Zombies of the nearshore: Metabolic depression in sea urchin barrens associated with food deprivation

NATHAN B. SPINDEL[1,3], LYNN C. LEE[2], AND DANIEL K. OKAMOTO[1]

[1]Florida State University, Department of Biological Science, 319 Stadium Drive, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4295, USA

[2]Gwaii Haanas National Park Reserve, National Marine Conservation Area Reserve, and Haida Heritage Site, 60 Second Beach Road, Skidegate, British Columbia, Canada

[3]E-mail: nspindel@bio.fsu.edu

3   **Abstract**

4   The proliferation of sea urchins can decimate macroalgal forests in coastal ecosystems,

leading to persistent barren seascapes. While kelp forests are among the most productive

ecosystems on the planet, productivity in these urchin barrens is dramatically reduced. Moreover,

urchins inhabiting these food-depauperate barrens face starvation and many survive in these

barrens for years or decades. Urchins in barrens can persist by eating food subsidies from drift

algae, pelagic salps, tubeworms, as well as encrusting and filamentous algae, microbial mats, and

slow-growing species resistant to herbivory. Despite both food from endogenous production and

exogenous subsidies, many urchins in barrens likely experience prolonged food deprivation. This

resource limitation may create a trade-off between reproduction and survival; for example,

fecundity of purple sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) is 99.9% lower in barrens.

Despite food constraints, red sea urchins (*Mesocentrotus franciscanus*), the dominant urchin

species at our study sites, can live in excess of 100 years and barrens in Haida Gwaii, British

Columbia (BC), Canada, have persisted for at least 143 years. While these phenomena are

widespread and well documented, the bioenergetic adaptations that allow urchins to persist in

these food-depauperate barrens remain poorly understood. To quantify habitat-specific

differences in metabolic rates and energy reserves (as measured by gonadal mass), we conducted

respirometry on and measured gonadal mass in *M. franciscanus* at three locations in BC inside

and outside of adjacent kelp forest and barrens habitat. Here we demonstrate that *M.*

franciscanus in barrens versus kelp forests have substantially lower energy reserves and,

importantly, also exhibit dramatic reductions in size-specific resting metabolic rates (RMR),

even after standardizing by metabolically active body mass. On average, gonadal mass was

44.6% lower and RMR scaled to metabolically active body mass was 40% lower in barrens

urchins than in kelp forest urchins. Such a shift in metabolic rate may provide a mechanism that

facilitates barren state stability over long time scales as *M. franciscanus* can lower energetic

demands while they wait for small pulses of food, scrape by on low-productivity resources, and

suppress recruitment of macroalgae for months, years, or decades.

Introduction

The proliferation of sea urchins can decimate macroalgal forests in coastal ecosystems,

leading to persistent barren seascapes. While kelp forests are among the most productive

ecosystems on the planet, productivity in these urchin barrens is dramatically reduced (Filbee-

Dexter and Scheibling 2014). Moreover, urchins inhabiting these food-depauperate barrens face

starvation and many survive in these barrens for years or decades. Urchins in barrens can persist

by eating food subsidies from drift algae (Rodríguez 2003, Vanderklift and Wernberg 2008,

Britton-Simmons et al. 2009, Renaud et al. 2015, Quintanilla-Ahumada et al. 2018), pelagic

salps (Duggins 1981), tubeworms (Spindel and Okamoto *personal observation*), as well as

encrusting and filamentous algae, microbial mats, and slow-growing species resistant to

herbivory (Ling and Johnson 2009, Filbee-Dexter and Scheibling 2014, Rasher et al. 2020).

Despite both food from endogenous production and exogenous subsidies, many urchins in

barrens likely experience prolonged food deprivation. This resource limitation may create a

trade-off between reproduction and survival (Stearns 2000); for example, fecundity of purple sea

urchins (*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*) is 99.9% lower in barrens (Okamoto 2014). Despite

food constraints, red sea urchins (*Mesocentrotus franciscanus*), the dominant urchin species at

our study sites, can live in excess of 100 years (Ebert 2008) and barrens in Haida Gwaii, British

Columbia (BC), Canada, have persisted for at least 143 years (Dawson 1880). While these

phenomena are widespread and well documented, the bioenergetic adaptations that allow urchins

to persist in these food-depauperate barrens remain poorly understood. Here we demonstrate that

M. franciscanus in barrens versus kelp forests have substantially lower energy reserves (as

measured by gonadal mass) and, importantly, also exhibit dramatic reductions in size-specific

resting metabolic rates (RMR), even after standardizing by metabolically active body mass.

Animals often cope with severe food deficiencies by modifying their locomotive activity,

utilizing reversible energy reserves, and/or increasing metabolic efficiency (McCue 2010). For

example, green urchins (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*) may invest energy in active

searching for the potential return of richer pastures (Lawrence 2020), while *M. franciscanus* may

maintain a more sedentary lifestyle to conserve energy until food subsidies become available

(Lowe et al. 2015). Urchins may resorb energy reserves stored in gonad tissues (Carey et al.

2016) and other tissues may lose mass because rates of cell loss exceed rates of proliferation

(Secor and Carey 2011); such reductions in biomass can reduce energetic maintenance costs.

Finally, starving animals can also reduce metabolic costs by downregulating cellular-level

demand for and supply of ATP (Staples and Buck 2009, Storey 2015). Whether urchins can

transition to hypometabolic states in low-productivity barrens and how this effect might scale

with body size and/or biomass remains, to our knowledge, untested.

We hypothesized that emaciated *M. franciscanus* individuals in barrens dramatically

depress their metabolism to maximize energetic efficiency for survival. To quantify the

metabolic state of *M. franciscanus* without the confounding influences of locomotive activity

and postprandial effects, we targeted the resting metabolic rate (RMR). Specifically, we

hypothesized that the body size-specific RMR (i.e. RMR for a given body mass or body volume)

for *M. franciscanus* in food-depauperate barrens would be lower relative to kelp forest habitats.

To test for an effect of habitat on RMR, we compared individuals spanning small through large

body sizes living in kelp forests and barrens in BC, Canada (Fig. 1) in May 2019. Study sites

included rocky subtidal kelp forests (approx. 2 m below mean low water) and barrens (approx.

12 m below mean low water) at three locations, Faraday (52.61˚N, 131.49˚W) and Murchison

(52.60˚N, 131.45˚W) in Gwaii Haanas on Haida Gwaii, and Surge Narrows (50.22˚N, 125.16

˚W) between Quadra and Maurelle Islands. Based on field observations, we expected size-

specific reductions in gonadal mass associated with food limitation in barrens (Fig. 1) where

both the proportional effects and shape of the allometry may change (Ebert et al. 2011).

We measured respiration in *M. franciscanus* as a proxy for metabolic rate using custom-

built sealed chambers (Appendix S1: Fig. S1) fitted with flow-through optical oxygen sensors

and a temperature sensor (Presens Precision Sensing GmbH). To quantify RMR, we measured

respiration rates after a 48-hour period of starvation post-collection from the wild following

(Lighton 2018). We conducted quality control on oxygen time series data using the R package

respR (Harianto et al. 2019). To contextualize metabolic rates, we measured body size (i.e.

internal urchin test volume), total biomass, and gonadal mass. We calculated internal urchin test

volume (V) from test height (H) and test diameter (D) assuming oblate spheroid geometry (V =

$4/3\pi\ D^2H$) and recorded two metrics of biomass: ash-free dry mass (AFDM) and wet mass.

AFDM targets non-skeletal soft tissue quantified as the difference between dry mass and post-

combustion ash mass. We measured whole-animal wet mass after cracking and discarding

seawater from inside the test, and gonadal wet mass following 30 seconds of drying dissected

gonads on a paper towel to correct for variation in water content. Only urchins from Surge

Narrows had AFDM measured because of equipment availability. We estimated additive and

interactive effects of habitat and site and body size/mass on RMR and gonadal wet mass by

fitting the metabolic scaling function (i.e. $RMR = \alpha B^{\beta} = \exp[\log(\alpha) + \beta \log(B)]$) using

generalized linear mixed effects models fitted using the R package glmmTMB (Brooks et al.

2017) with a lognormal likelihood, treating habitat, log-scale metrics of body size/mass and site

as fixed effects, and date and respiration chamber as random effects (Fig. 2).

Results

M. franciscanus in barrens exhibited dramatically depressed metabolic rates compared to

animals in kelp forests, even after accounting for wet body mass, body volume, or AFDM (Fig.

2). For a given whole-animal wet mass, RMR was nearly 50% lower in barrens urchins

(multiplicative effect on the natural scale was 0.51, 95% CI: 0.43-0.61, compared to kelp forests,

$\chi^2_{df=1} = 59.06$, P < 0.001). When scaled to wet mass, RMR varied by site ($\chi^2_{df=2} = 14.38$, P =

0.001), but we found no significant interactions between habitat, wet mass, or site (Appendix S1:

Table S6). For a given test volume, RMR was 56% lower in barrens urchins (multiplicative

effect on the natural scale was 0.44, 95% CI: 0.38-0.52, compared to kelp forests, $\chi^2_{df=1} =$

104.48, P < 0.001). When scaled to body volume, RMR varied by site ($\chi^2_{df=2} = 18.63$, P < 0.001),

but we found no significant interactions among site, habitat, or body volume (Appendix S1:

Table S8). Urchins at all three sites had significantly lower gonadal wet mass in barrens relative

to kelp forest habitats as indicated by the test-volume x habitat interaction ($\chi^2_{df=1} = 26.48$, P <

0.001) with, on average 44.6% percent lower gonad mass in barrens. At Surge Narrows (where

lab facilities allowed us to take metrics of AFDM) RMR was 43% lower in barrens versus kelp

forest habitats when scaled to metabolically active body mass (multiplicative effect on the

natural scale was 0.57, 95% CI: 0.38-0.86, compared to kelp forests, $\chi^2_{df=1} = 7.35$, P = 0.01). For

a given gonadal AFDM, RMR was 34.0% lower in barrens urchins (multiplicative effect on the

natural scale was 0.66, 95% CI: 0.53-0.82, compared to kelp forests, $\chi^2_{df=1} = 14.18$, P < 0.001).

There was no significant interaction between total AFDM and habitat (RMR versus log total

AFDM x habitat: $\chi^2_{df=1} = 0.01$, P = 0.92) but there was a significant interaction between gonadal

AFDM and habitat (RMR versus log gonadal AFDM x habitat: $\chi^2_{df=1} = 7.08$, P = 0.01).

Discussion

These observations demonstrate that *M. franciscanus* in barrens not only have reduced

gonadal reserves and thus lower metabolically active body mass, but also exhibit substantial

reductions in mass-specific RMR. Reductions in metabolic rate substantially exceed that

expected by changes in body mass alone. One plausible explanation for reductions in mass-

specific RMR in *M. franciscanus* is a reduction in cellular metabolism. Based on evidence from

mammalian and avian species, one might expect the nature of this hypometabolism would

depend on the frequency and/or duration of food deprivation in an organism's past (McCue et al.

2017). For example, starvation may "reprogram" fetal humans in utero via epigenetic effects so

they develop metabolic syndrome in adulthood (Rinaudo and Wang 2012). Another plausible

explanation is that the proportion of tissues with lower metabolic rate increases by utilizing

reversible biomass. As they deplete lipid rich reserves that have lower maintenance costs than

other tissues, animals must either reduce their locomotive activity and/or depress cellular

metabolism to endure the energetic burden of food deprivation.

This phenomenon of mass-specific metabolic depression may help individuals balance

growth and survival amid collapses in, or intermittent availability of food. Despite these changes,

urchins can capitalize on any newly available food in short order. Laboratory studies show that

starved purple urchins (Okamoto 2014), and red urchins (Spindel & Okamoto unpublished data)

can recover their reduced gonad mass two to three months after re-feeding and revert in a similar

time frame. Controlled experiments are required to characterize how and why this may occur,

over what time scales, and to evaluate impacts of metabolic depression on rates of herbivory and

the persistence of barrens. However, these observations from three sites in BC support the notion

that *M. franciscanus* in barrens can dramatically reduce their energetic demands. Moreover,

these shifts in metabolic rate may provide a mechanism that facilitates barren state stability over

long time scales as *M. franciscanus* can lower energetic demands while they wait for small

pulses of food, scrape by on low-productivity resources, and suppress recruitment of macroalgae

for months, years, or decades.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Leandre Vigneault of Marine Toad Enterprises Inc. and Dan McNeill, Ben

Penna, Jaasaljuus Yakgujanaas, Vanessa Bellis and Dion Lewis of the Council of the Haida

Nation Haida Fisheries Program for assistance with specimen collection in Gwaii Haanas;

Markus Thompson of Thalassia Environmental, Ryan Miller of Miller Marine Diving Services,

and Ondine Pontier of the Hakai Institute for assistance with specimen collection and underwater

photography at Quadra Island; Eric Peterson and the Hakai Institute for providing laboratory

facilities at Quadra Island and for technical support; and Gwaii Haanas National Park Reserve,

National Marine Conservation Area Reserve, and Haida Heritage Site for technical support,

remote infrastructure and field personnel including Charlotte Houston, Niisii Guujaaw, Clint

Johnson Kendrick, Chavonne Guthrie and Marilyn Deschenes. This study was funded by an FSU

APACT grant to DKO, Parks Canada Conservation and Restoration (CoRe) project funding to

LL and DKO, the William R. and Lenore Mote Eminent Scholar in Marine Biology Endowment

161   at FSU to NBS, a Professional Association of Diving Instructors (PADI) Foundation Research

162   Grant to NBS, an Academy of Underwater Arts and Sciences Zale Parry Scholarship to NBS,

163   and the Tula Foundation.

164   LITERATURE CITED

Britton-Simmons, K. H., G. Foley, and D. J. A. B. Okamoto. 2009. Spatial subsidy in the

subtidal zone: utilization of drift algae by a deep subtidal sea urchin. **5**:233-243.

Brooks, M. E., K. Kristensen, K. J. van Benthem, A. Magnusson, C. W. Berg, A. Nielsen, H. J.

Skaug, M. Machler, and B. M. Bolker. 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility

Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. R Journal

9:378-400.

Carey, N., J. Harianto, and M. Byrne. 2016. Sea urchins in a high-CO2 world: partitioned effects

of body size, ocean warming and acidification on metabolic rate. J Exp Biol **219**:1178-

1186.

Dawson, G. M. 1880. Report on the Queen Charlotte Islands, 1878. Dawson Brothers.

Duggins, D. O. 1981. Sea urchins and kelp: The effects of short term changes in urchin diet1.

Limnology and Oceanography **26**:391-394.

Ebert, T., J. Hernandez, and M. Russell. 2011. Problems of the gonad index and what can be

done: analysis of the purple sea urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. Marine Biology

158:47-58.

Ebert, T. A. J. E. g. 2008. Longevity and lack of senescence in the red sea urchin

Strongylocentrotus franciscanus. Exp Gerontol **43**:734-738.

Filbee-Dexter, K., and R. E. Scheibling. 2014. Sea urchin barrens as alternative stable states of

collapsed kelp ecosystems. Marine Ecology Progress Series **495**:1-25.

Harianto, J., N. Carey, and M. Byrne. 2019. respR—An R package for the manipulation and

analysis of respirometry data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution **0**.

Lawrence, J. M. 2020. Sea urchins: biology and ecology. Academic Press.

Lighton, J. R. 2018. Measuring metabolic rates: a manual for scientists. Oxford University Press.

Ling, S., and C. J. M. E. P. S. Johnson. 2009. Population dynamics of an ecologically important

range-extender: kelp beds versus sea urchin barrens. **374**:113-125.

Lowe, A. T., R. Whippo, A. W. E. Galloway, K. H. Britton-Simmons, and M. N. Dethier. 2015.

Sedentary urchins influence benthic community composition below the macroalgal zone.

Marine Ecology-an Evolutionary Perspective **36**:129-140.

McCue, M. D. 2010. Starvation physiology: Reviewing the different strategies animals use to

survive a common challenge. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:

Molecular & Integrative Physiology **156**:1-18.

McCue, M. D., J. S. Terblanche, and J. B. Benoit. 2017. Learning to starve: impacts of food

limitation beyond the stress period. The Journal of Experimental Biology **220**:4330-4338.

Okamoto, D. K. 2014. The role of fluctuating food supply on recruitment, survival and

population dynamics in the sea. UC Santa Barbara.

Quintanilla-Ahumada, D., P. A. Quijón, J. M. Navarro, J. Pulgar, and C. Duarte. 2018. Living on

a trophic subsidy: Algal quality drives an upper-shore herbivore's consumption,

preference and absorption but not growth rates. PLoS One **13**:e0196121.

Rasher, D. B., R. S. Steneck, J. Halfar, K. J. Kroeker, J. B. Ries, M. T. Tinker, P. T. W. Chan, J.

Fietzke, N. A. Kamenos, B. H. Konar, J. S. Lefcheck, C. J. D. Norley, B. P. Weitzman, I.

T. Westfield, and J. A. Estes. 2020. Keystone predators govern the pathway and pace of

climate impacts in a subarctic marine ecosystem. science **369**:1351-1354.

Renaud, P. E., T. S. Lokken, L. L. Jorgensen, J. Berge, and B. J. Johnson. 2015. Macroalgal

detritus and food-web subsidies along an Arctic fjord depth-gradient. Frontiers in Marine

Science **2**:31.

Rinaudo, P., and E. J. A. r. o. p. Wang. 2012. Fetal programming and metabolic syndrome. Annu

Rev Physiol **74**:107-130.

Rodríguez, S. R. 2003. Consumption of drift kelp by intertidal populations of the sea urchin

Tetrapygus niger on the central Chilean coast: possible consequences at different

ecological levels. Marine Ecology Progress Series **251**:141-151.

Secor, S. M., and H. V. Carey. 2011. Integrative physiology of fasting. Comprehensive

Physiology **6**:773-825.

Staples, J. F., and L. T. Buck. 2009. Matching cellular metabolic supply and demand in energy-

stressed animals. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular &

Integrative Physiology **153**:95-105.

Stearns, S. C. 2000. Life history evolution: successes, limitations, and prospects.

Naturwissenschaften **87**:476-486.

Storey, K. B. 2015. Regulation of hypometabolism: insights into epigenetic controls. Journal of

Experimental Biology **218**:150-159.

Vanderklift, M. A., and T. Wernberg. 2008. Detached kelps from distant sources are a food

subsidy for sea urchins. Oecologia **157**:327-335.

227   FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Visual comparison of typical qualitative habitat characteristics and internal anatomy of

resident sea urchins in kelp forest versus barrens habitats. A) Dissected urchins with diminutive

gonads typical of barrens. B) Dissected urchins with robust gonads typical of kelp forest urchins.

C) Barrens habitat at Surge Narrows, BC, at a depth of approx. 12 m below chart datum low tide.

D) At the edge of kelp forest habitat at Surge Narrows, BC, Canada, at a depth of approx. 3 m

relative to chart datum low tide. E) Barrens habitat at Murchison Island in Gwaii Haanas at a

depth of approx. 12 m relative to chart datum low tide. F) At the edge of kelp forest habitat at

Murchison Island in Gwaii Haanas National Park Reserve, National Marine Conservation Area

Reserve, and Haida Heritage Site, at a depth of approx. 3 m relative to chart datum low tide.

Photo A was taken by Spindel, B was taken by Lee, C and D were taken by Markus Thompson,

and E and F were taken by Ryan Miller.

Figure 2. Comparison of resting metabolic rate in *M. franciscanus* versus metrics of body size by

habitat and site. Dots represent 2019 volumetric oxygen consumption rate (VO_2) measurements

from individual urchins. Lines and ribbons represent modelled mean VO_2 and SE, respectively.

Panels A and B contrast the scaling relationship in barren versus kelp forest habitat at Surge

Narrows, BC, Canada, between VO_2 and total ash-free dry mass and gonadal ash-free dry mass,

respectively. Panels C-E show geographic comparison of the scaling relationships in barrens

versus kelp forest habitats between VO_2 and body size (i.e. test volume) among sites at Faraday

Island, Murchison Island, and Surge Narrows, BC, Canada.