The Drosophila Baramicin polypeptide gene protects against fungal
infection

M.A. Hanson[1*], L.B. Cohen[2], A. Marra[1], I. Iatsenko[1,3], S.A. Wasserman[2], and B.
Lemaitre[1]

1 Global Health Institute, School of Life Science, École Polytechnique Fédérale de
Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland.
2 Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego (UCSD), La Jolla,
California, United States of America.
3 Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, 10117, Berlin, Germany.
* Corresponding author: M.A. Hanson (mark.hanson@epfl.ch), B. Lemaitre
(bruno.lemaitre@epfl.ch)

ORCID IDs:
Hanson: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6125-3672
Cohen: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6366-570X
Iatsenko: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9249-8998
Wasserman: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1680-3011
Lemaitre: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7970-1667

Abstract

The fruit fly *Drososphila melanogaster* combats microbial infection by
producing a battery of effector peptides that are secreted into the haemolymph.
Technical difficulties prevented the investigation of these short effector genes until
the recent advent of the CRISPR/CAS era. As a consequence, many putative immune
effectors remain to be characterized and exactly how each of these effectors
contributes to survival is not well characterized. Here we describe a novel
Drosophila antifungal peptide gene that we name *Baramicin A*. We show that *BaraA*
encodes a precursor protein cleaved into multiple peptides via furin cleavage sites.
BaraA is strongly immune-induced in the fat body downstream of the Toll pathway,
but also exhibits expression in the nervous system. Importantly, we show that flies
lacking *BaraA* are viable but susceptible to the enomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria*
bassiana. Consistent with *BaraA* being directly antimicrobial, overexpression of
BaraA promotes resistance to fungi and the IM10-like peptides produced by *BaraA*

synergistically inhibit growth of fungi in vitro when combined with a membrane-
disrupting antifungal. Surprisingly, *BaraA* males but not females display an erect
wing phenotype upon infection. Collectively, we identify a new antifungal immune
effector downstream of Toll signalling, improving our knowledge of the *Drosophila*
antimicrobial response.

Introduction

The innate immune response provides the first line of defence against
pathogenic infection. This reaction is usually divided into three stages: i) the
recognition of pathogens through dedicated pattern recognition receptors, ii) the
activation of conserved immune signalling pathways and iii) the production of
immune effectors that target invading pathogens [1,2]. The study of invertebrate
immune systems has led to key observations of broad relevance, such as the
discovery of phagocytosis [3], antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) [4], and the
implication of Toll receptors in metazoan immunity [5]. Elucidating immune
mechanisms, genes, and signalling pathways has greatly benefited from
investigations in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, which boasts a large suite of
molecular and genetic tools for manipulating the system. One of the best-
characterized immune reactions of *Drosophila* is the systemic immune response.
This reaction involves the fat body (an analog of the mammalian liver) producing
immune effectors that are secreted into the haemolymph. In *Drosophila*, two NF-κB
signalling pathways, the Toll and Imd pathways, regulate most inducible immune
effectors: the Toll pathway is predominantly activated in response to infection by
Gram-positive bacteria and fungi [5,6], while the immune-deficiency pathway (Imd)
responds to the DAP-type peptidoglycan most commonly found in Gram-negative
bacteria and a subset of Gram-positive bacteria [7]. These two signalling pathways
regulate a transcriptional program that results in the massive synthesis and
secretion of humoral effector peptides [6,8]. Accordingly, mutations affecting the
Toll and Imd pathways cause extreme susceptibilities to systemic infection that
reflect the important contribution of these pathways to host defence. The best-

characterized immune effectors downstream of these pathways are antimicrobial
peptides (AMPs). AMPS are small and often cationic peptides that disrupt the
membranes of microbes, although some have more specific mechanisms [9].
Multiple AMP genes belonging to seven well-characterized families are induced
upon systemic infection [10]. However transcriptomic analyses have revealed that
the systemic immune response encompasses far more than just the canonical AMPs.
Many uncharacterized genes encoding small secreted peptides are induced to high
levels downstream of the Toll and Imd pathways, pointing to the role for these
peptides as immune effectors [11]. In parallel, MALDI-TOF analyses of the
haemolymph of infected flies revealed the induction of 24 peaks - mostly
corresponding to uncharacterized peptides - that were named "IMs" for Immune-
induced Molecules (IM1-IM24) [8]. Many of the genes that encode these components
of the immune peptidic secretome have remained largely unexplored. This is mainly
due to the fact that these IMs belong to large gene families of small genes that were
until recently difficult to disrupt by mutagenesis.
82
The CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing approach now allows the necessary precision
to delete small genes, singly or in groups, providing the opportunity to dissect
effector peptide functions. In 2015 a family of 12 related IM-encoding genes, unified
under the name *Bomanins*, were shown to function downstream of Toll. Importantly,
a deletion removing 10 out of the 12 Bomanins revealed their potent contribution to
defence against both Gram-positive bacteria and fungi [12]. While Bomanins
contribute significantly to Toll-mediated defence, their molecular functions are still
unknown and it is unclear if they are directly antimicrobial [13]. Two other IMs
encoding IM4 and IM14 (renamed *Daisho1* and *Daisho2*, respectively) were shown
to contribute downstream of Toll to resistance against specific fungi. Interestingly,
Daisho peptides bind to fungal hyphae, suggesting direct antifungal activity [14].
Finally a systematic knock-out analysis of *Drosophila* AMPs revealed that they play
an important role in defence against Gram-negative bacteria and some fungi, but
surprisingly little against Gram-positive bacteria [15]. An unforeseen finding from
these recent studies is the high degree of AMP-pathogen specificity: this is perhaps

best illustrated by the specific requirement for *Diptericin*, but not other AMPs, in

defence against *Providencia rettgeri* [15,16]. Collectively, these studies in *Drosophila*

reveal that immune effectors can be broad or specific in mediating host-pathogen

interactions. Understanding the logic of the *Drosophila* effector response will thus

require a careful dissection of the remaining uncharacterized immune induced

peptides.

Previous studies identified an uncharacterized Toll-regulated gene called

IMPPP/CG33470, which we rename "*BaraA*" (see below), that encodes several IMs,

indicating a role in the humoral response. Here, we have improved the annotation of

IMs produced by *BaraA* to include: IM10, IM12 (and its sub-peptide IM6), IM13 (and

its sub-peptides IM5 and IM8), IM22, and IM24. Using a *BaraA* reporter, we show

that *BaraA* is not only immune-induced in the fat body, but also expressed in the

head, and nervous system tissue including the eyes, and ocelli. Importantly, we show

that flies lacking *BaraA* are viable but susceptible to specific infections, notably by

the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. Consistent with this, the IM10-

like peptides produced by *BaraA* inhibit fungal growth in vitro when combined with

the antifungal Pimaricin. Surprisingly, *BaraA* deficient males also display a striking

erect wing behaviour upon infection. Collectively, we identify a new antifungal

immune effector downstream of Toll signalling, improving our knowledge of the

Drosophila antimicrobial response.

Results:

BaraA is regulated by the Toll pathway

Previous microarray studies from De Gregorio et al. [11] suggest that *BaraA* is

primarily regulated by the Toll pathway, with a minor input from the Imd pathway

(**Fig.** 1A). Consistent with this, we found several putative NF-κB binding sites

upstream of the *BaraA* gene (guided by previous reports [17–19]). Notably there are

Figure 1: *BaraA* is an immune-induced gene regulated by the Toll pathway. A)
Expression profile of *BaraA* upon bacterial challenge by a mixture of *E. coli* and *M. luteus*
(from De Gregorio et al. [11]). Induction coefficient is a complex calculation of log-fold
change reported in De Gregorio et al. [11], and values are given relative to wild type
unchallenged expression levels. B) *BaraA* expression profiles in wild-type, *spz*[rm7] and *Rel*[E20]
flies upon septic injury with the Gram-negative bacterium *E. coli* and the yeast *C. albicans*.
DptA and *BomBc3* were used as inducible control genes for the Imd and Toll pathways
respectively. Floating asterisks indicate significance relative to *iso-UC* where: * = p < .05 and,
*** = p < .001. C) Use of a *BaraA* reporter reveals that *BaraA* induction upon infection is
primarily driven by the fat body in adults, and results in a much stronger GFP signal upon
pricking with *M. luteus* (which stimulates the Toll pathway) compared to *E. coli* (which
stimulates the Imd pathway). Representative images taken 60h (adults) after handling
alone (UC) or infection with *E. coli* or *M. luteus* (OD=200). D-G) *BaraA>mGFP* is highly
expressed in the head (D), at the border of the eyes and in the ocelli (E), in the wing veins
(F-G yellow arrows), and beneath the cuticle in the thorax (H, orange arrows).

two putative binding sites for Relish, the transcription factor of the Imd pathway

and three putative binding sites for the Dif/Dorsal transcription factors acting

downstream of Toll (**Fig.** S1A). We challenged wild type flies and Imd or Toll

pathway mutants (Rel^{E20} and spz^{rm7} respectively) with the Gram-negative bacterium

Escherichia coli, the yeast *Candida albicans*, or the Gram-positive bacterium

Micrococcus luteus. RT-qPCR analysis confirms that *BaraA* is induced by infection

with *E. coli*, *C. albicans*, or *M. luteus (***Fig.** 1B and **Fig.** S1B). *BaraA* remains highly

inducible in a *Relish* mutant background, albeit at slightly reduced level compared to

the wild type. However *BaraA* expression is abolished in spz^{rm7} flies. Collectively, the

expression pattern of *BaraA* is reminiscent of the antifungal peptide gene

Drosomycin with a primary input by the Toll pathway and a minor input from the

Imd pathway [10,20].

To further characterize the expression of *BaraA*, we generated a *BaraA-Gal4*

transgene in which 1675bp of the *BaraA* promoter sequence is fused to the yeast

transcription factor Gal4. Use of *BaraA-Gal4>UAS-mCD8-GFP* (referred to as

BaraA>mGFP) reveals that *BaraA* is strongly induced in the fat body 60h post

infection by *M. luteus*, but less so by *E. coli* pricking (**Fig.** 1C); dissections confirmed

this GFP signal is produced by the fat body. Larvae pricked with *M. luteus* also show

a robust GFP signal primarily stemming from the fat body when examined 2hpi (**Fig.**

S1C). We also observed a strong constitutive GFP signal in the headcase of adults

(**Fig.** 1D), including the border of the eyes and the ocelli (**Fig.** 1E). Dissection

confirmed that the *BaraA* reporter is expressed in brain tissue, notably in the central

ventral brain furrow. Other consistent signals include GFP in the wing veins and

subcutaneously along borders of thoracic pleura in adults (**Fig.** 1F-G), and in

spermatheca of females (**Fig.** S1D). There was also sporadic GFP signal in other

tissues that included the larval hindgut, the dorsal abdomen of developing pupae,

and the seminal vesicle of males. These expression patterns largely agree with data

reported in FlyAtlas1 [21].

Baramicin A encodes a precursor protein cleaved into multiple peptides

Previous studies using bioinformatics and proteomics have suggested that four highly immune-induced peptides (IM10, IM12, IM13, and IM24) are encoded in tandem as a single polypeptide precursor by *IMPPP/BaraA* [8,22]. Some less-abundant sub-peptides (IM5, IM6, and IM8) are also produced by additional cleavage of IM12 and IM13 [22]. Using a newly generated null mutant ("*ΔBaraA*," described below), we analyzed haemolymph samples of wild type and *ΔBara* flies infected with a bacterial mixture of *E. coli* and *M. luteus* by MALDI-TOF analysis. We confirmed the loss of the seven immune-induced peaks corresponding to IMs 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, and 24 in *ΔBaraA* flies (**Fig.** 2A). We also noticed that an additional immune-induced peak at ~5975 Da was absent in our *BaraA* mutants. Upon re-visiting the original studies that annotated the *Drosophila* IMs, we realized this peak corresponded to IM22, whose sequence was never determined [8,22] (see supplementary information for details). We subjected haemolymph from infected flies to LC-MS proteomic analysis following trypsin digestion and found that in addition to the known IMs of *BaraA* (IMs 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, and 24), trypsin-digested fragments of the *BaraA* C-terminus peptide were also detectable in the haemolymph (**Fig.** S2). The range of detected fragments did not match the full length of the C-terminus exactly, as the first four residues were absent in our LC-MS data (a truncation not predicted to arise via trypsin cleavage). The *BaraA* C-terminus lacking these four residues has a calculated mass of 5974.5 Da, exactly matching the observed mass of the IM22 peak absent in *BaraA* mutant flies. Furthermore in other *Drosophila* species these four residues of the *BaraA* C-terminus are instead an RXRR furin cleavage motif (**Fig.** S3A). Therefore IM22 cleavage in other species, even by an alternate cleavage process, should result in the same maturated IM22 domain as found in *D. melanogaster*. Taken together, we conclude that IM22 is the mature form of the BaraA protein C-terminus.

Figure 2: The *BaraA* gene structure. A) MALDI-TOF analysis of haemolymph from *iso w¹¹¹⁸* wild-type and *iso ΔBaraA* flies 24 hours post-infection (hpi) confirms that *BaraA* mutants fail to produce the IM10-like and IM24 peptides. *iso ΔBaraA* flies also fail to produce an immune-induced peak at ~5794 Da corresponding to IM22 (the C-terminal peptide of BaraA, see supplementary information). B) The *BaraA* gene encodes a precursor protein that is cleaved into multiple mature peptides at RXRR furin cleavage sites. The subpeptides IMs 5, 6, and 8 are additional minor cleavage products of IM12 and IM13. IM22 is additionally cleaved following its GIND motif (Fig. S2 and S3A). C) There is a *BaraA* locus duplication event present in the Dmel_R6 reference genome. This duplication is not fixed in laboratory stocks and wild-type flies (Hanson and Lemaitre, 2020; in prep.).

Thus, a single gene, *BaraA*, contributes to one third of the originally described *Drosophila* IMs. These peptides are encoded as a polypeptide precursor interspersed by furin cleavage sites (e.g. RXRR) (**Fig.** 2B). We note that the IM10, IM12 and IM13 peptides are tandem repeats of related peptides, which we collectively refer to as "IM10-like" peptides (**Fig.** S3B). The IM22 peptide also contains a similar motif as the IM10-like peptides (**Fig.** S3A-B), suggesting a related biological activity. We name this gene "*Baramicin A*" (symbol: *BaraA*) for the Japanese idiom Bara Bara (バラバラ), meaning "to break apart;" a reference to the fragmenting structure of the *Baramicin* precursor protein and its many peptidic products.

A BaraA duplication is present in some laboratory stocks

Over the course of our investigation, we realized that *IMPPP (CG18279)* was identical to its neighbour gene *CG33470* owing to a duplication event of the *BaraA* locus present in the *D. melanogaster* reference genome. The exact nature of this duplication is discussed in a separate article (Hanson and Lemaitre; in prep). In brief, the duplication involves the entire *BaraA* gene including over 1kbp of 100% identical promoter sequence, and also the neighbouring sulfatase gene CG30059 and the 3' terminus of the *ATP8A* gene region (**Fig.** 2C). We distinguish the two daughter genes as *BaraA1 (CG33470)* and *BaraA2 (CG18279).* Available sequence data suggests the *BaraA1* and *BaraA2* transcripts are 100% identical. We analyzed the presence of the *BaraA* duplication using a PCR assay spanning the junction of the duplicated region (supplementary data file 1). Interestingly, *BaraA* copy number is variable in common lab strains and wild flies, indicating this duplication event is not fixed in *D. melanogaster* (Hanson and Lemaitre; in prep).

Over-expression of BaraA improves the resistance of immune deficient flies

Imd, Toll deficient flies are extremely susceptible to microbial infection as they fail to induce hundreds of immune genes, including antimicrobial peptides [11]. It has been shown that over-expression of even a single AMP can improve the resistance of *Imd, Toll* deficient flies [23]. As such, immune gene over-expression in *Imd, Toll* immune-compromised flies provides a direct assay to test the ability of a gene to contribute to defence independent of other immune effectors. We applied this strategy to *Baramicin A* by generating flies that constitutively express *BaraA* using the ubiquitous *Actin5C-Gal4* driver *(Act-Gal4)* in an immune-deficient *Rel^(E20)*, *spz^(rm7)* double mutant background (**Fig.** S4A). In these experiments, we pooled results from both males and females due to the very low availability of homozygous *Rel, spz* adults, particularly when combined with *Act-Gal4*. Overall, similar trends were seen in both sexes, and separate male and female survival curves are shown in **Fig.** S4.

Ubiquitous *BaraA* expression marginally improved the survival of *Rel, spz*

flies upon infection with *M luteus* bacteria, however there was no effect upon

infection with *E. coli* (**Fig. S4B-C**). On the other hand, ubiquitous expression of

BaraA provided a more pronounced protective effect against infection by a variety

of fungal pathogens. This was true upon pricking with *C. albicans* (**Fig.** 3A), or upon

natural infections using *Aspergillus fumigatus* or *Neurospora crassa* filamentous

fungi (**Fig.** 3B-C). This over-expression study reveals that *BaraA* alone can partially

rescue the susceptibility of *Imd, Toll* deficient flies to infection, and points to a more

prominent role for *BaraA* in antifungal defence.

IM10-like peptides display antifungal activity in vitro

The Baramicin A gene encodes a polypeptide precursor that ultimately produces multiple mature peptides. However the most prominent *BaraA* products are the 23-residue IM10, 12, and 13 peptides (collectively the "IM10-like" peptides); indeed three IM10-like peptides are produced for every one IM24 peptide (**Fig.** 2B), and IM22 also bears an IM10-like motif (**Fig.** S3). This prompted us to explore the in vitro activity of the BaraA IM10-like peptides as potential AMPs.

We synthesized IM10, IM12, and IM13 and performed in vitro antimicrobial assays with these three IM10-like peptides using a 1:1:1 cocktail with a final concentration of 300μM (100 μM each of IM10, IM12, and IM13). Using a protocol adapted from Wiegand et al. [24], we monitored the microbicidal activity of this peptide cocktail either alone, or in combination with membrane-disrupting antibiotics that facilitate peptide entry into the cell. We based this approach on previous studies that showed that the microbicidal activities of Abaecin-like peptides, which target the bacterial DNA chaperone *DnaK,* increase exponentially in combination with a membrane disrupting agent [25–27]. We did not detect any killing activity of our IM10-like peptide cocktail alone against *Pectobacterium carotovora Ecc15* (hereafter *"Ecc15"*), *Enterococcus faecalis,* or *C. albicans*. We also found no activity of IM10-like peptides against *Ecc15 or E. faecalis* when co-incubated with a sub-lethal dose of Cecropin or Ampicillin respectively. However, we observed a synergistic interaction between IM10-like peptides and the antifungal Pimaricin against *C. albicans* (**Fig.** 3D). Co-incubation of the IM10-like cocktail with Pimaricin significantly improved the killing activity of Pimaricin at 32μg/mL relative to either treatment alone. While not statistically significant, the combination of IM10-like cocktail and Pimaricin also outperformed either the IM10-like cocktail alone or Pimaricin alone across the entire range of Pimaricin concentrations tested.

We next co-incubated dilute preparations of *B. bassiana* strain R444 spores

under the same conditions as used previously with *C. albicans*, plated 2µL droplets,

and assessed the diameters and corresponding surface area of colonies derived from

individual spores after 4 days of growth at 25°C to assess growth rate. We found

that neither the IM10-like cocktail nor Pimaricin alone affected surface area relative

to PBS buffer control alone (Tukey's HSD: p = 0.656 and 0.466 respectively).

However in combination, the IM10-like cocktail plus Pimaricin led to significantly

reduced colony size compared to either treatment alone, corresponding to a 19-29%

reduction in surface area relative to controls (**Fig.** 3E, Tukey's HSD: p < .01 in all

cases). This indicates that incubation with IM10-like peptides and Pimaricin

synergistically inhibits *B. bassiana* mycelial growth, revealing an otherwise cryptic

antifungal effect of the BaraA IM10-like peptides.

Overall, we found that IM10-like peptides alone do not kill *C. albicans* yeast

or impair *B. bassiana* mycelial growth in vitro. However, IM10-like peptides seem to

synergize with the antifungal Pimaricin to inhibit growth of both of these fungi.

BaraA deficient flies broadly resist like wild type upon bacterial infection

To further characterize *BaraA* function, we generated a null mutation of

BaraA by replacing the 'entire' *BaraA* locus with a dsRed cassette using CRISPR

mediated homology-directed repair with fly stocks that contain only one *BaraA* gene

copy (BL2057 and BL51323). After isolation, this mutation (*BaraA^SW1^*) was then

backcrossed once to a lab strain of *w^1118^* (used in [12–14]) to remove a second site

mutation (see materials and methods). The resulting *w^1118^; BaraA^SW1^* flies are hereon

referred to as *"w; ΔBaraA."* Finally, the *BaraA^SW1^* mutation was isogenized by seven

rounds of backcrossing into the *w^1118^ DrosDel* isogenic genetic background (*iso*

*w^1118^*) [28] as described in Ferreira et al and are hereon referred to as "*iso ΔBaraA*"

[29]. Relevant to this study, both our *OR-R* and *DrosDel iso w^1118^* wild type lines

contain the duplication and thus have both *BaraA1 and A2* genes, while *w; ΔBaraA*

and *iso ΔBaraA* flies lack *BaraA* entirely. In the following experiments, we compare

the immune response of both *w; ΔBaraA* and *iso ΔBaraA* and focused only on

phenotypes that were consistent in both genetic backgrounds.

We validated these mutant lines by PCR, qPCR and MALDI-TOF peptidomics

(**Fig.** 2A, supplementary data file 1). *BaraA*-deficient flies were viable with no

morphological defect. Furthermore, *ΔBaraA* flies have wild type Toll and Imd

signalling responses following infection, indicating that *BaraA* is not required for the

activation of these signaling cascades (**Fig.** S5A-C). Moreover, *BaraA* mutant flies

survive clean injury like wild type (**Fig.** S5D), and have comparable lifespan to wild

type flies (**Fig.** S5E). We next challenged *BaraA* mutant flies using our two genetic

backgrounds with a variety of pathogens. We included susceptible Imd deficient

Rel^E20 flies, Toll deficient *spz^rm7* flies and *Bomanin* deficient *Bom^Δ55C* flies as

comparative controls. We observed that *BaraA* null flies have comparable resistance

as wild type to infection with the Gram-negative bacterium *Ecc15* (**Fig.** S6A), or with

the Gram-positive bacterium *B. subtilis* (**Fig.** S6B). In contrast, we saw a mild

increase in the susceptibility of *w; ΔBaraA* flies to infection by the Gram-positive

bacterium *E. faecalis* (HR = +0.73, p = .014). We also saw an early mortality

phenotype in *iso ΔBaraA* flies (at 3.5 days, p < .001), although this was not ultimately

statistically significant (**Fig.** 4A; p = .173). This mild susceptibility was also observed

using flies carrying the *BaraA* mutation over a deficiency (*ΔBaraA/Df(BaraA))*, as

well as in flies ubiquitously expressing *BaraA* RNAi (**Fig.** S7); however none of these

sets of survival experiments individually reached statistical significance. Overall, the

susceptibility of *BaraA* mutants to *E. faecalis* is mild, but consistent using a variety of

genetic approaches.

Figure 4: *ΔBaraA* flies are most susceptible to fungal infection. A) *BaraA* mutants with two different genetic backgrounds (here called *w* or *iso*) display a mild susceptibility to systemic infection with *E. faecalis*. This presents as an earlier mortality in the *iso* background (dotted line, p < .001). However, survival in *iso ΔBaraA* flies was not significantly different from wild type at seven days post-infection (p = .173). B) *BaraA* mutants in both backgrounds are susceptible to natural infection with the entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana*. C-D) Increased susceptibility (C) and fungal load (D) of *ΔBaraA* flies upon systemic infection by *B. bassiana strain R444*.

BaraA mutant flies are highly susceptible to Beauveria fungal infection

374
375

Entomopathogenic fungi such as *B. bassiana* represent an important class of
insect pathogens [6]. They have the ability to directly invade the body cavity by
digesting and crossing through the insect cuticle. The Toll pathway is critical to
survive fungal pathogens as it is directly responsible for the expression of *Bomanin*,
Daisho, *Drosomycin* and *Metchnikowin* antifungal effectors [12,14,15,30,31]. The fact
that i) *BaraA* is Toll-regulated, ii) BaraA IM10-like peptides display antifungal
activity in vitro, and iii) *BaraA* overexpression improves the resistance of *Imd, Toll*
deficient flies against fungi all point to a role for *BaraA* against fungal pathogens.
384

We infected *BaraA* mutants and wild type flies by rolling flies in sporulating
B. bassiana petri dishes. Strikingly, both *w; ΔBaraA* and *iso ΔBaraA* flies displayed a
pronounced susceptibility to natural infection with *B. bassiana* (HR = +2.10 or +0.96
respectively, p < .001 for both) (**Fig.** 4B). An increased susceptibility to fungi was
also observed using flies carrying the *BaraA* mutation over a deficiency (**Fig.** S8A) or
that ubiquitously express *BaraA* RNAi (**Fig.** S8B). Moreover, constitutive *BaraA*
expression (*Act-Gal4>UAS-BaraA)* in an otherwise wild type background improves
survival to *B. bassiana* relative to *Act-Gal4>OR-R* controls (HR = -0.52, p = .010) (**Fig.**
S8C). Finally, we used a preparation of commercial *B. bassiana R444* spores (BB-
PROTEC, Andermatt Biocontrol) to perform controlled systemic infections by
pricking flies with a needle dipped in spore solution. In these experiments we
monitored both survival and fungal load using qPCR primers specific to the *B.*
bassiana 18S rRNA gene [32]. As seen with natural infection, *BaraA* mutants were
highly susceptible to *Beauveria* systemic infection (**Fig.** 4C). Moreover, *BaraA*
mutants suffered increased fungal load by 48 hours after infection (**Fig.** 4D).
400

401   Collectively, our survival analyses point to an important role for *BaraA* in
402 defence against the entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana*. Consistent with a direct
403 effect of *BaraA* on fungi, we observe a susceptibility of *BaraA* mutants to infection
404 that is correlated with increased proliferation of *B. bassiana*.

Figure 5: *BaraA* contributes to antifungal defence independent of *Bomanins.* A)
ΔBaraA , Bom^{Δ55C} double mutant flies were more susceptible than either mutation alone to
natural infection with *A. fumigatus* (see Fig. S6C-D for sex-specific survival curves). B)
ΔBaraA , Bom^{Δ55C} double mutant flies were similarly more susceptible than individual
mutants when given a mild (30mg of spores) *Beauveria* natural infection by *B. bassiana*
R444.

BaraA contributes to antifungal defence independent of Bomanins

Use of compound mutants carrying multiple mutations in effector genes has

shown that some of them additively contribute to host resistance to infection [15].

Compound deletions of immune genes can also reveal contributions of immune

effectors that are not detectable via single mutant analysis [15,33,34]. Recent

studies have indicated that *Bomanins* play a major role in defence against fungi

[12,13], though their mechanism of action is unknown. It is possible that *Bomanin*

activity relies on the presence of *BaraA*, or vice versa. This prompted us to

investigate the interaction of *Bomanins* and *BaraA* in defence against fungi. To do

this, we recombined the *Bom^{Δ55C}* mutation (that removes a cluster of 10 *Bomanin*

genes) with *ΔBaraA.* While natural infection with *Aspergillus fumigatus* did not

induce significant mortality in *BaraA* single mutants (**Fig.** S6C-D), we observed that

combining *ΔBaraA* and *Bom^{Δ55C}* mutations increases fly susceptibility to this

pathogen relative to *Bom^{Δ55C}* alone (HR = -0.46, p = .003; **Fig.** 5A). We next exposed

these *ΔBaraA*, *Bom^{Δ55C}*, double mutant flies to natural infection with 30mg of

commercial spores of *B. bassiana R444*, which we found to be a less virulent

Beauveria infection model. This is equivalent to approximately 60 million spores,

much of which are removed afterwards upon fly grooming. When using this

infection method, we found that *BaraA* mutation markedly increases the

susceptibility of *Bom*$^{\Delta 55C}$ mutant flies (HR = -0.89, p < .001), approaching *spz*^{rm7}

susceptibility (**Fig.** 5B).

We conclude that the contribution of *BaraA* to defence does not rely on the

presence of *Bomanins*, and vice versa. This finding is consistent with the ability of

constitutively expressed *BaraA* to improve survival outcome even in *Imd, Toll*

deficient flies. Taken together, these results suggest *BaraA* improves survival

against fungi independent of other effectors of the systemic immune response,

consistent with a direct effect on invading fungi.

ΔBaraA males display an erect wing phenotype upon infection

While performing infections with *A. fumigatus*, we observed a high

prevalence of *BaraA* mutant flies with upright wings (**Fig.** 6A-B), a phenotype

similar to the effect of disrupting the gene encoding the "*erect wing*" (*ewg*)

transcription factor [35]. Curiously, this erect wing phenotype was most specifically

observed in males. Upon further observation, erect wing was observed not only

upon *A. fumigatus* infection, but also upon infections with all Gram-positive bacteria

and fungi tested (**Table** S1). Increased prevalence of erect wing flies was observed

upon infection with both live (**Fig.** 6C) and heat-killed *E. faecalis* (**Fig.** 6D), but less

so upon clean injury or via infection with the Gram-negative bacteria *Ecc15* (**Fig.**

S9A-B). Thus, the erect wing phenotype appears to be observed in *BaraA* mutants in

response to stimuli known to activate the Toll pathway, but does not require a live

infection.

Such a phenotype in infected males has never been reported, but is

reminiscent of the wing extension behaviour of flies infected by the brain-

controlling "zombie" fungus *Enthomopthera muscae* [36]. Intrigued by this

phenotype, we further explored its prevalence in other genetic backgrounds. We

Figure 6: *ΔBaraA* males display an erect wing phenotype upon infection. A) *ΔBaraA* males displaying erect wing six days after *A. fumigatus* natural infection. B-D) *spz^{rm7}* and *ΔBaraA* males, but not *Bom^{Δ55C}* or *Rel^{E20}* flies display the erect wing phenotype upon natural infection with *A. fumigatus* (B), or septic injury with live (C) or heat-killed *E. faecalis* (D). Barplots show the percentage of flies displaying erect wing following treatment, with individual data points reflecting replicate experiments. Additional challenges are shown in Table S1.

next confirmed that this phenotype was also observed in other *BaraA*-deficient

backgrounds such as *Df(BaraA)/ΔBara*; however the penetrance was variable from

one background to another. Erect wing was also observed in *ΔBaraA/+*

heterozygous flies (*Df(BaraA)/+* or *ΔBaraA/+*), indicating that the lack of *BaraA* on

one chromosome was sufficient to cause the phenotype (**Fig.** S9C and **Table** S1).

Moreover, *spz^{rm7}* flies that lack functional Toll signalling phenocopy *ΔBaraA* flies and

display erect wing, but other immune-deficient genotypes such as mutants for the

Toll-regulated *Bomanin* effectors (*Bom^{Δ55C}*), or *Rel^{E20}* mutants that lack Imd

signalling, did not readily display erect wing (**Fig.** 6C-D, **Table** S1)*.* Thus the erect

wing phenotype is not linked to susceptibility to infection, but rather to loss of

BaraA upon stimuli triggering the Toll immune pathway. This phenotype suggests

an additional effect of *BaraA* on tissues related to the wing muscle or in the nervous
system.

Discussion:

Seven *Drosophila* AMP families were identified in the 1980s-1990s either by
homology with AMPs characterized in other insects or owing to their abundant
production and microbicidal activities in vitro [37]. In the 2000s, genome
annotations revealed the existence of many additional paralogous genes from the
seven well-defined families of AMPs [38,39]. At that time, microarray and MALDI-
TOF analyses also revealed the existence of many more small immune-induced
peptides, which may function as AMPs [8,22]. Genetic analyses using loss of function
mutations have recently shown that some of these peptides do play an important
role in host defence, however key points surrounding their direct microbicidal
activities remain unclear. In 2015, *Bomanins* were shown to be critical to host
defence using genetic approaches, but to date no activity in vitro has been found
[12,13]. Two candidate AMPs, Listericin [40] and GNBP-like3 [41], were shown to
inhibit microbial growth upon heterologous expression using S2 cell lines or
bacteria respectively. Most recently, Daisho peptides were shown to bind to fungal
hyphae ex vivo, and are required for resisting fungal infection in vivo [14]. However
the mechanism and direct microbicidal activity of these various peptides at
physiological concentrations was not assessed.

In this study, we provide evidence from four separate experimental
approaches that support adding *BaraA* to the list of bona-fide antifungal peptides.
First, the *BaraA* gene is strongly induced in the fat body upon infection resulting in
abundant peptide production. *BaraA* is also tightly regulated by the Toll pathway,
which orchestrates the antifungal response. Second, loss of function study shows
that *BaraA* contributes to resistance against fungi. *BaraA* mutation increases
susceptibility to *B. bassiana*, and this is coupled with increased *B. bassiana*

proliferation. Third, the antifungal activity of *BaraA* is independent of other key

effectors. Over-expression of *BaraA* in the absence of other inducible peptides

increased resistance of *Imd, Toll* deficient flies to various fungi including *C. albicans*,

A. fumigatus, and *N. crassa*. Moreover *BaraA, Bomanin* double mutants suffered

greater susceptibility than *Bomanin* mutants alone upon natural infection, even with

a relatively avirulent fungal pathogen (*A. fumigatus*). Fourth, and lastly, a cocktail of

the *BaraA* IM10-like peptides possesses antifungal activity against *C. albicans* and *B.*

bassiana in vitro when co-incubated with the membrane disrupting antifungal

Pimaricin.

While it is difficult to estimate the concentration of BaraA peptides in the

haemolymph of infected flies, it is expected based on MALDI-TOF peak intensities

that the IM10-like peptides should reach concentrations similar to other AMPs (up

to 100µM) [10,20]; our in vitro assays used a peptide cocktail at the upper limit of

this range. AMPs are often - but not exclusively – positively charged. This positive

charge is thought to recruit these molecules to negatively charged membranes of

microbes [10]. However the net charges at pH=7 of the IM10-like peptides are: IM10

+1.1, IM12 +0.1, and IM13 -0.9. Given this range of net charge, IM10-like peptides

are not overtly cationic. However some AMPs are antimicrobial without being

positively charged, exemplified by human Dermicidin [42] and anionic peptides of

Lepidoptera that also synergize with membrane-disrupting agents [43]. More

extensive in vitro experiments with additional fungi should confirm the range of

BaraA peptide activities, and assay the potential activities of IM22 and IM24, which

were not included in this study.

Our study also reveals that the *Baramicin A* gene alone produces at least 1/3

of the initially reported IMs. In addition to the IM10-like peptides and IM24 that

were previously assigned to *BaraA* [22], we show IM22 is encoded by the C terminus

of *BaraA*, and is conserved in other *Drosophila* species. The production of multiple

IMs encoded as tandem repeats between furin cleavage sites is built-in to the BaraA

protein design akin to a "protein operon." Such tandem repeat organization is rare,

but not totally unique among AMPs. This structure was first described in the

bumblebee AMP Apidaecin [44], and has since also been found in Drosocin of

Drosophila neotestacea [45]. In *D. melanogaster*, several AMPs are furin-processed

including Attacin C and its pro-peptide MPAC, wherein both parts synergize in

killing bacteria [25]. Therefore, furin cleavage in Attacin C enables the precise co-

expression of distinct peptides with synergistic activity. It is interesting to note that

IM10-like peptides did not show antifungal activity in the absence of membrane

disruption by Pimaricin. An attractive hypothesis is that longer peptides encoded by

BaraA such as IM22 and IM24 could contribute to the antifungal activity of *BaraA* by

membrane permeabilization, allowing the internalization of IM10-like peptides.

Indeed, the BaraA IM24 peptide is a short Glycine-rich peptide (96 AA) that is

positively-charged (charge +2.4 at pH=7). These traits are shared by amphipathic

membrane-disrupting AMPs such as Attacins [10], however the precise role for the

Baramicin IM24 domain remains to be determined.

An unexpected observation of our study is the display of an erect wing

phenotype by *BaraA* deficient males upon infection. Our study suggests that this is

not a consequence of the genetic background, but rather relies on the activation of

the Toll pathway in the absence of *BaraA*. Erect wing is also induced by heat-killed

bacteria, and is not observed in *Bomanin* or *Relish* mutants, indicating that the erect

wing phenotype is not a generic consequence of susceptibility to infection. The *erect*

wing gene, whose inactivation causes a similar phenotype, is a transcription factor

that regulates synaptic growth in developing neuromuscular junctions [35]. This

raises the intriguing hypothesis that immune processes downstream of the Toll

ligand Spaetzle somehow affect wing neuromuscular junctions, and that *BaraA*

modulates this activity. Another puzzling observation is the sexual dimorphism

exhibited for this response. Male courtship and aggression displays involve similar

wing extension behaviours. Koganezawa et al. [46] showed that males deficient for

Gustatory receptor 32a (Gr32a) failed to unilaterally extend wings during courtship

display. *Gr32a*-expressing cells extend into the subesophageal ganglion where they

contact mAL, a male-specific set of interneurons involved in unilateral wing display

[46]. One possible explanation for the male specific effects of BaraA could be that

BaraA mediates this effect through interactions with such male-specific neurons.

BaraA is highly produced in the fat body upon infection but also expressed in the

nervous system (**Fig.** 1D-E and FlyAtlas1 [21]). Further studies should decipher

whether the preventative effect of *BaraA* on the erect wing phenotype is cell

autonomous or linked to BaraA peptides secreted into the haemolymph. Recent

studies have highlighted how NF-κB signalling in the brain is activated by bacterial

peptidoglycan [47], and that immune effectors expressed either by fat body

surrounding the brain or from within brain tissue itself affect memory formation

[41]. Moreover, an AMP of nematodes regulates aging-dependent

neurodegeneration through binding to its G-protein coupled receptor, and this

pathway is sufficient to trigger neurodegeneration following infection [48]. Thus

immune-inducible AMPs can have striking interactions with neurological processes.

As such, future studies characterizing the role of *BaraA* in the erect wing phenotype

should provide insight on interactions between systemic immunity and host

physiology more generally.

Here we describe a complex immune effector gene that produces multiple

peptide products. *BaraA* encodes many of the most abundant immune effectors

induced downstream of the Toll signalling pathway, and indeed *BaraA* promotes

survival upon fungal infection. How each peptide contributes to the immune

response and/or erect wing behaviour will be informative in understanding the

range of effects immune effectors can have on host physiology. This work and others

also clarifies how the cocktail of immune effectors produced upon infection acts

specifically during innate host defence reactions.

Materials and methods:

Fly genetics and sequence comparisons

Sequence files were collected from FlyBase [49] and recently-generated sequence data [45,50] and comparisons were made using Geneious R10. Putative NF-κB binding sites were annotated using the Relish motif "GGRDNNHHBS" described in Copley et al. [18] and a manually curated amalgam motif of "GGGHHNNDVH" derived from common Dif binding sites described previously [17,19]. Gene expression analyses were performed using primers described in supplementary data file 1, and further microarray validation for *BaraA* expression comes from De Gregorio et al. [11].

The *UAS-BaraA* and *BaraA-Gal4* constructs were generated using the TOPO pENTR entry vector and cloned into the pTW or pBPGUw Gateway™ vector systems respectively. The *BaraA-Gal4* promoter contains 1675bp upstream of *BaraA1* (but also *BaraA2,* sequence in supplementary information). The *BaraA-Gal4* construct was inserted into the VK33 attP docking site (BDSC line #24871). The *BaraA^SW1* (*ΔBaraA)* mutant was generated using CRISPR with two gRNAs and an HDR vector by cloning 5' and 3' region-homologous arms into the pHD-dsRed vector, and consequently *ΔBaraA* flies express dsRed in their eyes, ocelli, and abdomen. *ΔBaraA* was generated using the Bloomington stocks BL2057 and BL51323 as these backgrounds contain only one copy of the *BaraA* locus. The induction of the immune response in these flies was validated by qPCR and MALDI-TOF proteomics, wherein we discovered an aberrant *Dso2* locus in these preliminary *BaraA^SW1* flies. We thus backcrossed the *BaraA^SW1* mutation once with a standard w^{1118} background (used in [12–14]) and screened for wild type *Dso2* before use in any survival experiments. Additionally, *ΔBaraA* was isogenized into the *DrosDel* w^{1118} isogenic background for seven generations before use in isogenic fly experiments as described in Ferreira et al. [29].

A full description of fly stocks used for crosses and in experiments is provided in

supplementary data file 1.

Microbe culturing conditions

Bacteria and *C. albicans* yeast were grown to mid-log phase shaking at

200rpm in their respective growth media (Luria Bertani, Brain Heart Infusion, or

Yeast extract-Peptone-Glycerol) and temperature conditions, and then pelleted by

centrifugation to concentrate microbes. Resulting cultures were diluted to the

desired optical density at 600nm (OD) for survival experiments, which is indicated

in each figure. The following microbes were grown at 37°C: *Escherichia coli strain*

1106 (LB)*, Enterococcus faecalis* (BHI)*,* and *Candida albicans* (YPG)*.* The following

microbes were grown at 29°C: *Erwinia carotovora carotovora (Ecc15)* (LB) and

Micrococcus luteus (LB). For filamentous fungi and molds, *Aspergillus fumigatus* was

grown at 37°C, and *Neurospora crassa* and *Beauveria bassiana* were grown at room

temperature on Malt Agar in the dark until sporulation. *Beauveria bassiana strain*

R444 commercial spores were produced by Andermatt Biocontrol, product: BB-

PROTEC.

Survival experiments

Survival experiments were performed as previously described [15], with 20

flies per vial with 2-3 replicate experiments. 3-5 day old males were used in

experiments unless otherwise specified. As *Rel, spz* double mutant flies and largely

wild type backgrounds differ drastically in their immune competence, we selected

pathogens, infection routes, and temperatures to provide infection models that

could best reveal phenotypes in these disparate genetic backgrounds. For fungi

natural infections, flies were flipped at the end of the first day to remove excess

fungal spores from the vials. Otherwise, flies were flipped thrice weekly. Statistical

analyses were performed using a Cox proportional hazards (CoxPH) model in R

3.6.3. We report the hazard ratio (HR) alongside p-values as a proxy for effect size in

survival experiments. Throughout our analyses, we required p < .05 as evidence to

report an effect as significant, but note interactions with |HR| near or above 0.5 as

potentially important provided p-value approached .05, and tamp down importance

of interactions that were significant, but have relatively minor effect size (|HR| less

than 0.5) in our discussion of the data.

Erect wing scoring

667   The erect wing phenotype was scored as the number of flies with splayed

wings throughout a distinct majority of the period of observation (30s); if unclear,

the vial was monitored an additional 30s. Here we define splayed wings as wings

not at rest over the back, but did not require wings to be fully upright; on occasion

wings were held splayed outward at ~45° relative to the dorsal view, and often

slightly elevated relative to the resting state akin to male aggressive displays.

Sometimes only one wing was extended, which occurred in both thoracic pricking

and fungi natural infections; these flies were counted as having erect wing. In

natural infections, the typical course of erect wing display developed in two fashions

at early time points, either: i) flies beginning with wings slightly splayed but not

fully upright, or ii) flies constantly flitting their wings outward and returning them

to rest briefly, only to flit them outward again for extended periods of time. Shortly

after infection, some flies were also observed wandering around with wings beating

at a furious pace, which was not counted as erect wing. However at later time points

erect wing flies settled more permanently on upright splayed wings. Erect wing

measurements were taken daily following infection, and erect wing flies over total

flies was converted to a percent. Data points in **Fig.** 6B-D represent % with erect

wing in individual replicate experiments with ~20 flies per vial. Flies stuck in the

vial, or where the wings had become sticky or mangled were not included in totals.

Table S1 reports mean percentages across replicate experiments for all pathogens

and genotypes where erect wing was monitored. Days post-infection reported in

Table S1 were selected as the final day prior to major incidents of mortality. For *E.*

faecalis live infections, *Bom*$^{\Delta55C}$ and *spz*^{rm7} erect wing was taken at 1dpi due to major

mortality events by 2dpi specifically in these lines.

Erect wing measurements were performed in parallel with survival

experiments, which often introduced injury to the thorax below the wing possibly

damaging flight muscle. It is unlikely that muscle damage explains differences in

erect wing display. First: we noticed erect wing initially during natural infections

with *A. fumigatus*, and observed erect wing upon *B. bassiana R444* and *Metarhizium*

rileyi PHP1705 natural infections (**Table** S1; *M. rileyi* = NOMU-PROTEC, Andermatt

Biocontrol). Second: only 1 of 75 total *iso w*^{1118} males displayed erect wing across 4

systemic infection experiments with *E. faecalis*. For comparison: 19 of 80 total *iso*

ΔBaraA and 48 of 80 *w; ΔBaraA* flies displayed erect wing (**Table** S1). Future studies

might be better served using an abdominal infection mode, which can have different

infection dynamics [51]. However we find erect wing display to be robust upon

either septic injury or natural infection modes.

IM10-like peptide in vitro activity

The 23-residue Baramicin peptides were synthesized by GenicBio to a purity

of >95%, verified by HPLC. An N-terminal pyroglutamate modification was included

based on previous peptidomic descriptions of Baramicins IM10, IM12, and IM13

[52], which we also detected in our LC-MS data (**Fig.** S2). Peptides were dissolved in

DMSO and diluted to a working stock of 1200µM in 0.6% DMSO; the final

concentration for incubations was 300µM in 0.15% DMSO. For microbe-killing

assays, microbes were allowed to grow to log-growth phase, at which point they

were diluted to ~50cells/µL. Two µL of culture (~100 cells), and 1µL water or

antibiotic was mixed with 1µL of a 1:1:1 cocktail of IM10, IM12, and IM13 peptides

to a final concentration of 300µM total peptides; 1µL of water + DMSO (final

concentration = 0.15% DMSO) was used as a negative control. Four µL microbe-

peptide solutions were incubated for 24h at 4°C. Microbe-peptide cultures were

then diluted to a final volume of 100µL and the entire solution was plated on LB

agar or BiGGY agar plates. Colonies were counted manually. For combinatorial

assays with bacteria, *C. albicans* yeast, and *B. bassiana R444* spores, peptide cocktails

were combined with membrane disrupting antimicrobials effective against relevant

pathogens beginning at: 10 µM Cecropin A (Sigma), 500µg/mL ampicillin, or

250µg/mL Pimaricin (commercially available as "Fungin," InVivogen), serially

diluted through to 0.1 µM, 0.5µg/mL, and 4µg/mL respectively.

Beauveria bassiana R444 spores were prepared by dissolving ~30mg of

spores in 10mL PBS, and then 4µL microbe-peptide solutions were prepared as

described for *C. albicans* followed by incubation for 24h at 4°C; this spore density

was optimal in our hands to produce distinct individual colonies. Then, 4µL PBS was

added to each solution and 2µL droplets were plated on malt agar at 25°C. Colony

diameters were measured 4 days after plating by manually analyzing colony

diameters in InkScape v0.92. Experimental batches were included as co-variates in

one-way ANOVA analysis. The initial dataset approached violating Shapiro-Wilk

assumptions of normality (p = 0.061) implemented in R 3.6.3. We subsequently

removed four colonies from the analysis, as these outliers were over two standard

deviations lower than their respective mean (removed colonies: PBS 0.15cm, PBS

0.25cm, IM10-like+Pimaricin 0.21cm, and a second IM10-like+Pimaricin colony of

0.21cm); the resulting Shapiro-Wilk p-value = 0.294, and both QQ and residual plots

suggested a normal distribution. Final killing activities and colony surface areas

were compared by One-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak multiple test correction (*C.*

albicans) and Tukey's honest significant difference multiple test correction (*B.*

bassiana R444).

Gene expression analyses

RNA was extracted using TRIzol according to manufacturer's protocol. cDNA

was reverse transcribed using Takara Reverse Transcriptase. qPCR was performed

using PowerUP mastermix from Applied Biosystems at 60°C using primers listed in

supplementary data file 1. Gene expression was quantified using the PFAFFL

method [53] with *Rp49* as the reference gene. Statistical analysis was performed by

one-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak's multiple test correction or student's t-test. Error

bars represent one standard deviation from the mean.

Proteomic analyses

Raw haemolymph samples were collected from immune-challenged flies for

MALDI-TOF proteomic analysis as described in [14,15]. MALDI-TOF proteomic

signals were confirmed independently at facilities in both San Diego, USA and

Lausanne, CH. In brief, haemolymph was collected by capillary and transferred to

0.1% TFA before addition to acetonitrile universal matrix. Representative spectra

are shown. Peaks were identified via corresponding m/z values from previous

studies [8,22]. Spectra were visualized using mMass, and figures were additionally

prepared using Inkscape v0.92.

Author contributions:

MAH planned experiments, performed bioinformatic analyses, infection

experiments, and in vitro assays. BL supervised the project and MAH and BL wrote

the manuscript. LC planned and generated the *BaraA* deletion and performed key

descriptive experiments and observations. AM assisted with infection and in vitro

assays. MH, II, and SAW generated and supplied critical fly stock reagents and

provided constructive commentary.

Acknowledgements:

This research was supported by Sinergia grant CRSII5_186397 and Novartis

Foundation 532114 awarded to Bruno Lemaitre, and by National Institute of Health

(NIH) grant R01 GM050545 to Steven Wasserman. We thank Jean-Philippe Boquete

for assistance with the generation of Gal4 and UAS constructs. We would also like to

acknowledge the technical expertise provided by the proteomics and mass

spectrometry facilities in both UCSD and EPFL, and specifically Adrien Schmid. The

name "*Baramicin*" was partly inspired by Eichero Oda's character "Buggy," a Bara-

Bara superhuman. Finally, we further thank Huang et al. (*in preparation*) for their

cooperation in publishing initial descriptions of the *BaraA* gene, and for stimulating

discussion.

References:

1. Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J. The Host Defense of Drosophila Melanogaster. Annual Review of Immunology. 2007;25: 697–743. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141615

2. Kurz CL, Ewbank JJ. Caenorhabditis elegans: An emerging genetic model for the study of innate immunity. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2003;4: 380–390. doi:10.1038/nrg1067

3. Kaufmann SHE. Immunology's foundation: the 100-year anniversary of the Nobel Prize to Paul Ehrlich and Elie Metchnikoff. Nat Immunol. 2008;9: 705–712. doi:10.1038/ni0708-705

4. Steiner H, Hultmark D, Engström \AA, Bennich H, Boman HG. Sequence and specificity of two antibacterial proteins involved in insect immunity. Nature. 1981;292: 246–248. doi:10.1038/292246a0

5. Lemaitre B, Nicolas E, Michaut L, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. The dorsoventral regulatory gene cassette spatzle/Toll/Cactus controls the potent antifungal response in Drosophila adults. Cell. 1996;86: 973–983. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80172-5

6. Lemaitre B, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. Drosophila host defense: differential induction of antimicrobial peptide genes after infection by various classes of microorganisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1997;94: 14614–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.26.14614

7. Lemaitre B, Kromer-Metzger E, Michaut L, Nicolas E, Meister M, Georgel P, et al. A recessive mutation, immune deficiency (imd), defines two distinct control pathways in the Drosophila host defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92: 9465–9469. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.21.9465

8. Uttenweiler-Joseph S, Moniatte M, Lagueux M, Van Dorsselaer a, Hoffmann J a, Bulet P. Differential display of peptides induced during the immune response of Drosophila: a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry study. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1998;95: 11342–11347. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.19.11342

9. Lazzaro BP, Zasloff M, Rolff J. Antimicrobial peptides: Application informed by evolution. Science. 2020;368. doi:10.1126/science.aau5480

10.   Hanson MA, Lemaitre B. New insights on Drosophila antimicrobial peptide
function in host defense and beyond. Curr Opin Immunol. 2020;62: 22–30.
doi:10.1016/j.coi.2019.11.008

11.   De Gregorio E, Spellman PT, Tzou P, Rubin GM, Lemaitre B. The Toll and Imd
pathways are the major regulators of the immune response in Drosophila.
EMBO Journal. 2002;21: 2568–2579. doi:10.1093/emboj/21.11.2568

12.   Clemmons AW, Lindsay SA, Wasserman SA. An Effector Peptide Family
Required for Drosophila Toll-Mediated Immunity. PLoS Pathogens. 2015;11.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004876

13.   Lindsay SA, Lin SJH, Wasserman SA. Short-Form Bomanins Mediate Humoral
Immunity in Drosophila. J Innate Immun. 2018;10: 306–314.
doi:10.1159/000489831

14.   Cohen LB, Lindsay SA, Xu Y, Lin SJH, Wasserman SA. The Daisho Peptides
Mediate Drosophila Defense Against a Subset of Filamentous Fungi. Front
Immunol. 2020;11: 9. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00009

15.   Hanson MA, Dostálová A, Ceroni C, Poidevin M, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. Synergy
and remarkable specificity of antimicrobial peptides in vivo using a systematic
knockout approach. eLife. 2019;8. doi:10.7554/elife.44341

16.   Unckless RL, Howick VM, Lazzaro BP. Convergent Balancing Selection on an
Antimicrobial Peptide in Drosophila. Current Biology. 2016;26: 257–262.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.063

17.   Busse MS, Arnold CP, Towb P, Katrivesis J, Wasserman SA. A κB sequence code
for pathway-specific innate immune responses. EMBO Journal. 2007;26: 3826–
3835. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601798

18.   Copley RR, Totrov M, Linnell J, Field S, Ragoussis J, Udalova IA. Functional
conservation of Rel binding sites in drosophilid genomes. Genome Research.
2007;17: 1327–1335. doi:10.1101/gr.6490707

19.   Tanji T, Yun E-Y, Ip YT. Heterodimers of NF-κB transcription factors DIF and
Relish regulate antimicrobial peptide genes in Drosophila. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences. 2010;107: 14715–14720.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1009473107

20.   Ferrandon D, Jung AC, Criqui MC, Lemaitre B, Uttenweiler-Joseph S, Michaut L,
et al. A drosomycin-GFP reporter transgene reveals a local immune response in
Drosophila that is not dependent on the Toll pathway. EMBO Journal. 1998;17:
1217–1227. doi:10.1093/emboj/17.5.1217

21. Robinson SW, Herzyk P, Dow JAT, Leader DP. FlyAtlas: database of gene
expression in the tissues of Drosophila melanogaster. Nucleic Acids Research.
2013;41: D744–D750. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1141

22. Levy F, Rabel D, Charlet M, Bulet P, Hoffmann JA, Ehret-Sabatier L. Peptidomic
and proteomic analyses of the systemic immune response of Drosophila.
Biochimie. 2004;86: 607–616. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2004.07.007

23. Tzou P, Reichhart J-M, Lemaitre B. Constitutive expression of a single
antimicrobial peptide can restore wild-type resistance to infection in
immunodeficient Drosophila mutants. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2002;99: 2152–2157.
doi:10.1073/pnas.042411999

24. Wiegand I, Hilpert K, Hancock REW. Agar and broth dilution methods to
determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial
substances. Nature Protocols. 2008. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.521

25. Rabel D, Charlet M, Ehret-Sabatier L, Cavicchioli L, Cudic M, Otvos L, et al.
Primary Structure and in Vitro Antibacterial Properties of the Drosophila
melanogaster Attacin C Pro-domain. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004;279:
14853–14859. doi:10.1074/jbc.M313608200

26. Rahnamaeian M, Cytry ska M, Zdybicka-Barabas A, Dobslaff K, Wiesner J,
Twyman RM, et al. Insect antimicrobial peptides show potentiating functional
interactions against Gram-negative bacteria. Proceedings of the Royal Society
B: Biological Sciences. 2015;282: 20150293–20150293.
doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.0293

27. Kragol G, Lovas S, Varadi G, Condie BA, Hoffmann R, Otvos L. The antibacterial
peptide pyrrhocoricin inhibits the ATPase actions of DnaK and prevents
chaperone-assisted protein folding. Biochemistry. 2001;40: 3016–3026.
doi:10.1021/bi002656a

28. Ryder E, Blows F, Ashburner M, Bautista-Llacer R, Coulson D, Drummond J, et
al. The DrosDel collection: A set of P-element insertions for generating custom
chromosomal aberrations in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics. 2004;167:
797–813. doi:10.1534/genetics.104.026658

29. Ferreira ÁG, Naylor H, Esteves SS, Pais IS, Martins NE, Teixeira L. The Toll-
dorsal pathway is required for resistance to viral oral infection in Drosophila.
PLoS Pathog. 2014;10: e1004507. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004507

30. Levashina EA, Ohresser S, Bulet P, Reichhart J -M, Hetru C, Hoffmann JA.
Metchnikowin, a Novel Immune-Inducible Proline-Rich Peptide from
Drosophila with Antibacterial and Antifungal Properties. European Journal of
Biochemistry. 1995;233: 694–700. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.694_2.x

31.   Fehlbaum P, Bulet P, Michaut L, Lagueux M, Broekaert WF, Hetru C, et al. Insect
immunity: Septic injury of drosophila induces the synthesis of a potent
antifungal peptide with sequence homology to plant antifungal peptides.
Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1994;269: 33159–33163.

32.   Zhang Y, Zhao J, Fang W, Zhang J, Luo Z, Zhang M, et al. Mitogen-Activated
Protein Kinase hog1 in the Entomopathogenic Fungus Beauveria bassiana
Regulates Environmental Stress Responses and Virulence to Insects. AEM.
2009;75: 3787–3795. doi:10.1128/AEM.01913-08

33.   Dudzic JP, Hanson MA, Iatsenko I, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. More Than Black or
White: Melanization and Toll Share Regulatory Serine Proteases in Drosophila.
Cell Reports. 2019;27: 1050-1061.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.03.101

34.   Binggeli O, Neyen C, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B. Prophenoloxidase Activation Is
Required for Survival to Microbial Infections in Drosophila. PLoS Pathogens.
2014;10. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004067

35.   DeSimone SM, White K. The Drosophila erect wing gene, which is important for
both neuronal and muscle development, encodes a protein which is similar to
the sea urchin P3A2 DNA binding protein. Mol Cell Biol. 1993;13: 3641–3649.
doi:10.1128/MCB.13.6.3641

36.   Elya C, Lok TC, Spencer QE, McCausland H, Martinez CC, Eisen M. Robust
manipulation of the behavior of Drosophila melanogaster by a fungal pathogen
in the laboratory. eLife. 2018;7: e34414. doi:10.7554/eLife.34414

37.   Imler J-L, Bulet P. Antimicrobial peptides in Drosophila: structures, activities
and gene regulation. Chemical immunology and allergy. 2005;86: 1–21.
doi:10.1159/000086648

38.   Hedengren M, Borge K, Hultmark D. Expression and evolution of the Drosophila
attacin/diptericin gene family. Biochemical and biophysical research
communications. 2000;279: 574–81. doi:10.1006/bbrc.2000.3988

39.   Khush RS, Lemaitre B. Genes that fight infection: what the Drosophila genome
says about animal immunity. Trends Genet. 2000;16: 442–449.
doi:10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02095-3

40.   Goto A, Yano T, Terashima J, Iwashita S, Oshima Y, Kurata S. Cooperative
regulation of the induction of the novel antibacterial Listericin by
peptidoglycan recognition protein LE and the JAK-STAT pathway. J Biol Chem.
2010;285: 15731–15738. doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.082115

41.   Barajas-azpeleta R, Wu J, Gill J, Welte R. Antimicrobial peptides modulate long-
term memory. PLoS Genetics. 2018; 1–26. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007440

42.   Steffen H, Rieg S, Wiedemann I, Kalbacher H, Deeg M, Sahl H-G, et al. Naturally
processed dermicidin-derived peptides do not permeabilize bacterial
membranes and kill microorganisms irrespective of their charge. Antimicrob
Agents Chemother. 2006;50: 2608–2620. doi:10.1128/AAC.00181-06

43.   Zdybicka-Barabas A, Mak P, Klys A, Skrzypiec K, Mendyk E, Fiołka MJ, et al.
Synergistic action of Galleria mellonella anionic peptide 2 and lysozyme against
Gram-negative bacteria. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2012;1818: 2623–2635.
doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2012.06.008

44.   Casteels-Josson K, Capaci T, Casteels P, Tempst P. Apidaecin multipeptide
precursor structure: a putative mechanism for amplification of the insect
antibacterial response. The EMBO journal. 1993;12: 1569–78.
doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1993.tb05801.x

45.   Hanson MA, Hamilton PT, Perlman SJ. Immune genes and divergent
antimicrobial peptides in flies of the subgenus Drosophila. BMC evolutionary
biology. 2016;16: 228. doi:10.1186/s12862-016-0805-y

46.   Koganezawa M, Haba D, Matsuo T, Yamamoto D. The Shaping of Male Courtship
Posture by Lateralized Gustatory Inputs to Male-Specific Interneurons. Current
Biology. 2010;20: 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.038

47.   Kurz CL, Charroux B, Chaduli D, Viallat-Lieutaud A, Royet J. Peptidoglycan
sensing by octopaminergic neurons modulates Drosophila oviposition. Elife.
2017;6. doi:10.7554/eLife.21937

48.   Lezi E, Zhou T, Koh S, Chuang M, Sharma R, Pujol N, et al. An Antimicrobial
Peptide and Its Neuronal Receptor Regulate Dendrite Degeneration in Aging
and Infection. Neuron. 2018;97: 125-138.e5. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.001

49.   Gramates LS, Marygold SJ, Dos Santos G, Urbano JM, Antonazzo G, Matthews BB,
et al. FlyBase at 25: Looking to the future. Nucleic Acids Research. 2017;45:
D663–D671. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1016

50.   Hill T, Koseva BS, Unckless RL. The genome of Drosophila innubila reveals
lineage-specific patterns of selection in immune genes. Molecular Biology and
Evolution. 2019. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz059

51.   Chambers MC, Jacobson E, Khalil S, Lazzaro BP. Thorax injury lowers resistance
to infection in Drosophila melanogaster. Infect Immun. 2014;82: 4380–4389.
doi:10.1128/IAI.02415-14

52.   Verleyen P, Baggerman G, D'Hertog W, Vierstraete E, Husson SJ, Schoofs L.
Identification of new immune induced molecules in the haemolymph of
Drosophila melanogaster by 2D-nanoLC MS/MS. Journal of Insect Physiology.
2006;52: 379–388. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.12.007

53.   Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time
RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29: e45. doi:10.1093/nar/29.9.e45

54.   Tyler-Cross R, Schirch V. Effects of amino acid sequence, buffers, and ionic
strength on the rate and mechanism of deamidation of asparagine residues in
small peptides. J Biol Chem. 1991;266: 22549–22556.

975
976

Supplemental figure and table captions:

Figure S1: Supplemental *BaraA* expression patterns. A) 400bp of upstream sequence from *BaraA* annotated with putative *Rel* or *Dif/dl* binding sites (included in supplemental data file 1). **B)** Expression of *BaraA in wild-type* and *spz^{rm7} flies* following injury with the Gram-positive bacteria *M. luteus* . **C)** The *BaraA>mGFP* reporter line shows a robust induction of GFP 2hpi upon pricking with *M. luteus* in larvae. **D)** Expression of *BaraA>mGFP* in the spermatheca of females (yellow arrow). Representative images shown.

Figure S2: LCMS coverage of trypsin-digested and detected BaraA peptides aligned to the protein coding sequence. Peptide fragments cover the whole precursor protein barring furin site-associated motifs. Additionally, two peptide fragments are absent: i) the first 4 residues of the C-terminus ("GIND," not predicted *a priori*), and ii) the C-terminus peptide's "RPDGR" motif, which is predicted as a degradation product of Trypsin cleavage and whose size is beyond the minimum range of detection. Without the GIND motif, the mass of the contiguous C-terminus is 5974.5 Da, matching the mass observed by MALDI-TOF for IM22 (**Fig.** 2A). The N-terminal Q residues of IM10, IM12, IM13, and IM24 are pyroglutamate-modified, as described previously [22]. The asparagine residues of IM10-like peptides are sometimes deamidated, likely as a consequence of our 0.1% TFA sample collection method as "NG" motifs are deamidated in acidic conditions [54].

Figure S3: Alignments of BaraA peptide motifs. A) Aligned IM22 peptides of *Drosophila Baramicin A-like* genes, with the IM10-like 'VWKRPDGRTV' motif noted. The GIND residues at the N-terminus are cleaved off in *Dmel\BaraA* by an unknown process, and this site is similarly cleaved at RXRR furin cleavage site in subgenus Drosophila flies. As a consequence, the mature IM22 peptide is predicted to be the same across species even when different cleavage mechanisms are utilized. **B)** Alignment of the three IM10-like peptides of *D. melanogaster BaraA* with the "VXRPXRTV" motif noted.

Figure S4: *Over-expression of BaraA partially* rescues *Rel, spz* double mutant susceptibility to infection in both males and females. A) validation of the *UAS-BaraA* construct in the *Rel, spz* background. **B)** Overexpressing *BaraA* did not improve the survival of *Rel, spz* flies upon *E. coli* infection. **C)** Overexpressing *BaraA* only marginally improves survival of *Rel, spz* females, but not males, upon *M. luteus* infections. Infections using a higher dose tended to kill 100% of *Rel, spz* flies regardless of sex or expression of *BaraA*, suggesting that if *BaraA* overexpression does affect susceptibility to *M. luteus*, this effect is possible within only a narrow window of *M. luteus* concentration. **D-F)** Overexpressing *BaraA* improves survival of *Rel, spz* male and female flies upon injury with *C. albicans* **(D)** or natural infection with *A. fumigatus* **(E)** and *N. crassa* **(F).** P-values are shown for each biological sex in an independent CoxPH model not including the other sex relative to *Rel, spz* as a reference.

Figure S5: RT-qPCR shows that the expression of *BomBc3* **(A)** *Drs* **(B)** and *DptA* **(C)** is wild-type 18hpi in *iso ΔBaraA* flies. **D)** *BaraA* mutants survive clean injury like wild-type flies. **E)** *iso ΔBaraA* flies have similar lifespan compared with the *iso w^1118* wild-type (males + females, *iso vs. iso ΔBaraA*: HR = 0.26, p = .118).

Figure S6: Additional survivals using *ΔBaraA* flies in two distinct genetic backgrounds upon infection by a diversity of microbes. A) No significant susceptibility of *ΔBaraA* flies to *Ecc15* infection. **B)** *w; ΔBaraA but not iso ΔBaraA* flies exhibit a marginal susceptibility to *B. subtilis* (HR > 0.5, p = .099). **C-D)** *w; ΔBaraA* males were slightly susceptible to *A. fumigatus* natural infection (HR > 0.5, p = .078), but not females, nor isogenic flies. Additional infections using *ΔBaraA, Bom^Δ55C* double mutant flies reveal that *BaraA* mutation increases the susceptibility of *Bom^Δ55C* flies in both males and females (cumulative curves shown in **Fig.** 5A). Blue backgrounds = Gram-negative bacteria, orange backgrounds = Gram-positive bacteria, yellow backgrounds = fungi.

Figure S7: Additional survival analyses reveal only a minor contribution of *BaraA* to defence against infection by *E. faecalis*. A) Crosses with a genomic deficiency (*Df(BaraA)*) leads to increased susceptibility in both the *w* background and isogenic DrosDel background, with *Df(BaraA)/ΔBaraA* flies suffering the greatest mortality in either crossing scheme. Both deficiency crosses yielded an earlier susceptibility in *BaraA*-deficient flies (shown with dotted black lines), however neither experiment ultimately reached statistical significance. **B)** *BaraA* RNAi flies (*Act>BaraA-IR*) suffered greater mortality than *Act>OR-R* or *OR-R/BaraA-IR* controls, but this was not statistically significant at α = .05; p-values reported are comparisons to *Act>BaraA-IR* flies.

Figure S8: Additional survival analyses reveal a consistent contribution of *BaraA* to defence against natural infection with *B. bassiana*. A) Crossing with a genomic deficiency (*Df(BaraA)*) leads to increased susceptibility of *Df(BaraA)/ΔBaraA* flies for both the *w* background and isogenic DrosDel background relative to wild-type controls (p < .05). **B)** *Act>BaraA-IR* flies were more susceptible than the *OR-R* wild-type (p = .008) and *OR>BaraA-IR* (p = .004), although not significantly different from our *Act>OR-R* control (p = .266). **C)** Overexpressing *BaraA* (*Act>UAS-BaraA*) improved survival against *B. bassiana* relative to *Act>OR-R* controls (HR = -0.52, p = 0.010).

Figure S9: Frequency of erect wing display following additional challenges. A-B) Erect wing frequencies 2dpi after clean injury **(A)**, or *Ecc15* septic injury **(B)**. The erect wing frequencies of flies pricked by HK-*E. faecalis* (**Fig.** 6D) are included in brown in **A** and **B** to facilitate direct comparison with the frequency observed upon Toll pathway activation. **C)** The frequency of erect wing display is increased following *E. faecalis* septic injury in *ΔBaraA/+* or *Df(BaraA)/+* flies. Data points are pooled from *w; ΔBaraA* and *iso ΔBaraA* crosses after *E. faecalis* infections shown in **Fig.** S7A and data in **Table** S1.

1068
Table S1: Erect wing frequencies from various infection experiments.
Following initial erect wing observations upon *A. fumigatus* natural infection, we
scored erect wing frequency in all subsequent survival experiments. Data represent
the mean % of males displaying erect wing ± one standard deviation. n exp =
number of replicate experiments performed, and dpi ewg taken = days post-
infection where erect wing data were recorded. We additionally performed natural
infections with *Metarhizium rileyi* that generally did not cause significant mortality
even in *ΔBaraA, Bom^{Δ55C}* double mutant males, but nevertheless induced erect wing
specifically in *ΔBaraA* males and *spz^{rm7}* controls. Bacterial infections were
performed by septic injury, while fungal challenges were natural infections
performed by rolling flies in spores.
1080

Supplementary information:

Identification of the BaraA C-terminus as IM22 from Uttenweiler-Joseph et al.

In 1998, Uttenweiler-Joseph et al. [8] described 24 immune-induced molecules by MALDI-TOF and informed predictions suggested that *BaraA* could encodes several of them [22]. We generated a knock out mutant for the *BaraA* gene (*BaraA^SW1*), which we validated by MALDI-TOF peptidomic analysis. Strikingly, we noticed an immune-induced peak at ~5981 Da in Linear mode collections that is absent in *ΔBaraA* flies (**Fig.** 2A); this mass closely resembled the 5984 Da estimated mass of IM22 from Uttenweiler-Joseph et al. [8], for which sequence was never determined. We took the Linear masses reported for then-unknown IMs from Uttenweiler-Joseph et al. [8] and post-hoc generated a standard curve with now-confirmed mass values from Levy et al. [22]. Our post-hoc standard curve corrects the mass of IM22 as found in Uttenweiler-Joseph et al. [8] to be 5973.5 Da. Using the same approach with our own linear data we find a mass of 5975.1 Da for our 5981 Da peak (supplementary data file 1). With LCMS proteomics, we confirmed that the *BaraA* C-terminus is cleaved to remove 4 N-terminal residues, which should produce a putative 5974.5 Da peptide (**Fig** S2). Together these observations indicate the *BaraA* C-terminus encodes the following 53-residue mature peptide, matching the estimated mass of IM22: ARVQGENFVA RDDQAGIWDN NVSVWKRPDG RTVTIDRNGH TIVSGRGRPA QHY.

The *BaraA* gene is therefore involved in the production of over one third of the classical *Drosophila* IMs from Uttenweiler-Joseph et al. [8], including: IM5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 20 (doubly-charged IM24 [22]), 22, and 24.

1112   **_Sequence of the BaraA-Gal4 promoter construct_**

1113

1114   The following 1675bp sequence was cloned from the DrosDel isogenic

1115 background into the pBPGUw vector to drive a downstream Gal4 gene, and inserted

1116 into the VK33 attP docking site using BDSC line #24871:

1117

1118 _Dif/dorsal binding site_ **(bold)**:   **GGGHHNNDVH**

1119 _Rel binding site_ (underline):   <u>GGRDNNHHBS</u>

1120

>iso_DrosDel_BaraA_promoter-Gal4

CTGCTACTCCTCTACACATTCGACTCCTTCGCCTTGCTGGCTGGGAAAAAATTTTGCATA
ATTTATGTGGGTGCCGCGCACACGGAGGTCCCGACGGATTCGAAGTATCCGAAGGATTCG
AAAGGAAAACAACGCACGAGCACCACGGCCAACTGATTTAAATGCAATTGCACTGAAGT
ATTTTGTTTGGCGAACGAAGCTGGATGAAATAGGGGGGTGTGGGGTTTTCTATTGAGAC
ATCTGCACGTGCAACCGGAAACATCCGAAGAGAACAGCACAGGCCGGGCTACGCCGGGCA
ATTTCTTTTCATTTGCCAAGGTGTTGAGTTGCACCAACATTCGACATCGACGTGGCCAGA
AGCCAACAAAAGCCAAGAGCCAAACCCCTTTTTGTGGTCACAAGTGTCGTCTATTTGTCG
TGGGCATCTTGGGCACCTTGGGCATCCTCGACATCCTTGCCATTTTGGTCTGGCCAAGAC
AAACAACCAGCAAATTTAGTGTATTTTGTGCATTTTTAAAATTGTCCAAATTTATGTGA
CACGCTGCGCCAATTGATCAGATTAAATAAACATGAGGCCAAGCGAATCGAATTTGGCTT
CACCAAGAAGACAATGCAGTCTGTATTCAAATGGGTGGGCGCATCCACCAAGCGGTGAAT
ACAGTGACCGCTCGCTATAATGGACGGTCAGGTGTTACTTTAACTTAAAAAAATATGTA
ACAAATCTTATCAAGTTTGAAATAGATTGAAATAGATTTGGTTATTGCATTCGAAAGAT
ATATATTAAATTCGAATATTCCAAGAAATTTCATGAGAATGTCACTTATGTCATGAGAT
TATATTAACGTACGAATAAACAATGTATTTTCCAAAATTAAAAATAAAATTTAATTTAA
TTACGCAGTACCTTTACACTATCAGTCGGAGGTAATAACTCATATAATTAGATTAGCATT
AGATTTTAAAGCGAAAAACACTTAAAAGCTGAAATTATTAGACAACACTCTTAAATTAG
TCGAGCTGATATATAGCCTCAAGTTTTGCTTAAATCCAAAGATAAAGGAATGCCTTCAA
AAATATATTTTGTTTTATACCAAGTGACAGCAGAGAATGGGGTTGCAATATCTTAAAAG
AGTTTCACTTAGCCAATATTTACTGCCATTGTTGGCCACCAAATAGTAGCAACCAGAGAC
TTCCAGGAATATATTCTCGTGTCAAATGCAATCCACTTTAAATGCAACTATCTGGCGGCT
AAGAAAACCCGACAGTTTGATTCAAGTCGACGAAACAATATAAGCACGTGCTAAATAAA
GAGACCTATGCAGTTAATACTCTTGTCATATTATAATATAATTTAGTGACATAAGTTGC
ATGGTATACGAGTACTGAACAAGTTATGGCAGCTTTTCCAAATAAGCGATCACATATTCC
GC<u>GGGATGATGG</u>GTGGATTTCTAGCATATGTGGATGCTTAATGGCTTATTGC**GGGTCAG**
GGCGGCGCAATCTGTT<u>C**AGAAATTCCC**</u>GAACGCACACCCATTTCAGATCAGATTGTGAC
GTTTT**GGGAAATTCT**TGACGATCGGTGTAAACAAGCTCAGCAACCAGATTCGATGGCTA
TTTGCCGGCTATAAATACTAGAAACCATTCGATTGCACTCAGTTGAAGCTGGGCTCTGGA
ACAGATCACA
1151