SMC Complexes Are Guarded by the SUMO Protease Ulp2 Against SUMO-Chain-Mediated Turnover

Ivan Psakhye[1] and Dana Branzei[1, 2*]

[1] IFOM, the FIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology, Via Adamello 16, 20139 Milan, Italy

[2] Istituto di Genetica Molecolare, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (IGM-CNR), Via Abbiategrasso 207, 27100 Pavia, Italy

* Corresponding Author: Dana Branzei (dana.branzei@ifom.eu)

Keywords

Cohesin, Condensin, Smc5/6, SMC complexes, Pds5, SUMO, SENP/Ulp proteases, SUMO chains, STUbL-mediated proteasomal degradation, Slx5/8

ABSTRACT

Structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) complexes, cohesin, condensin and Smc5/6, are essential for viability and participate in multiple processes, including sister chromatid cohesion, chromosome condensation, and DNA repair. Here we show that SUMO chains target all three SMC complexes and are antagonized by the SUMO protease Ulp2 to prevent their turnover. We uncover that the essential role of the cohesin-associated subunit Pds5 is to counteract SUMO chains jointly with Ulp2. Importantly, fusion of Ulp2 to kleisin Scc1 supports viability of *PDS5* null cells and protects cohesin from proteasomal degradation mediated by the SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligase Slx5/Slx8. The lethality of *PDS5* deleted cells can also be bypassed by simultaneous loss of the PCNA unloader, Elg1, and the cohesin releaser, Wpl1, but only when Ulp2 is functional. Condensin and Smc5/6 complex are similarly guarded by Ulp2 against unscheduled SUMO-chain assembly, which we propose to time the availability of SMC complexes on chromatin.

INTRODUCTION

Posttranslational modification of proteins with the small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) is essential for eukaryotic cells as it regulates substrate fate by affecting protein interactions, activity, localization and abundance (Flotho and Melchior 2013). SUMOylation frequently targets entire protein groups, actively engaged in common functions (Psakhye and Jentsch 2012; Jentsch and Psakhye 2013), and fosters protein complex formation through SUMO binding to SUMO-interacting motifs (SIMs). Similar to ubiquitin, SUMO is conjugated to exposed lysines on the substrates leading to monoSUMOylation or, if several lysines are modified, to multiSUMOylation. Moreover, mono/multiSUMOylation may be extended to SUMO chains when lysines of SUMO conjugated to the substrate are being further modified with SUMO, leading to substrate polySUMOylation. The modifications are reversible and counteracted by SUMO proteases, which have different localization, substrate and SUMO-linkage specificities (Hickey et al. 2012). In budding yeast, the SUMO protease Ulp2 has preference for SUMO chains and prevents substrate polySUMOylation, which can be further recognized by ubiquitin E3 ligases containing multiple SIMs. These so-called SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligases (STUbLs) can mediate proteolytic or non-proteolytic ubiquitylation of the SUMOylated substrate (Sriramachandran and Dohmen 2014). Thus, SUMO chains disassembled by the Ulp2 protease may function as a countdown timer if they are assembled on the substrates of STUbLs.

We recently reported that SUMO-chain/Ulp2-protease-regulated proteasomal degradation is a mechanism that times the availability of DDK, a key replication initiation regulator (Psakhye et al. 2019). To extend our findings beyond DNA replication, we performed unbiased SILAC-based proteomic screen to uncover degradation-prone SUMO conjugates that decrease in abundance in the absence of

Ulp2 specifically in a SUMO-chain-dependent manner. Strikingly, we found subunits of all three SMC complexes, cohesin, condensin and Smc5/6 (Nasmyth and Haering 2005; Yatskevich et al. 2019) as potential hits, suggesting that the abundance of SMC complexes is regulated via a SUMO-chain-dependent mechanism.

Cohesin was previously shown to become SUMOylated upon loading onto DNA, and loss of cohesin SUMOylation resulted in cohesion defects (Almedawar et al. 2012; McAleenan et al. 2012). Moreover, the cohesin associated factor, Pds5, was proposed to protect monoSUMOylated cohesin and facilitate cohesion by preventing via a yet unidentified mechanism Siz2 SUMO-ligase-mediated cohesin polySUMOylation that leads to increased Slx5/8 STUbL-mediated proteasome degradation of the cohesin kleisin, Scc1 (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). More recently, depletion of *SENP6,* the human ortholog of Ulp2, was shown to decrease cohesin binding to chromatin and cause cohesion defects (Wagner et al. 2019). Taken together, these data suggest that mono/multiSUMOylation of DNA-loaded cohesin is important for cohesion, whereas polySUMOylation induced in *pds5* mutants targets cohesin for STUbL-mediated proteasomal turnover compromising cohesion.

Here we aimed to address if and how Ulp2 protects cohesin and other SMC complexes from SUMO-chain-targeted turnover. We find that fusion of Ulp2 to the cohesin's kleisin Scc1 protects cohesin from proteasomal degradation and supports viability in the complete absence of Pds5 that is otherwise essential. Moreover, we identify that simultaneous loss of the PCNA unloader, Elg1, and the cohesin releaser, Wpl1, allows viability of *PDS5* null cells in a manner strictly depending on Ulp2 function. These results indicate that the essential function of Pds5 is to counteract SUMO chains jointly with Ulp2 rather than support cohesin activity in other direct ways. Condensin and Smc5/6 are also safeguarded by Ulp2 against unscheduled

SUMO chain assembly, overall suggesting a SUMO-chain/Ulp2-protease-governed mechanism that instructs SMC complexes availability on chromatin.

RESULTS

SUMO chains target SMC complexes and promote their turnover

We have used quantitative proteomics to identify SUMO substrates whose turnover is promoted by SUMO chains in the absence of the SUMO protease Ulp2 that possesses SUMO-chain-editing activity in yeast cells (Eckhoff and Dohmen 2015). To these ends, we used a SILAC-based mass spectrometry approach (Mann 2006) and compared by denaturing Ni-NTA pull-down (Ni PD (Psakhye and Jentsch 2012; Psakhye and Jentsch 2016)) the levels of SUMO conjugates in *ulp2Δ cim3-1* mutants expressing either endogenous yeast SUMO (*SMT3*) N-terminally tagged with a 7xHis-tag (^{His}SUMO) or a lysine-less SUMO variant (*KRall*) that cannot form lysine-linked polySUMO chains (Fig. 1, left). We used the temperature sensitive proteasome defective *cim3-1* mutant cells at the permissive temperature of 30°C to allow cell cycle progression and accumulation of degradation-prone substrates, thus facilitating their identification by mass spectrometry. The SILAC screen quantified 726 potential SUMO conjugates (Fig. 1, right); the abundance of most of them did not change significantly, while SUMO conjugates (Smt3) pulled-down from the *KRall* mutant were more abundant in general. Notably, among the SUMO substrates strongly enriched in the sample derived from chainless SUMO *ulp2Δ cim3-1* cells, were subunits of all three SMC complexes. SUMOylated cohesin subunits Smc1, Smc3, Scc1, Scc3, and Pds5 were enriched the most when SUMO-chain growth was prevented by the *KRall* mutation, whereas SUMOylated condensin subunits Smc4,

Brn1, and the Smc5/6 complex subunits Smc5, Smc6, Nse4 accumulated to a lesser extent.

Cohesin shows strong ties to the SUMO system (Potts et al. 2006; Takahashi et al. 2008; Almedawar et al. 2012; McAleenan et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2012; D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). Its regulatory subunit Pds5 is a known SUMO target ((Stead et al. 2003) and Supplemental Fig. 1A) and is one of the first identified substrates of Ulp2 (Stead et al. 2003). These findings, together with the results of our SILAC screen suggesting that most of cohesin subunits are subjected to SUMO-chain-mediated turnover, prompted us to focus on studying the regulation of the cohesin complex by SUMO chains and Ulp2.

We hypothesized that one of the roles of the essential regulatory cohesin subunit Pds5 is to recruit Ulp2 to protect cohesin against unscheduled SUMO-chain-mediated turnover. Indeed, we could confirm the interaction of Ulp2 with Pds5 using both co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) studies (Supplemental Fig. 1B-D). For the co-IP, we C-terminally tagged endogenous Pds5 and Ulp2 with 13Myc and 9PK tags, respectively. IPs with the anti-PK (Supplemental Fig. 1B) and anti-Myc (Supplemental Fig. 1C) antibodies revealed that Ulp2 interacts with Pds5 and there is preference towards upshifted, potentially SUMO-modified forms of proteins. These slower-migrating species of Pds5 and Ulp2 were co-immunoprecipitated with specific antibodies, but not mouse IgG (Supplemental Fig. 1B-C). For the Y2H studies, we used Gal4 DNA-binding domain (BD) fusions of various Pds5 truncations and the Gal4 activation domain (AD) fusion of catalytically dead Ulp2 (Ulp2-C624S; Ulp2$_{CD}$), which we expected to interact stronger with potential substrates based on previous work on Ulp1$_{CD}$ that behaved like a SUMO substrate trap (Elmore et al. 2011) (Supplemental Fig. 1D-F). We observed weak Y2H

interaction of Ulp2 with both N-terminal (aa 1-701) and C-terminal (aa 702-1277) fragments of Pds5 (Supplemental Fig. 1D). However, analysis of further N-terminal Pds5 truncations revealed auto-activation of the *HIS3* reporter gene by Pds5 N-terminus (aa 1-250, Supplemental Fig. 1E), suggesting that binding of Ulp2 to Pds5 may be mediated by its C-terminus. Indeed, using C-terminal truncations of Pds5 we found that the C-terminal fragment of Pds5 (aa 1078-1277) is required for the interaction with Ulp2 in the Y2H system (Supplemental Fig. 1F). Altogether, these results potentially support the notion that Pds5 recruits Ulp2 to prevent SUMO chain-mediated turnover of cohesin.

The essential role of Pds5 relates to curbing down SUMO chains

Cohesin plays critical roles in numerous cellular pathways (Nasmyth and Haering 2009; Dorsett 2011; Losada 2014; Yatskevich et al. 2019), including sister chromatid cohesion and chromosome condensation, for both of which *PDS5* is required (Hartman et al. 2000; Panizza et al. 2000). Interestingly, sister chromatid cohesion defects and lethality of the temperature sensitive *pds5-1* mutant are suppressed by deletion of *ELG1* (Tong and Skibbens 2015), which acts in the context of the Elg1-Rfc2-5 complex as principal unloader of chromatin-bound proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) (Kubota et al. 2013). Chromosome condensation defects of *pds5-1* mutant, but not lethality, are in turn suppressed by deletion of *RAD61* (*WPL1*) (Tong and Skibbens 2015), which encodes the cohesin release factor WAPL that interacts with Pds5 and destabilizes cohesin's binding to DNA (Kueng et al. 2006).

To study the potential role of Pds5 in counteracting SUMO chains via Ulp2 recruitment to cohesin, we decided to examine the effect of *elg1Δ* and *wpl1Δ* mutations on the viability of *PDS5* null cells when SUMO chain formation is

prevented. First, we confirmed that *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* double mutant does not affect cell growth compared to single mutants and WT cells (Fig. 2A and (Maradeo and Skibbens 2010)). Then, we generated *ELG1/elg1Δ WPL1/wpl1Δ PDS5/pds5Δ smt3-KRall/smt3-KRall* diploid strain expressing chainless SUMO variant *smt3-KRall* as the only source of SUMO. The analysis of resulting haploids after sporulation and tetrad dissection of this strain should reveal if *elg1Δ wpl1Δ smt3-KRall* triple mutant bypasses the essential role(s) of *PDS5*. Strikingly, we found that not only *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ smt3-KRall* quadruple mutant was viable, but that expression of *smt3-KRall* alone bypassed the requirement of *PDS5* for viability (Fig. 2B). We next analyzed if *elg1Δ wpl1Δ pds5Δ* triple mutant is viable following tetrad dissection of *ELG1/elg1Δ WPL1/wpl1Δ PDS5/pds5Δ* diploid strain. Interestingly, also the *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* double mutant suppressed the lethality of *pds5Δ* cells (Fig. 2C). Thus, we uncovered that the essential role of *PDS5* in budding yeast is linked to counteracting SUMO chains and identified a genetic background, *elg1Δ wpl1Δ*, in which this essential function is bypassed.

Next, we checked if expression of the chainless SUMO variant *smt3-KRall, elg1Δ wpl1Δ* double mutant, or their combination, is able to suppress the loss of another cohesin HAWK protein Scc3, which is required for cohesin binding to DNA (Li et al. 2018), but not for its loading to chromatin mediated by Scc2/Scc4 complex (Ciosk et al. 2000). None of the mutations provided viability to *scc3Δ* haploids upon tetrad dissection of *ELG1/elg1Δ WPL1/wpl1Δ SCC3/scc3Δ smt3-KRall/smt3-KRall* diploid strain (Supplemental Fig. 2A). The lethality of cells upon deletion of *ECO1*, the acetyltransferase required for Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation and sister chromatid cohesion establishment (Ben-Shahar et al. 2008; Unal et al. 2008), is also not suppressed by expressing *smt3-KRall* and is only bypassed by *wpl1Δ*

(Supplemental Fig. 2B). Thus, while *PDS5* overexpression partially suppresses the temperature sensitivity of certain *eco1* thermosensitive mutants (Noble et al. 2006), *smt3-KRall* that bypasses the essential role of *PDS5* does not restore viability of cells lacking Eco1 (Supplemental Fig. 2B), suggesting that other functions of *PDS5* are required for this suppression.

To determine the consequences of *PDS5* loss for the chromatin-bound cohesin levels, we assessed Eco1-mediated Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation in the identified *PDS5* null bypass conditions. Eco1 acetyltransferase targets cohesin loaded onto DNA (Ladurner et al. 2014), thus making Smc3 acetylation a good indicator of the functionally-engaged cohesin amounts operating in the cell. To this end, we utilized a monoclonal antibody specific for the Eco1-mediated Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation (Borges et al. 2010) and found that it was largely reduced, but not abolished, in all *pds5Δ* mutants (Fig. 2D and Supplemental Fig. 2C). Thus, cells lacking Pds5 have reduced levels of chromatin-bound cohesin available to fulfil its functions, which is in agreement with findings in *pds5-1* cells (Panizza et al. 2000; D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). We further found that while limited amounts of chromatin-bound acetylated cohesin in *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* mutants are sufficient to support viability, the mutant cells are sensitive to low and high temperatures, replication stress induced by hydroxyurea (HU), exposures to the DNA-alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), topoisomerase poison camptothecin (CPT) and microtubule-depolymerizing drug benomyl (Fig. 2E).

Ulp2 protease is essential in *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells and its loss is bypassed by spontaneous suppressor of *ulp2Δ*

How the *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* double mutant bypasses the essential role of *PDS5* in counteracting SUMO chains is unclear, but we speculated that the viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* triple mutant may depend on the presence of the Ulp2 protease as the only source of SUMO-chain-editing activity in yeast cells. To test our hypothesis, we replaced the endogenous promoter of *ULP2* with the galactose-inducible *GAL* promoter, whose transcription can be inhibited by shifting cells from galactose-containing YP Gal to glucose-containing YPD media. Importantly, upon transcriptional *ULP2* shut-off, the *elg1Δ wpl1Δ pds5Δ* mutant lost viability, whereas *elg1Δ wpl1Δ PDS5* cells were not affected (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, the lethality of *elg1Δ wpl1Δ pds5Δ* mutant following Ulp2 depletion could be suppressed by a spontaneous suppressor of *ulp2Δ* phenotypes that we identified and denoted as *ulp1-C376* (Fig. 2G), described below (Supplemental Fig. 3).

Cells lacking Ulp2 exhibit a pleiotropic phenotype that includes temperature-sensitivity and increased sensitivity to hydroxyurea (Li and Hochstrasser 2000). We performed a screen for spontaneous suppressors of *ulp2Δ*-associated HU sensitivity and isolated 5 suppressors that also alleviated the temperature sensitivity (Supplemental Fig. 3A). Backcrossing isolated suppressors to the *ulp2Δ* mutant of the opposite mating type, revealed $2^+:2^-$ segregation of HU sensitivity (Supplemental Fig. 3B), which points to a single mutated gene locus responsible for the suppression. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of the isolated suppressors led to the identification of a single point mutation on chromosome 16 at the *YPL020C* (*ULP1*) gene in all five suppressors, but not in WT or *ulp2Δ* cells (Supplemental Fig. 3C). Ulp1 is the second yeast SUMO protease besides Ulp2. Differently from Ulp2, it does not have preference for SUMO chains, is essential for the maturation of conjugatable SUMO from its precursor polypeptide, and is anchored to nuclear pore complexes (NPC) via

its N-terminus (Li and Hochstrasser 1999; Li and Hochstrasser 2000; Mossessova and

Lima 2000; Li and Hochstrasser 2003; Panse et al. 2003). Re-sequencing of the *ULP1*

locus validated the WGS results and confirmed a single insertion c.741_742insA

denoted as *ulp1-sup* (Supplemental Fig. 3D). This insertion results in a frame shift

predicted to generate a C-terminally truncated Ulp1 variant p.Val248Serfs*7 lacking

the protease domain (Supplemental Fig. 3E), a notion not consistent with the essential

nature of Ulp1 and its protease domain (Supplemental Fig. 3F-G) and different from

the isolated *ulp1-sup* (Supplemental Fig. 3H-I). Careful analysis of the *ULP1*

sequence revealed an alternative transcription/translation start site upstream of the

frame shift mutation that might be used in *ulp1-sup* causing the expression of an N-

terminally truncated Ulp1 variant (Supplemental Fig. 3I) with a new N-terminal

extension of 7 amino acids upstream of Lys246 (Supplemental Fig. 3J). To confirm

that *ulp1-sup* is indeed generating an N-terminally truncated Ulp1 variant able to

suppress *ulp2Δ* phenotypes and provides viability as the only source of SUMO

protease activity in the cell, we constructed the *ulp1-C376* mutant (Supplemental Fig.

3K) by replacing *ulp1Δ* in *ULP2/ulp2Δ ULP1/ulp1Δ* diploid cells with *ulp1* sequence

c.[741_742insA; 1_712del] that lacks the N-terminal NPC-targeting region (aa 1-245;

first 712 nucleotides of *ULP1* ORF deleted). Tetrad dissection of the resulting

ULP2/ulp2Δ ULP1/ulp1-C376 diploids (Supplemental Fig. 3L) revealed that *ulp1-*

C376 mutant suppressed the HU and temperature sensitivity of *ulp2Δ* cells similar to

ulp1-sup (Supplemental Fig. 3M). Because Ulp1-C376 is expressed at very low levels

from the alternative start site compared to wild-type Ulp1 (Supplemental Fig. 3I), it

does not lead to cell death due to excessive deSUMOylation observed upon

expression of N-terminal Ulp1 truncations at higher levels (Mossessova and Lima

2000; Li and Hochstrasser 2003).

Taken together, the identified spontaneous suppressor of *ulp2Δ* phenotypes *ulp1-C376* is the N-terminal truncation of Ulp1 that is no longer tethered to the NPC and is able to deSUMOylate Ulp2 substrates in the nucleoplasm providing viability to otherwise lethal *elg1Δ wpl1Δ pds5Δ ulp2* quadruple mutant (Fig. 2G). Thus, the viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells depends on Ulp2 ability to counteract SUMO chains and can be bypassed by inducing limited deSUMOylation with the *ulp1-C376* suppressor of *ulp2* phenotypes.

Loss of Pds5 triggers SUMOylation of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 that is counteracted by Ulp2

We showed that SUMOylated cohesin subunits are targeted by SUMO chains for turnover in the absence of Ulp2 (Fig. 1); that the cohesin's regulatory subunit Pds5 is no longer essential when SUMO chains cannot form (Fig. 2B); and that *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells rely on Ulp2 activity or may survive when N-terminal Ulp1 truncation not tethered to the NPC can perform Ulp2 functions (Fig. 2F-G). Next, we aimed to address whether Ulp2 together with Pds5 indeed protects SUMOylated cohesin from SUMO-chain-mediated turnover.

In line with previous observations in a *pds5-1* mutant (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014), we find that the lethality due to transcriptional *PDS5* shut-off is suppressed by *slx5Δ* and expression of chainless SUMO *smt3-KRall* variant (Supplemental Fig. 4A). Importantly, conditional depletion of Pds5 expressed under the *GAL* promoter allowed us to follow the induction of cohesin SUMOylation and turnover (Fig. 3A) by monitoring SUMO modification of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 and its Slx5/8-targeted proteasome-mediated degradation, previously shown to be enhanced in *pds5-1* (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). Scc1 monoSUMOylation is detected at low levels

prior to *PDS5* shut-off (induced by shift to glucose-containing YPD media) in cells

expressing [His]SUMO able to form chains (Fig. 3A). Four-eight hours after the shift to

YPD, Pds5 levels drop while monoSUMOylated Scc1 species massively accumulate

and di/triSUMO-modified Scc1 can be detected. Pds5 depletion is accompanied by

reduction in Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation levels and degradation of the

unmodified Scc1 (Fig. 3A, Input), which is hardly detected 20 hours after *PDS5* shut-

off and leads to loss of its SUMOylation. Importantly, expression of the chainless

SUMO variant *KRall* results in accumulation of monoSUMOylated Scc1 species even

prior to Pds5 depletion (Fig. 3B, compare time point 0 in *KRall* versus [His]SUMO

background), suggesting that when SUMO-chain formation is prevented,

monoSUMOylated Scc1 species become more stable. Moreover, deletion of *ULP2* in

the *KRall* background leads to further accumulation of monoSUMOylated Scc1

species now readily detectable also in the Inputs and accompanied by increase in

di/triSUMO-modified Scc1 species (Fig. 3B, *KRall ulp2Δ* background). The latter

suggests that Ulp2 is able to either cleave off SUMO of mono/multiSUMOylated

Scc1 or that physical interaction of Ulp2 with cohesin prevents Scc1 SUMOylation,

similar to what has been proposed for Pds5 (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). Because

expression of the catalytically inactive *ulp2-C624S* mutant results in similar Scc1

multiSUMOylation levels as observed in *ulp2Δ* mutant (Supplemental Fig. 4B), we

conclude that Ulp2 is able to cleave off SUMO of mono/multiSUMOylated Scc1.

Notably, when SUMO chains are able to form ([His]SUMO expressed), the contribution

of Ulp2 to the protection of monoSUMOylated Scc1 species is hardly visible in Ni PD

and is manifested by the accumulation of diSUMOylated Scc1 species in *ulp2Δ* cells

(Supplemental Fig. 4C), in contrast to the *KRall* background (Fig. 3B, compare time

point 0 in *KRall* versus *KRall ulp2Δ*) where mono/multiSUMOylated Scc1 species are

no longer converted to the degradation-prone polySUMOylated species and accumulate. Taken together, these data reveal a role for Ulp2 in guarding mono/multiSUMOylated Scc1 species of cohesin against SUMO-chain-mediated proteasomal turnover that is induced upon Pds5 loss.

Fusion of Ulp2 to Scc1 supports viability in the absence of Pds5 and protects cohesin from SUMO-chain-mediated turnover

To unambiguously probe whether Ulp2 guards cohesin against SUMO-chain-targeted proteasomal degradation, we next asked if fusion of Ulp2 to the cohesin's kleisin Scc1 can suppress the lethality of cells lacking Pds5. Previously, fusion of the catalytically active Ulp1 domain to Scc1 (Scc1-UD) was shown to promote loss of cohesin's SUMO modifications, including monoSUMOylation of Smc3 and Scc1-UD itself (Almedawar et al. 2012). Scc1-UD failed to complement the temperature sensitive phenotype of *scc1-73* cells and showed sister chromatid cohesion defects, emphasizing the importance of cohesin SUMOylation for this process. Differently from Scc1-UD that abolishes cohesin SUMOylation, Ulp2 fusion to Scc1 should specifically antagonize its polySUMOylation in the absence of Pds5 leaving mono/multiSUMOylation intact and possibly stabilizing its kleisin.

To this end, we C-terminally fused the Ulp2 fragment (aa 1-734) to the endogenous Scc1 without any linker, providing Scc1-Ulp2 fusion *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* as the only source of cohesin's kleisin in the cell (Fig. 4A). The fused Ulp2 fragment contains N-terminal SIMs required for recognition of SUMO chains (Psakhye et al. 2019) and a protease domain, but is lacking its C-terminal 300 amino acids where sequences that mediate its localization to the nucleolus and the inner kinetochore reside (Liang et al. 2017; Suhandynata et al. 2019). Additionally, we established

catalytically dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* fusion with Ulp2 active site cysteine mutated (Fig. 4A) and *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-sim-6HA* fusion having Ulp2 N-terminal SIMs required for robust SUMO-chain-binding mutated (Supplemental Fig. 5A).

First, we validated that expression of these fusions as the only source of cohesin's kleisin in WT cells supports viability and that different fusions are expressed at similar levels (Supplemental Fig. 5B). Next, we confirmed that expression of the Ulp2 catalytically dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* fusion in the *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* background is also tolerated (Supplemental Fig. 5C).

Importantly, catalytically active *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA*, but not catalytically dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* nor *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-sim-6HA* fusion defective in binding to SUMO chains, was able to efficiently suppress the lethality of cells upon *PDS5* transcriptional shut-off (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, if cells were allowed to grow longer on YPD plates, minor suppression of lethality induced by Pds5 depletion was also observed in cells expressing *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-sim-6HA* fusion (Supplemental Fig. 5D), suggesting that when fused to Scc1, Ulp2 is able to antagonize polySUMOylation even when SUMO-chain recognition is compromised. In the same line, *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* fusion, but not catalytically dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* provided for viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells upon glucose-induced transcriptional shut-off of *ULP2* (Fig. 4C).

Finally, we followed the turnover of the Scc1-Ulp2 fusions in *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells upon *ULP2* shut-off and found that while the catalytically dead fusion is largely degraded 8 hours after Ulp2 depletion (Fig. 4D), the catalytically active fusion remains stable (Fig. 4E).

Moreover, we followed the SUMOylation status of these fusions upon *ULP2* shut-off by performing Ni PD of ^{His}SUMO conjugates able to form SUMO chains

(Fig. 5A-B). Catalytically active Scc1-Ulp2 fusion remained monoSUMOylated (Fig. 5A, Ni PD) and Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation levels did not change significantly upon depletion of Ulp2 (Fig. 5A, Input). In contrast, catalytically dead Scc1-Ulp2 fusion became excessively SUMOylated after four hours of Ulp2 depletion (Fig. 5B, Ni PD), monoSUMOylated species were converted to slower-migrating polySUMOylated species and finally degraded resulting in the loss of unmodified Scc1-Ulp2 fusion after eight and twenty hours (Fig. 5B, Input). Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation levels were also largely reduced (Fig. 5B), similar to the situation upon *PDS5* shut-off (Fig. 3A). Taken together, these experiments demonstrate that Ulp2 protects cohesin from SUMO-chain-mediated proteasomal turnover in collaboration with Pds5, and that the essential function of budding yeast Pds5 is to counteract SUMO-chain targeting of cohesin, which can be bypassed either by expression of chainless SUMO variant (Fig. 2B) or by the Ulp2 fusion to cohesin.

Condensin is protected by Ulp2 against SUMO-chain-mediated turnover

Condensin subunits are known SUMO substrates (Takahashi et al. 2008), however the functional significance of their modification is not clear. Recently, suppressor screening in fission yeast revealed that mutants of condensin's non-SMC subunits are rescued by impairing the SUMOylation pathway (Xu and Yanagida 2019). Moreover, deleting *ULP2* was synthetically lethal with the fission yeast temperature sensitive *cut3/smc4* mutant at permissive temperatures for *cut3-477*, while the *ulp2Δ* mutant showed defective chromosome condensation (Robellet et al. 2014).

In our SILAC-based proteomics screen, we identified condensin subunits Smc4 and Brn1 as potential substrates of SUMO-chain-targeted turnover in cells lacking Ulp2 (Fig. 1). Furthermore, overexpression of *ULP2* was found to suppress the temperature

sensitivity of the *smc2-6* mutant, while *ulp2Δ* cells were defective in enriching condensin on mitotic chromatin, in particular at rDNA (Strunnikov et al. 2001; D'Amours et al. 2004). We reasoned that similar to cohesin, the condensin complex may be guarded by Ulp2 against SUMO-chain-mediated turnover. We first used genetic analysis to strengthen our hypothesis. While *ULP2* overexpression suppresses *smc2-6* (Strunnikov et al. 2001) and *smc2-8* (Stead et al. 2003) alleles, we found that *ulp2Δ* has synergistic growth defect with temperature sensitive *smc2-8* mutant (Fig. 6A) at temperatures permissive for the single mutants, similar to fission yeast *cut3/smc4* (Robellet et al. 2014), suggesting that in the absence of Ulp2 the function of condensin is further compromised in *smc2-8* cells. Importantly, the above-mentioned synthetic lethality of *smc2-8 ulp2Δ* cells is rescued by expressing, as single source of SUMO, the *smt3-KRall* SUMO variant that cannot form lysine-linked SUMO chains (Fig. 6B).

In cohesin, the lethality caused by depletion of the HAWK protein subunit Pds5 is suppressed by the expression of *smt3-KRall* (Fig. 2B) and is accompanied by massive SUMOylation of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 (Fig. 3). However, this was not the case when we conditionally depleted the essential HAWK proteins of condensin, Ycg1 and Ycs4, in the *smt3-KRall* background (Supplemental Fig. 6A), emphasizing that protection of condensin against SUMO chains is not their essential function. Furthermore, multiSUMOylation of condensin's kleisin Brn1 tagged C-terminally with 6HA slowly decreased following transcriptional shut-off of either *YCG1* (Supplemental Fig. 6B) or *YCS4* (Supplemental Fig. 6C), contrary to multiSUMOylation of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 upon Pds5 depletion (Fig. 3A). Nevertheless, the synthetic lethality of *smc2-8 ulp2Δ* cells was suppressed by expressing *smt3-KRall* (Fig. 6B) and deleting *SLX5* (Fig. 6C and Supplemental Fig.

6D). Taken together, these genetic studies support a model in which Ulp2 guards condensin against SUMO-chain-targeted and Slx5/8 STUbL-mediated turnover. Corroborating this, loss of Ulp2 in cells expressing [His]SUMO able to form chains resulted in the decrease of monoSUMOylated Brn1 species compared to WT cells detected by Ni PD, whereas expression of the chainless SUMO mutant *KRall* not only increased the abundance of monoSUMOylated Brn1 in WT cells, but also suppressed the observed drop in *ulp2Δ* mutant (Fig. 6D). However, monoSUMOylated Brn1 species are not particularly prone to proteasomal degradation, as they are not stabilized in the temperature sensitive *cim3-1* proteasome defective mutant (Supplemental Fig. 6E) grown at permissive temperature. Overall, the results suggest that in the absence of Ulp2, SUMO chains might target the condensin complex for disassembly and release from chromatin.

The Smc5/6 complex is protected by Ulp2 against SUMO-chain-mediated turnover

We next studied if the third SMC complex Smc5/6 is similarly regulated. First, using a genetic approach, we found that *ulp2Δ* has synergistic growth defects with the temperature sensitive *smc6-56* mutant (Fig. 7A) at temperatures permissive for the single mutants, suggesting that in the absence of Ulp2, the function of the Smc5/6 complex is further compromised, similar to condensin (Fig. 6A).

We then checked if expression of the chainless SUMO variant *smt3-KRall* is able to suppress either *smc6-56* or loss of the essential non-SMC element proteins Nse3 and Nse5. Neither the temperature sensitivity of *nse3-ts-12* and *smc6-56* mutants, nor the lethality upon depletion of Nse5-AID using the auxin-inducible degron system (Nishimura et al. 2009) was suppressed by *smt3-KRall* (Supplemental Fig. 7A-C).

Rather, we observed synthetic sick/lethal genetic interaction between *smc6-56* and *smt3-KRall* at temperatures permissive for the single mutants (Supplemental Fig. 7C). Previously, *smc6* mutations were shown to be synthetic sick/lethal with mutation of Sgs1 (Menolfi et al. 2015), whereas *sgs1Δ* cells require SUMO-chain formation to survive and die upon expression of *smt3-KRall* (Mullen et al. 2011). Therefore, the synthetic lethality of *smc6-56 smt3-KRall* may be explained by the compromised function that is fulfilled by the Smc5/6 complex in collaboration with Sgs1 (Menolfi et al. 2015). The non-SMC element of the Smc5/6 complex Mms21 is a SUMO ligase that is responsible for Smc5/6 complex autoSUMOylation targeting primarily the Smc5 subunit (Zhao and Blobel 2005). However, the Siz2 SUMO ligase also contributes to Smc5 SUMOylation (Bustard et al. 2016). Because we cannot use *smt3-KRall* to suppress the synthetic lethality of *smc6-56 ulp2Δ* double mutant, we checked if loss of Siz2 is able to do so. Previously, the temperature sensitivity of cohesin's *pds5-1* mutant was suppressed by *siz2Δ* (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014). We found the same to be true also for *smc6-56 ulp2Δ* (Fig. 7B) and *smc6-P4 ulp2Δ* (Supplemental Fig. 7D) cells. Moreover, the synthetic growth defect of *smc6-P4 ulp2Δ* double mutant is suppressed by deletion of the STUbL subunit Slx5 (Supplemental Fig. 7E). In addition, *ulp2Δ* showed synthetic lethal/sick interactions with mutations in Esc2, Sgs1 and Rrm3 (Supplemental Fig. 7F-I), previously found to function jointly with Smc5/6 to facilitate resolution of recombination intermediates (Esc2, Sgs1) (Branzei et al. 2006; Sollier et al. 2009) and replication through difficult-to-replicate regions (Rrm3) (Menolfi et al. 2015). Notably, these synthetic sick/lethal interactions could be suppressed by *siz2Δ* (Supplemental Fig. 7J-K), *ulp1-C376* (Supplemental Fig. 7L) and *slx5Δ* (Supplemental Fig. 7M). Taken together, these results suggest that also the Smc5/6 complex is negatively regulated by Siz2-mediated polySUMOylation, which, when not

antagonized by Ulp2, is being recognized by the Slx5/8 STUbL and targets the complex for turnover.

In line with genetics, we found that monoSUMOylated species of Nse4, the kleisin of the Smc5/6 complex, accumulate in *slx5Δ* and decrease in abundance in *ulp2Δ* compared to WT cells (Fig. 7C). Moreover, similar to condensin's kleisin Brn1 (Supplemental Fig. 6E), monoSUMOylated Nse4 species are not particularly prone to proteasomal degradation as they are not further enriched in *ulp2Δ cim3-1* mutant compared to *ulp2Δ* cells (Fig. 7D). However, in Ni PD experiments, we find that monoSUMOylated Nse4 strongly accumulates in both WT and *ulp2Δ* when chainless SUMO variant *KRall* is expressed instead of [His]SUMO (Fig. 7E). This indicates that in the absence of Ulp2, SUMO chains might target the Smc5/6 complex for disassembly and release from chromatin, as observed for condensin.

DISCUSSION

Genetic links between the SUMO protease Ulp2 and components of both condensin (Strunnikov et al. 2001) and cohesin (Stead et al. 2003) are known for two decades, yet the role of Ulp2 in the regulation of these SMC complexes has remained elusive. Here, we uncover that Ulp2 acts as a guardian of all three SMC complexes by protecting them from unscheduled SUMO-chain-targeted turnover, thus giving them time to fulfil their functions on chromatin.

Specifically, using unbiased SILAC-based proteomic screen to identify SUMO conjugates that decrease in abundance in the absence of Ulp2 in a SUMO-chain-dependent manner, we found subunits of all SMC complexes (Fig. 1). Since *ULP2* overexpression was initially found to suppress phenotypes of *pds5-1* (Stead et al. 2003), we hypothesized that one important role of Pds5 is to recruit Ulp2 to protect

cohesin from polySUMOylation. Strikingly, we showed that Pds5 not only binds Ulp2 (Supplemental Fig. 1), but that the essential role of Pds5 is to counteract SUMO-chain formation, as *pds5Δ* lethality is suppressed by expressing a lysine-less SUMO variant (*KRall*) that cannot form SUMO chains (Fig. 2B). Moreover, we found that this essential function of Pds5 can by bypassed by combined loss of *ELG1* and *WPL1* (Fig. 2C), individual deletions of which suppress cohesion and condensation defects of *pds5-1*, respectively (Tong and Skibbens 2015). Notably, the viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells relies on Ulp2 (Fig. 2F) or could be supported in its absence by expressing the *ulp1-C376* spontaneous suppressor of *ulp2Δ* phenotypes (Fig. 2G), which gains ability to deSUMOylate Ulp2 substrates. We then uncovered that cohesin's kleisin Scc1 is in fact a Ulp2 substrate, polySUMOylation and concomitant degradation of which is induced by loss of Pds5 (Fig. 3). Finally, we found that fusion of catalytically active Ulp2 to Scc1 suppresses the lethality of cells upon Pds5 loss. Furthermore, Scc1-Ulp2 fusion rescues the lethality of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* mutant upon Ulp2 depletion, and prevents polySUMOylation-targeted degradation of cohesin's kleisin (Fig. 4-5). Thus, our data reveal that Ulp2 guards functional mono/multiSUMOylated cohesin pool loaded onto DNA (Almedawar et al. 2012; McAleenan et al. 2012) against unscheduled SUMO-chain-mediated turnover, providing the time window for its action until cohesin presence is no longer required, e.g. in mitosis to allow sister chromatid separation.

Interestingly, Ulp2 interacts with and is negatively regulated by the Polo-like kinase Cdc5 in mitosis, with impact on cohesion (Baldwin et al. 2009). *CDC5* overexpression causes centromeric cohesion defects that are suppressed by additionally overexpressing *ULP2*. Moreover, *CDC5* overexpression results in Pds5 dissociation from mitotic chromosomes in pre-anaphase cells, whereas co-overexpression of *ULP2* restores normal Pds5 chromosomal association (Baldwin et al. 2009). These findings

suggest that Cdc5-mediated inactivation of Ulp2 induces SUMO-chain-targeted and Slx5/8 STUbL-mediated proteasomal degradation of the chromatin-bound mono/multiSUMOylated cohesin pool, ensuring its timely removal from chromosomes in collaboration with separase. Thus, yeast Cdc5 kinase promotes sister chromatid separation by phosphorylating Scc1 to enhance separase-mediated cleavage (Alexandru et al. 2001), and in parallel, by inducing SUMO-chain-mediated cohesin turnover through inactivation of Ulp2. Supporting this model, overexpression of *ULP2* in separase mutant *esp1-1* results in synthetic sick genetic interaction even at permissive temperatures for *esp1-1* (D'Ambrosio and Lavoie 2014).

If the essential function of Pds5 is to help counteract polySUMOylation of cohesin mediated by Ulp2, why deletion of *PDS5* is lethal whereas *ulp2Δ* cells are viable? The presence of Ulp2, however, becomes essential in the *pds5Δ* mutant bypassed by *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* (Fig. 2F). We explain this by the overall structural organization of the SMC complexes (Nasmyth and Haering 2005; Yatskevich et al. 2019) and of cohesin in particular (Shi et al. 2020), as well as by the apparent promiscuity of the SUMOylation enzymes (Jentsch and Psakhye 2013). Specifically, the SUMO conjugating enzyme Ubc9 efficiently targets any accessible lysine able to enter its active site *in vitro* without additional requirement of SUMO ligases. This feature makes the highly unstructured kleisin with many exposed lysines a perfect substrate for SUMOylation, unless it is bound by structured kleisin-associated regulatory subunits. In fact, human Scc1 is SUMOylated at multiple sites and mutation of 15 lysines to arginines reduces, but does not abolish its SUMOylation (Wu et al. 2012), whereas yeast Scc1 is multiSUMOylated on at least 11 lysines (McAleenan et al. 2012). We thus propose that Pds5 acts as an insulator that shields Scc1 lysines,

which without Pds5 would be readily targeted by Ubc9 localized to DNA with the help of chromatin-bound SUMO ligases.

Loss of Pds5 leads to rapid polySUMOylation of the entire DNA-loaded cohesin pool followed by its turnover, which could be suppressed either by expressing chainless SUMO variant *KRall*, or by fusing the Ulp2 protease to Scc1. Furthermore, suppression of the *pds5Δ* mutant lethality by *elg1Δ wpl1Δ*, where polySUMOylation of kleisin still takes place and the presence of Ulp2 is required to antagonize it and support viability, indicates that *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* associated suppression is achieved by increasing the amounts of cohesin loaded onto DNA rather than by directly antagonizing polySUMOylation. Wpl1 is a cohesin release factor (Kueng et al. 2006), whereas Elg1 unloads PCNA, which is important for recruiting Eco1 to replication forks to promote cohesion during S phase (Moldovan et al. 2006). Interestingly, *ECO1* overexpression suppresses *pds5-1* temperature sensitivity (Noble et al. 2006), probably via Eco1-mediated Smc3 acetylation that antagonizes Wpl1-mediated cohesin release (Ben-Shahar et al. 2008; Unal et al. 2008). The increase of cohesin DNA-loading provided by *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* is likely restricted to specific loci in yeast, as we did not observe pronounced increase in the Eco1-mediated Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation in *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells compared to WT (Fig. 2D). However, this mild increase in cohesin is sufficient to support viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells. Deletion of Wpl1 in cells blocked in late G1 was previously shown to cause a major increase specifically in peri-centric cohesin, as monitored by Scc1 chromatin binding (Petela et al. 2018), whereas conditional depletion of Pds5-AID increased Scc2-mediated cohesin loading throughout the genome. How exactly loss of the essential Pds5 function is bypassed by *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* double mutant is unclear at this point, and is an interesting question for future studies.

Similar to cohesin, Ulp2 guards the other two SMC complexes, condensin and the Smc5/6 complex, protecting them from SUMO-chain-targeted Slx5/8 STUbL-mediated turnover, as demonstrated by genetic interaction studies and the analysis of their kleisin SUMOylation in relevant mutants of the SUMO/ubiquitin pathway. The mechanism of Ulp2 action is similar, yet it likely results in preventing the disassembly and removal of the complexes from chromatin, rather than massive proteasomal degradation. Accordingly, monoSUMOylated species are not further enriched in the proteasome defective *cim3-1* mutant, but are nevertheless stabilized upon expression of the chainless SUMO variant *KRall*. Chromatin extraction of polySUMOylated and subsequently polyubiquitylated SMC complexes is likely mediated by the action of the Cdc48/p97 segregase (Dantuma and Hoppe 2012; Franz et al. 2016), which was previously shown to mobilize cohesin and condensin from chromatin (Frattini et al. 2017; Thattikota et al. 2018). Despite this difference, the outcome of Ulp2 loss for all SMC complexes is the same in that their turnover on chromatin is increased. Another difference is that depletion of condensin's HAWKs or the KITEs of the Smc5/6 complex is not suppressed by expressing the *KRall* SUMO mutant, suggesting that it is not their essential function to counteract kleisin polySUMOylation, contrary to Pds5. We note that Pds5 is likely a special case, as it is not stimulating the ATPase activity required for the DNA-loading of cohesin, in contrast to the Scc2 HAWK, with which Pds5 competes and then replaces to stabilize cohesin complex in the DNA-bound state (Petela et al. 2018).

In conclusion, here we uncovered that the SUMO protease Ulp2 acts on all three SMC complexes to protect them from unscheduled SUMO-chain-targeted turnover, giving them time to perform their essential functions on chromatin. Since Ulp2 discovery two decades ago (Li and Hochstrasser 2000), multiple phenotypes have

been associated with its loss, including genome instability, sister chromatid cohesion and condensation defects. Our finding helps to explain many of them and highlights a new layer of the SMC complex regulation, through which their chromatin abundance is instructed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chromosomally tagged *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains and mutants were constructed by a PCR-based strategy, by genetic crosses and standard techniques (Janke et al. 2004). Standard cloning and site-directed mutagenesis techniques were used. Strains and all genetic manipulations were verified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), sequencing and phenotype. Maps and primer DNA sequences are available upon request. All yeast strains used in this work except those specifically indicated and used for the yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) studies are isogenic to W303 background and are listed in the Supplemental Table 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Eurofins Genomics for the whole genome sequencing of yeast strains, mapping the sequencing reads and variant analysis, M. Foiani, S. Jentsch, and K. Shirahige for sharing reagents, A. Cattaneo and A. Bachi for mass spectrometry analysis, L. Fendillo for help with generating Scc1-Ulp2 fusions, R. Kawasumi and all lab members for discussions. This work was supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research (IG 18976, IG 23710), and European Research Council (Consolidator Grant 682190) grants to D.B., EMBO long-term fellowship (ALTF 561-2014) and an AIRC/Marie Curie Actions - COFUND iCARE fellowship to I.P.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

I.P. and D.B. designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. I.P. conducted the experiments.

REFERENCES

Alexandru G, Uhlmann F, Mechtler K, Poupart MA, Nasmyth K. 2001. Phosphorylation of the cohesin subunit Scc1 by Polo/Cdc5 kinase regulates sister chromatid separation in yeast. *Cell* **105**: 459-472.

Almedawar S, Colomina N, Bermudez-Lopez M, Pocino-Merino I, Torres-Rosell J. 2012. A SUMO-dependent step during establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. *Curr Biol* **22**: 1576-1581.

Baldwin ML, Julius JA, Tang X, Wang Y, Bachant J. 2009. The yeast SUMO isopeptidase Smt4/Ulp2 and the polo kinase Cdc5 act in an opposing fashion to regulate sumoylation in mitosis and cohesion at centromeres. *Cell Cycle* **8**: 3406-3419.

Ben-Shahar TR, Heeger S, Lehane C, East P, Flynn H, Skehel M, Uhlmann F. 2008. Eco1-dependent cohesin acetylation during establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. *Science* **321**: 563-566.

Borges V, Lehane C, Lopez-Serra L, Flynn H, Skehel M, Rolef Ben-Shahar T, Uhlmann F. 2010. Hos1 deacetylates Smc3 to close the cohesin acetylation cycle. *Mol Cell* **39**: 677-688.

Branzei D, Sollier J, Liberi G, Zhao X, Maeda D, Seki M, Enomoto T, Ohta K, Foiani M. 2006. Ubc9- and Mms21-mediated sumoylation counteracts recombinogenic events at damaged replication forks. *Cell* **127**: 509-522.

Bustard DE, Ball LG, Cobb JA. 2016. Non-Smc element 5 (Nse5) of the Smc5/6 complex interacts with SUMO pathway components. *Biol Open* **5**: 777-785.

Ciosk R, Shirayama M, Shevchenko A, Tanaka T, Toth A, Nasmyth K. 2000. Cohesin's binding to chromosomes depends on a separate complex consisting of Scc2 and Scc4 proteins. *Mol Cell* **5**: 243-254.

D'Ambrosio LM, Lavoie BD. 2014. Pds5 prevents the PolySUMO-dependent separation of sister chromatids. *Curr Biol* **24**: 361-371.

D'Amours D, Stegmeier F, Amon A. 2004. Cdc14 and condensin control the dissolution of cohesin-independent chromosome linkages at repeated DNA. *Cell* **117**: 455-469.

Dantuma NP, Hoppe T. 2012. Growing sphere of influence: Cdc48/p97 orchestrates ubiquitin-dependent extraction from chromatin. *Trends Cell Biol* **22**: 483-491.

Dorsett D. 2011. Cohesin: genomic insights into controlling gene transcription and development. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **21**: 199-206.

Eckhoff J, Dohmen RJ. 2015. In vitro studies reveal a sequential mode of chain processing by the yeast SUMO (Small Ubiquitin-related Modifier)-specific protease Ulp2. *J Biol Chem* **290**: 12268-12281.

Elmore ZC, Donaher M, Matson BC, Murphy H, Westerbeck JW, Kerscher O. 2011. SUMO-dependent substrate targeting of the SUMO protease Ulp1. *BMC Biol* **9**: 74.

Flotho A, Melchior F. 2013. Sumoylation: a regulatory protein modification in health and disease. *Annu Rev Biochem* **82**: 357-385.

Franz A, Ackermann L, Hoppe T. 2016. Ring of change: CDC48/p97 drives protein dynamics at chromatin. *Front Genet* **7**: 73.

Frattini C, Villa-Hernandez S, Pellicano G, Jossen R, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Bermejo R. 2017. Cohesin ubiquitylation and mobilization facilitate stalled replication fork dynamics. *Mol Cell* **68**: 758-772.

Hartman T, Stead K, Koshland D, Guacci V. 2000. Pds5p is an essential chromosomal protein required for both sister chromatid cohesion and condensation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Cell Biol* **151**: 613-626.

Hickey CM, Wilson NR, Hochstrasser M. 2012. Function and regulation of SUMO proteases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **13**: 755-766.

Janke C, Magiera MM, Rathfelder N, Taxis C, Reber S, Maekawa H, Moreno-Borchart A, Doenges G, Schwob E, Schiebel E et al. 2004. A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. *Yeast* **21**: 947-962.

Jentsch S, Psakhye I. 2013. Control of nuclear activities by substrate-selective and protein-group SUMOylation. *Annu Rev Genet* **47**: 167-186.

Kubota T, Nishimura K, Kanemaki MT, Donaldson AD. 2013. The Elg1 replication factor C-like complex functions in PCNA unloading during DNA replication. *Mol Cell* **50**: 273-280.

Kueng S, Hegemann B, Peters BH, Lipp JJ, Schleiffer A, Mechtler K, Peters JM. 2006. Wapl controls the dynamic association of cohesin with chromatin. *Cell* **127**: 955-967.

Ladurner R, Bhaskara V, Huis in 't Veld PJ, Davidson IF, Kreidl E, Petzold G, Peters JM. 2014. Cohesin's ATPase activity couples cohesin loading onto DNA with Smc3 acetylation. *Curr Biol* **24**: 2228-2237.

Li SJ, Hochstrasser M. 1999. A new protease required for cell-cycle progression in yeast. *Nature* **398**: 246-251.

Li SJ, Hochstrasser M. 2000. The yeast ULP2 (SMT4) gene encodes a novel protease specific for the ubiquitin-like Smt3 protein. *Mol Cell Biol* **20**: 2367-2377.

Li SJ, Hochstrasser M. 2003. The Ulp1 SUMO isopeptidase: distinct domains required for viability, nuclear envelope localization, and substrate specificity. *J Cell Biol* **160**: 1069-1081.

Li Y, Muir KW, Bowler MW, Metz J, Haering CH, Panne D. 2018. Structural basis for Scc3-dependent cohesin recruitment to chromatin. *eLife* **7**: e38356.

Liang J, Singh N, Carlson CR, Albuquerque CP, Corbett KD, Zhou H. 2017. Recruitment of a SUMO isopeptidase to rDNA stabilizes silencing complexes by opposing SUMO targeted ubiquitin ligase activity. *Genes Dev* **31**: 802-815.

Losada A. 2014. Cohesin in cancer: chromosome segregation and beyond. *Nat Rev Cancer* **14**: 389-393.

Mann M. 2006. Functional and quantitative proteomics using SILAC. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **7**: 952-958.

Maradeo ME, Skibbens RV. 2010. Replication factor C complexes play unique pro- and anti-establishment roles in sister chromatid cohesion. *PloS one* **5**: e15381.

McAleenan A, Cordon-Preciado V, Clemente-Blanco A, Liu IC, Sen N, Leonard J, Jarmuz A, Aragon L. 2012. SUMOylation of the alpha-kleisin subunit of cohesin is required for DNA damage-induced cohesion. *Curr Biol* **22**: 1564-1575.

Menolfi D, Delamarre A, Lengronne A, Pasero P, Branzei D. 2015. Essential roles of the Smc5/6 complex in replication through natural pausing sites and endogenous DNA damage tolerance. *Mol Cell* **60**: 835-846.

Moldovan GL, Pfander B, Jentsch S. 2006. PCNA controls establishment of sister chromatid cohesion during S phase. *Mol Cell* **23**: 723-732.

Mossessova E, Lima CD. 2000. Ulp1-SUMO crystal structure and genetic analysis reveal conserved interactions and a regulatory element essential for cell growth in yeast. *Mol Cell* **5**: 865-876.

Mullen JR, Das M, Brill SJ. 2011. Genetic evidence that polysumoylation bypasses the need for a SUMO-targeted Ub ligase. *Genetics* **187**: 73-87.

Nasmyth K, Haering CH. 2005. The structure and function of SMC and kleisin complexes. *Annu Rev Biochem* **74**: 595-648.

Nasmyth K, Haering CH. 2009. Cohesin: its roles and mechanisms. *Annu Rev Genet* **43**: 525-558.

Nishimura K, Fukagawa T, Takisawa H, Kakimoto T, Kanemaki M. 2009. An auxin-based degron system for the rapid depletion of proteins in nonplant cells. *Nat Methods* **6**: 917-922.

Noble D, Kenna MA, Dix M, Skibbens RV, Unal E, Guacci V. 2006. Intersection between the regulators of sister chromatid cohesion establishment and maintenance in budding yeast indicates a multi-step mechanism. *Cell Cycle* **5**: 2528-2536.

Panizza S, Tanaka T, Hochwagen A, Eisenhaber F, Nasmyth K. 2000. Pds5 cooperates with cohesin in maintaining sister chromatid cohesion. *Curr Biol* **10**: 1557-1564.

Panse VG, Kuster B, Gerstberger T, Hurt E. 2003. Unconventional tethering of Ulp1 to the transport channel of the nuclear pore complex by karyopherins. *Nat Cell Biol* **5**: 21-27.

Petela NJ, Gligoris TG, Metson J, Lee BG, Voulgaris M, Hu B, Kikuchi S, Chapard C, Chen W, Rajendra E et al. 2018. Scc2 is a potent activator of cohesin's ATPase that promotes loading by binding Scc1 without Pds5. *Mol Cell* **70**: 1134-1148.

Potts PR, Porteus MH, Yu H. 2006. Human SMC5/6 complex promotes sister chromatid homologous recombination by recruiting the SMC1/3 cohesin complex to double-strand breaks. *EMBO J* **25**: 3377-3388.

Psakhye I, Castellucci F, Branzei D. 2019. SUMO-chain-regulated proteasomal degradation timing exemplified in DNA replication initiation. *Mol Cell* **76**: 632-645.

Psakhye I, Jentsch S. 2012. Protein group modification and synergy in the SUMO pathway as exemplified in DNA repair. *Cell* **151**: 807-820.

Psakhye I, Jentsch S. 2016. Identification of substrates of protein-group SUMOylation. *Methods Mol Biol* **1475**: 219-231.

Robellet X, Fauque L, Legros P, Mollereau E, Janczarski S, Parrinello H, Desvignes JP, Thevenin M, Bernard P. 2014. A genetic screen for functional partners of condensin in fission yeast. *G3 (Bethesda)* **4**: 373-381.

Shi Z, Gao H, Bai XC, Yu H. 2020. Cryo-EM structure of the human cohesin-NIPBL-DNA complex. *Science* **368**: 1454-1459.

Sollier J, Driscoll R, Castellucci F, Foiani M, Jackson SP, Branzei D. 2009. The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Esc2 and Smc5-6 proteins promote sister chromatid junction-mediated intra-S repair. *Mol Biol Cell* **20**: 1671-1682.

Sriramachandran AM, Dohmen RJ. 2014. SUMO-targeted ubiquitin ligases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1843**: 75-85.

Stead K, Aguilar C, Hartman T, Drexel M, Meluh P, Guacci V. 2003. Pds5p regulates the maintenance of sister chromatid cohesion and is sumoylated to promote the dissolution of cohesion. *J Cell Biol* **163**: 729-741.

Strunnikov AV, Aravind L, Koonin EV. 2001. Saccharomyces cerevisiae SMT4 encodes an evolutionarily conserved protease with a role in chromosome condensation regulation. *Genetics* **158**: 95-107.

Suhandynata RT, Quan Y, Yang Y, Yuan WT, Albuquerque CP, Zhou H. 2019. Recruitment of the Ulp2 protease to the inner kinetochore prevents its hyper-sumoylation to ensure accurate chromosome segregation. *PLoS Genet* **15**: e1008477.

Takahashi Y, Dulev S, Liu X, Hiller NJ, Zhao X, Strunnikov A. 2008. Cooperation of sumoylated chromosomal proteins in rDNA maintenance. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000215.

Thattikota Y, Tollis S, Palou R, Vinet J, Tyers M, D'Amours D. 2018. Cdc48/VCP promotes chromosome morphogenesis by releasing condensin from self-entrapment in chromatin. *Mol Cell* **69**: 664-676.

Tong K, Skibbens RV. 2015. Pds5 regulators segregate cohesion and condensation pathways in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 7021-7026.

Unal E, Heidinger-Pauli JM, Kim W, Guacci V, Onn I, Gygi SP, Koshland DE. 2008. A molecular determinant for the establishment of sister chromatid cohesion. *Science* **321**: 566-569.

Wagner K, Kunz K, Piller T, Tascher G, Holper S, Stehmeier P, Keiten-Schmitz J, Schick M, Keller U, Muller S. 2019. The SUMO isopeptidase SENP6 functions as a rheostat of chromatin residency in genome maintenance and chromosome dynamics. *Cell Rep* **29**: 480-494.

Wu N, Kong X, Ji Z, Zeng W, Potts PR, Yokomori K, Yu H. 2012. Scc1 sumoylation by Mms21 promotes sister chromatid recombination through counteracting Wapl. *Genes Dev* **26**: 1473-1485.

Xu X, Yanagida M. 2019. Suppressor screening reveals common kleisin-hinge interaction in condensin and cohesin, but different modes of regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**: 10889-10898.

Yatskevich S, Rhodes J, Nasmyth K. 2019. Organization of chromosomal DNA by SMC complexes. *Annu Rev Genet* **53**: 445-482.

Zhao X, Blobel G. 2005. A SUMO ligase is part of a nuclear multiprotein complex that affects DNA repair and chromosomal organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**: 4777-4782.

Figure 1

Figure 1. SUMO chains promote the turnover of SUMOylated SMC complex subunits in the absence of Ulp2 SUMO protease

Outline of SILAC experiment performed to detect degradation-prone SUMOylated substrates that decrease in abundance in a SUMO-chain-dependent manner in *ulp2Δ cim3-1* cells (left). SILAC ratios for 726 quantified proteins plotted against the sum of the relevant peptide intensities (right). SUMOylated subunits of cohesin (red), condensin (blue) and the Smc5/6 complex (green) accumulate if instead of ^{His}SUMO, which is able to form SUMO chains, a lysine-less SUMO variant (*KRall*) that cannot form lysine-linked SUMO chains is expressed as the only source of SUMO.

Figure 2

Figure 2. The essential role of cohesin-associated subunit Pds5 is to counteract SUMO chains and is bypassed in the *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* background

(A) Tetrad dissection analysis of *ELG1/elg1Δ WPL1/wpl1Δ* double mutant revealed no negative genetic interaction compared to WT.

(B) Lethality of *pds5Δ* cells is suppressed by SUMO mutant *smt3-KRall* that cannot form lysine-linked SUMO chains.

(C) Lethality of *pds5Δ* cells is bypassed in *elg1Δ wpl1Δ* background.

(D) Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation is decreased in *pds5Δ* cells, lethality of which is suppressed either by *smt3-KRall, elg1Δ wpl1Δ*, or their combination.

(E) *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells are slow-growth and exhibit sensitivity to temperature, HU, MMS, CPT and benomyl. Spotting of 1:7 serial dilutions on YPD plates at indicated temperatures and drug concentrations.

(F) Viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells depends on *ULP2*. Upon transcriptional shut-off of *ULP2* expressed from inducible *GAL* promoter *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells die.

(G) Lethality of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ ulp2* cells is bypassed by the spontaneous suppressor of *ulp2Δ* phenotypes denoted *ulp1-C376* that is no longer tethered to the nuclear pores and is able to deSUMOylate Ulp2 substrates in the nucleoplasm.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Loss of Pds5 triggers SUMOylation of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 that is counteracted by Ulp2

(A) Loss of Pds5 triggers SUMOylation of cohesin's kleisin Scc1 and leads to the reduction of its protein levels as well as of Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation levels. Denaturing Ni-NTA pull-down (Ni PD) was performed to isolate [His]SUMO conjugates from cells expressing C-terminally 6HA-tagged Scc1 at endogenous levels and N-terminally 3HA-tagged Pds5 under the control of inducible *GAL* promoter. Cells were collected at the indicated time after shift from galactose- to glucose-containing media. Ni PD efficiency was assayed using anti-Smt3 antibody and Ponceau S staining. Pgk1 served as loading control.

(B) Expression of a lysine-less SUMO variant *KRall* that cannot form lysine-linked SUMO chains instead of ^{His}SUMO results in the accumulation of monoSUMOylated Scc1 species even prior to *PDS5* shut-off. Loss of Ulp2 leads to further increase in Scc1 multiSUMOylation.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Fusion of Ulp2 to cohesin's kleisin Scc1 supports viability in the absence of Pds5 and protects cohesin from turnover

(A) Schematic representation of Scc1-Ulp2 fusions used in this study, fusion is the only source of cohesin's kleisin in the cell. Scc1 depicted with its binding regions to other cohesin subunits and separase cleavage sites. Ulp2 fragment (aa 1-734) is fused C-terminally to endogenous Scc1 without a linker. The N-terminus of Ulp2 harbors 5 SIMs (colored blue) necessary for binding to SUMO chains and is followed by the protease domain; C-terminus (aa 735-1034) is replaced with 6HA tag. Catalytically-active Ulp2 fusion to Scc1 is denoted *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA*, catalytically-dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* carries point mutation in active site, *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-sim-6HA* has all N-terminal SIMs mutated loosing ability to recognize SUMO chains.

(B) Catalytically-active *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* fusion supports viability upon *PDS5* shut-off, whereas *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* and *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-sim-6HA* fusions fail to do so three days post spotting.

(C) Catalytically-active *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* fusion supports viability of *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells upon *ULP2* shut-off, whereas catalytically-dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* fusion does not.

(D-E) Upon *ULP2* shut-off in *elg1Δ wpl1Δ pds5Δ* background the protein levels of the catalytically-dead Ulp2 fusion to Scc1 (Scc1-ulp2$_{734}$-C624S-6HA) decrease (D), whereas catalytically-active Scc1-ulp2$_{734}$-6HA fusion remains stable (E).

Figure 5

Figure 5. Ulp2 fusion to cohesin's kleisin Scc1 keeps it in monoSUMOylated state and protects from SUMO-chain-targeted turnover

(A-B) Catalytically-active *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* fusion maintains its protein and monoSUMOylation levels as well as Smc3 lysine K112, K113 acetylation levels upon *ULP2* shut-off in *pds5Δ elg1Δ wpl1Δ* cells, whereas catalytically-dead *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* fusion does not. Ni PD was performed to isolate ᴴⁱˢSUMO conjugates from cells expressing either *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-6HA* (A) or *scc1-ulp2₇₃₄-C624S-6HA* (B) and *ULP2* under the control of inducible *GAL* promoter. Ni PD efficiency was assayed using anti-Smt3 antibody and Ponceau S staining. Pgk1 served as loading control. High molecular weight (HMW) polySUMO conjugates accumulate in Input upon *ULP2* shut-off.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Condensin is protected by Ulp2 against SUMO-chain-mediated turnover

(A) Temperature sensitive *smc2-8* mutant exhibits synthetic sick/lethal genetic interaction with *ulp2Δ* at permissive temperatures for *smc2-8* cells. Spotting of 1:7 serial dilutions on YPD plates at indicated temperatures.

(B-C) Synthetic lethality of *smc2-8 ulp2Δ* cells at permissive temperatures for *smc2-8* single mutant is suppressed if a lysine-less SUMO variant (*smt3-KRall*) that cannot form SUMO chains is expressed as the only source of SUMO (B) or if STUbL subunit Slx5 is deleted (C).

(D) Levels of monoSUMOylated condensin's kleisin Brn1 are reduced in the absence of Ulp2 compared to WT, while expression of a lysine-less SUMO variant *KRall* restores them. Ni PD was performed to isolate SUMO conjugates from cells expressing C-terminally 6HA-tagged Brn1. Ni PD efficiency was assayed using anti-Smt3 antibody and Ponceau S staining. Pgk1 served as loading control.

Figure 7

Figure 7. The Smc5/6 complex is protected by Ulp2 against SUMO-chain-mediated turnover

(A) Temperature sensitive *smc6-56* mutant exhibits synthetic sick/lethal genetic interaction with *ulp2Δ* at permissive temperatures for *smc6-56* cells.

(B) Synthetic lethality of *smc6-56 ulp2Δ* cells at permissive temperatures for *smc6-56* single mutant is suppressed by deletion of the SUMO ligase Siz2.

(C) Levels of monoSUMOylated Smc5/6 complex kleisin Nse4 are reduced in the absence of Ulp2 compared to WT and increased upon Slx5 deletion. Ni PD was performed to isolate ^{His}SUMO conjugates from cells expressing C-terminally 6HA-

tagged Nse4. Ni PD efficiency was assayed using anti-Smt3 antibody and Ponceau S staining. Pgk1 served as loading control.

(D) monoSUMOylated Nse4 species are not degradation-prone as they are not accumulating in the proteasome-defective mutant *cim3-1* background.

(E) Expression of a chainless SUMO variant *KRall* leads to the accumulation of monoSUMOylated Nse4 species both in WT and *ulp2Δ* cells.