Cloning, Expression and Biophysical Characterization of a Yeast-expressed Recombinant SARS-CoV-2

Receptor Binding Domain COVID-19 Vaccine Candidate

Wen-Hsiang Chen[ab], Junfei Wei[a], Rakhi Tyagi Kundu[a], Rakesh Adhikari[a], Zhuyun Liu[a], Jungsoon Lee[a], Leroy

Versteeg[a], Cristina Poveda[a], Brian Keegan[a], Maria Jose Villar[a], Ana Carolina de Araujo Leao[a], Joanne

Altieri Rivera[a] Portia M. Gillespie[a], Jeroen Pollet[ab], Ulrich Strych[ab], Bin Zhan[ab], Peter Hotez[abcd*], Maria

Elena Bottazzi[abcd*]

[a] Texas Children's Hospital Center for Vaccine Development, Houston, TX, USA

[b] Departments of Pediatrics and Molecular Virology & Microbiology; National School of Tropical

Medicine; Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

[c] Department of Biology, Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

[d] James A. Baker III Institute for Public Policy, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

correspondence:

[*]Maria Elena Bottazzi bottazzi@bcm.edu, 1102 Bates St., Ste. 550 | Houston, TX 77030

[*]Peter J Hotez hotez@bcm.edu, 1 Baylor Plaza, Houston TX 77030

ABSTRACT

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 has now spread worldwide to infect approximately 50 million people, with over 1 million reported deaths, and a safe and effective vaccine remains urgently needed. Based on previous experience developing vaccines against SARS and MERS, we constructed three variants of the recombinant receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein (residues 331-549) in yeast as follows: (1) a "wild type" RBD (RBD219-WT), (2) a deglycosylated form (RBD219-N1) by deleting the first N-glycosylation site, and (3) a combined deglycosylated and cysteine (C538A-mutated variant (RBD219-N1C1)). We compared the expression yields, biophysical characteristics, and functionality of the proteins produced from these constructs. Collectively, these three recombinant protein RBDs showed similar secondary and tertiary structure thermal stability and had the same affinity for their receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2), suggesting that the selected deletion or mutations did not cause any significant structural changes or alteration of function. However, RBD219-N1C1 had a higher fermentation yield, was easier to purify, and had a lower tendency to form oligomers when compared to the other two proteins and was therefore selected for further vaccine development and evaluation.

KEYWORDS: coronavirus, protein characterization, *P. pastoris*

1. INTRODUCTION

Betacoronaviruses have caused major disease outbreaks in humans every decade since the beginning of the millennium. In 2002, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) was responsible for 8,098 infections and approximately 800 deaths. Beginning in 2012, the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) caused by MERS-CoV has led to 2,519 infections and 866 associated deaths and the virus is still circulating in camels. First reported in China in December 2019, SARS-CoV-2, the pathogen that causes coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), has now rapidly spread worldwide and infected more than 45 million people and caused approximately 1.2 million deaths up to October 2020 [1].

A coronavirus virion consists of membrane, envelope, nucleocapsid, and spike (S) proteins. Of these, the S proteins are commonly selected as vaccine candidates due to their critical functions in host cell entry [2-7]. However, even though no preclinical or human trials have yet reported that immunopathology could be triggered by the full-length S protein of SARS-CoV-2 after viral challenge [8], previous preclinical studies in mice indicated that the full-length SARS-CoV S protein could induce eosinophilic immune enhancement [9, 10] and lung immunopathology [11, 12], possibly due to epitopes outside of the receptor-binding domain (RBD). The SARS-CoV RBD vaccine was shown to induce high levels of virus-neutralizing antibodies, minimized or abrogated eosinophilic immune enhancement in mice compared to the full-length S protein, and can be easily scaled for production [12, 13]; therefore, some groups consider the RBD an attractive vaccine immunogen alternative to using the full-length S protein [14-19]. Beyond our findings with SARS-CoV, additional studies have already shown that mammalian cell-expressed SARS-CoV-2 RBD triggered high specific total IgG as well as neutralizing antibody titers in mice [7] and that the vaccination of insect cell-expressed RBD protected non-human primates (NHPs) from SARS-CoV-2 challenge *in vivo* with no significant lung histopathological changes, further supporting a rationale for using the RBD as antigen to develop an efficacious vaccine [20].

Based on previous experience in developing the SARS-CoV RBD vaccine [4, 13, 17] and its high amino acid sequence similarity between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV S proteins [21-23], we identified a 219 amino-acid RBD sequence of SARS-CoV-2 (RBD219-WT), spanning residues 331-549 of the S protein by aligning the sequence of the S protein with the previously identified SARS-CoV RBD219 [4]. We further used the RBD219-WT sequence as a backbone to derive (i) a deglycosylated form (RBD219-N1) with Asn331 of the S protein deleted to prevent hyperglycosylation that hinders scare-up production and purification, and (ii) a deglycosylated and cysteine mutagenized form (RBD219-N1C1), with the Asn331 deletion and the Cys538 changed to an alanine residue to prevent oligomer formation via intermolecular disulfide bridging. The immunogenicity of these vaccine candidates was recently tested, demonstrating

that when formulated with Alhydrogel®, these yeast expressed RBDs triggered high levels of neutralizing
antibody titers [24].

Here, we examined the production yield of three tag-free RBDs in the fermentation supernatant
prior to purification. Two of the RBD constructs resulted in high fermentation yields, however, for the
RBD219-N1 construct, we only achieved low fermentation yields with likely high host cell protein levels.
This impeded us from getting any purified RBD219-N1 protein, hence, a hexahistidine tagged construct
(RBD219-N1+His) was created to obtain purified protein for further characterization. Biophysical
characteristics, and *in vitro* functionality of these three purified recombinant RBD proteins were
evaluated. Based on the results, we identified the RBD219-N1C1 construct best suited for advancement
to scaled-up process development, technological transfer for manufacturing, and preclinical evaluation
in preparation for the vaccine to advance into clinical development.

2.   MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Cloning and expression of SARS-CoV-2 RBDs in yeast *Pichia pastoris*

The DNAs encoding RBD219-WT (residues 331–549 of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, GenBank:
QHD43416.1), RBD219-N1 (residues 332-549) and RBD219-N1C1 (residues 332-549, C538A) were codon-
optimized based on yeast codon usage preference and synthesized by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA),
followed by subcloning into the *Pichia* secretory expression vector pPICZαA (Invitrogen) using EcoRI/XbaI
restriction sites. A hexahistidine tag version for RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1 (namely, RBD219-WT+His
and RBD219-N1+His, respectively) was also generated by adding additional DNA encoding six histidine
residues at the C-terminus to facilitate purification as a backup. The recombinant plasmid DNAs were
then transformed into *Pichia pastoris* X-33 by electroporation. The expression of the recombinant RBDs
was confirmed by induction with 0.5% methanol at 30 °C for 72 h. The seed stock in 20% glycerol of each
recombinant construct was then generated as described previously [13].

2.2. Fermentation and purification of SARS-CoV-2 RBDs

RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1 in pPICZαA/*P. pastoris X33* clones were fermented in 5 L
vessels as described previously with minor modifications [13]. Briefly, the seed stock of each construct
was used to inoculate 0.5 L Buffered Minimal Glycerol (BMG) medium until the OD_{600} reached 10±5.
Depending on the OD_{600} of overnight culture, 86-270 mL of the culture was then used to inoculate 2.5 L
sterile low salt medium (LS) in the fermenter containing 3.5 mL/L PTM1 trace elements and 3.5 mL/L
0.02% d-Biotin to reach to initial OD of 0.5. Fermentation was initiated at 30 °C and pH 5.0, while the gas

and agitation were adjusted to maintain dissolved oxygen (DO) at 30%. Upon DO spike, the pH was

ramped up to 6.5 using 14% ammonium hydroxide, and the temperature was lowered to 25°C over 1 h

and methanol was then pumped in from 0.8 mL/L/h to 11 mL/L/h and the pH was adjusted to 6.0 using

14% ammonium hydroxide over 6–8 h. Induction was maintained at 25 °C with minor methanol feed

adjustments, as needed, for 70 h. After fermentation, the culture was harvested by centrifugation. The

fermentation supernatant (FS) was then evaluated by SDS-PAGE and Western blot.

To purify RBD219-WT, the FS was first filtered through a 0.45 μm filter followed by a negative

capture step with a Q Sepharose XL (QXL) column to remove some host cell protein. The flow-through

from the QXL column was then further purified by a Butyl Sepharose HP column and a Superdex 75 size

exclusion column (SEC). Due to the low target protein yield and large amounts of impurities present in

RBD219-N1 fermentation, we were unable to successfully purify the tag-free RBD219-N1 by the same

approach as RBD219-WT. Instead, we purified the using the hexahistidine tagged version (RBD219-

N1+His, where six additional histidine residues were expressed at the C-terminus of RBD219-N1); to

purify RBD219-N1+His, HisTrap immobilized metal affinity column was used followed by Superdex 75

chromatography. Finally, to purify RBD219-N1C1, the FS was filtered through a 0.45 μm filter before a

Butyl Sepharose HP column followed by a Superdex 75 column. The final buffer for these three proteins

was TBS (20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5).

2.3. SDS-PAGE and Western Blot

RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1 were loaded on 4-20% Tris-glycine gels, and stained

with Coomassie Blue or transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane and probed with a

monoclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike rabbit antibody recognizing the RBD region (Sino Biological, Beijing,

China; Cat # 40150-R007) to evaluate the size and confirm the identity. These three RBDs were also

treated with PNGase-F (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA; Cat# P0704S) following the

manufacturer's instruction and loaded on to SDS-PAGE gels to evaluate the impact of size caused by

glycosylation. Western blotting was also used to evaluate the fermentation yield; in short, serially

diluted purified RBD protein corresponding to the construct in the fermentation run was loaded on the

Tris-glycine gels with a fixed volume of undiluted fermentation supernatant of different RBD constructs.

A log-log plot of RBD intensity versus the known amount of loaded RBD was graphed and the linear

regression was calculated from the plot.

2.4. Size and Protein Aggregation Assessment by Dynamic Light Scattering

Purified RBDs were adjusted to 1 mg/mL in TBS in three to four replicates to evaluate the hydrodynamic
radius and molecular weight using a DynaPro Plate Reader II (Wyatt Technology) based on a globular
protein model. The sizes of these RBDs at room temperature were monitored for approximately 30 days.
Additionally, to evaluate the tendency of protein oligomerization among different RBDs, these purified
proteins were concentrated to approximately 7.5 mg/mL and serially diluted to approximately 0.66
mg/mL to calculate the diffusion interaction parameter (k_D) for each RBD using the following equation
[13, 25]:

$D=D_0(1+k_D \times c)$

where D is the measured diffusion coefficient, D_0 is the coefficient of the RBDs at an infinite dilution, and
k_D is the diffusion interaction parameter.

2.5. Hydrophobicity Assessment by Extrinsic Fluorescence

Purified RBDs and two controls (BSA and lysozyme) at concentrations of 7.8 ng/mL - 1.0 mg/mL in TBS
were probed with 12.5 nM Nile Red (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA; Cat # 3013) to generate extrinsic
fluorescence for surface hydrophobicity measurement, as described previously [13, 26]. The emission
spectra were recorded from 550 to 700 nm with the gain set at 130 after excitation at 520 nm using a
BioTek Synergy H4 plate reader. The surface hydrophobicity of RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, RBD219-
N1C1, BSA, and lysozyme was determined using the slope of the emission peak intensity versus protein
concentration plot, as described previously [13, 27].

2.6. Structural Assessment by Circular Dichroism (CD)

172 Purified RBDs were diluted with deionized water to a final concentration of 0.2 mg/mL and CD spectra
173 were obtained from 250 to 190 nm with a Jasco J-1500 spectrophotometer set at 100 nm/min and a
174 response time of 1 s at 25°C. The obtained CD data were analyzed using a CD Analysis and Plotting Tool
175 (https://capito.uni-jena.de/index.php). In addition, the RBDs (0.5 mg/mL) were heated from 25 °C to 95
176 °C for a denaturation profile analysis.

2.7. Structural Assessment by Thermal shift

To evaluate the thermal stability of the tertiary structures for these three RBDs, a 1 mg/mL solution of
these proteins was mixed with Protein Thermal Shift™ Dye (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA; Cat #
4461146) in triplicate as per the manufacturer's instructions. The samples were further heated from 25
°C to 95 °C to monitor the fluorescence intensity change using a ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR system.

2.8. *in vitro* Functionality Assay by ELISA (ACE-2 binding)

Ninety-six-well ELISA plates were coated with 100 µL 2 µg/mL RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, RBD219-

N1C1 or BSA overnight in triplicate at 4°C followed by blocking with PBST/0.1% BSA. Once the plates

were blocked, 100 µL serially-diluted ACE-2-hFc (LakePharma, San Carlos, CA, USA; Cat # 46672) with an

initial concentration of 50 µg/mL was added to the wells. The plates were incubated at room

temperature for 2 h to allow ACE-2 to interact with each RBD. After this binding step, the plates were

washed with PBST four times followed by adding 100 µL 1:10,000 diluted HRP conjugated anti-human

IgG antibodies (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ, USA; Cat # A00166) and incubating for 1 h at room

temperature. Finally, 100 µL TMB substrate was added and incubated for 4 minutes in the dark to react

with HRP. The reaction was terminated with 100 µL HCl and absorption readings were taken at 450 nm

using a BioTek EPOCH 2 microplate reader. The results were analyzed using one-way ANOVA to evaluate

the statistic difference among the ELISA data generated for these three RBDs.

3.   RESULTS

3.1. Cloning, expression yield, and protein integrity

The SARS-CoV-2 RBD vaccine antigen was originally designed and expressed using the same approach as

reported previously for the 70% homologous SARS-CoV RBD equivalent [13]. Three recombinant

constructs, RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1 (Figure 1), were transformed into *P. pastoris* and

expressed at the 5 L fermentation scale.

201   The harvested fermentation supernatants (FS) of RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1

were first analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 2A) and their fermentation yields were quantified using

western blot (Supplementary Figure S1 and Table 1).   When observing the FS profile for RBD219-WT on

SDS-PAGE gel (Figure 2A) and western blot membrane (Supplementary Figures S1A and S1C), large

amounts of protein bands were not recognized by the anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike rabbit antibody, possibly

indicating the presence of host cell proteins (HCP), as well as yeast-derived hyperglycosylation. The

fermentation yield of RBD219-WT was estimated as 142 ± 8 mg/L of fermentation supernatant (Table 1).

208   To address the yeast-derived hyperglycosylation, we cloned and expressed RBD219-N1, in which

the N-terminal asparagine residue was removed. However, the fermentation yield of RBD219-N1 was

low (50 ± 13 mg/L of FS, Table 1), and the level of non-RBD proteins, likely HCP impurities, remained a

concern (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figures S1E and S1F). Due to the low yield and high level of non-

target-specific proteins, we were unable to purify tag-free RBD219-N1; thus, the hexahistidine tagged

construct (RBD219-N1+His) was used to obtain purified protein for later characterization.   We also
observed that both RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1 formed dimers during fermentation. This suggested the
potential formation of an intermolecular disulfide bond between a potential free cysteine found in the
molecule, and therefore, a C538A-mutated form of RBD219-N1, RBD219-N1C1, was constructed. The
rationale is that there are nine cysteine residues in the RBD, where we assumed that eight residues
formed intramolecular bonds, leaving the last cysteine available for undesired intermolecular cross-
linking. The new C538A-mutated construct based on the RBD219-N1 backbone was able to express the
protein (RBD219-N1C1) with low yeast-derived hyperglycosylation, without the presence of extensive
non-RBD specific proteins or HCPs (Figure 2A), and with a fermentation yield of 280 ± 70 mg/L of FS
(Table 1). Even though, when fermentation yields were analyzed using western blot, one could observe a
dimer form of RBD219-N1C1 in the fermentation supernatant (Supplementary Figures S1I and S1K), such
dimer was not seen after purification in the final purified protein (Figure 2B). After treating the three
purified RBDs with PNGase-F to remove N-glycans, RBDs with similar size were observed (Figure 2C;
Please note that the band at 37 kDa was PNGase-F enzyme), which confirmed that the size differences
were likely due to the yeast-derived glycosylation.

3.2. Size evaluation and protein-interaction assessment by DLS

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to evaluate the size of the purified RBDs in solution. The results
indicated that SARS-CoV-2 RBD219-WT has a slightly larger hydrodynamic radius (2.79 nm) than
RBD219-N1C1 (2.56 nm), and thus a higher calculated molecular weight (37.5 kDa) than RBD219-N1C1
(30 kDa) (Figure 3A), presumably due to the additional N-glycans. Interestingly, even though RBD219-
N1+His was less glycosylated due to the removal of the first glycosylation site, its hydrodynamic radius
(2.74 nm, 35.7 kDa) was similar to RBD219-WT; thus, it was suspected that potential oligomer formation
might have occurred. We further determined the diffusion interaction parameter (K_D) of three RBDs
(Figure 3B and Table 2) to evaluate the level of protein-protein interaction. The results indicated that all
three RBDs in TBS showed negative K_D values, implying protein attractions. However, it was noticed that
the K_D of RBD219-N1C1 (-16.3 mL/g) was similar to that of RBD219-WT (-14.9 mL/g), while that of
RBD219-N1+His was almost double (-29.7 mL/g), suggesting that RBD219-N1+His was even more prone
to form oligomers. Additionally, when we monitored the changes of the molecular weight for RBDs
stored at room temperature, it was observed that both the molecular weight of RBD219-WT and
RBD219-N1+His increased over time while the size of RBD219-N1C1 remained unchanged at ~30kDa
(Figure 3C).

3.3. Hydrophobicity Assessment Using Extrinsic Fluorescence

In this study, we used Nile red dye to probe these three purified RBDs to further evaluate their surface hydrophobicity in TBS (Figures 4A and 4B). In general, a blue shift in emission peak wavelength λmax, or a lower λmax value, indicates an increase in hydrophobicity due to more binding of Nile red to the protein. The emission peaks λmax of RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His and RBD219-N1C1 in TBS were determined as 658, 642 and 658 nm, respectively, indicating that RBD219-N1+His was more hydrophobic than the other two RBD molecules, and these three RBDs were less hydrophobic than BSA (λmax = 634 nm) and more hydrophobic than lysozyme (λmax = 662 nm) (Figure 4A and Table 2). Additionally, the surface hydrophobicity (S_0) calculated by the plot of fluorescence intensity vs concentration (Figure 4B and Table 2) indicated that RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1C1 shared similar surface hydrophobicity ($S_{0; RBD219-WT}$ = 3.14 RFU*L/mg and $S_{0; RBD219-N1C1}$= 2.78 RFU*L/mg, respectively), while RBD219-N1+His showed higher surface hydrophobicity ($S_{0; RBD219-N1+His}$ = 9.82 RFU*L/mg). Overall, the results indicated $S_{0; BSA} > S_{0; RBD219-N1+His} > S_{0; RBD219-WT} \sim S_{0; RBD219-N1C1} > S_{0; Lysozyme}$) which were consistent with the observation based on the wavelength of the extrinsic fluorescence emission peak.

3.4. Secondary structure thermal stability assessment

When far-UV CD spectrometry was performed to investigate the secondary structure of the three purified RBDs, we observed a very similar secondary structure with 8% alpha-helix and 33% beta-sheet (Fig. 5A). The thermal stability of the secondary structures was evaluated by heating the samples from 25 °C to 95 °C (Figs. 5B-5D) and CD melting curves and their derivatives were further examined at 231 nm (Figures. 5E-G). Based on the derivative, the average melting temperatures (Tm) were 52.3 °C, 53.3 °C, and 51.9 °C for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1 respectively, suggesting similar thermal stability.

3.5. Tertiary structure thermal stability assessment

Thermal shift assays were used for evaluating the thermal stability of the tertiary structure for the three purified RBD proteins. The melting curve (Figure 6A) indicated that the onset temperature (T_{on}) for these RBDs was approximately 38 °C, and the higher initial fluorescence of RBD219-N1+His observed in Figure 6A implied that more thermal shift dye bound to the molecule, which suggested higher hydrophobicity of this molecule than for the other two RBDs. This finding is consistent with the observation in the extrinsic fluorescence study. The derivatives indicated the melting temperatures (T_m) for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1 as 50.6 ± 0.5 °C, 49.2 ± 0.5 °C and 50.8 ± 0.4 °C, respectively (Figure

6B). The similar thermal shift profile and thermal stability observed in the thermal shift assays further
suggested that the three RBDs likely shared similar tertiary structures.

3.6. *in vitro* Functionality comparison of the RBD proteins in an ACE-2 binding study.

To examine the *in vitro* functionality of the purified RBDs, we evaluated their ability to bind to a
recombinant ACE-2 protein reflecting the human receptor, ACE-2 (Figure 7). No significant binding was
found between ACE-2 and BSA, indicating that RBD binding to ACE-2 in this assay was specific. No
statistical difference (p=0.935) among the binding of ACE-2 to RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, or RBD219-
N1C1 proteins was seen, indicating that hyperglycosylation on RBD219-WT, the N1 deletion, or the
cysteine mutation on RBD219-N1C1 did not impact the in vitro ability of these proteins to bind to the
ACE-2 receptor.

4. DISCUSSION

As COVID-19 spreads worldwide, it has now become predominant among populations living in poverty,
especially in crowded urban areas and mega-cities located in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)
[28]; take India and Brazil as examples, the majority of the cases, over 1.5 million and 1.1 million, are
reported in Maharashtra state, where Mumbai city is located, and State of Sao Paulo, where Sao Paulo
city is located, respectively [1]. In the race to develop a vaccine against COVID-19, many candidates have
moved forward to clinical trials. Among those, recombinant protein-based vaccine candidates are mainly
expressed using mammalian cells or insect cells [20, 29-32] that are not always considered the most
cost-effective and globally accessible. To develop a safe and effective vaccine that is also affordable and
easily accessible, we focus our vaccine development efforts using a yeast-based expression system. For
example, a low-cost recombinant hepatitis B vaccine expressed in yeast is produced in several LMICs,
including Brazil, Cuba, India, Indonesia, and elsewhere [26]. In this study, we evaluated three different
yeast-expressed antigen constructs, RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1 by comparing their
fermentation yield, biophysical characteristics, and *in vitro* functionality.

During the initial expression of RBD219-WT, it was found that the protein was hyperglycosylated,
which could pose challenges during the production process and impact yields, reproducibility, and
stability. Based on the lessons learned from the development of the process used for the yeast-
expressed SARS-CoV RBD [4], we developed the RBD219-N1 construct to remove the first amino acid

(corresponded to the glycosylation site N-331 on S protein). However, we discovered intermolecular
disulfide bridging was occurring in the expression of the RBD219-N1 protein. When investigating the
RBD structure by extracting residues 331-549 from PDB ID 6XEY [33], one could see that the RBD
contained a total of nine cysteines, eight of which were conserved and form disulfide bonds
(Supplementary Figure S2) while one, C538, was free to form an intermolecular disulfide bond, which
could lead to a potential stability issue. To alleviate this, an additional construct (RBD219-N1C1) with
C538 mutated to alanine was created.

Densitometry of Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE gels is generally used to evaluate the protein
yield during the fermentation runs [13, 34, 35]; however, in this case, due to the high levels of likely HCP
impurities observed in the FS for both RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1, the method could not be applied,
and hence, we had to use western blots to assess the yields of the unpurified proteins after
fermentation. By using this immunoblot-based method, we were able to quantify the protein production
in the fermentation supernatants without the interference of HCPs. The protein yield quantified using
western blot indicated that in the FS, RBD219-N1C1 had the highest yield among the three constructs.
Due to the high HCP background found in RBD219-WT FS, QXL was used to first capture most of the
HCPs leaving the target protein in the flow-through, this flow-through was further purified using Butyl
HP followed by SEC. As for the RBD219-N1 construct, the target protein was barely visible in the FS when
analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and thus, we were unable to purify tag-free RBD219-N1 protein; instead, a
hexahistidine-tagged protein was expressed and purified by metal affinity column. RBD219-N1C1,
however, was the dominant protein found in the FS and could be easily purified by a simple two-step
purification scheme similar to the yeast expressed SARS-CoV RBD219-N1 [13]. Therefore, RBD219-N1C1
was selected for further process development and scale-up.

While further evaluating the purified RBDs by SDS-PAGE and western blot, dimers were
observed in purified RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1+His only under non-reduced condition, suggesting
potential intermolecular disulfide bond formation. Mutating the free cysteine residue successfully
reduced the propensity of oligomerization during fermentation, as no dimer formation was found in
purified RBD219-N1C1. Additionally, as part of the stability evaluation, when monitoring the size using
DLS, purified RBD219-N1C1 remained at 30 kDa for approximately 30 days while RBD219-WT and
RBD219-N1+His continued to form oligomers. However, when determining the diffusion interaction
parameter (K_D) using DLS and assessing surface hydrophobicity (S_0) using extrinsic fluorescence, we
observed that RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1C1 shared similar K_D and S_0 values while RBD219-N1+His

showed a lower K_D and higher S_0 values, implying a higher tendency to form oligomers. The high
oligomerization tendency for RBD219-N1+His is likely due to the additional hexahistidine as we also
performed an extrinsic fluorescence study on a hexahistidine-tagged version of RBD219-WT (RBD219-
WT+His), and the results indicated a much higher surface hydrophobicity value (12.7 RFU*L/mg;
supplementary Figure S3) than RBD219-WT. The pKa of the imidazole ring in histidine is approximately
6.0, which suggested that at pH 7.5, this aromatic ring is likely non-protonated, which makes this
additional hexahistidine more hydrophobic.

When assessing the secondary and tertiary structures, far-UV circular dichroism spectra
revealed that RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1 purified proteins had similar secondary
structures. The melting temperatures evaluated by CD and thermal shift assays also indicated that these
three RBDs shared similar thermal stability for both secondary and tertiary structures. Additionally, Li *et*
al. have derived neutralizing monoclonal antibodies from COVID-19 patients, and the most potent
neutralizing antibodies that recognized the RBD blocked ACE-2 binding [33]. Thus, confirming the ACE-2
binding is crucial to ensure the epitopes within the ACE-2 binding site are still intact. A similar binding
affinity to ACE-2 among all three RBDs was observed, suggesting that removing the first amino acid
and/or mutating the free cysteine to alanine did not impact protein structure or functionality.

In summary, the RBD219-N1C1 construct provided higher protein yields in the FS (~280mg
RBD219-N1C1/L of FS), and the fermentation process was less impacted based on the detection of fewer
impurities. This facilitated the use of a straight forward and relatively efficient initial purification scheme,
with an approximate recovery yield of ~189 mg of purified RBD219-N1C1/L of FS. With respect to
biophysical characteristics, unlike RBD219-WT and RBD219-N1 proteins, the purified RBD219-N1C1
protein does not appear to form dimers via intermolecular disulfide bridging. Additionally, RBD219-WT,
RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1 showed similar thermal stability and the same binding affinity to
their receptor, ACE-2, further suggesting that the deletion of the first amino acid and mutation of the
free cysteine did not cause any significant structural changes. Based on all the data, we conclude that
the RBD219-N1C1 construct is the superior candidate to move forward for process optimization and
further development and scale-up, in preparation for technology transfer and manufacturing and for its
advancement towards clinical development.

Author contributions

Wen-Hsiang Chen conceived the study, designed and performed experiments, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript; Junfei Wei performed experiments and interpreted data; Rakhi Tyagi Kundu, Rakesh Adhikari, Zhuyun Liu, Jungsoon Lee, Leroy Versteeg, Cristina Poveda, Brian Keegan, Maria Jose Villar, Ana C. de Araujo Leao and Joanne Altieri Rivera performed experiments; Jeroen Pollet participated in interpreting data, reviewed/edited the manuscript; Ulrich Strych reviewed/edited the manuscript; Bin Zhan reviewed/edited the manuscript; Peter Hotez reviewed/edited the manuscript; Maria Elena Bottazzi, reviewed/edited the manuscript Ulrich Strych, Bin Zhan, Peter Hotez and Maria Elena Bottazzi also provided scientific guidance on the project.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that Baylor College of Medicine recently licensed the RBD219-N1C1 technology to an Indian manufacturer for further development. The research conducted in this paper was performed in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Robert J. Kleberg Jr. and Helen C. Kleberg Foundation; Fifth Generation, Inc. (Tito's Handmade Vodka); JPB Foundation, NIH-NIAID (AI14087201); and Texas Children's Hospital Center for Vaccine Development Intramural Funds. We also would like to thank PATH Center for Vaccine Innovation and Access (Seattle, WA, USA) for their guidance as well as technical and intellectual support.

References

[1] E. Dong, H. Du, L. Gardner, An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time, Lancet Infect Dis 20(5) (2020) 533-534.
[2] S. Jiang, M.E. Bottazzi, L. Du, S. Lustigman, C.T. Tseng, E. Curti, K. Jones, B. Zhan, P.J. Hotez, Roadmap to developing a recombinant coronavirus S protein receptor-binding domain vaccine for severe acute respiratory syndrome, Expert Rev Vaccines 11(12) (2012) 1405-13.
[3] L. Du, G. Zhao, Y. He, Y. Guo, B.J. Zheng, S. Jiang, Y. Zhou, Receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV spike protein induces long-term protective immunity in an animal model, Vaccine 25(15) (2007) 2832-8.
[4] W.H. Chen, L. Du, S.M. Chag, C. Ma, N. Tricoche, X. Tao, C.A. Seid, E.M. Hudspeth, S. Lustigman, C.T. Tseng, M.E. Bottazzi, P.J. Hotez, B. Zhan, S. Jiang, Yeast-expressed recombinant protein of the receptor-binding domain in SARS-CoV spike protein with deglycosylated forms as a SARS vaccine candidate, Hum Vaccin Immunother 10(3) (2014) 648-58.
[5] W.H. Chen, U. Strych, P.J. Hotez, M.E. Bottazzi, The SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Pipeline: an Overview, Curr Trop Med Rep (2020) 1-4.

[6] M.P. Nyon, L. Du, C.K. Tseng, C.A. Seid, J. Pollet, K.S. Naceanceno, A. Agrawal, A. Algaissi, B.H. Peng,
W. Tai, S. Jiang, M.E. Bottazzi, U. Strych, P.J. Hotez, Engineering a stable CHO cell line for the expression
of a MERS-coronavirus vaccine antigen, Vaccine 36(14) (2018) 1853-1862.
[7] W. Tai, L. He, X. Zhang, J. Pu, D. Voronin, S. Jiang, Y. Zhou, L. Du, Characterization of the receptor-
binding domain (RBD) of 2019 novel coronavirus: implication for development of RBD protein as a viral
attachment inhibitor and vaccine, Cell Mol Immunol (2020).
[8] B.F. Haynes, TBD - activ group, SCIENCE TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE in press (2020).
[9] M. Jaume, M.S. Yip, Y.W. Kam, C.Y. Cheung, F. Kien, A. Roberts, P.H. Li, I. Dutry, N. Escriou, M. Daeron,
R. Bruzzone, K. Subbarao, J.S. Peiris, B. Nal, R. Altmeyer, SARS CoV subunit vaccine: antibody-mediated
neutralisation and enhancement, Hong Kong Med J 18 Suppl 2 (2012) 31-6.
[10] Q. Wang, L. Zhang, K. Kuwahara, L. Li, Z. Liu, T. Li, H. Zhu, J. Liu, Y. Xu, J. Xie, H. Morioka, N.
Sakaguchi, C. Qin, G. Liu, Immunodominant SARS Coronavirus Epitopes in Humans Elicited both
Enhancing and Neutralizing Effects on Infection in Non-human Primates, ACS Infect Dis 2(5) (2016) 361-
76.
[11] C.T. Tseng, E. Sbrana, N. Iwata-Yoshikawa, P.C. Newman, T. Garron, R.L. Atmar, C.J. Peters, R.B.
Couch, Immunization with SARS coronavirus vaccines leads to pulmonary immunopathology on
challenge with the SARS virus, PLoS One 7(4) (2012) e35421.
[12] W.H. Chen, X. Tao, A.S. Agrawal, A. Algaissi, B.H. Peng, J. Pollet, U. Strych, M.E. Bottazzi, P.J. Hotez, S.
Lustigman, L. Du, S. Jiang, C.K. Tseng, Yeast-expressed SARS-CoV recombinant receptor-binding domain
(RBD219-N1) formulated with aluminum hydroxide induces protective immunity and reduces immune
enhancement, Vaccine 38(47) (2020) 7533-7541.
[13] W.H. Chen, S.M. Chag, M.V. Poongavanam, A.B. Biter, E.A. Ewere, W. Rezende, C.A. Seid, E.M.
Hudspeth, J. Pollet, C.P. McAtee, U. Strych, M.E. Bottazzi, P.J. Hotez, Optimization of the Production
Process and Characterization of the Yeast-Expressed SARS-CoV Recombinant Receptor-Binding Domain
(RBD219-N1), a SARS Vaccine Candidate, J Pharm Sci 106(8) (2017) 1961-1970.
[14] S.K. Wong, W. Li, M.J. Moore, H. Choe, M. Farzan, A 193-amino acid fragment of the SARS
coronavirus S protein efficiently binds angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, J Biol Chem 279(5) (2004) 3197-
201.
[15] S. Jiang, M.E. Bottazzi, L. Du, S. Lustigman, C.-T.K. Tseng, E. Curti, K. Jones, B. Zhan, P.J. Hotez,
Roadmap to developing a recombinant coronavirus S protein receptor-binding domain vaccine for
severe acute respiratory syndrome, Expert Review of Vaccines 11(12) (2012) 1405-1413.
[16] L. Du, G. Zhao, C.C. Chan, S. Sun, M. Chen, Z. Liu, H. Guo, Y. He, Y. Zhou, B.J. Zheng, S. Jiang,
Recombinant receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV spike protein expressed in mammalian, insect and E.
coli cells elicits potent neutralizing antibody and protective immunity, Virology 393(1) (2009) 144-50.
[17] L. Du, G. Zhao, C.C. Chan, L. Li, Y. He, Y. Zhou, B.J. Zheng, S. Jiang, A 219-mer CHO-expressing
receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV S protein induces potent immune responses and protective
immunity, Viral Immunol 23(2) (2010) 211-9.
[18] L. Du, G. Zhao, L. Li, Y. He, Y. Zhou, B.J. Zheng, S. Jiang, Antigenicity and immunogenicity of SARS-
CoV S protein receptor-binding domain stably expressed in CHO cells, Biochem Biophys Res Commun
384(4) (2009) 486-90.
[19] L. Du, G. Zhao, Y. He, Y. Guo, B.J. Zheng, S. Jiang, Y. Zhou, Receptor-binding domain of SARS-CoV
spike protein induces long-term protective immunity in an animal model, Vaccine 25 (2007).
[20] J. Yang, W. Wang, Z. Chen, S. Lu, F. Yang, Z. Bi, L. Bao, F. Mo, X. Li, Y. Huang, W. Hong, Y. Yang, Y.
Zhao, F. Ye, S. Lin, W. Deng, H. Chen, H. Lei, Z. Zhang, M. Luo, H. Gao, Y. Zheng, Y. Gong, X. Jiang, Y. Xu, Q.
Lv, D. Li, M. Wang, F. Li, S. Wang, G. Wang, P. Yu, Y. Qu, L. Yang, H. Deng, A. Tong, J. Li, Z. Wang, J. Yang,
G. Shen, Z. Zhao, Y. Li, J. Luo, H. Liu, W. Yu, M. Yang, J. Xu, J. Wang, H. Li, H. Wang, D. Kuang, P. Lin, Z. Hu,
W. Guo, W. Cheng, Y. He, X. Song, C. Chen, Z. Xue, S. Yao, L. Chen, X. Ma, S. Chen, M. Gou, W. Huang, Y.
Wang, C. Fan, Z. Tian, M. Shi, F.S. Wang, L. Dai, M. Wu, G. Li, G. Wang, Y. Peng, Z. Qian, C. Huang, J.Y. Lau,

Z. Yang, Y. Wei, X. Cen, X. Peng, C. Qin, K. Zhang, G. Lu, X. Wei, A vaccine targeting the RBD of the S
protein of SARS-CoV-2 induces protective immunity, Nature (2020).
[21] W.H. Chen, P.J. Hotez, M.E. Bottazzi, Potential for developing a SARS-CoV receptor-binding domain
(RBD) recombinant protein as a heterologous human vaccine against coronavirus infectious disease
(COVID)-19, Hum Vaccin Immunother 16(6) (2020) 1239-1242.
[22] M. Hoffmann, H. Kleine-Weber, N. Krueger, M.A. Mueller, C. Drosten, S. Poehlmann, The novel
coronavirus 2019 (2019-nCoV) uses the SARS-coronavirus receptor ACE2 and the cellular protease
TMPRSS2 for entry into target cells, bioRxiv (2020).
[23] P. Zhou, X.L. Yang, X.G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H.R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C.L. Huang, H.D. Chen,
J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R.D. Jiang, M.Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X.R. Shen, X. Wang, X.S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q.J. Chen, F.
Deng, L.L. Liu, B. Yan, F.X. Zhan, Y.Y. Wang, G.F. Xiao, Z.L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a
new coronavirus of probable bat origin, Nature 579(7798) (2020) 270-273.
[24] J. Pollet, W.-H. Chen, L. Versteeg, B. Keegan, B. Zhan, J. Wei, Z. Liu, J. Lee, R. Kundu, R. Adhikari, C.
Poveda, M.J. Villar Mondragon, A.C. de Araujo Leao, J. Altieri Rivera, P.M. Gillespie, U. Strych, P.J. Hotez,
M.E. Bottazzi, SARS⬚CoV-2 RBD219-N1C1: A Yeast-Expressed SARS-CoV-2 Recombinant Receptor-
Binding Domain Candidate Vaccine Stimulates Virus Neutralizing Antibodies and T-cell Immunity in Mice,
bioRxiv (2020) 2020.11.04.367359.
[25] A. Saluja, R.M. Fesinmeyer, S. Hogan, D.N. Brems, Y.R. Gokarn, Diffusion and sedimentation
interaction parameters for measuring the second virial coefficient and their utility as predictors of
protein aggregation, Biophys J 99(8) (2010) 2657-65.
[26] D.L. Sackett, J. Wolff, Nile red as a polarity-sensitive fluorescent probe of hydrophobic protein
surfaces, Anal Biochem 167(2) (1987) 228-34.
[27] A. Kato, S. Nakai, Hydrophobicity determined by a fluorescence probe method and its correlation
with surface properties of proteins, Biochim Biophys Acta 624(1) (1980) 13-20.
[28] P.J. Hotez, M.E. Bottazzi, S.K. Singh, P.J. Brindley, S. Kamhawi, Will COVID-19 become the next
neglected tropical disease?, PLoS neglected tropical diseases 14(4) (2020) e0008271.
[29] CHICTR, Randomized double blind, placebo controlled phase I trial for anti novel coronavirus
pneumonia (COVID-19) recombinant vaccine (Sf9), 2020.
http://www.chictr.org.cn/showprojen.aspx?proj=60581. (Accessed 10/15/2020.
[30] Clinicaltrials_gov, Clinical Study of Recombinant Novel Coronavirus Vaccine, 2020.
https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04466085. (Accessed 10/9/2020.
[31] Clinicaltrials_gov, A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Immunogenicity of COVID-19 (AdimrSC-2f)
Vaccine, 2020. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04522089. (Accessed 10/9/2020.
[32] C. Keech, G. Albert, I. Cho, A. Robertson, P. Reed, S. Neal, J.S. Plested, M. Zhu, S. Cloney-Clark, H.
Zhou, G. Smith, N. Patel, M.B. Frieman, R.E. Haupt, J. Logue, M. McGrath, S. Weston, P.A. Piedra, C.
Desai, K. Callahan, M. Lewis, P. Price-Abbott, N. Formica, V. Shinde, L. Fries, J.D. Lickliter, P. Griffin, B.
Wilkinson, G.M. Glenn, Phase 1-2 Trial of a SARS-CoV-2 Recombinant Spike Protein Nanoparticle Vaccine,
N Engl J Med (2020).
[33] L. Liu, P. Wang, M.S. Nair, J. Yu, M. Rapp, Q. Wang, Y. Luo, J.F. Chan, V. Sahi, A. Figueroa, X.V. Guo, G.
Cerutti, J. Bimela, J. Gorman, T. Zhou, Z. Chen, K.Y. Yuen, P.D. Kwong, J.G. Sodroski, M.T. Yin, Z. Sheng, Y.
Huang, L. Shapiro, D.D. Ho, Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike,
Nature 584(7821) (2020) 450-456.
[34] W.H. Chen, M.P. Nyon, M.V. Poongavanam, Z. Liu, A.B. Biter, R.T. Kundu, U. Strych, P.J. Hotez, M.E.
Bottazzi, Process Characterization and Biophysical Analysis for a Yeast-Expressed Phlebotomus papatasi
Salivary Protein (PpSP15) as a Leishmania Vaccine Candidate, J Pharm Sci (2020).
[35] E. Curti, C.A. Seid, E. Hudspeth, L. Center, W. Rezende, J. Pollet, C. Kwityn, M. Hammond, R.K.
Matsunami, D.A. Engler, P.J. Hotez, M. Elena Bottazzi, Optimization and revision of the production

process of the Necator americanus glutathione S-transferase 1 (Na-GST-1), the lead hookworm vaccine
recombinant protein candidate, Hum Vaccin Immunother 10(7) (2014) 1914-25.
[36] Y. Wan, J. Shang, R. Graham, R.S. Baric, F. Li, Receptor Recognition by the Novel Coronavirus from
Wuhan: an Analysis Based on Decade-Long Structural Studies of SARS Coronavirus, J Virol 94(7) (2020).
497

498   **Table**

500   **Table 1.** Assessment of the fermentation supernatants for clones RBD219-219, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-
501   N1C1. *Fermentation yield was measured by probing the RBD with the same specific antibody followed
502   by densitometry (Supplementary Figure S1). FS: fermentation supernatant; WB: western blot.

Molecule	Fermentation yield* (mg RBD/L of FS)	Level of Impurity on SDS-PAGE	Hyperglycosylation on WB	Dimer formation on WB
RBD219-WT	142 ± 8	High	Yes	Yes
RBD219-N1	50 ± 13	Mid	No	Yes
RBD219-N1C1	280 ± 70	Low	No	Yes

507   **Table 2.** Aggregation assessment by diffusion interaction parameter (K_D) using DLS and hydrophobicity
508   assessment by extrinsic fluorescence.

Protein	Dynamic light scattering	Extrinsic fluorescence	
	Diffusion interaction parameter K_D (mL/g)	Peak wavelength λ_{max} (nm)	Surface hydrophobicity S_0 (RFU*L/mg)
RBD219-WT	-14.9	658	3.1
RBD219-N1+His	-29.7	642	9.8
RBD219-N1C1	-16.3	658	2.8
BSA	N/A	634	53.4
Lysozyme	N/A	662	1.1

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Sequence comparison among RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1. The deleted
asparagine in the first glycosylation site is highlighted in red while the mutated cysteine (to alanine) is
highlighted in green. The receptor-binding motif is highlighted in blue [21, 36].

Figure 2. Coomassie Blue stained SDS-PAGE and western blot probed with anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike rabbit antibody.
(A) SDS-PAGE gel of 10 µL fermentation supernatant for tagged-free RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1, and RBD219-N1C1;
(B) Coomassie Blue stained SDS-PAGE gel of 3 µg purified RBDs or western blot of 1.5 µg of the purified RBDs under
non-reduced and reduced conditions. (C) SDS-PAGE of 3 µg PNGase-F treated purified RBDs; please note that the
37 kDa band observed on the PNGase-F treated gel is the N-glycosidase PNGase-F enzyme.

Figure 3. Dynamic light scattering results for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1. (A)
Measured Stokes radii and molecular weights. (B) Diffusion coefficient vs. concentration plot to evaluate
the diffusion interaction parameter (C) Stability study to monitor the changes of the molecular weight.

Figure 4. Extrinsic fluorescence results for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1. (A)
Excitation wavelength scan to obtain the peak emission wavelength λmax; (B) Fluorescence intensity vs
concentration plot to evaluate surface hydrophobicity.

Figure 5. Circular dichroism data for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1. (A) Circular
dichroism spectra; Thermal map of circular dichroism spectra for (B) RBD219-WT, (C) RBD219-N1+His,
and (D) RBD219-N1C1. Melting profile of (E) RBD219-WT, (F) RBD219-N1+His, and (G) RBD219-N1C1.

Figure 6. Thermal shift assay for RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1. (A) the fluorescence-
temperature plot and (B) the derivative fluorescence-temperature plot.

Figure 7. ACE-2 binding study of RBD219-WT, RBD219-N1+His, and RBD219-N1C1.

Figure 1

RBD219-WT	NITNLCPFGEVFNATRFASVYAWNRKRISNCVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDL	60
RBD219-N1	-ITNLCPFGEVFNATRFASVYAWNRKRISNCVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDL	59
RBD219-N1C1	-ITNLCPFGEVFNATRFASVYAWNRKRISNCVADYSVLYNSASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLNDL	59

****

RBD219-WT	CFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIADYNYKLPDDFTGCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYN	120
RBD219-N1	CFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIADYNYKLPDDFTGCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYN	119
RBD219-N1C1	CFTNVYADSFVIRGDEVRQIAPGQTGKIADYNYKLPDDFTGCVIAWNSNNLDSKVGGNYN	119

****

RBD219-WT	YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDISTEIYQAGSTPCNGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRV	180
RBD219-N1	YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDISTEIYQAGSTPCNGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRV	179
RBD219-N1C1	YLYRLFRKSNLKPFERDISTEIYQAGSTPCNGVEGFNCYFPLQSYGFQPTNGVGYQPYRV	179

****

RBD219-WT	VVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVNFNFNGLTGT	219
RBD219-N1	VVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKCVNFNFNGLTGT	218
RBD219-N1C1	VVLSFELLHAPATVCGPKKSTNLVKNKAVNFNFNGLTGT	218

*************************** .***********

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7