Title

Dihydroceramide desaturase promotes the formation of intraluminal vesicles and inhibits autophagy to increase exosome production

Author List and Affiliations

Chen-Yi Wu[1,2,†], Jhih-Gang Jhang[1,†], Wan-Syuan Lin[1], Chih-Wei Lin[1], Li-An Chu[3,4], Ann-Shyn Chiang[3,5,6,7,8,9], Han-Chen Ho[10], Chih-Chiang Chan[1,*], Shu-Yi Huang[2,*]

[1] Graduate Institute of Physiology, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

[2] Department of Medical Research, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

[3] Brain Research Center, National Tsing Hua University, 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

[4] Department of Biomedical Engineering and Environmental Sciences, National Tsing Hua University, 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

[5] Institute of Systems Neuroscience, National Tsing Hua University, 101, Section 2, Kuang-Fu Road, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

[6] Department of Biomedical Science and Environmental Biology, Kaohsiung Medical University, 100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist., Kaohsiung City 80708, Taiwan

[7] Institute of Molecular and Genomic Medicine, National Health Research Institutes, 35 Keyan Road, Zhunan, Miaoli County 35053, Taiwan

[8] Graduate Institute of Clinical Medical Science, China Medical University, 91, Hsueh-Shih Road, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

[9] Kavli Institute for Brain and Mind, University of California at San Diego, UC San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0115, USA

[10] Department of Anatomy, Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

Author List Footnotes

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[*] Lead contacts

Contact Info

Correspondence: shuyih@ntuh.gov.tw and chancc1@ntu.edu.tw

Graphical Abstract

Highlights

- An *in vivo* system was developed for observing exosome production in *Drosophila*.

- Dihydroceramide desaturase (DEGS1/Ifc) promotes exosome production by two means.

- Ifc drives membrane invagination to promote the formation of intraluminal vesicles.

- Ifc inhibits autophagic degradation of MVEs and increases exosome release.

eTOC Blurb

The level and activity of dihydroceramide desaturase (human DEGS1 and Drosophila Ifc) correlate with exosome production. Wu et al. show that DEGS1 drives the formation of intraluminal vesicles *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Overexpressing Ifc inhibits autophagy and reduces the degradation of multivesicular endosomes, thus increases exosome release.

Summary

Exosomes are important for cell-cell communication. Deficiencies in the human dihydroceramide desaturase gene, *DEGS1*, increase the dihydroceramide-to-ceramide ratio and causes hypomyelinating leukodystrophy. However, the disease mechanism remains unknown. Here, we developed an *in vivo* assay with spatially controlled expression of exosome markers in *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs and showed that the level and activity of the DEGS1 ortholog, *ifc*, correlated with exosome production. Knocking out *ifc* decreased the density of the exosome precursor intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) in the multivesicular endosomes and reduced the number of exosomes released. While *ifc* overexpression and autophagy inhibition both enhanced exosome production, combining the two had no additive effect. Moreover, DEGS1 activity was sufficient to drive ILV formation *in vitro*. Together, DEGS1/Ifc controls the dihydroceramide-to-ceramide ration and enhances exosome secretion by promoting ILV formation and preventing the autophagic degradation of MVEs. These findings provide a potential cause for the neuropathy associated with DEGS1-deficient mutations.

Keywords

DEGS1, Ifc, dihydroceramide desaturase, dihydroceramide, ceramide, exosome, autophagy, multivesicular endosome

Introduction

Exosomes are secreted vesicles of approximately 100 nm in diameter that mediate cell-cell communication via the transport of proteins, RNAs, and lipid cargos. In the nervous system, exosomes contribute to the formation and maintenance of myelin sheath (Kramer-Albers et al., 2007). Exosomes originate from the invagination of the endosomal membrane in multivesicular endosomes (MVEs) to form intra-luminal vesicles (ILVs) (Raposo and Stoorvogel, 2013). ILVs can form by a mechanism involving the Endosomal Sorting Complexes Required for Transport (ESCRT) complex, which consists of more than 20 proteins that recruit ubiquitinated protein and other cargos and facilitate the budding and scission of the membrane into the MVE lumen to form ILVs (Katzmann et al., 2001, Adell et al., 2014). ILVs can also form via an ESCRT-independent, ceramide (Cer)-dependent mechanism. Trajkovic et al. (2008) show that the inhibition of neutral sphingomyelinase blocks the conversion of sphingomyelin to Cer and reduces exosome release from oligodendrocytes, suggesting that Cer promotes membrane inward budding for ILV formation. When the MVE membrane fuses with the plasma membrane, ILVs are released to the extracellular space as exosomes. Alternatively, ILV-containing MVEs may be targeted for autophagic-lysosomal degradation. Indeed, inhibition of lysosome-autophagosome fusion by bafilomycin A1 has been shown to increases the secretion of α-synuclein in the exosomes (Poehler et al., 2014, Alvarez-Erviti et al., 2011), suggesting cells may use exosomes as a protective mechanism to remove protein aggregates during lysosomal or autophagic dysfunction. Although a ubiquitin-like post-translational modification called ISGylation has been described to promote MVE degradation and thus block exosome secretion (Villarroya-Beltri et al., 2016), little is known regarding the effect of membrane lipid composition on the fate of MVEs.

The final step of Cer *de novo* synthesis is catalyzed by the enzyme dihydroceramide desaturase (DEGS1 in humans and Ifc in *Drosophila*) which

inserts a 4,5-*trans*-double bond into the sphingolipid backbone of

dihydroceramide (dhCer) to produce Cer. This structural difference has a

profound effect on the biophysical properties of Cer; therefore, the relative

abundance of Cer versus dhCer may affect the biological properties of cellular

membranes (Li et al., 2002). Indeed, genetic or drug inhibition of DEGS1/Ifc has

been shown to cause significant accumulation of dhCer which increases reactive

oxygen species, induces autophagy and ER stress, causes cell cycle arrest, and

even results in cell death in experimental models (Lee et al., 2012). In humans,

variants of the human dhCer desaturase gene, DEGS1, have recently been

linked to hypomyelinating leukodystrophy and systemic neuropathy (Dolgin et al.,

2019, Karsai et al., 2019, Pant et al., 2019). These reports not only confirm an

essential role for DEGS1 in the human nervous system, but also show that loss-

of-function mutations of DEGS1cause significant accumulation of dhCer and an

overall shift of cellular sphingolipid pool towards the dihydro forms (Karsai et al.,

2019). However, the underlying cause of neuropathy associated with loss of

DEGS1 activity remains to be investigated.

Our previous study shows that eye-specific knock-out of Drosophila *ifc*

leads to activity-dependent neurodegeneration, supporting a conserved function

in the nervous system. We also show that Ifc can function cell non-autonomously,

possibly mediated by exosomes (Jung et al., 2017). Although the cellular level of

dhCer is low, several studies report the presence of dhCer in the exosome

membrane (Brzozowski et al., 2018, Podbielska et al., 2016, Vallabhaneni et al.,

2015). Considering ~30% of cellular Cer is estimated to be produced via the *de*

novo synthesis pathway (Lee et al., 2012), whether DEGS1 activity and dhCer

level affect exosome formation and MVE degradation to determine exosome

production warrants further study.

In the present study, we developed an assay to observe exosome

secretion *in vivo* by expressing exosome markers in the dorsal half of *Drosophila*

eye imaginal discs. This system allowed the quantification of dorsally made

exosomes in response to genetic manipulations on the ventral half of the eye

imaginal discs. We also employed the giant unilamellar vesicle (GUV) system to

examine if human DEGS1 activity is sufficient to drive the formation of ILVs *in*

vitro. Our findings demonstrate an important role for DEGS1/Ifc in regulating both

the formation of intraluminal vesicles and the autophagic degradation of MVEs,

providing a possible mechanism underlying its function in the nervous system.

Results

<u>Knocking out *ifc* reduces the number of exosomes *in vivo*</u>

To evaluate the role of *ifc* in exosome biogenesis, we established an *in vivo*

system with the *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs that have been shown to secret

exosomes (Gross et al., 2012). We utilized the Dorsal-Eye Gal4 (*DE-GAL4*)

expression system (Morrison and Halder, 2010) to drive the expression of the

exosome marker GFP-CD63 (Panakova et al., 2005) restricted to the dorsal half

of the disc. We were able to detect the presence of GFP-CD63 puncta in the

acellular space above the morphogenetic furrow marked by the lack of DAPI

staining on the ventral side of the eye imaginal discs (illustrated in Figure 1A),

indicating the movement of GFP-CD63+ extracellular vesicles moving along the

dorsal-ventral axis. We collected particles released by the discs and determined

their sizes by nanoparticle tracking analysis. The average diameter of the

secreted vesicles was 113.8 ± 14.3 nm (Figure 1B), consistent with the size

range of exosomes. The morphology of the collected vesicles was also

consistent with that of exosomes by transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

(Figure 1C). Together, these findings show that the labeled puncta exhibit main

characteristics of exosomes based on marker proteins, size distribution, and

dorsal-ventral movement (Thery et al., 2018), supporting the use of GFP-CD63

as a surrogate to monitor exosome production in the system of *Drosophila* eye

imaginal discs

Upon quantification, we found that the number of CD63 puncta detected away from the dorsal compartment was significantly reduced in the *ifc-KO* clones in comparison with the FRT controls (Figures 1D-F). We further utilized this system to express the fusion protein of the flotillin protein Flo2 and RFP as a second exosome marker (Bischoff et al., 2013). Consistent with the results observed with GFP-CD63, *ifc-KO* reduced the number of Flo2-RFP puncta in the ventral compartment (Figure S1). Since *ifc-KO* leads to a drastic accumulation of dhCer, the decrease in exosomes produced by *ifc-KO* clones suggests that the accumulation of dhCer suppresses exosome secretion.

We then examined the morphology of MVE by analyzing the ultrastructural images of MVEs in wild-type (WT) and *ifc-KO* photoreceptors by TEM. The WT MVEs typically contained densely packed ILVs (Figure 2A). In comparison, the MVEs in *ifc-KO* cells appeared dilated (Figure 2B). Indeed, while the numbers of MVE per view field were similar between WT and mutant photoreceptors (Figure 2C, p = 0.3213), the size of the MVEs was significantly larger in *ifc-KO* photoreceptors (Figure 2D, p = 0.0226). Moreover, the average number of ILVs in each MVE was slightly reduced in *ifc-KO*, although the difference from WT was not statistically significant (Figure 2E, p = 0.1153). However, when the size of MVEs was taken into consideration, we found that the density of ILVs was significantly reduced in the mutant MVEs comparing with that of WT (Figure 2F, p < 0.0001). Together, these data show that *ifc-KO* affects the morphology of MVEs.

Enhancing *ifc* activity increases the number of exosomes

To determine whether enhanced *ifc* activity has the opposite effect of *ifc-KO*, we tested the effect of overexpressing wild-type Ifc with a C-terminal mCherry tag (*ifc(WT)-mCherry*) which we have shown to have the ability to rescue the *ifc-KO* phenotype (Jung et al., 2017). Overexpression of *ifc(WT)-mCherry* increased the number of GFP-CD63 puncta comparing with the UAS control of *mCherry-CAAX* overexpression (Figures 3A,B,D). We performed live imaging of *ex vivo* eye

imaginal discs using spinning disc confocal microscopy and light-sheet

microscopy and found that, in real-time, *ifc(WT)-mCherry* produced more GFP-

CD63 puncta than the *mCherry-CAAX* control (Supplemental videos 1-4).

Consistently, overexpression of the *ifc(WT)-mCherry* also increased the number

of extracellular puncta labeled with another exosome marker TSG101-HA (Thery

et al., 2001) (Figure S2). These results suggest that an increased level of Ifc

promotes exosome production.

To investigate whether the catalytic activity of Ifc was required, we mutated

one of the highly conserved histidine boxes that are required for the enzyme

activity of Ifc (Figure S3A) (Ternes et al., 2002). The mutation did not affect the

protein level as we showed by Western blot that the level of Ifc(C3^{mut})-mCherry

was comparable to that of Ifc(WT)-mCherry (Figures S3B,C). Sphingolipidomics

result show that *ifc(C3mut)-mCherry* failed to reverse the increase of dhCer-to-

Cer ratio in *ifc-KO*, suggesting that the C3 mutation impaired its catalytic activity

(Figure S3D). Overexpression of *ifc(C3^{mut})-mCherry* in *ifc-KO* eye imaginal discs

failed to increase the number of GFP-CD63 puncta released to the ventral side in

comparison with the *mCherry-CAAX* control (Figures 3A,C,D), suggesting that

the desaturase activity of Ifc is required to promote the production of exosomes.

These results show that the activity of DEGS1/Ifc to convert dhCer to Cer is

required to drive exosome formation *in vivo* and demonstrate a correlation

between the level and activity of Ifc with exosome production.

Ifc interacts with ESCRT genes to regulate exosome production

Since the role of ESCRT proteins in regulating the process of ILV formation is

well studied, we investigated the genetic interactions between *ifc* and the

ESCRT-0 gene *hrs* and the ESCRT-II gene *vps25*. Because homozygous

mutations of *hrs^{D28}* and *vps25^{A3}* are both lethal (Lloyd et al., 2002, Vaccari and

Bilder, 2005), we analyzed whether Ifc overexpression genetically interacts with

the heterozygous mutations of these two ESCRT genes to regulate exosome

production. As expected, the exosomes detected in *hrs$^{D28/+}$* and *vps25$^{A3/+}$* were

significantly fewer than that in wild-type control (Figure S4). We found that

overexpression of *ifc(WT)-mCherry* significantly rescued exosome production in

vps25^{A3/+} but not in *hrs^{D28/+}* (Figure 4). The result indicates that, for exosome

formation, overexpression of *ifc* can compensate for the partial loss of *vps25* but

not *hrs*. Since ESCRT-0 (Hrs) is important for the recruitment of ubiquitinated

cargos while the ESCRT-II (Vps25) complex is necessary for the initiation of

membrane invagination and the recruitment of the ESCRT-III complex (Wollert

and Hurley, 2010), this finding supports a role for Ifc in the inward budding of

MVE membrane during ILV biogenesis but possibly not in cargo recruitment.

DEGS1 drives the formation of ILVs in GUVs *in vitro*

To evaluate if dhCer desaturase is sufficient to drive ILV formation, we resorted to

the *in vitro* model membrane system of GUV. After the generation of GUVs, we

tracked the morphology of the GUVs over time and observed no spontaneous ILV

formation either with or without the inclusion of dhCer in the lipid mixture (Figures

5A,B-B',D-D'). Interestingly, when we added human DEGS1 recombinant protein

to the GUVs, we were able to observe the formation of ILVs in the GUVs that

contained dhCer within 5 minutes (Figures 5A,C-C',E-E'). When we added the

DEGS1 inhibitor fenretinide to the system, the formation of ILVs by DEGS1 was

blocked (Figures 5F,J-J'); the ethanol solvent control had no such inhibitory effect

(Figures 5F,H-H'). Therefore, the activity of DEGS1 was sufficient to drive the

formation of ILV *in vitro* by converting dhCer to Cer. These data further support

that the catalytic activity of DEGS1 promotes membrane invagination for the

initiation of exosome biogenesis.

Ifc promotes exosome secretion by blocking autophagy-mediated degradation of

MVEs

We and others have reported autophagy induction as a common outcome of

DEGS1/ifc inhibition not only in *Drosophila* but also in mammalian cell lines and

animal models (Barbarroja et al., 2015, Holland et al., 2007, Jung et al., 2017,

Kraveka et al., 2007). In addition, we previously identified the endosomal

localization of Ifc (Jung et al., 2017) and herein showed the regulation of

DEGS1/Ifc in exosome secretion. Therefore, we hypothesized that DEGS1/Ifc

functions as the pivot of MVB fate between autophagic degradation versus fusion

with the plasma membrane for exosome release. To evaluate the interrelationship

between autophagy and exosome pathways, we inhibited autophagy by feeding

the *Drosophila* larvae 3-methyladenine (3-MA) and chloroquine to block the

formation of autophagosome and prevent autophagosomes-lysosome fusion,

respectively (Tang et al., 2017, Jung et al., 2017). Inhibition of autophagy by 3-

MA and chloroquine both increased the numbers of GFP-CD63+ vesicles

released in the wild-type background (Figures 6A-D). Consistent with the results

shown in Figure 3, over-expression of *ifc(WT)-mCherry* increased the number of

ELVs (Figures 6A,E). Interestingly, feeding 3-MA or chloroquine to larvae

overexpressing *ifc* did not further increase the number of GFP-CD63 exosomes

in the ventral morphogenetic furrow (Figures 6E-H). These data suggest that

GFP-CD63 puncta in MVEs underwent autophagy-mediated degradation in *ifc-*

KO and suggest that Ifc promotes the production of exosomes by inhibiting

autophagy.

Discussion

In the present study, we developed an *in vivo* system for the analysis of exosome

production using *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs with the dorsal expression of

GFP-CD63. The validity of the system was supported by two additional exosome

markers, Flo2 and Tsg101, as well as size measurement by nanoparticle tracking

analysis. We showed *in vivo* and *in vitro* that the release of exosomes from the

dorsal half of *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs to the ventral morphogenetic furrow

correlated with not only the level but also the activity of the dihydroceramide

desaturase, *ifc*. Our genetic analysis and GUV assay support a clear role for Ifc

in the early stage of ILV formation in promoting membrane invagination. In

addition, *ifc* also affects the degradation of MVEs via autophagy. Overexpression

of *ifc* inhibits autophagy to preserve MVEs thus increase exosome secretion.

Together, our data show that DEGS1/Ifc promotes membrane invagination of ILV

formation during exosome biogenesis and prevents the autophagic degradation

of MVEs, thus promoting exosome formation and release.

Between the ESCRT-dependent and Cer-mediated mechanisms of

exosome biogenesis, it is generally believed that the ESCRT-dependent

mechanism is involved in the selective sorting of cargos, whereas the Cer-

dependent mechanisms are important to control the initial membrane budding

(Larios et al., 2020, Teis et al., 2010, Trajkovic et al., 2008). Here, we found that

overexpression of *ifc(WT)-mCherry* could rescue the exosome numbers in the

heterozygous mutant of *vps25* but not in that of *hrs*, suggesting that *ifc* does not

regulate cargo loading. However, *Kajimoto et al. (2013)* show that the continuous

Cer catabolism produces sphingosine 1-phosphate that is required for cargo

sorting into ILVs in human cells. Exosome membranes share similar lipid

composition with lipid rafts, both highly enriched for cholesterol and sphingolipids

(Hebbar et al., 2008). Lipid rafts are rigid membrane microdomains that serve as

a platform for protein-protein interaction and are essential for protein activation

and signal transduction (Lingwood and Simons, 2010). Cer-rich domains have

been recognized to affect raft properties by competing and displacing cholesterol

(Megha and London, 2004) and promoting the formation of highly ordered gel

phases (Bieberich, 2018). The Cer-rich membrane domains may not only serve

as a permissive environment to promote membrane invagination for ILV

formation, but may also behave as a platform to facilitate the recruitment of

ESCRT components. We have previously reported that Ifc predominantly resides

in the endosomes in *Drosophila* photoreceptors (Jung et al., 2017), which

suggests an on-site conversion of dhCer into Cer for the dynamic regulation of

membrane property. How the levels of Cer vs. dhCer on local membranes

influence biological processes such as ILV formation need to be further

investigated.

Several previous studies have shown that inhibition of autophagosome-

lysosome degradation enhances exosome secretion (Danzer et al., 2012, Fader

et al., 2008, Iguchi et al., 2016). Interestingly, inhibition of autophagy by

Bafilomycin A1 (BafA1) not only increases the secretion of CD63+ exosomes but

also increases the detection of autophagic proteins p62 and LC3 in exosomes

(Minakaki et al., 2018). However, autophagy proteins ATG12–ATG3 have been

shown to interact with the ESCRT-associated protein Alix to promote late

endosome to lysosome trafficking as well as exosome biogenesis (Murrow et al.,

2015). Also, knockout of ATG5 but not ATG7 significantly reduces the number of

exosomes, suggesting that not all of the autophagy core components participate

in the regulation of exosome release (Guo et al., 2017). In addition, exosomes

purified from cultured cortical neurons treated with BafA1 show a ~2-fold

increase in certain sphingolipid species, including Cer and dhCer, indicating

BafA1 affects the lipid composition of exosomes (Miranda et al., 2018). Leidal et

al. (2020) report that LC3 and LC3-conjugation machinery recruit specific cargos

to pack into LC3-positive EVs for secretion, a process that does not require the

ESCRT complex but is dependent on the activity of neutral sphingomyelinase 2,

an enzyme that hydrolyzes sphingomyelin to produced Cer. In the present study,

we showed that exosome production increased with the inhibition of autophagy

by either 3-MA or chloroquine. However, combining autophagy inhibition and *ifc*

overexpression did not further increase exosome production, implying that *ifc*

overexpression inhibits autophagy in opposite to *ifc-KO* which induces

autophagy. Membrane Cer/dhCer may play an important role in controlling the

fate of MVEs between autophagic degradation and secretion of exosomes;

further study is warranted to elucidate the mechanism in detail.

While the effects of Cer have been extensively studied in various contexts,

our findings draw attention to dhCer, which is a long-ignored sphingolipid

species. Although dhCer is structurally different from Cer only in the lack of the

4,5-double bond, the two sphingolipid species have widely different biophysical

properties and bioactivities. Several previous studies have hinted at a role for

dhCer in the nervous system. Jung et al. (2017) show that eye-specific *ifc-KO* results in activity-dependent neurodegeneration and demonstrated that *ifc* can function cell non-autonomously. Inherited DEGS1 deficiencies lead to hypomyelination in the central and peripheral nervous systems (Dolgin et al., 2019, Karsai et al., 2019, Pant et al., 2019). Since exosomes are important for myelination and demyelination, whether altered exosome production is the underlying cause of defects seen in patients with inherited DEGS1 deficiencies warrants further investigation.

Materials and Methods

Key Resources Table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Mouse anti-CD63	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)	Cat# H5C6; RRID: AB_528158
DAPI	ThermoFisher	Cat# D1306; RRID: AB_2629482
Anti-RFP	Rockland	Cat# 600-401-379; RRID: AB_2209751
Anti-HA	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 3724; RRID: AB_1549585
Anti-mCherry	GeneTex	Cat# GTX630189
Anti-Actin	Millipore	Cat# MAB1501; RRID: AB_2223041
anti-mouse-HRP	Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.	Cat# 115-005-003; RRID: AB_2338447
***Drosophila* Strains**		
ey-FLP	Bloomington stock center (BDSC)	5622

cl, FRT40A		5622
FRT40A		5615
DE-Gal4		29650
UAS-mCherry-CAAX		59021
UAS-hTSG101-HA		77977
hrs^{D28}		54574
vps25^{A3}		39633
ifc-KO, FRT40A	Jung et al. (2017)	
UAS-*ifc(WT)*-mCherry		
UAS-EGFP-CD63	Gift from Dr. Suzanne Eaton (Panakova et al., 2005)	
UAS-*ifc(C3*^{mut}*)*-mCherry	This study	
UAS-Flo2-RFP	Bischoff et al. (2013)	
Chemicals and other reagents		
Recombinant human DEGS1 protein	Abcam	ab160100
Sucrose	Sigma	S7903
NaCl		31434
Glucose		G8270
NADPH		1010782400
ATP		A2383
MgCl$_2$	Calbiochem	442611

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Drosophila strains and genetics

316 *Drosophila* stocks were maintained at 25°C on standard medium and genetic

317 crosses were performed following standard fly husbandry procedures.

318 Information on individual fly strains can be found on FlyBase (flybase.org) unless

319 otherwise noted in the above Key Resources Table.

Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy

322 For immunofluorescence staining, larval eye imaginal discs were dissected, fixed,

washed, and immunostained with primary and secondary antibodies as previously described (Jung et al., 2017). The antibodies used were listed in the above Key Resources Table. All samples were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and analyzed on a Carl Zeiss LSM880 confocal microscope with LAS AF software. Imaging data were processed and quantified using Photoshop (CS6; Adobe), Illustrator (CS6; Adobe), and ImageJ (National Institutes of Health).

Nanoparticle tracking analysis

Fifty to 100 eye imaginal discs were dissected and incubated in sterile-filtered Schneider medium (Gibco 21720024) with low-speed agitation (7 rpm) at room temperature for 2.5 h. The supernatant was then separated by low-speed centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 15 min to remove large debris, followed by another centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min. The samples were then passed through a Smart SEC single column (System Biosciences, USA) for EV isolation. The size distribution was determined using NanoSight NS300 (Malvern Panalytical Ltd, United Kingdom) under constant flow (flow rate = 70) at 25°C. The recordings were analyzed by NTA 3.4 software Build 3.4.003 with the detection threshold set to 6.

Transmission electron microscopy and image analysis of MVE morphology

Exosome samples were prepared as described for nanoparticle tracking analysis. Transmission electron microscopy was performed as previously described (Jung et al., 2017). To characterize the MVE morphology, the fields of imaging for the characterization within the eye of each genotype were selected randomly, and the image files were blinded for the analyses of both the area of MVE and the number of ILV. The area and number of MVE were quantified using Photoshop.

Timelapse live imaging of exosome secretion

For live imaging of exosome secretion by spinning disc confocal microscopy, timelapse images were aquired using a Zeiss spinning disc microscope equipped

with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 scanner unit and a high-resolution CCD (Phtometrics

PRISM 95B). Images were acquired through a 40X objective with an exposure

time of 200 ms every 5 minutes for 80 min. For live imaging of exosome secretion

by light sheet microscopy, the Gaussian intensity distribution of the laser was

projecting to an annular ring pattern on the customized aluminum coating mask

(thickness of 1,500 angstroms). The masked laser ring image was then projected

to a set of galvanometer scanners (6215H, Cambridge Technology) which were

composed of a pair of achromatic lenses (Thorlabs, AC254-100-A, Achromat,

Ø1", 400–750 nm), aligned in a 4f arrangement. After passing through the

scanning mirror set, the ring pattern was magnified through a relay lens

(Thorlabs, AC254-250-A and AC254-350-A Ø1" Achromat, 400–750 nm) and

conjugated to the back focal plane of the excitation objective (Nikon CFI Plan

Fluorite Objective, 0.30 NA, 3.5 mm WD). The annular pattern was projected to

the rear focal plane of the excitation objective and forms a self-reconstructive

Bessel beam by optical interference. The signal was detected by a 25X and an

sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu, Orca Flash 4.0 v3 sCMOS). Thirty frames were

acquired at each time point, with the exposure time of 30 ms for an area of 300

μm x 300 μm. The images for each time point were converted into 4D time series

by Imaris 9.1.1. (Bitplane AG).

Site-directed mutagenesis of *UAS-ifc* mutants

To generate point mutations in the catalytic domain of *UAS-ifc* transgene, the

pUASt-ifc was used as a backbone and site-directed mutagenesis was done with

QuikChange Kit (Agilent 200523) following the manufacturer's instruction. All

constructs were verified by sequencing. Transgenic flies were generated by

embryo injection according to standard protocols of integrase-mediated insertion

(Bischof et al., 2007) followed by a germline transmission screen. Primer

sequences for *ifc(C3^{mut})* were: (FWD) GCCAACGAGGCCGCCGACTTTCCG;

(REV) GGCGGCCTCGTTGGCGTAGCCCAC.

Western blotting

The protein extracts were prepared with dissected fly tissues that were

homogenized for 10 min at 4°C in 1x RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors

(ThermoFisher A32955), followed by centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 15min at 4°C

to remove the debris. The supernatant of the homogenates was mixed with 4X

sample buffer (20% glycerol, 4% SDS, 100 mM Tris pH 6.8, 0.002%

Bromophenol blue), boiled for 10 min, separated by SDS-PAGE, and transferred

in a wet-transfer tank to PVDF membranes (Millipore, IPVH00010) following

manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad). PVDF membranes were incubated with

5% non-fat milk in TBST [10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20] for

1 h at room temperature and then incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C

overnight. Membranes were then washed three times with TBST for 10 min each

before incubating with secondary antibodies in TBST for 1 h at room

temperature, washed with TBST three times, and developed with ECL reagents

(Millipore, WBKLS0500). The signals were captured by the BioSpectrum™ 600

Imaging System (UVP Ltd), and the relative intensities of bands were quantified

by densitometry using the Image J software (Schneider et al., 2012).

Sphingolipidomics

Sphingolipid extraction was performed as described {Jung, 2017 #57}. Briefly,

500 larvae (male and female were equally represented) were snap-frozen in

liquid nitrogen and homogenized by grinding.

Giant Unilamellar Vesicle (GUV) assay

GUV was prepared by the electroformation method (Lee et al., 2018). Briefly, the

lipid mixture of Dioleoylphosphatidylcholine (DOPC) and cholesterol was

dissolved in chloroform at 1:0.67 molar ratio to the final concentration of 15mM.

For lipid mixture with dhCer, the lipid mixture included DOPC:dhCer:cholesterol

at 1:1:0.67 molar ratio to the total concentration of 15mM. The lipid mixture was

then deposited into a chamber made with a rubber O-ring on an electrically

conductive ITO glass. The setup was vacuum dried at 50°C for 1 h, and the

solvent was evaporated thereby producing a thin lipid film. The chamber was

then re-filled with 2ml of 400mM sucrose. Depending on the experimental conditions, 0.2 μM of the DEGS1 inhibitor fenretinide might be added to the sucrose solution. After sealing the chamber with another piece of ITO glass, the setup was supplied with a sinusoidal voltage waveform of 3.5 V amplitude at 10 Hz frequency and kept at 65°C overnight. The unilamellar vesicles were cooled down and resuspended in 200 μl of 400mM glucose, and confocal images were acquired using Zeiss Cell Observer SD to show the morphology of GUV at time = 0. The recombinant DEGS1 protein at the concentration of 9.62 nM and co-factors (ATP 4 mM, NADPH 2 mM, MgCl2 2.4 mM, NaCl 50 mM, and sucrose 50 mM) were then supplemented to the GUV/sucrose mixture. The post-treatment images were acquired after 5 min of incubation at 37°C.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using a two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5. All data in bar graphs are shown as mean ± SEM. The quantified GFP-CD63 puncta in the experimental groups were normalized to the average of the controls which was set as 1. P values of less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. All quantifications included at least three experimental replicates.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Drs. Guang-Chao Chen, Robin Hiesinger, Jennifer Jin, Guang Lin, Ya-Wen Liu, Yi Henry Sun, Chao-Wen Wang, Chi-Kuang Yao, and Mr. Fei-Yang Tzou for their comments on the manuscript. The authors sincerely thank Dr. Ya-Wen Liu and Ms. Yu-Chen Chang for helping us established the GUV system. We thank the staff of the Imaging Core at the First Core Lab, National Taiwan University College of Medicine, the Second Core Lab, Department of Medical Research, National Taiwan University Hospital, and the

Electron Microscopy Laboratory, Tzu Chi University, for technical support. We
thank WellGenetics, Inc. for embryo injection service. Stocks obtained from the
Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this
study. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of
Taiwan grants 107-2635-B-002-001 to S.-Y. Huang and 108-2311-B-002-011-
MY3 to C.-C. Chan, National Taiwan University Hospital grant 109-21 to S.-Y.
Huang, and National Taiwan University grant 109L104308 to C.-C. Chan.
453

Author contributions

S.-Y. Huang and C.-C. Chan conceived the study; C.-Y. Wu, J.-G. Jhang, W.-S.
Lin, and C.-W. Lin carried out the fly experiments; J.-G. Jhang developed the *in*
vivo exosome release assay; C.-Y. Wu and H.-C. Ho carried out the EM analysis;
C.-Y. Wu, L.-A. Chu, and A.-S. Chiang performed live imaging; C.-Y. Wu designed
and carried out the GUV experiments; C.-Y. Wu, W.-S. Lin, and S.-Y. Huang
prepared the figures; S.-Y. Huang wrote the first draft; S.-Y. Huang, and C.-C.
Chan reviewed and edited the manuscript with comments from all other authors;
S.-Y. Huang and C.-C. Chan obtained the funding for the project; S.-Y. Huang
and C.-C. Chan supervised the project.

Declaration of interests

466 The authors declare no competing interests.

Abbreviations

Cer, ceramide;

dhCer, dihydroceramide;

ESCRT, Endosomal Sorting Complexes Required for Transport;

GUV, giant unilamellar vesicles;

ILVs, intraluminal vesicles;

MVEs, multivesicular endosomes.

References

Adell, M. A., Vogel, G. F., Pakdel, M., Muller, M., Lindner, H., Hess, M. W. & Teis, D. 2014. Coordinated binding of Vps4 to ESCRT-III drives membrane neck constriction during MVB vesicle formation. *J Cell Biol,* 205**,** 33-49. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201310114.

Alvarez-Erviti, L., Seow, Y., Schapira, A. H., Gardiner, C., Sargent, I. L., Wood, M. J. & Cooper, J. M. 2011. Lysosomal dysfunction increases exosome-mediated alpha-synuclein release and transmission. *Neurobiol Dis,* 42**,** 360-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2011.01.029.

Barbarroja, N., Rodriguez-Cuenca, S., Nygren, H., Camargo, A., Pirraco, A., Relat, J., Cuadrado, I., Pellegrinelli, V., Medina-Gomez, G., Lopez-Pedrera, C., Tinahones, F. J., Symons, J. D., Summers, S. A., Oresic, M. & Vidal-Puig, A. 2015. Increased dihydroceramide/ceramide ratio mediated by defective expression of degs1 impairs adipocyte differentiation and function. *Diabetes,* 64**,** 1180-92. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/db14-0359.

Bieberich, E. 2018. Sphingolipids and lipid rafts: Novel concepts and methods of analysis. *Chem Phys Lipids,* 216**,** 114-131. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2018.08.003.

Bischof, J., Maeda, R. K., Hediger, M., Karch, F. & Basler, K. 2007. An optimized transgenesis system for Drosophila using germ-line-specific phiC31 integrases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 104**,** 3312-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611511104.

Bischoff, M., Gradilla, A. C., Seijo, I., Andres, G., Rodriguez-Navas, C., Gonzalez-Mendez, L. & Guerrero, I. 2013. Cytonemes are required for the establishment of a normal Hedgehog morphogen gradient in Drosophila epithelia. *Nat Cell Biol,* 15**,** 1269-81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2856.

Brzozowski, J. S., Jankowski, H., Bond, D. R., Mccague, S. B., Munro, B. R., Predebon, M. J., Scarlett, C. J., Skelding, K. A. & Weidenhofer, J. 2018. Lipidomic profiling of extracellular vesicles derived from prostate and prostate cancer cell lines. *Lipids Health Dis,* 17**,** 211. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12944-018-0854-x.

Danzer, K. M., Kranich, L. R., Ruf, W. P., Cagsal-Getkin, O., Winslow, A. R., Zhu, L., Vanderburg, C. R. & Mclean, P. J. 2012. Exosomal cell-to-cell transmission of alpha synuclein oligomers. *Mol Neurodegener,* 7**,** 42. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-7-42.

Dolgin, V., Straussberg, R., Xu, R., Mileva, I., Yogev, Y., Khoury, R., Konen, O., Barhum, Y., Zvulunov, A., Mao, C. & Birk, O. S. 2019. DEGS1 variant causes neurological disorder. *Eur J Hum Genet,* 27**,** 1668-1676. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-019-0444-z.

Fader, C. M., Sanchez, D., Furlan, M. & Colombo, M. I. 2008. Induction of autophagy promotes fusion of multivesicular bodies with autophagic vacuoles in k562 cells. *Traffic,* 9**,** 230-50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00677.x.

Gross, J. C., Chaudhary, V., Bartscherer, K. & Boutros, M. 2012. Active Wnt proteins are secreted on exosomes. *Nat Cell Biol,* 14**,** 1036-45. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2574.

Guo, H., Chitiprolu, M., Roncevic, L., Javalet, C., Hemming, F. J., Trung, M. T., Meng, L.,
Latreille, E., Tanese De Souza, C., Mcculloch, D., Baldwin, R. M., Auer, R., Cote, J.,
Russell, R. C., Sadoul, R. & Gibbings, D. 2017. Atg5 Disassociates the V1V0-ATPase
to Promote Exosome Production and Tumor Metastasis Independent of Canonical
Macroautophagy. *Dev Cell,* 43**,** 716-730 e7. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.11.018.

Hebbar, S., Lee, E., Manna, M., Steinert, S., Kumar, G. S., Wenk, M., Wohland, T. & Kraut,
R. 2008. A fluorescent sphingolipid binding domain peptide probe interacts with
sphingolipids and cholesterol-dependent raft domains. *J Lipid Res,* 49**,** 1077-89.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M700543-JLR200.

Holland, W. L., Brozinick, J. T., Wang, L. P., Hawkins, E. D., Sargent, K. M., Liu, Y., Narra, K.,
Hoehn, K. L., Knotts, T. A., Siesky, A., Nelson, D. H., Karathanasis, S. K., Fontenot, G.
K., Birnbaum, M. J. & Summers, S. A. 2007. Inhibition of ceramide synthesis
ameliorates glucocorticoid-, saturated-fat-, and obesity-induced insulin resistance.
Cell Metab, 5**,** 167-79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.01.002.

Iguchi, Y., Eid, L., Parent, M., Soucy, G., Bareil, C., Riku, Y., Kawai, K., Takagi, S., Yoshida, M.,
Katsuno, M., Sobue, G. & Julien, J. P. 2016. Exosome secretion is a key pathway for
clearance of pathological TDP-43. *Brain,* 139**,** 3187-3201. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww237.

Jung, W. H., Liu, C. C., Yu, Y. L., Chang, Y. C., Lien, W. Y., Chao, H. C., Huang, S. Y., Kuo, C. H.,
Ho, H. C. & Chan, C. C. 2017. Lipophagy prevents activity-dependent
neurodegeneration due to dihydroceramide accumulation in vivo. *EMBO Rep,* 18**,**
1150-1165. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201643480.

Kajimoto, T., Okada, T., Miya, S., Zhang, L. & Nakamura, S. 2013. Ongoing activation of
sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors mediates maturation of exosomal
multivesicular endosomes. *Nat Commun,* 4**,** 2712. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3712.

Karsai, G., Kraft, F., Haag, N., Korenke, G. C., Hanisch, B., Othman, A., Suriyanarayanan, S.,
Steiner, R., Knopp, C., Mull, M., Bergmann, M., Schroder, J. M., Weis, J., Elbracht,
M., Begemann, M., Hornemann, T. & Kurth, I. 2019. DEGS1-associated aberrant
sphingolipid metabolism impairs nervous system function in humans. *J Clin Invest,*
129**,** 1229-1239. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI124159.

Katzmann, D. J., Babst, M. & Emr, S. D. 2001. Ubiquitin-dependent sorting into the
multivesicular body pathway requires the function of a conserved endosomal
protein sorting complex, ESCRT-I. *Cell,* 106**,** 145-55. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00434-2.

Kramer-Albers, E. M., Bretz, N., Tenzer, S., Winterstein, C., Mobius, W., Berger, H., Nave, K.
A., Schild, H. & Trotter, J. 2007. Oligodendrocytes secrete exosomes containing
major myelin and stress-protective proteins: Trophic support for axons?
Proteomics Clin Appl, 1**,** 1446-61. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/prca.200700522.

Kraveka, J. M., Li, L., Szulc, Z. M., Bielawski, J., Ogretmen, B., Hannun, Y. A., Obeid, L. M. &
Bielawska, A. 2007. Involvement of dihydroceramide desaturase in cell cycle
progression in human neuroblastoma cells. *J Biol Chem,* 282**,** 16718-28. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700647200.

Larios, J., Mercier, V., Roux, A. & Gruenberg, J. 2020. ALIX- and ESCRT-III-dependent sorting
of tetraspanins to exosomes. *J Cell Biol,* 219. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201904113.

Lee, C. W., Chiang, Y. L., Liu, J. T., Chen, Y. X., Lee, C. H., Chen, Y. L. & Hwang, I. S. 2018.
Emerging Roles of Air Gases in Lipid Bilayers. *Small,* 14**,** e1802133. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201802133.

Lee, S. Y., Kim, J. R., Hu, Y., Khan, R., Kim, S. J., Bharadwaj, K. G., Davidson, M. M., Choi, C.
S., Shin, K. O., Lee, Y. M., Park, W. J., Park, I. S., Jiang, X. C., Goldberg, I. J. & Park, T.
S. 2012. Cardiomyocyte specific deficiency of serine palmitoyltransferase subunit
2 reduces ceramide but leads to cardiac dysfunction. *J Biol Chem,* 287**,** 18429-39.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.296947.

Leidal, A. M., Huang, H. H., Marsh, T., Solvik, T., Zhang, D., Ye, J., Kai, F., Goldsmith, J., Liu,
J. Y., Huang, Y. H., Monkkonen, T., Vlahakis, A., Huang, E. J., Goodarzi, H., Yu, L.,
Wiita, A. P. & Debnath, J. 2020. The LC3-conjugation machinery specifies the
loading of RNA-binding proteins into extracellular vesicles. *Nat Cell Biol,* 22**,** 187-
199. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0450-y.

Li, L., Tang, X., Taylor, K. G., Dupre, D. B. & Yappert, M. C. 2002. Conformational
characterization of ceramides by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.
Biophys J, 82**,** 2067-80. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75554-9.

Lingwood, D. & Simons, K. 2010. Lipid rafts as a membrane-organizing principle. *Science,*
327**,** 46-50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1174621.

Lloyd, T. E., Atkinson, R., Wu, M. N., Zhou, Y., Pennetta, G. & Bellen, H. J. 2002. Hrs regulates
endosome membrane invagination and tyrosine kinase receptor signaling in
Drosophila. *Cell,* 108**,** 261-9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-
8674(02)00611-6.

Megha & London, E. 2004. Ceramide selectively displaces cholesterol from ordered lipid
domains (rafts): implications for lipid raft structure and function. *J Biol Chem,* 279**,**
9997-10004. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M309992200.

Minakaki, G., Menges, S., Kittel, A., Emmanouilidou, E., Schaeffner, I., Barkovits, K.,
Bergmann, A., Rockenstein, E., Adame, A., Marxreiter, F., Mollenhauer, B., Galasko,
D., Buzas, E. I., Schlotzer-Schrehardt, U., Marcus, K., Xiang, W., Lie, D. C., Vekrellis,
K., Masliah, E., Winkler, J. & Klucken, J. 2018. Autophagy inhibition promotes
SNCA/alpha-synuclein release and transfer via extracellular vesicles with a hybrid
autophagosome-exosome-like phenotype. *Autophagy,* 14**,** 98-119. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2017.1395992.

Miranda, A. M., Lasiecka, Z. M., Xu, Y., Neufeld, J., Shahriar, S., Simoes, S., Chan, R. B.,
Oliveira, T. G., Small, S. A. & Di Paolo, G. 2018. Neuronal lysosomal dysfunction
releases exosomes harboring APP C-terminal fragments and unique lipid
signatures. *Nat Commun,* 9**,** 291. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-
02533-w.

Morrison, C. M. & Halder, G. 2010. Characterization of a dorsal-eye Gal4 Line in Drosophila.
Genesis, 48**,** 3-7. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.20571.

Murrow, L., Malhotra, R. & Debnath, J. 2015. ATG12-ATG3 interacts with Alix to promote

basal autophagic flux and late endosome function. *Nat Cell Biol,* 17**,** 300-10.   DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3112.

Panakova, D., Sprong, H., Marois, E., Thiele, C. & Eaton, S. 2005. Lipoprotein particles are
required for Hedgehog and Wingless signalling. *Nature,* 435**,** 58-65.   DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03504.

Pant, D. C., Dorboz, I., Schluter, A., Fourcade, S., Launay, N., Joya, J., Aguilera-Albesa, S.,
Yoldi, M. E., Casasnovas, C., Willis, M. J., Ruiz, M., Ville, D., Lesca, G., Siquier-Pernet,
K., Desguerre, I., Yan, H., Wang, J., Burmeister, M., Brady, L., Tarnopolsky, M.,
Cornet, C., Rubbini, D., Terriente, J., James, K. N., Musaev, D., Zaki, M. S., Patterson,
M. C., Lanpher, B. C., Klee, E. W., Pinto, E. V. F., Wohler, E., Sobreira, N. L. M., Cohen,
J. S., Maroofian, R., Galehdari, H., Mazaheri, N., Shariati, G., Colleaux, L., Rodriguez,
D., Gleeson, J. G., Pujades, C., Fatemi, A., Boespflug-Tanguy, O. & Pujol, A. 2019.
Loss of the sphingolipid desaturase DEGS1 causes hypomyelinating leukodystrophy.
J Clin Invest, 129**,** 1240-1256.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI123959.

Podbielska, M., Szulc, Z. M., Kurowska, E., Hogan, E. L., Bielawski, J., Bielawska, A. & Bhat,
N. R. 2016. Cytokine-induced release of ceramide-enriched exosomes as a
mediator of cell death signaling in an oligodendroglioma cell line. *J Lipid Res,* 57**,**
2028-2039.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M070664.

Poehler, A. M., Xiang, W., Spitzer, P., May, V. E., Meixner, H., Rockenstein, E., Chutna, O.,
Outeiro, T. F., Winkler, J., Masliah, E. & Klucken, J. 2014. Autophagy modulates
SNCA/alpha-synuclein release, thereby generating a hostile microenvironment.
Autophagy, 10**,** 2171-92.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.36436.

Raposo, G. & Stoorvogel, W. 2013. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes, microvesicles, and
friends. *J Cell Biol,* 200**,** 373-83.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201211138.

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
image analysis. *Nat Methods,* 9**,** 671-5.   DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Tang, Y., Cai, Q. H., Wang, Y. J., Fan, S. H., Zhang, Z. F., Xiao, M. Q., Zhu, J. Y., Wu, D. M., Lu,
J. & Zheng, Y. L. 2017. Protective effect of autophagy on endoplasmic reticulum
stress induced apoptosis of alveolar epithelial cells in rat models of COPD. *Biosci*
Rep, 37.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20170803.

Teis, D., Saksena, S., Judson, B. L. & Emr, S. D. 2010. ESCRT-II coordinates the assembly of
ESCRT-III filaments for cargo sorting and multivesicular body vesicle formation.
EMBO J, 29**,** 871-83.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2009.408.

Ternes, P., Franke, S., Zahringer, U., Sperling, P. & Heinz, E. 2002. Identification and
characterization of a sphingolipid delta 4-desaturase family. *J Biol Chem,* 277**,**
25512-8.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M202947200.

Thery, C., Boussac, M., Veron, P., Ricciardi-Castagnoli, P., Raposo, G., Garin, J. & Amigorena,
S. 2001. Proteomic analysis of dendritic cell-derived exosomes: a secreted
subcellular compartment distinct from apoptotic vesicles. *J Immunol,* 166**,** 7309-
18.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.12.7309.

Thery, C., Witwer, K. W., Aikawa, E., Alcaraz, M. J., Anderson, J. D., Andriantsitohaina, R.,
Antoniou, A., Arab, T., Archer, F., Atkin-Smith, G. K., Ayre, D. C., Bach, J. M.,
Bachurski, D., Baharvand, H., Balaj, L., Baldacchino, S., Bauer, N. N., Baxter, A. A.,

Bebawy, M., Beckham, C., Bedina Zavec, A., Benmoussa, A., Berardi, A. C., Bergese,
650   P., Bielska, E., Blenkiron, C., Bobis-Wozowicz, S., Boilard, E., Boireau, W.,
651   Bongiovanni, A., Borras, F. E., Bosch, S., Boulanger, C. M., Breakefield, X., Breglio,
652   A. M., Brennan, M. A., Brigstock, D. R., Brisson, A., Broekman, M. L., Bromberg, J.
653   F., Bryl-Gorecka, P., Buch, S., Buck, A. H., Burger, D., Busatto, S., Buschmann, D.,
654   Bussolati, B., Buzas, E. I., Byrd, J. B., Camussi, G., Carter, D. R., Caruso, S., Chamley,
655   L. W., Chang, Y. T., Chen, C., Chen, S., Cheng, L., Chin, A. R., Clayton, A., Clerici, S. P.,
656   Cocks, A., Cocucci, E., Coffey, R. J., Cordeiro-Da-Silva, A., Couch, Y., Coumans, F. A.,
657   Coyle, B., Crescitelli, R., Criado, M. F., D'souza-Schorey, C., Das, S., Datta Chaudhuri,
658   A., De Candia, P., De Santana, E. F., De Wever, O., Del Portillo, H. A., Demaret, T.,
659   Deville, S., Devitt, A., Dhondt, B., Di Vizio, D., Dieterich, L. C., Dolo, V., Dominguez
660   Rubio, A. P., Dominici, M., Dourado, M. R., Driedonks, T. A., Duarte, F. V., Duncan,
661   H. M., Eichenberger, R. M., Ekstrom, K., El Andaloussi, S., Elie-Caille, C., Erdbrugger,
662   U., Falcon-Perez, J. M., Fatima, F., Fish, J. E., Flores-Bellver, M., Forsonits, A., Frelet-
663   Barrand, A., et al. 2018. Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles
664   2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for
665   Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *J Extracell Vesicles,*
666   7**,** 1535750.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750.

667 Trajkovic, K., Hsu, C., Chiantia, S., Rajendran, L., Wenzel, D., Wieland, F., Schwille, P.,
668   Brugger, B. & Simons, M. 2008. Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into
669   multivesicular   endosomes.   *Science,*   319**,**   1244-7.   DOI:
670   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153124.

671 Vaccari, T. & Bilder, D. 2005. The Drosophila tumor suppressor vps25 prevents
672   nonautonomous overproliferation by regulating notch trafficking. *Dev Cell,* 9**,** 687-
673   98.   DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.09.019.

674 Vallabhaneni, K. C., Penfornis, P., Dhule, S., Guillonneau, F., Adams, K. V., Mo, Y. Y., Xu, R.,
675   Liu, Y., Watabe, K., Vemuri, M. C. & Pochampally, R. 2015. Extracellular vesicles
676   from bone marrow mesenchymal stem/stromal cells transport tumor regulatory
677   microRNA,   proteins,   and   metabolites.   *Oncotarget,*   6**,**   4953-67.   DOI:
678   https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3211.

679 Villarroya-Beltri, C., Baixauli, F., Mittelbrunn, M., Fernandez-Delgado, I., Torralba, D.,
680   Moreno-Gonzalo, O., Baldanta, S., Enrich, C., Guerra, S. & Sanchez-Madrid, F. 2016.
681   ISGylation controls exosome secretion by promoting lysosomal degradation of
682   MVB   proteins.   *Nat*   *Commun,*   7**,**   13588.   DOI:
683   https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13588.

684 Wollert, T. & Hurley, J. H. 2010. Molecular mechanism of multivesicular body biogenesis
685   by   ESCRT   complexes.   *Nature,*   464**,**   864-9.   DOI:
686   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08849.

688
689
690
691

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1. Knocking out *ifc* reduces the number of released exosomes *in vivo*. (A) A schematic illustration of the system for observing the release of exosomes. *Dorsal-Eye Gal4* (*DE-Gal4*) drives the expression of *UAS-GFP-CD63* in the dorsal half of the eye imaginal disc (green). The secreted exosomes that move towards the ventral side alone the morphogenetic furrow (MF) into the ventral side where images were taken (red box). The intensity and contrast were linearly enhanced for the quantification of fluorescent puncta in the MF within the region of interest (ROI, white box). **(B)** The representative size distribution of exosomes collected from approximately 50 eye imaginal discs determined by nanoparticle tracking analysis using Nanosight NS300. **(C)** Representative image showing the morphology of exosomes by transmission electron microscopy. **(D-E)** Representative confocal images of *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs with dorsally expressed GFP-CD63 in FRT control (B-B") and *ifc-KO* (C-C") showing signals merged with DAPI in blue (B,C), GFP-CD63 detected by anti-CD63 staining in white (B',C'), and enlarged images of the ROIs with linearly enhanced brightness and contrast to show the GFP-CD63 puncta in the MF (B",C"). Scale bars: 10 µm. **(F)** Quantification of GFP-CD63 puncta. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments. *** $p < 0.001$ (Student's *t*-test).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Knocking-out *ifc* reduces the density of intraluminal vesicles

(ILVs) inside of multivesicular endosomes (MVEs). (A and B) Representative

transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the wild-type control (A) and

ifc-KO photoreceptors (B) after 3 days of light stimulation. Scale bars: 250 nm.

(C-F) Quantification of TEM images including the number of MVEs per field (C),

the area of MVEs (D), the number of ILVs per MVE (E), and the number of ILVs

per unit area of MVEs (F). Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three

independent experiments. *** $p < 0.001$; * $p < 0.05$; ns: Not significant (Student's

t-test).

Figure 3

Figure 3. Enhancing *ifc* activity increases the number of exosomes. (A-B)

Representative confocal images of *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs with dorsally

expressed *GFP-CD63* with the UAS control *mCherry-CAAX* (D-D'''), *ifc(WT)-*

mCherry (E-E'''), or *ifc(C3^{mut})-mCherry* (F-F''') showing merged images with DAPI

in blue (D,E,F), mCherry fluorescence in red (D',E',F'), anti-CD63 staining in

white (D'',E'',F''), and enlarged images of the ROI (white box) with linearly

enhanced brightness and contrast to reveal GFP-CD63 puncta in the MF

(D''',E''',F'''). Scale bars: 10 μm.   **(G)** Quantification of GFP-CD63 puncta. Bar

charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments. *** p <

0.001; ns: Not significant (Student's *t*-test).

Figure 4

Figure 4. Ifc genetically interacts with ESCRT genes to regulate exosome production. (A-C) Confocal images of *Drosophila* eye imaginal discs with dorsally expressed *GFP-CD63* and *ifc(WT)-mCherry* in the control (A), *hrs*[D28/+] (B), and *vps25*[A3/+] (C) showing merged images with DAPI in blue (A,B,C), mCherry fluorescence in red (A',B',C'), anti-CD63 staining in white (A",B",C"), and enlarged images of the ROI (white box) with linearly enhanced brightness and contrast to reveal GFP-CD63 puncta in the MF in the ROIs (A'",B'",C'"). Scale bars: 10 µm. **(D)** Quantification of GFP-CD63 puncta. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments. *** $p < 0.001$; ns: Not significant (one-way ANOVA).

Figure 5

Figure 5. DEGS1 drives the formation of ILVs in the giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) *in vitro*. (A) Table summary of the percentages of GUVs that formed ILVs within 5 min with or without dhCer and recombinant DEGS1 protein. (B-E) Representative images of GUVs in (A). (F) Table summary of the percentages of GUVs that showed ILV formation within 5 min with and without DEGS1 protein in the presence of ethanol (EtOH) solvent or 0.2 μM fenretinide, a DEGS1 inhibitor. (G-J) Representative images of GUVs in (F). Scale bar: 5 μm.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Ifc promotes exosome secretion by blocking autophagy-mediated

degradation of MVEs. (A-C) Representative confocal images of *Drosophila* eye

imaginal discs with dorsally expressed *GFP-CD63* treated with ddH$_2$O (A-A'''), 3-

methyladenine (3-MA, B-B'''), and chloroquine (C-C''') showing merged images

with DAPI in blue (A,B,C), mCherry in red (A',B',C'), anti-CD63 staining in white

(A'',B'',C''), and enlarged images of the ROI (white box) with linearly enhanced

brightness and contrast to show GFP-CD63 puncta in the MF (A''',B''',C'''). **(D)**

Quantification of GFP-CD63 puncta. **(E-G)** Representative confocal images of

Drosophila eye imaginal discs with dorsally expressed GFP-CD63 and *ifc(WT)-*

mCherry treated with ddH$_2$O (E-E'''), 3-methyladenine (3-MA, F-F'''), and

chloroquine (G-G''') showing merged images with DAPI in blue (E,F,G), Ifc(WT)-

mCherry in red (E',F',G'), anti-CD63 staining in white (E",F",G"), and enlarged

images of the ROI with linearly enhanced brightness and contrast to show GFP-

CD63 puncta in the MF (E''',F''',G'''). **(H)** Quantification of GFP-CD63 puncta.

Scale bars: 10 µm. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent

experiments. *** $p < 0.001$; ns: Not significant (Student's *t*-test).

Supplemental Figure 1

Figure S1. Knocking out _ifc_ reduces the number of Flo2-labelled exosomes.

(A-B) Representative confocal images of _Drosophila_ eye imaginal discs

expressing Flo2-RFP in the dorsal compartment in the FRT control (A-A") and _ifc-_

_KO_ eyes (B-B") showing merged images with DAPI in blue (A,B), Flo2-RPF

signal enhanced by anti-RFP staining pseudocolored in white (A',B') and

enlarged and linearly enhanced images of the ROI (white box) to reveal Flo2-

RFP puncta in the MF (A"-B"). Scale bars: 10 µm. **(C)** Quantification of Flo2-RFP

puncta. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments.

* p < 0.05 (Student's _t_-test).

Supplemental Figure 2

Figure S2. Enhancing *ifc* activity increases the release of TSG101-HA-

labelled exosomes. Representative confocal images of *Drosophila* eye imaginal

discs dorsally expressed *TSG101-HA* with UAS *mCherry-CAAX* (control, A-A''')

or *ifc(WT)-mCherry* (B-B''') showing merged images with DAPI in blue (A,B),

mCherry fluorescence in red (A',B'), anti-HA staining in white (A'',B''), and

enlarged images of the ROI (white box) with linearly enhanced brightness and

contrast to reveal TSG101-HA puncta in the MF (A''',B'''). Scale bars: 10 μm. **(C)**

Quantification of TSG101-HA puncta. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least

three independent experiments. *** p < 0.001; ns: Not significant (Student's *t*-

test).

 Supplemental Figure 3

Figure S3. The construction and characterization of transgenically

expressed *ifc(C3^{mut})-mCherry*. (A) A schematic illustration of the histidine-rich

regions that are key catalytic domains of dihydroceramide desaturases. The

amino acid sequences of the catalytic domains are highly conserved between

Drosophila Ifc and Human DEGS1. TM: predicted transmembrane domains; C:

histidine-rich catalytic domains. **(B)** Western blot analysis of Ifc-mCherry proteins

expressed in flies under *nSyb-Gal4*. Actin was used as the loading control. **(C)**

Quantification of the protein levels of mCherry-tagged *ifc* transgenes relative to

actin. Normalized to *ifc(WT)-mCherry*. ns: Not significant (Student's *t*-test). **(D)**

The dhCer-to-Cer ratio of *w1118* control; *ifc-KO* alone; *ifc-KO,tub>ifc(WT)-*

mCherry; and *ifc-KO,tub>ifc(C3^{mut})-mCherry* measured by HPLC-MS/MS.

 Supplemental Figure 4

Figure S4. Heterozygous mutations in the ESCRT genes _hrs_ and _vps25_

reduce the number of exosomes. (A-C) Confocal images of _Drosophila_ eye

imaginal discs with dorsally expressed _GFP-CD63_ in the wile-type control (A),

hrs$^{D28/+}$ (B), and _vps25$^{A3/+}$_ (C) showing merged images with DAPI in blue (A,B,C),

anti-CD63 staining in white (A',B',C'), and enlarged images of the ROI (white box)

with linearly enhanced brightness and contrast to reveal GFP-CD63 puncta in the

MF in the ROIs (A",B",C"). Scale bars: 10 µm. **(D)** Quantification of GFP-CD63

puncta. Bar charts show mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments.

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$ (one-way ANOVA).

Supplemental video 1

Live imaging by spinning disc confocal microscopy of GFP-CD63 puncta

produced by eye imaginal disc over-expressing Ifc(WT)-mCherry *ex vivo*.

Supplemental video 2

Live imaging by spinning disc confocal microscopy of GFP-CD63 puncta

produced by mCherry-CAAX control eye imaginal disc.

Supplemental video 3

Light-sheet microscopy of GFP-CD63 puncta produced by eye imaginal disc

over-expressing Ifc(WT)-mCherry.

Supplemental video 4

Light-sheet microscopy of GFP-CD63 puncta produced by the mCherry-CAAX

control imaginal disc.