Shore crabs reveal novel evolutionary attributes of the mushroom body

Nicholas James Strausfeld[1], Marcel Ethan Sayre[2]

[1]Department of Neuroscience, School of Mind, Brain and Behavior, University of Arizona, Tucson, United States; [2]Lund Vision Group, Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Abstract

Neural organization of mushroom bodies is largely consistent across insects, whereas the

ancestral ground pattern diverges broadly across crustacean lineages, resulting in successive loss

of columns and the acquisition of domed centers retaining ancestral Hebbian-like networks and

aminergic connections. We demonstrate here a major departure from this evolutionary trend in

Brachyura, the most recent malacostracan lineage. Instead of occupying the rostral surface of the

lateral protocerebrum, mushroom body calyces are buried deep within it, with their columns

extending outwards to an expansive system of gyri on the brain's surface. The organization

amongst mushroom body neurons reaches extreme elaboration throughout its constituent

neuropils. The calyces, columns, and especially the gyri show DC0 immunoreactivity, an

indicator of extensive circuits involved in learning and memory.

Introduction

Insect mushroom bodies, particularly those of *Drosophila*, are the most accessible models for

elucidating molecular and computational algorithms underlying learning and memory within

genetically and connectomically defined circuits (e.g., Aso et al., 2014; Senapati et al., 2019;

Modi et al., 2020; Jacob and Waddell, 2020). An advantage of *Drosophila* is that it exemplifies a

ground pattern organization that is largely consistent across hexapod lineages (Ito et al., 1998,

2014; Sinakevitch et al., 2001; Li and Strausfeld, 1997; Montgomery and Ott 2015; Groh and

Rössler, 2011).

Because of the importance of mushroom bodies in understanding the relevance of

synaptic organization in sentience and cognition, recognizing evolutionary divergence of these

centers would be expected to yield experimentally testable predictions about evolved

modifications of circuitry in relation to ecological demands imposed on the species. However,

insect mushroom bodies show a remarkably conserved organization, which makes them

relatively unsuited for neuroevolutionary studies. There are some minor exceptions, to be sure: in

honey bees and ants, calycal domains receive modality-specific afferents; in beetles, dietary

generalists have more elaborate calyces than specialists; in aquatic beetles a modality switch has

replaced olfactory input to a visual input into the calyces (Gronenberg, 2001; Farris and

Schulmeister, 2011; Lin and Strausfeld, 2012). Less attention has been given to neural

arrangements comprising the mushroom body lobes (columns), although some studies have

addressed distinctions in basal groups such as silverfish (Zygentoma), dragonflies and mayflies

(Farris, 2005; Strausfeld et al., 2009).

The evolutionary stability of the insect mushroom body contrasts with the recent

demonstration that mushroom bodies of malacostracan crustaceans have undergone substantial

and often dramatic modification of the mandibulate (ancestral) mushroom body ground pattern

(Stegner and Richter, 2011; Wolff et al., 2015, 2017; Strausfeld et al., 2020). Whereas

Stomatopoda (mantis shrimps), the sister group of Eumalacostraca, possesses mushroom bodies

that correspond to those of insects, equipped with calyces from which arise prominent columns

(Wolff et al., 2017), the trend over geological time has been a reduction of those columnar

components such that the ancestral ground pattern organization of parallel fibers and orthogonal

Hebbian networks has become morphed to provide planar arrangements within domed centers

lacking columns (Wolff et al., 2015; Strausfeld and Sayre, 2019; Strausfeld et al., 2020). These

neuronal adaptations nevertheless share the property with insect mushroom bodies of being

immunopositive to an antibody raised against the catalytic subunit of protein kinase A, encoded

by the *Drosophila* gene DC0, that is required for effective learning and memory (Kalderon and

Rubin, 1988; Skoulakis et al., 1993). Antibodies raised against DC0 are reliable identifiers of

neuropils mediating learning and memory both in arthropods and other phyla (Wolff and

Strausfeld, 2012, 2015).

Whereas the evolutionary shift from columnar mushroom bodies to noncolumnar

homologues is demonstrated across most decapod crustaceans, one lineage has until now defied

unambiguous identification of a columnar or even a noncolumnar center. This is Brachyura,

known in the vernacular as true crabs. Brachyura is a comparatively young lineage, recognized

from fossils dating from the mid-Jurassic (Schweizer and Feldmann, 2010; Guinot, 2019).

Phylogenomics places the origin of Brachyura also as mid-to-late Jurassic (Wolfe et al., 2019).

Brachyura is the most species-rich decapod clade, comprising 6,793 currently known species (Ng

et al., 2008). It is also a hugely successful lineage, extant species broadly distributed to occupy

benthic, littoral, estuarine, brackish, fresh water, terrestrial and even arboreal habitats. Many of

these ecologies are defined by complex topographies (Lee, 2015; Hartnell, 1988).

Historically, identifying a mushroom body homologue in the crab's brain has been

problematic. Claims for homologous centers range from paired neuropils in the brain's second

segment, the deutocerebrum, later attributed to the olfactory system (Bethe 1897), to an

insistence that the crab's reniform body is a mushroom body (Maza et al., 2016, 2020).

Demonstrated 132 years ago in stomatopod crustaceans, the reniform body is a morphologically

distinct center that coexists in the brain's lateral protocerebrum adjacent to its columnar

mushroom bodies (Bellonci, 1882; Thoen et al., 2019).

Until the present, observations of the varunid shore crab *Hemigrapsus nudus* have

identified large anti-DC0-reactive domains occupying almost the entire rostral volume of its

lateral protocerebrum but no evidence for mushroom bodies (Thoen et al., 2019). The affinity of

these domains to anti-DC0 suggested, therefore, a cognitive center far more expansive than

found in any other arthropod of comparable size, with the possible exception of the domed

mushroom body of the land hermit crab *Coenobita clypeatus* (Wolff et al., 2012). Here we

demonstrate that in the shore crab there are indeed paired mushroom bodies. But these have been

"hiding in plain sight," having undergone an entirely unexpected neurological transformation

that is opposite to the evolutionary trend shown in other lineages towards a domed noncolumnar

morphology.

Here we demonstrate evidence at level of neuronal arrangements showing that in the

shore crab paired mushroom bodies have undergone an evolved transformation that is possibly

unique to Arthropoda. They are inverted: their calyces reside deep within the lateral

protocerebrum, a location allowing outward expansion of the mushroom body columns which

reach expanded cortex-like folds beneath the brain's surface. The entire disposition of the shore

crab's mushroom bodies is opposite to that of any other crustacean, or insect, in which the

calyces are situated under the rostral surface of the lateral protocerebrum with their columns

extending downwards into deeper neuropil (Strausfeld et al., 2009; Wolff et al., 2017; Sayre and

Strausfeld, 2018).

Characters (traits) defining neuronal organization demonstrate that the mushroom bodies

of Stomatopoda phenotypically correspond to those defining the mushroom bodies of *Drosophila*

(Wolff et al., 2017). The following description of uses complementary methods that resolve

those traits in *Hemigrapsus nudus*. Reduced silver staining demonstrates spatial arrangements of

calycal and columnar neuropils and their detailed neuroarchitecture. Osmium-ethyl gallate

treatment of intact brains resolves neuronal densities; and serial sections of these preparations

provide the three-dimensional reconstructions shown throughout this account (see Methods).

Golgi mass-impregnations enable crucial insights into the exceptionally elaborate organization of

mushroom body intrinsic neurons, identified as the phenotypic homologues of insect Kenyon

cells. Immunohistology has been used to resolve DC0-immunopositive components of the

mushroom body calyces and columns, and antibodies raised against GAD (glutamic acid

decarboxylase) reveal putative levels of local inhibition. Antibodies raised against tyrosine

hydroxylase (TH) and 5-hydroxytryptophan (5HT) demonstrate arborizations consistent with

those of output and input neurons intersecting different levels of the *Drosophila* and Stomatopod

mushroom body columns.

We show that despite the varunid mushroom body's unparalleled intricacy and its unique

transformation of the ancestral ground pattern, its defining traits nevertheless demonstrate

phenotypic homology with the mushroom bodies of insects and those of other crustaceans. We

close with a Discussion proposing that the evolution of such intricacy, and the expansion of the

lateral protocerebrum to accommodate mushroom body enlargement, is likely to have

contributed novel circuits and, ultimately, to cognitive flexibility permitting varunid Brachyura

to exploit complex ecological topographies at interface of marine and terrestrial biotopes.

Results

The Results are organized into eight sections, the first describing the overall location and

structure of the varunid mushroom body. This is followed by the identification of its neural

components and their arrangements as elaborate networks comprising the paired calyces.

Descriptions of the internal organization of the calyces' rostral extensions, and their two

prominent columns, culminates with an explanation of the cortex-like organization of overlying

gyri to which the columns project. The final observations address the relationship of the

mushroom body to the reniform body, a center common to malacostracan crustaceans. To

provide a three-dimensional understanding of this highly intricate system, descriptions refer to

locations and arrangements within a reconstructed lateral protocerebrum and its major

components (*see Methods*).

1. The varunid lateral protocerebrum (*Figures 1, 2, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1*)

In crabs, as is typical of stomatopods and most decapod crustaceans, the most anterior part of its brain, the protocerebrum, is flanked each side by a lateral outgrowth that expands into an enlargement of the eyestalk immediately proximal to the compound retina (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A*). This volume of brain comprises the lateral protocerebrum (*Figures 1A, 2A*). The lateral protocerebrum is connected to the midbrain proper by the eyestalk nerve composed of axons relaying information to and from the midbrain.

Figure 1. Organization of the varunid crab mushroom body and its associated gyri. (**A**) Brains treated with osmium-ethyl gallate demonstrate the overall disposition of neuropils, exemplified by this section of the lateral protocerebrum from its medial border to the optic lobe medulla. Its rostral domain (RLPR) includes the mushroom body calyces (Ca), columns (Clm) and their associated gyriform neuropils (Gy).Clusters of neuronal cell bodies (here MBONs) are distributed over the neuropil. Distal volumes of the RLPR are associated with the reniform body (RB; here its pedestal and a gyrus RBGy), which is situated between the mushroom body and the optic lobe, here represented by its medulla (ME) and bilayered lobula (LO, LOi). The optic lobe provides outputs to discrete neuropils comprising the caudal lateral protocerebrum (CLPR). (**B-E**) Anti-DC0-labelled (magenta) volumes in panel B match the corresponding membrane-dense calyces and columns and their rostral gyri in panel A. Panel C demonstrates that DC0-immunostained gyri occupy

almost the entire rostral level of the RLPR. Two small clusters caudally (MBONs) lining the CLPR, near the
optic lobes, also include DC0-immunoreactive perikarya. The nuclear stain Syto13 (green) demonstrates
the location of mushroom body globuli cells (gc) lying immediately beneath a group slightly large perikarya
(asterisk). In panel D, removal of anti- tubulin and Syto13 labelling from C resolves the extent of the gyri
and their many sulcus-like indentations (open arrowheads). In panel E anti- tubulin shown here alone
resolves the overall fibroarchitecture of the LPR to provide correlative data with those provided by reduced
silver stains (*Figure 2*). (**F**) Total Amira-generated reconstruction of a serial-sectioned, osmium-ethyl gallate
treated eyestalk demonstrates the huge area of the lateral protocerebrum occupied by the folded gyri.
Superimposed are the locations of small neurons supplying the reniform body (RBcb), mushroom body
globuli cells (gc) and their tract of neurites leading to the calyx (gct), here obscured by overlying gyri. Scale
bars, A, B, 100µm; C-F, 100µm.
Figure 1 ─── figure supplement 1. Schematic of the *Hemigrapsus* brain
151

Brains treated with osmium-ethyl gallate or with reduced silver (*Figures 1A, 2A*) resolve

the varunid lateral protocerebrum as a hump-shaped volume divided into a rostral and caudal

part. The rostral lateral protocerebrum (RLPR), once referred to as the terminal medulla, a now

defunct term (Strausfeld, 2020), is dominated by two centers: the mushroom bodies and the

smaller reniform body. There is a small number of other satellite neuropils near the origin of the

eyestalk nerve. The mushroom body is immediately recognizable by its intensely osmiophilic

calyces from which arise columns that extend outwards, branching into a cortex-like neuropil

composed of gyri (*Figure 1A*). These occupy the outermost volume of the RLPR. The reniform

body comprises an independent system of interconnected neuropils situated laterally constrained

to a volume of the RLPR that extends from its dorsal to its ventral surface (Thoen et al., 2019).

In longitudinal sections of the RLPR, its prominent axon bundle, the pedestal, is seen in cross

section(*Figures 1A, 2A*). The crab's RLPR corresponds to that volume of the insect

protocerebrum containing the mushroom body and its immediate associated neuropils, such as

the lateral horn (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1B, C*).

As in stomatopods and decapods, the crab's RLPR receives terminals of olfactory

projection neurons originating from both the ipsi- and contralateral olfactory (antennular) lobes

located in the brain's second segment, the deutocerebrum (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A*). The

axons of more than a thousand projection neurons are organized into several discrete fascicles

bundled together to provide the antennoglomerular tract (AGT). The left and right AGTs extend

superficially across the midbrain to meet just dorsal to the central body. There, each tract

bifurcates to provide inputs to each lateral protocerebrum from both the ipsi- and contralateral

olfactory lobes (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A*). The eyestalk nerve also carries other efferent

axons to the lateral protocerebrum: these include relay neurons from other sensory neuropils,

relays from the opposite lateral protocerebrum and optic lobes, and interneurons relaying from

various midbrain neuropils.

The caudal volume of the lateral protocerebrum (CLPR) is mainly associated with

outputs from the optic lobe neuropils (*Figure 1A*). Most of these originate from the lobula, each

bundle of outgoing axons representing a population of one of the numerous morphological types

of columnar neurons. Bundles segregate to a system of interconnected neuropils called optic

glomeruli, as occurs in insects (Okamura and Strausfeld, 2007). Further relays from the

glomeruli send information centrally to the midbrain via the eyestalk nerve. The nerve also

carries to the midbrain the axons of neurons from the lateral protocerebrum's rostral neuropil, the

mushroom bodies, and its associated gyri and from CLPR neuropils associated with the optic

glomeruli.

2. Organization of DC0-positive volumes (*Figure 1*).

The dense osmiophilic calyces and their extended columns (*Figure 1A*) correspond to discrete

neuropils showing high levels of immunoreactivity to antibodies raised against DC0 (*Figure 1B*).

The fibroarchitecture of these DC0-positive volumes is revealed by labeling with antibodies

against α-tubulin or by reduced silver. (*Figures 1E; 2A-C*) that provide correlative data for

resolving and interpreting the arrangements of the mushroom body volumes in 3-D

reconstructions (*Figure 2 - figure supplement 2*: see Methods). Salient both in osmium–ethyl

gallate-stained brains is the layer of gyri that defines the outer level of the rostral lateral

protocerebrum (*Figures 1A, 2D, E*). Gyri are DC0-immunoreactive and are contiguous with each

of the DC0-immunoreactive columns that extend outwards from the calyces. Gyri are resolved as

pillowed folds and indentations (sulci: *Figure 1D, F*) comparable to the folded architecture of a

mammalian cerebral cortex. This feature appears to be unique to Brachyura (see Discussion).

3. The calyces and their origin from globuli cells (*Figures 1, 2*)

If centers are claimed as phenotypic homologues of insect mushroom bodies, then the centers are

expected to have the following categories of neurons irrespective of their divergence from the

ancestral ground pattern as represented in the allotriocarid clade Cephalocarida (Stegner and

Richter, 2011). These categories are: 1) intrinsic neurons, the most populous of which are

Kenyon cells defining the mushroom body's volume, shape, and subdivisions; 2) extrinsic

neurons providing inputs from sensory centers (Kanzaki et al., 1989; Lin and Strausfeld, 2012;

Li et al., 2020), as well as protocerebral regions encoding high level multisensory information

(Li and Strausfeld, 1999); and 3) output neurons that encode information computed by levels of

the mushroom body lobes (Li and Strausfeld, 1999; Turner et al., 2008). The latter are referred to

here as MBONs, adopting the term from studies on *Drosophila* (Aso et al., 2014a,b).

Figure 2. Uniquely identifiable neuropils of the mushroom bodies are resolved by reduced silver. (**A**)
Horizontal section of the lateral protocerebrum demonstrating the more dorsal of the two calyces (Ca1)
and its supply by the globuli cell tract (gct) from the cluster of globuli cells (gc). Columnar extensions
(Clm) from the calyces reach out to overlying gyri (Gy). The reniform body is shown with three of its gyri
(asterisks) and its bundled axons (RB) situated between the mushroom body and optic lobes, insets lower
left compare the smallest perikarya supplying the calyces (globuli cells, gc) with the next smallest
perikarya belonging to neurons of the reniform body (see *Figure 2 -figure supplement 1*). Abbreviations:
ESN, eyestalk nerve; CE, compound retina, LA, lamina, ME, medulla; LO, lobula. (**B**) Adjacent calyces
(Ca1, Ca2) showing their diagnostic arrangement of microglomeruli (inset upper right; see also Figure 3).
Arrows indicate afferent fibers into Ca2 from nonolfactory origins. (**C**) The calycal origin and rostral
extension of the Ca1 column (encircled). At this level, penetration by en passant axon bundles causes the
calyx to appear fragmented. (**D, E**) Osmium ethyl gallate-treated sections at two depths. Panel D
indicates the bulk and elaboration of calycal neuropils. At this level calyx 2 appears as the larger. The
arrowhead indicates the root of column 2. Calyx 1 is shown with its globuli cell tract entering a domain
denoted by lateral extensions of calyx 1. In panel E, the base of column 1 (Clm1) is shown arising from
Ca1, its curvature at this level splitting it into two parts. Column (Clm2) is shown extending two branches
into the gyriform layer above. Clm1 extends outwards just proximal to the pedestal of the reniform body

(RB). In addition to columns, calyces provide occasional narrower finger-like extensions extending
towards the gyri (asterisks in D). Scale bars, 100μm. Inset in A, 10μm, inset in B, 50μm.
Figure 2 —— figure supplement 1. Globuli cells supplying intrinsic neuron to the mushroom bodies
Figure 2 —— figure supplement 2. Globuli cells provide two tracts, one to each calyx.
233

Intrinsic neurons supplying the *Hemigrapsus nudus* calyces correspond to insect Kenyon

cells. As do insect Kenyon cells, these originate from the brain's smallest and most crowded

population of neuronal cell bodies, the globuli cells (*Figures 1C, 2A,D, Figure 2 – figure*

supplement 1). In other arthropods globuli cell perikarya have been shown as dense clusters

situated at the rostral surface of the lateral protocerebrum, usually near its proximal margin or

immediately above the mushroom body calyces, as in *Drosophila* or Stomatopoda, or, in

malacostracans with eyestalks, close to the lateral protocerebrum's attachment to the eyestalk

nerve. In *H. nudus*, however, globuli cell perikarya are found in a completely different and

surprising locality: a densely populated "hidden" volume tucked between the proximal margin of

the lobula and the most distal border of the lateral protocerebrum (*Figure 2A*). As in other

pancrustaceans these perikarya are the smallest perikarya of the brain, in the varunid ranging

from 5 to 7 μm in diameter (compared in *Figure 2A, inset, Figure 2 – figure supplement 1*). In

crabs used for these studies (carapace widths of 4-8 cm), we estimate that this perikaryal cluster

accounts for 22,000 mushroom body intrinsic neurons shared by the two calyces (see Methods).

Cytological distinctions amongst cells in the cluster, denoted by their appearance after osmium-

ethyl gallate staining (Wigglesworth, 1957), suggest that new globuli cells are being

continuously generated from ganglion mother cells situated at the cluster's periphery (*Figure 2 -*

figure supplement 1D-G).

Cell body fibers (neurites) extending from the globuli cell cluster are tightly packed into

two prominent bundles, called globuli cell tracts, one projecting obliquely ventrally the other

obliquely dorsally to supply each of the two adjacent calyces (*Figure 2A, B, D - figure*

supplement 2). Neurites comprising these tracts fan out to supply each calyx with its ensembles

of mostly orthogonally arranged dendritic networks that interweave amongst a mosaic of

microglomeruli (*Figure 2B; Figure 3A, B, - figure supplement 1*). The networks, which are

resolved by silver stains, comprise overlapping populations of intrinsic neuron processes

extending amongst a mosaic of many thousands of discrete microglomeruli (*Figures 2B, 3A*).

These are assumed to be sites of synaptic convergence (*Figure 3- figure supplement 1*),

corresponding to microglomerular organization in the calyces of insect, stomatopod and caridean

mushroom bodies (Yasuyama et al., 2002; Sjöholm et al., 2006; Groh and Rössler, 2011; Wolff

et al., 2017; Sayre and Strausfeld, 2019). As will be described in the following section,

components of microglomeruli include the terminals of afferent relays originating from the

olfactory lobes, neuropils associated with the visual system, including the reniform body, and

centrifugal neurons from the midbrain.

Serial sections oriented horizontally, approximately parallel to the rostral surface of the

protocerebrum and stained with reduced silver, suggest the calyces are planar neuropils (*Figure*

2B). But this is deceptive, as shown by sections in the vertical plane demonstrating how the deep

calycal cytoarchitecture extends rostrally to merge with the base of its column(*Figure 2C*). The

total extent and volume of calycal neuropil is surprisingly large as can be seen in intact brains

treated with osmium-ethyl gallate, a technique that selectively colors cell membranes black

(Wigglesworth, 1957). The method reveals volumes of neuropil in shades of black to light gray,

the darker the neuropil, the more densely packed its neuronal processes (*Figures 1A, 2D, E;*

Figure 4A, figure supplement 1). This density is a reflection of the many thousands of intrinsic

neurons comprising the calyces. Volumes that are paler are composed of neuronal processes that

are stouter and contribute less stainable membrane, as in the overlying gyri.

Computer-generated reconstructions and rotations of osmium-ethyl gallate stained

sections (see Methods) demonstrate that the calycal volumes are topographically elaborate

(*Figure 2 supplement 2*); each calyx is stratified at deeper levels of the lateral protocerebrum's

rostral volume, but where calycal neuropils extend rostrally their upper borders are defined by

irregular arrangements of small peaks and troughs. Two prominent columns, one from each

calyx, extend outwards into the overlying gyri (*Figure 2E*). Reduced silver material and Golgi

impregnations resolve their elaborate internal organization (*Figure 2C*), which is described in

section 5, below.

4. Neuronal organization of the calyces (Figures 3-6)

Intrinsic neuron processes and terminals of efferent neurons are the main constituents of the

varunid calyces (*Figure 3B, Figure 3 - figure supplement 1; Figure 4B-G, Figure 4 - figure*

supplement 1). They receive inputs from neurons primarily from the deutocerebrum (*Figure 3 –*

figure supplement 1B) but also from the optic lobes and from its associated neuropils, such as the

reniform body (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2*), as also shown for Stomatopoda (Thoen et al.,

2019). Golgi impregnations and osmium-ethyl gallate demonstrate that calyces, across their

horizontal and vertical extent, are composed of discrete domains of morphologically distinct

networks comparable to the discrete modality-specific zones of Kenyon cell dendrites that define

the insect calyces (Ehmer and Gronenberg, 2002; Strausfeld, 2002).

Figure 3. Organization of calyx 1: microglomeruli. (**A**) Reduced-silver-stained calyx showing its globuli cell
tract (gct) with, at a different level, many of its constituent neurites giving rise to branches that delineate the
mosaic organization of microglomeruli. (**B**) Golgi impregnations provide examples of intrinsic neurons, the
branches and fine processes of which extend through domains of the calyx that correspond to those
revealed both by reduced silver and by antibodies against DC0. A subfield (curved double arrow) in panel
A corresponds to the denoted branches of the illustrated intrinsic neuron in panel B. (**C**) Microglomeruli are
specifically labelled by anti-DC0. Microglomerular size and density in the boxed area corresponds to an
equivalent microglomerular organization identified by reduced silver (boxed areas in A). (**D-G**) The mosaic
is also reflected by the pattern of all intrinsic neuron processes at that level revealed by anti-α−tubulin;
these enwrap DC0-positive microglomeruli (D, E). The same system of microglomeruli is resolved using
combined actin/anti-synapsin. The area identified in a field of Golgi-impregnated intrinsic neuron processes
(boxed in B) is equivalent to the area of the calyx in panel F labelled with actin/anti-synapsin demonstrating
the microglomerular mosaic. The boxed area in F is shown in panel G. (**G**) The upper row shows actin and
synapsin separate, with the superimposed images in the right panel in G). The boxed area in the upper
right panel of G indicates a single microglomerulus. This is enlarged in the lower three panels of G, again
showing actin and synapsin as separate images and then combined lower right to demonstrate the density
of synapsin-labelled profiles, and thus direct evidence of massive convergence at a calycal microglomerulus
(panel G). Scale bars, A–C, 50μm; F, 10μm; G, 2μm.
Figure 3 ⸺ figure supplement 1. Rectilinear network organization of the calyx and afferent supply.

Most calycal neuropil is characterized by its dense arrangement of small almond-shaped

subunits (*Figure 3A*), here referred to as microglomeruli following the term given to comparable

elements described from insect mushroom body calyces (Yasuyama et al., 2002 Sjöholm et al.,

2006; Groh and Rössler, 2011). However, some peripheral volumes of the calyces comprise

more distributed arrangements (*Figure 3A,B*). These appear to receive terminals from axons of

relay neurons emerging from fascicles in the eyestalk nerve that are not part of projections from

the olfactory lobes (*Figure 2,B*).

Figure 4. Intrinsic cell dendrites define calycal territories. (**A**) Osmium-ethyl gallate resolves calycal
territories matching the dendritic fields of morphologically distinct intrinsic neurons. Letters in panel A
indicate panels showing corresponding locations of Golgi-impregnate dendritic fields shown in B and C.
Boxed areas in B and C are enlarged in panels D–G to demonstrate distinctive dendritic morphologies. The
locations of the arrowed bracket and asterisk in A correspond to their locations in B (the bracket) and C
(the asterisk). These further indicate the fidelity of calyx organization indicated by these two histological
methods. Open boxes in panels D–-F indicate different morphological specializations of afferent terminals.
Abbreviations: RB, reniform pedestal; gc, globuli cells; gct, globuli cell tract. Scale bars, A, C, 100μm, B,
50μm.
Figure 4 —— figure supplement 1. Fidelity of intrinsic neurons fields
Figure 4 —— figure supplement 2. Calyx distinctions

Discrete fields comprising intrinsic cell processes are recognized by their distinctive and

particular morphologies (*Figure 4B–G, Figure 4 – figure supplement 1*). Each morphological

type is defined by the patterning of its initial branches from its globuli cell neurite and the

subsequent reticular trajectories made by their tributaries down to the details of their final

specializations. The latter indicate points of functional connection and are morphologically

comparable to the claws, clasps and dendritic spines that decorate the dendritic branches of

Kenyon cells in *Drosophila* and other insects (Ito et al., 1998; Strausfeld and Li, 1999; Strausfeld

2002) and the dendrites of homologous intrinsic neurons in the mushroom bodies of marine

hermit crabs (Strausfeld and Sayre, 2019). As in those taxa, in the varunid calyx these

specializations invariably relate to the distribution and patterning of its microglomeruli (*Figure*

3A,B).

Figure 5. Evidence for local inhibition in the calyx by anaxonal neurons. (**A**) 40-50 large perikarya situated
rostrally above the gyri are immunoreactive to glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD, as shown in the right
inset. These neuron cell bodies, which are here Golgi–impregnated, send stout cell body fibers (arrow) to
the calyces where they provide widely branching and extremely dense processes that do not follow the
patterns of the microglomerular mosaic. (**B**) GAD immunoreactivity reveals their branches and finest
processes spreading through every calycal domain and hence positioned to potentially interact with all the
intrinsic neuron dendritic fields. (**C, D**) GAD immunoreactivity in an area shown by the box in panel B, and
an equivalent area in panel E showing the mosaic of microglomeruli. This mosaic is also evident from the
pattern a α-tubulin-immunoreactive intrinsic neurons shown in D (same boxed area in B Scale bars, A, B,
100μm, C, D, 10μm, E, 50μm.

Microglomeruli revealed by reduced silver beautifully match the size and mosaic

arrangement of microglomeruli resolved by their intense immunoreactivity to antibodies raised

against DC0 (*Figure 3 A–C*). The bundled branches of intrinsic neurons resolved by antibodies

against α-tubulin (*Figure 3D,E*) further resolve microglomeruli as participants of an elaborate

organization of orthogonal networks that correspond to distinct morphological types of intrinsic

neurons revealed as single cells by Golgi-impregnation (*Figure 4B,C, Figure 4 - figure*

supplement 1). Each glomerulus signifies the site of an incoming terminal's presynaptic bouton

or varicosity (*Figure 3 - figure supplement 1*). Combined anti-synapsin immunoreactivity and F-

actin labelling (*Figure 3F-G*) further demonstrates the profusion of postsynaptic sites belonging

to intrinsic neurons that converge at each microglomerulus. The densely packed presynaptic

specializations further confirm the status of a microglomerulus as the site of massive transfer of

information from presynaptic terminals onto many postsynaptic channels.

Figure 6. 5HT and TH immunoreactivity define different calycal domains. (**A,B**) Although many
volumes of the lateral protocerebrum are distinguished by 5HT (panel **A**, 5 hydroxytryptophan, orange)
immunoreactivity, the densest system of branches is in a rostral domain of calyx 1. TH (panel **B**, tyrosine
hydroxylase, cyan) defines two domains, one partially overlapping 5HT, the other not (panel **C**). (**D**) Bodian
silver-stained calyx 1 showing its distinctive microglomerular mosaic (inset). (**E**) Outlined in purple is the
total calycal perimeter at this level of sectioning and orientation. The open arrow indicates the origin of
column 1. (**F**) Perimeter (purple) of calyx 1 in a section of the RLPR slightly rotated around its lateral-medial
axis, mapping within it 5HT- and TH- immunoreactivity (as in panels A–C) also indicating the origin of
column 1 (open arrow, as in panel C). Abbreviations: RB, reniform body; gc, globuli cell cluster; gct, globuli
cell tract; LO, lobula. Scale bars for all panels, 100µm.

As remarked, the shapes and arrangements of intrinsic neurons and their synaptic

specializations contribute to orthogonal networks in the calyces and define calycal domains.

However, the domains are not arranged as neatly adjacent territories as in the insect calyx

(Gronenberg, 2001). Instead, the varunid calyx can reveal domains that extend vertically or

horizontally (*Figure 3 - figure supplement 1*), or comprise unexpectedly elaborate arrangements

that are understood only after they are matched to an appropriately oriented osmium-ethyl gallate

section (*Figure 4A,B, figure supplement 1*). One of the most challenging of these arrangements

consists of a population of intrinsic neurons defining a cylindrical domain that splays out as it

extends towards the proximal margin of the lateral protocerebrum (*Figure 4A*). The entire

ensemble comprises densely branched narrow-field arborizations that surround the initial

branches of a subset of globuli cell neurites (*Figure 4A,C,D*). The core leads to a terminal

expansion that is confluent with the base of one of the two large columns that extends to the

overlying gyri. Serial reduced-silver sections reveal this as originating only from calyx 2,

indicating that calyx 2 differs from calyx 1 with regard to at least one population of intrinsic

neurons. As in many insect lineages where there are paired calyces, it is such subtle distinctions

that demonstrate disparate organization across paired calyces (Farris and Strausfeld, 2003).

Reduced-silver stains showing axon tracts reveal inputs to calyx 2 originating from a tract that

recruits its axons from the reniform body and the medulla. Collaterals from this tract spread into

a deep level of calyx2 neuropil where they spread across microglomeruli bordering one side of

the incoming neurites from the globuli cell tract (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2*). The

correspondence of this arrangement with insect calyces is considered in the Discussion.

There is one critical exception to the generalization that calycal subdivisions are denoted

by different types of intrinsic neurons. The exception is that there is a system of neurons that

arborizes uniformly throughout both calyces. These arborizations belong to GAD-

immunoreactive local processes originating from a dorsal cluster of large cell bodies, each of

which provides an anaxonal field that arborizes throughout both calyces, and into each of its

domains. (*Figure 5A,B*). These densely packed processes are exceptional in that they do not obey

the orthogonal leitmotif of the calyx, as revealed by anti-a-tubulin (*Figure 5C, D*), nor do they

reflect the mosaic arrangement of glomeruli (Figure *5C-E*). Instead, anti-GAD-immunoreactive

branchlets populate all the calycal domains and do so in great numbers, the totality suggesting

that local inhibitory elements are distributed amongst all intrinsic cell processes irrespective of

whether they are in immediate proximity to or distant from a microglomerulus (*Figure 5 C-E*).

Whereas GAD immunohistology does not distinguish local calycal domains, these are

indicated by the inhomogeneity of fields of 5HT- and TH-immunoreactive across the calyces

(*Figure 6*). The neurons providing these fields also appear to be specific to the calyces and not

part of a wider system that extends to other regions of the LPR. Those more distributed systems

include densely branched arborizations that populate the optic lobe's lobula and 5HT-

immunoreactive neurons that arborize in certain neuropils of the CLPR as well as gyri. These are

likewise distinct from the 5HT and TH-immunoreactive fields that intersect the mushroom body

columns, which are described next.

Figure 7. Origin of calycal extensions and columns. (**A, B**) Anti-α–tubulin (cyan) reveals the organization of large fiber bundles and coarse neuropil but, at low magnification, shows neuropils of the calyces as almost featureless (panel A). Part of the calyces are penetrated by incoming tributaries from the eyestalk nerve (asterisk in A, lower panel). A corresponding Golgi-impregnated region (B) demonstrates a system of medium-diameter branched processes that provide fine networks enwrapping the origin of columns. Some networks also extend as finger-like extensions outwards from the calyces. Panel B shows one column (upper left bracket) the base of which is flanked by those arrangements (enlarged in upper right inset indicated by arrows). This extensive system of processes does not derive from the globuli cell clusters although it is clearly associated with the entire extent of the calyces, suggesting a possible role in calyx-wide modulation. (**C-D**) As shown by this reduced silver stained section, the column arising from calyx 1 is extremely elaborate, comprising at least two longitudinal divisions each defined by arrangements of intrinsic neuron processes and their branched specializations. The curved arrow in panel C indicates the continuation of intrinsic neuron microglomeruli for a short distance alongside the column. The boxed areas in panel C, indicate corresponding levels identified in a Golgi-impregnated column 1 (D) showing enlargements in panels E, F, of their constituent arrangements. In panel E ascending fibers provide short collaterals (arrowheads) across one longitudinal subdivision of the column. In another subdivision, ascending intrinsic processes are arranged as a tangle (circled in panels E, F). Panel F also shows a large beaded branch (arrowed) of a mushroom body input neuron (MBIN) extending into the column. Abbreviations: RB, reniform body; Ca2, calyx 2; gc, globuli cells; LO, lobula; Gy, gyri. Scale bars, A, B, 100μm; C, D, 50μm.

Figure 7 —— figure supplement 1. Intrinsic neuron organization in columns

Figure 7 —— figure supplement 2. The interface between columns and gyri.

5. Transition from the calyces to the columns

Thus far we have demonstrated the composition of the calyces by approximately orthogonal networks provided by intrinsic neurons and their arrangements with microglomeruli.

Stomatopod and shrimp mushroom bodies demonstrate a clear transition of neuronal organization between the calyx and its columnar extensions (Wolff et al., 2017; Sayre and Strausfeld, 2018). In *H. nudus* there is no abrupt transition (*Figure 2*). This ambiguity is seconded in brains treated with osmium-ethyl gallate, which show lateral protocerebral neuropil supplied by globuli cell neurites gradually fading from black to gray, signifying decreasing densities of neuronal membrane, finally giving way to the uniformly pale aspect of the overlying gyri (*Figure 1A, Figure2D,E*). 3-D reconstructions of the dark volumes show a layered arrangement at deeper layers of the calyces changing to a more corrugated appearance, particularly at its outer surface (*Figure 2, supplement 2*). Silver impregnations show that the base and some of the initial length of a column are clearly reticulated (*Figure 7C*). Double Golgi impregnation shows that these networks receive contributions from two sources: the extensions of axon-like processes from intrinsic neuron fields in the calyx; and intrinsic arborizations, many extremely complicated, that originate from thin neurites, presumed to belong to globuli cells (*Figure 7 - figure supplement 1*). An additional system of networks extends outwards to flank each calyx's column (*Figure 7B*). These reticulations originate from a tangle of processes situated at a deeper level of the calyces (*Figure 7B*) that does not reflect any relationships with microglomeruli. The likely origin of this system (*Figure 7B*) is not from globuli cells but from a small clusters of cell bodies, some DC0-immunoreactive, situated ventrally close the inner margin of the lobula (*Figure 1C*). We interpret this tangle of processes (*Figure 7B*) as belonging to interstitial local interneurons providing elements to the columns at a level limited to their emergence from the calyces a small part of their initial length. The topology of these arrangements, including the small column-specific intrinsic arborizations (*Figure 7 - figure supplement 1E-K*) is reminiscent of interstitial neurons interposed between the calyces and pedunculus of the honeybee (Strausfeld, 2002).

The origin of the columns and smaller extensions from the calyces are further demonstrated by selected levels of silver-stained brains. Serial sections resolve the uneven rostral border of the calyces and the two columns reaching outwards towards the overlying gyri (*Figure 7 - figure supplement 2*). The series also demonstrates the fidelity of silver and osmium-ethyl gallate in showing corresponding origins of the columns from the two calyces. These aspects

emphasize that the seemingly irregular morphology of the rostral part of the calyces is not

irregular by happenstance but is a defining feature of the lateral protocerebrum.

Reduced silver preparations demonstrate that a column is subdivided into parallel

components as in insect mushroom bodies, where each subdivision has a distinctive arrangement

of intrinsic neurons (Strausfeld et al. 2005; Sjöholm et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2008) and is

defined by distinctive patterns of gene expression (Yang et al., 1995). What is unexpected is the

degree of elaboration observed in the varunid mushroom body. Golgi impregnations demonstrate

that, compared with other pancrustaceans, mushroom bodies in a crab are stunningly complex,

both with regard to their calyces and columns. The varunid's columns consist of parallel intrinsic

cell fibers that are almost obscured by numerous other arrangements, the variety of which is

compatible with the variety of their morphological fields in the calyces. Comparison of the

silver-stained column originating from calyx 2 to one impregnated by mass Golgi impregnations

(*Figure 7C,D*) demonstrates a discrete and complicated system of processes, some providing

bridgelike connections across them (*Figure 7E*), others having irregular branches, lateral

prolongations, and bundled parallel fibers (*Figure 7E,F*). In addition, larger dendrite-like

elements arising from stout processes within the columns suggest that those processes may

provide outputs extending outwards to the overlying gyri (*Figure 7D,F*), a feature to which we

return in section 7.

Golgi impregnation has its own peculiar limitations: it is stochastic, revealing probably

less than 1% of the total population of neurons, even after double impregnation. Nevertheless,

mass impregnation further suggests that the columns from the two calyces are not identical.

Although networks of intrinsic cells in both columns receive terminals from branches of the

olfactory glomerular tract, there are clear differences between the columns from calyx 1 and

calyx 2. Column 2 is broader and more difficult to discern in reduced silver. In part because

many of its intrinsic processes are all extremely thin, many less than a micron in diameter (see

section 7 below). At first sight, the organization of processes comprising column 2 appears to be

chaotic. However, when scrutinized more closely the organizations of its dendrites and

collaterals contribute to subsets of different arrangements disposed across the width of a column

and at different levels along it (*Figure 7 supplement 1A-C*). Reciprocal channels to the column

from the gyri are suggested by the presence of descending axons flanking the column, providing

varicose collaterals into it (*Figure 7 - figure supplement 1A*). These varieties of columnar

arrangements are further considered in the Discussion.

Figure 8. **5HT and TH immunoreactivity resolve different levels in the calyx 1 column.** Looking downwards through the rostral surface of LPR**,** this sequence of confocal slices (**A,D,E,G,H**) shows views starting at the column's origin from calyx 1 (panel **A**) then following its outward passage rostrally to the level at which the column merges with the overlying gyri (panels **G**, **H**). (**B)** shows outlines of 5HT- and TH-immunoreactive fields at level A in relation to the globuli cell tract (gct, green) and the cross section of the reniform body's pedestal (RB).The dendritic field of a TH-immunoreactive cell is bracketed in A,B and a smaller portion of it is denoted by a dashed square in B. (**C**) Golgi preparation of dendrites in area equivalent to bracketed and dashed areas in (B) show that at this level, networks of intrinsic neurons have given way to more loosely defined reticulations. (**F**) Enlargement of dashed square in (C). At the level shown in panel A, 5HT- and TH-immunoreactive fields are segregated across the origin of the column and continue to be, at least until the level shown in panel **E**, suggesting 5HT- and TH-immunoreactive fields are restricted to the column's longitudinal subdivisions. TH-immunoreactive fields can be noticeably clustered within a small area of the column's cross-section (arrow in E) as are MBONs in *Drosophila* mushroom bodies. The width of the column (brackets in panels D, E, G) increases gradually through layers **D**, **E**, and **G** (see brackets), and in the gyrus (**H**) its borders are no longer distinguishable. The 3–D reconstruction (lower left) shows a view looking into the lateral protocerebrum from its confluence with the optic lobes, its medial axis indicated by the black arrow and the rostral axis by the white arrow pointing upwards. Confocal levels are indicated as well as the two gyri (Ca1Gy1, Ca1Gy2) associated with the Ca1 column and the globuli cell tracts to Ca1 and Ca2 from the globuli cell cluster (gc). Other abbreviations: gct, globuli cell tract; pds, pedestal of the reniform body; Gy, gyrus. Scale bars, A-E, G, H, 10μm; C and 3–D reconstruction, 100μm.

Fields of 5HT- and TH-immunoreactive dendrites extend across columns. Here we show

fields relating to column 1 from calyx 1 that provide clear resolution of their arrangements from

the calycal origin to the interface with gyri (*Figure 8*). Fields are arranged at specific levels

along the column and they intersect different domains across the column, as occurs across the

parallel subdivision of the columns (lobes) of mushroom bodies in *Drosophila* and other insects

(Nässel and Elekes 1992; Liu et al., 2012; Aso et al., 2014a; Hamanaka, 2016; Tedjakumala et

al., 2017). The first of these fields occurs at the origin of the column from the calyx (*Figure*

8A,B) above where the neat orthogonal arrangements seen at deeper levels give way to the first

appearance of looser arrangements, including parallel fibers (*Figure 8C,F*). Anti-5HT- and anti-

TH-immunoreactive fields are clearly segregated (*Figure 8A and D*) at or near the column origin

but begin to overlap at more rostral levels closer to the gyri, at locations where osmium-ethyl

gallate preparations show the columns branching, with tributaries extending to overlying gyri. At

those levels, immunoreactive processes appear to spread laterally into the gyri themselves

(*Figure 8G,H*).

6. Organization of gyriform neuropils

Five distinct gyri comprise the outer layer of the RLPR (*Figure 9A*). But only four gyri are

associated with the two calyces. A fifth proximal gyrus situated near the entry of the eyestalk

nerve is notable for its neuronal organization distinguishing it as entirely separate from the

mushroom body (*Figure 9A,F*).

Mass impregnations demonstrate at this outer level of the lateral protocerebrum large

numbers of efferent neurons and their dendritic processes, many of which originate from clusters

of loosely arranged patches of perikarya that extend over the outer surface of the lateral

protocerebrum (*Figure 9 – figure supplement 1*). Although stochastically impregnated, the

abundance and variety of these neurons suggests the gyri contain dense arrangements of efferent

(output) and local interneurons (*Figure 9- figure supplement 1D-I*). Golgi impregnations reveal

swathes of axons extending across the gyri, suggesting lateral interactions amongst them.

Oblique palisades of dendritic trees, which have branching patterns peculiarly reminiscent of

vertebrate pyramidal cells (*Figure 9B*), send axons to fascicles that extend to the midbrain via

the eyestalk nerve.

Figure 9. Gyriform neuropils: afferent and efferent organization. (**A, B**). Amira-generated 3–D reconstructions of the gyri and their calyces. Black arrows indicate the direction of the eyestalk nerve; likewise in panels C–H. (**C**) Ensemble of efferent dendrites in gyrus 2 associated with calyx 1 (Ca1Gy2). The represented area is boxed in panel B. (**D**) Afferent tributary from the antennoglomerular tract (olfactory projection neurons) suppling gyrus Ca1Gy1 neuropil (open rectangle in panel A). (**E**) Smooth oval presynaptic specializations denote the terminals of another antennoglomerular tributary that exclusively supplies the most proximal gyrus (pGY, rectangle in panel A), which has no obvious connection to the mushroom body. (**F**) Double-labelling with anti–GAD (yellow) and anti–allatostatin (cyan) of the lateral protocerebrum resolves allatostatin immunoreactivity in the proximal gyrus (rectangle in A and F), which shows little to no GAD immunoreactivity. (**G, H**) Stacked z-axis projections of Golgi-impregnated tracts, imaged through two successive 50-µm sections showing massive supply by afferent neurons. These reach levels of the lateral protocerebrum that include those at and well beneath the gyriform layer (box I, panel G), including levels of the calyces (box J in panel G and box K in panel H). Notably, many efferent neurons relaying information from gyri (panel H) send their downstream axons from the lateral protocerebrum into these tracts. (**I-K**) Enlargements from regions indicated in G, H, but at sample thicknesses of less than 20 µm to isolate details obscured in flattened optical sections . Scale bars, A–C, F–H, 100µm; D,E, 50µm; I–K, 50µm.

Figure 9 —— figure supplement 1. Perikaryal distributions and gyri neurons

Like deeper levels of the lateral protocerebrum, gyri comprising this superficial level of

the lateral protocecerum are supplied by terminals of afferent neurons, the axons of which radiate

out from the eyestalk nerve. Many of these terminals are identified as originating from discrete

fascicles that comprise the antennal globular tract, denoting not only their identity as axons of

projection neurons from the olfactory lobes but suggesting that different classes of projection

neurons comprise different fascicles (*Figure 9D,E*). The terminals of projection neurons are

denoted by their swollen boutons, the different morphologies of which are distinctive. For

example, terminals spreading out over the surface of gyrus 1, belonging to calyx 1, have rough

ball-shaped profiles that are distinct from larger smooth boutons from extremely slender axons

supplying the fifth proximal gyrus (pGy in *Figure 9A,B,E*). That gyrus's large allatostatin-

immunoreactive processes and the paucity, even absence, of GAD-immunoreactive networks

suggests its complete independence from the mushroom body's organization (*Figure 9F*). Nor,

as shown by osmium-ethyl gallate, is this gyrus associated with any of the calyces' outward

extensions.

In addition to axons extending from the eyestalk nerve providing afferents to superficial

levels in the gyri, all levels in the rostral protocerebrum receive an abundant supply of terminals,

including many penetrating to deep levels of the calyces (*Figure 9G-K*). Again, it is worth

bearing in mind when viewing the images in Figure 9G, H that Golgi impregnations likely reveal

not more than a few percent of the constituent neurons providing these already dense

arrangements of incoming fiber tracts.

7. Transitions to gyri

We have shown that four gyri overlie two large columns, each extending from one of the two

calyces, as do occasional smaller finger-like extensions. Osmium-ethyl gallate demonstrates that

the columns bifurcate close to the gyri (*Figure 1A, 2D,E*), a feature also resolved in serial

reduced-silver-stained sections (*Figure 7 - figure supplement 1A-H*). However, neither method

allows the identification of a clear inner margin of a gyrus or an obvious termination of the

column. Here we consider neural arrangements that denote the ambiguity of the interface

between the mushroom body's columns and their corresponding gyri .

Figure 10. Gyrus neuropils: local circuit neurons. (**A**) 3-D reconstruction of gyri associated with calyx 1 and 2, showing approximate locations of networks shown in B–H. (**B**) Dense network supplied by ascending processes (boxed area is enlarged on left), ascribed to Ca1Gy2 derived from column 1. Dendrites of an efferent neuron (arrows) spread through this layer. (**C**) Efferent dendrites overlap a broad field of dense terminal specializations. Gnarled bouton-like specializations (boxed and enlarged on right) show that terminals from the eyestalk nerve enter this level. (**D-H**) Dense and elaborately branched elements interpreted as local interneurons (D, E) and intrinsic elements of the column (F-H). Ascending processes (circled in F,H and arrowed in G,H) are further evidence of local circuits. Dense groups of converging processes (box brackets in G,H) correspond in size to afferent boutons (boxed in G,H). Scale bars, B, C, 100μm: D-H, 50μm.
Figure 10 —— figure supplement 1. Column-gyrus interface organization**.**

Tangential sections across the gyri demonstrate novel and as yet puzzling aspects of their composition (*Figure 10A,B*). One is the presence of dendritic trees, as in Figure 10B, here

represented by a single impregnated neuron, the axon of which groups with others extending to the lateral protocerebral root of the eyestalk nerve. The dendrites are seen overlapping with elaborate networks of finely branching processes that terminate in small bead-like swellings suggestive of presynaptic specializations. This network (*Figure 10B*) derives from extremely thin processes that ascend into the gyrus from outward extensions of the calyx (bracketed in *Figure 10B*). Further examples (*Figure 10C*) show that the dendrites of a gyrus's efferent neurons overlap other arrangements of putative ascending presynaptic fields. In this example, the fields do not appear to be part of an intervening synaptic network but instead their disposition with the efferent dendritic trees suggests that they likely terminate there (*Figure 9C*). In addition, highly restricted systems of mixed spiny and beaded arborizations suggest local anaxonal neurons that may provide synaptic interfaces between such terminal systems arising from the columns and the dendrites of gyral efferent neurons (*Figure 10D,E*). Evidence that arborizations predominantly equipped with presynaptic specializations likely originate from the calyces is indicated by densely packed elements situated distally in the columns. These are equipped with short digiform specializations arranged as small basket-like receptacles that clasp afferent terminals of unknown origin (*Figure 10F-H*).

The rare Golgi impregnation that resolves one of the columns as a recognizable ensemble of heterogeneous elements within the column's boundaries also demonstrates that its terminus is "open," meaning contiguous with the gyral neuropil into which some of its fibers project (*Figure 7- figure supplement 1A; Figure 10 – figure supplement 1A*). Also fortuitous are preparations that demonstrate the terminus of a column coinciding with bundled endings from the antennoglomerular tract. Such a preparation (*Figure 10 supplement 1B*), also shows that the terminus of the column is open to gyral neuropil around it and that processes extend from the column into the gyrus. Combined labelling with anti-synapsin and actin at the terminus of the column (*Figure 10 - figure supplement 1C*) further indicates that sizes and densities of sites indicating synaptic convergence differ systematically across it. The flanking gyral neuropils also betray substantial variation in synaptic densities. Inputs to the gyri do not, however, derive solely from calycal columns and afferents from the antennoglomerular tract. Reduced silver shows that tracts from caudal neuropil associated with the optic lobe extend rostrally to the superficial levels of a gyrus (*Figure 10 - figure supplement 1D*), yet another indication of the complexity of organization and its multimodal nature even at the most distal level of the mushroom body. The possible significance of this is considered below in the Discussion.

8. The reniform body

We have shown that the lateral protocerebrum is divided into a rostral and caudal part (RLPR and CLPR) , the former containing the paired mushroom bodies. The mushroom bodies are not, however, the sole components of the RLPR. The pGy gyrus nearest to the RLPR's junction with the eyestalk nerve is distinct and probably to some degree functionally separate from gyri associated with the mushroom bodies (*Figure 9F*). In addition, there is another prominent system of circumscribed neuropils situated close to the lateral border of the RLPR, arising from a dorsal group of relatively small perikarya almost adjacent to the optic lobes. These neuropils comprise the reniform body, a center identified in stomatopods by Bellonci (Bellonci, 1882), who distinguished it from the very prominent mushroom bodies in that taxon. The same center has been described in detail in the stomatopods *Neogonodactylus oerstedii*, *Gonodactylus smithii*, and *G. chiragra*, and the carideans *Lebbeus groenlandicus* and *Alpheus bellulus.* In all these species the reniform body adopts the same morphology: a densely populated bundle of axons, referred to as the pedestal extends from the dorsal surface of the RLPR to its ventral surface, providing four discrete neuropils called the initial (dorsal), lateral, and the ventrally situated proximal and distal zones (Wolff et al., 2017; Thoen et al., 2019; Sayre and Strausfeld, 2019; Strausfeld et al., 2020). In all of the cited taxa, the reniform body is situated between the columnar mushroom body and the lobula.

Precisely the same placement is observed in the varunid lateral protocerebrum (*Figure 11*). The reniform body occupies a location lateral to the ensembles of neurons that constitute the mushroom body gyri (*Figures 1,11*). Reconstructed from serial osmium-ethyl gallate sections, the reniform body's pedestal lies immediately above, i.e., rostral to, the two tracts of neurites from the globuli cell cluster (the globuli cell tract) that provide intrinsic neurons to the mushroom body calyces (*Figure 11 C*). In *H. nudus*, the reniform body pedestal extends from a mass of cell bodies at the dorsal surface and extends almost to the lateral protocerebrum's ventral surface, bifurcating into two main tributaries about half way along its length (Figure 11 F). These give rise to the lateral, distal and proximal zones, each zone composed of substantial volumes of arborizations (*Figure 11 D*). The reniform body is thus entirely distinct from the mushroom bodies, and is situated distally with respect to their centrally disposed calyces (*Figure 11 E*). The reniform body's thick pedestal, albeit columnar in form, is distinct from a mushroom body column in that its constituent axons are naked; lacking spines or other specializations that define a mushroom body. The reniform body's four zones of branching collaterals contribute to

structures reminiscent of microglomeruli. However, they are of larger girth and synapsin-actin

labelling shows them to have significantly fewer converging presynaptic elements than a calycal

microglomerulus (*Figure 10, figure supplement 1D,E*). Despite these clear differences the

reniform body is strongly immunoreactive to anti-DC0 showing that it also is a learning and

memory center as demonstrated by Maza et al. (*Maza et al., 2016*).

Figure 11. Disposition of the reniform body. (**A**) 3-D reconstruction of gyri from osmium-ethyl gallate
specimens identifies their 2:1 relationship with columns and their total volume, which can be compared to
the distribution of gyrus neurons resolved by Golgi impregnations (**B,** level indicated in A). The Golgi method
impregnates a fraction of the constituent neurons, the fields of which are mostly constrained to a gyrus.
Here impregnated neurons are viewed through the LPR's rostral surface. In panel A (and panels C E) the
black arrow indicates the medial axis, the white arrow the rostral axis. (**C**) The globuli cell cluster (gc)
provides two globuli cell tracts (gct1, gct2) to the two mushroom body calyces Ca1, Ca2. The lateral lobe
of gyrus 2 associated with calyx 2 (indicated by the asterisk in panel E) reaches out distally and fills a gap

(asterisk in D) between two processes (the intermediate and lateral zones, iz and lz) originating from the
reniform body's pedestal (pds). (**D**) The reniform body pedestal is a dense fiber bundle originating from a
dorsal cluster of small cell bodies (RBcb) on the distal flank of the RLPR. The pedestal's ventrally dividing
branches, shown Golgi-impregnated in panel **F**, provide the dorsal and proximal zones (dz, pz). (**E**)
Combined volumes in A,C, and D demonstrating the position and modest dimensions of the reniform body
relative to the mushroom bodies, comprising the calyces (Ca1, Ca2) and their columns and associated gyri.
Scale bars, A-C, B, F, 100μm.
Figure 11 ⸺ figure supplement 1. Comparison of synaptic densities associated with the calyces and
reniform body.
719

Discussion

Unique organization of the varunid mushroom body. Studies by Hanström in the 1920s and

1930s on the brains of malacostracan crustaceans concluded that mushroom bodies equipped

with calyces and columns (lobes), as they are in insects and mantis shrimps (Bellonci, 1882),

have been reduced in decapods to columnless centers lying immediately beneath the rostral

surface of the lateral protocerebrum. Recent comparisons of the brains of reptantian decapods

have confirmed that its lineages share the evolutionary trend of reduction and loss of the

mushroom body column (Strausfeld and Sayre, 2019). Those mushroom bodies nevertheless

retain most elements of ancestral networks: diffusely arranged in Axiidea, Astacidea, Achelata

(Strausfeld and Sayre, 2019), but precisely stratified in terrestrial and marine Anomura, (hermit

crabs: Harzsch and Hansson, 2008; Wolff et al., 2012; Strausfeld and Sayre, 2019).

Ocypodidae (fiddler crabs) and Varunidae (shore crabs), two lineages of Brachyura (true

crabs) do not conform to this trend. As demonstrated previously and again here, large DC0-

positive domains cover much of the varunid lateral protocerebrum, suggesting relatively

enormous (for an arthropod) learning and memory neuropils (Strausfeld et al., 2020). The

proposition that the reniform body is the crab's mushroom body (Maza et al., 2016, 2020) is

refuted by Golgi impregnations and 3-D reconstructions of the lateral protocerebrum

demonstrating the reniform body as entirely distinct from the huge DC0-positive mushroom

body adjacent to it (*Figure 11*).

Similarity, conjunction, and congruence are established criteria for assessing phenotypic

homology (Patterson, 1988). In all the lineages where reniform bodies have been identified these

criteria exclude it as mushroom body homologue. That the brachyuran mushroom body has until

now remained unrecognized can be attributed to its hiding in plain sight as an unexpected and

radical reorganization. Even its population of conservatively estimated 22,000 densely packed

globuli cells is not where it would be expected to be. Based on observations of other

pancrustaceans, globuli cells are clustered over the rostral surface of the lateral protocerebrum,

close to where it join the eyestalk nerve (see Sayre and Strausfeld, 2019). Amplifying this

distinction is that the entire mushroom body is inverted such that its two voluminous calyces are

deeply buried in the rostral domain of the lateral protocerebrum where they receive inputs from

the deutocerebrum's olfactory lobes and the protocerebrum's optic lobes.

We show here that each calyx gives rise to a large column extending outwards and

merging with broad overlying gyri. The gyri are pillowed into folds and sulci thus forming a

cortex-like architecture. This system receives additional afferent supply and gives rise to

pyramidal-like ensembles of efferent neurons, the axons of which extend to the eyestalk nerve.

Throughout this massive structure, the mushroom body and its gyri are strongly immunoreactive

to antibodies raised against DC0.

Although the crab mushroom body appears vastly different from the right-way-up

"traditional" insect or stomatopod homologue (Figure 12), it nevertheless shares with them their

defining traits. For example, intrinsic neurons originating from globuli cells contribute to the

brachyuran calyces and columns as do the Kenyon cells of an insect mushroom body. As in

stomatopods, shrimps, and insects, the axon-like processes of intrinsic cells are clustered in their

respective fascicles, as they are in any one of the longitudinal divisions of the insect mushroom

body columns, where short intermingling collaterals can provide orthogonal connectivity

(Strausfeld et al. 2005; Sjöholm et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2008). Other longitudinal divisions of

the varunid mushroom body column are populated by intrinsic processes that interweave to

provide rectilinearity, as they do in one of the two mushroom body columns of the shrimp

Lebbeus groenlandicus (Sayre and Strausfeld, 2019), or in the stratified dome-like mushroom

body of hermit crabs (Wolff et al., 2015). We show here that true crabs express the whole

panoply of intrinsic cell arrangements. These include segregated and intermingling "parallel

fibers" as in Stomatopoda or the core lobe (column) of *Drosophila* (Wolff et al., 2017; Strausfeld

et al., 2003); rectilinear networks that extend part way into a column from the calyx; dense

arrangements of intrinsic processes extending across the columns comparable to certain neurons

populating the mushroom body column in honey bees or crickets (Strausfeld 2002; Hamanaka

and Mizunami, 2019); and arrangements comparable to the longitudinal laminations of the

mushroom body lobes (columns) of Dictyoptera and Lepidoptera (Sinakevitch et al., 2001;

Sjöholm et al., 2005). In short, the crab mushroom body column appears to embody all known

arrangements across Pancrustacea. If each arrangement of a specific type of intrinsic neurons

represents a distinct computational property, as suggested from studies of honeybees and fruit

flies (Traniello et al., 2019; Perisse et al., 2016), then the crab would appear to be singularly

well-equipped. The same applies to its calycal arrangements, which in *H. nudus* are elaborate

and discretely partitioned into territories denoted by different morphologies of intrinsic neurons

and afferents. This zonal organization corresponds to the more compact and highly ordered

modality-specific domains typifying hymenopteran calyces (Gronenberg 2001), and, to a lesser

extent, *Drosophila* calyces as well (Yagi et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020).

The varunid lateral protocerebrum has two calyces, each providing a massive column.

This doubling of the calyx has many precedents. In the stomatopod lateral protocerebrum there

are four adjacent columns, each associated with a distinct ensemble of globuli cells and hence a

calyx. That organization has been compared to the *Drosophila* mushroom body, which is a

composite neuropil of four hemi-mushroom bodies derived from four clonal lineages, as it is in

the honey bee (Wolff et al., 2017; Ito et al., 1997; Farris et al., 2004). Consequently, the

mushroom bodies in an insect's lateral protocerebrum are paired but completely fused. The

varunid has two calyces in immediate contact, but not quite fused as no intrinsic neuron extends

its field of processes into both. Only one calyx (CA2) appears to receive input from a tract of

axons carrying relays from the medulla and from the reniform body. Such an asymmetric input to

twin calyces is not unique: a comparable arrangement is found in the *Drosophila* mushroom

body, where visual inputs terminate in an "accessary calyx" attached to one side of the fused

calyx (Vogt et al., 2016). A similar arrangement involving visual inputs has been described from

the swallowtail butterfly (Kinoshita et al., 2015).

Of particular note is the extensive organization of GAD-immunoreactive arborizations

that extend into every domain of the *H. nudus* calyces. These provide dense systems of minute

processes, the dispositions of which would allow synaptic contact with every neuronal process

supplying a microglomerus. Accepting that varunid intrinsic neurons correspond to insect

Kenyon cells, these GAD-immunoreactive systems suggest correspondence with wide-field

anaxonal inhibitory neurons that extend throughout the *Drosophila* calyx ('APL neurons': Liu

and Davis, 2009; Amin et al., 2020). Consequently, such putatively inhibitory anaxonal neurons

in the crab suggest a global role in local synaptic inhibition at microglomeruli. Arrangements of

aminergic neurons in the calyces and columns further correspond to mushroom body input and

output neurons recognized in *Drosophila* (Aso et al., 2014; Owald et al., 2015). It is also notable

that highly localized and densely branching TH- and 5HT- immunoreactive neurons occur at

different levels of the crab's calyces. These correspond to similar dispositions of immunoreactive
neurons in the layered calyces of columnar mushroom bodies, including those of stomatopods
and shrimps (Wolff et al., 2017; Sayre and Strausfeld, 2019). Neurons with the same
immunoreactive signatures are associated with columns, from their origin at the calyces out to
the level of their "fuzzy" interface with gyri and also in the gyri. Their successive levels in a
column approximate the arrangements of output neurons from successive partitions of insect
mushroom bodies, as exemplified in cockroaches and *Drosophila* (Li and Strausfeld, 1998;
Tanaka et al., 2008; Aso et al., 2014b); and in crustaceans by the successive fields of efferent
neurons in the mushroom bodies of Stomatopoda, Stenopodidea and Caridea (Wolff et al., 2017;
Sayre and Strausfeld, 2018; Strausfeld et al., 2020).
819
Is the organization of gyri an outlier, and what is its homologue in other lineages?
Comparisons of the varunid brain with those of other pancrustaceans meet an impasse when
considering the lateral protocerebrum's system of gyri, a cortex-like feature that appears to be
special to Brachyura. As described above, there is no obvious terminus of a mushroom body
column: its efferent neurons merge with systems of local interneurons in the gyri and, with these
local interneurons, they extend amongst the dendrites of efferent neurons that leave the gyri. If
these arrangements do not correspond to organization in other pancrustaceans and mandibulates,
then what developmental events might have given rise to such a departure from the reptantian
ground pattern?
Three developmental studies on the malacostracan lateral protocerebrum should be noted.
Two demonstrate early stages of the formation of the larval lateral protocerebrum, in which there
is not yet evidence for morphogenetic reorganization in what will become the lateral
protocerebrum (Harzsch and Dawirs1996; Sintoni et al., 2007). The third demonstrates that
massive reorganization and new generation of neuropils can accompany late development in the
pelagic larva (Chan and Cronin, 2018). All of those authors have indicated that crustaceans can
undergo radical metamorphosis during the change from a pelagic larva to a preadult, the larval
stage providing pools of neural precursor cells for subsequent development. Those precursors
would likely contribute not only to the formation and differentiation of adult centers in the lateral
protocerebrum but also to any taxon-specific morphogenic rearrangements.
Might the relationship of the insect mushroom body with the rest of the brain suggest an
origin for the gyri? In insects, many of the mushroom body efferent neurons terminate in

neuropils of the superior medial protocerebrum (honeybee: Strausfeld, 2002; cockroach: Li and

Strausfeld 1997, 1999; *Drosophila*: Ito et al., 1998; Tanaka et al., 2008; Aso et al., 2014a,b).

This is the most rostral part of the forebrain in insects, comprising dense networks of local

interneurons that are interposed between the terminals of mushroom body outputs and the

dendrites of interneurons whose axons terminate in successive strata of the central complex's

fan-shaped body (Phillips-Portillo and Strausfeld, 2012). A comparable arrangement in

crustaceans with eyestalks requires that efferent neurons (particularly TH-immunoreactive

MBONs) from the mushroom bodies extend axons from that distant origin into the midbrain's

superior protocerebrum. These connections are suggested by silver-stained brains of *H. grapsus*

showing numerous axons from the eyestalk nerve directly target the medial protocerebrum. This

speaks against gyri being medial neuropils displaced distally.

One possible interpretation of the gyri is that they represent enormously expanded

versions of tubercles, swollen parts of a column typifying mushroom bodies of certain caridid

shrimps (in Stenopodidae and Thoridae: Sayre and Strausfeld 2019) and insects (Zygentoma,

Ephemeroptera: Farris, 2005). Tubercles of caridid (or insect) mushroom bodies are compact,

comprising tangled extensions of intrinsic neuron processes associated with a concentrated

system of TH- and 5HT-immunorecative processes intersecting that level of the column. Those

arrangements are absent from gyri. Although there are TH- and 5HT-immunorecative fields in

gyri, these do not appear to interact with intrinsic fibers in the columns. Nevertheless, that gyri

are as strongly DC0-immunoreactive as the calyces and columns suggests that they play crucial

roles in memory acquisition.

Far more elaborated than a tubercle, gyri comprise many morphologically distinct types

of neurons, including efferents of unknown antigenicity. The presence in gyri of local

interneurons and rectilinear networks further indicates an identity that is indirectly associated

with the mushroom body. A clue is suggested by other Reptantia, in which certain mushroom

body outputs target neuropils at deep levels of the lateral protocerebrum (Mellon et al., 1992a,b;

McKinzie et al., 2003). If these less well-studied neuropils became inverted, they would overlie the

inverted brachyuran mushroom bodies as do the gyri (Figure 12d,e). In that scenario, the

relationship between a mushroom body and its target neuropils is essentially the same as in other

reptantians, except a consequence of inversion is that those neuropils have been able to undergo

unparalleled lateral expansion and gyrification.

872
873
Figure 12. Mushroom body inversion and gyriform organization. (**a, b**) Schematics of corresponding
neuron ground patterns. (**a**) In Stomatopoda, intrinsic neuron dendrites corresponding to the Kenyon cells
of insect mushroom bodies occupy specific domains (here levels) in the calyces. Their prolongations
down the columns are intersected by efferent dendritic fields, the axons of which extend to the midbrain
(left) or to domains (Do) deeper in the lateral protocerebrum. (**b**) In *H. nudus*, the mushroom body is
inverted. Intrinsic neuron dendrites occupy characteristic planar territories in the calyces, with rostrally
projecting extensions in the columns intersected by efferent neuron dendrites. As in stomatopods (TH-
MBONs), axons of some efferent neurons extend medially to the superior protocerebrum of the midbrain
(not shown). Other efferents supply the gyriform neuropils overlying the lateral protocerebrum (Gy). (**c-e**)
Comparisons of mushroom bodies in Malacostraca. (**c**) In the stomatopod *N. oerstedii* globuli cells cover
the calyx; columns project caudally into the lateral protocerebrum neuropil. Neuropils within the lateral
protocerebrum (Do) receive inputs from the lobes. (**d**) In the crayfish *Procambarus clarkia* the lobeless
mushroom body lies at the lateral protocerebrum's rostral surface. Neuropils in the lateral protocecerum
receiving its outputs. (**e**) In *H. nudus*, calyces are deeply buried in the lateral protocerebrum. Columns
extend rostrally to an overlying gyriform neuropil with the entire arrangement indicating mushroom body
inversion and lateral expansion of the rostral gyri. Abbreviations: Ca, calyx; Clm, mushroom body column;
Do, domains receiving mushroom body efferents; eff, efferent neurons; gc, globuli cells; Gy, gyri; GyC,
gyriform cortex; LO, lobula; ME, medulla.
892
The varunid mushroom body in the context of pancrustacean evolution and cognition.

The organization of characters defining mushroom bodies is phenotypically identical in

stomatopods and insects (Wolff et al., 2017). The same characters have since resolved divergent

homologues in all malacostracan crustaceans except Brachyura, the most recent lineage of

decapod crustaceans (Wolfe et al., 2019). By identifying and describing the mushroom body of

the shore crab, the present study completes the recognition of mushroom body diversification

and phenotypic correspondences, thereby enabling future transcriptomic verification or

rejection.

In considering the possible significance of the varunid mushroom body being larger and

more elaborate than that of any other pancrustacean it is relevant to consider a well-studied

proxy that shares with mushroom bodies circuit and genetic correspondences, as well as

cognitive properties. For example, it has been suggested that the cerebellum, caudal to the

vertebrate midbrain-hindbrain boundary, would be a fitting proxy because of comparable

arrangements of parallel fibers (Schürmann, 1987; Farris, 2011, Li et al., 2020). However,

mushroom bodies are situated rostral to the arthropod brain's deutocerebral-tritocerebral

boundary. A homologous location is occupied by the hippocampi, rostral to the vertebrate

midbrain-hindbrain boundary (Bridi et al., 2020). Mushroom bodies and hippocampi are

restricted, respectively, to the protocerebrum and its vertebrate homologue the telencephalon

(Hirth and Reichert, 1999). Neuroanatomical arrangements that are intensely immunoreactive to

antibodies against DC0 are shared by the hippocampus and mushroom bodies, as are at least

sixteen orthologous genes required for the same functions in both (Wolff and Strausfeld, 2016).

Gene expression profiling of early mushroom body development in *Drosophila* and of the

murine pallium resolve further correspondences (Tomer et al., 2010).

A range of behaviors supported by both the insect mushroom bodies and hippocampus

relate to allocentric memory, recall of place, and the use of space (Krebs et al., 1989; López et al,

2003; Salas et al., 2003; LaDage et al., 2009; Montgomery et al., 2016; van Djik et al., 2017).

Insects that are permitted explorative foraging acquire enlarged mushroom bodies compared with

constrained siblings, a property also pertaining to the hippocampus (Montgomery et al., 2016;

van Djik et al., 2017; Basil et al, 1996). As is true for mammalian and avian hippocampi

voluminous mushroom bodies indicate a species' reliance on spatial or social cues (Healy and

Krebs, 1992; Withers et al., 1993, 2008; Ott and Rogers, 2010; Molina and O'Donnell, 2007,

2008). Hippocampal lesions impair place memory (Day et al., 2001; Clark et al., 2005), as do

lesions of mushroom bodies (Mizunami et al., 1998; Buehlmann et al., 2020; Kamhi et al., 2020).

The volume and neuronal complexity of mushroom bodies in insects also relates to species with

highly developed spatial cognition (cockroaches, hymenopterans; Strausfeld et al., 2009). The

enormous mushroom bodies of varunid crabs suggest comparable cognitive properties relating to

space. Shore crabs are opportunistic generalists that live at the interface of two biotopes, marine

and terrestrial. They are adept at learning complex tasks (Tomsic and Romano, 2013), such as

maze learning (Davies et al., 2019), organizing "ad hoc" collaborative actions, establishing social
status (Tanner and Jackson, 2012; Kaczer et al., 2007), and memorizing acquired motor skills
(Hughes and O'Brien, 2001). Operant conditioning and the retention of contextual memories
suggest considerable intelligence (Abramson and Feinman, 1990; Pereyra et al., 1999).
The present description of the varunid mushroom body concludes studies establishing
that divergent evolution of the crustacean mushroom body maps to specific malacostracan
lineages. These findings offer hitherto unexplored opportunities for relating divergent cognitive
centers to specific ecologies and behavioral repertoires required to negotiate them.
939
940   **Materials and Methods**
941
Animals. Crabs (*Hemigrapsus nudus*), with carapace widths between 6 and 8 cms, were
collected from designated sites on San Juan Island, Washington, affiliated with the Friday
Harbor Marine Biology Laboratory (University of Washington, Seattle). Two further shipments
of living crabs were sent to the Strausfeld laboratory and maintained at 15˚C in tanks containing
seaweed continuously moistened with salt water. A total of 76 animals were used for this study
over an 8-year period. Twenty were used for immunohistology, 8 for osmium-ethyl gallate
staining, 10 for Bodian reduce silver staining and 38 for Golgi impregnations.
949
Neuropil staining with osmium-ethyl gallate. A modified ethyl gallate method (Wigglesworth
1957) was used to stain entire brains that were then embedded in plastic and serial sectioned.
These were used for Amira 3D reconstructions. After cooling to complete immobility, the
eyestalks were opened to expose the lateral protocerebrum. The head was then immediately
sliced off behind the brain and with the eyestalks immersed in 0.13 M cacodylate buffered (pH
6.8; Fisher Scientific, Cat#: 50-980-232) 1% paraformaldehyde with 2% glutaraldehyde
(Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA). The rest of the animal was placed in a -40˚C
freezer. After overnight fixation undissected tissue was soaked in buffer. The lateral protocebra
and midbrain were then freed of overlying exoskeleton. Next, tissue was placed for 2 h in 0.5%
osmium tetroxide in cacodylate buffer, continuously rocked and kept at around 4˚C in the dark.
After a washing in cold buffer, tissue was further well washed in distilled water while raising the
temperature to 20˚C. Tissue was then immersed in aqueous 0.5% ethyl gallate with constant

rocking. Tissue was periodically checked and when dark blue (after about 30 minutes)

thoroughly washed in distilled water, dehydrated, and embedded in Durcupan to be cut serially

into 15μm thick serial sections using a sliding microtome.

Neuropil staining with reduced silver. Bodian's original method (Bodian, 1936) was used, after

fixing tissue in an admixture consisting of 75 parts absolute ethanol, 5 parts glacial acetic acid,

20 parts 16% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Cat# 16220; Hatfield, PA). As

for the osmium-ethyl gallate method, crabs were cooled to complete immobility, the relevant

parts removed, opened and fixed in AAF (70 parts absolute ethanol, 5 parts glacial acetic acid,

25 parts 10% E. M. grade formaldehyde). After 2-4 hours neural tissue was freed under 70%

ethanol, then dehydrated to absolute ethanol before clearing in terpineol. It was next soaked in

Xylol raising the temperature to 65˚C. Finally, tissue was transferred, via a mixture of 50:50

Xylol-Paraplast, into pure Paraplast (Sherwood Medical, St. Louis, MO) held at 65˚C in shallow

aluminum cookie dishes. After three changes, each about 3-4 minutes long, the final dish was

placed on icy water for the Paraplast to immediately begin to solidify. After serial sectioning at

10μm, sections were mounted on glycerin-albumin-subbed slides, dried, and dewaxed. Hydrated

material was incubated at 60˚C in 2-5% silver proteinate (Roques, Paris) for 24 h with the

addition of 1-4 g pure copper shot/100 ml. Afterward, sections were conventionally treated with

hydroquinone, gold toned, fixed, and mounted under Permount (Fisher, Springfield, NJ).

Silver chromate (Golgi) impregnation. Animals were anesthetized over ice. The midbrain and

eyestalk neural tissue was dissected free from the exoskeleton and its enveloping sheath in ice-

cold chromating solution comprising 1 part 25% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences;

Cat# 16220; Hatfield, PA), 5 parts 2.5% potassium dichromate (Sigma Aldrich; Cat# 207802; St.

Louis, MO) with 3–12% sucrose, all dissolved in high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)-

grade distilled water (Sigma Aldrich; Ca#270733). Central brains and their detached lateral

protocerebra (tissues) were placed in fresh chromating solution overnight, in the dark at room

temperature (RT). Next, tissues were briefly rinsed (30 seconds with swirling) in 2.5% potassium

dichromate and transferred to an admixture of 2.5% potassium dichromate and 0.01% osmium

tetroxide (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Cat# 19150; Hatfield, PA) for 24 hrs. Tissues were

again washed in 2.5% potassium dichromate and immersed for 24 hr. in a fresh chromating

solution. Before silver impregnation, tissues were decanted into a polystyrene weighing dish,

swirled in 2.5% potassium dichromate and then gently pushed with a wooden toothpick into a

glass container containing fresh 0.75% silver nitrate (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Cat# 21050;

Hatfield, PA) in HPLC water. Tissues were twice transferred to fresh silver nitrate, then left

overnight in silver nitrate. Throughout, metal was not allowed to come into contact with tissue.

Tissues were rinsed twice in HPLC water. To achieve massive impregnation of neurons, after

treatment with silver nitrate tissues were washed in HPLC water and again immersed in the

osmium-dichromate solution for 12hrs. and then transferred to silver nitrate as described above.

After washing in HPLC water and dehydration through a four step ethanol series from 50% to

100% ethanol tissues were immersed in propylene oxide (Electron Microscopy Sciences; Cat#

20401; Hatfield, PA), and left for 15min. They were then subject to increasing concentrations of

Durcupan embedding medium (Sigma Aldrich; Cat# 44610; St. Louis, MO) in propylene oxide

during 3-5 hours. Tissues were left overnight at RT in pure liquid Durcupan and then

individually oriented in the caps of BEEM capsules. These were then married to the inverted

capsule cut open at its base, and were topped–up with Durcupan. Filled capsules were placed in a

60°C oven for 18–24 hr. for plastic polymerization. Cooled blocks were sectioned at a thickness

of 40-50μm (max) and mounted using Permount mounting medium (Fisher Scientific; Cat#

SP15-100; Hampton, NH).

1011

Immunohistochemistry, antibodies. Visualization of arrangements of immunostained neurons

that further define mushroom bodies was achieved using antibodies listed in Table 1. An

antibody against DC0 that recognizes the catalytic subunit of protein kinase A in D.

melanogaster (Skoulakis et al., 1993) is preferentially expressed in the columnar lobes of

mushroom bodies across invertebrate phyla, including members of Lophotrochozoa (Wolff &

Strausfeld, 2015). Western blot assays of DC0 antibodies used on cockroach, crab, centipede,

scorpion, locust, remipede, and millipede neural tissue reveal a band around 40 kDa, indicating

cross-phyletic specificity of this antibody (Stemme et al., 2016; Wolff & Strausfeld, 2015).

Antibodies against synapsin (Klagges et al., 1996) and α-tubulin (Thazhath et al., 2002) were

used, often in conjunction with other primary antibodies, to identify dense synaptic regions and

general cellular connectivity. Both antibodies likely recognize highly conserved epitope sites

across Arthropoda and have been used previously in crustaceans (Andrew et al., 2012; Brauchle

et al., 2009; Harzsch et al., 1997; Harzsch & Hansson, 2008; Sullivan et al., 2007). Antibodies

against serotonin (5HT), glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD), and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)

were used in this study to describe neuronal organization and in distinguishing neuropil

boundaries. 5HT is an antibody that has proven to be invaluable for neuroanatomical studies

across Arthropoda (Antonsen & Paul, 2001; Harzsch & Hansson, 2008; Nässel, 1988). Previous

studies have used 5HT as a comparative tool for neurophylogenetic analysis (Harzsch &

Waloszeck, 2000). Antibodies against GAD and TH were used to detect the enzymatic

precursors of gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA) and dopamine, respectively. These two

antibodies do not require the use of alternative fixation methods, making them compatible with

synapsin and α-tubulin labeling, while avoiding the need to use glutaraldehyde. Comparisons of

anti-GAD and anti-TH immunolabeling with that of their respective derivatives have

demonstrated that these antibodies, respectively, label putative GABAergic and dopaminergic

neurons (Cournil et al, 1994; Crisp et al., 2001; Stemme et al., 2016; Stern, 2009).

1037

Immunohistochemistry, application: Methods follow those used for two recent studies on the

eumalacostracan brain (Sayre & Strausfeld, 2019; Strausfeld et al., 2020). Animals were

anesthetized to immobility using ice. Brains detached from nerve bundles leading to the

eyestalks were first dissected free and the eyestalks were then removed. Immediately after

removal, tissue was immersed in ice-cold fixative (4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) with 3% sucrose [pH 7.4]). Midbrains and lateral protocerebra with their

intact optic lobes were desheathed and left to fix overnight at 4˚C. Next, tissue was rinsed twice

in PBS before being transferred to the embedding medium (5% agarose with 7% gelatin) for 1

hr. at 60˚C before cooling to room temperature in plastic molds. After solidification, blocks were

removed from the molds and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 hr. at 4˚C. The

blocks were then rinsed twice in PBS and sectioned at 60 μm using a vibratome (Leica VT1000

S; Leica Biosystems, Nussloch, Germany). Next, tissue sections were washed twice over a 20

min. period in PBS containing 0.5% Triton-X (PBST). Tissue was subsequently blocked in

PBST with 0.5% normal donkey serum (NDS; Jackson ImmunoResearch; RRID:AB_2337258)

for 1hr before primary antibody incubation. Primary antibodies were added to the tissue sections

at dilutions listed in Table 1. Sections were left overnight on a rotator at room temperature.

Sections were next rinsed in PBST six times over the course of an hour. Donkey anti-mouse Cy3

and donkey anti-rabbit Cy5 or Alexa 647 (Jackson ImmunoResearch; RRID: AB_2340813;

RRID: AB_2340607; RRID: AB_2492288, respectively) IgG secondary antibodies were added

to Eppendorf tubes at a concentration of 1:400 and spun for 12 min at 11,000 g. The top 900 μL

of the secondary antibody solution was added to the tissue sections, which were then left to

incubate overnight at room temperature on a rotator. For F-actin staining, tissue sections were

left to incubate in a solution containing phalloidin conjugated to Alexa 488 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific; RRID: AB_2315147) at a concentration of 1:40 in PBST for 2–3 days with constant

gentle agitation following secondary antibody incubation. To label cell bodies, tissue sections

were then rinsed twice in 0.1 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.4) and soaked for 1 hr. in Tris–HCl

buffer containing 1:2000 of the nuclear stain, Syto13 (ThermoFisher Scientific; Cat# S7575) on a

rotator. Next, sections were rinsed six times in Tris–HCl over the course of 1 hr. before being

mounted on slides in a medium containing 25% Mowiol (Sigma Aldrich; Cat# 81381) and 25%

glycerol in PBS. Slides were covered using #1.5 coverslips (Fisher Scientific; Cat# 12-544E). To

verify secondary antibody specificity, primary antibodies were omitted resulting in complete

abolishment of immunolabeling. TH immunolabeling required a modified staining procedure

with a shorter fixation time as well as antibody incubation in whole unsectioned tissue. Standard

fixation times or sectioning the tissue prior to immunostaining resulted in poor or absent labeling

as has been described previously (Cournil et al., 1994; Lange & Chan, 2008). For TH labeling,

neural tissue was dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS containing 3% sucrose for

30–45 min. Following fixation, neural tissue was rinsed twice in PBS, and then twice in 0.5%

PBST over the course of 40 min. Tissue was then transferred to blocking buffer containing 5%

NDS in 1% PBST and left to soak for 3 hr. TH primary antibody was next added to the blocking

buffer at a concentration of 1:250. To assist antibody permeation, whole tissues were

microwave-treated for 2 cycles of 2 min on low power (~80 W) followed by 2 min no power

under a constant vacuum. Tissue was subsequently left to incubate in primary antibody solution

for 2–3 days and was microwave-treated each day. After primary antibody incubation, tissue was

washed with 0.5% PBST six times over the course of 2 hr. and then transferred to a solution

containing 1:250 Cy3 secondary antibody. Whole mounts were left in secondary antibody

overnight on a gentle shaker before being sectioned and mounted as described above. In dual

labeling experiments, sectioned tissue labeled with anti-TH was then stained with an additional

primary and secondary antibody also as described above.

1086

Imaging. Confocal images were collected as Tiff files using a Zeiss Pascal 5 confocal

microscope (Zeiss; Oberkochen, Germany). Image projections were made using Zeiss Zen

System software. Light microscopy images were obtained using a Leitz Orthoplan microscope

equipped with Plan Apochromat oil-immersion objectives (X40, X60, and X100). Series of step-

focused optical sections (0.5–1.0 μm increments) were collapsed onto a single plane using

Helicon Focus (Helicon Soft; Kharkov, Ukraine). Images were transferred to Adobe Photoshop

(Adobe Systems, Inc; San Jose, CA) and processed using the Photoshop camera raw filter plug-

in to adjust sharpness, color saturation and luminance, texture and clarity.

1095

1096   **3D-reconstruction**

1097

Serial sections of osmium-ethyl gallate stained eyestalks were imaged using a brightfield Leitz

Orthoplan microscope at a pixel scale of 0.5 μm x 0.5 μm. The sections were cut at a thickness

of 15 μm using a rotating microtome. To account for compression and error using dry lenses

because of the difference in refractive indices between glass/section and air, image sections were

digitally adjusted to a voxel size of 0.5 μm x 0.5 μm x 24 μm. Additionally, to obtain a better Z-

resolution, two snapshots at two focus planes were taken for each section. The resulting images

were manually aligned using non-neuronal fiduciaries in the software TrakEM2 (Cardona et al.,

2012).

Neuropils, cell bodies, and large nerve tracts were segmented using the software Amira

(Amira 2019.4; Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA; USA). We achieved this using the

Segmentation Editor, a tool which enables tracing of image data by assigning voxels to materials

(i.e. user defined objects such as neuropils, cell bodies, etc.) using a paintbrush tool. Selected

sections were outlined in the XY plane and interpolated across sections. Then, using the aid of

the interpolated outlines, selected sections were traced in the XY, YZ and XZ planes to create a

scaffold of the region of interest. The function "wrap" was then used to interpolate a 3D

reconstruction of the object constrained by the scaffold. For visualization purposes, the label

field containing "wrapped" labels was interpolated in Z using the "Interpolate Labels" module,

adding an additional three slices for each already existing slice while maintaining the overall

dimension of the reconstruction. This helped to account for the anisotropic Z-resolution (i.e.

"staircase effect"). The 3D model was then visualized using the "Surface Generator" module,

which created a 3D polygonal surface mesh. Images used for the figures were generated using

the snapshot function. The globuli cell population was estimated on the basis of spherical

globuli cells, each with the average radius of 3μm, occupying a volume of

$2.5074^3 \mu m^3$ calculated from the serially reconstructed globuli cell mass.

1122

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
Biological sample	*Hemigrapsus nudus*	Friday Harbor Laboratories	N/A	n=76
Antibody	α-Tubulin (Mouse, monoclonal)	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DHSB)	CAT#: 12G10; RRID: AB_1157911	1:100
Antibody	α-Tubulin (Rabbit, polyclonal)	Abcam	CAT#: ab15246; RRID: AB_301787	1:250
Antibody	Synapsin (SYNORF1; Mouse, monoclonal)	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DHSB)	CAT#: 3C11; RRID: AB_528479	1:100
Antibody	Serotonin (5HT; Rabbit, polyclonal)	ImmunoStar	CAT#: 20080; RRID: AB_572263	1:1000
Antibody	Glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD; Rabbit, polyclonal)	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: G5163; RRID: AB_477019	1:500
Antibody	Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH; Mouse, monoclonal)	ImmunoStar	CAT#: 22941; RRID: AB_572268	1:250
Antibody	Allatostatin (Ast7; Mouse, monoclonal)	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DHSB)	CAT#: 5F10; RRID:AB_528076	1:100
Antibody	DC0 (Rabbit, polyclonal)	Generous gift from Dr. Daniel Kalderon (Skoulakis et al., 1993)	RRID: AB_2314293	1:400
Antibody	AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cy™3 (polyclonal)	Jackson ImmunoResearch	CAT#: 715-165-150; RRID: AB_2340813	1:400
Antibody	AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cy™5 (polyclonal)	Jackson ImmunoResearch	CAT#: 711-175-152; RRID: AB_2340607	1:400
Antibody	AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG	Jackson ImmunoResearch	CAT#: 711-605-152; RRID: AB_2492288	1:400

	(H+L) Alexa Flour® 647 (polyclonal)			
Other (serum)	Normal donkey serum	Jackson ImmunoResearch	RRID: AB_2337258	N/A
Other (DNA stain)	SYTO™ 13 Green Fluorescent Nucleic Acid Stain	Thermo Fisher Scientific	CAT#: S7575	1:2000
Other (Phalloidin stain)	Alexa Fluor™ 488 Phalloidin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	CAT#: 12379; RRID: AB_2315147	1:40
Other (Chemical)	α-terpineol	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 432628	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Potassium dichromate	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 207802	N/A
Other (HPLC purified water)	HPLC WATER	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 270733	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Osmium tetroxide	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 19150	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Ethyl gallate	Fisher Scientific	CAT#: G001625G	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Phosphate buffer tablets	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#:45ZE83	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Glutaraldehyde	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 16220	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Paraformaldehyde	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 15710	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Sodium cacodylate	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 50-980-232	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Silver nitrate	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 21050	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Pure copper shot	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 326488	N/A
Other (histology chemical)	Propylene oxide	Electron Microscopy Sciences	CAT#: 20401	N/A
Other (embedding resin)	Durcupan™ ACM resin (4-part component kit)	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 44610	N/A
Other (embedding medium)	Paraplast Plus	Sigma-Aldrich	CA#: 76258	N/A
Other (mounting medium)	Permount mounting medium	Fisher Scientific	CAT#: SP15-100	N/A
Other (mounting medium)	Entellan	Sigma-Aldrich	CAT#: 1.07961	N/A
Software, algorithm	Photoshop CC	Adobe Inc.	N/A	N/A

Software, algorithm	Helicon Focus	Helicon Soft	N/A	N/A
Software, algorithm	Zen System Software	Zeiss	N/A	N/A
Software, algorithm	TrakEM2	Cardona et al., 2012	N/A	N/A
Software, algorithm	Amira 2019.4	Thermo Fisher	N/A	N/A
Other (microscope)	LSM 5 Pascal confocal microscope	Zeiss	N/A	N/A
Other (microscope)	Orthoplan light microscope	Leitz	N/A	N/A

1123

References

Abramson CI, Feinman RD. 1990. Lever-press conditioning in the crab. *Physiology & Behavior* **48**:267–272. DOI: 10.1016/0031-9384(90)90311-Q

Amin H, Apostolopoulou AA, Suárez-Grimalt R, Vrontou E, Lin AC. 2020. Localized inhibition in the Drosophila mushroom body. *eLife 2020*. **9**:e56954 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.56954

Andrew DR, Brown SM, Strausfeld NJ. 2012 The minute brain of the copepod *Tigriopus californicus* supports a complex ancestral ground pattern of the tetraconate cerebral nervous systems. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **520**:3446–3470. https://doi.org/10.1002/ cne.23099

Antonsen BL, Paul DH. 2001. Serotonergic and octopaminergic systems in the squat lobster *Munida quadrispina* (Anomura, Galatheidae). *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **439**:450–468. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1362

Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo TT, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. 2014a. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. *eLife 2014*, **3**:e04577 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.04577

Aso Y, Sitaraman D, Ichinose T, Kaun KR, Vogt K, Belliart-Guérin G, Plaçais PY, Robie AA, Yamagata N, Schnaitmann C, Rowell WJ, Johnston RM, Ngo TT, Chen N, Korff W, Nitabach MN, Heberlein U, Preat T, Branson KM, Tanimoto H, et al. 2014b. Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based action selection in *Drosophila*. *eLife* **3**:e04580. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04580, PMID: 25535794

Basil JA, Kamil, AC, Balda RP, Fite KV. 1996. Differences in hippocampal volume among food storing corvids. *Brain Behavior and Evolution* **47**:156-–164. DOI: 10.1159/000113235

Bellonci G. 1882. Nuove ricerche Sulla struttura del ganglio ottico della Squilla mantis. *Memorie Della Accademia Delle Scienze dell'Istituto Di Bologna, Serie 4 Tomo* **3**:419–426.

Bethe A. 1897. Das Nervensystem von *Carcinus Maenas*. Ein anatomisch-physiologischer Versuch. *Archiv für mikroskopische Anatomie* **50**: 460–546; *Archiv für mikroskopische Anatomie* **51**:382–452

1159
1160 Bodian D. 1936. A new method for staining nerve fibers and nerve endings in mounted paraffin
1161 sections. *Anatomical Record* **65**:89–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.1090650110
1162
1163 Brauchle M, Kiontke K, MacMenamin P, Fitch DHA, Piano F. 2009. Evolution of early
1164 embryogenesis in rhabditid nematodes. *Developmental Biology* **335**:253–262.
1165 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.07.033
1166
1167 Bridi JC, Ludlow ZN, Kottler B, Hartmann B, Broeck LV, Dearlove J, Göker M, Strausfeld NJ,
1168 Callaerts P, Hirth F. 2020. Ancestral regulatory mechanisms specify conserved midbrain
1169 circuitry in arthropods and vertebrates. *PNAS* **117**:19544-19555. DOI:
1170 10.1073/pnas.1918797117
1171
1172 Buehlmann C, Wozniak B, Goulard R, Webb B, Graham P, Niven JE. 2020 Mushroom bodies
1173 are required for learned visual navigation, but not for innate visual behavior, in ants. *Current
1174 Biology* **23**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.013
1175
1176 Cardona A, Saalfeld S, Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Preibisch S, Longair M, ... Douglas
1177 RJ. 2012. TrakEM2 software for neural circuit reconstruction. *PloS one* **7**:e38011. DOI:
1178 10.1371/journal.pone.0038011
1179
1180 Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Squire LR. 2005. Impaired remote spatial memory after hippocampal
1181 lesions despite extensive training beginning early in life. *Hippocampus* **15**:340–346.
1182 doi:10.1002/hipo.20076
1183
1184 Cournil I, Helluy SM, Beltz BS. 1994. Dopamine in the lobster *Homarus gammarus*. I.
1185 Comparative analysis of dopamine and tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactivities in the nervous
1186 system of the juvenile. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **334**:455–469. https://doi.org/10.
1187 1002/cne.903440308
1188
1189 Crisp KM, Klukas KA, Gilchrist LS, Nartey AJ, Mesce KA. 2001. Distribution and development of
1190 dopamine- and octopamine synthesizing neurons in the medicinal leech. *Journal of
1191 Comparative
1192 Neurology* **442**:115–129. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10077
1193
1194 Davies R, Gagen MH, Bull JC, Pope EC. 2019. Maze learning and memory in a decapod
1195 crustacean. *Biology Letters* **1520190407**. http://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0407
1196
1197 Day LB, Crews D, Wilczynski W. (2001. Effects of medial and dorsal cortex lesions on spatial
1198 memory in lizards, *Behaviour Brain Research* **118**:27–42.
1199
1200 Ehmer B, Gronenberg W. 2002. Segregation of visual input to the mushroom bodies in the
1201 honeybee (Apis mellifera). *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 451:362–373.
1202 doi:10.1002/cne.10355
1203
1204 Farris SM. 2005. Developmental organization of the mushroom bodies of *Thermobia
1205 domestica* (Zygentoma, Lepismatidae): insights into mushroom body evolution from a basal
1206 insect. *Evolution & Development* **7**:150–159. doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2005.05017.x
1207

1208 Farris SM. 2011. Are mushroom bodies cerebellum-like structures? *Arthropod Structure &*
1209 *Development* **40**:368-379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2011.02.004
1210
1211 Farris SM, Schulmeister S. 2011. Parasitoidism, not sociality, is associated with the evolution of
1212 elaborate mushroom bodies in the brains of hymenopteran insects. *Proceedings of the Royal*
1213 *Society B*. **278**:940–951. http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.2161
1214
1215 Farris M, Strausfeld NJ. 2003. A unique mushroom body substructure common to basal
1216 cockroaches and to termites. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **456**:305–320.
1217 doi:10.1002/cne.10517
1218
1219 Farris SM, Abrams AI, Strausfeld NJ. 2004. Development and morphology of Class II Kenyon
1220 cells in the mushroom bodies of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Comparative*
1221 *Neurology* **474**:325-339. doi:10.1002/cne.20146
1222
1223 Groh C, Rössler W. 2011. Comparison of microglomerular structures in the mushroom body
1224 calyx of neopteran insects. *Arthropod Structure & Development* **40**:358-367.
1225 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2010.12.002
1226
1227 Gronenberg W. 2001. Subdivisions of hymenopteran mushroom body calyces by their afferent
1228 supply. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **435**: 474-489. doi:10.1002/cne.1045
1229
1230 Guinot D. 2019. New hypotheses concerning the earliest brachyurans (Crustacea, Decapoda,
1231 Brachyura) *Geodiversitas* **41**:747-796. https://doi.org/10.5252/geodiversitas2019v41a22
1232
1233 Hamanaka Y, Mizunami M. 2019. Tyrosine hydroxylase-immunoreactive neurons in the
1234 mushroom body of the field cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Cell Tissue Res* **376:**97–111.
1235 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-018-2969-9
1236
1237 Hamanaka Y, Minoura R, Nishino H, Miura T, Mizunami M. 2016) Dopamine- and tyrosine
1238 hydroxylase-immunoreactive neurons in the brain of the american cockroach, *Periplaneta*
1239 *americana*. *PLoS One* **11**:e0160531. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160531
1240
1241 Hartnell RG. 1988. Evolution, systematics and geographical distribution. In: *Biology of the land*
1242 *crabs* (WW Burggren, BR McMahon, eds). Cambridge UP, Cambridge New York, Sydney. Pp.
1243 6–54
1244
1245 Harzsch S, Anger K, Dawirs RR. 1997. Immunocytochemical detection of acetylated α-tubulin
1246 and *Drosophila* synapsin in the embryonic crustacean nervous system. *International Journal of*
1247 *Developmental Biology* **41**:477–484.
1248
1249 Harzsch S, Dawirs RR. 1996. Neurogenesis in the developing crab brain: Postembryonic
1250 generation of neurons persists beyond metamorphosis. *Journal of Neurobiology* **29**:384–398.
1251 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4695(199603)29:3<384::AID-NEU9>3.0.CO;2-5
1252
1253 Harzsch S, Hansson BS. 2008. Brain architecture in the terrestrial hermit crab Coenobita
1254 clypeatus(Anomura, Coenobitidae), a crustacean with a good aerial sense of smell. *BMC*
1255 *Neuroscience* **9**:58. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2202-9-58
1256

1257 Harzsch S, Waloszeck D. 2000. Serotonin-immunoreactive neurons in the ventral nerve cord of
1258 Crustacea: A character to study aspects of arthropod phylogeny. *Arthropod Structure &*
1259 *Development* **29**:307–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1467-8039(01)00015-9
1260
1261 Healy SD Krebs JR. 1992. Food storing and the hippocampus in corvids: amount and volume
1262 are correlated. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* **248**:241–245
1263 http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1992.0068
1264
1265 Heuer CM, Lössel R. 2009. Three-dimensional reconstruction of mushroom body neuropils
1266 in the polychaete species *Nereis diversicolor* and *Harmothoe areolata* (Phyllodocida, Annelida).
1267 *Zoomorphology* **128**:219–226.DOI 10.1007/s00435-008-0063-7
1268
1269 Hirth F, Reichert H. 1999, Conserved genetic programs in insect and mammalian brain
1270 development. *Bioessays* **21**:677–684. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-
1271 1878(199908)21:8<677::AID-BIES7>3.0.CO;2-8
1272
1273 Hughes RN, O'Brien N.. 2001. Shore crabs are able to transfer learned handling skills to novel
1274 prey. Animal Behavior 61:711–714. DOI: 10.1006/anbe.2000.1640
1275
1276 Ito K, Awano W, Suzuki K, Hiromi Y, Yamamoto D. 1997. The *Drosophila* mushroom body is a
1277 quadruple structure of clonal units each of which contains a virtually identical set of neurones
1278 and glial cells. *Development* **124**:761–771. PMID: 9043058
1279
1280 Ito K, Suzuki K, Estes P, Ramaswami M, Yamamoto D, Strausfeld NJ. 1998. The organization
1281 of extrinsic neurons and their implications in the functional roles of the mushroom bodies in
1282 *Drosophila melanogaster* Meigen. *Learning & Memory* **5**:52–77. PMID: 10454372
1283
1284 Ito K, Shinomiya K, Ito M, Armstrong JD, Boyan G, Hartenstein V, Harzsch S, Heisenberg M,
1285 Homberg U, Jenett A, Keshishian H, Restifo LL, Rössler W, Simpson JH, Strausfeld NJ, Strauss
1286 R, Vosshall LB, Insect Brain Name Working Group. 2014. A systematic nomenclature for the
1287 insect brain. *Neuron* **81**:755–765. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.12.017, PMID:
1288 24559671
1289
1290 Jacob PF, Waddell S. 2020. Spaced training forms complementary long-term memories of
1291 opposite valence in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **106**:977-991.e4.
1292 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.013
1293
1294 Jacoby CA. 1981. Behavior of the Purple Shore Crab *Hemigrapsus nudus* Dana, 1851. *Journal*
1295 *of Crustacean Biology* **1**:531–544. https://doi.org/10.2307/1548130
1296
1297 Kaczer L, Pedetta S, Maldonado H. 2007. Aggressiveness and memory: Subordinate crabs
1298 present higher memory ability than dominants after an agonistic experience. *Neurobiology of*
1299 *Learning and Memory* **87**:140–148. DOI: 10.1016/j.nlm.2006.08.002
1300
1301 Kalderon D, Rubin GM. 1988. Isolation and characterization of Drosophila cAMP-dependent
1302 protein kinase genes. *Genes & Development* **2**:1539–1556. DOI:
1303 https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.2.12a.1539, PMID: 3215511
1304

Kamhi JF, Barron AB, Narendra A. (2020). Vertical lobes of the mushroom bodies are essential
for view-based navigation in Australian bull ants. *Current Biology* **23**: https://doi.org/10.
1016/j.cub.2020.06.030.

Kanzaki R, Arbas EA, Strausfeld NJ, Hildebrand JG. 1989. Physiology and morphology of
projection neurons in the antennal lobe of the male moth *Manduca sexta*. *Journal of*
Comparative Physiology A **165**:427–453.

Kinoshita M. Shimohigasshi M, Tominaga Y, Arikawa K., Homberg, U. 2015. Topographically
distinct visual and olfactory inputs to the mushroom body in the Swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio*
xuthus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **523**:162–182. doi:10.1002/cne.23674

Klagges BR, Heimbeck G, Godenschwege TA, Hofbauer A, Pflugfelder GO, Reifegerste R. et
al. 1996. Invertebrate synapsins: A single gene codes for several isoforms in *Drosophila*.
Journal of Neuroscience **16**:3154–3165. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-10-03154.1996

Krebs J, Sherry DF, Healy SD, Perry VH, Vaccarino AL. 1989. Hippocampal specialization of
food-storing birds (passerines/memory). *PNAS* **86:**1388–1392.

LaDage LD, Riggs BJ, Sinervo B ,Pravosudov VV. 2009. Dorsal cortex volume in male side-
blotched lizards, *Uta stansburiana*, is associated with different space use strategies. *Animal*
behavior **78**:91-96. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.03.020

Lange AB, Chan K. 2008. Dopaminergic control of foregut contractions in *Locusta migratoria*.
Journal of Insect Physiology **54**:222–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.09.005

Lee SY. 2015. *Ecology of Brachyura*. In: Treatise on Zoology - Anatomy, Taxonomy, Biology.
The Crustacea **9C**:469–541.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004190832_011

Leitch B, Laurent G. 1996. GABAergic synapses in the antennal lobe and mushroom body of the
locust olfactory system. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **372**:487–514. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-
9861(19960902)372:4<487::AID-CNE1>3.0.CO;2-0

Li F, Lindsey J, Marin EC, Otto N, Dreher M, Dempsey G, et al. (2020). The connectome of the
adult *Drosophila* mushroom body: implications for function. BioRxiv. doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.29.273276

Li Y, Strausfeld NJ. 1997. Morphology and sensory modality of mushroom body extrinsic
neurons in the brain of the cockroach, *Periplaneta americana*.*The Journal of Comparative*
Neurology **387**: 631-650. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19971103)387:4<631::AID-
CNE9>3.0.CO;2-3

Li Y, Strausfeld NJ. 1999. Multimodal efferent and recurrent neurons in the medial lobes of
cockroach mushroom bodies. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **409**:647–663. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861

Li J, Mahoney BD, Jacob MS, Caron SJC. 2020. Visual input into the *Drosophila*
melanogaster mushroom body**.** *Cell Reports* **30:** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108138

Lin C, Strausfeld NJ. 2012. Visual inputs to the mushroom body calyces of the whirligig

beetle *Dineutus sublineatus*: Modality switching in an insect. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **520**:2562-2574. doi:10.1002/cne.23092

Lin C, Cronin TW. 2018. Two visual systems in one eyestalk: The unusual optic lobe metamorphosis in the stomatopod *Alima pacifica*. *Developmental Neurobiology* **78**: 3– 14. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22550

Liu X, Davis R. 2009. The GABAergic anterior paired lateral neuron suppresses and is suppressed by olfactory learning. *Nature Neuroscencei* **12,** 53–59 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2235

Liu C, Plaçais P, Yamagata N, Pfeiffer BD, Aso Y, et al. .2012. A subset of dopamine neurons signals reward for odour memory in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **488**:512–516. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11304

López JC, Vargas JP, Gómez Y, Salas C. (2003) Spatial and non-spatial learning in turtles: the role of medial cortex, *Behaviour Brain Research* **143**:109–120.

Maza FJ, Sztarker J, Shkedy A, Peszano VN, Locatelli FF, Delorenzi A. 2016. Context-dependent memory traces in the crab's mushroom bodies: Functional support for a common origin of high-order memory centers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* **113**:E7957–E7965. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612418113, PMID: 27856766

Maza FJ, Sztarker J, Cozzarin ME, Lepore MG, Delorenzi A. 2020. A crabs' high-order brain center resolved as a mushroom body-like structure. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2020:1– 23. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24960

McKinzie ME, Benton JJ, Beltz BS, Mellon DF Jr. 2003. Parasol cells of the hemiellipsoid body in the crayfish Procambarus clarkii: Dendritic branching patterns and functional implications. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **462**:168–179. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10716

Mellon DF Jr., Alones V, Lawrence MD. 1992a. Anatomy and fine structure of neurons in the deutocerebral projection pathway of the crayfish olfactory system. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **321**:93–111. doi:10.1002/cne.903210109

Mellon DF Jr., Sandeman DC, Sandeman RE. 1992b. Characterization of oscillatory olfactory interneurons in the protocerebrum of the crayfish. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **167**:5–38.

Mizunami M, Weibrecht JM, Strausfeld NJ. 1998. Mushroom bodies of the cockroach: their participation in place memory. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **402**:520–537.

Molina Y, O'Donnell S.. 2007. Mushroom body volume is related to social aggression and ovary development in the paperwasp *Polistes instabilis. Brain Behavoir and Evolution* **70**:137–144. (doi:10.1159/000102975).

Molina Y, O'Donnell S. 2008. Age, sex, and dominance-related mushroom body plasticity in the paper wasp *Mischocyttarus mastigophorus*. *Developmental Neurobiology* **68**:950–959. (doi:10.1002/dneu.20633).

Montgomery SH, Ott SR. 2015. Brain composition in *Godyris zavaleta*, a diurnal butterfly, reflects an increased reliance on olfactory information. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **523**:869–891. doi:10.1002/cne.23711

Montgomery SH, Merrill RM, Ott SR. 2016. Brain composition in *Heliconius* butterflies, posteclosion growth and experience-dependent neuropil plasticity. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **524**:1747–1769. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1002/cne.23993, PMID: 26918905

Modi MN, Shuai Y, Turner GC. 2020.The Drosophila mushroom body: from architecture to algorithm in a learning circuit. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **43**:465–84. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-080317- 0621333

Nässel DR, Elekes K. 1992. Aminergic neurons in the brain of blowflies and Drosophila: dopamine- and tyrosine hydroxylase-immunoreactive neurons and their relationship with putative histaminergic neurons. *Cell & Tissue Research* **267**:147–167. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00318701, PMID: 1346506

Ng PKL, Guinot D, Davie PJF. 2008. Systema brachyurorum: part I. an annotated checklist of extant brachyuran crabs of the world. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology Supplement Series* **17**:1–286.

Okamura JY, Strausfeld NJ. 2007. Visual system of calliphorid flies: Motion- and orientation-sensitive visual interneurons supplying dorsal optic glomeruli. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **500**:189–205. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21195

Owald D, Felsenberg J, Talbot CB, Das G, Perisse E, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2015. Activity of defined mushroom body output neurons underlies learned olfactory behavior in Drosophila. *Neuron* **86**:417–427. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.025, PMID: 25864636

Phillips-Portillo J, Strausfeld NJ. 2012. Representation of the brain's superior protocerebrum of the flesh fly, *Neobellieria bullata*, in the central body. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **520**:3070–3087. doi:10.1002/cne.23094

Ott SR, Rogers SM. 2010. Gregarious desert locusts have substantially larger brains with altered proportions compared with the solitarious phase. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **277**:3087–3096

Patel RN, Cronin TW. 2020. Mantis shrimp navigate home using celestial and idiothetic path integration. *Current Biology* **30**:1981–1987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.03.023

Pereyra P, Saraco M, Maldonado H. 1999. Decreased response or alternative defensive strategies in escape: two different types of long-term memory in the crab *Chasmagnathus*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* **A** 184:301–310. DOI: 10.1007/s003590050328

Perisse E, Yin Y, Lin AC, Lin S, Huetteroth W, Waddell S. 2013. Different Kenyon cell populations drive learned approach and avoidance in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **79**:945-956. (doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2013.07.045)

Salas C, Broglio C, Rodriguez F .2003. Evolution of forebrain and spatial cognition in vertebrates: conservation across diversity. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **62**:72–82.

1454

1455 Sandeman DC, Scholtz G, Sandeman RE. 1993. Brain evolution in decapod crustacea. *Journal*
1456 *of Experimental Zoology* **265**:112–133. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402650204

1457

1458 Sayre ME, Strausfeld NJ. 2019. Mushroom bodies in crustaceans: Insect-like organization in the
1459 caridid shrimp *Lebbeus groenlandicus*. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **527**:2371–2387. DOI:
1460 https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24678

1461

1462 Schürmann F-W. 1987. The architecture of the mushroom bodies and related neuropils in the
1463 insect brain. In: *Arthropod Brain: Its Evolution, Development, Structure, and Functions* (ed.
1464 A.P. Gupta). John Wiley & Sons, New York. 231-264.

1465

1466 Schweitzer CE, Feldmann RM. 2010. The oldest Brachyura (Decapoda: Homolodromioidea:
1467 Glaessneropsoidea) known to date (Jurassic). *Journal of Crustacean Biology* **30**:251-256.

1468

1469 Sinakevitch I, Farris SM, Strausfeld NJ. 2001. Taurine-, aspartate- and glutamate-like
1470 immunoreactivity identifies chemically distinct subdivision of Kenyon cells in the cockroach
1471 mushroom body. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **439**: 352–367. doi:10.1002/cne.1355

1472

1473 Sintoni S, Fabritius-Vilpoux K, Harzsch S. 2007. The engrailed-expressing secondary head
1474 spots in the embryonic crayfish brain: Examples for a group of homologous neurons in
1475 Crustacea and Hexapoda? *Development Genes & Evolution* **217**:791– 799.
1476 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-007-0189-5

1477

1478 Sjöholm M, Sinakevitch I, Ignell R, Strausfeld NJ, Hansson BS. 2005. Organization of Kenyon
1479 cells in subdivisions of the mushroom bodies of a lepidopteran insect. *Journal of Comparative*
1480 *Neurology* **491**: 290-304. doi:10.1002/cne.20698

1481

1482 Sjöholm M, Sinakevitch I, Strausfeld NJ, Ignell R, Hansson BS. 2006. Functional division of
1483 intrinsic neurons in the mushroom bodies of male *Spodoptera littoralis* revealed by antibodies
1484 against aspartate, taurine, FMRF-amide, Mas-allatotropin and DC0. *Arthropod Structure &*
1485 *Development* **35**:153-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2006.03.001

1486

1487 Skoulakis EMC, Kalderon D, Davis RL. 1993. Preferential expression in mushroom bodies of
1488 the catalytic subunit of protein kinase a and its role in learning and memory. *Neuron* **11**:197–
1489 208. https://doi. org/10.1016/0896-6273(93)90178-T

1490

1491 Stegner ME, Richter S. 2011. Morphology of the brain in *Hutchinsoniella macracantha*
1492 (Cephalocarida, crustacea). *Arthropod Structure & Development* **40**:221–243. DOI:
1493 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2011.04.001, PMID: 21679884

1494

1495 Stemme T, Iliffe TM, Bicker G. 2016. Olfactory pathway in *Xibalbanus tulumensis*: Remipedian
1496 hemiellipsoid body as homologue of hexapod mushroom body. *Cell and Tissue Research*
1497 **363**:635–648. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-015-2275-8

1498

1499 Stern M. 2009. The PM1 neurons, movement sensitive centrifugal visual brain neurons in the
1500 locust: Anatomy, physiology, and modulation by identified octopaminergic neurons. *Journal of*
1501 *Comparative Physiology A* **195**:123–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-008-0392-5
1502

1503   Strausfeld NJ. 2012. Arthropod Brains: Evolution, Functional Elegance, and Historical
1504   Significance. Boston, MA: Harvard Belknap Press.
1505
1506   Strausfeld NJ. 2002. Organization of the honey bee mushroom body: Representation of the
1507   calyx within the vertical and gamma lobes. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **450**:4-33.
1508   doi:10.1002/cne.10285
1509
1510   Strausfeld NJ. 2020. Nomen est omen, cognitive dissonance and homology of memory centers
1511   in crustaceans and insects. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. doi:10.1002/cne.24919
1512
1513   Strausfeld NJ, Li Y. 1999. Representation of the calyces in the medial and vertical lobes of
1514   cockroach mushroom bodies. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **409**:626–646.
1515   doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990712)409:4<626::AID-CNE8>3.0.CO;2-B
1516
1517   Strausfeld NJ, Sayre ME. 2020. Mushroom bodies in Reptantia reflect a major transition in
1518   crustacean brain evolution. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **528**:261–282.
1519   https://doi.org/10.1002/cne. 24752
1520
1521   Strausfeld, N. J., Wolff, G. H., & Sayre, M. E. (2020). Mushroom body evolution demonstrates
1522   homology and divergence across Pancrustacea. *eLife* **9** (e52411), 1–46.
1523   https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife. 52411
1524
1525   Strausfeld NJ, Sinakevitch I, Brown SM, Farris SM. 2009. Ground plan of the insect mushroom
1526   body: functional and evolutionary implications. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **513**:265–291.
1527   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21948, PMID: 19152379
1528
1529   Strausfeld NJ, Hansen L, Li Y, Gomez RS, Ito K. 1998. Evolution, discovery, and interpretations
1530   of arthropod mushroom bodies. *Learning & Memory* **5**:11–37. (doi:10.1101/lm.5.1.11)
1531
1532   Strausfeld NJ, Sinakevitch I, Vilinsky, I. 2003. The mushroom bodies of *Drosophila
1533   melanogaster*: an immunocytological and Golgi study of Kenyon cell organization in the calyces
1534   and lobes. *Microscopy Research and Technique* **62**:151–169.
1535
1536   Sullivan JM, Benton JL, Sandeman DC, Beltz BS. 2007. Adult neurogenesis: A common
1537   strategy across diverse species. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **500**:574–584.
1538   https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.21187
1539
1540   Tanaka, N.K., Tanimoto, H. and Ito, K. (2008), Neuronal assemblies of the Drosophila
1541   mushroom body. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **508**:711–755. doi:10.1002/cne.21692
1542
1543   Tanner CJ, Jackson AL. 2012. Social structure emerges via the interaction between
1544   local ecology and individual behaviour. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **81**:260-267 doi: 10.1 1 1 1/j.
1545   1 365-2656.201 1 .01879.x
1546
1547   Tedjakumala SSR, Rouquette J, Boizeau M-L, Mesce KA, Hotier L, Massou I, Giurfa. 2017.
1548   Tyrosine-hydroxylase characterization of dopaminergic neurons in the honey bee brain.
1549   *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **11**:47.
1550   https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnsys.2017.00047
1551

1552 Thazhath R, Liu C, Gaertig J.2002. Polyglycylation domain of β-tubulin maintains axonemal
1553 architecture and affects cytokinesis in Tetrahymena. *Nature Cell Biology* **4**:256–259.
1554 https://doi.org/10. 1038/ncb764
1555
1556 Tomer R, Denes AS, Tessmar-Raible K, Arendt D. 2010. Profiling by image registration reveals
1557 common origin of annelid mushroom bodies and vertebrate pallium. *Cell* **142**:800–809. doi:10.
1558 1016/j.cell.2010.07.043.
1559
1560 Tomsic D, Romano A. 2013. A multidisciplinary approach to learning and memory in the crab
1561 *Neohelice* (*Chasmagnathus*) *granulata*. *Handbook of Behavioral Neuroscience* **22**:337-
1562 355. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-415823-8.00026-5
1563
1564 Traniello IM, Chen Z, Bagchi VA, Robinson GE. 2019. Valence of social information is encoded
1565 in different subpopulations of mushroom body Kenyon cells in the honeybee brain. *Proceedings*
1566 *of the Royal Society B* **286**:20190901. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0901
1567
1568 Turner GC, Bazhenov M, Laurent G. 2008. Olfactory representations by Drosophila mushroom
1569 body neurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **99**:734–746. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.01283.2007
1570
1571 van Dijk LJA, Janz N, Schäpers A, Gamberale-Stille G, Carlsson MA. 2017. Experience-
1572 dependent mushroom body plasticity in butterflies: consequences of search complexity and host
1573 range. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **284**:20171594.
1574 http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1594
1575
1576 Vogt K, Aso Y, Hige T, Knapek S, et al. 2016. Direct neural pathways convey distinct visual
1577 information to *Drosophila* mushroom bodies. *eLife 2016* **5**:e14009 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.14009
1578
1579 Wigglesworth VB. 1957. The use of osmium in the fixation and staining of tissues. *Proceedings*
1580 *of the Royal Society B* **147**:185–199. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1957.0043
1581
1582 Withers GS, Fahrbach SE, Robinson GE. 1993. Selective neuroanatomical plasticity and
1583 division of labour in the honeybee. *Nature* **364**:238–240. doi:10.1038/364238a0
1584
1585 Withers G, Day NF, Talbot EF, Dobson HEM, Wallace CS. 2008. Experience-dependent
1586 plasticity in the mushroom bodies of the solitary bee *Osmia lignaria* (Megachilidae).
1587 *Developmental Neurobiology* **68**:73–82. doi:10.1002/dneu.20574.
1588
1589 Wolfe JM, Breinholt JW, Crandall KA, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM, Timm LE, Siddall ME,
1590 Bracken-Grissom HD. 2019. A phylogenomic framework, evolutionary timeline and genomic
1591 resources for comparative studies of decapod crustaceans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*:
1592 *Biological Sciences* **286**:20190079. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.0079
1593
1594 Wolff G, Harzsch S, Hansson BS, Brown S, Strausfeld N. 2012. Neuronal organization of the
1595 hemiellipsoid body of the land hermit crab, *Coenobita clypeatus*: correspondence with the
1596 mushroom body ground pattern. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **520**:2824–2846. DOI:
1597 https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23059, PMID: 22547177
1598
1599 Wolff GH, Thoen HH, Marshall J, Sayre ME, Strausfeld NJ. 2017. An insect-like mushroom body
1600 in a crustacean brain. *eLife* **6**:e29889. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29889, PMID:
1601 28949916

1602
1603 Wolff GH, Strausfeld NJ. 2015. Genealogical correspondence of mushroom bodies across
1604 invertebrate phyla. *Current Biology* **25**:38–44. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.049,
1605 PMID: 25532890
1606
1607 Wolff GH, Strausfeld NJ. 2016. Genealogical correspondence of a forebrain centre implies an
1608 executive brain in the protostome–deuterostome bilaterian ancestor. *Philosophical Transactions*
1609 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **371**:20150055. DOI:
1610 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0055
1611
1612 Yagi R, Mabuchi Y, Mizunami M, Tanaka NK. 2016. Convergence of multimodal sensory
1613 pathways to the mushroom body calyx in *Drosophila melanogaster. Scientific Reports* **6**:29481.
1614 DOI: 10.1038/srep29481
1615
1616 Yasuyama K, Meinertzhagen IA, Schürmann F-W. 2002. Synaptic organization of the
1617 mushroom body calyx in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **445**:211–
1618 226. doi:10.1002/cne.10155

1619
1620
1621 Terminology

1622 Terms and abbreviations follow recommendations by the insect brain consortium regarding those

1623 jointly applicable to insect and crustacean (Pancrustacea) central nervous systems. See Ito et al.

1624 (2014). Other terms used for crustacean brain centers follow those of Bellonci (1882) and

1625 Sandeman et al. (1993). Other terms are defined in the body of this paper.

1626

Acknowledgements

The research described here is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants No.

1754798 awarded to NJS. Our gratitude is once again directed to Daniel Kalderon, Columbia

University, New York, for supplying the DC0 antibodies, as he has during the last decade and

longer. We thank the staff of the University of Washington's Friday Harbor Marine Laboratories,

San Juan, for their unfailing help in obtaining living specimens. Briana Olea-Rowe and Hannah

Joy Miller provided expert technical assistance. We have profited from discussions and advice

from Wulfila Gronenberg (University of Arizona) and Frank Hirth (Kings College, University of

London) and are indebted to Camilla Strausfeld for her advice, critically discussing versions of

the manuscript, suggesting many improvements and meticulously editing the text.

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644   FIGURE SUPPLEMENTS

1645

1646

Figure 1 —– figure supplement 1 (a) Schematic of the *Hemigrapsus* brain (frontal view) and major
neuropils. Abbreviations: ALPR, anterior lateral protocerebrum; A1, antennule nerve; A2, antennal nerve;
AnN, antenna 2 neuropil; BU, lateral bulb of the central complex; CB, central body; ESN, eyestalk nerve;
LAL, lateral accessory lobe; LAN, lateral antennular lobe neuropil; LA, lamina; LO, lobula; LPR, lateral
protocerebrum; MAN, medial antenna 1 neuropil; MB, mushroom body; ME, medulla; OL, olfactory lobe;
OGT, olfactory globular tract; PMPR, posterior medial protocerebral neuropils; PB, protocerebral bridge;
RE, compound retina. The eyestalk nerve ESN) carries *all* axons connecting the brain with the lateral
protocerebrum. (**b, c**) Orientations of the varunid lateral protocerebrum aligned with that of *Drosophila*. The
white dashed lines in *H. nudu*s divides its rostral lateral protocerebral volume from its caudal volume; in
Drosophila the dashed line divides the rostral volume of the protocerebrum from the rest of the hemi-brain.
In both taxa, the filled arrow M indicates medial, and the open arrows R, C indicate, respectively, the rostral
and caudal axes. Both schematics depict a view from the ventral side of the lateral protocerebrum/
hemibrain. Abbreviations: Ca, calyces; Clm, column; gc, globuli cells; Gy, gyri; LH, lateral horn; LO, lobula
complex; ME, medulla; RB, reniform body; Scale bars: a, 500μm; b–d 100μm
1661

1662

Figure 2 ⎯⎯ figure supplement 1. Globuli cells supplying intrinsic neurons to the mushroom bodies. In
the varunid crab, globuli cells occupy a unique location between the distal margin of the lateral
protocerebrum and proximal margin of the optic lobe as shown in **A**. (**B**) As is typical of globuli cells in other
taxa (insects, shrimps) they are minute, here measuring less than 6 μm diameter, the next largest being
reniform perikarya as in panel C, upper right. (**D-G**) Like other decapods, crabs molt and grow throughout
life, their brains also increasing in size. The globuli cell cluster evidences neuroblasts (asterisk in panel D),
and grouped globuli cells suggesting clonal siblings (**E**). Ganglion mother cells are also arranged as aligned
groups (**F**) or, as in panel **G**, dividing (closed arrow) between neuroblasts (asterisk) and fully differentiated
perikarya (open arrow). Scale bars, A, 50mm; B, 20μm; C, 50μm; D-G, 20μm.
1672

1673

Figure 2 ⎯⎯ figure supplement 2. Globuli cells provide two tracts, one to each calyx as shown in this
Amira reconstruction of a serially sectioned osmium-ethyl gallate-treated brain. Two tracts composed of
neurites from 2,000-3,000 globuli cells from a unified cluster (gc) extend to two calyces (Ca1, Ca2), Ca1 is
dorsal to and larger than Ca2. (**A**) Viewed from above, with the medial margin of the lateral protocerebrum

closest to the observer, the rostral surfaces of each calyx are highly indented with some finger-like
extensions reaching upwards. (**B**) viewed from beneath, with the dorsal calyx 1 closest to the observer, the
more caudal levels of the calyces are seen to be layered, this distinction between caudal and rostral
volumes also shown viewing into the lateral protocerebrum from its ventral surface (C). (**D**) 180º rotation
from panel A suggests greater surface elaboration of Ca1 than Ca2.
1683

1684
1685
Figure 3 ––– figure supplement 1. Rectilinear network organization of the calyx and afferent supply. (**A**)
Golgi impregnation showing regular network arrangements of intrinsic cell dendrites. Robust afferent
terminals are defined by varicose specializations. A single terminal is shown in the box upper right; several
convergent terminals in the box upper left. (**B**) The globuli cell tract (gct) extends medially beneath
processes belonging to the reniform body's pedestal (pds). Afferent terminals fibers enter from the left
(arrowed) to distribute terminals (one boxed, lower left) amongst densely arranged intrinsic neuron
dendrites extending from globuli cell neurites comprising the gct. Scale bars, A, B, 50μm.
1693
1694

1695
1696
Figure 4 ─── figure supplement 1. Fidelity of intrinsic neurons fields (**A**) and calycal domains revealed by
osmium-ethyl gallate (**B**). The equivalent of the area in panel **A** is indicated by the open box in panel **B**.
Corresponding loci in B and A are indicated by symbols. Boxed areas in **A** are enlarged in panels **C**, **D**
showing rectilinear organization of intrinsic processes and their convergence at nodes corresponding to
microglomeruli. Abbreviations: RB, reniform pedestal; gc, globuli cells; gct, globuli cell tract. Scale bars, A,
B, 100μm
1703

1704
1705
1706 **Figure 4 ─── figure supplement 2.** Calyx distinctions. (**A, B**) Two serial silver-stained sections showing
1707 the side-by-side disposition of calyces 1, 2 (Ca1, Ca2) each supplied by its own globuli cell tract (gct1, 2).
1708 Arrowed paths indicate passage of axons extending to Ca2 from pathways from the optic lobe medulla and
1709 reniform body (far right in both panels). Scale bars, 100μm.

1710
1711
Figure 7 ——— figure supplement 1. Intrinsic neuron organization in columns. (**A**) Golgi impregnation of a
column originating from calyx 2. Three parallel but distinct ensembles of intrinsic neuron processes (circled)
all splay outwards immediately beneath the overlying gyriform neuropil, which is here unstained. Details of
these ensembles shown in **B**, **C** demonstrate internal complexity. Of note is the presence of bouton-like
terminals (two indicated by box brackets) suggesting either afferent supply from the eyestalk nerve or
reciprocal inputs from rostral gyri. A cascade arrangement of processes extending parallel to the column
from a more rostral level (arrow) may indicate recurrent afferent supply from the gyri. (**D–G**) Columns also
contain what appear to be intrinsic elements (**D**) that do not originate from the calyces, as occurs in the
mushroom bodies of certain insects (see Discussion). (**E**) There is a clear distinction between the
arrangement of processes (enlarged in **H**) in the columns (clm) and the more distributed arrangements in
the proximal zone (pz) of the reniform body indicated by its pedestal (pds). (**F**) Further complexity is
demonstrated by parallel arrangements of intrinsic neuron and their collaterals suggestive of local circuits
(examples indicated in boxed areas in panel F, enlarged in **I**, **J**). (**G**) Dense arrangements near the column's
terminal expansion reveal claw-like specializations each with its particular morphology, within parallel
subdivisions of the column (indicated by arrowheads in K). Scale bars, A,100μm; E–G, 25μm; D, H-K, 20μm.
1727

1728
1729
Figure 7 ––– figure supplement 2. The interface between columns and gyri. (**A-H**) Paired half-tone/color
micrographs of selected levels of a silver-stained, serially sectioned RLPR (medial to the left, rostral
upwards). Green outlines in panels A-D indicate parts of the globuli cell tract (gct2) to calyx 2 (Ca2); in E-
H, parts of the gct1 to calyx 1 (Ca1). Blue outlines indicate cross–sections of the reniform body pedestal
(RB); magenta outlines indicate mushroom bodies (calyces and their columns). Identification of the calyces
is enabled by their color and microglomeruli as in Ca2, (lower panel A). As in osmium-ethyl gallate sections,
the two calyces are too close to identify separately unless viewed downwards from the rostral surface of
the LPR (as in Figure 4 - figure supplement 2). Columns (ringed) and smaller finger-like extensions
(asterisks) extend outwards to the gyri. Column 2 (Clm2) from calyx 2 (Ca2) is shown in panels A-D. Panels
E-H illustrate the origin and width of Clm1 from Ca1. Panels I and J show corresponding arrangements
revealed by osmium-ethyl gallate. Scale bars, 100μm.
1741

1742
1743
Figure 9 —— figure supplement 1. Perikaryal distributions and gyri neurons. (**A–C**). Amira-generated 3-
D reconstruction of the gyri in panel A with the proximal gyrus (light green) above the medial root of the
eyestalk nerve. Panel B shows extensive patches of neuronal perikarya, with the globuli cell cluster (gc,
dark green) and reniform body cluster (rbc, yellow) situated at the distal margin of the RLPR. Merged
images in panel C show the relative dispositions of cell body clusters and gyri. (**D-I**) Stitched reconstructions
of Golgi mass impregnations resolve top (rostral)-down (F, H), lateral (E, G, I) and sub-gyrus (D) views of
neuropils. The boxed area in F includes cell bodies of a lateral patch, enlarged in the upper right inset.
Scale bars, A-I, 100μm, inset to panel F, 25μm.
1752

1753
1754
Figure 10 ⸺ figure supplement 1. Column-gyrus interface organization**.** (**A**) Terminus of column 2 from
calyx 2 (Clm, circled). The column is notable for its many extremely slender intrinsic processes. Also, visible
in this preparation are branches of extrinsic (efferent) neurons extending across the column (arrow). (**B**)
Terminus of column 2 (circled) with bundled intrinsic processes and the stouter profiles of efferent neurons
(arrowed) ascending from the column into overlying gyral neuropil. This superficial level also receives
terminals decorated with small bead-like specializations provided by bundled small-diameter axons from
the eyestalk nerve (curved arrow). (**C**) Synapsin (magenta)-actin (green) labelling showing at the terminus
of a column (circled) its longitudinal divisions denoted by various sizes and densities of synaptic sites across
its width. Similar variation is resolved in flanking neuropil of the gyrus (Gy). (**D**) Reduced silver demonstrates
bundles (dashed arrow) from the CLPR ascending outside a column into gyri, demonstrating that
multimodal convergence occurs at many levels in the lateral protocerebrum. Part of Ca2 is also visible at
this level. Scale bars**,** A, D, 100μm; B, 50μm; C, 25μm.

1767
1768
Figure 11 —— figure supplement 1. Comparison of synaptic densities associated with the calyces and
reniform body. (**A**) Calyx 1 (Ca1), its associated gyri (Gy), the reniform body pedestal (RBpds) and, at this
level, its lateral zone (lz) are shown labelled with actin (green)/anti-synapsin (magenta). In A, the open
square corresponds to a similar area of silver-stained microglomeruli (upper right inset). The same area is
shown enlarged in panel C. The open rectangle in A is enlarged in B to the same scale as panel C. (**B**)
Reniform body neuropils comprise synaptic configurations that contribute to large glomerulus-like islets. (**C**)
Microglomeruli of the calyces are smaller and more densely packed than those of the reniform body. (**D, E**)
Comparison of synapsin/actin contributions to glomerulus-like units of the reniform body (D) and to
microglomeruli of the mushroom body calyx (E) further demonstrates major differences regarding the
density of their converging presynaptic elements. Other abbreviations: LO, lobula; gc, globuli cells; gct,
globuli cell tract. Scale bars**,** A, 100μm; B,C, 25μm; D, E, 1μm.
1780