Title: Sedimentary ancient DNA shows terrestrial plant richness continuously increased over the

Holocene in northern Fennoscandia

Short title: Holocene plant diversity in Fennoscandia

Authors: Dilli P. Rijal,[1,2*†] Peter D. Heintzman,[1*†] Youri Lammers,[1] Nigel G. Yoccoz,[2] Kelsey E.

Lorberau,[2] Iva Pitelkova,[1] Tomasz Goslar,[3] Francisco J.A. Murguzur,[2], J. Sakari Salonen,[4] Karin F.

Helmens,[5,6] Jostein Bakke,[7] Mary E. Edwards,[1,8] Torbjørn Alm,[1] Kari A. Bråthen,[2] Antony G.

Brown,[1,8] Inger G. Alsos[1*†]

[1] The Arctic University Museum of Norway, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

[2] Department of Arctic and Marine Biology, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

[3] Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland.

[4] Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, Finland.

[5] Swedish Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 50007, 10405 Stockholm, Sweden.

[6] Värriö Research Station, Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research INAR/Physics, P.O.

Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland.

[7] Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen, Norway.

[8] School of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Southampton, UK Southampton.

[*] Dilli P. Rijal (dilliprijal@gmail.com), Peter D. Heintzman (peter.d.heintzman@uit.no), Inger G.

Alsos (inger.g.alsos@uit.no).

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

<u>Abstract</u>

The effects of climate change on species richness is debated but can be informed by the past. Here, we

assess the impact of Holocene climate changes and nutrients on terrestrial plant richness across

multiple sites from northern Fennoscandia using new sedimentary ancient DNA (*sed*aDNA) data

quality control methods. We find that richness increased steeply during the rapidly warming Early

Holocene. In contrast to findings from most pollen studies, we show that richness continued to increase

through the Middle to Late Holocene even though temperature decreased, with the regional species

pool only stabilizing during the last two millennia. Furthermore, overall increase in richness was

greater in catchments with higher soil nutrient availability. We suggest that richness will rapidly

increase with ongoing warming, especially at localities with high nutrient availability and even in the

absence of increased human activity in the region, although delays of millennia may be expected.

Introduction

Our ability to counter the current loss of biodiversity is dependent on how well we understand the causes of its change through time. However, the trajectory of biodiversity, especially in response to ongoing climate change, is vigorously debated (*1, 2*), with discrepancy among short-term biodiversity patterns at global, regional, and local scales, whereby local processes may compensate or even counteract global trends (*3*). Our understanding of how species pools - the accumulated species richness at a given spatiotemporal scale (sensu *4*) - affect biodiversity patterns through time is limited, in part because constructing past species pools from present-day data is a non-trivial task (*5*).

The largest impact of ongoing climate change is expected to be at high latitudes (*6*). Field and modelling analyses suggest plant species richness will increase at high latitudes in Europe as summer temperature increases (*7*). Short-term observational studies, however, suggest that colonization by terrestrial species is lagging behind shifts in temperature isotherms (*8*), which can be compensated in the short term by local extinction lags (*1*). Therefore, studies addressing species pools and local richness at high latitudes and at different spatiotemporal scales are warranted to increase our understanding of biodiversity responses to ongoing climate change.

Changes in species richness by other drivers, such as nutrient levels, species introductions, and dispersal lags, are often context-dependent and hence difficult to predict. For example, edaphic variation, including variation in nutrient content, is hypothesized to strongly influence establishment, ecological drift, and niche selection, which all affect the local species pool, and this in turn affects richness (*9*). Experimental approaches have shown a non-linear impact of fertilization on Arctic plant richness and their ecosystem functions (*10*). An overall greater species richness has been reported from calcareous as compared with siliceous bedrock areas in the European Alps and northern Fennoscandia, whereby leaching of the former produces neutral to acidic microenvironments, providing a mosaic of habitats that may promote species establishment and an increase local richness (*11, 12*). Human land

use may also increase soil fertility and thereby richness (*13*), but the overall human impact at high

latitudes in Europe is low (*14*). There is also evidence that the trajectory of succession, particularly soil

formation, after glacier retreat varies due to abiotic rather than biotic factors (*15*). Furthermore, it has

been found that regional plant species richness in previously glaciated regions may still be responding

to past deglaciation, whereas local richness may be determined by local habitat factors (*16*).

There is a clear need for long-term data at the regional and local scales to better understand

biodiversity trends (*17*). Paleoecological studies, especially those based on pollen (palynological)

analyses, provide direct long-term evidence of plant biodiversity change and have been widely used to

estimate effects of climate changes on richness (*18–20*). Contrasting richness patterns have been

observed in different regions over the Holocene (11.7 thousand calibrated years before present (ka) to

recent). In the European northern boreal region (Scotland, Fennoscandia, Iceland, Baltic States, NW

Russia) pollen richness shows an overall decrease from 11.7-7.0 ka, followed by an increase to nearly

peak levels by recent times (*19*). In the far north of Fennoscandia, however, a study spanning a forest to

shrub-tundra gradient shows an inconsistent richness pattern through the Holocene (*21*). If plant

dispersal had been slow, due to not being able to track a changing climate as it changes (dispersal lag)

for example, then diversity in previously glaciated areas would be expected to increase over time. Such

a scenario was not observed in central Sweden (*22*) but was inferred in Norway (*20*). These studies

highlight the challenges of comparing pollen richness across different vegetation zones, which is

confounded by inferences based on pollen being impacted by over-abundance of a few taxa

(swamping), under-representation of other taxa, or low abundance of all taxa, the latter of which is a

particular problem above the treeline (*23*).

An alternative, emerging proxy for examining long term, regional scale richness and species

pool trends is sedimentary ancient DNA (*sed*aDNA). Compared to pollen, *sed*aDNA provides higher

taxonomic resolution, has fewer problems with swamping, and is considered to only represent the local

plant community (*24–26*). In a small catchment, *sed*aDNA may, therefore, also register the effect of

drivers on a local rather than regional scale (*27*). Ground truthing studies show a strong correlation

between modern sedimentary DNA-inferred richness and richness of modern vegetation around lake

catchments (*28*). However, in contrast to pollen, *sed*aDNA studies have focused almost exclusively on

single sites (but see *27*, *29*, *30*), thereby limiting our spatial understanding of richness and species pool

patterns. A key challenge to combining multi-site *sed*aDNA data from lake cores is that data need to be

directly comparable, both within (temporal) and between (spatial) sites, otherwise biased richness

estimates resulting from data quality problems could potentially lead to erroneous local- and regional-

scale inferences.

Here, we generate the largest *sed*aDNA data set to date, consisting of 387 dated samples from

10 sites in northern Fennoscandia using vascular plant metabarcoding, and harmonize the entire data

set using standardized taxonomy and novel data quality control measures that will be highly applicable

to the wider environmental DNA community. Capitalizing on this harmonized high resolution

taxonomic data set, we estimate richness, local species pools (accumulated richness per catchment),

and the regional species pool (accumulated richness for all 10 sites) throughout the Holocene which we

compare to two potential drivers, climate and local edaphic conditions. We find that temperature and

soil nutrients are important drivers, but suggest that dispersal lags and habitat diversification also

provide an important mechanism for plant richness changes through time. By providing refined

paleoecological insights, sedaDNA data are well positioned to increase the precision of integrative

ecological models for predicting the consequences of ongoing climate change.

Results

Age-depth models

We constructed Bayesian age-depth models for 10 lake sites (Figs. 1, 2, S1) to estimate the age of each

individual *sed*aDNA sample. Since the cores were all central or near-central lake locations and the

lakes were medium to small with in most cases only one depositional basin, the age-depth curves were

approximately linear or curvilinear with three exceptions (Figs. 2, S1). Kuutsjärvi had a distinct

reduction in sedimentation rate from around 4.0 ka. Sandfjorddalen had stepped changes in the

sedimentation rate with possible hiatuses in the Early Holocene (11.0-8.0 ka) and Late Holocene

between 6.0 and 2.0 ka. This probably reflects its position in the valley floor as a flow-through lake.

Lastly, Sierravannet had a distinct upturn in the accumulation rate around 0.6 ka to present, which

occurs after a putative flood event at ca. 48-40 cm composite depth (equivalent to ca. 0.6 ka). This 8 -

cm layer is characterised by a dark band in the visible stratigraphy, a rapid decrease and then increase

in organic carbon (loss-on-ignition, LOI), and two older-than-expected dates, which were consequently

not included in the age-depth model. Given that this lake has the largest catchment area and there is a

change in lithology, this is probably the result of flooding from the upstream lakes and fluvial network.

The terrestrial plant taxonomic richness trends are unaffected by the removal of the four *sed*aDNA

samples that fall within this flood event window (Fig. S2). For the interpretation of the *sed*aDNA

records, the age-depth models provided similar temporal resolution of 158-616 years per sample for all

lakes except Sierravannet, which had 63 years per sample. Six of the sedimentary records covered the

entire Holocene (Figs. 2, S1) and all except one (Sierravannet) covered the three periods of the

Holocene (Early, 11.7-8.3 ka; Middle, 8.3-4.25 ka; Late Holocene, 4.25-0.0 ka), although the usable

Nesservatnet record was reduced to the Late Holocene after removal of low quality *sed*aDNA samples

(see below). For two records that extend into the lateglacial (late Pleistocene; Langfjordvannet,

Nordvivatnet), the age-depth models are not well constrained in the lateglacial period. For

Langfjordvannet, three hiatuses were inferred by Otterå (*31*). We tentatively infer a single hiatus for

Nordvivatnet (Fig. 2), which could have been caused by a rock slide, and is based on the recovery of

glacially-scarred stones (impressions shown in visible stratigraphy in Fig. S1H), low organic carbon

(LOI), and comparable radiocarbon dates.

<u>Sedimentary ancient DNA data quality assessment</u>

Across our 10 lake sediment records, we generated 91.6 million raw sequence reads from 387 sediment

samples and 90 control samples. We observed notable differences in data quality between samples both

within and between lake records during preliminary data exploration. We therefore sought to develop

criteria to scrutinize the quality of samples and provide a cut-off for removing those considered

problematic and which may have been impacted by poor DNA quality or methodological issues, such

as extract inhibition. We developed two statistics based on the most read-abundant sequences, with the

rationale that if these sequences were not replicable, then we cannot exclude methodological problems.

We first developed a *metabarcoding technical quality (MTQ)* score to assess metabarcoding success on

a per-sample basis. This score is the proportion of positive PCR detections across the 10 most read-

abundant sequences within a sample prior to any taxonomic identification. We next developed a

metabarcoding analytical quality (MAQ) score to assess the success of recovering sequences of

interest. This score is the same as the *MTQ* score, except that the 10 most read-abundant and

taxonomically-identified sequences, after removing those that matched the *blacklists*, were used.

Sources of *MTQ* and *MAQ* score divergence include the co-amplification of unidentified and/or

contaminant sequences. For all samples across the data set, we examined the distribution of *MTQ* and

MAQ scores and used these to infer that samples should require an *MTQ* score of ≥0.75 and *MAQ* score

of ≥0.2 to pass quality control (QC) and be included in downstream analyses (Figs. 3, S3, S4). This

cutoff excluded all negative controls, which had an *MTQ* score of <0.75 and *MAQ* score of <0.1.

   After applying our QC thresholds and removing duplicates, we retained 316 samples (Fig. 3).

This resulted in 12-55 samples retained per record (Data sets S4, S8; Fig. S3). We retained 402

barcodes, which were collapsed to 346 taxa with between 89-200 taxa recorded from each lake record

(Table S1). Of these, 50% could be assigned to the species level (Data sets S6, S7). As our focus was

on the terrestrial plant diversity, we excluded 13 algae and 36 aquatic plant taxa. Nine taxa were only

present in samples that failed QC. Thus, our final dataset retained 288 terrestrial plant taxa detected in

316 samples.

We next explored the relationship between observed taxonomic richness and/or sample age

against six measures of *sed*aDNA quality, with each measure calculated on a per sample basis.

MTQ and MAQ scores: Both scores correlate with richness when richness is low (<25-30; Fig.

3), which is likely an artifact of the requirement that the 10 best represented barcodes are required to

calculate these scores. At higher richness values, both *MTQ* and *MAQ* scores are uniformly high. *MTQ*

scores are minimally impacted by sample age (Figs. 3, S4A), although samples older than ~8.0 ka tend

to have lowered *MAQ* scores (Fig. 3), which is driven by the Eaštorjávri South, Langfjordvannet,

Kuutsjärvi, Nesservatnet, and, to a lesser extent, Jøkelvatnet records (Fig. S4B).

Raw read count summed across PCR replicates: Richness may be influenced by differences in

total sequencing depth between samples, whereby we would expect increased total depth to correlate

with richness as the likelihood of detecting read-rare taxa is increased (e.g. (*32*)). However, we do not

observe a relationship between richness and raw read count (Fig. 3), suggesting that differences in

sequencing depth do not influence richness in our data set. This is consistent with the results of the

rarefied richness analyses (Table S2). We also do not observe a relationship between sample age and

sequencing depth (Figs. 3, S4C), suggesting there is no temporal or stratigraphic bias in our ability to

generate raw reads. We note that samples that passed or failed QC had comparable total sequencing

depths.

Mean length of identified barcodes through time: As ancient DNA fragments into shorter

molecules over time (*33*), a reduction in mean barcode length with sample age may suggest that longer

barcodes are no longer preserved thus biasing estimates of temporal richness patterns. However, this

assumes that barcode length is independent of taxonomic group and/or ecological community

composition, which may not always be the case. We do not observe a decrease in mean barcode length

with age in samples that pass QC (Figs. 3, S4D). Samples that fail QC are often mean length outliers

that show no relationship to age, but are rather an artifact of small sample sizes. Minor decreases in

mean barcode length with sample age are observed for Kuutsjärvi and Langfjordvannet (Fig. S4D).

Mean proportion of weighted PCR replicates (wtRep): The mean *wtRep* provides a measure of

mean taxon detectability in samples. If barcode template concentrations in *sed*aDNA extracts are low,

then we would expect recovered richness to be limited, due to dropout, with a corresponding reduction

in detectability of taxa that are observed. Consistent with this expectation, we find that mean *wtRep*

values are comparable for samples with richness >25-30 (Fig. 3), but that mean *wtRep* and richness are

correlated when richness is <25-30. However, we observe only a modest decrease in mean *wtRep*

values with sample age (Fig. 3), suggesting that taxon detectability is not affected by age. The greatest

age-related reductions in mean *wtRep* values are in samples from Langfjordvannet, Kuutsjärvi,

Nesservatnet, and, to a lesser extent, Jøkelvatnet (Fig. S4E). We note, *a posteriori*, that mean *wtRep*

and *MAQ* scores may not be independent measures, especially for samples with low richness (<25-30).

The proportion of raw reads assigned to terrestrial plant taxa: If there is co-amplification of

non-terrestrial plant, algae, contaminant, and/or other off-target molecules, then terrestrial plant

richness may be reduced by swamping. Interestingly, we observe that at least ~40% of reads are

required to be identified as terrestrial plant taxa for observed richness to exceed ~60 taxa (Fig. 3).

When richness is <60, we observe that the proportion of reads identified as terrestrial plant taxa

decreases with richness (Fig. 3). However, we note that there is large variance around this trend and so,

for example, samples from the Middle Holocene of Sandfjorddalen have comparable observed richness

to Early Holocene samples from Gauptjern (Fig. S3), even though reads from the former are swamped

by reads from aquatic plant taxa (Fig. S5). Across the entire data set, there is a gradual reduction in the

proportion of reads identified as terrestrial plant taxa with sample age, which is driven by the

Eaštorjávri South, Kuutsjärvi, and Nesservatnet records (Figs. 3, S4F, S5). Samples that failed QC

tended to have a lower proportion of reads identified as terrestrial plant taxa (Figs. 3, S4F, S5).

Overall, we found that the *MTQ* and *MAQ* score QC thresholds removed the worst performing

samples. The records with the best *sed*aDNA quality are Gauptjern, Horntjernet, Nordvivatnet,

Sandfjorddalen, and Sierravannet. Samples from the Early Holocene should be treated with more

caution from the Eaštorjávri South, Kuutsjärvi, Langfjordvannet, and Jøkelvatnet records (Figs. S4,

S5).

<u>Local richness and species pool</u>

To evaluate how richness and the local species pool changed through time, we calculated the

accumulated and observed number of taxa in each sample within each lake as measures of local species

pool and richness respectively. The local species pools increased over time for all catchments (Fig. 4)

with the highest recorded at Jøkelvatnet (200 taxa), which today drains a catchment that has a Late

Holocene glacier in its upper reaches (Fig. 1; Supplementary Materials, SI1). Rich species pools were

also found at Gauptjern, which is at the border between pine and birch forest, and at Nordvivatnet and

Langfjordvannet, which have a mixture of heathland, birch forest, and scree slope in their catchments.

Somewhat lower species pools were found at the two sites in pine forest, Horntjernet and Kuutsjärvi,

and at Sierravannet, a site with birch forest, and pine and larch plantations. The two shrub-tundra sites,

Eaštorjávri South and Sandfjorddalen, had smaller species pools, similar to Nesservatnet, which is

surrounded by heathland/mires (93 taxa) and located on the small island of Årøya.

There were clear differences among lakes, both in the overall levels of richness and in the

change in richness over the period (Fig. 4). The mean (±SD) taxonomic richness (Hill N0) ranged from

20.6 (± 6.4) at Horntjernet to 65.5 (± 24.5) at Jøkelvatnet, whereas Hill N1 ranged from 14.9 (± 7.8) at

Eaštorjávri South to 52.4 (± 20.5) at Jøkelvatnet (Fig. 4; Table S1). The rarefied richness based on read

count showed a strong correlation with observed taxonomic richness (R=0.82-0.99; Table S2),

suggesting that the observed pattern was not affected by sequencing depth. The Hill N1 (common taxa)

showed temporal patterns that mirrored those of observed taxonomic richness for all the lakes except

Sierravannet (Fig. 4).

We observed a significant effect of the age of samples on taxonomic richness as indicated by

statistically significant smooth terms in generalized additive mixed models (Table S3), except for

Sierravannet, which only covered 2.6 ka, and where diversity suddenly dropped around 0.6 ka,

corresponding to a putative flood event (Fig. S2). For two of the lakes, Eaštorjávri South and

Nesservatnet, a near linear pattern of increase in taxonomic richness through time (edf=1) was

recovered. On the other hand, Langfjordvannet had the most complex pattern of increase in richness

(edf=5.93, Table S3). The steepest increase was seen in the Early and Middle Holocene for most lakes.

Only at three sites, Nordvivatnet, Horntjernet and Gauptjern, did richness reach a plateau during the

Late Holocene; for most lakes no levelling off was observed suggesting that richness is still increasing

(Fig. 4).

<u>Regional richness and species pool</u>

To assess temporal patterns of regional species pool and richness, we calculated the accumulated

number of detected taxa from the entire quality controlled data over the Holocene as a measure of the

regional species pool, and the number of taxa detected in each sample as a measure of richness (Figs.

5A, S6). During the Early Holocene, there was a strong increase in the regional species pool size. The

regional species pool increased monotonically from the Early Holocene to ca. 7.0 ka, the rate slowed in

the period ca. 7.0-5.0 ka followed by an uptick from 5.0-3.3 ka before stabilising. The regional species

pool levelled off over the last two millennia with an increase of just 10 taxa (3.5% of the total).

The mean (±SE) predicted taxonomic richness (Hill N0) based on a generalized additive model

showed a steep increase during the Early Holocene from 13.8±3.9 to 31.8±1.5 taxa per sample when

evaluated using 500-year time windows. Richness continued to increase during the Middle Holocene

(33.4±1.5 to 42.7±1.3), and showed only a minor increase during the Late Holocene (43.7±1.3 to

45.9±2.0).

<u>Richness in relation to local and regional species pools</u>

To assess how local and regional species pool affects richness (beta-diversity) at respective scales, we

used the accumulated number of taxa within a lake and 500-year time bin as measures of local and

regional species pools respectively, and mean number of taxa at respective scales as richness estimates.

There was a strong positive association between mean terrestrial plant richness and the local species

pool of lakes, where 82% of the variation in local richness was explained by local species pool

(R^2_{adj}=0.82, p<0.001, df=8; Fig. 6A). The mean richness of lakes represented about 24% to 37% taxa of

the local species pool, except at Horntjernet, where richness represented only 18% taxa of the local

species pool. The mean local richness increased by nearly four taxa (regression slope=0.36) for every

10 taxa that were added in the local species pool. Similarly, we found a strong positive correlation

between mean richness per 500-year time period and total taxa available in the respective period, and

86% of the variation in richness was explained by the regional species pool (R^2_{adj}=0.86, p<0.001,

df=21; Fig. 6B) where ~23% to 39% of the taxa from the regional species pool were represented by the

mean richness. The mean regional richness increased by more than two taxa (regression slope=0.23)

with the addition of 10 taxa in the regional species pool.

<u>Impact of regional climate on plant richness</u>

We used oxygen isotope (δ^{18}O) values from the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP) (Andersen

et al., 2004) as a proxy for temperature to assess the impact of regional climate on local richness during

different periods of the Holocene. Climate had a significantly positive effect on richness in the Early

Holocene (β=0.23, SE=0.05, p<0.001), a marginal negative effect in the Middle Holocene (β=-0.26,

SE=0.13, p=0.048), and a clear negative effect in the Late Holocene (β=-0.53, SE=0.12, p<0.001; Fig.

7A). In the Early and Late Holocene, temperature changed linearly through time at a rate ($\pm$SE) of 0.92

($\pm$0.07) and -0.13 ($\pm$0.01) δ^{18}O/ka respectively, whereas there was no directional change in temperature

across the Middle Holocene (Fig. 5B).

<u>Effect of nutrient availability on plant richness</u>

We used a new semi-quantitative nutrient index based on rock type and its weatherability to assess how

nutrient availability in the catchment area affects richness. We observed a positive correlation between

nutrient index and taxonomic richness for all three time periods (Fig. 7B) although this was not

significant for the Early Holocene (R^2_{adj}=0.36, $F_{(1,6)}$=4.92, p=0.07), which also had the smallest

sample size. The nutrient index explained 51% and 35% of the variation in richness for the Middle

(R^2_{adj}=0.51, $F_{(1,7)}$=9.22, p=0.02) and Late Holocene (R^2_{adj}=0.35, $F_{(1,8)}$=5.92, p=0.04) respectively.

The nutrient-richness relationship was stronger for the Middle Holocene (β=0.21, SE=0.07, p=0.02)

than the Late Holocene (β=0.12, SE=0.05, p=0.04). The effect of nutrient index on taxonomic richness

was strongest when the impact of climate was negligible during the Middle Holocene. This suggests

that a significant cause of site-to-site variation and sub-regional richness patterns was soil nutrient

availability, which is dependent upon the bedrock and rate of weathering.

Discussion

The ability of *sed*aDNA to capture plant taxonomic richness

The mean observed taxonomic richness of terrestrial plants per sample and site (~21-66) is higher than that recovered for northern boreal sites based on pollen analyses (~20 taxa, *19*), but similar to pollen estimates from the Alps and Mediterranean (~30 taxa, *19*). The detected richness values are within the range that has been found in other recent studies of *sed*aDNA from northern sites (20-70 taxa per sample; *27*, *34*), although some shrub tundra (~13 per sample, *35*) and High Arctic (5-30 per sample, *36*) sites have notably lower estimates. Nevertheless, our results are consistent with other *sed*aDNA analyses that detect more taxa than pollen counts (*24*, *25*, *27*). Together with improved geographic fidelity due to a local signal, *sed*aDNA thereby improves our understanding of the spatial patterns and scale dependency of past plant diversity.

The temporal patterns evaluated here rely on the assumption that our ability to detect plant taxa in *sed*aDNA is not impacted by differential preservation, due to sample age or methodological problems such as DNA extract inhibition. Here we discarded samples of poor quality, that had metrics comparable to negative controls and thus may have been affected by methodological problems, and we broadly examined the quality of the retained samples. Half of our sites showed no evidence of declining *sed*aDNA quality with sample age, whereas the remainder had reduced quality in the Early Holocene interval. That our samples generally exhibited good *sed*aDNA quality throughout the study interval is likely due to a combination of excellent DNA preservation in the cold environments of high latitudes (*37*) and the young age of the samples (<11.7 ka) relative to the known upper limit of aDNA preservation (~560-780 ka, *38*). As multi-site *sed*aDNA studies become common, it will be crucial that data quality is scrutinized and, where possible, standardized to allow for biologically meaningful comparisons between sites.

<u>Nutrient availability and plant richness</u>

In considering the positive association between nutrient index and mean taxonomic richness of lakes

for different periods of the Holocene, we highlight that our nutrient index is based on bedrock

weathering, and the potential release of phosphorus, potassium, and calcium, which acts as a surrogate

for alkalinity. During the Early Holocene, it is likely that nutrient release started immediately after

deglaciation when liquid water was abundant (*39*) and light-demanding and disturbance-tolerant

pioneer species could have survived on the nutrient-poor microhabitats, and thus showed weak overall

association with the nutrient index. With continued warmer and possibly wetter conditions, leaching

and nutrient release would have increased, thereby promoting richness in the Middle and Late

Holocene. Relevant here is the fine spatial scale of the calcareous (alkaline) and siliceous (acidic)

bedrock in northern Fennoscandia, with small and often linear, outcrops of metamorphic carbonate.

This contrasts with the large calcareous limestone massifs found in younger geologies such as the

European Alps, which have been shown to have effects on diversity over both short and long

timescales (*11*). Given that there is also a positive association between nutrient index and total richness

(representing a subset of the regional species pool), it is reasonable to consider nutrient index as an

important driver for species pool development and hence regional richness (*40*). Indeed, it is the

floristic variation between sites (beta-diversity) that accounts for the large difference between the local

and regional species pools even today (*12*, *41*).

<u>A steep Early Holocene increase in plant richness</u>

The highest rate of increase in richness and in local and regional species pools is observed in the Early

Holocene 11.7-8.3 ka. Due to their significant correlation, we cannot distinguish the effect of dispersal

lags from temperature, and both factors likely contributed to the observed increase in diversity. Climate

was also the driver for deglaciation, which increased the area available for colonisation. Three of our

records span a longer time period than examined here (Langfjordvannet: 16.7 ka, Nordvivatnet: 12.7

ka, Sandfjorddalen: 12.5 ka; Fig. S1F,H,I), and they, as well as macrofossils (14.5 ka, *42*) and pollen

records (13.9 ka, *43*) from other coastal sites in northern Fennoscandia, show that an Arctic pioneer

vegetation established towards the end of the Younger Dryas period (12.9-11.7 ka) and into the Early

Holocene. Thus, a species pool already existed at least along the coast at the start of our study period,

which is not the case for some of the inland sites (Gauptjern, Horntjernet, Kuutsjärvi) that were

deglaciated after the onset of the Holocene. Nevertheless, all sites exhibit a strong increase in richness

independent of location relative to deglaciation.

Especially during the rapid warming at 11.7-10 ka, we find a high increase in richness.

Additional factors other than climate and availability of land may have influenced richness in this

period. For example, biotic factors such as low levels of competition may have facilitated establishment

(*44*), and abiotic factors, particularly paraglacial processes, may have produced disturbance at the local

scale (*45*). On the other hand, dispersal lags may have limited richness and species pools, as for

example the 400-year time lag between climate and arrival of birch woodland which was estimated

based on plant macrofossils recovered from sediment cores (*46*). Nevertheless, the overall rapid

increase in diversity in an early phase of colonization is also recorded in pollen studies (*19*), and

expected given that they cover the development from pioneer to established vegetation communities.

Our richness patterns show a continued strong increase after ~11 ka, when the major expansion

of birch forest took place, and after ~10 ka when pine expanded into the region (*21*). Thus, in contrast

to the decrease in richness due to forest expansion observed in pollen studies (*13*, *19*), we found a

general increase in richness through time. This may be because *sed*aDNA analyses are less sensitive to

swamping by trees than pollen analyses and therefore better reflect habitat complexity (*25–27*).

<u>Middle Holocene richness continues to increase</u>

The moderate increase in local and regional species pools during the Middle Holocene (8.3-4.2 ka) was

marginally related to climate. The NGRIP record shows a temperature peak (end of the Holocene

Thermal Maximum) followed by slight cooling during this period. Richness levelled off in only two

lakes (Nordvivatnet and Sandfjorddalen) and one lake (Langfjordvannet) showed a hump in richness,

which we assume is due to local factors. For Gauptjern, palynological richness fluctuates around eight

taxa for this period (*47*), whereas our *sed*aDNA data show a clear increase. Pollen studies from

northern Fennoscandia have shown contrasting patterns through this period, including stable levels of

richness along a spruce-pine-birch tundra transect (*21*) and an overall increase in richness (*20*). The

closest sites previously studied for *sed*aDNA show stable richness at Varanger in Finnmark (*35*),

increasing richness in Svalbard (*36*), and fluctuating high richness in the Polar Urals (*34*). Seen from a

regional perspective, our richness curves are similar to those found in the temperate zone of Europe,

where a Middle Holocene richness increase is inferred to be due to human impact, but differ from those

of the boreal zone (*19*), probably due to lower influence of Holocene tree expansion in the *sed*aDNA

data. Thus, in contrast to many pollen studies, our *sed*aDNA data show an increase in richness and

species pool for the Middle Holocene. As the climate was relatively stable during this period, we

speculate that the increase in richness may have been mostly driven by dispersal lags, resulting in

delayed establishment of some taxa in the region, and/or early habitat diversification allowing for a

greater variety of niches, including the development of heathland, meadows, and mires (*14*).

<u>Late Holocene richness nears a plateau</u>

The regional species pool clearly levelled off during the past few millennia suggesting that a near

saturation point was reached. The slight cooling and well-known instability in this period (*48*)

negatively impacted richness, potentially as a consequence of the cooling-induced withdrawal of the

forest in the region (*14*, *21*). Palynological richness in northern Fennoscandia also increases slightly

(*47*) or is variable (*21*) during this period. Richness also increases at sites in the boreal and temperate

regions of Europe, mainly due to human land use impacts (*19*, *22*). The reason for levelling off at the

regional scale in northern Fennoscandia is likely due to the near-saturation of the regional species pool

and the overall low impact of human land use within the catchments.

In contrast to the regional scale, our data suggest that the local species pools and richness are

not yet saturated. This is in contrast to what has been observed in studies of modern vegetation, where

there appears to be no effect of time since glaciation for local (plot level) richness, whereas a legacy of

the ice age is inferred for richness at the pan-Arctic (floristic region) scale (*16*). This apparent

contradiction may be the result of scale and environmental spatial variation. Our catchments are larger

than the plots studied by Stewart et al. (*16*), and therefore allow for co-existence of different vegetation

types. Soils develop slowly on hard felsic and mafic rocks and have low buffering capacity resulting in

nutrient loss and the partial development of oligotrophic vegetation types such as acid heaths and

ombrotrophic mires. These have their own floras and some species are restricted to these environments.

Indeed, mires and heath vegetation expanded in the region during the Late Holocene (*14*, *21*).

Depending upon the local bedrock, a given area may thus gradually come to include additional

vegetation types, allowing more hardy species to grow and total richness to increase while retaining the

more demanding species in more favourable areas of the catchment. In addition, infilling of the lake

creates wetland zones that also may include terrestrial taxa. Thus, a continued increase in richness and

local species pools may be due to habitat diversification.

Conclusions

We are aware that our study has several limitations. First, one should be aware that *sed*aDNA analyses

based on p6-loop metabarcoding has taxonomic biases, as some species-rich families such as

Salicaceae, Poaceae and Cyperaceae are poorly resolved due to haplotype sharing (*30*, *49*). We have

used a local reference database to maximise taxonomic resolution and note that this is also an issue for

traditional palynological proxies. Second, we acknowledge that the initial steep increase for our species

pool estimates at the start of the Holocene constitute a sampling probability artifact, as plant taxa are

known from the region in the late glacial (*42*, *43*). However, we note that a consistent steep increase

continues throughout the Early Holocene interval, which we do not consider to be a sampling artifact.

Third, we assume that the NGRIP record is representative of the climate of northern Fennoscandia.

This record is in accordance with reconstructions of local climate in northernmost Fennoscandia based

on macrofossils and pollen, although local variation does exist especially due to the proximity of the

Norwegian Coastal Current, which is an extension of the Atlantic Gulf Stream (*43*). Lastly, we have

not considered human impact as a potential driver of richness or species pools, but emphasise that this

is considered to have been low in northern Fennoscandia as compared to other regions in Europe (*14*).

We present terrestrial plant richness and species pools inferred from 10 Holocene *sed*aDNA

records covering environmental gradients in northern Fennoscandia. Our development of new quality

control metrics to standardize data, together with improved taxonomic precision and known source

areas (hydrological catchments of the lakes) allows for meaningful estimates of taxonomic richness, its

spatial variation, and temporal trends, which show a unique increasing pattern of terrestrial plant

richness over the Holocene. Our data reveal a steep increase in diversity in the Early Holocene related

to the concurrent increase in temperature at that time and abundant vacant niches. However, the

richness and the local and regional species pools continued to increase through the Middle and Late

Holocene, although at a slower rate, suggesting that dispersal lags and habitat diversification had a

major impact on diversity in these latter periods. In addition, we found that local nutrient levels,

calculated based on bedrock type, had a strong impact on the overall levels of richness. Individual

differences were observed among our sites, but our novel combined and standardized *sed*aDNA

analyses of 10 sites provides a superior representation of the overall regional patterns in plant

taxonomic richness over the Holocene as compared to traditional approaches. We suggest that plant

diversity will continue to, and perhaps markedly, increase in northern Fennoscandia as a consequence

of the northward movement of warm adapted species due to ongoing climate warming (sensu *1*).

Expanding human impacts, including niche construction and introductions, within the region would

further increase diversity. However, increases in diversity may be tempered by dispersal lags and the

time taken for habitats to diversify as is suggested by our Holocene data set.

Integration of long-term paleo- and contemporary ecological data will be key to understanding,

predicting, and managing the consequences of ongoing climate warming in northern ecosystems. Our

study showcases how regional scale *sed*aDNA data can provide refined paleoecological insights into

richness and species pools, as compared to traditional proxies, which will increase the precision of

integrative ecological models.

Materials and Methods

Study area, site selection, and properties

The study area covers northernmost Fennoscandia above the Arctic Circle (at 67.75-70.43 N and 19.62-

30.02 E) with nine lakes in Norway and one in Finland (Fig. 1, Data set S1). Site climatic and

environmental properties are given below, whereas geology and vegetation descriptions are in the

Supplementary Materials, SI1 and SI2.

Site selection. We selected 10 sites with the aim of minimising variation in environmental and

potentially taphonomic variables (such as lake size and altitude), whilst covering the variation of

biogeographical variables and particularly intra-regional climate. All sites are therefore small to

medium sized lakes, with small catchments, no or minimal input streams, and a location above the

maximum marine limit. We aimed to cover the major vegetation types in the region including the

northernmost spruce and pine forest, the more widespread birch forest as well as subarctic grassland

below the present-day treeline (Data set S1).

Climate. The Norwegian sites are located in the three most northerly Norwegian temperature regions

(TR4-TR6) and precipitation regions (RR11-RR13), as defined by Hansen-Bauer and Nordli (*50*).

Statistical analysis has established that these are the most homogenous sub-regions related to common

drivers of regional forcing, particularly sea-level pressure over the North Atlantic and North Atlantic

Oscillation (NAO) (*51*). The Finnish site (Kuutsjärvi) is close to the easternmost part of Norway, with a

similar continental climate.

Environment. The site environmental data was taken from published sources: site altitude, lake area,

catchment area and climatic variables was generated from the NAVINA-NVE online tools

(https://www.nve.no/karttjenester/) and catchment geology from the Geological Survey of Norway

(NGU) online mapping system (https://www.ngu.no/en). Given that the role of parent material is

particularly important for plant growth in both sub-arctic and Alpine environments (*52*) it was deemed

potentially valuable to classify the sites by the geologically derived nutrient resource environment (see

Equation 2). In most cases values from the bedrocks of the sites are not available so typical values

measured from a suite of rocks from the Caledonian-nappe metamorphic rocks from north Troms was

used (*12*) the values of which can be found in Data set S1. However, in the case of Nordvivatnet and

Sandfjorddalen values could be taken from studies of the alteration of Neoproterozoic tillites of the

Varanger region (*53*). The major methodological uncertainties with such an index is the role of both the

moraine cover and the development of a soil organic matter store. The moraine cover is typically pre-

weathered to some extent and probably reduces the effect of the local bedrock. This approach is

appropriate for the geologically mediated plant nutrients and nutrient storage and cycling governed by

the development of a soil organic matter (SOM) store.

Fieldwork and lake sediment coring

We collected cores from seven of the ten lakes from northern Fennoscandia between February and

April 2017 (Fig. 1; Data set S1), whereas cores from three lakes were obtained from previous studies

(see below). For each of six of the lakes, we retrieved sediment in a single 10-cm diameter polyvinyl

chloride (PVC) pipe, using a modified Nesje piston-corer (*54*) from the deepest and generally central

part of the lake. At Lake Gauptjern, two Nesje cores were retrieved (EG03, EG13). We monitored for

potential cross contamination using a DNA tracer mixed into Vaseline, which we applied to the piston

immediately prior to coring. This tracer consisted of DNA extracted from a non-native plant, the

Christmas cactus (*Schlumbergera truncata*) (see Supplementary Materials, SI3). After coring, we cut

the pipe into 1.0-1.2 m long sections in the field and immediately sealed the ends to minimize

contamination from modern environmental DNA (eDNA). For six of the lakes, we collected up to 1-m

long cores using a 4-cm diameter rod-operated Multisampler (Eijkelkamp 12.42; Giesbeek, The

Netherlands), which usually captured the water-sediment interface (Data set S2). At Sandfjorddalen,

two sequential Multisampler cores were taken from the same hole, resulting in a 149 cm core. All core

sections were kept cold during transport to and storage in a dedicated cold room (4 °C). During storage,

water from the majority of the Multisampler cores leaked out, which resulted in shrinkage of these

cores.

Core sampling

The Nesje cores were split longitudinally, with one half used for destructive analyses (loss-on-ignition,

LOI; *sed*aDNA) and the other for high-resolution imagery. Sampling for destructive analyses was

conducted in the dedicated ancient DNA laboratory facility at The Arctic University Museum of

Norway (TMU), using sterile implements. We sampled all cores starting at the base and fully cleaned

the laboratory between sampling cores from different lakes. At each selected 1-cm layer, we first

removed and discarded the top ~2 mm of exposed sediment surface. We then removed a further ~3 mm

(~5-10 g) of the underlying sediment surface, which we retained for LOI analysis. Finally, we then

sampled ~10-20 g of the remaining underlying sediment for *sed*aDNA analysis, taking care not to

sample sediment immediately adjacent to the PVC pipe. To detect contamination from exogenous

sources of environmental DNA, we took sampling negative controls that consisted of 100 µL of

exposed molecular biology grade water.

For the Multisampler cores with remaining water and highly liquid surface sediment, we first

siphoned off and discarded the water. We then siphoned and retained the liquid surface sediment at 1

cm intervals. For the remaining sediment, and for Multisampler cores that had shrunk due to water loss,

we extruded the cores at 1 cm intervals, starting at the base of the core. At each interval, the outer ~5

mm of sediment was removed and retained for LOI analysis. The remaining ~3 cm diameter sediment

was retained for *sed*aDNA analysis. Sampling conditions and controls were as described above.

We sampled sediment from three cores that had been previously collected and were available to

us. These consisted of records from Langfjordvannet (*31*), Jøkelvatnet (*55*), and Kuutsjärvi (*56*). For

the Langfjordvannet and Jøkelvatnet cores, sampling was conducted within the clean labs of

GeoMicrobiology at the Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen, Norway following the

approach mentioned above. Sampling of the Kuutsjärvi core was conducted in the Physical Geography

department at Stockholm University, Sweden using sterilized tools and with all surfaces cleaned with

bleach. We took negative sampling controls at both institutions as described above.

Core photography and loss-on-ignition analyses

The intact core halves were photographed at high resolution using a Jai L-107CC 3 CCD RGB Line

Scan Camera mounted to an Avaatech XRF core scanner at the Department of Geosciences, The Arctic

University of Norway in Tromsø. We calculated mass LOI, by first drying samples 105 °C overnight

and then igniting the sample at 550 °C for 2 hours. We report the percentage of dry mass lost after

ignition (*57*). LOI data and high resolution core scanning imagery are presented in Data set S3 and Fig.

S1.

<u>Composite core construction and age-depth modelling</u>

We opportunistically collected macrofossils for radiocarbon (^{14}C) dating during sampling for LOI and

*sed*aDNA, where possible. If additional macrofossils were required, we sieved sediment to concentrate

macroscopic plant remains suitable for dating. Two sieves of 500 and 250 µm were stacked while

sieving to catch plant macrofossil remains. Ultrapure water from the Milli-Q system was used for

sieving and cleaning, and samples were kept cool in Eppendorf tubes with water before shipping for

dating. We photographed and identified all macrofossils prior to their destruction during radiocarbon

dating. Samples were radiocarbon dated using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) at the Poznań

Radiocarbon Laboratory of the Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland (*58*) (Data set S3).

For multiple-core records from the same site, we aligned cores based on combinations of LOI

values, visible stratigraphy, and/or radiocarbon dates to create composite core records (Fig. S1; Data

sets S2, S3). For Gauptjern, we used the LOI profile and radiocarbon dates produced by Jensen &

Vorren (*47*) to guide composite age-depth model construction (Fig. S1B; Data set S3). All reported

depths are based on the composite cores and begin at the water-sediment interface, which was

determined either by its successful capture, field notes, or previously published information (Data set

S2). We note that the composite depths reported here differ for two of three previously published

records (*31*, *47*, *55*) (Data set S3). For Langfjordvannet, we increased depths by 26 cm to account for

the amount of sediment reported missing from the top (*31*), whereas for Gauptjern, we removed 340 cm

(water depth) and adjusted remaining depths for differing deposition rates among all cores, due to an

uneven bedrock surface (*47*).

We constructed Bayesian age-depth models using *bacon* v.2.3.4 (*59*) in R v3.4.4 (*60*), using the

IntCal13 calibration curve (*61*). Each basal modeled age was ≤2 cm below the basal radiocarbon date,

with the exception of Langfjordvannet where the basal radiocarbon date falls within a slump that

extends to the base of the core. We were unable to confidently model the basal 31 cm of Jøkelvatnet

and 22 cm of Kuutsjärvi, as extrapolated ages were highly influenced by accumulation rate priors. We

fixed the top of each record to zero, based on the composite cores beginning at the water-sediment

interface. The default section thickness of 5 cm was used for all age-depth models, with the exception

of Sandfjorddalen, which shrank from the 149 cm collected to 92 cm analyzed. We therefore selected a

2 cm thickness for the Sandfjorddalen age-depth model. We excluded two dates (Poz-108675, Poz-

108983) from the Sierravannet age-depth model that occurred in a putative flood layer (Fig. S1J).

<u>Sedimentary ancient DNA data generation</u>

We performed all pre-PCR steps at the dedicated ancient DNA facilities at TMU, which are in a

separate building to post-PCR facilities. We homogenized Holocene-aged DNA samples by holding

samples on a pulse vortexer for ~1 min. We extracted DNA from 0.25-0.35 g of sediment (Data set S4)

using a modified form of the Qiagen DNeasy PowerSoil PowerLyzer (Qiagen Norge, Oslo, Norway)

protocol, following the Zimmermann *et al*. (*62*) protocol, as modified by Alsos *et al*. (*63*). We included

one negative extraction control, consisting of no input, for every 10 sediment extractions. We also

extracted DNA from 10 samples using the protocol 15 of Heintzman *et al*. (in prep) and six samples

using the carbonate protocol of Capo *et al*. (submitted).

We amplified DNA and control extracts using 'gh' primers (*64*) that target the vascular plant

trnL p6-loop locus of the chloroplast genome (Data set S5). The gh primers were unique dual-tagged

with an 8 or 9 base pair tag, modified from Taberlet et al. (*65*). We used differing tag lengths to ensure

that nucleotide complexity was maintained during amplicon sequencing runs. A total of eight PCR

replicates were amplified per extract. We included negative PCR controls, consisting of water as input,

to monitor for contamination during the PCR. We additionally included negative and positive PCR

controls in the post-PCR lab, the latter of which consisted of one of six synthetic sequences (available

at https://github.com/pheintzman/metabarcoding) (see also Supplementary Materials, SI4). These post-

PCR lab controls were added to wells without disturbing other sealed sample and control wells and

were used to monitor PCR reaction success. However, they are not comparable to other negative

controls and samples, due to exposure to the post-PCR lab atmosphere, and so they were excluded from

further analysis. We checked for successful amplification using gel electrophoresis (2% agarose).

We pooled up to 384 PCR products (the maximum number of available tags) and then cleaned

the resulting pool following Clarke *et al*. (*35*). Each amplicon pool was then converted into a DNA

library at either Tromsø or FASTERIS, SA (Switzerland). The Tromsø protocol used the Illumina

TruSeq DNA PCR-free protocol (Illumina, Inc, CA, USA) with unique dual-indexes, except that the

magnetic bead cleanup steps were modified to retain short amplicons, whereas FASTERIS used the

PCR-free MetaFast protocol to produce single-indexed libraries following Clarke *et al*. (*35*). Each

library was sequenced on ~10% of 2x 150 cycle mid-output flow cells on the Illumina NextSeq

platform at either FASTERIS or the Genomics Support Centre Tromsø (GSCT) at The Arctic

University of Norway in Tromsø, or on 50% of a 2x 150 cycle flow cell on the Illumina MiSeq

platform at FASTERIS. Full sample preparation metadata is provided in Data set S5.

Bioinformatics

We followed a bioinformatics pipeline that uses a combination of the ObiTools software package (*66*)

and custom R scripts (available at https://github.com/Y-Lammers/MergeAndFilter). Briefly, we merged

and adapter-trimmed the paired-end reads with SeqPrep (https://github.com/jstjohn/SeqPrep/releases,

v1.2). We then demultiplexed the merged data using an 8 bp tag-PCR replicate lookup identifier

(provided in Data set S5), which ignored the non-informative terminal base for 9 bp tags, and collapsed

identical sequences. We removed putative artifactual sequences from our data, which may have derived

from Illumina library index-swaps or PCR/sequencing errors. For each PCR replicate, we removed

sequences represented by ≤2 reads. We next identified barcode sequences that had 100% identity

agreement with a local taxonomic reference database (*ArctBorBryo*) containing 2445 sequences of 815

arctic and 835 boreal vascular plants, as well as 455 bryophytes (*30, 49, 67*). In addition, we matched

our data set to the *EMBL* (rl133) nucleotide reference database. We separately compared our barcode

data set against the barcode sequence of the DNA tracer, with the closest match consisting of 85%

identity (see Supplementary Materials, SI3). We therefore consider the DNA tracer not to be present in

our data set. We further removed identified sequences that 100% matched against two *blacklists*

(https://github.com/Y-Lammers/Metabarcoding_Blacklists) consisting of either synthetic sequences

(n=6), sequences that represented homopolymer variants of a more read-dominant sequence, a potential

random match, or food contaminants (n=111) (Data set S6). We further removed any sequences

represented by fewer than 10 reads and/or three PCR replicates within the entire data set, as well as 61

low-frequency sequences that were only retained by analysis of the entire data set but removed if

analyses were conducted on a per-lake basis (Data set S6). If multiple sequences were assigned to the

same taxon, then the data were merged using the sum of all assigned reads and the maximum number

of PCR replicates (Data set S7). The final taxonomic assignment of the retained sequences was

determined using regional botanical taxonomic expertise by Alsos and following the taxonomy of the

Panarctic Flora (*68*) and Lid's Norsk Flora (*69*). We identified two species of *Vaccinium* based on a

poly-A region at the 3' end of the p6-loop locus. If there were ≤5 or >8 As, then barcodes were

respectively assigned to *V. myrtillus* or *V. vitis-idaea*. We further excluded barcodes that were

identified above the family level based on alignment to the local reference database, *ArctBorBryo*.

Among the identified plant taxa, only terrestrial vascular plants and bryophytes were retained for all

downstream analyses (Data set S6). We only included Holocene-aged (11,700 ka to present) samples

for downstream analysis. We note that we use the term taxonomic richness to include taxa identified to

various ranks from the species to family levels.

<u>Assessment of sedimentary ancient DNA data quality</u>

Our *MTQ* and *MAQ* score thresholds excluded all negative controls, which had an *MTQ* of <0.75 and

MAQ of <0.1. Across our entire data set, 16 samples were extracted more than once. We included data

from the DNA extract that yielded the greatest *MAQ* score. In three cases with equal *MAQ* scores, we

selected replicate one for inclusion (Data set S4).

After data filtering, we found that there was often large variation in the counts of retained reads

between PCR replicates within a sample (from hundreds to tens of thousands). Although read-dominant

barcodes are likely to be detected in all PCR replicates, there is likely to be dropout of other barcodes

in replicates with lower counts of retained reads. In contrast, rare barcodes are more likely to be

detected in replicates with high retained read counts. We therefore developed a barcode detectability

measure - *wtRep* - to account for differences in relative counts of retained reads, by weighting PCR

replicates based on retained read count relative to the total retained read count across all PCR

replicates, on a per sample basis (see Equation 3). For example, if a barcode were detected in replicates

one and three, but undetected in the remaining six replicates, the *wtRep* would as shown in Equation 1.

Equation 1. Example definition of *wtRep*.

$$wtRep = \frac{retained\ read\ count(rep.1)\ +\ retained\ read\ count(rep.3)}{\Sigma\ retained\ read\ count(reps.1-8)}$$

If a PCR replicate were not represented in the retained read data, then it would not contribute to the

wtRep score. A limitation of the *wtRep* score is that it will overrepresent detections in samples or

negative controls with few barcodes and/or detections. For this reason, we only applied *wtRep* for a

sample if the average proportion of replicates across the sample was ≥0.33 and there were ≥10

barcodes present after filtering. For samples that failed this threshold, we used a standard proportion of

PCR replicates as a measure of detectability (e.g. 0.25 for the above example).

We further explored the quality of our *sed*aDNA data by examining four measures. For each

sample, we calculated the (1) total count of raw reads (summed across PCR replicates), (2) mean

barcode length (in base pairs, bp) across all retained barcodes, (3) mean proportion of weighted PCR

replicates (*wtRep*; see above) across all final barcodes, and (4) proportion of raw reads assigned to

terrestrial plant taxa. We compared each of these four measures to both observed taxonomic richness

(Hill-N0) and/or time.

<u>Numerical and statistical analyses</u>

Using the proportion of weighted PCR replicates (*wtRep*), we measured taxonomic richness (diversity)

based on Hill numbers (N0 and N1) (*70*), as they are easily interpretable and provide information on

both the rare and common taxa within a community (*13*). Hill numbers have been widely used as

common metrics to link different ecological attributes (*71*) as well as increasingly used in DNA-based

diversity analyses (*72*). For each sample, we calculated taxonomic richness as Hill N0 (total number of

observed taxa), and number of abundant taxa as Hill N1 (see Equation 2), which is the exponent of the

Shannon index (*13*). To evaluate if the disproportionate sequencing depth has affected the taxonomic

richness estimates, we also calculated rarefied taxonomic richness based on the lowest number of reads

assigned to a sample within a lake. We calculated Pearson's product-moment correlation between

rearefied and observed (Hill N0) taxonomic richness to evaluate the correspondence between

approaches (Table S2).

<div align="center">Equation 2. Definition of Hill N1.</div>

$$Hill\ N1\ =\ exp\left(-\sum plogp\right)$$

<div align="center">Where p_i is the proportion of each species within a sample.</div>

We used generalized additive models (GAMs) (*73*) to evaluate temporal biodiversity changes

during the Holocene. GAMs are very efficient at uncovering nonlinear covariate effects (*74*) and

handling non-normal data that are typical in palaeoecology (*75*). We treated Hill N0 and N1 as the

response, and median calibrated age of the samples as predictor variables, and used the "poisson"

family with log link. The Hill N1 was rounded to the nearest whole number prior to GAM analysis. To

account for residual temporal autocorrelation between samples, we also included a continuous time

first-order autoregressive process (CAR(1)) in generalized additive mixed models (GAMM; (*75*)). For

both GAM and GAMM models, the fitted lines are based on the predicted values for 300 points

covering the entire range of sample age for each lake. We used a critical value from the t distribution to

generate a pointwise 95% confidence interval (*75*). We found near identical results for taxonomic

richness between GAM and GAMM models (Fig. S7; Table S3). In the cases of two shorter cores from

Nesservatnet and Sierrvatnet, the GAMM provided a reasonable fit to the data, and hence was included

in the main results.

We also evaluated how the local and regional species pools affected richness estimates at

respective scales. We define the local and regional species pool as the cumulative number of taxa

recorded within a lake or the total region, respectively. First, we calculated the cumulative number of

detected taxa from the oldest to the youngest samples across all lakes as an indicator of the

development of the regional species pool, and compared that qualitatively to the taxonomic richness of

all samples through time. In addition, we generated a regional species pool of total species recorded

within each 500-year time bin. We extracted major trends of richness based on 500-year bins using

GAM for the Early-, Middle-, and Late Holocene. We also used GAM to highlight the regional trend in

taxonomic richness through time. Then, we performed linear regression by considering the mean

number of taxa within a lake, and within a 500-year time bin as the response variables, and the

respective species pools as the predictor variables to test whether observed richness is correlated to the

species pools of respective scales.

To examine the relationship of climate and diversity estimates, we used oxygen isotope (δ^{18}O)

values from the North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP) (Andersen $et\ al.$, 2004) as a proxy for

temperature. This has limitations as a regional record for northern Fennoscandia because of geographic

distance, but its advantage is that it is independent of vegetation-based reconstructions and it covers the

whole period of interest. It shows similar trends in annual temperature as both proxy-based and

simulated reconstructions for the northern North Atlantic region (Marsicek $et\ al.$, 2018). Because

summer temperature and growing degree days (GDD) are strong drivers of vegetation response to

climate in the north, we note that Holocene GDD sums probably remained higher than present through

much of the Holocene, as patterns of both seasonality and season length changed (Marsicek $et\ al.$,

2018). The Early Holocene temperature change was steeper than the Middle- and Late Holocene, and

we expect the richness pattern to differ among those periods. Thus, we assigned δ^{18}O data of 50 years

resolution to the nearest age estimate of samples, and split diversity estimates and δ^{18}O values into

three periods (Early:11.7-8.3 ka, Middle: 8.3-4.25 ka, and Late Holocene: 4.25-0.0 ka) following

Walker $et\ al$. (76). To evaluate how changes in temperature affected the richness pattern of the different

Holocene periods, we compared regression slopes of the Middle and the Late Holocene to the Early

Holocene using a linear mixed model with taxonomic richness as the response and an interaction

between δ^{18}O and the Holocene period as predictor along with lakes as the random variable.

We used a new semi-quantitative nutrient index derived from the sum of the phosphorus (P),

potassium (K), and calcium (Ca) content of the rocks modified by a measure of weatherability, in this

case the extended Moh's hardness of the least resistant major mineral in the rock type (H_{min}) (see

Equation 3). The natural logarithm of Ca content was used as this has been shown to have a strong

relationship with pH, which is critical to the availability of nutrients especially P (*12*). We performed

linear regression treating mean taxonomic richness of different periods of the Holocene as the response

and nutrient index as the predictor. The richness data were log transformed while evaluating the impact

of temperature and nutrients.

Equation 3. Definition of Nutrient Index.

$$Nutrient\ index\ (NI) \ = \ \frac{(P + K + ln(Ca))}{H_{min}}$$

Where P, K and Ca are total phosphorus, potassium and calcium in ppm, and H_{min} the hardness of the

most easily weathered principal mineral in the local bedrock.

Unless otherwise stated, all the analyses were performed using the *vegan* package (*77*) in R and

base *R (60)*. The library *mgcv* (*73*) was used for GAM model building. All plots were created using

ggplot2 (*78*).

<u>Supplementary Materials</u>

Supplementary Information 1. Site geology.

Supplementary Information 2. Site vegetation.

Supplementary Information 3. DNA tracer.

Supplementary Information 4. Positive control synthetic sequences.

Fig. S1. Alignments of core LOI, high-res. imagery, and Bayesian age-depth models.

Fig. S2. A potential flood event does not impact the Sierravannet diversity trend.

Fig. S3. Observed taxonomic richness in each sample by lake and time including samples not passing

quality controls.

Fig. S4. Six measures of *sed*aDNA data quality by lake and time.

Fig. S5. The assignments of reads processed by the bioinformatic pipeline.

Fig. S6. The accumulated regional species pool and taxonomic richness of each sample across the

Holocene of northern Fennoscandia excluding two temporally-short records from Nesservatnet (EG02)

and Sierravannet (EG07).

Fig. S7. Comparison between GAM and GAMM(CAR(1)) models of taxonomic richness through time.

Table S1. Summary of all data used or generated in this study.

Table S2. Correlations between observed and rarefied taxonomic richness for each lake.

Table S3. Summary of generalized additive models (GAMs), and generalized additive mixed models

(GAMMs) with a continuous time first-order autoregressive (CAR(1)) process.

Data set S1. Geographic and site metadata for the ten lakes.

Data set S2. Composite core construction and Bayesian age-depth modelling.

Data set S3. Sample metadata, including depths, LOI values, dates, and modelled ages.

Data set S4. Full sample metadata including QC and bioinformatic sequence processing.

Data set S5. Primer tag to sample lookup, library preparation, and sequence accession data.

Data set S6. List of all identified barcodes, including those blacklisted, and their taxonomic

assignments and functional groups.

Data set S7. Read counts and PCR replicate detections for all retained taxa across all samples.

References

1. S. Harrison, Plant community diversity will decline more than increase under climatic warming.

Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **375**, 20190106 (2020).

2. A. J. Suggitt, D. G. Lister, C. D. Thomas, Widespread effects of climate change on local plant

diversity. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 2905–2911.e2 (2019).

3. F. Pilotto, I. Kühn, R. Adrian, R. Alber, A. Alignier, C. Andrews, J. Bäck, L. Barbaro, D.

Beaumont, N. Beenaerts, S. Benham, D. S. Boukal, V. Bretagnolle, E. Camatti, R. Canullo, P. G.

Cardoso, B. J. Ens, G. Everaert, V. Evtimova, H. Feuchtmayr, R. García-González, D. Gómez

García, U. Grandin, J. M. Gutowski, L. Hadar, L. Halada, M. Halassy, H. Hummel, K.-L.

Huttunen, B. Jaroszewicz, T. C. Jensen, H. Kalivoda, I. K. Schmidt, I. Kröncke, R. Leinonen, F.

Martinho, H. Meesenburg, J. Meyer, S. Minerbi, D. Monteith, B. P. Nikolov, D. Oro, D. Ozoliņš,

B. M. Padedda, D. Pallett, M. Pansera, M. Â. Pardal, B. Petriccione, T. Pipan, J. Pöyry, S. M.

Schäfer, M. Schaub, S. C. Schneider, A. Skuja, K. Soetaert, G. Spriņģe, R. Stanchev, J. A.

Stockan, S. Stoll, L. Sundqvist, A. Thimonier, G. Van Hoey, G. Van Ryckegem, M. E. Visser, S.

Vorhauser, P. Haase, Meta-analysis of multidecadal biodiversity trends in Europe. *Nat. Commun.*

11, 3486 (2020).

4. M. Zobel, The species pool concept as a framework for studying patterns of plant diversity. *J. Veg.*

Sci. **27**, 8–18 (2016).

5. J.-P. Lessard, J. Belmaker, J. A. Myers, J. M. Chase, C. Rahbek, Inferring local ecological

processes amid species pool influences. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **27**, 600–607 (2012).

6. A. D. Bjorkman, I. H. Myers-Smith, S. C. Elmendorf, S. Normand, N. Rüger, P. S. A. Beck, A.

Blach-Overgaard, D. Blok, J. H. C. Cornelissen, B. C. Forbes, D. Georges, S. J. Goetz, K. C.

Guay, G. H. R. Henry, J. HilleRisLambers, R. D. Hollister, D. N. Karger, J. Kattge, P. Manning, J.

S. Prevéy, C. Rixen, G. Schaepman-Strub, H. J. D. Thomas, M. Vellend, M. Wilmking, S. Wipf,

M. Carbognani, L. Hermanutz, E. Lévesque, U. Molau, A. Petraglia, N. A. Soudzilovskaia, M. J.

Spasojevic, M. Tomaselli, T. Vowles, J. M. Alatalo, H. D. Alexander, A. Anadon-Rosell, S.

Angers-Blondin, M. te Beest, L. Berner, R. G. Björk, A. Buchwal, A. Buras, K. Christie, E. J.

Cooper, S. Dullinger, B. Elberling, A. Eskelinen, E. R. Frei, O. Grau, P. Grogan, M. Hallinger, K.

A. Harper, M. M. P. D. Heijmans, J. Hudson, K. Hülber, M. Iturrate-Garcia, C. M. Iversen, F.

Jaroszynska, J. F. Johnstone, R. H. Jørgensen, E. Kaarlejärvi, R. Klady, S. Kuleza, A. Kulonen, L.

J. Lamarque, T. Lantz, C. J. Little, J. D. M. Speed, A. Michelsen, A. Milbau, J. Nabe-Nielsen, S.

S. Nielsen, J. M. Ninot, S. F. Oberbauer, J. Olofsson, V. G. Onipchenko, S. B. Rumpf, P.

Semenchuk, R. Shetti, L. S. Collier, L. E. Street, K. N. Suding, K. D. Tape, A. Trant, U. A. Treier,

J.-P. Tremblay, M. Tremblay, S. Venn, S. Weijers, T. Zamin, N. Boulanger-Lapointe, W. A.

Gould, D. S. Hik, A. Hofgaard, I. S. Jónsdóttir, J. Jorgenson, J. Klein, B. Magnusson, C. Tweedie,

P. A. Wookey, M. Bahn, B. Blonder, P. M. van Bodegom, B. Bond-Lamberty, G. Campetella, B.

E. L. Cerabolini, F. S. Chapin, W. K. Cornwell, J. Craine, M. Dainese, F. T. de Vries, S. Díaz, B.

J. Enquist, W. Green, R. Milla, Ü. Niinemets, Y. Onoda, J. C. Ordoñez, W. A. Ozinga, J. Penuelas,

H. Poorter, P. Poschlod, P. B. Reich, B. Sandel, B. Schamp, S. Sheremetev, E. Weiher, Plant

functional trait change across a warming tundra biome. *Nature*. **562**, 57–62 (2018).

7.   A. K. J. Niskanen, P. Niittynen, J. Aalto, H. Väre, M. Luoto, Lost at high latitudes: Arctic and

endemic plants under threat as climate warms. *Divers. Distrib.* **25**, 809–821 (2019).

8.   J. Lenoir, R. Bertrand, L. Comte, L. Bourgeaud, T. Hattab, J. Murienne, G. Grenouillet, Species

better track climate warming in the oceans than on land. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. **4**, 1044–

1059 (2020).

9.   C. M. Hulshof, M. J. Spasojevic, The edaphic control of plant diversity. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **29**,

1634–1650 (2020).

10. C. M. Prager, S. Naeem, N. T. Boelman, J. U. H. Eitel, H. E. Greaves, M. A. Heskel, T. S.

Magney, D. N. L. Menge, L. A. Vierling, K. L. Griffin, A gradient of nutrient enrichment reveals

nonlinear impacts of fertilization on Arctic plant diversity and ecosystem function. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**,

2449–2460 (2017).

11. B. Holzinger, K. Hülber, M. Camenisch, G. Grabherr, Changes in plant species richness over the

last century in the eastern Swiss Alps: elevational gradient, bedrock effects and migration rates.

Plant Ecol. **195**, 179–196 (2008).

12. G. Arnesen, P. S. A. Beck, T. Engelskjøn, Soil acidity, content of carbonates, and available

phosphorus are the soil factors best correlated with alpine vegetation: Evidence from Troms, north

Norway. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* **39**, 189–199 (2007).

13. H. J. B. Birks, V. A. Felde, A. W. R. Seddon, Biodiversity trends within the Holocene. *Holocene*.

26, 994–1001 (2016).

14. P. Sjögren, C. Damm, Holocene vegetation change in northernmost Fennoscandia and the impact

on prehistoric foragers 12 000–2000 cal. a BP – A review. *Boreas*. **48**, 20–35 (2019).

15. J. A. Matthews, A. E. Vater, Pioneer zone geo-ecological change: Observations from a

chronosequence on the Storbreen glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *CATENA*. **135**,

219–230 (2015).

16. L. Stewart, I. G. Alsos, C. Bay, A. L. Breen, C. Brochmann, N. Boulanger-Lapointe, O.

Broennimann, H. Bültmann, P. K. Bøcher, C. Damgaard, F. J. A. Daniëls, D. Ehrich, P. B.

Eidesen, A. Guisan, I. S. Jónsdóttir, J. Lenoir, P. C. le Roux, E. Lévesque, M. Luoto, J. Nabe-

Nielsen, P. Schönswetter, A. Tribsch, L. U. Tveraabak, R. Virtanen, D. A. Walker, K. B.

Westergaard, N. G. Yoccoz, J.-C. Svenning, M. Wisz, N. M. Schmidt, L. Pellissier, The regional

species richness and genetic diversity of Arctic vegetation reflect both past glaciations and current

climate. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **25**, 430–442 (2016).

17. D. A. Fordham, S. T. Jackson, S. C. Brown, B. Huntley, B. W. Brook, D. Dahl-Jensen, M. T. P.

Gilbert, B. L. Otto-Bliesner, A. Svensson, S. Theodoridis, J. M. Wilmshurst, J. C. Buettel, E.

Canteri, M. McDowell, L. Orlando, J. Pilowsky, C. Rahbek, D. Nogues-Bravo, Using paleo-

archives to safeguard biodiversity under climate change. *Science*. **369** (2020),

doi:10.1126/science.abc5654.

18. K. J. Willis, K. D. Bennett, S. A. Bhagwat, H. J. B. Birks, 4 °C and beyond: what did this mean for

biodiversity in the past? *System. Biodivers.* **8**, 3–9 (2010).

19. T. Giesecke, S. Wolters, J. F. N. van Leeuwen, P. W. O. van der Knaap, M. Leydet, S. Brewer,

Postglacial change of the floristic diversity gradient in Europe. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5422 (2019).

20. V. A. Felde, J.-A. Grytnes, A. E. Bjune, S. M. Peglar, H. J. B. Birks, Are diversity trends in

western Scandinavia influenced by post-glacial dispersal limitation? *J. Veg. Sci.* **29**, 360–370

(2018).

21. H. Seppa, Postglacial trends in palynological richness in the northern Fennoscandian tree-line area

and their ecological interpretation. *Holocene*. **8**, 43–53 (1998).

22. T. Giesecke, S. Wolters, S. Jahns, A. Brande, Exploring Holocene changes in palynological

richness in northern Europe - did postglacial immigration matter? *PLoS One*. **7**, e51624 (2012).

23. H. J. B. Birks, V. A. Felde, A. E. Bjune, J.-A. Grytnes, H. Seppä, T. Giesecke, Does pollen-

assemblage richness reflect floristic richness? A review of recent developments and future

challenges. *Rev. Palaeobot. Palynol.* **228**, 1–25 (2016).

24. L. Parducci, K. D. Bennett, G. F. Ficetola, I. G. Alsos, Y. Suyama, J. R. Wood, M. W. Pedersen,

Transley Reviews: Ancient plant DNA from lake sediments. *New Phytol.* **214**, 924–942 (2017).

25. C. L. Clarke, I. G. Alsos, M. E. Edwards, A. Paus, L. Gielly, H. Haflidason, J. Mangerud, P. D. M.

Hughes, J. I. Svendsen, A. E. Bjune, A 24,000-year ancient DNA and pollen record from the Polar

Urals reveals temporal dynamics of arctic and boreal plant communities. *Quaternary Science*

Reviews. **247**, 106564 (2020).

26. P. Sjögren, M. E. Edwards, L. Gielly, C. T. Langdon, I. W. Croudace, M. K. F. Merkel, T.

Fonville, I. G. Alsos, Lake sedimentary DNA accurately records 20th Century introductions of

exotic conifers in Scotland. *New Phytol.* **213**, 929–941 (2017).

27. S. Liu, K. R. Stoof-Leichsenring, S. Kruse, L. A. Pestryakova, U. Herzschuh, Holocene vegetation

and plant diversity changes in the north-eastern Siberian treeline region from pollen and

sedimentary ancient DNA. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. **8**, 304 (2020).

28. I. G. Alsos, Y. Lammers, N. G. Yoccoz, T. Jørgensen, P. Sjögren, L. Gielly, M. E. Edwards, Plant

DNA metabarcoding of lake sediments: How does it represent the contemporary vegetation. *PLoS*

One. **13**, e0195403 (2018).

29. C. Giguet-Covex, G. F. Ficetola, K. Walsh, J. Poulenard, M. Bajard, L. Fouinat, P. Sabatier, L.

Gielly, E. Messager, A. L. Develle, F. David, P. Taberlet, E. Brisset, F. Guiter, R. Sinet, F.

Arnaud, New insights on lake sediment DNA from the catchment: importance of taphonomic and

analytical issues on the record quality. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 14676 (2019).

30. E. Willerslev, J. Davison, M. Moora, M. Zobel, E. Coissac, M. E. Edwards, E. D. Lorenzen, M.

Vestergård, G. Gussarova, J. Haile, J. Craine, L. Gielly, S. Boessenkool, L. S. Epp, P. B. Pearman,

876 R. Cheddadi, D. Murray, K. A. Bråthen, N. Yoccoz, H. Binney, C. Cruaud, P. Wincker, T. Goslar,

877 I. G. Alsos, E. Bellemain, A. K. Brysting, R. Elven, J. H. Sønstebø, J. Murton, A. Sher, M.

878 Rasmussen, R. Rønn, T. Mourier, A. Cooper, J. Austin, P. Möller, D. Froese, G. Zazula, F.

879 Pompanon, D. Rioux, V. Niderkorn, A. Tikhonov, G. Savvinov, R. G. Roberts, R. D. E. MacPhee,

880 M. T. P. Gilbert, K. H. Kjær, L. Orlando, C. Brochmann, P. Taberlet, Fifty thousand years of

881 Arctic vegetation and megafaunal diet. *Nature*. **506**, 47–51 (2014).

882 31. S. M. Otterå, thesis, University of Bergen (2012).

883 32. S. Shirazi, R. Meyer, B. Shapiro, PCR replication in environmental DNA metabarcoding, ,

884  doi:10.22541/au.159309876.62184178.

885 33. J. Dabney, M. Meyer, S. Pääbo, Ancient DNA damage. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **5**

886  (2013), doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a012567.

887 34. C. L. Clarke, M. E. Edwards, L. Gielly, D. Ehrich, P. D. M. Hughes, L. M. Morozova, H.

888  Haflidason, J. Mangerud, J. I. Svendsen, I. G. Alsos, Persistence of arctic-alpine flora during

889  24,000 years of environmental change in the Polar Urals. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 19613 (2019).

890 35. C. L. Clarke, M. E. Edwards, A. G. Brown, L. Gielly, Y. Lammers, P. D. Heintzman, F. J. Ancin-

891  Murguzur, K.-A. Bråthen, T. Goslar, I. G. Alsos, Holocene floristic diversity and richness in

892  northeast Norway revealed by sedimentary ancient DNA (sedaDNA) and pollen. *Boreas*. **48**, 299–

893  316 (2019).

894 36. L. H. Voldstad, I. G. Alsos, W. R. Farnsworth, P. D. Heintzman, L. Håkansson, S. E. Kjellman, A.

895  Rouillard, A. Schomacker, P. B. Eidesen, A complete Holocene lake sediment ancient DNA record

896  reveals long-standing high Arctic plant diversity hotspot in northern Svalbard. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **234**,

897  106207 (2020).

37.   C. I. Smith, A. T. Chamberlain, M. S. Riley, A. Cooper, C. B. Stringer, M. J. Collins, Not just old

but old and cold? *Nature*. **410**, 771–772 (2001).

38.   L. Orlando, A. Ginolhac, G. Zhang, D. Froese, A. Albrechtsen, M. Stiller, M. Schubert, E.

Cappellini, B. Petersen, I. Moltke, P. L. F. Johnson, M. Fumagalli, J. T. Vilstrup, M. Raghavan, T.

Korneliussen, A.-S. Malaspinas, J. Vogt, D. Szklarczyk, C. D. Kelstrup, J. Vinther, A. Dolocan, J.

Stenderup, A. M. V. Velazquez, J. Cahill, M. Rasmussen, X. Wang, J. Min, G. D. Zazula, A.

Seguin-Orlando, C. Mortensen, K. Magnussen, J. F. Thompson, J. Weinstock, K. Gregersen, K. H.

Røed, V. Eisenmann, C. J. Rubin, D. C. Miller, D. F. Antczak, M. F. Bertelsen, S. Brunak, K. A.

S. Al-Rasheid, O. Ryder, L. Andersson, J. Mundy, A. Krogh, M. T. P. Gilbert, K. Kjær, T.

Sicheritz-Ponten, L. J. Jensen, J. V. Olsen, M. Hofreiter, R. Nielsen, B. Shapiro, J. Wang, E.

Willerslev, Recalibrating Equus evolution using the genome sequence of an early Middle

Pleistocene horse. *Nature*. **499**, 74–78 (2013).

39.   K. Hall, C. E. Thorn, N. Matsuoka, A. Prick, Weathering in cold regions: some thoughts and

perspectives. *Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment*. **26**, 577–603 (2002).

40.   K. A. Bråthen, V. T. Ravolainen, Niche construction by growth forms is as strong a predictor of

species diversity as environmental gradients. *J. Ecol.* **103**, 701–713 (2015).

41.   L. Gough, G. R. Shaver, J. Carroll, D. L. Royer, J. A. Laundre, Vascular plant species richness in

Alaskan arctic tundra: the importance of soil pH. *J. Ecol.* **88**, 54–66 (2000).

42.   H. H. Birks, V. J. Jones, S. J. Brooks, H. J. B. Birks, R. J. Telford, S. Juggins, S. M. Peglar, From

cold to cool in northernmost Norway: Lateglacial and early Holocene multi-proxy environmental

and climate reconstructions from Jansvatnet, Hammerfest. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **33**, 100–120 (2012).

43.   B. Huntley, A. J. Long, J. R. M. Allen, Spatio-temporal patterns in Lateglacial and Holocene

vegetation and climate of Finnmark, northernmost Europe. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **70**, 158–175 (2013).

44. L. Pellissier, K. Anne Bråthen, J. Pottier, C. F. Randin, P. Vittoz, A. Dubuis, N. G. Yoccoz, T.

Alm, N. E. Zimmermann, A. Guisan, Species distribution models reveal apparent competitive and

facilitative effects of a dominant species on the distribution of tundra plants. *Ecography* . **33**,

1004–1014 (2010).

45. C. K. Ballantyne, A general model of paraglacial landscape response. *Holocene*. **12**, 371–376

(2002).

46. H. H. Birks, South to north: Contrasting late-glacial and early-Holocene climate changes and

vegetation responses between south and north Norway. *Holocene*. **25**, 37–52 (2015).

47. C. Jensen, K.-D. Vorren, Holocene vegetation and climate dynamics of the boreal alpine ecotone

of northwestern Fennoscandia. *J. Quat. Sci.* **23**, 719–743 (2008).

48. B. Pears, A. G. Brown, P. S. Toms, J. Wood, D. Sanderson, R. Jones, A sub-centennial-scale

optically stimulated luminescence chronostratigraphy and late Holocene flood history from a

temperate river confluence. *Geology*. **48**, 819–825 (2020).

49. J. H. Sønstebø, L. Gielly, A. K. Brysting, R. Elven, M. Edwards, J. Haile, E. Willerslev, E.

Coissac, D. Rioux, J. Sannier, Others, Using next-generation sequencing for molecular

reconstruction of past Arctic vegetation and climate. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. **10**, 1009–1018 (2010).

50. I. Hanssen-Bauer, P. Ø. Nordli, Annual and seasonal temperature variations in Norway 1876-1997.

DNMI report. **25**, 98 (1998).

51. I. Hanssen-Bauer, E. Førland, Temperature and precipitation variations in Norway 1900--1994 and

their links to atmospheric circulation. *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal*

Meteorological Society. **20**, 1693–1708 (2000).

52. D. A. Walker, Hierarchical subdivision of Arctic tundra based on vegetation response to climate,

parent material and topography. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **6**, 19–34 (2000).

53. H. Bahlburg, N. Dobrzinski, A review of the Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) and its

application to the study of Neoproterozoic glacial deposits and climate transitions. *Geological*

Society, London, Memoirs. **36**, 81–92 (2011).

54. A. Nesje, A piston corer for lacustrine and marine sediments. *Arctic and Alpine Research*. **24**,

257–259 (1992).

55. H. E. Wittmeier, J. Bakke, K. Vasskog, M. Trachsel, Reconstructing Holocene glacier activity at

Langfjordjøkelen, Arctic Norway, using multi-proxy fingerprinting of distal glacier-fed lake

sediments. *Quaternary Science Reviews*. **114**, 78–99 (2015).

56. F. Bogren, thesis, Stockholm University (2019).

57. O. Heiri, A. F. Lotter, G. Lemcke, Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and

carbonate content in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results. *J. Paleolimnol.* **25**,

101–110 (2001).

58. T. Goslar, J. Czernik, E. Goslar, Low-energy 14C AMS in Poznań Radiocarbon Laboratory,

Poland. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with*

Materials and Atoms. **223-224**, 5–11 (2004).

59. M. Blaauw, J. Andrés Christen, Flexible paleoclimate age-depth models using an autoregressive

gamma process. *Bayesian Analysis*. **6**, 457–474 (2011).

60. R Core Team, *R: A language and environment for statistical computing* (2019).

61. P. J. Reimer, E. Bard, A. Bayliss, J. Warren Beck, P. G. Blackwell, C. B. Ramsey, C. E. Buck, H.

Cheng, R. Lawrence Edwards, M. Friedrich, P. M. Grootes, T. P. Guilderson, H. Haflidason, I.

Hajdas, C. Hatté, T. J. Heaton, D. L. Hoffmann, A. G. Hogg, K. A. Hughen, K. Felix Kaiser, B.

Kromer, S. W. Manning, M. Niu, R. W. Reimer, D. A. Richards, E. Marian Scott, J. R. Southon,

Richard A Staff, C. S. M. Turney, J. van der Plicht, IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age

calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon*. **55**, 1869–1887 (2013).

62. H. H. Zimmermann, E. Raschke, L. S. Epp, K. R. Stoof-Leichsenring, G. Schwamborn, L.

Schirrmeister, P. P. Overduin, U. Herzschuh, Sedimentary ancient DNA and pollen reveal the

composition of plant organic matter in Late Quaternary permafrost sediments of the Buor Khaya

Peninsula (north-eastern Siberia). *Biogeosciences*. **14**, 575–596 (2017).

63. I. G. Alsos, P. Sjögren, A. G. Brown, L. Gielly, M. K. F. Merkel, A. Paus, Y. Lammers, M. E.

Edwards, T. Alm, M. Leng, T. Goslar, C. T. Langdon, J. Bakke, W. G. M. van der Bilt, Last

Glacial Maximum environmental conditions at Andøya, northern Norway; evidence for a northern

ice-edge ecological "hotspot." *Quaternary Science Reviews*. **239**, 106364 (2020).

64. P. Taberlet, E. Coissac, F. Pompanon, L. Gielly, C. Miquel, A. Valentini, T. Vermat, G. Corthier,

C. Brochmann, E. Willerslev, Power and limitations of the chloroplast trnL (UAA) intron for plant

DNA barcoding. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, e14 (2007).

65. P. Taberlet, A. Bonin, L. Zinger, E. Coissac, Environmental DNA. *Oxford Scholarship Online*

(2018), , doi:10.1093/oso/9780198767220.001.0001.

66. F. Boyer, C. Mercier, A. Bonin, Y. Le Bras, P. Taberlet, E. Coissac, OBITOOLS: a unix-inspired

software package for DNA metabarcoding. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **16**, 176–182 (2016).

67. E. M. Soininen, G. Gauthier, F. Bilodeau, D. Berteaux, L. Gielly, P. Taberlet, G. Gussarova, E.

Bellemain, K. Hassel, H. K. Stenøien, L. Epp, A. Schrøder-Nielsen, C. Brochmann, N. G. Yoccoz,

Highly overlapping winter diet in two sympatric lemming species revealed by DNA

metabarcoding. *PLoS One*. **10**, e0115335 (2015).

68. R. Elven, D. F. Murray, V. Y. Razzhivin, B. A. Yurtsev, *Annotated checklist of the panarctic flora*

(PAF) vascular plants (Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, 2011).

69. R. Elven, T. Alm, T. Berg, J. I. I. Båtvik, E. Fremstad, O. Pedersen, *Johannes Lid & Dagny Tande*

Lid: Norsk flora (Det Norske Samlaget, 2005).

70. M. O. Hill, Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology*. **54**, 427–

432 (1973).

71. A. Chao, N. J. Gotelli, T. C. Hsieh, E. L. Sander, K. H. Ma, R. K. Colwell, A. M. Ellison,

Rarefaction and extrapolation with Hill numbers: a framework for sampling and estimation in

species diversity studies. *Ecol. Monogr.* **84**, 45–67 (2014).

72. W. Chen, G. F. Ficetola, Numerical methods for sedimentary-ancient-DNA-based study on past

biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Environmental DNA*. **2**, 115–129 (2020).

73. S. N. Wood, *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R* (CRC Press, ed. 2, 2017).

74. T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, Generalized additive models. *Stat. Sci.* **1**, 297–318 (1986).

75. G. L. Simpson, Modelling palaeoecological time series using generalised additive models.

Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. **6**, 149 (2018).

76. M. Walker, P. Gibbard, M. J. Head, M. Berkelhammer, S. Björck, H. Cheng, L. C. Cwynar, D.

Fisher, V. Gkinis, A. Long, J. Lowe, R. Newnham, S. O. Rasmussen, H. Weiss, Formal

Subdivision of the Holocene Series/Epoch: A Summary. *Journal of the Geological Society of*

India. **93**, 135–141 (2019).

77. J. Oksanen, F. G. Blanchet, M. Friendly, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, D. McGlinn, P. R. Minchin, R. B.

O'Hara, G. L. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. H. H. Stevens, E. Szoecs, H. Wagner, *vegan: Community*

Ecology Package (2019).

78. H. Wickham, *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis* (Springer, 2016).

79. A. L. C. Hughes, R. Gyllencreutz, Ø. S. Lohne, J. Mangerud, J. I. Svendsen, The last Eurasian ice

sheets – a chronological database and time-slice reconstruction, DATED-1. *Boreas*. **45**, 1–45

(2016).

80. K. K. Andersen, N. Azuma, J.-M. Barnola, M. Bigler, P. Biscaye, N. Caillon, J. Chappellaz, H. B.

Clausen, D. Dahl-Jensen, H. Fischer, J. Flückiger, D. Fritzsche, Y. Fujii, K. Goto-Azuma, K.

Grønvold, N. S. Gundestrup, M. Hansson, C. Huber, C. S. Hvidberg, S. J. Johnsen, U. Jonsell, J.

Jouzel, S. Kipfstuhl, A. Landais, M. Leuenberger, R. Lorrain, V. Masson-Delmotte, H. Miller, H.

Motoyama, H. Narita, T. Popp, S. O. Rasmussen, D. Raynaud, R. Rothlisberger, U. Ruth, D.

Samyn, J. Schwander, H. Shoji, M.-L. Siggard-Andersen, J. P. Steffensen, T. Stocker, A. E.

Sveinbjörnsdóttir, A. Svensson, M. Takata, J.-L. Tison, T. Thorsteinsson, O. Watanabe, F.

Wilhelms, J. W. C. White, North Greenland Ice Core Project members, High-resolution record of

Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period. *Nature*. **431**, 147–151

(2004).

Acknowledgements

General: We thank Roseanna Mayfield and Kristian Sirkka for assistance with fieldwork; Marie Føreid Merkel, Sandra Garces Pastor, and Yann Belov for technical assistance in the lab; Karina Monsen for assistance with high-resolution photography; Eivind Støren, Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen for providing access to cold rooms and helping to locate and transport cores; Ruth Paulssen and the Genomics Support Centre Tromsø (GSCT) at UiT-The Arctic University of Norway for amplicon sequencing; Dorothee Ehrich for fruitful discussions and coring at Sandfjorddalen; Matthias Forwick for help organizing scanning of cores; and Lasse Topstad and Leif Einar Støvern for providing photographs. DPR is thankful to Keshav Prasad Paudel for his help in map creation. Bioinformatic analyses were performed on resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway.

Funding: The study is part of the project "ECOGEN - Ecosystem change and species persistence over time: a genome-based approach", which is financed by Research Council of Norway grant number 250963/F20.

Author contributions: IGA, KAB, NGY, TA, and MEE designed the research and raised the funding; IGA, AGB, DPR, PDH, FJAM, YL, KAB and KEL did the fieldwork; JB, KFH, and JSS provided resources; DPR, PDH, KEL and IP did the laboratory work with input from IGA and AGB; TG performed radiocarbon dating; PDH built composite cores and performed age-depth modelling with input from AGB, TG and KFH; YL and PDH designed the bioinformatic pipeline with input from DPR and IGA; IGA and DPR verified and curated barcode taxonomic assignments; PDH and YL designed and performed the quality control checks with input from DPR and IGA; DPR did the statistical analyses with input from NGY and KAB; YL, DPR and PDH curated the data; DPR, PDH, AGB and IGA drafted the manuscript; and all authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

1047 **Data and materials availability**: Raw sequence data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide

1048 Archive (ENA) at project accession PRJEB39329, with sample accessions ERS4812035-ERS4812048.

1049 All radiocarbon, loss-on-ignition, and processed sedaDNA data are available in the Supplementary

1050 Materials. Pre-filtered ObiTools tsv output files have been uploaded to figshare (DOI: [available upon

1051 acceptance]). Scripts are on Github with URLs cited in the Materials and Methods section.

Figures

1053

1054

Fig. 1. Geographical setting of the study area and images of the ten lakes. The extent of the

Scandinavian ice sheet (the most credible extent of *79*) at 21.0 (inset only), 15.0, 12.0, 11.0, and 10.0

ka are indicated by semi-transparent layers. Lake names are followed by mean taxonomic richness and

total taxa recorded in each lake (local species pool). See Data set S1 for further site information. Map

data source: European Environment Agency; photo credits: Jøkelvatnet, Lasse Topstad;

Sandfjorddalen, Leif Einar Støvern; Langfjordvannet & Eaštorjávri South, Dilli P. Rijal; Kuutsjärvi,

Karin Helmens; all others, Inger G. Alsos.

1062

Fig. 2. Bayesian age-depth models for ten lakes from northern Fennoscandia. Colors for calibrated

radiocarbon dates follow Fig. S1. Excluded dates are in red, and inferred slumps are shown with grey

shading. Median modelled ages are indicated by the redlines, with the bubbles representing 95%

credibility intervals.

1067

Fig. 3. Correlations between observed taxonomic richness (left panels) and time (right panels)

against six measures of *sed*aDNA data quality. Sample age minimally impacts *metabarcoding*

technical quality (MTQ) and *metabarcoding analytical quality (MAQ)* scores, total raw read count,

mean barcode length, and the mean proportion of weighted PCR replicates (*wtRep*) across the entire

data set, although the proportion of reads identified as terrestrial plant increases through time. Data in

black, samples that passed quality control (QC); blue, samples that failed QC; red, negative controls.

Fitted loess-smoothed lines along with one standard error envelope are for samples that passed QC.

Data for individual lakes are presented in Fig. S4.

Fig. 4. Holocene patterns of local terrestrial plant richness in 10 lake catchments from northern

Fennoscandia. The predicted values for observed taxonomic richness (Hill N0) are based on the

generalized additive mixed models (GAMM; solid red line) along with 95% confidence intervals in

pink shading. Numbers in the top-left corner of each plot represent adjusted R-square values for the

GAMMs. The fitted lines for Hill N1 are indicated by a dashed brown line. The development of local

species pools are expressed in terms of detected cumulative count of taxa (blue dot-dashed line)

through time. The Early (11.7-8.3 ka), Middle (8.3-4.25 ka), and Late Holocene (4.25-0.0 ka) periods

are indicated by vertical dotted lines. Note difference in scale on the y-axes.

1085

Fig. 5. The accumulated regional species pool, taxonomic richness of each sample, and NGRIP

temperature proxy across the Holocene of northern Fennoscandia. (**A**) accumulation of the

detected regional species pool (defined as cumulative number of taxa; double-dashed line) as well as

number of taxa detected per sample (n=316) along with the 95% confidence interval (pink shading) of

the fitted line (solid red line) based on a generalized additive model, and (**B**) variation in temperature

reflected by North Greenland Ice Core Project (NGRIP) δ^{18}O values (*80*), with temperature being

positively correlated with δ^{18}O values. The overall patterns remain the same if two shorter cores

1093   spanning only the Late Holocene are excluded (Fig. S6). The Early (12.0-8.3 ka), Middle (8.3-4.25 ka),

1094   and Late Holocene (4.25-0.0 ka) periods are indicated by dotted vertical lines.

1095

Fig. 6. Relationship between species pool and taxonomic richness. Correlation between (**A**) local

species pool (total number of taxa observed over the Holocene for individual lakes) or (**B**) regional

species pool (total number of taxa observed per 500-year time bin) and the respective mean taxonomic

richness of terrestrial plants in northern Fennoscandia.

1100

Fig. 7. Impact of climate and nutrient index on observed terrestrial plant taxonomic richness. (**A**)
Linear mixed effect model showing the impact of regional climate on taxonomic richness of terrestrial
plants for three periods of the Holocene. Temperature is positively correlated with $\delta^{18}O$ values. Two
samples with NGRIP $\delta^{18}O$ lower than -39 were not included in the analysis. Note difference in scale on
x-axes. (**B**) Linear models showing spatial patterns of mean taxonomic richness of terrestrial plants
with the nutrient index for three periods of the Holocene.