Impacts of water quality on *Acropora* coral settlement: The relative importance of substrate

quality and light

Gerard F. Ricardo[1*], Charlotte E. Harper[1,2], Andrew P. Negri[1], Heidi M. Luter[1], Muhammad

Azmi Abdul Wahab[1], Ross J. Jones[1]

[1]Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, 4810, Queensland, Perth, 6009, Western Australia, and

Darwin, 0810, Northern Territory, Australia

[2]University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth, Devon, PL4 8AA, UK

[*]Corresponding author: G.F. Ricardo, email: g.ricardo@aims.gov.au, ph: (07) 4753 4423

Abstract

Coral larval settlement patterns are influenced by a vast array of factors; however, the relative

roles of individual factors are rarely tested in isolation, leading to confusion about which are

most crucial for settlement. For example, direct effects of light environment are often cited as

a major determinate of settlement patterns, yet this has not been demonstrated under

environmentally realistic lighting regimes in the absence of confounding factors. Here we

apply programmable multispectral lights to create realistic light spectra, while removing

correlating (but not obvious) factors that are common in laboratory settlement experiments.

Using two common species of *Acropora* – key framework builders of the Great Barrier Reef

– we find little evidence that light intensity or changes in the spectral profile play a

substantial role in larval settlement under most environmentally realistic settings but can

under more extreme or artificial settings. We alternatively hypothesise and provide evidence

that chronic conditions of light and recent sediment exposures that impact benthic substrates

(e.g., crustose coralline algae) preceding settlement have a greater impact, with up to 74%

decrease in settlement observed on substrates with prior exposure and poor water quality

conditions. Management of water quality conditions that impact the quality of benthic-

settlement substrates therefore should present a priority area of focus for improving coral

recruitment.

Keywords: Coral settlement – water quality– sediment – spectral patterns – light intensity

Introduction

Understanding the relative importance of drivers affecting recruitment has been a

fundamental objective of marine ecology for many decades (Giese 1959, Roughgarden et al.

1988), and is of critical importance for predicting how reefs will recover following

disturbances, and aiding intervention efforts such as translocation of progeny to damaged

reefs (Randall et al. 2020, Vanderklift et al. 2020). In coral reef ecosystems, direct light and

spectral quality are perceived as key influences on coral settlement patterns, with

implications for depth-zonation in corals as well as changes in settlement preferences under

poor water quality regimes (Mundy & Babcock 1998, Mundy & Babcock 2000, Fox 2004,

Strader et al. 2015, Turner et al. 2018). In the shallows, the water column and benthos are

exposed to high irradiance across a broad spectrum; but the spectral profile shifts left across

the visible spectrum with increasing depth to become dominated by shorter (blue)

wavelengths (Kirk 1994). Across sediment gradients, there is a competing right-shift towards

the centre of the visible spectrum with green-yellow light becoming more dominant (Jones et

al. 2016, Strydom et al. 2017, Cussioli et al. 2020). Under both gradients, these shifts in the

spectral profile co-occur with decreasing light intensity.

For adult corals, there is a strong mechanistic basis for changes in the light environment

influencing individual health. Adult corals are primarily autotrophic during their benthic

lifecycle stage (Muscatine 1990), and coral photosynthesis and growth may be limited by

decreases in light intensity and spectral shifts away from the maximally absorbance of

regions of chlorophyll *a* (blue and red wavelengths) termed 'photosynthetically usable

radiation' (PUR) (Morel 1978, Dustan 1982). Consequently, benthic-light monitoring is

suggested as a valuable metric to measure and manage water quality for inshore reefs (Gruber

et al. 2019, Magno-Canto et al. 2019, Robson et al. 2019, Jones et al. 2020). But for coral

early-life stages preceding the establishment of autotrophy (i.e., acquisition and utilisation of

algal symbionts as the primary energetic pathway), the contribution of direct light influencing

recruitment patterns is an area of active research. Corals settle non-randomly, using a range

of cues which is thought to increase their chances of survival through the post-settlement

stage when mortality is high (Doropoulos et al. 2016, Brunner et al. 2020). Numerous studies

have suggested light quality and quantity affects where larvae settle at depth and at which

orientation (Mundy & Babcock 1998, Mundy & Babcock 2000). Indeed, sensory mechanisms

to detect changes in light quality and intensity have been proposed with evidence of opsins

and cryptochromes (a class of proteins involved in circadian rhythms) (Levy et al. 2007,

Mason et al. 2012).

Despite plausible mechanisms to sense light in larvae, settlement responses to light often

contradict, even within the same species. For example, *Acropora tenuis* settlement patterns

purportedly increase (Mundy & Babcock 1998), decrease (Suzuki & Hayashibara 2006), or

remain relatively unchanged (Yusuf et al. 2019) with light intensity. However, an issue is that

many factors within the water column and on the benthos may simultaneously act on a

settling larva, and that these are rarely controlled in experiments making interpretation of

settlement patterns difficult. For example, grooves, edges, and surface orientations of the

substrate all influence the micro-light environment relevant to a larva. However, these

physical characteristics can also affect thigmotactic behaviour in larvae, potentially creating

unintended artefacts in settlement studies attempting to assess the influence of light.

Therefore, even though light patterns at the time of settlement may correlate with settlement

behaviour, it may not be a direct driver of observed patterns, as suggested for temperate

invertebrate communities (Irving & Connell 2002). Further, light treatments common in

laboratory experiments often do not match those that occur *in situ*. Most use narrow-band

(monochromatic) lighting or aquarium lights that exploit PUR by matching the profile to the

absorption spectrum of the symbionts (Jones et al. 2020). While these systems are simple or

optimized for photosynthesis and growth, the conditions do not effectively mimic the broader

spectral patterns that are prevalent in the water column, nor the spectra that result from shifts

in deeper water and in the presence of suspended sediments or plankton.

An alternate, but often overlooked, explanation of settlement patterns across depths and

orientations is the potential influence of environmental conditions on the benthic substrate

community in the weeks to months prior to settlement (Baird et al. 2003). Often the substrate

in field recruitment tile surveys and laboratory experiments are conditioned in the same

environments and configurations as those exposed to the larvae during settlement, making it

challenging to disentangle long-term environmental and water-quality factors acting on the

substrate from the acute light environment. For example, upwards-facing surfaces are

exposed to sedimentation and higher light which in turn structures the substrate community.

Autotrophs are common on these surfaces, whereas low-light specialists and heterotrophs

often dominate undersides (Erwin et al. 2008, Doropoulos et al. 2020). Therefore, biotic

factors that attract and repel larvae on these surfaces may impact settlement to a greater

degree than the direct light environment present at the time of settlement. Conversely, field

studies that attempt to link the substrate community with settlement patterns often ignore the

water-quality conditions at the time of settlement, and can suffer from the known issues with

identifying settlement cues and inhibitors visually (Erwin et al. 2008).

Recently, technological advancements in *in situ* spectroradiometers and aquarium light

emitting diode (LED) technology have allowed for improved characterisation and simulation

of a range of natural water column spectra and intensities. Here, we employ these

technologies to address some of the limitations of prior light experiments by applying highly

controlled but realistic experimental approaches to investigate environmental influences on

settlement. We first measure *in situ* exposures with spectroradiometers at scales relevant to

settling larvae across depth, turbidity and within crevices. Next, we use these observations to

inform the simulation of a range of natural water column spectra and intensities using a

custom-built multispectral feedback LED lighting system for application in coral settlement

experiments. These experiments are designed to eliminate variables such as three-

dimensional shading and edge-effects that have confounded most previous experiments. We

hypothesise that depth and water-quality light profiles at the time of settlement will lead to

changes in settlement success. As an alternative hypothesis, we assess whether chronic

(longer-term) water-quality conditions that impact the conditioning and quality (attraction or

inhibition) of the settlement substrate is the primary driver of settlement success.

Methods

Methodological overview

To investigate the influence of light (quality and quantity) on coral settlement, coral larvae

from the common Indo-Pacific corals *Acropora millepora* and *Acropora tenuis* were exposed

to several different light treatments in a series of experiments. Light treatments included

different intensity and spectra of narrow-band monochromatic light as well as spectra

corresponding to clearer-water and naturally turbid coastal environments (see Settlement

series 1; Table 1). Next, substrates conditioned at two sites of differing water quality were

used to assess the impact of chronic effects of exposures on the substrate and the subsequent

impact on coral settlement (see Settlement Series 2; Table 1).

Table 1. Experimental treatments used in each experiment. PAR = Photosynthetically Active Radiation (μmol

photons m^{-2} s^{-1}). DLI = Daily Light Integral (mol photons m^{-2} d^{-1}). 'Upwards' and 'downwards' refer to orientation

of the settlement discs during field conditioning. SS = suspended sediment. NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity

Units.

Design	Exp.	Spectra	PAR	DLI
Settlement series 1				
Monochromatic	Exp.1 & 5	Broad, blue, green, yellow, red	30	1.3
Simulated depth	Exp.2	Low broad, high broad, low blue, high blue	30, 320	1.3, 14
Simulated turbidity	Exp.3 and 5	~0.8, 1.5, 3, 9 NTU	30	1.3
Light intensity	Exp.4	Broad and SS-simulated (0.8 and ~9 NTU)	<1, 10, 30, 100, 300	<0.1, 0.3, 0.9, 3, 9
Experiment		**Treatment**	**PAR**	**DLI**
Settlement series 2				
Deposited sediment	Exp. 6	0.1, 0.3, 0.8, 2.5, 8, 25, 83, 250 mg cm^{-2}	30	1.3
Field conditioning	Exp. 7	Low-turbidity upwards, low-turbidity downwards, high-turbidity upwards, low-turbidity downwards	30	1.3

Coral collection, larval culturing, and substrate conditioning

Coral collection and larval culturing followed Heyward and Negri (1999) and Ricardo et al.

(2018), and further details can be found in the Supplementary Material (Text S1). Artificial

substrates for settlement were created using 7-cm diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) discs.

PVC was used for the following reasons i) it presents a flat surfaces to ensure there is no

shading of downwelling light, ii) it can be manufactured to minimise edge-effects, and iii) is

successful at conditioning a number of settlement cues and thus frequently used in settlement

experiments (Lee et al. 2009, Fabricius et al. 2017, Kennedy et al. 2017, Ricardo et al. 2017).

For laboratory experiments, they were conditioned under layers of 70% block shade cloth

(maximum Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) light intensity = ~250 μmol photons

m^{-2} s^{-1}) in flow-through outdoor SeaSim aquaria for ~3 months of the presence of crustose

coralline algae (CCA) *Porolithon onkodes* a common species known to induce settlement of

Acropora coral larvae (Heyward & Negri 1999). The discs were conditioned randomly within

the aquaria and CCA covered about 20–40% of the total disc area at the commencement of

each experiment.

Before settlement experiments commenced, larvae were assessed for their settlement

competency (*sensu* Guest et al. (2010)), and further details can be found in the

Supplementary Material (Text S1). For all settlement experiments excluding Exp. 6, each

disc was positioned at the base of a 500 mL black chamber containing 250 mL 0.5 μm-

filtered seawater (FSW). The outside of the disc was wrapped in thread seal Teflon tape

which effectively prevented larvae settling on the conditioned vertical edges of the disc.

Additionally, cleaned river sand was added to the bottom of the chambers up to prevent

larvae settling in the edges (Ricardo et al. 2017). At the commencement of the experiments,

larvae (n = 30) were added into the chambers to settle. A constant light intensity, as opposed

to a ramping light intensity, was used to prevent confounding across the light treatments, and

each treatment was programmed on a 12:12 light/dark cycle with the larvae censused after 12

hours of light exposure and then after a further 12 hours of darkness to assess any latency

effects. All experiments were conducted at 27˚C and measured using a Hobo Onset

Temperature logger in a reference chamber under each light.

In situ measurements for laboratory light treatments

In situ light measurements of this study were conducted at inshore sites within Cleveland Bay, Great Barrier Reef using a range of PAR light meters and spectroradiometers, with these data used to justify the light treatment-levels used in experiments. Briefly, a light meter connected to a diving pulse amplitude modulating fluorometer (PAM) (Walz, Germany) was used to characterise the benthic substrate light intensity levels that coral larvae are likely to settle (Table 2). We consequently selected 30 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} for the 'control' light intensity in experiments. To characterise long-term underwater light intensities and spectral changes with turbidity, two eight-wavelength multispectral radiometers (MS8, In Situ Marine Optics, Australia) were deployed in ~5-m depth at Florence Bay (lower turbidity; 19.121°S, 146.882°E) and Picnic Bay (higher turbidity; 19.186°S, 146.840°E) reefs. Additionally, to characterise more extreme light spectral profiles under turbidity and depth, instantaneous spectral profiles were measured using a USSIMO hyperspectral radiometer (In Situ Marine Optics, Australia) at a clear-water site (19.085°S, 146.947°E) at two depths (5 m and 21 m), and a highly turbid-water site at 5 m depth (19.169°S, 146.905°E). The light spectral profile at 5-m depth (~0.8 NTU) was then applied as the experimental control in all experiments. In addition to the *in situ* spectral measurements described above, individual light channels of the lighting systems (see below) were used to replicate more artificial monochromatic wavelengths commonly applied in spectral-light response studies. Further details can be found in the Supplementary Material (Text S2).

Table 2. In situ measurements for design of the laboratory experiments. See text for further site details. PAR = Photosynthetically Active Radiation (μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}). DLI = Daily Light Integral (mol photons m^{-2} d^{-1}). FWHM = Full-width half-maximum.

Measurement	Instrument	Measurement	Details
Substrate light intensities	Diving PAM	15.56 PAR; ~0.5 DLI	Mean discrete measurements over a substrate during midday on a cloudless day.

Temporal light intensities	MS8 multispectral	342.89 midday PAR; 7.8 DLI	Mean intensities over a 3-mo. period at the low-turbidity site.
Spectral profiles	USSIMO hyperspectral	484 nm; 187 FWHM, 0.8 NTU (clear shallow) 484 nm; 126 FWHM, 1 NTU (clear deep) 559 nm; 124 FWHM, 5 NTU (turbid shallow)	Modal and full-width half maximum wavelength at clear shallow and deep water, and a shallow turbid-water site
Turbidity (NTU)	DL3 nephelometer	0.8 NTU, 1.5 NTU, 3.0 NTU, 9.4 NTU	NTU corresponding to the spectral profiles used. These values represent the 6th, 45th, 77th, and 88th percentile recorded at the turbid water site (Picnic Bay). NTU can be converted to suspended sediments using a conversion factor of 1.1.

182 *assumes a cosine approximation

Experimental lighting setup

Ten spectrally-tuneable LED-based light systems were designed in-house to simulate realistic

light spectra found in marine environments. Each of the lights contained 840 individual LEDs

controlled by 19 independent colour channels. The lighting system was integrated into a PLC

system (Siemens PCS7, Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System), with the

channels adjusted through a feedback system to a user-defined light intensity level. Neutral

density shade film was also added to each light to allow for greater control of the channels at

lower light intensities. Light intensity was measured with a PAR Quantum sensor (Skye SKP

215). For Daily Light Integral calculations (DLIs), PAR measurements across a 24-hr period

were summed. Irradiance in experiments were measured with a Jaz spectrometer (Ocean

Optics) calibrated to a recently calibrated HL-2000 lamp. The spectrometer was connected to

a 5-m long 400-μm diameter fibre optic with a 3.9 mm diameter in-water cosine corrector

attached. Irradiance from spectrometers were interpreted as relative rather than absolute

values because of the common issues associated with spectrometer calibrations, light

flickering, and attenuation of light under cosine correctors (Johnsen 2012), and were

therefore corrected against the PAR Quantum sensor. All absolute irradiance readings (in μW

cm^{-2} nm^{-1}) were binned to the nearest nanometre and converted to photons (μmol photons m^{-2}

s^{-1} nm^{-1}) using the equation (Thimijan & Heins 1983):

$$\frac{nm \ \times irradiance \ (\mu W \ cm^{-2} \ nm^{-1})}{11962.15}$$

Settlement Series 1: Acute light exposure–experimental settlement trials

Exp. 1. Artificial light spectra on A. millepora settlement

Five replicate chambers were positioned under each light treatment which included a realistic

broad-spectrum control and four narrow monochromatic bands. The width of the bands is

described using full-width at half maximum (FWHM). The median and FWHM correspond

to broad-spectrum: median λ = 535 nm; FWHM = ~172 nm; artificial blue light: λ = 441 nm;

~17 nm, artificial green light: 499 nm; ~25 nm, artificial yellow light: 602 nm; ~28 nm, and

artificial red light: 658 nm; ~20 nm) (Table 1). To demonstrate repeatability and to increase

sensitivity to treatments, we repeated the experiment using a 'choice' design (more details in

Supplementary Material, Text S3).

Exp. 2: Realistic depth-dependant light on A. millepora settlement

Settlement preferences in response to depth-derived light spectra and intensity is often cited

in the literature to influence the zonation of corals (Mundy & Babcock 1998). Broad and

artificial blue spectra were supplied at two light intensities (Table 1). The broad-light

intensities used were based upon the USSIMO field data described above at 5-m depth and

21-m depth from the clear blue-water site and broadly matched spectral profiles used in

Mundy and Babcock (1998). The light channels for the 'blue' treatment were used to

reproduce more extreme artificial peaks.

Exp. 3: Realistic turbidity-dependant light spectra on A. millepora settlement

Five turbidity-dependent light spectral profiles were simulated using NTU percentiles in

Jones et al. (2020), with light on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle and PAR held constant (~30 μmol

photons m^{-2} s^{-1}; 1.3 DLI). The spectral patterns treatments were bracketed between NTU

recorded at the clear-water site (site 47, ~0.8 NTU), and the 88th percentile at the turbid-water

site (Picnic Bay, 9.4 NTU), which represented the best and worse-case turbidity scenarios

(Table 1 & 2). All spectral profiles used were at environmentally realistic light-intensity

combinations.

Exp. 4: Light intensity in clear and turbid spectra on A. millepora settlement

This experiment was designed to examine the combined effects of changes in light intensity

(5 levels) and quality (broad and shifted spectrums that would be associated with suspended

sediment concentrations equivalent to 0.8 NTU and ~9 NTU at 5-m depth). Five light

intensities were selected to cover the range of environmentally realistic values (<1, 10, 30,

100, 300 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, which equated to DLIs of <0.1, 0.3, 0.9, 3, 9 mol photons m^{-2}

d^{-1}), but more extreme combinations were also used to improve the model fit and assess the

strength of the response.

Exp. 5. Artificial and realistic light spectra on A. tenuis settlement

A reduced set of experiments were conducted on *A. tenuis* in 2018 and 2019. The 'realistic-

broad', 'artificial blue, 'artificial yellow, and 'artificial red' spectra (Experiment 1) were used

in the 2018 experiment. And subset of treatment levels 'realistic-broad', 'artificial red' were

conducted in 2019 to confirm results. Five simulated suspended sediment spectra (the same

as Experiment 3) were used to investigate realistic suspended-sediment spectral effects.

 Statistical analyses

All acute-exposure settlement data were fit to Bayesian binomial generalised linear mixed

models, using a weakly informative prior (Cauchy; centre = 0, scale = 2.5) (Gelman et al.

2008) using the package *brms* (Bürkner 2017) in *R* (version 3.6.1). As hypotheses were

planned, we did not remove any factors of interest during model selection even if not

statistically significant, because all variables were of interest (Gelman & Hill 2006);

however, comparisons of WAICs (Watanabe–Akaike Information Criterions) were used to

assess if there were interactive effects. Standardised effect sizes and credible intervals of the

posterior distributions were used to interpret the effect. Effect concentrations (EC10s), which

are common effect-size threshold metric used in ecotoxicology, were calculated when

possible (Warne et al. 2018).

Settlement Series 2: Chronic exposure of water quality stressors to substrate quality

Exp. 6: Combined water quality stressors on substrate quality

To assess chronic exposure of water quality to the settlement cue, settlement discs were

conditioned in the field under various light and sediment regimes. The discs were held in in

PVC structures which orientated them either upwards facing or downwards facing. Each of

the structures held 7 discs in different orientation and 3 structures were deployed at Florence

Bay (relatively low turbidity; 19.121°S, 146.882°E) and Picnic Bay (relatively high turbidity;

19.186°S, 146.840°E) to condition for four months. Each structure was positioned randomly

around a coral bombora to aid in retrieval in the turbid conditions. The upward facing

surfaces are generally exposed to higher levels of light and accumulate deposited sediments,

whereas downward facing surfaces are exposed to lower light intensities. One structure at

each site included light loggers (Hobo Onset, MX2202) at each orientation to monitor relative

light, calibrated to the MS8 multispectral sensor described above. Only the first few days of

data after deployment were used because of algal fouling of the light logger sensor.

Approximately 1 week before the anticipated coral spawning, the structures were collected

and transferred to flow-through aquaria in the SeaSim. One structure from Florence Bay was

lost in turbid water during retrieval. The majority of macroalgae and sediment were removed

from the discs as their inclusion would have made a census impossible. Settlement of larvae

on these discs occurred in chambers under identical conditions using the methods described

above in Settlement series 1 to remove any light or thigmotactic effects, and therefore the

settlement response only represented changes in the substrate 'quality'. Thirty competent *A.*

millepora larvae were added to each experimental chamber under a 12:12 light/dark cycle for

24 hrs (30 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}; 1.3 DLI; broad-spectral profile) using the chamber set-up

described above. Settled larvae were carefully counted using a Leica stereoscope by

examining under all the remaining foliage. Discs were imaged with a Canon 90D camera

using an EF-S 18–55 lens.

Settlement success on the discs were analysed with binomial GLMMs with 'site' and

'orientation' as fixed effects and 'disc' as a random effect with the package *lme4* (Bates et al.

2015). Pairwise comparisons were estimated with the package *emmeans* (Lenth et al. 2018).

Community composition on the discs were analysed using photoQuad (v1.4) (Trygonis &

Sini 2012), by grouping identifiable taxonomic and abiotic groups (macroalgae, green

filamentous algae, red filamentous algae, CCA, cyanobacteria, tube worms, bryozoa, detritus,

empty space, epilithic algal matrix (EAM), coral recruit, and unidentified). Taxonomic data

was visually assessed using an nMDS (Bray-Curtis measure of dissimilarity) with the

package *vegan* (Oksanen et al. 2007) using square-root transformed data. Environmental

vectors and ellipses were subsequently added. To test differences in community structure

between sites and orientation, PERMANOVA based on 999 permutations was implemented

using the '*adonis*' function in *vegan*. Each distinct community was further examined to

explore potential associations of taxa with settlement. Multicollinearity was assessed using

variance inflation factors (VIF), pairs plots, and biological relevance (Zuur et al. 2010).

Indicator Species Analysis using the package *labdsv* (Roberts & Roberts 2016) was first used

to identify the most important taxa separating each group. Taxa with an indicator value >0.2

were used as a preliminary selection in a GLMM model. Corrected Akaike Information

Criterion (AICc) was used to select the most parsimonious model.

Exp. 7: Sediment smothering on substrate settlement cue

While it was not feasible to investigate all water quality stressors on substrate quality, the

effect of temporary sediment deposition on the settlement cue was examined as a likely

mechanism (cause-effect pathway). Aragonite plugs (2-cm diameter) were conditioned for ~3

months in an outdoor aquarium system under 50% neutral density shade cloth (27 ˚ C;

maxima 200 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}) and developed a thick covering of crustose algae

(*Titanoderma prototypum* and *Peyssonnelia* spp.). The experiment aimed to simulate a

sediment deposition and resuspension event and the plugs were exposed at various levels

(0.1, 0.3, 0.8, 2.5, 8, 25, 83, 250 mg cm^{-2}) of carbonate and siliciclastic deposited sediments

(see Ricardo et al. (2018) for sediment characterisation) in flow-through conditions under

~30 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} (PAR) linear-ramping lighting following Ricardo et al. (2017). The

sediments were then removed, and each plug embedded in 60 mL glass chambers with

cleaned river sand to prevent settlement on vertical or under-surfaces. Ten competent larvae

were added to each chamber, and settlement on the sediment-free plugs was assessed at 24

hours. The plugs were then provided a recovery period of 3 days and then more larvae (n=10)

were added to each chamber and settlement assessed after a second 24 hours. Effective

quantum yields ($\Delta F/F_m$'), which estimates the photosystem II photosynthetic performance of

light-acclimated samples, were measured on the plugs after a 30-minute light-acclimation

period at 30 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} with a mini-PAM (Waltz) using a red measuring light (6

mm fibre-optic probe) positioned ~ 3-mm away from the plug using a rubber spacer.

Measurements were taken before and after the exposure, and after the 3-day recovery period,

with 5 measurements per plug. The changes in settlement were analysed with a binomial

GLMM with 'plug' as random effect and the level of 'previously-deposited sediment' and

time (after exposure, recovery) as a fixed factor. The changes in yield at each time point was

measured with a four-parameter Weibull nonlinear model using the package *drc* (Ritz et al.

2016). The substrate composition was classified using Trainable Weka Segmentation in

ImageJ (Arganda-Carreras et al. 2017) on a Dell R820 256 GB High-performance Computer

System, and changes in proportion were predicted using a Dirichlet regression (Douma &

Weedon 2019) with 'previously-deposited sediment' and time (before exposure, after

exposure, and recovery) as a fixed factor.

Results

 Settlement Series 1: Acute light exposure–experimental settlement trials

Exp. 1: Artificial light spectra on A. millepora settlement

The spectral profiles of monochromatic light treatments were generally eight-fold narrower

compared to the broad-spectrum "white" treatment (Fig. 1 a). During the light phase,

settlement under monochromatic blue light was similar to the control, and while there was a

~16% decrease in settlement under red light and a ~27% decrease under green light, there

was a no statistically detectable difference (the 95% credible intervals did not overlap zero)

(Fig. 1 b-c). There was a clear difference yellow light from the broad-spectrum control

(~35% decrease) (Fig. 1 b-c). These trends indicate that coral settlement may be suppressed

by up to one-third with wavelengths between the 500 and 600 nm range. Although there was

a small amount of additional settlement in the subsequent dark period from 12 to 24 hrs (~

25%), settlement remained suppressed in the green and yellow treatments indicating potential

latency effects. The variance explained by the model was 72%.

The light treatment wavelength in the choice experiment had generally wider spectral

distributions than when each substrate was exposed independently because gel-filters were

used instead of the multispectral lights (Fig. S1 a). There were no clear differences in

settlement between the light treatments and broad-spectrum control, or over time (the 95%

credible intervals did not overlap zero) (Fig S1 b-c). The variance explained by the model

was 31%.

Fig. 1. Larval settlement of *Acropora millepora* under artificial monochromatic and depth-dependant light spectral profiles in Exp. 1 & 2. (a) Light spectral profiles used in Exp. 1. Grey dashed line = shallow clear-water site at 5-m depth and 0.8 NTU. (b) Exp. 1 larval settlement after 12 h (light) and 24 h (12 light + 12 h dark) (light grey), modelled means and 95% credible intervals (dark grey). (c) Exp. 1 Standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of contrasts between each monochromatic light and the control (broad spectra). The vertical dashed red line indicates the x-axis location of zero effect. (d) Lower-light spectral profiles used in Exp. 2. Grey dashed line = deep clear-water site at 21 m depth and 1 NTU. (e) Higher-light spectral profiles used in Exp. 2. Grey dashed line = shallow clear-water site at 5-m depth and 0.8 NTU. (f) Exp. 2 settlement of larvae at two light intensities under broad-spectrum and artificial blue-light after 12 h (light) and 24 h (12 h light + 12 h dark). (g) Standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of the contrasts between each light spectrum and the control (broad low). The vertical dashed red line indicates the x-axis location of zero effect. Larval settlement competency was 90% for both experiments.

Exp. 2: Realistic depth-dependant light on A. millepora settlement

The low blue spectral profile had a narrower profile (FWHM = 39 nm) compared to the low broad profile (FWHM = 118 nm) (Fig. 1d). Likewise, the high blue spectral profile had a narrower profile (FWHM = 49 nm) compared to the low broad profile (FWHM = 81 nm) (Fig. 1e). There was a moderate (although not significantly detectable) 28% decrease in settlement under the higher light treatments (low: 0.62; 95% CI: 0.53–0.70); high: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.35–0.54), and no evidence of an effect of the blue dominant spectra on settlement (Fig. 1f-g). A further 12 hr dark phase did not increase settlement indicating no latency effects (Fig. 1f). The variance explained by the model was 78%.

Exp. 3: Realistic turbidity-dependant light spectra on A. millepora settlement

Low simulated-turbidity light treatments (~0.8–3 NTU) had similar spectral profiles whereas the highest simulated-turbidity profile (~9 NTU) was narrower and peaked around ~550 nm (Fig. 2a). The most parsimonious model only included 'time' as a predictor variable, indicating no evidence of an influence on settlement between the low turbidity and high turbidity-simulated spectra. Under the full model, there was no effect of the simulated

375   turbidity spectra (slope = 0.1; 95% CI = -0.05– 0.25), and there was a slight overall increase

376   of settlement between 12 hours (0.753, 95% CI: 0.721–0.783) and 24 hours (0.790, 95% CI:

377   0.761–0.819) (Fig. 2b,c). The variance explained by the full model was 25%.

Fig. 2. The relationship between light intensity and simulated turbidity spectra on larval settlement of *Acropora millepora*. (a) Exp. 3 spectral profiles used to simulate in situ spectral patterns at various approximate turbidity (NTU) levels (Jones et al. 2020). Grey dashed line = shallow clear-water site at 5-m depth and 0.8 NTU. (b) Exp. 3 larval settlement in the turbidity spectral treatments after 12 h (light) and 24 h (12 light + 12 h darkness). (c) Exp. 3 standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of contrasts. The vertical dashed red line indicates the x-axis location of zero effect. (d) Exp. 4 spectra used to simulate clear-water spectral patterns at five light intensities. Grey dashed line = shallow turbid-water site at 5-m depth and 5 NTU. (e) Exp. 4 spectra used to simulate high turbidity spectral patterns at five light intensities. (f) Exp. 4 larval settlement after 12 (light) and 24 hours (12 h light + 12 h dark) of the light exposure. (g) Exp. 4 standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of the contrasts. The vertical dashed red line indicates the x-axis location of zero effect. Larval settlement competency was 90% in both experiments.

Exp. 4: Light intensity in clear and turbid spectra on A. millepora settlement

The clear-water spectral profiles generally had a peak at in the blue wavelength (~480 nm) whereas the turbidity spectral profiles peaked in the green wavelengths (~550 nm) (Fig. 2d,e). There was no difference in settlement between the clear and turbid spectra treatments but a statistically detectable (the 95% credible intervals did not overlap zero) modest 22% decline in settlement at higher light intensities (12 hr: EC10 = 113 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}; 95% CI: 11–214) (Fig. 2f,g). PAR measurements at the clearer-water site (Florence Bay) exceeded this threshold 21.2% of the time. There was also a slight overall improvement in settlement of 8.4% following the dark-period, but there remained evidence of latency effects at higher light intensities (Fig. 2f-g). The variance explained by the model was 66%.

Exp. 5. Artificial and realistic light spectra on A. tenuis settlement

Settlement of *A. tenuis* in the broad spectra was low in both years (2018: ~20%; 2019: ~37%) compared with the settlement competency assays (>80%) indicating sub-optimal conditioning (CCA and biofilm) of the substrates for this species (Fig. 3a,c,e). In both years, the artificial red light increased settlement (2018: 2.2-fold greater, R^2 = 0.87; 2019: 1.4-fold greater, R^2 =

0.88) (Fig. 3a-d). There was no effect of the realistic dredge spectra (yellow-green dominant) on coral settlement (Fig. 3e,f), with 84% of the variance explained by the model.

Fig. 3. Effects of artificial (monochromatic) and simulated turbidity spectral profiles on larval settlement of *Acropora tenuis* in Exp. 5. (a) Settlement after 12 and 24 hrs. Spectral profiles matched Exp. 1 (broad, artificial blue, artificial yellow, and artificial red). (b) Standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals

(thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of contrasts between each monochromatic light and the control (broad spectra). The

vertical dashed red line indicates the x-axis location of zero effect. (c) 2019 repeat experiment at only the broad

and artificial red treatments. (d) Standardised Bayesian posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker:

66%, thinner: 95%) of contrasts between each red light and the control (broad spectra). (e) Settlement in simulated-

turbidity spectra reported in NTU after 12 h (light) and 24 h (12 light + 12 h darkness). (f) Standardised Bayesian

posterior half-densities and credible intervals (thicker: 66%, thinner: 95%) of contrasts. Larval settlement

competency for all assays were >80%.

Settlement Series 2: Chronic exposure of water quality stressors to substrate quality

Exp. 6: Combined water quality stressors on substrate quality

Water quality

The light environments of downwards-facing surfaces were 8–9 fold lower than that of

upwards-facing surfaces on the field-deployed discs (Table 3). Light intensity was 30% lower

on the upward-facing surfaces at the clearer-water site (Florence Bay) compared with the

higher-turbidity site (Picnic Bay), and 20% lower on the downward-facing surfaces.

Turbidity, which can be approximately converted to suspended sediment concentrations using

a conversion factor of 1.1 (Jones et al. 2020), was greater at Picnic Bay (50th percentile = 1.62

NTU) compared to Florence Bay (50th percentile = 0.60 NTU).

436 Table 3. Water quality at the clearer (Florence Bay) and turbid (Picnic Bay) water sites and each substrate

437 orientation. Light was measured during the first 3 d of deployment. NTU was measured for 1–2 mo. depending

438 on the site.

Site	Orientation	DLI	NTU
Florence Bay	Upwards	18.5 (n=2)	0.6
Florence Bay	Downwards	2.07 (n=2)	
Picnic Bay	Upwards	12.9 (n = 2)	
Picnic Bay	Downwards	1.65 (n = 1)	1.62

Settlement

There was a clear difference in settlement on field conditioned discs at each orientation, with

the effects more pronounced at the clearer-water site (Florence Bay), compared with the more

turbid-water site (Picnic Bay) (Fig. 4a,b). At Florence Bay there was a ~74% decrease

(>99.99% probability of a negative effect) in settlement on the upwards-facing discs relative

to the downward-facing discs. A similar although more modest trend occurred at Picnic Bay

with a ~51% decrease (99.91% probability of a negative effect) in settlement on discs

conditioned upwards. However, settlement on downwards-facing surfaces was lower at on

discs conditioned at the more turbid Picnic Bay site by 36%. The variance explained by the

model was 87%.

The community composition was different on discs at each site and orientation (Fig. 4c;

PERMANOVA, p = 0.002), with clear separation between the upwards and downwards

facing with discs. For Florence Bay downwards-facing discs, there was a negative correlation

between crustose coralline algae and other red algae (r = -0.74, VIF = 2.78), consequently

'red algae' was subsequently removed from the analysis. The exploratory analysis revealed

CCA was associated with Florence Bay downwards-facing discs, tube worms with

Fig. 4. Settlement and community composition of the settlement discs in Exp. 6. Settlement on the discs

conditioned at (a) Florence Bay (clearer-water site) and (b) Picnic Bay (turbid-water site). All settlement occurred

with discs facing upwards and under constant-light conditions. Larval competency was 80%. (c) An nMDS plot

showing community composition at each of the site and orientation combinations. Ellipses represent 1 standard

deviation around the centroids. Vectors represent the environmental factor fit. CCA = crustose coralline algae;

EAM = Epilithic algal matrix, PAR = photosynthetically active radiation; NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units.

Exp. 7: Sediment smothering on the substrate settlement cue

Settlement

After the 3-day exposure to carbonate sediment, there was a clear decrease in settlement on

the substrates exposed to the greatest levels of deposited sediment (Fig. 5a, EC10 = 38 mg

cm^{-2}; 95% CI: 8.5–68). There was a slight improvement in larval settlement on the same

substrate after the 3-day recovery period (Fig. 5c, EC10 = 63 mg cm^{-2}; 95% CI: 0–134). A

similar yet less extreme response was observed following exposure to siliciclastic sediment

(Fig. 5b, EC10 = 64 mg cm^{-2}; 95% CI: 16–112), with less influence on settlement after the

recovery period (Fig. 5d, EC10 = 90 mg cm^{-2}; 95% CI: 0–217).

Fig. 5. Settlement of *Acropora millepora* larvae on CCA previously covered for 3-days in two types of deposited

sediment in Exp. 7. (a) Settlement following removal of carbonate sediment. (b) Settlement following removal of

siliciclastic sediment. (c, d) Settlement following a 3-day recovery period for each sediment type. (e) Effective

quantum yields ($\Delta F/F_m'$) of CCA following removal of carbonate sediment (f) $\Delta F/F_m'$ following removal of

siliciclastic sediment. (g, h) $\Delta F/F_m$' following a 3-day recovery period for each sediment type. Larval settlement

competency was 80%.

Sub-lethal indicators

Effective quantum yields ($\Delta F/F_m$') of the CCA on the settlement substrate decreased within

the same effect region (Fig. 5e,f; carbonate: EC10 = 28; siliciclastic: EC10 = 16 mg cm^{-2}) as

larval settlement success, and also recovered after a 3-day period (Fig. 5g,h; EC10s >250 mg

cm^{-2}). Before exposure, CCA of *Titanoderma prototypum* covered ~61% of the plugs, and

Peyssonnelia spp. covered ~21% of the plugs. Bleaching (mostly driven by impacts on *T.*

prototypum) increased proportionally with sediment smothering (evident at > 30 mg cm^{-2})

and did not regain pigment after the 3-day recovery period (Fig. S3a-c). This indicates that

changes in $\Delta F/F_m$' were a better predictor of settlement cue effectiveness than observed

bleaching.

General Discussion

Disentangling the various drivers affecting coral recruitment that occur sequentially and

simultaneously remains a challenge of marine ecology (Graham 2003, Houlahan et al. 2017).

While little can be done to control all co-occurring factors in observational studies (Graham

2003), manipulative studies offer unique opportunities to identify and quantify key drivers

that influence coral recruitment patterns and other biological processes (Chapman 2016,

Nosek & Errington 2020). For example, a number of correlating variables have been

described to predict coral spawning events, yet careful manipulation of only two (temperature

and light intensity) are required to reliably control the timing of spawning *ex situ* (Craggs et

al. 2017). Here we sought to test the relative importance of direct 'acute' light compared to

chronic water-quality factors impacting the substrate by applying highly controlled but

realistic experimental approaches. We first characterised the light environment at scales

relevant to settling larvae using spectroradiometers, and then used these data to inform a

selection of experimental treatment levels using a multispectral feedback LED lighting

system. In the case of *Acropora*, only unrealistic artificially narrow or high light-intensity

exposures led to settlement shifts in comparison to the lower broad-spectrum control light.

For example, monochromatic light of mid-range (green-yellow) wavelengths influenced

settlement negatively in some experiments; however, these effects were not observed under a

broader realistic spectra that occur in shallow waters, at depth and under high turbidity. To

improve the likelihood of detecting effects of light spectrum and intensity on settlement, we

opted for a sub-optimal conditioning to the discs in comparison to Ricardo et al. (2017) where

a more mature substrate community dominated by CCA may have overwhelmed light-

intensity effects. Nevertheless, the present study similarly indicated high light-intensity has

only a modest negative effect on settlement at levels typically found during midday on

exposed surfaces. It is not entirely surprising that larvae searching the benthos are relatively

insensitive to light intensity, as strong light gradients are only available for a few hours each

day. Interestingly, *A. tenuis* did show positive effects to artificial red light, especially in one

spawning year. In these experiments the larvae had high competency (ability to settle) but

low settlement success in the control treatments indicating sub-optimal conditioning, and this

supports the possibility that weaker settlement inducers/inhibitors (such as direct light) may

become more important in absence of strong settlement inducers such as mature CCA

colonies.

Several lines of evidence suggest that water-quality conditions acting on the substrate prior to

settlement may be critical for determining coral settlement outcomes (Baird et al. 2003,

Petersen et al. 2005, Kuffner et al. 2006, Szmant & Miller 2006, Suzuki & Hayashibara 2011,

Ricardo et al. 2017). Chronically altered light regimes and smothering by sediment lead to

altered community assemblages on the substrate that evidently promote or prevent settlement

(Erwin et al. 2008, Arnold & Steneck 2011, Quimpo et al. 2020). In this study, prior exposure

of the settlement substrates to a variety of water quality conditions *in situ* elicited clear

differences in the settlement response, with larvae preferring the substrates that had been

previously conditioned under low light and not affected by sediment. While there remains

some limitations in characterising the community on settlement substrates visually and

inferring casual effects in absence of measuring possible confounders (Walker 2014), CCA

colonised downward-facing surfaces at the clear-water site was associated with high

settlement, whereas tubeworms were associated with decreasing settlement on the

downwards-facing surfaces conditioned at the turbid-water site. Empty space (possibly

grazed clear by gastropods observed at the time of retrieval) was associated with high

settlement on the upwards-facing surfaces. Known biological settlement inducers such as

CCA are often associated with specific light environments (Petersen et al. 2005, Bessell-

Browne et al. 2017, Briggs & Carpenter 2019) but are sensitive to sediment smothering

(Harrington et al. 2005, Ricardo et al. 2017), and searching larvae may favour these

communities that could be advantageous for post-settlement growth. However, optimal

conditions and responses of biological inducers for coral settlement (CCA and microbial

biofilms) to stress remain remarkably understudied (Webster et al. 2011, Webster et al.

2013), and derivation of water quality and light thresholds for important algal and microbial

inducers would improve predictions for coral settlement.

In addition to the potential for chronic effects of light exposure on the benthic surfaces to

influence its structure and suitability for coral settlement, highly turbid conditions are also

associated with sediment deposition (Whinney et al. 2017), which can accumulate on

settlement surfaces directly affecting access by larvae (Hodgson 1990, Ricardo et al. 2017),

or indirectly affecting settlement by harming biological settlement inducers such as CCA

(Kendrick 1991, Harrington et al. 2005). In the current study, there were strong impacts of

sediment accumulation and declining quality of settlement cues, resulting in a subsequent

decrease in coral settlement. Even brief sediment exposures to the substrate at levels that are

common for inshore reefs (see Tebbett et al. (2018), and Jones et al. (2020)) led to a decrease

in settlement success, as well as physiological responses of the substrate such as pigment loss

(bleaching) and decreased $\Delta F/F_m$'. Sediment smothering can reduce gas exchange, prevent

waste removal, eliminate light, and change the surface chemistry of the smothered organism

(Weber et al. 2012, Jones et al. 2016). The settlement response we observed was more

pronounced with carbonate sediment, and the differences between the two sediment types is

possibly caused by seemingly rapid consolidation of these sediments over the 3-day period,

with the carbonate sediment bound more firmly to the substrate at the time of removal.

Following the subsequent 3-day recovery period, $\Delta F/F_m$' and the dose-dependent settlement

increased, whereas bleaching did not change, indicating photo-physiological responses

maybe more effective indicators of settlement success than bleaching (loss of pigments).

Although some recovery was observed following sediment removal, turbid reefs are subject

to ongoing sediment deposition and removal processes that likely result in eventual loss or

reduction of settlement inducers on upwards-facing surfaces over longer durations (Kendrick

1991).

It is often difficult to interpret studies assessing impacts of light or other water-quality factors

during coral settlement where substrates were not randomised immediately before settlement,

and where surfaces vary in sizes, roughness and aspects, introducing the possibility of edge

and orientational effects (Raimondi & Morse 2000). This is common in field settlement

assays where typically ~10 × 10 × 1 cm ceramic tiles are conditioned *in situ* for a few weeks

prior to the mass spawning events (Table S1), and there have been calls for greater

standardisation in settlement studies (Abelson & Gaines 2005, Davidson et al. 2019). Further,

larvae exhibit strong thigmotactic behaviour with an attraction to grooves, edges and aspects

in the absence of light (Nozawa et al. 2011, Whalan et al. 2015, Ricardo et al. 2017).

Edmunds et al. (2014) and Nozawa et al. (2011) suggests that a reduction in settlement on

horizontal surfaces of field-deployed settlement tiles may be due to a lack of micro-refuges.

However for inshore reefs, deposited sediment and algal mats may infill these refuges driving

settlement to vertical and downwards-facing surfaces (Ricardo et al. 2017, Tebbett &

Bellwood 2019). Importantly, downwelling irradiance is confounded by orientation and edge

effects because of local shading, leading some authors to speculate that direct light intensity

is the driver of coral recruits settling near the edges of undersides (Morse et al. 1988, Maida

et al. 1994). The current study removed confounding thigmotactic and orientation effects that

are common on three-dimensional substrates. Further crossed designs with randomisation of

the substrate prior to settlement are needed to conclusively separate light-intensity effects

from possible thigmotactic and substrate effects.

For the species tested here, and possibly many species in the genus *Acropora*, the influence

of light and physical challenges (such as sediment deposition and algal growth) on settlement

of the larvae is predominantly manifested indirectly by influencing the biology and quality of

settlement surfaces, rather than light as a direct cue influencing the settlement response.

However, in some species, there is greater 'weight of evidence' supporting the idea of light

sensitivity in settlement in other genera such as *Oxypora* (Babcock and Mundy, 1996; Mundy

and Babcock, 1998). Perhaps a more plausible explanation of settlement patterns under

various narrow-band spectra is a mistaken recognition of surface colour (i.e., spectral diffuse

reflection) from specular reflection. Several studies have observed increased settlement on

orange-red surfaces and have suggested there is an attraction because CCA species typically

have a reflectance peak in the 600 nm region of the visible spectrum (Mason et al. 2011,

Foster & Gilmour 2016). Alternatively, the attraction may be related to the loss of blue light

reflected from these surfaces, as reduced blue light is associated with temporary reduced

swimming speeds in larvae (Sakai et al. 2020). In our study, reduced settlement was observed

on CCA that had lost its red pigments (bleached), but after a recovery period improved

settlement correlated well with recovered photosystem activity, suggesting that physiological

processes (an indicator of healthy CCA) were a stronger driver of settlement than spectral

reflectance. Other studies have reported phototaxis of coral larvae in the water column (Lewis

1974, Szmant & Meadows 2006, Mulla et al. 2020, Sakai et al. 2020), although these

behaviours appear less consistent than observed in other marine invertebrates (Raimondi &

Morse 2000, Satheesh & Wesley 2010, Ricardo et al. 2014, Lillis et al. 2018, Abdul Wahab et

al. 2019).

The findings presented here suggest targeted management of water quality (e.g., sediment

plumes from dredging) in the weeks to months leading up to coral spawning events may be a

more meaningful area of focus for management rather than solely focussing on managing

water quality during the days of predicted settlement. Deposited sediments are known to

strongly repel coral settlers on upwards-facing surfaces (Ricardo et al. 2017), and while the

temporal dynamics (i.e. deposition vs resuspension) remains unresolved, evidence is building

that acute deposition events strongly tied to anthropogenic activities such as dredging can be

managed with a spatial separation area of a few kilometres (Jones et al. 2020). However little

evidence suggest that a short-term cessation of close-proximity dredging would improve

settlement outcomes, as the sediment would need time for resuspension, latent effects on the

substrate would remain for several days, and the chronic change in the water quality

environment would cause shifts in the substrate community. Likewise, improvements in

water quality and other factors that improve the substrate for settlement such as fish

herbivory (to control algal growth) would likely improve settlement success (Doropoulos et

al. 2016, Tebbett & Bellwood 2019).

Here we show for two common *Acropora* species that realistic light quality and quantity at the

time of settlement plays a lesser role in determining settlement success in comparison to

chronic water quality conditions impacting the settlement substrate over weeks to months prior

to settlement – contrary to expectations. Teasing apart confounding factors inherent in

settlement experiments will require innovative and creative experimental approaches, and with

advancements in technology and better characterisation for the settlement environment, there

are opportunities to further test, refine and reject old ideas. As researchers race to find ways to

increase local reef resilience and scale-up restoration via seeding of coral larvae (Randall et al.

2020), it is crucially important to understand which factors primarily drive recruitment, and

which act in a more subtle or infrequent manner.

Data accessibility

638 All raw data used in the figures can be accessed here https://github.com/gerard-ricardo/light-

639 settlement.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported through government appropriation funding (AIMS) and from the

Australian Government's National Environmental Science Program (NESP). We acknowledge

In situ Marine Optics for their technical expertise with the light sensors. We acknowledge

Eduardo Arias, Matt Salmon, Paul Boyd, Andrea Severati for design of the sediment dosing

and lighting systems, and the AIMS SeaSim team for assistance for providing conditioning

tanks, room set-up and animal husbandry requirements. We would also like to thank Lee

Bastin, Florita Flores, Marie Thomas, Chris Brunner, Annika Lamb, Lonidas Koukoumaftsis

and Christian Odea for assistance with coral spawning and image analyses.

References

Abdul Wahab MA, Maldonado M, Luter HM, Jones R, Ricardo G (2019) Effects of sediment
resuspension on the larval stage of the model sponge Carteriospongia foliascens. Sci Total
Environ:133837

Abelson A, Gaines S (2005) A call for a standardized protocol of coral recruitment research and
outlines for its conception. Mar Pollut Bull 50:1745-1748
Arganda-Carreras I, Kaynig V, Rueden C, Eliceiri KW, Schindelin J, Cardona A, Sebastian Seung H
(2017) Trainable Weka Segmentation: a machine learning tool for microscopy pixel
classification. Bioinformatics 33:2424-2426
Arnold SN, Steneck RS (2011) Settling into an increasingly hostile world: the rapidly closing
"Recruitment Window" for corals. PLoS ONE 6:e28681
Baird A, Babcock R, Mundy C (2003) Habitat selection by larvae influences the depth distribution of
six common coral species. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 252:289-293
Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S, Christensen RHB, Singmann H, Dai B, Grothendieck G,
Green P, Bolker MB (2015) Package 'lme4'. Convergence 12:2
Bessell-Browne P, Negri AP, Fisher R, Clode PL, Jones R (2017) Impacts of light limitation on corals
and crustose coralline algae. Scientific Reports 7:11553
Briggs AA, Carpenter RC (2019) Contrasting responses of photosynthesis and photochemical
efficiency to ocean acidification under different light environments in a calcifying alga.
Scientific reports 9:1-10
Brunner CA, Uthicke S, Ricardo GF, Hoogenboom MO, Negri AP (2020) Climate change doubles
sedimentation-induced coral recruit mortality. Sci Total Environ:143897
Bürkner P-C (2017) brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. Journal of
Statistical Software 80:1-28
Chapman P (2016) Ecological Risk and Weight of Evidence Assessments. In: Marine Ecotoxicology.
Elsevier257-271
Craggs J, Guest JR, Davis M, Simmons J, Dashti E, Sweet M (2017) Inducing broadcast coral spawning
ex situ: Closed system mesocosm design and husbandry protocol. Ecology and evolution
7:11066-11078
Cussioli MC, Seeger D, Pratt DR, Bryan KR, Bischof K, de Lange WP, Bornman JF, Pilditch CA (2020)
Spectral differences in the underwater light regime caused by sediment types in New
Zealand estuaries: implications for seagrass photosynthesis. Geo-Mar Lett:1-9
Davidson J, Thompson A, Logan M, Schaffelke B (2019) High spatio-temporal variability in
Acroporidae settlement to inshore reefs of the Great Barrier Reef. PLOS ONE 14:e0209771
Doropoulos C, Roff G, Bozec YM, Zupan M, Werminghausen J, Mumby PJ (2016) Characterizing the
ecological trade-offs throughout the early ontogeny of coral recruitment. Ecol Monogr
86:20-44
Doropoulos C, Thomson DP, Trapon M, Cresswell AK, Turner JA, Babcock RC (2020) Depth gradients
drive changes in early successional community composition and associated coral larvae
settlement interactions. Mar Biol 167:1-9
Douma JC, Weedon JT (2019) Analyzing continuous proportions in ecology and evolution: a practical
introduction to beta and Dirichlet regression. Methods in Ecology and Evolution
Dustan P (1982) Depth-dependent photoadaption by zooxanthellae of the reef coral Montastrea
annularis. Mar Biol 68:253-264
Edmunds PJ, Nozawa Y, Villanueva RD (2014) Refuges modulate coral recruitment in the Caribbean
and the Pacific. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 454:78-84
Erwin P, Song B, Szmant A Settlement behavior of *Acropora palmata* planulae: Effects of biofilm age
and crustose coralline algal cover. In. Proc Proceedings of the 11th international coral reef
symposium Ft Lauderdale, Florida
Fabricius KE, Noonan SH, Abrego D, Harrington L, De'Ath G (2017) Low recruitment due to altered
settlement substrata as primary constraint for coral communities under ocean acidification.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284:20171536
Foster T, Gilmour J (2016) Seeing red: Coral larvae are attracted to healthy-looking reefs. Mar Ecol
Prog Ser 559:65-71

Fox HE (2004) Coral recruitment in blasted and unblasted sites in Indonesia: assessing rehabilitation
potential. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 269:131-139
Gelman A, Hill J (2006) Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models, Vol.
Cambridge university press
Gelman A, Jakulin A, Pittau MG, Su Y-S (2008) A weakly informative default prior distribution for
logistic and other regression models. The Annals of Applied Statistics 2:1360-1383
Giese AC (1959) Comparative physiology: annual reproductive cycles of marine invertebrates. Annu
Rev Physiol 21:547-576
Graham MH (2003) Confronting multicollinearity in ecological multiple regression. Ecology 84:2809-
2815
Gruber R, Waterhouse J, Logan M, Petus C, Howley C, Lewis S, Tracey D, Langlois L, Tonin H, Skuza M
(2019) Marine Monitoring Program: Annual report for inshore water quality monitoring
2017-18.
Guest J, Heyward A, Omori M, Iwao K, Morse A, Boch C (2010) Rearing coral larvae for reef
rehabilitation. Reef rehabilitation manual:73-92
Harrington L, Fabricius K, Eaglesham G, Negri A (2005) Synergistic effects of diuron and
sedimentation on photosynthesis and survival of crustose coralline algae. Mar Pollut Bull
51:415-427
Heyward A, Negri A (1999) Natural inducers for coral larval metamorphosis. Coral Reefs 18:273-279
Hodgson G (1990) Sediment and the settlement of larvae of the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis*.
Coral Reefs 9:41-43
Houlahan JE, McKinney ST, Anderson TM, McGill BJ (2017) The priority of prediction in ecological
understanding. Oikos 126:1-7
Irving AD, Connell SD (2002) Sedimentation and light penetration interact to maintain heterogeneity
of subtidal habitats: algal versus invertebrate dominated assemblages. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
245:83-91
Johnsen S (2012) The optics of life: a biologist's guide to light in nature, Vol. Princeton University
Press
Jones R, Fisher R, Francis D, Klonowski W, Luter H, Negri A, Pineda M-C, Ricardo G, Slivkoff M (2020)
Risk assessing dredging activities in shallow-water mesophotic reefs. National Environmental
Science Programme Project 2.1.9
Jones RJ, Bessel-Browne P, Fisher R, Klonowski W, Slivkoff M (2016) Assessing the impacts of
sediments on corals. Mar Pollut Bull 102:9-29
Kendrick GA (1991) Recruitment of coralline crusts and filamentous turf algae in the Galapagos
archipelago: effect of simulated scour, erosion and accretion. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 147:47-63
Kennedy EV, Ordoñez A, Lewis BE, Diaz-Pulido G (2017) Comparison of recruitment tile materials for
monitoring coralline algae responses to a changing climate. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 569:129-144
Kirk JT (1994) Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems, Vol. Cambridge university press
Kuffner IB, Walters LJ, Becerro MA, Paul VJ, Ritson-Williams R, Beach KS (2006) Inhibition of coral
recruitment by macroalgae and cyanobacteria. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 323:107-117
Lee C, Walford J, Goh B (2009) Adding coral rubble to substrata enhances settlement of Pocillopora
damicornis larvae. Coral Reefs 28:529-533
Lenth R, Singmann H, Love J (2018) Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means.
R package version 1
Levy O, Appelbaum L, Leggat W, Gothlif Y, Hayward DC, Miller DJ, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2007) Light-
responsive cryptochromes from a simple multicellular animal, the coral Acropora millepora.
Science 318:467-470
Lewis JB (1974) Settlement behavior of planulae larvae of hermatypic coral *Favia-fragum* (Esper). J
Exp Mar Biol Ecol 15:165-172

Lillis A, Apprill A, Suca JJ, Becker C, Llopiz JK, Mooney TA (2018) Soundscapes influence the
settlement of the common Caribbean coral Porites astreoides irrespective of light
conditions. Royal Society Open Science 5:181358
Magno-Canto MM, McKinna LI, Robson BJ, Fabricius KE (2019) Model for deriving benthic irradiance
in the Great Barrier Reef from MODIS satellite imagery. Optics express 27:A1350-A1371
Maida M, Coll J, Sammarco P (1994) Shedding new light on scleractinian coral recruitment. J Exp Mar
Biol Ecol 180:189-202
Mason B, Beard M, Miller MW (2011) Coral larvae settle at a higher frequency on red surfaces. Coral
Reefs 30:667-676
Mason B, Schmale M, Gibbs P, Miller MW, Wang Q, Levay K, Shestopalov V, Slepak VZ (2012)
Evidence for multiple phototransduction pathways in a reef-building coral. PLoS ONE
7:e50371
Morel A (1978) Available, usable, and stored radiant energy in relation to marine photosynthesis.
Deep Sea Research 25:673-688
Morse DE, Hooker N, Morse ANC, Jensen RA (1988) Control of larval metamorphosis and recruitment
in sympatric agariciid corals. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 116:193-217
Mulla AJ, Lin C-H, Takahashi S, Nozawa Y (2020) Species-specific phototaxis of coral larvae causes
variation in vertical positioning during dispersal. bioRxiv:2020.2007.2031.230235
Mundy C, Babcock R (1998) Role of light intensity and spectral quality in coral settlement:
implications for depth-dependent settlement? J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 223:235-255
Mundy C, Babcock R (2000) Are vertical distribution patterns of scleractinian corals maintained by
pre-or post-settlement processes? A case study of three contrasting species. Mar Ecol Prog
Ser 198:109-119
Muscatine L (1990) The role of symbiotic algae in carbon and energy flux in reef corals. Coral Reefs
25:1-29
Nosek BA, Errington TM (2020) What is replication? PLoS Biol 18:e3000691
Nozawa Y, Tanaka K, Reimer JD (2011) Reconsideration of the surface structure of settlement plates
used in coral recruitment studies. Zool Stud 50:53-60
Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Stevens MHH, Oksanen MJ, Suggests M (2007) The vegan
package. Community ecology package 10:631-637
Petersen D, Laterveer M, Schuhmacher H (2005) Spatial and temporal variation in larval settlement
of reefbuilding corals in mariculture. Aquaculture 249:317-327
Quimpo TJR, Ligson CA, Manogan DP, Requilme JNC, Albelda RL, Conaco C, Cabaitan PC (2020) Fish
farm effluents alter reef benthic assemblages and reduce coral settlement. Mar Pollut Bull
153:111025
Raimondi PT, Morse AN (2000) The consequences of complex larval behavior in a coral. Ecology
81:3193-3211
Randall CJ, Negri AP, Quigley KM, Foster T, Ricardo GF, Webster NS, Bay LK, Harrison PL, Babcock RC,
Heyward AJ (2020) Sexual reproduction of corals for reef restoration in the Anthropocene.
Mar Ecol Prog Ser 635:203-232
Ricardo GF, Davis AR, Knott NA, Minchinton TE (2014) Diel and tidal cycles regulate larval dynamics
in salt marshes and mangrove forests. Mar Biol 161:769-784
Ricardo GF, Jones RJ, Clode PL, Humanes A, Giofre N, Negri AP (2018) Sediment characteristics
influence the fertilisation success of the corals *Acropora tenuis* and *Acropora millepora*. Mar
Pollut Bull 135:941-953
Ricardo GF, Jones RJ, Nordborg M, Negri AP (2017) Settlement patterns of the coral *Acropora
millepora* on sediment-laden surfaces. Sci Total Environ 609:277-288
Ritz C, Strebig JC, Ritz MC (2016) Package 'drc'. In:
Roberts DW, Roberts MDW (2016) Package 'labdsv'. Ordination and Multivariate

Robson B, Canto M, Collier C, di Perna S, Logan M, Patricia Menendez, Lachlan McKinna, Sam
Noonan, Fabricius K (2019) Benthic light as ecologically-validated GBR-wide indicator for
water quality. Final Technical Report National Environmental Science Programme
Roughgarden J, Gaines S, Possingham H (1988) Recruitment dynamics in complex life cycles. Science
241:1460-1466
Sakai Y, Kato K, Koyama H, Kuba A, Takahashi H, Fujimori T, Hatta M, Negri AP, Baird AH, Ueno N
(2020) A step-down photophobic response in coral larvae: implications for the
light-dependent distribution of the common reef coral, *Acropora tenuis*. Scientific Reports
Satheesh S, Wesley SG (2010) Influence of substratum colour on the recruitment of macrofouling
communities. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 90:941-946
Strader ME, Davies SW, Matz MV (2015) Differential responses of coral larvae to the colour of
ambient light guide them to suitable settlement microhabitat. Royal Society open science
2:150358
Strydom S, McMahon K, Lavery PS (2017) Response of the seagrass Halophila ovalis to altered light
quality in a simulated dredge plume. Mar Pollut Bull 121:323-330
Suzuki G, Hayashibara T Inhibition of settlement and metamorphosis in Acropora (Anthozoa,
Scleractinia) larvae by high-intensity light. In. Proc Proc 10th Int Coral Reef Symp
Suzuki G, Hayashibara T (2011) Do epibenthic algae induce species-specific settlement of coral
larvae? J Mar Biol Assoc UK 91:677-683
Szmant AM, Meadows MG (2006) Developmental changes in coral larval buoyancy and vertical
swimming behavior: implications for dispersal and connectivity. Proc 10th Int Coral Reef
Symp 1:431-437
Szmant AM, Miller MW Settlement preferences and post-settlement mortality of laboratory cultured
and settled larvae of the Caribbean hermatypic corals Montastraea faveolata and Acropora
palmata in the Florida Keys, USA. In. Proc Proceedings of the 10th international coral reef
symposium. Okinawa
Tebbett SB, Bellwood DR (2019) Algal turf sediments on coral reefs: what's known and what's next.
Mar Pollut Bull 149:110542
Tebbett SB, Goatley CH, Bellwood DR (2018) Algal turf sediments across the Great Barrier Reef:
Putting coastal reefs in perspective. Mar Pollut Bull 137:518-525
Thimijan RW, Heins RD (1983) Photometric, radiometric, and quantum light units of measure: a
review of procedures for interconversion. HortScience 18:818-822
Trygonis V, Sini M (2012) photoQuad: a dedicated seabed image processing software, and a
comparative error analysis of four photoquadrat methods. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 424:99-108
Turner JA, Thomson DP, Cresswell AK, Trapon M, Babcock RC (2018) Depth-related patterns in coral
recruitment across a shallow to mesophotic gradient. Coral Reefs 37:711-722
Vanderklift M, Doropoulos CA, Gorman D, Leal IAV, Minne AJ, Statton J, Steven AD, Wernberg T
(2020) Using propagules to restore coastal marine ecosystems. Frontiers in Marine Science
7:724
Walker JA (2014) The effect of unmeasured confounders on the ability to estimate a true
performance or selection gradient (and other partial regression coefficients). Evolution
68:2128-2136
Warne M, Batley G, Van Dam R, Chapman J, Fox D, Hickey C, Stauber J (2018) Revised Method for
Deriving Australian and New Zealand Water Quality Guideline Values for Toxicants. Prepared
for the revision of the Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water
Quality. Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory
governments, Canberra. In:
Weber M, de Beer D, Lott C, Polerecky L, Kohls K, Abed RM, Ferdelman TG, Fabricius KE (2012)
Mechanisms of damage to corals exposed to sedimentation. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 109:E1558-E1567

Webster NS, Soo R, Cobb R, Negri AP (2011) Elevated seawater temperature causes a microbial shift
on crustose coralline algae with implications for the recruitment of coral larvae. ISME
Journal 5:759-770
Webster NS, Uthicke S, Botté ES, Flores F, Negri AP (2013) Ocean acidification reduces induction of
coral settlement by crustose coralline algae. Global Change Biol 19:303-315
Whalan S, Abdul Wahab MA, Sprungala S, Poole AJ, de Nys R (2015) Larval settlement: the role of
surface topography for sessile coral reef invertebrates. PLoS ONE 10:e0117675
Whinney J, Jones R, Duckworth A, Ridd P (2017) Continuous in situ monitoring of sediment
deposition in shallow benthic environments. Coral Reefs:1-13
Yusuf S, Zamani N, Jompa J, Junior M Larvae of the Coral Acropora tenuis (Dana 1846) Settle Under
Controlled Light Intensity. In. Proc IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.
IOP Publishing
Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010) A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical
problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1:3-14