Fluorescent protein expression as a proxy of bacterial fitness in a high throughput assay

Rudolf O Schlechter[1,2,3], Evan J Kear[1], Daniela M Remus[4], Mitja NP Remus-Emsermann[1,2,3,5]*

[1]School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, [2]Biomolecular Interaction Centre, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, [3]Bioprotection Research Core, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, [4]Protein Science & Engineering, Callaghan Innovation, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, [5]Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany. *Correspondence: mitja-remus.emsermann@fu-berlin.de, Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

Abstract

Bacterial growth is classically assessed by measuring the increase in optical density of pure

cultures in shaken liquid media. Measuring growth using optical density has severe limitations

when studying multistrain interactions as it is not possible to measure the growth of individual

strains within mixed cultures. Here we demonstrated that constitutively expressed fluorescent

proteins can be used to track the growth of individual strains in different liquid media.

Fluorescence measurements were highly correlated with optical density measurements and cell

counts. This allowed us to assess bacterial growth not only in pure cultures, but also in mixed

bacterial cultures and determine the impact of competitors on a focal strain, thereby assessing

relative fitness. Furthermore, we were able to track the growth of two different strains

simultaneously by using fluorescent proteins with differential excitation and emission

wavelengths. Bacterial densities measured by fluorescence yielded more consistent data between

technical replicates than optical density measurements. Our setup employs fluorescent microplate

readers that allow for high throughput and replication.

Importance

We expand on an important limitation of the concept of measuring bacterial growth which is

classically limited to one strain at a time. By adopting this approach, it is possible to measure

growth of several bacterial strains simultaneously in high temporal resolution and in a high

throughput manner. This is important to investigate bacterial interactions such as competition and

facilitation.

Keywords: competition, optical density, mScarlet, sYFP2

Introduction

Measurement of bacterial growth in liquid culture is a central paradigm for microbiology. Growth is specific for every bacterial strain and growth rates are determined as part of every characterisation of novel bacterial species or to describe the impact of mutations on bacterial fitness [1]. Classically, growth is assessed by determining changes in turbidity and absorbance of liquid cultures using standards such as the McFarland standard [2] or by taking turbidity measurements in a spectrophotometer [3]. These methods can be used to determine growth rates and predict cell numbers, maximal optical density (OD_{600nm}) of individual strains, or whole communities in liquid medium. However, they suffer from limitations as spectrophotometers require bacterial cells to be well mixed without any formed clumps that would increase light scattering or cause heterogeneous turbidity [4]. Thus, measuring growth of bacterial species that form aggregates in liquid media can be problematic. Furthermore, it is not possible to determine the growth of more than one bacterial strain in the same culture since only their combined optical density can be assessed. Alternatively to optical density, fluorescence dyes have been used to determine cell densities, e.g., the addition of the DNA intercalating dye acridine orange has been used to determine cell densities [5]. This is possible by fluorometric analysis of liquid samples. Next to chemical dyes, fluorescent proteins can be detected in fluorometric analysis.

The green fluorescent protein (GFP) has been used in molecular microbiological experiments since the nineties [6, 7]. Since then, many additional fluorescent proteins have been discovered or developed (e.g., [8, 9]). Many of these new proteins have dramatically different properties such as improved brightness and photostability, but also different spectral excitation and emission properties [10–12]. Using GFP as a proof of concept, it has been demonstrated that constitutively expressed fluorescent proteins can be used to predict growth and colony forming units of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (13). This encouraged us to pursue a similar approach to predict the

growth of bacteria in different liquid media and in co-culture with other differentially tagged

bacteria.

Here, we demonstrated the use of different constitutively expressed fluorescence proteins as a

means to measure bacterial growth. Furthermore, we used proteins with differential excitation and

emission spectra (14) to allow the measurement of several populations at the same time. We

performed our experiments in 96-well microtiter plates and microtiter plate readers, which allows

for high replication and time series monitoring of fluorescent signals and cell growth in different

growth conditions. By combining these measurements with a series of controls, we demonstrate

that our system allows us to determine the strength of interaction and relative fitness of bacterial

strains in competition.

Results

Growth of Pe::red in nutrient broth

To highlight the advantage of fluorescence intensity measurements over optical density

measurements, we followed the growth of *Pantoea eucalypti* 299R labelled with a constitutively

expressed red fluorescent protein (Pe::red) in five replicated cultures using optical density and

fluorescence intensity in nutrient broth (NB) (Figure 1 A). The optical density increased

exponentially for ~5 h (Figure 1 B) to an optical density of 1.2. Afterwards, the culture entered a

stationary phase for roughly 20 h followed by a rapid decline in optical density. The corresponding

fluorescence increased exponentially for ~10 h (Figure 1 B) and to a fluorescence intensity of

20,000 arbitrary units (a.u.). Afterwards, the rate with which fluorescence intensity increased was

slightly reduced, indicating that cell growth decreased. After 20 h, the fluorescence intensity

peaked at about 35,000 a.u. After a small reduction of fluorescence, suggesting cell lysis, the

fluorescence increased again till the end of the experiment at 48 h. Growth curves obtained through

optical density deviated even though the cultures were seeded from the same original culture and

were diluted to the same initial optical density. The deviation was up to 0.25 OD_{600nm} which is

more than 10% between the individual cultures. By contrast, the fluorescence of the individual

cultures exhibited much lower deviations showing that measuring fluorescence yielded more

consistent results.

Furthermore, after 20 h of growth in 96-well plates, optical density of Pe::red decreased while red

fluorescence steadily increased over time (Figure 1 A). To determine whether cell lysis or flock

formation were associated with this growth pattern, independent cultures of Pe::red grown in NB

were sampled over time and observed under the microscope for qualitative analysis. At early time

points —that is, from 0 to 4 h—, most cells were planktonic and emitted fluorescence (Figure S1).

However, we observed both cell lysis and flock formation at 24 h, as a proportion of cells showed

morphological changes in the phase contrast, indicative of cell lysis, and loss of fluorescence

(Figure S1), while other cell subpopulations aggregated (Figure S2).

Growth of Pe::red in diluted NB

After inoculation into different concentrations of NB, Pe::red grew up to different final optical

densities, while the initial lag phase and growth were similar (Fig. 2 A). As expected, the final

optical density was directly dependent on the concentration of the NB medium. Depending on the

available resources, the different cultures reached their stationary phases at different times and

densities. Pe::red grown on 6.75% strength NB reached its stationary phase after ~2.5 h while

cultures growing on 12.5, 25 and 50% strength NB reached their stationary phase after 5 h.

Cultures growing on higher concentrations of NB reached their stationary phase between 7.5 and

10 h. It is noteworthy to mention the large standard deviations and irregular curves, especially after

the cultures entered their respective stationary phase. This was more pronounced at higher optical

densities. After 15 h, some of the cultures exhibited a small decline in optical density.

For fluorescence intensity measurements, the same cultures exhibited similar increases in

fluorescence over time in increasing concentrations of NB as the measurements of optical densities

(Fig. 2 B). As expected, the increase in fluorescence lagged the increase in optical density, likely

due to the fluorescent protein maturation rate. The overall ranking of maximal fluorescence was

the same as for the optical density measurements. The variability between the replicates was

minimal in comparison to the optical density data. The fluorescence data did not exhibit a decline

compared to the optical density data and remained stable till the end of the experiment after 20 h.

Correlating bacterial growth with optical density and fluorescence intensity

Next, we tested if constitutively expressed fluorescence signals can be used as a proxy for growth.

To that end, we used different measures of the optical density and fluorescence data extracted from

Figure 2: the area under the curve (AUC), the maximal value, and the final value for each curve.

We fitted these data into simple linear regression models of fluorescence and optical density to

determine the parameters that gave the best fit by comparing the adjusted R^2 and Pearson's

correlations (Table 1). Generally, we observed that every linear regression fitted the data with an

$R^2 > 0.95$. The AUC of fluorescence data was the best predictor for every parameter of optical

density used (i.e., AUC, maximum value, and final value), resulting in the best fits ($R^2 = 0.98$) and

highest Pearson's correlation values (0.99) (Table 1).

In addition, we compared the optical density, fluorescence, and colony counts of two independent

Pe::red NB cultures that were grown in Erlenmeyer flasks that were sampled over time. To test the

change in fluorescence intensity in Pe::red over time, the fluorescence emission of individual

Pe::red cells was measured and analysed at different time points. We observed that from 0 to 4 h,

single cells exhibited a statistically significant, albeit small, increase of fluorescence (Figure S3).

However, the low coefficient estimates derived from generalised linear models for each replicate

together with the low goodness-of-fit for each model (Replicate 1: intercept = 940.85 a.u.;

coefficient estimate = 0.18, adjusted R^2 = 0.0079; Replicate 2: intercept = 532.75; coefficient

estimate = 3.25, adjusted R^2 = 0.13) suggested that growth time had only a small effect on single-

cell fluorescence intensity, where about 10% of the data can be explained by these models. The

results indicate that the fluorescence of individual cells increased at a very small rate at early

growth phases and that the increase in fluorescence in a population can be associated with an

increase in the population density instead of an increase in protein maturation rate. A strong

correlation between fluorescence and optical density was observed between Pe::red cultures

growing in NB sampled at different time points (Table 2, Figure 3 A). Similarly, both optical

density and fluorescence intensity also correlated with bacterial counts, measured as CFU mL^{-1}

(Figure 3 B and 3 C, respectively).

Finally, we evaluated the correlation between fluorescence and optical density in strains other than

Pe::red and in different growth media. To that end, fluorescently tagged strains, listed in Table 3,

were incubated in minimal medium (MM) supplemented with 31 different carbon sources, and

both fluorescence emission and final OD_{600nm} were measured. We selected a wide range of

phylogenetically different strains (from *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria*) that constitutively

express a red or green fluorescent protein. In general, we observed a statistically significant and

positive correlation between the AUC of the fluorescence intensity curve of bacterial strains and

the final OD_{600nm} of each liquid culture (Figure S4, GLMM, *p*-value <0.05, *pseudo*-R^2 = 0.80,

Pearson's correlation = 0.72).

Consequently, data derived from the fluorescence signals allowed the tracking of growth in liquid

cultures, showing that fluorescence can be used as an alternative to OD_{600nm}.

Growth of Pe::red and Sp::yellow in presence of different competitors

To determine the impact of different competitors on the growth of Pe::red and Sp::yellow, we

inoculated mixed cultures into 96-well plates and covered the plates with a gas permeable foil to

avoid evaporation during long-term incubation. Each fluorescently tagged strain was mixed with

their respective wild type conspecific strain (Pe::red *vs*. PeWT and Sp::yellow *vs*. SpWT), and also

a mix combining both strains (Pe::red *vs*. Sp::yellow), allowing us to track growth of each strain

in parallel (Fig. 4 A). As control, fluorescent strains were grown in monoculture. Their respective

fluorescence over time was determined every 10 minutes. As expected when grown without a

competitor, Pe::red and Sp::yellow both reached the highest fluorescence intensity and hence, the

highest cell density (Fig. 4 A, entire lines). When grown against their near-isogenic wild types, the

fluorescence did not reach the same fluorescence levels depicting the impact of the competitor on

the focal strain. We used the AUC of the growth curves as a proxy for bacteria abundance and to

determine bacterial population sizes relative to their respective fluorescent monoculture. The

relative AUC of the competition Pe::red *vs*. PeWT was on average 0.35 when normalised by the

AUC of the Pe::red monoculture (Fig. 4 B). Since conspecifics were expected to show no fitness

differences when competing against each other, we evaluated the fitness of independent Tn*7*-

insertion Pe::red mutant strains. Results from this experiment showed that the relative fitness of

Pe::red in competition with PeWT were consistent among strains and were usually 0.5 (Figure S5).

The relative AUC of Sp::yellow *vs*. SpWT normalised by the AUC of the Sp::yellow monoculture

was 0.49 and hence, Sp::yellow grew to almost exactly half the population density of the

monoculture (Fig. 4 C). When competing Pe::red and Sp::yellow, the relative AUC of Pe::red and

Sp::yellow was 0.79 and 0.22, respectively, when normalised by their respective monocultures

(Fig. 4 B and C). Hence, Pe::red had a competitive advantage over Sp::yellow and the Sp::yellow

was strongly impacted by the presence of Pe::red. Additionally, this competition assay was

evaluated in MM supplemented with 0.2% w/v succinate, a resource that both strains can grow on.

We observed a different pattern in which Pe::red in competition with Sp::yellow did not differ with

Pe::red grown as monoculture (Figure S6 A), while Sp::yellow was affected by the presence of

Pe::red (Figure S6 B). These results highlighted the applicability of this approach to different

experimental setups to study the dynamics of bacterial interactions simultaneously and to address

hypotheses regarding species interactions in defined growth conditions.

<u>Discussion</u>

We have designed an experimental platform that allows tracking of bacterial growth in 96-well

plates using fluorescence measurement as an alternative to optical density. This allowed us to track

distinct populations in parallel and we provided an example of a mixed culture of two populations.

Fluorescence measurements in plate readers also have the advantage of being less sensitive to cell

aggregation than optical density, as several measurements of the same culture are taken and

averaged, thereby integrating large areas of the sample and accounting for aggregates and less

dense areas of the sample. In conjunction with controls, this approach allows for the measurement

of competition between strains in a high throughput manner. With optimised detector systems such

as filters or monochromators and well-chosen fluorescent proteins, it would be possible to track at

least three differently tagged populations without complex experimental controls (e.g., cyan,

yellow, and red fluorescent proteins).

We initially performed our experiments with *Pantoea eucalypti*, a fast-growing strain that does

not form biofilms in shaken liquid culture. This allowed us to compare optical density and

fluorescence intensity under defined conditions. Growth curves of optical density and fluorescence

of bacterial cultures do not resemble each other perfectly, which is not surprising since the rate

and maturation of fluorescent proteins is a combination of time consuming steps from DNA

transcription, mRNA translation and protein maturation before the fluorescence signal can be

detected (15). This process is however reproducible under controlled growth conditions.

Furthermore, others have shown that by using a standard curve, it is possible to predict colony-

forming units from fluorescence data (13). Our experiments have shown that the constitutive

expression of fluorescent proteins is suitable to determine bacterial growth in shaking liquid

cultures. Every measure that we tested correlated well with bacterial growth as measured by optical

density and cell counts (Table 1 and 2).

Since growth assessed using fluorescence yields more consistent data than optical density in 96-

well plates, it may also be useful in applications such as normalisation of fluorescence intensity of

bacterial bioreporters (16). Using our workflow, it is possible to conveniently determine relative

fitness of bacteria. Previously, the relative fitness of bacteria has been assessed using shared media

reservoirs and filters to separate bacterial populations, which allowed for low throughput

investigations of bacterial densities after the competition measured by optical density (17) or by

determining the colony-forming units at different sampling times in shared media (e.g. (18, 19)).

Both methods have in common that they cannot follow population dynamics at high resolution,

such as early or late success or bacterial growth rate in presence of a competing species. By using

continuous tracking of bacterial cultures in combination with control experiments that allow for

normalisation we can infer changes to growth during all phases of an experiment.

To overcome evaporation of medium, which is one of the major drawbacks of 96-well plates that

leads to strong edge effects and impacts optical density, we have used hydrophobic, gas-permeable

foils to seal 96-well plates. The foils reduced evaporation to negligible amounts over the course of

our experiments (up to two weeks, data not shown). At the same time, it also leads to reduced

oxygen availability for bacterial growth and limits the ability to measure optical density due to

turbidity of the foil and condensation of droplets on the foil. However, since the reduced oxygen

availability impacts on the whole plate equally, competition treatments and respective control will

be both similarly impacted, and the resulting relative fitness can still be assessed.

The experimental system is not without flaws and even though many bacterial strains exhibit

negligible autofluorescence in liquid media, some exhibit strong autofluorescence, such as

pseudomonads in minimal media (20). To be able to accurately assess the growth of strongly

autofluorescent bacteria, it is necessary to determine the autofluorescence of the respective

parental strain without the fluorescent protein expressed. One clear disadvantage of fluorescent

proteins is that many bacterial strains are not amenable to genetic modification. However, several

recent publications were able to increase the breadths of bacterial recipients that can successfully

be manipulated using stable plasmids that do not require antibiotic pressure for medium term

maintenance, or (transposon mediated) chromosomal integration (14, 21, 22). Our system should

still be interesting for those hard to modify bacteria by competing them against known,

fluorescently tagged organisms by determining the impact of the non-tagged organism on the

tagged one.

<u>Conclusion</u>

We have designed a convenient experimental platform that tracks bacterial growth by employing

constitutively expressed fluorescent proteins. Our platform allows us to track several fluorescence

signals simultaneously in a time-resolved manner and in high replications. It yields more robust

results than classical turbidity measurements and can be used to determine competition in

controlled conditions.

Materials and Methods

Strains and growth conditions

Strains used in this study are listed in Table 3. Bacteria were routinely grown on nutrient broth (NB, HiMedia) or nutrient agar (NA, HiMedia) supplemented with 15 mg L^{-1} gentamicin, 15 mg L^{-1} tetracycline, or 10 mg L^{-1} chloramphenicol where appropriate. Broth cultures were incubated at 30°C in a rotary shaker at 200 rpm. Agar plates were incubated at 30°C. Plasmid pMRE-Tn5-143 was used to transform *Sphingomonas* sp. Fr1 by conjugation, as described previously (14, 23), to constitutively express sYFP2 (24). Using the same method, plasmid pMRE-Tn5-145 was used to deliver constitutively-expressed fluorescent protein carrying transposons to *Sphingomonas* sp. Fr1, *Sphingomonas phyllosphaerae* FA2, and *Methylobacterium* sp. Leaf85 to constitutively express mScarlet-I (25). *Arthrobacter* sp. Leaf145 was transformed by sono-electroporation (26) using the plasmid pKGT-GFP, kindly gifted by Christine Smart (27), to constitutively express eGFP (28). *Methylobacterium* sp. Leaf92::Tn5-mre145, *Methylobacterium radiotolerans* 0-1::Tn5-mre145, and *Pantoea eucalypti* 299R::Tn7-mre145 were constructed elsewhere (14).

Minimal medium (MM; 1.62 g L^{-1} NH_4Cl, 0.2 g L^{-1} $MgSO_4$, 1.59 g L^{-1} K_2HPO_4, 1.8 g L^{-1} NaH_2PO_4 · $2H_2O$, 15 g L^{-1} agar, with the following trace elements: 15 mg L^{-1} Na_2EDTA_2 · H_2O, 4.5 mg L^{-1} $ZnSO_4$ · $7H_2O$, 3 mg L^{-1} $CoCl_2$ · $6H_2O$, 0.6 mg L^{-1} $MnCl_2$, 1 mg L^{-1} H_3BO_3, 3.0 mg L^{-1} $CaCl_2$, 0.4 mg L^{-1} Na_2MoO_4 · $2H_2O$, 3 mg L^{-1} $FeSO_4$ · $7H_2O$, and 0.3 mg L^{-1} $CuSO_4$ · $5H_2O$ (29)) was supplemented with 0.2% w/v of a carbon source. Carbon sources used were Sucrose, D-Glucose, D-Fructose, Galactose, D-Ribose, Xylose, L-Arabinose, D-Mannitol, Sorbitol, Glycerol, Pyruvate, L-Malate, Citrate, 2-Oxoglutarate, Succinate, Maleate, Fumarate, L-Threonine, L-Glutamine, L-Glutamate, L-Serine, L-Aspartate, L-Proline, L-Lysine, L-Isoleucine, L-Alanine, L-Valine, L-

Tryptophan, L-Asparagine, GABA, and Methanol.

Plate reader experiments

High-throughput growth experiments were carried out in 96-well microtiter plates (Costar). The

96-well plates were sealed with either a lid or with the hydrophobic gas permeable membrane 4ti-

0516/96 (Brooks Life Sciences, Wotton, UK; gas permeability 0.6 m^{-3} m^{-2} day^{-1} and a water loss

of 1 g m^{-2} day^{-1}). For every experiment in microtiter plates, and unless stated otherwise, overnight

bacterial cultures were used to seed 96-well microtiter plates to reach a volume of 200 μL per well.

To that end, cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 $\times$ g and washed twice in 1 $\times$

phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 8 g L^{-1} NaCl, 0.24 g L^{-1} KCl, 1.42 g L^{-1} Na_2HPO_4, 0.24 g L^{-1}

KH_2PO_4). Finally, the washed cultures were resuspended and diluted to a defined adjusted optical

density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}). Plates were incubated in a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG

Labtech) at 30°C for up to five days, depending on the growth rate of each strain and growth media.

The plates were shaken in "meander corner well shaking" mode at 300 rpm between each read.

Readings of OD_{600nm} and fluorescence were measured in bottom optic mode. Fluorescence was

measured in a 2-mm diameter circle and the average of eight measurements per well was recorded.

Optical density and the individual fluorescence spectra were measured sequentially to minimise

crosstalk between the fluorescent proteins. mScarlet fluorescence was excited at 567-587 nm and

emission was measured at 613-626 nm. sYFP2 and eGFP fluorescence were excited at 475-492

nm and emissions were measured at 511-550 nm.

Growth experiments

To establish a relationship between fluorescence and optical density, Pe::red was grown in

different concentrations of NB in 96-well plates. To that end, washed culture of Pe::red was

resuspended and diluted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.05. To each well, aliquots of 20 μL resuspended culture

were added to 180 μL NB or NB diluted to 90, 80, 70, 60, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.75, 3.4, 1.7 or 0%

strength, respectively. The plate was closed with a lid. Optical density at 600 nm and red

fluorescence were measured every 15 minutes as described above.

Additionally, cultures of fluorescently tagged strains listed in Table 1 were used to seed microtiter

plates containing MM supplemented with 0.2 % w/v of individual carbon sources. To that end,

every washed culture was resuspended, diluted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.05, and 20 μL resuspended

cultures were seeded into each well in triplicates for each growth medium. Red or green

fluorescence was measured every 15 min and OD_{600nm} was measured at the end of the experiment

(Final OD_{600nm}).

Competition experiments and fitness assessment

Overnight cultures were resuspended to an OD_{600nm} of 0.37. Four μL of the diluted cultures per

well were inoculated into 192 μL NB or MM supplemented with 0.2% w/v succinate in triplicates

to perform the competition experiments. Then, the following bacterial mixes were prepared:

Pe::red + 4 μL medium (Pe::red monoculture), Pe::red + PeWT (near-isogenic Pe co-culture),

Sp::yellow + 4 μL medium (Sp::yellow monoculture), Sp::yellow + SpWT (near-isogenic Sp co-

culture), Pe::red + Sp::yellow. In all cases, 96-well plates were sealed with a hydrophobic gas

permeable membrane, and OD_{600nm}, red, and yellow fluorescence were measured every 15 minutes.

A similar setup was used to identify potential fitness costs of Tn*7* insertions in Pe::red. To that

end, four independent Tn*7* mutant strains were used to compete against a near-isogenic strain

(PeWT) or Sp::yellow.

Conical flasks experiment

Two independent Pe::red cultures were grown overnight in NB with gentamicin from single

colonies. The overnight cultures were inoculated into 250 mL conical flasks containing NB and

gentamicin, resulting in 80 mL of culture at an initial density of $OD_{600nm} = 0.05$. At $0, 0.75, 1.50,$

$2.50, 3.25, 4,$ and 24 h post-inoculation (hpi), samples of each culture were taken for optical

density, red fluorescence, colony counts, and microscopy.

Firstly, 200 μL of culture were transferred into a 96-well plate containing the appropriate blanks.

Endpoint measurements were taken for optical density and red fluorescence in triplicates.

Secondly, ten-fold serial dilutions with dilution factors ranging from 10^2 to 10^7 were prepared in

triplicate, and 5 μL of each dilution was plated on NB containing gentamicin. Plates were

incubated at 30 °C overnight and counted under a stereo microscope.

Lastly, an aliquot of each culture was fixed for microscopy. To that end, 1 mL of each of the two

cultures was harvested at $15,000 \times g$, washed in 1 mL PBS, and resuspended in 50 μL $1 \times$ PBS.

Then, 150 μL paraformaldehyde solution (PFA; 4% w/v paraformaldehyde) was added. After 30

minutes, cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 50 μL $1 \times$ PBS, to which 50

μL 100% v/v ethanol was added after the cells were resuspended. Fixed samples were stored at -

20 °C until processed.

Microscopy

Slides were prepared by applying 4 μL of each fixed sample onto 0.1% w/v gelatin-coated

microscope slides. Samples were air dried and mounted using 60% glycerol.

Fluorescent microscopy was carried out using a Zeiss AxioImager.M1 fluorescent widefield

microscope at $1000 \times$ magnification and phase contrast (EC Plan-Neofluar 100×/1.30 Ph3 Oil M27

objective) equipped with Zeiss filter set 43HE (BP 550/25-FT 570-BP 605/70), an Axiocam 506,

and the software Zeiss Zen 2.3. Images from the first four sampling time points were taken using

an exposure of 400 ms, while images of samples at 4 and 24 hpi were taken using an exposure of

350 ms and/or 400 ms, respectively. From each independent culture and time point at least five

images, containing a total of more than 200 individual cells, were taken for image analysis.

Images were processed using ImageJ/FIJI (30). Values were normalized against the exposure time.

A mask was created using the IsoData threshold method on the phase contrast channel and applied

to the fluorescence channel, when needed the mask was adjusted to eliminate any frameshift. The

binary process "Open" was applied. Particles were analyzed with the size parameter 0.80 - 2.00

μm^2, and excluding particles touching the border. The average fluorescence intensity of each cell

was determined and corrected by subtracting the average background intensity of the respective

image. The background intensity of each image was assessed by inverting the previous mask and

measuring the median intensity of the image. An average of 678 cells were measured for each

sample, with the lowest number of measured cells being attributed to the second biological

replicate at 0 hpi (205 cells).

Data analysis

Data analysis and visualisation was performed using the package *tidyverse* from the *R* software

(31, 32). The area under the curve (AUC) of the optical density and fluorescence was determined

using the function "auc" from the integrated *R* package *MESS*. Fitness effects in competition

experiments were determined by the ratio between AUC under a certain condition compared to the

mean AUC of a strain growing as monoculture. Where appropriate, data were fitted into robust

simple linear regression models using the function "lmrob" from the *R* package *robustbase* (33).

Pearson's correlations were determined using the function "cor" from the *R* package *stats*.

Generalised linear mixed models (GLMM) were performed with the *R* package *gamlss* (34). One-

and two-way ANOVAs were performed using the function "aov" from the *R* package *stats*. *Post-*

hoc group comparisons were made with the *R* package *emmeans* (35).

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

343   This work was supported by Marsden Fast Start grant 17-UOC-057 to MRE. RS was supported by

344   a New Zealand International Doctoral Scholarship and a University of Canterbury PhD

345   scholarship.

<u>References</u>

1.   Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M,

Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene

knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2:2006.0008.

2.   McFarland J. 1907. The nephelometer: An instrument for estimating the number of

bacteria in suspensions used for calculating the opsonic index and for vaccines. JAMA

XLIX:1176–1178.

3.   Dalgaard P, Ross T, Kamperman L, Neumeyer K, McMeekin TA. 1994. Estimation of

bacterial growth rates from turbidimetric and viable count data. Int J Food Microbiol

23:391–404.

4.   Stevenson K, McVey AF, Clark IBN, Swain PS, Pilizota T. 2016. General calibration of

microbial growth in microplate readers. Sci Rep 6:38828.

5.   Guo R, McGoverin C, Swift S, Vanholsbeeck F. 2017. A rapid and low-cost estimation

of bacteria counts in solution using fluorescence spectroscopy. Anal Bioanal Chem

409:3959–3967.

6.   Chalfie M, Tu Y, Euskirchen G, Ward WW, Prasher DC. 1994. Green fluorescent protein

as a marker for gene expression. Science 263:802–805.

7.   Bloemberg GV, O'Toole GA, Lugtenberg BJ, Kolter R. 1997. Green fluorescent protein

as a marker for *Pseudomonas* spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:4543–4551.

8.   Shaner NC, Campbell RE, Steinbach PA, Giepmans BNG, Palmer AE, Tsien RY. 2004.

Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from

Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. Nat Biotechnol 22:1567–1572.

9.   Goedhart J, von Stetten D, Noirclerc-Savoye M, Lelimousin M, Joosen L, Hink MA, van

Weeren L, Gadella TWJ Jr, Royant A. 2012. Structure-guided evolution of cyan

fluorescent proteins towards a quantum yield of 93%. Nat Commun 3:751.

10. Lambert TJ. 2019. FPbase: a community-editable fluorescent protein database. Nat

Methods 16:277–278.

11. Shaner NC, Steinbach PA, Tsien RY. 2005. A guide to choosing fluorescent proteins. Nat

Methods 2:905–909.

12. Shaner NC, Patterson GH, Davidson MW. 2007. Advances in fluorescent protein

technology. J Cell Sci 120:4247–4260.

13. Wilson E, Okuom M, Kyes N, Mayfield D, Wilson C, Sabatka D, Sandoval J, Foote JR,

Kangas MJ, Holmes AE, Sutlief AL. 2018. Using fluorescence intensity of enhanced

green fluorescent protein to quantify *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Chemosensors (Basel)

6(2): 21.

14. Schlechter RO, Jun H, Bernach M, Oso S, Boyd E, Muñoz-Lintz DA, Dobson RCJ,

Remus DM, Remus-Emsermann MNP. 2018. Chromatic Bacteria - A broad host-range

plasmid and chromosomal insertion toolbox for fluorescent protein expression in

bacteria. Front Microbiol 9:3052.

15. Leveau JH, Lindow SE. 2001. Predictive and interpretive simulation of green fluorescent

protein expression in reporter bacteria. J Bacteriol 183:6752–6762.

16. Tecon R, Binggeli O, van der Meer JR. 2009. Double-tagged fluorescent bacterial

bioreporter for the study of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon diffusion and

bioavailability. Environ Microbiol 11:2271–2283.

17. Ahn H-J, La H-J, Forney LJ. 2006. System for determining the relative fitness of multiple

bacterial populations without using selective markers. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:7383–

7385.

18. Ross-Gillespie A, Gardner A, West SA, Griffin AS. 2007. Frequency dependence and

cooperation: theory and a test with bacteria. Am Nat 170:331–342.

19. Friedman J, Higgins LM, Gore J. 2017. Community structure follows simple assembly

rules in microbial microcosms. Nat Ecol Evol 1:109.

20. Remus-Emsermann MNP, Schmid M, Gekenidis M-T, Pelludat C, Frey JE, Ahrens CH,

Drissner D. 2016. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas citronellolis* P3B5, a

candidate for microbial phyllo-remediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sites. Stand

Genomic Sci 11:75.

21. Choi K-H, Schweizer HP. 2006. mini-Tn*7* insertion in bacteria with single *att*Tn*7* sites:

example *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nat Protoc 1:153–161.

22. Schada von Borzyskowski L, Remus-Emsermann M, Weishaupt R, Vorholt JA, Erb TJ.

2015. A set of versatile brick vectors and promoters for the assembly, expression, and

integration of synthetic operons in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 and other

alphaproteobacteria. ACS Synth Biol 4:430–443.

23. Schlechter R, Remus-Emsermann M. 2019. Delivering "Chromatic Bacteria" Fluorescent

Protein Tags to Proteobacteria Using Conjugation. BIO-PROTOCOL 9.

24. Kremers G-J, Goedhart J, van Munster EB, Gadella TWJ Jr. 2006. Cyan and yellow

super fluorescent proteins with improved brightness, protein folding, and FRET Förster

radius. Biochemistry 45:6570–6580.

25. Bindels DS, Haarbosch L, van Weeren L, Postma M, Wiese KE, Mastop M, Aumonier S,

Gotthard G, Royant A, Hink MA, Gadella TWJ Jr. 2017. mScarlet: a bright monomeric

red fluorescent protein for cellular imaging. Nat Methods 14:53–56.

26. Kolek J, Sedlar K, Provaznik I, Patakova P. 2016. Dam and Dcm methylations prevent

gene transfer into *Clostridium pasteurianum* NRRL B-598: development of methods for

electrotransformation, conjugation, and sonoporation. Biotechnol Biofuels 9:14.

27. Tancos MA, Chalupowicz L, Barash I, Manulis-Sasson S, Smart CD. 2013. Tomato fruit

and seed colonization by *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis* through

external and internal routes. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:6948–6957.

28. Cormack BP, Valdivia RH, Falkow S. 1996. FACS-optimized mutants of the green

fluorescent protein (GFP). Gene 173:33–38.

29. Harder W, Attwood MM, Quayle JR. 1973. Methanol Assimilation by *Hyphomicrobium*

sp. Journal of General Microbiology 78:155-163.

30. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,

Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K,

Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image

analysis. Nat Methods 9:676–682.

31. R Core Team, Others. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

32. Martin G. 2021. Introduction to the *tidyverse*. An Introduction to Programming with R.

Journal of Open Source Software 4(43):1686. URL https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.

33. Rousseeuw P, Croux C, Todorov V, Ruckstuhl A, Salibian-Barrera M, Verbeke T, Koller

M, Maechler M. 2012. *robustbase*: Basic Robust Statistics. R package version 0.9-7.

URL http://robustbase.r-forge.r-project.org.

34. Rigby RA, Stasinopoulos DM. 2005. Generalized additive models for location, scale and

shape (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied

35. Lenth RV. 2020. *emmeans*: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R

package version 1.5.3. URL https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans.

36. Remus-Emsermann MNP, Kim EB, Marco ML, Tecon R, Leveau JHJ. 2013. Draft

genome sequence of the phyllosphere model bacterium *Pantoea agglomerans* 299R.

Genome Announc 1(1): e00036-13.

37. Innerebner G, Knief C, Vorholt JA. 2011. Protection of *Arabidopsis thaliana* against leaf-

pathogenic *Pseudomonas syringae* by *Sphingomonas* strains in a controlled model

system. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3202–3210.

Tables

Table 1. Parameters derived from linear regression models and correlation between the fluorescence and absorbance measurements presented in Figure 2.

Response Variable	Explanatory Variable	Adjusted R^2	Pearson's correlation
AUC OD	AUC RFU	0.98	0.99
AUC OD	Max RFU	0.95	0.98
AUC OD	Final RFU	0.95	0.97
Max OD	AUC RFU	0.98	0.99
Max OD	Max RFU	0.95	0.98
Max OD	Final RFU	0.95	0.98
Final OD	AUC RFU	0.98	0.99
Final OD	Max RFU	0.96	0.98
Final OD	Final RFU	0.96	0.98

OD: Optical density; RFU: Relative fluorescence units; AUC: Area under the curve; Max: Maximum value of dataset; Final: Final value of dataset.

Table 2. Parameters derived from linear regression models and correlation between the fluorescence and absorbance measurements presented in Figure 3.

Response Variable	Explanatory Variable	Adjusted R^2	Pearson's correlation
Fluorescence intensity	Optical density	0.84	0.92
Bacterial counts*	Fluorescence intensity*	0.78	0.89
Bacterial counts*	Optical density*	0.84	0.93

* Data was transformed to logarithmic scale ($\log_{10}$).

Table 3. Bacterial strains used in this work

Strain	Short name; Features	Source
Pantoea eucalypti 299R	PeWT	(36)
Pantoea eucalypti 299R::Tn7-mre145	Pe::red; red fluorescent, Gm^R	(14)
Sphingomonas sp. Fr1	SpWT	(37)
Sphingomonas sp. Fr1::Tn5-mre143	Sp::yellow; yellow fluorescent, Gm^R	This study
Arthrobacter sp. Leaf145::eGFP	Ar::green; green fluorescent, Cm^R	This study
Methylobacterium sp. Leaf85::Tn5-mre145	Me85::red; red fluorescent, Gm^R	This study
Methylobacterium sp. Leaf92::Tn5-mre145	Me92::red; red fluorescent, Gm^R	(14)
Methylobacterium radiotolerans 0-1::Tn5-mre145	Mr::red; red fluorescent, Gm^R	(14)
Sphingomonas phyllosphaerae FA2::Tn5-mre145	Sp2::red; red fluorescent, Gm^R	This study

Gm^R: Gentamicin resistant
Cm^R: Chloramphenicol resistant

451 **Figures**

453 **Figure 1. Pe::red growth in nutrient broth.** Growth of Pe::red in nutrient broth in a 96-well microtiter plate was
454 tracked using optical density (black) and fluorescence intensity (magenta). Optical density was measured as the
455 absorbance of the culture at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) and red fluorescence was measured at 613–626 nm (a.u.: arbitrary
456 units). Data was expressed in **(A)** linear and **(B)** logarithmic scale.
457

460
Figure 2. Growth of Pe::red on different concentrations of nutrient broth. Pe::red was inoculated into 96-well
microtiter plates containing increasing concentrations of nutrient broth (NB), ranging from 0 to 100% v/v strength
(see legend). Growth was measured by **(A)** optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600nm}) and **(B)** fluorescence intensity
emission (a.u.: arbitrary units) as a proxy. In both cases, continuous lines represent the mean of four biological
replicates and dashed lines represent the standard deviation.

Figure 3. Relationships between fluorescence, absorbance and cell counts. Fluorescently tagged Pe::red was
grown in shaken conical flasks. Samples were taken after 0, 0.75, 1.50, 2.50, 3.25, and 4 h post-inoculation. Each
point represents the average of three technical replicates for each timepoint and each biological replicate (n = 2),
with error bars representing SD. Lines depict simple linear regression models. **(A)** Fluorescence *vs* absorbance
(linear regression, *p*-value < 0.05, R^2 = 0.84, Pearson's correlation = 0.92), **(B)** Absorbance *vs* cell count (linear
regression, *p*-value < 0.05, R^2 = 0.84, Pearson's correlation = 0.93), **(C)** Fluorescence *vs* cell count (linear regression,
p-value < 0.05, R^2 = 0.78, Pearson's correlation = 0.89). In **(B)** and **(C)**, cell counts were determined as CFU mL^{-1}
and data is represented in logarithmic scale (log$_{10}$). A.u. = arbitrary units, OD$_{600nm}$ = optical density at 600 nm.

Figure 4. Competition assay in rich media. The fluorescently tagged bacterial strains Pe::red and Sp::yellow were competed in nutrient broth and their growth was estimated using fluorescence intensity. The data was background subtracted to normalise against the autofluorescence of the media. **(A)** Fluorescent intensity over time for each strain under different conditions. Magenta: red fluorescence emitted by Pe::red; dark green: yellow fluorescence emitted by Sp::yellow. Continuous lines represent monocultures of Pe::red or Sp::yellow. Broken lines represent each culture of Pe::red or Sp::yellow in competition with the respective wild type PeWT or SpWT strains. Dotted lines represent each culture of Pe::red and Sp::yellow in co-culture. Thin broken lines represent the standard deviation from the mean. **(B)** Relative fitness of Pe::red in monoculture, co-culture with PeWT, and co-culture with Sp::yellow. Fitness determined as the AUC derived from red fluorescence relative to Pe::red in monoculture. **(C)** Relative fitness of Sp::yellow in monoculture, co-culture with SpWT, and co-culture with Pe::red. Relative fitness of Sp::yellow was determined as the AUC derived from yellow fluorescence relative to Sp::yellow in monoculture. In **(B)** and **(C)**, groups were compared using one-way ANOVA test, where * = p-value < 0.05 compared to the monoculture (Bonferroni *post-hoc* test).

27