Title: Host-associated rhizobia fitness: Dependence on nitrogen, density, community complexity,

and legume genotype

Liana T. Burghardt,[a,b,#,*] Brendan Epstein,[a] Michelle Hoge,[a] Diana Trujillo,[c] and Peter Tiffin[a]

[a]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota

[b]Plant Science Department, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania

[c]Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota

Running Head: Environmental sensitivity of symbiotic rhizobia fitness

#Address correspondence to Liana T. Burghardt, liana.burghardt@gmail.com.

*Present address: Plant Science Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park,

Pennsylvania

Keywords: nitrogen addition, inoculation density, community complexity, *Ensifer*

(Sinorhizobium) meliloti, legume-rhizobia, strain relative fitness, *Medicago truncatula*

Article type: Research Article

Data Accessibility: The data supporting the results of this publication and the code necessary for

these analyses will be made available via a GitHub repository after review and the raw reads

have been deposited in NCBI BioProject: PRJNA401437.

Authorship: LTB designed the experiments, collected and analyzed data, and wrote the

manuscript, BE designed the experiments, collected and analyzed data, MH and DT collected

data, and PT designed the experiments, collected data, and wrote the manuscript.

ABSTRACT:

The environmental context of the nitrogen-fixing mutualism between leguminous plants and

rhizobial bacteria varies over space and time. Variation in resource availability, population

density, and composition likely affect the ecology and evolution of rhizobia and their symbiotic

interactions with hosts. We examined how host genotype, nitrogen addition, rhizobial density,

and community complexity affected selection on 68 rhizobia strains in the *Ensifer meliloti* -

Medicago truncatula mutualism. As expected, the host genotype had the most substantial effect

on the size, number, and strain composition of root nodules (the symbiotic organ). The

understudied environmental variable of rhizobial density had a more significant effect on strain

frequency in nodules than the addition of low nitrogen levels. Higher inoculum density resulted

in a nodule community that was less diverse and more beneficial but only in the context of the

more selective host genotype. Higher density resulted in more diverse and less beneficial nodule

communities with the less selective host. Density effects on strain composition deserve

additional scrutiny as they can create eco-evolutionary feedback. Lastly, we found that relative

strain rankings were stable across increasing community complexity (community complexity (2,

3, 8, or 68 strains). This unexpected result suggests that higher-order interactions between strains

are rare in the context of host nodule formation and development. Taken together, our empirical

work highlights the importance of developing new theoretical predictions that incorporate

density dependence. Further, it has translational relevance for overcoming establishment barriers

in bio-inoculants and motivating host breeding programs that maintain beneficial plant-microbe

interactions across diverse agro-ecological contexts.

IMPORTANCE:

Legume cash, forage, and cover crops establish beneficial associations with rhizobial bacteria

who perform biological nitrogen fixation (BNF)—providing Nitrogen (N) fertilizer to plants

without the economic and greenhouse gas emission costs of chemical N inputs. Here, for the first

time, we examine the relative influence of three environmental factors that vary in agricultural

fields on strain relative fitness in nodules when scores rhizobial strains compete. In addition to

manipulating Nitrogen, we also use two biotic variables that have rarely been examined: the

rhizobial community's density and complexity. Taken together, our results suggest 1) breeding

legume varieties that select beneficial strains despite environmental variation are possible, 2)

changes in rhizobial population densities that occur routinely in agricultural fields could drive

evolutionary changes in rhizobia populations, and 3) the lack of higher-order interactions

between strains will allow the high-throughput assessments of rhizobia winners and losers during

plant interactions.

Introduction:

Biotic interactions have important consequences for population dynamics (1), selection (2), and local adaptation (3) of interacting species. Of course, these biotic interactions do not occur in isolation and the benefits and costs of the interaction can be modified (4) by population density, the presence of additional species (5), genetics (6), and abiotic factors such as resource availability (7–10) or moisture levels (11–13). These responses (*i.e.* plasticity) can evolve if there is genetic variation in traits that modify the sensitivity of an interaction to additional environmental variables such as immunity, stress tolerance, or phenology (e.g. Ramegowda & Senthil-Kumar 2015; Garrido-Oter *et al.* 2018). Here we examine how three sources of environmental variation, resource availability, rhizobia density, and rhizobial community complexity, affect the rhizobium species (*Ensifer meliloti*) as it engages in symbiosis with its leguminous host plant (*Medicago truncatula*).

Environment dependence is a recurring theme in the study of the symbiosis between rhizobial bacteria and legume plants (16–18). In this mutualistic relationship, rhizobia convert atmospheric nitrogen (N_2) into a plant-useable form to support host growth and reproduction while rhizobia gain carbon resources from the plant to support the growth and reproduction prior to release back into the soil (19, 20). While this relationship is commonly beneficial to the plant host (21), the magnitude of these fitness benefits to the plant depends on the identity of the rhizobial strain as well as additional environmental parameters including N, P, water- and light-availability, and temperature (17, 22–24). Experiments in which plants are inoculated with a single rhizobium strain, often at very high density, have shown that the benefits rhizobia obtain from symbiosis also can be context dependent (*e.g.* Friel & Friesen 2019; Batstone *et al.* 2020). However, in natural and agricultural populations rhizobia densities are likely to vary and multiple rhizobia strains compete for nodule occupancy and host enrichment (18). Results from single-strain experiments may not be directly translatable to multi-strain environments because between-strain competition can strongly affect nodulation success (27). Indeed, strain fitness proxies in single-strain environments are not strongly correlated with strain fitness in multi-strain communities (28, 29).

Theoretically, resource availability has the potential to shape the evolution of resource-
based symbiosis (30, 31). N-availability could affect selection acting on rhizobia and plant hosts
via a number of mechanisms. For instance, additional N can reduce the overall frequency of
associations with hosts by reducing nodule number or size (16, 32, 33). While forming fewer
nodules in high N environments may have little effect on plant fitness, it certainly reduces the
chances of each rhizobia associating with a legume and, if nodules remain small, reduces the
number of rhizobia released from host nodules (a major component of the fitness benefit rhizobia
receive from engaging in the symbiosis). Nitrogen can also alter competitiveness among
symbionts, perhaps through altering the strength of host preference (34) or enrichment via host
rewards/sanctions (35, 36). Despite the appeal of theoretical predictions that additional N will
reduce host dependence on rhizobia and lead to relaxed selection for rhizobial host benefit, there
is limited empirical support for N-mediated shifts in competitive outcomes between rhizobia.
Partner choice as measured by nodule occupancy is not strongly affected by N-addition in
Acmispon (Regus 2014, Wendlandt *et al.* 2019) or *Medicago* (37, 38) and only weakly shifts in
Mimosa (34). However, which strain initiates each nodule only represents the first stage of
selection. Once nodules form, differential nodule growth and strain reproduction can allow some
strains to increase in frequency relative to others, but again, studies suggest that N has only a
limited effect on rhizobial fitness (24, 37).
111
Unlike N-addition, the effect of rhizobial population density on legume benefit and
rhizobial fitness has received scant empirical attention. However, population densities can
strongly affect the ecology and evolution of biotic interactions including hosts and pathogens
(*e.g.* Schuhegger *et al.* 2006), predator and prey (*e.g.* Jaffee 2003), and plants and pollinators
(*e.g.* Moeller 2004). The density of rhizobial symbionts varies over space and time. For example,
in an agroecosystem, twenty years of cropping system differences resulted in four-orders-of-
magnitude differences in rhizobia population densities: 6.8×10^6 rhizobia gram^{-1}in
soy/wheat/maize rotations, 4.5×10^5 in continuous soy, and 6.1×10^2 in continuous maize (42).
There are many reasons to suspect that selection could be density dependent; the density of
rhizobia in the soil could affect the number of nodules that a host forms (43), the role of quorum
sensing (44–46), and the relative importance of host-mediated vs. soil-mediated selection (47,
48). Predicting the outcome of rhizobial density on strain selection is, however, difficult. For

instance, when rhizobial population densities are high more nodulation sites (root hairs) will

interact with multiple strains and more nodules will be formed and thus, we might expect

opportunities for plant-imposed selection on bacterial populations to increase (48). On the other

hand, when rhizobial densities are high, plant control may decrease as traits that influence

rhizobial competitiveness become more important (27).

Much of the empirical work on legume-rhizobia symbiosis relies on single-strain

inoculations, however, in nature legume hosts often form nodules with a diverse community of

strains (49, 50). Microbial community complexity can affect community assembly and

interactions (51). Synthetic communities are increasingly being used to query the effect of

additional community members (52–54). For example, Freidman *et al.* (2017) showed that

competitive outcomes between two bacterial strains living in the guts of *C. elegans* are not

affected by the presence of additional strains (56). While rhizobial strain frequency in nodules is

clearly dependent on the presence of other strains (27, 37, 48), experiments have not investigated

whether pairwise competitive outcomes are affected by the presence of other strains. In other

words, does strain A always beat strain B regardless of which and how many other strains are

present in the community?

Here we report on the extent to which strain fitness in nodules and plant traits are affected

by each of three environmental factors, N-availability, population density, and the complexity of

the rhizobial community to which plants are exposed (Figure 1). We measured strain

communities inside host nodules using a select-and-resequence approach, a variant of evolve-

and-resequence approaches (28). We inoculated plants with a community of 68 strains of the

rhizobium *Ensifer meliloti* (hereafter referred to as C68). These strains were chosen to capture

the majority of genetic variation present among 160 sequenced strains of *Ensifer meliloti* from a

worldwide collection of strains sampled from across a broad range of environments (e.g.,

Medicago host species, geographic locals, and years (57, 58). To evaluate whether increasing the

amount of N available to plants altered the strain composition of the nodule community, we grew

plants with a low level of additional N (+100ml 3mMol KNO_3 week^{-1}). To evaluate the potential

for rhizobia density to affect strain fitness, we inoculated plants with two rhizobial densities: low

(5×10^5 rhizobia plant^{-1}) and high (5×10^7 rhizobia plant^{-1}) (Figure S1). To examine the effect of

community complexity, we constructed communities of nested subsets of eight, three, and all

pairwise competitions of the three. Given work showing that rhizobial fitness can strongly

depend on the host genotype (28, 59–61) we examine the effect of these treatments on each of

two commonly used plant genotypes. Because nitrogen addition has been widely studied, it

provides context for the magnitude of less studied environmental factors.

Taken together, our results indicate that host-genotype has a much greater effect on strain

fitness than environmental manipulations. Among the environmental manipulations, the effects

of bacterial density were approximately twice as great as the effects of N-addition. Community

complexity had little effect on the relative fitness rankings of rhizobial strains suggesting that

higher-order interactions between strains are rare in the context of host nodule formation. Our

results suggest that variation in population densities could influence ecological and evolutionary

dynamics in rhizobial communities and are a factor that should be considered more explicitly in

empirical, theoretical, and applied work.

Results:

Host genotype has a large effect on strain fitness and nodule phenotypes

Consistent with previous work on the same strains (62) an overlapping set of strains (28,

61), and with an independent collection of rhizobia strains (29), the strain composition of the

nodule communities was strongly affected by host genotype (Figure 2, Figure S2). Host identity

had a greater effect on strain composition, Shannon's diversity, and predicted benefit of the

nodule community (Figure 2, Table 1, Table S1, Table S2), than did N-addition, inoculum

density, or the complexity of the inoculum community. Relative to R108, A17 hosts produced ~

10 times more nodules (Fig 3a) that were approximately one-tenth the size (Fig 3b) and harbored

nodule strain communities that were less diverse (Fig 2b) and more beneficial (Fig 2c).

N-availability weakly affected strain composition, diversity, and benefit

Because nitrogen is the primary resource rhizobia provide to their host, researchers have

speculated, and modeling has shown that N-availability could alter the relative fitness of rhizobia

strains. However, we found that N-availability explained only a small portion of the variance in

the overall composition of the nodule communities (1.9% of the RDA variance, p=0.17; Figure

2), although the effect was slightly greater when hosts were analyzed separately (in A17

p=0.079, 6.2% of the variance and in R108 *p* = 0.336, 4.5% of the variance; Table 1, Figure S3).

N-addition had a similar magnitude of effect on the diversity (Shannon's) of the nodule

community and the predicted benefit of the strain community, with the effects being greater in

A17 (diversity: *p*=0.039, 8.7% of variance and strain benefit: *p* = 0.030, 7.9% of variance) than

R108 (*p*=0.85, 0.17% of variance and *p* = 0.28, 3.2% of variance, respectively). See Table S1

and Table S2 for full results.

Rhizobia inoculation density had a more substantial effect than nitrogen addition

Inoculating plants with 100-fold fewer rhizobia cells caused larger changes in community

composition, strain diversity, and predicted the benefit of the nodule community than N-addition

(Figure 2). Moreover, the effect of density depended on the host. With A17 hosts, density

explained 21.7% (*p*=0.001) of variance in community composition vs. 6.2% (*p*=0.079) of the

variance explained by N-addition (Figure S3b). With R108, density explained 6.9% (*p*=0.034) of

the variance vs 4.5 % (*p*=0.336) explained by N-addition (Figure S3c). Strain fitness shifts in

response to density are so strong they are clear even in an un-constrained PCA analysis of strain

fitness (Figure S4). Density affected the diversity of the nodule community in opposite directions

in the two hosts. Compared to the low-density inoculation, the high-density inoculation resulted

in A17 nodule communities being less diverse (based on Shannon's diversity) and more

beneficial (both *p* < 0.001). By contrast, with R108, the low-density inoculation resulted in a less

beneficial nodule community (*p* < 0.003, Table S1, S2).

Relative fitness rankings are similar across communities of increasing complexity

208   In nature, the complexity of rhizobial communities varies in both space and time. We

found that although the absolute frequency of each of the three focal strains was strongly

affected by the presence of additional strains in the inoculum, we found little evidence that

additional strains in the inoculum community altered strain rankings relative to each other. In

other words, with minor exceptions, the more frequent strain in pairwise competitions was also

the more frequent strain in more complex communities (Table 2). The minor exceptions involved

two strains (KH46c and HM006.1) that had nearly equal frequencies in pairwise inoculations and

nearly equal frequency when part of more strain-rich communities (Fig S5, S6; Table 2).

Similarly, the relative-frequency ranking of strains when plants were inoculated with three

strains (C3) were almost always the same as the relative ranking of those strains when they were

part of a 68-strain inoculum community (Figure 4a-c) and the relative ranking of the strains in

C8 treatment was nearly always the same as in the C68 treatment (Figure 4d-f). These results

suggest that strain competitiveness for nodulation formation is relatively robust to the presence

of additional strains, and strains with higher frequency in pairwise competitions will also have

higher frequencies in more complex communities. Interestingly, we did observe hints of

negatively frequency dependence – extremely low-frequency strains tended to be more frequent

in more complex communities (e.g. M162 in C3 vs. C68 and T073 in C8 vs. C68 Fig 4c,f).

Effects of N, D, and complexity on nodule and plant traits were host specific

The effect of N-addition and inoculum density on plant phenotypes were host-specific

(Table S3). In A17, increased rhizobial density resulted in plants forming more nodules

($p=0.004$; Fig 3a) that weighed less ($p<0.001$; Fig 3b). In R108, an increase in rhizobia density

resulted in plants forming more, smaller nodules, but only in the N-addition environment

(Nitrogen x Density $p = 0.036$ and $p = 0.033$, respectively). Neither N-addition nor rhizobia

density had measurable effects on either above or belowground biomass. However, both N

($p=0.029$) and to a lesser extent density ($p=0.087$) decreased the root to shoot ratio in A17 but

not in R108 (Fig S7). The complexity of the inoculum community had little effect on nodule

number or vegetative biomass (Fig S8; Table S4), although it did have minor effects on nodule

weight in A17 but not R108 (Host x Complexity $p= 0.018$), and root weight ($p = 0.053$) of both

hosts.

Discussion

The symbiosis between legumes and rhizobia plays an important ecological role by

contributing nitrogen to natural and agricultural ecosystems (63–65). Here we asked how host

genotype and each of three environmental factors likely to vary spatially and temporally affect

the rhizobia community in nodules. Despite being the key benefit that rhizobia provide plants,

Nitrogen had relatively minor effects on the strain composition, diversity, and beneficialness of

nodule communities. Similarly, the complexity of the inoculum community (number of strains

used to inoculate a plant) had only minor effects on relative strain rankings, suggesting that

higher-order interactions among strains do not alter the pairwise rankings of strain success in the

context of nodule formation. Interestingly, inoculum density had large and host-specific effects

on the nodule community—density had a more significant effect on strain composition, diversity,

and benefit in the host (A17) that imposes greater selection on the rhizobia population.

Theoretically, the availability of alternative sources of the symbiotic resource can alter

selection on beneficial symbionts (30, 31, 66). When mutualistic relationships are considered in a

market framework, external N improves the bargaining power of the host and increases the

relative fitness of less beneficial strains (31). Similarly, relaxed selection for symbiont benefit

could occur because it is less costly for the host to allocate resources to a less beneficial partner

if it can obtain the resource from an alternative source (30). Despite the potential, we found that

the addition of small amounts of N had little effect on rhizobial strain composition, diversity, and

the host benefits of the nodule community. Indeed, in one host genotype (A17), selection for

beneficial strains was stronger, not weaker, in the N-addition environment. Our findings of subtle

effects of N-addition are consistent with several other studies that have examined the

composition of strains that form nodules (37, 38, 67–69). Similarly, our results align with two

studies that assessed composite strain fitness across all nodules formed by a plant. Nitrogen

addition did not affect rhizobial composition in pools of 4-week-old *Medicago* nodules

inoculated with a mixture of two *Ensifer* species or a mixture of Fix- and Fix+ strains (37) and

had weak effects on strain fitness two species of *Acacia*—drought and phosphorous addition

treatments had larger effects (24).

Although our results are consistent with these short-term (single growing season) studies, it is

clear the addition of N over longer periods of time can affect the symbiosis. Many legumes

regulate nodule formation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation when high levels of abiotic N are

available, presumably reflecting a cost of forming and maintaining the symbiosis—(33). For

instance, Weese *et al.* found rhizobia in a grassland community to be less beneficial to hosts after

22 years of N addition (70, 71). One way to reconcile discrepancies between short and long-term

experiments is to note that other parameters that influence absolute fitness could change even if

relative fitness is not altered by N- addition. For instance, reductions in host population sizes,

nodule numbers, or nodule size could all increase the importance of rhizobial adaptation to

environments external to the host and result in a population of strains with reduced host benefit

via selective tradeoffs or drift (18, 28, 72–74). To test such a hypothesis will require follow-up

experiments that hold relative frequencies of strains, i.e., relative fitness, constant while varying

rhizobial population densities and frequency of exposure to host and non-host environments.

Whereas the effects of N on the legume-rhizobia symbiosis have been the focus of many

studies, the potential for density-dependent selection shaping legume-rhizobia symbiosis has

received scant attention. Nevertheless, rhizobial density varies widely in nature (e.g., 10^2 -10^6 per

gram; Yan *et al.* 2014). The 100-fold difference in inoculum density we examined resulted in

host-dependent shifts in the composition of the nodule community, with increased density

resulting in more beneficial strains being favored in one host and less beneficial strains being

favored in the other host. The results raise questions about the generality of laboratory

experiments that rely on extremely high densities of rhizobia—presumably to ensure that hosts

will not be rhizobia limited. Interestingly, while nodules sampled from field-grown plants are

nearly always occupied by a single rhizobia strain (69, 75, 76), the probability of one nodule

being infected by multiple strains increases with rhizobial density (47, 48). Whether strain

competition occurs via direct interactions within a nodule vs. competition between nodules

inhabited by different strains can affect mechanisms of rewards/sanction and the scale at which

selection occurs (77).

Although most experiments are conducted with relatively simple rhizobia communities,

in nature, legume hosts are exposed to many strains (42, 50), as well as other microbes that might

affect the establishment of the symbiosis (23, 78). Studies of species-level interactions between

microbes show that adding an additional species can sometimes modify interactions between two

species and even cause transitions from mutualisms to antagonisms (79). We did not find

evidence for these sorts of shifts among *E. meliloti* strains. The rank order relationships among

focal strains were mainly unchanged when we added additional strains. Because we know of no

other studies of mutualism that examine intra-specific bacterial variation, it is not easy to

contextualize these results. Still, the apparent lack of shifts in pairwise interactions indicates that

mixed-strain experiments could help classify strain interactions more broadly. For instance, a

similar methodology could be used to assess competitive outcomes between scores of mutant

strains and a wildtype strain in a single experiment instead of conducting scores of pairwise

competition experiments that preclude direct comparisons between mutants. Similarly, our

310 results suggest that multi-strain inoculation experiments could be used in breeding pipelines to
311 screen for rhizobial strains with high fitness on specific host varieties.

313  Experimental evolution studies, such as this one, allow assessment of the relative
314 importance of deterministic and stochastic processes in species interactions (80–82). The two
315 host genotypes differed markedly in the characteristics of nodules in ways that could influence
316 the evolution of rhizobial symbionts. For instance, A17 made many small nodules while R108
317 made a few large nodules, a pattern found in all nitrogen, density, and inoculum environments.
318 Despite these differences, overall investment in nodule tissue per plant was very similar for both
319 hosts. Decreases in nodule numbers at low inoculum densities were offset by increases in nodule
320 size (a pattern previously observed by Singleton & Tavares 1986). While rarely discussed from
321 the rhizobia perspective, these striking genotypic differences could have significant
322 consequences for the evolution of rhizobial symbionts. Our observation of low nodule numbers
323 and low selectivity of R108 host plants provides a testable hypothesis for the increased
324 variability in strain fitness amongst replicates. Increased stochasticity in strain fitness outcomes
325 even when pooling nodules from ten or more host plants could influence rhizobial evolution—
326 not by directly determining rhizobial fitness—but by increasing the strength of drift. Our results
327 add to the increasing number of studies documenting the potential importance of stochastic
328 processes (84–86) in host-microbe interaction and hint that genetically-influenced host traits can
329 drive differences in the relative importance of these processes. Screening additional accessions
330 of *Medicago truncatula* for these traits and expanding the scale of genetic variation to individual
331 host genes and multiple Medicago species will provide a broader understanding of the range of
332 host genetic control on symbiont evolution.

334 *Conclusions:*
335  Using a high-throughput methodology, we evaluated the context-dependence of host-
336 dependent strain fitness in legume-rhizobia symbiosis. Our finding that host genetic variation is
337 a consistent driver of rhizobial relative fitness across environmental perturbations suggests it is
338 possible to identify the genomic basis of strain x host interactions (87–89) and use that
339 information to identify successful rhizobial strains even when environmental conditions change
340 across years or locations. Our results also suggest that the selection hosts impose on rhizobial

populations might depend on the density of the rhizobia population in the soil. From a

translational perspective, understanding density-dependent selection could aid in developing

beneficial inoculants that overcome establishment barriers (90).

Material and methods

Constructing Communities: To form the rhizobia communities, we grew each of 68 strains in

3ml Tryptone yeast media (6g tryptone, 3g yeast extract, 0.38g $CaCl_2$ per L) for three days and

then combined an equal volume of each culture to generate a community (C68) with

approximately equal representation of each strain (median strain frequency 0.014, range 0.009-

0.02). We used the same method to form the eight (C8), three (C3), and three two-strain

communities (M:H, M:K, and H:K). All strain names are listed in Fig 2d.

Experimental Details: Seeds of two host genotypes A17 var. Varma and R108 (Medicago

HapMap accession numbers HM101 and HM340, Stanton-Geddes et al. 2013) were bleached,

rinsed, scarified with a razor blade, stratified on wet filter paper at 4°C in the dark for two days,

and then allowed to germinate at room temperature for one day. We chose these genotypes

because they 1) are commonly used for molecular genetics work and 2) differ in nodule strain

communities, transcriptomes, and symbiotic traits. Twelve germinated seeds were then planted in

each of 45 1L pots filled with sterilized Sunshine Mix. When seedlings were three days old, 100

ul of each rhizobial community diluted in 9.9 ml 0.85% NaCl w/v solution were used to

inoculate each pot (approximately 10^8 cells, except for the low-density treatment, which was

inoculated with ~ 10^6 cells, Fig S1). Plants were fertilized with 150ml of N-free fertilizer

(Bucciarelli *et al.* 2006 see Burghardt et. al. 2018 for details) once a week and watered with

sterile water as needed. Six weeks after planting, we sampled ~300-500 (A17) or ~100-200

(R108) nodules from the plants in each pot (Fig S9). Because genotype A17 produces 5-10 times

more nodules than genotype R108, this sample represents nodules from all 10-12 plants in pots

with R108 and ~ 6 plants from A17 pots. This sample size is designed to ensure we have

statistical power to overcome stochastic processes underlying nodule formation, given the 68

potential strain partners. Nodule pools were crushed, and we used a series of differential

centrifugation steps to enrich for and pellet undifferentiated bacteria (Burghardt et al. 2018).

Pellets were stored at -20°C until we extracted DNA using the UltraClean Microbial DNA

Isolation Kit (no. 12224; Mo Bio Laboratories). In addition to harvesting the nodules, we

measured on a per plant basis: nodule number, nodule fresh weight, and vegetative and root

biomass (dried at 60°C for 72 hours). For each host genotype, we sampled six nodule pools for

the four Nitrogen x Density C68 treatments, five nodule pools of the C8 and C3 treatments, and

four nodule pools for each of the three pairwise community treatments.

Strain frequencies: We estimated the frequency of each strain in each nodule pool using the

method in Burghardt et al. 2018. In brief, DNA isolated from each replicate was sequenced on

an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (NexteraXT libraries, 125 bp paired-end reads, 3.6-9.4 million read pairs

library^{-1}). Reads were trimmed with TrimGalore! (v0.4.1) using default settings, except with

minimum read length = 100 bp, quality threshold = 30, and minimum adapter match = 3. We

used bwa mem (v0.7.17; Li and Durbin, 2010) with default settings to align reads to the *E.*

meliloti USDA1106 genome (Nelson *et al.* 2018; NCBI BioProject: PRJNA388336). Using prior

genome sequencing data on the 68 strains, we identified SNPs segregating in each of the

sequenced communities using FreeBayes (v1.2.0-2-g29c4002; (93) with a minimum read

mapping quality of 30. After cleaning and alignment, the median read depth per sample was 65X

(range 29X-115X). To estimate strain frequency, we used only SNPs for which every strain had

an unambiguous call. We then estimated the frequency of each strain in each sample using

HARP (94) as described in Burghardt *et al.* (2018). Briefly, this method estimates the likelihood

that each read comes from each strain and summarizes this signal across all reads to estimate

strain frequencies.

Nodule community measurements: Based on strain frequencies, we calculated three nodule

community metrics for each replicate pot: composition, diversity, and host benefit. We estimated

community composition as the fold change in the frequency of each strain (q_x) in a nodule

community relative to the mean frequency of that strain across four sequencing replicates of the

initial community (fitness = $\log_2(q_{x\ selected}/\bar{q}_{x\ initial})$). This transformation normalizes the frequency

distribution and controls for small differences in initial strain frequency (median $q_{x\ initial}$=0.0092,

5%-95% quantile: 0.0054-0.0154). We estimated community diversity as the exponent of

Shannon diversity, which we calculated using the 'renyi' function in the vegan package of R. We

calculated predicted host benefit as the sum of the per strain frequency in nodules multiplied by

the dry plant weight from a single-strain inoculation experiment involving both A17 and R108

(data from (Burghardt *et al.* 2018):

$$\text{Host benefit} = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{n} q_x * dry\ plant\ weight\ in\ single\ strain\ experiment_x}{\sum_{x=1}^{n} q_x}$$

We scaled each host-specific dataset from zero (full occupancy by the least beneficial strain) to

one (full occupancy by the most beneficial strain). We omitted strains for which we did not have

single-strain plant weight estimates (9 strains for A17 and 29 strains for R108). While missing

strains may reduce the power to make between host comparisons, missing strains span the

phylogeny, and there is no reason to suspect that our subsamples are biased.

Statistical analysis of community measures: We used redundancy analysis (RDA, "rda" in the

vegan R package; Oskanen et al. 2017) and ANOVA to analyze the effects of Host genotype (H),

Density (D), and Nitrogen (N) and their interactions on each of the nodule community measures.

To collapse the dimensionality of the strain relative fitness data and analyze shifts in relative

fitness across treatments, we used RDA. RDA fits a multivariate linear regression to centered

and scaled data and then uses principal component analysis (PCA) to decompose the major axes

of variation in the fitted parameters. The adjusted R^2 of each RDA model provides an estimate of

the proportion of variance in relative fitness explained by the model predictor(s). We permuted

the data to determine the probability that fitness differences occurred by chance ('anova'

function, 999 permutations). We used an ANOVA ('lm' and 'anova') to analyze strain diversity

to test for differences amongst treatments. To analyze host benefit, we used an ANOVA ('lm'

and 'anova'). Because the effects of D and N were host-dependent (H x D, H x N interactions),

we also analyzed the effects of N, D, and their interaction for each host separately.

Analysis of rank order and community complexity: For each pairwise competition of the three

strains used to form a three-strain community (C3), we identified the strain with higher strain

frequency and asked if the same strain was at higher frequency in the more complex three strain

community. We also examined whether strain frequency rankings in the C3 community

remained the same in the eight- (C8) and sixty-eight- (C68) strain communities. Likewise, we

examined whether the frequency rankings of each of the strains in the C8 community remained
the same in the C68 community.
435
Statistical analysis of plant phenotypes: We used an ANOVA ('lm' and 'anova') to test for the
effects of host genotype, inoculum density, and nitrogen level and their interactions on six plant
traits (nodule number, average nodule weight, nodule weight per plant, shoot biomass, root
biomass, and root to shoot ratio). The first two traits violated the assumption of homogeneity of
variance between hosts, so we focus on host-specific analyses. To evaluate the effect of
community complexity on plant phenotypes, we ran a model with Host (H) and community
complexity (C; 2, 3, 8, and 68 strains) and their interaction as continuous predictors. Although
we test for the among-treatment differences in plant phenotypes, the experiments were designed
to evaluate the effect of treatments on strain fitness. They were not well powered to detect
among-treatment differences in plant phenotypes.
446

Acknowledgments: We thank Roxanne Denny for help with seed sources. Computational
resources were provided by the Minnesota Supercomputing Institute (MSI) at the University of
Minnesota. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) awards IOS-
1724993 and IOS-1856744 to LTB and PT. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or
recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily
reflect the views of the NSF. LTB's work was additionally supported by the USDA National
Institute of Food and Agriculture Federal Appropriations under PEN04760 and Accession
#1025611.

References:

1. Morris WFW, Ehrlén J, Dahlgren JP, Loomis AK, Louthan AM. 2019. Biotic and anthropogenic forces rival climatic/abiotic factors in determining global plant population growth and fitness. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:1107–1112.

2. Caruso CM, Martin RA, Sletvold N, Morrissey MB, Wade MJ, Augustine KE, Carlson SM, Maccoll ADC, Siepielski AM, Kingsolver JG. 2017. What are the environmental determinants of phenotypic selection? A meta-analysis of experimental studies. Am Nat 190:363–376.

3. Runquist RDB, Gorton AJ, Yoder JB, Deacon NJ, Grossman JJ, Kothari S, Lyons MP, Sheth SN, Tiffin P, Moeller DA. 2020. Context dependence of local adaptation to abiotic and biotic environments: A quantitative and qualitative synthesis. Am Nat 195:412–431.

4. Bronstein JL. 1994. Conditional outcomes in mutualistic interactions. Trends Ecol Evol 9:214–217.

5. Wood CW, Pilkington BL, Vaidya P, Biel C, Stinchcombe JR. 2018. Genetic conflict with a parasitic nematode disrupts the legume-rhizobia mutualism. Evol Lett 2:233–245.

6. Ford SA, Williams D, Paterson S, King KC. 2017. Co-evolutionary dynamics between a defensive microbe and a pathogen driven by fluctuating selection. Mol Ecol 26:1778–1789.

7. Lau JA, Shaw RG, Reich PB, Tiffin P. 2014. Indirect effects drive evolutionary responses to global change. New Phytol 201:335–343.

8. Rivett DW, Scheuerl T, Culbert CT, Mombrikotb SB, Johnstone E, Barraclough TG, Bell T. 2016. Resource-dependent attenuation of species interactions during bacterial succession. ISME J 10:2259–2268.

9. Schultz PA, Michael Miller R, Jastrow JD, Rivetta C V., Bever JD. 2001. Evidence of a mycorrhizal mechanism for the adaptation of *Andropogon gerardii (Poaceae)* to high- and low-nutrient prairies. Am J Bot 88:1650–1656.

10. Wurzburger N, Ford Miniat C. 2014. Drought enhances symbiotic dinitrogen fixation and competitive ability of a temperate forest tree. Oecologia 174:1117–1126.

11. Wolinska J, King KC. 2009. Environment can alter selection in host-parasite interactions. Trends Parasitol 25:236–244.

12. Louthan AM, Pringle RM, Goheen JR, Palmer TM, Morris WF, Doak DF. 2018. Aridity

weakens population-level effects of multiple species interactions on Hibiscus meyeri. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:543–548.

13.   Benning JW, Moeller DA. 2019. Maladaptation beyond a geographic range limit driven
by antagonistic and mutualistic biotic interactions across an abiotic gradient. Evolution (N
Y) 73:2044–2059.

14.   Ramegowda V, Senthil-Kumar M. 2015. The interactive effects of simultaneous biotic and
abiotic stresses on plants: Mechanistic understanding from drought and pathogen
combination. J Plant Physiol 176:47–54.

15.   Garrido-Oter R, Nakano RT, Dombrowski N, Ma KW, McHardy AC, Schulze-Lefert P.
2018. Modular traits of the *Rhizobiales* root microbiota and their evolutionary relationship
with symbiotic rhizobia. Cell Host Microbe 24:155-167.e5.

16.   Heath KD, Tiffin P. 2007. Context dependence in the coevolution of plant and rhizobial
mutualists. Proc R Soc London B Biol Sci 274:1905–1912.

17.   Keller KR, Lau JA. 2018. When mutualisms matter: Rhizobia effects on plant
communities depend on host plant population and soil nitrogen availability. J Ecol
106:1046–1056.

18.   Burghardt LT. 2020. Evolving together, evolving apart: measuring the fitness of rhizobial
bacteria in and out of symbiosis with leguminous plants. New Phytol 228:28–34.

19.   Poole P, Ramachandran V, Terpolilli J. 2018. Rhizobia: from saprophytes to
endosymbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:291–303.

20.   Denison RF, Kiers ET. 2011. Life histories of symbiotic rhizobia and mycorrhizal fungi.
Curr Biol 21:R775–R785.

21.   Gibert A, Tozer W, Westoby M. 2019. Plant performance response to eight different types
of symbiosis. New Phytol 222:526–542.

22.   Heath KD, Podowski JC, Heniff S, Klinger CR, Burke P V., Weese DJ, Yang WH, Lau
JA. 2020. Light availability and rhizobium variation interactively mediate the outcomes of
legume–rhizobium symbiosis. Am J Bot 107:229–238.

23.   Larimer AL, Clay K, Bever JD. 2014. Synergism and context dependency of interactions
between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia with a prairie legume. Ecology
95:1045–1054.

24.   Vuong HB, Thrall PH, Barrett LG. 2017. Host species and environmental variation can

517   influence rhizobial community composition. J Ecol 105:540–548.

518   25.   Batstone RT, Peters MAE, Simonsen AK, Stinchcombe JR, Frederickson ME. 2020.

519   Environmental variation impacts trait expression and selection in the legume–rhizobium

520   symbiosis. Am J Bot 107:195–208.

521   26.   Friel CA, Friesen ML. 2019. Legumes Modulate Allocation to Rhizobial Nitrogen

522   Fixation in Response to Factorial Light and Nitrogen Manipulation. Front Plant Sci 10:1–

523   9.

524   27.   Triplett EW, Sadowsky M. 1992. Genetics of competition for nodulation of legumes.

525   Annu Rev Microbiol 46:399–428.

526   28.   Burghardt LT, Epstein B, Guhlin J, Nelson MS, Taylor MR, Young ND, Sadowsky MJ,

527   Tiffin P. 2018. Select and resequence reveals relative fitness of bacteria in symbiotic and

528   free-living environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:2425–2430.

529   29.   Batstone RT, Burghardt LT, Heath KD. 2021. The genetic basis of cooperation and

530   conflict in natural populations of a model symbiont. bioRxiv 2021.07.19.452989.

531   30.   Bever JD. 2015. Preferential allocation, physio-evolutionary feedbacks, and the stability

532   and environmental patterns of mutualism between plants and their root symbionts. New

533   Phytol 205:1503–1514.

534   31.   Akcay E, Simms EL, Akçay E, Simms EL, Akcay E, Simms EL. 2011. Negotiation,

535   Sanctions, and Context Dependency in the Legume-Rhizobium Mutualism. Am Nat

536   178:1–14.

537   32.   Heath KD, Stock AJ, Stinchcombe JR. 2010. Mutualism variation in the nodulation

538   response to nitrate. J Evol Biol 23:2494–2500.

539   33.   Menge DNL, Wolf A a., Funk JL. 2015. Diversity of nitrogen fixation strategies in

540   Mediterranean legumes. Nat Plants 1:15064.

541   34.   Elliott GN, Chou JH, Chen WM, Bloemberg G V., Bontemps C, Martínez-Romero E,

542   Velázquez E, Young JPW, Sprent JI, James EK. 2009. *Burkholderia* spp. are the most

543   competitive symbionts of *Mimosa*, particularly under N-limited conditions. Environ

544   Microbiol 11:762–778.

545   35.   Kiers ET, Rousseau RA, Denison RF. 2006. Measured sanctions: Legume hosts detect

546   quantitative variation in rhizobium cooperation and punish accordingly. Evol Ecol Res

547   8:1077–1086.

36.   Oono R, Anderson CG, Denison RF. 2011. Failure to fix nitrogen by non-reproductive
symbiotic rhizobia triggers host sanctions that reduce fitness of their reproductive
clonemates. Proc Biol Sci 278:2698–703.

37.   Laguerre G, Heulin-Gotty K, Brunel B, Klonowska A, Le Quéré A, Tillard P, Prin Y,
Cleyet-Marel JC, Lepetit M. 2012. Local and systemic N signaling are involved in
Medicago truncatula preference for the most efficient *Sinorhizobium* symbiotic partners.
New Phytol 195:437–449.

38.   Grillo MA, Stinchcombe JR, Heath KD. 2016. Nitrogen addition does not influence pre-
infection partner choice in the legume-rhizobium symbiosis. Am J Bot 103:1763–1770.

39.   Schuhegger R, Ihring A, Gantner S, Bahnweg G, Knappe C, Vogg G, Hutzler P, Schmid
M, Van Breusegem F, Eberl L, Hartmann A, Langebartels C. 2006. Induction of systemic
resistance in tomato by N-acyl-L-homoserine lactone-producing rhizosphere bacteria.
Plant, Cell Environ 29:909–918.

40.   Jaffee BA. 2003. Correlations between most probable number and activity of nematode-
trapping fungi. Phytopathology 93:1599–1605.

41.   Moeller DA. 2004. Facilitative interactions among plants via shared pollinators. Ecology
85:3289–3301.

42.   Yan J, Han XZ, Ji ZJ, Li Y, Wang ET, Xie ZH, Chen WF. 2014. Abundance and diversity
of soybean-nodulating rhizobia in black soil are impacted by land use and crop
management. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:5394–5402.

43.   Oldroyd GED, Mitra RM, Wais RJ, Long SR. 2001. Evidence for structurally specific
negative feedback in the nod factor signal transduction pathway. Plant J 28:191–199.

44.   Sanchez-Contreras M, Bauer WD, Gao M, Robinson JB, Downie JA. 2007. Quorum-
sensing regulation in rhizobia and its role in symbiotic interactions with legumes. Philos
Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 362:1149–1163.

45.   Gonzalez JE, Marketon MM. 2003. Quorum sensing in nitrogen-fixing rhizobia.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67:574–592.

46.   Veliz-Vallejos DF, Van Noorden GE, Yuan M, Mathesius U. 2014. A *Sinorhizobium*
meliloti-specific N-acyl homoserine lactone quorum-sensing signal increases nodule
numbers in *Medicago truncatula* independent of autoregulation. Front Plant Sci 5:1–13.

47.   Daubech B, Remigi P, Doin de Moura G, Marchetti M, Pouzet C, Auriac M-C, Gokhale

CS, Masson-Boivin C, Capela D. 2017. Spatio-temporal control of mutualism in legumes

helps spread symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Elife 6:1–21.

48.   Westhoek A, Field E, Rehling F, Mulley G, Webb I, Poole PS, Turnbull LA. 2017.

Policing the legume-rhizobium symbiosis: A critical test of partner choice. Sci Rep 7:1–

10.

49.   Rangin C, Brunel B, Cleyet-Marel JC, Perrineau MM, Béna G. 2008. Effects of Medicago

truncatula genetic diversity, rhizobial competition, and strain effectiveness on the diversity

of a natural *Sinorhizobium* species community. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:5653–5661.

50.   Thies JE, Singleton PW, Bohlool BB. 1991. Influence of the size of indigenous rhizobial

populations on establishment and symbiotic performance of introduced rhizobia on field-

grown legumes. Appl Environ Microbiol 57:19–28.

51.   Billick I, Case TJ. 1994. Higher order interactions in ecological communities: What are

they and how can they be detected? Ecology 75:1529–1543.

52.   Coward C, Van Diemen PM, Conlan AJK, Gog JR, Stevens MP, Jones MA, Maskell DJ.

2008. Competing isogenic *Campylobacter* strains exhibit variable population structures in

vivo. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:3857–3867.

53.   Großkopf T, Soyer OS. 2014. Synthetic microbial communities. Curr Opin Microbiol

18:72–77.

54.   Widder S, Allen RJ, Pfeiffer T, Curtis TP, Wiuf C, Sloan WT, Cordero OX, Brown SP,

Momeni B, Shou W, Kettle H, Flint HJ, Haas AF, Laroche B, Kreft JU, Rainey PB,

Freilich S, Schuster S, Milferstedt K, Van Der Meer JR, Grobkopf T, Huisman J, Free A,

Picioreanu C, Quince C, Klapper I, Labarthe S, Smets BF, Wang H, Soyer OS, Allison

SD, Chong J, Lagomarsino MC, Croze OA, Hamelin J, Harmand J, Hoyle R, Hwa TT, Jin

Q, Johnson DR, de Lorenzo V, Mobilia M, Murphy B, Peaudecerf F, Prosser JI, Quinn

RA, Ralser M, Smith AG, Steyer JP, Swainston N, Tarnita CE, Trably E, Warren PB,

Wilmes P. 2016. Challenges in microbial ecology: Building predictive understanding of

community function and dynamics. ISME J.

55.   Friedman J, Higgins LM, Gore J. 2017. Community structure follows simple assembly

rules in microbial microcosms. Nat Ecol Evol 1:1–7.

56.   Lopez AO, Vega NM, Gore J. 2019. Interspecies bacterial competition determines

community assembly in the *C. elegans* intestine. bioRxiv 535633.

57.   Nelson M, Guhlin J, Epstein B, Tiffin P, Sadowsky MJ. 2018. The complete replicons of
611   16 *Ensifer meliloti* strains offer insights into intra- and inter-replicon gene transfer,
612   transposon-associated loci, and repeat elements. Microb Genomics 4:1–11.

613   58.   Epstein B, Abou-Shanab RAI, Shamseldin A, Taylor MR, Guhlin J, Burghardt LT, Nelson
614   M, Sadowsky MJ, Tiffin P. 2018. Genome-wide association analyses in the model
615   rhizobium *Ensifer meliloti*. mSphere 3:1–15.

616   59.   Gano-Cohen KA, Wendlandt CE, Stokes PJ, Blanton MA, Quides KW, Zomorrodian A,
617   Adinata ES, Sachs JL. 2019. Interspecific conflict and the evolution of ineffective
618   rhizobia. Ecol Lett 22:914–924.

619   60.   Burghardt LT, Trujillo DI, Epstein B, Tiffin P, Young ND. 2019. A "Select and
620   Resequence" approach reveals strain-specific effects of *Medicago* nodule-specific PLAT-
621   domain genes. Plant Physiol 182:463–471.

622   61.   Burghardt LT, Epstein B, Tiffin P. 2019. Legacy of prior host and soil selection on
623   rhizobial fitness in planta. Evolution (N Y) 73:2013–2023.

624   62.   Burghardt LT, Trujillo DI, Epstein B, Tiffin P, Young ND. 2020. A select and resequence
625   approach reveals strain-specific effects of medicago nodule-specific PLAT-domain genes.
626   Plant Physiol 182.

627   63.   Taylor BN, Simms EL, Komatsu KJ. 2020. More than a functional group: Diversity within
628   the legume-rhizobia mutualism and its relationship with ecosystem function. Diversity 12.

629   64.   Vitousek PM, Menge DNL, Reed SC, Cleveland CC, Vitousek PM. 2013. Biological
630   nitrogen fixation : rates , patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems.

631   65.   Harrison TL, Simonsen AK, Stinchcombe JR, Frederickson E, Frederickson ME. 2018.
632   More partners, more ranges: Generalist legumes spread more easily around the globe.
633   bioRxiv.

634   66.   West SA, Toby Kiers E, Pen I, Denison RF. 2002. Sanctions and mutualism stability:
635   When should less beneficial mutualists be tolerated? J Evol Biol 15:830–837.

636   67.   Regus JU, Gano KA, Hollowell AC, Sachs JL. 2014. Efficiency of partner choice and
637   sanctions in *Lotus* is not altered by nitrogen fertilization. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 281:1–8.

638   68.   Wendlandt CE, Regus JU, Gano-Cohen KA, Hollowell AC, Quides KW, Lyu JY, Adinata
639   ES, Sachs JL. 2019. Host investment into symbiosis varies among genotypes of the
640   legume Acmispon strigosus , but host sanctions are uniform. New Phytol 221:446–458.

69.   Kosslak RM, Bohlool B Ben. 1985. Influence of environmental factors on interstrain
competition in *Rhizobium japonicum*. Appl Environ Microbiol 49:1128–1133.

70.   Weese DJ, Heath KD, Dentinger BTM, Lau JA. 2015. Long-term nitrogen addition causes
the evolution of less-cooperative mutualists. Evolution (N Y) 69:631–642.

71.   Klinger CR, Lau JA, Heath KD. 2016. Ecological genomics of mutualism decline in
nitrogen-fixing bacteria. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 283:20152563.

72.   Denison RF, Kiers ET. 2004. Lifestyle alternatives for rhizobia: Mutualism, parasitism,
and forgoing symbiosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 237:187–193.

73.   Hollowell AC, Regus JU, Turissini D, Gano-Cohen KA, Bantay R, Bernardo A, Moore D,
Pham J, Sachs JL. 2016. Metapopulation dominance and genomic-island acquisition of
Bradyrhizobium with superior catabolic capabilities. Proc R Soc London B Biol Sci 283.

74.   Heath KD, Stinchcombe JR. 2014. Explaining mutualism variation: A new evolutionary
paradox? Evolution (N Y) 68:309–317.

75.   Ndungu SM, Messmer MM, Ziegler D, Thuita M, Vanlauwe B, Frossard E, Thonar C.
2018. Evaluation of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry for the competitiveness analysis of
selected indigenous cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.*) Bradyrhizobium strains from
Kenya. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 102:5265–5278.

76.   Moawad M, Schmidt EL. 1987. Occurrence and nature of mixed infections in nodules of
field-grown soybeans (*Glycine max*). Biol Fertil Soils 5:112–114.

77.   Akçay E. 2015. Evolutionary models of mutualism, p. 57–76. *In* Bronstein, JL (ed.),
Mutualism. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

78.   Ossler JN, Zielinski CA, Heath KD. 2015. Tripartite mutualism: Facilitation or trade-offs
between rhizobial and mycorrhizal symbionts of legume hosts. Am J Bot 102:1332–1341.

79.   Fazzino L, Anisman J, Chacón JM, Heineman RH, Harcombe WR. 2020. Lytic
bacteriophage have diverse indirect effects in a synthetic cross-feeding community. ISME
J 14:123–134.

80.   Brockhurst MA, Koskella B. 2013. Experimental coevolution of species interactions.
Trends Ecol Evol 28:367–375.

81.   Hoang KL, Morran LT, Gerardo NM. 2016. Experimental evolution as an underutilized
tool for studying beneficial animal-microbe interactions. Front Microbiol 7:1–16.

82.   Schlötterer C, Kofler R, Versace E, Tobler R, Franssen SU. 2015. Combining

experimental evolution with next-generation sequencing: a powerful tool to study

adaptation from standing genetic variation. Heredity (Edinb) 114:431–440.

83.   Singleton PW, Tavares JW. 1986. Inoculation response of legumes in relation to the

number and effectiveness of indigenous rhizobium populations. Appl Environ Microbiol

51:1013–1018.

84.   Müller MJI, Neugeboren BI, Nelson DR, Murray AW. 2014. Genetic drift opposes

mutualism during Spatial population expansion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:1037–

1042.

85.   Grazielli G, Moura D De, Mouffok S, Gaudu N, Cazalé A, Milhes M. 2022. A selective

bottleneck during host entry drives the evolution of new legume symbionts.

86.   de Moura GGD, Remigi P, Masson-Boivin C, Capela D. 2020. Experimental evolution of

legume symbionts: What have we learnt? Genes (Basel) 11.

87.   Wang Q, Liu J, Li H, Yang S, Körmöczi P, Kereszt A, Zhu H. 2018. Nodule-specific

cysteine-rich peptides negatively regulate nitrogen-fixing symbiosis in a strain-specific

manner in *Medicago truncatula*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 31:240–248.

88.   Wang D, Yang S, Tang F, Zhu H. 2012. Symbiosis specificity in the legume – rhizobial

mutualism 14:334–342.

89.   Gourion B, Alunni B. 2018. Strain-specific symbiotic genes: A new level of control in the

intracellular accommodation of rhizobia within legume nodule cells. Mol Plant-Microbe

Interact 31:MPMI-01-18-0010.

90.   Kaminsky LM, Trexler R V., Malik RJ, Hockett KL, Bell TH. 2019. The inherent

conflicts in developing soil microbial inoculants. Trends Biotechnol 37:140–151.

91.   Bucciarelli B, Hanan J, Palmquist D, Vance C. 2006. A standardized method for analysis

of *Medicago truncatula* phenotypic development. Plant Physiol 142:207–219.

92.   Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754–1760.

93.   Garrison E, Marth G. 2012. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read

sequencing. arXiv:12073907 1–9.

94.   Kessner D, Turner TL, Novembre J. 2013. Maximum likelihood estimation of frequencies

of known haplotypes from pooled sequence data. Mol Biol Evol 30:1145–1158.

95.   Oskanen J, Blanchet F, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin P, O'Hara

R, Simpson G, Solymos P, Stevens M, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2017. vegan: Community

Ecology Package. R package version 2.4-2.

Table 1: PERMANOVA on RDA of strain relative fitness shows effects of plant host and
inoculum density are far more robust than effects of nitrogen (top). Analyses of each host
separately (bottom) revealed that density and nitrogen had more significant effects with A17 than
R108 hosts.

Model and Terms	DF	Prop. Var	F	P
Both Hosts (adj. r^2= 0.35)				
Host	1	0.275	19.81	**< 0.001**
Density	1	0.056	4.02	**0.003**
Nitrogen	1	0.019	1.35	0.172
Host x Density	1	0.038	2.74	**0.015**
Host x Nitrogen	1	0.023	1.67	0.105
Density x Nitrogen	1	0.018	1.27	0.223
Host x Density x Nitrogen	1	0.016	1.13	0.272
Residual	40	0.556		
A17 only (adj. r^2= 0.20)				
Density	1	0.217	6.24	**< 0.001**
Nitrogen	1	0.062	1.78	0.079
Density x Nitrogen	1	0.027	0.76	0.656
Residual	20	0.695		
R108 only (adj. r^2= 0.05)				
Density	1	0.069	1.68	**0.034**
Nitrogen	1	0.045	1.08	0.336
Density x Nitrogen	1	0.059	1.43	0.083
Residual	20	0.827		

Note: Nitrogen was retained as a term in the sub-models because host values and variances were
so divergent from each other. Proportion Variance Explained (Prop. Var); degrees of freedom
(DF); P-value (P).

Table 2: Rankings of strain frequencies in two strain communities were consistant across

increasingly complex communities: three strains (C3), eight strains (C8), and 68 strains (C68).

The higher frequency strain is shown in bold followed by the proportion of replicates in which

the higher frequency strain also had higher relative frequency in the more complex communites.

Host	Pairwise Community	Pairwise strain frequency (4 reps)	C3 (5 reps)	C8 (5 reps)	C68 (6 reps)
A17	**HM006.1**: KH46c	**0.79** : 0.21	1	1	1
	M162 : **HM006.1**	0.01 : **0.99**	1	1	1
	M162 : **KH46c**	0.01 : **0.99**	1	1	1
R108	**HM006.1**: KH46c	**0.56** : 0.44	0.83	0.66	1
	M162 : **HM006.1**	0.01 : **0.99**	1	1	1
	M162 : **KH46c**	0.01 : **0.99**	1	1	1

Figure 1: Design of the Nitrogen x Density (a) and Community complexity (b) experiments and

traits measured on strains or plants in both experiments (c). All plants were harvested ~6 weeks

after planting. Inoculation density is given per plant (~10 plants per pot). Colored stacked bars

represent strain frequencies in each of six initial communities of 68 strains (C68- six replicate

pots for each N*D treatment), eight strains (C8- five reps), three strains (C3- five reps), and two

strain pairwise competitions (M:H, H:K and M:K four reps each).

Figure 2: Host genotype and inoculum density affected rhizobia strain community

characteristics. a) Host genotype, density, and their interaction all contributed to variation in

strain composition in nodules (percent contribution is in parenthesis, Table 1, Figure S4 for RDA

analysis by host genotype). b) Shannon's diversity decreased (i.e. stronger selection) at high

densities ($p<.001$) and high nitrogen ($p=0.039$) in A17. R108 nodules were always more diverse

than A17 ($p<0.001$) regardless of density or nitrogen (Table S2 for full model). c) N-addition had

little effect on the predicted benefit of nodule communities. However, predicted benefit

significantly increased at high densities in A17 ($p<0.001$) and significantly decreased in R108

($p=0.003$, full results in Table S3). d) Heatmap of median strain fitness values. Strains (rows) are

arranged by shared SNP's and treatments (columns) via hierarchical clustering.

Figure 3: Nodule traits in Density and Nitrogen treatments. Hosts produced different numbers (a)

and sizes (b) of nodules but a similar overall weight (c) across treatments. At low inoculation

densities, nodule number decreased, and nodule weight increased (full results in Table S2).

Figure 4: Rank order of relative strain frequencies in the nodule communities were identical

when plants were inoculated with either the 3-strain (C3) or 68-strain (C68) community (a-c),

and highly similar when inoculated with either the 8-strain (C8) or C68 community (d-f). (a,d)

show nested strain subsets of the initial communities. (b, e) Strain ranks as determined based on

mean strain frequency across five (C3 and C8) or six (C68) replicates for A17 (triangles) and

R108 (circles) and depicted in (c, f). In A17, the third and fourth-ranked strains USDA1157 and

KH46C swapped places, and in R108, T073 and USDA1157 swapped and the rarest strains

M270 and M162 swapped. See Fig S5 for additional contrasts and Fig S6 for variation between

individual replicates.

750