Continuous *cis*-regulatory changes in an advantageous gene are

linked with adaptive radiation in cichlid fishes

Langyu Gu[1,2,3,*], Chenzheng Li[2], Xiaobing Mao[2], Zongfang Wei[2], Youkui

Huang[2], Ximin He[1], Wenjun Zhou[1], Li Li[2,*], Deshou Wang[2,*]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen
University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China.
7
8 [2] Key Laboratory of Freshwater Fish Reproduction and Development (Ministry
9 of Education), Key Laboratory of Aquatic Science of Chongqing, School of
10 Life Sciences, Southwest University, Chongqing, China
11
12 [3] Zoological Institute, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of
13 Basel, Basel, Switzerland
14

17 *Correspondence:

18 Langyu Gu: langyugu@gmail.com

19 Li Li: alisir@swu.edu.cn

20 Deshou Wang: wdeshou@swu.edu.cn

Abstract

Deciphering why some lineages produce spectacular radiations while others do not provides important insights into biodiversity, but the molecular basis underlying this process remains largely unknown. Here, we identified a lineage-restricted gene, which we named *lg*. Combined omics analyses showed that *lg* is under positive selection in the most species-rich lineage of cichlid fishes, the modern haplochromine (MH) lineage, indicating its evolutionary advantage. Using transgenic zebrafish, we functionally showed that a cichlid fish-specific upstream insertion of *lg* can drive new and strong eGFP expression in tissues noted for adaptation in the MH lineage, but not in other lineages. Furthermore, the deletion of three MH-specific SNPs within this region can reconstitute weak and limited expression patterns similar to those in non-MH lineages. We thus demonstrated that a series of *cis*-regulatory changes in an advantageous gene are linked with a gain of expression that is related to an astonishingly adaptive radiative lineage.

Introduction

Understanding how genetic changes contribute to adaptive radiation, which is characterized by closely related species diversification coupled with ecological niche adaptation in a short time, is key to understanding the emergence of biodiversity[1–3]. It is well accepted that the contribution of protein evolution to this theme is restricted due to its pleiotropic effects[4,5]. Therefore, once adaptive sequence evolution occurs, it is usually advantageous and indicates important biological functions. Indeed, such findings for proteins are often exciting but can be counted on one hand, in particular for adaptive radiation[6–8]. Instead, emphasizing the roles of gene regulation in adaptive radiation arises frequently, especially with recent advances in genome-wide association studies, in which noncoding regions are often linked with gene expression changes[9–11]. However, functional evidence of these noncoding regions in the form of their *cis*-regulatory roles, especially for small changes such as SNPs, is necessary but sparse (but see the reference[12]), particularly in the context of whether many loci with small changes or one single locus with large effects can have phenotypic changes[13]. Therefore, the molecular basis of adaptive radiation remains largely unexplored.

To investigate this question, we focused on East African cichlid fishes, a classical model of adaptive radiation[1]. East African cichlid fishes are well known for their spectacular diversity and explosive speciation, i.e., hundreds of thousands of species with closely related genomes speciated within a short time and are thus often cited as *Darwin's dream pond*[14] or *natural mutants*[15]. Characteristics such as anal fin eggspots, maternal mouthbrooding behaviour, skin colouration, sensory evolution and cranial cartilage together with ecological opportunities are supposed to be key factors in promoting its unparalleled species propensity[16–21]. The modern haplochromine lineage (MH) is the most species-rich cichlid fish lineage and it possesses approximately 1800

species. In contrast, most radiations of non-MH lineages of East African cichlid

fishes comprise only dozens of species[22]. Although studying the adaptive

radiation of cichlid fishes has been a hotspot in evolutionary biology for a long

time, little focus has been placed on the genetic mechanism driving the

exceptional speciation that distinguishes the MH lineage from all other cichlid

fishes, except for a limited number of studies focusing on eggspot traits specific

to the MH lineage[23,18].

Combined with comparative omics analyses, computational evolutionary

analyses and functional experiments, we identified a fish-specific unannotated

gene, which we named *lg* here, that can be causally linked to the adaptive

radiation of the MH-lineage cichlid fishes. The ancestral function of *lg* is not

indispensable, considering that multiple losses occurred in different fish

lineages, and no obvious phenotype was detected in gene knockout zebrafish.

Interestingly, *lg* evolved an MH lineage-segregated amino acid that is under

positive selection, suggesting it has newly evolved advantageous roles specific

to the MH lineage. *lg* also evolved new expression profiles in the MH lineage,

confirming its new functions. Indeed, *lg* evolved a cichlid fish-specific upstream

insertion that can drive new eGFP expression in tissues related to adaptation,

such as the pharyngeal arches and serotonergic neuroepithelial cells. Notably,

this homologous region of the MH lineage and non-MH lineage drives different

expression patterns, i.e., a stronger and wider range of patterns in the former.

Further functional experiments showed that three MH-specific SNPs deletion

can explain this difference, suggesting that small *cis*-regulatory changes can

induce significant expression differences. Taken together, our work revealed for

the first time that a series of *cis*-regulatory changes in an advantageous new

gene are linked to an astonishingly adaptive radiative lineage.

Results

lg is a lineage-restricted gene, and its ancestral function is not indispensable

Comparative genomic analyses showed that *lg* is a lineage-restricted gene that appeared only in fishes, at least based on the available data (Supplementary Fig. 1, Table S1). *lg* was lost multiple times in different fish lineages, supporting the assumption that it is not indispensable. To further characterize its function, gene knockout was performed in zebrafish. Sanger sequencing and western blot analyses confirmed successful gene dysfunction with a frameshift (5 bp deletion) and a protein interruption (a stop codon appeared at the 18th amino acid) (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Comparative transcriptomic analyses after gene knockout showed that the top differentially expressed (DE) genes were largely related to immunity, neurons and energy (Table S2). To determine whether there are different immune responses between MUT and WT, we examined the immune responses of larval zebrafish to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) by counting the numbers of lyz^+ neutrophils in the caudal haematopoietic tissue one day after injection [24]. No significant difference was detected (Supplementary Fig. 2B, Table S3). To determine whether gene knockout affects neuronal development in the brain and eyes where *lg* is expressed in zebrafish, we compared the phenotypic changes between MUT and WT using the brain neuron marker HuC [25] and still no difference was detected (Supplementary Fig. 2C). Then, we turned our attention to one top DE gene, *slc16a6*, which has been reported to be related to energy metabolism under fasting conditions [26]. Under the same strategy, however, we still could not find obvious metabolic phenotypic changes between MUT and WT (Supplementary Fig. 2C). This can be explained by the fact that *slc16a6* is only downregulated here instead of entirely disrupted, as in the reference [26], so that it can still execute its function. These functional assays further support our assumption that the ancestral function of *lg* is not indispensable.

147 ***lg* is under positive selection in the MH lineage of cichlid fishes**

148   New gene functions can evolve via protein-coding sequence evolution.

149 We thus amplified *lg* and conducted computational evolutionary analyses to test

150 the signal of adaptive sequence evolution in the coding region across the East

151 African cichlid fish phylogeny (Fig. 1). Branch-site model analyses with PAML

152 revealed that one nonsynonymous amino acid mutation (A to S) specific to the

153 MH lineage located at the 38th residue is under positive selection (ω>1, p<0.01)

154 (Fig. 1, Table S4). This indicates that *lg* evolved advantageous roles in the MH

155 lineage of cichlid fishes.

156

157 ***lg* evolved new expression profiles in cichlid fishes, especially in the MH**

158 **lineage**

159   Changes in gene regulation can also induce phenotypic differences; thus,

160 we examined the expression profiles of *lg* in different fishes by combining

161 RNAseq analyses and *in situ* hybridization (Fig. 2, Table S5). The results

162 revealed that *lg* is mainly expressed in the brain and eyes in most fishes.

163 Intriguingly, it evolved new expression profiles in cichlid fishes in the

164 pharyngeal cartilage, as well as in the skin in the MH lineage [19]. These results

165 suggest that *lg* evolved new functions in cichlid fishes, especially in the MH

166 lineage.

167

168 ***lg* evolved a cichlid fish-specific upstream region, which possesses three**

169 **MH-segregated SNPs**

170   To determine whether there is a cichlid fish-specific regulatory region

171 that drives the new expression patterns, we conducted comparative genomic

172 analyses of *lg* among different teleost fishes to try to find conserved noncoding

173 sequences (CNSs) specific to cichlid fishes (Supplementary Fig. 3). Indeed,

174 there was one cichlid fish-specific CNS (approximately 2 kb) in the upstream

175 region. To further characterize its sequence evolution within cichlid fishes, we

amplified the whole *lg* gene, including the CNS region, in a total of 20 cichlid
fishes representing main lineages across the East African cichlid fish
phylogeny[27] (Fig. 1). Interestingly, within this cichlid fish-specific CNS region,
there are three SNPs specific to the MH lineage (Fig. 1). Further transcription
factor (TF) binding prediction revealed that these SNPs could set up binding
opportunities for a hormone-related TF, glucocorticoid modulatory element
binding protein 2 (GMEB2) (Fig. 1). These results prompted us to pay special
attention to the *cis*-regulatory evolution of *lg* in cichlid fishes.
184

Homologous cichlid fish-specific upstream regions from the MH lineage
and non-MH lineage perform different *cis*-regulatory roles

To determine whether the cichlid fish-specific upstream region of *lg*
indeed has regulatory functions, and whether this region has particular function
in the MH lineage, we constructed two transgenic lines with the homologous
upstream regions from species belonging to different lineages as the promoters
to drive eGFP expression in zebrafish (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). One line
is with the upstream region of an MH cichlid fish, *Pundamilia nyererei*
(pT2AL-Pn-eGFP transgenic line, Pn line). The other line is with the
corresponding homologous region of a cichlid fish belonging to a sister lineage
of East African Great Lakes cichlid fishes, Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*)
[16] (pT2AL-On-eGFP transgenic line, On line). We successfully obtained F2
generations for both transgenic lines. Both lines can drive eGFP expression in
zebrafish, confirming their regulatory functions, but with different patterns (Fig.
3 and Fig. 4). The Pn line showed strong expression in neurons in most regions
of the brain (olfactory epithelium, olfactory bulb, forebrain, optic tectum,
cerebellum and hindbrain), eyes, spinal cord, and heart valves. The Pn line also
showed new and strong eGFP expression in the hatching gland in embryos,
pharyngeal arches, chondrocyte cells in the fin and scattered epidermal
serotonergic neuroepithelial cells. The positive eGFP cells were further
confirmed by available antibodies (Fig. 3). Interestingly, compared to the Pn
line, eGFP fluroscence was weak in the brain and pharyngeal arches in the On

line ($p<0.01$), and was hard to detect in other tissues (Fig. 4, Table S6).
Considering that we obtained different F1 individuals of each line possessing
the same stable eGFP expression patterns, and that the eGFP expression
patterns of different lines were consistent with the *in situ* hybridization and
RNAseq results above, the transgenic lines we constructed were stable and
credible. These results confirmed that the cichlid fish-specific upstream region
of *lg* indeed evolved new regulatory functions, i.e., not only execute the
ancestral expression profiles in neurons, but also evolved new expression
patterns in the hatching gland and pharyngeal arches in cichlid fishes. New and
strong regulatory functions further evolved in particular in the MH lineage.
217

Three MH lineage-segregated SNPs are causally linked with different *cis*-
regulatory roles

To determine whether the different expression patterns above were
caused by the three MH lineage-segregated SNPs, we constructed another
transgenic line with the same upstream region as in the Pn line as the promoter,
but specifically deleted the three MH-segregated SNPs (pT2AL-Pn-noSNPs-
eGFP line, Pn-noSNPs line) (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). Interestingly, only
with these three SNP deletions did the Pn-noSNPs line clearly show
significantly different expression patterns from the Pn line but similar patterns
to the On line (Fig. 4, and Table S6). These results confirmed that these SNPs
are causally linked to the different regulatory roles of the cichlid fish-specific
upstream region between MH and non-MH lineages.
230

Discussion
Here, we revealed that the evolution of a lineage-restricted gene, *lg*, is
causally linked with one adaptive radiative lineage of cichlid fishes, the MH
lineage. Although the ancestral function of *lg* is not indispensable, adaptive
sequence evolutionary analyses of *lg* discovered its newly evolved
advantageous roles in MH lineage cichlid fishes. Lineage-restricted genes are
increasingly being reported to be related to novelty and adaptation[28–30]. It has

been shown that they are usually located at the edge of the gene network [31], and
thus their disruption does not significantly affect the core gene network. This
could be one reason why their coding regions are relatively free to evolve new
functions, such as *lg* in our study. Further gene knockout in MH cichlid fishes
will be helpful to unravel its protein functions and the involved pathways.

Most unique tissues that express *lg* in the MH lineage are well known to
be related to adaptation. For example, the hatching gland is a key innovation in
regulating the hatching period of aquatic embryos to become free-living larvae
[32]; the pharyngeal cartilages related to feeding and ecological niche exploration
are key to cichlid fishes adaptive radiation [33–35]; epidermal serotonergic
neuroepithelial cells are chemoreceptors related to environmental stimuli such
as hypoxia [36]; and the olfactory epithelium is famous for the detection of
odorants, such as amino acids, hormones and pheromones [37]. *lg* is also highly
expressed in the heart valves, a key innovation to control unidirectional blood
flow[38]. Given that most of these tissues are derived from neural crest cells [39], a
key vertebrate innovation, *lg* can also be causally linked to neural crest cell
development and differentiation. Taken together, we can conclude that *lg* gained
new regulatory functions related to adaptation in the most species-rich lineage
of cichlid fishes, the MH lineage.

Notably, unlike in the MH lineage, the homologous upstream region only
drives limited and weak expression patterns of *lg* in the non-MH lineage,
mainly in the brain and pharyngeal cartilage. The three MH-specific SNPs are
causally linked to this difference. We thus hypothesize that a series of *cis*-
regulatory changes that occur in the MH lineage are responsible for driving the
new expression pattern of *lg*. The insertion of upstream regions in the ancestral
East African cichlid fishes provided the basic background to evolve new gene
regulation roles. Under relaxed selection pressure, this region further
accumulated mutations, such as the three MH-segregated SNPs in the MH
lineage. This event provided new TF binding opportunities to help *lg* integrate

into a new gene network and finally trigger wider and stronger expression

patterns in tissues related to adaptation in the MH lineage. In this case, we

provided evidence that small SNP changes can induce significant regulatory

differences. Indeed, even a single mutation in the upstream region can induce

new expression profiles [12,40,41]. It will be interesting to test our predictions of the

binding of a glucocorticoid hormone-related TF to these SNPs in the future. If

this is true, these SNPs can efficiently connect the organism with the external

environment, since glucocorticoids are well-known stress-related hormones that

regulate animal behaviour in response to the environment [42,43]. Taken together,

we showed that continuous *cis*-regulatory changes linked *lg* with a gain of new

and strong expression patterns in a particularly adaptive radiative lineage.

Recent comparative genomics studies on cichlid fishes have continued to

find that the speciation rate is positively correlated with genetic diversity[44–46].

Genome polymorphisms can thus provide exceptional genome potential to

allow for adaptive radiation. As in this case, mutations as small as SNPs

accumulated in the noncoding region can immediately induce large phenotypic

changes. It can thus facilitate incipient speciation rapidly due to incompatible

regulation by hybridization[47], which is particularly meaningful for adaptive

radiation. The series of *cis*-regulatory changes of a new advantageous gene

linked with an adaptive radiative lineage that we discovered thus provides a clue

to illuminate the molecular mechanism driving adaptive radiation in a broad

sense.

Methods

sampling

Samples of cichlid fishes for comparative genomic analyses were

provided by Prof. Walter Salzburger in University of Basel, Switzerland; cichlid

fish *Pundamila nyererei* for transgene plasmids construction was obtained from

IGV (Interessengemeinschaft Viktoriasee-Cichliden); Strains of AB (for

transgenic lines construction) and ABTü (for gene knockout) zebrafish (*Danio*

rerio) were raised under standard condition (28.5°C, 14/10 h light/dark). Tilapia

(*Oreochromis niloticus*) were raised at 26°C, 14/10 h light/dark. Animal

experiments have been approved by the cantonal veterinary office in Basel

under permit number 2317 in University of Basel, Switzerland; the Committee

of Laboratory Animal Experimentation at Sun Yat-sen University and

Southwest University, China.

-omics analyses to characterize the evolution of *lg* across fish phylogeny

To characterize the sequence evolution of *lg*, tblastx was conducted with

-e 0.001 in fishes with good genome assembly in Ensembl 100 (Table S1) and

local blast v2.9.0 (tblastx) was conducted with draft genomes assembly across

the phylogeny according to the reference [48]. After obtaining hits, the scaffolds

possessing the corresponding sequences were extracted to predict the coding

regions with Augustus 3.3.3 [49,50]. The predicted *lg* coding sequences were

further confirmed by aligning the translated amino acids with the available

sequences from Ensembl 100 using Geneious 8.0.5 [51].

.

-omics analyses to characterize the evolution of *lg* within cichlid fishes

To further characterize the sequence evolution of *lg* within East African

cichlid fishes, we amplified the whole gene sequence and its upstream region

(ca.10 kb in total) in different cichlid fishes. Combined with available cichlid

fish genomes, we studied the sequence evolution in a total of 20 cichlid fishes

representing main lineages across the phylogeny [27]. Genomic DNA was

extracted using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen). PCR primers were

designed using Primer premier 5 [52]. High-fidelity PCR Master Mix (BioLabs)

and a touch-down annealing process were used for amplification. PGM Ion

Torrent was used to sequence long amplicons (400 bp) with CHIP 316 (Life

Technologies). Galaxy v20.09 [53] was used to trim low-quality reads (window

size 2, step size 1, minimum quality score 20) and filter short reads with a

minimum size of 40 bp. The sequence of a cichlid fish, tilapia, retrieved from
the Ensembl database was used as the reference to recheck the assembled
sequences. The sequencing reads of the *lg* gene across the cichlid fish
phylogeny are available at NCBI (BioProject ID PRJNA611690). The ion
torrent sequencing of most species was successful except *Limnochromis*
abeelei, *Ophthalmotilapia ventralis*, and *Bathybates graueri*, whose sequences
were further obtained from Sanger sequencing (Primers can be found in Table
S6).

Genomic alignment of *lg* of cichlid fishes and other teleost fishes was
conducted with mVISTA [54,55] using the LAGAN alignment tool [56] with *P.*
nyererei as the reference. We applied the repeat masking option with fugu as the
reference. Transcription factors (TFs) binding prediction analyses were
conducted with MatInspector v8.4.1 with the genomatix software suite
(https://www.genomatix.de) using default parameters with zebrafish as the reference
[57,58].

Positive selection detection of *lg* in cichlid fishes

To detect whether positive selection occurred in the evolution of *lg* in a
specific branch, a branch-site model within the codeml in PAML v3.14 was
performed [59,60]. Fixed branch lengths (fix_blength = 2) retrieved under the M0
model were used. Alignment gaps and ambiguous characters were removed
(Cleandata = 1). The tree for the PAML test is based on the phylogeny from the
study [27]. Rates of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions (ω or dN/dS)
significantly larger than 1 indicate that the sites in a specific target branch
(foreground branch) are under positive selection. A *chi*-squared approximation
was calculated to test statistical significance. Detail statistics of branch-site
model comparisons can be found in Table S4.

CRISPR/Cas9 gene knockout in zebrafish

The *lg* mutant line was generated by the CRISPR/Cas9 system. The guide RNA (gRNA) target site was selected using the CRISPOR v4.98 [61] with a 20 bp NGG PAM motif. The synthesized gRNA and Cas9 mRNA were coinjected into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos at a concentration of 50 ng/µl. Ten randomly selected embryos at 1 dpf (days post fertilization) were lysed and used to assess functional gene knockout using PCR amplification with primers designed at the target knockout site (Table S7). Heterozygous F1 fish were obtained by crossing F0 and wild-type (WT) zebrafish and genotyped with the same primers above using Sanger sequencing at the target site (Table S7). Siblings of the F1 generation harbouring the same mutations were mated to generate homozygous F2 mutants (MUT) and WT sisters (as the control).

Western blot

Since there was no available antibody for *lg*, we added an HA tag (ATGTACCCATACGACGTCCCAGACTACGCT) in front of the coding region of *lg* by PCR amplification (Table S6). The antibody of the HA tag can thus detect the fused *lg* protein if there is no disruption. To ligate the amplicons of HA-tagged coding regions of WT and MUT into the pCS2 vector, restriction enzyme sites (*Bam*H I and *Xba* I) were added at the two ends of the amplicons by PCR amplification (Table S7), followed by a double digestion system (NEB) and ligation (Promega) (Table S7). The plasmids pCS2, pCS2-lg-HAtag-WT and pCS2-lg-HAtag-MUT (2 µg) (Supplementary Fig. 4) were then transfected into the HEK293T cell line (ab255449, Abcam) separately. Protein was collected after 36 h of transfection to perform Western blot according to the standard procedure [62]. Mouse anti-HA (901501 Biolegend, 1:2000) and mouse anti-GAPDH (60004-1-lg Proteintech, 1:2000) antibodies were used for detection. Detections were performed with goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (31430 Thermo 1:5000) and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL plus) reagent.

RNAseq analyses

To determine the gene expression profiles of *lg*, the available raw
transcriptomic data of different tissues in different fishes were extracted from
the SRA database (Table S5). The raw reads were mapped to the corresponding
transcriptome retrieved from Ensembl using Hisat2-2.1.0 [63] with default
parameters. The reported SAM results were converted into BAM format, and
finally recorded as count files using SAMtools v1.10 [64] followed by TPM value
calculation [65].

To identify the affected genes after knockout, comparative transcriptomic
analyses were conducted between MUT and WT. RNA extraction was
performed (each replicate contained 30 pooled individuals) using TRIzol®
reagent (Invitrogen). Six biological replicates of WT and MUT of the F2
generation were used for RNAseq analyses. Library construction and
sequencing were performed using Illumina TrueSeq RNAseq technology at the
Novogene Company, China, with a paired end read length of 350 bp. The raw
reads were mapped to the zebrafish transcriptome assembly using the same
methods mentioned above to obtain the read count files. The differentially
expressed (DE) transcripts between WT and MUT were further analysed using
the edgeR v3.20.7 package (FDR<0.01).

In situ **hybridization**

The gene fragments in the coding region of *lg* in zebrafish and tilapia
were amplified using PrimerSTAR (Takara) (Table S7) and *lyz* probe synthesis
was conducted as described in the reference [66]. The amplified products were
cloned into the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega). Plasmids were extracted and
purified using a TIANprep Mini Plasmid Kit II (Tiangen). The insertion and
direction of probes were confirmed with Sanger sequencing using M13 PCR

416   products (Tsingke). RNA probes were synthesized with the DIG RNA labelling

417   kit (SP6/T7) (Roche). The experiment was conducted as described in the

418   reference [67] with biological replicates (n=30 for zebrafish, n=20 for tilapia, and

419   n=10 for *P. nyererei*). The embryos or larvae were mounted in 1% low melting

420   point agarose (GBCBIO) and imaged with a Zeiss Axio Imager Z2 microscope.

Transgenic plasmids and transgenic lines construction

To determine the regulatory functions of cichlid fish-specific upstream

regions, we constructed plasmids with different upstream regions as promoters

to drive eGFP expression in zebrafish (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 4), i.e., 1)

species in the MH lineage (*P. nyererei*) (Pn line); and 2) the corresponding

region of species in the sister lineage of East African Great Lakes cichlid fishes

(tilapia) (On line). 3) To determine whether the three MH-segregated SNPs in

the cichlid fish-specific upstream region are important, we specifically deleted

these SNPs using site-directed mutagenesis by PCR primer extension (Table S7)

[68] to construct another transgenic line (Pn-noSNPs line). A schematic of the

plasmid construction can be found in Supplementary Fig.4.

DNA fragments for different plasmid constructs were amplified with

PCR primers supplemented with the corresponding restriction endonuclease

sites (Supplementary Fig. 4, Table S7). Fragments were then recovered

(Tiangen), digested (NEB) and ligated (Promega) into the pT2AL vector

following the instructions accordingly. Successfully constructed recombinant

plasmids were further confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Tsingke). Injections

were performed into the one-cell stage of zebrafish embryos. By outcrossing

with WT zebrafish, we successfully obtained F2 stable transgenic lines for all

these lines (Pn line, On line and Pn-noSNPs line). The fluroscence signals were

imaged with Zeiss 880 confocal microscope and Olympus FV3000 microscope.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-Pvalb7, 1:1000

provided by Prof. Masahiko Hibi, Nagoya University, Japan [69]; rabbit anti-

serotonin, 1:400 (s5545, Sigma); rabbit anti-calretinin, 1:400 (ab702, Abcam);

goat anti-GFP, 1:400 (ab6673, Abcam); mouse anti-HuC/HuD, 1:1000 (16A11,

Invitrogen); rabbit anti-SOX10, 1:400 (ab229331, Abcam); rabbit anti-PAX6

1:400 (ab209836, Abcam); mouse anti-collagen type II (II-II6B3, DSHB); and

rabbit anti-cathepsin L/MEP (ab209708, Abcam). The secondary antibodies

were donkey to goat IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 488) (ab150133, Abcam),

donkey to mouse IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 647) (ab150107, Abcam), donkey to

rabbit IgG H&L (Alexa Fluor® 647) (ab150063, Abcam) and DAPI (ab228549,

Abcam). Fish larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 4 h at

room temperature, followed by a detailed protocol as described previously [70].

The fluroscence signals were imaged with a Zeiss 880 confocal microscope.

Immune response detection

To determine whether there are different immune responses between

MUT and WT zebrafish, we injected lipopolysaccharide (LPS), the key

component of Gram-negative bacteria, into the circulation of 2 dpf zebrafish

and counted the numbers of lyz^+ neutrophils in the caudal haematopoietic tissue

at one day post injection. The lyz^+ whole-mount RNA *in situ* hybridization

signals across eight somites of the larval CHT (caudal haematopoietic tissue)

regions were manually counted. Statistical analyses can be found in Table S7.

Data Availability

470   The publicly available datasets that this study used are provided in the

471   supplementary information. The RNAseq data of WT and MUT are available at

472   NCBI (BioProject ID PRJNA611476). The ion torrent sequencing reads of the

473   *lg* gene are available at NCBI (BioProject ID PRJNA611690). The scaffolds

474   possessing *lg* of teleost fishes across the phylogeny, the assembly of *lg*

including the upstream region of cichlid fishes across the phylogeny retrieved from ion torrent sequencing and Sanger sequencing can be found in Dryad https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.fxpnvx0qb. Source associated data of Fig. 4 are provided in the supplementary files. Other data are available upon reasonable request.

References

1. Salzburger, W. Understanding explosive diversification through cichlid fish genomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **19,** 705–717 (2018).

2. Stange, M., Barrett, R. D. H. & Hendry, A. P. The importance of genomic variation for biodiversity, ecosystems and people. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 1–17 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41576-020-00288-7

3. Orteu, A. & Jiggins, C. D. The genomics of coloration provides insights into adaptive evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **21,** 461–475 (2020).

4. Soskine, M. & Tawfik, D. S. Mutational effects and the evolution of new protein functions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11,** 572–582 (2010).

5. Zhang, J. & Yang, J.-R. Determinants of the rate of protein sequence evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **16,** 409–420 (2015).

6. J, Z., YP, Z. & HF, R. Adaptive evolution of a duplicated pancreatic ribonuclease gene in a leaf-eating monkey. *Nat. Genet.* **30,** (2002).

7. Deng, C., Cheng, C.-H. C., Ye, H., He, X. & Chen, L. Evolution of an antifreeze protein by neofunctionalization under escape from adaptive conflict. doi:10.1073/pnas.1007883107

8. AD, B. *et al.* Positive selection of a duplicated UV-sensitive visual pigment coincides with wing pigment evolution in Heliconius butterflies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107,** (2010).

9. A, E. T. *et al.* Gene expression dynamics during rapid organismal diversification in African cichlid fishes. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* (2020). doi:10.1038/S41559-020-01354-3

10. Wallbank, R. W. R. *et al.* Evolutionary Novelty in a Butterfly Wing Pattern through Enhancer Shuffling. *PLoS Biol.* **14,** e1002353 (2016).

11. JJ, L. *et al.* Parallel evolution of ancient, pleiotropic enhancers underlies butterfly wing pattern mimicry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116,** (2019).

12. L, G. *et al.* Stepwise cis-Regulatory Changes in ZCN8 Contribute to Maize Flowering-

Time Adaptation. *Curr. Biol.* **28,** (2018).

13.   Barghi, N., Hermisson, J. & Schlötterer, C. Polygenic adaptation: a unifying
framework to understand positive selection. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **21,** 769–781 (2020).

14.   Goldschmidt, T. *Darwin's Dreampond.* (Mit Press, 1998).

15.   Kuraku, S. & Meyer, A. Genomic analysis of cichlid fish 'natural mutants'. *Curr.*
Opin. Genet. Dev. **18,** 551–558 (2008).

16.   Salzburger, W. Understanding explosive diversification through cichlid fish genomics.
Nat. Rev. Genet. (2018). doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0043-9

17.   KL, C. & MR, Y. Axes of visual adaptation in the ecologically diverse family
Cichlidae. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **106,** (2020).

18.   Santos, M. E. *et al.* The evolution of cichlid fish egg-spots is linked with a cis-
regulatory change. *Nat. Commun.* **5,** 5149 (2014).

19.   Salzburger, W. The interaction of sexually and naturally selected traits in the adaptive
radiations of cichlid fishes. *Mol. Ecol.* **18,** 169–85 (2009).

20.   CF, K. *et al.* Agouti-related peptide 2 facilitates convergent evolution of stripe patterns
across cichlid fish radiations. *Science* **362,** (2018).

21.   KE, P. & RC, A. Cichlid fishes as a model to understand normal and clinical
craniofacial variation. *Dev. Biol.* **415,** (2016).

22.   Salzburger, W., Mack, T., Verheyen, E. & Meyer, A. Out of Tanganyika: genesis,
explosive speciation, key-innovations and phylogeography of the haplochromine
cichlid fishes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **5,** 17 (2005).

23.   Salzburger, W., Braasch, I. & Meyer, A. Adaptive sequence evolution in a color gene
involved in the formation of the characteristic egg-dummies of male haplochromine
cichlid fishes. *BMC Biol.* **5,** 51 (2007).

24.   SH, O. & LI, Z. Hematopoiesis: An Evolving Paradigm for Stem Cell Biology. *Cell*
132, 631–44 (2008).

25.   Kim, C.-H. *et al.* Zebrafish elav/HuC homologue as a very early neuronal marker.
Neurosci. Lett. **216,** 109–112 (1996).

26.   Hugo, S. E. *et al.* A monocarboxylate transporter required for hepatocyte secretion of
ketone bodies during fasting. *Genes Dev.* **26,** 282–93 (2012).

27.   Meyer, B. S., Matschiner, M. & Salzburger, W. A tribal level phylogeny of Lake
Tanganyika cichlid fishes based on a genomic multi-marker approach. *Mol.*
Phylogenet. Evol. **83,** 56–71 (2015).

28.   Santos, M. E., Le Bouquin, A., Crumière, A. J. J. & Khila, A. Taxon-restricted genes

at the origin of a novel trait allowing access to a new environment. *Science (80-.).*
358, 386–390 (2017).

29.   Zhang, Y. E. & Long, M. New genes contribute to genetic and phenotypic novelties in
545   human evolution. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **29,** 90–6 (2014).

546   30.   Khalturin, K. *et al.* A novel gene family controls species-specific morphological traits
547   in Hydra. *PLoS Biol.* **6,** e278 (2008).

548   31.   Zhang, W., Landback, P., Gschwend, A. R., Shen, B. & Long, M. New genes drive the
549   evolution of gene interaction networks in the human and mouse genomes. *Genome*
550   *Biol.* **16,** 202 (2015).

551   32.   Nagasawa, T. *et al.* Evolutionary Changes in the Developmental Origin of Hatching
552   Gland Cells in Basal Ray-Finned Fishes. *Zoolog. Sci.* **33,** 272 (2016).

553   33.   Conith, A. J., Kidd, M. R., Kocher, T. D. & Albertson, R. C. Ecomorphological
554   divergence and habitat lability in the context of robust patterns of modularity in the
555   cichlid feeding apparatus. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **20,** 95 (2020).

556   34.   Roberts, R. B., Hu, Y., Albertson, R. C. & Kocher, T. D. Craniofacial divergence and
557   ongoing adaptation via the hedgehog pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108,**
558   13194–9 (2011).

559   35.   Albertson, R. C., Streelman, J. T., Kocher, T. D. & Yelick, P. C. Integration and
560   evolution of the cichlid mandible: the molecular basis of alternate feeding strategies.
561   *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102,** 16287–92 (2005).

562   36.   W, P., AL, S., CA, N. & MG, J. Identification of oxygen-sensitive neuroepithelial cells
563   through an endogenous reporter gene in larval and adult transgenic zebrafish. *Cell*
564   *Tissue Res.* (2021). doi:10.1007/S00441-020-03307-5

565   37.   Kermen, F., Franco, L. M., Wyatt, C. & Yaksi, E. Neural circuits mediating olfactory-
566   driven behavior in fish. *Front. Neural Circuits* **7,** 62 (2013).

567   38.   Bishopric, N. H. Evolution of the heart from bacteria to man. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*
568   **1047,** 13–29 (2005).

569   39.   Green, S. A., Simoes-Costa, M. & Bronner, M. E. Evolution of vertebrates as viewed
570   from the crest. *Nature* **520,** 474–82 (2015).

571   40.   Hu, W., Chen, S., Zhang, R. & Lin, Y. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the
572   upstream regulatory region alter the expression of myostatin. *Vitr. Cell. Dev. Biol. -*
573   *Anim.* **49,** 417–423 (2013).

574   41.   Marceca, C., Pfob, M., Schelling, G., Steinlein, O. K. & Eggert, M. Single nucleotide
575   polymorphism   creating   a   variable   upstream   open   reading   frame   regulates

glucocorticoid receptor expression. *Gene* **563,** 24–28 (2015).

42. Nyman, C., Fischer, S., Aubin-Horth, N. & Taborsky, B. Evolutionary conserved
neural signature of early life stress affects animal social competence. *Proceedings.*
Biol. Sci. **285,** (2018).

43. Culbert, B. M., Gilmour, K. M. & Balshine, S. Stress axis regulation during social
ascension in a group-living cichlid fish. *Horm. Behav.* **103,** 121–128 (2018).

44. F, R. *et al.* Drivers and dynamics of a massive adaptive radiation in cichlid fishes.
Nature (2020). doi:10.1038/S41586-020-2930-4

45. JI, M. *et al.* The coincidence of ecological opportunity with hybridization explains
rapid adaptive radiation in Lake Mweru cichlid fishes. *Nat. Commun.* **10,** (2019).

46. JI, M. *et al.* Ancient hybridization fuels rapid cichlid fish adaptive radiations. *Nat.*
Commun. **8,** (2017).

47. JP, V. & FC, J. Predominance of cis-regulatory changes in parallel expression
divergence of sticklebacks. *Elife* **8,** (2019).

48. Malmstrøm, M. *et al.* Evolution of the immune system influences speciation rates in
teleost fishes. *Nat. Genet.* **48,** 1204–1210 (2016).

49. Stanke, M. & Morgenstern, B. AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in
eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33,** W465–7
(2005).

50. Stanke, M., Steinkamp, R., Waack, S. & Morgenstern, B. AUGUSTUS: a web server
for gene finding in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32,** W309–12 (2004).

51. Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software
platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28,** 1647–9
(2012).

52. Lalitha, S. Primer Premier 5. *Biotech Software&Internet Rep.* **1,** (2000).

53. Afgan, E. *et al.* The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative
biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46,** W537–W544 (2018).

54. Mayor, C. *et al.* VISTA: visualizing global DNA sequence alignments of arbitrary
length. *Bioinformatics* **16,** 1046–1047 (2000).

55. Frazer, K. A., Pachter, L., Poliakov, A., Rubin, E. M. & Dubchak, I. VISTA:
computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32,** W273–9
(2004).

56. Brudno, M. *et al.* LAGAN and Multi-LAGAN: efficient tools for large-scale multiple
alignment of genomic DNA. *Genome Res.* **13,** 721–31 (2003).

57.   Quandt, K., Frech, K., Karas, H., Wingender, E. & Werner, T. MatInd and
MatInspector: new fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in
nucleotide sequence data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **23,** 4878–84 (1995).

58.   Cartharius, K. *et al.* MatInspector and beyond: promoter analysis based on
transcription factor binding sites. *Bioinformatics* **21,** 2933–2942 (2005).

59.   Yang, Z. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum
likelihood. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* **13,** 555–6 (1997).

60.   Yang, Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24,**
1586–91 (2007).

61.   Concordet, J.-P. & Haeussler, M. CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for
CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46,** W242–
W245 (2018).

62.   Zhao, F. *et al.* Irf8 regulates the progression of myeloproliferative neoplasm-like
syndrome via Mertk signaling in zebrafish. *Leukemia* **32,** 149–158 (2018).

63.   Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* **12,** 357–360 (2015).

64.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25,**
2078–9 (2009).

65.   Wagner, G. P., Kin, K. & Lynch, V. J. Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNA-
seq data: RPKM measure is inconsistent among samples. *Theory Biosci.* **131,** 281–285
(2012).

66.   Hou, Y. *et al.* Systemic inoculation of Escherichia coli causes emergency myelopoiesis
in zebrafish larval caudal hematopoietic tissue. *Sci. Rep.* **6,** 36853 (2016).

67.   Westerfield, M. *The zebrafish book. A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio*
rerio). 4th edition. (University of Oregon Press, Eugene, 2000).

68.   Reikofski, J. & Tao, B. Y. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques for site-
directed mutagenesis. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **10,** 535–47 (1992).

69.   Nimura, T. *et al.* Role of Reelin in cell positioning in the cerebellum and the
cerebellum-like structure in zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.* **455,** 393–408 (2019).

70.   Barresi, M. J. F., Stickney, H. L. & Devoto, S. H. The zebrafish slow-muscle-omitted
gene product is required for Hedgehog signal transduction and the development of
slow muscle identity. *Development* **127,** 2189–2199 (2000).

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Prof. Walter Salzburger, Attila Rüegg, Zhen Liu, Zhiqiang Zhou and Hesheng Xiao for the sampling and suggestions. We thank Prof. Masahiko Hibi and Prof. Kiyoshi Naruse for providing the antibody Pvalb7. We also thank Prof. Lingfei Luo, Prof. Hua Ruan, Prof. Yang Liu and Prof. Tienming Lee for the laboratory supports. Many thanks to Prof. Zuzana Musilova, Dr. Xiaogui Yi, Dr. Yandong Zhan, Dr. Fangying Zhao, Yuepeng He, Xinkai Cheng, Qing Li and Yue Gao for the supports. Thanks to Huansheng Tao and Jialing Xu for the Confocol microscope support, Zhao Long for fluroscent microscope support. We also thank Prof. Xiangjiang Zhan, Prof. Daiping Wang and Dr. Pengcheng Wang for the comments on this ms. This study was funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31802283); Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2020A1515010330); the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (20lgpy109); China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018□M633311) and Doctoral Scholarship of University of Basel, Switzerland to LG; Grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31630082) to DW, and (31771623 and 31571500) to LL. Part of -omics analyses was supported by National Supercomputer Center in Guangzhou, China. The English language of this article was edited by Elsevier Language Editing Services.

Authors contributions

LG, DW and LL conceived this work. LG carried out –omics sequencing and evolutionary analyses. LG, CL, XM and ZW conducted the *in situ* hybridization. LG carried out the IHC. XH and WZ participated the IHC experiments of serotonin-positive cells and olfactory epithelium cells. LG, CL and XM constructed plasmids of the Pn line and the On line. LG constructed plasmids of the Pn-noSNPs line. LG leads the transgenic lines and mutant lines

construction with the help from CL and XM. LG took the confocal photos. YH

performed the Western blot experiment. ZW carried out the LPS immune

response experiments. LG conducted the fasting ORO staining experiments. LG

wrote the initial manuscript draft, and all authors commented on the manuscript

and approved the final version.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Sequence evolution of *lg* across the East African cichlid fish

phylogeny. a. Both the upstream and coding regions exhibited SNPs segregated

with the modern haplochromine (MH) lineage, i.e., three SNPs (GTA) in the

upstream region; one nonsynonymous amino acid change (A to S) located at the

38th position was under positive selection (Table S4). Transcription factor

binding site prediction showed that these SNPs can set up the opportunity to

bind to glucocorticoid modulatory element binding protein 2 (GMEB2). The

reference tree is from the literature [27]. b. Three transgenic plasmids were

constructed. The pT2AL-On-eGFP line (On line) adopted the upstream region

of *Oreochromis niloticus* as the promoter to drive eGFP expression. The

pT2AL-Pn-eGFP line (Pn line) used the homologous upstream region of

Pundamilia nyererei in the MH lineage as the promoter. The pT2AL-Pn-no-

SNPs-eGFP line (Pn-noSNPs line) used the same promoter as the Pn line but we

deleted the three MH-segregated SNPs (GTA).

Fig. 2 Expression profiles of *lg* in fishes. a. Transcriptomic analyses of *lg* gene

expression patterns in adult fish tissues. *lg* was mainly expressed in the brain

and eyes in most fishes but evolved new expression patterns in the skin in the

modern haplochromine (MH) lineage of cichlid fishes. b. *In situ* hybridization

in zebrafish and cichlid fish larvae. *lg* was mainly expressed in the brain, ganglion and inner plexiform cell layers in the eyes of zebrafish. No expression was detected in the hatching gland of 24 hpf (hours post fertilization) zebrafish embryos. *lg* was highly expressed in the brain, eyes and pharyngeal arches in the MH cichlid fish *Pundamilia nyererei* but weakly expressed in the non-MH cichlid fish *Oreochromis niloticus*.

Fig. 3 Confocal images of the expression patterns of the transgenic pT2AL-Pn-eGFP line. The green shows the fluorescent signal of eGFP. Red shows the fluorescent signal of the corresponding available cell marker antibody. Blue shows the fluorescent signal of DAPI. The merged figures are cells with double immunofluorescent staining of eGFP and the marker antibody. The pictures were taken from 4 dpf (days post fertilization) zebrafish, except the hatching gland, which is from 24 hpf (hours post fertilization) embryos. a. olfactory epithelium; b. olfactory bulb; c. optic tectum; d. cerebellum; e. hindbrain; f. spinal cord; g. eye; h. serotonergic neuroepithelial cells; i. hatching gland; j. pectoral fin; k. tail fin; l. dorsal fin; m. anal fin; n. pharyngeal arches; o and p. heart valves.

Fig. 4 eGFP expression comparisons among three different transgenic lines. a. Different eGFP expression patterns of three transgenic lines in zebrafish. The Pn line showed strong expression in neurons in most regions of the brain, eyes, spinal cord, heart valves, pharyngeal arches, fin and epidermal serotonergic neuroepithelial cells. Compared to the Pn line, eGFP fluorescence was weak in the brain and pharyngeal arches in the On line and was hard to detect in other tissues. Only with these three SNP deletions did the Pn-noSNPs line clearly show significantly different expression patterns from the Pn line but similar patterns to the On line. b. Statistics of fluorescence strength comparisons among three transgenic lines, focusing on the brain and the first two pharyngeal arches.

The Pn line showed significantly stronger fluorescence than the other two lines.

With the three MH-segregated SNP deletions, the Pn-noSNP line showed weak

fluorescence expression, similar to the On line. Mean and SD error bars are

shown. n=6 for each measurement. Detailed statistical analyses can be found in

Table S6.

Figures

Fig. 1 Sequence evolution of *lg* across the East African cichlid fish

phylogeny.

Fig. 2 Expression profiles of *lg* in fishes.

Fig. 3 Confocal images of the expression patterns of the transgenic pT2AL-Pn-eGFP line.

Fig. 4 eGFP expression comparisons among three different transgenic lines.

Supplementary information

Table S1 *lg* appearance in species with available genomes assembly in Ensembl

Table S2 Top differentially expressed transcripts between MUT and WT zebrafish

Table S3 The numbers of LPS immune response signals comparisons between WT and MUT

zebrafish

Table S4 Positive selection detection of *lg* in cichlid fishes within codeml in PAML

Table S5 RNAseq analyses of *lg* in different fishes with available data

Table S6 eGFP fluroscence strengths comparisons among different transgenic lines

Table S7 PCR primers and annealing temperatures

Supplementary Figures

760