Chamley, L. W., Chang, Y.-T., Chen, C., Chen, S., Cheng, L., Chin, A. R.,

Clayton, A., Clerici, S. P., Cocks, A., Cocucci, E., Coffey, R. J., Cordeiro-da-Silva, A., Couch,

Y., Coumans, F. A., Coyle, B., Crescitelli, R., Criado, M. F., D'Souza-Schorey, C., Das, S., Datta

Chaudhuri, A., de Candia, P., De Santana, E. F., De Wever, O., Del Portillo, H. A., Demaret, T.,

Deville, S., Devitt, A., Dhondt, B., Di Vizio, D., Dieterich, L. C., Dolo, V., Dominguez Rubio,

A. P., Dominici, M., Dourado, M. R., Driedonks, T. A., Duarte, F. V., Duncan, H. M.,

Eichenberger, R. M., Ekström, K., Andaloussi, El, S., Elie-Caille, C., Erdbrügger, U., Falcon-

Perez, J. M., Fatima, F., Fish, J. E., Flores-Bellver, M., Försönits, A., Frelet-Barrand, A., Fricke,

F., Fuhrmann, G., Gabrielsson, S., Gámez-Valero, A., Gardiner, C., Gärtner, K., Gaudin, R.,

Gho, Y. S., Giebel, B., Gilbert, C., Gimona, M., Giusti, I., Goberdhan, D. C., Görgens, A.,

Gorski, S. M., Greening, D. W., Gross, J. C., Gualerzi, A., Gupta, G. N., Gustafson, D.,

Handberg, A., Haraszti, R. A., Harrison, P., Hegyesi, H., Hendrix, A., Hill, A. F., Hochberg, F.

H., Hoffmann, K. F., Holder, B., Holthofer, H., Hosseinkhani, B., Hu, G., Huang, Y., Huber, V.,

Hunt, S., Ibrahim, A. G.-E., Ikezu, T., Inal, J. M., Isin, M., Ivanova, A., Jackson, H. K.,

Jacobsen, S., Jay, S. M., Jayachandran, M., Jenster, G., Jiang, L., Johnson, S. M., Jones, J. C.,

Jong, A., Jovanovic-Talisman, T., Jung, S., Kalluri, R., Kano, S.-I., Kaur, S., Kawamura, Y.,

Keller, E. T., Khamari, D., Khomyakova, E., Khvorova, A., Kierulf, P., Kim, K. P., Kislinger, T.,

Klingeborn, M., Klinke, D. J., Kornek, M., Kosanović, M. M., Kovács, Á. F., Krämer-Albers, E.-

M., Krasemann, S., Krause, M., Kurochkin, I. V., Kusuma, G. D., Kuypers, S., Laitinen, S.,

Langevin, S. M., Languino, L. R., Lannigan, J., Lässer, C., Laurent, L. C., Lavieu, G., Lázaro-

Ibáñez, E., Le Lay, S., Lee, M.-S., Lee, Y. X. F., Lemos, D. S., Lenassi, M., Leszczynska, A., Li,

I. T., Liao, K., Libregts, S. F., Ligeti, E., Lim, R., Lim, S. K., Linē, A., Linnemannstöns, K.,

Llorente, A., Lombard, C. A., Lorenowicz, M. J., Lörincz, Á. M., Lötvall, J., Lovett, J., Lowry,

M. C., Loyer, X., Lu, Q., Lukomska, B., Lunavat, T. R., Maas, S. L., Malhi, H., Marcilla, A.,

Mariani, J., Mariscal, J., Martens-Uzunova, E. S., Martin-Jaular, L., Martinez, M. C., Martins, V.

R., Mathieu, M., Mathivanan, S., Maugeri, M., McGinnis, L. K., McVey, M. J., Meckes, D. G.,

Meehan, K. L., Mertens, I., Minciacchi, V. R., Möller, A., Møller Jørgensen, M., Morales-

Kastresana, A., Morhayim, J., Mullier, F., Muraca, M., Musante, L., Mussack, V., Muth, D. C.,

Myburgh, K. H., Najrana, T., Nawaz, M., Nazarenko, I., Nejsum, P., Neri, C., Neri, T.,

Nieuwland, R., Nimrichter, L., Nolan, J. P., Nolte-'t Hoen, E. N., Noren Hooten, N., O'Driscoll,

L., O'Grady, T., O'Loghlen, A., Ochiya, T., Olivier, M., Ortiz, A., Ortiz, L. A., Osteikoetxea, X.,

Østergaard, O., Ostrowski, M., Park, J., Pegtel, D. M., Peinado, H., Perut, F., Pfaffl, M. W.,

Phinney, D. G., Pieters, B. C., Pink, R. C., Pisetsky, D. S., Pogge von Strandmann, E.,

Polakovicova, I., Poon, I. K., Powell, B. H., Prada, I., Pulliam, L., Quesenberry, P., Radeghieri,

A., Raffai, R. L., Raimondo, S., Rak, J., Ramirez, M. I., Raposo, G., Rayyan, M. S., Regev-

Rudzki, N., Ricklefs, F. L., Robbins, P. D., Roberts, D. D., Rodrigues, S. C., Rohde, E., Rome,

S., Rouschop, K. M., Rughetti, A., Russell, A. E., Saá, P., Sahoo, S., Salas-Huenuleo, E.,

Sánchez, C., Saugstad, J. A., Saul, M. J., Schiffelers, R. M., Schneider, R., Schøyen, T. H., Scott,

A., Shahaj, E., Sharma, S., Shatnyeva, O., Shekari, F., Shelke, G. V., Shetty, A. K., Shiba, K.,

Siljander, P. R.-M., Silva, A. M., Skowronek, A., Snyder, O. L., Soares, R. P., Sódar, B. W.,

Soekmadji, C., Sotillo, J., Stahl, P. D., Stoorvogel, W., Stott, S. L., Strasser, E. F., Swift, S.,

Tahara, H., Tewari, M., Timms, K., Tiwari, S., Tixeira, R., Tkach, M., Toh, W. S., Tomasini, R.,

Torrecilhas, A. C., Tosar, J. P., Toxavidis, V., Urbanelli, L., Vader, P., van Balkom, B. W., van

der Grein, S. G., Van Deun, J., van Herwijnen, M. J., Van Keuren-Jensen, K., van Niel, G., van

Royen, M. E., van Wijnen, A. J., Vasconcelos, M. H., Vechetti, I. J., Veit, T. D., Vella, L. J.,

Velot, É., Verweij, F. J., Vestad, B., Viñas, J. L., Visnovitz, T., Vukman, K. V., Wahlgren, J.,

Watson, D. C., Wauben, M. H., Weaver, A., Webber, J. P., Weber, V., Wehman, A. M., Weiss,

D. J., Welsh, J. A., Wendt, S., Wheelock, A. M., Wiener, Z., Witte, L., Wolfram, J., Xagorari,

A., Xander, P., Xu, J., Yan, X., Yáñez-Mó, M., Yin, H., Yuana, Y., Zappulli, V., Zarubova, J.,

Žěkas, V., Zhang, J.-Y., Zhao, Z., Zheng, L., Zheutlin, A. R., Zickler, A. M., Zimmermann, P.,

Zivkovic, A. M., Zocco, D., and Zuba-Surma, E. K. (2018) Minimal information for studies of

extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for

Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J Extracell Vesicles* 7, 1535750

13.   You, Y., and Ikezu, T. (2019) Emerging roles of extracellular vesicles in

neurodegenerative disorders. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 130, 104512

14.   Delpech, J.-C., Herron, S., Botros, M. B., and Ikezu, T. (2019) Neuroimmune Crosstalk

through Extracellular Vesicles in Health and Disease. *Trends in Neurosciences* 42, 361–372

15.   DeLeo, A. M., and Ikezu, T. (2018) Extracellular Vesicle Biology in Alzheimer's Disease

and Related Tauopathy. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 13, 292–308

16.   Guo, B. B., Bellingham, S. A., and Hill, A. F. (2016) Stimulating the Release of

Exosomes Increases the Intercellular Transfer of Prions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 5128–5137

17.   Dinkins, M. B., Dasgupta, S., Wang, G., Zhu, G., and Bieberich, E. (2014) Exosome

reduction in vivo is associated with lower amyloid plaque load in the 5XFAD mouse model of

Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 35, 1792–1800

18.   Bulloj, A., Leal, M. C., Xu, H., Castaño, E. M., and Morelli, L. (2010) Insulin-degrading

enzyme sorting in exosomes: a secretory pathway for a key brain amyloid-beta degrading

protease. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 19, 79–95

19.   Yuyama, K., Sun, H., Mitsutake, S., and Igarashi, Y. (2012) Sphingolipid-modulated

exosome secretion promotes clearance of amyloid-β by microglia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 10977–

10989

20.   Muraoka, S., DeLeo, A. M., Sethi, M. K., Yukawa-Takamatsu, K., Yang, Z., Ko, J.,

Hogan, J. D., Ruan, Z., You, Y., Wang, Y., Medalla, M., Ikezu, S., Chen, M., Xia, W., Gorantla,

S., Gendelman, H. E., Issadore, D., Zaia, J., and Ikezu, T. (2020) Proteomic and biological

profiling of extracellular vesicles from Alzheimer's disease human brain tissues. *Alzheimers*

Dement 16, 896–907

21.   Muraoka, S., Lin, W., Chen, M., Hersh, S. W., Emili, A., Xia, W., and Ikezu, T. (2020)

Assessment of separation methods for extracellular vesicles from human and mouse brain tissues

and human cerebrospinal fluids. *Methods*,

22.   McAlister, G. C., Nusinow, D. P., Jedrychowski, M. P., Wühr, M., Huttlin, E. L.,

Erickson, B. K., Rad, R., Haas, W., and Gygi, S. P. (2014) MultiNotch MS3 enables accurate,

sensitive, and multiplexed detection of differential expression across cancer cell line proteomes.

Anal. Chem. 86, 7150–7158

23.   Elias, J. E., and Gygi, S. P. (2007) Target-decoy search strategy for increased confidence

in large-scale protein identifications by mass spectrometry. *Nat. Methods* 4, 207–214

24.   Huttlin, E. L., Jedrychowski, M. P., Elias, J. E., Goswami, T., Rad, R., Beausoleil, S. A.,

Villén, J., Haas, W., Sowa, M. E., and Gygi, S. P. (2010) A tissue-specific atlas of mouse protein

phosphorylation and expression. *Cell* 143, 1174–1189

25.   Ting, L., Rad, R., Gygi, S. P., and Haas, W. (2011) MS3 eliminates ratio distortion in

isobaric multiplexed quantitative proteomics. *Nat. Methods* 8, 937–940

26.   Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu,

D. J., Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., Pérez, E., Uszkoreit, J., Pfeuffer, J.,

Sachsenberg, T., Yilmaz, S., Tiwary, S., Cox, J., Audain, E., Walzer, M., Jarnuczak, A. F.,

Ternent, T., Brazma, A., and Vizcaíno, J. A. (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and

resources in 2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D442–D450

27.   Paulo, J. A., Jedrychowski, M. P., Chouchani, E. T., Kazak, L., and Gygi, S. P. (2018)

Multiplexed Isobaric Tag-Based Profiling of Seven Murine Tissues Following In Vivo Nicotine

Treatment Using a Minimalistic Proteomics Strategy. *Proteomics* 18, e1700326

28.   Keerthikumar, S., Chisanga, D., Ariyaratne, D., Saffar, Al, H., Anand, S., Zhao, K.,

Samuel, M., Pathan, M., Jois, M., Chilamkurti, N., Gangoda, L., and Mathivanan, S. (2016)

ExoCarta: A Web-Based Compendium of Exosomal Cargo. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 688–692

29.   Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., and Lempicki, R. A. (2009) Systematic and integrative

analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* 4, 44–57

30.   Dennis, G., Sherman, B. T., Hosack, D. A., Yang, J., Gao, W., Lane, H. C., and

Lempicki, R. A. (2003) DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated

Discovery. *Genome Biol.* 4, P3

31.   Sharma, K., Schmitt, S., Bergner, C. G., Tyanova, S., Kannaiyan, N., Manrique-Hoyos,

N., Kongi, K., Cantuti, L., Hanisch, U.-K., Philips, M.-A., Rossner, M. J., Mann, M., and

Simons, M. (2015) Cell type- and brain region-resolved mouse brain proteome. *Nat. Neurosci.*

18, 1819–1831

32.   Keren-Shaul, H., Spinrad, A., Weiner, A., Matcovitch-Natan, O., Dvir-Szternfeld, R.,

Ulland, T. K., David, E., Baruch, K., Lara-Astaiso, D., Toth, B., Itzkovitz, S., Colonna, M.,

Schwartz, M., and Amit, I. (2017) A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting

Development of Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* 169, 1276–1290.e17

33.   Krasemann, S., Madore, C., Cialic, R., Baufeld, C., Calcagno, N., Fatimy, El, R.,

Beckers, L., O'Loughlin, E., Xu, Y., Fanek, Z., Greco, D. J., Smith, S. T., Tweet, G., Humulock,

Z., Zrzavy, T., Conde-Sanroman, P., Gacias, M., Weng, Z., Chen, H., Tjon, E., Mazaheri, F.,

Hartmann, K., Madi, A., Ulrich, J. D., Glatzel, M., Worthmann, A., Heeren, J., Budnik, B.,

Lemere, C., Ikezu, T., Heppner, F. L., Litvak, V., Holtzman, D. M., Lassmann, H., Weiner, H.

L., Ochando, J., Haass, C., and Butovsky, O. (2017) The TREM2-APOE Pathway Drives the

Transcriptional Phenotype of Dysfunctional Microglia in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunity*

47, 566–581.e9

34.   Clayton, K. A., Van Enoo, A. A., and Ikezu, T. (2017) Alzheimer's Disease: The Role of

Microglia in Brain Homeostasis and Proteopathy. *Front Neurosci* 11, 680

35.   Vermes, I., Haanen, C., Steffens-Nakken, H., and Reutelingsperger, C. (1995) A novel

assay for apoptosis. Flow cytometric detection of phosphatidylserine expression on early

apoptotic cells using fluorescein labelled Annexin V. *J. Immunol. Methods* 184, 39–51

36.   Benmamar-Badel, A., Owens, T., and Wlodarczyk, A. (2020) Protective Microglial

Subset in Development, Aging, and Disease: Lessons From Transcriptomic Studies. *Front*

Immunol 11, 430

37.   Orre, M., Kamphuis, W., Osborn, L. M., Jansen, A. H. P., Kooijman, L., Bossers, K., and

Hol, E. M. (2014) Isolation of glia from Alzheimer's mice reveals inflammation and dysfunction.

Neurobiol. Aging 35, 2746–2760

38.   Clayton, K. A., Delpech, J.-C., Herron, S., Iwahara, N., Saito, T., Saido, T. C., Ikezu, S.,

and Ikezu, T. (2020) Amyloid plaque deposition accelerates tau propagation via activation of

microglia in a humanized APP mouse model. *bioRxiv* 11, 2020.09.22.308015

Table 1

Protein Id	Gene Symbol	WT average	*APP/PS1* average	fold change	-log$_{10}$(*p*-value)^a
E9Q2W9	Actn4	34.11	20.29	0.59	1.562
Q9ERF3	Wdr61	32.77	20.62	0.63	2.349
Q9EST5	Anp32b	47.50	23.84	0.50	1.812
Q9DC61	Pmpca	28.57	11.91	0.42	2.019
Q9JIZ0	Cml1	45.26	33.66	0.74	1.311
P46735	Myo1b	181.70	146.27	0.81	2.917
E9Q137	Tex264	167.37	123.23	0.74	1.527
Q62148	Aldh1a2	237.12	148.74	0.63	1.996
Q9D6J6	Ndufv2	575.30	330.89	0.58	1.413
Q8C5H8	Nadk2	153.93	131.47	0.85	1.324
P16254	Srp14	159.83	111.25	0.70	1.794
O35887	Calu	596.76	394.05	0.66	1.892
P24472	Gsta4	260.99	200.33	0.77	1.753
Q9CQN1	Trap1	131.26	98.76	0.75	1.512
Q05920	Pc	944.43	818.06	0.87	1.658
Q8BIP0	Dars2	27.08	18.13	0.67	1.895
Q6ZQK5	Acap2	811.17	944.92	1.16	1.452
P62242	Rps8	647.87	897.33	1.39	1.536
P62301	Rps13	600.46	848.51	1.41	1.364
Q8BT60	Cpne3	1068.06	1156.14	1.08	1.351
P39447	Tjp1	124.75	156.82	1.26	1.990
Q61730	Il1rap	1109.54	1283.78	1.16	1.371
P48036	Anxa5	2756.53	3220.07	1.17	1.693
Q921E2	Rab31	93.36	121.57	1.30	1.387
P14824	Anxa6	3667.05	4291.27	1.17	1.467
P58021	Tm9sf2	321.28	416.33	1.30	1.364
P05067-4	APP	1441.70	3198.42	2.22	2.850
P49768	PSEN1	229.33	382.95	1.67	4.245
Q91VU0	Fam3c	103.78	141.34	1.36	1.439
Q99PD7	Slc24a3	59.07	79.27	1.34	1.524
Q9CQJ6	Denr	26.78	36.86	1.38	1.710
Q9JJC6	Rilpl1	22.97	30.63	1.33	1.476
Q80TL7	Mon2	103.59	129.03	1.25	1.681
Q3B7Z2	Osbp	370.13	474.24	1.28	1.308
Q9QXH4	Itgax	29.00	78.77	2.72	2.291
Q8C7N7	Aph1b	69.45	102.88	1.48	1.993
P06800	Ptprc	143.06	210.96	1.47	1.636
P20491	Fcer1g	86.16	127.79	1.48	1.455
Q9CPV9	P2ry12	2248.44	2808.41	1.25	1.613
P60766	Cdc42	844.66	932.37	1.10	0.370
Q80UP3	Dgkz	167.80	207.27	1.24	1.670
P62192	Psmc1	1531.69	1674.17	1.09	1.746
Q5SYD0	Myo1d	1717.22	2076.67	1.21	1.350
A2ADY9	Ddi2	84.14	104.29	1.24	2.941
A2AF47	Dock11	198.13	296.61	1.50	1.329
Q8BIK4-2	Dock9	725.87	1049.00	1.45	1.415

632   ^{a}The t.test was calculated by Welch's test.
633
634
635

Figure 1. Biochemical characteristic of brain-derived EVs separated from frozen mouse

brain tissue: A) NTA plot of average size and concentration of particles from brain

homogenates and separated EV fraction. The black line shows the fitting curve. Red line

represents the error bar. The y axis is the concentration of particles. The x axis is the size of

particle. Top: brain homogenates, Bottom: separated EV fraction. **B)** The ratio of particles to

protein concentration to quantify particle purity ($p = 0.005$ by paired samples Wilcoxon test). **C)**

Assessment of EV and non-EV marker protein, including TSG101, CD81, GM130 (Golgi

marker), CYC1 (Mitochondrial marker) in separated EV fraction. **D)** Transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) image of mouse brain-derived EV fraction. Scale bar; 100 nm.

Figure 2. Proteomic profiling of mouse brain-derived EV: A) Comparison of particle number

and size in EV fraction separated from CAST.*APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain tissue. Left:

particle size, Right: particle number. **B)** Venn diagram representing the proteins identified in

brain-derived EV and ExoCarta top100. **C)** DAVID GO analysis using DAVID Bioinformatics

Resources 6.8. The GO term of Top5 Biological Process, Cellular Component, Molecular

Function, KEGG pathway and Tissue ontology with -$\log_{10}$ (FDR *p*-value).

Figure 3. Cell type-specific proteins comparison of CAST. *APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain-

derived EV: A) Enrichment of brain cell type-specific markers in brain-derived EV proteins.

Yellow: Neuron, Green: Microglia, Blue: Astrocytes, Orange: Oligodendrocytes. The

parentheses show the number of identified cell type-specific proteins. **B)** Comparison of the cell

type-specific protein in CAST.*APP/PS1*-derived EVs and CAST WT EVs. The red bar shows

higher expression in *APP/PS1* compared with WT and Blue bar indicates higher expression in

WT compared with *APP/PS1*. **C)** Comparison of $\log_2$ fold change of the differential expression

of DAM versus homeostatic microglia in the 5xFAD (x axis) to the $\log_2$ fold change of the

differential expression of CAST.*APP/PS1* versus WT (y axis).

Figure 4. Comparison of CAST.*APP/PS1* brain-derived EV and CAST WT EV: A) Volcano

plot showing degree of differential expression of brain-derived EV proteins in AAP/PS1

compared with WT. The x-axis indicates $\log_2$ transformed fold change in expression. The y-axis

shows -$\log_{10}$ transformed p-values. The grey dot line shows the 1.3010 -$\log_{10}(p$-value) cutoff and

0.585 or -0.585 $\log_2$FC cutoff. **B, C)** A scatter plot of TMT reporter ion intensity as measured by

proteomics per selected candidate protein. The t.test was calculated by Welch's test. **B)** The three

proteins were up-regulated in *APP/PS1* compared to WT. Psen1: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 4.245, FC =

1.67, App: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 2.850, FC = 2.22, Itgax: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 2.291, FC = 2.72. **C)** The

7 proteins were down-regulated in *APP/PS1* compared to WT. Wdr61: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 2.349,

FC = 0.63, Pmpca: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 2.019, FC = 0.42, Aldh1a2: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 1.996, FC =

0.63, Calu: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 1.892, FC = 0.66, Anp32b: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 1.812, FC = 0.50,

Actn4: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 1.562, FC = 0.59 and Ndufv2: -$\log_{10}(p$-value) = 1.413, FC = 0.58. **D)**

Heatmap of 46 proteins with the 1.3010 -$\log_{10}(p$-value) cutoff. The value shows $\log_2$(FC). **E)**

Comparison of protein expression and mRNA expression in *APP/PS1* and WT. The y axis is the

ratio of EV protein expression. The x axis is the ratio of brain tissue mRNA expression. The

Spearman rank correlation coefficient (rho) shows 0.06709 (p = 0.0001). F) Validation of Itgax

in separated EV fraction from CAST.*APP/PS1* and WT mouse brain tissue using Western blot.