***Streptococcus agalactiae* MprF synthesizes a novel cationic glycolipid and plays**

a role in brain entry and meningitis

Luke R. Joyce[1], Haider S. Manzer[2], Jéssica da C. Mendonça[2], Ricardo Villarreal[2], Kelly

S. Doran[2*,] Kelli L. Palmer[1*], and Ziqiang Guan[3*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX,

75080

[2]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of

Medicine, Aurora, CO, 80045

[3]Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, 27710

*Corresponding authors

Kelly S. Doran: Kelly.Doran@CUAnschutz.edu
12800 E. 19th Ave., Rm. P18-9105, Aurora, CO, 80045. (303-724-3539)

Kelli L. Palmer: Kelli.Palmer@UTDallas.edu
800 W Campbell Rd, BSB 12, Richardson, TX, 75080. (972-883-6014)

Ziqiang Guan: Ziqiang.Guan@Duke.edu
307 Research Drive, 240 Nanaline Duke, Durham, NC, 27710. (919-684-3005)

Keywords: Group B *Streptococcus*, Lipidomics, Glycolipid, Meningitis, MprF

Abstract

Multiple peptide resistance factor (MprF) is highly conserved in bacterial pathogens and is an attractive target for novel antimicrobials due to its important roles in bacterial virulence. We uncovered novel biochemical and pathophysiological functions of MprF in *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Group B *Streptococcus*; GBS), which is a common cause of meningitis and severe infection in newborns. Uniquely, GBS MprF catalyzes the synthesis of lysyl-glucosyl-diacylglyerol (Lys-Glc-DAG), a novel cationic glycolipid, and to a lesser extent, lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Lys-PG). We show that GBS MprF significantly lowers the GBS surface negative charge and increases GBS acid tolerance. Further, we demonstrate that GBS MprF promotes brain entry and meningeal inflammation, using a mouse model of GBS meningitis. These results expand our knowledge of MprF functions, and the pathogenesis of meningitis caused by GBS.

Introduction

Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B *Streptococcus*; GBS) is a Gram-positive, β-hemolytic

bacterium that colonizes the lower genital and gastrointestinal tracts of approximately

30% of healthy women (1, 2). GBS causes disease in healthy adults and pregnant woman

and opportunistically causes life-threatening neonatal infections such as meningitis,

sepsis, and pneumonia (3–7). GBS can be transmitted from colonized mothers to

newborns during natural childbirth, and due to the severity of the resulting diseases,

intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis is prescribed for colonized pregnant women (8, 9). Even

with these measures, GBS is still a leading cause of neonatal meningitis that results in

long-lasting neurological effects in surviving infants (4–7).

Research on the pathogenesis of the GBS has mainly focused on cell wall-anchored or

secreted proteins and polysaccharides that aid in the attachment to and invasion of host

cells. The numerous attachment and virulence factors possessed by the GBS are

summarized in a recent review by Armistead *et al* 2019 (10). Comparatively little is known

about GBS cellular membrane lipids, even though the bacterial membrane is a critical site

for host-pathogen interactions. To our knowledge, the only characterization of GBS

phospholipids prior to our current study was the identification of the phospholipids

phosphatidylglycerol (PG), cardiolipin (CL), and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol (Lys-PG) in

GBS (11, 12). Similarly, investigation into the glycolipids of the GBS membrane has

focused on di-glucosyl-diacylglycerol (Glc_2-DAG), which is the lipid anchor of the Type I

lipoteichoic acid, and its role in pathogenesis (13).

Previous genomic analyses of GBS have identified a homolog of MprF (multiple peptide

resistance factor) (14). MprF catalyzes the aminoacylation of the anionic phospholipid PG

in a range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (15, 16). MprF is a membrane-

bound enzyme comprised of a N-terminal flippase domain (17) and a C-terminal catalytic

domain that catalyzes the aminoacylation of the glycerol group of PG by using aminoacyl-

tRNAs as amino acid donors (18–20). An important function of aminoacylation, and in

particular lysylation, of PG in bacterial membranes is to lower the net negative charge of

their cellular envelope. This protects them from cationic antimicrobial peptides (CAMPs)

produced by host immune systems and bacteriocins produced by competitor bacteria (15,

16).

In this study, we utilized normal phase liquid chromatography (NPLC) coupled with

electrospray ionization (ESI) high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry (HRMS/MS) to

characterize the GBS membrane lipid composition, and identified a novel cationic

glycolipid, lysyl-glucosyl-diacylglycerol (Lys-Glc-DAG), which comprises a major portion

of the GBS total lipid extract. While Lys-PG has been reported in a range of bacterial

species (21), Lys-Glc-DAG represents, to our knowledge, the first example of lysine

modification of a neutral glycolipid. By gene deletion and heterologous expression, we

demonstrate that the GBS MprF enzyme is responsible for the biosynthesis of both the

novel Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG. Furthermore, we identify MprF as a contributing factor

to GBS pathogenesis, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Results

Identification of Lys-Glc-DAG, a novel cationic glycolipid in GBS

To characterize the membrane lipids of GBS cultured in Todd-Hewitt Broth (THB), three clinical isolates of representative serotypes were used: COH1 (serotype III) (22) , A909 (serotype Ia) (23), and CNCTC 10/84 (serotype V) (24, 25). Lipid extraction was performed using an acidic Bligh-Dyer method (26–28), followed by lipidomic analysis by normal phase LC-ESI/MS performed in both positive and negative ion modes. Figure 1A shows the total ion chromatogram (TIC) of LC-ESI/MS of the lipid extract of COH1 in the negative mode. Common Gram-positive bacterial lipids were identified by negative ion ESI/MS/MS, including diacylglyercol (DAG), monohexosyldiacylglycerol (MHDAG), dihexosyldiacylglycerol (DHDAG), PG, lyso-phosphatidylglycerol (lyso-PG), CL, Lys-PG, phosphatidic acid (PA), and undecaprenyl phosphate (C_{55}-P) (Table 1).

Surprisingly, the positive TIC (Figure 1B) shows highly abundant peaks of unknown identity at the retention time ~25-29 min. These TIC peaks are derived from *m/z* 803.595, *m/z* 831.624, *m/z* 857.644, *m/z* 885.671 and *m/z* 913.703 ions (Figure 1C), which are indicative of a lipid with varying acyl chain lengths. These ions were interpreted as [M+H]$^+$, given their corresponding [M-H]$^-$ and [M+Cl]$^-$ ions were detected in the negative mode (not shown). The mass spectra and LC retention times of this unknown lipid do not match with any other bacterial lipids we have analyzed. Searching lipidomic databases by exact masses did not result in any matches. The unknown lipid was also observed in A909 and CNCTC 10/84 strains (Figure S1). To identify this unknown lipid, MS/MS was performed in both positive and negative ion modes.

Positive ion MS/MS spectrum of m/z 885.6 (the most abundant species with a molecular

mass of 884.6 Da) produced structurally informative fragments (Figure 1D). In particular,

the low-mass fragments m/z 84.045 and m/z 129.061 are indicators of the presence of

amino acids, with lysine being a strong possibility (29). The m/z 129 is proposed to be

formed from lysine by elimination of H_2O; it fragments further to produce m/z 84, a low

mass ion observed in the spectra of many lysine derivatives (29). The NH_3 loss peak

observed at m/z 868.6 resulted from the elimination of an amino group of the lysine side

chain. The accurate mass measurement of fragments indicates that lysine is linked to a

hexose moiety. Specifically, the exact mass difference between m/z 291.114 and m/z

129.056 is 162.053, which is in agreement with the elemental composition of a hexose

subunit ($C_6H_{10}O_5$, calculated mass 162.053). Negative ion MS/MS provided further

structural information. As shown in Figure 1E, MS/MS of the [M-H]$^-$ ion at m/z 883.6

produced a major fragment ion at m/z 145.08, which corresponds to a deprotonated

lysine. The m/z 255.2 and 281.2 ions are the carboxylic anions of palmitic (C16:0) and

oleic (C18:1) fatty acids, respectively, revealing the two acyl chains of the most abundant

molecular species (MW 884.6).

Based on the above MS/MS and exact mass measurement, we propose lysyl-glucosyl-

diacylglyerol (Lys-Glc-DAG) (Figure 1F) as the structure of this unknown lipid. The

assignment of glucose was based on the observation that glucosyl-diacylglycerol (Glc-

DAG), the precursor of Lys-Glc-DAG, is a major membrane component of GBS and other

streptococci (13, 30), as well as the results from an isotopic labeling experiment using a

^{13}C-labeled glucose, described below. The chemical structure of Lys-Glc-DAG is

consistent with its chromatographic properties under normal phase LC conditions. Due to

the presence of both polar (glucose) and charged (lysine) groups which bind strongly with

the silica-based stationary phase, Lys-Glc-DAG has longer retention times than other

major lipids in GBS. Also, Lys-Glc-DAG consists of several molecular species with

different fatty acyl compositions. These different Lys-Glc-DAG molecular species have

slightly different retention times, giving rise to multiple, unresolved TIC peaks (~25-29

min). The observed and calculated exact masses of major Lys-Glc-DAG molecular ion

species are shown in Table S1.

Isotopic incorporation of lysine and glucose into Lys-Glc-DAG

To further confirm the lysine and glucose moieties of Lys-Glc-DAG, we carried out stable

isotope-labeling experiments by culturing COH1 in streptococcal defined medium (DM)

supplemented with either deuterated lysine or ^{13}C-labeled glucose. In the deuterated

lysine (lysine-*d4*), 4 hydrogen (1 Da) atoms across 2 of the carbon atoms are replaced

with deuterium (2 Da) atoms, thereby increasing the mass of lysine by 4 Da. Figure 2A

and 2B show the positive ion mass spectra of the [M+H]$^+$ ions of Lys-Glc-DAG species

extracted from cultures in DM and in DM supplemented with lysine-*d4*, respectively. The

incorporation of lysine-*d4* into Lys-Glc-DAG is reflected by a 4 Da mass shift between the

[M+H]$^+$ ions of the unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG (blue dots) and the lysine-*d4*-labeled Lys-Glc-

DAG (red dots). The same 4 Da mass shift is observed in the negative ion mode: the [M-

H]$^-$ ion of unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) is observed at *m/z* 883.6, while the lysine-

d4 containing Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) is observed at *m/z* 887.6 (Figure S2A).

Furthermore, MS/MS of *m/z* 883.6 (Figure S2B) and *m/z* 887.6 (Figure S2C) produced

fragment ions at *m/z* 145 and *m/z* 149, corresponding to the deprotonated lysine and

lysine-*d4*, respectively. The molecular weight shift of lysine-*d4* incorporation is also

observed in Lys-PG (Figure S2D). The incorporation of lysine-*d4* is shown by a 4 Da mass

shift between the $[M+H]^+$ ions of *m/z* 887.6 unlabeled Lys-PG (blue dots) to the *m/z* 881.6

lysine-*d4*-labeled Lys-PG (red dots).

In D-Glucose (U-$^{13}C_6$), all six carbon (12 Da) atoms are replaced with carbon-13 atoms

(^{13}C), thereby increasing the mass of glucose by 6 Da. In contrast to lysine-*d4* labeling,

the molecular profile of Lys-Glc-DAG from ^{13}C-labeled glucose labeling is more complex.

This is because ^{13}C-labeled glucose is utilized by GBS not only to replace the glucose

moiety of Lys-Glc-DAG, but also to synthesize its fatty acyl chains via glycolysis. As

shown in Figure 2C, the *m/z* 885.6 $[M+H]^+$ ion of unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) is

shifted to a broader distribution of ion peaks ranging from *m/z* 894.7 to 910.7. The mass

shifts (numbers in red) indicate the numbers of total ^{13}C atoms being incorporated into

the Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) molecule. To locate the incorporated ^{13}C atoms on Lys-Glc-

DAG, MS/MS was performed on one of the most abundant ion species. Figure S2E shows

the MS/MS spectrum of *m/z* 885.6 of Lys-Glc-DAG in THB and Figure S2F shows the

MS/MS spectrum of *m/z* 900.7 in DM supplemented with D-Glucose-$^{13}C_6$ (containing a

total of fifteen ^{13}C atoms). The replacement of glucose by D-Glucose (U-$^{13}C_6$) in Lys-Glc-

DAG is evidenced by a 6 Da mass shift of the lysyl-glucosyl moiety from *m/z* 291.1 (Figure

S2E) to *m/z* 297.1 (Figure S2F). The other nine ^{13}C atoms must be distributed in the

diacylglycerol portion of Lys-Glc-DAG. Furthermore, careful comparison of both MS/MS

spectra of labeled and unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG indicates that the lysine residue is linked

to the 6-position of glucose; the fragmentation schemes for m/z 189.0 (no ^{13}C atoms)

(Figure S2E) and ^{13}C-labeled m/z 191.0 (two ^{13}C atoms) (Figure S2F) are indicated.

Heterologous expression of GBS *mprF* in *S. mitis* results in the production of both

Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG

GBS encode an ortholog of MprF (14). MprF catalyzes the aminoacylation of PG to form

Lys-PG and other minor aminoacyl-PGs such as Ala-PG and Arg-PG in other bacterial

species (15, 16). Our identification of Lys-PG in the cellular membrane of the GBS

confirms that an active MprF is present in GBS.

To ascertain if the GBS MprF is responsible for the addition of lysine to Glc-DAG to form

Lys-Glc-DAG, a heterologous host expression system was designed in *Streptococcus*

mitis ATCC 49456, a *S. mitis* type strain. We selected *S. mitis* because it does not encode

mprF and it is naturally transformable. Moreover, our previous lipidomic analysis

confirmed that it does not synthesize Lys-PG, but does synthesize Glc-DAG, the

precursor of Lys-Glc-DAG, as a major membrane component (28, 31). The gene

encoding GBS COH1 *mprF*, GBSCOH1_1931, was cloned into pABG5Δ*phoZ* (32) for

expression (the empty vector is referred to as pABG5 hereafter). As controls, the two

mprF genes of *Enterococcus faecium* strain 1,231,410, EFTG_00601 (*mprF1*) and

EFTG_02430 (*mprF2*), were also cloned for expression in *S. mitis*. One *E. faecium mprF*

allele (*mprF1*) has been experimentally verified to direct synthesis of Lys-PG, while the

second one (*mprF2*) does not (18).

S. mitis strains were cultured overnight in THB before lipidomics was performed. Figure

3A-C shows the lipidomic profile of *S. mitis* (pABG5) (empty vector control), *S. mitis*

(pEfmMprF1), and *S. mitis* (pGBSMprF). In Figure 3, Panel 1 shows the TIC, Panel 2

shows the MS of the retention time 19.5 – 21.5 min expected for Lys-PG, and Panel 3

shows the MS of the retention time 26 – 30 min expected for Lys-Glc-DAG.

Phosphatidylcholine, a previously described membrane lipid in *S. mitis* (28), elutes off the

column at roughly the same time as Lys-PG. The empty vector control strain does not

synthesize Lys-PG (Figure 3A, Panel 2) or Lys-Glc-DAG (Figure 3A, Panel 3). In *S. mitis*

(pEfmMprF1), Lys-PG is observed in the membrane (Figure 3B, Panel 2) but no Lys-Glc-

DAG is present (Figure 3B, Panel 3), which is consistent with previous findings in *E.*

faecium (18). As expected, *S. mitis* (pEfmMprF2) does not produce Lys-PG (Figure S3).

Finally, *S. mitis* (pGBSMprF) synthesizes high levels of Lys-Glc-DAG, as shown by a

large TIC peak at 26 to 30 min (Figure 3C, Panel 1). MS spectra indicate Lys-PG is

present in the membrane (Figure 3C, Panel 2) and Lys-Glc-DAG is produced (Figure 3C,

Panel 3) with major molecular species at *m/z* 857 and *m/z* 885, which are also detected

in the GBS membrane. Taken together, these heterologous host expression results in *S.*

mitis reveal that GBS MprF not only synthesizes Lys-PG but also possesses the unique

and novel activity of modifying Glc-DAG to form Lys-Glc-DAG.

Deletion of *mprF* in GBS results in the loss of synthesis of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG

To validate the function of MprF in the synthesis of Lys-Glc-DAG *in vivo* in GBS, we deleted the *mprF* gene, GBSCOH1_1931, from the COH1 genome using the sucrose sensitivity selection plasmid pMBSacB (33) and confirmed the deletion by whole genome sequencing. Figure 4A Panel 1 shows COH1 wild-type (WT) TIC and the abundance of Lys-PG (Figure 4A, Panel 2) and Lys-Glc-DAG (Figure 4A, Panel 3). The COH1Δ*mprF* TIC shows a complete loss of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG (Figure 4B, Panel 1), supported by the absence of ions of Lys-PG (Figure 4B, Panel 2) and Lys-Glc-DAG (Figure 4B, Panel 3) in the corresponding ESI/MS spectra. The complemented strain, COH1Δ*mprF* (pGBSmprF), has a TIC lipid profile similar to that of WT COH1 (Figure 4C, Panel 1) and shows the restoration of both Lys-PG (Figure 4C, Panel 2) and Lys-Glc-DAG (Figure 4C, Panel 3). Together, these data demonstrate that GBS MprF is responsible for synthesizing both Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG. While MprF is well-known to modify anionic PG with lysine and other amino acids, to our knowledge, aminoacylation of a neutral lipid has not been reported. Overall, these data conclusively demonstrate a novel function of GBS MprF (Figure 5). These results also demonstrate that, even though Lys-Glc-DAG makes up a relatively large proportion of the total lipid extract, it is non-essential for growth under the laboratory conditions tested here.

GBS synthesize lysine lipids in various physiological lysine concentrations.

GBS are lysine auxotrophs (34). In the human host, L-lysine has been quantified in varying concentrations throughout the body, from ~43 µM in cerebrospinal fluid to ~441

µM in blood (35). To confirm the production of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG under

physiologically relevant lysine concentrations, a lysine titration was performed in DM. At

50 µM lysine concentration, GBS growth was reduced and reached an overnight OD_{600nm}

of ~0.36 (compare to normal overnight growth of ~OD_{600nm} 1.75 with 600 µM lysine). Lys-

PG and Lys-Glc-DAG were always present in the GBS membrane, but their levels varied

in a lysine-dependent manner at concentrations ranging from 50 µM to 600 µM (Figure

6A and Figure S4A-D). These data demonstrate that considerable levels of Lys-PG and

Lys-Glc-DAG are present in the GBS membrane, even at the lower range of

physiologically relevant lysine concentrations.

A GBS *mprF* mutant has altered cell surface charge, pH stress survival, and cellular

chain length

Aminoacylated phospholipids synthesized by MprF, especially Lys-PG, reduce the

negative charges on the outer surface of the membrane and aid in survival under acidic

conditions in other bacteria (15, 16, 36, 37). To investigate the effects of MprF activity on

the GBS membrane surface charge, Cytochrome C binding assays were performed.

Cytochrome C is positively charged, and more Cytochrome C bound to bacterial cells

indicates a greater net negative outer surface charge. The Δ*mprF* mutant bound

significantly more Cytochrome C compared to WT COH1 and complement strains (Figure

6B). The difference between the GBS WT and Δ*mprF* mutant is ~25%, which is less than

what is observed for *S. aureus* WT/Δ*mprF* mutant comparisons (~70%) under different

growth and assay conditions (38, 39). To assess the ability of the Δ*mprF* mutant to survive

in acidic conditions, a growth assay in pH-adjusted media was performed. The growth of

the Δ*mprF* mutant was reduced at a pH of 5.5, and the mutant failed to grow at pH 5,

compared to WT COH1 and complement strains (Figure 6C). Finally, given the substantial

membrane charge alteration in the Δ*mprF* mutant (Figure 6B), we assessed whether

mprF deletion altered the cell morphology and/or division phenotype. Confocal

microscopy with a membrane dye was performed on overnight cultures. It was observed

that the Δ*mprF* mutant grew in significantly longer chains compared to WT COH1 (Figure

6D). Finally, *mprF* deletion did not impact GBS hemolytic activity (Figure S4E), and there

was no difference in growth observed between COH1(pABG5), COH1Δ*mprF*(pABG5),

and COH1Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF) strains in THB medium *in vitro* (Figure S4F). Taken

together, these results indicate important physiological roles for MprF in GBS.

MprF contributes to GBS interactions with human cells *in vitro*

Next, we determined whether loss of MprF altered host cell interaction. To mimic the

human blood-brain barrier (BBB), the human cerebral microvascular endothelial cell line

hCMEC/D3 was utilized. The *in vitro* assays for adhesion and invasion were performed

using pre-established protocols (40, 41). To control for the presence of the plasmid

backbone in the complement strain, COH1(pABG5), COH1Δ*mprF*(pABG5), and

COH1Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF) strains were used. There was no significant difference in the

number of Δ*mprF* mutant compared to WT and complement cells attached to hCMEC/D3

cells (Figure S5A). However, Figure 7A shows a significant decrease in the number of

Δ*mprF* mutant cells able to invade the hCMEC/D3 cells. Interestingly, the Δ*mprF* mutant

had a significant decrease in the ability to both adhere and invade the human vaginal

epithelial cell line VK2/E6E7 (Figure S5B and S5C). When calculating the percentage of

VK2/E6E7-associated GBS that had invaded the cell, the differences in recovered

intracellular bacteria were not significant (Figure S5D), suggesting that in this cell type

MprF contributes more to bacterial attachment. Taken together, these data indicate that

MprF promotes the ability of GBS to invade host cells and attach to certain cell types.

The GBS MprF is important for the development of meningitis *in vivo*

Our results suggest that MprF contributes to GBS invasion into brain endothelial cells.

We hypothesized that this *in vitro* phenotype would translate into a diminished ability to

penetrate the BBB and produce meningitis *in vivo*. Using our standard model of GBS

hematogenous meningitis, mice were challenged with either WT GBS or the Δ*mprF*

mutant as described in the Methods (13, 40–43). Mice were sacrificed at a defined

endpoint (72 h) to determine bacterial loads in blood and brain tissue. We recovered

significantly less CFU in the brains of COH1Δ*mprF*-infected mice compared to the COH1-

infected mice (Figure 7B). However, there was no significant difference in CFU recovered

from the bloodstream between WT and mutant infected mice, which demonstrates that

the Δ*mprF* mutant does not have generally diminished survival *in vivo* when in contact

with the mouse immune system (Figure 7C). To assess the level of inflammation, we

performed histological analysis of brains from infected animals. In WT-infected mice, we

observed leukocyte infiltration and meningeal thickening characteristic of meningitis,

which was less pronounced in the mice infected with the Δ*mprF* mutant strain (Figure

7D). Meningeal thickening was quantified as described in the Methods (Figure 7E).

Furthermore, consistent with these results, we observed that mice challenged with WT

GBS had significantly more neutrophil chemokine, KC, in brain homogenates compared

to Δ*mprF* mutant-infected animals (Figure 7F). Taken together, these data indicate that

mprF contributes to GBS penetration into the brain and to the pathogenesis of meningitis

in vivo.

Discussion

The cellular membrane is a critical site of interaction between Gram-positive pathogens

and their hosts. In this study, we used LC-ESI/MS to characterize the lipid membranes of

multiple serotypes of GBS. We identified Lys-Glc-DAG, a novel lysine modification on the

glycolipid Glc-DAG, which comprises a relatively large proportion of the cellular lipid

extract of these strains. To our knowledge, this is the first description of the lysynylated

glycolipid, Lys-Glc-DAG. We found that MprF is responsible for the addition of lysine to

both Glc-DAG and PG, thus establishing a novel glycolipid (glucosyl-DAG) substrate for

MprF. We identified that MprF activity contributes to important physiological features of

the GBS cellular membrane, including pH stress survival and the modulation of cell

surface charge and cellular chain length. We further demonstrate that GBS MprF

promotes invasion into brain endothelium and contributes to the development of CNS

disease in a mouse model of experimental meningitis.

We found that both Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG are synthesized by the GBS MprF.

Decoupling the synthesis of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG will allow for identification of the

specific roles each lipid plays in GBS physiology and pathogenesis. We previously

analyzed *E. faecium* lipids with the same methods used here and confirmed that *E.*

faecium synthesizes Lys-PG but not Lys-Glc-DAG (44). We attempted to decouple the

synthesis of Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG in GBS by expressing *E. faecium mprF1* (from

pEFMprF1 used in *S. mitis* expression studies in Figure 3) in the GBS Δ*mprF* mutant but

were unable to obtain transformants. An alternative strategy will be to use previously

reported MprF C-terminal domain crystal structures from *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* (19)

to identify residues likely to be important for GBS lipid substrate flexibility, or, in a less

targeted approach, alanine scanning mutagenesis. However, our difficulty in obtaining

transformants for pEFMprF1, which was readily transformable into *S. mitis*, in the GBS

Δ*mprF* mutant, which was readily transformable by other plasmids with the same

backbone, suggests that a delicate balance between Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG is

required for GBS. It is interesting to note that, depending on lysine availability, GBS

produce far more Lys-Glc-DAG than Lys-PG, as judged by LC/MS analysis. It is possible

that that Lys-Glc-DAG can be more effectively used to modulate the charge-related

biophysical and physiological properties of GBS membrane than Lys-PG.

Synthesis of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG by MprF requires amino acid, glycolipid, and

phospholipid substrates. Lys-tRNAs are required by translational machinery for protein

synthesis and are essential for survival. Unlike *S. aureus*, a canonical organism for

studying MprF function, GBS are lysine auxotrophs (34). Therefore, in GBS, MprF and

the ribosome compete for lysine scavenged from the environment. Moreover, the

glycolipid Glc-DAG is a substrate for both MprF and Type I lipoteichoic acid (LTA)

biosynthesis. LTA is a critical polymer for binding host cells, repulsion of CAMPs, and is

required for invasion of the BBB (2, 13, 45). Whether MprF and LTA biosynthesis compete

for Glc-DAG, and by extension whether *mprF* deletion leads to increased LTA production,

remain to be determined. Finally, GBS MprF uses two lipid substrates, PG and Glc-DAG,

to synthesize two distinct lysine-modified lipids, the levels of all of which must be balanced

to maintain appropriate membrane charge. In *E. faecium*, the hydrolase encoded

immediately upstream of *mprF* is responsible for Lys-PG turnover in the membrane, thus

balancing the ratio of PG to Lys-PG present (36). A similar mechanism is likely present in

GBS. Indeed, GBSCOH1_1932, immediately upstream of the GBS *mprF*, encodes the

hydrolase domain MhpC (COG0596). This enzyme may regulate Lys-PG and/or Lys-Glc-

DAG levels in the membrane.

Why did GBS evolve to use MprF to lysinylate Glc-DAG? The use of such an important

substrate, required by GBS for protein synthesis, to decorate the extracellular cell surface

is intriguing, especially under low lysine conditions *in vivo*. One possible explanation is to

mask the recognition of Glc-DAG, an experimentally verified microbial antigen, from

natural killer T cells (46, 47). A second possible explanation is to increase resistance to

neutrophil killing, as Lys-PG confers resistance to CAMPs in *S. aureus* (15). However, a

role for GBS MprF in CAMP resistance was not observed in a previous study *in vitro* (14),

and in this study the GBS Δ*mprF* mutant survived as well as the WT *in vivo* in the murine

bloodstream, suggesting that GBS *mprF* does not contribute generally to immune system

evasion. Acid stress response that is potentially greater with both lipids versus Lys-PG

alone, may increase the survival time in phagosomes where acidification is critical for

bacterial killing (48). In addition, human vaginal tract colonization requires survival in low

pH, as healthy adults have a pH range of 4.2 – 5, and the protective commensal

Lactobacillus is known to acidify the surrounding environment (49, 50). We show *in vitro*

that GBS MprF plays a critical role in survival at pH 5 and is important for interactions with

human vaginal epithelial cells. These data suggest that MprF is especially important in

the niches GBS colonizes. The decreased *in vivo* pathogenicity of the Δ*mprF* mutant

presented in this work, identifies the GBS MprF as a strong candidate for targeting by

antimicrobial strategies recently described in *S. aureus* (17, 51). The fact that Lys-Glc-

DAG is a unique and major membrane component of GBS holds promise that Lys-Glc-

DAG could be utilized as a specific and efficient molecular biomarker for a rapid GBS

diagnostic test that would not rely on culturing procedures or nucleic acid amplification.

Overall, our work underscores the important roles of membrane lipids in pathogen-host

interactions. Our identification of the novel Lys-Glc-DAG glycolipid and the role MprF

plays in GBS disease pathogenesis rationalizes further study of the lipidomes of human

pathogens.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, media, and growth conditions

Strains and plasmids used in this study are shown in Table S2. GBS strains were grown

statically at 37°C in Todd-Hewitt Broth (THB) and *S. mitis* strains were grown statically at

37°C and 5% CO_2, unless otherwise stated. Streptococcal chemically defined medium

(52) was diluted from stock as described (53) with 1% w/v glucose (referred to as DM),

slightly modified from (54), unless otherwise stated. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown

in Lysogeny Broth (LB) at 37°C with rotation at 225 rpm. Kanamycin and erythromycin

(Sigma-Aldrich) were supplemented to media at 50 µg/mL and 300 µg/mL for *E. coli,*

respectively, or 300 µg/mL and 5 µg/mL, respectively, for streptococcal strains.

Routine molecular biology techniques

All PCR reactions utilized Phusion polymerase (Thermo Fisher) and all primers are shown

in Table S3. PCR products and restriction digest products were purified using GeneJET

PCR purification kit (Thermo Fisher) per manufacturer protocols. Plasmids were extracted

using GeneJET plasmid miniprep kit (Thermo Fisher) per manufacturer protocols.

Restriction enzyme digests utilized XbaI, XhoI, and PstI (New England Biolabs) for 3 h at

37°C in a water bath. Ligations utilized T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) at 16°C

overnight or Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) per manufacturer

protocols where stated. All plasmid constructs were sequence confirmed by Sanger

sequencing (Massachusetts General Hospital DNA Core).

Deuterated lysine and $^{13}C_6$-D-glucose isotope tracking

415   A GBS COH1 colony was inoculated into 15 mL of DM containing 450 µM lysine-*d4*

416   (Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories) or a single COH1 colony was inoculated into 10 mL

417   DM supplemented with 0.5% w/v $^{13}C_6$D-glucose (U-13C6, Cambridge Isotopes

418   Laboratories) for overnight growth for lipidomic analysis described below.

Construction of MprF expression and *mprF* deletion plasmids

For expression plasmids, the *mprF* genes from GBS COH1, (GBSCOH1_1931), and *E.*

faecium 1,231,410 (EFTG_00601 and EFTG_02430) were amplified and Gibson ligated

into pABG5Δ*phoZ* (32) transformed and maintained in *E. coli* DH5α cells with 50 μg/mL

kanamycin. The gene deletion plasmid was constructed by amplifying regions ~ 2 kb

upstream and downstream of the GBS COH1 *mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931), digested with

appropriate restrictions enzymes indicated on the primers (Table S3), and ligated

overnight into the similarly digested plasmid, pMBSacB (33). The knockout plasmid was

transformed and maintained in *E. coli* DH5α at 28°C with 300 μg/mL erythromycin.

Plasmids were extracted and sequence-verified as described above. For more

information see Supplementary Text S1.

Expression of *mprF* in *S. mitis*

Natural transformation was performed as previously described (28). Briefly, precultures

were thawed at room temperature, diluted in 900 μL of THB, further diluted 1:50 in

prewarmed 5 mL THB, and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. 500 μL of culture was then

aliquoted with 1 μL of 1 mg/ml competence-stimulating peptide (EIRQTHNIFFNFFKRR)

and 1 μg/mL plasmid. Transformation reaction mixtures were cultured for 2 h at 37°C in

microcentrifuge tubes before being plated on THB agar supplemented with 300 μg/mL

kanamycin. Single transformant colonies were cultured in 15 mL THB overnight. PCR

was used to confirm the presence of the *mprF* insert on the plasmid. Plasmids were

extracted and sequence confirmed as described above. Lipidomics was performed as

described below in biological triplicate.

Deletion of GBS COH1 *mprF*

Electrocompetent cells were generated as described (33) with minor modifications, see Supplemental Text S1 for more detail. The double crossover homologous recombination knockout strategy was performed as described previously (33, 40, 55) with minor modifications. 1 μg of plasmid was added to electrocompetent COH1 cells and transferred to a cold 1 mm cuvette (Fisher). Electroporation was carried out at 2.5 kV on an Eppendorf eporator. 1 mL of THB containing 12.5% PEG 8000, 20 mM $MgCl_2$, and 2 mM $CaCl_2$ was immediately added and then the entire reaction was transferred to a glass culture tube. Outgrowth was at 28°C for 2 h followed by plating on THB agar supplemented with 5 μg/mL erythromycin. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 28°C. A single colony was cultured overnight in 5 mL THB with 5 μg/mL erythromycin at 28°C. The culture was screened via PCR for the plasmid insert with the initial denaturing step extended to 10 min. The overnight culture was diluted 1:1000 THB containing 5 μg/mL erythromycin and incubated overnight at 37°C to promote single cross over events. The culture was then serial diluted and plated on THB agar plates with antibiotic and incubated at 37°C overnight. Colonies were screened for single crossover events by PCR (Table S3). Single crossover colonies were inoculated in 5 mL THB at 28°C to promote double crossover events. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:1000 into 5 mL THB containing sterile 0.75 M sucrose and incubated at 37°C. Overnight cultures were serial diluted and plated on THB agar and incubated at 37°C overnight. Colonies were patched onto THB agar with and without 5 μg/mL erythromycin to confirm loss of plasmid. Colonies were also screened by PCR for the loss of *mprF*. Colonies positive for the loss of *mprF* were inoculated into 5 mL THB at 37°C. Cultures were stocked and gDNA extracted as described above, with minor

modifications. Sequence confirmation of the *mprF* knockout was done via Sanger

sequencing (Massachusetts General Hospital DNA Core) using primers in Table S2. The

mutant was grown overnight in 15 mL THB at 37°C and pelleted at 6,150 x g for 5 min in

a Sorvall RC6+ centrifuge at room temperature for lipid extraction as described. Genomic

DNA of COH1Δ*mprF* was isolated as described above and whole genome sequencing

was performed in paired-end reads (2 by 150 bp) on the Illumina NextSeq 550 platform

at the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center (Pittsburgh, PA).

Complementation of *mprF* in COH1Δ*mprF*

Electrocompetent COH1Δ*mprF* were generated as previously described (56).

Electroporation was performed as described above, with recovery in THB supplemented

with 0.25 M sucrose, and plated on THB agar with kanamycin at 300 µg/mL. Biological

triplicate complementation strains were grown overnight in THB with kanamycin before

lipidomics was performed as described. See Text S1 for more detail.

Acidic Bligh-Dyer extractions

Centrifugation was performed using a Sorvall RC6+ centrifuge. Cultures were pelleted at

4,280 x g for 5 min at room temperature unless otherwise stated. The supernatants were

removed, and cell pellets were stored at -80°C until acidic Bligh-Dyer lipid extractions

were performed as described (28). See Text S1 for more information.

Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry

Normal phase LC was performed on an Agilent 1200 quaternary LC system equipped with an Ascentis silica HPLC column (5 µm; 25 cm by 2.1 mm; Sigma-Aldrich) as described previously (27, 57). See Text S1 for more information.

Cytochrome C assay

Cytochrome C assay was performed as described previously (58, 59) with minor modifications. Briefly, overnight cultures of strains were diluted to an OD_{600nm} of 0.05 in 20 mL prewarmed THB, with no antibiotic, and grown to mid exponential phase (OD_{600nm} between 0.48 – 0.6) before cells were pelleted to an OD_{600nm} of 7 in 1 mL and washed twice with morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) at pH 7. Cells were resuspended in 1 mg/mL Cytochrome C (Sigma) in MOPS buffer and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were pelleted at 16,000 x g for 3 min in a tabletop centrifuge, supernatant transferred to disposable spectrophotometer cuvette (Fisher), and absorbance read at 535nm (A_{535}) using a Thermo Scientific Genesys 30 spectrophotometer. The control A_{535} was 1 mg/mL Cytochrome C in MOPS buffer with no cells present, which was also incubated and centrifuged. % unbound was calculated using the following formula: ((A_{535}/Control A_{535}) * 100) and WT was set to 100%. Biological triplicate was used for each strain.

pH-adjusted THB growth

Approximately 30 mL of fresh THB were adjusted to different pH values, measured using a Mettler Toledo FiveEasy pH/MV meter, and sterile-filtered using 0.22 µM syringe filters.

A final volume of 200 µL culture medium was aliquoted per well in a flat-bottom 96 well

plate (Falcon); culture media were not supplemented with antibiotics. Overnight cultures

of GBS strains were used to inoculate the wells to a starting OD_{600nm} 0.02 per well. Plates

were incubated for 24 h at 37°C before OD_{600nm} was read using a BioTek MX Synergy 2

plate reader. This experiment was performed in biological triplicate.

Membrane dye and imaging of GBS

Overnight cultures were gently resuspended 5 times by pipette. 19 µL culture was

removed into sterile Eppendorf tubes. 1 µL of 1 µg/mL FM4-64 (N-(3-

Triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(6-(4-(Diethylamino) Phenyl) Hexatrienyl) Pyridinium

Dibromide) membrane dye (Invitrogen) was added to each tube. The culture and dye

were dropped onto a 0.75 mm 0.75% agarose pad and allowed to stand for 5 min. Cover

slips were placed and sealed. A Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope was used for

imaging with 100X objective, oil immersion, and laser excitation at 561 nm. Settings of

laser power 1%, gain 750, digital offset 0 and digital gain 1 were used for each image.

Five representative images were taken from each slide and the number of diplococci

occurring in each chain was manually counted.

hCMEC and VK2 cell adherence and invasion assays

Human Cerebral Microvascular Endothelial cells hCMEC/D3 (obtained from Millipore)

were grown in EndoGRO-MV complete media (Millipore, SCME004) supplemented with

5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1 ng/ml fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2; Millipore).

Human vaginal epithelial VK2/E6E7 (obtained from American Type Culture Collection

(ATCC) (CRL-2616)) cells were grown in keratinocyte serum-free medium (KSFM)

(Gibco) supplemented with 0.5 ng/mL human recombinant epidermal growth factor and

0.05 mg/mL bovine pituitary extract. Cells were grown in tissue culture treated 24 well

plates and 5% CO_2 at 37°C.

Assays to determine the total number of bacteria adhered to host cells or intracellular

bacteria were performed as described previously (40, 41). Briefly, bacteria were grown to

mid log phase (OD_{600nm} 0.4-0.5) and normalized to 1 x 10^8 to infect cell monolayers at a

multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 (1 x 10^5 CFU per well). The total cell-associated GBS

were recovered after 30 min incubation. Cells were washed slowly five times with 500 μL

1X PBS (Sigma) and detached by addition of 100 μL of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution

(Gibco) and incubation for 5 min before lysing the eukaryotic cells with the addition of 400

μL of 0.025% Triton X-100 (Sigma) and vigorous pipetting. The lysates were then serially

diluted and plated on THB agar and incubated overnight to determine CFU. Bacterial

invasion assays were performed as described above except infection plates were

incubated for 2 h before incubation with 100 μg gentamicin (Sigma) and 5 μg penicillin

(Sigma) supplemented media for an additional 2 h to kill all extracellular bacteria, prior to

being trypsinized, lysed, and plated as described. Experiments were performed in

biological triplicate with four technical replicates per experiment.

Murine model of GBS hematogenous meningitis

All animal experiments were conducted under the approval of the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee (#00316) at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical

Campus and performed using accepted veterinary standards. The murine meningitis

model was performed as previously described (40, 42, 43). Briefly, 7-week-old male

CD1 (Charles River) mice were challenged intravenously with 1×10^9 CFU of WT COH1

or the isogenic Δ*mprF* mutant. At 72 h post-infection, mice were euthanized and blood

and brain tissue were harvested, homogenized, and serially diluted on THB agar plates

to determine bacterial CFU.

Histology and ELISA

Mouse brain tissue was frozen in OCT compound (Sakura) and sectioned using a

CM1950 cryostat (Leica). Sections were stained using hematoxylin and eosin (Sigma)

and images were taken using a BZ-X710 microscope (Keyence). Images were analyzed

using ImageJ software. Meningeal thickening was quantified from sections taken from

three different mice per group, and six images per slide. Meningeal thickening was

quantified across two points per image. KC protein from mouse brain homogenates was

detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay according to the manufacturer's

instructions (R&D systems).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 8 for Windows,

GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Kathryn Patras at the University of California San Diego for

the CNCTC 10/84 strain and Moutusee Islam in Kelli Palmer's lab at The University of

Texas at Dallas for the *E. faecium* 1,231,410 genomic DNA used for PCR amplification.

The work was supported in part by T32 5T32AI052066-18 for H.S.M, the Coordenação

de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Brazil (CAPES; finance code 001 to

J.D.C.M), by grant R01NS116716 from the National Institutes of Health to K.S.D and

associated supplement from NINDS to R.V., by grant R21AI130666 from the National

Institutes of Health and the Cecil H. and Ida Green Chair in Systems Biology Science to

K.P, grant R56AI139105 from the National Institutes of Health to K.P and Z.G, and grant

U54GM069338 from the National Institutes of Health to Z.G.

References

1.   Wilkinson HW. 1978. Group B Streptococcal Infection in Humans. Annu Rev

         Microbiol 32:41–57.

2.   Doran KS, Nizet V. 2004. Molecular pathogenesis of neonatal Group B

Streptococcal infection: No longer in its infancy. Mol Microbiol 54:23–31.

3. Hall J, Adams NH, Bartlett L, Seale AC, Lamagni T, Bianchi-Jassir F, Lawn JE,

Baker CJ, Cutland C, Heath PT, Ip M, Le Doare K, Madhi SA, Rubens CE, Saha

SK, Schrag S, Sobanjo-Ter Meulen A, Vekemans J, Gravett MG. 2017. Maternal

Disease With Group B *Streptococcus* and Serotype Distribution Worldwide:

Systematic Review and Meta-analyses. Clin Infect Dis 65:S112–S124.

4. Schuchat A. 1999. Group B *Streptococcus*. Lancet 353:51–56.

5. Campbell JR, Hillier SL, Krohn MA, Ferrieri P, Zaleznik DF, Baker CJ. 2000.

Group B Streptococcal colonization and serotype-specific immunity in pregnant

women at delivery. Obstet Gynecol 96:498–503.

6. Nandyal RR. 2008. Update on Group B Streptococcal infections: perinatal and

neonatal periods. J Perinat Neonatal Nurs 22:230–7.

7. Edwards MS, Rench MA, Haffar AA, Murphy MA, Desmond MM, Baker CJ. 1985.

Long-term sequelae of Group B Streptococcal meningitis in infants. J Pediatr

106:717–22.

8. Schuchat A. 1998. Epidemiology of Group B Streptococcal disease in the United

States: shifting paradigms. Clin Microbiol Rev 11:497–513.

9. Ohlsson A, Shah VS. 2014. Intrapartum antibiotics for known maternal Group B

Streptococcal colonization. Cochrane database Syst Rev CD007467.

10. Armistead B, Oler E, Adams Waldorf K, Rajagopal L. 2019. The Double Life of

Group B *Streptococcus*: Asymptomatic Colonizer and Potent Pathogen. J Mol Biol

431:2914–2931.

11. Curtis J, Kim G, Wehr NB, Levine RL. 2003. Group B Streptococcal phospholipid

causes pulmonary hypertension. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:5087–90.

12.   Fischer W. 1977. The polar lipids of Group B Streptococci. II. Composition and

positional distribution of fatty acids. Biochim Biophys Acta 487:89–104.

13.   Doran KS, Engelson EJ, Khosravi A, Maisey HC, Fedtke I, Equils O, Michelsen

KS, Arditi M, Peschel A, Nizet V. 2005. Blood-brain barrier invasion by group B

Streptococcus depends upon proper cell-surface anchoring of lipoteichoic acid. J

Clin Invest 115:2499–507.

14.   Saar-Dover R, Bitler A, Nezer R, Shmuel-Galia L, Firon A, Shimoni E, Trieu-Cuot

P, Shai Y. 2012. D-alanylation of lipoteichoic acids confers resistance to cationic

peptides in Group B *Streptococcus* by increasing the cell wall density. PLoS

Pathog 8:e1002891.

15.   Peschel A, Jack RW, Otto M, Collins LV, Staubitz P, Nicholson G, Kalbacher H,

Nieuwenhuizen WF, Jung G, Tarkowski A, Van Kessel KPM, Van Strijp JAG.

2001. *Staphylococcus aureus* resistance to human defensins and evasion of

neutrophil killing via the novel virulence factor MprF is based on modification of

membrane lipids with L-lysine. J Exp Med 193:1067–1076.

16.   Roy H, Ibba M. 2008. RNA-dependent lipid remodeling by bacterial multiple

peptide resistance factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:4667–4672.

17.   Ernst CM, Kuhn S, Slavetinsky CJ, Krismer BB, Heilbronner S, Gekeler C, Kraus

D, Wagner S, Peschel A. 2015. The Lipid-Modifying Multiple Peptide Resistance

Factor Is an Oligomer Consisting of Distinct Interacting Synthase and Flippase

subunits. MBio 6:1–9.

18.   Roy H, Ibba M. 2009. Broad range amino acid specificity of RNA-dependent lipid

remodeling by multiple peptide resistance factors. J Biol Chem 284:29677–29683.

19.   Hebecker S, Krausze J, Hasenkampf T, Schneider J, Groenewold M, Reichelt J,

Jahn D, Heinz DW, Moser J. 2015. Structures of two bacterial resistance factors

mediating tRNA-dependent aminoacylation of phosphatidylglycerol with lysine or

alanine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:10691–10696.

20.   Lennarz WJ, Nesbitt JA, Reiss J. 1966. The participation of sRNA in the

enzymatic synthesis of O-L-lysyl phosphatidylgylcerol in *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 55:934–941.

21.   Slavetinsky C, Kuhn S, Peschel A. 2017. Bacterial aminoacyl phospholipids -

Biosynthesis and role in basic cellular processes and pathogenicity. Biochim

Biophys acta Mol cell Biol lipids 1862:1310–1318.

22.   Kuypers JM, Heggen LM, Rubens CE. 1989. Molecular analysis of a region of the

Group B *Streptococcus* chromosome involved in type III capsule expression.

Infect Immun 57:3058–65.

23.   Lancefield RC, McCarty M, Everly WN. 1975. Multiple mouse-protective

antibodies directed against Group B Streptococci. Special reference to antibodies

effective against protein antigens. J Exp Med 142:165–79.

24.   Wilkinson HW. 1977. Nontypable Group B Streptococci isolated from human

sources. J Clin Microbiol 6:183–4.

25.   Hooven TA, Randis TM, Daugherty SC, Narechania A, Planet PJ, Tettelin H,

Ratner AJ. 2014. Complete Genome Sequence of *Streptococcus agalactiae*

CNCTC 10/84, a Hypervirulent Sequence Type 26 Strain. Genome Announc 2.

26.   Bligh EG, Dyer WJ. 1959. A Rapid Method of Total Lipid Extraction and

Purification. Can J Biochem Physiol 37:911–917.

27.   Tan BK, Bogdanov M, Zhao J, Dowhan W, Raetz CRH, Guan Z. 2012. Discovery

of a cardiolipin synthase utilizing phosphatidylethanolamine and

phosphatidylglycerol as substrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:16504–16509.

28.   Joyce LR, Guan Z, Palmer KL. 2019. Phosphatidylcholine Biosynthesis in Mitis

Group Streptococci via Host Metabolite Scavenging. J Bacteriol 201:e00495-19.

29.   Yalcin T, Harrison AG. 1996. Ion chemistry of protonated lysine derivatives. J

Mass Spectrom 31:1237–43.

30.   Meiers M, Volz C, Eisel J, Maurer P, Henrich B, Hakenbeck R. 2014. Altered lipid

composition in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* cpoA mutants. BMC Microbiol 14:1–

12.

31.   Adams HM, Joyce LR, Guan Z, Akins RL, Palmer KL. 2017. *Streptococcus mitis*

and *S. oralis* Lack a Requirement for CdsA, the Enzyme Required for Synthesis of

Major Membrane Phospholipids in Bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother

61:e02552-16.

32.   Granok AB, Parsonage D, Ross RP, Caparon MG. 2000. The RofA binding site in

Streptococcus pyogenes is utilized in multiple transcriptional pathways. J

Bacteriol 182:1529–40.

33.   Hooven TA, Bonakdar M, Chamby AB, Ratner AJ. 2019. A Counterselectable

Sucrose Sensitivity Marker Permits Efficient and Flexible Mutagenesis in

Streptococcus agalactiae. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:1–13.

34.   Milligan TW, Doran TI, Straus DC, Mattingly SJ. 1978. Growth and amino acid

requirements of various strains of Group B Streptococci. J Clin Microbiol 7:28–33.

35.   Wishart DS, Feunang YD, Marcu A, Guo AC, Liang K, Vázquez-Fresno R, Sajed

T, Johnson D, Li C, Karu N, Sayeeda Z, Lo E, Assempour N, Berjanskii M,

Singhal S, Arndt D, Liang Y, Badran H, Grant J, Serra-Cayuela A, Liu Y, Mandal

R, Neveu V, Pon A, Knox C, Wilson M, Manach C, Scalbert A. 2018. HMDB 4.0:

the human metabolome database for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D608–D617.

36.   Smith AM, Harrison JS, Sprague KM, Roy H. 2013. A conserved hydrolase

responsible for the cleavage of aminoacylphosphatidylglycerol in the membrane

of *Enterococcus faecium*. J Biol Chem 288:22768–22776.

37.   Roy H. 2009. Tuning the properties of the bacterial membrane with aminoacylated

phosphatidylglycerol. IUBMB Life 61:940–953.

38.   Ernst CM, Staubitz P, Mishra NN, Yang S-J, Hornig G, Kalbacher H, Bayer AS,

Kraus D, Peschel A. 2009. The Bacterial Defensin Resistance Protein MprF

Consists of Separable Domains for Lipid Lysinylation and Antimicrobial Peptide

Repulsion. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000660.

39.   Ernst CM, Slavetinsky CJ, Kuhn S, Hauser JN, Nega M, Mishra NN, Gekeler C,

Bayer AS, Peschel A. 2018. Gain-of-function mutations in the phospholipid

flippase mprf confer specific daptomycin resistance. MBio 9:1–12.

40.   Spencer BL, Deng L, Patras KA, Burcham ZM, Sanches GF, Nagao PE, Doran

KS. 2019. Cas9 contributes to Group B Streptococcal colonization and disease.

Front Microbiol 10:1–15.

41.   Deng L, Spencer BL, Holmes JA, Mu R, Rego S, Weston TA, Hu Y, Sanches GF,

Yoon S, Park N, Nagao PE, Jenkinson HF, Thornton JA, Seo KS, Nobbs AH,

Doran KS. 2019. The Group B Streptococcal surface antigen I/II protein, BspC,

interacts with host vimentin to promote adherence to brain endothelium and

inflammation during the pathogenesis of meningitis. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007848.

42. Banerjee A, Kim BJ, Carmona EM, Cutting AS, Gurney MA, Carlos C, Feuer R,

Prasadarao N V., Doran KS. 2011. Bacterial Pili exploit integrin machinery to

promote immune activation and efficient blood-brain barrier penetration. Nat

Commun 2:462.

43. Kim BJ, Hancock BM, Bermudez A, Del Cid N, Reyes E, van Sorge NM, Lauth X,

Smurthwaite CA, Hilton BJ, Stotland A, Banerjee A, Buchanan J, Wolkowicz R,

Traver D, Doran KS. 2015. Bacterial induction of Snail1 contributes to blood-brain

barrier disruption. J Clin Invest 125:2473–83.

44. Bhardwaj P, Hans A, Ruikar K, Guan Z, Palmer KL. 2018. Reduced Chlorhexidine

and Daptomycin Susceptibility in Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecium*

after Serial Chlorhexidine Exposure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 62.

45. Poyart C, Pellegrini E, Marceau M, Baptista M, Jaubert F, Lamy MC, Trieu-Cuot

P. 2003. Attenuated virulence of *Streptococcus agalactiae* deficient in D-alanyl-

lipoteichoic acid is due to an increased susceptibility to defensins and phagocytic

cells. Mol Microbiol 49:1615–1625.

46. Kinjo Y, Illarionov P, Vela JL, Pei B, Girardi E, Li X, Li Y, Imamura M, Kaneko Y,

Okawara A, Miyazaki Y, Gómez-Velasco A, Rogers P, Dahesh S, Uchiyama S,

Khurana A, Kawahara K, Yesilkaya H, Andrew PW, Wong C-H, Kawakami K,

Nizet V, Besra GS, Tsuji M, Zajonc DM, Kronenberg M. 2011. Invariant natural

killer T cells recognize glycolipids from pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria. Nat

Immunol 12:966–74.

47. Zajonc DM, Girardi E. 2015. Recognition of microbial glycolipids by Natural Killer

T cells. Front Immunol 6:1–11.

48. Flannagan RS, Cosío G, Grinstein S. 2009. Antimicrobial mechanisms of

phagocytes and bacterial evasion strategies. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:355–66.

49. Miller EA, Beasley DAE, Dunn RR, Archie EA. 2016. Lactobacilli dominance and

vaginal pH: Why is the human vaginal microbiome unique? Front Microbiol 7.

50. Neugent ML, Hulyalkar N V., Nguyen VH, Zimmern PE, De Nisco NJ. 2020.

Advances in Understanding the Human Urinary Microbiome and Its Potential Role

in Urinary Tract Infection. MBio 11.

51. Slavetinsky CJ, Hauser JN, Gekeler C, Slavetinsky J, Kraus A, Heilingbrunner D,

Wagner S, Tesar M, Krismer B, Kuhn S, Ernst CM, Peschel A. Decoding and

blocking the bacterial lipid flippase MprF yields a novel anti-virulence strategy

[preprint] https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.12.379776.

52. Van De Rijn I, Kessler RE. 1980. Growth characteristics of Group A Streptococci

in a new chemically defined medium. Infect Immun 27:444–448.

53. Chang JC, LaSarre B, Jimenez JC, Aggarwal C, Federle MJ. 2011. Two Group A

Streptococcal peptide pheromones act through opposing rgg regulators to control

biofilm development. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002190.

54. Gupta R, Shah P, Swiatlo E. 2009. Differential gene expression in *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae in response to various iron sources. Microb Pathog 47:101–109.

55. Holo H, Nes IF. 1989. High-frequency transformation, by electroporation, of

Lactococcus lactis subsp. *cremoris* grown with glycine in osmotically stabilized

media. Appl Environ Microbiol 55:3119–3123.

56. Framson PE, Nittayajarn A, Merry J, Youngman P, Rubens CE. 1997. New

genetic techniques for Group B Streptococci: High-efficiency transformation,

maintenance of temperature-sensitive pWV01 plasmids, and mutagenesis with

Tn917. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:3539–3547.

57. Li C, Tan BK, Zhao J, Guan Z. 2016. In vivo and in vitro synthesis of

phosphatidylglycerol by an *Escherichia coli* cardiolipin synthase. J Biol Chem

291:25144–25153.

58. Gogos A, Jimenez J, Chang J, Wilkening R, Federle M. 2018. A Quorum Sensing-

Regulated Protein Binds Cell Wall *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J Bacteriol 200:1–16.

59. Kristian SA, Datta V, Weidenmaier C, Kansal R, Fedtke I, Peschel A, Gallo RL,

Nizet V. 2005. D-alanylation of teichoic acids promotes Group A *Streptococcus*

antimicrobial peptide resistance, neutrophil survival, and epithelial cell invasion. J

Bacteriol 187:6719–25.

60. Garrett TA, Raetz CRH, Son JD, Richardson TD, Bartling C, Guan Z. 2011. Non-

enzymatically derived minor lipids found in *Escherichia coli* lipid extracts. Biochim

Biophys Acta 1811:827–37.

Figure 1. Lipidomic profiling identifies a major unknown lipid as Lysyl-Glucosyl-Diacylglycerol in GBS. The total lipid extract of the WT COH1 strain was analyzed by normal phase LC-ESI/MS in both negative and positive ion modes. A) Total ion chromatogram (TIC) of LC/MS in the negative ion mode shows common Gram-positive bacterial lipids. B) TIC of LC/MS in the positive ion mode shows a major unknown lipid eluting from the column at ~25 to 29 min. C) Positive ESI/MS showing the [M+H]⁺ ions of the unknown lipid. The spectrum was averaged from those acquired during the retention time of 25 to 29 min. D) Positive ion MS/MS spectrum of [M+H]⁺ at *m/z* 885.6 of the unknown lipid. E) Negative ion MS/MS spectrum of [M-H]⁻ at *m/z* 883.6 of the unknown lipid. F) Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) is proposed as the structure of the unknown lipid that gave rise to the [M+H]⁺ at *m/z* 885.6 and [M-H]⁻ at *m/z* 883.6. The hexose was assigned as glucose, because glucosyl-DAG is a major gram-positive membrane lipid and is supported by the isotopic labeling studies using a ¹³C-labeled glucose shown below. The acyl chain compositions and exact masses of Lys-Glc-DAG molecular species observed in COH1 are listed in Table S1. "*" denotes methylcarbamate of Lys-Glc-DAG , an extraction artifact due to the use of chloroform (60).

Figure 2. Isotopic incorporation of deuterated lysine and ^{13}C-labeled glucose into Lys-Glc-DAG. The lipid extracts of COH1 cultured in DM, DM supplemented with 450 μM L-lysine-*d4* (4,4,5,5-D4), or in DM containing 0.5% w/v D-Glucose (U-^{13}C$_6$) were analyzed by LC-ESI/MS in the positive ion mode. A) [M+H]$^+$ ions of major Lys-Glc-DAG species in COH1 cultured in DM. B) [M+H]$^+$ ions of major Lys-Glc-DAG species in COH1 cultured in DM supplemented with lysine-*d4.* The incorporation of lysine-*d4* in Lys-Glc-DAG is evidenced by an upward *m/z* shift of 4 Da from unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG (blue dots) to labeled Lys-Glc-DAG (red dots). C) [M+H]$^+$ ion of Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1) is observed at multiple peaks with higher m/z values (from *m/z* 894.7 to 910.8) when *S. agalactiae* COH1 is cultured in DM supplemented with D-Glucose-^{13}C$_6$. The mass shifts, ranging from +9 to +25 Da, indicate the numbers of ^{13}C atoms that were incorporated into Lys-Glc-DAG (16:0/18:1).

Figure 3. Expression of *E. faecium* MprF and GBS MprF in *S. mitis*. The lipid extracts
of *S. mitis* ATCC 49456 cells with empty vector control (pABG5), expressing *E. faecium*
mprF1 (pEfmMprF1), and GBS *mprF* (pGBSMprF), were analyzed by LC-ESI/MS in the
positive ion mode. Panel 1: Total ion chromatograms (TIC), Panel 2: Mass spectra (MS)
of retention time 19.5 – 21.5 min showing Lys-PG, and Panel 3: MS of retention time 26
– 30 min for Lys-Glc-DAG. A) *S. mitis* (pABG5), B) *S. mitis* (pEfmMprF1), and C) *S. mitis*
(pGBSMprF). Phosphatidylcholine (PC) elutes around the same retention time of Lys-PG.
Lys-PG is present when *mprF* from either *E. faecium* or GBS are expressed. Lys-Glc-
DAG is only synthesized by the GBS MprF, indicating two lipid substrates for the GBS
MprF. "*" denotes methylcarbamate of Lys-Glc-DAG, an extraction artifact.

Figure 4. Deletion of *mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931) results in the loss of both Lys-PG and

Lys-Glc-DAG from GBS. The lipid extracts of COH1 WT, COH1Δ*mprF* and Δ*mprF*

(pGBSMprF) complement were analyzed by LC-ESI/MS in the positive ion mode. Panel

1: Total ion chromatograms (TIC), Panel 2: Mass spectra of retention time 18 – 20 min

showing Lys-PG, and Panel 3: Mass spectra of retention time 26 – 30 min for Lys-Glc-

DAG. A) COH1 WT, B) COH1Δ*mprF*, and C) Δ*mprF* (pGBSMprF) complement. The

deletion of *mprF* from the COH1 genome results in a complete loss of both Lys-PG and

Lys-Glc-DAG from the membrane, with both being restored in the complement strain,

confirming MprF is the biosynthetic enzyme of both Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG.

Figure 5. Biosynthetic pathways involving MprF. MprF has been demonstrated to
catalyze the formation of Ala-PG, Arg-PG, and Lys-PG in many bacterial species (blue
shading). In this study, we discover a novel function of MprF in GBS in the formation of
Lys-Glc-DAG (orange shading). The biosynthetic pathways of MprF in GBS are denoted
in black. Ala-PG and Arg-PG (formed by the pathway denoted in red) are not detected in
GBS.

Figure 6. Lysine titration and COH1Δ*mprF* surface charge, acid tolerance, and chain length. A) Counts per second (CPS) counts from mass spectra for Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG molecular species at each concentration. B) Mean percent of unbound Cytochrome C to COH1 WT, WT containing the empty vector pABG5, Δ*mprF* mutant, Δ*mprF* mutant containing the empty vector, and the pGBSMprF complement strains with COH1 WT set to 100%. The Δ*mprF* mutant displays significantly more Cytochrome C bound to the cells, indicating a more net negative outer surface charge. C) Growth yields (OD_{600nm}) after overnight culture in pH-adjusted THB broth. The Δ*mprF* mutant is more susceptible to the lower pH and fails to grow at pH 5 compared to the WT and complement. Dashed line represents starting inoculum of OD_{600nm} ~0.02. D) Representative image of stationary phase COH1 WT and Δ*mprF* mutant stained with membrane dye FM4-64 and manual counts of the number of diplococci in each chain imaged in the 5 images taken from each slide. Scale bar is 5 μm. B) and D) Mean and SEM, C) Mean and SD indicated. Statistical analysis: B) One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test; *, p-value < 0.05; **, p-value < 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001; ****, p-value < 0.0001; D) unpaired two-tailed t-test, p-value indicated.

Figure 7. MprF contributes to human cell invasion and GBS meningitis *in vivo*.
COH1(pABG5), Δ*mprF*(pABG5), and complement strain Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF) were tested
for A) invasion of the human cerebral endovascular hCMEC/DE3 cell line. The
Δ*mprF*(pABG5) strain displays a significant difference in invasion of brain endothelium
when compared to WT and complement strains. Groups of CD-1 mice (n = 9-10 per
group) were injected intravenously with 1 x 10^9 CFU COH1 WT or COH1Δ*mprF* mutant
strains. Representative data from 2 independent experiments are shown (*n* = 20 for WT
and *n* = 19 for Δ*mprF* mutant). Mice were sacrificed after 72 h and bacterial counts were
assessed in the B) brain and C) blood. D) Histopathology of hematoxylin-eosin-stained
brain sections from representative mice infected with COH1 WT (top panels) or
COH1Δ*mprF* mutant (bottom panels), arrows indicate areas of meningeal thickening and
leukocyte infiltration. E) Quantification of meningeal thickening using ImageJ. E) KC
chemokine production in brain tissue was measured by ELISA. *In vitro* tissue culture
assay results are the mean of three replicate experiments, each with four technical
replicates. Mean and SEM indicated. In panels B), C), E), and F) the bar indicates the
Median. Statistical analyses: A) One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test,
B), C), and E) unpaired two-tailed t-test, F) Mann-Whitney U test, p-values indicated, and
ns indicates no significance (p-value > 0.05).

883   **Table 1. Phospholipids and glycolipids present in strains used in this study[1].**

Species	Strain	Lipids						
		PA	PG	CL	Lys-PG	Lys-Glc-DAG	MHDAG	DHDAG
GBS	COH1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	A909	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	CNCTC 10/84	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	COH1Δ*mprF*	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
	COH1Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	COH1Δ*mprF*(pABG5Δ*phoZ*)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
	COH1(pABG5Δ*phoZ*)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
S. mitis	ATCC 49456(pABG5Δ*phoZ*)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
	ATCC 49456(pGBSMprF)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	ATCC 49456(pEfmMprF1)	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
	ATCC 49456(pEfmMprF2)	+	+	+	-	-	+	+

[1]Abbreviations: GBS, Group B *Streptococcus*; PA, phosphatidic acid; PG,
phosphatidylglycerol; CL, cardiolipin; Lys-PG, lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol; Lys-Glc-DAG,
lysyl-glucosyl-diacylglycerol; MHDAG, monohexosyldiacylglycerol; DHDAG,
dihexosyldiacylglycerol.

Supplementary Text, Figures, and Tables

***Streptococcus agalactiae* MprF synthesizes a novel cationic glycolipid and plays**

a role in brain entry and meningitis

Luke R. Joyce[1], Haider S. Manzer[2], Jéssica da C. Mendonça[2], Ricardo Villarreal[2], Kelly
S. Doran[2*,] Kelli L. Palmer[1*], and Ziqiang Guan[3*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX,

75080

[2]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Colorado School of

Medicine, Aurora, CO, 80045

[3]Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, 27710

*Corresponding authors

Supplementary Text S1

Construction of MprF expression plasmids

Genomic DNA was isolated using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit per the

manufacturer's protocol with the exception that cells were pre-treated with 180 µL 50

mg/mL lysozyme, 25 µL 2500 U/mL mutanolysin, and 15 µL 20 mg/mL pre-boiled RNase

A and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The *mprF* genes from GBS COH1, (GBSCOH1_1931),

and *E. faecium* 1,231,410 (EFTG_00601 and EFTG_02430) were amplified and Gibson

ligated into pABG5Δ*phoZ* (1). Plasmid constructs were transformed into chemical

competent *E. coli* DH5α cells. Briefly, chemically competent cells were incubated for 10

min on ice with 5 µL of Gibson reaction before heat shock at 42°C for 70 sec, then placed

on ice for 2 min before 900 µL of cold SOC medium was added. Outgrowth was performed

at 37°C, with shaking at 225 rpm, for 1 h. Cultures were plated on LB agar plates

containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin. Colonies were screened by PCR for presence of the

mprF insert.

Construction of *mprF* deletion plasmid

Regions ~2 kb upstream and downstream of the GBS COH1 *mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931)

were amplified using PCR (Supplementary Table 2). Plasmid, pMBSacB (2), and the PCR

products were digested using appropriate restriction enzymes and ligated overnight. 7 µL

of the ligation reaction was transformed into chemically competent *E. coli* DH5α as

described above, except that outgrowth was performed at 28°C with shaking at 225 rpm

for 90 min prior to plating on LB agar supplemented with 300 µg/mL erythromycin. Plates

were incubated at 28°C for 72 h. Colonies were screened by PCR for correct plasmid

construction. Positive colonies were inoculated into 50 mL LB media containing antibiotic

and incubated at 28°C with rotation at 225 rpm for 72 h. Cultures were pelleted using a

Sorvall RC6+ centrifuge at 4,280 x g for 6 min at room temperature. Plasmid was

extracted as described above except the cell pellet was split into 5 columns to prevent

overloading and serial eluted into 50 µL. Plasmid construction was confirmed via

restriction digest using XhoI and XbaI, and the insert was PCR amplified and sequence-

verified.

Generation of electrocompetent GBS COH1 cells for *mprF* knockout

Electrocompetent cells were generated as described (2) with minor modifications. Briefly,

a GBS COH1 colony was inoculated in 5 mL M17 medium (BD Bacto) with 0.5% glucose

and grown overnight at 37°C. The 5 mL was used to inoculate a second overnight culture

of 50 mL pre-warmed filter-sterilized M17 medium containing 0.5% glucose, 0.6% glycine,

and 25% PEG 8000. The second overnight was added to 130 mL of the same medium

and grown for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were pelleted at 3,200 x g in a Sorvall RC6+ at 4°C for

10 min. Cells were washed twice with 25 mL cold filter-sterilized GBS wash buffer

containing 25% PEG 8000 and 10% glycerol in water, and pelleted as above. Cell pellets

were re-suspended in 1 mL GBS wash buffer and either used immediately for

transformation or stored in 100 µL aliquots at -80°C until use.

Complementation of *mprF* in COH1Δ*mprF*

Electrocompetent COH1Δ*mprF* were generated as previously described (3). Briefly,

COH1Δ*mprF* was inoculated into 5 mL THB with 0.6% glycine and grown overnight. The

culture was expanded to 50 mL in pre-warmed THB with 0.6% glycine and grown to an

OD_{600} nm of 0.3 and pelleted for 10 min at 3200 x g at 4°C in a Sorvall RC6+ floor

centrifuge. The pellet was kept on ice through the remainder of the protocol. The pellet

was washed twice with 25 mL and once with 10 mL of cold 0.625 M sucrose and pelleted

as above. The cell pellet was resuspended in 400 µL of cold 20% glycerol, aliquoted in

50 µL aliquots, and used immediately or stored at -80°C until use. Electroporation was

performed as described above, with recovery in THB supplemented with 0.25 M sucrose,

and plated on THB agar with kanamycin at 300 µg/mL.

Acidic Bligh-Dyer extractions

Centrifugation was performed using a Sorvall RC6+ centrifuge. Cultures were pelleted at

4,280 x g for 5 min at room temperature unless otherwise stated. The supernatants were

removed, and cell pellets were stored at -80°C until acidic Bligh-Dyer lipid extractions

were performed as described (4). Briefly, cell pellets were resuspended in 1X PBS

(Sigma-Aldrich) and transferred to Coring Pyrex glass tubes with PTFR-lined caps

(VWR), followed by 1:2 vol:vol chloroform:methanol addition. Single phase extractions

were vortexed periodically and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes before 500

x g centrifugation for 10 min. A two-phase Bligh-Dyer was achieved by addition of 100 µL

37% HCl, 1 mL CHCl$_3$, and 900 µl of 1X PBS, which was then vortexed and centrifuged

for 5 min at 500 x g. The lower phase was removed to a new tube and dried under nitrogen

before being stored at -80°C prior to lipidomic analysis.

Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry

Normal phase LC was performed on an Agilent 1200 quaternary LC system equipped

with an Ascentis silica HPLC column (5 µm; 25 cm by 2.1 mm; Sigma-Aldrich) as

described previously (5, 6). Briefly, mobile phase A consisted of chloroform-methanol-

aqueous ammonium hydroxide (800:195:5, vol/vol), mobile phase B consisted of

chloroform-methanol-water-aqueous ammonium hydroxide (600:340:50:5, vol/vol), and

mobile phase C consisted of chloroform-methanol-water-aqueous ammonium hydroxide

(450:450:95:5, vol/vol). The elution program consisted of the following: 100% mobile

phase A was held isocratically for 2 min, then linearly increased to 100% mobile phase B

over 14 min, and held at 100% mobile phase B for 11 min. The LC gradient was then

changed to 100% mobile phase C over 3 min, held at 100% mobile phase C for 3 min,

and, finally, returned to 100% mobile phase A over 0.5 min and held at 100% mobile

phase A for 5 min. The LC eluent (with a total flow rate of 300 μl/min) was introduced into

the ESI source of a high-resolution TripleTOF5600 mass spectrometer (Sciex,

Framingham, MA). Instrumental settings for negative-ion ESI and MS/MS analysis of lipid

species were: IS = -4,500 V, CUR = 20 psi, GSI = 20 psi, DP = -55 V, and FP = -150V.

Settings for positive-ion ESI and MS/MS analysis were: IS = +5,000 V, CUR = 20 psi, GSI

= 20 psi, DP = +55 V, and FP = +50V. The MS/MS analysis used nitrogen as the collision

gas. Data analysis was performed using Analyst TF1.5 software (Sciex, Framingham,

MA).

Growth curves

Overnight cultures were used to inoculate a 96 well flat-bottom plate (Falcon) at an

OD_{600nm} of ~0.02 in a final volume of 200 μL THB. Plates were grown in a Biotek MX

Synergy 2.0 plate reader at 37°C with OD_{600nm} readings every 15 minutes for 15 h. Growth

curves were performed in biological triplicate.

Hemolytic assay

Hemolytic assays were performed as described ((7)) with minor modifications. Briefly,

strains were grown to an OD_{600nm} of ~0.4, pelleted at 16,000 x g in an Eppendorf 5415D

tabletop centrifuge, and resuspended in PBS. ~8-9 x 10^7 CFU in 20 µL PBS was added

to 230 µL fresh sheep blood (VWR) in U-bottom 96-well plates (Corning) for a final volume

of 250 µL per well. Control wells were treated with 20 µL sterile water. The plates were

sealed and incubated at 37°C with rotation at 100 rpm for 1 h. The plates were centrifuged

at 400 x g for 10 min in an Eppendorf 5810 tabletop centrifuge, and 100 µL of the

supernatant was transferred to a flat-bottom 96-well plate (Falcon). 50 µL was diluted 1:2

in PBS and the absorbance at 541 nm (A_{541}) was read using a BioTek MX Synergy 2

plate reader. Percent hemolysis was calculated by comparing the A_{541} of GBS treated

wells to those of wells treated with water. Biological and technical replicates were

performed for each strain.

Figure S1. Detection of Lys-PG and Lys-Glc-DAG in *S. agalactiae* A909 and *S.*
***agalactiae* CNCTC 10/84.** Positive TICs (left panels) showing the presence of Lys-PG
and Lys-Glc-DAG in S*. agalactiae* A909 and S*. agalactiae* CNCTC 10/84. Mass spectra
(right panels) show the [M+H]+ ions of Lys-Glc-DAG.

135
Figure S2. Isotopic incorporation of deuterated lysine and ¹³C-labeled glucose into
Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG. The lipid extracts of *S. agalactiae* COH1 cultured in DM, DM
supplemented with 450 μM L-lysine-*d4* (4,4,5,5-D4), or in DM containing 0.5% w/v D-
Glucose (U-¹³C₆) were analyzed by LC-ESI/MS in the positive ion mode. A) Negative
ESI/MS of [M-H]⁻ ions of major Lys-Glc-DAG species in *S. agalactiae* COH1 when
cultured in DM supplemented with lysine-*d4*. The incorporation of lysine-*d4* into Lys-Glc-
DAG is evidenced by an upward *m/z* shift of 4 Da of the [M-H]⁻ ion (from *m/z* 883 to *m/z*
887). B) MS/MS of [M-H]⁻ at *m/z* 883.6 produces a deprotonated lysine residue at *m/z*
145. C) MS/MS of [M-H]⁻ at *m/z* 887.6 produces a deprotonated lysine-*d4* residue at *m/z*
149. D) [M+H]⁺ ions of major Lys-PG species in *S. agalactiae* COH1 cultured in DM
supplemented with lysine-*d4.* The incorporation of lysine-*d4* in Lys-PG is evidenced by
an upward *m/z* shift of 4 Da from unlabeled Lys-PG (blue dot) to labeled Lys-PG (red dot).
E) MS/MS of 885.6. A major product ion at *m/z* 291.1 is derived from glucose-lysine
residue. F) MS/MS of *m/z* 900.7 (containing fifteen ¹³C atoms). The presence of *m/z* 297.1
(with 6 Da shift) is consistent with glucose in Lys-Glc-DAG is replaced with D-Glucose (U-
¹³C₆). The other nine ¹³C atoms are incorporated into the DAG portion of Lys-Glc-DAG.
Furthermore, MS/MS data indicate that lysine is linked to the C6 position of glucose by
the fragmentation schemes for forming *m/z* 189 ion from the unlabeled Lys-Glc-DAG and
m/z 191 ion from the ¹³C-labeled Lys-Glc-DAG.

156
Figure S3. Neither Lys-PG nor Lys-Glc-DAG is produced by *E. faecium* MprF2
expression in *S. mitis*. The lipid extracts of *S. mitis* (ATCC 49456) cells expressing *E.*
faecium mprF2 (pEfmMprF2) was analyzed by LC-ESI/MS in the positive ion mode. A)
TIC of 49456(pEfmMprF2) shows the whole lipidomic profile. B) MS of retention time 19.5
– 21.5 min showing the absence of Lys-PG in the strain. C) MS of retention time 26 – 30
min showing the absence of Lys-Glc-DAG. This data is consistent with a previous report
that the *mprF2* allele in the *E. faecium* genome is inactive (8).

Figure S4. Lysine titration TICs and absence of hemolytic and growth phenotypes
of COH1Δ*mprF* mutant. Shown are positive TICs of LC-ESI/MS of lipid extracts of COH1
cultured in defined growth media containing A) 50 μM lysine, B) 100 μM lysine, C) 200
μM lysine and D) 600 μM lysine. Lys-Glc-DAG and Lys-PG levels in COH1 positively
correlate with lysine concentration in the growth medium. "*" denotes methylcarbamate,
an extraction artifact of Lys-Glc-DAG. E) Hemolytic activity of WT COH1 and Δ*mprF*
mutant. Mean and SEM indicated, statistical analysis: unpaired two-tailed t-test, p-value
indicated. F) Growth curves of COH1(pABG5) (blue), COH1Δ*mprF*(pABG5) (red), and
COH1Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF) (green). There is no observable growth defect under these
conditions. Mean and SD indicated.

Figure S5. MprF contributes to GBS adhesion and invasion of a cell lines *in vitro*.
COH1(pABG5), Δ*mprF*(pABG5), and complement strain Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprF) were tested
for A) adhesion to human cerebral endovascular hCMEC/DE3 cell line and B) adhesion
and C) invasion of human vaginal epithelial VK2/E6E7 cell lines and D) percent invasion
of VK2/E6E7-associtated GBS. The Δ*mprF*(pABG5) strain displays no significant
difference in adhesion to hCMEC/DE3; however, the Δ*mprF*(pABG5) strain displays a
significant decrease in both adhesion and invasion compared to WT and complement
strains of the VK2/E6E7 cell line. The percent of VK2/E6E7-associated GBS that invaded
the cell suggests MprF contributes more to adhesion in this cell type. *In vitro* tissue culture
assay results are the mean of three replicate experiments, each with four technical
replicates. Mean and SEM indicated, statistical analyses: One-way ANOVA with Tukey's
multiple comparisons test, p-values indicated.

Table S1. Observed and calculated exact masses of the [M+H]+ ions of Lys-Glc-
DAG molecular species in *S. agalactiae* COH1.

Lys-Glc-DAG[1]	[M+H]+	
	Observed mass	**Exact mass**
C28:1	801.575	801.582
C28:0	803.595	803.599
C30:1	829.610	829.615
C30:0	831.624	831.630
C32:2	855.623	855.630
C32:1	857.644	857.646
C34:2	883.657	883.622
C34:1	885.672	885.677
C36:2	911.686	911.693
C36:1	913.703	913.709

199 [1]The numbers before and after colons indicate the total acyl chain carbon atoms and
200 double bonds, respectively.
201

 Table S2. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Organism	Strain	Description	Ref
S. agalactiae	ATCC BAA-1176 (COH1)	Wild-type *S. agalactiae* strain, serotype III	(9)
	COH1Δ*mprF*	*mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931) deletion strain	This work
	COH1Δ*mprF(*pABG5)	Empty vector control strain	This work
	COH1Δ*mprF*(pGBSMprf)	Expresses GBS *mprF* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	COH1(pABG5)	Empty vector control	This work
	ATCC BAA-1138 (A909)	Wild-type *S. agalactiae* strain, serotype Ia	(10)
	CNCTC 10/84	Wild-type *S. agalactiae* strain, serotype V. Obtained from Dr. K Patras, UCSD	(11, 12)
S. mitis	ATCC 49456	Wild-type *S. mitis* type strain, also known as NCTC 12261	(13)
	ATCC 49456(pABG5)	Empty vector control	This work
	ATCC 49456(pGBSMprF)	Expresses GBS *mprF* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	ATCC 49456(pEfmMprF1)	Expresses *E. faecium mprF1* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	ATCC 49456(pEfmMprF2)	Expresses *E. faecium mprF1* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
E. coli	DH5α	Plasmid cloning host; F⁻, φ80*lacZ*ΔM15, *rec*A1, *end*A1, *hsd*R17, *pho*A, *s* up E44, λ⁻ *thi*-1, *gyr*A96, *rel*A1	(14)
	DH5α(pABG5)	Empty vector control	This work
	DH5α(pGBSMprF)	Expresses COH1 *mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931) from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	DH5α(pEfmMprF1)	Expresses *E. faecium mprF1* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	DH5α(pEfmMprF2)	Expresses *E. faecium mprF1* from P$_{prtF}$ in pABG5Δ*phoZ*	This work
	DH5α(pMBMprFKO)	Allelic exchange plasmid containing ~2 kb sequence flanking GBSCOH1_1931	This work
E. faecium	1,231,410	Wild type *E. faecium* strain	(15)

Plasmid	Description	Ref
pABG5Δ*phoZ*	Constitutive expression vector for streptococci with the P$_{prtF}$ promoter. Confers kanamycin resistance. Referred to as pABG5 throughout the text	(1)
pGBSMprF	pABG5Δ*phoZ* expressing COH1 *mprF* (GBSCOH1_1931) from P$_{prtF}$	This work

pEfmMprF1	pABG5Δ*phoZ* expressing *E. faecium* 1,231,410 *mprF1* (EFTG_00601) from P$_{prtF}$	This work
pEfmMprF2	pABG5Δ*phoZ* expressing *E. faecium* 1,231,410 *mprF2* (EFTG_02430) from P$_{prtF}$	This work
pMBSacB	Allelic exchange plasmid for *S. agalactiae*. Confers erythromycin resistance and sucrose sensitivity	(2)
pMBMprFKO	Knockout plasmid containing ~2 kb sequence flanking GBSCOH1_1931	This work

Table S3. Primers used in this study.

Primer	5' – 3' sequence	Use
GBS_MprF_F	GAGAGGTCCCTTTCCTTGAAAAAGCTAATTGAAAAAGTC	Amplify GBSCOH1_1931 for Gibson assembly
GBS_MprF_R	ACCAATACCTTTATCTTATTTAACAATCTTAATTTTACTATC	Amplify GBSCOH1_1931 for Gibson assembly
Faec_MprF1_F	GAGAGGTCCCTTTCCTTGTTAAAAAATACCATACAATG	Amplify EFTG_00601 for Gibson assembly
Faec_MprF1_R	ACCAATACCTTTATCTTAATACTTTCTTCGTATCC	Amplify EFTG_00601 for Gibson assembly
Faec_MprF2_F	GAGAGGTCCCTTTCCGTGAAAAATAAACGATACTTG	Amplify EFTG_02430 for Gibson assembly
Faec_MprF2_R	ACCAATACCTTTATCTTATAATTCTGTGAGCACTTC	Amplify EFTG_02430 for Gibson assembly
pABG5-5'	GGAAAGGGACCTCTCTTCCTAAAC	Linearize pABG5Δ*phoZ* for Gibson assembly
pABG5-3'	GATAAAGGTATTGGTAAATAACAAA	Linearize pABG5Δ*phoZ* for Gibson assembly
Expression plasmid sequencing		
GBS_S1	GAATGGAATAATATAGTAGGCT	For sequencing pGBSMprF, amplifies with pABG5_Fup2
GBS_S2	GATTGTATCCCTTATTCC	For sequencing pGBSMprF, amplifies with GBS_S3
GBS_S3	CGATTCAATAGCTTCAC	For sequencing pGBSMprF, amplifies with GBS_S2
GBS_S4	GATAAAAGGCTCTACTGG	For sequencing pGBSMprF, amplifies with pABG5_FDwn
pABG5_FDwn	CCAATAATAATGACTAGAGAAG	For pABG5 plasmid insert sequencing
pABG5_Fup2	CAAAAGGTTTCGACTTTTCACC	For pABG5 plasmid insert sequencing
EF1_S1	GAATAACGCTGATCAAAGT	For sequencing pEfmMprF1, amplifies with pABG5_Fup2
EF1_S2	TGCCAAGAGAAATAGTC	For sequencing pEfmMprF1, amplifies with EF1_S3
EF1_S3	ACAATCTCTTCGCTTG	For sequencing pEfmMprF1, amplifies with EF1_S2
EF1_S4	CCAACTGTTCTTCTCCAA	For sequencing pEfmMprF1, amplifies with pABG5_FDwn
EF2_S1	CTCCTGTATGTGTGATGAAC	For sequencing pEfmMprF2, amplifies with pABG5_Fup2
EF2_S2	GGAATGGGGACTTTTGA	For sequencing pEfmMprF2, amplifies with EF2_S3
EF2_S3	ACTGATTCGTTGCTGC	For sequencing pEfmMprF2, amplifies with EF2_S2
EF2_S4	CTTCGCTTGTCAGGTTAC	For sequencing pEfmMprF2, amplifies with pABG5_FDwn
GBSCOH1_1931 knockout plasmid construction, sequencing, and integration screening		
Mp1F_PstI	ACGTCACTGCAGTTCAATTAGCTTTTTCAACAATTTC	Amplifies upstream fragment from within GBSCOH1_1931 leaving 6 codons, with Mp1R_XhoI
Mp1R_XhoI	ACGTCACTCGAGGCTGTTTATGGTGCTTTG	5' most primer of upstream fragment, amplifies with Mp1F_PstI
Mp2F_XbaI	ACGTCATCTAGAGAAAAGGCTAGATTACGAAC	3' most primer of downstream fragment, amplifies with Mp2R_PstI
Mp2R_PstI	ACGTCACTGCAGGTTAAATAAGCTTTATTTGGCA	Amplifies downstream fragment leaving 2 codons and stop codon of GBSCOH1_1931, with Mp2F_XbaI
T7 promoter	TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG	Amplifies with MpS5F below to sequence plasmid, amplifies with T3 promoter for insert screening and plasmid presence
T3 promoter	AATTAACCCTCACTAAAGGG	Amplifies with MpS3R below, amplifies with T7 promoter for insert screening and plasmid presence

Int_F	GCTAATTGAACTGCAGGTTAAATAAG	Anneals at *mprF* knockout site, amplifies with Out_R for single integration screening
Out_R	GCTATTATATTTAGTGGTTTAATTGG	Anneals outside recombination arms, amplifies with Int_F, for single integration screening
	Genomic knockout region sequencing	
MpS3F	CATTAGCTAGTCTTATCGGAG	Anneals outside integration arms, amplifies with MpS3R
MpS3R	ACAGCTACTTGGTAGTTCA	Amplifies with MpS3F
MpS4F	GCTACTAAGGCAAGATACG	Amplifies with MpS4R, knockout screening and plasmid sequencing primer
MpS4R	ATGGTCAGCGATGGTG	Amplifies with MpS4F, knockout screening and plasmid sequencing primer
MpS5F	CATAAGCGAAATAACTTGAG	Amplifies with MpS5R
MpS5R	GTATACAACGGCTTGATTGG	Anneals outside integration arms, amplifies with MpS5F

References

1. Granok AB, Parsonage D, Ross RP, Caparon MG. 2000. The RofA binding site in *Streptococcus pyogenes* is utilized in multiple transcriptional pathways. J Bacteriol 182:1529–40.

2. Hooven TA, Bonakdar M, Chamby AB, Ratner AJ. 2019. A Counterselectable Sucrose Sensitivity Marker Permits Efficient and Flexible Mutagenesis in *Streptococcus agalactiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:1–13.

3. Framson PE, Nittayajarn A, Merry J, Youngman P, Rubens CE. 1997. New genetic techniques for Group B Streptococci: High-efficiency transformation, maintenance of temperature-sensitive pWV01 plasmids, and mutagenesis with Tn917. Appl Environ Microbiol 63:3539–3547.

4. Joyce LR, Guan Z, Palmer KL. 2019. Phosphatidylcholine Biosynthesis in Mitis Group Streptococci via Host Metabolite Scavenging. J Bacteriol 201:e00495-19.

5. Tan BK, Bogdanov M, Zhao J, Dowhan W, Raetz CRH, Guan Z. 2012. Discovery of a cardiolipin synthase utilizing phosphatidylethanolamine and phosphatidylglycerol as substrates. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109:16504–16509.

6. Li C, Tan BK, Zhao J, Guan Z. 2016. In vivo and in vitro synthesis of phosphatidylglycerol by an *Escherichia coli* cardiolipin synthase. J Biol Chem 291:25144–25153.

7. Deng L, Spencer BL, Holmes JA, Mu R, Rego S, Weston TA, Hu Y, Sanches GF, Yoon S, Park N, Nagao PE, Jenkinson HF, Thornton JA, Seo KS, Nobbs AH, Doran KS. 2019. The Group B Streptococcal surface antigen I/II protein, BspC, interacts with host vimentin to promote adherence to brain endothelium and inflammation during the pathogenesis of meningitis. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007848.

8. Roy H, Ibba M. 2009. Broad range amino acid specificity of RNA-dependent lipid remodeling by multiple peptide resistance factors. J Biol Chem 284:29677–29683.

9. Kuypers JM, Heggen LM, Rubens CE. 1989. Molecular analysis of a region of the Group B *Streptococcus* chromosome involved in type III capsule expression. Infect Immun 57:3058–65.

10. Lancefield RC, McCarty M, Everly WN. 1975. Multiple mouse-protective antibodies directed against Group B Streptococci. Special reference to antibodies effective against protein antigens. J Exp Med 142:165–79.

11. Wilkinson HW. 1977. Nontypable Group B Streptococci isolated from human sources. J Clin Microbiol 6:183–4.

12. Hooven TA, Randis TM, Daugherty SC, Narechania A, Planet PJ, Tettelin H, Ratner AJ. 2014. Complete Genome Sequence of *Streptococcus agalactiae* CNCTC 10/84, a Hypervirulent Sequence Type 26 Strain. Genome Announc 2(6):e01338-14.

248   13.   Kilian M, Mikkelsen L, Henrichsen J. 1989. Taxonomic Study of Viridans
249   Streptococci: Description of *Streptococcus gordonii* sp. nov. and Emended
250   Descriptions of *Streptococcus sanguis* (White and Niven 1946), *Streptococcus*
251   *oralis* (Bridge and Sneath 1982), and *Streptococcus mitis* (Andrewes and Horder
252   1906). Int J Syst Bacteriol 39:471–484.

253   14.   Taylor RG, Walker DC, McInnes RR. 1993. *E. coli* host strains significantly affect
254   the quality of small scale plasmid DNA preparations used for sequencing. Nucleic
255   Acids Res 21:1677–1678.

256   15.   Palmer KL, Carniol K, Manson JM, Heiman D, Shea T, Young S, Zeng Q, Gevers
257   D, Feldgarden M, Birren B, Gilmore MS. 2010. High-quality draft genome
258   sequences of 28 *Enterococcus* sp. isolates. J Bacteriol 192:2469–70.

259