Improved 7 Tesla Transmit Field Homogeneity with Reduced Electromagnetic Power Deposition Using Coupled Tic Tac Toe Antennas

Tales Santini[1], Sossena Wood[1], Narayanan Krishnamurthy[1], Tiago Martins[1], Howard J. Aizenstein[2,1], Tamer S. Ibrahim[1,2,3]*

[1] Department of Bioengineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

[2] Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

[3] Department of Radiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America

* Corresponding author

E-mail: tibrahim@pitt.edu

Abstract

Recently cleared by the FDA, 7 Tesla (7T) MRI is a rapidly growing technology that can

provide higher resolution and enhanced contrast in human MRI images. However, the

increased operational frequency (~297 MHz) hinders its full potential since it causes

inhomogeneities in the images and increases the power deposition in the tissues. This

work describes the optimization of an innovative radiofrequency (RF) head coil coupled

design, named Tic Tac Toe, currently used in large scale human MRI scanning at 7T; to

date, this device was used in more than 1,300 patient/volunteer neuro 7T MRI scans.

Electromagnetic simulations were performed for each of the coil's antennas using the

finite-difference time-domain method. Numerical optimizations were used to combine the

calculated electromagnetic fields produced by these antennas, based on the

superposition principle, and successfully produced homogeneous magnetic field

distributions at low levels of power deposition in the tissues. The simulations were then

successfully validated in-vivo using the Tic Tac Toe RF head coil system on a 7T MRI

scanner.

Introduction

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is excellent for soft tissue imaging and determination of its metabolites. This technology provides high-resolution images with several different contrasts and it is widely utilized in clinical settings. The MRI signal increases with higher static magnetic field strength (B_0). Therefore, advancing from standard clinical scanners – with B_0 of 1.5 Tesla (T) or 3T – to the recent FDA cleared 7T provides a major advantage of increased signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)[1]. The enhanced SNR can be used either to increase the resolution of the images or to decrease the scanning time (with the use of higher acceleration factors)[1]. Other advantages of 7T field strength are the higher sensitivity to blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal, better venous vasculature conspicuity, enhanced angiography, and improved spectroscopy acquisitions[1].

The operational frequency for proton imaging at 7T is ~297.2 MHz. When compared to lower field strength, the shorter wavelengths associated with higher operational frequencies can cause spatial inhomogeneities in the radiofrequency (RF) fields and reduced skin depths; both which can cause voids or regions of low contrast in the images. The higher operational frequencies and RF inhomogeneities can also lead to a higher average and local specific absorption rate (SAR), which can cause temperature rise and potential tissue damage[2].

The methods developed for improving RF excitation homogeneity can be divided into two main categories. First, multiple pulse sequences and acquisition strategies have been developed to increase the insensitivity of the images to the circularly polarized component of the RF magnetic field responsible for excitation (B_1^+) field inhomogeneities. These strategies include adiabatic pulses[3,4], transmit SENSE[5,6], spoke pulses[7], and the acquisition of two interleaved

modes with TIAMO[8,9]. The second category – and the focus of this work – is related to RF coil

design and methodology of operation. This often includes the development of multichannel

transmit RF coil (Tx) systems, in which the resultant electromagnetic fields can be manipulated

with the superposition of the fields generated by each coil element[10]. This technique, known as

RF shimming, is accomplished by modifying the phases and amplitudes of the RF field produced

by each transmit channel towards specific objectives, usually aiming at increasing the global

and/or local B_1^+ field homogeneity/intensity and reducing SAR.

Previous works have evaluated the **Tic Tac Toe (TTT)** RF head coil design for 7T MRI. In

reference[11], it was demonstrated that a 16-channel TTT multilevel coil can simultaneously drive

up to 4 different eigenmodes; one eigenmode from each of the 4 different physical levels of the

coil. Reference[12] provided a theoretical comparison (based on electromagnetic simulations) of

the TTT design with the **transverse electromagnetic (TEM)** resonator, demonstrating an

improved transmit field homogeneity and load insensitiveness of the TTT design.

In this work, we describe a methodology for optimizing and operating the TTT transmit

coil design for human imaging studies. Constrained numerical optimizations of the 16-channel

TTT transmit coil were performed based on **finite-difference time-domain (FDTD)**

electromagnetic field simulations while considering the RF power losses in the hardware. Two

homogeneous (in terms of B_1^+ field) RF shim cases for two different input power and SAR

efficiency levels were experimentally implemented on the **single channel (sTx)** mode of a

MAGNETOM 7T MRI system using commercially available RF power splitters and phase shifters

(coaxial cables). In-vivo B_1^+ maps, as well as T2 **SPACE (Sampling Perfection with Application**

optimized Contrasts using different flip angle Evolution) and T2 **FLAIR (Fluid Attenuated**

Inversion Recovery) sequences were acquired for demonstration purposes. The results

demonstrate homogeneous 7T neuro imaging. This RF coil system is currently being used in more

than 20 patient/disease-based studies funded by **NIH (National Institutes of Health)**. To date,

the current fully implemented version of the RF coil system has helped acquire more than 1,300

neuro in-vivo scans in ongoing human MRI studies[13,14].

Methods

16-channel Tic Tac Toe RF coil design

The Tx coil is based on the TTT antennas, previously described for foot/ankle[15], breast[16,17], and head imaging at 7T. Briefly, one TTT panel is composed of eight square-shape transmission lines elements connected to each other in a Tic Tac Toe fashion. Four of these elements are connected to excitation ports, and the other four elements are used for frequency tuning. The antennas are matched and tuned by varying the length of the copper rods inside the outer struts in these elements (Figure 1d). The Tx coil is composed of four TTT panels positioned around the head, resulting in a 16-channel transmit coil (Figures 1a and 1b). The RF shield is composed of double-sided $4\mu m$-thick copper sheets (Polyflon, Germany). Cuts were added on each side of the copper sheet to reduce eddy currents, as described by Zhao et al[18]. For optimal imaging purposes, the RF coil system incorporates an in-house developed 32-channel **receive (Rx)**-only insert[19].

Figure 1: The 16-channel Tic Tac Toe (TTT) Tx head coil FDTD model and experimental

implementation. In a), the coil geometry with the location of the 16 channels of the transmit

head coil, which are divided into 4 levels in Z-direction; In b), the assembled 16-channel TTT Tx

coil and its dimensions; In c), the region of interest (white dashed line) plotted over the relative

permittivity map of the Duke model at ~297.2 MHz (7T proton frequency). In d), the ports,

matching rods, and tuning rods of a representative panel of the coil. In e), the RF power splitting

configuration - exclusively using 2-way and 4-way Wilkinson power dividers - to drive the 16-

channel transmit coil using the system's sTx and pTx modes. The Tx channels associated with the

coil's levels 1, 2, 3, and 4 (shown in b)) experience normalized voltage amplitudes equal to 1,

0.5, 0.5, and 1/√2, respectively.

FDTD simulations of the Tic Tac Toe coil

The B_1^+ and electrical fields were simulated using an in-house developed full-wave FDTD software with an transmission line algorithm for modeling the RF excitation and the coupling[15,17,20-22]. The spatial resolution utilized for the combined RF coil and load was 1.59 mm isotropic, and the temporal resolution was ~3ps. The coil geometry (Figure 1a) was created using MATLAB (MathWorks, USA), totaling 257x257x276 or ~18 million Yee cells. Perfect matching layers were implemented to absorb the irradiating fields, being 8 layers added on the top of the model (towards the Z direction), 12 on the sides, and 32 on the bottom[23]. Each port/channel was excited individually with a differentiated Gaussian pulse, while all the other ports were terminated with a 50Ω load using the transmission line numerical model. The Virtual Family Duke model (version 1.0) was used as the load. The model includes the whole head, neck and the top of the shoulders, totaling 23 different tissues. The **region of interest (ROI)** used includes the head regions from the top of the head through the bottom of the cerebellum and excludes the nasal cavities and ears. The lower ~1 cm of the cerebellum volume is excluded as it contains a minimal number of pixels with brain tissues in the Duke model. The contour of the ROI mask applied over the permittivity map is shown in Figure 1c.

The resolution of the FDTD calculated B_1^+ fields was then reduced by a factor of 2 to speed up the RF shimming numerical optimizations (described in the next sections), while the resolution of the electric fields was not changed.

Strategy for RF shimming

Numerical optimizations designed to minimize specific cost functions were used to

manipulate the phases of the RF fields generated by the Tx coil channels. When the optimization

goal is to increase B_1^+ homogeneity, the **coefficient of variation of the B_1^+ field ($CV_{B_1^+}$)** is

commonly used as the cost function; however, it may produce local regions of high or low flip

angles[24]. Moreover, even if the $CV_{B_1^+}$ is in its global minimum, SAR levels could be elevated. To

overcome these challenges, the cost functions utilized in this work were combinations of $CV_{B_1^+}$,

maximum B_1^+ ($max_{B_1^+}$), **minimum $B_1^\pm$ ($min_{B_1^+}$)**, and average SAR. The $CV_{B_1^+}$ function is

continuous and inherently smooth over the multi-dimensional space[25]. As a result, there is a

reduced number of local minima produced by this function, which improves the convergence

rate for gradient descending algorithms. For this reason, we firstly conducted optimizations using

the $CV_{B_1^+}$ as the cost function and using random phases as the initial conditions (the amplitude

values were fixed, following the strategy defined in Figure 1e). The first optimization results were

used as the initial conditions for the subsequent optimization, utilizing other cost functions.

The cost functions were minimized using the MATLAB function $fmincon$, including GPU

acceleration for the objective and cost functions calculation. The algorithm used for the $CV_{B_1^+}$

optimizations was the active-set, due to its high efficiency and high convergence rate from

random initial conditions[26]. For the optimizations using other cost functions, the interior-point

algorithm was used in combination with the initial phase conditions attained from the $CV_{B_1^+}$

optimizations, since the interior-point algorithm usually performs small steps around the initial

conditions without the use of large steps which would dramatically affect the results.

There is an inverse relationship between $CV_{B_1^+}$ and B_1^+ efficiency in most multichannel Tx

coil designs, since a highly homogeneous B_1^+ can be achieved at the expense of very low

efficiency[27]. In this scenario, a high input voltage is required to achieve the desired flip angle,

potentially reaching or exceeding the SAR limits and hardware capabilities. To ensure an

adequate efficiency for the Tx coil, the optimizations in this work were performed constraining

the mean B_1^+ field to > 0.26 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ in the sTx mode. This guarantees that there is enough B_1^+

intensity to produce 180 degrees flip angle on average with 1ms square pulse and using 8kW RF

power amplifier. This constraint also considers the losses between the RF power amplifier and

the RF coil plug as well as the losses associated with the coil structure, coil ports, coil plugs, cables,

connectors, and power splitters.

RF shimming for the Tic Tac Toe coil

Step 1: RF power splitting

The TTT RF coil system was designed to work in either the sTx or **parallel-transmit (pTx)**

modes with the use of Wilkinson power splitters (Figure 1e). Based on the eigenmodes of the Tx

coil design[11], the 16-channel Tx coil is composed of 4 excitation levels spatially positioned in the

Z direction (Figure 1a); each level is composed of 4 Tx coil channels. In this work, Level 1 was

chosen to be excited with half of the total supplied RF power because of the higher power

efficiency associated with this level and its capacity to produce center bright, as previously

demonstrated in reference[11]. Levels 2 and 3 play an essential role in exciting the lower brain

regions, including the hippocampus and the temporal lobes[11]. One-eighth of the total supplied

RF power was used to excite each of these two levels. Level 4, which produces a similar B_1^+

pattern of Level 1[11], received one-quarter of the total supplied RF power. In order to compare

this arrangement with other possible configurations, RF shimming optimizations were performed

by randomly permutating the Tx coil channels amplitudes with the four possible values of

amplitudes, as described in Figure 1e, and then performing phase-only optimizations as shown

in Figure 3c.

Step 2: Optimization of the B_1^+ field and SAR for a specific RF power splitting

configuration

SAR calculation was incorporated into the optimization software by sampling the electric

fields (sampled random points every 4x4x4 voxels) and calculating the average SAR in these

points. This method represents a good estimation of the global average SAR and was used to

speed up the optimizations. It is worth noting that this method was only applied inside the

iterations of the optimization software, the final SAR was calculated according to the 10g

averaging guidelines[28], using the whole electric fields. The objective function in Equation 1 was

minimized in multiple optimization runs, aiming at achieving a compromise between B_1^+

homogeneity and SAR reduction.

$$cost = \frac{CV_{B_1^+}}{0.17} + x\frac{\frac{Max_{B_1^+}}{Min_{B_1^+}}}{3} + y\frac{SAR}{1.5} \tag{1}$$

195   where $CV_{B_1^+}$, $Max_{B_1^+}$, $Min_{B_1^+}$ are, respectively, the coefficient of variation, the maximum, and the

196   minimum of the B_1^+ fields inside the region of interest; the constants 0.17, 3, and 1.5 were used

197 to normalize the data and are roughly the expected values of the $CV_{B_1^+}$, $\frac{Max_{B_1^+}}{Min_{B_1^+}}$, and SAR,

198 respectively; the unit of SAR is W/Kg for 2μT; x and y are the constants randomly varying in every

199 optimization in order to change the weights of $\frac{Max_{B_1^+}}{Min_{B_1^+}}$ and SAR, respectively, in the cost function.

Experimental implementation

Two RF shim cases were experimentally implemented using coaxial cables as fixed phase

shifters and Wilkinson power splitters (Figure 1e). The imaging experiments were conducted in a

whole body 7T scanner (MAGNETOM, SIEMENS, Germany) with 8kW power amplifier

capabilities. In-vivo images were acquired in healthy volunteers with informed consent as part of

an approved study by the University of Pittsburgh's Institutional Review Board (identification

number PRO17030036). All procedures complied with relevant guidelines and regulations for

investigational use of the device in humans.

B_1^+ maps were acquired using the Turbo-FLASH sequence[29] with the following

parameters: TR/TE = 2000/1.16 ms; flip angle from 0° to 90° in 18 degrees increments;

acquisition time = 12 min, resolution 3.2 mm isotropic. The output was fitted to a cosine function

to produce the flip angle maps. For demonstration purposes, 2D FLAIR and 3D T2-SPACE

sequences were used to acquire whole-brain images. The respective sequences parameters

were: 1) 2D FLAIR, TE/TI/TR = 103/2,900/13,500 ms, BW = 230 Hz/pixel, resolution

0.7x0.7x2 mm³, acceleration factor 2, 4 interleaved acquisitions (64 transversal slices), total

acquisition time = 7:36 min; 2) 3D T2-SPACE sequence (WIP692), TE/TR = 369/3,400 ms,

BW 488 Hz/pixel, T1/T2 = 1,500/250 ms, acceleration factor 3, 224 slices in transversal

acquisition, acquisition time = 8:11min, and the receive profile removed using the SPM software.

Results

Figures 2a and 2b compares the measured and simulated scattering parameters of the 16-channel TTT Tx coil. The simulated and measured values have Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.935. The measured coupling between the opposite ports of each TTT panel (highest coupling of the design) was -4.26dB on an average while the simulated value was -2.68dB on average. This difference is attributed to losses in the copper, elements, and ports of the Tx coil, which are not included as part of the FDTD modeling. The measured maximum and average of coupling between any pair of panels were -17.0dB and -24.4dB, respectively.

Figure 2: S-parameter comparison between simulations and experiments of the Tic Tac Toe

16-channel Tx head coil. In a), the FDTD simulated s-matrix using a transmission line model

mechanism; in b), the experimentally measured s-matrix of the constructed head coil. The color

scale limits were modified to compensate for the losses in the constructed coil (not included in

the simulations).

Figures 3a and 3b show the effect of permutating the values of the amplitudes associated

with each individual Tx channel on the overall B_1^+ performance. The RF power splitting scheme

shown in Figure 1e is compared with approximately 300,000 permutations of the amplitude

values followed by phase-only RF shimming. The results – utilizing the RF power splitting scheme

from the eigenmodes of the RF coil (figure 1e)– demonstrate superior performance in terms of

$CV_{B_1^+}$, $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$, and $min_{B_1^+}$. The RF shim case indicated by the black arrow in Figures 3a

and 3b was chosen as the starting point for the next step in the optimizations, utilizing the cost

function shown in Equation 1.

Figure 3: Phase-only B_1^+ RF shim cases for the 16-channel Tic Tac Toe RF coil. This analysis

investigates the performance of several configurations using 2-way and 4-way splitters for

implementation on the sTx mode. In a) and b), the phase-only RF shim cases, with the

amplitude scheme derived from the eigenmodes of the RF coil (described in Figure 1b) and in

reference[11], were compared with RF shimming optimizations where the amplitudes of the Tx

channels were randomly permutated but can only take on normalized values = 1, 1/√2, or 0.5.

Approximately 300,000 optimizations were performed, presented as the colored dots. The cost

functions for the RF shimming optimizations were the $CV_{B_1^+}$, $CV_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$, and $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$,

following the flowchart in c). The region of interest for the B_1^+ field stats is the entire head from

cerebellum excluding the nasal cavities and the ears (Figure 1c). The black arrows point to the

case selected as initial condition for the next optimizations, which was chosen due to having a

combination of low $CV_{B_1^+}$, low $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$, and high $min_{B_1^+}$. The circles represent the RF

shim cases with the best $CV_{B_1^+}$ and the asterisks are the cases with the best $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$.

257
Figure 4a and 4b show the characteristics of the B_1^+ field and SAR variating the weights of

the cost function (Equation 1) and variating constraints on the average B_1^+ intensity. While higher

levels of SAR efficiency (i.e., lower average SAR) and higher power efficiency can be achieved, it

usually comes at the cost of lower levels of B_1^+ field homogeneity (higher $CV_{B_1^+}$ and/or

$max_{B_1^+}/ min_{B_1^+}$). From the scattering plots, we chose two cases for experimental implementation

(arrows in Figures 4a and 4b).

Figure 4: SAR and B_1^+ phase-only RF shimming of the 16-channel Tic Tac Toe RF coil. *The B_1^+*

homogeneity parameters (B_1^+ CV and B_1^+ max/min in x and y axes of the plot, respectively) are

compared with the power efficiency (a) and SAR efficiency (b). Each point corresponds to an RF

shim case using a cost function (Equation 1) that includes B_1^+ CV, B_1^+ max/min, average B_1^+, and

average SAR. Two RF shim cases were chosen for implementation: 1) power and SAR efficient

and 2) homogenous B_1^+.

Figures 5a-5c show a comparison of the two experimentally implemented, non-subject-specific RF shim cases. Specifically, the comparison shows the B_1^+ field maps and FLAIR images acquired on the same volunteer, and the corresponding simulated results on the Duke model. In

Figure 5a, a power and SAR efficient RF shim case is shown. It achieves a SAR efficiency of

$1.57\ \mu T/\sqrt{W/kg}$ (simulated), average $B_1{}^+$ of $0.22 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$ (experimental), $CV_{B_1^+}$ of 20%

(experimental), and $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$ of 4.77 (simulated). The $B_1{}^+$ homogeneous RF shim case

(Figure 5b) has a SAR efficiency of $1.40\ \mu T/\sqrt{W/kg}$ (simulated), average $B_1{}^+$ of $0.20 \mu T/\sqrt{W}$

(experimental), $CV_{B_1^+}$ of 17% (experimental), and $max_{B_1^+}/min_{B_1^+}$ of 3.20 (simulated). Figure 5c

shows the profiles in the central slices of the experimental and simulated $B_1{}^+$ field for the two RF

shim cases. The impact of having lower $B_1{}^+$ field intensities can be seen near the yellow arrows

displayed over the $B_1{}^+$ field distributions and the FLAIR images in Figures 5a and 5b.

Figure 5: Simulated and experimental B_1^+ maps comparisons and in-vivo FLAIR images for 2 RF

shim cases. *In a), simulated and in-vivo B_1^+ maps, FLAIR acquisition, and statistics of the power*

and SAR efficient RF shim case. The statistics from the simulations were calculated over the

region of interest shown in Figure 1c. In b), simulated and in-vivo B_1^+ maps and FLAIR acquisition

(with same subject as a)) for the B_1^+ homogenous RF shim case. The yellow arrows point to

regions of low B_1^+ that were mitigated with the B_1^+ homogeneous RF shim case. In c), the central

slice profiles from the simulated and experimental B_1^+ maps (white dashed lines in a) and b)).

Figure 6 shows representative slices of the T2-weighted 3D SPACE images acquired in a

volunteer with large head size (approximately 205 mm in anterior-posterior direction from the

forehead) using the B_1^+ homogeneous RF shim case shown in Figure 5b. Using an MR sequence

 that requires high levels of B_1^+ homogeneity, the images show that the implemented RF shim

297   case provides non-subject specific field distribution and it achieves full brain coverage – including

298   the cerebellum and temporal lobes – in a relatively larger head.

Figure 6: Sagittal slices of the 3D-SPACE acquired at 0.6mm isotropic resolution, showing full

brain and cerebellum coverage in a volunteer with large head size (~205mm in anterior-

posterior direction measured from the forehead). The images were obtained using the B_1^+

homogenous RF shim case on the sTx mode. The parameters of the acquisition were: TE/TR =

367/3400 ms, acceleration factor 3, BW = 434Hz/Px, transversal acquisition of 224 slices, field of

view 192x165.6 mm in axial plane, and acquisition time = 8:11 min.

Discussions

In this work, a 16-channel transmit coil based on the Tic Tac Toe design was optimized

using non-subject specific phase-only RF shimming. The RF shimming approach combined with

the coil's 4 excitation levels in the Z direction can significantly impact the Tx coil performance by

introducing tradeoffs among RF power efficiency, B_1^+ field homogeneity, and SAR. These

parameters can be controlled, depending on the imaging application and the RF amplifier

capacity, by changing the lengths of the coaxial cables feeding the coil or by using pTx systems.

S-matrix comparison

The RF TTT transmit coil was simulated with a transmission line algorithm for modeling

the excitation and coupling as part of the in-house developed FDTD package[30]. Accurate

electromagnetic modeling of the coil's coupling is critical for implementing RF shimming fully

based on B_1^+ and electric fields calculated from electromagnetic simulations. The simulated and

measured s-matrices are highly comparable (Figures 2a and 2b, respectively), with a Pearson

correlation coefficient of 0.935. There is an offset in the values (-1.7dB on average) that is related

to the losses in the coil and connectors.

Strategy for power splitting among the channels

The amplitudes for the Tx channels were chosen based on the eigenmodes of the RF coil

so that the most efficient coil levels (demonstrated in reference[11]) are excited with a larger

fraction of the supplied RF power. This approach produces improved B_1^+ homogeneity (Figure 3a)

and high minimum intensity (Figure 3b) when compared with other possible combinations using

the same RF power splitter configuration. All cases presented can be easily implemented using

2-way and 4-way RF power splitters and coaxial cables as phase shifters on the system's sTx

mode.

B$_1^+$ and SAR RF shimming

Figures 4a and 4b show the flexibility of the phase-only RF shimming scheme in

combination with the 16-channel TTT coil design, demonstrating the tradeoff among SAR

efficiency, B$_1^+$ efficiency, and B$_1^+$ homogeneity. Based on the electromagnetic simulations, a

homogeneity of 18% ($CV_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$) can be achieved within the ROI, resulting in a SAR efficiency of

1.40 $\mu T/\sqrt{W/kg}$ and an average B$_1^+$ of 0.27 μT. Increasing the weight of the SAR in the cost

function (Equation 1) and increasing the mean B$_1^+$ constraint improved the SAR efficiency to

1.62 $\mu T/\sqrt{W/kg}$ while maintaining a $CV_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$ of 21% and an average B$_1^+$ field of 0.30 μT. These

values of SAR efficiency and B$_1^+$ field homogeneity represent an improved performance for 7T RF

head coils when compared with traditional RF coils. As a comparison, Krishnamurthy et al.[12]

reported the values of SAR efficiency of 1.27 $\mu T/\sqrt{W/kg}$ and homogeneity of 27% for the TEM

resonator, using the same simulation environment utilized in this work. Other studies

investigated the SAR performance for several other coil designs[31-34], however the simulations

were performed in different software environments and often using different head models,

which makes the comparison with this work challenging. The ROI (Figure 1c) was chosen so that

the RF fields become more consistent with different brain sizes, when compared with a specific

brain size (in the case of a brain-only ROI).

In general, the cost function combining $CV_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$, $max_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}/min_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$, and SAR with the average

B_1^+ constraint presented a good performance for this particular RF coil design, as it allowed

different operational points where it is possible to minimize $CV_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$, $max_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}/min_{\mathrm{B}_1^+}$, or maximize

SAR efficiency (Figures 4a-b), depending on the application. It is important to note that the

optimal cost function may vary depending on the RF coil design, the constraints included in the

simulation, and the ROI used.

Experimental verification

Figures 5a and 5b show the experimental implementation of the two RF shim cases and

the corresponding simulated B₁⁺ maps. The first case is intended for higher SAR and higher RF

power efficiency; the second case is optimized for higher B₁⁺ homogeneity. The images - using a

high flip angle sequence (FLAIR) - demonstrate the differences between the two RF shim cases in

the cerebellum, which is a very challenging region at 7T MRI[35,36]. Although the B₁⁺ homogeneous

RF shim case presents lower RF power efficiency, the improved B₁⁺ homogeneity justify its use,

since the lower RF power efficiency can be compensated by adjusting the input voltage in the coil

or by increasing the pulse width of the sequences.

Figure 5c shows a comparison between the simulated and experimental B₁⁺ profiles. The

differences in the intensity can be explained by the losses in the splitters/cables/connectors

(approximately ~17% measured loss in voltage) and losses in the coil. The differences in the field

distribution can be attributed to the model approximations and differences between the head

model size/position and the in-vivo. For instance, the brain in the model used is about 14cm long

in foot-head direction, while the volunteer is about 12.5cm.

The 3D T2-SPACE whole-brain and cerebellum acquisition, shown in Figure 6, was

acquired at 7T using the B_1^+ homogeneous RF shim case implemented on the sTx mode (Figure

5b). The results demonstrate that homogeneous whole-brain imaging in a large head size

volunteer is achievable with a challenging T2-weighted sequence at 7T MRI[37-39] – often associated

with significantly lower signal in the temporal lobe and cerebellum.

The RF coil design presented in this work has considerably lower power efficiency when

compared to traditional 7T RF head coil designs. This is attributed to the strong coupling between

opposite elements of the TTT coil, which causes a significant portion of the transmitted power to

be dissipated in the RF power splitters and the scanner system's circulator. For instance, the two

RF shim cases presented in this work have a mean B_1^+ of 0.27 and 0.30 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$ in the simulations

(not considering losses in the hardware). Using the same software environment, Narayanan et

al.[12] demonstrated that the 4-channel, 16-element TEM resonator (with the same length as the

16-channel TTT coil) presents a power efficiency of 0.45 $\mu T/\sqrt{W}$. However, considering all the

power losses in the system and in the coil, the 16-channel TTT coil still provides enough mean B_1^+

intensity to have inversion using 1ms square pulse with 8kW power amplifier (standard in older

7T scanners), which is sufficient for most imaging applications. The B_1^+ homogeneous RF shim

case (Figure 5b) is currently being used with the sTx mode on more than 20 NIH patient/disease

studies conducted in our facility[13,14] due to its high B_1^+ homogeneity and extended coverage in

challenging-to-image regions in the head at 7T.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

Moser, E., Stahlberg, F., Ladd, M. E. & Trattnig, S. 7-T MR--from research to clinical applications? *NMR in biomedicine* **25**, 695-716, doi:10.1002/nbm.1794 (2012).

Fiedler, T. M., Ladd, M. E. & Bitz, A. K. SAR Simulations & Safety. *Neuroimage* **168**, 33-58, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.035 (2018).

Staewen, R. S. *et al.* 3-D FLASH imaging using a single surface coil and a new adiabatic pulse, BIR-4. *Investigative radiology* **25**, 559-567 (1990).

Tannús, A. & Garwood, M. Adiabatic pulses. *NMR in biomedicine* **10**, 423-434 (1997).

Zhu, Y. Parallel excitation with an array of transmit coils. *Magn Reson Med* **51**, 775-784, doi:10.1002/mrm.20011 (2004).

Zhang, Z. *et al.* Reduction of transmitter B1 inhomogeneity with transmit SENSE slice-select pulses. *Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **57**, 842-847, doi:10.1002/mrm.21221 (2007).

Wu, X. *et al.* Simultaneous multislice multiband parallel radiofrequency excitation with independent slice-specific transmit B1 homogenization. *Magn Reson Med* **70**, 630-638, doi:10.1002/mrm.24828 (2013).

Orzada, S. *et al.* Time-interleaved acquisition of modes: an analysis of SAR and image contrast implications. *Magn Reson Med* **67**, 1033-1041, doi:10.1002/mrm.23081 (2012).

Orzada, S. *et al.* RF excitation using time interleaved acquisition of modes (TIAMO) to address B1 inhomogeneity in high-field MRI. *Magn Reson Med* **64**, 327-333, doi:10.1002/mrm.22527 (2010).

Ibrahim, T. S. *et al.* Effect of RF coil excitation on field inhomogeneity at ultra high fields: a field optimized TEM resonator. *Magnetic resonance imaging* **19**, 1339-1347 (2001).

Santini, T. *et al.* In-vivo and numerical analysis of the eigenmodes produced by a multi-level Tic-Tac-Toe head transmit array for 7 Tesla MRI. *PloS one* **13**, e0206127, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0206127 (2018).

Krishnamurthy, N. *et al.* Computational and experimental evaluation of the Tic-Tac-Toe RF coil for 7 Tesla MRI. *PloS one* **14**, e0209663, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209663 (2019).

Smagula, S. F. *et al.* Association of Hippocampal Substructure Resting-State Functional Connectivity with Memory Performance in Older Adults. *The American journal of geriatric psychiatry : official journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*, doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2018.03.003 (2018).

14   Farhat, N. S., Theiss, R., Santini, T., Ibrahim, T. S. & Aizenstein, H. J. in *Frontiers in*
Psychiatry   95-115 (Springer, 2019).

15   Santini, T. *et al.* A new RF transmit coil for foot and ankle imaging at 7T MRI. *Magn Reson*
Imaging **45**, 1-6, doi:10.1016/j.mri.2017.09.005 (2018).

16   Kim, J. *et al.* Development of a 7 T RF coil system for breast imaging. *NMR in biomedicine*,
doi:10.1002/nbm.3664 (2016).

17   Kim, J. *et al.* Experimental and numerical analysis of B1+ field and SAR with a new transmit
array design for 7T breast MRI. *J Magn Reson* **269**, 55-64, doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2016.04.012
(2016).

18   Zhao, Y. *et al.* Dual optimization method of radiofrequency and quasistatic field
simulations for reduction of eddy currents generated on 7T radiofrequency coil shielding.
Magnetic resonance in medicine, doi:10.1002/mrm.25424 (2014).

19   Ibrahim, T. *et al.* in *International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.* 4408.

20   Raval, S. B. *et al.* Ultra-high field upper extremity peripheral nerve and non-contrast
enhanced vascular imaging. *PloS one* **12**, e0175629, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0175629
(2017).

21   Raval, S. B. *et al.* Ultra-high-field RF coil development for evaluating upper extremity
imaging applications. *NMR in biomedicine*, doi:10.1002/nbm.3582 (2016).

22   Ibrahim, T. S., Mitchell, C., Abraham, R. & Schmalbrock, P. In-depth study of the
electromagnetics of ultrahigh-field MRI. *NMR in biomedicine* **20**, 58-68 (2007).

23   Ibrahim, T. S. & Lee, R. Evaluation of MRI RF probes utilizing infrared sensors. *IEEE Trans*
Biomed Eng **53**, 963-967, doi:10.1109/TBME.2006.871892 (2006).

24   Ferrand, G. *et al.* Generalized double-acquisition imaging for radiofrequency
inhomogeneity mitigation in high-field MRI: experimental proof and performance
analysis. *Magn Reson Med* **67**, 175-182, doi:10.1002/mrm.23006 (2012).

25   Nehrke, K., Sprinkart, A. M. & Bornert, P. An in vivo comparison of the DREAM sequence
with current RF shim technology. *MAGMA* **28**, 185-194, doi:10.1007/s10334-014-0454-3
(2015).

26   Hoyos-Idrobo, A., Weiss, P., Massire, A., Amadon, A. & Boulant, N. On variant strategies
to solve the magnitude least squares optimization problem in parallel transmission pulse
design and under strict SAR and power constraints. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*
33, 739-748, doi:10.1109/TMI.2013.2295465 (2014).

27   Tang, L., Hue, Y. K. & Ibrahim, T. S. Studies of RF shimming techniques with minimization
of RF power deposition and their associated temperature changes. *Concepts in Magnetic*
Resonance Part B: Magnetic Resonance Engineering **39**, 11-25 (2011).

28   Graesslin, I. *et al.* A specific absorption rate prediction concept for parallel transmission
MR. **68**, 1664-1674 (2012).

29   Klose, U. J. M. p. Mapping of the radio frequency magnetic field with a MR snapshot FLASH
technique. **19**, 1099-1104 (1992).

30   Ibrahim, T. S., Hue, Y. K. & Tang, L. Understanding and manipulating the RF fields at high
field MRI. *NMR Biomed* **22**, 927-936, doi:10.1002/nbm.1406 (2009).

31   Kozlov, M. & Turner, R. Analysis of RF transmit performance for a 7T dual row
multichannel MRI loop array. *Conference proceedings : ... Annual International*
Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. IEEE Engineering in

Medicine   and   Biology   Society.   Annual   Conference **2011**,   547-553,
doi:10.1109/IEMBS.2011.6090101 (2011).
32 M. Kozlov & Möller, H. E. in *Antennas and Propagation & USNC/URSI National Radio*
Science Meeting.   (IEEE).
33 Collins, C. M., Li, S. & Smith, M. B. SAR and B1 field distributions in a heterogeneous
human head model within a birdcage coil. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* **40**, 847-856,
doi:10.1002/mrm.1910400610 (1998).
34 Mao, W., Wang, Z., Smith, M. B. & Collins, C. M. Calculation of SAR for Transmit Coil
Arrays. *Concepts in magnetic resonance. Part B, Magnetic resonance engineering* **31B**,
127-131, doi:10.1002/cmr.b.20085 (2007).
35 Clement, J. D., Gruetter, R. & Ipek, O. A human cerebral and cerebellar 8-channel
transceive RF dipole coil array at 7T. *Magn Reson Med*, doi:10.1002/mrm.27476 (2018).
36 Zwanenburg, J. J., Hendrikse, J., Visser, F., Takahara, T. & Luijten, P. R. Fluid attenuated
inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI at 7.0 Tesla: comparison with 1.5 and 3.0 Tesla. *European*
radiology **20**, 915-922 (2010).
37 Massire, A. *et al.* Parallel-transmission-enabled three-dimensional T2 -weighted imaging
of the human brain at 7 Tesla. *Magn Reson Med* **73**, 2195-2203, doi:10.1002/mrm.25353
(2015).
38 Gizewski, E. R. *et al.* High-resolution anatomy of the human brain stem using 7-T MRI:
improved detection of inner structures and nerves? **56**, 177-186, doi:10.1007/s00234-
013-1312-0 (2014).
39 Eggenschwiler, F. *et al.* 3D T2-weighted imaging at 7T using dynamic kT-points on single-
transmit MRI systems. **29**, 347-358, doi:10.1007/s10334-016-0545-4 (2016).
496

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH R01MH111265, R01AG063525, and R01EB009848. The author Tales Santini was partially supported by the CAPES Foundation, Ministry of Education of Brazil, 13385/13-5. This research was also supported in part by the University of Pittsburgh Center for Research Computing (CRC) through the resources provided.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: TS, HJA, TSI; Simulation of the coil design: NK, TS; Implementation of the optimization methods: TS, SW; MRI image acquisition: TS, TM, TSI; Initial manuscript draft: TS, TSI; Manuscript revision: all authors.

Additional Information

Competing financial interest: the authors declare no competing financial interest.