Hierarchical Morphogenesis of Swallowtail Butterfly Wing Scale Nanostructures

Kwi Shan Seah[1,2] and Vinodkumar Saranathan*[1-5]

Affiliations:

[1]Division of Science, Yale-NUS College, 10 College Avenue West, 138609, Singapore.

[2]Department of Biological Science, National University of Singapore, 117543, Singapore.

[3]NUS Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Initiative (NUSNNI-NanoCore), National University of Singapore, 117581, Singapore.

[4]Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, National University of Singapore, 117377, Singapore.

[5]Present Address: Division of Sciences, School of Interwoven Arts and Sciences, Krea University, 5655, Central Expressway, Sri City, Andhra Pradesh 517646, India.

*E-mail : Vinodkumar.Saranathan@aya.yale.edu

Orcid IDs: KSS: 0000-0001-8451-3732; VS: 0000-0003-4058-5093

Abstract:

The study of color patterns in the animal integument is a fundamental question in biology, with many lepidopteran species being exemplary models in this endeavor due to their relative simplicity and elegance. While significant advances have been made in unravelling the cellular and molecular basis of lepidopteran pigmentary coloration, the morphogenesis of wing scale nanostructures involved in structural color production

is not well understood. Contemporary research in this topic largely focuses on a few nymphalid model taxa (*e.g.*, *Bicyclus*, *Heliconius*), despite an overwhelming diversity in the hierarchical nanostructural organization of lepidopteran wing scales. Here, we present a time-resolved, comparative developmental study of hierarchical scale nanostructures in *Parides eurimedes* and five other papilionid species. Our results uphold the putative conserved role of F-actin bundles in acting as spacers between developing ridges, as previously documented in several nymphalid species. Interestingly, while ridges are developing in *P. eurimedes*, plasma membrane manifests irregular mesh-like crossribs characteristic of Papilionidae, which delineate the accretion of cuticle into rows of planar disks in between ridges. Once the ridges have grown, disintegrating F-actin bundles appear to reorganize into a network that supports the invagination of plasma membrane underlying the disks, subsequently forming an extruded honeycomb lattice. Our results uncover a previously undocumented role for F-actin in the morphogenesis of complex wing scale nanostructures, likely specific to Papilionidae.

Introduction

The patterning and coloration of butterfly wings have been a paradigmatic research focus due to their fundamental role in signalling and crypsis (Beldade and Brakefield, 2002; Sweeney et al., 2003; Tsai et al., 2020). Significant advances have been made recently in identifying the cellular and molecular basis of lepidopteran pigmentary coloration (Matsuoka and Monteiro, 2018; Nadeau et al., 2016; Nishikawa et al., 2015; Reed et al., 2011; Van Belleghem et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2017a). A small number of regulatory genes have been found to exert significant influence on the synthesis and spatial expression of pigments, as well as regulating cuticle deposition, thereby affecting the overall scale morphology (e.g., Banerjee et al., 2022; Ficarrotta et al., 2022; Matsuoka and Monteiro, 2018; Prakash et al., 2022; Van Belleghem et al., 2023; Wee et al., 2022). For instance, suppression of the *optix* gene has been found to tune lower lamina thickness, inducing iridescent structural coloration and affecting cuticular coverage of the upper lamina (Wasik et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017b).

Building on the classic studies on cellular organization of lepidopteran scales (Ghiradella, 1974; Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella, 1989; Ghiradella and Radigan, 1976; Overton 1966), a few recent studies utilized advances in microscopy techniques to interrogate the formation of longitudinal ridges (Day et al., 2019; Dinwiddie et al., 2014; McDougal et al., 2021). These insights are, however, limited to ridge formation on the scale surface. Moreover, contemporary research on scale cell development focuses on just a few model taxa (*Bicyclus*, *Precis*, *Heliconius*, *Vanessa*) all from the family of Nymphalidae (Beldade and Brakefield, 2002; Day et al., 2019; Dinwiddie et al., 2014; Matsuoka and Monteiro, 2018; Nadeau et al., 2016; Pomerantz et al., 2020; Prakash et al., 2021; Reed et al., 2011; Sweeney et al., 2003; Van Belleghem et al., 2023;

Wasik et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017b), despite an overwhelming diversity in the

hierarchical organization of scale nanostructures across Lepidoptera (Ghiradella,

1984; Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella, 1989; Prum et al., 2006). A rare exception is the

recent work on pierid butterfly *Colias* identifying *bric à brac* as a genetic switch that

suppresses UV-reflecting Christmas-tree like ridge gratings in males (Ficarrotta et al.,

2022), even though the precise development of the ridge grating is still unresolved

(Ghiradella, 1974). Deciphering the cellular and developmental basis of scale

organization in beyond just a few model lepidopterans is also highly relevant to current

challenges in the synthesis of complex sub-micron and micron-scale hierarchical

nanostructures, and could inspire novel biomimetic routes to fabricate multifunctional

nanomaterials (Kolle et al., 2010; Pokroy et al., 2009; Potyrailo et al., 2007; Siddique

et al., 2017).

The *bauplan* of lepidopteran wing scales consists an ornamented upper lamina

over a relatively unstructured basal lamina, supported by arches with pillar-like struts

called trabeculae (Ghiradella, 1984) (Fig. S1). The upper lamina comprised of

longitudinal ridges with transverse crossribs framing a set of rectilinear windows.

These windows typically open into the interior lumen of the scale cell, but can also be

covered by a thin layer of cuticular lamina (Ghiradella, 1984). The sides of the ridges

feature microribs – fine flute-like stripes visible at higher magnifications under an

electron microscope (Fig. S1).

Among all Lepidoptera families, swallowtail butterflies (Papilionidae) not only

encompasses the known diversity of scale nanostructure, but also exhibits some of

the most complex hierarchical morphologies found in nature (Ghiradella, 1984;

Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella, 1989; Prum et al., 2006; Saranathan et al., 2010) (Fig.

S1). Despite having a few model species (*e.g.*, *Papilio xuthus*, *P. polytes* and *P.*

machaon), the focus of current research on papilionids is limited to molecular basis of mimicry of pigment patterns (e.g., H. et al., 2015; Yoda et al., 2021). The wing scales of papilionid species (*e.g.*, *Parides sesostris*, *Papilio nireus*) exhibit irregular veins or mesh-like crossribs, often with an underlying honeycomb-like lattice of cuticular walls enclosing columnar pores (Ghiradella, 1984; Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella and Radigan, 1976; Prum et al., 2006; Saranathan et al., 2010; Wilts et al., 2014) (Figs. 1, and S1). In the following, we use "honeycomb lattices" to refer to irregular crossribs with lattice walls extending into the interior lumen (*e.g.,* green scales in *P. sesostris*, *P. arcas* and *P. eurimedes*), and "irregular crossribs" for crossribs that lack such extruded cuticular walls. In any case, these irregular crossribs are an autapomorphy of Papilionid butterflies (Ghiradella, 1984; Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella and Radigan, 1976) that are thought to increase the surface area over which pigments such as papiliochromes can be deposited (Wilts et al., 2014), and likely play a role in thermoregulation as they are efficient absorbers of solar radiation (Siddique et al., 2017).

Here, we use scanning electron microscopy (SEM), conventional confocal and super-resolution Structured Illumination Microscopy (3D-SIM) to study the time-resolved development of hierarchical scale nanostructures in wing scales of six papilionid species, chiefly in *Parides eurimedes*. We discovered that following ridge formation, rows of chitinous disks form in between the ridges, followed by disintegration of F-actin that reorganizes into a mesh-like network. This network likely acts as a template for subsequent formation of honeycomb lattices by driving plasma membrane invagination into the scale lumen. Our study uncovers a novel process of wing scale nanostructure formation in Papilionid species.

Results

Wing scale ridge formation is conserved in Papilionidae

We comparatively studied the wing scale growth in *Papilio polytes* and *Parides eurimedes,* which have irregular crossribs and honeycomb lattices respectively. Early stages of scale cell growth are as previously documented in several nymphalid species (Day et al., 2019; Dinwiddie et al., 2014; Ghiradella, 1974; Pomerantz et al., 2020). Wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) stains the plasma membrane during early stages of scale development in *P. eurimedes*. Scale cells from relatively young pupae at 38% development resemble elongated buds containing densely packed polymerizing F-actin filaments (Fig. S2A-A" and B-B"). At 43% development, F-actin filaments form thicker bundles that extend down the full length of scale cells (Fig. S2C'), laying down a scaffold that determines the eventual position of ridges (Dinwiddie et al., 2014). WGA stains pleating membranes (longitudinal striations) in between adjacent rows of F-actin bundles (Fig. S2C-C" and D-D"). Around 48% to 50% development, the developing ridges can be more clearly discerned in between F-actin bundles (Fig. S2E-E", S15D-D" and E-E"). At this stage, there also appears to be irregular gaps in WGA staining in between the ridges of *P. eurimedes* scales (Fig. S2E). These vein-like features resemble the irregular crossribs seen in adult wing scales (Fig. 1B-C, Fig. S15B-C).

Cross-rib and cuticular disk formation in Parides eurimedes

We use lectin and membrane stains to follow plasma membrane topology and cuticle deposition during scale cell maturation (Figs. 2, and S3-S6). At 48% development, plasma membrane appears mottled while WGA predominantly stains longitudinal striations that will become ridges (Figs. 2A-C, and S3B-B"). In cross-sections, both stains appear to be in close contact at the periphery of the cell, with

plasma membrane underlying the cuticular layer (Figs. 2C and S3C-C''). This observation is expected, as the cuticle of extracellular origin is being deposited onto the scale cell membrane. At 52% pupal development, plasma membrane shows distinct pleating corresponding to the developing ridges, with irregular crossribs in between (Figs. 2A'-C', and S4A'-C'). The bulk of cuticle remains above the plasma membrane at this stage (Figs. 2C', and S4C''). At around 62% pupal development, ridges appear to have grown to their near final configuration while lectin staining reveals planar, disk-like cuticular features (hereafter cuticular disks) arranged in rows in between the ridges (Figs. 2A''-C'', 2A'''-C''', S5 and S6).

Dynamics of F-actin reorganization in Parides eurimedes

We also co-stain chitin and F-actin on the developing pupal scales to investigate the role of cytoskeleton in scale nanostructure formation. Interestingly, as cuticular disks of various sizes are forming in between ridges at 57% development, F-actin bundles are breaking down into short fibrils distributed around the disks (Figs. 3B-C, and S7 and movie S1). As the scales became more sclerotized and flattened out at 67% development, disintegrating F-actin appears to reorganize and associate more clearly with cuticular disks, which now appear elongated and slightly tubular in cross-sectional view (Figs. 3A'-C', S8 and movie S2). By 76% development, the cuticular disks appeared hollow, and are surrounded by distinct bulb-like structures formed by the disintegrated F-actin bundles (Figs. 3A''-C'', S9 and movie S3).

To better understand the mechanism behind F-actin reorganization, we investigated whether the actin-related proteins, Arp2/3 complex, is a potential factor regulating the dendritic growth of actin fibrils (Hudson and Cooley, 2002; Pollard, 2007; Rouiller et al., 2008). At around 52% development in *P. eurimedes*, Arp2/3 complex

appear in a sparse punctate pattern while the F-actin bundles are still intact (Figs. 4A-

C, and S10). As F-actin bundles disintegrate and start to reorganize around 62%

development, a relatively higher density of punctate Arp2/3 complex is seen in close

association with the F-actin network (Figs. 4A'-C', and S11). At a later stage (~76%

development), no distinctive punctate patterns can be discerned (Figs. 4A"-C", and

S12), which is expected given that the reorganization of F-actin is nearly complete by

this stage (see Fig. 3). However, the scales are more mature at this point with

prominent ridges, and autofluorescence signal from the cuticle has shifted into the

green wavelengths (Fig. S13), complicating the interpretation of the Arp2/3

immunofluorescence signal.

Pharmacological disruption of Arp2/3 using CK-666

In order to mechanistically test the putative role of Arp2/3 in reorganizing F-

actin during honeycomb lattice formation, we carried out pharmacological disruption

experiments in pupae of both *Parides* and *Papilio* species using CK-666, a known

inhibitor of the Arp2/3 complex (Henson et al., 2015). Unfortunately, we were only able

to obtain a small number of *Parides* pupae, which were already somewhat mature

when they arrived, and further, a third of the pupae were dead on arrival (Table S1).

Honeycomb lattices can be seen in scales from emerged males of both *P. eurimedes*

and *P. iphidamas* pupae treated with CK-666 (Fig. S14). However, the height of the

honeycombs in scales from pupae treated on 52% development were on average

lower than the control (Figs. S14A and D). Furthermore, in *P. eurimedes*, the height of

the honeycombs in scales from pupae injected at 57% development were higher on

average than those treated at 52% development, but indistinguishable from any

controls (Fig. S14A). These results are reminiscent of a previous study utilizing

cytochalasin-D to inhibit linear actin polymerization (Dinwiddie et al., 2014) that found that once the pupae were past a certain developmental stage, ridge formation is no longer disrupted by the inhibitor. However, despite this intriguing trend in our results being consistent with a hypothesized role for Arp2/3, we require data with robust sample sizes and younger time points (*i.e.* 28%-48% development) for *Parides spp.* in future experiments to validate the role of Arp2/3.

We also injected CK-666 into the wing pouches of the more readily available *Papilio polytes* pupae from 40%-80% development to cover the possible timeframe during which Arp2/3 complex could be involved in F-actin reorganization. However, neither cuticular disks nor the dendritic reorganization of linear F-actin bundles are observed in *P. polytes*, whose adult scales have irregular crossribs without the deep lattice walls, *i.e.*, no honeycombs (Fig. S15B and C). Shortly after ridge formation at 60% development (Fig. S15 D-D''), irregular crossribs are seen in between intact F-actin bundles (Fig. S15 F-F''). At 70% development, strong chitin autofluorescence signal is seen at the ridges (Fig. S15 H-I). Since F-actin reorganization is absent in this species, Arp2/3 inhibition did not disrupt ridge and crossrib formation in *P. polytes* as expected (Fig. S16). Concurrent SEM imaging of pupal scales from the same samples show the formation of irregular crossribs is similarly not affected (Fig. S17). Our results suggests the formation of cuticular disks and their subsequent extrusion by reorganizing F-actin is restricted to species with elaborate honeycomb lattice walls such as *Parides eurimedes*.

Honeycomb morphogenesis is conserved across Papilionidae

We also assayed the development of pupal wing scales of several other papilionid species: *Parides arcas*, *Papilio nireus, and Papilio palinurus,* (Fig. 5),

Although we were unable to obtain pupae with clearly marked pupation dates for these

three species, we observed similar early stages of honeycomb development as seen

in *P. eurimedes*. In adult male *P. arcas*, green scales have well developed honeycomb

lattice walls that sit above single gyroid crystals (Fig. 5A). During *P. arcas* pupal scale

development, both solid and hollow (annular) cuticular disks can be observed in

between intact linear actin bundles (Fig. 5B-B' and C-C'). The same is observed in *P.*

nireus, where the upper lamina of adult blue scales consists of an elaborate

honeycomb lattice with smaller sized pores (Fig. 5D, E and F). In *P. palinurus* adults,

green forewing cover scales feature large concave depressions in between the ridges

(Fig. 5G). Phalloidin staining of pupal *P. palinurus* scales reveals reorganization of

longitudinal F-actin bundles into a shallow concave network (concentric rings in cross-

section) (Figs. 5H-I, and Movie S4), which closely correspond to the cuticular dimples

seen in adult green cover scales.

Discussion

Swallowtail butterflies (Papilionidae) is the sister lineage to all other butterflies

(Espeland et al., 2018) and the showcase family of lepidopterans, as they exhibit some

of the most diverse assortment of wing scale nanostructures (Ghiradella, 1985; Prum

et al., 2006). Papilionid wing scales generally exhibit irregular crossribs, with some

having underlying cuticular walls enclosing tubular pores, although the regularity and

depth of the walls vary between species (Fig. S1). These crossrib patterns are unlike

the regular, rectilinear crossribs commonly seen in other lepidopteran families, *e.g.*,

Nymphalidae (Fig. S1R). In this study, we extended previous observations that parallel

F-actin bundles configure the spacing and position of longitudinal ridges of wing scales

(Day et al., 2019; Dinwiddie et al., 2014; Ghiradella, 1974; Pomerantz et al., 2020) to

Papilionidae, further supporting the hypothesis that this mechanism is broadly

conserved across lepidopterans.

Our first novel finding is the appearance of irregular crossrib patterns in the

plasma membrane between surface ridges, which seemed to serve as a template for

chitin accretion into chitinous disks. This process is important as it marks the beginning

of honeycomb lattice formation. Further, our results revealed that F-actin plays a

predominant and previously unconsidered role in the morphogenesis of some

papilionid butterfly wing scales. Once the longitudinal ridges have developed, F-actin

bundles, which typically degenerate in nymphalid species (Day et al., 2019; Dinwiddie

et al., 2014), subsequently reorganize into a reticulate network surrounding rows of

cuticular disks on the scale surface. This actin network likely draws in plasma

membrane underlying the cuticular disks into a porous honeycomb lattice. Similar non-

linear F-actin morphologies have been observed in other organisms. In diatoms, F-

actin organizes into an interdigitating mesh-like porous network during development

(Tesson and Hildebrand, 2010). This actin network defines frustule (cell walls)

morphogenesis by providing a template for silica biomineralization at the meso and

micro scales. In mammalian cells, transient ring-like F-actin structures are thought to

drive autophagosome generation by serving as a scaffold for mitophagy initiation

structures. 3D-SIM revealed F-actin partially associating with mitochondria in the form

of curved sheet (Hsieh and Yang, 2019), akin to the F-actin structures seen in this

study.

The schematic in Figure 6 illustrates our proposed formation of honeycomb

lattices in some Papilionid wing scales. During early stages of scale formation, F-actin

bundles prefigure the loci of future ridge formation in between adjacent actin bundles

(Dinwiddie et al., 2014). Plasma membrane then forms a scaffold resembling the

irregular crossrib pattern. The cuticle accretes into roughly circular disks delineated by

the scaffold. Reorganization of F-actin around the chitinous disks draws the plasma

membrane along with the overlying cuticle inwards, moulding it into the lengthened

cuticular walls of the honeycomb lattice.

In our attempt to unravel the molecular players in actin reorganization, we used

CK-666 to inhibit the role of Arp2/3 complex in actin branching (Henson et al., 2015).

However, CK-666 did not quite disrupt the formation of honeycombs even when

administered across multiple timepoints. A variety of reasons could potentially explain

this. While 100uM of CK-666 has been shown to work in other animal models (Henson

et al., 2015), it might still not be a sufficient titre for papilionid butterfly pupal scales.

Unfortunately, due to logistical difficulties in obtaining and rearing *Parides spp.*, we

were unable to perform a thorough optimization using CK-666. Furthermore, the

Parides pupae were likely too mature at the time of CK-666 treatment, when the F-

actin network has already been established (52% development). In a recent study

(Sakamoto et al., 2018), CK-666 did not disrupt the formation of cortical actin

meshwork in Sertoli cells of mouse seminiferous tubules, as they were found to be

regulated by formins rather than Arp2/3. This suggests that other molecular players,

including formins, could be involved in wing scale honeycomb morphogenesis and

needs testing.

We note that the irregular crossrib patterns are in place while the ridges are still

growing (Fig. 2A'-C'). These crossribs appear to constrain and delineate the

accumulation of cuticle into planar disks in between the ridges. A close examination

of SEM images (Figs. 1B and S1) reveals that the endpoints of crossribs at the ridge

interface are often connected to microribs present on the ridges. Given their relatively

small size and pitch, we are unable to resolve the development of microribs here,

which likely requires imaging techniques with even higher resolution such as Photo-activated localization microscopy (PALM) and stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM). However, both the periodic stripes (microribs) and quasi-periodic spots (crossribs) are reminiscent of Turing patterns (Meinhardt, 1982; Turing, 1952), *i.e.*, implying that an activator-inhibitor type mechanism could be involved in their formation. Alternatively, the crossrib pattern could be as a result of Ostwald ripening or phase separation-like processes leading to stable, quasi-periodic, foam-like perforations on the plasma membrane in between ridges (Elson et al., 2010; Rosetti et al., 2017; Voorhees, 1985).

Overall, the presence of chitinous disks followed by F-actin reorganization appears to be specific to species with extended honeycomb lattice walls, *e.g. Parides eurimedes, Parides arcas*, and *Papilio nireus* (Fig. S1A and S1E). Such reorganized actin structures are not observed in species with shallow irregular crossribs, such as *P. polytes* (Fig. S15). On the other hand, several *Papilio* species (*e.g.*, *palinurus*, *blumei*, *karna*) possess structurally-colored cover scales (Ghiradella; Prum et al., 2006) with widely spaced and reduced (in both height and number) ridges. These scales have no apparent crossribs, trabeculae or honeycombs. Instead, they have a characteristic inter-ridge array of concave depressions and underlying perforated lamellae in the scale interior (Fig. S1L and M). Based on our observations, we speculate that the dimpled appearance of cover scales is templated by reorganization of F-actin bundles into a whorl-like concave network. This could represent an extreme modification of the proposed developmental program of the usual papilionid honeycomb lattice. Without crossribs, the pore sizes of honeycombs are likely constrained only by the pitch of the ridges. This is consistent with our observation that the outermost F-actin rings possess dimensions approaching the inter-ridge distance

(Fig. 5C). Without the trabeculae, the lumen multilayer fills the entire interior of the

scale right up to the shallow dimples.

Interestingly, in typical lepidopteran scale cells, the trabeculae extend

downwards from the crossribs and form columns of arches in between the ridges

(Ghiradella, 1974; Ghiradella, 1985; Ghiradella, 1989; Ghiradella and Radigan, 1976;

Overton 1966), suggesting they are developmentally connected. Recently, Matsuoka

and Monteiro (2018) found that *DDC* mutant of *Bicyclus anynana* (Nymphalidae)

possessed irregularly-spaced and thin crossribs with sheet-like vertical trabeculae

instead of feet-like, arched trabeculae (see Fig. 4A of (Matsuoka and Monteiro, 2018)).

These mutant scale morphologies are somewhat reminiscent of the irregular crossribs

and honeycomb lattice walls of Papilionidae. This suggests spatio-temporal changes

in expression patterns of single genes such as *DDC* could possibly alter crossrib

morphology and drive honeycomb formation. However, any putative pleiotropic role of

pigment-pathway genes in organizing papilionid scale morphology should be

reconciled with that of actin reorganization. Future studies could look at knocking out

DDC and other pigment-pathway genes during papilionid pupal development, in

addition to inhibiting actin-binding proteins including Arp2/3 and formins, and tinkering

with master regulatory genes like *optix* (Banerjee et al., 2022; Wasik et al., 2014;

Zhang et al., 2017b).

The smooth endoplasmic reticulum (SER) has been implicated in templating

luminal scale nanostructures during pupal development. Given that the papilionid

honeycomb lattice extends into the lumen of the scale cells, any putative role of the

SER in honeycomb morphogenesis should also be investigated. It would be of interest

to follow the development of pupal wing scales using tissue clearing techniques or

attempt a more finely resolved developmental time series to capture the full complexity

of molecular and cytoskeletal dynamics. Comparatively understanding the

morphogenesis of hierarchical nanostructures across biodiversity could inspire facile,

biomimetic routes to synthesizing hierarchically structured materials for technological

applications, given current challenges in the engineering of complex multi-functional

mesophases (Kolle et al., 2010; Pokroy et al., 2009; Potyrailo et al., 2007; Siddique et

al., 2017).

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

To understand the process of scale nanostructure formation, we performed time-

resolved imaging on developing pupal scales using specific biomarkers conjugated

with fluorophores. Since cellular membranes and cytoskeletal elements have been

identified as key components driving scale cell development, we used biomarkers

targeting F-actin, cuticle (chitin), plasma membrane and Arp2/3 complex. We used 3D

structured illumination microscope (3D-SIM) and lattice SIM to try and resolve

structures beyond the diffraction limit. We would have preferred to present all confocal

and SIM data solely on a single patch, for instance, the green dorsal forewing cover

scales of pupal *P. eurimedes*. However, for time points where scales were highly

crumpled or folded, we addressed this gap with data from black areas adjacent to the

green patch, or from homologous yellow dorsal forewing patch on females. The overall

consistency of the results validates our approach.

Dissection of pupae and tissue preparation

Pupae were purchased in multiple batches from Marl Insect and Butterfly Culture

(Philippines), Stratford-upon-Avon Butterfly Farm (UK), and Mariposario del Bosque

Nuevo (Costa Rica) between June 2017 - April 2021. Precise pupation dates were

available for *Papilio polytes* and one batch of *P. eurimedes* pupae. For batches without

information on the pupation dates, we estimate the rough pupation stage (indicated by

~, *e.g.*, Figs. 2A'''-C''', and 4) by morphological comparisons to this batch. Sex of each

pupa was determined by examining markings on the ventral segments. Wings from

each pupa were dissected in cold Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) and immediately

fixed in 4% PEM-PFA at room temperature for 15 mins. Following washes in PBS,

wings were placed in blocking buffer (0.5% NP-40) at 4ºC overnight prior to staining.

The average duration from pupation to emergence is 10 days for *P. polytes* and 21

days for *P. eurimedes*. We report the percentage of development in our time series for

ease of comparison between species with different developmental rates.

Developmental time series with Wheat Germ agglutinin, phalloidin and anti-Arp2

Wheat Germ Agglutinin (WGA) was previously used to visualize butterfly scale cell

growth and is thought to initially stain plasma membrane before switching to chitin at

later developmental stages (Dinwiddie et al., 2014). Phalloidin is a standard method

to visualize F-actin. For AF-555 WGA and AF-647 phalloidin double-staining, pupal

wings were incubated in 1:200 dilution of Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated WGA (Invitrogen

W32464) and 1:40 dilution of Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated phalloidin (Invitrogen

A22287) for an hour at room temperature. For FITC WGA and TRITC phalloidin double

staining, *P. palinurus* pupal wings were incubated in 1:100 dilution of FITC WGA (EY

Labs F-2101-5) and 1:100 dilution of TRITC phalloidin (Sigma P1951) for an hour at

room temperature. Arp2 is the ATP-binding component of the actin Arp2/3 complex,

which functions as an actin nucleator in branched actin networks (Goley and Welch,

2006; Smith et al., 2013). After blocking, pupal wings were incubated with a 1:500

dilution of rabbit anti-Arp2 (Abcam ab47654; pblast search revealed Uniprot #P61160,

Human Arp2 has 82% sequence similarity to XP_013178655.1, *Papilio xuthus Arp2*)

at 4℃ overnight. After washing, the wings were incubated in buffer with a 1:300 dilution

of Alexa Fluor 594 Goat anti Rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam ab150088) for an

hour at room temperature.

Time series with CellMask Plasma Membrane stain

As the CellMask plasma membrane stain does not survive permeabilization, dissected

pupal wings were immediately stained with a 1:300 dilution of CellMask Deep Red

(Invitrogen C10046) for 10 mins. After removing the staining solution, the wings were

fixed in 4% PEM-PFA at room temperature for 15 mins. Following washes in PBS,

pupal wings were stained with a 1:200 dilution of Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated WGA

(Invitrogen W32464) for an hour at room temperature. In order to prevent

permeabilization, the buffers for Cellmask stains did not contain any detergents (e.g.

Triton).

Arp2/3 inhibition with CK-666

CK-666 was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (SML0006) and the stock solution was

dissolved in DMSO. For pupal injections, CK-666 was diluted in 1x Grace's insect

medium to final concentration of 100μM. Two to three P. polytes pupae were injected

with CK-666 at 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, and 80% development. Around 8-10μL of CK-

666 is injected into wing pouches using a glass needle. Control pupae were injected

with equal volume of DMSO diluted in Grace's insect medium. All injected pupae were

dissected at 80% development and stained for immunofluorescence. Pupae injected

on 80% development were allowed to develop for at least two hours before dissection.

Negative controls

For experiments with non-fluorophore-conjugated primary antibodies (Arp2 staining), we labelled a separate wing from the same individual with the secondary antibody only. This is to determine if the observed fluorescence signal is due to non-specific binding of secondary antibodies. After blocking, pupal wings were incubated without primary antibodies (only buffer) at 4℃ overnight. After washing, the wings were incubated with a 1:300 dilution of Alexa Fluor 594 Goat anti Rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam ab150088) for an hour at room temperature. Negative controls were imaged using the same settings (laser power, gain, *etc.*) as the anti-*Arp2* antibody-stained test samples.

Image acquisition, data processing

Following washes, the wings were mounted on glass slides in Prolong Gold antifade (Life Technologies P36930), covered with #1 thickness coverslips and sealed with nail polish. Confocal images were acquired using Olympus FV3000 (60x), Nikon A1R (100x) and Leica SP8 (100x). 3D-SIM was performed on a DeltaVision OMX and lattice SIM using Zeiss Elyra 7. Confocal data acquired with Olympus FV3000 and Nikon A1RSIM data were deconvolved using default settings in Huygens Professional v20.04. Confocal data acquired with Leica SP8 were deconvolved using default settings in Leica's Lightning deconvolution software during acquisition. All images were examined and processed using Bitplane Imaris Viewer 9.5.1. Movies were generated using Bitplane Imaris and edited using Shotcut v19.07.15 (Meltytech, LLC)

Critical point drying (CPD)

Wings from each pupa were dissected in cold PBS and immediately fixed in 4% PEM-

PFA at room temperature for 15 mins. Following washes in PBS, wings were subjected

to serial dehydration in 25%, 50%, 70%, 90% and absolute ethanol for 10 minutes

each. The specimens were then transferred to the sample holders and dried using

Leica EM CPD300 Automated Critical Point Dryer with the following settings: (CO2

influx) Medium speed, 120s delay; (Exchange process) Speed 5, 14 cycles; Medium

heating speed; and Medium gas out speed. It should be note that direct air drying of

soft samples *e.g.* pupal wing scales is not recommended, as water surface tension

forces will result in significant distortion of specimen structure (Bray et al., 1993).

Conventional and focused ion beam (FIB) scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Adult scales were individually picked with a needle and placed on carbon tape.

Mounted scales were fractured using a razor-blade to obtain cross-sectional views. All

samples were sputter-coated with platinum or gold to increase conductivity and reduce

charging. Samples were imaged using JEOL JSM 6010LV Scanning Electron

Microscope at 15-20k.

For focused ion beam (FIB) milling, samples were prepared by sputter-coating with

platinum to increase conductivity. The sectioned scale shown in Fig. 1C is milled using

a gallium ion beam on a FEI Versa 3D with the following settings: beam voltage - 8kV,

beam current - 12pA at a 52° tilt. Image acquisition was performed in the same

equipment with the following settings: beam voltage - 5kV, beam current - 13pA.

Acknowledgements:

We are indebted to Antonia Monteiro for generously providing us with lab resources, including the use of insectary. We thank Cédric Finet for sharing FIB-SEM image of *P. eurimedes*. We are grateful to the Monteiro lab, C. Finet, Cynthia He, Rong Li, Sasha Bershadsky, and Dan Morse for their thoughtful suggestions. We thank Sree Vaishnavi Sundararajan and Gianluca Grenci (MBI) for access and help with SEM, Tong Yan (CBIS), Mak Kah Jun and Peng Qiwen (MBI), Laura and Keshmarathy Sacadevan (Singhealth Advanced Bioimaging) for help with confocal microscopy, Graham Wright and Goh Wah Ing (A*STAR AMP) and Shi Xianke (Zeiss) for help with SIM data acquisition.

Funding: This work was supported by a Graduate Research Excellence Grant - Rosemary Grant Advanced Award from SSE to K.S., Yale-NUS startup funds (R-607-261-182-121) and a Singapore NRF CRP Award (CRP20-2017-0004) to V.S.

Author Contributions: V.S. and K.S. designed the study. K.S. performed the experiments. Both authors analyzed the results and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.

References

Banerjee, T. D., Kwi-Shan, S. and Monteiro, A. (2022). Reuse of a wing venation gene-

regulatory network in patterning the eyespot rings of butterflies. *BioRxiv*, 2021.05.22.445259.

Beldade, P. and Brakefield, P. M. (2002). The genetics and evo-devo of butterfly wing

patterns. *Nat Rev Genet* 3, 442-52.

Bray, D. F., Bagu, J. and Koegler, P. (1993). Comparison of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS),

Peldri II, and critical-point drying methods for scanning electron microscopy of biological specimens.

Microsc Res Tech 26, 489-495.

Day, C. R., Hanly, J. J., Ren, A. and Martin, A. (2019). Sub-micrometer insights into the

cytoskeletal dynamics and ultrastructural diversity of butterfly wing scales. *Developmental Dynamics*

248, 657-670.

Dinwiddie, A., Null, R., Pizzano, M., Chuong, L., Leigh Krup, A., Ee Tan, H. and Patel, N. H.

(2014). Dynamics of F-actin prefigure the structure of butterfly wing scales. *Dev Biol* 392, 404-18.

Elson, E. L., Fried, E., Dolbow, J. E. and Genin, G. M. (2010). Phase Separation in Biological

Membranes: Integration of Theory and Experiment. *Annual Review of Biophysics* 39, 207-226.

Espeland, M., Breinholt, J., Willmott, K. R., Warren, A. D., Vila, R., Toussaint, E. F. A.,

Maunsell, S. C., Aduse-Poku, K., Talavera, G., Eastwood, R. et al. (2018). A Comprehensive and Dated

Phylogenomic Analysis of Butterflies. *Current Biology* 28, 770-778.e5.

Ficarrotta, V., Hanly, J. J., Loh, L. S., Francescutti, C. M., Ren, A., Tunstrom, K., Wheat, C. W.,

Porter, A. H., Counterman, B. A. and Martin, A. (2022). A genetic switch for male UV iridescence in an

incipient species pair of sulphur butterflies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 119.

Ghiradella, H. (1974). Development of ultraviolet-reflecting butterfly scales: How to make an

interference filter. *Journal of Morphology* 142, 395-410.

Ghiradella, H. (1984). Structure of Iridescent Lepidopteran Scales - Variations on Several

Themes. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 77, 637-645.

Ghiradella, H. (1985). Structure and development of iridescent lepidopteran scales: the

Papilionidae as a showcase family. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 78, 252-264.

Ghiradella, H. (1989). Structure and development of iridescent butterfly scales: Lattices and

laminae. *Journal of Morphology* 202, 69-88.

Ghiradella, H. and Radigan, W. (1976). Development of butterfly scales. II. Struts, lattices and

surface tension. *J Morphol* 150, 279-297.

Goley, E. D. and Welch, M. D. (2006). The ARP2/3 complex: an actin nucleator comes of age.

Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology 7, 713-26.

H., N., Iijima, T., R., K., Yamaguchi, J., Ando, T., Suzuki, Y., S., S., Fujiyama, A., Kosugi, S.,

Hirakawa, H. et al. (2015). A genetic mechanism for female-limited Batesian mimicry in Papilio

butterfly. *Nat. Genet.*

Henson, J. H., Yeterian, M., Weeks, R. M., Medrano, A. E., Brown, B. L., Geist, H. L., Pais, M.

D., Oldenbourg, R. and Shuster, C. B. (2015). Arp2/3 complex inhibition radically alters lamellipodial

actin architecture, suspended cell shape, and the cell spreading process. *Molecular Biology of the*

Cell 26, 887-900.

Hsieh, C. W. and Yang, W. Y. (2019). Omegasome-proximal PtdIns(4,5)P(2) couples F-actin

mediated mitoaggregate disassembly with autophagosome formation during mitophagy. *Nat*

Commun 10, 969.

Hudson, A. M. and Cooley, L. (2002). A subset of dynamic actin rearrangements in

Drosophila requires the Arp2/3 complex. *J Cell Biol* 156, 677-87.

Kolle, M., Salgard-Cunha, P. M., Scherer, M. R. J., Huang, F. M., Vukusic, P., Mahajan, S.,

Baumberg, J. J. and Steiner, U. (2010). Mimicking the colourful wing scale structure of the Papilio

blumei butterfly. *Nature Nanotechnology* 5, 511-515.

Matsuoka, Y. and Monteiro, A. (2018). Melanin Pathway Genes Regulate Color and

Morphology of Butterfly Wing Scales. *Cell Rep* 24, 56-65.

McDougal, A. D., Kang, S., Yaqoob, Z., So, P. T. C. and Kolle, M. (2021). In vivo visualization of

butterfly scale cell morphogenesis in Vanessa cardui. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118.

Meinhardt, H. (1982). Models of Biological Pattern Formation. London: Academic Press.

Nadeau, N. J., Pardo-Diaz, C., Whibley, A., Supple, M. A., Saenko, S. V., Wallbank, R. W. R.,

Wu, G. C., Maroja, L., Ferguson, L., Hanly, J. J. et al. (2016). The gene cortex controls mimicry and

crypsis in butterflies and moths. *Nature* 534, 106-110.

Nishikawa, H., Iijima, T., Kajitani, R., Yamaguchi, J., Ando, T., Suzuki, Y., Sugano, S., Fujiyama,

A., Kosugi, S., Hirakawa, H. et al. (2015). A genetic mechanism for female-limited Batesian mimicry in

Papilio butterfly. *Nat Genet* 47, 405-409.

Overton , J. (1966). MICROTUBULES AND MICROFIBRILS IN MORPHOGENESIS OF THE SCALE

CELLS OF EPHESTIA KÜHNIELLA. *Journal of Cell Biology* 29, 293-305.

Pokroy, B., Kang, S. H., Mahadevan, L. and Aizenberg, J. (2009). Self-organization of a

mesoscale bristle into ordered, hierarchical helical assemblies. *Science* 323, 237-40.

Pollard, T. D. (2007). Regulation of Actin Filament Assembly by Arp2/3 Complex and Formins.

Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure 36, 451-477.

Pomerantz, A. F., Siddique, R. H., Cash, E. I., Kishi, Y., Pinna, C., Hammar, K., Gomez, D., Elias,

M. and Patel, N. H. (2020). Developmental, cellular, and biochemical basis of transparency in the

glasswing butterfly Greta oto. *BioRxiv*, 2020.07.02.183590.

Potyrailo, R. A., Ghiradella, H., Vertiatchikh, A., Dovidenko, K., Cournoyer, J. R. and Olson, E.

(2007). Morpho butterfly wing scales demonstrate highly selective vapour response. *Nat Photon* 1,

123-128.

Prakash, A., Finet, C., Banerjee, T. D., Saranathan, V. and Monteiro, A. (2022). Antennapedia

and optix regulate metallic silver wing scale development and cell shape in Bicyclus anynana

butterflies. *Cell Rep* 40, 111052.

Prakash, A., Finet, C., Saranathan, V. and Monteiro, A. (2021). Antennapedia regulates

metallic silver wing scale development and cell shape in Bicyclus anynana butterflies. *BioRxiv*,

2021.10.19.46493.

Prum, R. O., Quinn, T. and Torres, R. H. (2006). Anatomically diverse butterfly scales all

produce structural colours by coherent scattering. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 209, 749-765.

Reed, R. D., Papa, R., Martin, A., Hines, H. M., Counterman, B. A., Pardo-Diaz, C., Jiggins, C.

D., Chamberlain, N. L., Kronforst, M. R., Chen, R. et al. (2011). optix Drives the Repeated Convergent

Evolution of Butterfly Wing Pattern Mimicry. *Science* 333, 1137-1141.

Rosetti, C. M., Mangiarotti, A. and Wilke, N. (2017). Sizes of lipid domains: What do we know

from artificial lipid membranes? What are the possible shared features with membrane rafts in cells?

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1859, 789-802.

Rouiller , I., Xu , X.-P., Amann , K. J., Egile , C., Nickell , S., Nicastro , D., Li , R., Pollard , T. D.,

Volkmann , N. and Hanein , D. (2008). The structural basis of actin filament branching by the Arp2/3

complex. *Journal of Cell Biology* 180, 887-895.

Sakamoto, S., Thumkeo, D., Ohta, H., Zhang, Z., Huang, S., Kanchanawong, P., Fuu, T.,

Watanabe, S., Shimada, K., Fujihara, Y. et al. (2018). mDia1/3 generate cortical F-actin meshwork in

Sertoli cells that is continuous with contractile F-actin bundles and indispensable for

spermatogenesis and male fertility. *PLoS Biol.* 16, e2004874.

Saranathan, V., Osuji, C. O., Mochrie, S. G. J., Noh, H., Narayanan, S., Sandy, A., Dufresne, E.

R. and Prum, R. O. (2010). Structure, function, and self-assembly of single network gyroid (I4(1)32)

photonic crystals in butterfly wing scales. *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The*

United States Of America 107, 11676-11681.

Siddique, R. H., Donie, Y. J., Gomard, G., Yalamanchili, S., Merdzhanova, T., Lemmer, U. and

Hölscher, H. (2017). Bioinspired phase-separated disordered nanostructures for thin photovoltaic

absorbers. *Science Advances* 3, e1700232.

Smith, B. A., Daugherty-Clarke, K., Goode, B. L. and Gelles, J. (2013). Pathway of actin

filament branch formation by Arp2/3 complex revealed by single-molecule imaging. *Proc Natl Acad*

Sci U S A 110, 1285-90.

Sweeney, A., Jiggins, C. and Johnsen, S. (2003). Insect communication: Polarized light as a

butterfly mating signal. *Nature* 423, 31-32.

Tesson, B. and Hildebrand, M. (2010). Extensive and intimate association of the cytoskeleton

with forming silica in diatoms: control over patterning on the meso- and micro-scale. *PLoS One* 5,

e14300.

Tsai, C. C., Childers, R. A., Nan Shi, N., Ren, C., Pelaez, J. N., Bernard, G. D., Pierce, N. E. and

Yu, N. (2020). Physical and behavioral adaptations to prevent overheating of the living wings of

butterflies. *Nat Commun* 11, 551.

Turing, A. M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the*

Royal Society of London 237, 37-72.

Van Belleghem, S. M., Ruggieri, A. A., Concha, C., Livraghi, L., Hebberecht, L., Rivera, E. S.,

Ogilvie, J. G., Hanly, J. J., Warren, I. A., Planas, S. et al. (2023). High level of novelty under the hood of

convergent evolution. *Science* 379, 1043-1049.

Voorhees, P. W. (1985). The theory of Ostwald ripening. *Journal of Statistical Physics* 38,

231-252.

Wasik, B. R., Liew, S. F., Lilien, D. A., Dinwiddie, A. J., Noh, H., Cao, H. and Monteiro, A.

(2014). Artificial selection for structural color on butterfly wings and comparison with natural

evolution. *Proceedings Of The National Academy Of Sciences Of The United States Of America* 111,

12109-12114.

Wee, J. L. Q., Das Banerjee, T., Prakash, A., Seah, K. S. and Monteiro, A. (2022). Distal-less

and spalt are distal organisers of pierid wing patterns. *EvoDevo* 13, 12.

Wilts, B. D., N, I. and Stavenga, D. G. (2014). Pigmentary and photonic coloration

mechanisms reveal taxonomic relationships of the Cattlehearts (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae: Parides).

Bmc Evolutionary Biology 14, 160.

Yoda, S., Sakakura, K., Kitamura, T., KonDo, Y., Sato, K., Ohnuki, R., Someya, I., Komata, S.,

Kojima, T., Yoshioka, S. et al. (2021). Genetic switch in UV response of mimicry-related pale-yellow

colors in Batesian mimic butterfly, Papilio polytes. *Sci Adv* 7.

Zhang, L., Martin, A., Perry, M. W., van der Burg, K. R., Matsuoka, Y., Monteiro, A. and Reed,

R. D. (2017a). Genetic Basis of Melanin Pigmentation in Butterfly Wings. *Genetics*.

Zhang, L., Mazo-Vargas, A. and Reed, R. D. (2017b). Single master regulatory gene

coordinates the evolution and development of butterfly color and iridescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A 114, 10707-10712.

Figure 1. Hierarchical nanostructure of wing scales in *Parides eurimedes* (Papilionidae). (A) Adult male with structurally-colored green patches on their dorsal forewings. Credit: iDigBio YPM Ent 433579. (B) SEM top-view and (C) FIB-SEM cross-sectional image of an adult green scale showing ridges and honeycomb lattice ending in trabeculae on top of a perforated multilayer lattice. Scale bars: (A) – 1cm, (B and C) – 1µm.

Figure 2. Morphogenetic time series of the development of cuticular disks in pupal *P. eurimedes* dorsal forewing scales, acquired with a 100x confocal microscope. AF-555 WGA (green) stains chitin and Cellmask (red) stains plasma membrane. By 52% development, Hollow vein-like crossribs appear on plasma membrane in between ridges that serve as a scaffold for cuticle accretion. As scales mature, more cuticle is deposited into these rows of disks bounded by plasma membrane. See also Figs. S4-S6. (B-B''') Close up views of A-A'''. (C-C''') *xz* cross-sections of the scale at locations marked with grey lines in A-A''' reveal the planar aspect of the cuticular disks. Yellow ROI in C'-C''' correspond to those in B'-B'''. Scale bars (A-A''') – 5µm, (B-B''' and C-C''') – 2µm.

Figure 3. Morphogenetic time series of the development of columnar honeycomb lattice in pupal *P. eurimedes* dorsal forewing scale cells acquired with super-resolution lattice SIM. AF-555 WGA (green) stains chitin and AF-647 phalloidin (red) stains F-actin. AF-555 WGA show a gradual evolution of the cuticular disks from filled-in planar to hollow tubular outgrowths. The disintegrating F-actin bundles show evidence of reorganization from linear to reticulated features with ring-like cross-sections. See also Figs. S7-S9. (B-B") Close up views of A-A". Insets correspond to the regions of interest

(ROI) marked in yellow shown with a 3D aspect. (C-C") *xz* cross-sections of the scale

at locations marked with grey lines in A-A". Scale bars (A-A") – 5μm, (B-B", C-C" and

insets) – 1μm.

Figure 4. Arp2/3 complex is involved in F-actin reorganization in pupal *P. eurimedes*

wing scales. Dorsal forewing scales stained with AF-594 anti-Arp2 (green) and AF-

647 phalloidin (red) acquired with 100x confocal microscope. Initially (~52%

development), Arp2/3 complex appear as sparse punctate dots while the F-actin

bundles are still intact. As F-actin bundles disintegrate and reorganize, a relatively

higher density of punctate Arp2/3 signal is seen in close association with the reticulate

F-actin network. Our oldest timepoint in *P. eurimedes* (~76% development) has a large

amount of cuticle autofluorescence overlapping with the AF594 signal, but the

punctate pattern can be still discerned. See also Figs. S10-S12. (B-B") Close up views

of A-A". (C-C") *xz* cross-sections of the scale at locations marked with grey lines in A-

A". Yellow ROIs correspond to those in B-B". Scale bars (A-A") – 5μm, (B-B" and C-

C") – 2μm.

Figure 5. Conservation of honeycomb lattice development in genus Parides. SEM

cross-section view of (A) adult green scales in *P. arcas,* (D) blue scales in *P.nireus,*

and (G) green scales in *P.palinurus.* All pupal dorsal forewing scales are stained either

with AF-555 WGA or FITC-WGA (green) showing chitin, and AF-647 phalloidin or

TRITC-phalloidin (red) showing F-actin. (B-C) Maximum projected 3D-SIM micrograph

of green cover scales in pupal male *P. arcas* featuring planar cuticular disks similar in

shape and arrangement to *P. eurimedes.* (B'-C') Maximum projected 3D-SIM

micrograph of green cover scales of a different male *P. arcas* pupa showing irregular

crossribs patterns. (E-F) Maximum projected 3D-SIM micrograph of blue cover scales

of pupal *P. nireus*, similarly with crossribs and intact linear actin bundles. (H-I) 60x

confocal micrographs of green cover scales of pupal *P. palinurus* shown at two

different z-planes. A concave network of F-actin underlie the cuticular dimples. At

lower *z*, the actin rings (in cross-section) are smaller in size and show a foam-like

appearance. (C-C', F, and I) *xz* cross-sections of the scales with ROI at locations

marked with grey lines in B-B', E, and H respectively. Scale bars (A, D, and G) – 5µm,

(B-B', C-C', E, and F) – 1µm, (H-I) – 5µm.

Figure 6. A schematic illustrating the proposed morphogenesis of honeycomb lattices

in papilionid wing scales. During early stages, F-actin bundles prefigure the loci where

ridges form in between adjacent actin bundles. Next the plasma membrane (black

lines) forms a scaffold where cuticle (green lines and structures) accumulates into the

spaces and forms irregular disk-like structures. Reorganization of F-actin around the

disks subsequently extrudes the plasma membrane along with the deposited cuticle,

forming the honeycomb lattice walls.

48% development   **52% development**   **62% development**   **~62% development**

57% development · 67% development · 76% development

~52% development   ~62% development   ~76% development

WGA
Phalloidin