Differential impact of GABA$_A$ receptors and gephyrin post-translational modifications

on layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron responsiveness *in vivo*

Yuan-Chen Tsai[1,2], Mohammad Hleihil[1,2], Kanako Otomo[1], Andrin Abegg[1], Anna Cavaccini[3],

Patrizia Panzanelli[4], Teresa Cramer[1,2], Kim David Ferrari[1,2], Matthew J.P. Barrett[1,2], Giovanna

Bosshard[1], Theofanis Karayannis[3], Bruno Weber[1,2], Jillian L. Stobart[1, 5, ¶], Shiva K.

Tyagarajan[1,2, ¶,*]

[1]*Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190,*

8057 Zurich, Switzerland

[2]*Center for Neuroscience Zurich (ZNZ), Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

[3] *Brain Research Institute, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich,*

Switzerland

[4] *Department of Neuroscience Rita Levi Montalcini, University of Turin, Turin, Italy*

[5] *College of Pharmacy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3E 0T5*

¶ co-senior, equal contribution

16
17   *Corresponding author
18   tyagarajan@pharma.uzh.ch
19

20   Running Title: GABAergic inhibition facilitates sparse sensory encoding

Key points:

- While GABAergic inhibition from interneuron subtypes regulates cortical microcircuit activity the molecular determinants have remain unclear.

- We demonstrate that specific-GABA$_A$ receptor subtypes contribute differentially to layer 2/3 neuronal activities in mouse barrel cortex.

- Importantly, we link the GABAAR contributions to the scaffolding properties of its important postsynaptic density protein gephyrin. We show that different PTMs on gephyrin determines neuronal excitability via GABAAR recruitment and modulation of inhibition within layer 2/3 neurons.

- Specifically, α1 and α2 subunits containing GABA$_A$ receptors, along with their scaffolding protein gephyrin determine the distribution of high, medium and low activity pyramidal neurons during sensory encoding, whereby controlling the total activity of cortical microcircuit.

Key words: signal transduction, barrel cortex, cortical microcircuit, inhibition, interneurons.

Abstract

A diverse set of $GABA_A$ receptors ($GABA_ARs$) enable synaptic plasticity adaptations at inhibitory postsynaptic sites in collaboration with the scaffolding protein gephyrin. Early studies helped to identify distinctions between $GABA_AR$ subtypes allocated within specific functional circuits, but their contribution to the changing dynamics of a microcircuit remains unclear. Here, using the whisker-barrel system in mouse, we assessed the contribution of specific synaptic $GABA_AR$ subtypes and gephyrin scaffolding changes to sensory processing *in vivo*. We monitored spontaneous and evoked Ca^{2+} transients in layer 2/3 pyramidal cells with the genetically encoded Ca^{2+} sensor RCaMP1.07. Using *Gabra1* or *Gabra2* global and conditional knockout mice, we uncovered that $\alpha1$- and $\alpha2$-$GABA_ARs$ determine the sparseness of L2/3 pyramidal neuron encoding. In a cell-type dependent manner, $\alpha1$-$GABA_ARs$ and $\alpha2$-$GABA_ARs$ affected neuronal excitability and the reliability of neuronal responses after whisker stimulation. We also discerned that gephyrin with its diverse post-translational modifications (PTMs) shows preference for specific $GABA_AR$ subtype to facilitate microcircuit activity. Our results underscore the relevance of the diversity of $GABA_ARs$ within a cortical microcircuit.

Introduction

The primary somatosensory cortex (S1) is composed of excitatory and inhibitory neurons that encode passive sensory experience, training on sensory tasks, and sensory perceptual learning (Feldman and Brecht 2005). Sensory information is processed in a selective manner and is encoded sparsely. The rodent whisker system is a well-established model to study how sensory perception is encoded within organized barrel cortex columns. A whisker deflection leads to depolarization in the majority of neurons, but only around 10% of these neurons respond with action potentials (Crochet et al. 2011). In layer 2/3 (L2/3) of the barrel cortex, the excitability of a cortical microcircuit is tightly modulated by inhibitory interneurons (Kapfer et al. 2007). The excitatory neurons outnumber GABAergic interneurons within the neocortex, although precise numbers vary across different cortical areas and layers. Despite this disparity in excitatory and inhibitory neuron numbers, the probability of finding excitatory-excitatory connections between 2 pyramidal neurons in supragranular layers is relatively low, whereas the connectivity between pyramidal cells and inhibitory interneurons is much higher (Holmgren et al. 2003; Lefort et al. 2009; Avermann et al. 2012; Petersen 2019). Hence, GABAergic interneurons play a crucial role in controlling the activity of the pyramidal neurons within a cortical microcircuit in the superficial layers.

At the postsynaptic sites, $GABA_A$ receptors ($GABA_A$Rs), its main scaffolding protein, gephyrin, and their associated signaling proteins facilitate downstream signal transduction to modulate pyramidal cell excitability. The importance of GABA for cortical plasticity has been reported for the barrel cortex function, while the molecular mechanisms underlying plasticity changes at GABAergic synapses remain unclear. A local infusion of the $GABA_A$R antagonist gabazine leads to increased spontaneous depolarization of L2/3 pyramidal neurons (Gentet et al. 2010). $GABA_A$Rs are assembled from a heterogeneous gene pool to form pentameric ion channels. These $GABA_A$R subtypes that constitute the $GABA_A$R pentamers also exhibit

distinct expression patterns within a given brain circuit (Fritschy and Panzanelli 2014).

GABA$_A$R subtypes are also uniquely localized within different cortical layers. The α1 subunit-

containing GABA$_A$Rs (α1-GABA$_A$Rs) are more uniformly distributed across all six cortical

layers, whereas the α2 subunit-containing GABA$_A$Rs are abundantly expressed in the

supragranular layers (layer 1, 2 and 3), and the α3 subunit containing-GABA$_A$Rs are more

abundant in layers five and six (Fritschy and Mohler 1995). Hence, this spatial segregation of

GABA$_A$R subtypes implies that distinct functional roles exist for GABA$_A$R subtypes within

the somatosensory cortex.

GABA$_A$R synaptic localization is facilitated by diverse receptor-interacting proteins

that include neuroligin-2 (Fritschy, Panzanelli, and Tyagarajan 2012), collybistin (deGroot et

al. 2017; Hines et al. 2018), and gephyrin (Tyagarajan and Fritschy 2014). Among these

proteins, gephyrin is unique because it aids activity-dependent adaptations at GABAergic

synapses over different time scales (Battaglia et al. 2018). Many signaling pathways converge

onto the gephyrin scaffold and in turn induce various post-translational modifications (PTMs)

on gephyrin (Zita et al. 2007; Kuhse et al. 2012; Dejanovic and Schwarz 2014; Dejanovic et

al. 2014; Ghosh et al. 2016). Super-resolution microscopy studies of gephyrin PTM mutants

have shown that compaction of gephyrin molecule and synaptic dwell time of α2 subunit-

containing GABA$_A$Rs contribute to activity-dependent adaptation (Flores et al. 2015; Battaglia

et al. 2018). However, *in vivo* functional significance of gephyrin PTMs on GABA$_A$R synapse

recruitment and plasticity remains unexplored.

The barrel cortex function is facilicated by GABAergic inhibition via interneuron

networks that coordinate pyramidal neuron activity during sensorimotor behavior (Petersen

2014). At the microcircuit level, parvalbumin-expressing (PV+) neurons that typically synapse

onto pyramidal cell soma and proximal dendrites become activated during passive and active

whisker sensing. In contrast, somatostatin-expressing (SOM+) interneurons that typically

synapse onto distal dendrites of a pyramidal cell become hyperpolarized during whisker sensing (Gentet et al. 2012). The importance of inhibition is even more prominent in awake mice as the cortical state changes from quiet to active whisker behavior involves the reorganization of GABAergic neuronal network activity (Gentet et al. 2010). The response of pyramidal neurons to different interneuron inputs during sensory processing relies heavily on the downstream receptor complex and postsynaptic density proteins. Hence, within a cortical microcircuit, differential GABA$_A$R activation within the principal cell must contribute to encoding and regulating sensory inputs. Currently, the identities of specific GABA$_A$Rs and the role of scaffolding proteins in determining cortical microcircuit functional specificity are unknown.

In this study, we examined the involvement of two major GABA$_A$R subtypes, namely α1-GABA$_A$R and α2-GABA$_A$R, and gephyrin PTMs, for sensory input-dependent cortical microcircuit function. In this regard, we employed a genetically encoded Ca^{2+} sensor, RCaMP1.07, to measure Ca^{2+} transients in L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex in wild-type (WT) and *Gabra1* or *Gabra2* global and conditional gene-deficient mice. We report α1- and α2- containing GABA$_A$R subtypes, together with their scaffolding protein gephyrin, facilitate sparse encoding of whisker stimulation-induced sensory response within L2/3 pyramidal neurons. We identify that at a global and a local level, α1-GABA$_A$Rs and α2-GABA$_A$Rs determine the excitability of L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the opposite manner. Specifically, within L2/3 pyramidal cells, α1 and α2- GABA$_A$Rs control sparseness within the responding population. Importantly, distinct gephyrin PTM facilitates inhibitory synapse plasticity via the recruitment of either α1- or α2-containing GABA$_A$Rs to synaptic locations. This dynamic process helps fine-tune L2/3 pyramidal neuron excitability. Together, the changing needs of the barrel cortex L2/3 microcircuit during sensory stimulation are facilitated by specific GABA$_A$R subtypes and diverse gephyrin PTMs.

Methods

All experiments were performed in accordance with the European Community Council Directives of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC) and approved by the cantonal veterinary office of Zurich.

Animals

Male and female mice C57BL/6J were purchased (Charles River, Germany), and the following strains were maintained in house: FVB, *Gabra1* KO (Vicini et al., 2001), *Gabra2* KO (Panzanelli et al., 2011; Koester et al., 2013), *Gabra1*$^{flox/flox}$ (Vicini et al., 2001), *Gabra2*$^{flox/flox}$ (Duveau et al., 2011). Control groups were sex-matched with the experimental groups. They were group-housed in an inverted 12-hour light/dark cycle. All mice underwent surgery at 8-12 weeks of age and were imaged repeatedly (2-4 times per week) under a 2-photon microscope for up to 5 months.

Cloning and virus production

The AAV2/6-CaMKIIa-RCaMP1.07 construct was generated by cloning of the RCaMP1.07 gene (Ohkura et al., 2012; Pilz et al., 2015) into an adeno-associated plasmid backbone (AAV2) under a calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinase II alpha (CaMKIIα) promoter.

The eGFP-gephyrin-K148R, eGFP-gephyrin-DN, eGFP-gephyrin S303/305A expression vectors have been described previously (Flores *et al*., 2015; Ghosh *et al*., 2016), and were subcloned into an AAV2 plasmid backbone containing the human synapsin1 (hSyn1) promoter, in an inverted orientation, and flanked by 2 different loxP sites. The transgene was packaged into AAV 6 serotype. All the AAV6 recombinant viruses were generated by the Viral Vector Core at the University of Zürich. AAV2/6-CaMKIIa-CreER^{T2} virus was purchased from Vector Biolabs (#2014-1208).

Lateral ventricle viral injection

C57BL/6 mouse pups received bilateral viral injection into lateral ventricle at post-natal day 0 (P0) (Kim et al. 2013). In short, P0 pups were briefly anaesthetized with isoflurane, and 2 μl viral solution per lateral ventricle was injected using a 10 μl Hamilton syringe with a 32 gauge. Lateral ventricles were targeted using bregma and lambda as reference points to draw the mid-line, the needle was inserted into a site 1 mm lateral from the mid-point of the mid-line perpendicular to the skin surface. The needle was inserted to a depth of 3 mm into the skull to ensure injection into the lateral ventricle. Virus used for the experiments was AAV8-CaMKIIa-tdTomato in the control and experimental groups. The injected pups were placed back in their home cage after waking up from anesthesia. The mice were housed under normal conditions until 2-months of age when they were then used for experiments.

Tamoxifen administration

Tamoxifen (1 mg per animal; Sigma, T5648) was given intraperitoneally (i.p.) for 4 consecutive days to induce Cre recombinase activity from CreER^{T2}. The neurons expressing the transgene were imaged 5-7 days post final tamoxifen injection.

Surgery and virus injections for 2-photon Ca^{2+} imaging

Surgical procedures were divided into 2 steps, which were 2-4 days apart. In the first surgery, after fixing the mouse head in a stable position in a stereotaxic frame, an incision was made along the mid line to expose the skull. After cleaning the bone, bonding reagent (ONE COAT 7 Universal, Coltene) was applied, and then a head cap was created using layers of light-cured dental cement (SYNERGEY D6 Flow, Coltene). Finally, the custom-made aluminium head post was attached to the head cap. These procedures were carried out under isoflurane (4% for induction and 1.5-2% for maintenance, Forene, AbbVie). The second surgery involved a

craniotomy, cortical viral injection and chronic window implantation. With a dental drill, a

small piece of skull was removed above the sensory cortex to expose the barrel cortex. A glass

pipette and hydraulic pump were used to inject 150 nL of virus (injecting speed 50-70 nL per

minute) at a depth of 350 μm beneath the brain surface into the whisker areas identified by

Intrinsic optical imaging (see below). Immediately after the injections, a 3x3 mm coverslip was

fixed right above the exposed brain and secured with dental cement to the head cap.

Buprenorphine (Temgesic 0.1 mg/kg) was given before and after surgical procedures for 3

days.

Intrinsic optical imaging (IOI)

IOI was used to identify barrel areas of the corresponding whiskers in the left somatosensory

cortex. This technique was used to image activation of barrel areas through the skull (before

craniotomy) to map the whisker field for potential viral injections, and through the cranial

window to map specific whisker areas before 2-photon imaging. Under a red light (630 nm

illumination), the activated brain region (imaged 400 μm under cortical surface) was identified

by increased light absorption following whisker deflection by a piezo stimulator. Imaging was

done by using a 12-bit CCD camera (Pixelfly VGA, PCO imaging), and the animals were

maintained under 1-1.2% isoflurane.

Two-photon imaging

A custom-built 2-photon laser-scanning microscope (Mayrhofer et al. 2015) with a 20x water

immersion objective (W Plan-Apochromat 20x/1.0 DIC VIS-IR, Zeiss) was used for *in vivo*

Ca^{2+} imaging in anaesthetized mice. The microscope was equipped with a Ti:sapphire laser

(Mai Tai; Spectra-Physics) set to 1040 nm to excite RCaMP1.07. Fluorescence emission was

detected with GaAsP photo-multiplier modules (Hamamatsu Photonics) fitted with a 520/50

nm band pass filter or a 607/70 band pass filter and separated by 560 nm dichroic mirror

(BrightLine; Semrock). A customized version of ScanImage (r3.8.1; Janelia Research Campus)

was used for setting imaging parameters and to control the microscope.

Confirmation of viral expression at the injected site and neuronal activation during

whisker stimulation was examined first, before the series of imaging sessions. Every imaging

session comprised 40 trials of spontaneous activity and 40 trials with whisker deflection (90

Hz, 1 s, piezo-based stimulator), while the duration of each trial was 15 s. Fast images were

taken (11.84 Hz, 128x128 pixels) to capture neuronal Ca^{2+} responses. Imaging depth ranged

from 160-200 μm beneath the cortical surface, which was in layer 2/3 of the barrel cortex. Once

a field of view was selected, the same field was imaged for 3-4 sessions on different days, and

an extra 3 sessions if the animals were subjected to tamoxifen injections.

Western Blot analysis

Barrel cortices from both hemispheres were isolated surgically and lysed mechanically in EBC

lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 120 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, and 5 mM EDTA) containing a

protease inhibitor cocktail (cOmplete™, Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, Roche) and

phosphatase inhibitors (Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail 2, Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail 3,

Sigma-Aldrich), and then incubated for 1 hour on ice. The lysates were then centrifuged at

23,000 rpm (~48,500 rcf) for 30 minutes. Samples were prepared for loading by mixing 30 μg

of protein in 5xSDS sample buffer containing 15% 2-Mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad) and heated

at 90 °C for 5 minutes. Then samples were loaded onto 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and run

in Tris-glycine buffer at room temperature. Gels were transferred to a PVDF membrane. The

membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature with 5% blocking agent (Roche

Diagnostic) in TBST (100 rpm shaker). Primary antibodies in blocking solution were then

added to the membrane and incubated overnight at 4 °C (100 rpm shaker). After washing with

TBST, the membranes were then incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with secondary antibodies coupled to either horseradish peroxidase or IRDye® (LI-COR) to visualize the protein bands with either film (FujiFilm) or Odyssey imager (LI-COR). Intensity of the bands were quantified using ImageJ. The levels of GABRA1, GABRA2 and GABRA3 were normalized to actin levels.

Immunohistochemistry

All mice were perfused with ice cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF; in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 25 D-glucose, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, and 2 $MgCl_2$), which was oxygenated (95% O_2, 5% CO_2) for 30 minutes. After isolating the brains, the tissues were subjected to 90 minutes post fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4˚C. The post-fixed brain was left overnight in 30% sucrose at 4˚C for cryo-protection. The frozen brains were cut into 40 μm thick coronal sections by using microtome and stored in anti-freeze buffer. Sections within the coverage of the barrel field were selected and stained for the α1 or α2 $GABA_AR$ subunit (see antibody list) and an appropriate secondary antibody was used to visualize the receptor localization. The images (1024x1024 pixels) were captured using a confocal LSM 700 microscope (Zeiss) and synaptic clusters were analysed using ImageJ image-processing plugin (github repository: https://github.com/dcolam/Cluster-Analysis-Plugin). Z-stack images were taken to cover the cell body and its apical dendrite, and these images were then collapsed into 2D images for cluster analysis. Auto-thresholding moments method was applied. A mask over the somatic region was created for every analysed neuron (10-15 neurons per mouse, 4-5 mice per group) and the mask was enlarged by a factor of 1. Colocalization analysis was then performed for GABRA1 or GABRA2 staining. GABRA1 or GABRA2 particles were identified if the sizes fell within the range between 0.03 μm and 5 μm. Imaging and image processing were performed under blinded condition.

Slice preparation

For miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current (mIPSC): 4 weeks old mice were injected in the barrel cortex with the described virus. After another 4 weeks, mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation followed by decapitation under anaesthesia. The brains were removed quickly and immersed in ice-cold cutting solution (in mM): 110 sucrose, 60 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 28 $NaHCO_3$, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, 7 $MgCl_2$, 5 D-glucose. The slices were incubated at 32°C for >30 minutes in ACSF, in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 25 D-glucose, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, and 2 $MgCl_2$. 300 µm coronal slices of the virus-injected area of the somatosensory cortex were made using a Leica VT1200 vibratome (Leica). After the recovery period, slices were maintained at RT with continuous perfusion of carbogenated ACSF (95% O_2, 5% CO_2). All recordings were performed at RT.

For evoked inhibitory postsynaptic current (eIPSC): virus were stereotactically injected into the L2/3 barrel cortex of 6-8 weeks old mice. After allowing 4 weeks of virus expression, mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed by decapitation and their brains were rapidly harvested and transferred to ice-cold dissecting solution containing (in mM): 110 choline chloride, 35 $MgCl_2$, 25 D-glucose, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 12.5 KCl, 6.25 NaH_2PO_4, 0.5 $CaCl_2$, saturated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. 270 µm coronal slices containing barrel cortex were sectioned using a Vibrotome VT 1200S (Leica) then transferred to ACSF containing (in mM): 115 NaCl, 35 KCl, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 25 D-glucose, 12 NaH_2PO_4, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1.3 $MgCl_2$, continuously aerated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. Slices were kept at RT and recovered in ACSF for at least 30 minutes before recording.

Electrophysiology

For mIPSC: Recordings were amplified by Multiclamp 700B amplifier and digitized with Digidata 1440 (Molecular Devices). The recording electrode was pulled from a borosilicate

glass pipette (3–5 MΩ) using an electrode puller (PC-100, NARISHIGE Group). Pipettes were

filled with caesium-based solution containing (in mM): 120 CsCl, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES pH

7.4, 4 MgCl2, 0.5 GTP and 2 ATP. Events were isolated by adding CNQX (25 μM, Merck),

AP-5 (50 μM, almone labs) and tetrodotoxin (1 μM, Affix Scientific). 10 min after establishing

the whole-cell mode, mIPSCs were analysed for a duration of 2 min. Events were recorded

using Clampex 10.7 software (Molecular Devices). Recordings were filtered offline using a

Bessel low pass filter at 500 Hz (Clampfit 10.7, Molecular Devices) and analysed using

MiniAnalysis 6.0.7 software (Synaptosoft). Data were analyzed by ordinary One-way or

Brown-Forsythe and Welch One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's or Dunnet T3 multiple

comparison tests, respectively.

For eIPSC: Slices were placed in the recording chamber of an upright microscope (Axioscope

2 FS, Zeiss) kept at 28.9°C, superfused with ACSF at a rate of 2 ml/min and continuously

oxygenated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. Whole-cell, voltage clamp experiments were

performed using borosilicate patch pipettes (1.5 OD X 0.86 ID X 75 L mm, Harvard

Apparatus), pulled with a DNZ Universal Electrode Puller (Zeitz-Instruments) to have a

resistance of 3-4 MΩ and filled with a solution containing (in mM): 130 CsMeSO3, 10 HEPES,

5 CsCl, 5 NaCl, 2 MgCl2, 2 EGTA, 0.4 GTP, 0.1 EGTA, 0.05 CaCl2 (pH 7.3, 280-

290 mOsm/kg). Each cortical pyramidal neuron was voltage-clamped at 0 mV during the

recording. IPSCs were evoked by stimulation through a borosilicate glass pipette filled with

ACSF, which was connected to a constant current stimulator (Stimulus Isolator Model IS4,

Primelec) and placed within 150 μm from the soma of the recorded neuron. A stimulus of

increasing amplitude ranging from 4 to 12 ųA (0.1 ms, at least 10 repetitions each) was

delivered every 10 s to evoke IPSCs.

Data were acquired using an Axopatch 200B amplifier controlled by pClamp software (v10.7,

Molecular Devices), filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz (Digidata 1440A, Molecular

Device). Series resistance (mean±SEM = 22.8±2.00, range 11–29 MΩ) was monitored at

regular intervals throughout the recording and only those recordings with series resistance

variations of ≤20% were included in the analysis. Data are reported without corrections for

liquid junction potentials. Evoked IPSC data were analysed using Clampfit 10.7 (Molecular

Devices). The analysed data had passed normality tests and two-way ANOVA and Sidak's

multiple comparisons tests were performed using Prism 8 (GraphPad).

Primary neuronal cultures, transfection and immunocytochemistry

Cortical culture on coverslips were prepared from rat (Envigo) embryos at E17. Plasmid

transfections were done at 13 days *in vitro* (DIV 13) with 1 μg of total plasmid DNA per

coverslip. The plasmid(s) were mixed with 2 μl lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fischer

Scientific) and 1 μl (1:10 diluted) magnetofection beads (CombiMag, OZ Bioscience). The

plasmid-lipofectamine-magnetofection mix were incubated at room temperature for 15 min

before adding to neurons. The neuron dishes were placed on top of magnetic plates during the

45 min transfection in the 37°C incubator. The coverslips were transferred to 1 ml conditioned

media and returned to the incubator. The plasmids used in the groups are the following:

pEGFPC2-gephyrin P1, pEGFPC2-gephyrin K148R, pEGFPC2-gephyrin DN, pEGFPC2-

gephyrin S303A/S305A. After one-week of plasmid expression (DIV13+7), the coverslips

were rinsed in ice cold PBS, incubated with primary antibody mix containing 10% normal goat

serum, GABRA1 (rabbit) and GABRA2 (guinea pig) antibodies was added to the coverslips

and incubated for 90 min inside a humidified chamber at 37°C incubator. The coverslips were

rinsed in PBS at room temperature (RT), and fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min at RT. After rinsing

out the PFA, the coverslips were incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies (see

antibody list). Images from ~20 neurons (3 different batches) were analysed for eGFP-gephyrin

clusters colocalization with GABRA1 and GABRA2 containing GABAARs. One-way

ANOVA was used to compare between different groups, with post-hoc Tukey test.

Two-Photon quantification and statistical analysis

All individual neurons expressing RCaMP1.07 in a field of view were manually selected as

regions of interest (ROIs) on ImageJ and further processed with custom-designed toolbox

Cellular and Hemodynamic Image Processing Suite (CHIPS; Barrett et al., 2018) for MATLAB

(R2015b, MathWorks). The images for a field of view were first motion-corrected with a 2D

convolution engine for x-y drift. The dF/F value from each manually selected ROI was

calculated relative to the baseline imaging period before whisker stimulation (the 2.5 seconds

from the beginning of each trial). Peaks were identified by the MATLAB findpeaks function

following application of a digital band-pass filter (passband frequency of minimum 0.1 Hz and

maximum 2 Hz) and a threshold of 5 standard deviations from the baseline. The peak onset

time was calculated by the first time point of the smoothed signal trace (2 frames moving

average) crossed over the threshold (the mean of the 2.5 seconds baseline plus 1.5 times the

standard deviation) after the start of stimulation.

For the analysis, we focused on the 2 second time window indicated in Fig. 2A (1

second of whisker stimulation and 1 second after the stimulation). For the high responders, the

cut-off points for amplitude and number of events were defined as ~10% of the neuronal

population in WT of the corresponding experiment. The size of the population, amplitude,

duration and number of events of the high responders were analysed separately.

Statistical analysis was performed using R (version 3.5.3) with the multcomp package

for linear-mixed effect model. We set the following as fixed effects which were tested

individually or for their interactions: stimulation condition (with or without whisker

stimulation), genotype (for *Gabra1* KO and *Gabra2* KO experiments), Cre (for *Gabra1^{flox/flox}*

and *Gabra2^{flox/flox}* experiment, with or without Cre expression), treatment with TAM (for the gephyrin mutant experiment, before or after tamoxifen injections), mutants (for gephyrin the mutant experiment, to compare between different mutants). Individual animal and ROIs were set as random effects. The data were presented with means (un-corrected) and standard error of the means (SEM). The p values reported for different comparisons were obtained by using a Tukey post-hoc test.

Antibody table:

Target	Distributor/Produce from	Description & Ref. no.	Dilution	Marker of
GFP	Aves Labs	Chicken, GFP-1020	1:5000	IHC
CaMKIIa	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Mouse, 13-7300	1:1000	IHC
GABRA1	Home-made	Guinea pig	1:10000	IHC
GABRA1	Home-made	Rabbit	1:10000, 1:600	ICC, WB
GABRA2	Home-made	Guinea pig	1:2000	IHC
GABRA2	OriGene	Rabbit, TA327182	1:1000	WB
ACTIN	Millipore	Mouse, MAB1501	1:10000	WB
GEPHYRIN	Synaptic Systems	Mouse	1:1000	WB

Results

GABA_AR subtypes adapt their expression to altered sensory inputs

To determine whether GABAergic synapses are involved in activity-dependent plasticity in the barrel cortex, we assessed whether GABA_AR cell surface expression was altered in response to sensory stimulation or sensory deprivation. We injected an AAV8- CaMKIIα-tdTomato into the lateral ventricles of pups on post-natal day 0 (P0) to achieve wide-scale tdTomato expression in excitatory neurons, including cortical pyramidal cells (Kim et al. 2013). At P60,

we either stimulated the vibrissae bilaterally for one minute every day or trimmed all the

whiskers daily for one week (Fig. 1A). The mice were then sacrificed and tdTomato-positive

L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex were analyzed for expression of GABA$_A$R

subtypes using immunohistochemistry for α1 or α2 subunit containing-GABA$_A$Rs, as α1- or

α2-GABA$_A$R subtypes represent the most abundant synaptic GABA$_A$Rs in the superficial

cortical layers (Fritschy and Mohler 1995) (Fig. 1B, C). Cluster analysis with an Image-J plugin

(see methods) was performed to identify α1- or α2 subunit staining within the somata, where

synapses are predominantly inhibitory, and determined the size and density of α1- or α2-

GABA$_A$R clusters (Fig. 1D-G). The α1-GABA$_A$R cluster density was reduced after 1-week of

whisker stimulation or whisker trimming ($F_{(2, 11)}$=37.18, P<0.0001; Fig. 1D). In contrast, α1-

GABA$_A$R cluster size was increased after 1-week whisker stimulation but did not change after

whisker trimming ($F_{(2,10)}$=4.6, P=0.036; Fig. 1E). The α2-GABA$_A$R cluster density was not

changed after either stimulation or trimming ($F_{(2,10)}$=2.27, P=0.153; Fig. 1F), but their cluster

size increased in the whisker stimulation group ($F_{(2,10)}$=6.45, P=0.016; Fig. 1G). Together,

altered sensory inputs to the barrel cortex led to a dramatic reduction in the number of somatic

α1-GABA$_A$R clusters, while the α1- and α2 subunit containing-GABA$_A$Rs accumulate at

inhibitory postsynaptic sites after a week of whisker stimulation. These results indicate that

GABA$_A$R subtypes adapt differently to changes in sensory input, suggesting differential roles

for GABA$_A$R receptor subtypes during sensory processing.

α1-GABA$_A$Rs contribute to sparse pyramidal neuron activity during sensory encoding

The altered expression of GABA$_A$R subtypes can potentially lead to changes in neuronal

activities, affecting the local microcircuit. To evaluate the functional role of α1-GABA$_A$Rs in

sensory processing, we made use of the *Gabra1* global gene deletion mouse line (*Gabra1* KO)

(Vicini et al. 2001). The activity of the L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex were

assessed by using AAV6-CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07, a red-shifted genetically encoded Ca^{2+}

indicator (Ohkura et al. 2012), and *in vivo* 2-photon Ca^{2+} imaging (Fig. 2A). Each neuronal

population was imaged three-four times on different days under light anesthesia (1.2%

isoflurane). The spontaneous and single whisker stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transients were

imaged and analyzed. In the trials with whisker stimulation, we used a 1-second 90 Hz single-

whisker stimulation protocol with a piezo-based stimulator (Mayrhofer et al. 2015; Stobart et

al. 2018), and it started 2.5 seconds (baseline) after the trial initiation (Fig. 2A). In order to

capture the immediate stimulus-induced Ca^{2+} transients, our analysis was restricted to the time

during and after 1 second of whisker stimulation (Figure 2A; right panel). Changes in neuronal

activity were assessed by analyzing Ca^{2+} transients for parameters such as amplitude, duration

at half-maximum of amplitude, and the number of events per imaging session (40 trials each

for spontaneous or whisker stimulation-induced conditions).

The single-whisker stimulation induced clear Ca^{2+} responses, while the spontaneous

Ca^{2+} transients in the same time window demonstrated no change between WT and *Gabra1*

KO mice (Fig. 2B; Suppl. Fig. 1B-B''). However, in *Gabra1* KO animals, L2/3 pyramidal

neurons exhibited a higher amplitude of Ca^{2+} transients after whisker stimulation (Fig. 2C).

Analysis for the duration and the number of events per neuron (over 40 trials) did not show

differences in average values between WT and *Gabra1* KO (Fig. 2C'-C''). Also, the time of

onset and decay time of Ca^{2+} events were similar in WT and *Gabra1* KO (Suppl. Fig. 1C-C').

Pyramidal neuron activity *in vivo* indicates that roughly 10% of the population in the

L2/3 barrel cortex encodes sensory stimulation with robust, high amplitude Ca^{2+} events due to

sparse firing (Crochet et al. 2011), even though the Ca^{2+} response magnitude is broadly

distributed across the population (Fig. 2C). Based on this knowledge, and to understand how

Gabra1 gene depletion impacts the population distribution of pyramidal neuron activity, we

defined a cut-off for high-responding cells as the 90th percentile amplitude value from the WT

neurons and only considered L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the WT and KO groups that had

amplitudes greater than or equal to this value (Margolis et al. 2012). In WT mice, these high

responders were 11% of the total population, while high responders with amplitudes over the

same cut-off mark were 36% of the *Gabra1* KO principal cells (Fig. 2D). This likely accounted

for the higher signal amplitudes observed in the *Gabra1* KO population (Fig. 2C). When we

compared the Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration and number of events within this subset of

high-responding neurons, we did not find any difference between the two genotypes, apart from

a reduction in the duration of spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients in *Gabra1* KO mice (Fig. 2E-E'').

Our results show that α1-GABA$_A$Rs control pyramidal neuron excitability after whisker

stimulation and sparseness within the population.

The thalamus plays a central role in relaying the vast majority of sensory information

to the cortex. The α1-GABA$_A$Rs expressed within the thalamus have been shown to gate

thalamic output to the visual cortex and promote the onset of the critical period of ocular

dominance plasticity (Sommeijer et al. 2017). Within the barrel cortex, thalamic afferents

innervate mainly L4, with lesser innervations onto L1 and L5 (El-Boustani et al. 2020). To

minimize the functional influence originating from the thalamus, we blocked action potentials

using tetrodotoxin (TTX) and measured miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs)

in barrel cortex L2/3 pyramidal neurons of WT and *Gabra1* KO mice. Our electrophysiological

recordings showed a significant reduction in the amplitude of GABAergic postsynaptic

currents with no changes in the frequency of the events in *Gabra1* KO L2/3 pyramidal neurons

(Fig. 2F-H). The *Gabra1* KO L2/3 neurons had faster rise times and slower decay kinetics

(Fig.2 I-J). To understand compensatory changes with another GABA$_A$R subunit, we used

morphology analysis and stained for VGAT, gephyrin, and α2-GABA$_A$R subtype in WT and

Gabra1 KO mice cortex (Suppl. Fig. 1D). The cluster analysis showed no changes in VGAT

and gephyrin density between WT and *Gabra1* KO, but a significant increase in α2-GABA$_A$R

density in *Gabra1* KO tissue (Suppl. Fig. 1E-E''''). However, the increase in α2-GABA$_A$Rs

did not fully compensate for the reduced inhibition by the loss α1-GABA$_A$Rs. Together, these

results emphasize the essential role of α1-GABA$_A$Rs for modulating microcircuit activity in

response to sensory input in the mouse barrel cortex.

α2-GABA$_A$Rs regulate the reliability of L2/3 pyramidal cells responding to sensory inputs

Next, we evaluated the role of α2-GABA$_A$Rs using a *Gabra2* gene global deletion mouse line

(*Gabra2* KO) (Panzanelli et al. 2011; Koester et al. 2013). Similar to the approach described

above for *Gabra1* KO, spontaneous or stimulation-induced pyramidal neuron Ca^{2+} transients

were measured (Fig. 3A-A'). Analysis of spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients showed no genotype

effect on amplitude (Suppl. Fig. 2A, B). We observed a wider distribution of signal amplitudes

in *Gabra2* KO upon whisker stimulation. Although the mean amplitudes between WT and

Gabra2 KO were not different, the majority of *Gabra2* KO cells had lower than average Ca^{2+}

amplitude, and a small sub-group had higher than average amplitude responses (Fig. 3B as an

example). The durations of spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients were similar between WT and *Gabra2*

KO (Suppl. Fig. 2B', B''); however, after whisker stimulation, Ca^{2+} transients tended to have

a shorter duration (p=0.13; Fig. 3B'). When considering the number of Ca^{2+} events per neuron

across all trials, the frequency of spontaneous activity was similar between *Gabra2* KO and

WT cells (Suppl. Fig. 2B''). Still, whisker stimulation evoked fewer (~50%) Ca^{2+} transients in

Gabra2 KO, indicating reduced reliability of pyramidal neurons to repetitively encode whisker

stimulation (Fig. 3B''). In addition, we observed slower onset and longer decay time of Ca^{2+}

transients after whisker stimulation in *Gabra2* KO compared to WT (Suppl. Fig. 2C-C').

To evaluate whether *Gabra2* gene deletion also influences the population distribution

of highly responsive pyramidal neurons, we set a cut-off according to the amplitudes of the

WT group, as described in the previous section. Using this amplitude value, we found that 21%

of pyramidal neurons were high responders in *Gabra2* KO mice (Fig. 3C). Within this subset

of high responding cells, the amplitude of both spontaneous and whisker stimulation-induced

Ca^{2+} transients in *Gabra2* KO was higher than in WT high-responders (Fig. 3D). At the same

time, there was no difference in the duration of spontaneous or whisker stimulation-induced

events (Fig. 3D'). Although the number of events per neuron occurring under spontaneous

activity was not different between high amplitude pyramidal neurons of each genotype, the

number of events evoked by whisker stimulation was reduced in *Gabra2* KO (Fig. 3D''). Our

data suggest that α2-GABA$_A$Rs influence the success rate of whisker stimulation-triggered

neuronal responses and partially contribute to the sparseness of the high-responding pyramidal

neurons by increasing the neuronal activity in a small set of the neuronal population.

To evaluate how α2-GABA$_A$Rs contribute to the Ca^{2+} transients of L2/3 pyramidal

neurons, we blocked action potentials using tetrodotoxin (TTX) and measured miniature

inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) in barrel cortex L2/3 pyramidal neurons of WT and

Gabra2 KO mice. Our electrophysiological recordings showed increased mIPSC amplitude in

Gabra2 KO (Fig. 3E, F), and the inter-event intervals were reduced (Fig. 3G). The rise time

for receptors did not change in the *Gabra2* KO, while the decay kinetics was reduced (Fig. 3H,

I). The observed changes in electrophysiological properties within *Gabra1* KO and *Gabra2*

KO suggest that compensatory changes at synaptic sites are different in the absence of α1 or

α2 subunit-containing GABA$_A$Rs. We stained for VGAT, gephyrin, and α1-GABA$_A$R subtype

in WT and *Gabra2* KO mice cortex (Suppl. Fig. 2D). The cluster analysis showed no changes

in VGAT, gephyrin, or α2-GABA$_A$R density between WT and *Gabra2* KO (Suppl. Fig. 2E-

E'''').

To understand compensatory changes of GABA$_A$R subunits at the protein level, we

used Western blot analysis to assess barrel cortex tissue and measured expression changes in

α2 and α3 subunits in *Gabra1* KO and α1 and α3 subunits in *Gabra2* KO (Suppl. Fig. 3). As

shown previously (Kralic et al. 2006), expression of the α3 subunit was increased in *Gabra1*

KO with no change in α2 subunit levels (Suppl. Fig. 3A, A'). In *Gabra2* KO tissue, we also

found an increase in the α3 subunit expression but no change in α1 subunit expression (Suppl.

Fig. 3B, B'). The increased expression of α3, however, is unlikely to account for observed

effects on Ca^{2+} transients in the *Gabra1* and *Gabra2* KOs.

Cell-autonomous effects of GABA_AR subtypes within barrel cortex microcircuits

While our results strongly suggest that $GABA_AR$ subtypes are important for modulating

microcircuit activity and contribute to function differentially, the compensation from other

$GABA_AR$ subtypes in the global KO models appears to be prominent. Hence, we moved to the

Gabra1^{flox/flox} or *Gabra2^{flox/flox}* mice to conditionally ablate the *Gabra1* or *Gabra2* gene from

adult L2/3 pyramidal neurons using cell-type-specific Cre expression. We injected AAV6-

CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07 or co-injected AAV6-CaMKIIα-eGFP-Cre and AAV6-CaMKIIα-

RCaMP1.07 into the barrel cortex and compared Ca^{2+} transients between RCaMP only cells

(control) and Cre-positive RCaMP cells (Fig. 4A). Post-mortem validation of the deletions of

GABRA1 and GABRA2 in *Gabra1^{flox/flox}* and *Gabra2^{flox/flox}* mice was done by

immunohistochemistry (Suppl. Fig. 4A-B)

Next, we quantified changes in Ca^{2+} transients in *Gabra1^{flox/flox}* mice (Fig. 4B-B'';

Suppl. Fig. 4C-E). The RCaMP control pyramidal neurons showed an apparent increase in Ca^{2+}

amplitude to whisker stimulation. Surprisingly, the Cre-positive RCaMP cells did not show an

increase in Ca^{2+} amplitude upon whisker stimulation (Fig. 4B). Similarly, the Cre-positive

neurons showed no change in duration and number of events. Interestingly, the number of

events was largely reduced in the cells with Cre-expression (Fig.4B''; Spontaneous: control

vs. cre-positive, p=0.1616; Whisker stimulation: control vs. cre-positive, ***p<0.001). To

understand whether the local microcircuit is compromised in the absence of α1-$GABA_AR$s, we

analysed the Cre-negative RCaMP neurons next to the Cre-positive RCaMP neurons. Our

analysis of Ca^{2+} transients in the Cre-negative cells showed similar results as Cre-expressing

neurons upon whisker stimulation (Suppl. Fig. 4E). This indicates a subpopulation of neurons

lacking $\alpha 1$-$GABA_A$Rs can influence the local microcircuit activity more strongly than one had

anticipated. Together, our data identify that in the absence of $\alpha 1$-$GABA_A$Rs, L2/3 pyramidal

neurons exhibit reduced excitability, which contrasts with our global *Gabra1* KO data.

We used the same approach to assess Ca^{2+} transient changes in *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice (Fig.

4C-C''; Suppl. Fig. 4F). The effect of removing $\alpha 2$-$GABA_A$R could be assessed by comparing

RCaMP control with Cre-positive RCaMP cells. Upon whisker stimulation, Ca^{2+} amplitude

and the number of events significantly increased in all groups (Fig. 4C, C''). However, the

baseline amplitude in Cre-positive RCaMP areas was higher (control vs. Cre-positive,

*p=0.012). Similar to *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* animals, we analyzed Cre-negative RCaMP cells

neighboring the Cre-positive cells and uncovered that they showed a tendency towards the

extended duration of Ca^{2+} transients (Suppl. Fig. 4F middle panel). In contrast to the global

Gabra2 KO results, conditional deletion of *Gabra2* in L2/3 pyramidal neurons reduces

inhibition. Furthermore, showing an increase in Ca^{2+} transient amplitude and number of events

in Cre-negative RCaMP cells upon whisker stimulation suggests that removing $\alpha 2$-$GABA_A$Rs

also functionally contributes to reciprocal connections within L2/3 pyramidal cells and their

role in maintaining local circuit dynamics.

We further examined the subpopulation of high-responding neurons in spontaneous and

whisker stimulation-induced conditions in *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* or *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice (Fig. 4D-D'). In

Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$ mice, 50% of Cre-positive group exhibited high-responding population in

spontaneous activity. However, only 21% of high-responding cells had increased Ca^{2+}

transients after whisker stimulation. In *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice, 80% of Cre-positive neurons

exhibited higher spontaneous activity compared to 10% in the control neurons. This

representation of high-responsive cells remained at 65% after whisker stimulation. In

summary, our results demonstrate that α1- and α2-GABA$_A$Rs in L2/3 pyramidal neurons have

distinctive roles in controlling neuronal excitability at the individual level and local

microcircuit level. While removal of α1-GABA$_A$R subtypes dampens excitability of the L2/3

circuit, removal of α2-GABA$_A$R subtypes facilitates excitability of the circuit.

Gephyrin scaffold dynamics influence GABAergic neurotransmission in vivo

GABA$_A$Rs synapse recruitment via lateral mobility on the plasma membrane, receptor

insertion at extrasynaptic sites, internalization, and degradation of synaptic receptors are all

dynamically facilitated by gephyrin and various PTMs on it (Tyagarajan and Fritschy 2014).

Hence, it is logical that we also ascertain the *in vivo* relevance of this scaffolding protein for

α1- or α2-GABA$_A$R function within the barrel cortex. Of the various PTMs reported for

gephyrin, phosphorylation at Ser 303 and Ser 305 sites is known to be activity-dependent

(Flores et al., 2015). It has been reported that phosphorylation of S303 and S305 residues by

protein kinase A (PKA) and calcium calmodulin kinase II α (CaMKIIα) respectively facilitates

NMDA receptor-dependent GABA$_A$R recruitment at inhibitory terminals (Flores et al. 2015).

Similarly, SUMOylation at Lys 148 is known to stabilize gephyrin scaffold and GABAergic

synapses (Ghosh et al. 2016). Therefore, we selected phosphorylation-null gephyrin mutants

S303A/S305A (SSA) to block the activity-dependent recruitment of GABA$_A$Rs to postsynaptic

sites. Alternatively, we selected the K148R gephyrin mutant to stabilize GABA$_A$Rs at synaptic

sites. A SUMO1 conjugation-defective mutant (K148R) has been reported to stabilize sub-

membrane gephyrin clusters at inhibitory postsynaptic sites (Ghosh et al. 2016). In addition,

we used the dominant negative mutant (DN) lacking the last 12 amino acids to disrupt gephyrin

scaffolding and GABAergic neurotransmission *in vitro* (Ghosh et al. 2016) (Fig. 5A).

609   The functional impact of a static gephyrin scaffold or the absence of a gephyrin scaffold

610 at GABAergic postsynaptic sites in L2/3 pyramidal neurons was characterized. For this, we

611 injected AAV6-hSyn1-flex-eGFP-gephyrin variants, AAV6-CaMKIIα-ERT2-Cre and AAV6-

612 CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07 to co-express eGFP-gephyrin variants and RCaMP1.07 in L2/3

613 principal cells. This combination of viruses was also used to evaluate activity changes in

614 pyramidal neurons with *in vivo* 2-photon Ca^{2+} imaging (see the next section). After four weeks

615 of virus co-expression, we injected tamoxifen intraperitoneally (i.p) for four consecutive days

616 to activate Cre recombinase and allowed the expression of gephyrin mutant variants (Fig. 5B).

617 We then waited for a least seven days and then recorded miniature inhibitory postsynaptic

618 currents (mIPSC) from L2/3 pyramidal neurons overexpressing the respective gephyrin

619 transgenes in acute slices of barrel cortex, in the presence of tetrodotoxin (TTX), CNQX and

620 AP-5 (Fig. 5C). Neurons expressing the transgene gephyrin-DN demonstrated reduced mIPSC

621 amplitude (14.6 ± 1.6 pA) with respect to GFP controls (28.8 ± 2.0 pA; $P<0.0001$). The mIPSC

622 inter-event interval (IEI) increased in gephyrin-DN neurons, indicating the frequency was

623 reduced (inter-event intervals: 1451 ± 463.2 ms; GFP=659.9 ± 78.3 ms; $P=0.038$; Fig. 5D).

624 The distribution of IEI for DN is wider, so we further examine its lognormality by performing

625 D'Agostino-Pearson test, in addition to QQ-plot (Suppl. Fig. 5). The results suggest that the

626 distribution of IEI for DN follows the normal distribution. It is known that gephyrin-DN

627 destabilizes synaptic $GABA_A$Rs *in vitro*, and perhaps a similar mechanism reduces the

628 availability of synaptic $GABA_A$R in gephyrin-DN-expressing neurons. The neurons expressing

629 gephyrin-SSA mutation demonstrated mIPSCs of increased amplitude (37.8 ± 2.7 pA;

630 $P=0.026$; Fig. 5D), likely as a result of increased $GABA_A$R retention at synaptic sites (Battaglia

631 et al. 2018). Finally, the expression of gephyrin transgene with the K148R mutation had no

632 effect on mIPSC amplitude compared to controls (28.8 ± 2.0 pA vs. 25.6 ± 1.8 pA; $P=0.641$).

633 The inter-event interval (IEI) was not different between the gephyrin mutants (eGFP: $659.9 \pm$

78.3 ms; gephyrin-K148R: 408.0 ± 56.3 ms; gephyrin-SSA: 401 ± 73.7 ms), suggesting that there were no major changes in spontaneous neurotransmitter release or in the number of GABAergic inhibitory synapses (Fig. 5D).

Overall, our results suggest that the majority of the GABAergic postsynaptic sites containing gephyrin are influenced by cellular signaling events that directly impact PTMs on gephyrin. Importantly, different gephyrin mutants influence GABAergic neurotransmission in a mutually exclusive manner.

Gephyrin mutant expression influences whisker stimulation-induced Ca²⁺ transients

As gephyrin scaffold can impact GABAergic neurotransmission in an opposite manner, we measured changes in Ca^{2+} transients in pyramidal neurons before and after gephyrin-mutant expression. Gephyrin-mutant expression was controlled by tamoxifen-inducible Cre expression. We confirmed that around 40% of the pyramidal cells co-expressed all three viral vectors: AAV6-hSyn1-flex-eGFP-gephyrin variants, AAV6-CaMKIIα-ERT2-Cre and AAV6-CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07 (Suppl. Fig. 6). As an additional control, we expressed RCaMP1.07 in a neighboring barrel area (Area 1) (Fig. 6A). We examined all RCaMP-expressing neurons and compared changes in Ca^{2+} transients before and after eGFP-gephyrin expression. Examples of average Ca^{2+} transient changes in response to whisker stimulation both before and after gephyrin-mutant expression are shown (Fig. 6B). The activity of individual neurons was normalized to activity before tamoxifen (TAM) injection [(After-Before)/Before] to identify relative changes.

The gephyrin-mutant expression did not influence spontaneous Ca^{2+} transient amplitude and number of events compared to the control (area1) (Suppl. Fig. 7A, A''). However, gephyrin-K148R mutant expression reduced spontaneous Ca^{2+} duration (Suppl. Fig. 7A'). Upon whisker stimulation, the gephyrin-K148R mutant caused no change in any of the

parameters (Fig. 6C-C"). The gephyrin-SSA mutant expression decreased the amplitude of

evoked events, while the gephyrin-DN mutant increased the amplitude of Ca^{2+} transients

(P=0.373; Fig. 6C). The duration of Ca^{2+} transients was mostly unaffected by the expression

of either of the gephyrin mutants (Fig. 6C'). Expression of the gephyrin-SSA and gephyrin-

DN mutants reduced and increased the number of events, respectively (Fig. 6C''). Furthermore,

onset and decay times were similar before and after the expression of gephyrin variants (Fig.

6D). The influence of gephyrin mutant variant expression on Ca^{2+} transient changes was

consistent with their impact on inhibitory neurotransmission changes observed using the patch

clamp technique (Fig. 5).

We previously identified high responding cells in both conditional and global KO of

α1- and α2-GABA$_A$R subunits (Figs. 2-4). We used a similar strategy to group neurons based

on their Ca^{2+} transients' amplitude or the number of events within our gephyrin mutant

populations (Suppl. Fig. 7B-C). As expected, in control neurons (Area 1), the amplitude and

number of events within the populations remained comparable before and after tamoxifen

injection. Similarly, gephyrin-K148R mutant expression did not impact the population

distribution based on amplitude changes (Suppl. Fig. 7B). However, expression of gephyrin-

SSA and gephyrin-DN mutants had the most impact on amplitude changes within the given

population of neurons. Specifically, gephyrin-SSA mutant expression led to a smaller

population of neurons responding with high amplitude. In contrast, gephyrin-DN mutant

expression increased the population of high amplitude neurons by 20% (Suppl. Fig. 7B). The

number of Ca^{2+} transient event distributions was not affected upon gephyrin-K148R or

gephyrin-SSA expression but was vastly increased in gephyrin-DN mutant expressing L2/3

pyramidal neurons (Suppl. Fig. 7C). Specifically, the cell population exhibiting 21-30 events

increased from 29% to 49% and 31-40 events increased from 4% to 10%. The effects of

gephyrin mutants on mIPSC amplitudes and frequencies are consistent with the Ca^{2+} transients

measured in pyramidal neurons expressing individual gephyrin mutants.

To confirm gephyrin mutation has direct functional impact on L2/3 pyramidal neuron

excitability, we recorded stimulation-evoked IPSCs from gephyrin-DN expressing neurons.

For this, we infected AAV8-mCaMKIIα-mCherry-2A_iCre and AAV6-hSyn-flex-eGFP or

AAV6-hSyn-flex-eGFP-gephyrin-DN were stereotactically injected into L2/3 of the barrel

cortex. In both conditions, the co-expression of mCherry and eGFP allowed the identification

of co-infected pyramidal neurons. We found that the input/output curve of the eIPSC amplitude

at different stimulus intensities for gephyrin-DN mutant was significantly reduced as compared

to eGFP control specifically when the stimulus intensity was high. (Suppl. Fig. 5C, D). A two-

way ANOVA of eIPSCs revealed that the interaction between the effects of the gephyrin virus

and stimulus intensity was statistically significant ($F_{(8, 56)} = 3.745$, P=0.0014). The results

suggest that the manipulation of gephyrin scaffolds by gephyrin-DN overexpression leads to

an activity-dependent reduction of inhibition on L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the barrel cortex.

Overall, our data identify the gephyrin scaffold as an essential component for response

reliability to sensory inputs, and modulations of the gephyrin scaffold in a subset of pyramidal

neurons were sufficient to fine-tune the population response to whisker stimulation.

Gephyrin mutants show a preference for GABA$_A$R subtypes

In vitro studies have identified gephyrin scaffold as a signaling hub at GABAergic postsynaptic

sites to facilitate activity-dependent synaptic GABA$_A$R recruitment and removal. However, it

remains unclear how specific PTM on gephyrin alters its ability to recruit either α1- or α2-

containing GABA$_A$Rs. To understand this better, we used cortical primary neuron culture and

examined the co-localization of α1 or α2 subunits with eGFP-gephyrin mutants. We

transfected primary cortical neurons with either eGFP-gephyrin (WT), eGFP-gephyrin K148R,

eGFP-gephyrin SSA, or eGFP-gephyrin DN at 13 days in vitro (13 DIV). At 20 DIV, the

cultures were co-stained for α1 and α2 GABA$_A$R subunits (Fig. 7). The eGFP-gephyrin or its

mutant variants were co-labelled with α1 and α2 GABA$_A$Rs, except the DN mutant that

showed diffuse signal across soma and dendrites and α1, α2 GABA$_A$Rs were also diffusely

labeled in DN expressing neurons. The eGFP-gephyrin and its mutants exhibited phenotypes

consistent with earlier reports (Flores et al. 2015; Ghosh et al. 2016). Our cluster analysis to

determine the colocalization of eGFP-gephyrin or its mutants with either α1 or α2 GABA$_A$Rs

showed differential preference. The colocalization of WT, SSA, and K148R mutant gephyrin

with α1 containing GABA$_A$Rs was not significantly different (Fig. 7B). However, SSA mutant

exhibited significantly reduced colocalization with α2 containing GABA$_A$Rs (Fig. 7B'). The

overall density of α1 GABA$_A$Rs was not altered in neurons expressing either WT or mutant

eGFP-gephyrin (Fig. 7C), but the neuron expressing K148R mutant gephyrin had a

significantly bigger α1 GABA$_A$R cluster size (Fig. 7C'). The cluster density and size of α2

GABA$_A$Rs were similar in neurons expressing either eGFP-gephyrin WT, SSA, or K148R (Fig.

7D, D'). Our results highlight the significance of different post-translational modifications on

gephyrin for the recruitment of specific GABA$_A$R subtypes to facilitate cortical circuit function.

Discussion:

Somatosensory information is processed in a selective manner and is encoded sparsely. While

it is well established that GABAergic inhibition plays a central role in sparse sensory

processing, the contribution of GABA$_A$R subtypes to the functional specificity of the

somatosensory cortex microcircuit is unknown. To fill this knowledge gap, we present data

from three groups of cells from floxed *Gabra1* mice or floxed *Gabra2* mice: RCaMP in naïve

animals (controls), RCaMP analysis from Cre+ L2/3 pyramidal neurons, and RCaMP analysis

from Cre- L2/3 pyramidal neurons (cells neighboring the Cre+ ones). This data set provides

the unique opportunity to study the conditional deletion of alpha subunits (Cre +ve cells) vs.

control and changes that occur in other nearby pyramidal cells (Cre -ve cells) in the same

microcircuit.

Our results from these three groups underscore the contribution of α1- and α2-

containing receptors for intrinsic pyramidal neuron excitability and the microcircuit function

(which involves pyramidal-pyramidal-GABAergic interneuron interconnectivity to form a

local circuit). We also report that at the morphological level, neuronal expression of α1- and

α2-GABA$_A$Rs are differentially altered after sensory stimulation and deprivation.

We link the functional contributions of α1- or α2- GABA$_A$Rs to their main scaffolding

protein gephyrin, and its dynamic scaffolding configurations facilitated by PTMs. Specifically,

we show that sites S303/S305 phosphorylated in consequence to glutamatergic synapse activity

reduces gephyrin colocalization with α2-GABA$_A$Rs. Similarly, expression of gephyrin-SSA

mutant *in vivo* had the most impact on amplitude changes within L2/3 neurons after whisker

stimulation. Specifically, gephyrin-SSA mutant expression led to a smaller population of

neurons responding with a high amplitude of Ca^{2+} transients (Suppl. Fig. 7B). On the other

hand, stabilizing gephyrin scaffold using K148R mutation facilitated the recruitment of more

α1-GABA$_A$Rs to synapses. *In vivo* expression of gephyrin-K148R mutant had no impact on

the population distribution based on amplitude changes (Suppl. Fig. 7B). By linking the

gephyrin mutant study with global and cell-specific conditional *Gabra1* or *Gabra2* KO, our

results provide the first direct evidence linking gephyrin scaffold dynamics to GABA$_A$R

selectivity and L2/3 pyramidal neuron responses upon sensory inputs.

Neuronal network activity defined by GABA$_A$R subtypes

We provide evidence that global ablation of α1-GABA$_A$Rs increases pyramidal cell

excitability and dampens L2/3 pyramidal neuron inhibition. On the other hand, L2/3 pyramidal

neuron-specific deletion of *Gabra1* reduces excitability at both single cell and microcircuit levels. Why would the conditional loss of an inhibitory receptor subunit lead to a decrease in neuronal responses (at rest and during sensory stimulation)? In other aspects of our study, we found similar results where neuronal responses and the number of events were reduced in two other cases. First, in *Gabra2* KO mice, there was a decrease in the number of Ca^{2+} events in the whole population and an increase in the mIPSC amplitude measured by electrophysiology (Fig. 3). Furthermore, there was an increase in GABRA3 expression (Supp. Fig. 3), indicative of an upregulation of other inhibitory subunits, which may account for the observed changes in Ca^{2+} and mIPSCs. Second, expression of gephyrin mutant SSA also decreased the number of Ca^{2+} events (Fig. 6C") and increased the mIPSC amplitude (Fig. 5), which may occur as a result of increased $GABA_AR$ retention at synaptic sites (Battaglia et al. 2018). In both of these cases, the results suggest an increased inhibitory tone on pyramidal cells, possibly due to the upregulation of other subunits. In the case of *Gabra1* floxed mice, the precise mechanism of this change remains to be investigated in future studies by electrophysiology and histology for *Gabra* subunit clusters, but it suggests that there is an imbalance in the inhibitory activity that occurs in the whole circuit (both cells with the *Gabra1* deletion and their neighbors). Other *Gabra* subunits (such as *Gabra3*) could be elevated as compensation.

The global ablation of $\alpha 2$-$GABA_ARs$ reduces pyramidal cell excitability, microcircuit activity and increases inhibition in L2/3 pyramidal neurons. The L2/3 pyramidal neuron-specific deletion of *Gabra2* increases pyramidal cell excitability and microcircuit activity. In addition, $\alpha 2$-$GABA_ARs$ contribute to the reliability of neuronal responses to whisker stimulation. When *Gabra2* subunits are conditionally deleted (Cre + cells), there is a drastic increase in Ca^{2+} activity. Both Ca^{2+} amplitude and the duration of events increase during spontaneous activity and whisker stimulation (Fig. 4C, C'). This suggests that inhibition of pyramidal cells is lost, leading to increased firing that translates to larger Ca^{2+} events.

Interestingly, this elevation in neuronal activity also impacts nearby neighboring pyramidal

cells that do not have *Gabra2* deletion (Cre- cells). This suggests that the loss of *Gabra2* was

probably not functionally compensated by other alpha subunits. Further, this emphasizes the

reciprocal connections within L2/3 circuits and their role in maintaining circuit dynamics.

Future studies will help to disentangle the mechanisms behind these changes in *Gabra2* floxed

mice.

Barrel cortex plasticity is a multifaceted process involving multiple synaptic and

cellular mechanisms. Our data posits that GABA$_A$R subtypes are well placed to regulate

various aspects of synaptic plasticity mechanisms to recruit silent neurons into the active

population. Whether any specificity exists between a GABA$_A$R subtype and a subgroup of

interneurons is highly debatable. It has been proposed that specific GABA$_A$R subtypes are

allocated to distinct interneuron terminals, thereby defining a functional circuit. For example,

it has been suggested that α1-GABA$_A$Rs are localized at PV+ basket cell terminals, while α2-

GABA$_A$Rs are localized at CCK+ cell terminals (Nyíri, Freund, and Somogyi 2001; Freund

2003). However, it was subsequently reported that α1-and α2-GABA$_A$R subtypes could be

localized at both CCK+ and PV+ terminals (Kerti-Szigeti and Nusser 2016). While the α-

subunit has been long thought to be an essential factor determining the allocation of GABA$_A$Rs

to different postsynaptic sites, it was recently reported that GABA$_A$Rs containing β3 subunits

are allocated specifically to PV+ terminals but not SOM+ connections (Nguyen and Nicoll

2018). Hence, there are multiple molecular determinants underlying GABA$_A$R synapse

allocation, and these factors add an additional layer of complexity to understanding circuit

activity.

In hippocampal pyramidal neurons, α2-GABA$_A$Rs are preferentially expressed at the

axon-initial segment (AIS) when compared with α1- or α3-containing GABA$_A$Rs (Nusser et

al. 1996; Muir and Kittler 2014). In the somatosensory cortex, this compartmentalized

distribution of GABA$_A$R subunits is less clear, as α1, α2, and α3 subunits exhibit a similar

distribution ratio between the AIS compartment and non-AIS compartment (Gao and Heldt

2016). Subcellular localization differences between GABA$_A$Rs are also influenced by lateral

surface mobility in response to network activity changes, thereby contributing to synaptic

scaling mechanisms (Bannai et al. 2009). Specifically, at AIS, α2-GABA$_A$Rs show lower

mobility compared to α1-GABA$_A$Rs (Muir and Kittler 2014). Therefore, the abundance of α2-

GABA$_A$Rs at synapses might facilitate membrane depolarization to a greater extent than other

GABA$_A$R subtypes. It has been reported that stimulating GABAergic axo-axonic cells can

elicit action potentials in the postsynaptic pyramidal neurons from L2/3 somatosensory cortex

(Szabadics et al. 2006). Hence, α1- and α2-GABA$_A$Rs at AIS are well positioned to facilitate

pyramidal neuron excitability.

GABA$_A$R subtypes facilitate sparse encoding in L2/3 pyramidal cells

Sparse coding within pyramidal neurons is determined by changes in excitation-inhibition

balance (Andermann and Moore 2006). The L2/3 pyramidal neurons have more hyperpolarized

resting potentials; therefore, they require more excitatory input and/or reduced GABAergic

input to overcome the action potential threshold (Lefort et al. 2009). Our data demonstrate that

GABA$_A$R subtypes within L2/3 pyramidal neurons are well placed to contribute to circuit-

specific sub-threshold excitation and sparse action potential firing. Using *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* and

Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$ mice, we demonstrate that a greater proportion of pyramidal neurons become

high-responders upon whisker stimulation. In our experiments, we present data from three

groups of cells from *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* and *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice: RCaMP in naïve animals (controls),

RCaMP analysis from Cre-positive L2/3 pyramidal neurons, and RCaMP analysis from Cre-

negative pyramidal neurons (neighboring Cre-positive cells; Suppl. Fig.4 E, F). This data set

allowed us to study the effects of conditional deletion of α1 or α2 subunits of GABA$_A$Rs (Cre+

cells) vs control, but also changes that occur in other nearby pyramidal cells (Cre- cells) in the

same microcircuit. Our results from these three groups highlight the contribution of α1 and α2

subunit-containing GABA$_A$Rs towards intrinsic pyramidal neuron excitability and also

microcircuit function (which involves pyramidal-pyramidal-GABAergic interneuron

interconnectivity to form a local circuit).

In our experiments, we obtained up to 40% co-expression of eGFP-gephyrin mutants

with RCaMP and Cre within the L2/3 pyramidal neurons. In spite of this small-scale co-

infectivity ratio, we successfully perturbed microcircuit function upon gephyrin-mutant

expression in the barrel cortex. Importantly, we showed a role for cellular signaling and

gephyrin scaffold PTMs in modulating the size of responding neuronal population to single-

whisker stimulation. Disruption of the gephyrin scaffold through the expression of the

gephyrin-DN-mutant led to an expansion in neuronal populations with higher amplitude in Ca^{2+}

transients. On the other hand, expression of the gephyrin-SSA mutant led to a decrease in the

number of high responder cells (Suppl. Fig. 7). Although a population of gephyrin-dependent

and independent GABA$_A$Rs co-exist, the gephyrin-containing inhibitory synapses functionally

dominate and facilitate dynamic shifts in Ca^{2+} transient amplitude adjustments (Suppl. Fig.

7B).

GABA$_A$Rs and gephyrin-mediated homeostatic adaptations within L2/3 microcircuit

It is currently hypothesized that both Hebbian and non-Hebbian synaptic plasticity mechanisms

might underlie the strengthening and weakening of cellular responses after whisker stimulation

and/or trimming (Turrigiano and Nelson 2000; Hofer et al. 2011; Crochet et al. 2011; Margolis

et al. 2012). We observed both strengthening and weakening of pyramidal neuron activity,

depending on the α1- or α2-GABA$_A$R deletion or gephyrin mutant expression, suggesting that

both receptor and its scaffolding protein contribute to homeostatic plasticity mechanisms

within cortical L2/3 microcircuit. For example, the ratio of high responders that encoded the

whisker stimulus increased in *Gabra1* and *Gabra2* global or conditional KO mice, while the

number of Ca^{2+} transients during stimulation decreased (Fig. 2, 3, 4). Furthermore, we found

an increase in the mIPSC amplitude in global *Gabra2* KO mice (Fig. 3F). This suggests that

the excitation of the pyramidal neuronal network is scaled down in cases of *Gabra2* global KO

and *Gabra1* pyramidal neuron-specific KO, even though an inhibitory receptor subtype is lost.

These observed changes in pyramidal neuron activity are also reflected upon gephyrin mutant

expression *in vivo*, suggesting that homeostatic plasticity mechanisms at GABAergic synapses

cannot be completely uncoupled from the receptor and its scaffolding protein.

867   The gephyrin scaffold is known to interact with α1-GABA$_A$Rs and α2-GABA$_A$Rs

(Tyagarajan and Fritschy 2014). Independent quantum dot–based single-GABA$_A$R tracking

studies have shown that the gephyrin scaffold influences GABA$_A$R surface mobility and

synapse retention (Choquet and Triller 2013). Specifically, it was recently shown that gephyrin

PTMs, specifically the gephyrin-SSA mutant, increase the synaptic confinement of α2-

GABA$_A$Rs in response to 4-Aminopyridine-induced circuit activation (Battaglia et al. 2018).

Supporting this finding, our electrophysiology data demonstrated an increase in mIPSC

amplitude in gephyrin-SSA expressing neurons (Fig. 5), and *in vivo* imaging data showed that

a less excitable population of neurons doubled in size upon gephyrin-SSA expression and

whisker stimulation (Suppl. Fig. 7B). Increased synaptic confinement of GABA$_A$Rs in

gephyrin-SSA expressing neurons could disrupt dynamic shifts in reducing inhibitory synapses

according to excitatory inputs in a neuron. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that

gephyrin-DN expression increased diffusion and exploration of α2-GABA$_A$Rs at both synaptic

and extrasynaptic sites (Battaglia et al. 2018). In our electrophysiology studies, we observed

increases in mIPSC IEI and reduced amplitude upon gephyrin-DN mutant expression (Fig. 5).

In vivo imaging data demonstrated that gephyrin-DN mutant expression increases the

population of high responders after whisker stimulation by 20% (Suppl. Fig. 7B). Our data

implicate a dynamic recruitment model for specific $GABA_AR$ subtypes based on gephyrin

PTM. This would mean that specific demand would trigger a signaling cascade to converge

onto the gephyrin scaffold. The PTM change on gephyrin in turn would switch affinity towards

specific $GABA_AR$ subtype for synapse recruitment.

Independent of gephyrin scaffold modulation, a mechanism involving microRNA

miR376c was recently reported to specifically regulate dendritic α1 and α2 subunit expression

after inhibitory long-term potentiation (iLTP), but not α3, α4, α5 subunit containing-

$GABA_ARs$ (Rajgor et al. 2020). This highlights a role for multiple context-dependent

$GABA_AR$ modulations at inhibitory synapses.

In summary, while it is known that the distribution of activity within cortical neurons

is sparse, our data demonstrate that pyramidal neuron excitability is defined by $GABA_A$

receptor subtypes and facilitated by gephyrin scaffold dynamics. Our results have characterized

the first-time molecular components that are operational at GABAergic postsynaptic terminals

to refine and define the sparse sensory encoding process in adult mice.

Acknowledgements

We thank J-M. Fritschy for comments on the manuscript and M. Müller for comments on the

electrophysiology data. The study was supported by UZH Forschungskredit Can-doc grant to

Y-C. Tsai, Olga Mayenfisch Foundation grant, Swiss National Science Foundation grants

(31003A_159867 and 310030_192522) and University of Zurich internal funding to S.K.

Tyagarajan. Fig. 4 was partially created using BioRender.

Author contributions

SKT and YCT conceptualised the study. SKT, YCT and JLS contributed to the design of

experiments. YCT performed all 2-photon imaging experiments, JLS aided the data analysis.

YCT wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SKT, BW, JLS, KDF and MJPB provided technical

knowledge and data analysis support. MH carried out electrophysiological experiments and the

analysis. KO, AA conducted surgeries for virus injections. KO, AC, TK helped with evoked

response recordings. PP and TC helped with the morphological staining and analysis. All

authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

Andermann, Mark L, and Christopher I Moore. 2006. "A Somatotopic Map of Vibrissa

Motion Direction within a Barrel Column." *Nature Neuroscience* 9 (4): 543–51.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1671.

Avermann, Michael, Christian Tomm, Celine Mateo, Wulfram Gerstner, and Carl C. H.

Petersen. 2012. "Microcircuits of Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons in Layer 2/3 of

Mouse Barrel Cortex." *Journal of Neurophysiology* 107 (11): 3116–34.

https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00917.2011.

Bannai, Hiroko, Sabine Lévi, Claude Schweizer, Takafumi Inoue, Thomas Launey, Victor

Racine, Jean-Baptiste Sibarita, Katsuhiko Mikoshiba, and Antoine Triller. 2009.

"Activity-Dependent Tuning of Inhibitory Neurotransmission Based on GABAAR

Diffusion Dynamics." *Neuron* 62 (5): 670–82.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.04.023.

Battaglia, Sereina, Marianne Renner, Marion Russeau, Etienne Côme, Shiva K. Tyagarajan,

and Sabine Lévi. 2018. "Activity-Dependent Inhibitory Synapse Scaling Is Determined

by Gephyrin Phosphorylation and Subsequent Regulation of GABAA Receptor

Diffusion." *ENeuro* 5 (1): ENEURO.0203-17.2017.

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0203-17.2017.

Choquet, Daniel, and Antoine Triller. 2013. "The Dynamic Synapse." *Neuron* 80 (3): 691–

703. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2013.10.013.

Crochet, Sylvain, James F.A. Poulet, Yves Kremer, and Carl C.H. Petersen. 2011. "Synaptic

Mechanisms Underlying Sparse Coding of Active Touch." *Neuron* 69 (6): 1160–75.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.022.

Dejanovic, Borislav, and Guenter Schwarz. 2014. "Brief Communications Neuronal Nitric

Oxide Synthase-Dependent S-Nitrosylation of Gephyrin Regulates Gephyrin Clustering

at GABAergic Synapses." https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0531-14.2014.

Dejanovic, Borislav, Marcus Semtner, Silvia Ebert, Tobias Lamkemeyer, Franziska Neuser,

Bernhard Lüscher, Jochen C. Meier, and Guenter Schwarz. 2014. "Palmitoylation of

Gephyrin Controls Receptor Clustering and Plasticity of GABAergic Synapses." Edited

by Matthew B.Dalva. *PLoS Biology* 12 (7): e1001908.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001908.

El-Boustani, Sami, B. Semihcan Sermet, Georgios Foustoukos, Tess B. Oram, Ofer Yizhar,

and Carl C.H. Petersen. 2020. "Anatomically and Functionally Distinct Thalamocortical

Inputs to Primary and Secondary Mouse Whisker Somatosensory Cortices." *Nature*

Communications 2020 11:1 11 (1): 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17087-7.

Feldman, Daniel E., and Michael Brecht. 2005. "Map Plasticity in Somatosensory Cortex."

Science. MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1115807.

Flores, Carmen E., Irina Nikonenko, Pablo Mendez, Jean-Marc Fritschy, Shiva K.

Tyagarajan, and Dominique Muller. 2015. "Activity-Dependent Inhibitory Synapse

Remodeling through Gephyrin Phosphorylation." *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences 112 (1): E65–72. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411170112.

Freund, Tamás F. 2003. "Interneuron Diversity Series: Rhythm and Mood in Perisomatic

Inhibition." *Trends in Neurosciences* 26 (9): 489–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-

2236(03)00227-3.

Fritschy, Jean-Marc, and Hanns Mohler. 1995. "GABAA-receptor Heterogeneity in the Adult

Rat Brain: Differential Regional and Cellular Distribution of Seven Major Subunits."

Journal of Comparative Neurology 359 (1): 154–94.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903590111.

Fritschy, Jean Marc, and Patrizia Panzanelli. 2014. "GABAA Receptors and Plasticity of

Inhibitory Neurotransmission in the Central Nervous System." *European Journal of*

Neuroscience 39 (11): 1845–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12534.

Fritschy, Jean Marc, Patrizia Panzanelli, and Shiva K. Tyagarajan. 2012. "Molecular and

Functional Heterogeneity of GABAergic Synapses." *Cellular and Molecular Life*

Sciences 69 (15): 2485–99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-012-0926-4.

Gao, Yudong, and Scott A. Heldt. 2016. "Enrichment of GABAA Receptor α-Subunits on the

Axonal Initial Segment Shows Regional Differences." *Frontiers in Cellular*

Neuroscience 10 (March): 39. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2016.00039.

Gentet, Luc J., Michael Avermann, Ferenc Matyas, Jochen F. Staiger, and Carl C.H.

Petersen. 2010. "Membrane Potential Dynamics of GABAergic Neurons in the Barrel

Cortex of Behaving Mice." *Neuron* 65 (3): 422–35.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2010.01.006.

Gentet, Luc J, Yves Kremer, Hiroki Taniguchi, Z Josh Huang, Jochen F Staiger, and Carl C

H Petersen. 2012. "Unique Functional Properties of Somatostatin-Expressing

GABAergic Neurons in Mouse Barrel Cortex." *Nature Neuroscience* 15 (4): 607–12.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3051.

Ghosh, Himanish, Luca Auguadri, Sereina Battaglia, Zahra Simone Thirouin, Khaled

Zemoura, Simon Messner, Mario A. Acuña, et al. 2016. "Several Posttranslational

Modifications Act in Concert to Regulate Gephyrin Scaffolding and GABAergic

Transmission." *Nature Communications* 7: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13365.

Groot, Clairede, Amalia Floriou-Servou, Yuan Chen Tsai, Simon Früh, Manuela Kohler,

Georgia Parkin, Cornelia Schwerdel, et al. 2017. "RhoGEF9 Splice Isoforms Influence

Neuronal Maturation and Synapse Formation Downstream of A2 GABAAreceptors."

PLoS Genetics 13 (10): 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007073.

Hines, Rochelle M., Hans Michael Maric, Dustin J. Hines, Amit Modgil, Patrizia Panzanelli,

Yasuko Nakamura, Anna J. Nathanson, et al. 2018. "Developmental Seizures and

Mortality Result from Reducing GABAA Receptor A2-Subunit Interaction with

Collybistin." *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-

05481-1.

Hofer, Sonja B., Ho Ko, Bruno Pichler, Joshua Vogelstein, Hana Ros, Hongkui Zeng, Ed

Lein, Nicholas A.Lesica, andThomas D.Mrsic-Flogel. 2011. "Differential Connectivity

and Response Dynamics of Excitatory and Inhibitory Neurons in Visual Cortex." *Nature

Neuroscience* 14 (8): 1045–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2876.

Holmgren, Carl, Tibor Harkany, Björn Svennenfors, and Yuri Zilberter. 2003. "Pyramidal

Cell Communication within Local Networks in Layer 2/3 of Rat Neocortex." *Journal of

Physiology* 551 (1): 139–53. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2003.044784.

Kapfer, Christoph, Lindsey L Glickfeld, Bassam V Atallah, and Massimo Scanziani. 2007.

"Supralinear Increase of Recurrent Inhibition during Sparse Activity in the

Somatosensory Cortex." *Nature Neuroscience* 10 (6): 743–53.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1909.

Kerti-Szigeti, Katalin, and Zoltan Nusser. 2016. "Similar GABAA Receptor Subunit

Composition in Somatic and Axon Initial Segment Synapses of Hippocampal Pyramidal

Cells." *ELife* 5 (AUGUST). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.18426.001.

Kim, Ji Yoen, Ryan T. Ash, Carolina Ceballos-Diaz, Yona Levites, Todd E. Golde, Stelios

M. Smirnakis, and Joanna L. Jankowsky. 2013. "Viral Transduction of the Neonatal

Brain Delivers Controllable Genetic Mosaicism for Visualising and Manipulating

Neuronal Circuits in Vivo." *European Journal of Neuroscience* 37 (8): 1203–20.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12126.

Koester, Christina, Uwe Rudolph, Tatjana Haenggi, Aurélie Papilloud, Jean-Marc Fritschy,

and Florence Crestani. 2013. "Dissecting the Role of Diazepam-Sensitive γ-

Aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptors in Defensive Behavioral Reactivity to Mild

Threat." *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior* 103 (3): 541–49.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PBB.2012.10.004.

Kralic, Jason E., Corinne Sidler, Franziska Pakpan, Gregg E. Homanics, A. Leslie Morrow,

and Jean Marc Fritschy. 2006. "Compensatory Alteration of Inhibitory Synaptic Circuits

in Cerebellum and Thalamus of γ-Aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptor A1 Subunit

Knockout Mice." *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 495 (4): 408–21.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20866.

Kuhse, Jochen, Heba Kalbouneh, Andrea Schlicksupp, Susanne Mükusch, Ralph Nawrotzki,

and Joachim Kirsch. 2012. "Phosphorylation of Gephyrin in Hippocampal Neurons by

Cyclin-Dependent Kinase CDK5 at Ser-270 Is Dependent on Collybistin." *The Journal*

of Biological Chemistry 287 (37): 30952–66. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.349597.

Lefort, Sandrine, Christian Tomm, J.-C.Floyd Sarria, and Carl C.H. Petersen. 2009. "The

Excitatory Neuronal Network of the C2 Barrel Column in Mouse Primary

Somatosensory Cortex." *Neuron* 61 (2): 301–16.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.020.

Margolis, David J., Henry Lütcke, Kristina Schulz, Florent Haiss, Bruno Weber, Sebastian

Kügler, Mazahir T. Hasan, and Fritjof Helmchen. 2012. "Reorganization of Cortical

Population Activity Imaged throughout Long-Term Sensory Deprivation." *Nature*

Neuroscience 15 (11): 1539–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3240.

Mayrhofer, Johannes M., Florent Haiss, Fritjof Helmchen, and Bruno Weber. 2015. "Sparse,

Reliable, and Long-Term Stable Representation of Periodic Whisker Deflections in the

Mouse Barrel Cortex." *NeuroImage* 115 (July): 52–63.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2015.04.045.

Moretto Zita, M., Ivan Marchionni, Elisa Bottos, Massimo Righi, Giannino Del Sal, Enrico

Cherubini, and Paola Zacchi. 2007. "Post-Phosphorylation Prolyl Isomerisation of

Gephyrin Represents a Mechanism to Modulate Glycine Receptors Function." *EMBO*

Journal 26 (7): 1761–71. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601625.

Muir, James, and Josef T.Kittler. 2014. "Plasticity of GABAA Receptor Diffusion Dynamics

at the Axon Initial Segment." *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* 8 (June): 151.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00151.

Nguyen, Quynh Anh, and Roger A.Nicoll. 2018. "The GABAA Receptor β Subunit Is

Required for Inhibitory Transmission." *Neuron* 98 (4): 718-725.e3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.046.

Nusser, Z, W Sieghart, D Benke, J M Fritschy, and P Somogyi. 1996. "Differential Synaptic

Localization of Two Major Gamma-Aminobutyric Acid Type A Receptor Alpha

Subunits on Hippocampal Pyramidal Cells." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America 93 (21): 11939–44.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.21.11939.

Nyíri, Gábor, Tamás F. Freund, and Péter Somogyi. 2001. "Input-Dependent Synaptic

Targeting of A2-Subunit-Containing GABAA Receptors in Synapses of Hippocampal

Pyramidal Cells of the Rat." *European Journal of Neuroscience* 13 (3): 428–42.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.2001.01407.x.

Ohkura, Masamichi, Takuya Sasaki, Chiaki Kobayashi, Yuji Ikegaya, and Junichi Nakai.

2012. "An Improved Genetically Encoded Red Fluorescent Ca2+ Indicator for Detecting

Optically Evoked Action Potentials." *PLoS ONE* 7 (7).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039933.

Panzanelli, Patrizia, Benjamin G. Gunn, Monika C. Schlatter, Dietmar Benke, Shiva K.

Tyagarajan, Peter Scheiffele, Delia Belelli, Jeremy J. Lambert, Uw eRudolph, and Jean

Marc Fritschy. 2011. "Distinct Mechanisms Regulate GABA A Receptor and Gephyrin

Clustering at Perisomatic and Axo-Axonic Synapses on CA1 Pyramidal Cells." *Journal

of Physiology* 589 (20): 4959–80. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2011.216028.

Petersen, Carl C. H. 2019. "Sensorimotor Processing in the Rodent Barrel Cortex." *Nature

Reviews Neuroscience*, July, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-019-0200-y.

Petersen, Carl C.H. 2014. "Cell-Type Specific Function of GABAergic Neurons in Layers 2

and 3 of Mouse Barrel Cortex." *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.10.004.

Rajgor, Dipen, Alicia M. Purkey, Jennifer L. Sanderson, Theresa M.Welle, Joshua D.Garcia,

Mark L. Dell'Acqua, and Katharine R. Smith. 2020. "Local MiRNA-Dependent

Translational Control of GABAAR Synthesis during Inhibitory Long-Term

Potentiation." *Cell Reports* 31 (12): 107785.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107785.

Sommeijer, Jean Pierre, Mehran Ahmadlou, M. Hadi Saiepour, Koen Seignette, Rogier Min,

J. Alexander Heimel, and Christiaan N. Levelt. 2017. "Thalamic Inhibition Regulates

Critical-Period Plasticity in Visual Cortex and Thalamus." *Nature Neuroscience 2017

20:12 20 (12): 1715–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0002-3.

Stobart, Jillian L., Kim David Ferrari, Matthew J.P. Barrett, Chaim Glück, Michael J. Stobart,

Marc Zuend, and Bruno Weber. 2018. "Cortical Circuit Activity Evokes Rapid

Astrocyte Calcium Signals on a Similar Timescale to Neurons." *Neuron* 98 (4): 726-

735.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.050.

Szabadics, János, Csaba Varga, Gábor Molnár, Szabolcs Oláh, Pál Barzó, and Gábor Tamás.

2006. "Excitatory Effect of GABAergic Axo-Axonic Cells in Cortical Microcircuits."

Science 311 (5758): 233–35. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121325.

Turrigiano, Gina G., and Sacha B. Nelson. 2000. "Hebb and Homeostasis in Neuronal

Plasticity." *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. Current Biology Ltd.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(00)00091-X.

Tyagarajan, Shiva K., and Jean Marc Fritschy. 2014. "Gephyrin: A Master Regulator of

Neuronal Function?" *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 15 (3): 141–56.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3670.

Vicini, S, C Ferguson, K Prybylowski, J Kralic, A L Morrow, and G E Homanics. 2001.

"GABA(A) Receptor Alpha1 Subunit Deletion Prevents Developmental Changes of

Inhibitory Synaptic Currents in Cerebellar Neurons." *The Journal of Neuroscience : The*

Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience 21 (9): 3009–16.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-09-03009.2001.

1101

Figure legends

Figure 1. GABA$_A$R subunit expression changes after altered sensory input. (A) An

overview of bilateral viral injections into lateral ventricles at P0, followed by stimulation (Stim)

or whisker trimming (Trim) protocol for 1 week prior to morphology analysis on individual

pyramidal neurons. Trim group, the whiskers were trimmed bilaterally every day to the same

length as the facial hair. The Stim group received daily 1 minute of large angle whisker

deflection bilaterally. (B) Representative images of L2/3 pyramidal neurons in barrel cortex

from control, trim and stim groups expressing α1-GABA$_A$R subunit. (C) Representative

images of L2/3 pyramidal neurons in barrel cortex from control, trim and stim groups

expressing α2-GABA$_A$R subunit. (D-E) Quantification of cluster analysis of density and size

for α1-GABA$_A$R from N=4 mice for control and trim groups, N=5 for Stim group. (F-G)

Quantification of cluster analysis of density and size for α2-GABA$_A$R. In total, 10-15 neurons

were imaged per mouse and data points represent average of individual animals. Statistics:

One-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni post hoc test. Error bar: standard deviation. *p$\leq$0.05,

**p$\leq$0.01, ***p$\leq$0.001.

1117

Figure 2. L2/3 pyramidal neuron activity changes in *Gabra1* KO mice. (A) Overview of

virus injection protocol for *in vivo* 2-photon imaging. AAV6-CaMKIIa-RCaMP virus was

injected into the barrel cortex. After 1-month, the mice were subjected to a series of imaging

composed of spontaneous and whisker stimulation imaging sessions, and each session was

composed of forty 15-second trials (with 3-second inter-trial interval). Each whisker

stimulation trial consisted of 1 second of 90 Hz whisker stimulation after 2.5 seconds of

baseline. **(B)** Average trace example of spontaneous and whisker stimulation imaging sessions.

Grey bar: whisker stimulation. Standard deviations are shown in lighter colors under the

example trace. **(C-C'')** Average Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration, and number of events in

whisker stimulation trials in density plots and bar graphs (insets). The average was obtained

from all imaging sessions for individual neurons (N=459 neurons from 5 *Gabra1* KO mice,

N=418 neurons from 4 WT mice). **(D)** Proportions of high and lower responding cells were

selected based on ~10% of high Ca^{2+} transient amplitude versus rest. **(E-E'')** Quantification of

amplitude, duration and the number of events between the high responders of WT and *Gabra1*

KO. Statistics: linear mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. **(F)** Miniature IPSC (mIPSC) traces were recorded from *Gabra1* WT and KO littermates L2/3 barrel cortex. Average: solid black (WT) and red (KO). All traces are shown in grey. **(G)** mIPSC amplitude **(H)** mIPSC inter-event interval (IEI) from *Gabra1* WT and KO are shown. Cumulative distribution is plotted. Inset: each dot represents a recorded cell (all events averaged). **(I)** Averaged rise time **(J)** and decay time of mIPSC. Each dot represents a recorded cell. Total 3 WT (7 cells) and 3 KO (10 cells). Statistics: Welch's t-test, mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001.

Figure 3. L2/3 pyramidal neuron activity change in *Gabra2* KO mice. (A) Average trace from an example of spontaneous and whisker stimulation imaging session. Grey bar: whisker stimulation. Standard deviations are shown in lighter colors below the example trace. **(B-B')** Average Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration and number of events in whisker stimulation trials in density plots and bar graphs (insets). N=370 neurons from 4 *Gabra2* KO mice, N=307 neurons from 3 WT mice. **(C)** Proportions of high and lower responding cells selected based on ~10% of high Ca^{2+} transient amplitude versus rest. **(D-D'')** Quantification of amplitude, duration and the number of events measured both high responders in WT and *Gabra2* KO. Statistics: linear mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. **(E)** Miniature IPSC traces were recorded from *Gabra2* WT and KO L2/3 barrel cortex. Average: solid black (WT) and red (KO). All traces are shown in grey. **(F)** Amplitude **(G)** and IEI of mIPSC from *Gabra2* WT and KO littermates. Cumulative distribution plotted. Inset: each dot represents a recorded cell (all events averaged). **(H)** Averaged rise time **(I)** and decay time of mIPSC. Each dot represents a recorded cell. Total 3 WT (8 cells) and 4 KO (17 cells). Statistics: Welch's t-test, mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001.

Figure 4. Cell-autonomous contribution of α1-GABA$_A$R and α2-GABA$_A$R in L2/3 pyramidal neuron whisker derived activity. (A) Method illustration. The *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* or *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice were injected with AAV6-CaMKIIα-RCaMP (control) or combined with AAV6-CaMKIIα-eGFP-Cre. The cell population received the viral mixture RCaMP and Cre, named Cre-positive (red cells with green nuclei). Right panel: representative picture from a field of view expressing RCaMP and Cre. White arrowhead: example of cre-positive cells. **(B-B'')** Spontaneous and stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration, and the number of events for control and Cre-positive RCaMP-expressing neurons from *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* mice. N=123 control neurons and N=47 cre-positive neurons from 2-4 mice per group. **(C-C'')** Spontaneous and stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration, and the number of events for control and Cre-positive RCaMP-expressing neurons from *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice. N=150 control neurons and N=262 Cre-positive RCaMP-neurons from 3-5 mice per group. **(D-D')** Proportions of high- and lower-responding cells selected based on 90th percentiles of the control group for spontaneous and stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transient amplitude in *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* **(D)** and *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice **(D')**. The same amplitude cut-off levels are then applied to the control and Cre-positive neurons of *Gabra1$^{flox/flox}$* and *Gabra2$^{flox/flox}$* mice. Statistics: linear mixed-effects models and Tukey post-hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001, the stars indicate the significance of the comparison between spontaneous and stimulation. The significance of cross-group comparisons are indicated in the text.

Figure 5. GABAergic neurotransmission changes in gephyrin-mutant-expressing L2/3 pyramidal neurons. (A) An illustration of different gephyrin mutations used in the study, along with the signaling pathways that are affected by the mutated residue. The gephyrin-K148R (SUMO1 conjugation site mutant) facilitates scaffolding. The gephyrin-S303A and

S305A (PKA and CaMKIIα phospho-null mutant) hampers NMDA receptor activity-induced

scaling at GABAergic postsynaptic sites. The gephyrin-DN (lacks part of E domain) disrupts

endogenous gephyrin scaffolds in neurons. **(B)** Representative images of neuron co-expressing

RCaMP and eGFP-gephyrin variants after injection of AAV in L2/3 barrel cortex *in vivo*. The

following combination of viruses were injected to the barrel cortex (same combination used in

2P Ca^{2+} imaging): AAV6-hSyn1-flex-gephyrin mutant, AAV6-CaMKIIa-CreER^{T2}, AAV6-

CaMKIIa-RCaMP1.07. All brain sections were stained for CaMKIIα and eGFP. **(C)** Example

traces from L2/3 pyramidal cells expressing gephyrin variants or GFP control. Colored traces:

averaged current from one neuron. Grey traces: all events measured from one neuron. **(D)**

Miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) amplitude and the inter-event interval

(IEI) in L2/3 pyramidal neurons expressing individual gephyrin variants. Statistics: eGFP,

n=23; K148R, n=13; gephyrin-DN, n=13; gephyrin-SSA, n=10 neurons, from 4-5 mice in each

group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test. Bars: mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01,

***p≤0.001, ****p≤0.0001.

1196

Figure 6. The expression of gephyrin mutants modulate L2/3 pyramidal neuron

excitability differentially. (A) An overview of the viral infection *in vivo*. The control site

(Area 1) received only AAV6-CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07, while the experimental site (Area 2)

received a combination of RCaMP/CaMKIIα-eGFP-Cre-gephyrin viruses. **(B)** Average trace

examples from imaging sessions for whisker stimulation trials of both before and after gephyrin

mutant expression. Grey bar: whisker stimulation. Lighter colors around the example trace are

standard deviations. **(C-C'')** Average percentage changes after gephyrin-mutant expression for

individual neurons are shown in bar graphs and the ratios are shown as violin plots to

demonstrate the distribution differences in stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transients. **(D)** Onset and

decay time for before and after expression of the gephyrin mutants. eGFP, n=491 neurons;

gephyrin-K148R, n=308 neurons; gephyrin-SSA, n=249 neurons; gephyrin-DN, n=204 neurons. Statistics: linear mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001.

Figure 7. Interaction of gephyrin variants and α1- or α2-GABA$_A$R subtypes. The primary cortical neurons were transfected with eGFP-gephyrin WT, eGFP-gephyrin DN, eGFP-Gephyrin K148R or eGFP-gephyrin SSA mutant at 13 days in vitro (13 DIV) and stained for α1 and α2 subunit of GABA$_A$Rs at 20 DIV. (A) Example images of transfected neurons with gephyrin mutants. Scale bar: 25 μm. Lower panels: magnified images of selected dendrites (white box). White arrows: eGFP-gephyrin clusters without GABRA2 staining. (B) Cluster analysis was performed to show the density of colocalization of eGFP-gephyrin variants with α1-GABA$_A$Rs and α2-GABA$_A$Rs. eGFP-gephyrin DN was excluded from cluster analysis as the GFP signal was diffused. Statistics: One-way ANOVA, with Tukey post hoc test. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001.

Suppl. Figure 1. Spontaneous L2/3 pyramidal neuron activity in Gabra1 KO mice. (A) Field of view and ROI selection from RCaMP-expressing barrel cortex L2/3; (right panel) representative whole frame and individual traces from a whisker stimulation trial. **(B-B'')** Average Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration and number of events in spontaneous trials in density plots and bar graphs (insets). **(C-C')** Onset and decay times of Ca^{2+} transients in WT and *Gabra1* KO mice. The average was taken from all imaging sessions for individual neurons (N=459 neurons from 5 *Gabra1* KO mice, N=418 neurons from 4 WT mice). Statistics: linear mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. **(D)** Immunohistochemistry of *Gabra1* WT and KO mice. Example images from L2/3 barrel cortex stained with VGAT, gephyrin and GABRA2 (α2)

1232 subunit. Scale bar: 25 μm. **(E-E'''')** Quantification of cluster density analyses of VGAT,

1233 gephyrin and GABRA2, and their colocalization. Statistics: t-test. Error bar: standard

1234 deviation. *p≤0.05

1235

Suppl. Figure 2. Spontaneous L2/3 pyramidal neuron activity in Gabra2 KO mice. (A)

Field of view and ROI selection from virus infected barrel cortex L2/3; (right panel)

representative whole frame and individual traces from 1 whisker stimulation trial. **(B-B'')**

Average Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, duration and number of events in spontaneous trials in

density plots and bar graphs (insets). **(C-C')** Onset and decay times of Ca^{2+} transients in WT

and *Gabra2* KO mice. The average was taken from all imaging sessions for individual neurons

(N=370 neurons from 4 *Gabra2* KO mice, N=307 neurons from 3 WT mice). Statistics: linear

mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM.

*p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001. **(D)** Immunohistochemistry of *Gabra2* WT and KO mice.

Example images from L2/3 barrel cortex stained with VGAT, gephyrin and GABRA1 (α1)

subunit. Scale bar: 25 μm. **(E-E'''')** Quantification of cluster density analyses of VGAT,

gephyrin and GABRA1, and their colocalization. Statistics: t-test (unpaired), mean ± SD.

1248

Suppl. Figure 3. Changes in α1, α2, α3 GABA$_A$R subunit expression in *Gabra1* and

***Gabra2* KO mice.** Whole cell protein lysates were prepared from barrel cortices of WT &

Gabra1 KO littermates **(A)** and WT and *Gabra2* KO littermates **(B)** (5 mice per group), and

Western blot (WB) analysis was performed for gephyrin, α3, and α1 or α2. Protein level was

normalised to total actin level for further quantification. **(A')** Quantification for gephyrin, α2,

α3 protein expression changes between WT and *Gabra1* KO. **(B')** Quantification for gephyrin,

α1, α3 protein expression changes between WT and *Gabra2* KO. Statistics: t-test (unpaired).

All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SD. *p≤0.05, **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001.

1257

Suppl. Figure 4. Expression of RCaMP and eGFP_Cre and the neuronal activity in L2/3

pyramidal neuron *Gabra1^flox/flox* and *Gabra2^flox/flox* mice. (A, B) Immunohistochemistry from

Gabra1^flox/flox **(A)** and *Gabra2^flox/flox* **(B)** mice received viral injections of AAV6-CaMKIIa-

RCaMP1.07 and AAV8-CaMKIIα-eGFP-Cre. The brain sections were stained for GABRA1

or GABRA2 to validate the effects of conditional knockout for *Gabra1* and *Gabra2*,

respectively, in L2/3 pyramidal neurons. Scale bar: 25 μm; 10 μm for zoom-in images. **(C, D)**

Example images of the field of view and ROI selection for Cre-negative and Cre-positive

RCaMP-expressing pyramidal neurons of *Gabra1^flox/flox* **(C)** and *Gabra2^flox/flox* **(D)** mice. Right

panel: example traces in a trial with whisker stimulation. Grey bar: whisker stimulation period.

(E, F) Density distribution of amplitude, duration and number of events for spontaneous and

stimulation-induced Ca^{2+} transients from RCaMP control, Cre-negative cells expressing

RCaMP, Cre-positive cells expressing RCaMP in *Gabra1^flox/flox* **(E)** and in *Gabra2^flox/flox* **(F)**

mice.

1271

Suppl. Figure 5. mIPSC and eIPSC recorded from gephyrin-DN-expressing L2/3

pyramidal neurons. (A) Normal distribution was plotted and tested using QQ plot and

D'Agostino-Pearson test. **(B)** logIEI plot for control and gephyrin variants. Statistics: One-way

or Brown-Forsythe and Welch One way ANOVA followed by Tukey's or Dunnet T3 multiple

comparison tests. **(C)** Representative traces of evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (eIPSC)

in a L2/3 pyramidal neuron of the barrel cortex of mice overexpressing control-eGFP and

gephyrin-DN mutant. **(D)** Input/output curves and bar diagrams showing the eIPSC amplitude

(pA) of L2/3 pyramidal neurons in GFP-expressing controls as compared to DN-expressing

mutant mice for each stimulation paradigm (black, GFP: 4 mice, n=5; red, DN: 4 mice, n=4;

Two-way ANOVA, $F_{(8, 56)} = 3.745$, $p = 0.0014$; Post hoc Sidak's multiple comparisons test,

*$p \leq 0.05$). Data are reported as mean ± SEM.

1283

Suppl. Figure 6. Percentage of L2/3 pyramidal neurons infected with different AAV virus.

(A) Example images of barrel cortex L2/3 cells infected with AAV6-CaMKIIα-RCaMP1.07,

AAV6-CaMKIIα-CreER^{T2} and AAV6-hSyn1-flex-gephyrin mutants. The neurons were

stained for CaMKIIα and GFP. Scale bar: 20 μm. (B) Quantification of average expression of

RCaMP-expressing neurons (72 %) and neurons co-expressing RCaMP and eGFP-gephyrin

mutants (40 %) after normalization to total CaMKIIα-positive neurons.

1290

Suppl. Figure 7. Spontaneous activity changes in L2/3 pyramidal neurons expressing

gephyrin variants. (A-A'') Average percentage changes after gephyrin-mutant expression for

individual neurons are shown in bar graphs and the ratio are shown in violin plots to

demonstrate the distributions for spontaneous Ca^{2+} transients. **(B)** Proportions of high- and

lower-responding neurons before and after gephyrin-mutant expression *in vivo* selected based

on Ca^{2+} transient amplitude. **(C)** Proportions of high- and lower-responding neurons before and

after gephyrin-mutant expression selected based on number of events. eGFP, n=491 neurons;

gephyrin-K148R, n=308 neurons; gephyrin-SSA, n=249 neurons; gephyrin-DN, n=204

neurons. The numbers on the pie charts are rounded to the nearest integer. Statistics: linear

mixed-effects models and Tukey post hoc tests. All bar graphs are represented as mean ± SEM.

*$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq 0.01$, ***$p \leq 0.001$.

1302

1303

1304

1305

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

Figure 7.