Convergent consequences of parthenogenesis on stick insect genomes

Kamil S. Jaron[1, 2, 3, a], Darren J. Parker[1, 2, a], Yoann Anselmetti[4 ,5], Patrick Tran Van[1, 2], Jens Bast[1,6], Zoé Dumas[1], Emeric Figuet[4], Clémentine M. François[4, 7], Keith Hayward[1, 2], Victor Rossier[1, 2], Paul Simion[4, 8], Marc Robinson-Rechavi[1, 2, b], Nicolas Galtier[4, b], Tanja Schwander[1, b]

[1] Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland;

[2] Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland;

[3] Institute of Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3FL

[4] ISEM - Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Montpellier, France;

[5] Current address: CoBIUS lab, Department of Computer Science, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Canada;

[6] Current address: Institute for Zoology, University of Cologne, Köln, Germany;

[7] Current address: Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France;

[8] Current address: Université de Namur, LEGE, URBE, Namur, 5000, Belgium

[a] shared first authorship

[b] equal contribution

Correspondence should be addressed to DarrenJames.Parker@unil.ch, Kamil.Jaron@ed.ac.uk, or Tanja.Schwander@unil.ch

Abstract

The shift from sexual reproduction to parthenogenesis has occurred repeatedly in

animals, but how the loss of sex affects genome evolution remains poorly understood.

We generated *de novo* reference genomes for five independently evolved

parthenogenetic species in the stick insect genus *Timema* and their closest sexual

relatives. Using these references in combination with population genomic data, we

show that parthenogenesis results in an extreme reduction of heterozygosity, and

often leads to genetically uniform populations. We also find evidence for less effective

positive selection in parthenogenetic species, supporting the view that sex is

ubiquitous in natural populations because it facilitates fast rates of adaptation.

Contrary to studies of non-recombining genome portions in sexual species, genomes

of parthenogenetic species do not accumulate transposable elements (TEs), likely

because successful parthenogens derive from sexual ancestors with inactive TEs.

Because we are able to conduct replicated comparisons across five species pairs, our

study reveals, for the first time, how the absence of sex affects genome evolution in

natural populations, providing empirical support for the negative consequences of

parthenogenetic reproduction as predicted by theory.

Introduction

Sex: What is it good for? The reason why most eukaryotes take a complicated detour

to reproduction, when more straightforward options, such as parthenogenesis, are

available, remains a central and largely unanswered question in evolutionary biology

(*1, 2*). Animal species in which parthenogenetic reproduction is the sole form of

replication typically occur at the tips of phylogenies and only a few of them have

succeeded as well as their sexually reproducing relatives (*3*). In other words, most

parthenogenetic lineages may eventually be destined for extinction. These incipient

evolutionary failures, however, are invaluable as by understanding their fate

something   may   be   learned   about   the   adaptive   value   of   sex.

Parthenogenesis is thought to be favored in the short term because it generates a

transmission advantage (*4, 5*), as well as the advantage of assured reproduction when

mates are scarce (*6, 7*). The short-term benefits of parthenogenesis, however, are

believed to come along with long-term costs. For example, the physical linkage
between loci it entails can generate interferences that decrease the efficacy of natural
selection (e.g. (*8–10*), reviewed in (*11*)). This is expected to translate into reduced
rates of adaptation and increased accumulation of mildly deleterious mutations, which
may potentially drive the extinction of parthenogenetic lineages.

In addition to these predicted effects on adaptation and mutation accumulation,
parthenogenesis is expected to drive major aspects of genome evolution. A classical
prediction is that heterozygosity (i.e., intra-individual polymorphism) increases over
time in the absence of recombination, as the two haploid genomes diverge
independently of each other, generating the so-called "Meselson Effect" (*12*, *13*).
Parthenogenesis can also affect the dynamics of transposable elements (TEs),
resulting in either increased or decreased genomic TE loads (*14–16*). Finally, some
forms of parthenogenesis might facilitate the generation and maintenance of structural
variants, which in sexuals are counter-selected due to the constraints of properly
pairing homologous chromosomes during meiosis (*17*).

We tested these predictions by comparing the genomes of five independently derived
parthenogenetic stick insect species in the genus *Timema* with their close sexual
relatives (Fig. 1). These replicate comparisons allowed us to solve the key problem in
understanding the consequences of parthenogenesis for genome evolution:
separating the consequences of parthenogenesis from lineage specific effects (*17*).
Timema are wingless, plant-feeding insects endemic to western North America.
Parthenogenetic species in this genus are diploid and of non-hybrid origin (*18*) and
ecologically similar to their sexual relatives. Previous research, based on a small
number of microsatellite markers, has suggested that oogenesis in parthenogenetic
Timema is functionally mitotic, as no loss of heterozygosity between females and their
offspring was detected (*18*).

Fig. 1. Multiple, independent transitions from sexual to parthenogenetic reproduction are known in the genus *Timema (19)*, each representing a biological replicate of parthenogenesis, and with a close sexual relative at hand for comparison **A.** Phylogenetic relationships of *Timema* species (adapted from (*19, 20*)). **B.** Species sequenced in this study. Photos taken by © Bart Zijlstra - www.bartzijlstra.com.

Results

De novo genome assemblies reveal extremely low heterozygosity in parthenogenetic stick insects

We generated ten _de novo_ genomes of _Timema_ stick insects, from five parthenogenetic and five sexual species (Fig. 1, Tables S1, S2). Genomes were subjected to quality control, screened for contamination, and annotated (see Methods, Supplementary Text). The final reference genomes were largely haploid, spanned 75-95% of the estimated genome size (1.38 Gbp (_21_)), and were sufficiently complete for downstream analyses, as shown by the count of single copy orthologs conserved across insects (96% of BUSCO genes (_22_) detected on average; Table S3). A phylogeny based on a conservative set of 3975 1:1 orthologous genes (Data S1) corroborated published phylogenies and molecular divergence estimates in the _Timema_ genus (Fig. S1). Finally, we identified 55 candidate horizontal gene transfers (HGTs) from non-metazoans. Using long reads, we were able to corroborate six out of the eight HGT candidates present in one species (_T. douglasi_, see Supplementary Text)_,_ indicating that the majority of our HGT candidates are likely true HGTs rather than false positives. All 55 candidate HGTs occurred early on in _Timema_ evolutionary history, well before the evolution of parthenogenesis in the genus (see Supplementary Text).

We estimated genome-wide nucleotide heterozygosity in each reference genome directly from sequencing reads, using a reference-free technique (genome profiling analysis (_23_)). These analyses revealed extreme heterozygosity differences between the sexual and parthenogenetic species. The five sexual _Timema_ featured nucleotide heterozygosities within the range previously observed in other sexual species (Fig. 2; (_24, 25_)). The heterozygosities in the parthenogenetic species were substantially lower, and in fact so low that reference-free analyses could not distinguish heterozygosity from sequencing error (see Supplementary Text). We therefore compared heterozygosity between sexuals and parthenogens by calling SNPs in five re-sequenced individuals per species. This analysis corroborated the finding that parthenogens have extremely low ($<10^{-5}$) heterozygosity, being at least 140 times lower than that found in their sexual sister species (permutation ANOVA, reproductive

129 mode effect p = 0.0049; Fig. 2). Screening for structural variants (indels, tandem

130 duplications, and inversions) in sexual and parthenogenetic individuals revealed the

131 same pattern: extensive and variable heterozygosity in sexual species and

132 homozygosity in the parthenogens (Fig. 2, Supplementary Text). Some heterozygosity

133 in *Timema* parthenogens could be present in genomic regions not represented in our

134 assemblies, such as centromeric and telomeric regions. These regions however

135 represent a relatively small fraction of the total genome, meaning that for most of the

136 genome at least, *Timema* parthenogens are either largely or completely homozygous

137 for all types of variants (see Supplementary Text).

139 We are able to demonstrate that extremely low heterozygosity is a convergent

140 consequence of parthenogenesis in *Timema*. However, while extremely low

141 heterozygosity is the rule for *Timema* parthenogens this is not the case for all

142 parthenogenetic species. For example, we have previously shown that some

143 parthenogenetic animal species, particularly those of hybrid origin, are characterized

144 by relatively high heterozygosity levels (*17*). This indicates that the consequences of

145 parthenogenesis for heterozygosity are likely to be lineage-specific.

Fig. 2. Extremely low heterozygosity in parthenogenetic *Timema* species for different
types of variants. **A.** Nucleotide heterozygosity represented by bars indicates genome-
wide estimates for the reference individuals (based on raw reads, see Methods),
heterozygosity based on SNP calls in re-sequenced individuals is indicated by points
and represents a conservative estimation of heterozygosity in the assembled genome
portions (with error bars indicating the range of estimates across individuals) **B.**
Heterozygous structural variants (SVs, reported as number of heterozygous SVs /
number of callable sites) in re-sequenced individuals (with error bars indicating the
range of estimates across individuals). Note that even though heterozygous SNPs and
SVs were called using stringent parameters, it is likely that a large portion are false
positives in parthenogenetic *Timema* (see Supplementary Text).

The unexpected finding of extremely low heterozygosity in *Timema* parthenogens
raises the question of when and how heterozygosity was lost. For example, the bulk
of heterozygosity could have been lost during the transition from sexual reproduction
to obligate parthenogenesis (*26*). This would be the case if functionally mitotic
parthenogenesis was derived from automictic parthenogenesis, meaning that
recombination and meiosis take place, and diploidy is restored secondarily, for
example via fusion of two of the four meiotic products. Similar to inbreeding, automictic
parthenogenesis thus results in the rapid loss of heterozygosity over time (*27*).
Automixis can then be co-opted into functionally mitotic parthenogenesis via

recombination suppression, and by solely fusing meiotic products separated during
meiosis I and not meiosis II (*18*). Alternatively, heterozygosity loss in *Timema*
parthenogens could be a continuous and ongoing process. To distinguish these
options, we investigated the origin of the genetic variation present among different
homozygous genotypes in each parthenogenetic species. We found that only 6-19%
of the SNPs called in a parthenogen are at positions that are also polymorphic in the
sexual relative (Table S4). This means that most of the variation in parthenogens likely
results from mutations that appeared after the split from the sexual lineage. Although
it is possible that some of the parthenogen-specific polymorphisms may in fact
represent ancestral polymorphisms that were purged in the sexual species, it is
unlikely that this would be the case for the majority of SNPs in parthenogens. This
implies that heterozygosity generated through new mutations is most likely lost
continuously in parthenogens, and was not solely lost at the inception of
parthenogenesis. The most likely explanation for these findings is that parthenogenetic
Timema are, in fact, not functionally mitotic but automictic. Formally distinguishing
between automixis and functionally mitotic parthenogenesis with gene conversion will
require cell biological data which is currently not available for *Timema*. It is important
to note that the key theoretical predictions regarding the consequences of sex do not
change between automixis or functionally mitotic parthenogenesis. Thus, although
automixis can allow for the purging of heterozygous deleterious mutations (*28*), the
classical predictions for the long-term costs of asexuality extend to automictic
parthenogens because, as for obligate selfers, linkage among genes is still much
stronger than in classical sexual species (*29*). This is especially the case in largely
homozygous parthenogens, where recombination and segregation, even if
mechanistically present, have no effect on genotype diversities.
194
Functional mitosis in *Timema* parthenogens was previously inferred from the
inheritance of heterozygous microsatellite genotypes between females and their
offspring (*18*), a technique widely used in non-model organisms with no cytological
data available (e.g., (*30, 31*)). The most likely reconciliation of these results with our
finding of extreme homozygosity is that heterozygosity is maintained in only a small
portion of the genome, for example the centromeres or telomeres, or between
paralogs. Consistent with this idea, we were unable to locate several of the
microsatellite-containing regions in even the best *Timema* genome assemblies (see

Supplementary Text), suggesting that these regions are not present in our assemblies
due to the inherent difficulty of assembling repetitive genome regions from short read
data (*32*).
206

Extensive variation in genotype diversity between parthenogenetic populations
208
Parthenogenesis and sexual reproduction are expected to drive strikingly different
distributions of polymorphisms in genomes and populations. Within genomes, different
regions experience different types of selection with sometimes opposite effects on the
levels of polymorphisms within populations, such as purifying versus balancing
selection (*33*). The increased linkage among genes in parthenogenetic as compared
to sexual species is expected to homogenize diversity levels across different genome
regions. At the species level, parthenogens are expected to have reduced genetic
diversities relative to sexual species because of likely bottlenecks occurring during the
origin of parthenogenesis. At the population level, recurrent sweeps of specific
genotypes in parthenogenetic populations can lead to extremely low genetic diversity
and even to the fixation of a single genotype, while sweeps in sexual populations
typically reduce diversity only in specific genome regions.
221
To address these aspects in the genomes of sexual and parthenogenetic *Timema*
species, we mapped population-level variation for the SNPs and SVs inferred above
to our species-specific reference genomes. We then anchored our reference genome
scaffolds to the 12 autosomal linkage groups of a previously published assembly of
the sexual species *T. cristinae* (v1.3 from (*34*), see Supplementary Text). This
revealed that different types of polymorphisms (SNPs and SVs) tended to co-occur
across the genomes in all species, independently of reproductive mode (Fig. 3).
229
The focal population for three of the five parthenogenetic species (*T. genevievae*, *T.
tahoe* and *T. shepardi*) consisted largely of a single genotype with only minor variation
among individuals. By contrast, genotype diversity was considerable in *T. monikensis*
and *T. douglasi* (Fig. 3A). In the former species, there was further a conspicuous
diversity peak on LG8, supporting the idea that parthenogenesis is automictic in
Timema. Indeed, under complete linkage (functionally mitotic parthenogenesis),

putative effects of selection on this LG would be expected to propagate to the whole
genome. Independently of local diversity peaks, overall diversity levels in *T.*
monikensis and *T. douglasi* were comparable to the diversities in populations of some
of the sexual *Timema* species (Fig. 3A). Different mechanisms could contribute to such
unexpected diversities in parthenogenetic *Timema*, including the presence of lineages
that derived independently from their sexual ancestor, or rare sex. While a single
transition to parthenogenesis is believed to have occurred in *T. monikensis*, the
nominal species *T. douglasi* is polyphyletic and known to consist of independently
derived clonal lineages. These lineages have broadly different geographic
distributions but can overlap locally (*19*). Identifying the causes of genotypic variation
in these species, including the possibility of rare sex, requires further investigation and
is a challenge for future studies.
248

Fig. 3. Population polymorphism levels in parthenogenetic (blue) and sexual (red) *Timema* species. **A.** Phylogenies based on 1:1 orthologous genes reflect the different levels of genotype diversities in parthenogenetic *Timema* species **B.** Distribution of structural variants (SVs; dark blue and red) and SNPs (light blue and orange) along the genome. Scaffolds from the ten *de novo* genomes are anchored on autosomal linkage groups from the sexual species *T. cristinae* (see Supplementary Text).

Independently of the mechanisms underlying polymorphism in the parthenogenetic
species *T. monikensis,* the polymorphism peak on LG8 is striking (Fig. 3B). This peak
occurs in a region previously shown to determine color morph (green, green-striped,
or brownish ("melanistic")) in the sexual sister species of *T. monikensis*, *T. cristinae*
(34). Our focal *T. monikensis* population features four discrete color morphs (green,
dark brown, yellow, and beige), suggesting that additional color morphs may be
regulated by the region identified in *T. cristinae*. We also found a peak in polymorphism
on LG8, spanning over approximately two-thirds of LG8, in the sexual species *T.*
californicum, which features a different panel of color morphs than *T. cristinae (35)*.
Interestingly, this diversity peak in *T. californicum* was generated by the presence of
two divergent haplotypes (approximately 24Mbp long), with grey individuals
homozygous for one haplotype and green individuals heterozygous or homozygous
for the alternative haplotype (see Supplementary Text). Note that the grey color morph
is not known in the monomorphic green parthenogenetic sister of *T. californicum* (*T.*
shepardi), and we therefore do not expect the same pattern of polymorphism on LG8
in this species.

Faster rate of adaptive evolution in sexual than parthenogenetic species

We have shown previously that parthenogenetic *Timema* species accumulate
deleterious mutations faster than sexual species (*36, 37*), a pattern also reported in
other parthenogenetic taxa (reviewed in (*17, 38*)). This is expected given that
increased linkage among loci in parthenogens reduces the ability of selection to act
individually on each locus, which generates different forms of selective interference (*9,*
10, 39). In addition to facilitating the accumulation of deleterious mutations, selective
interference among loci in parthenogens should also constrain the efficiency of
positive selection. While there is accumulating evidence for this process in
experimental evolution studies (e.g., (*40–42*)), its impact on natural populations
remains unclear (*17, 38*). To compare the efficiency of positive selection in sexual and
parthenogenetic *Timema,* we used a branch-site model on the gene trees ((*43*),
Methods). We compared the terminal branches leading to sexual or parthenogenetic
species in one-to-one orthologous genes identified in at least three species pairs (Data
S1), using a threshold of q < 0.05 to classify which terminal branches show evidence
of positive selection.

We found a greater number of positively selected genes in sexual than
parthenogenetic species (Fig. 4, binomial GLMM p = 0.005). In addition, we also
examined if there was more evidence for positive selection in sexual species in a
threshold-free way by comparing the likelihood ratio test statistic between
parthenogenetic and sexual species (as in (*44*, *45*)). This confirmed that the evidence
for positive selection was stronger for sexual species (permutation glm p = 0.011).

Fig. 4. Number of genes showing evidence for positive selection in each species (total
number of genes = 7155) . In addition to reproductive mode, species pair also had a
significant influence on the number of positively selected branches (binomial GLMM p
= 0.015). There was no significant interaction between species pair and reproductive
mode (p = 0.197). Note, the difference between reproductive modes is robust to a
more stringent cutoff (q < 0.01 instead of 0.05, Fig. S2A), and if genes with polymorphic
positively-selected sites were excluded (Fig. S2B).

The positively selected genes we identified are most likely associated with species-
specific adaptations. Few of them were shared between species, with overlap between
species not greater than expected by chance (Fig. S3, FDR < 0.4), and there was little

enrichment of functional processes in positively selected genes (0-19 GO terms per
species, Table S5). Interestingly, most of the significant GO terms were associated
with positively selected genes in parthenogenetic *Timema* (Table S5), likely because
a much smaller proportion of positively selected genes in sexual species had
annotations (Fig. S4). We speculate that positively selected genes in sexuals could
often be involved in sexual selection and species recognition. Indeed, genes
associated with processes such as pheromone production and reception often evolve
very fast, which makes them difficult to annotate through homology-based inference
(*46*). For the parthenogenetic species, although some terms could be associated with
their mode of reproduction (e.g. GO:0033206 meiotic cytokinesis in *T. douglasi*), most
are not clearly linked to a parthenogenetic life cycle.

Transposable element loads are similar between species with sexual and
parthenogenetic reproduction
322
Upon the loss of sexual reproduction, transposable element (TE) dynamics are
expected to change (*14, 16, 47*). How these changes affect genome-wide TE loads is
however unclear as sex can facilitate both the spread and the elimination of TEs (*17*).
In parthenogens, TE load might initially increase as a result of weaker purifying
selection, a pattern well illustrated by the accumulation of TEs in non-recombining
parts of sex chromosomes and other supergenes (*48, 49*). However, TE loads in
parthenogens are expected to decrease over time via at least two non-mutually
exclusive mechanisms. First, TEs are expected to evolve lower activity over time as
their evolutionary interests are aligned with their hosts (*14, 47*). Second, TE copies
that were purged via excision can re-colonize a sexual but not a parthenogenetic
genomic background (*15, 16*). Finally, it is important to note that the predicted effects
of reproductive mode on TE loads require some amount of TE activity (transposition
or excision). Without such activity, TE content does not vary among individuals and is
therefore not expected to change over time.
337
We generated a *Timema* genus-level TE library by merging *de novo* TE libraries
generated separately for each of the ten *Timema* species. We then quantified TE loads
in each *Timema* genome by mapping reads to this merged library (see Methods). The
overall TE content was very similar in all ten species (20 - 23.6%), with significant

differences in abundance of TE superfamilies between species groups but no

significant effect of reproductive mode (p=0.43; Fig. 5; Fig. S5).

The oldest node in our *Timema* phylogeny has an age estimate of 30 Mya (*20*) but the

TE contents of the two clades separating at this node have only diverged by 1.3%,

suggesting that TEs remained largely silent during the evolution of the genus or that

transposition and excision rates are in balance. We find that the TE divergence

patterns in *Timema* are best explained by the absence of recent TE activity (see

Supplementary Text). Inactive TEs might facilitate the persistence of incipient

parthenogenetic strains (*17*) and thus help to explain the high frequency of established

parthenogenetic species in *Timema*.

Fig. 5. Total TE abundance in the ten *Timema* species. TE abundance in each genome

is expressed as the fraction of reads that map to a genus-level TE library (replicates

within species correspond to the reference genome and three to five re-sequenced

individuals). TE families are named following the Wicker classification (*50*). The first

character corresponds to the TE class (Class I are retrotransposons (R), Class II are

DNA transposons (D)), the second character corresponds to the Order (e.g. LTR) and

the third to the Superfamily (e.g. *Gypsy*); for example, RLG is a *Gypsy* retroelement.

The character X indicates unknown classification at the superfamily level (because of

fragmentation or lack of detectable homology).

Conclusion

We present genomes of five independently derived parthenogenetic lineages of *Timema* stick insects, together with their five sexual sister species. This design with replicated species pairs allows us, for the first time, to disentangle consequences of parthenogenesis from species-specific effects. All parthenogenetic *Timema* species are largely or completely homozygous for both SNPs and SVs, and frequently feature lower levels of population polymorphism than their close sexual relatives. Low population polymorphism can exacerbate the effects of linkage for reducing the efficacy of selection, resulting in reduced rates of positive selection in parthenogenetic *Timema,* in addition to the accumulation of deleterious mutations previously documented (*36*). In spite of these negative genomic consequences, parthenogenesis is an unusually successful strategy in *Timema*. It evolved and persisted repeatedly in the genus, and parthenogenetic species often occur across large geographic areas. Because *Timema* are wingless and their populations subjected to frequent extinction-recolonization dynamics in their fire-prone Californian shrubland habitats, the genomic costs of parthenogenesis are likely offset by one of the most classical benefits of parthenogenesis: the ability to reproduce without a mate.

Methods

Sample collection and sequencing

For each of the ten species, the DNA for Illumina shotgun sequencing was derived from virgin adult females collected in 2015 from natural populations in California (Table S1). Extractions were done using the Qiagen Mag Attract de HMW DNA kit, following manufacturer indications. Five PCR-free libraries were generated for each reference genome (three 2x125bp paired end libraries with average insert sizes of respectively 350, 550 and 700bp, and two mate-pair libraries with 3000 and 5000bp insert sizes), one library (550bp insert size) was generated for each re-sequenced individual. Libraries were prepared using the illumina TruSeq DNA PCR-Free or Nextera Mate Pair Library Prep Kits, following manufacturer instructions, and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system, using v4 chemistry and 2x 125 bp reads at FASTERIS SA, Plan-les-Ouates, Switzerland and the Lausanne Genomic Technologies Facility, Switzerland.

Genome assembly and annotation

The total coverage for the reference genomes (all libraries combined) ranged between 37-45x (Table S2). Trimmed paired-end reads were assembled into contigs using ABySS (*51*) and further scaffolded using paired-end and mate pairs using BESST (*52*). Scaffolds identified as contaminants were filtered using Blobtools (*53*). The assembly details can be found in supplementary materials (see Supplementary Text).

Publically available RNA-seq libraries for *Timema (36, 54, 55)* were used as expression evidence for annotation. Trimmed reads were assembled using Trinity v2.5.1 (*56*) to produce reference-guided transcriptomes. The transcriptomes and protein evidence were combined with *ab initio* gene finders to predict protein coding genes using MAKER v2.31.8 (*57*). The annotation details can be found in the supplementary materials (see Supplementary Text).

Orthologs

Timema orthologous groups (OGs) were inferred with the OrthoDB standalone pipeline (v. 2.4.4) using default parameters (*58*). In short, genes are clustered with a

graph-based approach based on all best reciprocal hits between each pair of genomes. The high level of fragmentation typical for Illumina-based genomes constrains the ability to identify 1:1 orthologs across all ten *Timema* species. To maximize the number of single copy OGs covering all ten *Timema* species, transcriptomes were included during orthology inference. Thus, transcripts were used to complete OGs in absence of a gene from the corresponding species. Using this approach, 7157 single copy OGs covering at least three sexual-parthenogenetic sister species pairs were obtained (Data S1).

Horizontal gene transfers (HGT)

To detect HGT from non-metazoan species, we first used the pipeline of foreign sequence detection developed by Francois et al. (*59*). We used the set of CDS identified in publicly available transcriptomes (*36*) and the genome assemblies prior to the decontamination procedure with Blobtools (*53*). The rationale is that some genuine HGT could have been wrongly considered as contaminant sequences during this decontamination step and thus been removed from the assembly. Scaffolds filtered during decontamination are available from our github repository (https://github.com/AsexGenomeEvol/Timema_asex_genomes/tree/main/4_Horizont al_Gene_Transfers/contamination_sequences), and will be archived upon acceptance.

Briefly, a DIAMOND BlastP (v0.8.33) (*60*) allows us to detect candidate non-metazoan genes in the set of CDS of each species. Taxonomic assignment is based on the 10 best blast hits to account for potential contaminations and other sources of taxonomic misassignment in the reference database. Candidate non-metazoan sequences are then subjected to a synteny-based screen with Gmap (v2016-11-07) (*61*) to discriminate between contaminant sequences and potential HGT-derived sequences. A sequence is considered as a HGT candidate if it is physically linked to (i.e., mapped to the same scaffold as) at least one "confident-arthropod" CDS (previously identified in the DIAMOND blast).

We then clustered all HGT candidates identified in each of the 10 *Timema* species into HGT families using Silix (v1.2.10) (*62*), requiring a minimum of 85% identity (default parameters otherwise). These HGT families were then "completed" as much as

possible by adding homologs from the genome assemblies not identified as HGT
candidates (this could occur if the corresponding sequences are fragmented or on
short scaffolds for example). To this end, the longest sequence of each HGT family
was mapped (using Gmap) on the genomic scaffolds of all species, requiring a
minimum of 85% identity.

For each completed HGT family, a protein alignment of the candidate HGT
sequence(s) and its (their) 50 best DIAMOND blastP hits in the reference database
(1st step of the pipeline) was generated with MAFFT (v7) (*63*). The alignments were
cleaned using HMMcleaner (stringency parameter = 12) (*64*) and sites with more than
50% missing data were removed. Phylogenetic trees were inferred using RAxML
(v8.2) (*65*) with the model 'PROTGAMMALGX' of amino-acid substitution and 100
bootstrap replicates. Phylogenetic trees were inspected by eye to confirm whether or
not an evolutionary history was consistent with the hypothesis of HGT.

Heterozygosity

461
Genome-wide nucleotide heterozygosity was estimated using genome profiling
analysis of raw reads from the reference genomes using GenomeScope (v2) (*23*). A
second, SNP-based heterozygosity estimate was generated using re-sequenced
individuals. We re-sequenced five individuals per species, but 3 individuals of *T.*
shepardi, 2 individuals of *T. poppensis* and one *T. tahoe* individual did not pass quality
control and were discarded from all downstream analyses. SNP calling was based on
the GATK best practices pipeline (*66*). We used a conservative set of SNPs with

quality scores ≥300, and supported by 15x coverage in at least one of the individuals.

SNP heterozygosity was then estimated as the number of heterozygous SNPs divided

by the number of callable sites in each genome. Due to stringent filtering criteria, our
SNP based heterozygosity is an underestimation of genome-wide heterozygosity.

Structural variants

We used Manta (v1.5.0) (*67*), a diploid-aware pipeline for structural variant (SV)
calling, in the same set of re-sequenced individuals used for SNP heterozygosity
estimates. We found a high frequency of heterozygous SVs with approximately twice

the expected coverage (Fig. S6), which likely represent false positives. To reduce the

number of false positives, we filtered very short SVs (30 bases or less) and kept only

variant calls that had either split read or paired-end read support within the expected

coverage range, where the coverage range was defined individually for each sample

by manual inspection of coverage distributions. The filtered SV calls were

subsequently merged into population SV calls using SURVIVOR (v1.0.2) (*68*). The

merging criteria were: SV calls of the same type on the same strand with breakpoints

distances shorter than 100 bp.

485   Genome alignment

We anchored our genome assemblies to the reference of *T. cristinae* (BioProject

Accession PRJNA417530) (*34*) using MUMmer (version 4.0.0beta2) (*69*) with

parameter --mum. The alignments were processed by other tools within the package:

show-coords with parameters -THrcl to generate tab-delimited alignment files and

dnadiff to generate 1-to-1 alignments. We used only uniquely anchored scaffolds for

which we were able to map at least 10k nucleotides to the *T. cristinae* reference

genome.

493   Transposable elements

For each species, specific repeat libraries were constructed and annotated to the TE

superfamily level (*50*) wherever possible. For collecting repetitive sequences, we used

a raw read based approach DNAPipeTE v1.2 (*70*) with parameters -

genome_coverage 0.5 -sample_number 4 and respective species genome size, as

well as an assembly based approach (RepeatModeler v1.0.8 available at

http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler/), such that repeats not present in the

assembly can still be represented in the repeat library. The two raw libraries were

merged and clustered by 95% identity (the TE family threshold) using usearch

v10.0.240 (*71*) with the centroid option. To annotate TEs larger than 500 bp in the

repeat library, we used an approach that combines homology and structural evidence

(PASTEClassifier (*72*)). Because PASTEClassifier did not annotate to TE superfamily

levels, we additionally compared by BlastN (v. 2.7.1+) (*73*) the repeat libraries to the

well curated *T. cristinae* TE library from Soria-Carrasco et al. (*21*). Blast hits were

filtered according to TE classification standards: identity percentage >80%, alignment

length >80 bp, and the best hit per contig was kept. The two classification outputs were

compared and in case of conflict the classification level of PASTEClassifier was
preferred. All non-annotated repeats were labelled 'unknown'. Repeat library header
naming was done according to RepeatMasker standard, but keeping the Wicker
naming for elements (i.e., Wicker#Repeatmasker, e.g., DTA#DNA/hAT). TE libraries
were sorted by header and TE annotations to similar families numbered consecutively.
Species-specific TE libraries were merged into a genus-level *Timema* TE library to
account for any TE families that might have not been detected in the single species
assemblies.

To estimate the TE load of reference genomes and resequenced individuals, we first
repeat masked the assemblies with the genus-level TE library using RepeatMasker
v4.1.0 with parameters set as -gccalc -gff -u -a -xsmall -no_is -div 30 -engine rmblast
(*74*). Second, we mapped the 350 bp insert paired-end reads back to the reference
genome assemblies using BWA-MEM v0.7.17 (*75*) with standard parameters. We then
counted the fraction of reads mapping to TEs out of total mappable reads by counting
the number of reads that mapped to each genomic location annotated as TE using
htseq-counts (v0.6.1.1p1) (*76*) with parameters set to -r name -s no -t similarity -i
Target --nonunique using the mapped read alignments and the gff output of
RepeatMasker (filtered for TE length of >80 bp). TE loads were compared among
species using a permutation ANOVA with 5000 bootstrap replicates.

To generate the TE activity landscapes, utilities scripts calcDivergenceFromAlign.pl
and createRepeatLandscape_mod.pl were used on the outputs of Repeatmasker
v4.1.0. The createRepeatLandscape_mod.pl was modified to match the TE families
found in *Timema*.

Positive selection analysis

Only one-to-one orthologs in at least three pairs of species (sister-species sex-asex)
were used. The species phylogeny was imposed on every gene as the "gene tree".
We used a customized version of the Selectome pipeline (*77*). All alignment building
and filtering was performed on predicted amino acid sequences, and the final amino
acid MSAs (multiple sequence alignments) were used to infer the nucleotide MSAs
used for positive selection inference. MSAs were obtained by MAFFT (v. 7.310) (*63*)
with the allowshift option, which avoids over-aligning non homologous regions (e.g.

gene prediction errors, or alternative transcripts). All the next steps "mask" rather than

remove sites, by replacing the amino acid with a 'X' and the corresponding codon with

'NNN'. MCoffee (v11.00.8cbe486) (*78*) was run with the following aligners: mafft_msa,

muscle_msa, clustalo_msa (*79*), and t_coffee_msa (*80*). MCoffee provides a

consistency score per amino acid, indicating how robust the alignment is at that

position for that sequence. Residues with a consistency score less than 5 were

masked. TrimAl (v. 1.4.1) (*81*) was used to mask columns with less than 4 residues

(neither gap nor 'X'). Following this step 2 of the 7157 ortholog alignments consisted

only of gaps and were excluded from further analyses.

The branch-site model with rate variation at the DNA level (*43*) was run using the

Godon software (https://bitbucket.org/Davydov/godon/, version 2020-02-17, option

BSG --ncat 4). Each branch was tested iteratively, in one run per gene tree. For each

branch, we obtain a ΔlnL which measures the evidence for positive selection, a

corresponding p-value and associated q-value (estimated from the distribution of p-

values over all branches of all genes), and an estimate of the proportion of sites under

positive selection if any. All positive selection results, and detailed methods, will be

available at https://selectome.org/timema. To determine if the number of positively

selected genes differed between sexual and parthenogenetic species we used a

binomial GLMM approach (lme4 (*82*)) with q-value threshold of 0.05 or 0.01.

Significance of model terms was determined with a Wald statistic. In addition, we also

examined if there was more evidence for positive selection in sexual species in a

threshold-free way by comparing ΔlnL values between parthenogenetic and sexual

species (as in (*44, 45*)). To do this we used a permutation glm approach where

reproductive mode (sexual or parthenogenetic) was randomly switched within a

species-pair. To determine if the overlap of positively selected genes was greater than

expected by chance we used the SuperExactTest package (v. 0.99.4) (*83*) in R. The

resulting p-values were multiple test corrected using Benjamini and Hochberg's

algorithm implemented in R. To assess the impact of polymorphism on our results we

repeated our analysis after excluding positively selected genes with non-synonymous

polymorphic variants at positively selected sites (those with a posterior probability of

>0.95 detected by detected by Bayes Empirical Bayes analysis in Godon). Functional

enrichment analyses were performed using TopGO (v. 2.28.0) (*84*) using the *D.*

melanogaster functional annotation (see Supplementary Text). To determine if a GO

term was enriched we used a Fisher's exact test with the 'weight01' algorithm to account for the GO topology. GO terms were considered to be significantly enriched when $p < 0.05$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Bart Zijlstra, Chloé Larose, and Kirsten Jalvingh for help in the field, and Deborah Charlesworth, Daniel Wegmann, and current and previous members of the Schwander and Robinson-Rechavi labs for discussions. **Funding:** This project was supported by several grants from the Swiss Science Foundation (CRSII3_160723 as well as PP00P3_170627, 31003A_182495, IZLRZ3_163872, and 407540_167276) and funding from the University of Lausanne. **Author contributions:** T.S., M.R.R., N.G, K.S.J., and D.J.P. designed the study. Z.D. performed molecular work. K.S.J., D.J.P., Y.A., P.T.V., J.B., E.F., C.M.F., K.H., V.R., and P.S. analysed the data with input from T.S., M.R.R., and N.G.. D.J.P., T.S and K.S.J., wrote the paper with input from all authors. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and code availability:** Raw sequence reads have been deposited in NCBI's sequence read archive under the following bioprojects: PRJNA371785 (reference genomes, Table S6), PRJNA670663 (resequenced individuals, Table S7), PRJNA679785 (RNAseq reads used for annotation), and PRJNA673001 (PacBio reads for *T. douglasi*). Genome assemblies and annotations PRJEB31411. Scripts for the analyses in this paper are available at: https://github.com/AsexGenomeEvol/Timema_asex_genomes. Data were processed to generate plots and statistics using R v3.4.4.

References

1. M. Neiman, C. M. Lively, S. Meirmans, Why sex? A pluralist approach revisited. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **32**, 589–600 (2017).

2. N. P. Sharp, S. P. Otto, Evolution of sex: Using experimental genomics to select among competing theories. *Bioessays.* **38**, 751–757 (2016).

3. G. Bell, *The Masterpiece of Nature: The Evolution and Genetics of Sexuality* (Univ of California Press, Los Angeles, 1982).

4.   G. C. Williams, *Sex and Evolution* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA, 1975).

5.   J. Maynard Smith, *The Evolution of Sex* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK,
1978).

6.   J. Gerritsen, Sex and Parthenogenesis in Sparse Populations. *Am. Nat.* **115**, 718–742
(1980).

7.   S. K. Jain, The Evolution of Inbreeding in Plants. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **7**, 469–495
(1976).

8.   J. Felsenstein, The evolutionary advantage of recombination. *Genetics.* **78**, 737–756
(1974).

9.   W. G. Hill, A. Robertson, The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. *Genet. Res.*
8, 269–294 (1966).

10. P. D. Keightley, S. P. Otto, Interference among deleterious mutations favours sex and
recombination in finite populations. *Nature.* **443**, 89–92 (2006).

11. N. H. Barton, Why sex and recombination? *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **74**,
187–195 (2009).

12. C. W. Birky Jr, Heterozygosity, heteromorphy, and phylogenetic trees in asexual
eukaryotes. *Genetics.* **144**, 427–437 (1996).

13. D. Mark Welch, M. Meselson, Evidence for the evolution of bdelloid rotifers without
sexual reproduction or genetic exchange. *Science.* **288**, 1211–1215 (2000).

14. D. A. Hickey, Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite. *Genetics.* **101**, 519–
531 (1982).

15. E. S. Dolgin, B. Charlesworth, The effects of recombination rate on the distribution and
abundance of transposable elements. *Genetics.* **178**, 2169–2177 (2008).

16. J. Bast, K. S. Jaron, D. Schuseil, D. Roze, T. Schwander, Asexual reproduction reduces
transposable element load in experimental yeast populations. *Elife.* **8** (2019),
doi:10.7554/eLife.48548.

17. K. S. Jaron, J. Bast, R. W. Nowell, T. R. Ranallo-Benavidez, M. Robinson-Rechavi, T.
Schwander, Genomic Features of Parthenogenetic Animals. *J. Hered.* (2020),
doi:10.1093/jhered/esaa031.

18. T. Schwander, B. J. Crespi, Multiple direct transitions from sexual reproduction to
apomictic parthenogenesis in *Timema* stick insects. *Evolution.* **63**, 84–103 (2009).

19. T. Schwander, L. Henry, B. J. Crespi, Molecular evidence for ancient asexuality in
Timema stick insects. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 1129–1134 (2011).

20. R. Riesch, M. Muschick, D. Lindtke, R. Villoutreix, A. A. Comeault, T. E. Farkas, K.
Lucek, E. Hellen, V. Soria-Carrasco, S. R. Dennis, C. F. de Carvalho, R. J. Safran, C. P.
Sandoval, J. Feder, R. Gries, B. J. Crespi, G. Gries, Z. Gompert, P. Nosil, Transitions
between phases of genomic differentiation during stick-insect speciation. *Nature*
Ecology & Evolution. **1**, 0082 (2017).

21. V. Soria-Carrasco, Z. Gompert, A. A. Comeault, T. E. Farkas, T. L. Parchman, J. S.
Johnston, C. A. Buerkle, J. L. Feder, J. Bast, T. Schwander, S. P. Egan, B. J. Crespi, P.

Nosil, Stick insect genomes reveal natural selection's role in parallel speciation.
Science. **344**, 738–742 (2014).

22. R. M. Waterhouse, M. Seppey, F. A. Simão, M. Manni, P. Ioannidis, G. Klioutchnikov, E.
V. Kriventseva, E. M. Zdobnov, BUSCO Applications from Quality Assessments to Gene
Prediction and Phylogenomics. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 543–548 (2018).

23. T. R. Ranallo-Benavidez, K. S. Jaron, M. C. Schatz, GenomeScope 2.0 and
Smudgeplot for reference-free profiling of polyploid genomes. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1432
(2020).

24. J. Romiguier, P. Gayral, M. Ballenghien, A. Bernard, V. Cahais, A. Chenuil, Y. Chiari, R.
Dernat, L. Duret, N. Faivre, E. Loire, J. M. Lourenco, B. Nabholz, C. Roux, G.
Tsagkogeorga, A. A.-T. Weber, L. A. Weinert, K. Belkhir, N. Bierne, S. Glémin, N.
Galtier, Comparative population genomics in animals uncovers the determinants of
genetic diversity. *Nature*. **515**, 261–263 (2014).

25. A. Mackintosh, D. R. Laetsch, A. Hayward, B. Charlesworth, M. Waterfall, R. Vila, K.
Lohse, The determinants of genetic diversity in butterflies. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 3466
(2019).

26. T. Schwander, S. Vuilleumier, J. Dubman, B. J. Crespi, Positive feedback in the
transition from sexual reproduction to parthenogenesis. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **277**, 1435–1442
(2010).

27. J. Engelstädter, Asexual but Not Clonal: Evolutionary Processes in Automictic
Populations. *Genetics*. **206**, 993–1009 (2017).

28. M. Neiman, T. Schwander, Using Parthenogenetic Lineages to Identify Advantages of
Sex. *Evol. Biol.* **38**, 115–123 (2011).

29. S. Glémin, C. M. François, N. Galtier, Genome Evolution in Outcrossing vs. Selfing vs.
Asexual Species. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1910**, 331–369 (2019).

30. M. Pearcy, S. Aron, C. Doums, L. Keller, Conditional use of sex and parthenogenesis
for worker and queen production in ants. *Science*. **306**, 1780–1783 (2004).

31. M. O. Lorenzo-Carballa, A. Cordero-Rivera, Thelytokous parthenogenesis in the
damselfly Ischnura hastata (Odonata, Coenagrionidae): genetic mechanisms and lack
of bacterial infection. *Heredity* . **103**, 377–384 (2009).

32. T. J. Treangen, S. L. Salzberg, Repetitive DNA and next-generation sequencing:
computational challenges and solutions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**, 36–46 (2011).

33. H. Ellegren, N. Galtier, Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 422–433
(2016).

34. P. Nosil, R. Villoutreix, C. F. de Carvalho, T. E. Farkas, V. Soria-Carrasco, J. L. Feder,
B. J. Crespi, Z. Gompert, Natural selection and the predictability of evolution in Timema
stick insects. *Science*. **359**, 765–770 (2018).

35. V. R. Vickery, Revision of *Timema scudder* (Phasmatoptera: Timematodea) including
three new species. *Can. Entomol.* **125**, 657–692 (1993).

36. J. Bast, D. J. Parker, Z. Dumas, K. M. Jalvingh, P. Tran Van, K. S. Jaron, E. Figuet, A.
Brandt, N. Galtier, T. Schwander, Consequences of asexuality in natural populations:
insights from stick insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 1668–1677 (2018).

37.   L. Henry, T. Schwander, B. J. Crespi, Deleterious mutation accumulation in asexual
Timema stick insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **29**, 401–408 (2012).

38.   M. Neiman, P. G. Meirmans, T. Schwander, S. Meirmans, Sex in the wild: How and why
field-based studies contribute to solving the problem of sex. *Evolution*. **72**, 1194–1203
(2018).

39.   S. P. Otto, Selective Interference and the Evolution of Sex. *J. Hered.* (2020),
doi:10.1093/jhered/esaa026.

40.   M. J. McDonald, D. P. Rice, M. M. Desai, Sex speeds adaptation by altering the
dynamics of molecular evolution. *Nature*. **531**, 233–236 (2016).

41.   O. Kaltz, G. Bell, The ecology and genetics of fitness in Chlamydomonas. XII. Repeated
sexual episodes increase rates of adaptation to novel environments. *Evolution*. **56**,
1743–1753 (2002).

42.   M. R. Goddard, H. C. J. Godfray, A. Burt, Sex increases the efficacy of natural selection
in experimental yeast populations. *Nature*. **434**, 636–640 (2005).

43.   I. I. Davydov, N. Salamin, M. Robinson-Rechavi, Large-Scale Comparative Analysis of
Codon Models Accounting for Protein and Nucleotide Selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**,
1316–1332 (2019).

44.   J. T. Daub, S. Moretti, I. I. Davydov, L. Excoffier, M. Robinson-Rechavi, Detection of
Pathways Affected by Positive Selection in Primate Lineages Ancestral to Humans. *Mol.*
Biol. Evol. **34**, 1391–1402 (2017).

45.   J. Liu, M. Robinson-Rechavi, Adaptive Evolution of Animal Proteins over Development:
Support for the Darwin Selection Opportunity Hypothesis of Evo-Devo. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
35, 2862–2872 (2018).

46.   W. Haerty, S. Jagadeeshan, R. J. Kulathinal, A. Wong, K. Ravi Ram, L. K. Sirot, L.
Levesque, C. G. Artieri, M. F. Wolfner, A. Civetta, R. S. Singh, Evolution in the fast lane:
rapidly evolving sex-related genes in Drosophila. *Genetics*. **177**, 1321–1335 (2007).

47.   B. Charlesworth, C. H. Langley, The evolution of self-regulated transposition of
transposable elements. *Genetics*. **112**, 359–383 (1986).

48.   T. Schwander, R. Libbrecht, L. Keller, Supergenes and complex phenotypes. *Curr. Biol.*
24, R288–94 (2014).

49.   D. Bachtrog, Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-
chromosome degeneration. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**, 113–124 (2013).

50.   T. Wicker, F. Sabot, A. Hua-Van, J. L. Bennetzen, P. Capy, B. Chalhoub, A. Flavell, P.
Leroy, M. Morgante, O. Panaud, E. Paux, P. SanMiguel, A. H. Schulman, A unified
classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**, 973–982
(2007).

51.   S. D. Jackman, B. P. Vandervalk, H. Mohamadi, J. Chu, S. Yeo, S. A. Hammond, G.
Jahesh, H. Khan, L. Coombe, R. L. Warren, I. Birol, ABySS 2.0: resource-efficient
assembly of large genomes using a Bloom filter. *Genome Res.* **27**, 768–777 (2017).

52.   K. Sahlin, R. Chikhi, L. Arvestad, Assembly scaffolding with PE-contaminated mate-pair
libraries. *Bioinformatics*. **32**, 1925–1932 (2016).

53. D. R. Laetsch, M. L. Blaxter, BlobTools: Interrogation of genome assemblies.
F1000Res. **6**, 1287 (2017).

54. D. J. Parker, J. Bast, K. Jalvingh, Z. Dumas, M. Robinson-Rechavi, T. Schwander, Sex-
biased gene expression is repeatedly masculinized in asexual females. *Nat. Commun.*
10, 4638 (2019).

55. D. J. Parker, J. Bast, K. Jalvingh, Z. Dumas, M. Robinson-Rechavi, T. Schwander,
Repeated evolution of asexuality involves convergent gene expression changes. *Mol.*
Biol. Evol. **36** , 350–364 (2019).

56. B. J. Haas, A. Papanicolaou, M. Yassour, M. Grabherr, P. D. Blood, J. Bowden, M. B.
Couger, D. Eccles, B. Li, M. Lieber, M. D. MacManes, M. Ott, J. Orvis, N. Pochet, F.
Strozzi, N. Weeks, R. Westerman, T. William, C. N. Dewey, R. Henschel, R. D. LeDuc,
N. Friedman, A. Regev, De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq
using the Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 1494–
1512 (2013).

57. C. Holt, M. Yandell, MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database
management tool for second-generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **12**, 491
(2011).

58. E. V. Kriventseva, F. Tegenfeldt, T. J. Petty, R. M. Waterhouse, F. A. Simão, I. A.
Pozdnyakov, P. Ioannidis, E. M. Zdobnov, OrthoDB v8: update of the hierarchical
catalog of orthologs and the underlying free software. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, D250–6
(2015).

59. C. M. Francois, F. Durand, E. Figuet, N. Galtier, Prevalence and Implications of
Contamination in Public Genomic Resources: A Case Study of 43 Reference Arthropod
Assemblies. *G3* . **10**, 721–730 (2020).

60. B. Buchfink, C. Xie, D. H. Huson, Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.
Nat. Methods. **12**, 59–60 (2015).

61. T. D. Wu, C. K. Watanabe, GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for
mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics*. **21**, 1859–1875 (2005).

62. V. Miele, S. Penel, L. Duret, Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks with
SiLiX. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **12**, 116 (2011).

63. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

64. A. Di Franco, R. Poujol, D. Baurain, H. Philippe, Evaluating the usefulness of alignment
filtering methods to reduce the impact of errors on evolutionary inferences. *BMC Evol.*
Biol. **19**, 21 (2019).

65. A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

66. G. A. Van der Auwera, M. O. Carneiro, C. Hartl, R. Poplin, G. Del Angel, A. Levy-
Moonshine, T. Jordan, K. Shakir, D. Roazen, J. Thibault, E. Banks, K. V. Garimella, D.
Altshuler, S. Gabriel, M. A. DePristo, From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls:
the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics*. **43**,
11.10.1–11.10.33 (2013).

67. X. Chen, O. Schulz-Trieglaff, R. Shaw, B. Barnes, F. Schlesinger, M. Källberg, A. J.
Cox, S. Kruglyak, C. T. Saunders, Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and
indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics*. **32**, 1220–1222
(2016).

68. D. C. Jeffares, C. Jolly, M. Hoti, D. Speed, L. Shaw, C. Rallis, F. Balloux, C. Dessimoz,
J. Bähler, F. J. Sedlazeck, Transient structural variations have strong effects on
quantitative traits and reproductive isolation in fission yeast. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14061
(2017).

69. S. Kurtz, A. Phillippy, A. L. Delcher, M. Smoot, M. Shumway, C. Antonescu, S. L.
Salzberg, Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* **5**,
R12 (2004).

70. C. Goubert, L. Modolo, C. Vieira, C. ValienteMoro, P. Mavingui, M. Boulesteix, De novo
assembly and annotation of the Asian tiger mosquito (Aedes albopictus) repeatome with
dnaPipeTE from raw genomic reads and comparative analysis with the yellow fever
mosquito (Aedes aegypti). *Genome Biol. Evol.* **7**, 1192–1205 (2015).

71. R. C. Edgar, Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
Bioinformatics. **26**, 2460–2461 (2010).

72. C. Hoede, S. Arnoux, M. Moisset, T. Chaumier, O. Inizan, V. Jamilloux, H. Quesneville,
PASTEC: an automatic transposable element classification tool. *PLoS One*. **9**, e91929
(2014).

73. S. F. Altschul, T. L. Madden, A. A. Schäffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, D. J. Lipman,
Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 3389–3402 (1997).

74. A. Smit, R. Hubley, P. Green, *RepeatMasker Open-4.0* (2013-2015;
http://www.repeatmasker.org).

75. H. Li, Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. *arXiv [q-bio.GN]* (2013), (available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997).

76. S. Anders, P. T. Pyl, W. Huber, HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-
throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 166–169 (2015).

77. S. Moretti, B. Laurenczy, W. H. Gharib, B. Castella, A. Kuzniar, H. Schabauer, R. A.
Studer, M. Valle, N. Salamin, H. Stockinger, M. Robinson-Rechavi, Selectome update:
quality control and computational improvements to a database of positive selection.
Nucleic Acids Res. **42**, D917–21 (2014).

78. I. M. Wallace, O. O'Sullivan, D. G. Higgins, C. Notredame, M-Coffee: combining multiple
sequence alignment methods with T-Coffee. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, 1692–1699 (2006).

79. F. Sievers, A. Wilm, D. Dineen, T. J. Gibson, K. Karplus, W. Li, R. Lopez, H. McWilliam,
M. Remmert, J. Söding, J. D. Thompson, D. G. Higgins, Fast, scalable generation of
high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*
7, 539 (2011).

80. C. Notredame, D. G. Higgins, J. Heringa, T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and
accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* **302**, 205–217 (2000).

81. S. Capella-Gutiérrez, J. M. Silla-Martínez, T. Gabaldón, trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*. **25**, 1972–1973
(2009).

82. D. Bates, M. Mächler, B. Bolker, S. Walker, Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software, Articles*. **67**, 1–48 (2015).

83. M. Wang, Y. Zhao, B. Zhang, Efficient test and visualization of multi-set intersections.
Sci. Rep. **5**, 16923 (2015).

84. A. Alexa, J. Rahnenführer, T. Lengauer, Improved scoring of functional groups from
gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics*. **22**, 1600–
1607 (2006).

824