Title

Top-down acetylcholine contributes to social discrimination via enabling action potentials in

olfactory bulb vasopressin cells

Authors

Hajime Suyama, Veronica Egger, Michael Lukas

Institute of Zoology, Neurophysiology, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg,

Germany

Corresponding author

Michael Lukas

Institute of Zoology, Neurophysiology, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg,

Germany

e-mail: michael.lukas@ur.de

Abstract

Social discrimination in rats requires activation of the intrinsic bulbar vasopressin system, but

it is unclear how this system comes into operation, as olfactory nerve stimulation primarily

inhibits bulbar vasopressin cells (VPCs). Here we show that stimulation with a conspecific can

activate bulbar VPCs, indicating that VPC activation depends on more than olfactory cues

during social interaction. A series of *in-vitro* electrophysiology, pharmacology and

immunohistochemistry experiments implies that acetylcholine probably originating from

centrifugal projections can enable olfactory nerve-evoked action potentials in VPCs. Finally,

cholinergic activation of the vasopressin system contributes to vasopressin-dependent social

discrimination, since recognition of a known rat was blocked by bulbar infusion of the

muscarinic acetylcholine receptor antagonist atropine and rescued by additional bulbar

application of vasopressin. Thus, we demonstrated that top-down cholinergic modulation of

bulbar VPC activity is involved in social discrimination in rats.

Introduction

Many mammals use olfactory cues as a fundamental communication tool, for the recognition and discrimination of individual conspecifics. A prominent example for a behavioral reaction that depends on olfaction-based discrimination of individuals is that ewes recognize the body odor of their own offspring and as a result, deny strange lambs to suckle [1]. Moreover, in prairie voles, the olfaction-based recognition of their mating partners enables them to establish monogamous pairbonds [2]. Rats and mice, the most common mammalian laboratory animals, also discriminate individual conspecifics via their odor signatures. This discrimination can then lead to various essential behavioral reactions [3]. To quantify the ability of rats to recognize individuals, so-called social discrimination tests are used [4]. Briefly, rats are exposed to a conspecific (sampling phase). After a short time of separation, rats are exposed to both, the same and a novel conspecific (discrimination phase). If the rats recognize the known conspecific, they investigate it less compared to the novel conspecific [4].

The peptidergic neuromodulator vasopressin (VP), an important mediator of various social behaviors in the mammalian brain [5], is a major player in facilitating social discrimination. For example, microinjection of VP into the OB enhances social discrimination [6]. Further, Tobin et al. [7] demonstrated the existence of an intrinsic bulbar VP system, consisting of VP-expressing cells (VPCs), and an impairment of social discrimination by the blockade of bulbar V1a receptors.

Recently, we classified these bulbar VPCs as non-bursting superficial tufted cells, featuring an apical dendritic tuft within a glomerulus, lateral dendrites along the top part of the EPL and extended axonal ramifications, mostly within the entire EPL[8]. The dense apical tuft implies that VPCs receive excitatory inputs from the olfactory nerve (ON) just like other bulbar cells with glomerular tufts such as mitral cells (MCs) [9], e.g. during sampling of a conspecific's body odors. Intriguingly, we found that electric ON stimulation elicits primarily inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) as recorded at the soma. This dominant GABAergic input masks a delayed barrage of excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs). Therefore, if ON activation inhibits

VPCs and thus cannot trigger VP release, it is unclear how intrinsic VP neurotransmission is

at all possible during social discrimination. We hypothesize that to fully excite VPCs and allow

VP release, non-ON inputs are required that either inhibit the GABAergic origin of the ON-

evoked inhibition and/or deliver enough direct excitation to VPCs to outweigh the inhibition.

During social interaction, rats sample not only olfactory cues including urinary cues, but also

other sensory cues, like vocalizations or touch [10]. Also, the sheer presence of a novel stimulus

in their known environment may contribute to changes in their physiological and behavioral

state. Thus, we suggest that states of arousal, attention, and/or the perception of non-olfactory

cues during social interaction may be required for olfactory activation of bulbar VPCs. For the

integration of such additional inputs into bulbar neurotransmission, top-down modulation is the

most likely option. The most prominent modulatory centrifugal inputs to the OB are

noradrenergic, serotonergic, and cholinergic fibers [11, 12, 13]. Those neuromodulatory systems

were shown to be involved in changes of internal states [14, 15, 16], but more interestingly, they

are also facilitators of social discrimination behavior, acting either directly in the OB or in other

brain regions [17, 18, 19]. Thus, we propose them as candidate activators of the bulbar VP system.

This notion leads to the following questions: (1) Are bulbar VPCs indeed activated during social

interactions (although they are predominantly inhibited by activated olfactory afferents)? (2)

Can any of the candidate centrifugal neuromodulators increase excitation of bulbar VPCs? (3)

If so, is centrifugal modulation of bulbar VPCs involved in VP-dependent social discrimination?

We approach these questions by investigating neuronal activity and synaptic mechanisms *in-*

vitro as well as applying behavioral pharmacology *in-vivo*.

Results

Social interaction activates bulbar VPCs

As we recently demonstrated *in-vitro* [8] that ON stimulation alone primarily inhibits VPCs, we infer that bottom-up olfactory nerve input is unlikely to excite VPCs. On the other hand, during social discrimination VPCs should be strongly excited as VP neurotransmission was shown to be essential for this behavior [7]. To test this hypothesis, male juvenile VP-eGFP rats [20] were exposed to either, water (control) or one of two stimuli that are commonly used in social discrimination paradigms, i.e., rat urine or a novel juvenile rat [4]. We then compared the neuronal activity of bulbar VPCs between these different types of stimulation as reflected in phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (pERK) induction in eGFP-labeled VPCs (Fig. 1a). The average section size of the main OB (MOB, water 4.48 ± 0.4 mm^2, urine 4.58 ± 0.3 mm^2, rat 4.85 ± 0.3 mm^2) and average number of eGFP$^+$ VPCs per section (water 81 ± 5 VPCs, urine 79 ± 5 VPCs, rat 85 ± 3 VPCs) were not different across animal groups (section size; p=0.723, ANOVA. eGFP$^+$ VPCs, Supplemental Fig. 1a; p=0.670, ANOVA, water n=10 rats, urine n=9, rat n=10)$_a$. While there is a substantial background of pERK$^+$ VPCs *in-vivo* (see discussion), indeed, the exposure to a rat resulted in significantly higher fractions of pERK$^+$ VPCs than the exposure to water. However, rat exposure did not differ significantly from urine exposure (Fig. 1c+d; p=0.038, ANOVA, rat vs. water p=0.011, rat vs. urine p=0.197, water vs. urine p=0.195)$_b$. These findings indicate (1) that bulbar VPCs are indeed excitable *in-vivo* and (2) that during social interaction additional yet unknown factors enable increased activation of VPCs.

The number of pERK$^+$ MCs was increased following the exposure to a rat compared to water or urine (Fig. 1b+e; p=0.042, ANOVA, rat vs. water p=0.025, rat vs. urine p=0.034, water vs. urine p=0.949)$_c$.

In addition, we analyzed activation of VPCs and mitral/tufted cells (M/TCs) in the accessory olfactory bulb (AOB), as the MOB and the AOB are both related to social discrimination in rats [21]. However, pERK$^+$ VPCs were rarely observed (Supplemental Fig. 1d; water 2.1 ± 0.7 % (0.4

± 0.1 VPCs), urine 2.4 ± 0.8 % (0.5 ± 0.1 VPCs), rat 3.4 ± 0.8 % (1.0 ± 0.2 VPCs))$_d$ and the

fraction of pERK$^+$ VPCs was similar between the different groups (Supplemental Fig. 1d;

p=0.485, ANOVA, n=9 per group)$_e$. Thus, AOB VPCs are unlikely to play an important role in

facilitating social discrimination compared to MOB VPCs. The number of activated AOB M/TCs

was not different between groups (Supplemental Fig. 1f; p=0.164, ANOVA)$_f$.

Taken together, an increased activation of VPCs was found following social interaction, and

MOB VPCs showed more prominent activation than AOB VPCs. Thus, social interaction is

likely to involve additional inputs (beyond olfactory nerve input) that enable/unlock VPC

activation via modulation.

Fig. 1 **Social interaction activates bulbar VPCs**

(a+b) Representative average z-projections of the olfactory bulb that were immune-stained for eGFP (green, CF488) and pERK (magenta, CF 594). (a) VPCs. Arrows indicate cells that are double-labeled for eGFP and pERK. (b) pERK+ MCs. (c) Averaged fraction of number of pERK+ VPCs over number of number of VPCs in different stimulation groups (%). * p<0.05 rat vs. water. (d) Cumulative probability of averaged fraction of number of pERK+ VPCs over number of VPCs in different stimulation groups (%). (e) Averaged number of VPCs, of pERK+ VPCs or of pERK+ MCs per section in different stimulation groups. Data are presented as box-plots including median and distribution of single data points. ANOVA, LSD for single comparison, * p<0.05 vs. water and urine, n=10 (water), n=9 (urine), n=10 (rat). Scale bar, 50 μm.

Cholinergic modulation triggers excitatory responses and action potentials during ON stimulation of VPCs

The OB, especially the glomerular layer (GL) and the external plexiform layer (EPL) where VPCs are located, receives many centrifugal projections that release neuromodulators. These projections originate from various brain areas [11, 12, 13]. The receptors of these neuromodulators are expressed in the entire MOB [22, 23]. We hypothesized that such centrifugal neuromodulatory inputs to the MOB could mediate the increased VPC activity that we observed during social interaction. Thus we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from VPCs and stimulated the ON [8] while bath-applying neuromodulators (Fig. 2a).

Serotonin (5-HT,20 µM) decreased the amplitudes of ON-evoked IPSPs to 69.7 ± 10.1 % of control (Fig. 2b+c; p=0.012, n=8 from 8 rats)[g]. Noradrenaline (NA 20 µM) reduced IPSP amplitudes to 62.9 ± 9.5 % of control (Fig. 2d+e; p=0.011, n=9 from 8 rats)[h]. Thus, 5-HT and NA modulation can reduce ON-evoked inhibition of VPCs but are unlikely to enable activation of VPCs as observed during social interaction (Fig. 1).

In contrast, wash-in of ACh (100 µM) switched inhibitory to excitatory responses in the majority of VPCs: 65 % of VPCs fired action potentials (APs, 13 out of 20 cells from 19 rats), 10 % of VPCs responded with EPSPs to ON stimulation. The rest all showed decreased inhibition (Fig. 2f+g; p<0.001 for net response amplitude change towards positive/less negative values)[i]. Accordingly, the distribution of response amplitudes in the presence of ACh was significantly different from control (Fig. 2h; p<0.001)[j], as well as different from the 5-HT or NA condition (Fig. 2i; ACh vs. 5-HT p=0.003, ACh vs. NA p=0.002, 5-HT vs. NA p=1.0)[k]. These excitatory ACh effects were not due to an increased intrinsic excitability of VPCs since ACh did not alter VPC AP spike frequencies during prolonged somatic current injections (Supplemental Fig. 2a+b; Treatment p=0.417, Current intensity p<0.001, Treatment*Current intensity p=0.528, n=10 from 9 rats)[l]. Moreover, an increased latency of the first spike was observed for lower current injection levels, indicating rather reduced excitability during ACh treatment

(Supplemental Fig. 2a+c; Treatment p=0.080, Current intensity p<0.001, Treatment*Current

intensity p=0.015, ACh vs. ACSF (40 pA) p=0.029)$_m$.

Rat AOB M/TCs send axons to the dorsal MOB [24], and it was shown that pheromonal AOB

inputs are involved in social discrimination [21, 25]. To investigate whether AOB inputs can elicit

excitation in MOB VPCs, we electrically stimulated the AOB during whole-cell patch-clamp

recordings from MOB VPCs. Acute *in-vitro* slices for these experiments were prepared at a

cutting angle that should preserve the respective projections [24] (Supplemental Fig. 2d).

However, no VPC showed any responses (Supplemental Fig. 2e; n=21), suggesting that

modulation of MOB VPCs via AOB inputs is unlikely.

Taken together, we have identified ACh as a strong candidate neuromodulator that can unlock

VPC APs and thus enable axonal VP release in the MOB.

Fig. 2 **Cholinergic modulation triggers excitatory responses and action potentials during ON stimulation of VPCs**

(a) Schematic drawing of the experimental setup. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in 300 µm *in- vitro* slices of response from VPCs to electrical ON stimulation (50 µA, 100 µs, 30 s intervals) (b+d) Representative averaged traces of responses following ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (artificial cerebrospinal fluid, grey) and during bath application of 5-HT (20 µM) and NA (noradrenaline 20 µM, red). (c+e) Cumulative analysis of normalized IPSP amplitudes of evoked IPSPs to the ACSF control condition during bath application of 5-HT (n=8) and NA (n=9). Data are presented as means including distribution of single data points. Lines between data points represent related measurements. Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, *p<0.05. (f) Representative averaged traces of responses to ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (grey) and a single trace during bath application of ACh showing APs (acetylcholine, red). (g) (left) Pie-charts represent the proportion of VPCs showing either APs, EPSPs or IPSPs in the ACSF and ACh condition. (right) Cumulative analysis of amplitudes of PSPs or APs (set as 100 mV) in the ACSF and ACh condition. Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, *p<0.05. n=20. (h+i) Cumulative probability of evoked PSP amplitudes in the ACSF, 5-HT, NA or ACh condition (n=20/8/9/20). The amplitudes of APs were set as 100 mV. Kruskal-Wallis test for variation comparison, Bonferroni, *p<0.05 ACh vs. ACSF, 5-HT, NA.

ON, olfactory nerve. G, glomerulus. GL, glomerular layer. EPL, external plexiform layer. VPC, vasopressin cell.

ACh modulates both ON-evoked inhibition and excitation but does not increase Ca²⁺ influx into the apical dendrite

For further dissection of the cellular underpinnings of these ACh effects, we quantified the amplitude changes of evoked IPSPs in VPCs that did not turn into APs or EPSPs in ACh (5 out of 20 cells, Fig. 2g). In these 5 cells, ACh decreased the amplitudes of evoked IPSPs to 67.8 ± 11.3 % of control (Fig. 3a+b; p=0.043, n=5 from 5 rats)$_n$. This observation indicates that ACh, like 5-HT and NA, also reduces inhibition of VPCs. We also examined the effect of ACh on the isolated excitatory components of ON-driven inputs in VPCs. After the detection of evoked IPSPs in ACSF, bicuculline, a GABA-A receptor antagonist, was applied to unmask evoked EPSPs [8], followed by ACh administration. Half of VPCs occasionally showed evoked APs under ACh application (Fig. 3d; 3 out of 6 cells from 5 rats). We averaged all subthreshold traces and found that ACh application increased the amplitudes of evoked EPSPs to 204.4 ± 39.8 % from bicuculline alone (Fig. 3d; p=0.043, n=5 from 5 rats)$_o$. This increase in EPSP amplitudes indicates that ACh enhances ON-evoked excitation to activate VPCs, on top of reducing inhibition, possibly via acting on both excitatory and inhibitory glomerular neurons [26, 27]. In addition, we analyzed the onset of ON-evoked APs in VPCs triggered by ACh modulation from Fig. 2 (8.6 ± 0.7 ms; n=12 from 12 rats, corresponding to Fig. 2g) and compared them to the onset of evoked EPSPs in bicuculline alone, which was significantly slower (32.8 ± 12.1 ms; n=6 from 5 rats, corresponding to Fig. 3c; p=0.002)$_p$, further supporting the idea of additional excitation by ACh.

Since VPCs innervate glomeruli via apical dendritic tufts [8] which are known to receive sensory excitation in bulbar principal neurons, the mitral and tufted cells [9], ACh-mediated excitation might act on processing within VPC tufts. To investigate subcellular processing in VPCs, we simultaneously performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of PSPs from the soma and two-photon Ca²⁺ imaging in the tufts and near the soma during ON stimulation (100 µM OGB-1, Fig. 3e+f). In the control condition, a single ON stimulation was able to elicit Ca²⁺ influx in the tufts but not in the proximal apical dendrite near the soma of VPCs (Fig. 3f+g; tuft vs. soma

p=0.002, n=8 from 8 rats)$_q$. However, upon ACh wash-in, although APs and EPSPs were

recorded at the soma, ACh did not further increase Ca^{2+} influx neither in the tufts nor the soma

(treatment p=0.203)$_q$. This observation implies that Ca^{2+} influx at the soma is not responsible

for the unlocking of somatic APs. Since 50 Hz-AP trains can elicit Ca^{2+} signals also close to

the soma in the proximal apical dendrites, the lack of such signals in response to single APs

in the presence of ACh is not a technical artefact but probably due to a very low density of

voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels in these compartments, as known from hypothalamic VPCs [28]

(Supplemental Fig. 3b; ON/soma vs. 50Hz/soma p=0.014; n=8 from 8 rats)$_r$. Moreover, in the

imaging experiments (with 100 µM OGB-1 in the internal solution) there was no difference in

the effect of ACh on the increase of evoked PSPs compared to the previous

electrophysiological experiments (Supplemental Fig. 3c, p=0.456; n=9 vs. 11)$_s$ and therefore

this effect is independent of the potential buffering of postsynaptic Ca^{2+} by the Ca^{2+} indicator.

In conclusion, Ca^{2+} influx into the tuft or proximal apical dendrite appears to be neither required

for, nor modified by the ACh-mediated modulatory effects on ON-evoked postsynaptic PSPs.

Fig. 3 **ACh modulates both ON-evoked inhibition and excitation via muscarinic receptors but does not increase intracellular Ca²⁺ influx**

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in 300 μm *in vitro* slices of response from VPCs to electrical ON stimulation (50 μA, 100 μs, 30 s intervals). (a) Representative averaged traces of responses following ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (grey) and during bath application of ACh (acetylcholine, red) showing reduced IPSPs. (b) Cumulative analysis of normalized evoked IPSP amplitudes to the ACSF condition during bath application of ACh (n=5). (c) Representative averaged traces of responses following ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (grey) and during bath application of bicuculline (50 μM, black) and additional application of ACh (100 μM, red). (d) (left) Pie-chart representing the proportion of cells showing either APs or EPSPs in the ACh condition (n=6). (right) Cumulative analysis of normalized evoked EPSP amplitudes to the bicuculline condition during additional application of ACh (n=5). (b+d) Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, *p<0.05 vs. ACSF/bicuculline. (e) Representative picture of the VPC filled with OGB-1. Arrows indicate the tuft and the soma. Scale bar, 50 μm. (f) (top) Representative averaged traces of Ca²⁺ influx in the tuft and the soma. (bottom) Representative averaged traces of responses following ON stimulation (ACSF, black; ACh, red). (g) ΔF/F₀ in % from baseline. Data are presented as box-plots including median and distribution of single data points. (2) × (2) mixed model ANOVA (location [within subject] × treatment [within-subject]), *p<0.05 vs. soma whithin the condition. n=8.

Muscarinic signaling is the major player in reducing inhibition of bulbar VPCs

To narrow down mechanisms of cholinergic excitation of VPCs, we next focused on ACh receptor subtypes. The selective agonists (nicotine, 100 μM; muscarine, 1 μM) or antagonists (mecamylamine, a nicotinic receptor antagonist, 20 μM; atropine, a muscarinic receptor antagonist, 10 μM) were bath-applied to examine changes of ON-evoked PSPs in VPCs. Unlike ACh application, nicotine application was not able to turn evoked IPSPs into excitation in any of the tested VPCs (Fig. 4b; n=8 from 5 rats). Moreover, the average amplitude of evoked IPSPs in nicotine was similar to control, even though variability across experiments was high (Fig. 4a+b; $p=0.327$)$_t$. Similar results were observed with ACh application following blockade of muscarinic signaling with atropine. Neither application of atropine alone nor the atropine-ACh condition showed any evoked excitation and/or reduction of evoked-IPSP amplitudes (Fig. 4c+d; $p=0.156$, Friedman test, n=7 from 4 rats)$_u$. Thus, nicotinic signaling is most likely not sufficient to turn evoked inhibition to excitation in VPCs.

Conversely, muscarine administration enabled excitation in 25 % of VPCs (Fig. 4f; APs and EPSPs in 1 cell each, n=8 from 5 rats). Furthermore, muscarine application significantly reduced the amplitudes of ON-evoked IPSPs in the remaining 75% of cells (Fig 4f; $p=0.028$, n=6 from 4 rats)$_v$. Intriguingly, application of mecamylamine followed by ACh, which isolates muscarinic signaling, also resulted in ON-evoked excitation in a similar amount of VPCs as during muscarine application (Fig. 4g+h; APs 18 % and EPSPs 9 % of cells, n=11 from 9 rats). In addition, ACh following mecamylamine reduced evoked-IPSP amplitudes compared to control or mecamylamine alone (Fig. 4h; $p=0.034$, Friedman test, mecamylamine-ACh vs. ACSF $p=0.024$, mecamylamine-ACh vs. mecamylamine $p=0.024$, 73 % of cells, n=8 from 7 rats)$_w$ similar to muscarine application. Thus, these results indicate that the muscarinic pathway is the major player in reducing inhibition of ON-stimulated VPCs, even though we cannot entirely exclude the involvement of nicotinic signaling.

Fig. 4 **The muscarinic pathway is responsible for reduction of ON-evoked inhibition**

(a+e) Representative averaged traces of responses following ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (grey) and during bath
application of nicotine/muscarine (100 µM/1 µM, red). (b+f) (left) Pie-chart representing the proportion of cells showing either APs,
EPSPs or IPSPs in the nicotine/muscarine condition (n=8/8). (right) Cumulative analysis of normalized evoked IPSP amplitudes
to the ASCF condition (n=8/6). Related-Samples Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test, *p<0.05 vs. ACSF. (c+g) Representative averaged
traces of responses following ON stimulation in the ACSF condition (grey), during bath application of mecamylamine/atropine (20
µM/10 µM, black) and additional application of ACh (100 µM, red). (d+h) (left) Pie-chart representing the proportion of cells showing
either APs, EPSPs or IPSPs in the antagonist-ACh condition (n=7/11). (right) Cumulative analysis of normalized evoked IPSP
amplitudes to the ASCF condition during antagonist administration and additional bath application of ACh (n=7/8). Friedman test,
Dunn for single comparisons, *p<0.05 vs. Meca (mecamylamine) or vs. ACSF. N.S., not significant.

Cholinergic cells in the HDB are activated during social interaction

Our results reveal that ACh can flip ON-evoked inhibition of bulbar VPCs into excitation *in-vitro*. If this activating drive of ACh is indeed involved in triggering VPC excitation in behaving rats, we hypothesized that ACh should be released into the OB during social interaction. Thus, ACh neurons that are known to project to the OB from the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca (HDB) [29, 30] should get activated by social interaction. Activity of the cholinergic system is correlated with changes in sensations or internal states such as arousal or attention [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36]. Moreover, it was shown that stimulation of HDB ACh projections into the MOB can sharpen the odor responses of M/TCs [37, 38]. Since we had obtained whole brains from a subset of the exposure experiments (water, rat urine, conspecific), we next investigated the activity of ACh neurons in the HDB. To visualize activated HDB neurons and specifically activated ACh neurons, we stained the HDB against pERK and choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) (Fig. 5a). The total number of pERK$^+$ HDB cells was not significantly different between all groups (Fig. 5b; p=0.104, ANOVA, water n=5, urine n=5, rat n=6)$_x$. However, the number of pERK$^+$ ACh neurons in the rat exposure group was significantly higher than that in the urine exposure group, even though the pERK$^+$ ACh neuron number in the rat exposure group was not higher than that in the water exposure group (Fig. 5c; p=0.034, ANOVA, rat vs. urine p=0.011, rat vs. water p=0.113, water vs. urine p=0.255)$_y$. The results indicate that HDB ACh neurons are activated more easily by social interactions than by investigating urine and thus more likely to excite VPCs specifically during social interactions.

Fig. 5 **Cholinergic cells in the HDB are activated during social interaction**

(a) Representative average z-projections of the HDB (horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca) that were immune-stained

for ChAT (choline-acetyltransferase, green, CF488) and pERK (magenta, CF 594). Arrows indicate cells that are double-labeled

for pERK and ChAT. Insets show enlarged pictures of the cell indicated by blank arrows. Scale bar, 50 μm. (b+c) Averaged

number of pERK+ cells or pERK+ cholinergic cells per section in different experimental groups. Data are presented as box-plots

including median and distribution of single data points. ANOVA, LSD for single comparisons, *p<0.05 Rat vs. Urine, n=5 (water),

n=5 (urine), n=6 (rat).

Atropine-induced impairment of social discrimination is rescued by VP microinjection into the OB in male rats

Our data so far demonstrated a disinhibitory effect of bulbar ACh on ON-evoked VPC activity mainly via muscarinic receptors as well as the activation of both systems, intrinsic bulbar VP and HDB ACh, during social interaction. These observations are in line with behavioral studies that demonstrate that pharmacological blockade of bulbar V1a receptor or systemic ACh activity diminishes social discrimination abilities in rats [7, 39]. To examine if the cellular mechanisms we observed in the OB indeed play a role for social discrimination in behaving rats, we tested (1) if the blockade of muscarinic ACh signaling in the OB impairs social discrimination and (2) if a later additional VP injection, mimicking potential ACh-facilitated VP release, can rescue a possibly impaired behavior. Thus, we performed microinjections of atropine (1 µg/ 1 µL per hemisphere) or vehicle (ACSF, 1 µL per hemisphere) followed by either VP (1 ng/ 1 µL per hemisphere) or vehicle before the sampling phase of a social discrimination test (Fig. 6a). In this test, the ability to discriminate two individuals is measured in terms of how long rats investigate a novel versus a known stimulus rat [4].

Control rats that received only vehicle investigated the novel stimulus rat significantly more than the known rat, indicating intact social discrimination (Fig. 6d; $p=0.033$, $n=13)_z$. In contrast, atropine-injected rats showed a similar duration of investigation towards both, novel and known stimulus rats (Fig. 6e; $p=0.240$, $n=14)_{a1}$. Thus, the blockade of muscarinic signaling in the OB indeed impaired social discrimination. Atropine-VP injected rats, however, significantly preferred investigating the novel stimulus rat over the known one (Fig. 6f; $p=0.031$, $n=14)_{b1}$. Hence, impairment of social discrimination by atropine is most likely caused by a disruption of the activation of the bulbar VP system, and in turn a reduced bulbar VP release during social interaction. Therefore, their ability to discriminate a known from a novel stimulus was rescued by additional VP injection.

349   This final experiment thereby supports our hypothesis based on our findings *in-vitro* that the

350   activity of the bulbar VP system (that is essential for social discrimination) is triggered by

351   centrifugal ACh inputs during social interaction.

Fig. 6 **VP microinjection into the OB rescues the atropine-induced impairment of social discrimination in male rats**

(a) Schematic time course of the experimental design. (b) Rat (5 weeks) without markings representing anogenital sniffing toward a stimulus rat (3 weeks) with red markings. (c) (left) Representative picture of the OB with dye injection via injection system, counterstained with Cresyl violet. (right) Schematic picture of the olfactory bulb. The cross indicates the approximate injection position in the left picture. ONL, olfactory nerve layer; GL, glomerular layer; EPL, external plexiform layer; GCL, granule cell layer. (d-f) Amount of time (s) that rats investigate stimulus rats in the sampling phase and in the discrimination phase (known or novel rat) following microinjection (1 μL per bulb) with only vehicle (d), atropine (1 μg/ 1 μL) and vehicle (e) or atropine and VP (1 ng/ 1 μL, f). Data are presented as box-plots including median and distribution of single data points. Paired Samples t-Test between known and novel, *p<0.05, n=13 (Veh/Veh), n=14 (Atr/Veh), n=14 (Atr/VP).

Discussion

Is bulbar VPC activity increased during social interaction?

Social discrimination in rats depends on the presence of endogenous VP in the OB [7], which implies that social interaction can trigger VP release, via the activation of bulbar VPCs. A substantial background activity of VPCs (pERK) was found throughout all stimulation groups *in-vivo*, even though VPCs are inhibited by olfactory nerve input *in-vitro*. This background activity might be related to the circumstance that it is not realistic to deprive our experimental rats from all environmental sensory inputs, e.g., rat odors from other cages [40]. Nevertheless, we observed increased levels of bulbar VPC activation during social interaction (Fig. 1c+d). Thus, our results suggest that during social interaction VPC activity and hence the probability of bulbar VP release, are substantially increased. This finding is apparently at variance with others from Wacker et al. [41], who reported that social interaction cannot trigger the expression of the immediate early genes c-Fos or Egr-1 in bulbar VPCs. However, as discussed by them, a missing expression signal of those genes might be explained by their general absence of expression in bulbar VPCs.

Since we know that VPCs are primarily inhibited by ON inputs *in-vitro* [8], we hypothesized that additional inputs from outside of the OB are responsible for the activation of VPCs during social interaction.

Can Pheromones modulate bulbar VPCs during social odor perception?

Pheromones from conspecifics are detected by rodents during social interactions and were shown to directly influence social behaviors, such as sexual behavior or aggression between conspecifics [42, 43]. Thus, pheromones would be an obvious way to provide social specificity via social interactions. Indeed, M/TCs in the AOB, the first pheromonal relay station in the brain, are known to innervate the dorsal MOB and therewith could also excite bulbar VPCs [24]. In our hands, electric stimulation of the AOB failed to elicit any excitatory responses in MOB VPCs in

in-vitro sagittal slice experiments (Supplemental Fig. 2d+e). Moreover, there was no significantly enhanced MOB VPC activation by urine, containing pheromones, compared to control. However, we cannot entirely rule out this possibility because of the reduced connectivity in-vitro and the larger/different set of pheromonal cues accessible during investigation of a conspecific versus just urine. Moreover, although social interaction increases c-Fos expression in the AOB in general [25], we did not find any differences in the number of pERK+ AOB M/TCs between the rat, urine, and water exposure group (Supplemental Fig. 1c+f). Also, we rarely observed pERK+ VPCs in the AOB itself, neither following urine exposure nor social interaction (only 1 or even less cells on average per slice). In summary, pheromonal AOB signaling is rather unlikely to underlie VP-dependent individual social discrimination in the MOB.

Also, while removal of the vomeronasal organ initially prevents social recognition of same sex individuals, it is reinstated after 14 days, indicating that AOB functioning is not required for social recognition per se [21]. Nevertheless, the AOB is crucial for individual discrimination in the context of reproduction. For example, the pheromonal memory of the stud male in female mice results in a pregnancy block induced by odors of male strangers. This consequence of social odor recognition, known as the Bruce effect, depends on pheromonal processing in the AOB [44]. Thus, the AOB may be essential for individual discrimination in certain situations, such as in a reproductive context, but not necessarily in social discrimination in general. Still, it is possible that modulatory top-down inputs to the MOB that are activated by AOB processing [45] is involved in the activation of MOB VPCs.

How does ACh modulate VPC activity?

Cholinergic neurons in the HDB are the only known source that provides centrifugal neuromodulatory inputs to the GL of the OB, where VPCs are predominantly located [8, 29, 30]. Following social interaction, the number of pERK+ cholinergic HDB neurons was significantly higher compared to rat urine exposure (Fig. 5c) indicating that cholinergic activation might be

involved in bulbar VPC activation in the rat exposure group (Fig. 1c). Accordingly, we observed that ON-evoked IPSPs are reversed into EPSPs/APs in 75 % of examined VPCs during ACh administration *in-vitro* (Fig. 2g). Moreover, we showed that ACh induces both, reduced inhibition, and stronger ON-driven excitation (Fig. 3a-d). Reduced GABAergic inhibition by ACh was already observed in hypothalamic VPCs, resulting in increased VP release *in-vitro* [46, 47]. Non ACh-specific optogenetic silencing of all vesicular release from HDB projections in the OB reduces glomerular responses to odor stimulation *in-vivo* [30]. In addition, optogenetic stimulation of specifically HDB cholinergic projections in the OB *in-vivo* increases odor responses in projection neurons [37, 38]. Both results indicate overall excitatory effects of HDB cholinergic modulation in the OB during odor stimulation. Cells in the GL were reported to have a particularly high sensitivity for ACh, and direct application of ACh resulted in both, increase and decrease of spontaneous spiking rates in individual cells there [48]. These bidirectional effects may be explained by the presence of two different ACh receptor subtypes, nicotinic and muscarinic receptors, and their distinct effects on the various cell types of the OB [49].

In our experiments, we demonstrated that muscarinic stimulation with either muscarine or mecamylamine-ACh application reversed evoked inhibition into excitation in some VPCs (Fig. 4e-h). At the level of the GL, it was shown that the muscarinic pathway enhances inhibitory inputs to GABAergic interneurons, i.e., periglomerular cells and short axon cells, hence possibly causing disinhibition of VPCs [26]. According to our data, mainly muscarinic but not nicotinic stimulation reduced ON-evoked IPSP amplitudes in VPCs (Fig. 4a-h). In line with our observation, *in-vivo* Ca^{2+} imaging revealed that muscarinic stimulation increases glomerular sensitivity to odor presentation [50].

All our pharmacological manipulations *in-vitro* were performed via bath application. Since nicotinic receptors are ionotropic and known to get desensitized [51], locally and temporally more precise administration, such as puff application or optical uncaging, may be informative to investigate nicotinic mechanisms on a single cell level. Indeed, although we observed no statistical differences in nicotine effects on evoked-IPSP amplitudes, increasing and decreasing effects of nicotine application could be found across individual VPCs (Fig. 4a+b).

In this context, D'Souza and Vijayaraghavan [52] described that nicotinic receptor signaling is

involved in gain control mechanisms of excitatory projection neurons in the OB, which might

explain the variable effects that we observed upon nicotine and atropine-ACh application.

Moreover, muscarine application did not entirely replicate ACh effects (Fig. 2g, Fig. 4f+h). Thus,

we cannot fully exclude nicotinic action in modulation of VPCs. Furthermore, the origin of the

ON-driven excitation that is responsible for triggering APs during ACh application still needs to

be identified. Possible candidates would be neurons providing somatic and lateral dendritic

inputs to VPCs since ON-evoked Ca^{2+} signals in the tuft were not increased in ACh (Fig. 3g).

Although here we focused on the cholinergic system because of the strength of cholinergic

effects, our *in-vitro* electrophysiology results indicate that increased VPC excitability could be

triggered by synergistic cholinergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic modulation; the possibility

of such cooperative action should be accounted for in future studies.

How is the ACh system activated in the context of social interaction?

If centrifugal cholinergic modulation from the HDB is indeed responsible for increasing VPC

activity, the question remains how ACh is released in the OB in a context-dependent manner

such as social interaction. Social perception is thought to be a multisensory process as diverse

inputs from the whole stimulus animal are sampled and integrated [4, 10, 53]. In support of this

idea, Matsuo et al. [3] showed that genetic ablation of dorsal MOB glomeruli impairs the expected

emission of ultrasonic vocalization by male mice towards female urine, whereas these mice

still emit ultrasonic vocalizations in the presence of a whole female mouse. This indicates that

other sensory stimuli than those provided by olfaction can trigger this social behavior.

Moreover, it was shown that rats, which were exposed to just volatile odors of stimulus rats

during the social discrimination paradigm cannot discriminate the different sources of these

odors [25]. According to our hypothesis, this impairment might be due to the absence of non-

olfactory cues and lack of arousal.

Intriguingly, the HDB or the ACh system in general is activated by various sensations, such as

olfactory, visual, and tactile stimuli [31, 32, 36]. Stimulation of the HDB cholinergic projections or

ACh application in turn can enhance as well as reduce neural activity in all primary sensory

cortices [54, 55, 56]. Also, especially if the sensation is associated with learning, ACh tends to be

released in the respective sensory cortex, for example during conditioning of auditory or tactile

cues with food as an unconditioned stimulus [36, 57]. Accordingly, our data demonstrates

substantial HDB ACh activity during social interaction. Surprisingly, we were not able to find a

significant difference between water stimulation and social interaction in ACh neurons of the

HDB. It is not possible to determine from our data what may be the reason for this high

activation in the water condition. However, water stimulation (with no or few olfactory cues)

was not enough to increase pERK activity in MOB VPCs to the same level as social interaction,

suggesting that both, ACh neuron activation in the HDB and olfactory inputs are needed for

VPC activation. In support, our *in-vitro* electrophysiology results demonstrate that ACh

modulation promotes especially excitation in VPCs following ON stimulation mimicking odor

stimulation. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the HDB cholinergic system could play a role

as a multisensory integration center during complex contexts like social interaction that

provides modulatory feedback to sensory processing, including but not limited to olfaction.

Intriguingly, similar multi-sensory integration is also discussed to take place in the insular

cortex which is responsible for coordinated behavioral output during social decision-making [58].

From a translational point of view, it is interesting to note that also human research on social

behavior deficits suggests a social-context network that integrates social cues (frontal lobe),

consolidates social-context associations (temporal lobe), and converges environmental and

internal signals (insular lobe) [59].

Moreover, ACh activity correlates with certain internal states in animals, e.g., arousal or

attention [33, 34, 35]. This internal state-dependent ACh release is triggered by the sudden

presence or novelty of stimuli [16, 60]. Rats discriminate individuals according to their social

novelty [4]. Thus, we suggest that both multi-sensation and increased arousal and attention while

assessing the novelty of rats in the context of social interaction can lead to increased HDB

ACh activity and thereby cholinergic neurotransmission in the OB.

Why is ACh-dependent VP neurotransmission involved in social discrimination?

Our pERK and electrophysiological experiments imply a central role for ACh in VP-dependent

social discrimination and accordingly social memory. The involvement of centrifugal ACh as a

facilitator of olfactory learning or habituation has been investigated for decades [61]. The

blockade of the muscarinic pathway in the OB impairs both aversive and appetitive learning [62,

[63]. Intriguingly, it was shown that the blockade of muscarinic neurotransmission in the OB by

scopolamine does not impair single-molecule odor recognition [64]. However, non-ACh specific

optogenetic silencing of overall release from HDB projections in the OB impairs social

habituation to female conspecific mice [30]. In addition, here we demonstrated that the injection

of the muscarinic antagonist atropine in the OB efficiently blocks the recognition of a known

rat   in   the   social   discrimination   task.   These   findings   indicate   that   social

discrimination/habituation paradigms and simple odor discrimination/habituation paradigms

differ from each other.

Regarding sensory complexity, social discrimination recruits multiple senses, whereas odor

discrimination   is   limited   to   mostly   mono-molecular   odorants.   As   mentioned   above,

multisensory stimulation is a known trigger for the activation of HDB ACh neurons. Atropine-

induced impairment of social discrimination was rescued by additional VP injection in the OB

(Fig. 6f). Therefore, we suggest that ACh facilitates social discrimination via enhancing bulbar

VP release. Since bulbar VP signaling is essential for multisensory social discrimination but

not for simple odor habituation [7], we suggest that the probability of bulbar VP release is higher

during social discrimination. This hypothesis is supported by a study with another social

behavior-related neuropeptide, oxytocin, in which Martinon et al. [65] demonstrated that oxytocin

release in the bed nucleus of stria terminalis is not increased with a foot shock alone, but when

the   foot   shock   is   paired   with   another   sensory   cue   (condition   stimulus).   Intriguingly,

multisensory integration was recently also implied in a context of social discrimination.

Accordingly, rats and mice cannot recognize their cage mates if they are immobile due to

anesthesia, and mice that are both whiskerless and deaf are not able to discriminate awake

novel and known conspecifics anymore [66].

We showed that social interaction as well as ACh activate bulbar VPCs, indicating that

cholinergic activation contributes to increased activation of the bulbar VP system during social

interaction. However, the pERK results also indicate that the entire neuronal population of the

bulb shows increased activation, not just VPC neurons, upon social exposure. Thus,

alternatively to a multisensory driven specific activation of bulbar VPCs, it is possible that a

general arousal mechanism leads to an increased excitation of the entire bulbar network,

including VPCs. Accordingly, this might also explain to a certain degree why urine, in contrast

to a rat stimulus, did not activate significantly more VPCs than water, since urine might cause

less general arousal than a hitherto unknown conspecific.

The absence of sensitivity to both, the muscarinic antagonist or a V1a receptor knock-down by

siRNA in simple odor discrimination and habituation [7, 64] implies that ACh-induced VP signaling

is only necessary to differentiate difficult odor mixtures. Interestingly, ACh was reported to play

a critical role in modulating olfactory perceptual learning tasks [61]. Perceptual learning is a

prerequisite for enhanced perceptual acuity during discrimination of previously experienced

stimuli. Like social discrimination, perceptual learning is specific for trained stimuli (e.g., a

conditioned odor, a previously sampled rat) and requires a certain attention/arousal of the

learning animal. This enhancement of olfactory acuity is mediated by muscarinic signaling [61].

Thus, ACh-dependent VP neurotransmission might be involved not only in social discrimination,

but also olfactory perceptual learning tasks in general, e.g., discrimination of closely related

odor mixtures. Indeed, different body odors should be difficult to discriminate due to their

complexity and similarity. Singer et al. [67] showed that volatile MHC components in mouse urine

contain similar compositions of compounds across strains suggesting that volatile MHCs are

not distinguishable by the presence or absence of some unique compounds. However, the

amplitudes of some prominent compound peaks in the gas chromatogram are different

between strains, indicating that mice can recognize the differences of relative concentrations

of certain compounds in body odor mixtures. Rats perceive not only volatile urinary odors but

also volatile odors from other sources and even differentiate individuals from the same strain

during the social discrimination task. Thus, it is likely that the olfactory cues that they can

discriminate are even more complex. We therefore suggest that additional bulbar VP

neuromodulation is needed to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio of complex odor inputs to allow

better identification of individual body odors. Reduced activity of individual projection neurons

via increased activity of interneurons is thought to act as a filtering mechanism [68, 69].

Although social interaction increased pERK activation in MCs (Fig. 1e), inhibition of pERK[+]

MCs, e.g., via a reduction of their firing frequency could still take place. E.g., pERK signaling

could be not sensitive or fast enough to register this inhibition or pERK signaling is just

gradually decreased but still higher than during baseline activity. Indeed, it was already shown

that VP administration dampens odor-evoked firing of MCs in anesthetized rats [7] and reduces

ON-evoked EPSPs in external tufted cells *in-vitro* [8]. Thus, we suggest that this filtering effect

facilitated by bulbar VP can further reduce weak or quantitatively similar inputs, so that only

quantitatively prominent inputs are transmitted to higher brain regions leading to more

prominent differences in the neuronal representation of different social odor signatures. This

reduction of noise could help the rats to discriminate similar mixtures of body odors during the

social discrimination task, but also during social interaction in nature.

Fig. 7. Graphic summary of olfactory bulb pathways involved in social discrimination

Blue, green, and grey arrows represent excitatory and red lines inhibitory pathways indicated by this study and our previous publication [8]. The cells within the dashed circle indicate the excitatory network within the same home glomerulus. Dashed pathways were confirmed but not fully dissected on the synaptic level. Green pathways are related to vasopressin signaling, grey pathways to sensory input or behavioral output and black pathways are based on findings from literature. Question marks indicate potential dendritic and axonal release of VP in the OB. Axonal projections innervate GL, EPL, MCL, and sGCL. EPL, external plexiform layer; eTC, external tufted cell; GL, glomerular layer; HDB, horizontal limb of the diagonal band of broca; MC, mitral cell; MCL, mitral cell layer; mTC, middle tufted cell; ON, olfactory nerve; sGCL, superficial granule cell layer; VPC, vasopressin cell.

Methods

Animals

All experiments were conducted according to national and institutional guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals, the rules laid down by the EC Council Directive (86/89/ECC), German animal welfare and the local government of Oberpfalz and Unterfranken. Wistar rats of either sex were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany) or bred onsite in the animal facilities at the University of Regensburg. Heterozygous VP-eGFP Wistar rats [20] of either sex were bred at the University of Regensburg. The light in the rooms was set to an automatic 12 h-cycle (lights on 07:00-19:00).

In-vivo social stimuli exposure experiment

Stimuli exposure

Three cohorts of male VP-eGFP rats (5-6 weeks) were single-housed at least 3 hours before the experiment for habituation to the new environment. Stimuli used were water, juvenile rat urine (collected and mixed from at least 3 juvenile male, stimulus rats) and juvenile rats (3-4 weeks, male non-cage mates). Water and urine (both 50 µl) were applied on filter papers (2 cm x 3 cm). The different stimuli were gently introduced in the cage and the rats could explore freely. A stimulus exposure lasts 4 min in which the behavior of the rats was video-taped for confirmation of proper stimulus sampling. Rats that did not directly sniff at the filter paper containing water or urine as well as rats that did not perform proper social investigation (sniffing anogenital and head region, for details see Video analysis: Social investigation) were excluded from the experiment. Immediately after the exposure, rats were deeply anesthetized for transcardiac perfusion and fixation of the brain.

Transcardiac perfusion

Rats were deeply anesthetized with an i.p. injection of ketamine-xylazine (100 mg/kg and 10

mg/kg, respectively). The abdomen and the diaphragm were incised to expose the thoracic

cavity. After opening the mediastinum, a needle was inserted into the left ventricle. Following

incision of the right atrium, 0.1 M PBS pH 7.4 was perfused for 4 min with a speed of 9.5

mL/min by a pump (GZ-07528-30, Cole-Parmer, Wertheim, Germany) followed by 4 % PFA-

PBS for 4 min. Rats were decapitated and the whole brains were extracted. Brains were post-

fixed in 4 % PFA-PBS overnight at 4 °C and stored in 30 % sucrose-0.1 M PB at 4 °C for at

least 2 days then kept at 4 °C until slicing.

Immunohistochemistry

Brains were sliced with a cryostat (CM3050 S, LEICA, Wetzlar, Germany) at approx. -20 °C,

then stored in cryoprotectant solution (0.1 M phosphate buffer, 500 mL; Ethylene Glycol, 300

mL; Sucrose, 300 g; Polyvinyl-pyrrolidone, 10 g) at -20 °C until staining. The OB was cut

horizontally with a thickness of 30 μm. On average, six slices from one bulb of each

experimental rat were used for staining. The HDB (Figure 24-28 (1.08 - 0.60 mm from the

Bregma) in the rat brain atlas [70]) was cut coronally with a thickness of 30 μm. Three slices of

each experimental rat were used for staining.

All immunohistochemistry procedures were performed in 12 well plates (Corning Incorporated,

Corning, NY, USA) with the free-floating method. Slices were washed three times with 0.3 %

Triton-X100 in PBS (PBST) for 10 minutes. Then slices were incubated in methanol for 10

minutes at -20 °C. After washing with PBST for 10 minutes, incubation with 0.1 M Glycine in

PBS was performed for 20 minutes at room temperature, followed by washing with PBST for

10 minutes. Slices were incubated with the blocking solution for one hour at room temperature.

The blocking solution contained 0.2 % of cold water fish skin gelatin (AURION, Wegeningen,

Netherlands) and 0.01 % of NaN3 in normal donkey serum (NB-23-00183-1, NeoBiotech,

Nanterre, France). Incubation with primary antibodies (see Antibodies) diluted in the blocking

solution was carried out for 72 hours at 4 °C. After washing three times with PBST for 10

minutes, secondary antibodies diluted in the blocking solution were added and incubated for two hours at room temperature, followed by washing three times with PBST for 10 minutes. From the incubation in secondary antibodies on, every procedure was performed in the dark to avoid bleaching fluorescence. For choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) staining, the protocol was modified. Additional blocking by incubation with avidin and biotin (both 0.001% in PB, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) for 30 min and a 10 min wash with PBST in between were carried out before incubation with the blocking solution. Moreover, after the last washing with PBST, incubation in streptavidin conjugated with CF488 (1:400 in PBST, #29034, Biotium, Fremont, CA, USA) for two hours at room temperature was carried out. After staining itself, slices were washed three times with PBST for 10 min. Slices were mounted on objective slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using DAPI fluoro mount-G (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL, USA).

Antibodies

Primary antibodies were goat anti-GFP (1:1000, #600-101-215S, Rockland, Limerick, PA, USA), Rabbit anti-P-p44/42 MAPK (1:1000, #9101S, Cell Signaling Technology, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), Sheep Anti-Choline Acetyltransferase (1:125, ab18736, Abcam, Berlin, Germany). Secondary antibodies were Donkey anti-Goat IgG conjugated with CF488 (for GFP, 1:1000, #20016-1, Biotium), Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG conjugated with CF594 (for pERK, 1:1000 for the OB and 1:500 for the HDB, #20152, Biotium), biotinylated Donkey anti-Sheep IgG (for ChAT, 1:250, #20191-1, Biotium). All antibodies were diluted in the blocking solution.

Fluorescent Microscopy

Fluorescent images of the stained slices were obtained using a DM6 B microscope (LEICA) and the software, LAS X (LEICA). DAPI, CF488 and CF633 were stimulated with an exposure time of 300 ms, 300 ms and 500 ms, respectively. Tile-stitching and z-stack of pictures were

performed by LAS X. After taking pictures, those were processed by 3D deconvolution or the

Lightning & Thunder process (LAS X) to improve the contrast. Pictures were converted to .tif

files using Fiji (ImageJ, downloaded from https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads) and contrast was

adjusted to the same levels in every picture. Z-stack pictures from approx. 5-6 different z-

positions per bulb or rats were used for analysis.

Cell counting

Immunoreactive cells were counted manually using the multi-point tool or cell counter plug-in

in Fiji. 4-6 OB slices and 2 HDB slices were analyzed. Double positive cells were identified by

comparing two color channels. The position of counted cells was saved as .roi files or .xml files.

The number of cells was averaged per experimental rat and bulb. The counting was done in 4-

6 non-overlapping sections of one olfactory bulb of a respective rat. The total number of

positive cells was averaged over the number of counted sections, to account for variance in

cell distribution and number of sections analyzed. In the OB double-labelled cells were counted,

if the typical soma shape was visible without a staining of the nucleus area in the GFP-channel

(green) and could be identified with the same outline in the pERK channel (magenta, see

example in Fig. 1a). In the HDB double-labelled cells were counted, if the typical soma shape

was visible in the pERK-channel (magenta) and could be identified with the same outline in the

ChAT-channel (green, see example in Fig. 5a). For control the localization of the nucleus was

double-checked in the DAPI channel (blue).

All the data was analyzed by an observer blinded with respect to stimulation groups.

Electrophysiology

Slice preparation

11-18 day-old juvenile VP-eGFP rats were used for *in vitro* electrophysiology experiments. The

rats were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and quickly decapitated. Horizontal and sagittal

slices (300 μm) were cut in ice-cold carbogenized ACSF (artificial cerebrospinal fluid; mM: 125

NaCl, 26 NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 20 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, and 2 CaCl2) using a

vibratome (VT 1200, LEICA) and afterwards incubated in ACSF at 36 °C for 45 min. Until

experiments, the slices were kept at room temperature (approx. 21°C) in ACSF.

Electrophysiology

Brain slices were placed in a recording chamber on the microscope's stage perfused with

carbogenized ASCF circulated by a perfusion pump (ISM 850, Cole-Parmer). GFP-labeled

vasopressin cells (VPCs) were identified with LED illumination (470 nm) under a modified Zeiss

Axioplan microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Oberkochen, Germany). Epifluorescence was

filtered by a long-pass dichroic mirror (490 nm cutoff) and an emission filter (510 ± 21 nm) and

visualized with a digital camera (VisiCAM-100, Visitron Systems, Puchheim, Germany). To

perform whole-cell patch-clamp recordings, cells were visualized by infrared gradient-contrast

illumination via IR filter (Hoya, Tokyo, Japan). Glass pipettes for recordings were pulled by a

pipette puller (Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) sized 4-6 MΩ and filled with intracellular solution (in

mM: 130 K-methylsulfate, 10 HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 4 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP, 10 Na

Phosphocreatine, 2 ascorbate, pH 7.2). Recordings were performed with the current-clamp

configuration using an EPC-10 (HEKA, Lambrecht, Germany) digital oscilloscope. Series

resistance was measured 10-30 MΩ. The average resting membrane potential was -50 to -60

mV. Experiments were only started in case the patched cells had a holding current below ~ -

50 pA and no drastic drift in the resting membrane potential.. Experiments were performed at

room temperature (approx. 21°C).

In experiments with AOB stimulation, sagittal slices including the accessory olfactory bulb

(AOB) were used. VPCs for these experiments were identified in the posterior-dorsal area of

the MOB (Supplemental Fig. 2c) since intact projections of AOB mitral/tufted cells to this area

in sagittal slices were demonstrated using antidromic electrical stimulation previously [24]. Glass

pipettes, intracellular solution and settings of oscilloscope were same as experiments with horizontal slices.

Electrical extracellular stimulation

Olfactory nerve (ON) stimulation was performed with a glass pipette stimulation electrode sized around 2 MΩ. Glass pipettes were filled with ACSF. The electrode was connected to an external stimulator (STG 1004, Multi-Channel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany) controlled by a PC. The stimulation strength was adjusted with the stimulator's software (MC_Stimulus, V 2.1.5) and stimulation was triggered by the amplifier software (Patchmaster, HEKA). Stimulation pipettes were gently placed in the ON layer anterior to a cell to patch using a manual manipulator (LBM-7, Scientifica, East Sussex, UK) under optical control with the microscope. The stimulation strength was 50-400 µA for 100 µs. The stimulation was triggered every 30 s to avoid desensitization of the neural networks.

AOB stimulation was performed with a glass pipette stimulation electrode; the stimulator and the software were the same as for MOB ON stimulation. Stimulation pipettes were gently placed in the vomeronasal nerve layer or in the EPL/mitral cell layer in the AOB using a manual manipulator (LBM-7, Scientifica, East Sussex, UK) under optical control with the microscope. The stimulation strength was 50-500 µA for 100 µs.

Ca^{2+} Imaging

Fluorescence was recorded by two-photon laser scanning microscopy on a Femto-2D microscope (Femtonics, Budapest, Hungary), equipped with a tunable, Verdi-pumped Ti:Sa laser (Chameleon Ultra I, Coherent, Glasgow, Scotland). The microscope was equipped with a 60x Nikon Fluor water-immersion objective (NA 1.0; Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY, USA), three detection channels (green fluorescence (epi and trans), red (epi) and infrared light (trans)) and controlled by MES v4.5.613 software (Femtonics).

VP-eGFP cells were identified in the green channel at an excitation wavelength of 950 nm.

VPC bodies were patched in the whole-cell mode with patch pipettes filled with regular

intracellular solution (see Electrophysiology), Alexa Fluor 549 (50 µM, Invitrogen) and the Ca^{2+}

indicator OGB-1 (100 µM, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added for neurite

visualization and Ca^{2+} imaging. Fluorescence transients and image stacks were acquired at

800 nm laser excitation. Data were mostly collected from the medial surface of the OB. Ca^{2+}

imaging experiments were performed at room temperature (approx. 21° C). The patched VPCs

were held in the current clamp mode near their resting potential of -55 mV. Structures of

interest were imaged in free line-scanning mode with a temporal resolution of approx. 1 ms.

Experimental design and data analysis

All drugs (see Pharmacology) diluted in ASCF were bath-applied via the perfusion system.

Recordings under pharmacology were performed at least 5 min after the onset of

administration to ensure that the drugs reached the recorded cell. Two average traces from 3-

5 recordings in each condition were analyzed. The data was averaged per condition. The

amplitudes of PSPs were measured using Origin 2018b (Origin Lab). The amplitudes of IPSPs

following pharmacology were normalized to the amplitudes during the ACSF condition (100 %).

The amplitudes of EPSPs following bicuculline application with pharmacology were normalized

to the amplitudes during the bicuculline condition (100%). APs, EPSPs, IPSPs in experiments

with ACh application were defined as: APs, the existence of AP (s) after ON stimulation; EPSPs,

observation of depolarization with amplitudes of >1.5 mV; IPSPs, the absence of APs and

EPSPs. In some experiments with ACh, current (40, 60, 80, 100 pA, 600 ms) was injected via

the recording electrode into the cell to test if ACh application alters the intrinsic excitability in

VPCs. Spiking rates of AP trains were calculated as the number of spikes divided by the

duration of AP trains. Latency of the first spike was measured as the duration between the

current injection onset and the peak of the first spike.

In some experiments, simultaneous to the electrophysiological recordings, intracellular Ca^{2+}

transients were measured at the tuft and at the apical dendrite directly apical to the soma (see

Ca^{2+} Imaging) following ON stimulation. Three consecutive focal line-scans were performed

during ON stimulation (see Olfactory nerve stimulation). To confirm that the cell was correctly

filled with Ca^{2+}-dye, VPCs were also stimulated with somatic 50 Hz trains (20 APs) via the

patch pipette which was shown to reliably trigger Ca^{2+} Influx in case ON stimulation did not

result in Ca^{2+} signals near the soma during olfactory nerve stimulation [8]. The three line scans

per pharmacological condition were averaged for analysis. Dendritic Ca^{2+} transients were

analyzed in terms of $\Delta F/F$ relative to the resting fluorescence F_0 [71]. The time course of

pharmacology and analysis were the same as for electrophysiological data mentioned above.

All the data was analyzed by an observer blinded with respect to pharmacology.

Pharmacology

All pharmacological agents used were diluted in ACSF for bath application: serotonin

hydrochloride (20 µM, Sigma-Aldrich), DL-Norepinephrine hydrochloride (20 µM, Sigma-

Aldrich), acetylcholine chloride (100 µM, Sigma-Aldrich), mecamylamine hydrochloride (20 µM,

Sigma-Aldrich), atropine (10 µM, Sigma-Aldrich), (-)-Nicotine, Ditartrate (100 µM, Merck KGaA,

Darmstadt, Germany), (+)-Muscarine chloride (1µM, Sigma-Aldrich), 1(S),9(R)-(-)-bicuculline

methyl bromide (50 µM, Sigma-Aldrich).

The doses used were chosen based on minimal doses reported to elicit *in-vitro* effects [8, 26, 47,

72, 73, 74, 75, 76].

Behavioral Pharmacology

Cannula implantation

Three cohorts of 5-6 week-old male Wistar rats were group-housed until surgery. To allow local

injection of pharmacological solutions in the OB without anesthesia, we bilaterally implanted

guide cannulae (23 G, Injecta GmbH, Klingenthal, Germany) in the rat OB. Before surgery, all

surgical instruments were autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min. The rats were anesthetized by

isoflurane using a TEC 3 isoflurane vaporizer (Eickemeyer, Tuttlingen, Germany). The

concentration of isoflurane was maintained between 2-3 %. The rats were fixed on a

stereotaxic frame (TSE, Bad Homburg Germany/Kopf, Tujunga, CA, USA) using ear bars. To

prevent hypothermia, the rats were kept warm using a warming pad (ThermoLux, Witte + Sutor,

Murrhardt, Germany). Analgetics (buprenorphine, 0.1 mg/kg s.c., Bayer, Beline, Germany) and

antibiotics (enrofloxacin, 10 mg/kg s.c., Bayer) were injected before surgery. After shaving the

head and disinfection of the operation site with 70 % ethanol, we incised the scalp and the

supporting tissue on the skull. Injection of lidocaine hydrochloride (2 %, around 100 µL, bela-

pharm, Vechta, Germany) under the scalp was operated if needed. Two stainless steel

jeweler's screws were inserted into the skull using a surgical drill (NM 3000, NOUVAG,

Goldach, Switzerland). One on the left anterior to bregma and the other one on the right

posterior to bregma to secure dental cement fixation. Holes for guide cannulae were made by

a drill, followed by placement of cannulae 7 mm anterior and 1.2 mm lateral relative to bregma

as well as 1 mm ventral from the surface of the skull where 2 mm above the injection

coordinates. Thus, the coordinates for injection were 3 mm ventral to the surface of the skull

[70]. Cannulae and the two screws were fixed together by the dental cement (Kallocryl, Speiko-

Dr. Speier GmbH, Muenster, Germany). Once the cement dried, the connection between the

cement and the scalp was disinfected by iodine solution and cannulae were blocked by

insertion of stainless steel stylets (25 G, BD, Heidelberg, Germany) to prevent dust entering

the cannulae that could result in infection or blockage. The rats were removed from the frame

and placed in fresh cages for recovering from anesthesia under observation until they are

awake. The rats were weighed prior to surgery and on the next day to see if they recovered

properly after surgery. Their health condition and behavior were checked for at least five days.

After surgery, they were single-housed until experiments to prevent damage to the guide

cannulae. Meanwhile, the rats were handled and habituated to the removal and insertion of

stylets every day until experiments. Stylets were cleaned with 70 % ethanol every time they

were removed from the guide cannula.

Social discrimination with pharmacology

We combined the social discrimination paradigm [4] and microinjection of pharmacology into the

OB. The social discrimination paradigm consists of a sampling phase and a discrimination

phase. Experiments were performed in the afternoon. In the sampling phase (4 min), one

stimulus rat was gently introduced into the cage of the experimental rats. Then the stimulus rat

was removed from the cage and the experimental rat stayed in the cage alone for an inter-

exposure interval of 30 min. In the discrimination phase (4 min), two stimulus rats, one is the

same as during the sampling phase and the other one is a novel stimulus rat, were gently

introduced into the cage. During both phases, the behavior of the experimental rat was video-

taped from above through a transparent plastic plate for post-hoc analysis. Stimuli were 3-4

week-old group-housed male rats that were single-housed only in between the social

exposures of the behavioral experiments to prevent mixing of body odors. They were marked

with a red or black pen of the same brand (Edding, Ahrensburg, Germany) to allow visual

differentiation by the observers. Pharmacological agents diluted in ACSF include atropine (1

μg in 1 μL) and [Arg8]-vasopressin acetate salt (1 ng in 1 μL, Sigma-Aldrich). 40 min before

the sampling phase, either ACSF or atropine was injected into both OB hemispheres (1 μL

each). 10 min before the sampling phase, either ACSF or VP was injected into both OB

hemispheres (1 μL each). All injections were carried out with microinjection syringes (Hamilton,

Bonaduz, Switzerland) connected to a self-made injection system which consists of plastic

tubes and 10 mm-long 30 G needles (2 mm longer than the guide cannulae). After every

injection (1 μL), the injection systems rested in place for 1 min to allow the injected solution to

diffuse fully in the tissue. The doses used were chosen based on minimal does reported to

elicit behavioral effects [6, 77]. No anatomical outliers in stereotaxic cannula implantation had to

be excluded from behavioral analysis (Fig. 5). However, one animal from the control group was

removed, as it showed a high amount of unspecific sexual/mounting behavior towards the

stimulus animals throughout the sampling as well as the discrimination phase. To confirm that

neither atropine nor VP microinjection into the OB induced unspecific behavioral effects, we

demonstrated that these manipulations did not interfere with non-social investigatory/play

behavior and habituation (towards amyl acetate (Sigma-Aldrich)/ (-)-carvone (Sigma-Aldrich)

presented in a teaball or a juvenile rat) during the sampling phase (Supplemental Fig. 4).

Confirmation of injection sites

Immediately after the last experiments, the rats were killed with CO_2. Then 1 µl of blue ink was

injected with an injection system via the guide cannulae into both OBs followed by decapitation.

The OB was extracted and quickly frozen in isobutanol on dry ice and stored at -20 °C until

use. Correct placement of Injection was identified on 40 µm cryostat sagittal sections stained

with cresyl violet (Fig. 5c). No anatomical outliers in stereotaxic cannula implantation had to be

excluded from behavioral analysis.

Video analysis: Social investigation

The analysis was done by an observer blind to the pharmacological treatment using JWatcher

(downloaded from https://www.jwatcher.ucla.edu/). Thereby the duration of investigation of the

stimulus rats by the experimental rats was measured. Investigation was defined as sniffing the

anogenital or neck region of stimulus rats including obvious nose movements ("sniffing").

Aggressive behavior (e.g. aggressive grooming), only staying next to the stimulus rats, and

rough and tumble play were where not considered investigation [4] (Fig. 5b). One animal from

the control group was removed, as it showed high amounts of unspecific sexual/mounting

behavior towards the stimulus animals throughout the sampling as well as the discrimination

phase.

Statistics and reproducibility

Statistics were performed with SPSS (ver. 26, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and G*Power (ver. 3.1.9.2, Franz Faul, University of Kiel). All statistical analysis performed was two-sided and significance was accepted at p<0.05. Type of statistic was determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normal distribution in SPSS. Effect sizes for parametric statistics (Cohen's *d, dz, f*) were determined using SPSS and G*Power. Effect sizes for non-parametric statistics were calculated from z-scores (Pearson's r = $|z|/\sqrt{n}$) [78]. Sample sizes were either based on prior studies or an a priori power analysis. Power and sample sizes (for α=0.05) were determined using G*Power.

All Ns indicate biological replication, that is, data from different samples (different cells or different animals). To better illustrate replication in acute *in-vitro* slice experiments it is also clearly indicated in the results section from how many different animals the measurements originate from.

For complete details concerning type of statistic (parametric or non-parametric), type of test, test statistics (F, t, W, U, z-score), degrees of freedom, p-value, effect size, and achieved power of every statistical analysis performed in the result section see table 1. Figure identifiers and lower case arabic letters in the table represent affiliation to the statistical data represented in the figures and the text, respectively.

All Ns indicate biological replication, that is, data from different samples (different cells or different animals). To illustrate replication in acute *in-vitro* slice experiments it is also clearly indicated in the results section from how many different animals the measurements originate from.

Data availability statement:

All numerical data that is represented in the figures is available as source data file corresponding to the figures.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the German research foundation (DFG LU2164/1-1 and EG 135/5-1). We thank Anne Pietryga-Krieger and Dr. Max Müller for experimental support and Dr. Mike Ludwig (University of Edinburgh) for providing the VP-eGFP rats. We also thank Prof. Inga Neumann for providing video equipment and stereotactic instruments for the behavioral pharmacology experiments and Dr. Vinicius Oliveira for critically reading the manuscript.

Author contributions

H.S. and M.L. designed research; M.L. and H.S. performed research; M.L. and H.S. analyzed data; V.E. provided advice on the design and analysis of electrophysiology and Ca^{2+} imaging experiments; H.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. H.S., V.E. and M.L. wrote and revised the manuscript.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest

Table 1 **Statistical overview**

	Figure	Type of statistic	Type of test	Test statistic	P-value	Effect size	Power
a	S1a	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,26)}=0.406$	p=0.670	f=0.176	0.115
	-	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,26)}=0.329$	p=0.723	f=0.158	0.102
b	1c	parametric	One-way ANOVA and post-hoc (LSD)	$F_{(2,26)}=3.713$	p=0.038	f=0.463	0.548
			rat vs water	-	p=0.011	d=1.147	
			rat vs urine	-	p=0.197	d=0.604	
			urine vs. water	-	p=0.195	d=0.663	
c	1e	parametric	One-way ANOVA and post-hoc (LSD)	$F_{(2,26)}=3.586$	p=0.042	f=0.525	0.663
			rat vs water	-	p=0.025	d=1.117	
			rat vs urine	-	p=0.034	d=0.824	
			urine vs. water	-	p=0.949	d=0.232	
d	S1d	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,24)}=0.633$	p=0.539	f=0.229	0.156
e	S1e	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,24)}=0.746$	p=0.485	f=0.237	0.164
f	S1f	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,24)}=1.952$	p=0.164	f=0.403	0.404
g	2c	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(8)}=0$; z=-2.521	p=0.012	r=0.891	0.995
h	2e	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(9)}=1$; z=-2.547	p=0.011	r=0.849	0.984
i	2g	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(20)}=0$; z=-3.920	p<0.001	r=0.876	1.000
j	2h	non-parametric	Mann-Whitney-U test	z=-4.652	p<0.001	r=0.736	1.000
k	2i	non-parametric	Kruskal Wallis and post-hoc (Bonferroni)	H=17.439, df=2	p<0.001	-	-
			5-HT vs NA	z=0.003	p=1.000	r=0.001	0.050
			ACh vs 5-HAT	z=-3.433	p=0.003	r=0.649	0.991
			ACh vs NA	z=-3.291	p=0.002	r=0.611	0.980
l	S2a	parametric	(4) × (2) mixed model ANOVA (intensity [within subject] × treatment [within-subject])	$F_{(3,54)}=23.12$ $F_{(1,18)}=0.647$ $F_{(3,54)}=0.562$ (interaction)	p<0.001 p=0.432 p=0.604	f=1.132 f=0.176 f=0.255	1.000 0.119 0.158
m	S2b	parametric	(4) × (2) mixed model ANOVA (intensity [within subject] × treatment [within-subject])	$F_{(3,54)}=52.19$ $F_{(1,18)}=3.228$ $F_{(3,54)}=6.346$ (interaction)	p<0.001 p=0.089 p=0.010	f=1.705 f=0.423 f=0.594	1.000 0.398 0.792
			ACh vs. ACSF with 40 pA	-	p=0.026	d=1.083	
n	3b	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(5)}=0$; z=-2.023	p=0.043	r=0.905	0.872
o	3d	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(5)}=0$; z=2.023	p=0.043	r=0.905	0.872
p	-	non-parametric	Mann-Whitney-U test	z=3.121	p=0.002	r=0.716	0.988
q	3g	parametric	(2) × (2) mixed model ANOVA (location [within subject] × treatment [within-subject])	$F_{(1,7)}=17.8$ $F_{(1,7)}=1.98$ $F_{(1,7)}=0.014$ (interaction)	p=0.004 p=0.203 p=0.910	f=1.596 f=0.531 f=0.045	1.000 1.000 0.081
r	S3b	parametric	(2) × (2) mixed model ANOVA (stimulation [within subject] × location [within-subject]) and post-hoc (Bonferroni)	$F_{(1,7)}=4.194$ $F_{(1,7)}=7.446$ $F_{(1,7)}=15.01$ (interaction)	p=0.080 p=0.029 p=0.006	f=0.775 f=1.030 f=1.454	0.424 0.650 0.911
			ON/soma vs. 50Hz/soma	-	p=0.014	dz=5.943	-
			ON/soma vs. ON/tuft	-	p=0.002	dz=6.643	-
s	S3c	non-parametric	Mann-Whitney-U test	z=0.936	p=0.456	r=0.209	0.148
t	4b	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(8)}=4$; z=-0.420	p=0.327	r=0.148	0.065
u	4d	non-parametric	Friedman test	χ2=3.714, df=2	p=0.156	-	-
v	4f	non-parametric	Related samples Wilcoxon test	$W_{(6)}=0$; z=-2.201	p=0.028	r=0.984	1.000
w	4h	non-parametric	Friedman test and post-hoc (Dunn)	χ2=6.750, df=2	p=0.034	-	-
			Mecamylamine/ACh vs Mecamylamine	z=2.250	p=0.024	r=0.795	0.868
			Mecamylamine/ACh vs ACSF	z=-2.250	p=0.024	r=0.795	0.868
			Mecamylamine vs ACSF	z=0.000	p=1.000	r=0.000	0.050
x	5b	parametric	One-way ANOVA	$F_{(2,13)}=2.701$	p=0.104	f=0.645	0.526
y	5c	parametric	One-way ANOVA and post-hoc (LSD)	$F_{(2,13)}=4.411$	p=0.034	f=0.823	0.748
			rat vs water	-	p=0.113	d=0.918	

			rat vs urine urine vs. water	- -	p=0.011 p=0.255	d=1.826 d=0.933	
z	6d	parametric	Paired samples t-test	$t_{(12)}=-2.411$	p=0.033	dz=0.709	0.651
a1	6e	parametric	Paired samples t-test	$t_{(13)}=-1.231$	p=0.240	dz=0.202	0.208
b1	6f	parametric	Paired samples t-test	$t_{(13)}=-2.420$	p=0.031	dz=0.647	0.647
-	S4a	non-parametric	Kruskal-Wallis Test	H=0.341, df=2	p=0.843	-	-
-	S4b	parametric	(4) × (3) mixed model ANOVA (time bin [within subject] × treatment [within-subject])	$F_{(3,114)}=82.09$ $F_{(2,38)}=0.599$ $F_{(6,114)}=1.144$ (interaction)	p<0.001 p=0.554 p=0.224	f=1.147 f=0.179 f=0.274	1.000 0.142 0.456
-	S4c	non-parametric	Kruskal-Wallis Test	H=0.915, df=2	p=0.633	-	-
-	S4d	parametric	(4) × (3) mixed model ANOVA (time bin [within subject] × treatment [within-subject])	$F_{(3,114)}=51.02$ $F_{(2,38)}=0.599$ $F_{(6,114)}=1.509$ (interaction)	p<0.001 p=0.355 p=0.234	f=1.161 f=0.244 f=0.283	1.000 0.301 0.427

940   Type of statistic was determined using the Kolmogorov- Smirnov test in SPSS. Tests were performed using SPSS. Effect sizes

941   for parametric statistics were determined using SPSS and G*Power (Cohen's *d, dz, f*). Effect sizes for non-parametric statistics

942   were calculated from z-scores (Pearson's r = $|z|/\sqrt{n}$) [73]. Power (for α=0.05) was determined using G*Power.

References

1.   Baldwin B, Shillito EE. The effects of ablation of the olfactory bulbs on parturition and maternal
behaviour in Soay sheep. *Animal Behaviour* **22**, 220-223 (1974).

2.   Williams JR, Slotnick BM, Kirkpatrick BW, Carter CS. Olfactory-Bulb Removal Affects Partner
Preference Development and Estrus Induction in Female Prairie Voles. *Physiology & Behavior*
52, 635-639 (1992).

3.   Matsuo T*, et al.* Genetic dissection of pheromone processing reveals main olfactory system-
mediated social behaviors in mice. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**, E311-E320 (2015).

4.   Engelmann M, Hadicke J, Noack J. Testing declarative memory in laboratory rats and mice
using the nonconditioned social discrimination procedure. *Nature Protocols* **6**, 1152-1162
(2011).

5.   Lukas M, Neumann ID. Oxytocin and vasopressin in rodent behaviors related to social
dysfunctions in autism spectrum disorders. *Behav Brain Res* **251**, 85-94 (2013).

6.   Dluzen DE, Muraoka S, Engelmann M, Landgraf R. The effects of infusion of arginine
vasopressin, oxytocin, or their antagonists into the olfactory bulb upon social recognition
responses in male rats. *Peptides* **19**, 999-1005 (1998).

7.   Tobin VA*, et al.* An intrinsic vasopressin system in the olfactory bulb is involved in social
recognition. *Nature* **464**, 413-U110 (2010).

8.   Lukas M, Suyama H, Egger V. Vasopressin Cells in the Rodent Olfactory Bulb Resemble Non-
Bursting Superficial Tufted Cells and Are Primarily Inhibited upon Olfactory Nerve Stimulation.
eneuro **6**, ENEURO.0431-0418.2019 (2019).

9.   Halász N. *The Vertebrate Olfactory System: Chemical neuroanatomy, function and
development*. Akadémiai Kiadó (1990).

10.   Bobrov E, Wolfe J, Rao RP, Brecht M. The Representation of Social Facial Touch in Rat
Barrel Cortex. *Current Biology* **24**, 109-115 (2014).

11.   Shipley MT, Halloran FJ, Delatorre J. Surprisingly Rich Projection from Locus Coeruleus to the
Olfactory-Bulb in the Rat. *Brain Research* **329**, 294-299 (1985).

12.   McLean JH, Shipley MT. Serotonergic afferents to the rat olfactory bulb: I. Origins and laminar
specificity of serotonergic inputs in the adult rat. *Journal of Neuroscience* **7**, 3016-3028 (1987).

13.   Ojima H, Yamasaki T, Kojima H, Akashi A. Cholinergic Innervation of the Main and the
Accessory Olfactory Bulbs of the Rat as Revealed by a Monoclonal-Antibody against Choline-
Acetyltransferase. *Anatomy and Embryology* **178**, 481-488 (1988).

14.   Popova NK, Amstislavskaya TG. 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C serotonin receptors differentially
modulate mouse sexual arousal and the hypothalamo-pituitary-testicular response to the
presence of a female. *Neuroendocrinology* **76**, 28-34 (2002).

15.   Purvis EM, Klein AK, Ettenberg A. Lateral habenular norepinephrine contributes to states of
arousal and anxiety in male rats. *Behavioural Brain Research* **347**, 108-115 (2018).

16.   Acquas E, Wilson C, Fibiger HC. Conditioned and unconditioned stimuli increase frontal
cortical and hippocampal acetylcholine release: Effects of novelty, habituation, and fear.
Journal of Neuroscience **16**, 3089-3096 (1996).

17.   Prado VF*, et al.* Mice deficient for the vesicular acetylcholine transporter are myasthenic and
have deficits in object and social recognition. *Neuron* **51**, 601-612 (2006).

18.   Dluzen DE, Muraoka S, Landgraf R. Olfactory bulb norepinephrine depletion abolishes
1003   vasopressin and oxytocin preservation of social recognition responses in rats. *Neuroscience*
1004   *Letters* **254**, 161-164 (1998).
1005
1006   19.   Cavalcante LES*, et al.* Modulation of the storage of social recognition memory by
1007   neurotransmitter systems in the insular cortex. *Behavioural Brain Research* **334**, 129-134
1008   (2017).
1009
1010   20.   Ueta Y*, et al.* Transgenic expression of enhanced green fluorescent protein enables direct
1011   visualization for physiological studies of vasopressin neurons and isolated nerve terminals of
1012   the rat. *Endocrinology* **146**, 406-413 (2005).
1013
1014   21.   Bluthe RM, Dantzer R. Role of the Vomeronasal System in Vasopressinergic Modulation of
1015   Social Recognition in Rats. *Brain Research* **604**, 205-210 (1993).
1016
1017   22.   Le Jeune H, Aubert I, Jourdan F, Quirion R. Comparative laminar distribution of various
1018   autoradiographic cholinergic markers in adult rat main olfactory bulb. *Journal of chemical*
1019   *neuroanatomy* **9**, 99-112 (1995).
1020
1021   23.   Yuan Q, Harley CW, McLean JH. Mitral cell β1 and 5-HT2A receptor colocalization and cAMP
1022   coregulation: A new model of norepinephrine-induced learning in the olfactory bulb. *Learning*
1023   *& memory* **10**, 5-15 (2003).
1024
1025   24.   Vargas-Barroso V, Ordaz-Sánchez B, Peña-Ortega F, Larriva-Sahd JA. Electrophysiological
1026   Evidence for a Direct Link between the Main and Accessory Olfactory Bulbs in the Adult Rat.
1027   *Frontiers in Neuroscience* **9**, 518 (2016).
1028
1029   25.   Noack J, Richter K, Laube G, Haghgoo HA, Veh RW, Engelmann M. Different importance of
1030   the volatile and non-volatile fractions of an olfactory signature for individual social recognition
1031   in rats versus mice and short-term versus long-term memory. *Neurobiology of Learning and*
1032   *Memory* **94**, 568-575 (2010).
1033
1034   26.   Liu SL, Shao ZY, Puche A, Wachowiak M, Rothermel M, Shipley MT. Muscarinic Receptors
1035   Modulate Dendrodendritic Inhibitory Synapses to Sculpt Glomerular Output. *Journal of*
1036   *Neuroscience* **35**, 5680-5692 (2015).
1037
1038   27.   Shipley MT, Ennis M. Functional organization of olfactory system. *Journal of Neurobiology* **30**,
1039   123-176 (1996).
1040
1041   28.   Bains JS, Ferguson AV. Activation of N-methyl-d-aspartate receptors evokes calcium spikes in
1042   the dendrites of rat hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus neurons. *Neuroscience* **90**, 885-891
1043   (1999).
1044
1045   29.   Senut M, Menetrey D, Lamour Y. Cholinergic and peptidergic projections from the medial
1046   septum and the nucleus of the diagonal band of Broca to dorsal hippocampus, cingulate
1047   cortex and olfactory bulb: a combined wheatgerm agglutinin-apohorseradish peroxidase-gold
1048   immunohistochemical study. *Neuroscience* **30**, 385-403 (1989).
1049
1050   30.   Schwarz I*, et al.* The diagonal band of broca continually regulates olfactory-mediated
1051   behaviors by modulating odor-evoked responses within the olfactory bulb. *bioRxiv*,
1052   2020.2011.2007.372649 (2020).
1053
1054   31.   Linster C, Hasselmo ME. Neural activity in the horizontal limb of the diagonal band of Broca
1055   can be modulated by electrical stimulation of the olfactory bulb and cortex in rats.
1056   *Neuroscience Letters* **282**, 157-160 (2000).
1057
1058   32.   Zhu XO, Brown MW, Mccabe BJ, Aggleton JP. Effects of the Novelty or Familiarity of Visual-
1059   Stimuli on the Expression of the Immediate-Early Gene C-Fos in Rat-Brain. *Neuroscience* **69**,
1060   821-829 (1995).
1061

33. McKenna JT*, et al.* c-Fos protein expression is increased in cholinergic neurons of the rodent
basal forebrain during spontaneous and induced wakefulness. *Brain Research Bulletin* **80**,
382-388 (2009).

34. Imperato A, Puglisiallegra S, Scrocco MG, Casolini P, Bacchi S, Angelucci L. Cortical and
Limbic Dopamine and Acetylcholine-Release as Neurochemical Correlates of Emotional
Arousal in Both Aversive and Non-Aversive Environmental-Changes. *Neurochemistry*
International **20**, S265-S270 (1992).

35. Passetti F, Dalley JW, O'connell MT, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. Increased acetylcholine release
in the rat medial prefrontal cortex during performance of a visual attentional task. *European*
Journal of Neuroscience **12**, 3051-3058 (2000).

36. Butt AE, Testylier G, Dykes RW. Acetylcholine release in rat frontal and somatosensory cortex
is enhanced during tactile discrimination learning. *Psychobiology* **25**, 18-33 (1997).

37. Bohm E, Brunert D, Rothermel M. Input dependent modulation of olfactory bulb activity by
HDB GABAergic projections. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1-15 (2020).

38. Rothermel M, Carey RM, Puche A, Shipley MT, Wachowiak M. Cholinergic Inputs from Basal
Forebrain Add an Excitatory Bias to Odor Coding in the Olfactory Bulb. *Journal of*
Neuroscience **34**, 4654-4664 (2014).

39. Anagnostaras SG*, et al.* Selective cognitive dysfunction in acetylcholine M-1 muscarinic
receptor mutant mice. *Nature Neuroscience* **6**, 51-58 (2003).

40. Mirich JM, Illig KR, Brunjes PC. Experience‐dependent activation of extracellular signal‐
related kinase (ERK) in the olfactory bulb. *Journal of comparative Neurology* **479**, 234-241
(2004).

41. Wacker DW, Engelmann M, Tobin VA, Meddle SL, Ludwig M. Vasopressin and social odor
processing in the olfactory bulb and anterior olfactory nucleus. *Ann Ny Acad Sci* **1220**, 106-
116 (2011).

42. Haga S*, et al.* The male mouse pheromone ESP1 enhances female sexual receptive
behaviour through a specific vomeronasal receptor. *Nature* **466**, 118-U136 (2010).

43. Hattori T*, et al.* Self-Exposure to the Male Pheromone ESP1 Enhances Male Aggressiveness
in Mice. *Current Biology* **26**, 1229-1234 (2016).

44. Kaba H, Rosser A, Keverne B. Neural Basis of Olfactory Memory in the Context of Pregnancy
Block. *Neuroscience* **32**, 657-662 (1989).

45. Baum MJ, Kelliher KR. Complementary Roles of the Main and Accessory Olfactory Systems in
Mammalian Mate Recognition. *Annual Review of Physiology* **71**, 141-160 (2009).

46. Gregg CM. The Compartmentalized Hypothalamo-Neurohypophysial System: Evidence for a
NeurohypophysialAction of Acetylcholine on Vasopressin Release. *Neuroendocrinology* **40**,
423-429 (1985).

47. Li DP, Pan YZ, Pan HL. Acetylcholine attenuates synaptic GABA release to supraoptic
neurons through presynaptic nicotinic receptors. *Brain Research* **920**, 151-158 (2001).

48. Ravel N, Akaoka H, Gervais R, Chouvet G. The Effect of Acetylcholine on Rat Olfactory-Bulb
Unit-Activity. *Brain Research Bulletin* **24**, 151-155 (1990).

49. Matsutani S, Yamamoto N. Centrifugal innervation of the mammalian olfactory bulb.
Anatomical Science International **83**, 218-227 (2008).

50. Bendahmane M, Ogg MC, Ennis M, Fletcher ML. Increased olfactory bulb acetylcholine bi-
directionally modulates glomerular odor sensitivity. *Scientific reports* **6**, 25808 (2016).

1123
1124 51. Quick MW, Lester RAJ. Desensitization of neuronal nicotinic receptors. *Journal of*
1125 *Neurobiology* **53**, 457-478 (2002).
1126
1127 52. D'Souza RD, Vijayaraghavan S. Nicotinic receptor-mediated filtering of mitral cell responses to
1128 olfactory nerve inputs involves the α3β4 subtype. *Journal of Neuroscience* **32**, 3261-3266
1129 (2012).
1130
1131 53. Rao RP, Mielke F, Bobrov E, Brecht M. Vocalization–whisking coordination and multisensory
1132 integration of social signals in rat auditory cortex. *Elife* **3**, e03185 (2014).
1133
1134 54. Chaves-Coira I, Rodrigo-Angulo ML, Nunez A. Bilateral Pathways from the Basal Forebrain to
1135 Sensory Cortices May Contribute to Synchronous Sensory Processing. *Frontiers in*
1136 *Neuroanatomy* **12**, 5 (2018).
1137
1138 55. Kirkwood A, Rozas C, Kirkwood J, Perez F, Bear MF. Modulation of long-term synaptic
1139 depression in visual cortex by acetylcholine and norepinephrine. *Journal of Neuroscience* **19**,
1140 1599-1609 (1999).
1141
1142 56. Metherate R, Ashe JH. Synaptic-Interactions Involving Acetylcholine, Glutamate, and Gaba in
1143 Rat Auditory-Cortex. *Experimental Brain Research* **107**, 59-72 (1995).
1144
1145 57. Butt AE*, et al.* Association learning-dependent increases in acetylcholine release in the rat
1146 auditory cortex during auditory classical conditioning. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*
1147 **92**, 400-409 (2009).
1148
1149 58. Rogers-Carter MM, Christianson JP. An insular view of the social decision-making network.
1150 *Neurosci Biobehav R* **103**, 119-132 (2019).
1151
1152 59. Baez S, García AM, Ibanez A. The Social Context Network Model in Psychiatric and
1153 Neurological Diseases. In: *Social Behavior from Rodents to Humans: Neural Foundations and*
1154 *Clinical Implications* (ed^(eds Wöhr M, Krach S). Springer International Publishing (2017).
1155
1156 60. Giovannini MG, Rakovska A, Benton RS, Pazzagli M, Bianchi L, Pepeu G. Effects of novelty
1157 and habituation on acetylcholine, GABA, and glutamate release from the frontal cortex and
1158 hippocampus of freely moving rats. *Neuroscience* **106**, 43-53 (2001).
1159
1160 61. Wilson DA, Fletcher ML, Sullivan RM. Acetylcholine and olfactory perceptual learning.
1161 *Learning & Memory* **11**, 28-34 (2004).
1162
1163 62. Ravel N, Elaagouby A, Gervais R. Scopolamine Injection into the Olfactory-Bulb Impairs
1164 Short-Term Olfactory Memory in Rats. *Behavioral Neuroscience* **108**, 317-324 (1994).
1165
1166 63. Ross JM, Bendahmane M, Fletcher ML. Olfactory Bulb Muscarinic Acetylcholine Type 1
1167 Receptors Are Required for Acquisition of Olfactory Fear Learning. *Frontiers in Behavioral*
1168 *Neuroscience* **13**, (2019).
1169
1170 64. Mandairon N, Ferretti CJ, Stack CM, Rubin DB, Cleland TA, Linster C. Cholinergic modulation
1171 in the olfactory bulb influences spontaneous olfactory discrimination in adult rats. *European*
1172 *Journal of Neuroscience* **24**, 3234-3244 (2006).
1173
1174 65. Martinon D*, et al.* Oxytocin receptors in the dorsolateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis
1175 (BNST) bias fear learning toward temporally predictable cued fear. *Translational Psychiatry* **9**,
1176 140 (2019).
1177
1178 66. de la Zerda SH*, et al.* Social recognition in rats and mice requires integration of olfactory,
1179 somatosensory and auditory cues. *bioRxiv*, (2020).
1180
1181 67. Singer AG, Beauchamp GK, Yamazaki K. Volatile signals of the major histocompatibility
1182 complex in male mouse urine. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**, 2210-2214 (1997).
1183

1184   68.   Yokoi M, Mori K, Nakanishi S. Refinement of Odor Molecule Tuning by Dendrodendritic
1185   Synaptic Inhibition in the Olfactory-Bulb. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **92**, 3371-3375 (1995).
1186

1187   69.   Cleland TA, Sethupathy P. Non-topographical contrast enhancement in the olfactory bulb.
1188   *Bmc Neuroscience* **7**, 1-18 (2006).
1189

1190   70.   Paxinos G, Watson C. The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates: compact sixth edition.
1191   (ed^(eds). New York: Academic Press (2009).
1192

1193   71.   Egger V, Svoboda K, Mainen ZF. Mechanisms of lateral inhibition in the olfactory bulb:
1194   efficiency and modulation of spike-evoked calcium influx into granule cells. *Journal of*
1195   *Neuroscience* **23**, 7551-7558 (2003).
1196

1197   72.   Pignatelli A, Belluzzi O. Cholinergic modulation of dopaminergic neurons in the mouse
1198   olfactory bulb. *Chemical Senses* **33**, 331-338 (2008).
1199

1200   73.   Liu S, Aungst JL, Puche AC, Shipley MT. Serotonin modulates the population activity profile of
1201   olfactory bulb external tufted cells. *Journal of neurophysiology* **107**, 473-483 (2011).
1202

1203   74.   Zimnik NC, Treadway T, Smith RS, Araneda RC. α1A‐Adrenergic regulation of inhibition in
1204   the olfactory bulb. *The Journal of physiology* **591**, 1631-1643 (2013).
1205

1206   75.   Castillo PE, Carleton A, Vincent J-D, Lledo P-M. Multiple and opposing roles of cholinergic
1207   transmission in the main olfactory bulb. *Journal of Neuroscience* **19**, 9180-9191 (1999).
1208

1209   76.   Sun YG*, et al.* Biphasic cholinergic synaptic transmission controls action potential activity in
1210   thalamic reticular nucleus neurons. *J Neurosci* **33**, 2048-2059 (2013).
1211

1212   77.   Saboory E, Gholami M, Zare S, Roshan‐Milani S. The long‐term effects of neonatal
1213   morphine administration on the pentylenetetrazol seizure model in rats: The role of
1214   hippocampal cholinergic receptors in adulthood. *Developmental psychobiology* **56**, 498-509
1215   (2014).
1216

1217   78.   Rosenthal R, Cooper H, Hedges L. Parametric measures of effect size. *The handbook of*
1218   *research synthesis* **621**, 231-244 (1994).
1219
1220